Gaze-stabilizing central vestibular neurons project asymmetrically to extraocular motoneuron pools
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Within reflex circuits, specific anatomical projections allow central neurons to relay sensations to effectors that generate compensatory movements. A major challenge is to relate anatomical features of central neural populations — such as asymmetric connectivity — to the computations the populations perform. To address this problem, we mapped the anatomy, modeled the function, and discovered a new behavioral role for a genetically-defined population of central vestibular neurons in larval zebrafish. First, we found that neurons within this central population project preferentially to motoneurons that move the eyes downward. Concordantly, when the entire population of asymmetrically-projecting neurons was stimulated collectively, only downward eye rotations were observed, demonstrating a functional correlate of the anatomical bias. When these neurons are ablated, fish failed to display the eye rotations normally observed following nose-up or nose-down body tilts. This asymmetrically-projecting central population thus participates in both up and downward gaze stabilization. In addition to projecting to motoneurons, central vestibular neurons also receive direct sensory input from peripheral afferents. To infer whether asymmetric projections can facilitate sensory encoding or motor output, we modeled differentially-projecting sets of central vestibular neurons. Whereas motor command strength was independent of projection allocation, asymmetric projections enabled more accurate representation of nose-up stimuli. The model shows how asymmetric connectivity could enhance the representation of imbalance during nose-up postures while preserving gaze-stabilization performance. Finally, we discovered through modeling that such projection patterns can enhance the encoding of nose-up sensations without compromising gaze stabilization. Finally we found that these interneurons are also responsible for a vital behavior that relies on maintaining a nose-up posture. These observations suggest that anatomical specialization permits neural circuits to represent relevant features of the environment without compromising behavior.

Introduction

Neural circuits utilize populations of interneurons to relay sensation to downstream effectors that in turn generate behavior. The anatomical composition of interneuron populations has provided insight into its function. For example, interneuron populations are often organized into maps composed of non-uniformly sized sets of neurons similarly sensitive to particular features (Kaas, 1997). Such visual topography in the thalamus (Connolly and Essen, 1984) and cortex (Daniel and Whitteridge, 1961) magnifies the input from the central visual field. This magnification is thought to underlie enhanced perceptual acuity (Duncan and Boynton, 2003). Preferential anatomical organization is thought to facilitate adaptive olfactory (Hansson and Stensmyr, 2011), visual (Barlow, 1981; Xu et al., 2006), somatosensory (Adrian, 1941; Catania and Remple, 2002), and auditory (Knudsen et al., 1987; Bendor and Wang, 2006) computations. However, little is known about how these anatomical asymmetries within populations of sensory interneurons determine the activity of their target motor effectors. Motor anatomy shares a similar uneven organization (Kuypers, 2011), but the complex spatiotemporal encoding of muscle synergies have made comparable dissection of motor circuits more challenging (Levine et al., 2012; Shenoy et al., 2013; Harrison and Murphy, 2014). Even where descending cortical (Lemon, 2008) or brainstem (Esposito et al., 2014) neurons synapse directly on motoneurons, the complexity of most behaviors make it difficult to relate anatomy to function. Data relating the anatomical projections of interneuron pop-
ulations to their function is needed to address this problem. By virtue of their defined connectivity, interneurons within central reflex circuits offer the opportunity to explore the relationship between population-level anatomical properties and function in a simpler framework. Vestibular interneurons, an ancient and highly conserved population, transform body/head destabilization into commands for compensatory behaviors such as posture and gaze stabilization (Szentágothai, 1964; Straka and Baker, 2013; Straka et al., 2014). Gaze-stabilizing vestibular brainstem neurons receive innervation from peripheral balanceafferents (Uchino et al., 2001) and use highly stereotyped axonal projections to particular extraocular motoneuron targets to produce directionally-specific compensation (Iwamoto et al., 1990b; McCrea et al., 1987; Uchino et al., 1982). One anatomical and physiological characterization of up/down-sensitive vestibular neurons in the cat suggested a potential 3:1 bias towards neurons responsible for downward eye movements (Iwamoto et al., 1990a) However, absent molecular-level control, it is difficult to avoid selection bias in characterizing a population of neurons. Further, as selective activation has been impossible, whether there are functional correlates of putative anatomical specialization remains unknown.

To study the relationship between the anatomical specializations of interneuron populations and their functions, we investigated a genetically-defined population of vestibular brainstem neurons in a model vertebrate, the larval zebrafish. Larval zebrafish face well-defined challenges that necessitate control of body orientation in the vertical/pitch axis (i.e. nose-up/nose-down). First, larval zebrafish rely on vestibular sensation to guide upward swimming to the water’s surface to gulp air and inflate their swim bladders, a vital organ necessary to maintain buoyancy (Goolish and Okutake, 1999; Riley and Moorman, 2000). Further, young zebrafish are front-heavy, and thus subject to near-constant head-down angular acceleration. In response, fish actively maintain a nose-up posture, learning to sense and correct destabilizing downward rotations by initiating nose-up swim bouts (Ehrlich and Schoppik, 2017). Larval zebrafish utilize vestibular brainstem neurons to stabilize gaze by performing torsional and vertical eye movements (Bianco et al., 2012). These same neurons project to nuclei responsible for movement initiation and pitch tilts (Pavlova and Deliagina, 2002; Severi et al., 2014; Thiele et al., 2014; Wang and McLean, 2014). We leveraged known properties of the gaze-stabilization circuit to relate the anatomy of a genetically-defined population of vestibular brainstem neurons and their function. Our study reports three major findings. First, we discovered that central vestibular neurons within the population project preferentially to extraocular motoneurons that move the eyes down. Ablation of these neurons eliminates counterrotation of the eyes following body tilts, establishing a role in gaze-stabilization. Second, modeling revealed that asymmetrically projecting neurons could enhance the capacity to represent nose-up stimuli without compromising gaze-stabilization. Third, we discovered that ablation of these interneurons leaves fish unable to inflate their swim bladders. Taken together, our data suggest that the anatomical specialization we observe permits sensory specialization while maintaining reflexive capabilities.

Methods

Fish Care

All protocols and procedures involving zebrafish were approved by the Harvard University Faculty of Arts & Sciences Standing Committee on the Use of Animals in Research and Teaching (IACUC). All larvae were raised at 28.5°C, on a standard 14/10 hour light/dark cycle at a density of no more than 20-50 fish in 25-40mL of buffered E3 (1mM HEPES added). When possible, experiments were done on the mtfla/- background to remove pigment; alternatively, 0.003% phenylthiourea was added to the medium from 24hpf onwards and changed daily.

 Behavior

Torsional eye movements were measured following step tilts delivered using an apparatus similar in design to (Bianco et al., 2012). All experiments took place in the dark. Larval fish were immobilized completely in 2% low-melting temperature agar (Thermo Fisher 16520), and the left eye was fixed. The agar was then pinned (0.1mm stainless minitunin pins, FST) to a 5mm² piece of Sylgard 184 (Dow Corning) which was itself pinned to Sylgard 184 at the bottom of a 10mm2 optical glass cuvette (Azotta, via Amazon). The cuvette was filled with 1mL of E3 and placed in a custom holder on a 5-axis (X,Y,Z,pitch,roll) manipulator (ThorLabs MT3 and GN2). The fish was aligned with the optical axes of two orthogonally placed cameras such that both the left utricle and two eyes were level with the horizon (front camera).

The eye-monitoring camera (Guppy Pro 2 F-031, Allied Vision Technologies) used a 5x objective (Olympus MPLN, 0.1 NA) and custom image-forming optics to create a 1x0 x100 pixel image of the left eye of the fish (6 m/pixel), acquired at 200Hz. The image was processed on-line by custom pattern matching software to derive an estimate of torsional angle (LabView, National Instruments), and data were analyzed using custom MATLAB scripts (Mathworks, Natick MA). A stepper motor (Oriental Motors AR98MA-N5-3) was used to rotate the platform holding the cameras and fish. The platform velocity and acceleration was measured using integrated circuits (IDG500, Invensense and ADXL335, Analog Devices) mounted together on a breakout board (Sparkfun SEN-09268). Fish were rotated stepwise for 10 cycles: from 0° to -60°, where positive values are nose-down, then from -60° to 60°, and then back to 0° in 10° increments, with a peak velocity of 35°/sec. The inter-step interval was 5 seconds, and the direction of rotation was then reversed for the next sequence of steps.

The eye’s response across the experiment was first centered to remove any offset introduced by the pattern-matching algorithm. Data were then interpolated with a cubic spline interpolation to correct for occasional transient slowdowns (i.e. missed frames) introduced by the pattern-matching algorithm. The eye’s velocity was estimated by differentiating the position trace; high-frequency noise was minimized using a 4-pole low-pass Butterworth filter (cutoff = 3Hz). Each step response was evaluated manually; trials with rapid deviations in eye position indicative of horizontal saccades or
gross failure of the pattern-matching algorithm were excluded from analysis. The response to each step for a given fish was defined as the mean across all responses to that step across cycles. The gain was estimated by measuring the peak eye velocity occurring over the period 375-1000 ms after the start of the step. The steady-state response was estimated by measuring the mean eye position over the final 2 sec of the step; the range was the difference between the most eccentric nose-up and nose-down steady-state angles.

Gain was evaluated over the range from +30° to -30°, i.e. the first three steps away from the horizontal meridian. We chose this interval for three reasons: 1) Fish spend the overwhelming majority of their time with a body orientation in this range (Ehrlich and Schoppik, 2017) 2) The responses here were the strongest, allowing us confidence in the dynamic capacity of the system without encountering the biophysical limits imposed by orbital structure 3) Because the utricle conveys information both about static and dynamic changes in orientation, the eyes adopt an increasingly eccentric rotation as the stimulus progresses, potentially constraining dynamic range.

Transgenic Lines

Tg(-6.7FRhcrTRgal4VP16);-6.7FRhcrTR was amplified using the a nested PCR strategy. First, a 6775 bp DNA fragment immediately upstream of the Fugu rubripes hcrtr2 start site was amplified from genomic DNA, using a high-fidelity polymerase (PfuUltra II Fusion, Stratagene) with primers 5'-AATCCAAATTCCCAGTGACG-3' and 5'-CCAGAATACTCAGGCAAACAAA-3' , 56°C annealing temperature, 1:45 elongation time. The PCR product was TOPO cloned into a TA vector (Thermo Fisher). Using the resulting plasmid as a template, a 6732 bp fragment was amplified using primers 5'-AATCCAAATTCCCAGTGACG-3' and 5'-CCAGAATACTCAGGCAAACAAA-3' 5° C annealing temperature, 1:45 elongation and similarly TOPO cloned into a GATEWAY-compatible vector (PCR8/GW, Thermo Fisher). The resulting entry vector was recombined into a destination vector upstream of gal4-VP16, between Tol2 integration arms (Urasaki et al., 2006). Tg(UAS-Elb:Kaede)s1999 embryos were injected at the one-cell stage with 0.5 nl of 50ng/ul plasmid and 35ng/ul Tol2 transposase mRNA in water, and their progeny screened for fluorescence. One founder produced three fluorescent progeny; one survived. To identify transgenic fish without using a UAS reporter, potential carriers were genotyped using the following primers to genotype the system without encountering the biophysical limits imposed by orbital structure 3) Because the utricle conveys information both about static and dynamic changes in orientation, the eyes adopt an increasingly eccentric rotation as the stimulus progresses, potentially constraining dynamic range.

Anatomy

To generate mosaically-labeled fish, 0.5 nl of 30ng/ L plasmid DNA (14xUAS-ElbChR2[H134R]);EYFP (Douglass et al., 2008) or UAS-Zebraweb (Pan et al., 2013)) was injected in water at the one-cell stage into Tg(-6.7FRhcrTRgal4VP16); Tg(islet;GFP) fish. Embryos were screened at 24-48hpf. The majority (80%) of injected fish were excluded due to deformities or developmental arrest. The remaining fish were screened at 72hpf under a fluorescence microscope (Leica MZ16) with a high-pass GFP emission filter for YFP fluorescence or a Cy3 emission filter for dTomato. As Tg(-6.7FRhcrTRgal4VP16) will label the skin and notochord early (36-48hpf and fluorescence in either structure is relatively easy to visualize, embryos with mosaic labeling (usually 1-10 cells) in these structures were selected. On average, 1-2% of injected embryos were retained for high-resolution screening. Larvae were anesthetized (0.016% w/v tricaine methane sulphonate, Sigma A5040) mounted dorsally at 5-7dpf and imaged on a confocal microscope (Zeiss 510, 710, or 780, using either a 20x 1.0 N.A., a 40x 1.1 N.A. or a 63x 1.0 N.A. objective with Zen 2010, 8-bit acquisition) with excitation of 488nm (GFP) and 940nm (EYFP), and emission for the two channels was either separated at 550nm by a glass dichroic filters or a tunable filter. The two channels could reliably be separated provided the level of EYFP was strong relative to GFP.

Most of the fish selected for confocal imaging had some neurons labeled in the brain, but on average, only 0.5%-2% (i.e. 5-20 for every 1000) of injected embryos would have vestibular nucleus neurons that were both bright and sufficiently isolated enough to track. Neurons were only included in the study if their axon could be traced unambiguously throughout its entirety to a distinct cell body; qualitatively, the asymmetry persisted among excluded fish. Neurons were traced manually with the assistance of the ImageJ plugin Simple Neurite Tracer (Longair et al., 2011). Cell bodies of the oculomotor and trochlear nuclei were localized manually using the Fiji/ImageJ ROI functionality (Schindelin et al., 2012). Superior oblique motoneurons were found in nIV and superior rectus motoneurons were the most ventral somata in nIII (Greaney et al., 2016). All images were adjusted linearly, using the Brightness & Contrast functionality in Fiji/ImageJ (Schindelin et al., 2012). For display purposes, a non-linear histogram adjustment (gamma = 0.5) was applied to the maximum intensity projection in Figure 1b and 2a to increase the relative brightness of thin axonal arbors, and, for Figure 2a, to make clear the sparse nature of the label.

Retrograde labeling of the ocular motor nuclei was done as previously described (Ma et al., 2010; Greaney et al., 2016). In brief, crystals of fluorescently-conjugated dextran (10,000 MW, Thermo Fisher D-1824 or D-22914) were placed in the left orbit of anesthetized 5-7dpf fish. In fish, the superior eye muscles receive projections from the contralateral motor nuclei, making the relevant neurons in nIV (superior oblique) and nIII (superior rectus) easy to discriminate, as they were exclusively labeled on the contralateral (right) side.

Focal electroporations were done as detailed previously (Bianco et al., 2012; Tawk et al., 2009). Briefly, anesthetized larvae (2 dpf) were immobilized in low-melting temperature agarose. Micropipettes (tip diameter of 1-2 mm) were filled with a solution containing 1 mg/ml gap43-EGFP plasmid DNA in distilled water. To target the vestibular nucleus neurons, the pipette was placed at the lateral limit of rhombomere 5, using the decussation of the Mauthner axon midline crossing as a landmark. A Grass SD9 stimulator (Grass Technologies) was used to deliver three trains of voltage pulses in succession, with 1 s interval between trains. Each train was delivered at 200Hz for 250ms, 2ms on time, with an amplitude of 30V. Larvae were imaged at 5dpf on a custom multi-photon microscope at 790 nm.

Lesions

Single-cell ablations were performed using a pulsed infrared laser (Spectra-Physics MaiTai HP) at 820nm (80MHz repetition rate, 80 fs pulse duration) at full power: 200mW (2.5nJ) measured at the specimen with a
power meter (ThorLabs S130C). Fish were mounted dorsally in 2% low-melt agarose in E3 under a 20x 0.95 NA objective (Olympus) and anesthetized as described above. Cell bodies were targeted for ablation based on anatomical location, starting with the most ventro-lateral neurons in the tangential nucleus and then moving dorso-medially through the tangential and medial vestibular nucleus. Each cell was exposed to the pulsed infrared laser light for a brief period of time (35-50ms) while the resulting fluorescent emissions were measured; usually, there was a brief pulse of light that saturated the detection optics which was used to shutter the laser. 5-10 neurons/plane were targeted bilaterally, resulting in either loss of fluorescence (Tg(UAS-E1b:Kaede)s1999t and Tg isl1:GFP)) or increased diffuse fluorescence at the cell body (Tg(UAS-Chr2-E134R-EYFP)). Fish were imaged immediately and 24 hours after ablation to confirm the extent of the lesion. 15% of lesioned fish were excluded because they did not survive a full 24 hrs after the lesion. Fish for lesions were 4-5 dpf, as preliminary experiments showed that plasma formation was more effective in younger fish, and were selected to be the brightest in the clutch (likely doubly homozygous for UAS-E1b:Kaede and 6.7FRhcrTgal4VP16). As previously described (Bianco et al., 2012), the eye movements in younger fish are of lower gain, and 3/17 fish were excluded from analysis because their total range was <10°. Behavior was always measured at least 4 hours and no more than 8 hours after lesions. The decrease in gain was reported as a percentage of pre-lesion gain, defined as the difference between the median pre-lesion gain and median post-lesion gain normalized by the median pre-lesion gain. Range was defined as the difference between the most and least eccentric steady-state eye rotation reached across all steps, and the decrease reported as a percentage of pre-lesion range. To activate KillerRed, green light (Zeiss set 43, 545nm/23) from an arc lamp was focused through a 63x 1.0 NA objective stopped down to fill a 200 μm diameter region for 15 minutes. Fish were mounted dorsally and anesthetized as described above. The focal plane was at the level of the decussation of the Mauthner axons, measured under brightfield illumination. Due to equipment replacement the precise power of the arc lamp could not be measured, but 20 minutes of exposure under identical conditions was fatal to the fish. Post-lesion behavior was measured at least 4 hours after the light exposure. To induce apoptosis with nitroreductase, fish were placed in E3 with 7.5mM of metrodinazole (Sigma M1547) in 0.2% v/v DMSO and behavior was measured 24 hrs later (Curado et al., 2007). The presence of mCherry fluorescence was assayed after behavior to determine genotype.

Optical Activation and Analysis
Channelrhodopsin-induced eye movements were monitored using the same apparatus used for measuring tilt-induced behavior, with the addition of a fiber-coupled laser on an independent micromanipulator (Arrenberg et al., 2009; Schooneheim et al., 2010). Fish were immobilized and mounted as before, and agar was removed above the head as well as the skin of the fish. Stimuli ranged in duration from 1 sec to 100 msec, and were presented every 5 seconds. Eye movements were tracked and processed as before, including manual analysis; only fish with at least 25 analyzable responses to a given stimulus were included in the analysis. The response to a given stimulus was quantified by taking the peak angular rotation reached over the first 2 sec.

Model
Our model estimated the collective activity of 80 post-synaptic neurons generated by integrating activity from a set of pre-synaptic neurons. We evaluated two free parameters: the number of pre-synaptic neurons in the set (30, 42, 70, 105, 140, 168, 180) and the number of inputs on to a given post-synaptic neuron (2-30). Pre-synaptic activity was generated by translating a rate function, derived from the velocity profile of the steps used in the behavioral experiment, into a Poisson train of activity. Step velocity was scaled to match the reported velocity sensitivity (2 spikes/sec) of second-order vestibular neurons (Iwamoto et al., 1990a) to generate a rate function for Poisson spikes. The velocity reached a peak of 35 °/sec and lasted 1sec; the model was run at 1kHz. Poisson trains were subjected to an imposed 2msec refractory period. The spikes were then convolved with a decaying exponential with = 1.5 sec to represent an excitatory post-synaptic potential. A random subset of pre-synaptic neurons were selected from the set and summed together to create an input to a post-synaptic neuron. Post-synaptic activity was determined by thresholding the input, subject to a 2msec refractory period. The threshold for the post-synaptic neuron was defined as the minimum of an input of 1.8 or 95% of the cumulative distribution of pre-synaptic input strength. One input spike had a value of 1; after convolution, a threshold of 1.8 was reached if at least four spikes were present across all inputs over a 4msec period. Changing the threshold ensured that the post-synaptic response would not saturate as the number of inputs increased; the specific threshold did not change the relationships we observed and is expected from the basic properties of extracellular motoneurons (Torres-Torreal et al., 2012). We generated 80 distinct spike trains, reflecting the number of motoneurons in a given motoneuron pool (Greeney et al., 2016). The total post-synaptic response was defined as the average activity, evaluated where the rate function was positive. The strength of the relationship between the pre-synaptic rate function and the summed post-synaptic response was defined as the coefficient of determination.

Statistics
As data were not normally distributed, expected values are reported as the median, variability as the median absolute deviation (MAD), and non-parametric tests of significance were used. Potential differences between groups (e.g. up tilts vs. down) were evaluated using the Wilcoxon rank sum test, and the Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to test whether a distribution had a median different from zero (e.g. change in performance post-lesion). Significance was determined at p < 0.05.

Results
A genetically-defined population of brainstem neurons projects preferentially to extracellular motoneurons that move the eyes downward.

To characterize the projection patterns of vestibular brainstem neurons in the larval zebrafish, we analyzed the transgenic line, Tg(-6.7FRhcrTgal4VP16), (Lacoste et al., 2015; Randlett et al., 2015), on a background that labeled extracellular motoneurons, Tg isl1:GFP. We observed expression in ~200 neurons that, in aggregate, comprise a subset of two bilateral vestibular nuclei, the previously characterized utricle-recipient tangential nucleus (Bianco et al., 2012) and the medial vestibular nucleus (Highstein and Holstein, 2006). Figure 1a-1c show the gross morphology of these neurons and their axonal projections to the extracellular motor nuclei. As in other vertebrates, the axon bundle from these vestibular neurons crosses the midline, ascends rostrally along the medial longitudinal fasciculus (MLF), and projects to extracellular motor nuclei nIII and nIV (Figure 1d-1f). One projection terminates near the
ventral-most extraocular motoneurons in nIII (wide view in Figure Ig, close up Figure Ih-Il). The second prominent projection from vestibular neurons goes to extraocular motoneurons in nIV that innervate the superior oblique muscle (SO) responsible for generating downward eye movements following nose-up tilts (wide view in Figure Ij, close-up Figure Ik-Il). We thus identified a genetically-defined population of candidate vestibular neurons poised to respond to body tilts in the pitch axis with both upwards and downwards eye movements.

In mammals, one report suggested that vestibular neurons over-represent nose-up body tilts in a 3:1 ratio (Iwamoto et al., 1990a), but this may reflect sampling biases. To differentiate nose-up from nose-down neurons, we manually traced the axons of vestibular neurons and used the labeled cranial motor nuclei to categorize their projections, based on the presence/absence of a collateral projection to nIV. We labeled stochastic subsets of vestibular neurons by injecting a plasmid encoding a fluorescent protein into one-cell embryos, Tg(-6.7FRhcrTR:gal4VP16); Tg(isl1:GFP). Excitatory gaze-stabilizing neurons sensitive to pitch/roll all cross the midline with projections that fall into one of two categories: those that Arborize exclusively in nIII and innervate the two extraocular muscles involved in the response to nose-down tilts (the superior rectus (SR) and inferior oblique) or those with arbors in both nIII and nIV innervating the two extraocular muscles responsible for the response to nose-up tilts (the SO and inferior rectus) (Uchino et al., 1982). Electromyography demonstrates that the SR (nIII) and SO (nIV) muscles are exclusively active during either the nose-down or nose-up phase of pitch-tilts; thus, the motoneurons that innervate these muscles are veridical markers of either up/down sensitivity (Favilla et al., 1983). Since nIV is comprised only of extraocular motoneurons in nIV, a collateral projection to nIV unambiguously defined the sensitivity of those neurons (nose-up).

We found that the overwhelming majority (23/27) of labeled vestibular neuron axons had a dorsal collateral projecting to nIV (i.e. nose-up/eyes-down vestibular neurons). One example neuron from the majority population is shown projecting to nIV in Figure 2a-2b and reconstructed as a schematic in Movie M1. In contrast, one example neuron from the minority population, projecting exclusively to nIII with a collateral to the superior rectus motoneurons, is shown in Figure 2e-2g, and reconstructed in Movie M2. Somata of neurons projecting exclusively to nIII were intermingled with those with projections to nIV. To complement our genetically-based stochastic labeling technique with an unbiased sample, we examined the projections of vestibular neurons that had been electroporated with a membrane-targeted fluorescent protein in wild-type animals. Of 20 electroporated animals with singly-labeled neurons in the vestibular nuclei, 15 neurons had an ascending branch along the medial longitudinal fasciculus. 12 of these (80%) had a prominent projection to nIV. Somatic organization of nIII and nIV is stable after 5 days post-fertilization (Greaney et al., 2016). Similarly, the characteristic projection from a traced vestibular neuron to nIV remained stationary (Figure 3). Retro-orbital backfill in Tg(-6.7FRhcrTR:gal4VP16); Tg(5xUAS:sypb-GCaMP3) confirmed the presence of puncta proximal to the soma and dendrites of SO and SR motoneurons (Figure 4). The axon terminal arbors from vestibular neurons likely comprise axo-dendritic and axo-somatic synapses onto target motoneurons, as in mammals (Demêmes and Raymond, 1980). These results reveal that vestibular neurons labeled in Tg(-6.7FRhcrTR:gal4VP16) project preferentially towards extraocular motoneurons in nIV.

Labeled vestibular neurons are collectively necessary for gaze stabilization following both nose-up and nose-down body rotations.

To determine whether the transgenically-labeled vestibular neurons constitute a complete set necessary for both upwards and downwards eye movements following body tilts, we measured gaze stabilization (the vestibulo-ocular reflex) before and after their removal. We targeted vestibular neurons bilaterally with a pulsed infrared laser in Tg(-6.7FRhcrTR:gal4VP16); Tg(UAS-Elb:Kaede)s1999t; Tg(isl1:GFP) fish (Figure 5a). Post-ablation fish were generally healthy, with normal horizontal eye saccades and spontaneous jaw movements. Ablations eliminated nearly the entire response to body tilts (both nose-up and nose-down): the median decrease in vestibulo-ocular reflex gain was 94.5% ± 3.5% (n = 14, p = 1.2 * 10^-4 Figure 5b) and the decrease in range was 82% ± 5% (p = 1.2 * 10^-4, Figure 5c). We saw no difference (p = 0.77) in the post-lesion gain for nose-up (0.0165 ± 0.0135) and nose-down (0.02 ± 0.0135) body rotations. In contrast, control lesions of somata in the adjacent facial nucleus (nVII) produced no systematic change in the gain (n = 5, 38.5% ± 24.5%, p = 0.41) or the range (31% ± 52%, p = 0.44) of the vestibulo-ocular reflex. We confirmed our finding of necessity by inducing death in labeled neurons either chemogenetically (fish expressing Tg(-6.7FRhcrTR:gal4VP16); Tg(UAS-Elb:Eco.NfsB-mCherry)(Pisharat et al., 2007), n=5, p = 0.03) or optogenetically (fish expressing Tg(-6.7FRhcrTR:gal4VP16); Tg(UAS-KillerRed)(Bene et al., 2010) n=5, p = 0.008). We conclude that vestibular neurons labeled in Tg(-6.7FRhcrTR:gal4VP16) are necessary for compensatory eye movements following either nose-up or nose-down body pitch tilts.
Labeled vestibular neurons, collectively activated, rotate the eyes down

To determine whether the asymmetry among the population of neurons we observed is functional, we measured eye rotations following collective activation. The circuit that enables correct gaze stabilization following pitch and roll body tilts (Figure 6) permits a specific prediction about the eye movements that might follow collective activation. Three key features of this circuit enable this prediction: 1. two distinct channels selectively sensitive to nose-up and nose-down rotations, 2. excitatory central neurons that all cross the midline, and 3. superior extraocular motoneurons that cross back. Figures 6c-6d show the torsional response to nose-up and nose-down body tilts. There, utricular hair cells in both the left and right ear sense the same pitch tilts. The projection patterns ensure that inputs from a given ear contracts the correct superior eye muscle on the ipsilateral side, and the correct inferior eye muscle on the contralateral side. In contrast, when the fish rolls, both nose-up and nose-down channels ipsilateral to the roll are activated. The two superior muscles are then activated ipsilaterally, while the two inferior muscles are activated contralaterally. In this way, a single circuit can respond appropriately to the two cardinal directions of body rotation sensed by the utricle, the sole source of vestibular sensation in young zebrafish (Beck et al., 2004; Mo et al., 2010; Bianco et al., 2012; Roberts et al., 2017).

Collectively activating all utricle-recipient vestibular neurons is therefore equivalent to the fish rolling both leftward and rightward simultaneously. Consequently, all four eye muscles on both sides would be expected to contract together. If no eye movement were to result, we would conclude that despite the anatomical asymmetry, the nose-up and nose-down vestibular neuron pools were functionally equivalent. In contrast, a net downward rotation reflects stronger activation of the SO/IR motoneurons (nose-up, Figure 6c) and weaker activation of the SR/IO motoneurons (nose-down, Figure 6d). A net upward rotation reflects the opposite. Any vertical component (SO/SR vs IO/IR) to the eye movement would reflect uneven activation of neurons in the left vs. right hemisphere (Figure 6b), and would be dissociable from the torsional component. We hypothesized that the gaze-stabilization circuit predicts that any systematic eye movement observed along the nose-up/nose-down axis following collective activation of vestibular brainstem neurons must reflect a functional bias in the set of activated neurons.

We measured the eye movements that followed collective activation of gaze-stabilizing vestibular brainstem neurons. We expressed the light-sensitive cation channel, channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2) and used a fiber-optic cannula (Arrenberg et al., 2009) to target blue light to labeled vestibular neurons in Tg(−6.7FRhcrTR:gal4VP16); Tg(UAS:ChR2(H134R)-EYFP) fish. Since blue light evoked eye movements in wild-type fish (Movie M3), we performed all activation experiments using a blind mutant lacking retinal ganglion cells: atoh7^th241/h241, Tg(atoh7:gap43-RFP) (Kay et al., 2001). Vestibulo-ocular reflex gain and range in blind fish were comparable to wild-type siblings (n=13 atoh7^th241/h241 and n=3 siblings, p = 0.19). Strikingly, in every transgenic fish tested, the eyes rotated downward in response to blue light flashes, as if the nose of the fish had moved up. We observed no systematic vertical component to the eye’s rotation. Across fish (n = 10) the amplitude of eye rotation (Figure 7a, black line) scaled with the duration of the light flash, with a peak response of 45°/sec. Crucially, control siblings (n = 3) not expressing ChR2 did not respond to light flashes (Figure 7a, gray line). Laser-mediated ablation of vestibular neurons abolished the light-evoked eye rotation (n=10, Figure 7b). Activation of the population of vestibular neurons is therefore sufficient to rotate the eyes downward, consistent with the asymmetric distribution of anatomical projections.

We extended our test of sufficiency by activating all of the neurons in the region of the vestibular nucleus using a line reported (Scott et al., 2007) to express in all neurons, Et(El1b:Gal4-VP16)s1101t. In all fish tested (n=6), we evoked downward eye rotations in the torsional plane corresponding to nose-up tilts (Figure 7c, Movie M4, note the cororuptive horizontal component present in one trace). The smaller magnitude of eye movement we observed is consistent with either activation of inhibitory inputs excluded from Tg(−6.7FRhcrTR:gal4VP16) or co-activation of horizontal extraocular motoneurons that could constrain torsional rotations. Both genetically restricted and unbiased activation of vestibular neurons produced net downward eye rotations, and thus the gaze-stabilizing population of vestibular neurons is functionally asymmetric.

A simple model shows how biased vestibular populations can better represent nose-up sensations without compromising motor performance

Our data support the hypothesis that labeled premotor vestibular neurons are asymmetrically distributed, over-representing nose-up body tilts, and capable of producing downward eye rotations. To infer whether such an asymmetry might impact motor output and/or sensory encoding, we built a simple model of the synapse between vestibular and extraocular motoneurons. We simulated the ability of differently-sized populations to relay a step in body tilt (encoded by vestibular neuron activity) across a single synapse to produce an eye movement command (encoded by extraocular motoneuron activity). We constrained model parameters and assumptions to reflect known anatomical and electrophysi-
ological properties (Methods). We systematically varied two free parameters: the size of the vestibular population, and the number of vestibular neurons that contact a single extraocular motoneuron. As nose-up and nose-down neurons function during distinct phases of pitch tilts (Figure 6) we simulated a single generic population. We evaluated two features of simulated motoneuron activity. First, as a measure of output strength, we report the average activity (reflecting the strength of ocular muscular contraction). Next, as a measure of encoding fidelity, we report the correlation between vestibular input and motoneuron output.

We observed that the magnitude of motoneuron activity could be independent of the number of vestibular neurons upstream (vertical axis in Figure 8c). This dissociation derives from the fact that vestibular neurons encoding nose-up and nose-down body rotations converge on to distinct pools of motoneurons. Consequently, the key variable that determines the magnitude of motoneuron activity is the number of inputs per motoneuron, not the size of the vestibular population from which it is derived. As expected, increasing the number of vestibular inputs onto a single motoneuron increased its firing rate asymptotically (horizontal axis in Figure 8c). We conclude that when downstream effectors are distinct, for eye movements, a larger pool of premotor neurons does not necessarily predict differences in the magnitude of motoneuron output. For our system, an asymmetric vestibular circuit could maintain comparable behavioral responses along the eyes-up/eyes-down axis.

In contrast, we observed that the size of the vestibular neuron pool could impact the ability of motoneurons to represent the dynamics of a step in body position. Temporal structure emerges in the activity patterns of post-synaptic neurons derived from small population sizes (Figure 8b). This similarity across motoneuron activity patterns reflected the coincidence of a limited set of inputs sufficient for a motoneuron spike at a particular time. To test if this limitation constrains the ability of motoneurons to represent the input function, we measured the variance in the input rate function explained by the summed motoneuron activity ($R^2$). Larger populations were indeed better than smaller populations, and performance varied with the precise number of pre-synaptic inputs (Figure 8d).

We infer from our model that the anatomical asymmetry we observe could permit better encoding of nose-up sensations without compromising gaze-stabilization. If sensory statistics were similarly biased, asymmetric projections from vestibular neurons might therefore be adaptive.

Premotor vestibular neurons are necessary for a vital and asymmetric postural behavior

We have leveraged gaze-stabilization to dissect vestibular neuron anatomy and function. However, since blind and dark-reared larvae are viable, the need for stable gaze early in development is unlikely to be subject to selective pressure. We therefore hypothesized that the vestibular neurons necessary for gaze stabilization might also be responsible for another behavior: the vital and asymmetric postural challenge of swim-bladder inflation. To maintain buoyancy, larval zebrafish, whose gills do not yet function (Rombough, 2007), must swim to and maintain a nose-up posture at the water’s surface, where they gulp air, inflating their swim bladder (Goolish and Okutake, 1999). Vestibular sensation is necessary: larval zebrafish without functional utricles fail to inflate their swim bladder and die (Riley and Moorman, 2000). In contrast, vision is not required for this behavior, as blind fish (Figure 7) develop normal swim bladders. Gaze-stabilizing vestibular neurons send a second projection to a spinal premotor nucleus, the nucleus of the MLF (nucMLF) (Figure 1), indicating a potential postural role (Bianco et al., 2012).

To test if vestibular neurons are necessary for swim-bladder inflation, we focally ablated vestibular neurons at 72hpf, before fish had inflated their swim bladder, in Tg(6.7FphcrR:gal4VP16);Tg(14xUAS-E1b:hChR2(H134R)-EYFP) fish. We evaluated the fish at 144hpf (Figure 9). Only 1/9 lesioned fish (example in Movie M6) had an inflated swim bladder, compared with 40/42 control siblings (example in Movie M7). To confirm these results, we chemogenetically ablated vestibular neurons at 72 hpf in Tg(6.7FphcrR:gal4VP16);Tg(UAS-E1b:Eco.NfsI-b-mCherry) fish. As with the targeted lesions, only 1/36 double-transgenic fish inflated their swim bladder and survived, while 36/36 of their non-expressing siblings did. Consistent with the known role of autonomic neurons in controlling swim bladder volume (Smith and Croll, 2011), fish with post-inflation loss of vestibular neurons (Figure 5) maintain normal swim bladders. These results define a novel role for an anatomically biased population of vestibular neurons in the vital task of developing stable posture.

Discussion

We investigated how the anatomical composition of a genetically-defined population of vestibular interneurons in the larval zebrafish could constrain its function. We first discovered that genetically-labeled neurons project preferentially to motoneurons that move the eyes downward. Ablation of these neurons eliminated the eye movements normally observed following nose-up/nose-down body tilts, establishing their necessity for gaze stabilization. Next, we found that activation produced downward eye rotations, establishing a functional correlate of the anatomical asymmetry. We modeled similar populations with asymmetric projections, and inferred that such architecture could permit better representation of nose-up stimuli while maintaining gaze stabilization perfor-
mance. Finally, we discovered a new behavioral role for these neurons: they are necessary for swim bladder inflation, a vital postural task requiring nose-up stabilization. Taken together, we propose that preferential allocation of vestibular resources may improve sensory encoding, potentially enabling larval zebrafish to meet ethologically-relevant challenges without compromising behavior.

Our neurogenetic approach to dissect the gaze stabilization circuit extends earlier anatomical (Uchino et al., 2001), lesion (Ferraro et al., 1940; Uemura and Cohen, 1974) and microstimulation work (Yokota et al., 1992). Specifically, a previous single-unit recording study in mammals suggested that the vestibular brainstem may over-represent nose-up tilts, but could not rule out sampling bias, or collectively probe the function of sets of neurons (Iwamoto et al., 1990a). Our data show that a molecularly-defined population of vestibular brainstem neurons is indeed biased in its projections, collectively necessary for both directions of gaze-stabilization, and sufficient to produce biased eye rotations. Given the striking conservation of vestibular circuitry across vertebrates (Straka and Baker, 2013), we propose that previous work suggesting biased circuitry in mammals likely reflects a true asymmetry among vestibular interneurons.

Our model dissociates interneuron population size from expected behavioral output: more vestibular neurons need not necessarily lead to a stronger ocular response. The muscles that generate torsional eye movements in fish are responsible for vertical eye movements in front-facing animals (Simpson and Graf, 1981). The behavioral literature is unclear with respect to whether nose-up/nose down gaze-stabilization is asymmetric. Cats may produce stronger downward eye rotations (Darlot et al., 1981; Maruyama et al., 2004; Tomko et al., 1988), but the literature is conflicted as to whether or not such an asymmetry exists in primates: downward (Baloh et al., 1983; Benson and Guedry, 1971; Matsuo and Cohen, 1984) or no biases (Baloh et al., 1986; Demer, 1992; Marti et al., 2006) have both been reported. In foveates, the vestibular brainstem contains the final premotor nuclei for smooth pursuit eye movements. Despite similar abilities to perceive both directions of vertical motion (Churchland et al., 2003), both juvenile and mature monkeys (Akao et al., 2007; Grasse and Lisberger, 1992) and humans (Ke et al., 2013) show a stronger downward response. Our model points a way forward: while the magnitude of the response to comparable stimuli may be comparable, an asymmetric population should better encode dynamic variability, such as experienced in natural settings (Carriot et al., 2014). We propose that characterizing the variation in response to more complex body rotations and target tracking paradigms could uncover behavioral signatures of an anatomically biased circuit.

After early ablation of vestibular neurons, larval zebrafish do not inflate their swim bladders. Swim bladder inflation proceeds in darkness, and is normal in blind fish. This unexpected postural role for neurons involved in gaze-stabilization suggests an anatomical means to coordinate eye and body following postural disturbances. Ascending vestibular neurons project to both extracocular motoneurons and to the nucleus of the medial longitudinal fasciculus (nMLF) (Bianco et al., 2012). Recent work has established the necessity and sufficiency of spinal-projecting neurons in the nMLF for postural control and swim initiation (Severi et al., 2014; Thiele et al., 2014; Wang and McLean, 2014). In lamprey, nMLF neurons encode pitch tilts, with a 3.5:1 bias in the nose-up direction (Pavlova and Deliagina, 2002), presumably preserving the enhanced coding capacity for nose-up sensation suggested by our model. Differential contraction of dorsal and ventral musculature allows fish to generate torqued axial swimming; the torques pitch fish nose-up/nose-down (Alexander, 1967; Aleyev, 1977). Intriguingly, nMLF neurons can selectively activate specific channels within the spinal cord comprised of dorsal/ventral spinal musculature (Zelenin et al., 2001). To enable simultaneous stabilization of body and gaze, we propose that pitch-sensitive neurons might selectively project so as to bias the axial muscle contractions and generate corrective pitch torques. Our work thus lays the groundwork for explorations into the neural mechanisms coordinating postural and gaze stabilization.

Our results reveal that an asymmetric population of vestibular neurons is necessary for swim-bladder inflation. The demands of swimming to the water’s surface could be met by nose-up neural specialization, but it is unclear whether the asymmetric composition of the vestibular population is necessary for the behavior. Similarly, our model predicts that the relative ratio of nose-up to nose-down vestibular neurons defines encoding capacity, but it is unknown if the anatomical asymmetry in the vestibular system improves the accurate representation of nose-up stimuli in vivo. Addressing these questions will require the development of novel tools to vary the makeup and activity of the vestibular interneuron population. Optical or molecular perturbations of vestibular interneuron pool composition or activity are currently intractable. For example, the proximity of nose-up and nose-down axons and intermingled somata prevent targeted optical approaches to manipulate vestibular neuron populations. Similarly, in the vestibular system there are no known molecular or genetic manipulations that eliminate or invert asymmetry, as successfully used in the functional analyses of left-right brain asymmetry (Concha et al., 2012; Facchin et al., 2015). Though initial molecular characterization of the functionally distinct vestibular brainstem populations has begun (Kodama et al., 2012), the molecular cues that determine the fate of vestibular brainstem neurons remain unknown. Interestingly, the ab-
sence of topographic organization and the ~6:1 ratio among nose-up and nose-down vestibular neurons is reminiscent of Notch-mediated mutual inhibition among post-mitotic neurons in the *Drosophila melanogaster* central nervous system (Langen et al., 2013). Our discovery that vestibular interneurons project asymmetrically lays the foundation to identify underlying molecular determinants, which in turn will provide tools to explore the functional consequences of this asymmetry.

Asymmetrically organized populations of interneurons are common throughout nervous systems. Asymmetric organization within sensory areas is thought to reflect afferent adaptions (Adrian, 1941; Barlow, 1981; Knudsen et al., 1987; Catania and Remple, 2002; Simoncelli, 2003; Bendor and Wang, 2006; Xu et al., 2006; Hansson and Stensmyr, 2011) but the complexity of most neural circuits makes it challenging to link encoding capacity to adaptive behavior. For asymmetric motor populations, links to behavior are more direct (Pasqualetti et al., 2007; Lemon, 2008; Rathelot and Strick, 2009; Esposito et al., 2014) but the natural sensations that drive these areas are often difficult to define. Our study of vestibular interneurons that play both sensory and premotor roles illustrates how the asymmetry anatomy could better encode nose-up sensations, while maintaining the ability to stabilize gaze. As asymmetric populations of interneurons are common, we propose that other circuits may use similar strategies to meet ethological demands without compromising motor control.
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Movie Legends

Movie M1: Reconstruction of an nIV-projecting neuron.

Data is taken from the same confocal stack shown in Figure 2a-2d. The neuron in shown in black, colored spheres represent the center locus of cell bodies of nIV (magenta) and nIII (cyan) cranial motoneurons. The movie begins with the neuron in a coronal orientation, rotates 90° along the x axis until it is sagittal, and then rotates 90° along the y axis such that the viewer looks caudally down the long axis of the fish towards the tail. The large projection to nIV is clearly visible. Scale bar is 25 μm for all three axes.

Movie M2: Reconstruction of an nIII-projecting neuron.

Data is taken from the same confocal stack shown in Figure 2e-2g. The neuron in shown in black, colored spheres represent the center locus of cell bodies of nIV (magenta) and nIII (cyan) cranial motoneurons. The movie begins with the neuron in a coronal orientation, rotates 90° along the x axis until it is sagittal, and then rotates 90° along the y axis such that the viewer looks caudally down the long axis of the fish towards the tail. The terminal arbors of this neuron are considerably more restricted than the neuron in M2, and bypass nIV, terminating in nIII. Scale bar is 25 μm for all three axes.

Movie M3: A sample eye movement evoked by a blue light flash in wild-type fish.

The left eye of a wild-type fish responding to a flash of blue light. Green box reflects the realtime estimate of the eye’s rotation. Movie is 4 sec, with a 100msec flash after 2 sec. For clarity, the original video was enlarged 4x and slowed down 4-fold (200Hz acquisition, 50Hz playback).

Movie M4: A sample eye movement evoked by a blue light flash in fish expressing channelrhodopsin in vestibular neurons.

The left eye of a Tg(-6.7FRhcrTR:gal4VP16); Tg(14xUAS-E1b:hChR2(H134R)-EYFP); atoh7th241/th241; Tg(atoh7:gap43-RFP) fish responding to a flash of blue light. Gray box reflects the realtime estimate of the eye’s rotation. Movie is 3.6sec long, with a 100msec flash at 1.2sec indicated by a cyan circle.

Movie M5: A sample eye movement evoked in a fish with pan-neuronal channelrhodopsin.

The left eye of a Tg(s1101t:gal4); Tg(14xUAS-E1b:hChR2(H134R)-EYFP); atoh7th241/th241; Tg(atoh7:gap43-RFP) fish responding to a flash of blue light. Green box reflects the realtime estimate of the eye’s rotation. Movie is 4 sec, with a 100ms flash after 2 sec. For clarity, the original video was enlarged 4x and slowed down 4-fold (200Hz acquisition, 50Hz playback). Note the rapid and transient change in the angle of the green square at the initiation of the evoked eye movement. This reflects the tracker failing due to the nasal-ward component of the eye’s contraction. After the brief failure of the tracker, it recovers, and the downward torsional component of the eye movement becomes visible.

Movie M6: Fish without vestibular neurons swimming in a cuvette.

Tg(-6.7FRhcrTR:gal4VP16); Tg(14xUAS-E1b:hChR2(H134R)-EYFP); mitfa -/- fish swimming in a cuvette. Vestibular neurons in these fish were photoablated at 72hpf, before swim bladder inflation. Note the absence of a swim bladder, evaluated here at 144hpf. These fish are siblings of the fish in Movie M7.

Movie M7: Fish swimming in a cuvette.

Tg(-6.7FRhcrTR:gal4VP16); Tg(14xUAS-E1b:hChR2(H134R)-EYFP); mitfa -/- fish filmed at 144hpf. Note the presence of a swim bladder. These fish are siblings of the fish in Movie M6.
Figure 1: Vestibular nucleus neurons labeled in Tg(-6.7FRhcrtrR:gal4VP16). a, Morphology of a single filled vestibular neuron (white) and all vestibular neurons (purple). Arrows point to the cells that belong to two sub-populations of the vestibular nuclei: the tangential (γVN) and medial vestibular (αVN) and the path their axons take along the medial longitudinal fasciculus (MLF). The expression pattern of Tg(-6.7FRhcrtrR:gal4VP16); Tg(UAS-Elb:Kaede)s1999t (purple) is shown as a coronal maximum intensity projection (MIP), with one vestibular neuron, co-labeled by focal electroporation of gap43-EGFP (white). Inset schematic of a dorsal view of a larval zebrafish, with a magenta rectangle indicating the location of the image. Scale 50 μm. b–c, Labeled vestibular nucleus neurons (purple) project to ocular motoneurons (green). Coronal (b) and sagittal (c) MIP of Tg(-6.7FRhcrtrR:gal4VP16);Tg(UAS-KillerRed) (purple);Tg(isl1:GFP) (green, image gamma = 0.5) showing cranial motoneurons from nIII/nIV, nV, and nVII (green text). Arrows highlight the cell bodies and axons of labeled neurons in the two populations of vestibular neurons projecting along the MLF. Scale 50 μm. d–f, Close-up of ocular motoneuron region (white boxed region in 1a), showing major branch patterns of vestibular neuron axon fascicle (purple) relative to motoneurons (green). 1d shows motoneurons from Tg(isl1:GFP) (green) in nIV (magenta arrow), superior rectus motoneurons of nIII (cyan arrow) and the midbrain/hindbrain boundary (white dotted line). 1e shows branches of the vestibular neuron axon fascicle (purple), as they emerge from the MLF (white arrow) in Tg(-6.7FRhcrtrR:gal4VP16);Tg(UAS-KillerRed). First projection is to nIV (magenta arrow), second projection to nIII (cyan arrow). I) merge of 1d-1e. Scale 20 μm. g–i, Broad and close-up views of vestibular neuron axonal projection (purple) to nIII cell bodies (green), taken at the coronal plane delineated by the cyan dotted line in 1f, superior rectus (SR) motoneurons (nIII) encircled in cyan. Cyan arrows in 1g localize close-ups in 1h and 1i. Scale 10 μm. j–l, Broad and close-up view of vestibular neuron axonal projection (purple) to nIV cell bodies (green), taken at the coronal plane delineated by the magenta dotted line in 1f, superior oblique (SO) motoneurons (nIV, green) encircled in magenta. Arrows in 1j point to close-up in 1k and 1l. Scale 10 μm.
Figure 2: Projections from singly labeled vestibular nucleus neurons. a, Example vestibular neuron (purple) that projects to nIV (nose-up) motoneurons (green), 23/27 neurons projected to nIV. Coronal MIP of a single vestibular neuron labeled with UAS-ChR2(H134R)-EYFP (purple) in Tg(-6.7FRhcrtr;gal4VP16);Tg(isl:GFP) (green). Gamma = 0.5 to highlight the sparse label. Scale 100 μm. b, Sagittal MIP of the neuron in Figure 2a highlighting nIII (cyan arrow), nIV (magenta arrow), and projection to nIV (white arrow). Scale 20 μm. c, Coronal MIP of nIV (green cell bodies in dotted magenta outline) from 2a, Vestibular neuron projection (purple axon, white arrow). Scale 10 μm. d, Coronal MIP of nIII (green cell bodies in dotted cyan outline) with no proximal vestibular neuron (purple) projection. e, Example vestibular neuron (purple) that projects to nIII SR (nose-down) motoneurons (green), 4/27 neurons projected exclusively to nIII. Sagittal MIP of a single axon expressing 14xUAS-E1b:hChR2(H134R)-EYFP (purple) in Tg(-6.7FRhcrtr;gal4VP16);Tg(isl1:GFP) (green); Tg(atoh7:gap43-RFP)(cyan) fish. Expression of bright EGFP bleeds into the purple channel, making the cell bodies white. nIV (magenta arrow) nIII (cyan arrow) and the vestibular neuron projection to SR motoneurons in nIII (white arrow). Scale 20 μm. f, Coronal MIP of nIII (cells in blue outline) from 2e, purple projections from vestibular neuron (white arrow). Scale 10 μm. g, Coronal MIP of nIV (cells in magenta outline) from 2e with no purple vestibular neuron projection. Scale 10 μm.
Figure 3: Tracings of two vestibular nucleus neurons from a single fish at two timepoints during development. a) Dorsal (top) and sagittal (bottom) projections of two traced neurons taken from the same fish imaged at 5 days post-fertilization. The magenta trace shows the characteristic projection to the nIV motoneuron pool (magenta arrows) while the green neuron does not. b) Same two neurons traced in the same fish, at 11 days post-fertilization. The same projection to nIV is visible in the magenta tracing (magenta arrow). Scale bars are 100 μm.
Figure 4: Vestibular nucleus neurons show putative synaptic puncta on their motoneuron targets. a, Sagittal MIP of a labeled SO motoneuron (magenta arrow) in green and the purple synaptic puncta labeled in Tg(-6.7FRhcrtrgal4VP16); Tg(5xUAS:sypb-GCaMP3). Dotted lines indicate the planes in 4b-4c, scale 20 μm. b,c Close-up slice of the motoneuron somata in 4a with puncta (magenta arrow), scale 10 μm. d, Close-up of a retrogradely labeled SR motoneuron soma (green) with visible purple puncta (cyan arrow), Scale 10 μm. e, Close-up of the dendrites of SR motoneurons (green) with visible purple puncta (cyan arrow). Scale 10 μm.
Figure 5: Vestibular nucleus neurons labeled in Tg(-6.7FRhcrTR:gal4VP16) are necessary for both nose-up and nose-down gaze stabilization. 

**a.** Coronal MIP of vestibular and control neurons (nVII) in rhombomeres 4-8 in Tg(-6.7FRhcrTR:gal4VP16); Tg(UAS-Elb:Kaede)s1999t; Tg(isl1:GFP) fish before and after photo-ablation of vestibular neuron cell bodies. Red arrows point to the general region of the vestibular nucleus, remaining cells correspond to nVII. For anatomical localization compare to the right side of Figure 1b.

**b.** The median gain of the gaze stabilization is profoundly impaired after lesion. Gain is defined as the median response across six step types, (3 nose-up and 3 nose-down from the horizontal meridian).

**c.** The range of eye movements in response to pitch tilts in individual fish is profoundly impaired after lesion. Range is defined as the difference between the most eccentric eye positions across all steps. Dots are individual fish, green dot corresponds to the fish in Figure 5a, gray dotted line is unity.
Figure 6: The simplified neural circuit underlying the ocular response to pitch and roll tilts. Cyan = nose down, magenta = nose up channels. a) Wiring diagram of excitatory connections that comprise one hemisphere of the vestibulo-ocular circuit in the larval zebrafish. Directionally-responsive utricular hair cells (cyan/magenta) transduce body rotation and activate afferent peripheral neurons in the stato-acoustic ganglion (SAG) that relay signals to excitatory central vestibular neurons (VN, cyan and magenta). These in turn cross the midline and connect to specific cranial motor nuclei nIII and nIV (magenta). Each cranial nucleus is exclusively responsible for activating one of the diagrammed contralateral superior eye muscles: superior oblique/rectus (SO/SR). In addition, nIII contains two other motoneuron pools: the inferior rectus, which co-contracts with the SO during nose-up rotations, and the inferior oblique, which co-contracts with the SR during nose-down. b) Gaze-stabilization reflex during a roll tilt to the fish’s left. All hair cells in the fish’s left utricle are activated, causing co-contraction of superior (SO/SR) eye muscles ipsilateral to the activated utricle, and inferior (IO/IR) muscles contralateral to the activated utricle. The eyes then rotate so as to compensate for the tilt. c) Gaze stabilization during nose-down pitch tilts. Hair cells (magenta) are selectively activated that are sensitive to the particular direction of tilt, ultimately activating vestibular neurons that project to both nIII and nIV. There, they activate the SO (contralateral) and IR (ipsilateral). Because both left and right utricles are activated by pitch tilts, both SO and IR are activated for each eye. d) Gaze stabilization during nose-up pitch tilts. Hair cells (cyan) are selectively activated that are sensitive to the particular direction of tilt, ultimately activating vestibular neurons that project to exclusively to nIII. There, they activate the SR (contralateral) and IO (ipsilateral). Because both left and right utricles are activated by pitch tilts, both SR and IO are activated for each eye.
Figure 7: Activating vestibular nucleus neurons generates downward eye rotations. 

**a**. ChR2+ fish (black) respond with increasingly large eye movements as the duration of blue light flashes increases. Positive values for eye rotation indicate the direction the eyes would rotate if the nose had been pitched up (magenta arrow), negative values are nose-down (cyan arrow). ChR2- siblings do not rotate their eyes in response to blue light (gray line). Points are median ± median absolute deviation.

**b**. Vestibular neurons are necessary for the evoked eye movements. Gray lines are individual fish, black lines the median of pre-lesion data, red lines are the same fish post lesion. Blue bar is the duration of the stimulus (100 ms).

**c**. Activation of vestibular neurons in a pan-neuronal fish produces systematic torsional eye rotations in the nose-up direction. Gray lines are the average responses from individual fish with pan-neuronal expression: the E(E1b:Gal4-VP16)x101t; Tg(14xUAS-E1b:hChR2(H134R)-EYFP); atoh7th241/th241 line. Black is the median across fish, blue bar is the duration of the stimulus (100 ms). The single trace with a downward lobe is not due to a torsional movement, but a failure of the eye tracking algorithm to adjust to non-torsional components; video of this fish showing the dominant torsional movement and tracker failure is shown as Movie M4.
A model of vestibular to extraocular motoneuron transmission. a) A schematic of the model. There are four stages: Input, Encoding, Integration, and Output. They reflect the sensory afferent input, vestibular neuron spiking, synaptic integration and spike generation by ocular motoneurons, and eye movements, respectively. Two channels, nose-up (cyan) and nose-down (magenta) are schematized here as a feed-forward model. We begin with equal inputs to two populations of vestibular neurons, in a 6:1 nose-up to nose-down ratio. Integration consists of convolution, summation, and thresholding. b) One simulation of the model for two different population sizes, 180 neurons (magenta) and 30 neurons (cyan). The first column shows the vestibular neuron activity as a spike raster plot, aligned to the input function in black. The second column shows the motoneuron spikes. For display, half the generated spikes are shown in each raster. c) The “Output strength” (average firing rate) of the post-synaptic neurons as a function of the population size (rows) and number of inputs per motoneuron (columns). d) The “Encoding fidelity” (variance explained, $R^2$) in the input rate function by the summed post-synaptic output.
Figure 9: Early ablations of vestibular neurons leave fish unable to inflate their swim bladders. a) Tg(-6.7Frhcr:gal4VP16); Tg(14xUAS-E1b:hChR2(H134R)-EYFP); mitfa -/- fish swimming in a cuvette in the dark at 144hpf. Red arrows point to swim bladders. b) Sibling fish where the vestibular neurons in these fish were photoablated at 72hpf, before swim bladder inflation. Note the absence of a swim bladder, evaluated here at 144hpf. Images are taken from Movies M6-M7.