Prediction of glyphosate resistance level based on \textit{EPSPS} gene copy number in \textit{Kochia scoparia}
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Abstract

Glyphosate-resistant (GR) *Kochia scoparia* has evolved in dryland chemical fallow systems throughout North America and the mechanism involves 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase (*EPSPS*) gene duplication. Sugarbeet fields in four states were surveyed for *K. scoparia* in 2013 and tested for glyphosate-resistance level and *EPSPS* gene copy number. Glyphosate resistance was confirmed in *K. scoparia* populations collected from sugarbeet fields in Colorado, Wyoming, and Nebraska. The GR samples all had increased *EPSPS* gene copy number, with median population values up to 11. An empirical model was developed to estimate the level of glyphosate-resistance in *K. scoparia* based on *EPSPS* gene copy number. The results suggested that glyphosate susceptibility can be accurately diagnosed using *EPSPS* gene copy number, and further increases in *EPSPS* gene copy number could increase resistance levels up to 8-fold relative to susceptible *K. scoparia*. These trends suggest that continued glyphosate selection pressure is selecting for higher *EPSPS* copy number and higher resistance levels in *K. scoparia*. By including multiple *K. scoparia* samples lacking *EPSPS* gene duplication, our empirical model provides a more realistic estimate of fold-resistance due to *EPSPS* gene copy number compared to methods that do not account for normal variation of herbicide response in susceptible biotypes.
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Introduction

Adoption of glyphosate-resistant (GR) sugarbeet systems can result in improved weed control and reduced sugarbeet injury compared to conventional sugarbeet systems [1]. Glyphosate can provide weed control similar to or greater than conventional weed control programs consisting of three applications of desmedipham, phenmedipham, triflusulfuron, and clopyralid [2]. Prior to commercial introduction, net economic return was predicted to be significantly greater for GR sugarbeet systems compared to conventional sugarbeet due to reduced crop injury and better weed control [1]. GR sugarbeets were commercially introduced in 2007. By 2009, more than 85% of US sugarbeet hectares were seeded with GR cultivars, with remaining areas seeded with conventional cultivars that had resistance to specific pests or diseases that were not commercially available with the GR trait [3]. Sugarbeet growers have significantly reduced tillage and increased net economic return since adoption of GR sugarbeet [4].

*Kochia scoparia* is a competitive weed that can cause substantial yield loss, and is particularly a problem weed in sugarbeet [5, 6]. *Kochia scoparia* is a C4 summer annual broadleaf weed that can germinate and emerge early in the growing season and is tolerant to heat, drought, and saline conditions [5]. *Kochia scoparia* has protogynous flowers in which the stigmas usually emerge one week before pollen is shed and are receptive to foreign pollen which can promote outcrossing between plants in close proximity [7]. It also produces copious amounts of pollen for extended periods of time, which is generally an indication that the species is naturally highly outcrossing [5]. *Kochia scoparia* stem breakage at the soil surface during senescence allows for a tumbling seed dispersal mechanism that can contribute to high rates of spread in the western US [8]. In Wyoming, *K. scoparia* densities as low as 0.2 plants m\(^{-1}\) of crop
row reduced sugar beet root yield by 18% [9]. The outcrossing nature of *K. scoparia* combined with prolific seed production results in genetically diverse populations that facilitate the evolution of herbicide-resistance mechanisms [10].

GR *K. scoparia* was first identified in Kansas [11] and has now been identified in multiple Great Plains States including Colorado, South Dakota, North Dakota [12], Montana [13], Nebraska [14], and in the Canadian provinces of Alberta [15] and Saskatchewan and Manitoba [16]. Most of the reported GR *K. scoparia* populations appear to have evolved in reduced- or no-till chemical fallow systems [17], where glyphosate is used as the primary weed control practice during fallow periods. Glyphosate resistance in *K. scoparia* has been shown to be due to gene duplication in which resistant plants contain 3 to 10 times more functional copies of the gene encoding 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase (*EPSPS*) [12]. These extra gene copies result in overproduction of the EPSPS enzyme, which is the target enzyme inhibited by glyphosate. High-coverage sequencing analysis of *EPSPS* transcripts from glyphosate-resistant *K. scoparia* revealed the absence of any known resistance-conferring non-synonymous mutations [12], further demonstrating that increased EPSPS protein quantity due to *EPSPS* gene duplication and increased transcription confers glyphosate-resistance in *K. scoparia*. Increased *EPSPS* gene copy number and expression has been shown to be a mechanism for glyphosate-resistance in *K. scoparia* collected from Kansas [18]; Colorado, North Dakota, and South Dakota [12]; and Montana [19]. Detection of *EPSPS* genes on distal ends of homologous chromosomes suggests that increase in *EPSPS* gene copies in GR *K. scoparia* occurred as a result of unequal crossover during meiosis resulting in tandem gene duplication [20]. The extra *EPSPS* copies are stably inherited in *K. scoparia*, consistent with the cytogenetic observation that the extra *EPSPS* copies are located at a single locus [20]. Analysis of *EPSPS* gene copy number and resistance
level in *K. scoparia* populations from Kansas suggest that there has been a progressive increase in *EPSPS* gene copies and level of glyphosate resistance over time from 2007 to 2012 [20]. In two GR *K. scoparia* populations from Kansas, *EPSPS* gene copy number was correlated to resistance level, such that within a resistant *K. scoparia* population, individuals with higher *EPSPS* copy number displayed less injury symptoms compared to individuals with lower *EPSPS* copy number [18].

Widespread adoption of GR sugarbeet systems in the US has resulted in significant glyphosate selection pressure, and increasingly sugarbeet growers are reporting reduced *K. scoparia* control with glyphosate. Therefore, the objectives of this study were to a) confirm whether glyphosate resistance was present in *K. scoparia* collected from sugarbeet fields; b) determine whether GR *K. scoparia* from sugarbeet fields has the same mechanism of resistance (increased *EPSPS* gene copy number) as previously identified in dryland fallow systems; c) quantify the effect of *EPSPS* copy number on whole-plant response to glyphosate across numerous (30) *K. scoparia* populations collected from sugarbeet fields from Colorado, Wyoming, Nebraska, and Montana; and d) develop a model which can be used to estimate the level of glyphosate resistance in *K. scoparia* based on *EPSPS* gene copy number without the need for time consuming greenhouse bioassays.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant Material**

In the autumn of 2013, 65 sugarbeet fields from Colorado, Wyoming, Nebraska, and Montana were surveyed by Western Sugar Cooperative agricultural staff for surviving *K. scoparia* plants. Seed was collected from maturing *K. scoparia* plants within the sugarbeet fields,
or in some cases, along field margins. GPS coordinates were recorded for each sampling site.

Seed was stripped by hand from multiple branches of each *K. scoparia* plant and placed in a plastic bag. Each seed sample (accession) represented one to five individuals, and were therefore not necessarily representative of the entire *K. scoparia* population from a given field. This survey was biased for surviving *K. scoparia* plants from fields where glyphosate was used. Seed from each site was sent to the Panhandle Research & Extension Center in Scottsbluff, Nebraska for whole-plant dose response bioassay. Once received, all accessions were air dried and cleaned before use in the dose response studies.

**Greenhouse bioassay**

Each *K. scoparia* accession was screened for susceptibility to glyphosate. Approximately 15 to 20 seeds were planted in 10 cm × 10 cm plastic pots filled with a 50:50 by weight mixture of field soil and commercial potting mix. After planting, pots were placed in a greenhouse where air temperature was maintained at 27°C and pots were watered several times per day with an automated sprinkler system. *Kochia scoparia* emerged approximately three days after planting, and the pots were thinned to three plants per pot shortly after emergence.

When *K. scoparia* averaged 10 cm in height, each accession was treated with five rates of glyphosate (Roundup PowerMAX, Monsanto Company) with ammonium sulfate (2% w/v) and nonionic surfactant (0.25% v/v). A nontreated control was also included for each accession so that glyphosate was applied at 0, 435, 870, 1740, and 3480, and 5050 g ae ha⁻¹. Each glyphosate rate by accession interaction was replicated six times for a total of 36 pots per accession, with three individual plants in each pot. A total of 65 *K. scoparia* accessions were included in the greenhouse bioassay. Herbicide treatments were applied in a CO₂-pressurized moving-nozzle spray chamber calibrated to deliver 224 L ha⁻¹ spray solution.
Kochia scoparia injury in each pot was evaluated visually 14 days after treatment on a scale from 0 to 100 where 0 represented no injury and 100 represented death of all plants in the pot. For analysis, injury evaluations were converted to a binomial response of alive (<99% injury) or dead (≥99% injury). A two-parameter log-logistic model (Equation 1) appropriate for binomial data (alive vs dead) was used to estimate the glyphosate dose causing 50% mortality (LD$_{50}$) for each kochia accession. The log-logistic model is of the form:

\[
Y = \frac{1}{1 + (e^{b(\log(x)-\log(LD_{50}))})}
\]

Where $Y$ is the probability of survival; $x$ is the glyphosate dose in g ae ha$^{-1}$; $LD_{50}$ is the dose required to cause 50% mortality; and $b$ is the slope of the curve at the LD$_{50}$.

**EPSPS gene copy number assay**

Based on the results of the greenhouse bioassay, a sub-set of 40 K. scoparia accessions exhibiting a range of whole-plant resistance levels were assayed for EPSPS copy number. To determine EPSPS copy number in these K. scoparia accessions, genomic DNA was extracted from individual plants using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen). Young leaf tissue (100 mg) was sampled from 6-12 plants for each accession when the plants reached 7-10 cm in height. Samples were disrupted in 2 mL tubes using the TissueLyser II (Qiagen). The extraction proceeded using the standard DNeasy Plant Mini Kit protocol. Genomic DNA was eluted in 50 µL of 37 C HPLC water. The concentration and quality of the gDNA were determined using a NanoDrop 1000.

EPSPS copy number was estimated using quantitative PCR (qPCR) on the genomic DNA with previously reported primers [12]. Acetolactate synthase (ALS) was used as a normalization gene because ALS copy number has been shown to not vary among K. scoparia individuals [12]. Each reaction contained 12.5 µL of PerfeCTa SYBR® green Super Mix (Quanta Biosciences), 1
µL of the forward and reverse primers [10 µM final concentration], and 5 ng gDNA in a total
volume of 25 µL. A BioRad CFX Connect Real-Time System was used for all qPCR. The
temperature profile was as follows: 3 min at 95 C followed by 40 rounds of 95 C for 30 sec, 60 C
for 30 sec, and 72 C for 30 sec, with a fluorescence reading taken after each round. A melt curve
from 65-95 C in 0.5 C increments was performed with a fluorescence reading after each
increment to determine the number of PCR products formed in each reaction. Only single PCR
products were observed in melt-curve analysis from *EPSPS* and *ALS* primers as expected in all
samples, indicating PCR amplification occurred for only the intended genes. The cycle was
recorded for each sample at which the fluorescence reading crossed a threshold (C_t) indicating
exponential increase, and relative *EPSPS* gene copy number was calculated using the
comparative C_t method [21] as 2^{ΔC_t} (ΔC_t = C_t^{ALS} - C_t^{EPSPS}) [12]. For each *K. scoparia* accession, 6
to 12 samples were measured for relative *EPSPS* copy number, and the mean, standard error of
the mean, and median *EPSPS* copy number were calculated for each accession.

**Effect of *EPSPS* copy number on whole-plant response**

A subset of 30 *K. scoparia* accessions were used to quantify the effect of *EPSPS* copy
number on whole-plant response to glyphosate. Of the 40 *K. scoparia* accessions analyzed for
*EPSPS* copy number, 10 accessions were removed from this analysis due to large standard errors
around the LD50 estimate from the greenhouse bioassay (S1 Fig). For readers interested in the
impact of this decision on the model, results with and without these 10 accessions have been
provided (S2 and S3 Figs). The LD50 from the greenhouse bioassay was regressed against the
median *EPSPS* copy number for each accession. Because the dose response study was conducted
at the accession level (that is, multiple individuals from each accession were used as
experimental units), the median *EPSPS* value was used to quantify the relationship between gene
copy number and whole-plant resistance. The mean copy number could be skewed significantly
by a few (or even one) high copy number individuals within a population, and therefore,
overestimate the number of high copy number plants for an accession.

We did not know a priori which type of regression model was appropriate to quantify the
relationship between \textit{EPSPS} gene copy number and whole-plant glyphosate resistance. Based on
a preliminary visual evaluation, three different models were fit to the data; a three-parameter
rectangular hyperbolic model, a two-parameter rectangular hyperbolic model, and a simple linear
model. The three-parameter model was of the form:

\begin{equation}
Y = L + \left(\frac{R_{\text{max}} - L}{1 + K/X}\right)
\end{equation}

Where \(Y\) is the LD\(_{50}\) from the greenhouse bioassay; \(X\) is the \textit{EPSPS} copy number; \(R_{\text{max}}\) is an
upper asymptote, or the maximum theoretical level of resistance at very high values of \(X\); \(L\) is the
estimated LD\(_{50}\) when \(X=0\); and \(K\) is the value of \(X\) that results in \(Y\) halfway between \(L\) and \(R_{\text{max}}\).
The two-parameter nonlinear model was the same, but with \(L=0\); setting \(L=0\) reduced the
equation to the Michaelis-Menten model. The linear model used was a simple linear regression.
After all three models were fit to the data, Akaike information criterion (AIC) was used to
determine which model provided the best fit to the data.

\section*{Results}

\subsection*{Greenhouse bioassay}

Of the 65 \textit{K. scoparia} accessesions included in the bioassay, 12 had large standard errors
associated with the LD\(_{50}\) estimates. The LD\(_{50}\) results with and without these accessions are
provided in S1 Fig. Estimated LD\(_{50}\) values ranged from less than 500 to nearly 4000 g ae ha\(^{-1}\)
(Fig 1A). A majority of \textit{K. scoparia} accessions showed a susceptible response (median LD\(_{50}\) for
all accessions tested was 906 g ae ha$^{-1}$), even though this survey was biased toward *K. scoparia* plants that were likely to have survived glyphosate application(s). Although the median LD$_{50}$ of 906 g ae ha$^{-1}$ is greater than the standard field use rate of 840 g ae ha$^{-1}$, this is not an indication of a high level of resistance. Previous studies have shown that up to 53-fold more glyphosate is required to control the same *Chenopodium album* biotype in the greenhouse compared to the field [22]. Conversely, greater glyphosate efficacy has been observed in an outdoor environment compared to greenhouse conditions in *K. scoparia*, although both indoor and outdoor environments used artificial growth media rather than field soil [18]. Soil microorganisms present in field soil (but presumably absent from commercial potting media) have been shown to influence glyphosate efficacy in dose response studies [23](Schafer et al. 2012). The LD$_{50}$ (and resulting R:S ratio) from greenhouse and field studies have been recently shown to vary in response to a variety of environmental factors when screening GR *K. scoparia* [24]. Because the LD$_{50}$ can be influenced by a variety of factors, the LD$_{50}$ values in our study (or any greenhouse study) are not an absolute indicator of resistance, but rather a relative measure used to compare accessions within the study for glyphosate response.

**EPSPS gene copy number**

Mean *EPSPS* copy numbers in the 30 accessions assessed for effect of *EPSPS* copy number on whole-plant response ranged from 0.7 to 10.2 (Fig 1B), and *K. scoparia* accessions with increased *EPSPS* copy number were identified in Wyoming, Nebraska, and Colorado (Fig 2). Since the accessions were still presumed to be segregating for the GR trait, the median *EPSPS* copy number may provide a more accurate estimate of gene copy level as it relates to resistance level for the accession. Median *EPSPS* copy numbers ranged from 0.7 to 11.3 depending on *K. scoparia* accession. The median *EPSPS* copy number was less than the mean for nearly all
accessions where a notable difference was present, indicating that a few individual plants had
much higher \textit{EPSPS} copy numbers compared to the majority of plants within that accession.

\textbf{Effect of \textit{EPSPS} copy number on whole-plant response}

A notable increase in LD$_{50}$ was apparent for accessions with median \textit{EPSPS} value of >2.5
(Figs 1A and 1B). Based on AIC, the 2 parameter Michaelis-Menten model fit was chosen as the
best model (of those tested) to describe the relationship between resistance level and \textit{EPSPS} gene
copy number. This suggests there is a ‘plateau’ with respect to resistance level; that is, additional
gene copies provide limited increase in resistance level once a certain threshold has been
reached. To ensure that the ‘trimmed’ data set did not have a major impact on the empirical
relationship, the same models were fit to the full data set of 40 accessions, which included LD$_{50}$
estimates with high standard errors. The results were similar (S3 Fig).

$R_{max}$, or the theoretical maximum LD$_{50}$ when the number of \textit{EPSPS} gene copies is very
large, was 7486 g ae ha$^{-1}$ (Fig 3). The $R_{max}$ parameter, as an estimate of LD$_{50}$, is not very useful
in absolute terms. The LD$_{50}$ value depends heavily on the environmental conditions during the
bioassay [18, 25], and can vary significantly from one experimental run to the next even when
using the same genotypes and experimental design. However, the LD$_{50}$ ratio between resistant
and susceptible biotypes tends to remain relatively more stable than other responses such as GR$_{50}$
calculated from dry weight [26]. A standardized estimate of resistance level can therefore be
calculated by dividing the $R_{max}$ parameter by the model estimate of LD$_{50}$ for a plant with a single
\textit{EPSPS} gene. Practically speaking, this gives an estimate of the “fold” resistance expected due to
increased \textit{EPSPS} gene copies. The estimated LD$_{50}$ for a \textit{K. scoparia} individual with a single
copy of the \textit{EPSPS} gene in our study was 897 g ae ha$^{-1}$. Our analysis suggests that increasing
EPSPS gene copies could potentially increase glyphosate resistance level by a maximum of about 8.3-fold (7486 / 897).

The estimated maximum level of glyphosate resistance seems to be in line with previous work on glyphosate resistance, which report 4- to 11-fold resistance in glyphosate-resistant K. scoparia accessions compared to a single susceptible accession [13, 15, 18]. A generalized version of our model (Equation 3) can be used to estimate the level of resistance in K. scoparia based on EPSPS gene copy number:

\[ R = \frac{8.3}{1 + \frac{7.3}{C_{\text{median}}}} \]

where \( R \) is the R:S ratio (or the \( n \)-fold resistance level), and \( C_{\text{median}} \) is the median EPSPS:ALS ratio (or number of EPSPS gene copies) in the resistant biotype. If validated independently, this equation could prove useful as it will allow estimation of field resistance level without the need for time-consuming greenhouse bioassays. In laboratories equipped to do this type of work, the EPSPS gene copy number can be estimated within a week, whereas a greenhouse bioassay using whole plants can take months, and require either transplanting or growing plants from seed and substantial greenhouse space. Once the EPSPS gene copy number is quantified, the level of field resistance could be estimated simply by using Equation 3.

Discussion

GR K. scoparia populations from sugarbeet fields exhibit EPSPS gene duplication similar to what is observed in K. scoparia from dryland fallow systems. Gene duplication in the tested GR samples has not risen to levels higher than 12 additional copies. Determining the EPSPS copy number is a valuable assay for diagnosing glyphosate resistance in K. scoparia. If a sample
has increased \textit{EPSPS} copy number, our results suggest that the sample is GR (Figs 1 and 3). If a sample does not have increased \textit{EPSPS} copy number, it is glyphosate-susceptible.

Our overall survey results indicate that \textit{EPSPS} copy number in GR \textit{K. scoparia} from sugarbeet fields is generally within the previously observed range of 3-10. \textit{Kochia scoparia} accessions with \textit{EPSPS} copies <3 did not exhibit a field level of glyphosate resistance. An \textit{EPSPS} copy number of 3 would provide approximately 2.4-fold level of glyphosate resistance based on Equation 3, which may be difficult to observe under field conditions. Some accessions had LD$_{50}$ estimates with high standard errors and these were excluded from the analysis. We interpret this as being due to experimental variation in the greenhouse bioassay, and partially due to heterogeneity within the accessions for \textit{EPSPS} copy number. This variance in LD$_{50}$ estimate is not due to somatic instability or loss of \textit{EPSPS} gene duplication in \textit{K. scoparia}, as the inheritance of \textit{EPSPS} gene duplication has been previously shown to be stable [20]. Our analysis here is somewhat limited, since our highest median \textit{EPSPS} copy number for any accession included in the model was 8. Additional \textit{K. scoparia} accessions from eastern Colorado and Alberta, Canada with \textit{EPSPS} copy numbers from 15 to 20 have been recently identified [27]. These populations appear to be highly resistant to glyphosate. Our hypothesis regarding maximum resistance level from this mechanism should be tested empirically with \textit{K. scoparia} populations with higher \textit{EPSPS} copy numbers.

These trends suggest that continued glyphosate selection pressure is selecting for higher \textit{EPSPS} copy number, higher resistance levels, and multiple herbicide resistance in \textit{K. scoparia}. Recently a \textit{K. scoparia} population from Kansas has been confirmed to be resistant to four herbicide modes of action (PSII, ALS, glyphosate, and synthetic auxins) [10]. Known glyphosate resistance mechanisms exceed those reported for any other herbicide and include target-site
mutations, target-site gene duplications, active vacuole sequestration, limited cellular uptake, and rapid necrosis response [28]. Proper stewardship of glyphosate is critical, including use of other herbicide modes of action, cultural and mechanical control practices, and preventing seed set on surviving _K. scoparia_.

Our approach is different from many previous calculations of R:S ratio since we included many _K. scoparia_ accessions that exhibited a susceptible response. Typically, R:S ratios are calculated using one or two ‘known susceptible’ biotype(s). There is little agreement in the weed science literature on what should be used as a susceptible biotype for resistance confirmation studies [29], and whole-plant response to glyphosate can vary widely among susceptible accessions [30]. Higher _EPSPS_ gene copy number increased the estimated probability of survival in GR _Amaranthus palmeri_, when an empirical model fit to an F2 population segregating for _EPSPS_ gene copy estimated that 53 _EPSPS_ gene copies provided a 95% probability of surviving a high dose of 2000 g ae ha⁻¹ glyphosate [31]. We used a modeling approach to estimate the level of resistance expected for a single _EPSPS_ copy individual, and therefore, can estimate resistance directly attributable to the resistance mechanism. This method of calculating the R:S ratio should be less affected by variability in phenotypic response as a result of different genetic backgrounds. In our study, glyphosate susceptible _K. scoparia_ (median _EPSPS_ copies ≤1.5) exhibited glyphosate LD₅₀ ranging from 202 to 1225 g ae ha⁻¹, indicating glyphosate sensitivity can vary widely among accessions not expressing the resistance mechanism. Similar levels of variability would be expected among plants with multiple _EPSPS_ copies. If a single _K. scoparia_ accession were used as our ‘known susceptible’ biotype, the R:S ratio of our most resistant accession (LD₅₀ = 3895) could range from 3 to 19. Using our empirical model, we provide an
estimate of the level of glyphosate resistance that is attributable to the resistance mechanism and
is less affected by variability contributed by other, unrelated genetic factors.
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Figure Legends

Fig 1. Glyphosate resistance level (A) and EPSPS gene copy numbers (B) for 30 *K. scoparia* accessions. Filled circles represent mean values for each accession; bars represent standard error of the mean; open circles represent median EPSPS gene copy number for each accession.
Fig 2. Collection locations of 40 *K. scoparia* accessions for which *EPSPS* copy numbers were quantified.
Fig 3. Glyphosate resistance level as influenced by \textit{EPSPS} gene copy numbers in \textit{K. scoparia}. Dotted line represents the fitted model for the 2 parameter Michaelis-Menten (Equation 1). Shaded blue area represents the 95\% prediction interval around the fitted line. Filled circles represent \textit{K. scoparia} accessions that were used in the model-fitting (N=30), while open circles represent accessions that were not used in the model fitting procedure (N=10) due to \textit{LD}_{50} estimates having large standard errors.
Supporting Information

S1 Fig. Greenhouse bioassay results for all *K. scoparia* collections. A) Glyphosate LD$_{50}$ estimates for the 65 *K. scoparia* accessions included in the bioassay, and B) results for 53 *K. scoparia* accessions after 12 were removed from the analysis due to large standard errors associated with the LD$_{50}$ estimates.

S2 Fig. Glyphosate resistance level (A) and *EPSPS* gene copy numbers (B) for all 40 *K. scoparia* accessions measured for *EPSPS* gene copy number. Filled circles represent mean values for each accession; bars represent standard error of the mean; open circles represent median *EPSPS* gene copy number for each accession.

S3 Fig. Glyphosate resistance level as influenced by *EPSPS* gene copy number in *Kochia scoparia*. Black dashed line represents the fitted model when only filled circles were used in the fitting procedure. Open circles represent *K. scoparia* accessions that were excluded from the model-fitting due to LD$_{50}$ estimates having large standard errors. The blue dotted line and equation represent the fitted model if these points were included in the model fitting procedure.