Title: Reticulate speciation and adaptive introgression in the *Anopheles gambiae* species

complex.

Running Head: Speciation genomics of *Anopheles* mosquitoes

Authors: Jacob E. Crawford[1,2], Michelle M. Riehle[3], Wamdaogo M. Guelbeogo[4], Awa

Gneme[4], N'Fale Sagnon[4], Kenneth D. Vernick[5], Rasmus Nielsen[2]*, Brian P. Lazzaro[1]*.

* These authors contributed equally to this work.

Affiliations:

1. Department of Entomology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

2. Department of Integrative Biology, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA,

USA

3. Department of Microbiology, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN, USA

4. Centre National de Recherche et de Formation sur le Paludisme, 1487 Avenue de

l'Oubritenga, 01 BP 2208 Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso.

5. Unit for Genetics and Genomics of Insect Vectors, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France

6. Department of Integrative Biology, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA,

USA

Abstract:

Anopheles gambiae, the primary vector of human malaria in sub-Saharan Africa, exists as a series of ecologically specialized subgroups that are phylogenetically nested within the *Anopheles gambiae* species complex. These species and subgroups exhibit varying degrees of reproductive isolation, sometimes recognized as distinct subspecies. We have sequenced 32 complete genomes from field-captured individuals of *Anopheles gambiae*, *Anopheles gambiae* M form (recently named *A. coluzzii*), sister species *A. arabiensis*, and the recently discovered "GOUNDRY" subgroup of *A. gambiae* that is highly susceptible to *Plasmodium*. Amidst a backdrop of strong reproductive isolation and adaptive differentiation, we find evidence for introgression of autosomal chromosomal regions among species and subgroups, some of which have facilitated adaptation. The X chromosome, however, is strongly differentiated among all species and subgroups, pointing to a disproportionately large effect of X chromosome genes in driving speciation among anophelines. Strikingly, we find that autosomal introgression has occurred from contemporary hybridization among *A. gambiae* and *A. arabiensis* despite strong divergence (~5× higher than autosomal divergence) and isolation on the X chromosome. We find a large region of the X chromosome that has swept to fixation in the GOUNDRY subgroup within the last 100 years, which may be an inversion that serves as a partial barrier to contemporary gene flow. We show that speciation with gene flow results in genomic mosaicism of divergence and introgression. Such a reticulate gene pool connecting vector species and subgroups across the speciation continuum has important implications for malaria control efforts.

Author Summary:

Subdivision of species into ecological specialized subgroups allows organisms to access a wider variety of environments and sometimes leads to the formation of species complexes. Adaptation to distinct environments tends to result in differentiation among closely related subgroups, although hybridization can facilitate sharing of globally adaptive alleles. Here, we show that differentiation and hybridization have acted in parallel in a species complex of *Anopheles* mosquitoes that vector human malaria. In particular, we show that extensive adaptive differentiation and partial reproductive

isolation has led to genomic differentiation among mosquito species and subgroups,

especially on the X chromosome. However, we also find evidence for exchange of genes

on the autosomes that has provided the raw material for recent rapid adaptation. For

example, we show that *A. arabiensis* has shared a mutation conferring insecticide

resistance with two subgroups of *A. gambiae* within the last 60 years, illustrating the fluid

nature of species boundaries among even more advanced species pairs. Our results

underscore the expected challenges in deploying vector-based disease control strategies

since many of the world's most devastating human pathogens are transmitted by

arthropod species complexes.

Introduction:

Closely related, morphologically similar, and sometimes interbreeding species complexes are common in nature and challenge conceptions of species boundaries [1]. In some cases, taxa diverge to exploit distinct ecological niches and thus represent incipient species on a trajectory towards reproductive isolation and phenotypic differentiation [2]. However, an alternative, more fluid, view of species boundaries and divergence as a continuum may be more appropriate in cases where the extent of reproductive isolation varies across time and environmental space (reviewed in [3]). Whether permeable species boundaries affect adaptive evolution among closely related taxa remains contentious. On one hand, introgression may serve to homogenize diverging taxa and oppose adaptive differentiation [4]. On the other hand, globally adaptive alleles may be shared among subgroups, increasing the mean fitness of each [5,6]. In species complexes, semi-permeable species boundaries could provide conduits for adaptive alleles to spread across large distances, both geographic and environmental.

The *Anopheles gambiae* species complex in sub-Saharan Africa includes several major vectors of malaria, which continues to place a devastating burden on local human populations [7]. Prior to the 1940s, *A. gambiae* was considered a single biologically variable species, but crossing studies and genetic analysis led to the naming of nine morphologically similar species that vary in their geographic distribution and ecology (reviewed in [8]). It is becoming increasingly appreciated from ecological distinctions and recent discoveries of additional genetic substructure that, even within species, *Anopheles* species frequently form partially reproductively isolated and differentiated subpopulations [9–11].

The M and S "molecular forms" are two major subgroups within *Anopheles gambiae sensu stricto* that are mostly reproductively isolated in the field, although they are compatible in captivity [12,13]. The two forms are sympatric in West and Central Africa but exploit distinct microecological niches [9,14]. The M form was recently given a formal species name, *Anopheles coluzzi* [8], although in the present paper we use the older terminology of 'M form' in the present work for continuity with existing literature. The 'S form' retains the name *A. gambiae s.s.*, but we use 'S form' here. Internal subdivisions exist even within the molecular forms [15,16] and recent evidence indicates

an often high level of local M-S hybridization[11], illustrating the fluid semispecies

boundaries within the *Anopheles gambiae* group. It is not known how many cryptic

subpopulations exist within *Anopheles,* or how much gene flow they share, but there is

evidence that subdivision may be common [11]. This is exemplified by the recently

discovery of the GOUNDRY subgroup in Burkina Faso, which shows considerable

genetic distinction from other described *A. gambiae* and is particularly permissive for

Plasmodium development [17].

101   Epidemiological modeling and vector-based malaria control strategies must

explicitly consider unique aspects of subgroups such as M, S, and GOUNDRY if they are

to effectively predict disease dynamics and responses to intervention [18]. Because many

of the world's most devastating human diseases are vectored by arthropods in species

complexes, including *Anopheles* vectors of malaria, tsetse fly vectors of African sleeping

sickness, and *Ixodes* tick vectors of Lyme disease [19–21], the dynamic evolution of

phenotypic diversity and adaptive introgression among cryptic taxa in species complexes

has serious implications for public health. In the specific case of malaria, the existence of

partially differentiated but occasionally hybridizing subspecies could complicate malaria

control efforts that rely on the spread of transgenes through mosquito populations or

conventional controls that target specific aspects of mosquito ecology or life history [22].

112   Genomic dissection of species complexes also lends insight into processes of

speciation. Studies have shown strong differences between sex chromosomes (X or Z)

and autosomes in the rates of genetic divergence and introgression and therefore

speciation among pairs of species in systems ranging from *Drosophila* to Hominids.

Genetic divergence tends to be higher on sex chromosomes relative to autosomes and

introgressed regions are underrepresented on the sex chromosomes [23–25].

Heterogeneity in levels of genetic divergence has also been shown among genomic

regions that vary in rates of meiotic recombination, with elevated divergence in genomic

regions with low recombination rates such as centromeric regions and chromosomal

inversions [26–28]. Analysis of genetic divergence and introgression in the *Anopheles*

gambiae species complex provides a valuable opportunity to test the generality of these

patterns across the speciation continuum.

The *Anopheles* system has become a model system for studying speciation and
gene flow. Although substantial effort has been dedicated to understanding the status,
history, and genomic consequences of reproductive isolation between two members of
the *Anopheles gambiae* species complex, the M and S molecular forms of *A. gambiae*
[13,29–31], firm conclusions regarding the degree of reproductive isolation and the age
of the two forms remains controversial. This is due in part to the fact that these studies
were based on ascertained SNP-panels, low-resolution sequencing datasets, and sequence
datasets from small samples of laboratory mosquito colonies. In addition, they relied on
statistical approaches that are incapable of distinguishing between multiple confounding
population genetic processes. In particular, these analyses relied on measures of relative
divergence, which are not robust to variation in recombination rates and natural selection
across the genome and do not explicitly distinguish between lineage sorting of ancestral
polymorphism and introgression [32–35]. Relatively few studies have addressed
divergence among other members of the species complex and the same analytical
concerns apply here as well [30,36,37]. In depth analysis of full sequence data using
appropriate statistical approaches will greatly improve our ability to infer the
introgression dynamics and the evolutionary consequences.
In the present study, we generated whole genome sequences from 32 wild caught
females from the *Anopheles gambiae* species complex representing multiple points along
the speciation continuum within this complex. We conducted detailed population genetic
analysis of these data and show that 1) *A. gambiae* is more closely related to *A.*
arabiensis than to *A. merus*, and the newly discovered GOUNDRY subgroup of *A.*
gambiae diverged from the M form ~100 kya, 2) speciation is driven by the X
chromosome in this species complex, but introgression of autosomal regions is common
among species across the speciation continuum, 3) ecological specialization and local
adaptation may have driven many recent selective sweeps in these species, and 4)
introgression has facilitated adaptation in some cases.
151
Results
Genome Sequencing and Population Genetic Analysis

We have completely sequenced the genomes of 32 field-captured female

Anopheles mosquitoes from Burkina Faso and Guinea using the Illumina HiSeq2000

platform. We sequenced *A. gambiae* GOUNDRY (n=12), *A. gambiae* M form (n=10), *A.*

gambiae S form (n=1) and *Anopheles arabiensis* (n=9). Most individuals were

sequenced to an average read depth of 9.79x, while one individual each from

GOUNDRY, M form, and S form was sequenced to at least 16.44x (Table S1). We also

used publicly available genome sequences from *Anophleles merus* as an outgroup and a

previously published *An. arabiensis* genome from Tanzania for a geographically distinct

comparison [38]. We conducted population genetic analysis of aligned short-read data

using genotype likelihoods and genotype calls calculated using the probabilistic inference

framework ANGSD [39].

Genetic Relatedness Among Species and Subgroups

To confirm broad-scale genetic relationships among the *Anopheles* included in

this analysis, we calculated an unrooted neighbor-joining tree based on genome-wide

genetic distance (D_{xy}) at intergenic sites (Figure 1). Comparisons of genetic distance

averaged across the genomes in our data recovers monophyletic species and subgroups

with the *A. gambiae* clade more closely related to *A. arabiensis* than either is to *A. merus*,

consistent with recent analyses [37,40]. Specifically, the length of the branch leading to

the *A. merus* clade ($0.0240 \pm 7.61 \times 10^{-4}$) is 3.64× the length of the branch leading to the *A.*

arabiensis clade ($0.0066 \pm 7.41 \times 10^{-4}$) and their 95% bootstrap intervals do not overlap

indicating a closer genetic relationship between *A. arabiensis* and *A. gambiae*. In

contrast to the initial description of the recently discovered GOUNDRY subgroup of *A.*

gambiae as a genetic outgroup to the M and S molecular forms of *A. gambiae* [17], our

data indicate that GOUNDRY is actually derived from the M form ($D_{GM} = 0.0109$; 100%

bootstrap support) more recently than either subgroup shares a common ancestor with the

S form ($D_{GS} = 0.0149$; $D_{MS} = 0.0143$).

Origins of GOUNDRY, a New Cryptic Taxon

It has been hypothesized that the advent of agriculture in sub-Saharan Africa ~5-

10 kya played a role in driving diversification and expansion of *Anopheles* mosquitoes

[41]. To test whether the origin of GOUNDRY could have been associated with habitat

modification driven by agriculture, we fit three-epoch population historical models

(Figure 2; Methods) to the two-dimensional site frequency spectrum for GOUNDRY and

M form *A. gambiae* using *dadi* [42]. The best-fitting model (Table 1) predicts that these

subgroups diverged ~111,200 ya (95% CI 96,718 – 125,010), followed by a 100-fold

reduction in the size of both subgroups after isolation (Methods), and thus rejects any role

of modern agriculture in subgroup division, although it should be noted that estimates of

such old splits times inherently carry considerable uncertainty. Our inferred model is

inconsistent by an order of magnitude with agriculture as a driving force in cladogenesis

and more consistent with habitat fragmentation and loss due to natural causes. The

model supports a >500-fold population growth in M form and 19-fold growth in

GOUNDRY with extensive gene flow between them ~85,300 ya, consistent with a re-

establishment of contiguous habitat and abundant availability of bloodmeal hosts.

Interestingly, the model supports additional population growth in both subgroups in the

most recent epoch, which spans the last 10,000 years and coincides with the advent of

agriculture. Hybridization related to secondary contact has not led to complete

homogenization, however, as we conservatively identified nearly 9,000 fixed nucleotide

differences distributed across the genomes of the two subgroups.

We note that the dates reported here depend on assumptions about both the

physiological mutation rate as well as the number of generations per year, neither of

which are well known in *Anopheles* (Methods). As such, the details of these results

would differ somewhat if different estimates were used, but we would have to invoke

extreme values of these parameters in order to obtain estimates of an age of the

GOUNDRY-M form split that is consistent with the advent of agriculture. The resulting

2D spectra from the data and the best-fit model are presented in Figure 2. Residuals

indicating differences between the model and data are also presented. In general the fit is

quite good. Most of the weight in the 2D empirical spectrum is matched in the 2D

spectrum from the model, and the residual plot reveals that in general the model captures

most features of the true spectrum. Several exceptions exist, however, indicating that

some complexity of the true history is not captured by our model. Nonetheless, the

model captures the patterns in the data quite well and suggest that GOUNDRY and M

form diverged ~100 kya, have both undergone bouts of population growth and

experienced increased rates of hybridization in more recent evolutionary time.

Evidence for extensive adaptive differentiation

220   Ecological specialization typically involves natural selection on ecologically

relevant traits involving one or more genetic loci. To identify loci putatively involved in

ecological specialization and quantify the role of selection in adaptive differentiation, we

scanned the genomes of GOUNDRY, M form, and *A. arabiensis* for signals of recent

positive natural selection using SweepFinder [43]. We evaluated the global site

frequency spectra from intergenic sites in euchromatic regions of the autosome and X

chromosome separately without explicitly calling genotypes using algorithms within

ANGSD [39,44–46]. For M form and GOUNDRY, we established genome-wide critical

values by comparing empirical likelihood ratio scores to a distribution of high scores

obtained by applying SweepFinder to genomes simulated with coalescent simulations

under the demographic model inferred for these subgroups described in the section above.

For *A. arabiensis*, we used a 'high-score-cluster' approach to identify putative sweeps

where regions were considered significant if two adjacent windows were assigned

likelihood ratio scores from the top 0.1% genome-wide (see Methods). Consistent with

ongoing adaptive divergence, perhaps related to ecological specialization, we find

evidence for a number of recent selective sweeps that are private to each of the three

groups [Figure 3, Tables S2 and S3]. We find evidence of 120 recent selective sweeps in

the M form subgroup, compared to only 67 in GOUNDRY and 33 in the *A. arabiensis*

genome.

239   We were interested in determining whether GOUNDRY or M form has

experienced significantly more selection than the other. We scanned each genome for

selective windows and found that, of the 230 possible megabase windows, 36 windows

harbored at least one selective sweep in GOUNDRY while 58 harbored sweeps in M

form, indicating that M form has experienced significantly (Figure S1; *P* < 0.0001) more

positive selection in recent evolutionary history. This difference may reflect recent

exploitation of marginal ecological habitats by the M form subgroup, especially those

associated with human settlements [9,47].

We note here that the many recent selective sweeps in GOUNDRY combined

with the fact that GOUNDRY is partially inbred have led to unusual patterns of

nucleotide diversity across the genome (Figure 4). We observed strong evidence of

inbreeding in the GOUNDRY sample, but not in the other subgroups. Optimized

individual inbreeding coefficients for chromosomal arms ranged from 0 to nearly 0.7 in

the *A. gambiae* GOUNDRY subgroup sample, where an inbreeding coefficient of 1

would indicate complete lack of heterozygosity. Other than relatively high values on 3R

for *A. arabiensis*, inbreeding coefficients for the M form subgroup and *A. arabiensis* were

uniformly low. The underlying source of the high values on 3R in *A. arabiensis* is not

known. In GOUNDRY, the homozygous regions are uniformly distributed around the

genome but unique to each sampled individual, which is consistent with a high degree of

close inbreeding. Importantly, inbreeding affects the distribution of diversity along

diploid genomes, but should not obscure the signals of selective sweeps observed in

GOUNDRY. Specifically, selective sweeps involve the fixation of the same haplotype

across the population, whereas inbreeding simply brings together parental haplotypes that

are identical-by-descent but are not necessarily shared among breeding pairs. As such,

these processes have distinct effects on patterns of polymorphism that should not impact

the ability to detect sweeps.

Two of the swept loci in the M form [*TEP1* and *Resistance to dieldrin* (*Rdl*)] have

been identified previously using independent datasets and analytical approaches [48][13],

providing an important validation of our analysis pipeline. These genes are involved in

immunity and resistance to insecticide, respectively. We additionally find a particularly

intriguing novel sweep at a gene encoding a neuropeptide F (NPF; AGAP004122). In

Drosophila, NPFs have been shown to control larval behavior and feeding [49], so it is

tempting to speculate that adaptive differentiation of this gene may underlie the

previously described unique larval bottom-feeding and extended predator avoidance

behaviors of the M form [50]. The most notable signal of positive selection in M form

genomes is found near MB 15 on the X chromosome. Linkage disequilibrium among

SNPs at least 1,000 bp apart is exceptionally elevated across a region approximately one

megabase in size, suggesting that this region has been subjected to especially strong and

recent positive selection (Figure S2). However, it is difficult to say whether or not this

was a single event since our SweepFinder analysis indicates five independent peaks in the

log-likelihood ratio surface in this region (Table S2), even after re-analyzing clusters of

peaks that could represent single events falsely divided into a swarm of smaller peaks

(Methods). The genes nearest to the five peaks include a gene encoding a cuticular

protein in the RR-2 family, a gene encoding CDC-like kinase, and two genes with no

known function (Table S2).

The sweeps in the *A. arabiensis* genomes include genes encoding two proteins

involved in development, Eclosion hormone and Frizzled-2, and the gene encoding the

sulfonylurea receptor. RNAi knockdown of the sulfonylurea receptor in *Drosophila*

melanogaster significantly increases susceptibility to viral infections [51].

One particularly notable sweep in GOUNDRY lies near the gene encoding the

master gustatory and odorant receptor *Agam/Orco* (*AgOr7*) that is a required coreceptor

for all odorant binding proteins [52]. Expression of *AgOr7* is predominantly localized in

female antennae and palps and fluctuates with circadian cycles, leading to the hypothesis

that this gene controls temporal shifts in olfactory sensitivity [53]. Adaptation at *AgOr7*

could have important impacts on anthropophily, sensitivity to DEET [54], and may

underlie exophilic behavior in GOUNDRY [17].

In summary, we identified a large number of recent bouts of positive selection in

GOUNDRY, M form, and *A. arabiensis*, including a number of interesting loci that

potentially underlie important ecological and physical differences between these taxa.

We also showed that M form has experienced more recent positive selection than

GOUNDRY, which may reflect the more diverse environmental challenges that the M

form has experienced as it exploits novel environments and niches.

Recent autosomal introgression

Since recently diverged subgroups and species may still share polymorphism even

if they are completely reproductively isolated in practice, we addressed the question of

whether several members of the *Anopheles gambiae* species complex have introgressed

historically or contemporaneously by formally differentiating between introgression and

lineage sorting of ancestral polymorphism. To explicitly differentiate between these two

population genetic processes, we used the ABBA-BABA test [55,56]. This test uses the

D statistic to compare the distribution of alleles on the four taxon tree ((H1,H2),H3),O),

where H1 and H2 are sister taxa and H3 and O are the outgroups. Under the null

hypothesis of a perfect tree structure and no gene flow, the number of derived mutations

that are shared only between the genomes of H2 and H3 (ABBA) is expected to equal the

number of those that are shared only between H1 and H3 (BABA). *D* is then calculated

as the standardized difference between the numbers of ABBAs and the number of

BABAs with an expectation that *D* is zero under the null hypothesis [55]. Significant

excess sharing of derived alleles between H3 and either H1 or H2 will result in a non-

zero *D* and provides evidence of introgression. In our case, such tests are not appropriate

because the alternative to no introgression is not only asymmetric introgression between

an outgroup and one of the ingroups, but also potentially approximately equal amounts of

introgression between the outgroup and each of the two ingroups. For that reason we use

a modified test in which we instead consider the length distribution of fragments of

shared ancestry. Since haplotypes are broken down by recombination over successive

generations, the length distribution of shared haplotypes among populations is

informative regarding the time since the most recent introgression event [57,58]. After *t*

generations, the mean length of a shared haplotype is approximately $(r(1 - m)(t -$

$1))^{-1}$, where *r* is the recombination rate and *m* is the proportion of introgressed

individuals in the population [58]. Since *t* will be smaller for introgressed haplotypes

than for shared ancestral haplotypes, the mean length of introgressed haplotypes will be

longer resulting in longer clusters of excess ABBAs or BABAs. We used patterns of

linkage disequilibrium to approximate this expectation and deviations from it by

calculating variance in the *D* statistic among ~250 Kb blocks, Var[D_{BLOCK}], to detect

physical clusters of correlated genomic segments consistent with an excess of long shared

haplotypes, and compared it to its null distribution generated by permuting fragments of

size ~2.5 Kb in order to manually break up possible correlations (see Methods). If the

observed value of Var[D_{BLOCK}] is significantly larger than that predicted from permuted

fragments of size ~2.5 Kb, which is >10x the average length of the decay of linkage

disequilibrium, we conclude that the genomes harbor introgressed haplotypes.

We applied this test using several four-taxon trees and find evidence for recent

introgression among species and subgroups of the *A. gambiae* species complex. We

calculated the *D* statistic using a four-taxon tree with M form and GOUNDRY as the

sister taxa (H1 and H2, respectively), *A. merus* as the outgroup (O), and either S form or

A. arabiensis as H3. After comparing the Var[D_{BLOCK}] in the empirical data to

Var[D_{BLOCK}] from 10^4 randomly permutated genomes, we find significant evidence for

introgression between the M form-GOUNDRY clade and S form [*P* < 0.0001, Figure 5].

Using M form and GOUNDRY as the ingroups again, but *A. arabiensis* as the test taxon

(H3), we also find that the Var[D_{BLOCK}] is significantly larger than all 10^4 permuted

genomes [*P* < 0.0001, Figure 5]. In fact, Var[D_{BLOCK}] is larger by a factor of 3.7 in the S

form test (0.0122) and a factor of 6.7 *A. arabiensis* test (0.0268) than the largest value of

the 10^4 permuted genomes (Figure 5). These results indicate that the observation of

shared polymorphism between *A. gambiae* and *A. arabiensis* and between *A. gambiae* M

and S forms can be attributed in part to introgression.

Contemporary introgression between A. gambiae *and* A. arabiensis

We can also ask whether introgression has occurred via contemporary

hybridization by comparing signals of introgression with sympatric and allopatric

populations. If introgression has occurred recently, we expect stronger affinity among

sympatric relative to allopatric populations [33,59,60]. We tested whether introgression

between *A. gambiae* M form and *A. arabiensis* has been recent with sympatric *A.*

arabiensis versus allopatric *A. arabiensis* from Tanzania. In this case, we used the

standard ABBA-BABA test since we are explicitly testing a simple '1-taxon' model

(Figure 5). We tested for introgression using a four-taxon tree of high-coverage

individuals with the two *A. arabiensis* individuals as the ingroups (H1 and H2) with M

form as the outgroup (H3) and find a significant excess of shared derived mutations

between M form *A. gambiae* and sympatric *A. arabiensis* relative to allopatric *A.*

arabiensis from Tanzania (*D* = -0.0542, Block Jackknife Z-score = -13.1533, *P* =

1.63×10^{-39}; Table 2). Similarly, we used GOUNDRY as H3 and find evidence for

significant excess introgression between sympatric *A. arabiensis* and GOUNDRY (*D* = -

0.0441, Block Jackknife Z-score = -11.7559, *P* = 6.58×10^{-32}; Table 2). In line with recent

evidence of contemporary hybridization between *A. gambiae* and *A. arabiensis* in

Uganda [61], our results provide strong evidence that introgression continues to occur via

contemporary hybridization in Burkina Faso as well that has the potential to impact the

evolution of both ecologically and epidemiologically relevant traits.

Introgressed genes

Introgressed haplotypes may contain genes with potentially important phenotypic

effects and variation along the genome in concentration of introgressed haplotypes can be

indicative of barriers to introgression, so we partitioned the signal of introgression to

identify introgressed chromosomal segments. To do so, we established genome-wide

significance thresholds by comparing each empirical D_{BLOCK} value to the distribution of

the most extreme D_{BLOCK} value from each of the 10^4 permuted genomes (Methods).

Since each D_{BLOCK} is polarized, we can identify windows that show significant

introgression with each of the sister taxa (i.e. M form and GOUNDRY) and H3 (Figure

6). After conservatively correcting for multiple testing genome-wide, we find significant

evidence of introgression between M form and both S form and *A. arabiensis*, and the

proportions of the genome that are represented by significant windows are 1.1% and

3.6% with S form and *A. arabiensis,* respectively. These introgressed chromosomal

blocks include 97 annotated protein coding sequences introgressed between the M and S

forms and 543 introgressed between M form and *A. arabiensis* (Table S4). Moreover, we

find strong evidence for introgression of the pericentromeric region on chromosome 2L

between the M and S forms, which contrasts starkly with previous suggestions that this

region may be a barrier to introgression and important for speciation between these taxa

[13,29,30]. A recent study based on SNP-genotype data identified the same signal of

introgression in this genomic region and attributed the high frequency of the introgressed

haplotype to the sharing of an adaptive insecticide resistance allele at the *kdr* locus [62].

One window that shows an exceptionally strong introgression signal between M

form and *A. arabiensis* harbors the GABA receptor gene, also known as the *resistance to*

dielrin locus because of the role of this receptor in conferring resistance to the insecticide

dieldrin and related insecticides [63]. Our finding that the *Rdl* locus has been

introgressed between *A. gambiae* and *A. arabiensis* is contradictory to previous reports

that these species have acquired resistance at this locus through independent but

convergent mutations [64].

We used the same approach to identify genomic blocks shared between

GOUNDRY and two H3 taxa, S form and *A. arabiensis* (Table 2). We find that windows

representing 3.2% of the GOUNDRY genome and harboring 369 protein-coding

sequences share a significant excess of derived mutations with the S form. In addition,

we find that 3.5% of the GOUNDRY genome harboring 499 protein coding sequences

shares a significant excess of derived mutations with *A. arabiensis*. In line with results

above showing evidence of recent introgression among *A. gambiae* and sympatric *A.*

arabiensis relative to allopatric *A. arabiensis*, we find that the windows with significant

evidence of introgression with sympatric (Burkina Faso) *A. arabiensis* cover slightly

more of the genome than windows with significant evidence of introgression with

allopatric (Tanzania) *A. arabiensis* (Table 2). Although only relatively small percentages

of these individual genomes show significant evidence of recent introgression, hundreds

of protein-coding genes are involved in each case such that homogenization of these

genomic regions may have large effects on phenotypic evolution, exhibited most

prominently by the sharing of presumably functional mutations at two insecticide loci in

the M form comparisons.

While identifying introgressed regions provides insight into the homogenizing

effects of hybridization, identifying genomic regions depauperate of recent introgression

can provide evidence for genomic barriers to introgression. Despite evidence for

considerable introgression on the autosomes, we find no evidence of recent introgression

of X chromosome sequence among any subgroups or species, which suggests a

disproportionately large role for the X in speciation among these taxa.

Introgressed chromosomal inversions

Partitioning the ABBA-BABA test into genomic blocks can also reveal possible

evidence for introgressive sharing of chromosomal inversions (Figure 6). *A. arabiensis* is

fixed for the inverted $2La^a$ arrangement that spans ~22 MB of chromosome 2L and is

also nearly fixed in the subgroups of *A. gambiae* (i.e. the M and S forms) in most

Savanna regions of West Africa [65]. However, both forms of the inversion ($2La^a$ and

$2La^+$) are segregating in GOUNDRY [17] and we analyzed both GOUNDRY forms here.

Consistent with previous studies [30,65–68], we find strong evidence that the inverted

form has introgressed between *A. gambiae* and *A. arabiensis*. The 2L*a* region exhibits

extremely strong excess sharing of derived mutations when M form (2La^a) is H1,

GOUNDRY (2La^+) is H2, and *A. arabiensis* is H3 (2La^a). This result reflects excess

BABAs, or alleles shared between the 2La^a haplotypes of M form and *A. arabiensis*. In a

second comparison similar to the previous except that GOUNDRY is represented by its

2La^a haplotype, the strong and extended reduction in *D* across the region is not observed.

The strong reduction in *D* when the two inversion forms are contrasted provides evidence

consistent with the introgression of the 2La^a form of this inversion between *A. gambiae*

and *A. arabiensis* before the split of M form and GOUNDRY. We also find a similar

extended reduction in *D* on 2R coinciding with the known location of the 2R*b*

chromosomal inversion (Figure 6). This reduction is also consistent with introgression of

one form of this inversion between M form and *A. arabiensis,* although we don't know

the inversion karyotypes for the individuals analyzed here, so it is more difficult to

interpret this signal. In principle, these results are consistent with introgression, but

chromosomal inversions are known to suppress recombination to some extent [69–71]

such that ancestral haplotypes may be maintained over time violating some of the

assumptions our modified ABBA-BABA test. However, this confounding scenario can

be ruled out in the case of 2L*a* since we find a strong reduction in genetic divergence

(D_{xy}) between the 2La^a haplotypes of *A. arabiensis* and both M form and GOUNDRY

relative to surrounding genomic regions (Figure 7), which is consistent with introgression

but not ancestral haplotype maintenance. As a result, this region harbors genomic patterns

of divergence and allele sharing that deviate from the genome at large in a comparison-

specific fashion. Interestingly, we also find local deviations from this pattern that

provide evidence for recent introgression events that are independent from the inversion

introgression event (Figure 6). The combination of ABBA-BABA tests and genetic

divergence among the chromosomal forms of these taxa provide strong evidence that 2L*a*

has introgressed and suggestive evidence that 2R*b* may have crossed species boundaries.

Introgression facilitates adaptation

Natural selection is expected to remove most introgressed genetic material due to

ecological misfit or Dobzhanski-Muller Incompatibilities [72,73], especially between

more distant species, but some introgressed alleles may be selectively favored in the

recipient subgroup. As just described above, we find clear evidence for introgression of

the large 2La inversion between *A. gambiae* and *A. arabiensis*, which is in line with

several previous studies [30,65,67,68]. A number of studies have associated this

inversion with various putatively adaptive phenotypes and shown that the frequencies of

2La karyotypes correlate strongly with environmental factors such as aridity in West and

Central Africa [65,74]. Taken together, these observations indicate that the 2La^a

haplotype has crossed species boundaries and may have facilitated ecological and

physiological adaptation.

To identify other putatively adaptive introgression events, we examined the

intersection between our scans for selection and for introgression (both described above).

Since we cannot determine the direction of introgression robustly with the framework

used here, we identified selective sweeps in either the donor or recipient species and

found 17 selective events that may be due to selection on alleles that were originally

introgressed from another taxa. We find a large region on chromosome 2L (~21.5-23.5

MB) that is enriched for introgression of derived mutations between GOUNDRY (2La^a)

and *A. arabiensis* that harbors a recent sweep in *A. arabiensis* at the gene AGAP005855,

which has no known function.

The most striking example of these putative adaptive introgression events

involves allele sharing at the GABA receptor on 2L known to be involved in insecticide

resistance in both *A. gambiae* and *A. arabiensis* ([64]; Figure 8). Our scan for selection

points to a selective sweep in the M form subgroup at the boundary of the coding

sequence for this gene ($P_{gen} < 0.005$, $P_{loc} < 1.26 \times 10^{-06}$). However, we also find evidence,

albeit below the significance threshold at the genome level, for selection in both

GOUNDRY and *A. arabiensis* (Figure 8). There is an independent signal of selection in

GOUNDRY ($P_{gen} > 0.05$, $P_{loc} < 2.67 \times 10^{-04}$) upstream of the M form signal. We do not

find LLR values above background levels that would be consistent with recent selection

in *A. arabiensis*, but we find that LD is slightly elevated and nucleotide diversity reduced

relative to neighboring regions, consistent with a historical sweep at this locus (Figure 8).

It is important to note that the model implemented in SweepFinder is specifically aimed

at detecting very recent and complete sweeps [43], so it is not surprising that we might

see remnants of a putative historical sweep that is not picked up by SweepFinder.

Together, these results suggest that a resistance allele arose first and adaptively fixed in *A.*

arabiensis, and adaptive fixations occurred more recently in M form and GOUNDRY.

We find strong evidence that haplotypes involving the *Rdl* locus have been

introgressed among *Anopheles*. It is necessary to consider the genomic background when

interpreting data at the *Rdl* locus since if falls within the 2L*a* chromosomal inversion. On

one hand genetic divergence is relatively low across the inverted 2La^a region between *A.*

gambiae and *A. arabiensis*, since this inversion has introgressed between these species.

Conversely, there is exceptionally high genetic divergence between GOUNDRY 2La^+

chromosomes and the M form subgroup (fixed for 2La^a in this sample set) and *A.*

arabiensis (fixed for 2La^a as a species) chromosomes (Figure 7). Close inspection of

ABBA-BABA tests and patterns of pairwise genetic divergence at the *Rdl* region

suggests that both GOUNDRY and M form have independently received introgressed

material in this region from *A. arabiensis* in a *2L*a-inversion karyotype dependent fashion.

Specifically, the ABBA-BABA test involving the tree ((M form 2La^a, GOUNDRY 2La^a),

A. arabiensis 2La^a), *A. merus* 2La^a) indicates evidence for significant allele sharing

between *A. arabiensis* and M form at this locus (Figures 6 and 8). Together with the fact

that genetic divergence between these two taxa is low at *Rdl* relative to immediately

surrounding regions (Figure 8), these results provide strong evidence for introgression

between M form and *A. arabiensis* at the *Rdl* locus. However, we also see evidence for

an independent introgression event between *A. arabiensis* and the population of 2La^+

chromosomes in GOUNDRY. In the ABBA-BABA test based on the tree ((M form

2La^a , GOUNDRY 2La^+), *A. arabiensis* 2La^a), *A. merus* 2La^a), the region inside the *2L*a

inversion is almost entirely negative and nearly -1, reflecting the strong excess of allele

sharing between the introgressed 2La^a chromosomes from *A. arabiensis* and M form.

However, amidst this pattern, we see a large region of positive *D* statistics at the *Rdl*

locus indicating excess derived mutation sharing between *A. arabiensis* and GOUNDRY

2La^+ chromosomes (Figures 6 and 8). Consistent with this result, we also see a large and

extended reduction in genetic divergence between GOUNDRY 2La^+ and both M form

and *A. arabiensis*, indicating recent introgression between GOUNDRY and one of these

groups. However, genetic divergence is exceptionally low between GOUDNRY and *A.*

arabiensis across the entire putatively introgressed region, while divergence is reduced

between M form and GOUNDRY only where genetic divergence is also low between M

form and *A. arabiensis* (Figure 8). These observations provide evidence that an

introgression event occurred first from *A. arabiensis* into M form followed by a second

independent introgression event from *A. arabiensis* into GOUNDRY.

In summary, the evidence for positive selection at this locus in these groups in

combination with the signals of independent introgression events lead us to hypothesize

that a mutation conferring insecticide resistance arose and adaptively fixed first in *A.*

arabiensis and then was shared successively with the M form and GOUNDRY subgroups

where it underwent positive selection in these groups as well. Since insecticide pressure

on mosquito populations in West Africa, and thus selective pressure on this variant,

began in the 1950s [75], we infer that introgression of this adaptive allele among these

taxa has occurred within the last 60 years.

Xag-Xbcd inversion complex is barrier to introgression

We have shown above that some genomic regions have recently introgressed

between the genomes of members of the *Anopheles gambiae* species complex, which

raises the question of whether certain genomic regions contain barriers to such

introgression. We hypothesized that long term differences in introgression along the

genome will be reflected in patterns of genetic divergence, since genetic divergence will

be partially determined in part by selection for and against introgressed material.

Empirical and theoretical work has led to the hypothesis that hybrid sterility factors or

locally adapted genetic variants in genomic regions with restricted recombination in

hybrids play an especially important role in formation and maintenance of species

boundaries in the face of gene flow because the hitchhiking process involved in selection

against maladaptive introgressed variants will affect larger genomic swaths in lowly

recombining regions [71,76–79]. In particular, chromosomal inversions and centromeric

regions are thought to play a role in species formation when hybridization is ongoing,

although currently available empirical evidence supporting a role of centromeric regions

is confounded by the effects of natural selection on linked sites [32,33,35]. Empirical

and theoretical evidence also supports the hypothesis that the X chromosome may play an

important role in maintaining species boundaries ('large-X effect'; [23,25,80,81]). To

test for genomic barriers among taxa in the *Anopheles gambiae* species complex, we

asked whether sequence divergence (D_{xy}) between taxa at various distances along the

speciation continuum differed among genomic regions as expected under a model of

differential rates of introgression. However, D_{xy} is an estimator of $2\mu t + 4N\mu$, where μ is

the mutation rate, t is the number of generations since the species split, and N is the

effective population size of the ancestral population, but $4N\mu$ can vary among genomic

regions for reasons unrelated to differential introgression (i.e. variable mutation rate or

effects of natural selection on linked sites; Figure 4). Therefore, we jointly analyzed

nucleotide diversity (π) as a proxy for $4N\mu$ among genomic regions to avoid confounding

intra-population effects with differential introgression (see Methods).

Hybrid male sterility maps to the X chromosome in *A. gambiae-A. arabiensis*

crosses and two large X-linked chromosomal inversion complexes [X*ag* and X*bcd*]

suppress recombination on the X in hybrids of these species, so we hypothesized that less

frequent introgression may lead to exceptionally high sequence divergence on the X

relative to other genomic regions. In support of this hypothesis, we found that nucleotide

diversity on the X is significantly lower than on the autosomes (Mann-Whitney (hereafter

M-W) $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$), but that genetic divergence is significantly higher on the X (M-W

$P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$; Figure 9). Moreover, genetic divergence is significantly higher in the

region harboring inversions (M-W $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$) than in the surrounding chromosome.

Nucleotide diversity is also higher in this region relative to the centromere-proximal

region (M-W $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$), where nucleotide diversity is especially low, presumably

due to the effects of linked selection on neutral genetic variation. While we cannot

formally rule out an elevated mutation rate inside the inverted region, there is no reason

to expect the inverted region to be more mutable. This excess genetic divergence on the

X is consistent with our results in the ABBA-BABA analysis above showing that the X

chromosome lacks evidence of recent introgression among these taxa. In general, these

observations are in line with previous analyses of genetic differentiation among *A.*

arabiensis and *A. gambiae*, as well as with laboratory backcrossing experiments

[30,37,82], indicating that introgression is particularly inhibited on the X chromosome,

and that the X chromosome plays a disproportionately large role in driving speciation.

In the comparison between M form and *A. arabiensis,* we generally find no

evidence for elevated divergence across the autosome, indicating a long history of

introgression and few genomic barriers. However, inspection of the genomic distribution

of nucleotide diversity and divergence (Figures 4 and 7, respectively) reveals that the

difference between nucleotide divergence and inter-species diversity is especially high in

the pericentromeric region of chromosome 3. Nucleotide diversity is reduced in this

region, presumably resulting in part from the effects of intra-population positive and

negative selection on linked sites. However, the relative increase in D_{xy}, which is not

affected by positive selection, suggests that migrant alleles have been selected against in

this region such that this region harbors both fewer shared polymorphisms as well as

more private mutations relative to the nearby freely recombining regions, for example.

This raises the question of what genetic factors might be involved in local adaptation or

genetic incompatibilities in this region. We find evidence for adaptive divergence at

multiple loci in this region, including one that involves the gene encoding *Frizzled-2*, a

member of the *Wnt* receptor signaling pathway involved in development [83] that could

underlie developmental divergence among these taxa.

Overall, we show that rates of introgression among *A. gambiae* and *A. arabiensis*

have differed dramatically among genomic regions resulting in exceptionally high

divergence on the X chromosome, intermediate divergence in the pericentromeric region

of 3L, and divergence levels similar to subgroup comparisons within *A. gambiae* across

most of the autosome. These data are consistent with the X chromosome playing a

primary and disproportionately large role in speciation among these taxa and a secondary

role for centromeric regions.

Novel X-linked chromosomal inversion in GOUNDRY is a barrier to introgression

The most striking sweep from the selection scan of GOUNDRY genomes above

covers 1.67 Mb on the X chromosome and results in nearly complete absence of

polymorphism across this region (Figure 4), despite read depths in the region comparable

to neighboring genomic regions (Figure S3). The remarkably large size of the region

devoid of diversity would imply exceptionally strong positive selection under standard

rates of meiotic recombination. For comparison, previously identified strong sweeps

associated with insecticide resistance span approximately 40 Kb and 100 Kb in freely

recombining genomic regions of *Drosophila melanogaster* and *D. simulans,* respectively

[84,85]. The swept region in GOUNDRY is marked by especially sharp edges relative to

the other footprints of selection in our data (Figures 3 and 4), implying that

recombination has been suppressed at the boundaries this region. Collectively, these

observations suggest that the swept region may contain a small chromosomal inversion,

which we have named X*h* in keeping with inversion naming conventions in the

Anopheles system. Notably, this pattern is virtually identical to the pattern of diversity in

a confirmed X-linked inversion discovered in African populations of *D. melanogaster*

[86]. The region in GOUNDRY includes 92 predicted protein coding sequences (Table

S3), including the *white* gene, two members of the gene family encoding the TWDL

cuticular protein family (*TWDL8* and *TWDL9*), and five genes annotated with immune

function (*CLIPC4, CLIPC5, CLIPC6, CLIPC10, PGRPS1*). The lack of diversity in the

region implies that the presumed X*h* inversion has a single recent origin and was quickly

swept to fixation in GOUNDRY. We estimated the age of the haplotype (see Methods)

inside the sweep region to be 78 years with a standard deviation of 9.15 by assuming that

all mutations in the region are new and estimating the mean time since the most recent

common ancestor of the sampled haplotypes, representing the time of the sweep. Such

extraordinarily recent adaptation in an otherwise old subgroup is consistent with the

selection pressures related to 19th and 20th century human activity such as insecticide

pressure or widespread habitat modification.

We hypothesized that this putative X-linked chromosomal inversion in

GOUNDRY may serve as a barrier to introgression with M form, which we showed in

the demographic inference above has occurred at least in autosomal regions. We used the

same logic as for the comparison with *A. arabiensis* to compare divergence among

genomic regions and find that genetic divergence among M form and GOUNDRY

generally scales with (M form) nucleotide diversity across the genome (Figure 9),

consistent with broadly neutral divergence, suggesting that the rate of introgression

among these subgroups is qualitatively similar genome-wide. Although the distributions

of genetic divergence in 10 Kb windows are significantly different among genomic

regions (M-W $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$), the rank order of genetic divergence among genomic

regions is the same as for nucleotide diversity in these regions. This suggests that

differences in genetic divergence among regions can in large part be explained by

differences in nucleotide diversity. However, the difference between the X chromosome

at large and the putative Xh inversion is slightly larger for the divergence measure than

for nucleotide diversity, suggesting that divergence in the putative X inversion may be

present that is too small to be detectable with this particular test of distributions.

Since we expect that the putative Xh inversion is likely too young for measurable

differences in the distributions of D_{xy} to have accumulated, we tested for excess

divergence in the Xh inversion using several additional more sensitive approaches.

When divergence along the X chromosome is explicitly scaled by the mutation rate

inferred from levels of polymorphism in the M form sample (D_a), the putatively adaptive

Xh inversion between GOUNDRY and M form is proportionally much more divergent

than is the remainder of the X chromosome ($\overline{D}_a$ (Xh) = 0.0022, $\overline{D}_a$ (non-Xh) = 0.0013;

M-W $P < 4.89 \times 10^{-08}$; Figure S4). Although relative measures of divergence, such as D_a,

are known [32,33] to be confounded by reductions in nucleotide related to natural

selection on linked sites, for example, we believe that this analysis is robust to these

concerns because the comparison is among only X-linked windows and the region of

interest is in a region of the chromosome that is highly diverse in subgroups where it has

not been swept (Figure 4). We also directly tested whether the Xh region is significantly

more diverged than similarly sized windows on the X by defining a new statistic, ΔD_{xy}, to

compare the average D_{xy} among 10 kb windows within the putative inverted region (n =

166) with the average D_{xy} among windows in every other 1.67 MB window on the X that

does not overlap with the inverted region (n = 1,499; Methods). A positive ΔD_{xy}

indicates that the average value inside the inversion is greater than the average value in

the window outside the inversion. A negative value indicates that the average value is

higher in the window outside the inversion. We calculated this statistic between M and

GOUNDRY (see Methods) and found that ΔD_{xy} was significantly positive ($P < 10^{-5}$) for

every window in the comparisons between M and GOUNDRY (Figure S5). Interestingly,

the windows immediately adjacent to the inversion show the smallest ΔD_{xy} values (Figure

S5), suggesting that the introgression-limiting effects of the inversion have spread beyond

the breakpoints. Both of these tests indicate that sequence divergence between M and

GOUNDRY is greater inside the putative inversion relative to the X as a whole, which is

not likely to reflect accumulation of many new private mutations inside the inversion, but

rather a greater proportion of shared polymorphisms outside the inversion consistent with

higher rates of introgression outside the inversion.

The effects of the putative X*h* inversion on the GOUNDRY X chromosome as a

barrier to gene flow may extend beyond the boundaries of the inverted region, resulting

in very recent accumulation of divergence on the X chromosome that is confounded in

our analysis with inherent evolutionary differences between the autosomes and the X

chromosome. One approach to estimate differences in divergence is to compare

divergence between a focal pair of subgroups (GOUNDRY and M form) to divergence

between one of the focal groups and an outgroup (GOUNDRY and S form) in order to

scale by differences in mutation rate and other population genetic parameters among

regions. This approach estimates what is known as Relative Node Depth (RND =

D_{GM}/D_{GS}, where subscripts G, M, and S indicate GOUNDRY, M form, and S form

respectively), and a higher RND indicates greater divergence between the focal groups

[87]. We find that RND is 0.7797 on the autosomes and 0.8058 on the X, indicating

lower relative genetic divergence between GOUNDRY and M form on the autosomes

than on the X. To explicitly test whether such a pattern could be obtained under a pure

split model with no gene flow, we obtained expected values of Relative Node Depth

(RND) by assuming a phylogeny where M form and GOUNDRY form a clade with S

form as the outgroup and using coalescent theory under this model to calculate expected

RND (Methods).

Our analytical results support the hypothesis that D_{GM} is downwardly biased

relative to D_{GS} on the autosomes as a result of higher rates of gene flow on the autosomes

relative to the X. We find that under some parameter combinations (Figure 10), RND

decreases with increasing effective M-GOUNDRY effective population size, which could

result in a smaller RND value on the autosomes since the autosomes should have an

effective size at least as big as the X. However, most parameter combinations suggest

that this pattern is unexpected (i.e. most regions of the curves predict that RND should

increase with increasing effective population size), and the estimate for the ancestral

effective size of M-GOUNDRY we obtained in a separate demographic analysis above

suggests that these subgroups exist in a parameter space where the RND function is

consistently increasing with increasing effective sizes. Taken together with the

demographic inference, the above results suggest that, after having initially diverged

ecologically approximately 100,000 years ago, genomic barriers to introgression have

only been established within the last 100 years, presumably owing to the accumulation

and extended effects of locally adapted loci or genetic incompatibility factors within large

swept Xh region on the GOUNDRY X chromosome

Collectively, the data show that nucleotide-diversity corrected divergence is

higher inside the putative inverted region, the inverted region as a chromosomal segment

is the most diverged of all segments of the same size on the X chromosome, and the X

chromosome as a whole is more diverged among GOUNDRY and M form relative to the

autosomes. The most parsimonious explanation for these patterns is that, although very

few new mutations have accumulated inside of Xh since its origin less than 100 years ago,

ongoing introgression among M form and GOUNDRY has led to a greater density of

shared polymorphism and therefore lower sequence divergence in non-inverted regions of

the X chromosome relative to the inversion, especially distal to the inversion breakpoints.

These results lead us to conclude that while cladogenesis of GOUNDRY and M form

~100 kya by other means established some degree of temporally fluctuating reproductive

isolation, the recently derived putative Xh inversion now serves as a genomic barrier to

introgression, and the effects of selection against migrant haplotypes have begun to

extend to linked sites outside the inversion breakpoints.

Collinear X chromosomes are barriers to introgression

The X chromosomes of the *A. gambiae* M and S forms are collinear with that of *A.*

merus, so recombination is not expected to be suppressed in contemporary or historical

hybrids among these groups. To test whether sequence divergence is exceptionally high

on the X relative to the autosomes in these comparisons despite the lack of inverted

regions, we compared genetic divergence and nucleotide diversity among genomic

regions as described above. We find that genetic divergence between M and S scales

with nucleotide diversity across the genomic regional classes (Figure 9), consistent with

few long term barriers to introgression. However, inspection of chromosomal

distributions of divergence reveals a slight elevation in genetic divergence in a

chromosomal region where nucleotide diversity is low relative to the rest of the

chromosome (~16-20 MB; Figures 4 and 7) and recombination is known to be

proportionally rare in M-S hybrids [88]. In contrast, genetic divergence between *A.*

gambiae (M form) and *A. merus* does not scale with nucleotide diversity (Figure 9).

Nucleotide diversity is lower on the X than on the autosomes (M-W $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$), but

genetic divergence is significantly higher on the X (M-W $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$). Moreover, we

find that pericentromeric and telomeric regions are significantly more diverged than the

freely recombining autosome (M-W $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$), but nucleotide diversity is

significantly lower in these regions (M-W $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$). Although we could not test for

recent introgression among *A. gambiae* and *A. merus* using the ABBA-BABA tests above,

this pattern of excess divergence in some genomic regions is in contrast to what we

would expect under a divergence in allopatry model and is more consistent with historical

introgression in autosomal regions among *A. gambiae* and *A. merus*, likely in the

evolutionary period following the initial species split. Overall, these findings are

consistent with a large role for the X in driving speciation even among subgroups with

collinear X chromosomes and pericentromeric regions diverging secondarily.

Discussion

762   We present one of the first analyses of complete genome sequences from multiple

species and subgroups from the *Anopheles gambiae* species complex, including the newly

discovered cryptic GOUNDRY subgroup of *A. gambiae*, and demonstrate the power of

multi-subgroup analysis to comprehensively dissect the mosaic pattern of divergence,

adaptation, and introgression across the genome at base-pair resolution. Using average

genetic distance across whole genomes, we show clear monophyly of each subgroup and

species and confirm that *A. gambiae* is more closely related to *A. arabiensis* than to *A.*

merus. We also show that, in contrast to initial findings [17], the newly discovered

GOUNDRY subgroup of *A. gambiae* falls genetically within the molecular forms of *A.*

gambiae and is not an outgroup. Our demographic analysis suggests that GOUNDRY

has existed for approximately 100,000 years and represents a recent example of the

frequent speciation dynamics in *Anopheles* that we think is common.

We also show that the genomes of these species and subgroups have been
subjected to extensive natural selection in recent evolutionary history, likely related to
local adaptation to distinct ecological niches, and we provide the first base-pair resolution
maps of recent selective events. We annotated each putative selective event with an
associated gene and provide many actionable candidates for follow-up experiments.
Interestingly, the selection maps are almost entirely distinct, reflecting ongoing adaptive
divergence among these taxa. Despite extensive overlap in their geographic distributions,
it is likely that these taxa differ in important ecological and physiological phenotypes
[8,14]. For example, recent evidence shows that *A. gambiae* M form and *A. arabiensis*
use distinct strategies for surviving the dry season in West Africa and re-establishing
local populations after the first rain [89]. In contrast, some ecological pressures are
shared among these species as evidenced by the apparent selective benefit of sharing the
2L*a* chromosomal inversion. Moreover, the presence of insecticides like dieldrin has
made insecticide resistance alleles globally beneficial among the species studied here.
Interestingly, we show that the M form has experienced significantly more positive
natural selection than GOUNDRY. Since we know so little about GOUNDRY, it is
difficult to speculate on the fundamental differences underlying this discrepancy. One
possibility though is that the M form subgroup, having expanded into a presumably much
larger and ecologically varied geographic distribution, continues to face new adaptive
challenges, while GOUNDRY may exist in a relatively small, specialized and
ecologically homogeneous environment with fewer novel selective pressures. Substantial
field and functional studies focused on the ecology, mating behavior, and physiology of
these subgroups would help to explain the selective pressures underlying their divergence.
We show that the physical locations of putatively introgressed genomic segments
coincide with the location of selective sweeps in one or both of the exchanging taxa and
suggest that some of these selective events were driven by advantageous alleles shared
through introgression. Adaptive introgression has been documented in other systems as
well as *Anopheles* [6,62], and appears to be an important evolutionary channel for
functional genetic variants that can facilitate phenotypic adaptation. In addition to the
compelling case of introgression of a *Rdl* insecticide resistance allele (Figure 8), we
identify 17 other candidate sweeps that may have involved an introgressed beneficial

allele. We note that identifying selective sweeps and identifying introgressed haplotypes

are each challenging tasks in their own right, each with their own confounding issues.

Indeed, these two evolutionary processes have the potential to confound the population

signal of the other resulting in both false negative and false positive selection scan and

introgression results, although the test for selective sweeps used here was shown to be

robust to some plausible population genetic scenarios that included both migration and

selection [43]. Further exploration is needed to understand fully how these processes

interact and develop more sophisticated methods to explicitly test for adaptive

introgression.

The nature of species boundaries and divergence among species and subgroups of

Anopheles is not well understood, despite considerable effort [13,29,30,35,90]. The

original observation of centromeric 'islands of divergence' between the M and S

molecular forms led to the conclusion that gene flow among these species was extensive

and ongoing, but these islands remained differentiated for reasons presumably related to

their role in speciation among these taxa [29]. Subsequent studies observed especially

high levels of differentiation in the 'islands of divergence' against a background of

differentiation across most of the genome, leading to the conclusion reproductive

isolation among these taxa is more advanced than previously thought [13,30]. However,

these studies did not determine the relative roles of genetic drift, natural selection on

linked sites, and introgression in determining the observed levels of differentiation.

In this study, we use various methods that distinguish between these population

genetic processes and provide insight into whether introgression has occurred recently

and which genomic regions may be barriers to introgression. We show that chromosomal

segments have recently introgressed between the subgroups of *A. gambiae* as well as

between *A. gambiae* and *A. arabiensis* (Figure 6). Importantly, our analysis captures the

physical locations and genomic coverage of introgressed regions. However, we note that

these introgressed haplotypes were identified in a set of representative individuals and

therefore likely reflect a combination of stochastic drift processes as well as deterministic

selective forces following introgression. The specific composition of introgressed

haplotypes along the genome among individuals in each population likely differs to some

extent. Over the evolutionary time scales, we expect that natural selection will play a

large role relative to drift in determining the composition of ancestry along the genome

resulting in a mosaic of introgressed segments along the genome, as has been observed

for Neanderthal ancestry in modern humans [25]. To test for heterogeneity in ancestry

that may reflect the action of natural selection and therefore barriers to introgression, we

measured genetic sequence divergence among taxa and showed that the long-term

differential introgression has indeed shaped patterns of divergence among species and

subgroups of *A. gambiae* and *A. arabiensis* (Figure 9). Our divergence analysis points to

an exceptional history of autosomal introgression among *A. gambiae* and *A. arabiensis*

that has created dramatic differences along the genome in genetic affinity among these

species (Figure 9). Combined with the evidence that introgression has transferred

globally beneficial alleles, such as insecticide resistance alleles, it is clear that

introgression has played an important evolutionary role in this species complex and can

have large effects on public health.

We show that the X chromosome is the most diverged and harbors the least

evidence for introgression, suggesting that it is likely a barrier to introgression in multiple

Anopheles species (Figures 6 and 9). In some cases, chromosomal inversions seem to

play an important role. The observation that the X chromosome plays a

disproportionately large effect in driving speciation ('large-X') is in line with studies

from many organisms ranging from *Drosophila* to mammals [23,25,81,91], but a

unifying explanation for this pattern has yet to emerge. Multiple hypotheses have been

posited to explain the underlying evolutionary mechanisms underlying this pattern

including the 'faster-X' hypothesis, sex ratio meiotic drive, and mis-regulation of X-

linked genes in males (reviewed in [92]). The 'faster-X' hypothesis posits that X-linked

loci adapt faster than autosomal loci because X-linked recessive mutations are exposed to

selection in males that have only a single X chromosome, resulting in faster accumulation

of hybrid sterility factors [23,80]. Another popular hypothesis involves sex ratio meiotic

drive where species-specific sex ratio distorter suppressors are disrupted in hybrids

causing hybrid sterility [93,94]. A third hypothesis to explain this pattern is that gene

expression dosage compensation of X-linked genes is mis-regulated in hybrids, causing

sterility in hybrids [95]. Although data have accumulated in *Drosophila* allowing more

detailed speculation about the mechanisms underlying the large-X effect [92], more data

are needed to fully understand this pattern in *Anopheles*.

Interestingly, we also show that pericentromeric regions also harbor especially

high levels of divergence among more distantly related species pairs, suggesting a model

where the X chromosome is the first barrier to gene flow and these regions differentiate

secondarily. Implicit to this model is that different regions become barriers to

introgression in stages while introgression continues to homogenize the remaining

genomic regions as in standard speciation with gene flow models [96]. This pattern is

most apparent in the comparison between *A. gambiae* and *A. merus* (Figures 7 and 9) and

provides strong evidence that both *A. arabiensis* as well as *A. merus* diverged from *A.*

gambiae while continuing to hybridize at a non-negligible rate in at least the early stages

of speciation. In the case of *A. arabiensis*, we show that contemporary hybridization with

A. gambiae continues despite strong barriers to genetic introgression across the X

chromosome. Similar patterns of elevated divergence in lowly-recombining

pericentromeric and telomeric regions have also been observed in comparisons between

Drosophila species [26,28,97,98], but our results are the first demonstration of this

pattern of excess sequence divergence in *Anopheles*. It is important to note that our

results, especially the observation of excess divergence between *A. gambiae* and *A. merus*

in low-diversity pericentromeric regions, are robust to the issues confounding previous

observations of high differentiation in these regions, since our results derive from

absolute measures of divergence instead of relative divergence measures that are highly

sensitive to other population genetic processes including natural selection [32,33,35].

The ABBA-BABA test has become a preferred method for detecting introgression,

but there are several caveats and concerns relating to both the standard test as well as our

modified D_{BLOCK} test. First, it is possible that the signals of introgression we have

detected are not from the species used in the test, but in fact we have detected

introgression from an un-sampled, or 'ghost,' species. Durand et al. [56] showed that

such introgression can affect the results of ABBA-BABA tests. The presence of 'ghost'

Anopheles species hybridizing with the species sampled here is certainly a possibility and

could impact some of our results. However, the possibility of introgression from 'ghost

taxa' does not change our conclusion that introgression continues among *Anopheles*

species shaping patterns of divergence regardless of exactly which subgroup is the donor.

Second, a recent analysis showing that a similar block-wise test of introgression lacked

power to identifying introgressed haplotypes [99] raises questions about the robustness of

our D_{BLOCK} analysis. However, there are two important differences between our approach

and the one evaluated by Martin et al. [99]. While these authors implemented the test

based on constant-sized physical windows of the genome, we used constant-sized blocks

of informative sites that varied in their physical size. This is an important distinction

because our approach controls for the amount of information in each window, while the

number of ancestry informative sites is bound to vary greatly among physical windows in

the approach of Martin et al., which is likely to impact the sensitivity of this approach.

The second difference between the approaches is that our approach explicitly controlled

for linkage disequilibrium in the data. We chose block sizes of 500 informative sites

because this resulted in average physical window sizes of ~ 250 to 350 kb (see Methods),

which allowed each block to be divided into 100 segments larger in size than the

expected distance that LD decays to background levels in this system. As a result, we

believe that our approach is a robust approach for identifying introgressed genomic

regions and is not likely to suffer from the same concerns raised by Martin et al. [99].

Our data point to a model where the speciation process is reticulate with offshoot

populations and ancestral species remaining connected by periodic hybridization of

differential efficiency across the genome that sometimes facilitates adaptation (Figure 11).

Although the region of the genome that we identify with statistical evidence for recent

introgression is relatively small (~3%) among the individuals tested here, the effect on

the autosome is large over evolutionary time. The relationship between *A. gambiae* and

A. arabiensis provides an extreme example of variation in the permeability of species

boundaries, with evidence of autosomal introgression over the evolutionary history of

these two species, including the sharing of an insecticide resistance allele in the last 60

years. In stark contrast to this pattern, the X chromosomes of these species remain highly

diverged relative to the autosomes, implying that introgression has been ineffective on

the X and that the X chromosome is the primary driver of speciation. A recent

independent comparison between the *A. arabiensis* and *A. gambiae* genomes supports our

conclusion that introgression is a major factor in the evolutionary history of the *A.*

gambiae species complex [100].

In sum, our results suggest that species and subgroups in the *A. gambiae* species

complex comprise a diffuse and interconnected gene pool that confers access to

beneficial genetic variants from a broad geographic and environmental range. Such

genetic affinity has important implications for malaria control. On one hand, transgenes

may spread more easily among subgroups and species of malaria vectors, which could

reduce the effort needed to reach and manipulate all populations involved in disease

transmission. On the other hand, our analysis suggests that ecological specialization of

new subgroups is common and eradicating a population without removing the ecological

niche may simply open the niche for a new population to invade with potential a new and

unknown consequences for disease transmission. In both cases, our results underscore

the complexities involved in vector control on a continental scale.

Materials and Methods

Mosquito samples

Mosquito sample collection and species/subgroup identification was previously

described for the M form (recently re-named *A. coluzzii* [8]), GOUNDRY, and *A.*

arabiensis samples [17]. Briefly, larvae and adults were collected from three villages in

Burkina Faso in 2007 and 2008 (Table S5). Larvae were reared to adults in an insectary,

and both field caught adults and reared adults were harvested and stored for DNA

collection. One *A. gambiae* S form individual was also included in this study. This

sample was collected indoors as an adult in the village of Korabo in the Kissidougou

prefecture in Guinea in October 2012. Individuals were typed for species, molecular

form and *2La* karyotype using a series of standard molecular diagnostics [101–103]. All

M form and *A. arabiensis* samples are $2La^{a/a}$ homokaryotypes and the S form sample

typed as a heterokaryotype ($2La^{a/+}$). Eleven of the twelve GOUNDRY samples typed as

$2La^{+/+}$ homokaryotype, but one sample (GOUND_0446) typed as a $2La^{a/a}$

homokaryotype.

DNA extractions and genome sequencing

DNA was extracted from female carcasses using standard protocols. Genomic

DNA samples were diluted in purified water and sent to BGI (Shenzhen, China) for

paired-end sequencing on the Illumina HiSeq2000 platform. We sequenced 12 females

from the GOUNDRY subgroup of *A. gambiae*, 10 females for the M form subgroup of *A.*

gambiae, and 9 female *Anopheles arabiensis*. Sequence reads were filtered if they 1)

contained more than 5% Ns or polyA structure, 2) contained 20% or more low quality
(Q<20) bases, 3) contained adapter sequence, or 4) contained overlap between pairs.
After quality filtering, each sample was represented by an average of 47.91 million reads
with an expected insert size of 500 base pairs, except two samples that were sequence to
deeper read depth (Table S1).
In a separate sequencing effort, we also sequenced one individual *A. gambiae* S
form female. The sequencing library was prepared using the Nextera kit (Illumina Inc.,
San Diego, CA) according to manufacturer's specifications and sequenced on the
Illumina HiSeq2000 platform (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA) at the University of
Minnesota Genomics Center core facility for a total of 125.88 million reads.
All Illumina reads newly generated for this study have been submitted to the
Short Read Archive at NCBI under accession IDs ranging from XXXXX-XXXXX.
We obtained publicly available *Anopheles merus* and *Anopheles arabiensis* short
read Illumina data from NCBI SRA. We downloaded *A. merus* accessions ERR022713-8
that were generated and deposited by The Sanger Center. Individual accessions are
paired-end 76 bp read fastq files representing whole genome sequence from single
individual *A. merus* individuals collected Kenya sequenced on the Illumina GAII
platform. In addition, we downloaded *A. arabiensis* accession SRX377561, representing
an individual collected in Minepa, Tanzania [38]. These data are paired-end 100 bp reads
generated on the Illumina HiSeq2000 platform. This sample is the individual sequenced
to 'high coverage' from the Marsden et al. Tanzania population sample.
984
Illumina short read alignment and subgroup specific references
At the time of this analysis, the only genome reference sequence with scaffolds
mapped to chromosomes for any *Anopheles* mosquito was the *Anopheles gambiae* PEST
AgamP3 assembly [[104]; vectorbase.org], so we used this reference for mapping reads
from all groups and species in this study. Since an unknown subset of the short reads
generated for this experiment were expected to be substantially diverged from the PEST
reference, we conducted short read alignment iteratively in two steps. First, we
conducted paired-end mapping of all available reads to the *Anopheles gambiae* PEST
reference genome [104] using the BWA *mem* algorithm [[105]; bio-bwa.sourceforge.net]
with default settings except applying the –M flag, which marks shorter hits as secondary.
We then generated new 'Subgroup-Specific' references (hereafter SPEC) for *Anopheles*
gambie M form, *Anopheles gambiae* GOUNDRY, *Anopheles arabiensis*, and *Anopheles*
merus separately. To do so, we combined sequence reads for each Subgroup and
generated a pseudo-consensus sequence for each Subgroup. We used the software
package ANGSD (version 0.534; [44]; popgen.dk/wiki) to generate a read pileup, count
reads and bases at each site, and identify the major allele at all sites. Then for every site
that was covered by 1) at least 4 sequence bases that pass filtering, 2) fewer than a
Subgroup-specific threshold number reads (mean read depth plus two standard

deviations), and 3) a major allele that was segregating at a frequency of at least 0.5 across
all reads, we assigned the new reference base to the major allele and to 'N' otherwise.
After alignment to the PEST reference, the mean total read depth for the
autosomal arms was 136.14 for *A. arabiensis*, 136.63 for *A. gambiae* M form, 157.42 for
A. gambiae GOUNDRY, and 52.94 for *A. merus*. Mean total read depth on the X
chromosome was 124.27 for *A. arabiensis*, 145.63 for *A. gambiae* M form, and 168.75
for *A. gambiae* GOUNDRY, and 44.37 for *A. merus*. The proportion of unknown bases
('N's) increased in the new references (0.12, 0.11, 0.05, 0.14 for the autosomes of *A.*
arabiensis, M form, GOUNDRY, and *A. merus*, respectively, and 0.22, 0.05, 0.06, 0.25
for the X) relative to PEST (0.02 for autosomes, 0.04 for X). The differences between
Subgroups in read depth and proportion of sites missing data can be attributed in part to
differences in the number of individuals sequenced (n = 9,10,12, 6 for *A. arabiensis*, M
form, GOUNDRY, *A. merus*, respectively). However, the differences between
autosomes and the X likely reflect difficulties in mapping divergent reads to the PEST
reference. The proportion of sites missing data were higher on the X relative to the
autosomes for alignments of data from *A. arabiensis* and *A. merus* to both the PEST
reference and the Subgroup specific references (Table S6). Two other studies found a
similar discrepancy when mapping these species to the *A. gambiae* PEST reference
[37,38], indicating that it is not unique to our pipeline and likely reflects the relatively
higher divergence on the X that proves to be a higher barrier to read mapping.
Following the generation of new reference sequences for *A. gambiae* M form,
GOUNDRY, *A. arabiensis,* and *A. merus*, short read datasets for each Subgroup were
then aligned back to the new subgroup specific (hereafter SPEC) reference using the
BWA *mem* algorithm with default settings. The S form individual was aligned to the M
form reference sequence. Local realignment around indels was then performed for each
subgroup separately using GATK [106]. Duplicates were removed using the SAMtools
[107] *rmdup* function.
Although the read mapping rate was consistently lower for the SPEC reference
relative to rates when mapping to PEST, the proportion of mapped reads with a quality
score of 20 increased for all groups except the M form Subgroup where it decreased
slightly. The reduction in mapping rate when using the SPEC reference reflects the
greater number of ambiguous bases ('N') in the SPEC reference relative to PEST and
thus a smaller mapping target. Importantly, the proportion of mapped reads with quality
score of 20 on the X chromosome increased from 0.6961 (PEST) to 0.7775 (SPEC) when
mapping the *A. merus* data to the PEST and SPEC references. A similar increase was
also observed for *A. arabiensis*, with the proportion of Q20 reads increasing from 0.7604
(PEST) to 0.8241 (SPEC), indicating that the Subgroup specific reference is especially
helpful for mapping X-chromosome reads. As discussed above, mapping non-*A.*
gambiae reads to the PEST X chromosome is expected to be difficult. Mapping biases

may lead to underestimates of diversity and genetic divergence, but such downward
biases do not change the main conclusions regarding *A. arabiensis* and *A. merus*.
1044
Data Filtering
The data were filtered in two steps. First, we used SAMtools to generate a pileup
and genotype likelihoods for each site. We calculated a series of alignment and read
statistics and then applied a series of filters to obtain a set of sites considered reliable for
downstream analysis. Filters were applied using the SNPcleaner Perl Script from the
ngsTools package [46]. Those filters are as follows.

1. *Read distribution among individuals*: No more than one individual is allowed
to have fewer than 2 reads covering the site.
2. *Maximum read depth*: The site must not be covered by more than 350, 350,
and 400 reads for M form, *A. arabiensis*, and GOUNDRY, respectively, in
each combined sample.
3. *Mapping Quality*: Only reads with a BWA mapping quality of at least 10 were
included.
4. *Base Quality*: Only bases with Illumina base quality of 20 or more were
included.
5. *Proper pairs*: Only reads the mapped in the proper paired-end orientation and
within the expected distribution of insert lengths were included.
6. *Hardy-Weinberg proportions*: Expected genotype frequencies were
calculated for each variable site based on allele frequencies based on
genotypes called with SAMtools. Any site with an excess of heterozygotes
were considered potential mapping errors and excluded.
7. *Heterozygous biases*: Sites with heterozygous genotype calls were evaluated
with SAMtools for several biases using exact tests. If one of the two
alternative alleles was biased with respect to the read base quality (minimum
$P=1\times10^{-100}$), read strand (minimum $P=1\times10^{-4}$), or distance from the end of the
read (minimum $P=1\times10^{-4}$), the site was excluded.

1071

The next filter was intended to exclude regions of the genome where short-read
alignment may be compromised, such as low-complexity and regions with a high
concentration of 'N' bases in the reference. The goal was to avoid edge effects and
regions where mapping becomes ambiguous. To identify such regions, we scanned each
Subgroup specific reference calculating the proportion of Ns in every 100-basepair
window. All sites that fell within windows that contained 50 or more Ns were excluded.
Since short read alignment is likely to be unreliable in highly repetitive genomic regions
such as heterochromatic regions, we also excluded regions that have been identified as
heterochromatic in *A. gambiae*, including both pericentric and intercalary
heterochromatic regions [108] to be conservative in our analyses.

A final list of sites-to-exclude was compiled for each subgroup-specific reference

that included any site excluded by any of the above filters. This resulted in a different

number and set of sites available for downstream analysis for each Subgroup (Table S7).

In addition to these excluded sites, we identified a series of regions that exhibited

exceptionally high nucleotide diversity even after front-end filtering. These sites were

not excluded from ANGSD analyses (see below), but were excluded from all population

genetic analyses (Table S7).

1089

Site-frequency spectrum inference

Population genetic inference from next-generation sequencing data was

performed using a statistical framework implemented in the software package Analysis of

Next-Generation Sequencing Data (ANGSD, [44], popgen.dk/wiki). Much of the BAM

manipulation and read-filtering functionality implemented in SAMtools is also

implemented in ANGSD and several functions were utilized in all of the following

analyses with ANGSD. Minimum map quality and base quality thresholds of 10 and 20

were used. Probabilistic realignment for the computation of base alignment quality

(BAQ) was enabled throughout with a downgrading coefficient of 50. Reads that were

not aligned as proper pairs or had bitwise flags above 255 were excluded.

The first step in the pipeline was to infer the site-frequency spectrum (SFS)

directly from genotype likelihoods estimated from the read data. The global SFS was

estimated in two steps. The first step was to obtain a maximum likelihood estimate of

per-site allele frequencies by calculating multi-sample genotype likelihoods using the

SAMtools model ([107]; -GL 1 in ANGSD) and estimating the minor allele frequency

using the –realSFS 1 function in ANGSD [44]. Files containing the per-site estimates of

allele frequencies were then used as input for optimization of the global SFS across all

sites using a BFGS optimization algorithm implemented in the optimSFS program within

ANGSD. Unfolded spectra were obtained by including ancestral polarization assigned by

a synthetic ancestral sequence described below. Sites without ancestral assignment were

excluded from SFS estimation. The optimized global SFS was estimated for each

chromosomal arm separately and the results from 2R, 3L, and 3R were averaged to obtain

an autosomal SFS. Autosomal and X chromosome site frequency spectra were obtained

for M form, GOUNDRY, and *A. arabiensis* separately. These spectra were used as priors

for downstream analyses within ANGSD and are shown in Figure S6.

1115

Ancestral sequence

We generated a synthetic ancestral sequence by assigning ancestral and derived

alleles based on their presence in our M form, GOUNDRY, *A. arabiensis*, and *A. merus*

population samples. We first identified all alleles segregating at every site in the genome

of each group by calculating genotype likelihoods (-GL 1) and estimating the minor allele

frequency (–doMaf 2 in ANGSD). We used all variable sites except singletons. If all

groups shared the same allele at a site or if all groups were missing data at a site, the
conserved allele or an N was included in the new ancestral sequence, respectively. If
some groups lacked data at a site and only one allele was observed in the remaining
groups with data, this allele was included in the new sequence. Lastly, if an allele was
found to be segregating in at least three of the four groups and the alternate allele was
found in only one or two groups, the major allele was chosen as the ancestral allele. This
approach is conservative but also flexible in that it requires an allele to be found in either
1) three different species or 2) both subgroups of *A. gambiae* and one outgroup species.
It is possible that gene flow among these groups could introduce bias here, but it is
difficult to distinguish gene flow from lineage sorting at this stage in the analysis. We
compared the SFS spectra inferred using this ancestral sequence to those obtained using
A. merus as the ancestral sequence and found a larger enrichment of high frequency
derived alleles in the *A. merus*-derived spectra as would be expected if ancestral mis-
specification were common (not shown).

1136

Genotype calling
Genotypes were called in two steps at all sites that passed filtering. For both the
population samples (M form, GOUNDRY, *A. arabiensis, A. merus*) as well as the *A.*
gambiae S form individual, genotype likelihoods were calculated using the SAMtools
model as described above. Then genotype posterior probabilities were calculated for each
individual at each site. For the population samples, posterior probabilities were
calculated using maximum likelihood estimates of allele frequencies as a prior [109]. For
the single individual samples, a uniform prior was assumed for calculation of the
posterior probabilities. For all samples, diploid genotypes were called only at sites with
posterior probabilities of 0.9 or greater. Genotypes for GOUNDRY sample were
obtained using a slightly different approach since this Subgroup is partially inbred (see
below).

1149

Inbreeding analysis
Estimating inbreeding coefficients
Initial estimates of the global site frequency spectrum (SFS) in GOUNDRY
produced distributions of allele frequencies that deviated substantially from standard
equilibrium expectations as well as from those observed in the *A. gambiae* M form and *A.*
arabiensis groups. Most notably, the proportion of doubletons was nearly equal to that of
singletons in *A. gambiae* GOUNDRY. This observation is consistent with widespread
inbreeding in the GOUNDRY subgroup. We tested this hypothesis in two ways, with the
goals of both characterizing the pattern of inbreeding in this subgroup as well as
obtaining inbreeding coefficients for each individual that could then be used as priors for
an inbreeding-aware genotype-calling algorithm. We used the method of Vieira et al.
[110], which estimates inbreeding coefficients in a probabilistic framework taking

uncertainty of genotype calling into account. This approach is implemented in a program
called ngsF (github.com/fgvieira/ngsF). ngsF estimates inbreeding coefficients for all
individuals in the sample jointly with the allele frequencies in each site using an
Expectation-Maximization (EM) algorithm [110]. We estimated minor allele frequencies
at each site (-doMaf 1) and defined sites as variable if their minor allele frequency was
estimated to be significantly different from zero using a minimum log likelihood ratio
statistic of 24, which corresponds approximately to a P value of 10^{-6}. Genotype
likelihoods were calculated at variable sites and used as input into ngsF using default
settings. For comparison, we estimated inbreeding coefficients for *A. gambiae* M form,
GOUNDRY, and *A. arabiensis* using data from each chromosomal arm separately
(Figure S7).
We inspected the distribution of homozygous regions likely related to inbreeding
dynamics and found that these regions are randomly distributed and different among
individuals. A more thorough analysis and discussion of these patterns, possible causes,
and possible implications will be presented in a separate manuscript.
1177
Recalibrating the site-frequency spectrum and genotype calls
We used the inbreeding coefficients obtained above for the GOUNDRY sample
as priors to obtain a second set of inbreeding-aware genotype calls and an updated global
SFS. We used ANGSD to make genotype calls as described above. However, in this
case, we used the –indF flag within ANGSD, which takes individual inbreeding
coefficients as priors instead of the global SFS [110]. Similarly, we used the inferred
inbreeding coefficients to obtain an inbreeding-aware global SFS. We estimated the
global SFS from genotype probabilities using –realSFS 2 in ANGSD, which is identical
to –realSFS 1 [44] except that it uses inbreeding coefficients as priors for calculations of
posterior probabilities [110].
1188
1189 **Genetic divergence and neighbor-joining tree**
1190 *D_{xy} calculations*
1191 We measured absolute genetic divergence as the average number of pairwise
1192 differences between alleles from different subgroups, or D_{xy} [111]. We calculated D_{xy} as

$$D_{xy} = \frac{h+2H}{2L}$$

1193
where h is the number of sites where one or both individuals carry heterozygous
genotypes, H is the number of sites where the two individuals are homozygous for
different alleles, and L is the number of sites where both individuals have called
genotypes. As with our estimates of within-population diversity, we excluded all sites
within 200 bp of the outer coordinates of annotated protein coding sequences in the
AgamP3.8 gene set (vectorbase.org). For subgroups where we have sequences from
multiple individuals (M form, GOUNDRY, *A. arabiensis, A. merus*), we estimated D_{xy}

calculated from the number of pairwise differences between each individual from every
other subgroup and then averaged across comparisons for each subgroup to obtain an
average D_{xy} value for each pair of subgroups. For comparisons made to the S form, D_{xy}
was calculated between the individual S form sequence and the individual sequence with
the highest average read depth from each of the other subgroups.
For chromosomal plots (Figure 7) and boxplot analysis (Figure 9), each
chromosomal arm was divided into 10 kb windows and D_{xy} was calculated across each
window. Windows with fewer than 200 sites with data for comparison were excluded.
Chromosomal curves were LOESS-smoothed using a span of 1% and degree of 2 with
the loess.smooth function in R [112]. For the boxplot analysis, chromosome 2L was
excluded to avoid the large effects of the *2La* inversion. Comparisons were made
between categories using a Mann-Whitney test implemented in the wilcox.test function in
R [112].
1214
Neighbor-joining trees
We visualized average genetic relatedness across the genome among individuals
and species using neighbor-joining distance trees based on pairwise values of D_{xy}. We
calculated D_{xy} across chromosomes 2R, 3R, 3L, and X, but excluded 2L to avoid the
effects of the 2La inversion. D_{xy} was calculated across intergenic sites at least 200 bp
from protein coding sequences in euchromatic genomic regions. Neighbor-joining trees
were generated using the *ape* [113] package in R and drawn using the Geneious software
(www.geneious.com). We used a bootstrap analysis to estimate confidence in the major,
internal node topology (i.e. species relationships) by dividing the dataset into 1 MB
physical windows and sampling with replacement 1,000 new datasets. Distance
neighbor-joining trees were generated for each bootstrap replicate and compared to the
tree made from the true data to determine consistency of major clade placement.
1227
Demographic inference
2D-spectra and model fitting
We fit population historical models to the two-dimensional site frequency
spectrum for GOUNDRY and M form subgroups using the program *dadi* [42]. We
obtained the unfolded 2D spectrum for chromosomal arms 2R, 3R and 3L by first
estimating allele frequencies at each site for M form and GOUNDRY separately, then
calculating posterior probabilities of allele frequencies at each site using the global SFS
priors, and finally calculating the 2D spectrum. Posterior probabilities were calculated
using the program sfstools distributed with ANGSD. The program 2Dsfs included in the
software package ngsTools (https://github.com/mfumagalli/ngsTools; [46]) was used to
calculate the 2D spectra with -maxlike set to 1. Per-site allele frequencies were estimated
for each subgroup as described above, except GOUNDRY was handled differently to
accommodate the inbreeding signal. Since GOUNDRY is partially inbred, we randomly

sampled one allele at each position in the genome to be included for analysis thereby
eliminating the effects of inbreeding within a diploid genome on estimates of allele
frequency. This resulted in a sample size of 12 chromosomes for GOUNDRY. Initial
testing suggested that optimization in *dadi* performed better when sample sizes were
equal, so we projected the M form sample from 20 chromosomes down to 12 to make the
spectra symmetric using the project function with *dadi*. As for before, all
heterochromatic regions and low-complexity regions were excluded. We also excluded
all sites within 200 bp of exons annotates in the *A. gambiae* PEST AgamP3 gene set. We
calculated the 2D spectrum for each of the chromosomal arms separately and summed
them to represent the autosome (Figure 2). Chromosome 2L was excludee to avoid the
effects of the large segregating 2L*a* inversion. The final spectrum contained 61,254,546
sites, 3,189,751 of which were variable in our samples.
We defined the demographic function to include three epochs (Figure 2). As with
all models in *dadi*, the model begins with an ancestral population and proceeds forward
in time. In the first epoch, the ancestral population is split into two populations. Each of
the three epochs is defined by five parameters: 1) the duration of the epoch, 2) the
effective size of M form, 3) the effective size of GOUNDRY, 4) the rate of migration
from GOUNDRY into M form, and 5) the rate of migration from M form into
GOUNDRY. We defined the demographic function using the following syntax:
1260

```
1261
1262    def Three_epoch_complx4(params,ns,pts):
1263            """
1264            T1 = duration of first epoch
1265            T2 = duration of second epoch
1266            T3 = duration of third epoch
1267
1268            nuM1 = size of pop1 (M form) in first epoch after split
1269            nuM2 = size of pop1 (M form) in second epoch
1270            nuM3 = size of pop1 (M form) in second epoch
1271            nuG1 = size of pop2 (GOUNDRY) in first epoch after split
1272            nuG2 = size of pop2 (GOUNDRY) in second epoch
1273            nuG3 = size of pop2 (GOUNDRY) in second epoch
1274
1275            mMG1 = migration from GOUNDRY into M form during first epoch
1276            mMG2 = migration from GOUNDRY into M form during second epoch
1277            mMG3 = migration from GOUNDRY into M form during third epoch
1278            mGM1 = migration from M form into GOUNDRY during first epoch
1279            mGM2 = migration from M form into GOUNDRY during second epoch
1280            mGM3 = migration from M form into GOUNDRY during third epoch
1281
1282            """
1283            T1,T2,T3,nuM1,nuM2,nuM3,nuG1,nuG2,nuG3,mMG1,mMG2,mMG3,mGM1,mGM2,mGM3=pa
1284            rams;
1285            ## specify grid
1286            xx = dadi.Numerics.default_grid(pts)
1287            ## ancestral equilibrium pop
1288            phi = dadi.PhiManip.phi_1D(xx);
1289            ## split pops
1290            phi = dadi.PhiManip.phi_1D_to_2D(xx,phi);
1291            ## Epoch1
1292            phi = dadi.Integration.two_pops(phi,xx,T1,nu1=nuM1,nu2=nuG1,m12=mMG1,m21=mGM1);
1293            ## Epoch2
1294            phi = dadi.Integration.two_pops(phi,xx,T2,nu1=nuM2,nu2=nuG2,m12=mMG2,m21=mGM2);
1295            ## Epoch3
1296            phi = dadi.Integration.two_pops(phi,xx,T3,nu1=nuM3,nu2=nuG3,m12=mMG3,m21=mGM3);
1297            fs = dadi.Spectrum.from_phi(phi,ns,(xx,xx));
1298            return fs
1299
```

1300
We used the multinomial likelihood approach for evaluating the fit between the
data and the 2D spectrum predicted by the model, and conducted inference in two steps.
First, we set large boundaries for each parameter and conducted 466 replicate
optimizations, perturbing the starting parameters for each run by a factor of 1.5 and
allowing 300 iterations per optimization. This step allowed us to manually evaluate the
likelihood surface for each parameter and identify regions of the parameter space with
high likelihoods for further optimization. Second, to ensure that the optimizations

reached best-fit parameter values, we narrowed the parameter search boundaries and
conducted a second set of 1,016 optimizations, perturbing the starting values by a factor
of 0.5 and allowing 750 iterations. Models that include strong bottlenecks are extremely
slow to optimize and not likely to be biologically plausible for systems like mosquitoes
with typically large census sizes, so we set lower boundaries of 0.01 for all subgroups
sizes for computational efficiency. After ensuring that the optimized values were not
hitting boundaries and parameters were converging over replicate optimizations, we
chose the optimization with the highest likelihood as the point estimate. To obtain an
estimate of the ancestral effective population size, we estimated the population scaled
mutation rate ($4N_A\mu$) that fits the data best using the optimal_sfs_scaling function in *dadi*
and solved for N_A, assuming a mutation rate of $\mu = 7.0 \times 10^{-9}$. The spontaneous mutation
rate for mosquitoes has not been estimated to date, so we used a mutation rate estimated
from *Drosophila melanogaster* as our closest approximation for the mutation rate in
Anopheles. Multiple estimates of the spontaneous mutation rate for *D. melanogaster* are
available from studies differing in their approach, and the estimates vary by an order of
magnitude from 2.8×10^{-9} to 1.1×10^{-8} [114–118]. We chose an intermediate value of
7.0×10^{-9}.
1325
Parameter confidence intervals
We estimated confidence intervals for each parameter using a nonparametric
bootstrap approach. We generated bootstrapped genomes by first concatenating
chromosomal arms 2R, 3R, and 3L, and then dividing this 'genome' into physical 200 kb
regions. These regions were sampled with replacement to obtain 100 new genomes with
lengths equal to that of the true genome. Since repeating the two-step optimization
described above would be computationally infeasible, we conducted optimizations for
each bootstrap replicate with a different approach. For each replicate, we conducted 100
optimizations with wide boundaries, allowing 750 iterations and perturbing starting
values by a factor of 0.5. We used the maximum likelihood values obtained for the true
genome as pre-perturbation starting values. Then for each bootstrap replicate, we chose
the optimization with the maximum likelihood. Approximate 95% confidence intervals
were calculated for each model parameter as the mean of all replicate values +/- 1.96
standard deviations of all replicate values. All bootstrap optimizations were run on the
Stampede compute cluster at University of Texas, Austin through the support of the
XSEDE program. Optimized parameter values and confidence intervals are reported in
Table 1.
1343
1344 **Fixed differences**
1345   We identified fixed differences between M form and GOUNDRY using the
1346 following criteria. A substitution was inferred if
1347   1) At least (n-2) individuals were represented at a site.

1348   2) The site was considered monomorphic based on allele frequencies inferred

1349   using ANGSD being either less than 1/2n or greater than (1-(1/2n)).

1350   3) GOUNDRY and M form harbor different major alleles.

1351

1352   ***Linkage disequilibrium***

1353   We measured LD using Haploview [119] applied to genotype and SNP calls made

using ANGSD as described above for M form, GOUNDRY, and *A. arabiensis*. LD was

calculated independently on each chromosomal arm and comparisons were made only

between SNPs separated by 10 kb or less. For all analyses, we used the r^2 statistic

generated by Haploview. For computational tractability, we reduced the number of SNP-

by-SNP comparisons by randomly sampling comparisons down to either 1%, 10% or

20% of the total number of comparisons depending on the total number of comparisons

for each subgroup. To obtain LD decay curves, we binned r^2 values for each subgroup

based on physical distance in the PEST reference, and then averaged within each bin and

plotted as a function of physical distance (Figure S8). We combined measures for

chromosomal arms 2R, 3R, and 3L to obtain an autosomal curve while avoiding the

effects of the 2L*a* inversion. We estimated a curve for the X chromosome separately. In

all three subgroups, LD decays to background levels within several hundred basepairs on

both the autosomes and on the X, consistent with previous analyses in these species

[38,120,121]. Interestingly, background levels of LD differ substantially among

subgroups. Background LD is slightly higher in *A. arabiensis* than in M form, which is

consistent with a small effective population size and perhaps some greater degree of

population substructure in *A. arabiensis* than in M form. GOUNDRY exhibits very high

levels of background LD, but this is due to the high levels of inbreeding-related

homozygosity. In all cases, LD decay curves suggest bootstrapping can be conducted on

200 kb regions with confidence.

1374   We generated background LD chromosomal plots (Figure S2), by dividing each

chromosome into 10kb windows, identifying all SNPs inside each window, and taking

the average r^2 value for all comparisons after thinning (see above) between SNPs inside

the window and SNPs greater than 1 kb but less than 10 kb away on the PEST reference

sequence. Only windows with at least 100 comparisons were included. Background LD

was measured for M form and *A. arabiensis* only since inbreeding has skewed measures

of LD in GOUNDRY. LOESS-smoothed curves were generated using a span of 1% and

a degree of 2 in the loess.smooth function in R [112].

1382

1383   ***Scan for recent positive selection***

1384   *Genome scans*

1385   We conducted full genome scans for recent complete selective sweeps in *A.*

gambiae M form and GOUNDRY as well as *A. arabiensis* using SweepFinder, an

implementation of the composite-likelihood test that compares the likelihood of allele

frequencies and their physical distribution along a chromosome under a sweep model to
the likelihood of the data, given a neutral spectrum of allele frequencies [43]. To
assemble input data, we estimated unfolded allele frequencies from genotype likelihoods
using the method of Kim ([109]; -doMafs 5 in ANGSD) with ancestral state assigned
based on the ancestral sequence constructed as described above. We converted ANGSD
output to SweepFinder input files and ran SweepFinder using a grid size that corresponds
starting points every 1kb. Instead of using SweepFinder to estimate the global site
frequency spectrum, we provided SweepFinder with spectra estimated independently
using realSFS in describing SFS inference above.
1397
Neutral simulations in GOUNDRY and M form
To establish critical thresholds for test statistics, we simulated population samples
of 50 kb M form and GOUNDRY haplotypes using coalescent simulations under the
demographic model estimated using *dadi* (described above). We used the scaled
mutation rate, θ, estimated in the *dadi* inference. Recombination rates are not known in
this system, so we conducted a limited set of coalescent simulations under a range of
scaled recombination rates. LD decay curves from simulated datasets were compared to
LD decay curves in the M form data. We found that increasing the scaled recombination
rate by a factor of 5, 6, or 7 relative to the scaled mutation rate produced LD decay curves
comparable to the M form data. These factors correspond to per site scaled
recombination rates of 0.0177, 0.0212, and 0.0247 compared to a scaled mutation rate of
0.0035. To allow for some variation in recombination rate, we used a mixed distribution
of scaled recombination rates with probability of 0.5, 0.25, and 0.25 for 0.0177, 0.0212,
and 0.0247, respectively. We conducted all simulations using MaCS ([122]; version
0.5d) with –h equal to 1 to take full advantage of the Markovian approximation of the
coalescent implemented in this method. Consistent with the analytical correspondence
between the approximate coalescent in MaCS and the full coalescent, simulations have
shown that data generated under this approximation compare quite well to data generated
under standard full coalescent models [122]. Accordingly, the two dimensional site
frequency spectrum calculated from the simulated data closely resembles both the spectra
from our data and the maximum likelihood model inferred by *dadi* (Figure S9). The LD
decay curves from the simulated data also follow a similar decay rate as the real data
(Figure S9).
We used the following MaCS command for autosomal loci:
1422

```
1423  ./macs 44 50000 -h 1 -t 0.00353506596129744 -r 0.0247454617290821 -I 2 20 24 -n 1 12.34317 -n 2
1424  0.782097 -m 1 2 0.02965848 -m 2 1 1.9131664 -en 0.19650155 1 5.748946 -en 0.19650255 2 0.1944363 -
1425  em 0.19650355 1 2 0.2238564 -em 0.19650455 2 1 0.0005993458 -en 1.68994305 1 0.01000816 -en
1426  1.68994405 2 0.01010736 -em 1.68994505 1 2 0.9882836 -em 1.68994605 2 1 7.880658 -ej 2.20323955 2
1427  1 | ./msformatter > sim.out
```

1428

1429

For autosomal loci, we simulated 792,800 50 kb regions corresponding to 200

complete autosomes and applied SweepFinder to the M form and GOUNDRY simulated

haplotypes separately. We used a grid size of 50 for SweepFinder to be consistent with

the 1kb scale used for the real data. The background site frequency spectrum was

estimated by SweepFinder for each 50kb region and used for that region. To simulate

data resembling the X chromosome, we simulated 150,000 50 Kb regions under the same

demographic model, but applied a ¾ correction to the ancestral effective size inferred

from *dadi* and adjusted simulation parameters accordingly.

To determine critical thresholds for a 50 Kb region (hereafter referred to as the

per-locus threshold), we found the maximum log likelihood ratio (LLR) found in each 50

kb region for M form and GOUNDRY separately (Figure S10). Then, to obtain per-locus

P values, the maximum LLRs for all M form and GOUNDRY selective sweep windows

in the real data were compared to the corresponding distribution of LLRs calculated from

simulated regions. To obtain a genome wide critical threshold corrected for multiple

testing, we assembled autosomal 50 Kb regions and X-linked 50 kb regions into synthetic

218.23 Mb genomes and identified the highest LLR within each simulated genome

(Figure S10). After identifying windows with LLR values significant at the genome level,

we then identified the highest point in each string of continuous significant windows to

find the 'peak of the peak'.

We found that peaks were unexpectedly clustered across the genome, suggesting

that some peaks may be fractured evidence of the same selective event. Since

SweepFinder has substantially more power when monomorphic sites are included in the

analysis [123], we re-ran SweepFinder using both monomorphic and variable sites within

clustered regions. We identified clusters of peaks where significant peaks were found

within 100 Kb of each. To determine whether multiple peaks separated by windows with

non-significant LLR values were actually part of a single large peak, we asked whether

more than four continuous windows with LLR values less than 20 separated the peaks in

the 'all-sites' analysis. SweepFinder is prohibitively slow when monomorphic sites are

included in the analysis, so we could not conduct this version across the whole genome.

In some cases, this high-resolution analysis revealed a single continuous peak. We

present one representative example in Figure S11 where non-significant windows in the

variable-site-only analysis separate a number of significant peaks, but the all-site analysis

includes only a single low-LLR window separating the peaks. To be conservative,

clusters of peaks satisfying these criteria were collapsed into a single peak and the

window with the highest LLR was used for annotation. In other cases, however, the all-

sites analysis did not provide evidence a single continuous peak, despite an overall

peaked shape of the significant windows in the region (Figure S11). The final set of

selective sweeps after collapsing clusters is presented in Table S2.

1468

More selection in GOUNDRY or M form?

Selective events may not always be identified as single events by SweepFinder
and thus our count of independent selective events may be biased, we chose to quantify
the proportion of megabases (n = 230) in each genome that harbors at least one selective
sweep (i.e. one significant window). To determine whether the difference between M
and GOUNDRY is statistically significant, we randomly permuted subgroup assignments
of each selective sweep in our data 10^4 times and conducted the same analysis. We could
not make the same comparison with *A. arabiensis* because a different method was used to
identify significant signals of selection for that species.

1478

Identifying peaks in A. arabiensis

We did not estimate a demographic model for *A. arabiensis*, so we used a
different approach to identify credible signals of recent selective sweeps. We searched
the LLR surface for peaks where the peak included at least two adjacent windows with
LLRs in the top 0.1% genome wide. The 0.1% threshold corresponds to a value of 15.88
in this dataset. After finding all such cases, we used the window with the highest LLR in
the cluster to represent the peak. This approach identifies 34 distinct peaks across the
autosomes and none on the X chromosome.

1487

Annotating peaks

For each selective sweep, we found the protein coding sequence most closely
associated with the highest point on the LLR peak. If the maximum LLR window fell
within a gene in the Agam3.8 gene set, information from this gene was included in the
annotation database. If the maximum LLR window fell outside known genes,
information from the gene with the nearest 3' or 5' boundary was used for the peak. To
annotate each sweep, we downloaded information from Vectorbase.org for AgamP3.8
gene set from the *A. gambiae* PEST genome, including gene names, membership in
ImmunoDB [124], best GO annotations, and best KOG annotations. Information for all
selective sweeps can be found in Tables S2 and S3.

1498

Nucleotide diversity

To enrich our data set for neutrally evolving sequence, we excluded sites within
200 bp of a coding sequence annotated in the AgamP3.8 gene set for the *A. gambiae*
PEST reference available on VectorBase.org from our estimates of nucleotide diversity.
If only a single individual was available for a group (*A. gambiae* S form), nucleotide
diversity was estimated simply as the fraction of sites with genotypes that were called as
heterozygous. If a population sample was available (GOUNDRY, M form and *A.*
arabiensis, A. merus), we estimated nucleotide diversity directly from the read data using
a maximum likelihood approach based on posterior probabilities of per-site allele
frequencies [45]. The method is implemented under the –doThetas function within

ANGSD and takes a global SFS as a prior to calculate posterior probabilities of allele

frequencies. We chose to use Tajima's π statistic [125] as our estimate for nucleotide

diversity. For all downstream analyses, nucleotide diversity was calculated as an average

within 10 kb non-overlapping windows. The comparison of nucleotide diversity between

genomic regions (see below) included only 10 kb windows containing at least 500 sites

from 2R, 3L, 3R, and X chromosomal arms. The distribution of nucleotide diversity was

compared between genomic regions using a Mann-Whitney test implemented in the

wilcox.test function in R [112].

1517

Introgression analysis and* D *statistics

We used permutation and jackknife analyses to conduct two ABBA-BABA-based

tests for introgression. For the set of tests, we divided the genome into blocks of 500

informative sites (i.e. ABBAs and BABAs). These genomic blocks were then divided

into 100 segments of 5 informative sites. We chose this block size because this number

of sites corresponded to a physical size of ~100 x L_{LD}, where L_{LD} is the physical distance

at which point linkage disequilibrium decays to background levels. As a result, we could

then divide the genomic blocks into 100 segments that would be larger in physical size

than the L_{LD}. Since introgressed haplotypes will be highly correlated over exceptionally

high physical distances relative to ancestral haplotypes, we expect that the size of

introgressed haplotypes would exceed L_{LD} while ancestral haplotypes would not. For the

tests involving S form and *A. arabiensis* as the H3 taxon, the mean genomic block length

was ~250 Kb and ~350 Kb, respectively. Since L_{LD} is approximately 200 bp in this

system (Figure S8), and segments within the blocks were approximately 2.5 or 3.5 Kb in

size, segments exceeded L_{LD} by a factor of more than 10x. These analyses were

conducted using pseudo-haploidized genomes from the individuals from each

population/species with the highest short-read coverage. In general, sites involved in

calculating D are not entirely independent due to linkage disequilibrium, so permutation

and jackknife analyses are necessary to properly test for significance. We have used

these corrected tests for significance in the following ways.

For the first test, we calculated the D statistic calculated for each genomic block

(hereafter D_{BLOCK}) and the variance among D_{BLOCK}, hereafter Var[D_{BLOCK}], to test for an

excess in variance among genomic blocks that would be consistent with the presence of

correlated genomic segments (haplotypes) with shared derived mutations. Under the null

hypothesis of no introgression, Var[D_{BLOCK}] among true genomic blocks derives largely

from relatively small ancestral haplotypes such that random permutation of segments

among blocks will not affect the variance among blocks. If the genome contains

introgressed haplotypes that are larger than the segments, Var[D_{BLOCK}] will be larger

when these haplotypes are intact in the empirical data and smaller after random

permutation that dissolves correlations among segments. We calculated Var[D_{BLOCK}]

among genomic blocks in the empirical data. Then, for each comparison, we permuted

the internals ~2.5 Kb segments among genomic blocks and re-calculated Var[D_{BLOCK}] for
each permuted genome. Then Var[D_{BLOCK}] from the empirical data was compared to the
distribution of Var[D_{BLOCK}] from permuted genomes to ask whether variance among
genomic blocks is higher in the empirical data where true correlations remain intact
relative to the permuted genomes where variance in D will be driven largely by
segregating ancestral haplotypes.
For the second test, establish confidence intervals for estimates of the D_{BLOCK}
statistic in order to identify individual genomic blocks with significant evidence for
introgression. To do so, we conducted block jackknife analyses within each genomic
block [55] by dropping each genomic segment within a given block in turn and
recalculating D_{BLOCK}. We calculated 95% confidence intervals for each genomic block
using variance estimated from this jackknife procedure. These confidence intervals are
presented as ribbons in Figure 6.
For the third test, we established genome wide thresholds corrected for multiple
testing in order identify genomic blocks exceeding these thresholds consistent with recent
introgression. We conducted the permutation of segments within blocks procedure as
above, but for each permuted genome, we calculated D_{BLOCK} and retained the maximum
and minimum values of D_{BLOCK}. To determine whether any individual true genomic
blocks showed evidence of significant excess sharing of derived alleles, we established
95% critical thresholds (Table 2) from this permutation procedure and compared the
value of D_{BLOCK} among true blocks. These genome-wide critical thresholds are presented
as dashed lines in Figure 6.
To determine whether introgression has been very recent between *A. arabiensis*
and either M form or GOUNDRY, we compared the proportion of the genome in
windows with significant D values between sympatric *A. arabiensis* from Burkina Faso
and allopatric *A. arabiensis* from Tanzania [38]. Since the standard assumption of
introgression with only one of the two sister taxa holds for this test, we calculated the
standard error of D for each comparison using the block jackknife approach and used a Z-
test to assess significance [55,56].
1578
Comparing genetic divergence among genomic regions
To test hypotheses related to the role of recombination in determining the
genomic architecture of reproductive isolation in this system, we divided the genome into
regions based on expected levels of recombination in hypothetical hybrids. A fine-scale
genetic map is not yet available for *Anopheles* mosquitoes, but it has been shown in
Drosophila that recombination rates approach zero within several megabases on each
side of the centromere and also near the telomeres [126,127]. Although patterns of
linkage disequilibrium (LD) are also affected by processes other than local meiotic
recombination rates, estimated recombination rate should give a rough approximation of
expected LD across the genome. In fact, patterns of LD have been used to define genetic

maps in some vertebrates and correspond approximately to genetic maps based on
experimental crosses [128,129]. We measured background LD (see description of LD
measurement above for details) in our M form and *A. arabiensis* samples, taking average
r^2 values within 10 kb physical windows across the genome. We found that LD was
relatively constant across the genome except for large increases near the autosomal
centromeres and smaller increases near the telomeres (Figure S2). Based on this pattern
and the assumption that recombination rates in *Anopheles* correspond approximately to
the *Drosophila* genetic map, we defined several broad recombinational categories for
analysis. We first defined the 'Pericentromeric-Telomeric' regions of the autosomes to
be all windows within 10 MB on either side of the centromere or within 1 MB from the
telomere. It should be noted that we assumed that the starting and ending coordinates of
the PEST reference chromosomal sequences were reliable indicators for distance from
centromeres and telomeres. Unless a chromosomal inversion was present, all remaining
regions on the autosomes were assigned to the 'Freely Recombining' category. For the
comparison between *A. gambiae* and *A. merus,* we assigned all windows inside of the
2R*op* chromosomal inversion complex to the 'Autosomal-Inversion' category. We used
the outer coordinates for 2R*o* and 2R*p* breakpoint regions estimated by Kamali et al. [40].
The X chromosome was categorized for each comparison, according to species-
specific conditions. We did not define a general 'Pericentromeric-Telomeric' category
for two reasons: 1) We did not observe an increase in LD in the euchromatic regions near
centromeres and telomeres (Figure S2) similar to increases observed on the autosomes.
2) In *Drosophila* [126,127], the pericentromeric reduction in recombination affects a
relatively small region on the X relative to the autosomes, and we have excluded a large
heterochromatic region around the centromere that likely encompasses the effected
region in *Anopheles*. For the comparison between *A. gambiae* and *A. merus*, and the
comparison between the M and S molecular forms, the entire euchromatic region on the
X was considered 'Freely Recombining' since no inversions differentiate these groups.
For the comparison between *A. gambiae* and *A. arabiensis*, we assigned the entire
euchromatic region of the X as 'X-Inversion', since these species are differentiated across
nearly 75% of the entire chromosome and introgression rates have been estimated to be 0
in laboratory crosses [82]. For the comparison between GOUNDRY and the molecular
forms of *A. gambiae*, the entire euchromatic X was categorized as 'Freely-Recombining'
except for the region spanning 8.47 MB to 10.1 MB, which was categorized as 'X-
Inversion'. As described below, we were not able to identify inversion breakpoints for
the GOUNDRY inversion, but these coordinates correspond to the outer boundaries of
the region with reduced nucleotide diversity (Figure 4).
To identify regions that are barriers to introgression in the *Anopheles gambiae*
species complex, we compared genetic divergence among the four genomic categories
using the following logic. Since genome-wide variation in mutation rate and the effects
of linked selection could also lead to genomic variation in divergence among species

even in the absence of introgression, we jointly analyzed absolute genetic divergence
(D_{xy}) and nucleotide diversity (π) in 10 kb non-overlapping windows and asked whether
differences in genetic divergence among genomic regions are observed that cannot be
explained by differences in nucleotide diversity (where diversity approximates the effects
of linked selection or variation in mutation rate). D_{xy}, is an estimate of $2\mu t + 4N_e\mu$ where
N_e is the effective size of the ancestral population, μ is the mutation rate, and t is the
divergence time. π which provides an estimate of $4N_e\mu$ where N_e is the effective size of
the current population. By jointly considering these, we can make comparisons among
genomic regions that differ substantially in $4N_e\mu$ such that differences in D_{xy} largely
reflect differences in $2\mu t$. We note that this analysis assumes that estimates of $4N_e\mu$ from
current populations (or in most cases, average of the estimates from the two subgroups)
are reliable estimates of $4N_e\mu$ in the ancestral population, and we believe this to be a
reasonable assumption given the recency of radiation of the *A. gambiae* species complex.
Under scenarios with gene flow among diverging subgroups or species, t will be smaller
in regions that have introgressed, so regional barriers to gene flow are expected to have
especially large values of t, and therefore values of D_{xy} that are larger than regions with
similar $4N_e\mu$ but more introgression. In contrast, under a model of divergence in
allopatry with no introgression, t is approximately equal among genomic regions such
that divergence should be determined largely by $4N_e\mu$, and thus correlate well with
nucleotide diversity, even if elevated. We made comparisons among genomic regions
using this framework and assuming that most freely recombining autosomal regions will
have been introgressed at some point in the history of divergence since high rates of
recombination inhibit associations between barriers to introgression (e.g. hybrid sterility
factors) and surrounding chromosomal regions. Therefore, we compared other genomic
regions to freely recombining autosomal regions to with respect to both divergence and
nucleotide diversity to ask whether these other regions harbor excess divergence
consistent with less introgression in these regions. Comparisons of distributions of
genetic divergence and nucleotide diversity were made using the Mann-Whitney test in R
[112].
For this analysis, we used only 10 Kb windows at least 600 sites with data for the
taxa involved. We chose to use a focal species comparison to minimize the number of
comparisons for concise presentation, but we obtain similar results in other comparisons
(GOUNDRY vs. *A. arabiensis,* for example) since most variation in divergence depends
on variance in coalescence in the ancestral population. We note that estimates of
nucleotide diversity in GOUNDRY are not reliable estimates of the ancestral population
since GOUNDRY is partially inbred and has the large swept region on the X, so we used
estimates of nucleotide diversity from the M form for this analysis.
1666
Estimating the age of the GOUNDRY X-linked selective sweep

We estimated the number of generations since the fixation of the haplotype inside

the putative Xh inversion region in GOUNDRY in the following way. We assumed that

no new mutations increased to have a frequency > 50% after the selective sweep. Under

this assumption, we can estimate the mean time since the most recent common ancestor

of the sampled haplotypes, representing the time of the sweep, by assembling a consensus

sequence among the haplotypes to represent the common ancestor and counting the

number of mutations separating each haplotype from the ancestor. Then we can calculate

the number of mutations divided by the total haplotype size and divide this number by the

mutation rate to obtain the number of generations separating haplotype from the

consensus. We summed the number of mutations across all diploid sequences, counting

1 for genotypes called heterozygotes and 2 for homozygotes, and divided by 2 times the

total number of sites passing filters. This grand total was then divided by the mutation

rate to get the number of mutations. This approach can be simplified and stated as the

following where the age to the most recent common ancestor is

$$\frac{\left[\dfrac{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s} f_j}{2 \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} L_i}\right]}{\mu}$$

1682

where f_j is the derived allele count at variable site j, s is the number of variable sites, n is

the number of diploid individuals, L_i is the number of sites with called genotypes passing

filters for individual i, and μ is the mutation rate, again assumed to be 7.0×10^{-9} (See

demographic inference section above).

Genotypes for 12 diploid GOUNDRY individuals were queried across a region

consisting of 1,372,538 sites after initial filtering. A total of 1,052 variable sites were

recorded prior to additional read depth filtering. Since this estimate is highly sensitive to

the number of mutations included, we estimated the number of generations using a series

of filters varying in stringency. First, we removed clusters of variable sites, since these

are likely to represent errors, by dividing the inversion region into 50 bp windows and

excluding any windows with more than two variable sites (14 out of 33,478 windows).

This resulted in a total of 989 variable sites across 1,367,970 sites in total. We also

excluded sites with read depth in the top 5% for each individual according to each

individual's read depth distribution. Then we counted mutations for each individual

using different thresholds such that sites passed filter if that individual was covered by at

least 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, or 12 reads. We found that too few sites passed filtering to be

informative with a 15-read cutoff. After conservatively filtering the data to minimize the

effect of errors, the majority of the remaining variance in our estimates derives from

variance in the number of mutations per haplotype. If we assume that the number of

mutations per haplotype is Poisson distributed, we can calculate the standard deviation
for read-filter point estimates by taking the square root of the point estimate, as the
variance for a Poisson is equal to its mean.
Age estimates varied by 2.5 fold depending on the minimum read depth filter
(Figure S12), decreasing from 1,975 generations to 776 generations with 6 and 12-read
minimum cutoffs, respectively. However, excluding the 6 read cutoff, the remaining five
age estimates varied by less than 1.4 fold, ranging from 776 to 1,079. Standard
deviations largely overlap among estimates from the 8-12-read filters, suggesting that the
estimates are quite similar in this range. If we examine how the depth filters affect
mutation counts per site for each individual separately, the 6-read filter results in an
increase in mutations for all individuals (Figure S12). The mutation counts per site
remain relatively flat for the remaining filters with only a slight systematic downward
trend with the 12-read filter. The estimated age drops substantially between the 6 and 8-
read filters and continues to decrease slowly from there. This strongly suggests that the
proportion of variable sites that are genotype-calling errors is relatively high for this
region when low read-depth minimums are used. To determine whether genotype-calling
errors may be inflating the estimated number of mutations, we calculated the minor allele
count at each variable site for each of the read-depth thresholds and see that, when a
minimum of 6 reads is used, there is an excess of doubletons compared to higher read
depths (Figure S13), consistent with a high proportion of true heterozygous sites being
called as homozygous for the minor allele. The ratio of doubletons to singletons
decreases substantially when the minimum number of reads is increased to 8, implying
that many erroneous homozygous-alternative genotype calls are converted to
heterozygous with this threshold (Figure S13). This improvement does not fully explain
the drop in the number of observed mutations, however.
We can leverage the extra sequencing invested in one individual to gauge the
effect of our filtering process. Our sequencing effort was not evenly distributed among
individual GOUNDRY samples since we sequenced one individual (GOUND-0446) to
average 20.03x read depth, while the remaining individuals were sequenced to an average
of 10.82x read depth. Since the accuracy of genotype calling is correlated with read
depth, we expect the genotype calls made for this individual will harbor the fewest errors.
When considering how the read-depth thresholds affect estimates from individual
samples, GOUND-0446 showed patterns that differ from the other samples (Fig S12).
Specifically, while the number of sites passing filter changes less for this individual with
increasing read-depth minimums, the number of mutations observed in this individual is
also less sensitive to minimum read-depth, suggesting that genotype calling is
substantially more error prone in the lower-read depth individuals. The variance in the
number of observed mutations among individuals reduces substantially when the 12-read
minimum is implemented with GOUND-0446 falling in the middle of the distribution,
despite variance in the number of sites passing filter remaining large. This suggests that

many of the errors have been removed using this filter. We, therefore, convert the point
estimate from this filter and the standard deviation from this estimate (see above) to years,
conservatively assuming 10 generations per year [130], to obtain the estimated age.
1745

Permutation test of M-GOUNDRY divergence on the X
To specifically test whether the large sweep region on the X chromosome of
GOUNDRY individuals is significantly more diverged than similarly sized windows on
the X, we defined a new statistic to compare the average D_{xy} among 10 kb windows
within the putative inverted region (n = 166) with the average D_{xy} among windows in
every other 1.67 MB window on the X that doesn't overlap with the inverted region (n =
1,499). The statistic ΔD_{xy} is defined as

$$\Delta D_{XY} = \frac{1}{w_I} \sum_{1}^{w_I} D_{XY}I - \frac{1}{w_O} \sum_{1}^{w_O} D_{XY}O$$

1753
where w_I is the number of windows inside the inversion, $D_{xy}I$ is a D_{xy} value for a 10kb
window inside the inversion, w_O is the number of windows outside the inversion, $D_{xy}O$ is
a D_{XY} value for a 10kb window outside the inversion. A positive ΔD_{xy} indicates that the
average value inside the inversion is greater than the average value in the window outside
the inversion. A negative value indicates that the average value is higher in the window
outside the inversion. We calculated this statistic between M and GOUNDRY. For
every window outside the swept region, we calculated ΔD_{xy} with the true window
assignments and then randomly permuted 10 Kb window assignments to the inversion or
the outside window and recalculated ΔD_{xy}. Each window comparison was permuted 10^5
times.
One potential concern with this approach is that the windows inside of the
inversion are part of a haplotype with little recombination while windows outside of the
inversion represent many independent genealogical histories. However, this is a
consideration only for very recent sequence evolution and most of the patterns of D_{xy} are
determined by coalescence in the ancestral population prior to the origin of the inversion.
After the inversion becomes relative common in the population, it will recombine
normally with other inverted chromosomes in the population, so the comparison between
windows inside and outside of the swept region should not be biased.
1772

Relative Node Depth expectation modeling
To explicitly test whether such a pattern could be obtained under a pure split
model with no gene flow, we obtained expected values of Relative Node Depth (RND)
by assuming a phylogeny where M form and GOUNDRY form a clade with S form as the

outgroup and using coalescent theory under this model to calculate expected RND. We
calculated the ratio of expected values of D_{GM} and D_{GS} as
1779

$$\frac{D_{GM}}{D_{GS}} = \frac{\mu \times (2t_{GM} + 4N_{GM} - e^{\frac{t_{GM} - t_{GS}}{2N_{GM}}} (N_{GM} - N_{GS}))}{\mu \times (2t_{GS} + 4N_{GS})}$$

where μ is the mutation rate, N_{GM} and N_{GS} are the effective sizes of the M-GOUNDRY
ancestral population and the M-S-GOUNDRY ancestral population, respectively, t_{GM} is
the number of generations since the split between GOUNDRY and M form, and t_{GS} is the
number of generations since the split between S form and the M-GOUNDRY. The
denominator is the standard definition for D_{xy} [111], but we adjusted the equation in the
numerator using standard coalescent theory to accommodate the probability that two the
M and GOUNDRY lineages may either coalesce in the M-GOUNDRY ancestor or in the
M-S-GOUNDRY ancestor that may differ in size, and therefore probability of
coalescence, from the M-GOUNDRY ancestor (that may differ in size).
We calculated expected values of RND under a range of parameterizations using
the M-GOUNDRY split time of 1,112,660 generations obtained from the demographic
inference described above. For all calculations, we varied the M-GOUNDRY ancestral
size from 100 to 10^6, including 20,000 grid points. In the first set of calculations, we
assumed that the split time between S and M-GOUNDRY was 1.1 times longer than the
M-GOUNDRY split time and varied the relative sizes of the ancestral species such that
the M-S-GOUNDRY ancestor such that the M-GOUNDRY ancestor varied from 1% to
100% the size of the M-S-GOUNDRY ancestor (Figure 10). We conducted a second set
of calculations that were identical to the first except that we assumed that the difference
in split times was 1.5 times longer instead of 1.1 (Figure 10). In the last set of
calculations, we set the sizes of the two ancestors to be equal and varied the difference in
split times such that the split time between S form and M-GOUNDRY was 1%, 10%,
20%, 40%, 70%, and 100% longer than the split time for M-GOUNDRY (Figure 10).
1802
Putative GOUNDRY Inversion breakpoint mapping
The dramatic reduction in nucleotide diversity across a 1.67MB region on the X
chromosome in our GOUNDRY sample led us to hypothesize the existence of a novel
chromosomal inversion in this region. Read mapping and depth is normal across the
region containing the reduction of diversity (Figure S3). The exceptionally low level of
nucleotide diversity in this region suggest a strong selective sweep recently fixed in this
region, but such a wide footprint of the sweep and the remarkably rapid recovery to
background diversity levels outside the sweep are both unexpected under normal
recombination conditions. The existence of a new inversion that suppresses
recombination with the alternative, presumably ancestral, form is the most likely scenario.
We observe in our data the fixation of a 1.67 MB haplotype implying that this haplotype

was maintained without recombination during the majority of the selective sweep. Since
recombination should be normal among chromosomes carrying the inverted form, this
suggests that the inverted form of the inversion was extremely rare in the population at
the beginning of the sweep
Several issues inherent to this system and our data complicate identifying the
breakpoints of this putative inversion. The first is that the inversion was recently under
positive selection resulting in patterns of nucleotide diversity, linkage disequilibrium,
homozygosity, and allele frequency differentiation that may extend beyond the
breakpoints. There are clear changes in these population genetic signals in the putative
region, but we can only conclude that the inversion lies somewhere inside of this
footprint. The second issue is that GOUNDRY is inbred and harbors long tracts of IBD,
some of which overlap the putative inverted region, making it difficult to distinguish
homozygosity related to the sweep from that resulting from inbreeding. The third issue is
that the X chromosome is repetitive and harbors many transposable elements resulting in
a reference sequence riddled with gaps. Inversion breakpoints that have been
characterized in *Anopheles* [131] often lie inside or near such lowly-complex, repetitive
regions. Our short paired-end read data has insert sizes of approximately 470bps, so
mapping the presumed breakpoints is expected to be challenging if they are in repetitive
DNA, and we are unlikely to be able to map read pairs across these regions. It should be
noted that very few chromosomal inversions identified with cytogenetics have been
characterized molecularly, even in systems with reference sequences [40,103,132].
With these challenges in mind, we attempted to map the breakpoints of the
inversion using a variety of approaches to collect candidate sites that could be assayed
using PCR amplification. We manually inspected the short read data in this region using
IGV [133] and located the edges of the swept region at positions 8,462,788 on the left
and 10,137,178 on the right, according to coordinates in the AgamP3 PEST reference
sequence. Since the selective sweep likely purged nucleotide diversity from the region
surrounding the inverted haplotype as well, we attempted to identify the true breakpoints
assuming that true breakpoints fall just inside these boundaries. For all of the following
analyses except the read-depth analysis, we used reads that were trimmed using
SolexaQA (v.2.1; [134]) with default settings and 50bp minimum size. We attempted a
large series of *de novo* assemblies using the multi-kmer approach in SOAPdenovo2 [135]
with –R –F and –p 4. We first attempted a de novo assembly of just reads that mapped to
the inversion region on the X and obtained many contig sets based on variety of subsets
of the data. None of the contigs showed the 'T' shape expected when the contig is
aligned to the PEST reference and part of the contig is inverted. We also looked for cases
of consensus where a variety of de novo assemblies using subsets of the data or all
GOUNDRY reads all failed to assemble across a point on the reference, assuming that we
could rule out regions that were properly assembled and aligned and focus on regions that
fail to assemble as candidates. In another approach, we measured mapped read depth in

100bp windows across the region in GOUNDRY, M form, and *A. arabiensis*. We then systematically searched for windows where M form and *A. arabiensis* showed normal read depths while GOUNDRY reads failed to map in any sample. We also took a similar mapping-based approach where we identified all reads where the pair was mapped in the incorrect orientation or only one of the two mates mapped. We then searched for local enrichments of these mate-pair violations. Lastly, we used two computational implementations of algorithms specifically designed to identify structural variants such as inversions. We used PINDEL [136] with a window size of 2 million and a minimum inversion size of 2000. We also used GASV [132] with default settings.

From each of these approaches, we identified a series of candidate breakpoints. Several of the candidates were supported by multiple approaches. For these candidates, we designed primers on either side and attempted to PCR amplify across the candidate region in samples of GOUNDRY, M form, and *A. arabiensis*, with the assumption that a true breakpoint should amplify in M form and *A. arabiensis*, but not in GOUNDRY. However, all of the candidate PCR reactions either amplified in all three groups or failed to reliably amplify in any group, ultimately preventing the molecular characterization of this inversion.

Acknowledgements

We thank Matteo Fumagalli, Filipe Vieira, and Tyler Linderoth for assistance with next generation sequence data analyses and ANGSD. We thank members of the Nielsen group for helpful discussions on various aspects of this work. We also thank Russ Corbett-Detig and three anonymous reviewers for helpful comments on an earlier version of this manuscript. We are thankful for the use of the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE), which is supported by National Science Foundation grant number OCI-1053575.

References:

1. Bickford D, Lohman DJ, Sodhi NS, Ng PKL, Meier R, et al. (2007) Cryptic species as a window on diversity and conservation. Trends Ecol Evol 22: 148–155. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2006.11.004.

2. Schluter D (2001) Ecology and the origin of species. Trends Ecol Evol 16: 372–380.

3. Harrison RG, Larson EL (2014) Hybridization, introgression, and the nature of species boundaries. J Hered 105 Suppl 1: 795–809. doi:10.1093/jhered/esu033.

4. Servedio MR, Hermisson J, van Doorn GS (2013) Hybridization may rarely promote speciation. J Evol Biol 26: 282–285. doi:10.1111/jeb.12038.

5. Pardo-Diaz C, Salazar C, Baxter SW, Merot C, Figueiredo-Ready W, et al. (2012) Adaptive introgression across species boundaries in Heliconius butterflies. PLoS Genet 8: e1002752. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002752.

6. Huerta-Sánchez E, Jin X, Asan, Bianba Z, Peter BM, et al. (2014) Altitude adaptation in Tibetans caused by introgression of Denisovan-like DNA. Nature advance online publication. Available: http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/vaop/ncurrent/full/nature13408.html. Accessed 24 July 2014.

7. WHO (2013) World Malaria Report.

8. Coetzee M, Hunt RH, Wilkerson R, Torre AD, Coulibaly MB, et al. (2013) Anopheles coluzzii and Anopheles amharicus , new members of the Anopheles gambiae complex. Zootaxa 3619: 246–274. doi:10.11646/zootaxa.3619.3.2.

9. Costantini C, Ayala D, Guelbeogo WM, Pombi M, Some CY, et al. (2009) Living at the edge: biogeographic patterns of habitat segregation conform to speciation by niche expansion in Anopheles gambiae. BMC Ecol 9: 16. doi:10.1186/1472-6785-9-16.

10. Gnémé A, Guelbéogo WM, Riehle MM, Sanou A, Traoré A, et al. (2013) Equivalent susceptibility of Anopheles gambiae M and S molecular forms and Anopheles arabiensis to Plasmodium falciparum infection in Burkina Faso. Malar J 12: 204. doi:10.1186/1475-2875-12-204.

11. Lee Y, Marsden CD, Norris LC, Collier TC, Main BJ, et al. (2013) Spatiotemporal dynamics of gene flow and hybrid fitness between the M and S forms of the malaria mosquito, Anopheles gambiae. Proc Natl Acad Sci: 201316851. doi:10.1073/pnas.1316851110.

12. Della Torre A, Fanello C, Akogbeto M, Dossou-yovo J, Favia G, et al. (2001)
Molecular evidence of incipient speciation within Anopheles gambiae s.s. in
West Africa. Insect Mol Biol 10: 9–18.

13. Lawniczak MKN, Emrich SJ, Holloway AK, Regier AP, Olson M, et al. (2010)
Widespread divergence between incipient Anopheles gambiae species
revealed by whole genome sequences. Science 330: 512–514.
doi:10.1126/science.1195755.

14. Lehmann T, Diabate A (2008) The molecular forms of Anopheles gambiae: a
phenotypic perspective. Infect Genet Evol J Mol Epidemiol Evol Genet Infect
Dis 8: 737–746. doi:10.1016/j.meegid.2008.06.003.

15. Slotman MA, Mendez MM, Torre AD, Dolo G, Touré YT, et al. (2006) Genetic
differentiation between the BAMAKO and SAVANNA chromosomal forms of
Anopheles gambiae as indicated by amplified fragment length polymorphism
analysis. Am J Trop Med Hyg 74: 641–648.

16. Slotman MA, Tripet F, Cornel AJ, Meneses CR, Lee Y, et al. (2007) Evidence for
subdivision within the M molecular form of Anopheles gambiae. Mol Ecol 16:
639–649. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2006.03172.x.

17. Riehle MM, Guelbeogo WM, Gneme A, Eiglmeier K, Holm I, et al. (2011) A cryptic
subgroup of Anopheles gambiae is highly susceptible to human malaria
parasites. Science 331: 596–598. doi:10.1126/science.1196759.

18. Griffin JT, Hollingsworth TD, Okell LC, Churcher TS, White M, et al. (2010)
Reducing Plasmodium falciparum Malaria Transmission in Africa: A Model-
Based Evaluation of Intervention Strategies. PLoS Med 7: e1000324.
doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000324.

19. Xu G, Fang QQ, Keirans JE, Durden LA (2003) Molecular phylogenetic analyses
indicate that the Ixodes ricinus complex is a paraphyletic group. J Parasitol 89:
452–457. doi:10.1645/0022-3395(2003)089[0452:MPAITT]2.0.CO;2.

20. Harbach RE (2004) The classification of genus Anopheles (Diptera: Culicidae): a
working hypothesis of phylogenetic relationships. Bull Entomol Res 94: 537–
553.

21. Dyer NA, Furtado A, Cano J, Ferreira F, Odete Afonso M, et al. (2009) Evidence
for a discrete evolutionary lineage within Equatorial Guinea suggests that the
tsetse fly Glossina palpalis palpalis exists as a species complex. Mol Ecol 18:
3268–3282. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2009.04265.x.

22. The malERA Consultative Group on Vector Control (2011) A Research Agenda
for Malaria Eradication: Vector Control. PLoS Med 8: e1000401.
doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000401.

23. Coyne JA, Orr HA (1989) Patterns of speciation in Drosophila. Evolution: 362–
381.

24. Geraldes A, Ferrand N, Nachman MW (2006) Contrasting Patterns of
Introgression at X-Linked Loci Across the Hybrid Zone Between Subspecies of
the European Rabbit (Oryctolagus cuniculus). Genetics 173: 919–933.
doi:10.1534/genetics.105.054106.

25. Sankararaman S, Mallick S, Dannemann M, Prüfer K, Kelso J, et al. (2014) The
genomic landscape of Neanderthal ancestry in present-day humans. Nature
507: 354–357. doi:10.1038/nature12961.

26. Begun DJ, Holloway AK, Stevens K, Hillier LW, Poh Y-P, et al. (2007) Population
Genomics: Whole-Genome Analysis of Polymorphism and Divergence in
Drosophila simulans. PLoS Biol 5: e310. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0050310.

27. McGaugh SE, Noor MAF (2012) Genomic impacts of chromosomal inversions in
parapatric Drosophila species. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 367: 422–
429. doi:10.1098/rstb.2011.0250.

28. Mackay TFC, Richards S, Stone EA, Barbadilla A, Ayroles JF, et al. (2012) The
Drosophila melanogaster Genetic Reference Panel. Nature 482: 173–178.
doi:10.1038/nature10811.

29. Turner TL, Hahn MW, Nuzhdin SV (2005) Genomic islands of speciation in
Anopheles gambiae. PLoS Biol 3: e285. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0030285.

30. Neafsey DE, Lawniczak MKN, Park DJ, Redmond SN, Coulibaly MB, et al. (2010)
SNP genotyping defines complex gene-flow boundaries among African malaria
vector mosquitoes. Science 330: 514–517. doi:10.1126/science.1193036.

31. Weetman D, Wilding CS, Steen K, Pinto J, Donnelly MJ (2012) Gene flow-
dependent genomic divergence between Anopheles gambiae M and S forms.
Mol Biol Evol 29: 279–291. doi:10.1093/molbev/msr199.

32. Charlesworth B (1998) Measures of divergence between populations and the
effect of forces that reduce variability. Mol Biol Evol 15: 538–543.

33. Noor MAF, Bennett SM (2009) Islands of speciation or mirages in the desert?
Examining the role of restricted recombination in maintaining species.
Heredity 103: 439–444. doi:10.1038/hdy.2009.151.

34. Hahn MW, White BJ, Muir CD, Besansky NJ (2012) No evidence for biased co-
transmission of speciation islands in Anopheles gambiae. Philos Trans R Soc
Lond B Biol Sci 367: 374–384. doi:10.1098/rstb.2011.0188.

35. Cruickshank TE, Hahn MW (2014) Reanalysis suggests that genomic islands of
speciation are due to reduced diversity, not reduced gene flow. Mol Ecol 23:
3133–3157. doi:10.1111/mec.12796.

36. Wang-Sattler R, Blandin S, Ning Y, Blass C, Dolo G, et al. (2007) Mosaic Genome
Architecture of the Anopheles gambiae Species Complex. PLoS ONE 2: e1249.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001249.

37. O'Loughlin SM, Magesa S, Mbogo C, Mosha F, Midega J, et al. (2014) Genomic
analyses of three malaria vectors reveals extensive shared polymorphism but
contrasting population histories. Mol Biol Evol 31: 889–902.
doi:10.1093/molbev/msu040.

38. Marsden CD, Lee Y, Kreppel K, Weakley A, Cornel A, et al. (2014) Diversity,
differentiation, and linkage disequilibrium: prospects for association mapping
in the malaria vector Anopheles arabiensis. G3 Bethesda Md 4: 121–131.
doi:10.1534/g3.113.008326.

39. Korneliussen T, Albrechtsen A, Nielsen R (2014) ANGSD: Analysis of Next
Generation Sequencing Data. BMC Bioinformatics 15: 356.
doi:10.1186/s12859-014-0356-4.

40. Kamali M, Xia A, Tu Z, Sharakhov IV (2012) A new chromosomal phylogeny
supports the repeated origin of vectorial capacity in malaria mosquitoes of the
Anopheles gambiae complex. PLoS Pathog 8: e1002960.
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002960.

41. Coluzzi M, Sabatini A, della Torre A, Di Deco MA, Petrarca V (2002) A polytene
chromosome analysis of the Anopheles gambiae species complex. Science 298:
1415–1418. doi:10.1126/science.1077769.

42. Gutenkunst RN, Hernandez RD, Williamson SH, Bustamante CD (2009) Inferring
the Joint Demographic History of Multiple Populations from Multidimensional
SNP Frequency Data. PLoS Genet 5: e1000695.
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000695.

43. Nielsen R, Williamson S, Kim Y, Hubisz MJ, Clark AG, et al. (2005) Genomic scans
for selective sweeps using SNP data. Genome Res 15: 1566.

44. Nielsen R, Korneliussen T, Albrechtsen A, Li Y, Wang J (2012) SNP calling,
genotype calling, and sample allele frequency estimation from New-
Generation Sequencing data. PloS One 7: e37558.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0037558.

45. Korneliussen TS, Moltke I, Albrechtsen A, Nielsen R (2013) Calculation of
Tajima's D and other neutrality test statistics from low depth next-generation

sequencing data. BMC Bioinformatics 14: 289. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-14-
289.

46. Fumagalli M, Vieira FG, Linderoth T, Nielsen R (2014) ngsTools: methods for
population genetics analyses from next-generation sequencing data.
Bioinforma Oxf Engl 30: 1486–1487. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu041.

47. Simard F, Ayala D, Kamdem GC, Pombi M, Etouna J, et al. (2009) Ecological niche
partitioning between Anopheles gambiae molecular forms in Cameroon: the
ecological side of speciation. BMC Ecol 9: 17.

48. White BJ, Lawniczak MKN, Cheng C, Coulibaly MB, Wilson MD, et al. (2011)
Adaptive divergence between incipient species of Anopheles gambiae
increases resistance to Plasmodium. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108: 244–249.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1013648108.

49. Wu Q, Wen T, Lee G, Park JH, Cai HN, et al. (2003) Developmental Control of
Foraging and Social Behavior by the Drosophila Neuropeptide Y-like System.
Neuron 39: 147–161. doi:10.1016/S0896-6273(03)00396-9.

50. Gimonneau G, Pombi M, Dabire RK, Diabate A, Morand S, et al. (2012)
Behavioural responses of Anopheles gambiae sensu stricto M and S molecular
form larvae to an aquatic predator in Burkina Faso. Parasit Vectors 5: 65.
doi:10.1186/1756-3305-5-65.

51. Croker B, Crozat K, Berger M, Xia Y, Sovath S, et al. (2007) ATP-sensitive
potassium channels mediate survival during infection in mammals and insects.
Nat Genet 39: 1453–1460. doi:10.1038/ng.2007.25.

52. Benton R, Sachse S, Michnick SW, Vosshall LB (2006) Atypical membrane
topology and heteromeric function of Drosophila odorant receptors in vivo.
PLoS Biol 4: e20. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0040020.

53. Rund SSC, Hou TY, Ward SM, Collins FH, Duffield GE (2011) Genome-wide
profiling of diel and circadian gene expression in the malaria vector
Anopheles gambiae. Proc Natl Acad Sci 108: E421–E430.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1100584108.

54. Ditzen M, Pellegrino M, Vosshall LB (2008) Insect Odorant Receptors Are
Molecular Targets of the Insect Repellent DEET. Science 319: 1838–1842.
doi:10.1126/science.1153121.

55. Green RE, Krause J, Briggs AW, Maricic T, Stenzel U, et al. (2010) A Draft
Sequence of the Neandertal Genome. Science 328: 710–722.
doi:10.1126/science.1188021.

56. Durand EY, Patterson N, Reich D, Slatkin M (2011) Testing for ancient admixture
between closely related populations. Mol Biol Evol 28: 2239–2252.
doi:10.1093/molbev/msr048.

57. Pool JE, Nielsen R (2009) Inference of historical changes in migration rate from
the lengths of migrant tracts. Genetics 181: 711–719.
doi:10.1534/genetics.108.098095.

58. Gravel S (2012) Population genetics models of local ancestry. Genetics 191: 607–
619. doi:10.1534/genetics.112.139808.

59. Nosil P, Crespi BJ, Sandoval CP (2003) Reproductive isolation driven by the
combined effects of ecological adaptation and reinforcement. Proc Biol Sci
270: 1911–1918. doi:10.1098/rspb.2003.2457.

60. Grant PR, Grant BR, Petren K (2005) Hybridization in the recent past. Am Nat
166: 56–67. doi:10.1086/430331.

61. Weetman D, Steen K, Rippon EJ, Mawejje HD, Donnelly MJ, et al. (2014)
Contemporary gene flow between wild An. gambiae s.s. and An. arabiensis.
Parasit Vectors 7: 345. doi:10.1186/1756-3305-7-345.

62. Clarkson CS, Weetman D, Essandoh J, Yawson AE, Maslen G, et al. (2014)
Adaptive introgression between Anopheles sibling species eliminates a major
genomic island but not reproductive isolation. Nat Commun 5: 4248.
doi:10.1038/ncomms5248.

63. Ffrench-Constant RH, Rocheleau TA, Steichen JC, Chalmers AE (1993) A point
mutation in a Drosophila GABA receptor confers insecticide resistance. Nature
363: 449–451. doi:10.1038/363449a0.

64. Du W, Awolola TS, Howell P, Koekemoer LL, Brooke BD, et al. (2005)
Independent mutations in the Rdl locus confer dieldrin resistance to
Anopheles gambiae and An. arabiensis. Insect Mol Biol 14: 179–183.
doi:10.1111/j.1365-2583.2005.00544.x.

65. Coluzzi M, Sabatini A, Petrarca V, Di Deco MA (1979) Chromosomal
differentiation and adaptation to human environments in the Anopheles
gambiae complex. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 73: 483–497.

66. Della Torre A, Merzagora L, Powell JR, Coluzzi M (1997) Selective introgression
of paracentric inversions between two sibling species of the Anopheles
gambiae complex. Genetics 146: 239–244.

67. Besansky NJ, Krzywinski J, Lehmann T, Simard F, Kern M, et al. (2003)
Semipermeable species boundaries between Anopheles gambiae and

2097   Anopheles arabiensis: evidence from multilocus DNA sequence variation. Proc
2098   Natl Acad Sci U S A 100: 10818–10823. doi:10.1073/pnas.1434337100.

2099   68. White BJ, Hahn MW, Pombi M, Cassone BJ, Lobo NF, et al. (2007) Localization of
2100   candidate regions maintaining a common polymorphic inversion (2La) in
2101   Anopheles gambiae. PLoS Genet 3: e217. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0030217.

2102   69. Payne F (1924) Crossover Modifiers in the Third Chromosome of DROSOPHILA
2103   MELANOGASTER. Genetics 9: 327–342.

2104   70. Kirkpatrick M, Barton N (2006) Chromosome inversions, local adaptation and
2105   speciation. Genetics 173: 419–434. doi:10.1534/genetics.105.047985.

2106   71. Hoffmann AA, Rieseberg LH (2008) Revisiting the Impact of Inversions in
2107   Evolution: From Population Genetic Markers to Drivers of Adaptive Shifts and
2108   Speciation? Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 39: 21–42.
2109   doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.39.110707.173532.

2110   72. Dobzhansky TG (1937) Genetics and the Origin of Species. New York, NY:
2111   Columbia University Press. 364 p.

2112   73. Muller HJ (1940) Bearing of the Drosophila work on systematics. The new
2113   systematics (ed. J.S. Huxley). Oxford, UK: Clarendon Press. pp. 185–268.

2114   74. Cheng C, White BJ, Kamdem C, Mockaitis K, Costantini C, et al. (2012) Ecological
2115   Genomics of Anopheles Gambiae Along a Latitudinal Cline: A Population-
2116   Resequencing Approach. Genetics 190: 1417–1432.
2117   doi:10.1534/genetics.111.137794.

2118   75. Elliott R, Ramakrishna V (1956) Insecticide resistance in Anopheles gambiae
2119   Giles. Nature 177: 532–533.

2120   76. Noor MA, Grams KL, Bertucci LA, Reiland J (2001) Chromosomal inversions and
2121   the reproductive isolation of species. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98: 12084–
2122   12088. doi:10.1073/pnas.221274498.

2123   77. Rieseberg LH (2001) Chromosomal rearrangements and speciation. Trends Ecol
2124   Evol 16: 351–358.

2125   78. Navarro A, Barton NH (2003) Accumulating postzygotic isolation genes in
2126   parapatry: a new twist on chromosomal speciation. Evol Int J Org Evol 57:
2127   447–459.

2128   79. Butlin RK (2005) Recombination and speciation. Mol Ecol 14: 2621–2635.
2129   doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02617.x.

80. Charlesworth B, Coyne JA, Barton NH (1987) The Relative Rates of Evolution of
Sex Chromosomes and Autosomes. Am Nat 130: 113. doi:10.1086/284701.

81. Garrigan D, Kingan SB, Geneva AJ, Andolfatto P, Clark AG, et al. (2012) Genome
sequencing reveals complex speciation in the Drosophila simulans clade.
Genome Res. Available:
http://genome.cshlp.org/content/early/2012/04/13/gr.130922.111.
Accessed 15 October 2012.

82. Slotman MA, Della Torre A, Calzetta M, Powell JR (2005) Differential
introgression of chromsomal regions between Anopheles gambiae and An.
arabiensis. Am J Trop Med Hyg 73: 326–335.

83. Chen CM, Struhl G (1999) Wingless transduction by the Frizzled and Frizzled2
proteins of Drosophila. Dev Camb Engl 126: 5441–5452.

84. Schlenke TA, Begun DJ (2004) Strong selective sweep associated with a
transposon insertion in Drosophila simulans. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:
1626–1631. doi:10.1073/pnas.0303793101.

85. Aminetzach YT, Macpherson JM, Petrov DA (2005) Pesticide resistance via
transposition-mediated adaptive gene truncation in Drosophila. Science 309:
764–767. doi:10.1126/science.1112699.

86. Corbett-Detig RB, Hartl DL (2012) Population genomics of inversion
polymorphisms in Drosophila melanogaster. PLoS Genet 8: e1003056.
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003056.

87. Feder JL, Xie X, Rull J, Velez S, Forbes A, et al. (2005) Mayr, Dobzhansky, and
Bush and the complexities of sympatric speciation in Rhagoletis. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A 102 Suppl 1: 6573–6580. doi:10.1073/pnas.0502099102.

88. Slotman MA, Reimer LJ, Thiemann T, Dolo G, Fondjo E, et al. (2006) Reduced
recombination rate and genetic differentiation between the M and S forms of
Anopheles gambiae s.s. Genetics 174: 2081–2093.
doi:10.1534/genetics.106.059949.

89. Dao A, Yaro AS, Diallo M, Timbiné S, Huestis DL, et al. (2014) Signatures of
aestivation and migration in Sahelian malaria mosquito populations. Nature.
Available:
http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/vaop/ncurrent/fig_tab/nature1398
7_SF2.html. Accessed 3 December 2014.

90. Turner TL, Hahn MW (2010) Genomic islands of speciation or genomic islands
and speciation? Mol Ecol 19: 848–850. doi:10.1111/j.1365-
294X.2010.04532.x.

91. Geraldes A, Basset P, Gibson B, Smith KL, Harr B, et al. (2008) Inferring the
history of speciation in house mice from autosomal, X-linked, Y-linked and
mitochondrial genes. Mol Ecol 17: 5349–5363. doi:10.1111/j.1365-
294X.2008.04005.x.

92. Presgraves DC (2008) Sex chromosomes and speciation in Drosophila. Trends
Genet TIG 24: 336–343. doi:10.1016/j.tig.2008.04.007.

93. Frank SA (1991) Divergence of Meiotic Drive-Suppression Systems as an
Explanation for Sex- Biased Hybrid Sterility and Inviability. Evolution 45: 262.
doi:10.2307/2409661.

94. Hurst LD, Pomiankowski A (1991) Causes of sex ratio bias may account for
unisexual sterility in hybrids: a new explanation of Haldane's rule and related
phenomena. Genetics 128: 841–858.

95. Lifschytz E, Lindsley DL (1972) The role of X-chromosome inactivation during
spermatogenesis (Drosophila-allocycly-chromosome evolution-male sterility-
dosage compensation). Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 69: 182–186.

96. Wu C-I (2001) The genic view of the process of speciation. J Evol Biol 14: 851–
865. doi:10.1046/j.1420-9101.2001.00335.x.

97. Langley CH, Stevens K, Cardeno C, Lee YCG, Schrider DR, et al. (2012) Genomic
Variation in Natural Populations of Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 192:
533–598. doi:10.1534/genetics.112.142018.

98. Garrigan D, Kingan SB, Geneva AJ, Vedanayagam JP, Presgraves DC (2014)
Genome diversity and divergence in Drosophila mauritiana: multiple
signatures of faster X evolution. Genome Biol Evol 6: 2444–2458.
doi:10.1093/gbe/evu198.

99. Martin SH, Davey JW, Jiggins CD (2014) Evaluating the Use of ABBA-BABA
Statistics to Locate Introgressed Loci. Mol Biol Evol.
doi:10.1093/molbev/msu269.

100. Fontaine MC, Pease JB, Steele A, Waterhouse RM, Neafsey DE, et al. (2014)
Extensive introgression in a malaria vector species complex revealed by
phylogenomics. Science. doi:10.1126/science.1258524.

101. Fanello C, Santolamazza F, della Torre A (2002) Simultaneous identification of
species and molecular forms of the Anopheles gambiae complex by PCR-RFLP.
Med Vet Entomol 16: 461–464.

102. Santolamazza F, Mancini E, Simard F, Qi Y, Tu Z, et al. (2008) Insertion
polymorphisms of SINE200 retrotransposons within speciation islands of

Anopheles gambiae molecular forms. Malar J 7: 163. doi:10.1186/1475-2875-
7-163.

103. White BJ, Santolamazza F, Kamau L, Pombi M, Grushko O, et al. (2007)
Molecular karyotyping of the 2La inversion in Anopheles gambiae. Am J Trop
Med Hyg 76: 334–339.

104. Holt RA, Subramanian GM, Halpern A, Sutton GG, Charlab R, et al. (2002) The
genome sequence of the malaria mosquito Anopheles gambiae. Science 298:
129–149. doi:10.1126/science.1076181.

105. Li H (2013) Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs
with BWA-MEM. ArXiv13033997 Q-Bio. Available:
http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.3997. Accessed 19 May 2014.

106. DePristo MA, Banks E, Poplin R, Garimella KV, Maguire JR, et al. (2011) A
framework for variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA
sequencing data. Nat Genet 43: 491–498. doi:10.1038/ng.806.

107. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, et al. (2009) The Sequence
Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinforma Oxf Engl 25: 2078–2079.
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352.

108. Sharakhova MV, George P, Brusentsova IV, Leman SC, Bailey JA, et al. (2010)
Genome mapping and characterization of the Anopheles gambiae
heterochromatin. BMC Genomics 11: 459. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-11-459.

109. Kim SY, Lohmueller KE, Albrechtsen A, Li Y, Korneliussen T, et al. (2011)
Estimation of allele frequency and association mapping using next-generation
sequencing data. BMC Bioinformatics 12: 231. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-12-
231.

110. Vieira FG, Fumagalli M, Albrechtsen A, Nielsen R (2013) Estimating inbreeding
coefficients from NGS data: Impact on genotype calling and allele frequency
estimation. Genome Res 23: 1852–1861. doi:10.1101/gr.157388.113.

111. Nei M (1987) Molecular Evolutionary Genetics. New York, NY: Columbia
University Press. 526 p.

112. R Development Core Team (2011) R: A language and Environment for
Statistical Computing. Available: http://www.R-project.org/.

113. Paradis E, Claude J, Strimmer K (2004) APE: Analyses of Phylogenetics and
Evolution in R language. Bioinforma Oxf Engl 20: 289–290.

114. Tamura K, Subramanian S, Kumar S (2004) Temporal patterns of fruit fly
(Drosophila) evolution revealed by mutation clocks. Mol Biol Evol 21: 36–44.
doi:10.1093/molbev/msg236.

115. Haag-Liautard C, Dorris M, Maside X, Macaskill S, Halligan DL, et al. (2007)
Direct estimation of per nucleotide and genomic deleterious mutation rates in
Drosophila. Nature 445: 82–85. doi:10.1038/nature05388.

116. Schrider DR, Houle D, Lynch M, Hahn MW (2013) Rates and genomic
consequences of spontaneous mutational events in Drosophila melanogaster.
Genetics 194: 937–954. doi:10.1534/genetics.113.151670.

117. Keightley PD, Trivedi U, Thomson M, Oliver F, Kumar S, et al. (2009) Analysis
of the genome sequences of three Drosophila melanogaster spontaneous
mutation accumulation lines. Genome Res 19: 1195–1201.
doi:10.1101/gr.091231.109.

118. Keightley PD, Ness RW, Halligan DL, Haddrill PR (2014) Estimation of the
spontaneous mutation rate per nucleotide site in a Drosophila melanogaster
full-sib family. Genetics 196: 313–320. doi:10.1534/genetics.113.158758.

119. Barrett JC, Fry B, Maller J, Daly MJ (2005) Haploview: analysis and
visualization of LD and haplotype maps. Bioinformatics 21: 263–265.
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bth457.

120. Harris C, Lambrechts L, Rousset F, Abate L, Nsango SE, et al. (2010)
Polymorphisms in Anopheles gambiae immune genes associated with natural
resistance to Plasmodium falciparum. PLoS Pathog 6: e1001112.
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1001112.

121. Weetman D, Wilding CS, Steen K, Morgan JC, Simard F, et al. (2010)
Association Mapping of Insecticide Resistance in Wild Anopheles gambiae
Populations: Major Variants Identified in a Low-Linkage Disequilbrium
Genome. PLoS ONE 5: e13140. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013140.

122. Chen GK, Marjoram P, Wall JD (2009) Fast and flexible simulation of DNA
sequence data. Genome Res 19: 136–142. doi:10.1101/gr.083634.108.

123. Pavlidis P, Jensen JD, Stephan W (2010) Searching for footprints of positive
selection in whole-genome SNP data from nonequilibrium populations.
Genetics 185: 907–922. doi:10.1534/genetics.110.116459.

124. Waterhouse RM, Kriventseva EV, Meister S, Xi Z, Alvarez KS, et al. (2007)
Evolutionary dynamics of immune-related genes and pathways in disease-
vector mosquitoes. Science 316: 1738–1743. doi:10.1126/science.1139862.

125. Tajima F (1989) Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis
by DNA polymorphism. Genetics 123: 585.

126. Fiston-Lavier A-S, Singh ND, Lipatov M, Petrov DA (2010) Drosophila
melanogaster recombination rate calculator. Gene 463: 18–20.
doi:10.1016/j.gene.2010.04.015.

127. Chan AH, Jenkins PA, Song YS (2012) Genome-Wide Fine-Scale Recombination
Rate Variation in Drosophila melanogaster. PLoS Genet 8: e1003090.
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003090.

128. McVean GAT, Myers SR, Hunt S, Deloukas P, Bentley DR, et al. (2004) The fine-
scale structure of recombination rate variation in the human genome. Science
304: 581–584. doi:10.1126/science.1092500.

129. Auton A, Rui Li Y, Kidd J, Oliveira K, Nadel J, et al. (2013) Genetic
Recombination Is Targeted towards Gene Promoter Regions in Dogs. PLoS
Genet 9: e1003984. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003984.

130. Lehmann T, Hawley WA, Grebert H, Collins FH (1998) The effective population
size of Anopheles gambiae in Kenya: implications for population structure.
Mol Biol Evol 15: 264–276.

131. Sharakhov IV, White BJ, Sharakhova MV, Kayondo J, Lobo NF, et al. (2006)
Breakpoint structure reveals the unique origin of an interspecific
chromosomal inversion (2La) in the Anopheles gambiae complex. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A 103: 6258–6262. doi:10.1073/pnas.0509683103.

132. Sindi S, Helman E, Bashir A, Raphael BJ (2009) A geometric approach for
classification and comparison of structural variants. Bioinformatics 25: i222–
i230. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp208.

133. Thorvaldsdóttir H, Robinson JT, Mesirov JP (2013) Integrative Genomics
Viewer (IGV): high-performance genomics data visualization and exploration.
Brief Bioinform 14: 178–192. doi:10.1093/bib/bbs017.

134. Cox MP, Peterson DA, Biggs PJ (2010) SolexaQA: At-a-glance quality
assessment of Illumina second-generation sequencing data. BMC
Bioinformatics 11: 485. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-11-485.

135. Luo R, Liu B, Xie Y, Li Z, Huang W, et al. (2012) SOAPdenovo2: an empirically
improved memory-efficient short-read de novo assembler. GigaScience 1: 18.
doi:10.1186/2047-217X-1-18.

136. Ye K, Schulz MH, Long Q, Apweiler R, Ning Z (2009) Pindel: a pattern growth
approach to detect break points of large deletions and medium sized

insertions from paired-end short reads. Bioinforma Oxf Engl 25: 2865–2871.
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp394.

2306

2307 **Figure Legends:**
2308
Figure 1: Average genetic relationships among species and subgroups in *Anopheles*
***gambiae* species complex.** Unrooted neighbor-joining tree calculated with the *ape*
package in R and drawn with Geneious software. Branches indicate genetic distance
(D_{xy}) calculated using intergenic sites (see Methods) with scale bar for reference.
Bootstrap support indicated for nodes leading to *A. gambiae* clade and GOUNDRY-M
form clade. Branch lengths and 95%CIs indicated for branches leading to *A. merus* and *A.*
arabiensis.
2316
2317

Figure 2: Demographic history of GOUNDRY and M form *A. gambiae*. **A)** Three-
epoch demographic model. *N* parameters indicate effective population sizes. The
duration of each epoch is indicated with the *t* parameters. Migration parameters ($2Nm$)
are included as functions of the ancestral effective size. We included separate migration
parameters for M into GOUNDRY migration ($2N_A m_{GM}$) and GOUNDRY into M
($2N_A m_{GM}$). **B)** Autosomal two-dimensional site-frequency spectra for GOUNDRY and M
form for both the data and model. Residuals are calculated as the normalized difference
between the model and the data (model – data), such that red colors indicate an excess
number of SNPs predicted by the model.
2332

Figure 3: Maps of recent positive selection indicate adaptive differentiation in

GOUNDRY (top row), M form (middle row), and *A. arabiensis* **(bottom row).**
Recent selective sweeps are private and distributed across the genome of each group.
Positive selection is significantly more prevalent in M form than GOUNDRY ($P <$
0.0001). Each point indicates the Log-Likelihood Ratio (LLR) value for a selective
sweep at a given genomic position. Credible peaks are indicated with red dots. The large
sweep region on the X chromosome in GOUNDRY is indicated with a horizontal red line,
and the inset shows both LLRs and nucleotide diversity (blue). GOUNDRY windows
with LLR values > 800 are truncated for presentation. Low-complexity regions were
excluded. A full list of inferred targets of selection is given in Tables S2 and S3.

2354   **Figure 4:** Chromosomal distributions of nucleotide diversity (π) at inter-genic sites
2355   (LOESS-smoothed with span of 1% using 10 kb non-overlapping windows). Low
2356   complexity and heterochromatic regions were excluded. M = *A. gambiae* M form; G = *A.*
2357   *gambiae* GOUNDRY; R = *A. merus*; A = *A. arabiensis.*

2358

Figure 5: Excess variance among in D_{BLOCK} indicates recent introgression. A) Four-
taxon trees used in ABBA-BABA tests with four alternative introgression models. The
expected genome-wide value of the D statistic ($E[D_{GEN}]$) is presented below in addition
to the expected variance among D statistics calculated in genomic blocks ($Var[D_{BLOCK}]$).
$Var[D_{BLOCK}]$ under the 'No introgression' model is unknown and indicated here by Φ for
comparison in other models. The '2-taxon' and 'Sister taxa introgression' models may
result in $E[D_{GEN}]$ of 0, but is expected to have increased $Var[D_{BLOCK}]$ relative to the 'No
introgression' model providing a test for introgression. **B)** The four-taxon test tree used
for analysis. **C)** The distributions of $Var[D_{BLOCK}]$ calculated from 10^4 permuted genomes
(see Methods) for test trees with *A. gambiae* S form (Grey) and *A. arabiensis* from
Burkina Faso (Yellow) as the H3 outgroup are presented. The true $Var[D_{BLOCK}]$ values
from each empirical data set, presented on a broken x-axis for comparison, are greater
than all permuted genomes in each case consistent with the presence of introgressed
haplotypes in these genomes.

2373

Figure 6: Significant autosomal introgression between *Anopheles* species and
subspecies. ABBA-BABA statistics were calculated in non-overlapping windows of 500
informative sites using *A. merus* as the outgroup. Blue ribbon indicates 95% confidence
region for introgression between S form ($2La^{a/+}$) and GOUNDRY (positive D; $2La^{a/a}$ and
$2La^{+/+}$; $3R+$; Xag) and M form (negative D; $2La^{a/a}$; $3R+$; Xag). Orange ribbon indicates
95% confidence region for introgression between *A. arabiensis* ($2La^{a/a}$; $3Ra$; $Xbcd$) and
GOUNDRY (positive D) and M form (negative D). Horizontal dotted lines (orange = *A.*
arabiensis; blue = S form) indicate genome wide significance level after correction for
multiple testing. Positions of relevant chromosomal inversions indicated with horizontal
white lines. A full list of genes within significant windows is given in Table S4.

2390
2391

Figure 7: Patterns of divergence among subgroups of *A. gambiae* follow similar curves
(LOESS-smoothed with span of 1% using 10 kb non-overlapping windows), although
differing slightly in magnitude, except increases in pericentromeric region in the M vs. S
comparison and inside the 2L*a* inversion where these populations differ in karyotype (G-
2L$a^{+/+}$, M-2L$a^{a/a}$, S-2L$a^{a/+}$). Divergence between the M form and *A. arabiensis* and *A.*
merus is enriched on the X chromosome, especially inside the inverted X*ag* and *Xbcd*
region (M vs. *arab*) and in pericentromeric regions (M vs. *merus*). Grey bars indicate
locations of differentially fixed chromosomal inversions as well as the 2L*a* inversion and
the large sweep on the GOUNDRY X (X*h*). Low complexity and heterochromatic
regions were excluded.

2402

2403
2404
2405

Figure 8: Patterns of nucleotide diversity (π, pi), background linkage disequilibrium
(r^2 SNPs > 1 kb apart; LD), genetic divergence (D_{xy}), introgression (D), and selective
sweeps (LLR) at the *Rdl* locus in *A. gambiae* M form, *A. gambiae* GOUNDRY, and *A.*
arabiensis. Nucleotide diversity, LD, and SweepFinder LLRs are presented together for
A) M form, **B**) GOUNDRY, and **C**) *A. arabiensis.* Y-axes are omitted for the SF LLR
statistic. Linkage disequilibrium is not included for GOUNDRY because it could not be
estimated robustly for GOUNDRY (see Methods). ABBA-BABA test statistics
presented for the trees **D**) (((M form 2La^a,GOUNDRY 2La^+), *A. arabiensis* 2La^a), *A.*
merus) and **E**) (((M form 2La^a,GOUNDRY 2La^a), *A. arabiensis* 2La^a), *A. merus*). **F**)
Pairwise estimates of genetic divergence among *A. arabiensis* (A), M form (M),
GOUNDRY 2L$a^{a/a}$ (Gaa), and GOUNDRY 2L$a^{+/+}$ (G++). Outer boundaries of the *Rdl*
coding sequence are indicated with vertical dashed lines.
2418

2424

2425

Figure 9: Patterns of genetic divergence (D_{xy}) between populations as a function of
nucleotide diversity (π) reveal differential gene flow during speciation. Genomic
regions defined by expected rates of recombination in hybrids (see Methods) differ in
their distributions of nucleotide diversity and genetic divergence, but not always in the
same direction, suggesting that gene flow has been restricted on the X and lowly
recombining regions in some cases. **A)** M form vs. GOUNDRY, **B)** M form vs. S form,
C) M form vs. *A. arabiensis* **D)** M form vs. *A. merus*. Panel legends indicate colors
corresponding to genomic location of each 10 Kb window where 'Free' indicates freely
recombining regions, 'Cen-Tel' indicates centromeric and telomeric autosomal regions,
and 'Inv' indicates chromosomal inversions. 'A-' and 'X-' indicate autosomal or X
chromosome. Dashed blue-green line indicates perfect correlation. Asterisks indicate
Mann-Whitney tests with *P* values $< 3.92\times10^{-05}$ for comparisons indicated with brackets.
Note that the y-axis scale differs among panels.

2439

2440

Figure 10: Modeling expected values of Relative Node Depth (D_{GM}/D_{GS}). A)
Expected values of RND when ancestral population sizes are assumed to be equal.
Colors indicate the expectations under different relative split times. **B)** Expected values
with t_{GS} split time fixed to 1.1 (top) times the split time between GOUNDRY and M
(t_{GM}) or 1.5 times (bottom). Colors indicated relative effective sizes of ancestral
populations. Values are plotted as a function of the GOUNDRY-M effective size (x-axis).
Grey bar indicates 95% confidence interval demographic estimate for GOUNDRY-M
ancestral size (see Methods).
2449

2450

Figure 11: Evolution of founder populations in a species complex. Reproductive
isolation among ecologically specialized founder populations and their ancestral
population establishes differentially across the genome. **A)** Introgression is ineffective on
the X chromosome in general, and chromosomal inversions may serve as barriers in some
cases. **B)** Amongst a background of genetic divergence and adaptive differentiation on
the autosomes, introgression maintains genetic connectivity across the speciation
continuum occasionally allowing rapid adaptation to novel environments in the recipient
population, including arid habitats (2L*a*) and insecticides (*Rdl*).
2459

Tables:

Table 1: Optimized parameter values and confidence intervals from best fit demographic model for GOUNDRY and M form. See Figure S2 for parameter descriptions.

Parameter	Optimized Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
θ $(4N_A\mu L)^1$	180,914		
N_A^2	126,252	88,916	150,976
Split Times			
t_1	259,220	114,087	396,171
t_2	754,204	741,946	766,215
t_3	99,235	93,113	105,754
t_{TOT}	1,112,660	967,181	1,250,106
Population sizes			
N_{M1}/N_A	0.01	0.01	0.01
N_{M2}/N_A	5.74	4.58	7.65
N_{M3}/N_A	12.34	9.54	16.61
N_{G1}/N_A	0.01	0.00*	0.08
N_{G2}/N_A	0.19	0.11	0.32
N_{G3}/N_A	0.78	0.62	1.05
Migration rates (4Nm)³			
G1 into M1	0.010	0.0047	0.02
G2 into M2	1.29	1.25	1.33
G3 into M3	0.37	0.08	0.74
M1 into G1	0.080	0.00*	0.64
M2 into G2	1.17×10^{-4}	0.00*	0.0015
M3 into G3	1.50	1.44	1.55

1 – Instead of estimating a confidence interval for θ which itself is not model parameter, we solved for N_A and calculated a confidence for this parameter. In the implementation of *dadi*, N_A is used to scale all other parameters in the model.

2 – Ancestral population size was calculated from the estimate of θ by dividing this value by 4 times the number of sites times the mutation rate (see Methods above).

3 – Values of 4*Nm* were calculated by multiplying the migration rate reported by *dadi* ($2N_Am$) by 2 times the ratio of the effective size of the recipient population (e.g. N_{G1}) over N_A.

* indicates cases where the lower bound of the 95% CI was negative. This is not meaningful, so we set these values to 0.

2471
2472
2473   **Table 2:** Modified block-based ABBA-BABA test of introgression. Genome-wide 95%
2474   thresholds and the proportions of the genome in significant windows are presented for
2475   three comparisons.

H1[a]	H2[a]	H3[a]	Upper 95%[b]	Lower 95%[b]	Prop genome in sig M form Windows[c]	Prop genome in sig GOUNDRY Windows[c]
M form	GOUNDRY	S form	0.204	-0.204	0.0114	0.0325
M form	GOUNDRY	*A. arabiensis* (Burkina Faso)	0.248	-0.164	0.0364	0.0354
M form	GOUNDRY	*A. arabiensis* (Tanzania)	0.256	-0.156	0.0323	0.0312

a – Taxonomic assignment in the ABBA-BABA test tree ((H1,H2),H3),O).
b – Boundaries of the genome wide 95% threshold region estimated using block jackknife estimates of standard error within genomic regions after permutation (n = 10^4 replicates).
c – For each comparison, windows exceeding the 95% thresholds were identified, and the sum of their length was compared to the total length of the *A. gambiae* PEST reference.

2476
2477
2478   **Supplementary Table Legends:**
2479
2480   **Table S1**: Next-generation sequencing statistics for mosquito samples.
2481
Table S2: Inferred selective sweeps in GOUNDRY, M form and *A. arabiensis*. Chr:
chromosomal location of sweep; Peak Pos: location of window with highest LLR; LLR –
log likelihood ratio from SweepFinder; P_{gen} – genome-wide *P* value, 0 values indicate *P*
values less than 0.005; P_{loc} – per-locus *P* value, 0 values correspond to *P* values less than
1.26×10^{-6} for autosomes and less than 6.67×10^{-6} for the X chromosome. Gene name,
ImmunoDB ID, and Gene description from Vectorbase.org.
2488
2489   **Table S3:** Genes located inside large swept region (~8.47 – 10.1 MB) on X chromosome
2490   in GOUNDRY subgroup of *A. gambiae*. Chr: chromosomal location of sweep; Gene
2491   name, ImmunoDB ID, and Gene description from Vectorbase.org.
2492
Table S4: Genes located inside introgressed windows with genome-wide significant
values of *D*. Introg - Introgression between indicated subgroups; G_Abf – Introgression
between GOUNDRY and Burkina Faso population of *A. arabiensis*; M_Abf -
Introgression between M form and Burkina Faso population of *A. arabiensis*; M_Sform -
Introgression between M and S forms; G_Sform - Introgression between GOUNDRY
and S form; Chr – chromosomal location; Gene start bp – basepair coordinate of start in
coding gene sequence; Gene end bp – basepair coordinate of end in coding gene
sequence; Gene name, ImmunoDB ID, and Gene description from Vectorbase.org.
2501

2502   **Table S5:** Collection site and date information for mosquito samples.

2503

2504   **Table S6**: Summary statistics for mapping to *A. gambiae* PEST reference and subgroup
2505   SPEC reference.

2506

2507   **Table S7**: Sites included and excluded from analysis in all subgroups.

2508

2509

2510   **Supplementary Figure Legends:**

2511

Figure S1: Test for differences in the amount of recent selection between the
GOUNDRY versus M form subgroups. We tested whether the number of megabases
harboring at least a single significant selective sweep window differed between the
subgroups by subtracting the number of megabases in M form from the number of
megabases in GOUNDRY. The red line indicates the true difference. The histogram
presents the same calculation made using 10^4 datasets with subgroup assignments
randomly permuted. No permuted genome produced the same or larger difference
between the two subgroups.

2520

Figure S2: Background Linkage Disequilibrium (LD) for each chromosomal arm in *A.*
gambiae M form and *A. arabiensis*. LD (r^2) between SNPs separated by at least 1kb (10
kb maximum) was averaged in 10 kb non-overlapping windows and LOESS-smoothed
using a span of 1%. Low complexity and heterochromatic regions have been excluded.
The large spikes on 2L and X (noted with vertical blue shaded bars) also coincide with
reductions in nucleotide diversity and are thus likely to be recent selective sweeps.
Additionally, there are a number of long distance increases (noted with horizontal grey
bars) in LD in both M form and *A. arabiensis* that coincide approximately with the
locations of known chromosomal inversions segregating in these subgroups [41].

2530

2531   **Figure S3:** Mean total read depth for GOUNDRY X chromosome sweep region. Mean
2532   total read depth across all GOUNDRY samples (n=12) for sites within non-overlapping
2533   500 bp windows and plotted as a function of chromosomal position (megabases). The
2534   position of large GOUNDRY X sweep region is shown with grey bar.

2535

Figure S4: Relative genetic divergence (D_a) between GOUNDRY and M form. *Da*
plotted as a function of M form nucleotide diversity using only intergenic sites in non-
overlapping 10kb windows. Low complexity and heterochromatic regions were excluded.
Genomic regions were defined based on predicted rates of recombination in hybrids (see
Methods) and compared using non-parametric Mann-Whitney tests. X-Free: freely
recombining regions on X chromosome. X-Inv: region inside putative X*h* chromosomal
inversion. Asterisks indicate P values less than 4.896e-08.

2543

2544   **Figure S5:** ΔD_{xy} between *A. gambiae* subgroups GOUNDRY and M form plotted for
2545   windows across the X. Permutation test *P*-values for GOUNDRY vs. M form
2546   comparisons presented on log-scale in bottom panel with standard and Bonferonni-
2547   corrected thresholds (Methods). Grey bar indicates inverted X*h* chromosomal region.

2548

Figure S6: Autosomal 1D unfolded site frequency spectra (SFS) for intergenic variable sites in each subgroup. The SFS expected under standard coalescent equilibrium conditions is presented in black. The SFS was inferred for M form and *A. arabiensis* using –realSFS 1 (see Methods), but the SFS for GOUNDRY was inferred using the inbreeding-aware version (-realSFS 2) after estimating inbreeding coefficients for this subgroup (see Methods).

Figure S7: Inbreeding coefficients for each individual and each chromosomal arm. Inbreeding coefficients were estimated directly from genotype likelihoods for each chromosomal arm separately using Vieira et al. 2013 (see Methods). Each panel corresponds to a chromosomal arm. Each bar represents an individual mosquito sample. Colors indicate subgroup assignment according to the legend.

Figure S8: Decay of Linkage Disequilibrium (LD) in *A. gambiae* M form and *A. arabiensis*. LD (r^2) between SNPs separated by no more than 5 kb binned, averaged, and plotted as a function of physical distance. Low complexity regions were excluded. Chromosomal arms 2R, 3L, and 3R were included for the autosome curves and the X plotted separately. Note different X and autosome y-axis scales

Figure S9: Comparison between the data, the best-fit model from *dadi*, and neutral coalescent simulations using MaCS. Site-frequency spectra were calculated from the **A)** true autosomal data, **B)** best-fit autosomal demographic model inferred using *dadi*, and **C)** polymorphism data generated using neutral coalescent simulations in MaCS. LD decay curves from true data (red line) are compared to decay curves inferred from **D)** coalescent simulations for X chromosome (grey), and **E)** coalescent simulations for autosomal data.

Figure S10: A) Distribution of maximum SweepFinder log-likelihood ratio statistics in a 50 kb region of neutral polymorphism data simulated under the demographic model inferred for GOUNDRY (top) and M form (bottom). See Methods for simulation details. B) Distribution of maximum SweepFinder log-likelihood ratio statistics in a full synthetic genome of neutral polymorphism data simulated under the demographic model inferred for GOUNDRY (top) and M form (bottom). See Methods for simulation details.

Figure S11: A) A representative cluster of significant selection peaks from Sweepfinder in GOUNDRY that were collapsed. The top panel shows the LLR profile when SweepFinder was applied to only variable sites (Red = genome wide significance; Gold = per-locus significant; Grey = not significant). The bottom panel presents the LLR profile when SweepFinder was applied to all sites. Critical thresholds were not established for 'all-site' analyses. The different y-axis values reflect the different sets of data considered in the composite likelihood function. The dotted line on the bottom panel indicates our threshold for 'low' values (LLR = 20). Under our clustering approach, this cluster was collapsed into a single peak. B) A representative cluster of significant selection peaks from Sweepfinder in GOUNDRY that were not collapsed. The top panel shows the LLR profile when SweepFinder was applied to only variable sites (Red = genome wide

significance; Gold = per-locus significant; Grey = not significant). The bottom panel
presents the LLR profile when SweepFinder was applied to all sites. Critical thresholds
were not established for 'all-site' analyses. The dotted line on the bottom panel indicates
our threshold for 'low' values (LLR = 20). The different y-axis values reflect the
different sets of data considered in the composite likelihood function. Under our
clustering approach, this cluster was not collapsed into a single peak.
2600
Figure S12: Estimating the age of the selective sweep inside the putative (Xh) inversion
on the X chromosome in GOUNDRY. **A)** Each line shows the number of sites in
millions that pass filtering according to different minimum read depth filters. **B)** The
number of mutations counted for each individual according to minimum read filters. **C)**
The average age in generations of the two chromosomes in each diploid individual as
function of minimum read depth. **D)** Estimates for age of the most recent common
ancestor as a function of minimum read depth. Bars indicate standard deviations as
calculated from the average number mutations per haplotype. Colors correspond to
individuals and are the same among panels A-C, with GOUND-0446 indicated in light-
blue.
2611
2612   **Figure S13:** Minor allele counts at variable sites within the large X-linked sweep region
2613   (Xh) in GOUNDRY genomes. Counts were made using called genotypes. Each panel
2614   presents a histogram distribution of allele counts at sites covered by at least 6-12 reads
2615   per individual (see Methods).
2616
2617