GrigoraSNPs: Optimized HTS DNA Forensic SNP Analysis

Darrell O. Ricke, Anna Shcherbina, Adam Michaleas, & Philip Fremont-Smith
Bioengineering Systems & Technologies
Massachusetts Institute of Technology Lincoln Laboratory
Lexington, MA USA
Darrell.Ricke@ll.mit.edu

***Abstract*—High throughput DNA sequencing technologies enable improved characterization of forensic DNA samples enabling greater insights into DNA contributor(s). Current DNA forensics techniques rely upon allele sizing of short tandem repeats by capillary electrophoresis. High throughput sequencing enables forensic sample characterizations for large numbers of single nucleotide polymorphism loci. The slowest computational component of the DNA forensics analysis pipeline is the characterization of raw sequence data. This paper optimizes the SNP calling module of the DNA analysis pipeline with runtime results that scale linearly with the number of HTS sequences. GrigoraSNPs can analyze 100 million reads in less than 5 minutes using 3 threads on a 4.0 GHz Intel i7-6700K laptop CPU. Compared to standard bioinformatics pipelines that run for hours on servers, GrigoraSNPs enables rapid sample analysis.**

Keywords—DNA forensic; SNP analysis

I. Introduction

Rapid analysis of DNA forensics samples can aid investigations. The application of high throughput sequencing (HTS) to DNA forensics samples is providing additional forensics capabilities, including: improved kinship detection, mixture analysis, biogeographic ancestry prediction, phenotype/externally visible traits (EVTs) prediction, analysis of trace DNA samples, and more. HTS DNA sequencing enables the sequencing of short tandem repeats (STRs) and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). Sizing of STR alleles by capillary electrophoresis is the current standard for criminal DNA forensics methods[1]. HTS enables sequencing loci alleles[2], expansion of panels to additional loci, and improved detection of contributor samples with lower DNA concentrations. Samples can be multiplexed for increased throughput and decreased cost per sample by labeling of samples with DNA barcodes. Reducing the time to analyze HTS DNA samples will aid forensic investigations.

DNA forensics is starting to shift to larger and sometimes hybrid STR and SNP panels. The FBI CODIS[3] system just expanded from 13 STR loci to 20 to include additional loci used in Europe. The Illumina ForenSeq panel consists of 68 STRs and 172 SNPs[4]. In addition to all CODIS loci, ForenSeq includes additional X and Y chromosome STRs, 94 SNPs for identity, 56 SNPs for biogeographic ancestry (BGA) prediction, and 22 SNPs for phenotype prediction[4]. Larger panels of loci have been developed with SNPs targeted for the analysis of complex DNA mixtures, kinship, externally visible traits (EVT)/phenotype, and more[5-7]. Measurements of millions of SNPs are possible with DNA microarrays[8, 9]. These microarrays currently require more DNA than HTS and cannot yet be used for trace DNA forensics samples. However, the characterization of millions of SNPs could drastically improve kinship and biogeographic predictions.

The bioinformatics community has developed a rich set of HTS data SNP analysis tools: SAMtools[10], GATK[11]-[12], SOAPsnp[13], SVNMix2[14], VarScan[15], MAQ[16], and more. Many of these tools were designed for variant analysis of exome and whole genome shotgun (WGS) sequences. Most of these tools rely upon the alignment of HTS sequences to a reference genome using very fast alignment tools (BWA[17] or Bowtie2[18]) based on the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner[19]. Standard pipelines based on SAMtools and GATK are very popular in the bioinformatics community. However, these tools are not optimized for the analysis of HTS SNP panels. The GrigoraSNPs algorithm was developed for rapid analysis of HTS SNP panels. With 3 threads, GrigoraSNPs can analyze 100 million multiplexed HTS sequences using 3 threads on a 4.0GHz Intel i7-6700K laptop in less than 5 minutes. Technology advances with nanopore or other HTS technologies will enable real-time portable DNA forensics.

II. Methods

A. SNP Panels

SNP panels are designed with multiple pairs of oligonucleotide primers that each amplifies target location(s) in the genome for characterization of SNP alleles. Each HTS sequence usually contain the following components: multiplexing barcodes on the 5' and sometimes 3' end of each sequence, 5' and 3' primers, and the SNP surrounded by flanking DNA sequences (Figure 1). HTS DNA datasets also contain other sequences arising from sequencing and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification artifacts. For Thermal Fisher Scientific Proton and S5 HTS SNP sequences, the 5' barcode predominantly start at the first or second base pair positions in sequences. Also, the 5' and 3' primer sequences are not present in the sequences generated.

Figure 1. HTS DNA Sequence Components



B. SAMtools Pipeline

A SAMtools pipeline was developed for SNP Analysis. BWA[17] Mem(v0.7.12) was used to map each subject. SAMtools[10] fixmate (v1.3) was used to convert SAM output file to BAM. SAMtools sort (v1.3) was used to sort the BAM files into coordinate order. SAMtools Index (v1.3) was used to create an index file for the sorted BAM file. To produce variant calls using SAMtools (v1.3), a position file was created that contained the chromosome and position of each SNP of interest. SAMtools mpileup (v1.3) used this input file to create a BCF (binary variant call format) file of variants. SAMtools calls (v1.3) was used to parse and convert the file from BCF to VCF format. A parser was built for the VCF file with mapped rsids (reference SNP identifiers) and calculated total read depth, allele calls, and strand counts for each locus.

C. GATK Pipeline

A GATK pipeline was developed for SNP analysis. BWA Mem was used to map each subject. SAMtools fixmate (v1.3) was used to convert SAM output file to BAM format. SAMtools[10] sort(v1.3) was used to sort the BAM files into coordinate order. SAMtools index (v1.3) was used to create an index file for the sorted BAM file. To produce variant calls using GATK[11, 12] Haplotype Caller (v3.5), BCFtools (4.2)[11] was used to create a VCF file from a list of loci rsids. Loci that did not have an rsid were manually added to the input VCF file. GATK HaplotypeCaller called variants only for loci in the input VCF file. A parser was built for the VCF file that determined total read depth, allele calls, and strand counts for each locus.

D. GrigoraSNPs

GrigoraSNPs was developed in Scala leveraging the Akka Actors model for efficient parallel processing on symmetric multiprocessing (SMP) computers. With a SNP dataset of N million sequences of length L for a panel of M amplification loci has computational complexity of O(L x N x M). Popular tools replace the M targets with the entire human genome, further increasing the complexity of the problem. GrigoraSNPs was designed with the addition of a loci lookup table (tags) of 12 base pairs selected immediately following the 5' barcode and adaptor sequence (linked bases GAT). Proton/S5 platform optimal results are obtained with 4 tags (2 per strand) for each target loci because of two different dominant 5' ends for each sequence. A utility tool, FindTags was developed in Scala for identifying tags from experimental data. A panel of 14,938 SNP loci was used for benchmarks. A Tag file with 87,531 tags was generated for the benchmark panel. Loading this tag file into memory requires 2.2 megabytes (MB) of memory. GrigoraSNPs uses reference target sequences from dbSNP[20] and optimizes the loci identification problem with a lookup table to ignore the size of the target SNP panel. Some tags map to multiple loci, each of which are compared to HTS reads with matching tags. This reduces the complexity of panel size from O(M) to O(1). Each sequence is compared against the specified set of barcodes used for the experiment (sequencing run). If the entire barcode file is selected, GrigoraSNPs will automatically identify which barcodes were used. After a sequence matches a tag, specific SNPs are identified by matching the 10 nucleotides immediately flanking each SNP to the reference target sequences from dbSNP.

Performance testing was done on two systems: HP DL380 with 2 CPUs and a SAGER laptop. The HP DL380 has two 2.3GHz Xeon 2698 v3 CPUs with 16 cores each (64 threads total), 512 GB RAM, 10 TB RAID using 10K RPM disks. The SAGER laptop is configured with Intel i7-6700K 4.0GHz CPUs with 4 cores (8 threads), 64 GB RAM memory, and a mirrored RAID pair of 1 TB Samsung 850 EVO SSD.

III. Results

HTS sequences from a HTS SNP panel (14.9k SNPs) were used to evaluate the performance of the SNP analysis pipelines. Figure 2 shows the performance results for 25, 50, 100, 250, 500, and 1,000 million sequences. The detected SNP counts for the SAMtools, GATK, and GrigoraSNPs tools are shown in Figures 3. The runtime for GATK using the –nct option (number of CPU threads to allocate per data thread) for 25M reads was 646 minutes using 3 threads compared to 290 minutes without this option; thus, all GATK runtimes shown are without the –nct option.

Figure 2. HTS SNP Analysis Performance Results. a) runtimes on HP DL380 with 3 threads and 32 threads for SAMtools; b) GrigoraSNPs runtimes on SAGER laptop with different numbers of threads.



This material is based upon work supported under Air Force Contract No. FA8721-05-C-0002 and/or FA8702-15-D-0001. Any opinions, findings, conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the U.S. Air Force.

IV. Discussion

The performance of GrigoraSNPs scales linearly with the number of sequences irrespective of SNP panel size (Figure 2). The look up tag approach reduces the complexity of panel size from O(M) to O(1). The runtimes for GrigoraSNPs is very fast in comparison to GATK and SAMtools (Figure 2). The runtimes for GrigoraSNPs are more than 20 times faster than SAMtools and 140 times faster than GATK with 3 threads (Figure 2). GrigoraSNPs reduces the runtime and computer size required to process forensics HTS DNA sequences. GrigoraSNPs enables DNA forensics on a laptop (Figure 2-b). GrigoraSNPs also reduces the free disk space requirements because it can characterize multiplexed sequence data without splitting the data into separate barcode files.

GrigoraSNPs characterizes roughly the same number of sequences per locus as SAMtools (Figure 3b). Random sequencing errors in some target HTS tag sequences likely cause the small loss of reads seen in Figure 3 for GrigoraSNPs when compared to SAMtools results. SNP call totals are shown in Figure 3 for GrigoraSNPs, SAMtools, and GATK pipelines. Note that GATK discontinued support for the Proton platform[21]. The SAMtools pipeline performs very well for all autosomal SNPs, but the BWA alignment to reference human genome step ends up mapping roughly 50% of the Y chromosome SNPs to the X chromosome in the pseudoautosomal region (PAR) (Figure 3). This accounts for the upper red band of SNPs in Figure 3 b) and c) for GrigoraSNPs compared to SAMtools. Note that this band is not present in Figure 3 c) of only autosomal SNPs.

GrigoraSNPs processes 100 million HTS reads in less than 5 minutes on an Intel 4 core laptop with 3 threads (Figure 2). Runtimes are not reduced on the DL380 by use of faster input and output with the HP workload accelerator; indicating that the application is not I/O bound. A 4.0 GHz Intel i7-6700K CPU system with 3 to 8 threads can run GrigoraSNPs in 5 minutes with the optimal performance seen for 3 threads at 4.7 minutes runtime (Figure 2).

HTS sequencing enables characterization of trace DNA samples, identification, improved kinship detection, mixture analysis, biogeographic ancestry prediction, externally visible

Figure 3. SNP counts for GrigoraSNPs, SAMtools, and GATK sorted by SAMtools counts. a) example 50 SNPs; b) all 14.9k SNPs; c) autosomal SNPs; and d) Y-chromosome SNPs.



traits prediction, and more. In DNA forensics, being able to go from sample to profile is fundamental. In some scenarios, being able to quickly characterize samples is essential for generating leads in a case. GrigoraSNPs eliminates a major time bottleneck for processing raw sequences to SNP allele calls.

V. Conclusion

GrigoraSNPs provides an efficient solution for the rapid characterization of HTS DNA SNP sequences. GrigoraSNPs enables HTS DNA SNP analysis on a laptop.

References

[1] J. M. Butler, *Forensic DNA Typing, Second Edition: Biology, Technology, and Genetics of STR Markers*: Academic Press, 2005.

[2] D. O. Ricke, M. Petrovick, J. Bobrow, T. Boettcher, C. Zook, J. Harper, *et al*., "Human CODIS STR Loci Profiling from HTS Data," *Technologies for Homeland Security (HST), 2016 IEEE International Symposium on*, 2016.

[3] (2016). *FBI CODIS*. Available: https://www.fbi.gov/about-us/lab/biometric-analysis/codis

[4] (2016). *Illumina ForenSeq DNA Signature Prep Kit*. Available: http://www.illumina.com/products/forenseq-dna-signature-kit.html

[5] A. Shcherbina, D. O. Ricke, E. Schwoebel, T. Boettcher, C. Zook, J. Bobrow, *et al*., "KinLinks: Software Toolkit for Kinship Analysis and Pedigree Generation from HTS Datasets," *Technologies for Homeland Security (HST), 2015 IEEE International Symposium on*, 2016.

[6] D. Ricke, A. Shcherbina, N. Chiu, E. Schwoebel, J. Harper, M. Petrovick, *et al*., "Sherlock's Toolkit: A forensic DNA analysis system," *Technologies for Homeland Security (HST), 2015 IEEE International Symposium on*, 2015.

[7] J. Isaacson, E. Schwoebel, A. Shcherbina, D. Ricke, J. Harper, M. Petrovick, *et al*., "Robust detection of individual forensic profiles in DNA mixtures," *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, vol. 14, pp. 31-37, 1// 2015.

[8] (2016). *Affymetrix genome-wide human SNP array*. Available: http://www.affymetrix.com/catalog/131533/AFFY/Genome-Wide+Human+SNP+Array+6.0 - 1_1

[9] (2016). *Ilumina Targeted Genotyping with Arrays*. Available: http://www.illumina.com/techniques/popular-applications/genotyping/targeted-genotyping.html

[10] H. Li, B. Handsaker, A. Wysoker, T. Fennell, J. Ruan, N. Homer, *et al*., "The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 25, pp. 2078-2079, August 15, 2009 2009.

[11] M. A. DePristo, E. Banks, R. Poplin, K. V. Garimella, J. R. Maguire, C. Hartl, *et al*., "A framework for variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data," *Nat Genet*, vol. 43, pp. 491-498, 05//print 2011.

[12] A. McKenna, M. Hanna, E. Banks, A. Sivachenko, K. Cibulskis, A. Kernytsky, *et al*., "The Genome Analysis Toolkit: A MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data," *Genome Research*, vol. 20, pp. 1297-1303, 2010.

[13] R. Li, Y. Li, X. Fang, H. Yang, J. Wang, K. Kristiansen, *et al*., "SNP detection for massively parallel whole-genome resequencing," *Genome Research*, vol. 19, pp. 1124-1132, June 1, 2009 2009.

[14] R. Goya, M. G. F. Sun, R. D. Morin, G. Leung, G. Ha, K. C. Wiegand, *et al*., "SNVMix: predicting single nucleotide variants from next-generation sequencing of tumors," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 26, pp. 730-736, March 15, 2010 2010.

[15] D. C. Koboldt, K. Chen, T. Wylie, D. E. Larson, M. D. McLellan, E. R. Mardis, *et al*., "VarScan: variant detection in massively parallel sequencing of individual and pooled samples," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 25, pp. 2283-2285, September 1, 2009 2009.

[16] H. Li, J. Ruan, and R. Durbin, "Mapping short DNA sequencing reads and calling variants using mapping quality scores," *Genome Research*, vol. 18, pp. 1851-1858, November 1, 2008 2008.

[17] H. Li and R. Durbin, "Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler transform," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 25, pp. 1754-1760, July 15, 2009 2009.

[18] B. Langmead and S. L. Salzberg, "Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2," *Nat Meth*, vol. 9, pp. 357-359, 04//print 2012.

[19] M. Burrows and D. J. Wheeler, "A block sorting lossless data compression algorithm," Digital Equipment Corporation1994.

[20] (2016). *dbSNP: Short Genetic Variantions*. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/SNP/

[21] (2015). *GATK not supported for Ion Torrent*. Available: http://gatkforums.broadinstitute.org/wdl/discussion/1677/best-practice-for-variant-calling-on-ion-torrent-data-with-gatk