Speciation by Symbiosis: 1 The Microbiome and Behavior 2 3 J. Dylan Shropshire^a and Seth R. Bordenstein^{a,b} 4 5 6 ^aVanderbilt University, Department of Biological Sciences, Nashville, TN ^bVanderbilt University, Department of Pathology, Microbiology, and Immunology, Nashville, 7 8 TNAddress correspondence to Seth R. Bordenstein, <u>s.bordenstein@vanderbilt.edu</u> 9

ABSTRACT

Species are fundamental units of comparison in biology. The newly discovered importance and ubiquity of host-associated microorganisms is now stimulating work on the roles that microbes can play in animal speciation. We previously synthesized the literature and advanced concepts of speciation by symbiosis with notable attention to hybrid sterility and lethality. Here, we review recent studies and relevant data on microbes as players in host behavior and behavioral isolation, emphasizing the patterns seen in these analyses and highlighting areas worthy of additional exploration. We conclude that the role of microbial symbionts in behavior and speciation is gaining exciting traction, and the holobiont and hologenome concepts afford an evolving intellectual framework to promote research and intellectual exchange between disciplines such as behavior, microbiology, genetics, symbiosis and speciation. Given the increasing centrality of microbiology in macroscopic life, microbial symbiosis is arguably the most neglected aspect of animal and plant speciation, and studying it should yield a better understanding of the origin of species.

MINIREVIEW

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

In 1998, Carl Woese referred to the microbial world as the "sleeping giant" of biology (1). Almost two decades later, unprecedented attention to our microbial world has turned the fields of zoology (2) and botany (3) inward - towards an increased awareness and understanding of individual animals and plants as holobionts (4–6). The term "holobiont" denotes a host plus all of its microbial symbionts, including inconstant and constant members that are either vertically or horizontally transmitted or environmentally acquired; it was first coined in 1991 by Lynn Margulis (reviewed in 5). The ubiquity and importance of microbes in and on holobionts, including humans, is evident in studies of host development (7), immunity (8), metabolism (9– 12), behavior (13, 14), speciation (15, 16), and numerous other processes. Host-microbe interactions provide the holobiont with disadvantages (17-19) such as increasing the risk of cancer (20), and advantages (7, 21–23) such as driving the evolution of resistance to parasites and pathogens (24–26), and among other things producing signal components (i.e., metabolites) used to recognize differences in potential mates (27, 28). The newfound importance of diverse microbial communities in and on animals and plants led to the development of the hologenome theory of evolution (4, 29). The "hologenome" refers to all of the genomes of the host and its microbial symbionts, and the theory emphasizes that holobionts are a level of phenotypic selection in which many phenotypes are produced by the host and microbial members of the holobiont. This developing scientific framework distinguishes itself by placing importance not only on well-studied primary microbial symbionts and vertical microbial transmission, but also on the vast diversity of host-associated microbes and horizontal microbial transmission. The key reason for aligning these different transmission modes and levels of complexity into an eco-evolutionary framework is that the community-level parameters

among host and symbionts in the holobiont (e.g., community heritability, selection and coinheritance) can be analyzed under a common set of concepts to the parameters that occur in the nuclear genome (6, 30).

As natural selection operates on variation in phenotypes, the hologenome theory's most significant utility is that it reclassifies the target of "individual" selection for many animals and plants traits to the holobiont community. This claim is straightforward given the overwhelming influence of microbes on host traits (31–34). The question going forward is whether the response to this community-level selection is relevant to the biology of holobionts. In other words, can host-associated microbial communities be selected such that shifts in the microbial consortia over multiple generations are a response to selection on holobiont traits? Community selection at the holobiont level is shaped by genetic variation in the host and microbial species and covariance between hosts and their microbial consortia, the latter of which can be driven by (i) inheritance of the microbial community from parents to offspring (35, 36) and/or (ii) community heritability H^2_C (30, 37). We recently summarized ten foundational principles of the holobiont and hologenome concepts, aligned them with pre-existing theories and frameworks in biology, and discussed critiques and questions to be answered by future research (6).

In the context of the widely accepted Biological Species Concept (38, 39), the principles of holobionts and hologenomes offer an integrated paradigm for the study of the origin of species. The Biological Species Concept operationally defines species as populations no longer capable of interbreeding. Reproductive isolation mechanisms that prevent interbreeding between holobiont populations are either prezygotic (occurring before fertilization) or postzygotic (occurring after fertilization). In the absence of reproductive isolation and population structure, unrestricted interbreeding between holobiont populations will homogenize populations of their

genetic and microbial differences (6). While postzygotic isolation mechanisms include hybrid sterility or inviability, prezygotic isolation mechanisms can include biochemical mismatches between gametes and behavior mismatches between potential partners.

Symbionts can cause prezygotic reproductive isolation in two modes: broad-sense and narrow-sense (40). Broad-sense symbiont-induced reproductive isolation refers to divergence in host genes that result in a reproductive barrier because of selection on the host to accommodate microorganisms. In this case, loss or alteration of the symbiont does not have an impact on the capacity to interbreed; rather host genetic divergence and reproductive isolation evolve in response to microbial symbiosis and cause isolation regardless of whether the hosts are germfree or not. Conversely, narrow-sense symbiont-induced reproductive isolation occurs when host-microbe or microbe-microbe associations result in a reproductive barrier, namely one that can be ameliorated or removed via elimination of the microbes. Therefore, narrow-sense isolation can be experimentally validated if it is reversible under microbe-free rearing conditions and inducible with the reintroduction of microbes. Isolation barriers that require host and microbial component underpin hologenomic speciation (6, 16).

We recently synthesized the literature and concepts of various speciation mechanisms related to symbiosis, with notable attention to postzygotic isolation (40–42). While aspects of the microbiology of prezygotic isolation are less understood, seminal cases exist (43–45) and control of behavior by symbionts is an emerging area of widespread interest (14, 46, 47). Here we emphasize the patterns seen in these new and old analyses (Table 1) and highlight important and tractable questions about the microbiome, behavior, and speciation by symbiosis. For the purposes of this review, we refer to the microbiome as the community of microorganisms in and on a host.

SIGNALING & MICROBIOME HOMOGENIZATION

Recognizing signals of species membership (48), gender (49), relatedness (50), and colony or group membership (51) is relevant to choosing a mate. Visual (48), auditory (49), and chemosensory signals (52) can each be used to relay this information, with the latter being particularly influenced by the microbiome in either "microbe-specific" or "microbe-assisted" ways. Both mechanisms involve the expression of chemosensory cues, but microbe-specific processes involve bacterial-derived products such as metabolites while microbe-assisted mechanisms involve bacterial modulation of host-derived odorous products (Fig. 1).

The microbiome's capacity to provide identity information for mate recognition may rely on products being an honest signal of holobiont group membership, requiring that many or all members of the group (i.e., gender, population or species) contain appropriate microbial members that express equivalent signal profiles. Holobionts can be colonized by similar microbes via a number of different mechanisms, spanning behavioral similarities and contact with shared environmental sources (53, 54), similar ecological niches and diets (55–57), and host genetic effects (16, 58). Each of these mechanisms may explain a portion of the variation in the microbial communities of holobionts (40, 42, 59–61).

In the context of group living, humans in the same household (54, 62) and chimpanzees (63) or baboons (53) in the same social group have more similar microbial communities than non-group members. Among several mammalian species, microbial community composition covaries with odorous secretions, and similarities are shared based on host age, sex, and reproductive status allowing for potential signaling and recognition of these traits (27, 64). In

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

hyenas, there is less microbial community variation within species than between them, and clans have more comparable microbial communities due to the marking and remarking of collective territory to signal clan ownership (64). In baboons, there is less microbiome variation within social groups than between them, and baboons involved in communal grooming behaviors share even more similarities (53). Insect populations such as termites can stabilize their gut microbiomes by way of trophallaxis, a behavior in which nestmates supply nutrients and microbes (e.g., cellulolytic microbes) to other colony members through fluids they excrete from their hindgut (65). However, Tung et al appropriately note, "one of the most important unanswered questions is whether social network-mediated microbiome sharing produces net fitness benefits or costs for hosts" (53). From the perspective of the origin of species, it will be similarly important to determine if fitness impacts of the microbiome in turn affect the evolution of group living and reproductive isolation. On one hand, socially-shared microbiomes could drive the evolution of population-specific mating signals and ensuing behavioral isolation. On the other hand, they could fuse incipient species in sympatry that socially share bacterial communities responsible for mating signals.

Similarities in diet can also influence microbiome homogenization, particularly in the digestive tract. For instance, *Drosophila melanogaster* reared on similar food sources carry comparable microbial communities (43). Trophically similar ant species also share microbial species (66). In humans, gut microbiome variation in taxonomy and functions correlates with dietary variation (67), and alterations in human diet can rapidly and reproducibly change the structure of the microbiome (68, 69). Seasonal variation in wild howler monkey diet is also correlated to shifts in the microbiome (70). Mediterranean fruit flies (71) and olive flies (72) acquire microbes from their food that increase clutch size and oviposition rate of females

exposed to diets lacking essential amino acids (71, 72). Intriguingly, male sexual competitiveness of Mediterranean fruit flies increases up to two-fold with diets enriched with *Klebsiella ozytoca* versus a conventional diet (73).

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

Host genetics also affects microbial community assembly. In mice, there are 18 candidate loci for modulation and homeostatic maintenance of Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, Rikenellaceae, and Provetellaceae in the gut (58, 74). Moreover, the presence of many rare bacterial groups in the gills of the Pacific oyster are correlated to genetic relatedness (75). Congruently, genetic variability in human immune-related pathways are associated with microbial profiles on several body sites including various locations along the digestive tract (76), and the largest twin cohort to date examined members of the gut microbiome and found that the bacterial family Christensenellaceae has the highest heritability ($h^2 = 0.39$), and associates closely with other heritable gut bacterial families (77). Human genetic background also influences the risk of developing gastric cancer caused by Helicobacter pylori, indicating that incompatibilities between hosts and symbionts can produce deleterious effects (20). Phylosymbiosis, characterized by microbial community relationships that reflect host phylogeny (30), has also been reported in several cases. For instance, closely related *Nasonia* species that diverged roughly 400,000 years ago share more similar microbial communities than species pairs that diverged a million years ago (16, 40). Similar phylosymbiotic patterns are observed in hydra (59), ants (60) and primates (61).

The overall complexity inherent in microbial community structures and processes may be problematic for animal holobionts seeking to interpret a vast array of signaling information. However, recognition and differentiation of these microbe-induced signals may be possible if a subset of the microbiome affects the production of the particular signal. Furthermore, it may also

be challenging to disentangle social, environmental, and diet effects on microbial assemblages in natural populations (53). Nonetheless, the important theme among all of these cases is that microbial community variation often appears to be less within holobiont groups/species than between them. This pattern, if sustained in natural populations, could facilitate the evolution of microbe-specific and/or microbe-assisted mating signals that promote recognition within populations or species and discrimination between them. Once this critical point is passed, speciation has commenced. There are parallels here with inclusive fitness theory, which posits that individuals can influence their own reproductive success or the reproductive success of other individuals with which they share genes (78, 79). If one follows the continuity from genes to microbial symbionts, then the inclusive fitness framework may also apply to holobionts in which specific microbial symbionts may influence their reproductive success by increasing the reproductive success of their hosts through microbe-specific and/or microbe-assisted mating. A case-by-case analysis of the reliance of the symbiont on the host for transmission (e.g., maternal, social, environmental transmission) will augment the relevance of this framework.

MICROBE-ASSISTED MODIFICATION OF MATING SIGNALS

A common, microbe-assisted modification involves manipulation of host signals (Fig. 1A). One seminal study found that *D. melanogaster* acquires more *Lactobacillus* when reared on starch than on a molasses-cornmeal-yeast mixture (43, 80). The increased *Lactobacillus* colonization correlates with an upregulation of 7,11-heptacosadiene, a cuticular hydrocarbon sex pheromone in the female fly, resulting in an ability to distinguish fly holobionts raised in the starch environment from those reared on the molasses-cornmeal-yeast substrate (43, 81). This microbe-assisted positive assortative mating is reproducible, reversible, and maintained for

several dozen generations after diet homogenization (43, 82). Moreover, this diet-dependent homogamy appears to be directly mediated by different gut bacteria, as inoculation of germ-free flies with *Lactobacillus* causes a significant increase in mating between flies reared on the different diets (43). Replication of these experiments found that inbred strains specifically followed this mating pattern (82). Moreover, another *D. melanogaster* study involving male mate choice and antibiotics revealed that female attractiveness is mediated by commensal microbes (83). These laboratory studies provide a critical model for how microbe-assisted modifications in a signaling pathway, ensuing behavioral changes, and mating assortment can potentiate behavioral isolation and possibly speciation. Indeed, natural populations of *D. melanogaster* express positive assortative mating and differential signal production based on food sources (84), and a bacterial role in these instances should be explored.

Microbe-assisted signaling also occurs in laboratory mice (*Mus musculus*), in which bacterial conversion of dietary choline into trimethylamine (TMA) leads to attraction of mice while also repelling rats (85). Antibiotic treatment decreases TMA production, and genetic knockout of the mouse receptor for TMA leads to decreased attraction in mice (85). Antibiotic treatment and subsequent depletion of TMA in mice could in turn result in a decrease in repellence of rats (85), though this possibility has not yet been tested *in vivo*. Another study found that female mice are more attracted to males not infected with *Salmonella enterica* infected compared to those that are, yet females mated multiply and equally in mating choice tests with the two types of males (86).

Mate preference based on infection status fits well with the Hamilton-Zuk hypothesis of parasite-mediated sexual selection, which posits that traits related to infection status can influence mating success (87). One seminal study showed that male jungle fowl infected with a

parasitic roundworm produce less developed ornamentation and are less attractive to females (87). In house finches, male plumage brightness indicates their quality of broodcare and is associated with resistance to the bacterial pathogen *Mycoplasma gallicepticum* (88). The Hamilton-zuk hypothesis has been reviewed in detail (89).

MICROBE-SPECIFIC SIGNALS

Microbe-specific signals frequently involve the release of volatile microbial metabolites, often through excretions from specialized glands on the host's body (Fig. 1B). Microbial volatiles can transmit information utilized for social signaling (13, 90) and intra- or interspecies mate recognition (85, 91). For example, beetles (91), termites (51), nematodes (92), hyenas (64), meerkats (27), and badgers (93) produce and recognize bacterial metabolites in communication that can modulate their behavior. In termites, fecal metabolites produced by intestinal bacteria (51) coat the termite body and hive walls to signal colony membership. Termite holobionts lacking colony-specific metabolite profiles are attacked and killed by the hive (51). In contrast, some beetles and mammal species excrete bacterial metabolites from colleterial and anal scent glands, respectively (27, 64, 91). For example, female grass grub beetles house bacteria within their colleterial glands peripheral to the vagina that are used to attract males to mate (91).

An exciting area of research regarding microbe-specific bacterial signaling involves mammalian fermentation. The mammalian fermentation hypothesis (27, 64) states that fermentative bacteria within mammalian scent glands produce odorous metabolites involved in recognition. For example, hyena subcaudal scent pouches store bacteria that are mostly fermentative (64). When marking territory, hyenas deposit species-specific, bacterial-derived volatile fatty acids from this gland onto grass stalks (64). Bacterial metabolite secretions are

more variable in the social hyena species, presumably because the complexity of signals from social species improves intraspecies identification (64). Alternatively, social hyenas may permissively transmit more diverse bacteria leading to diverse metabolite profiles. Hyena microbiomes also covary with group membership, sex, and reproductive state (64). Similarly, bacterial communities in meerkat anal scent secretions vary with host sex, age, and group membership (27). In both cases, the signal diversity may allow animal holobionts to recognize diverse biotic characteristics.

Humans also carry bacteria related to odor production. Breath (94, 95), foot (96), and underarm (97) odor covary with oral and skin microbiomes, respectively. Many diseases (e.g., smallpox, bacterial vaginosis, syphilis, etc.) are associated with distinct odors, and have historically been used by physicians in diagnosis (98). Clothing made from different materials even carry different odor profiles based on material-specific bacterial colonization (99, 100). Male odor has been associated with women's interpretation of a male's attractiveness (101–103), possibly influencing their choice in a mate.

The salient theme among the aforementioned cases is that host-associated microbes frequently emit odors, and sometimes this microbe-specific chemosensory information can affect mate choice. Reciprocally, ample evidence shows that chemical signals mediate sexual isolation (104), and a full understanding of whether these signals are traceable to host-associated microbes is worthy of serious attention. Germ-free experiments and microbial inoculations should be a prerequisite for such studies; otherwise they risk missing the significance of microbes in chemosensory speciation (104). Additional behaviors involved in speciation, such as habitat choice and pollinator attraction, are also likely to be influenced by microbe-specific products. Indeed, classic model systems of speciation await further experimentation in this light. For

example, food-specific odors on apples and hawthorn translate directly into premating isolation of incipient host races of fruit flies of the genus *Rhagoletis* (105). Furthermore, the fruit fly *Drosophila sechellia* exclusively reproduces on the ripe fruit of *Morinda citrifolia*, which is toxic to other phylogenetically-related *Drosophila* species, including *D. melanogaster* and *D. simulans*. Some of the volatile compounds involved in these interactions, such as isoamyl acetate, have been associated with fermentative bacteria like *Lactobacillus plantarum* (106), suggesting that food-based premating isolation may be related to bacterial associations with the food source, though this requires further study. In summary, new challenges necessitate the concerted effort of scientists of diverse backgrounds to explore questions at the boundaries of many biological disciplines and to develop the tools to untangle and interpret this intricate web of interactions. Critical topics to be explored in the future include determining the microbial role in animal mate choice, quantifying the extent to which microbe-induced mating assortment impacts the origin of species, and identifying the mechanisms involved in these interactions.

ENDOSYMBIONTS AND MATE CHOICE

Wolbachia, Spiroplasma, Rickettsia, Cardinium, and several other endosymbiotic bacteria can change animal sex ratios and sex determination mechanisms to increase their maternal transmission and thus frequency in the host population from one generation to the next. Notably, these reproductive alterations affect mate choice (107), and here we highlight a few prominent examples and discuss how endosymbiotic bacteria can influence behavioral isolation and the origin of species.

<u>Cytoplasmic Incompatibility</u>: *Wolbachia* are the most well-studied reproductive distorters (108, 109) and are estimated to infect approximately 40% of all arthropod species (110). Across

the major insect orders, *Wolbachia* cause cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI), a phenomenon in which *Wolbachia*-modified sperm from infected males leads to post-fertilization embryonic lethality in eggs from uninfected females or from females infected with a different strain of *Wolbachia*, but not in eggs from infected females (111).

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

In this context, Wolbachia-induced CI can promote the evolution of mate discrimination between populations or species because females can be selected to avoid males that they are not compatible with (Fig. 2C). Among closely related species of mushroom-feeding flies, Wolbachia-infected Drosophila recens and uninfected D. subquinaria contact each other and interspecifically mate in their sympatric range in Eastern Canada. However, gene flow between them in either cross direction is severely reduced due to the complementary action of CI and behavioral isolation. Wolbachia-induced CI appears to be the agent for evolution of behavioral isolation as asymmetric mate discrimination occurs in flies from the zones of sympatry but not in flies from the allopatric ranges (112). A similar pattern of Wolbachia-induced mate discrimination occurs among strains of the two-spotted spider mite, Tetranychus urticae (113) and D. melanogaster cage populations (45). Moreover, discrimination between particular semispecies of D. paulistorum is associated with their Wolbachia infections (44). In cases where host populations or species harbor different Wolbachia infections that are bidirectionally incompatible, for example in different *Nasonia* species that exist sympatrically (114, 115), reciprocal mate discrimination has evolved (114, 116). In contrast to these examples, interspecific mate discrimination in *Nasonia giraulti* is diminished when non-native transfections of Wolbachia spread throughout the whole body including to the brain, suggesting that Wolbachia can also inhibit pre-existing mate discrimination (117).

These cases reveal, to varying degrees, that *Wolbachia* can be causal to the evolution of assortative mating within and between species. Indeed, population genetic theory demonstrates that mate choice alleles spread quicker in populations or species with CI than those with nuclear incompatibilities (118). This is primarily due to the dominance of these *Wolbachia*-induced incompatibilities since CI causes F1 inviability, while nuclear incompatibilities are typically expressed in the F2 hybrids due to the recessive nature of hybrid incompatibility alleles.

Male killing: Male killing is the most common form of endosymbiont-induced sex-ratio manipulation and can occur during embryonic (119, 120) or larval development (121, 122). The effect of male killing is to increase the number of female hosts in a population, thereby increasing endosymbiont transmission rates. To prevent complete fixation of females and population extinction (123), selection can favor hosts to (i) suppress male killing via genes that reduce *Wolbachia* densities or functions (25, 124–126) or (ii) electively choose mates whereby uninfected males preferentially mate with uninfected females (127, 128). If mate choice evolves as a behavioral adaptation to avoid male killing, it could begin to splinter infected and uninfected populations and initiate the first steps of the speciation process (Fig. 2A). One significant caveat in this conceptual model is that the infected population will go extinct without uninfected males to mate with. Thus, if mate preference based on infection status was complete, it would cause speciation between the infected and uninfected populations, resulting in the immediate extinction of the infected population that requires uninfected males to reproduce. We term this phenomena "behavioral extinction" (Figure 2).

Wolbachia-induced male killing can reach a state of equilibrium, as suggested by their long-term maintenance in natural populations of butterflies (129). Discriminatory males occasionally mate with infected females allowing for the infection to remain in the population

(127), and eventually an equilibrium is reached (129). However in some cases, the infection rate is high (>95%), and male preference for uninfected females has not been identified (123). It is not known what mechanisms are involved in preventing male killing from reaching fixation in these situations.

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

Feminization: Feminization, or the conversion of genetic males to morphological and functional females, has similar evolutionary consequences to male killing (Fig. 2B). This process occurs in many different arthropod species including butterflies (130, 131), leafhoppers (132), and woodlouse (133). Resistance to these effects in the pillbug Armadillidium vulgare has evolved in the form of feminization suppressors and male preference towards uninfected females. Males that mate with infected females produce feminized males (24, 134). Ultimately, a female-biased sex-ratio in feminized woodlouse populations results in an increase in male mate choice, male mating multiplicity, and sperm depletion. In the context of sperm depletion, initial mating encounters are normal, but upon increased mating frequency, males provide less sperm to subsequent females. Moreover, infected females are curiously less fertile at lower sperm densities possibly because they are less efficient at utilizing small quantities of sperm (128). Insufficient sperm utilization and slight differences in infected female courtship behaviors can result in male preference for uninfected females within the population (133). Just as with male killing, assortative mating within infected and uninfected populations may initiate the early stages of speciation and lead to behavioral extinction (Figure 2)

<u>Parthenogenesis</u>: Microbial-induced parthenogenesis is common among haplodiploid arthropods such as wasps, mites, and thrips (135–137), wherein unfertilized eggs become females (138, 139). As we previously discussed (140), parthenogenesis-induced speciation by endosymbiotic bacteria falls neatly with the Biological Species Concept because parthenogenesis

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

can sever gene flow and cause the evolution of reproductive isolation between sexual and asexual populations. Microbe-induced parthenogenesis does not necessarily exclude sexual capability of parthenogenetic females, but instead removes the necessity of sexual reproduction and can potentially drive divergence in sexual behaviors and mate choice (141). Speciation therefore commences between sexual and asexual populations under two models: (i) Sexual Degeneration and (ii) Relaxed Sexual Selection (140) (Fig. 2D).

The Sexual Degeneration model posits that the asexual population becomes incompetent to engage in sexual interactions due to mutational accumulation and thus trait degeneration while the sexual population remains otherwise the same (140). In this case, parthenogenetic lineages accumulate mutations in genes involved in sexual reproduction. Traits subject to mutational meltdown may span secondary sexual characteristics, fertilization, mating behavior, signal production, among others (142-144).For instance, long-term Wolbachia-induced parthenogenesis in mealybugs and some parasitoid wasps prevents females from attracting mates or properly expressing sexual behaviors (144, 145). Similarly in primarily asexual populations, male courtship behavior and sexual functionality is often impaired (142, 146, 147). The accrual of these mutations prevents sexual reproduction, thus causing the parthenogenetic population to become "locked in" to an asexual lifestyle. While this model is an attractive hypothesis for the onset of reproductive isolation between asexual and sexual populations, it is not always easily distinguishable from the alternative Relaxed Sexual Selection model (140). In this model, the sexual population diverges by evolving new or altered mating factors (e.g., courtship sequence, signals, etc.) while the asexual population does not degrade, but rather stays the same and thus can no longer mate with individuals from the diverging sexual population (140)

CONCLUSIONS

Over the past decade, biology has stood *vis-à-vis* with what Carl Woese referred to as the "sleeping giant" of biology - the microbial world (1). During this period of groundbreaking research, a new vision for the increasing importance of microbiology in many subdisciplines of the life sciences has emerged. As such, studies of animal and plant speciation that do not account for the microbial world are incomplete. We currently know that microbes are involved in a multitude of host processes spanning behavior, metabolite production, reproduction, and immunity. Each of these processes can in theory or in practice cause mating assortment and commence population divergence, the evolution of reproductive isolation, and thus speciation. Understanding the contributions of microbes to behavior and speciation will require concerted efforts and exchanges among these biological disciplines, namely ones that embrace the recent "unified microbiome" proposal to merge disciplinary boundaries (148).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This publication was made possible by NSF grants DEB 1046149 and IOS 1456778 to SRB.

JDS thanks Laci J. Baker for moral support during the writing process and Daniel LePage and Edward van Opstal for critique on figures. We also thank two anonymous reviewers for their critical feedback on the manuscript. Any opinions, conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

393 **REFERENCES** 394 Woese CR. 1998. Default taxonomy: Ernst Mayr's view of the microbial world. Proc Natl 395 1. 396 Acad Sci U S A **95**:11043–11046. 397 McFall-Ngai M, Hadfield MG, Bosch TCG, Carey H V., Domazet-Lošo T, Douglas 2. 398 399 AE, Dubilier N, Eberl G, Fukami T, Gilbert SF, Hentschel U, King N, Kjelleberg S, Knoll AH, Kremer N, Mazmanian SK, Metcalf JL, Nealson K, Pierce NE, Rawls JF, 400 Reid A, Ruby EG, Rumpho M, Sanders JG, Tautz D, Wernegreen JJ. 2013. Animals 401 402 in a bacterial world, a new imperative for the life sciences. Proc Natl Acad Sci 110:3229– 403 3236. 404 3. Turner TR, James EK, Poole PS. 2013. The Plant Microbiome. Genome Biol 14:1–10. 405 406 Zilber-Rosenberg I, Rosenberg E. 2008. Role of microorganisms in the evolution of 407 4. animals and plants: the hologenome theory of evolution. FEMS Microbiol Rev 32:723– 408 409 735. 410 Gilbert SF, Sapp J, Tauber AI. 2012. A Symbiotic View of Life □: We Have Never 411 5. Been Individuals. Q Rev Biol 87:325–341. 412 413 414 6. Bordenstein SR, Theis KR. 2015. Host biology in light of the microbiome: Ten principles of holobionts and hologenomes. PLOS Biol 13:e1002226. 415 416 McFall-Ngai M, Heath-Heckman EAC, Gillette AA, Peyer SM, Harvie EA. 2012. The 417 7. secret languages of coevolved symbioses: Insights from the Euprymna scolopes-Vibrio 418 419 fischeri symbiosis. Semin Immunol 24:3–8. 420 Lee YK, Mazmanian SK. 2010. Has the microbiota played a critical role in the evolution 421 8. 422 of the adaptive immune system? Science **330**:1768–1773. 423 9. McCutcheon JP, Von Dohlen CD. 2011. An interdependent metabolic patchwork in the 424 425 nested symbiosis of mealybugs. Curr Biol **21**:1366–1372. 426 427 10. Nicholson JK, Holmes E, Kinross J, Burcelin R, Gibson G, Jia W, Pettersson S. 2012. Metabolic Interactions. Science 108:1262–1268. 428 429

Kamra DN. 2005. Rumen microbial ecosystem. Curr Sci 89:124–135.

11.

430

431 12. **Taylor MW, Radax R, Steger D, Wagner M**. 2007. Sponge-associated microorganisms: 432 433 evolution, ecology, and biotechnological potential. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev **71**:295–347. 434 435 13. Archie EA, Theis KR. 2011. Animal behaviour meets microbial ecology. Anim Behav **82**:425–436. 436 437 Ezenwa VO, Gerardo NM, Inouve DW, Medina M, Xavier JB. 2012. Animal Behavior 438 14. and the Microbiome. Science 338:198–199. 439 440 Wang J, Kalvan S, Steck N, Turner LM, Harr B, Künzel S, Vallier M, Häsler R, 15. 441 Franke A, Oberg H-H, Ibrahim SM, Grassl GA, Kabelitz D, Baines JF. 2015. 442 Analysis of intestinal microbiota in hybrid house mice reveals evolutionary divergence in 443 a vertebrate hologenome. Nat Commun 6:6440. 444 445 446 16. Brucker RM, Bordenstein SR. 2013. The Hologenomic Basis of Speciation: Gut Bacteria Cause Hybrid Lethality in the Genus Nasonia. Science 341:667–669. 447 448 17. Morgan JAW. 2005. Biological costs and benefits to plant-microbe interactions in the 449 rhizosphere. J Exp Bot **56**:1729–1739. 450 451 Polin S, Simon J-C, Outreman Y. 2014. An ecological cost associated with protective 452 18. 453 symbionts of aphids. Ecol Evol 4:826-830. 454 19. Nougué O, Gallet R, Chevin L-M, Lenormand T. 2015. Niche limits of the gut 455 microbiota constrain the salinity. Am Nat 186:390–403. 456 457 20. Kodaman N, Pazos A, Schneider BG, Piazuelo MB, Mera R, Sobota RS, Sicinschi L 458 A, Shaffer CL, Romero-Gallo J, de Sablet T, Harder RH, Bravo LE, Peek RM, 459 460 Wilson KT, Cover TL, Williams SM, Correa P. 2014. Human and Helicobacter pylori coevolution shapes the risk of gastric disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111:1455–60. 461 462 **Teixeira L, Ferreira Á, Ashburner M**. 2008. The bacterial symbiont *Wolbachia* induces 21. 463 464 resistance to RNA viral infections in *Drosophila melanogaster*. PLoS Biol **6**:2753–2763. 465 Round JL, Mazmanian SK. 2009. The gut microbiota shapes intestinal immune 22. 466 467 responses during health and disease. Nat Rev Immunol 9:313–323. 468

23. 469 Chung H, Pamp SJ, Hill JA, Surana NK, Edelman SM, Troy EB, Reading NC, 470 Villablanca EJ, Wang S, Mora JR, Umesaki Y, Mathis D, Benoist C, Relman DA, **Kasper DL**. 2012. Gut immune maturation depends on colonization with a host-specific 471 472 microbiota. Cell 149:1578-1593. 473 Rigaud T, Juchault P. 1993. Conflict between feminizing sex ratio distorters and an 474 24. autosomal masculinizing gene in the terrestrial isopod Armadillidium vulgare Latr. 475 Genetics **133**:247–252. 476 477 478 25. Hornett EA, Moran B, Reynolds LA, Charlat S, Tazzyman S, Wedell N, Jiggins CD, 479 Hurst GDD. 2014. The Evolution of Sex Ratio Distorter Suppression Affects a 25 cM Genomic Region in the Butterfly *Hypolimnas bolina*. PLoS Genet **10**:e1004822. 480 481 Oliver KM, Campos J, Moran NA, Hunter MS. 2008. Population dynamics of 26. 482 483 defensive symbionts in aphids. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci **275**:293–299. 484 Leclaire S, Nielsen JF, Drea CM. 2014. Bacterial communities in meerkat anal scent 485 27. secretions vary with host sex, age, and group membership. Behav Ecol 25:996–1004. 486 487 488 28. Venu I, Durisko Z, Xu J, Dukas R. 2014. Social attraction mediated by fruit flies ' microbiome. J Exp Biol 217:1346-1352. 489 490 29. Rosenberg E, Zilber-rosenberg I. 2013. The Hologenome Concept: Human, Animal and 491 Plant Microbiota. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer, 2013. 492 493 30. van Opstal EJ, Bordenstein SR. 2015. Rethinking heritability of the microbiome. 494 495 Science **349**:1172–1173. 496 Tsuchida T, Koga R, Horikawa M. 2010. Symbiotic Bacterium Modifies Aphid Body 497 31. Color. Science 330:1102-1104. 498 499 Gilbert SF, Bosch TCG, Ledón-rettig C. 2015. Eco-Evo-Devo: developmental 500 32. symbiosis and developmental plasticity as evolutionary agents. Nat Publ Gr 16:1–12. 501 502 503 33. Berg G, Rybakova D, Grube M, Ko berl M. 2015. The plant microbiome explored: implications for experimental botany. J Exp Bot. 504 505 506 34. McFall-Ngai MJ. 2015. Giving microbes their due - animal life in a microbially dominant 507 world. J Exp Biol 218:1968–1973.

508 35. Funkhouser LJ, Bordenstein SR. 2013. Mom knows best: the universality of maternal 509 510 microbial transmission. PLoS Biol 11:e1001631. 511 512 36. Gilbert SF. 2014. A holobiont birth narrative: the epigenetic transmission of the human microbiome. Front Genet 5:1–7. 513 514 515 37. Shuster SM, Lonsdorf EV, Wimp GM, Bailey JK, Whitham TG. 2006. Community heritability measures the evolutionary consequences of indirect genetic effects on 516 517 community structure. Evolution **60**:991–1003. 518 519 38. **Dobzhansky T, Dobzhansky TG**. 1937. Genetics and the Origin of Species. Columbia University Press. 520 521 Mayr E. 1942. Systematics and the Origin of Species, from the Viewpoint of a Zoologist. 522 39. 523 Harvard University Press. 524 40. Brucker RM, Bordenstein SR. 2012. Speciation by symbiosis. Trends Ecol Evol 525 **27**:443–51. 526 527 41. Brucker RM, Bordenstein SR. 2012. In vitro cultivation of the hymenoptera genetic 528 529 model, Nasonia. PLoS One 7:e51269. 530 Brucker RM, Bordenstein SR. 2013. The capacious hologenome. Zoology 116:260–261. 42. 531 532 Sharon G, Segal D, Ringo JM, Hefetz A, Zilber-rosenberg I, Rosenberg E, Collier 43. 533 **RJ**. 2010. Commensal of bacteria play a role in mating preference *Drosophila* 534 melanogaster. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107:20051–20056. 535 536 537 44. Miller WJ, Ehrman L, Schneider D. 2010. Infectious speciation revisited: impact of symbiont-depletion on female fitness and mating behavior of *Drosophila paulistorum*. 538 539 PLoS Pathog **6**:e1001214. 540 45. Koukou K, Pavlikaki H, Kilias G, Werren JH, Bourtzis K, Alahiotis SN. 2006. 541 542 Influence of antibiotic treatment and Wolbachia curing on sexual isolation among *Drosophila melanogaster* cage populations. Evolution **60**:87–96. 543 544 Sampson TR, Mazmanian SK. 2015. Control of Brain Development, Function, and 545 46.

Behavior by the Microbiome. Cell Host Microbe 17:565–576. 546 547 548 47. O' Mahony SM, Clarke G, Dinan TG, Cryan JF. 2015. Early Life Adversity and Brain 549 Development: Is the Microbiome a Missing Piece of the Puzzle? Neuroscience in press. 550 48. Carlson A, Copeland J, Raderman R, Bulloch A. 1976. Role of interflash intervals in a 551 firefly courtship (*Photinus macdermotti*). Anim Behav **24**:786–792. 552 553 554 49. Cator LJ, Arthur BJ, Harrington LC, Hoy RR. 2009. Harmonic convergence in the love songs of the dengue vector mosquito. Science **323**:1077–1079. 555 556 557 50. Lizé A, McKay R, Lewis Z. 2013. Gut microbiota and kin recognition. Trends Ecol Evol **28**:325–326. 558 559 560 51. Matsuura K. 2001. Nestmate recognition mediated by intestinal bacteria in a termite, 561 Reticulitermes speratus. Oikos **92**:20–26. 562 52. Cock R De, Matthysen E. 2005. Sexual communication by pheromones in a firefly, 563 Phosphaenus hemipterus (Coleoptera: Lampyridae). Anim Behav 70:807–818. 564 565 566 53. Tung J, Barreiro LB, Burns MB, Grenier J-C, Lynch J, Grieneisen LE, Altmann J, 567 Alberts SC, Blekhman R, Archie EA. 2015. Social networks predict gut microbiome 568 composition in wild baboons. Elife 4:1–18. 569 Lax S, Smith D, Hampton-marcell J. 2014. Longitudinal analysis of microbial 570 54. interactions between humans and indoor environment. Science **345**:1048–1052. 571 572 55. Ley RE, Hamady M, Lozupone C, Turnbaugh PJ, Ramey RR, Bircher JS, Schlegel 573 ML, Tucker TA, Schrenzel MD, Knight R, Gordon JI. 2008. Evolution of mammals 574 575 and their gut microbes. Science 320:1647–1651. 576 577 56. **Spor A, Koren O, Ley R**. 2011. Unravelling the effects of the environment and host 578 genotype on the gut microbiome. Nat Rev Microbiol 9:279–290. 579 580 57. Yatsunenko T, Rey FE, Manary MJ, Trehan I, Dominguez-Bello MG, Contreras M, Magris M, Hidalgo G, Baldassano RN, Anokhin AP, Heath AC, Warner B, Reeder J, 581 582 Kuczynski J, Caporaso JG, Lozupone CA, Lauber C, Clemente JC, Knights D, **Knight R**, Gordon JI. 2012. Human gut microbiome viewed across age and geography. 583 Nature 486:222-227. 584

585 McKnite AM, Perez-Munoz ME, Lu L, Williams EG, Brewer S, Andreux PA, 586 58. 587 Bastiaansen JWM, Wang X, Kachman SD, Auwerx J, Williams RW, Benson AK, **Peterson DA**, Ciobanu DC. 2012. Murine Gut Microbiota Is Defined by Host Genetics 588 and Modulates Variation of Metabolic Traits. PLoS One 7:e39191. 589 590 591 59. Franzenburg S, Walter J, Künzel S, Wang J, Baines JF, Bosch TCG, Fraune S. 2013. 592 Distinct antimicrobial peptide expression determines host species-specific bacterial associations. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110:E3730-8. 593 594 595 60. Sanders JG, Powell S, Kronauer DJC, Vasconcelos HL, Frederickson ME, Pierce 596 NE. 2014. Stability and phylogenetic correlation in gut microbiota: Lessons from ants and 597 apes. Mol Ecol **23**:1268–1283. 598 599 61. Ochman H, Worobey M, Kuo C-H, Ndjango J-BN, Peeters M, Hahn BH, Hugenholtz P. 2010. Evolutionary Relationships of Wild Hominids Recapitulated by Gut Microbial 600 601 Communities. PLoS Biol 8:e1000546. 602 Song SJ, Lauber C, Costello EK, Lozupone CA, Humphrey G, Berg-Lyons D, 603 62. 604 Caporaso JG, Knights D, Clemente JC, Nakielny S, Gordon JI, Fierer N, Knight R. 2013. Cohabiting family members share microbiota with one another and with their dogs. 605 606 Elife 2:e00458. 607 63. Degnan PH, Pusey AE, Lonsdorf E V, Goodall J, Wroblewski EE, Wilson ML, 608 609 Rudicell RS, Hahn BH, Ochman H. 2012. Factors associated with the diversification of the gut microbial communities within chimpanzees from Gombe National Park. Pnas 610 611 **109**:13034–13039. 612 64. Theis KR, Venkataraman A, Dycus JA, Koonter KD, Schmitt-Matzen EN, Wagner 613 614 AP, Holekamp KE, Schmidt TM. 2013. Symbiotic bacteria appear to mediate hyena social odors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110:19832–19837. 615 616 617 65. Klass KD, Nalepa C, Lo N. 2008. Wood-feeding cockroaches as models for termite 618 evolution (Insecta: Dictyoptera): Cryptocercus vs. Parasphaeria boleiriana. Mol 619 Phylogenet Evol **46**:809–817. 620 621 66. Anderson KE, Russell JA, Moreau CS, Kautz S, Sullam KE, Hu Y, Basinger U, Mott BM, Buck N, Wheeler DE. 2012. Highly similar microbial communities are shared 622 among related and trophically similar ant species. Mol Ecol 21:2282–2296. 623 624

625 67. Siddharth J, Holway N, Parkinson SJ. 2013. A Western Diet Ecological Module 626 Identified from the "Humanized" Mouse Microbiota Predicts Diet in Adults and Formula Feeding in Children. PLoS One 8:e83689. 627 628 629 68. Claesson MJ, Jeffery IB, Conde S, Power SE, O'Connor EM, Cusack S, Harris 630 HMB, Coakley M, Lakshminarayanan B, O'Sullivan O, Fitzgerald GF, Deane J, O'Connor M. Harnedy N. O'Connor K. O'Mahony D. van Sinderen D. Wallace M. 631 Brennan L, Stanton C, Marchesi JR, Fitzgerald AP, Shanahan F, Hill C, Ross RP, 632 633 O'Toole PW. 2012. Gut microbiota composition correlates with diet and health in the elderly. Nature **488**:178–184. 634 635 David LA, Maurice CF, Carmody RN, Gootenberg DB, Button JE, Wolfe BE, Ling A 69. 636 V, Devlin AS, Varma Y, Fischbach MA, Biddinger SB, Dutton RJ, Turnbaugh PJ. 637 638 2014. Diet rapidly and reproducibly alters the human gut microbiome. Nature **505**:559– 639 563. 640 641 70. Amato KR, Leigh SR, Kent A, Mackie RI, Yeoman CJ, Stumpf RM, Wilson BA, **Nelson KE**, White BA, Garber PA. 2015. The gut microbiota appears to compensate for 642 643 seasonal diet variation in the wild black howler monkey (Alouatta pigra). Microb Ecol 644 **69**:434–43. 645 Ben-Yosef M, Jurkevitch E, Yuval B. 2008. Effect of bacteria on nutritional status and 646 71. 647 reproductive success of the Mediterranean fruit fly Ceratitis capitata. Physiol Entomol 648 **33**:145–154. 649 650 72. Ben-Yosef M, Aharon Y, Jurkevitch E, Yuval B. 2010. Give us the tools and we will do 651 the job: symbiotic bacteria affect olive fly fitness in a diet-dependent fashion. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci **277**:1545–1552. 652 653 654 73. Gavriel S, Jurkevitch E, Gazit Y, Yuval B. 2011. Bacterially enriched diet improves 655 sexual performance of sterile male Mediterranean fruit flies. J Appl Entomol 135:564 573. 656 657 658 74. Benson AK, Kelly SA, Legge R, Ma F, Low SJ, Kim J, Zhang M, Oh PL, Nehrenberg D, Hua K, Kachman SD, Moriyama EN, Walter J, Peterson DA, Pomp D. 2010. 659 Individuality in gut microbiota composition is a complex polygenic trait shaped by 660 multiple environmental and host genetic factors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107:18933-661 18938. 662 663 75. Wegner KM, Volkenborn N, Peter H, Eiler A. 2013. Disturbance induced decoupling 664

between host genetics and composition of the associated microbiome. BMC Microbiol

665

666 **13**:252. 667 668 76. Blekhman R, Goodrich JK, Huang K, Sun O, Bukowski R, Bell JT, Spector TD, Keinan A, Ley RE, Gevers D, Clark AG. 2015. Host genetic variation impacts 669 microbiome composition across human body sites. genome Biol **16**:1–12. 670 671 77. Goodrich JK, Waters JL, Poole AC, Sutter JL, Koren O, Blekhman R, Beaumont M, 672 Van Treuren W, Knight R, Bell JT, Spector TD, Clark AG, Ley RE. 2014. Human 673 Genetics Shape the Gut Microbiome. Cell **159**:789–799. 674 675 676 78. West SA, Diggle SP, Buckling A, Gardner A, Griffin AS. 2007. The Social Lives of Microbes. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 38:53-77. 677 678 679 79. Hughes WOH, Oldroyd BP, Beekman M, Ratnieks FLW. 2008. Ancestral Monogamy Shows Kin Selection Is Key to the Evolution of Eusociality. Science **320**:1213–1217. 680 681 80. 682 **Dodd DMB**. 1989. Reproductive Isolation as a Consequence of Adaptive Divergence in 683 *Drosophila pseudoobscura*. Evolution **43**:1308–1311. 684 81. **Ringo J, Sharon G, Segal D.** 2011. Bacteria-induced sexual isolation in *Drosophila*. Fly 685 **5**:310–315. 686 687 688 82. Najarro MA, Sumethasorn M, Lamoureux A, Turner TL. 2015. Choosing mates based on the diet of your ancestors: replication of non-genetic assortative mating in *Drosophila* 689 melanogaster. PeerJ 3:e1173. 690 691 83. **Arbuthnott D, Levin TC, Promislow DEL**. 2015. The impacts of Wolbachia and the 692 microbiome on mate choice in *Drosophila melanogaster*. J Evol Biol, in press. 693 694 695 84. Stennett M, Etges WJ. 1997. Premating isolation is determined by larval rearing substrated in cactophilic *Drosophila mojavensis*. III. Epicuticular hydrocarbon variation is 696 determined by use of different host plants in *Drosophila mojavensis* and *Drosophila* 697 arizonae. J Chem Ecol 23:2803-2824. 698 699 700 85. Li Q, Korzan WJ, Ferrero DM, Chang RB, Roy DS, Buchi M, Lemon JK, Kaur AW, 701 Stowers L, Fendt M, Liberles SD. 2013. Synchronous Evolution of an Odor 702 Biosynthesis Pathway and Behavioral Response. Curr Biol **23**:11–20. 703 704 86. Zala SM, Bilak A, Perkins M, Potts WK, Penn DJ. 2015. Female house mice initially

shun infected males, but do not avoid mating with them. Behav Ecol Sociobiol **69**:715–722.

707

710

713

716

719

722

726

733

736

741

- 708 87. **Hamilton WD**, **Zuk M**. 1982. Heritable true fitness and bright birds: a role for parasites? Science **218**:384–387.
- 711 88. **Hill GE**, **Farmer KL**. 2005. Carotenoid-based plumage coloration predicts resistance to a novel parasite in the house finch. Naturwissenschaften **92**:30–34.
- 714 89. **Balenger SL**, **Zuk M**. 2014. Testing the Hamilton-Zuk Hypothesis: Past, Present, and Future. Integr Comp Biol **54**:601–613.
- 717 90. **Ezenwa VO**, **Williams AE**. 2014. Microbes and animal olfactory communication: Where do we go from here? BioEssays **36**:847–854.
- 720 91. **Leal WS**. 1998. Chemical ecology of phytophagous scarab beetles. Annu Rev Entomol **43**:39–61.
- Meisel JD, Panda O, Mahanti P, Schroeder FC, Kim DH. 2014. Chemosensation of
 Bacterial Secondary Metabolites Modulates Neuroendocrine Signaling and Behavior of *C. elegans*. Cell 159:267–280.
- 93. Sin YW, Buesching CD, Burke T, Macdonald DW. 2012. Molecular characterization of the microbial communities in the subcaudal gland secretion of the European badger
 (Meles meles). FEMS Microbiol Ecol 81:648–659.
- Pianotti R, Pitts G. 1978. Effects of an Antiseptic Mouthwash on Odorigenic Microbes in the Human Gingival Crevice. J Dent Res 57:175–179.
- 734 95. Morita M, Wang H-L. 2001. Association between oral malodor and adult periodontitis: a review. J Clin Periodontol 28:813–819.
- Stevens D, Cornmell R, Taylor D, Grimshaw SG, Riazanskaia S, Arnold DS,
 Fernstad SJ, Smith AM, Heaney LM, Reynolds JC, Thomas CL, Harker M. 2015.
 Spatial variations in the microbial community structure and diversity of the human foot is associated with the production of odorous volatiles. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 91:1–11.
- James AG, Austin CJ, Cox DS, Taylor D, Calvert R. 2013. Microbiological and biochemical origins of human axillary odour. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 83:527–540.

744 98. **Penn D, Potts WK**. 1998. Chemical signals and parasite-mediated sexual selection. 745 Trends Ecol Evol 13:391–396. 746 747 748 99. Tsuchiya Y, Ohta J, Ishida Y, Morisaki H. 2008. Cloth colorization caused by microbial biofilm. Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces 64:216–222. 749 750 Callewaert C, De Maeseneire E, Kerckhof F-M, Verliefde A, Van de Wiele T, Boon 751 752 N. 2014. Microbial Odor Profile of Polyester and Cotton Clothes after a Fitness Session. 753 Appl Environ Microbiol **80**:6611–6619. 754 755 101. Havlicek J, Roberts SC, Flegr J. 2005. Women's preference for dominant male odour: effects of menstrual cycle and relationship status. Biol Lett 1:256–259. 756 757 Saxton TK, Lyndon A, Little AC, Roberts SC. 2008. Evidence that androstadienone, a 758 102. 759 putative human chemosignal, modulates women's attributions of men's attractiveness. 760 Horm Behav **54**:597–601. 761 762 103. Lübke KT, Pause BM. 2015. Always follow your nose: The functional significance of 763 social chemosignals in human reproduction and survival. Horm Behav **68**:134–144. 764 765 104. Smadja C, Butlin R. 2009. On the scent of speciation: the chemosensory system and its 766 role in premating isolation. Heredity **102**:77–97. 767 Linn C, Feder JL, Nojima S, Dambroski HR, Berlocher SH, Roelofs W. 2003. Fruit 768 odor discrimination and sympatric host race formation in *Rhagoletis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci 769 770 U S A **100**:11490–11493. 771 Lee J-E, Hwang G-S, Lee C-H, Hong Y-S. 2009. Metabolomics Reveals Alterations in 772 773 Both Primary and Secondary Metabolites by Wine Bacteria. J Agric Food Chem 774 **57**:10772–10783. 775 776 Beltran-Bech S, Richard FJ. 2014. Impact of infection on mate choice. Anim Behav 777 **90**:159–170. 778 779 108. Werren JH, Baldo L, Clark ME. 2008. Wolbachia: master manipulators of invertebrate 780 biology. Nat Rev Microbiol 6:741–751. 781

782 Kagevama D, Narita S, Watanabe M. 2012. Insect sex determination manipulated by 783 their endosymbionts: Incidences, mechanisms and implications. Insects 3:161–199. 784 785 Zug R, Hammerstein P. 2012. Still a Host of Hosts for Wolbachia: Analysis of Recent Data Suggests That 40% of Terrestrial Arthropod Species Are Infected. PLoS One 786 787 **7**:e38544. 788 Serbus LR, Casper-Lindley C, Landmann F, Sullivan W. 2008. The Genetics and Cell 789 790 Biology of Wolbachia -Host Interactions. Annu Rev Genet 42:683–707. 791 792 Jaenike J, Dyer KA, Cornish C, Minhas MS. 2006. Asymmetrical reinforcement and 793 Wolbachia infection in Drosophila. PLoS Biol 4:1852–1862. 794 795 Vala F, Egas M, Breeuwer JAJ, Sabelis MW. 2004. Wolbachia affects oviposition and 796 mating behaviour of its spider mite host. J Evol Biol 17:692–700. 797 798 Bordenstein SR, Werren JH. 2007. Bidirectional incompatibility among divergent 799 Wolbachia and incompatibility level differences among closely related Wolbachia in Nasonia. Heredity 99:278–287. 800 801 Bordenstein SR, O'Hara FP, Werren JH. 2001. Wolbachia-induced incompatibility 802 803 precedes other hybrid incompatibilities in *Nasonia*. Nature **409**:707–710. 804 805 Telschow A, Yamamura N, Werren JH. 2005. Bidirectional cytoplasmic 806 incompatibility and the stable coexistence of two Wolbachia strains in parapatric host populations. J Theor Biol 235:265–274. 807 808 Chafee ME, Zecher CN, Gourley ML, Schmidt VT, Chen JH, Bordenstein SR, Clark 809 117. 810 ME, Bordenstein SR. 2011. Decoupling of host-symbiont-phage coadaptations following transfer between insect species. Genetics 187:203–215. 811 812 **Telschow A, Hammerstein P, Werren JHJH**. 2005. The effect of *Wolbachia* versus 813 118. genetic incompatibilities on reinforcement and speciation. Evolution **59**:1607–1619. 814 815 816 Hurst GD, Majerus ME, Walker LE. 1993. The importance of cytoplasmic male killing 817 elements in natural populations of the two spot ladybird, Adalia bipunctata (Linnaeus)(Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). Biol J Linn Soc 49:195–202. 818 819

Elnagdy S, Majerus MEN, Handley L-JL. 2011. The value of an egg: resource

820

821 reallocation in ladybirds (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) infected with male-killing bacteria. J 822 Evol Biol 24:2164-2172. 823 Hurst LD, Pomiankowski A. 1991. Causes of sex ratio bias may account for unisexual 824 sterility in hybrids: A new explanation of Haldane's rule and related phenomena. Genetics 825 826 **128**:841–858. 827 Nakanishi K, Hoshino M, Nakai M, Kunimi Y. 2008. Novel RNA sequences associated 828 with late male killing in *Homona magnanima*. Proc Biol Sci **275**:1249–1254. 829 830 831 Jiggins FM, Randerson JP, Hurst GDD, Majerus MEN. 2002. How can sex ratio distorters reach extreme prevalences? Male-killing Wolbachia are not suppressed and have 832 833 near-perfect vertical transmission efficiency in *Acraea encedon*. Evolution **56**:2290–2295. 834 835 124. Gilfillan GD, Dahlsveen IK, Becker PB. 2004. Lifting a chromosome: Dosage compensation in *Drosophila melanogaster*. FEBS Lett **567**:8–14. 836 837 838 Veneti Z, Bentley JK, Koana T, Braig HR, Hurst GDD. 2005. A functional dosage compensation complex required for male killing in *Drosophila*. Science **307**:1461–1463. 839 840 Charlat S, Reuter M, Dyson EA, Hornett EA, Duplouy A, Davies N, Roderick GK, 841 842 Wedell N, Hurst GDD. 2007. Male-Killing Bacteria Trigger a Cycle of Increasing Male Fatigue and Female Promiscuity. Curr Biol 17:273–277. 843 844 845 Randerson JP, Jiggins FM, Hurst LD. 2000. Male killing can select for male mate choice: a novel solution to the paradox of the lek. Proc Biol Sci **267**:867–874. 846 847 **Rigaud T. Moreau J.** 2004. A cost of *Wolbachia*-induced sex reversal and female-biased 848 849 sex ratios: decrease in female fertility after sperm depletion in a terrestrial isopod. Proc Biol Sci **271**:1941–1946. 850 851 852 **Dyson EA, Hurst GDD.** 2004. Persistence of an extreme sex-ratio bias in a natural population. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:6520–6523. 853 854 855 Hiroki M, Tagami Y, Miura K, Kato Y. 2004. Multiple infection with Wolbachia inducing different reproductive manipulations in the butterfly Eurema hecabe. Proc Biol 856 Sci **271**:1751–1755. 857 858 859 131. Narita S, Kageyama D, Nomura M, Fukatsu T. 2007. Unexpected mechanism of

860 symbiont-induced reversal of insect sex: Feminizing Wolbachia continuously acts on the 861 butterfly Eurema hecabe during larval development. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:4332– 4341. 862 863 Negri I, Pellecchia M, Mazzoglio PJ, Patetta A, Alma A. 2006. Feminizing Wolbachia 864 865 in Zyginidia pullula (Insecta, Hemiptera), a leafhopper with an XX/X0 sex-determination system. Proc Biol Sci 273:2409-2416. 866 867 Moreau J, Bertin A, Caubet Y, Rigaud T. 2001. Sexual selection in an isopod with 868 869 Wolbachia-induced sex reversal: Males prefer real females. J Evol Biol 14:388–394. 870 **Rigaud T, Juchault P.** 1992. Genetic control of the vertical transmission of a cytoplasmic 871 872 sex factor in Armadiiidium vulgare Latr. (Crustacea, Oniscidea). Heredity 68:47–52. 873 874 Stouthamer R, Breeuwer JA, Hurst GD. 1999. Wolbachia pipientis: microbial manipulator of arthropod reproduction. Annu Rev Microbiol 53:71–102. 875 876 877 136. **Stouthamer R**. 1997. Wolbachia-induced parthenogenesis. Oxford University Press. 878 879 Arakaki N, Miyoshi T, Noda H. 2001. Wolbachia-mediated parthenogenesis in the 880 predatory thrips Franklinothrips vespiformis (Thysanoptera: Insecta). Proc R Soc B Biol Sci **268**:1011–1016. 881 882 **Dunn AM**, **Hatcher MJ**, **Terry RS**, **Tofts C**. 1995. Evolutionary ecology of vertically 883 transmitted parasites: transovarial transmission of a microsporidian sex ratio distorter in 884 Gammarus duebeni. Parasitology 111:S91. 885 886 Adachi-Hagimori T, Miura K, Stouthamer R. 2008. A new cytogenetic mechanism for 887 bacterial endosymbiont-induced parthenogenesis in Hymenoptera. Proc Biol Sci 888 **275**:2667–2673. 889 890 Bordenstein SR. 2003. Symbiosis and the origin of life., p. 283–304. In Bourtzis, K, 891 Miller, T (eds.), Insect Symbiosis. CRC Press, New York, New York. 892 893 894 Stouthamer R, Luck RF, Hamilton WD. 1990. Antibiotics cause parthenogenetic Trichogramma (Hymenoptera/Trichogrammatidae) to revert to sex. Proc Natl Acad Sci U 895 S A **87**:2424–2427. 896 897 898 142. Gottlieb Y, Zchori-Fein E. 2001. Irreversible thelytokous reproduction in *Muscidifurax*

899 uniraptor. Entomol Exp Appl 100:271–278. 900 901 143. Kraaijeveld K, Franco P, Reumer BM, Alphen JJM Van, Alphen M Van, Jacques J. 902 2009. Effects of parthenogenesis and geographic isolation on female sexual traits in a parasitoid wasp. Evolution 63:3085–3096. 903 904 905 Kremer N, Charif D, Henri H, Bataille M, Prévost G, Kraaijeveld K, Vavre F. 2009. 906 A new case of Wolbachia dependence in the genus Asobara: evidence for parthenogenesis 907 induction in *Asobara japonica*. Heredity **103**:248–256. 908 909 Pijls JWAM, van Steenbergen HJ, van Alphen JJM. 1996. Asexuality cured: the 910 relations and differences between sexual and asexual Apoanagyrus diversicornis. Heredity 911 **76**:506–513. 912 913 Zchori-Fein E, Faktor O, Zeidan M, Gottlieb Y, Czosnek H, Rosen D. 1995. Parthenogenesis-inducing microorganisms in *Aphytis* (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae). Insect 914 915 Mol Biol **4**:173–178. 916 147. Pannebakker BA, Schidlo NS, Boskamp GJF, Dekker L, Van Dooren TJM, 917 918 Beukeboom LW, Zwaan BJ, Brakefield PM, Van Alphen JJM. 2005. Sexual functionality of Leptopilina clavipes (Hymenoptera: Figitidae) after reversing Wolbachia-919 920 induced parthenogenesis. J Evol Biol 18:1019–1028. 921 922 Alivisatos AP, Blaser MJ, Brodie EL, Chun M, Dangl JL, Donohue TJ, Dorrestein 923 PC, Gilbert JA, Green JL, Knight R, Maxon ME, Miller JF, Pollard KS, Ruby EG, 924 **Taha SA**. 2015. Policy Forum A unified initiative to harness Earth's microbiomes. 925 Sciencexpress 10–12. 926 Lewis Z, Heys C, Prescott M, Lize A. 2014. You are what you eat: Gut microbiota 927 928 determines kin recognition in *Drosophila*. Gut Microbes **4**:541–543. 929 930 Argov Y, Gottlieb Y, Amin SS, Zchori FE. 2000. Possible symbiont induced thelytoky 931 in Galeopsomyia fausta, a parasitoid of the citrus leafminer Phyllocnistis citrella. 932 Phytoparasitica **28**:212–218. 933

934

FIGURE LEGENDS

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

Figure 1. Microbe-assisted and microbe-specific signaling. (A) Microbe-assisted processes denote the production of a host signal with input from the microbiome. It occurs in two possible scenarios. On the left, the host and microbial symbionts produce products that interact or combine to form a signaling compound; on the right, microbial symbionts modify host signal expression, but they do not make a specific product directly involved in the signal itself. (B) Microbe-specific processes denote the production of a microbial signal without input from the host. It occurs in two possible scenarios. On the left, the host and microbial symbionts produce products that are both required to elicit a response; on the right, microbial symbionts produce compounds used by the host for signaling. Mouse image source: Wikimedia Commons, Angelus.

Figure 2. Endosymbiont-induced behavioral isolation and extinction. U (blue) and I (pink) represent the uninfected and infected populations, respectively. Horizontal solid arrows represent the direction of gene flow (from males to females) and vertical dashed arrows represent divergence time. Different subscript numbers for U and I represent evolutionary change in traits involved in behavioral extinction and behavioral isolation. Behavioral changes induced by (A) Male Killing (MK) and (B) Feminization (FM) evolve in response to selection on uninfected males to mate preferentially with uninfected females. If male preference is completely penetrant, then total loss of mating between the uninfected and infected population ensues, effectively leading the infected population to extinction since infected females rely on (the now discriminating) uninfected males to reproduce. We term this model "Behavioral Extinction". In contrast, behavioral changes induced by (C) Cytoplasmic Incompatibility (CI) and (D) Parthenogenesis Induction (PI) can result in reduced or no gene flow between the infected and uninfected populations. CI-assisted reproductive isolation can be enhanced by the evolution of mate discrimination and specifically uninfected female mate choice for uninfected males. While this model does not sever gene flow in reciprocal cross directions, asymmetric isolation barriers can act as an initial step in speciation. PI-assisted reproductive isolation is mediated by two possible mechanisms: (i) Sexual Degeneration which involves the degeneration of sexual traits in the infected population that ultimately lock the populations into uninfected sexual and infected parthenogenetic species, and (ii) Relaxed Sexual Selection which involves the evolution of new

sexual characteristics in the uninfected sexual population that prevent mating with the infected parthenogenetic population. *Wolbachia* image source: Tamara Clark, Encyclopedia of Life, *Wolbachia* page.

Traits	Host Species	ges in behavior and barrier Common Name	Symbiont(s)	Behavior or reproductive outcome	References
Host signal modification	Drosophila bifasciata	Fruit fly	Unknown	Assortative mating based on familiarity	(149)
nost signal modification	Drosophila subobscura	Fruit fly	Unknown	Assortative mating based on kinship	(149)
	Drosophila melanogaster	Fruit fly	Lactobacilli plantarum	Assortative mating based on diet	(43, 82)
	Mus musculus	House mouse	Unknown gut bacteria	Species recognition	(85)
	wus muscutus	House mouse	Olikilowii gut bacteria	species recognition	(63)
Bacterial metabolite production	D. melanogaster	Fruit fly	L. brevis , L. plantarum	Assortative mating based on diet	(28)
	Reticulitermes speratus	Termite	Unknown gut bacteria	Exclusion of non-colony members	(51)
	Costelytra zealandica	Grass grub	Unknown bacteria in colleterial glands	Mate attraction	(91)
	Crocuta crocuta	Spotted hyena	Unknown bacteria in anal scent glands	Clan, age, sex, and reproductive status recognition	(64)
	Hyaena hyaena	Striped hyena	Unknown bacteria in anal scent glands	Clan, age, sex, and reproductive status recognition	(64)
	Meles meles	European badger	Unknown bacteria in anal scent glands	Possible mate discrimination	(93)
	Suricata suricatta	Meerkat	Unknown bacteria in anal scent glands	Group, age, and sex recognition	(27)
Odor production	M. musculus	House mouse	Salmonella enterica	Initial avoidance of infected males	(86)
	Homo sapiens	Humans	Unknown	Attractiveness	(101–103)
Cytoplasmic incompatibility	Drosophila paulistorum	Fruit fly	Wolbachia	Assortment within semispecies	(44)
	D. recens & D. subquinaria	Fruit fly	Wolbachia in D. recens	Asymmetric mating isolation	(112)
	D. melanogaster	Fruit fly	Wolbachia	Increased mate discrimination	(45)
	Nasonia giraulti	Parasitoid wasp	Wolbachia	Decreased mate discrimination	(117)
	Tetranychus urticae	Two-spotted spider mite	Wolbachia	Uninfected females prefer uninfected males	(113)
Male killing	Armadallidium vulgare	Pillbug	Wolbachia	Reduce sperm count and female fertility	(128)
	D. melanogaster	Fruit fly	Spiroplasma poulsonii	Evolved suppressors to prevent male killing	(125)
	Acraea encedon	Common Acraea butterfly	Wolbachia	Male mate-choice	(127)
	A.encedon	Common Acraea butterfly	Wolbachia	Populations with high infection rates are not discriminatory	(123)
	Hypolimnas bolina	Great eggfly butterfly	Wolbachia	Reduced female fertility	(126, 129)
	H. boling	Great eggfly butterfly	Wolbachia	Evolved suppressor gene to prevent male killing	(25)
Feminization	A. vulgare	Pillbug	Wolbachia	Males reproductively female but masculine males prefer true females	(133)
	Eurema hecabe	Grass yellow butterfly	Wolbachia	Males reproductively female	(130, 131)
	Zyginidia pullula	Leafhopper	Wolbachia	Males reproductively female	(132)
Parthenogenesis	Apoanagyrus diversicornis	Mealybug parasite	Wolbachia	Females less attractive to males	(145)
	Asobara japonica	Parasitoid wasp	Wolbachia	Females less attractive to males	(144)
	Leptopilina clavipes	Parasitoid wasp	Wolbachia	Reduction in male and female sexual traits and fertility	(143, 147)
	Muscidifurax uniraptor	Parasitoid wasp	Wolbachia	Reduction in sexual traits	(142)
	Neochrysocharis Formosa	Parasitoid wasp	Wolbachia	Female biased sex ratio	(139)
	Galeopsomyia fausta	Parasitoid wasp	Unknown	Females not receptive	(150)
	Franklinothrips vespiformis	Thrips	Wolbachia	Male sperm presumably do not fertilize female eggs	(137)



