Neotrygon vali, a new species of the blue-spotted maskray complex (Myliobatoidei: Dasyatidae)
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ABSTRACT

The blue-spotted maskray from Guadalcanal Island (Solomon archipelago) is distinct by its colour patterns from *Neotrygon kuhlii* with which it was previously confused, and belongs to a genetic lineage clearly separate from all other known species in the genus *Neotrygon*. It is here described as a new species, *Neotrygon vali* sp. nov., on the basis of its nucleotide sequence at the cytochrome oxidase 1 (CO1) gene locus. It is diagnosed from all other known species in the genus *Neotrygon* by the possession of nucleotide T at nucleotide site 420 and nucleotide G at nucleotide site 522 of the CO1 gene.
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INTRODUCTION

Genetic studies of the dasyatid genus *Neotrygon* Castelnau, 1873 or maskrays have pointed to the possible occurrence of several species complexes (Ward et al., 2008; Naylor et al., 2012; Borsa et al., 2016a and references therein). This genus currently comprises 10 nominal species: *N. annotata* (Last, 1987), *N. australiae* Last, White and Séret, 2016, *N. caeruleopunctata* Last, White and Séret, 2016, *N. kuhlii* (Müller and Henle, 1841), *N. leylandi* (Last, 1987), *N. ningalooensis* Last, White and Puckridge, 2010, *N. orientale* Last, White and Séret, 2016, *N. picta* (Last, 1987), *N. trigonoides* (Castelnau, 1873) and *N. varidens* (Garman, 1885). The blue-spotted maskray, previously *N. kuhlii*, consists of up to eleven lineages representing separate species (Arlyza et al., 2013a; Puckridge et al., 2013; Borsa et al., 2016a, 2016b) of which four (*N. australiae, N. caeruleopunctata, N. orientale, N. varidens*) have so far been formally described. One of the paratypes of *N. kuhlii*, a specimen from Vanikoro in the Santa Cruz archipelago, has been recently designated as lectotype (Last et al., 2016), although the pigmentation patterns of the Vanikoro maskray, thus now the typical *N. kuhlii*, do not fit those of the original description of the species by J. Müller and F.G.J. Henle (Müller and Henle, 1841; Borsa and Béarez, 2016). In their re-description of *N. kuhlii*, Last et al. (2016) hastily included a fresh specimen collected from Guadalcanal Island in the Solomon archipelago, over 800 km away from Vanikoro, the type-locality. Pigmentation patterns clearly distinguish the Guadalcanal maskray from *N. kuhlii* from Vanikoro (Borsa and Béarez 2016), but not from other species previously under *N. kuhlii* except *N. varidens* (Garman, 1885). It is here emphasized that after careful re-examination of Last et al.’s (2016) work, Borsa et al. (2017) found no diagnostic morphological character that clearly distinguished any of the three new species described from the two others or from *N. kuhlii*. Thus, Last et al.’s (2016) morphological diagnoses were found to be invalid.

In contrast, mitochondrial DNA sequence information contributes valuable diagnostic characters to the taxonomic description of species and is fundamental to the description of cryptic species (Jörger and Schrödl, 2013). The taxonomic value of mitochondrial DNA sequences has been demonstrated in morphologically intractable species complexes in Elasmobranchs such as *Himantura uarnak* and *N. kuhlii* (Naylor et al., 2012; Arlyza et al., 2013a; Borsa et al., 2013a, 2013b; Puckridge et al., 2013; Borsa, 2017).

The objectives of the present paper, which follows up Borsa and Béarez (2016), are the following: (1) to identify diagnostic characters that distinguish the Guadalcanal maskray from other species in the genus *Neotrygon*, (2) to describe it as a new maskray species, a necessary step towards clarifying the intricate taxonomy of species in this species complex.

METHODS

Because *N. kuhlii* from Vanikoro, the type-locality, has not yet been analyzed genetically, pigmentation patterns were used to distinguish it from the Guadalcanal maskray, following Borsa et al. (2013a). Three
specimens of the Guadalcanal maskray were examined including specimen no. CSIRO H 7723-01 (p. 539 of Last et al., 2016) and two live specimens photographed underwater, one by Randall (2005) and the other one by M.A. Rosenstein (Fig. 1). The diameter of ocellated blue spots on the dorsal side of the disk, relative to disk width, was measured on the photographs. Ocellated blue spots were qualified as “small” when their maximum diameter was ≤ 2% disk width (DW), “medium” when ≤ 4% DW and “large” when > 4% DW (Borsa et al., 2013a). On Randall’s (2005) picture and on Fig. 1, DW was deduced from disk length (DL; measured from tip of snout to rear tip of pelvic fin) from the relationship DW = 1.13 DL, obtained from measurements on specimen no. CSIRO H 7723-01. Dark speckles (≤ 1% DW) and dark spots (> 1% DW) were also counted on the dorsal surface of the disk (Borsa et al., 2013a). The counts did not include those speckles and spots located within the dark band around eyes that forms the mask. The presence or absence of a scapular blotch was also checked.

The Guadalcanal maskray was compared to other species in the genus *Neotrygon* based on nucleotide sequences of the *CO1* gene. A total of 205 complete or partial *CO1* gene sequences were found in the literature (Ward et al., 2008; Yagishita et al., 2009; Aschliman et al., 2012; Arlyza et al., 2013a; Puckridge et al., 2013; Last et al., 2016) and compiled into a single FASTA file which was edited under BIOEDIT (Hall, 1999). The recently-described *N. australiae* and *N. caeruleopunctata* correspond to, respectively, clades V and VI of Arlyza et al. (2013a). Clade IV of Arlyza et al. (2013a) included a distinct sub-clade that corresponds to *N. variens*. All other haplotypes of clade IV of Arlyza et al. (2013a), together with GenBank no. JN184065 (Aschliman et al., 2012) correspond to *N. orientale*, except a distinct haplotype (GenBank no. AB485685; Yagishita et al., 2009) here referred to as the Ryukyu maskray. Two haplotypes from the Indian Ocean (GenBank nos. JX263421 and KC249906) belonging to Haplogroup I of Arlyza et al. (2013a) are here referred to as the Indian Ocean maskray. Sample sizes were: *N* = 8 for *N. annotata*, *N* = 11 for *N. australiae*, *N* = 12 for *N. caeruleopunctata*, *N* = 7 for *N. leylandi*, *N* = 1 for *N. ningalooensis*, *N* = 68 for *N. orientale*, *N* = 5 for *N. picta*, *N* = 18 for *N. trigonoides*, *N* = 11 for *N. variens*, *N* = 19 for clade II of Arlyza et al. (2013a); *N* = 17 for clade III of Arlyza et al. (2013a); *N* = 14 for clade V/II of Arlyza et al. (2013a); *N* = 10 for clade V/III of Arlyza et al. (2013a); *N* = 1 for the Guadalcanal maskray; *N* = 2 for the Indian Ocean maskray; and *N* = 1 for the Ryukyu maskray. GenBank accession numbers for all the foregoing sequences are provided in Supplementary Table S1.

Average nucleotide divergences between pairs of sequences within a lineage and net nucleotide divergences between lineages were estimated according to the Tamura-3 parameter substitution model (Tamura, 1992), the most likely model as inferred from the Bayesian information criterion using MEGA6 (Tamura et al., 2013). Variable nucleotide sites were determined automatically using MEGA6. Diagnostic nucleotide sites at the *CO1* gene locus that distinguish the Guadalcanal maskray from all other lineages in the genus *Neotrygon* were then selected visually on the EXCEL (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond WA) file generated by MEGA6.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Last et al. (2016) have claimed that the Guadalcanal maskray specimen they had in hands was “very similar in coloration and shape to Müller and Henle’s Solomon Island types” but this statement was shown to be unwarranted (Borsa and Béarez, 2016). Pigmentation patterns on the dorsal side of each pectoral fin in the Guadalcanal maskray consisted of a variable number (*N* = 2-21) of small ocellated blue spots, a small number (*N* = 1-6) of medium-sized ocellated blue spots, and 3-7 dark speckles (Table 1). All three Guadalcanal maskray specimens available for the present study thus lacked the dark spots and the scapular blotch that are present in the Vanikoro maskray, i.e. *N. kuhlii* (Borsa and Béarez, 2016). Given the relevance of pigmentation patterns in diagnosing species in the genus *Neotrygon* (Last and White, 2008; Last et al., 2010; Borsa et al.,
2013a) and more generally in stingrays (Arlyza et al., 2013b; Borsa, 2017), this observation alone suffices to reject the hypothesis that the Guadalcanal maskray is synonymous with N. kuhlii. Other measurements, expressed as percentage of disc length (DL), also showed strong differences between the Guadalcanal maskray and the type material of N. kuhlii including the lectotype (MNHN-IC-0000-2440, smaller of two) and the paralectotype (MNHN-IC-0000-2440, larger of two). For instance, the distance from pectoral fin insertion to sting origin was substantially larger in the Guadalcanal maskray (5.4% DL) than in N. kuhlii (4.2% DL), as was the nostril length (5.0% DL vs. 3.4-3.9% DL). The inter-orbital width was substantially narrower (9.2% DL vs. 10.3-11.6% DL), as were the inter-ocular width (19.7% DL vs. 21.3-22.6% DL), the distance between first-gill slits (19.2% DL vs. 21.9% DL), and the distance between fifth-gill slits (9.8% DL vs. 11.1% DL).

The maximum-likelihood tree of CO1 haplotypes (Fig. 2) confirmed the monophyly of species in the genus Neotrygon, except N. picta which was paraphyletic with N. leylandi. Also, no distinction was evident between haplotypes of N. annotata and those previously assigned to a related undescribed lineage provisionally referred to as “Neotrygon cf. annotata” (Puckridge et al., 2013). Estimates of nucleotide divergence at the CO1 locus among species and deep lineages [i.e. cryptic species remaining undescribed; Borsa et al. (2016b)] in the genus Neotrygon ranged from 0.015 to 0.301 (Table 2). They ranged from 0.015 to 0.038 among the four already-described blue-spotted maskray species previously under N. kuhlii, i.e. N. australis, N. caeruleopunctata, N. orientale and N. varidens (Table 2). Nucleotide divergence between the Guadalcanal maskray and other species in the genus Neotrygon was ≥ 0.049 (Table 2). Meanwhile, nucleotide divergence estimates within lineages ranged from 0 in N. caeruleopunctata to 0.011 in N. orientale and in clade II of Arlyza et al. (2013a) (Table 2), thus systematically lower than inter-specific estimates, and largely so. The single Guadalcanal maskray haplotype belonged to a lineage clearly distinct from all other Neotrygon spp. lineages sampled so far. At two sites at the CO1 locus, it possessed nucleotides that were absent in N. annotata, N. australis, N. caeruleopunctata, N. leylandi, N. ningalooensis, N. orientale, N. picta, N. trigonoides, N. varidens, and in six yet-undescribed blue-spotted maskray species sampled from the Indian Ocean, the western and northern costs of Sumatra, the Malacca strait, the Banda sea, the Ryukyu archipelago and West Papua (Arlyza et al., 2013a; Borsa et al., 2016a, 2016b) (Supplementary Table S1). Nucleotide sequences at the CO1 locus therefore provided diagnostic characters for the Guadalcanal maskray, relative to all other species in the genus Neotrygon. The Guadalcanal maskray is here considered to represent a distinct species, based on its colour patterns, its distinct phylogenetic placement, its level of nucleotide distance with other species in the genus Neotrygon, and its unique nucleotide composition at the CO1 locus. No name being available for the Guadalcanal maskray (Eschmeyer et al., 2016), it is here described as a new species.

TAXONOMY

Maskrays, genus Neotrygon Castelnau, 1873 belong to family Dasyatidae Jordan, 1888. The type species of the genus is N. trigonoides (Castelnau, 1873) previously resurrected from synonymy with N. kuhlii (Borsa et al., 2013a).

Neotrygon vali sp. nov. http://zoobank.org/A5BE7B5D-64A3-40C2-AD44-63ECAE060FF6. Previously referred to as: Guadalcanal maskray (Borsa and Béarez, 2016; Borsa et al., 2016b; Borsa et al., in press); erroneously placed under Neotrygon kuhlii by Last et al. (2016).

Holotype. Specimen CSIRO H 7723-01, a female 295 mm DW, is here designated as the holotype of Neotrygon vali sp. nov. This specimen was obtained on 7 May 2015 from the Plaza fish market, Honiara, Guadalcanal Island (Last et al., 2016). Based on the assumption that fishes sold at the local fish market in Honiara have
been captured along the shores of Guadalcanal Island, the type locality is Guadalcanal Island in the Solomon archipelago.

Description. The morphological description of the holotype of Neotrygon vali sp. nov. has been published previously (pp. 535-541 of Last et al., 2016). This includes 11 meristic counts and 40 measurements made on the body (table 1 of Last et al., 2016). In addition, pigmentation patterns on the dorsal side of disk consist of a variable number of small ocellated blue spots and a moderate number of medium-sized ocellated blue spots, few dark speckles and no scapular blotch. The CO1 gene sequence of Neotrygon vali sp. nov. is unique among species in the genus Neotrygon as it clusters with no one of its homologues in congeneric species (Fig. 2). The partial CO1 gene sequence of the holotype, comprised between homologous nucleotide sites nos. 95 and 696 of the CO1 gene in N. orientale (GenBank no. JN184065; Aschliman et al., 2012) is 5' - C T G G C C T C A G C T C T T A T T C A G G C C A A C A C A A A C C A A C C G C T T T A C T G G G T G A T G A T C A G A T T T A T A A T G T A A T C G T T A C T G C C C A C C G C C T T C G T A A T A A T C C T T C T T T A T A G T A A T A C C A A T T A T A A T C G G T G G G T T T G G T A A C T G A C T A G T G C C C C T G G A T T G A T T G G G A G G C T C C G G A C A T A G C C T T C C A C G A A T A A C A A C A C A T A A G T T T G C T G C T G C C C C T G A T T A C A T G G T A T C T A G A C A T G C T G A G C T G T G G A C C T T A C A T C T T C T C T T C T C T T C T C T C T C T T C A C C T A G C A G G G T G T T T C C T C T T T G T C A A C T G T G A T C C T C C T A C A G A C C C G A A T C T T A A T A C A A C T T T T T T T T T A C -3' (Last et al., 2016).

Diagnosis. Based on Supplementary Table S1, Neotrygon vali sp. nov. is distinguished from all other species in the genus Neotrygon except N. kuhlii for which no genetic information is available yet, by the possession of nucleotide T at nucleotide site 420 and G at nucleotide site 522 of the CO1 gene. In addition, the Guadalcanal maskray is distinct from N. kuhlii by the lack of dark spots (> 1% DW) and by the lack of a pair of scapular blotches on the dorsal side.

Distribution. Apart from the type locality (Honiara on the northern coast of Guadalcanal Island in the Solomon archipelago), the distribution of Neotrygon vali sp. nov. is likely to be confined within the part of Melanesia east of Cenderawasih Bay in West Papua, where the lineage present is Neotrygon clade VIII (Arlyza et al., 2013a) and west of the Santa Cruz archipelago, where the species present is N. kuhlii.

Etymology. “Vali” is the word for stingray in Gela, one of the languages spoken in Guadalcanal (Froese and Pauly, 2016). Epithet vali is intended to refer to the common name of the species among Guadalcanal fishers and it is a noun in apposition (Truper and De’Clari, 1997). Proposed vernacular names: Guadalcanal maskray (English); vali Guadalcanal (Gela); pastenague masquée à points bleus de Guadalcanal (French).

Notice. The present article in portable document (.pdf) format is a published work in the sense of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature 1999) or Code and hence the new names contained herein are effectively published under the Code. This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in ZooBank (http://zoobank.org/), the online registration system for the International Commission on Zoological
Nomenclature. The ZooBank life science identifier (LSID) for this publication is urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:69E3F1C8-1137-4EF9-B61A-5B56667477A3. The online version of this work is archived and available from the bioRxiv (http://biorxiv.org/) and Hal-IRD (http://www.hal.ird.fr/) repositories.
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Table 1. Pigmentation patterns on left or right dorsal side of disk in Guadalcanal maskray *Neotrygon vali* sp. nov. including numbers of ocellated blue spots, number of dark speckles or spots and presence or absence of a scapular blotch. Ocellated blue spots qualified as *small* when diameter ≤ 2% disk width (DW); *medium* when > 2% DW and ≤ 4% DW and *large* when > 4% DW; *dark speckles* ≤ 1% DW; *dark spots* > 1% DW (Borsa et al., 2013a). N: number of speckles or spots.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen, Side of disk</th>
<th>N ocellated spots</th>
<th>N dark speckles</th>
<th>N dark spots</th>
<th>Scapular blotch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSIRO H7723-01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>left</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>right</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randall (2005: 18)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>left</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fig. 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>left</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. *Neotrygon* spp. Estimates of net nucleotide divergence (Tamura-3 parameter model; MEGA6) between lineages. Clades II, III, VII and VIII were defined by Arlyza et al. (2013a). *N* sample size; *ns* number of base substitutions per site from averaging over all sequence pairs within each lineage (Tamura-3 parameter model; MEGA6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Lineage</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>ns</th>
<th>Lineage no.</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>N. annotata</em></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>N. australiae</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>N. caeruleopunctata</em></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.278 0.028</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><em>N. leyi</em></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.243 0.167 0.179</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><em>N. ningalooensis</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><em>N. orientale</em></td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.236 0.028 0.160 0.233</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td><em>N. piata</em></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.286 0.190 0.205 0.034 0.213 0.174</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td><em>N. trigonoides</em></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.250 0.047 0.044 0.178 0.212 0.036 0.174</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td><em>N. valli</em> sp. nov.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.301</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td><em>N. variens</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.240 0.036 0.038</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Clade II</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.288 0.027 0.021</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.263</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Clade III</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.282 0.027 0.021</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Clade V/II</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.262 0.028 0.027</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Clade V/III</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.249 0.028 0.022</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Indian O. maskray</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.271 0.031 0.026</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>0.245</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Ryukyu maskray</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Guadalcanal maskray *Neotrygon vali* sp. nov. showing the pigmentation patterns that differentiate it from *N. kuhlii* from Vanikoro (Borsa and Béarez 2016). Photographed by M.A. Rosenstein near Mbike Wreck (09°06'S 160°11'E), November 2014.
Figure 2. *Neotrygon* spp. Maximum-likelihood tree (Tamura 3-parameter model; MEGA6) of nucleotide sequences at the *CO1* locus (*N* = 205), compiled from several sources (Ward et al., 2008; Yagishita et al., 2009; Arlyza et al., 2013a; Borsa et al., 2013a; Puckridge et al., 2013; Last et al., 2016; Aschliman et al., 2012) showing the phylogenetic placement of the Guadalcanal maskray *Neotrygon vali* sp. nov. Numbers at nodes are bootstrap scores (500 bootstrap resampling runs under MEGA6). Dotted vertical line: blue-spotted maskrays previously under *N. kuhlii* (Borsa et al., 2016b).
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