FGF/MAPK signaling sets the switching threshold of a bistable circuit controlling cell fate decisions in ES cells

Christian Schröter, Pau Rué, Jonathan P. Mackenzie and Alfonso Martinez Arias

Department of Genetics, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge CB2 3EH, United Kingdom

Correspondence: cs684@cam.ac.uk; ama11@cam.ac.uk

Abstract

Intracellular transcriptional regulators and extracellular signaling pathways together regulate the allocation of cell fates during development, but how their molecular activities are integrated to establish the correct proportions of cells with particular fates is not known. We study this question in the context of the decision between the embryonic epiblast (Epi) and the extraembryonic primitive endoderm (PrE) fate that occurs in the mammalian preimplantation embryo. Using an embryonic stem cell model, we discover two successive roles of Fgf/MAPK signaling in this decision. First, this pathway needs to be inhibited to make the PrE-like gene expression program accessible for activation by GATA transcription factors. In a second step, the level of MAPK signaling determines the threshold concentration of GATA transcription factors required for PrE-like differentiation, and thereby controls the proportion of cells differentiating along this lineage. Our findings can be explained by a simple mutual repression circuit modulated by FGF/MAPK signaling. This may be a general network architecture to integrate the activity of signal transduction pathways

and transcriptional regulators, and serve to balance proportions of cell fates in several contexts.

Introduction

To ensure the faithful development of multicellular organisms, cell fate decisions in populations of undifferentiated cells have to be tightly balanced. It is now well established that transcriptional networks and extracellular signals together control fate decisions, but how their interactions determine the proportions of cells differentiating along particular lineages is not known. Classical genetic studies tend to emphasize hierarchical relationships between molecular players, but in recent years it has become clear that rather than being linchpins in linear chains, the molecules involved in cell fate decisions form interconnected information processing networks. Understanding the mechanisms that balance fate decisions in populations requires insight into the dynamic activity of these networks, and investigating how their dynamics are influenced by the network's components.

The first cell fate decisions in the mammalian preimplantation blastocyst provide good models to study this question. After the initial cleavages, blastomeres first commit to contributing either to the trophectoderm or the inner cell mass (ICM) lineages (1). Cells of the ICM then undergo a second decision to become epiblast (Epi) or extraembryonic primitive endoderm (PrE) (2). The Epi lineage comprises the cells that will give rise to the embryo proper, whereas the PrE lineage forms the seed for tissues that function in patterning and nutrient supply of the developing embryo. Although the Epi and the PrE form separate tissues at the time of implantation, the

decision to become either of them does not depend on the position of the cells in the embryo (3,4).

Early ICM cells co-express markers of both the Epi and the PrE fate, such as the transcriptional regulators Nanog and GATA6. As embryos develop, cells acquire mutually exclusive expression of these two factors, indicating acquisition of the Epi and PrE identity, respectively. Importantly, Nanog and GATA6 not only mark the respective lineages, but also play crucial roles in controlling fate choice in the ICM. Single ICM cells depleted for Nanog for example express the PrE markers GATA6, GATA4 and SOX17 at the late blastocyst stage (5), and in Gata6 mutant embryos, all ICM cells continue to express Nanog (6,7). Based on these observations, it has been proposed that mutually repressive interactions between the Epi- and PrE-specific transcriptional programs amplify small random fluctuations in their initial activation in the early blastocyst, and thereby control lineage choice (5,7).

In addition to specific transcriptional regulators, PrE differentiation requires an intact FGF/MAPK signaling pathway (4). Embryos mutant for the ligand FGF4 initially express GATA6, but fail to maintain its expression and to establish a mature PrE (8). Conversely, overactivation of FGF/MAPK signaling using recombinant ligand leads to the downregulation of Nanog in all cells and continued expression of GATA6 throughout the ICM (8,9). These observations indicate that in the early ICM, FGF/MAPK signaling promotes PrE identity at the expense of the Epi fate. How FGF/MAPK signaling impacts on the putative mutually repressive interactions between transcriptional programs, and how the activities of transcriptional regulators and signaling are integrated to control the proportions of cells with alternative fates in the ICM is not known.

The factors guiding primitive endoderm differentiation can be studied in embryonic stem (ES) cells, clonal derivatives of cells of the pre-implantation blastocyst, which display molecular and functional characteristics of the pluripotent epiblast. Under certain circumstances, these cells can populate extraembryonic lineages in chimeric embryos (10,11), especially when cultured in minimal media containing inhibitors of the FGF/MAPK and Wnt signaling pathways (11). This suggests that ES cells harbor a latent extraembryonic differentiation potential. This potential also manifests itself in the differentiation of ES cells into extraembryonic endoderm-like cells in culture, either spontaneously over long time scales (12), or more rapidly upon forced expression of GATA4 or GATA6 (13,14). Importantly, the spontaneous differentiation of ES cells into extraembryonic endoderm-like cells in culture is dependent on FGF/MAPK signaling (12), whereas forced transcription factor expression can bypass the requirement for some components of this signaling cascade (15). Extraembryonic endoderm-like differentiation of ES cells therefore depends on the same molecular inputs as PrE differentiation in the embryo, making ES cells a useful model system to study their relative importance and integration.

Here we use ES cells carrying inducible Gata transgenes together with live reporters for the embryonic and extraembryonic fates to study, with single cell resolution, the interaction between FGF/MAPK signaling and GATA-centered transcriptional networks in controlling the choice between the Epi and a PrE-like fate. By transient expression of GATA factors in ES cells we recreate a state of co-expression of Epi and PrE determinants akin to the state of ICM cells in the embryo. We find that stable PrE-like differentiation occurs from this state in cells exposed to GATA factor levels above a threshold dose, the magnitude of which is set by the level of FGF/MAPK signaling. We recapitulate these experimental observations in a minimal model of

mutual repression between the transcriptional networks underlying the Epi and the PrE fates, in which MAPK signaling inhibits the expression of the Epi-specific program. In both model and experiment, FGF/MAPK signaling acts as a rheostat to control the proportions of cells acquiring each of the alternative fates by modulating the behavior of transcriptional networks. This suggests a mechanism by which signaling balances the proportions of cells adopting specific fates, both in the ICM and beyond.

Results

An ES-cell model system to investigate PrE-like fate choice in culture

As ICM cells decide between the epiblast and PrE fate, they transition from a state of Nanog and GATA6 co-expression to one of mutually exclusive expression of Epi and PrE markers in individual cells (3). To model the process of the resolution of this co-expression state in cultured cells, we transiently expressed a FLAG-tagged GATA6 transgene under the control of a doxycycline-inducible promoter (16) in ES cells (Fig. 1A). After 6 h of doxycycline treatment, individual cells co-expressed inducible GATA6-FLAG and endogenous Nanog protein (Fig. 1B). 24 h after a 6 h doxycycline pulse, the exogenous GATA6-FLAG was completely degraded, but a subset of cells now stained positive for the endogenous PrE marker GATA4 in a pattern that was mutually exclusive with Nanog expression at the single cell level (Fig. 1C). We found virtually no GATA4;Nanog double positive cells, suggesting that following GATA6/Nanog co-expression, ES cells transition to one of two mutually exclusive states, marked by the expression of Epi- and PrE markers, respectively. This is similar to the behavior of ICM cells.

Figure 1: Expression of endogenous markers of PrE-like following transient expression of GATA6-FLAG and GATA4-FLAG.

*A Outline of the experimental approach. Addition of doxycycline to ES cells carrying a GATA6-FLAG transgene under a doxycycline-inducible promoter creates a GATA6/Nanog co-expression state similar to the situation in the ICM, from which cells can choose to differentiate into PrE-like cells, or return to the original Nanog-positive state. **B** Immunostaining of untreated (left) or doxycycline-treated (right) inducible ES cells. Merge of Nanog and GATA6-FLAG stain indicates co-expression of the two proteins in individual cells after 6 h of doxycycline treatment. Lower*

*panels: Quantification of Nanog and GATA6-FLAG expression in single cells. Because culture in the presence of serum and feeders leads to heterogeneous expression of Nanog and the inducible transgene, not all cells co-express Nanog and GATA6-FLAG. See below and S4 Movie for conditions that increase the proportion of cells in the co-expression state. Scale bar, 50 µm. **C** Immunostaining of inducible cell lines 24 h after the end of a 6 h doxycycline treatment. Lower panels: Quantification of Nanog and GATA4 expression in single cells. Expression of endogenous GATA4 protein is only detected after doxycycline treatment (right), and is mutually exclusive with Nanog expression. Scale bar, 50 µm. **D, E, F** Flow cytometry of cells carrying an inducible GATA4-FLAG transgene (**D**) or an inducible GATA6-FLAG transgene (**E**) immunostained for GATA4 and GATA6 one day after a 6 h doxycycline pulse. **F** shows the overlay of **D** and **E**. Inducible GATA4-FLAG and GATA6-FLAG expression results in the same pattern of endogenous GATA4 and GATA6 co-expression.*

Previous studies have shown that GATA4 and GATA6 have equivalent capacity to induce PrE-like differentiation (13,14). Consistent with this, we found that doxycycline-inducible expression of GATA4-FLAG induced the same expression pattern of endogenous GATA factors as inducible GATA6-FLAG expression, but in a larger proportion of cells (Fig. 1 D – F, S1 Fig.). For this reason we decided to induce PrE-like differentiation with GATA4 and to use endogenous GATA6 expression to monitor the differentiation event. Furthermore, to follow the heterogeneous expression of the doxycycline-induced transgene in individual live cells, we added an mCherry tag to the GATA4 protein expressed under doxycycline control. The GATA4-mCherry fusion protein retains biological activity to induce PrE-like differentiation (S2 Fig.), and was used in all subsequent experiments.

ES cell culture conditions affect the accessibility of the PrE-like differentiation program

For an induced transcription factor to trigger a specific differentiation program, this program needs to be molecularly accessible. Culture conditions have been shown to affect the differentiation potential of ES cells (11,17), prompting us to explore the effects of exposing ES cells grown in different media to a pulse of GATA4-mCherry protein. In cells grown in the presence of feeders and 15% serum (the standard culture conditions used to derive inducible cell lines), transient GATA4-mCherry expression induced by a 6 h pulse of doxycycline led to expression of endogenous GATA6 in approximately 10% of the cells the following day (S3A, B Fig.). In the absence of feeders and in 10% serum, approximately 1.5% of cells were GATA6 positive 30h after a 6 h doxycycline pulse, even though GATA4-mCherry was efficiently induced in this culture condition (S3C Fig.), and cells were positive for the pluripotency marker Nanog (S3A Fig.). Since culturing ES cells in 2i + LIF medium has been shown to promote extraembryonic differentiation potential (11), we pre-cultured cells in 2i + LIF before simultaneous addition of doxycycline and transfer into serum-containing medium. This treatment regime increased the proportion of GATA6-positive cells induced by a 6 h doxycycline pulse from 11.3 ± 1.8 % (mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD)) for 1 day of pre-culture in 2i + LIF to 51.7 ± 9.8 % for 7 days of pre-culture (Fig. 2A - C). The duration of the pre-culture in 2i + LIF also affected the percentage of GATA4-mCherry positive cells and the maximum GATA4-mCherry expression levels in individual cells immediately after the doxycycline pulse (S4A Fig.). We therefore determined the ratio between the fraction of GATA6-positive cells one day after a 6 h doxycycline pulse and the fraction of GATA4-mCherry-positive

cells immediately after the pulse as a measure for the efficiency of PrE-like differentiation. This fraction plateaus at approximately 55% after 3 d of pre-culture in 2i + LIF (Fig. 2C), indicating that the accessibility of the PrE-like differentiation program can be restored in ES cell cultures by culture in 2i + LIF medium in a time-dependent manner.

Figure 2: Culture conditions affect responsiveness to GATA4-mCherry expression.

A Immunostaining of GATA4-mCherry inducible ES cells cultured for increasing periods of time in 2i + LIF medium before a 6 h doxycycline pulse followed by a 24 h chase in medium containing serum + LIF. Scale bars, 50 µm. B Flow cytometry of

*cells treated as in **A** and stained for GATA6. **C** Average percentage of GATA6-positive cells (black) and ratio of the percentages of GATA6-positive and mCherry-positive cells (red) for different durations of pre-culture in 2i + LIF. Data averaged from 3 (% GATA6⁺) or 2 (ratios) independent experiments, errors bars state standard deviation. **D** Immunostaining of GATA4-mCherry inducible ES cells cultured for 3d in the indicated media before a 6 h doxycycline pulse followed by a 24 h chase in medium containing serum + LIF. Chi is CHIR99021 (3µM). Scale bars, 50 µm. **E** Flow cytometry of cells treated as in **D** stained for GATA6. **F** Average percentage of GATA6⁺ cells (black) and ratio of the percentages of GATA6-positive and mCherry-positive cells (red) for different pre-culture media. Data averaged from 3 (% GATA6-positive) or 2 (ratios) independent experiments, errors bars indicate standard deviation.*

To assess the influence of each of the components in 2i + LIF on the restoration of the PrE-like differentiation potential, we removed each of them from the complete 2i + LIF medium or added them individually to serum-containing medium during 3 days of pre-culture. All conditions led to an increase in the percentage of GATA6-positive cells 24 h after a 6 h doxycycline treatment, albeit to different degrees (Fig. 2D, E, F). The largest proportion of GATA6-positive cells was obtained for pre-culture in a medium containing serum, LIF and the Mek inhibitor PD0325901 (PD03), where the ratio between the fraction of GATA4-mCherry-positive cells 6 h after the doxycycline pulse and the fraction of GATA6-positive cells one day later reached a value of 0.72 ± 0.02 (Fig. 2F, S4B Fig.). We conclude that inhibition of MAPK signaling prior to the induced expression of GATA factors efficiently restores extraembryonic differentiation potential in ES cell cultures. For all following

experiments, we therefore pre-cultured cells for 3d in the presence of PD03 in medium containing serum and LIF. Importantly, this culture regime coupled to a 6 h doxycycline pulse gives a proportion of ES cells undergoing PrE-like differentiation that is similar to the proportion of cells differentiating into PrE in the ICM of a mouse embryo (7).

Transient expression of exogenous GATA4-mCherry induces stable PrE-like differentiation

Having established experimental conditions to induce PrE-like differentiation in ES cells with an efficiency mimicking PrE differentiation in the embryo, we wanted to investigate the stability of the GATA6-positive state and the dynamics with which it evolved. To test whether the GATA6-positive state was stable after removal of the transient GATA4-mCherry input, we created an H2B-Venus transcriptional reporter for Gata6 expression in cells carrying the inducible GATA4-mCherry transgene. This reporter was exclusively expressed following doxycycline treatment (S5 Fig.). Furthermore, immunostaining and flow cytometry showed that cells with high expression levels of the reporter stained positive for endogenous GATA6 expression from the remaining wild type allele (Fig. 3A, B), indicating that knock-in of the reporter does not affect PrE-like differentiation, and that it can be used to follow endogenous Gata6 expression. Between 32 h and 80 h after the end of the doxycycline pulse, the Venus expression profile in the population displayed a characteristic bimodal distribution, consisting of a Venus^{negative} and a Venus^{high} peak (Fig. 3C, D). The Venus expression levels of cells in the Venus^{high} peak remained constant, but the number of cells in this peak slowly decreased over time (Fig. 3C, D). This was solely due to reduced proliferation of the Venus^{high} cells compared to the Venus^{low} cells and

did not reflect loss of Gata6 expression in individual cells, because Venus^{high} cells sorted by flow cytometry did not revert to the Venus[negative] regime over a period of 48h (Fig. 3E). Transient GATA4-mCherry expression therefore induces stable expression of a marker for PrE-like differentiation.

Figure 3: Transient GATA4-mCherry induces stable expression of PrE marker genes.

A Immunostaining for Venus and GATA6 protein of ES cells carrying an inducible GATA4-mCherry transgene and a transcriptional H2B-Venus Gata6-reporter 24 h after a 6 h doxycycline pulse. Reporter expression and expression of GATA6 overlap in individual cells. Scale bar, 50 µm. B Flow cytometric analysis of Gata6-reporter cells treated as in A. Cells with high Venus expression levels stain positive for

endogenous GATA6 protein. **C** *Flow cytometry of ES cells carrying an inducible GATA4-mCherry transgene and a transcriptional H2B-Venus Gata6-reporter subjected to a 6 h doxycycline pulse analyzed at different time-points after the end of the pulse. Expression levels of cells in the Venus^{high}-peak do not change between 32h and 80 h after doxycycline addition.* **D** *Percentages of Venus^{high} cells at different times after a 6 h doxycycline pulse. Data points represent mean ± standard deviation from three independent experiments.* **E** *Venus^{high} cells were flow sorted 18h after the end of a 6 h doxycycline pulse (dark blue), cultured for 48h and analyzed for Venus expression. Venus expression levels of the Venus^{high} population are constant over this period, and no Venus^{negative} cells appear.* **F** *H2B-Venus fluorescence intensity values of individual Gata6-reporter cells tracked in time-lapse movies during and following a 6 h doxycycline pulse (shaded area). Reporter expression can be detected from 8h after doxycycline addition onwards. Traces are color-coded according to hierarchical clustering based on H2B-Venus expression levels. Small panels on the right show traces for each cluster separately. See also S6 Fig. and S1 Movie.*

To follow the dynamics of Gata6:H2B-Venus reporter expression over time in individual cells, we performed time-lapse microscopy of reporter cells permanently expressing the nuclear marker H2B-Cerulean to facilitate tracking of cells (S1 Movie). H2B-Venus fluorescence intensity driven by the Gata6 promoter increased in individual cells approx. 8h after the start of the doxycycline pulse. Hierarchical clustering of traces according to H2B-Venus expression levels identified two distinct clusters containing cells with high and low Venus expression, respectively (S6 Fig., Fig. 3F). Expression levels of cells in each of the peaks were separated throughout the experiment, and we did not observe any cells that reverted from high expression

levels back to low levels (Fig. 3F). Taken together, these results indicate that a transient GATA4-mCherry input elicits stable expression of one of two mutually exclusive expression programs. The system thus behaves as an irreversible switch with two stable states.

A threshold level of GATA4-mCherry controls PrE differentiation

We then asked whether the flipping of the bistable switch that we had identified depended on the expression levels of the doxycycline-induced GATA4-mCherry protein. We first tested different lengths of doxycycline exposure, which increased both the fraction of GATA4-mCherry positive cells and the maximum level of GATA4-mCherry expression in individual cells shortly after the end of the pulse (S7 Fig.). Successively longer doxycycline pulses translated into an increase in the proportion of Gata6:H2B-Venus^{high} cells 24 h after the end of the pulse (Fig. 4A, B), but H2B-Venus expression levels in individual cells were independent from doxycycline pulse length (Fig. 4A). This reaffirms the switch-like behavior of the differentiation event, because the expression level of the differentiated state does not carry information about the strength of the transient input. Furthermore it suggests that GATA4-mCherry expression levels control the proportion of cells undergoing PrE-like differentiation in the culture.

Figure 4: A GATA4-mCherry threshold dose determines PrE-like differentiation.

A Flow cytometric analysis of Venus fluorescence in cells carrying a doxycycline-inducible GATA4-mCherry transgene and a Gata6 transcriptional reporter one day

*after doxycycline pulses of indicated lengths. The percentage of Venus^{high} cells increases with doxycycline pulse length. **B** Quantitative analysis of results from **A**. Data points show mean and standard deviation from three independent experiments. **C** Cells sorted for low (middle) and high (right) GATA4-mCherry expression levels after a 6 h doxycycline pulse and immunostained 30h after re-plating. Unsorted control is on the left. Scale bar, 50 μm. **D** Flow cytometric analysis of cells treated as in **C** stained for GATA6 expression. A larger proportion of GATA4-mCherry^{high} cells activate GATA6 expression compared to GATA4-mCherry^{low} cells. **E** GATA4-mCherry fluorescence traces of cells filmed during and after a 6 h doxycycline pulse. Cells were immunostained for Nanog and GATA6 expression after time-lapse imaging and individual traces are color-coded according to marker gene expression of a cell's progeny at the end of the time-lapse. Area shaded in green indicates duration of doxycycline pulse, red bar indicates time point analyzed in **F**, **G**, and black curve indicates optimal threshold calculated by ROC. See also S2 Movie and S9 Fig.. **F** GATA4-mCherry fluorescence intensity of cells from the experiment shown in **E** at a single time-point 1h after removal of doxycycline (red vertical line in **E**). Optimal threshold to predict fate choice estimated by ROC analysis is indicated by a black line. **G** Receiver operating characteristics curve for the time-point shown in **F**. The optimal threshold maximizing the difference between the true positive and false positive prediction rate (TPR and FPR) is indicated by a red dot. **H** Area under the curve (AUC) values from ROC analysis in all time frames of the experiment shown in **E**. The AUC, a measure for the usefulness of GATA4-mCherry expression levels as predictor for GATA6 expression at the end of the time-lapse, increases quickly as GATA4-mCherry expression is induced. Error margin indicates standard deviation determined by bootstrapping (n = 1000).*

To further explore this idea, we purified GATA4-mCherry-expressing cells immediately after a doxycycline pulse and sorted them into highly and lowly expressing fractions (S8 Fig.). Purification led to an increase in the number of GATA6-positive differentiated cells compared to the unsorted control in both populations (Fig. 4C, D). Furthermore, the proportion of differentiating GATA6-positive cells was higher following strong expression of GATA4-mCherry compared to low-level expression of the exogenous transcription factor (Fig. 4C, D), and a large number of Nanog-positive undifferentiated cells were detected amongst the progeny of GATA4-Cherry^{low} cells (Fig. 4C). This indicates that certain low levels of GATA4-mCherry do not trigger PrE-like differentiation. To measure GATA4-mCherry input levels in single cells more precisely and relate them to subsequent fate choice, we performed time-lapse imaging of GATA4-mCherry-inducible cells during and after a doxycycline pulse, followed by immunostaining for Nanog and GATA6 24 h after doxycycline removal (S2 Movie and S9A, B Fig.). The majority of cells that gave rise to GATA6-positive progeny had experienced higher GATA4-mCherry expression levels than cells with Nanog-positive progeny (purple and green datapoints in Fig. 4E, F, and S9C Fig., respectively), There was little overlap between the two classes of traces, suggesting there existed a threshold of GATA4-mCherry activity that separated differentiating from non-differentiating cells. To determine how well this threshold distinguished the two classes of cells, and to determine its magnitude, we resorted to receiver operating characteristics (ROC) analysis (18). In an ROC plot, the ratio of correctly and incorrectly separated events over the total number of cells (true positive ratio TPR, and false positive ratio, FPR) is plotted for varying threshold values. For a single timepoint, this gives a characteristic curve (Fig. 4G), the area under which (AUC) is a measure for how well (AUC = 1) or badly (AUC ≤ 0.5) an

outcome can be separated or predicted from a single variable, in this case GATA4-mCherry expression levels. Computing the AUC for all time points revealed that it increased quickly upon doxycycline addition and reached a plateau between 0.8 and 0.9 after approx. 3 h (Fig. 4H). This indicates that GATA4-mCherry expression levels are a good predictor for final fate outcome soon after induction of its expression. We then computed the optimal prediction threshold for each timepoint, which we defined as the threshold that maximizes the difference between TPR and FPR. This optimal threshold tracked the expression dynamics of the GATA4-mCherry protein (black line in Figure 4E), and separated most fluorescence intensity tracks of cells with Nanog-positive progeny from those that gave GATA6-positive progeny (black line in Figure 4F, S10A, B Fig.). Using this threshold, the accuracy of fate prediction based on GATA4-mCherry expression levels reached values above 0.8, i.e. 80% of all fate decisions could be correctly predicted based on the GATA4-mCherry classifier (S10C Fig.). These results show that in the ES cell system, fate choice can be largely predicted from GATA4-mCherry levels, and suggest that this transcription factor is a dominant input into the decision. Our inability to predict the remaining 20% of fate decisions may be due to technical limitations of precisely measuring GATA4-mCherry levels, or represent additional heterogeneities in the cell population that affect fate choice.

FGF/MAPK signaling modulates the proportion of cells with PrE-like differentiation

In the mouse embryo, both GATA factors and FGF/MAPK signaling are required to establish PrE identity. Having shown above that inhibition of MAPK signaling is required to make the extraembryonic differentiation program accessible in ES cells, we wanted to test how FGF/MAPK signaling affected the decision to embark on PrE-like differentiation following induced GATA expression. A 6 h doxycycline pulse followed by 24 h of differentiation in a serum-free medium containing LIF and BMP4 resulted in 35.2 ± 4.4 % of GATA6 positive cells (S11 Fig.). Addition of recombinant FGF4 ligand to this medium led to a moderate increase in the proportion of differentiating cells (S11 Fig.), suggesting that autocrine signaling or the components of the minimal medium provide sufficient MAPK pathway activation for PrE-like differentiation in most cells. We therefore decided to modulate MAPK signaling levels by performing the 6 h doxycycline pulse and the 24 h chase period in subsaturating doses of PD03, which smoothly tuned the peak levels of Erk phosphorylation following removal of the pre-culture medium (S12 Fig., Fig. 5A). Partial inhibition of MAPK signaling reduced the fraction of GATA6 positive cells, but not the expression levels of GATA6 in individual differentiated cells (Fig. 5B, C), with a quasi-linear relationship between the level of Erk phosphorylation and the percentage of differentiating cells (Fig. 5D). We obtained similar results using the FGF receptor inhibitor PD173074 instead of the Mek inhibitor PD03 (S13 Fig.). This indicates that most of the MAPK activity relevant for PrE-like differentiation of ES cells is triggered by the FGF signaling pathway, consistent with literature reports (19). FGF/MAPK signaling levels therefore control the fraction of cells that embark on the PrE-like differentiation path.

Figure 5: MAPK signaling controls the proportion of cells with PrE-like differentiation.

A Immunoblot detecting phosphorylated Erk (top panel) and total Erk (bottom panel) in ES cells grown for 3d in the presence of serum and 1μM PD03 1h after transfer into medium containing indicated amounts of PD03. B Immunostaing of ES cells carrying an inducible GATA4-mCherry transgene after a 6 h doxycycline pulse followed by 24 h of differentiation in the indicated concentrations of PD03. Increasing doses of the inhibitor reduce the number of GATA6-positive cells. Scale bar, 50 μm. C Flow cytometric analysis of GATA6 expression in cells treated as in B. D Plot of relative Erk phosphorylation levels determined by immunoblotting versus percentage of GATA6-positive cells for different concentrations of PD03. Shown are mean and standard deviation from at least three independent experiments per condition. E GATA4-mCherry fluorescence traces of cells filmed during and after a 6 h doxycycline pulse in the absence PD03 (upper panel) or with 62.5 nM PD03. Cells were immunostained for Nanog and GATA6 expression after time-lapse imaging and individual traces are color-coded according to marker gene expression of a cell's progeny at the end of the time-lapse. Shaded area indicates presence of doxycycline, black trace indicates optimal threshold estimated by ROC, and insets show traces for cells with GATA6- and Nanog-positive progeny separately. F GATA4-mCherry fluorescence intensity of cells from the experiment shown in E at a single time-point 1h after removal of doxycycline in the absence of PD03 (left) and in 62.5 nM PD03 (right). G Area Under the Curve (AUC) from ROC analysis for each time point of the dataset shown in E and F for no PD03 (red) and 62.5 nM PD03 (green). Fate choice can be predicted from GATA4-mCherry expression levels with similar confidence in both conditions from 3h after addition of doxycycline. The decrease of the curves after approx. 20h is due to the very low levels of GATA4-mCherry expression at this time. Error margin indicates standard deviation determined by bootstrapping

(n=1000). **H** *Optimal threshold values to predict differentiation at the end of the time-lapse from GATA4-mCherry expression in the absence of PD03 (red) and in the presence of 62.5 nM PD03 (green). The optimal threshold increases with decreasing MAPK signaling. Error margins indicate standard deviation determined from bootstrapping (n=1000).*

To investigate how partial Mek inhibition by PD03 affected the GATA4-mCherry expression levels required for PrE-like differentiation, we performed time-lapse imaging and cell tracking for maximal and reduced MAPK signaling in parallel. We chose 62.5 nM PD03 for partial Mek inhibition, because this concentration led to a significant reduction of the number of differentiating cells without inducing cell death (S3 Movie), and still gave enough GATA6 positive cells for statistical analysis (Fig. 5C). In both conditions, cells with GATA6-positive progeny had experienced higher GATA4-mCherry expression levels than those cells with Nanog-positive progeny (Fig. 5E, F). ROC analysis gave similar AUC values for both conditions, indicating that differentiation can be predicted based on GATA4-mCherry expression levels with similar confidence at different signaling levels (Fig. 5G). However, when we compared the optimal prediction threshold for two signaling regimes, we found that this was consistently increased upon partial Mek inhibition (Fig. 5F, H). MAPK signaling levels thus control the proportion of differentiating cells by setting the GATA4-mCherry threshold level required to trigger differentiation.

We also noticed that the distribution of GATA4-mCherry expression levels in differentiating and non-differentiating progeny changed upon partial inhibition of signaling: While in the absence of PD03, virtually all GATA4-mCherry^{high} cells differentiated, some GATA4-mCherry^{high} cells had Nanog-positive progeny upon

partial Mek inhibition. Conversely, GATA4-mCherry^{low} cells almost never differentiated in the presence of 62.5 nM PD03, while in the absence of PD03 GATA4-mCherry^{low} cells gave rise to both differentiated and undifferentiated progeny (Fig. 5F). In addition to setting the transcription factor threshold level, partial Mek inhibition therefore appears to modulate heterogeneities in the population that affect PrE-like differentiation.

A simple mutual repression circuit recapitulates the experimentally observed gene expression dynamics

Having delineated the quantitative roles of GATA factors and FGF/MAPK signaling in PrE-like differentiation, we decided to use our measurements to create a minimal model of the decision event in order to gain insights into the formal nature of the interactions between these two inputs. The irreversible, switch-like behavior of our system (Figure 3) indicates the presence positive feedback in the underlying genetic network. This could come from a direct, positive autoregulatory loop, from a negative feedback loop with an even number of nodes, or from a combination of the two. Because of published evidence for Gata6 repression by Nanog (20), and the finding that GATA6 expression leads to rapid repression of Nanog in our system, we decided to start with a network of two mutually repressive nodes, Gata and Nanog, a minimal system to formalize a bistable switch (21-23) (Fig. 6A, see Materials and Methods for a detailed description of the model). This system is described by two coupled ordinary differential equations that account for the dynamics of Nanog (N) and endogenous GATA (G) as markers for the Epi and PrE programs in individual cells, respectively:

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \frac{\alpha_N}{1 + (G + G_X)^p} - \lambda_N N$$
$$\frac{dG}{dt} = \frac{\alpha_G}{1 + (N)^q} - \lambda_G G$$

A third equation models the externally supplied pulse of GATA (G_X) that drives the endogenous circuit (see Materials and Methods for a detailed description):

$$\frac{dG_X}{dt} = D\pi_\tau(t) - \lambda_G G_X$$

To reflect the experimentally observed heterogeneous expression of exogenous GATA factors, we varied the maximum transcription rate D of exogenous GATA between cells by drawing for each cell a value from a log-normal distribution with scale parameter σ_D. This was the only source of cell-to-cell variability in our model; the magnitude of other sources of noise that might affect the dynamic behavior of the endogenous circuit is unknown, and they were therefore not considered in our simulations. As initial conditions, we endowed cells with high levels of Nanog and no GATA to reflect pre-culturing in the presence of PD03.

Figure 6: A simple mutual repression circuit recapitulates experimentally observed expression dynamics.

A Connectivity of the mutual repression circuit used in dynamic simulations. B Phase portrait depicting the autonomous dynamics of the system in A. The Nanog nullcline (blue line) and the GATA nullcline (orange line) cross thrice, creating three steady states in the system, two of which are stable. A separatrix (dashed line) separates combinations of Nanog and GATA expression evolving into the Nanog^high, GATA^low stable state corresponding tot the Epi fate (green dot) from those that evolve into the Nanog^low, GATA^high PrE state (purple). C, D, E Simulated time traces of endogenous GATA G (C), exogenous GATA4-mCherry G_X (D), and Nanog expression (E). Traces eventually reaching high and low GATA expression levels in C are color coded in

*purple and green, respectively, and corresponding traces have same color in **C**, **D** and **E**. A defined threshold of Gx expression separates cells that eventually will express high levels of endogenous GATA from those where endogenous GATA expression is transient and low. **F** Average Nanog-Venus expression levels in cells carrying a Nanog-Venus translational reporter and an inducible GATA4-mCherry transgene during and following a 6 h doxycycline pulse. Cells were classified into GATA6-positive and Nanog-positive by immunostaining after the time-lapse. Presence of doxycycline is indicated by light green rectangle. Lines and shaded areas indicate mean Nanog-Venus fluorescence levels and standard deviation of ancestors of Nanog$^+$ cells in green and GATA6$^+$ cells in purple. Insets show histograms for distributions of Nanog-Venus fluorescence in the two classes of cells at 6 h and 16 h after the start of recording; *** indicates p ≤ 0.0001 (Mann-Whitney's U-test). See also S4 Movie.*

The dynamics of the endogenous circuit described by this model can be assessed in the GATA-Nanog phase space (Fig. 6B). For the specific set of parameter values used here, the nullclines (*dG/dt=0 dN/dt=0*) cross thrice, generating three equilibrium states, two of which are stable and correspond to the fully differentiated GATA-positive and the undifferentiated Nanog-positive state, respectively (Fig. 6B). Combinations of Nanog and GATA levels outside these stable points will change over time and converge to one of the stable points, depending on where in the phase space a given combination of Nanog and GATA levels is located. To induce PrE-like differentiation, the exogenous GATA input has to be to strong enough to allow for sufficient Nanog repression and endogenous GATA expression to move a cell across the boundary (dashed line in Fig. 6B) that separates the combinations of GATA and

Nanog levels leading to the fully differentiated GATA-positive from those that lead to the undifferentiated Nanog-positive state. This boundary in the phase space translates into a threshold for exogenous GATA levels that perfectly separates cells that will eventually differentiate from those that will not. For the chosen parameter set, simulated time traces of individual cells closely resembled the experimentally observed expression dynamics of endogenous GATA (Fig. 6C, compare to Fig. 3G), and exogenous GATA4-mCherry (Fig. 6D, compare to Fig. 4E), suggesting this simple mutual repression circuit is sufficient to capture essential dynamics of the experimental system.

To further test the model, we compared the dynamics of Nanog expression *in silico* and *in vivo*. In model simulations, Nanog expression levels initially decreased rapidly in all cells from the initial conditions chosen to represent the effects of the pre-culture regime towards much lower steady state levels. Only at a later stage of the simulation, differences in the Nanog expression levels between cells that activate endogenous GATA and those that do not became apparent (Fig. 6E). To measure Nanog levels experimentally, we knocked a translational Nanog fusion reporter (24) into the inducible GATA4-mCherry cell line and performed time-lapse imaging during and after a doxycycline pulse, followed by immunostaining for fate markers. Expression of the Nanog-Venus reporter initially decreased in all cells following removal of the pre-culture medium (S4 Movie, Fig. 6F). 16 h after removal of PD03 and addition of doxycycline, we detected significant differences between those cells that eventually stained GATA6-positive and those were Nanog-positive at the end of the experiment (S4 Movie, Fig. 6F). This agreement between Nanog expression dynamics *in silico* and *in vivo* further supports the idea that a simple mutual repression circuit is sufficient to capture the dynamics of the system.

Inhibition of the Epi program by MAPK signaling controls the proportion of cells with PrE-like differentiation

We then wanted to pinpoint the main mechanism by which FGF/MAPK signaling controls the fraction of cells with PrE-like differentiation, using our mathematical model as a guide. We considered two simple extensions of the model, one in which FGF/MAPK signaling promotes expression of the PrE program characterized by GATA (Fig. 7A), and an alternative model in which signaling inhibits the Epi program centered on Nanog (Fig. 7B). We ran simulations for different signaling levels for both model architectures (for details see Materials and Methods). Both model architectures gave bimodal distributions for GATA- and Nanog expression, and in both cases a reduction of signaling led to an increase in the number of cells in the Nanog-high peak and a decrease of cells in the GATA-high peak (Fig. 7 A, B, middle panels). However, in the model where signaling directly activated Gata expression, GATA levels in the GATA-positive peak decreased at lower signaling, whereas Nanog expression levels were independent of signaling levels (Fig. 7A, middle panels). In the alternative architecture, Nanog expression levels increased at lower signaling levels, while GATA levels were independent of signaling (Fig. 7B, middle panels). We then estimated from flow cytometry data how GATA6 and Nanog expression levels changed upon partial Mek inhibition in cells (Fig. 7 C, S14 Fig.), and found that GATA6 expression levels remained approximately constant in the presence of different doses of PD03, while the Nanog-Venus positive peak consistently shifted to higher expression levels with lowered signaling (Fig. 7D). While not ruling out a more complex integration of FGF/MAPK signaling into the regulatory circuit that we have described, these results suggest that the main route by

which FGF/MAPK signaling controls the fraction of cells with PrE-like

differentiation is through inhibition of the Epi-specific gene expression program.

Figure 7: MAPK signaling controls the proportion of PrE-like cells through inhibition of the Epi program.

A, B Upper panels: Schematic representation of the modes of signaling interactions tested in the model; *A*: signaling promotes GATA production, *B*: Signaling inhibits Nanog production. Middle panels: Simulated histograms and location of histogram peaks for endogenous GATA and Nanog expression levels after 30h in populations of 2000 cells at varying signaling levels. Reducing signaling levels decreases the fraction of GATA-positive cells and increases the fraction of Nanog-positive cells in both circuits, but expression levels in the positive population depend on the type of MAPK signaling input into the system. *C* Flow cytometry of Nanog-Venus expression in cells stained with antibodies detecting Venus protein 30h after a 6 h doxycycline pulse. This method detected a bimodal distribution of Nanog-Venus-positive and – negative cells with a higher signal-to-noise ratio than direct staining for Nanog. Staining intensity of the left, Venus-negative peak is constant, whereas staining intensity of the right peak changes with the PD03 concentration during the experiment. *D* Fold-change of the Nanog-Venus-positive (blue) and GATA6-positive (orange) peak compared to the negative peak estimated from the flow cytometry experiments in *C* (Nanog-Venus) and Fig. 5 *C* (GATA6) for different concentrations of PD03. See S14 Fig. for details on estimation of peak positions. Plotting the fold-change between the positive and the negative peak corrects for different staining efficiencies between samples. The relative position of the Nanog-Venus-positive peak shifts towards higher expression levels at higher concentrations of PD03, whereas no clear trend can be observed for GATA6 expression levels. *E, F* Quasi-Potentials derived from the autonomous dynamics of the system in *B* for two different signaling levels. Darker regions have higher potential, i.e. stronger dynamic changes of the transcription factor concentrations. Solid orange lines indicate levels of the same potential, dashed red line indicates the separatrix (ridge) that separates the basins of

attraction corresponding to the Nanog^high, GATA^low state (green dot) representing the embryonic Epi fate and the Nanog^low, GATA^high state (purple dot) representing the extraembryonic PrE fate. Lowered signaling (F) shifts and bends the separatrix, thereby increasing the size of the basin of attraction corresponding to the Epi fate relative to that of the basin of attraction of the PrE fate.

To develop an intuitive, visual representation of the system's dynamics in different signaling regimes, we estimated the path-integral quasi-potential surfaces (25) of our system for two different signaling levels (Fig. 7 E, F). This landscape representation, in which cells can be depicted to roll towards lower potential levels, highlights two basins of attraction corresponding to the Nanog-positive state and the GATA positive state, respectively. A reduction in signaling shifts the Nanog-positive basin towards higher Nanog levels and bends the ridge that separates the basins towards the GATA-positive state, thereby making its basin of attraction narrower and shallower relative to that of the Nanog-positive state (Fig. 7 E, F).

In summary we conclude that a simple mutual repression circuit controls the decision between the Epi and the PrE fate modeled in culture, and that the main input of FGF/MAPK signaling into this circuit occurs through repression of the Epi program. This allows signaling to regulate the proportions of cells adopting either fate by setting the relative sizes of the basins of attraction corresponding to the two states.

Discussion

We have used mouse ES cells to model the decision between the Epi and the PrE fate that occurs in ICM cells of the developing embryo. Our *in vitro* experimental system allows us to modulate and measure the transcription factor and signaling inputs into the decision quantitatively, and live cell reporters for Nanog and Gata6 expression enable us to follow the dynamics of the decision at the level of single cells. The ES cell system recapitulates the gene expression dynamics of key marker genes of the decision in the embryo, suggesting that the underlying mechanism of the fate decision is the same in both settings. We have uncovered two successive functions of MAPK signaling in the ES cell system: Before the induced expression of GATA factors, inhibition of MAPK signaling is required to make the PrE-like differentiation program accessible to ES cells. Once exogenous GATA factors are expressed, MAPK signaling is required to execute the decision of PrE-like differentiation. This differentiation event displays hallmarks of an irreversible bistable switch, as co-expression of markers of the embryonic and extraembryonic fates resolves into one of two mutually exclusive stable states characterized by Nanog and GATA expression, respectively. We detect a threshold level of exogenous GATA factor expression required to flip this switch and induce differentiation, and find that MAPK signaling sets this threshold dose. The decision to differentiate is therefore a strongly regulated irreversible process, largely determined by the combination of transcriptional and signaling activity in individual cells.

The accessibility of the PrE program depends on ES cell culture conditions

In the embryo, the decision of a cell to become Epi or PrE can be separated into two phases. In a first step, cells in the embryo make both differentiation programs

molecularly available, as reflected in the co-expression of transcriptional regulators for the two fates. In a second step, individual cells choose and implement one of the two fates, and make the alternative inaccessible. We find that in ES cells, the accessibility of the PrE-like differentiation program from this co-expression state is a function of culture conditions: Cells cultured in the presence of serum are refractory to PrE-like differentiation, but this program can be made readily available by extended exposure to GSK3 or Mek inhibitors, e.g. in 2i medium. One interpretation of this finding is that ES cells cultured in serum are strongly biased towards embryonic fates, and as a consequence have blocked the PrE-like differentiation program. In line with this idea, a recent study comparing the transcriptomes of ES cells and ICM cells suggests that ES cells cultured in 2i medium resemble an earlier developmental state than cells cultured in serum + LIF (26). It has been shown that individual ES cells can sample accessibility to a range of early differentiation programs over time (11,17), and the presence of GSK3 and Mek inhibitors may favour a more naïve ES cell state that has the PrE-like differentiation program accessible, similar to the state of early ICM cells. A genome-wide study of chromatin marks revealed that ES cells grown in the presence of serum display higher levels of repressive chromatin marks on a subset of promoters, including the Gata6 promoter, than cells grown in 2i + LIF (27), and these repressive chromatin marks may block PrE-like differentiation. Our finding that few cells with high exogenous GATA4-mCherry expression do not embark on PrE-like differentiation even from culture conditions that make the differentiation program accessible in most cells may reflect persistent heterogeneous chromatin configurations in cells in the population. Alternatively, the inability to respond to induced GATA expression may be a consequence of heterogeneous MAPK signaling amongst ES cells, which has been

detected in other cell lines (28,29), and which we expect will be functionally relevant for PrE-like differentiation of ES cells. That the response to forced transcription factor expression depends on a cells' state has been known for a long time (30,31); the dynamic changes in the accessibility of the PrE-like differentiation program in ES cells may become a fruitful model to further explore the underlying molecular mechanisms of this phenomenon.

Extraembryonic fate choice is determined by the output of a simple mutual repression circuit

We have shown here that precise measurements of GATA4-mCherry expression levels allow prediction of fate decisions in individual ES cells with high confidence before endogenous fate markers appear. This finding guided the development of a minimal genetic circuit model with deterministic regulation to formalize the underlying mechanism. This mathematical model consists of mutually repressive interactions between the Epi program, centered on Nanog, and the PrE-like program, centered on GATA factors, modulated by a repressive input of FGF/MAPK on the Epi program (Fig. 7B). The model key experimental observations, such as bistability at the level of single cells, dependence of the fraction of differentiating cells on both transcription factor and signaling levels, and, finally, the dynamics of expression of the markers for the differentiated PrE-like and the undifferentiated Epi-like state. In particular, the model mirrors the experimental finding that the role of MAPK signaling is to set a GATA threshold required for the extraembryonic endoderm fate to be implemented: whether a cell will differentiate depends on the combination of GATA and MAPK activity. This network model therefore replaces the epistatic, linear views of the relationships between signal transduction pathways and

transcriptional networks with a picture wherein both inputs are quantitatively integrated to arrive at a fate decision.

The minimal model that we identify here is a subnetwork of a more complex model of this fate decision recently proposed by Bessonard et al. (2014). Bessonard's model posits an additional positive input of FGF/MAPK signaling onto Gata expression, and contains positive autoregulatory feedback loops centered on both Nanog and Gata, which endow the dynamic system with a third stable state of Nanog and GATA co-expression. This allowed Bessonard et al. to simulate both the establishment and the resolution of the co-expression state in a single model. Our approach focuses on the resolution of the co-expression state, and comparison of gene expression dynamics with the simulation results of the minimal model suggests that the additional links of Bessonard's model are not required to explain the dynamics of this phase of the decision. It remains however possible that positive autoregulation of the Epi and PrE programs and a positive input of FGF/MAPK signaling on Gata expression fine-tune the response of cells during this second stage of the lineage decision process.

Integration of signaling into the mutual repression circuit serves to balance proportions of cell fates in developing tissues

The mathematical model of a mutual repression circuit has previously been applied to describe the dynamics of the switch between the lysogenic and lytic phases of the lifecycle of bacteriophage lambda (32), and a genetically engineered toggle switch circuit in E. coli (33). Our work is one of the first to suggest that this network can be used to formalize the decision between two fates during mammalian development. Furthermore, we show that extending this model with a signaling input allows for dynamic control of the sizes of the basins of attraction corresponding to the different

states of the bistable system. The mammalian preimplantation embryo may harness this property to balance the proportion of Epi and PrE cells. The initial expression of transcriptional regulators driving lineage choice appears to be stochastic due to the mechanisms that control gene expression in the early embryo (34,35), and the resulting heterogeneous distribution of transcription factor concentrations in ICM cells will bias cells towards specific fates. It has been shown that lineage commitment occurs non-synchronously in the cells of the ICM, and that the first cells to commit are fated towards the epiblast (36). Because Epi cells produce FGF4 (5,37), the extent of FGF4 production will therefore be a function of the number of Epi-committed cells and act on the as-yet uncommitted cells. By modulating the bistable switch operating in these cells, this process may ultimately place the appropriate number of uncommitted in the basin corresponding to the PrE fate. FGF/MAPK signaling might thus acts as feedback mechanism to balance proportions of two distinct cell fates in populations. It will be interesting to see whether this new principle applies to differentiation decisions beyond those in the preimplantation embryo.

Materials and Methods

ES cell culture and genetic manipulation

For derivation of genetically modified lines, ES cells were grown on mitotically inactivated mouse embryonic fibroblasts in Knockout DMEM (Gibco) supplemented with 15% fetal bovine serum, 50 µM beta-mercaptoethanol, glutamax, non-essential amino acids and 1 µg/ml leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF, Department of Biochemistry, University of Cambridge). After line derivation, feeders were removed by serial passaging, and cells were maintained on gelatin coated dishes in GMEM-based medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, sodium pyruvate, 50 µM beta-mercaptoethanol, glutamax, non-essential amino acids and LIF.

Serum-free media were based on N2B27 (NDiff 227, Stem Cells) and supplemented with 3 µM CHIR99021, 1µM PD0325901 and 1 µg/ml murine LIF to give 2i + LIF. For the experiments described in S11 Fig., N2B27 was supplemented with 10 ng/ml BMP4 (R&D), 1 µg/ml murine LIF and 1 µg/ml heparin.

All cell lines used in this study were based on the KH2 ES cell line described in Beard et al., 2006, and inducible cell lines were generated as described (16). Transgene expression was induced by adding 500 ng/ml doxycycline to the culture medium. The Gata6 reporter cell line was generated using knock-out first targeting arms of the EUCOMM project (38), combined with a H2B-Venus reporter cassette and a neomycin resistance gene driven from a human β-actin promoter. The targeting construct to generate the Nanog-Venus translational fusion has been described previously (24). The construct used for permanent expression of the H2B-Cerulean marker was generated from pCX::H2B-mCherry described in (39) by replacing the mCherry coding sequence with a Cerulean coding sequence. To generate reporter lines, approx. $2*10^6$ cells were electroporated with 2 µg of the linearized targeting

vector, plated onto feeder cells and put under selection one day after electroporation. Resistant colonies were picked after seven days, and PCR-genotyped for correct insertion events. Karyotypes of all genetically modified clones were determined according to standard procedures (40), and clones with a median chromosome count of 40 were selected for experiments. Two subclones of the cell line carrying the inducible Gata4-mCherry construct were tested in blastocyst injections, and both gave high-contribution chimaeras with germline transmission.

Immunocytochemistry

Cells for immunocytochemistry were grown on ibidi μ-slides and stained according to standard procedures. Briefly, cells were washed once in PBS and fixed for 15 minutes in 4% PFA. Blocking and antibody incubation was performed in BBS/1%BSA/0.1%Triton. Primary antibodies used were anti-Nanog (eBiosciences, 1:200), anti-FLAG (Sigma M2, 1:1000), anti-GATA6 (R&D, 1:200) and anti-GATA4 (Santa Cruz, 1:200). Detection was performed using Alexa-Fluor conjugated secondary antibodies (Molecular Probes) at 1:500 dilution. Nuclei were visualized using Hoechst 33342 dye (Molecular Probes). Imaging was performed on a Zeiss LSM700 confocal microscope with a 40x oil immersion lens (NA 1.2). To quantify immunofluorescence intensity in single cells, images were segmented based on nuclear fluorescence using methods available in FIJI (41), and mean fluorescence intensities for individual channels measured in segmented regions after manual curation.

Flow cytometry

Cells for flow cytometry were trypsinized and either analyzed immediately or fixed for 15 minutes in 3% PFA/PBS. Staining of intracellular antigens was performed by blocking cells for at least 30 minutes in PBS/0.25%saponin/1%BSA and incubating with primary antibody overnight. Primary and secondary antibodies and dilutions were the same as for immunostaining procedures. mCherry fluorescence was measured on a BectonDickinson Fortessa Flow cytometer, all other flow cytometric analysis was performed using a Beckman Coulter CyAn ADP analyzer. Cell sorting was done on a Beckman Coulter MoFlo. To estimate peak positions, histograms were smoothened, followed by detection of local maxima with custom-written Python scripts.

Immunoblotting

For immunoblotting, cells were lysed in RIPA buffer and lysates were separated on custom-made polyacrylamide gels before transfer to nitrocellulose membranes. Antibodies used were anti-ppErk (Sigma M9692) and anti-Erk1/2 (Millipore 06-182) at 1:500 dilution. Detection was performed using fluorescently labeled secondary antibodies and scanning in a LI-COR Odyssey system. Intensities of bands were quantified in ImageStudio (LI-COR).

Time-lapse imaging and cell tracking

Cells for time-lapse imaging were seeded at a density of approx. $1*10^4$ cells/cm^2 one day prior to imaging. Imaging was performed in DMEM based medium without phenol red, supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, sodium pyruvate, 50 µM beta-mercaptoethanol, glutamax, non-essential amino acids and LIF. We used a Zeiss

Axiovert M200 microscope equipped with a SOLA LED light source, an Andor iXON Ultra 888 EMCCD camera and a heated stage with CO_2 supply. Hardware was controlled by MicroManager software (42). Time-lapse movies were acquired using a 40x long-working distance lens and standard brightfield optics. Spatial heterogeneities in fluorescence intensity resulting from uneven illumination across the field of view were removed by taking 50 flatfield images without any cells, and normalizing fluorescence intensities from experimental movies with the average of the flatfield images. Temporal heterogeneities in fluorescence signal in the movies due to varying illumination intensities and medium autofluorescence were removed by measuring fluorescence intensity for at least three cell-free positions per movie, and subtracting their average fluorescence values from all measured pixel intensities. For some experiments, cells were fixed and immunostained for marker gene expression and returned to the microscope for imaging. Cells were tracked manually using the MTrackJ plugin of ImageJ (43), and fluorescence intensities in a region of interest around the trackpoint were extracted with custom-written Python scripts.

Receiver operating characteristics analysis

To compute receiver operating characteristics, we used a varying threshold level of GATA4-mCherry expression to make predictions based on the assumption that all cells above the threshold will differentiate, and those below it will not. These predictions were then compared to the real outcome, and for each threshold level we determined the ratio of correct and false predictions to the overall number of events (true positive ratio TPR, and false positive ratio, FPR). Plotting FPR versus TPR for all threshold values gives a characteristic curve for a single time-point (see Fig. 4G for an example). The area under this curve (AUC) is a measure of the ability of a

classifier to predict an outcome – classifiers without predictive value have an AUC of

0.5, while a perfect predictor gives an AUC of 1.0. The ROC curve also allows

determining the optimal threshold; in our case we used the threshold value that

maximized the difference between TPR and FPR (red dot in Fig. 4G).

Mathematical modeling

The mathematical model proposed is based on the well studied mutual repression

circuit (33) and describes the dynamics of endogenous Gata (G) and Nanog (N)

expression driven by externally induced Gata expression (G_X) with three rate

equations (see results). The transient exogenous Gata G_X transcription rate was

modeled with a rectangular step function π_τ of duration $\tau=6$ h. To account for the

experimentally observed heterogeneity in the response to doxycycline, the maximum

transcription rate parameter of G_X, D, was varied from cell to cell according to a log-

normal distribution with scale parameter σ_D. Gata and Nanog mutually repress each

other's expression and this was accounted for by inhibitory Hill functions with

cooperativity coefficients p and q. Exogenous Gata also participates in the repression

of Nanog. For a given level of signaling, the maximal Gata and Nanog production

rates α_G and α_N, which are attained in absence of their respective repressor, were

assumed constant. Regarding the interaction of signaling with this transcription

network, we have considered two scenarios. In the first one we assumed that the

maximum transcription rate for Nanog decreases linearly with the signal level s, $a_N(s)$

$= a_{N1} s + a_{N0} (1-s)$, where $a_{N1} \leq a_{N0}$ while the maximum Gata transcription rate is not

affected. The second scenario we considered is a linear increase of the maximum Gata

transcription rate with signal level, $a_G(s) = a_{G1} s + a_{G0} (1-s)$, $a_{G0} \leq a_{G1}$, and a constant

Nanog transcription rate. In both cases signaling was assumed to be constant and

equal in all cells. The model expresses concentrations normalized to the half-maximum inhibition concentration of the Hill functions, i.e., in arbitrary adimensional units. Time is expressed in dimensional units, and all protein decay rate values (λ_G and λ_N) were set to match the experimentally observed half lives (4-5 hours). Thus, all but four parameter values of this system were constrained by experimental data (see S1 table for all parameters used for simulations). To reflect the pre-culturing of cells in the presence of PD03 we chose as initial condition for Nanog expression levels its steady state levels in the absence of signaling. Initial endogenous GATA levels were set to zero, reflecting the absence of detectable GATA expression in our ES cells in the absence of doxycycline stimulation. The visual representations of the potential landscape in Fig. 7E, F correspond to the path-integral quasi-potential surfaces as proposed by (25). These have been computed assuming no external supply of GATA factors. Parameter values used in the simulations are given in S1 Table. Numerical simulations were implemented in Python language.

Acknowledgements

We thank K. Niakan for providing reagents that helped starting this project and K. Anastassiadis, B. Rosen, C. Lindon and A.-K. Hadjantonakis for sharing reporter constructs. L. Filipkova, N. S. Ly and R. Broome provided technical assistance, and L. Garcia-Perez, C. Vintiner and J. Padua helped with cell tracking. We are grateful to J. Nichols and A.-K. Hadjantonakis for insightful discussions, and C. Pina, J. de Navascués, S. Muñoz-Descalzo, C. Brimson, J. Garci-Ojalvo and Andy Oates for helpful comments on earlier versions of this manuscript. Work in the Martinez Arias lab was funded by an ERC investigator grant. CS was the recipient of an EMBO long-term fellowship, and CS and PR were supported by a Marie Curie fellowship from the European Union.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1.   Saiz N, Plusa B. Early cell fate decisions in the mouse embryo. Reproduction. 2013 Mar 1;145(3):R65–80.

2.   Rossant J, Tam PPL. Blastocyst lineage formation, early embryonic asymmetries and axis patterning in the mouse. Development. 2009 Mar 1;136(5):701–13.

3.   Plusa B, Piliszek A, Frankenberg S, Artus J, Hadjantonakis A-K. Distinct sequential cell behaviours direct primitive endoderm formation in the mouse blastocyst. Development. 2008 Aug 31;135(18):3081–91.

4.   Chazaud C, Yamanaka Y, Pawson T, Rossant J. Early lineage segregation between epiblast and primitive endoderm in mouse blastocysts through the Grb2-MAPK pathway. Dev Cell. 2006 Apr 30;10(5):615–24.

5.   Frankenberg S, Gerbe F, Bessonnard S, Belville C, Pouchin P, Bardot O, et al. Primitive endoderm differentiates via a three-step mechanism involving Nanog and RTK signaling. Dev Cell. 2011 Dec 13;21(6):1005–13.

6.   Bessonnard S, De Mot L, Gonze D, Barriol M, Dennis C, Goldbeter A, et al. Gata6, Nanog and Erk signaling control cell fate in the inner cell mass through a tristable regulatory network. Development. 2014 Sep 23;141(19):3637–48.

7.   Schrode N, Saiz N, Di Talia S, Hadjantonakis A-K. GATA6 Levels Modulate Primitive EndodermCell Fate Choice and Timing in the Mouse Blastocyst. Dev Cell. Elsevier Inc; 2014 May 5;:1–14.

8.   Kang M, Piliszek A, Artus J, Hadjantonakis AK. FGF4 is required for lineage

restriction and salt-and-pepper distribution of primitive endoderm factors but
not their initial expression in the mouse. Development. 2012 Dec
18;140(2):267–79.

9.   Yamanaka Y, Lanner F, Rossant J. FGF signal-dependent segregation of
      primitive endoderm and epiblast in the mouse blastocyst. Development. 2010
      Mar;137(5):715–24.

10.   Beddington RS, Robertson EJ. An assessment of the developmental potential
      of embryonic stem cells in the midgestation mouse embryo. Development.
      1989 Apr 1;105(4):733–7.

11.   Morgani SM, Canham MA, Nichols J, Sharov AA, Migueles RP, Ko MSH, et
      al. Totipotent Embryonic Stem Cells Arise in Ground-State Culture Conditions.
      CellReports. The Authors; 2013 Jun 27;3(6):1945–57.

12.   Cho LTY, Wamaitha SE, Tsai IJ, Artus J, Sherwood RI, Pedersen RA, et al.
      Conversion from mouse embryonic to extra-embryonic endoderm stem cells
      reveals distinct differentiation capacities of pluripotent stem cell states.
      Development. 2012 Aug;139(16):2866–77.

13.   Fujikura J, Yamato E, Yonemura S, Hosoda K, Masui S, Nakao K, et al.
      Differentiation of embryonic stem cells is induced by GATA factors. Genes
      Dev. 2002 Apr 1;16(7):784–9.

14.   Shimosato D, Shiki M, Niwa H. Extra-embryonic endoderm cells derived from
      ES cells induced by GATA factors acquire the character of XEN cells. BMC
      Dev Biol. 2007;7:80.

15.   Wang Y, Smedberg JL, Cai KQ, Capo-Chichi DC, Xu X-X. Ectopic expression
        of GATA6 bypasses requirement for Grb2 in primitive endoderm formation.
        Dev Dyn. 2011 Mar;240(3):566–76.

16.   Beard C, Hochedlinger K, Plath K, Wutz A, Jaenisch R. Efficient method to
        generate single-copy transgenic mice by site-specific integration in embryonic
        stem cells. Genesis. 2006;44(1):23–8.

17.   Macfarlan TS, Gifford WD, Driscoll S, Lettieri K, Rowe HM, Bonanomi D, et
        al. Embryonic stem cell potency fluctuates with endogenous retrovirus activity.
        Nature. 2012 Jul 5;487(7405):57–63.

18.   Fawcett T. An introduction to ROC analysis. Pattern Recognition Letters. 2006
        Jun;27(8):861–74.

19.   Kunath T, Saba-El-Leil MK, Almousailleakh M, Wray J, Meloche S, Smith A.
        FGF stimulation of the Erk1/2 signalling cascade triggers transition of
        pluripotent embryonic stem cells from self-renewal to lineage commitment.
        Development. 2007 Aug 1;134(16):2895–902.

20.   Singh AM, Hamazaki T, Hankowski KE, Terada N. A heterogeneous
        expression pattern for Nanog in embryonic stem cells. STEM CELLS. 2007
        Sep 30;25(10):2534–42.

21.   Plahte E, Mestl T, Omholt SW. Feedback Loops, Stability and
        Multistationarity in Dynamical Systems. J Biol Syst. World Scientific
        Publishing Co; 1995 Jun 1;03(02):409–13.

22.   Snoussi EH. Necessary Conditions for Multistationarity and Stable Periodicity.

J Biol Syst. World Scientific Publishing Co; 1998 Mar 1;06(01):3–9.

23.   Thomas R. On the Relation Between the Logical Structure of Systems and
        Their Ability to Generate Multiple Steady States or Sustained Oscillations. In:
        Dora Della J, Demongeot J, Lacolle B, editors. Springer Series in Synergetics.
        Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 1981. pp. 180–193–193.

24.   Filipczyk A, Gkatzis K, Fu J, Hoppe PS, Lickert H, Anastassiadis K, et al.
        Biallelic expression of nanog protein in mouse embryonic stem cells. Cell Stem
        Cell. 2013 Jul 3;13(1):12–3.

25.   Bhattacharya S, Zhang Q, Andersen ME. A deterministic map of Waddington's
        epigenetic landscape for cell fate specification. BMC Syst Biol. 2011;5:85.

26.   Boroviak T, Loos R, Bertone P, Smith A, Nichols J. The ability of inner-cell-
        mass cells to self-renew as embryonic stem cells is acquired following
        epiblast specification. Nature Cell Biology. 2014 Jun;16(6):516–28.

27.   Marks H, Kalkan T, Menafra R, Denissov S, Jones K, Hofemeister H, et al.
        The transcriptional and epigenomic foundations of ground state pluripotency.
        Cell. 2012 Apr 27;149(3):590–604.

28.   Aoki K, Kumagai Y, Sakurai A, Komatsu N, Fujita Y, Shionyu C, et al.
        Stochastic ERK activation induced by noise and cell-to-cell propagation
        regulates cell density-dependent proliferation. Molecular Cell. 2013 Nov
        21;52(4):529–40.

29.   Albeck JG, Mills GB, Brugge JS. Frequency-modulated pulses of ERK activity
        transmit quantitative proliferation signals. Molecular Cell. 2013 Jan

24;49(2):249–61.

30. Cahan P, Li H, Morris SA, da Rocha EL, Daley GQ, Collins JJ. CellNet: Network Biology Applied to Stem Cell Engineering. Cell. Elsevier Inc; 2014 Aug 14;158(4):903–15.

31. Weintraub H, Tapscott SJ, Davis RL, Thayer MJ, Adam MA, Lassar AB, et al. Activation of muscle-specific genes in pigment, nerve, fat, liver, and fibroblast cell lines by forced expression of MyoD. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1989 Jul;86(14):5434–8.

32. Ptashne M. A Genetic Switch. CSHL Press; 2004. 1 p.

33. Gardner TS, Cantor CR, Collins JJ. Construction of a genetic toggle switch in Escherichia coli. Nature. 2000 Jan 20;403(6767):339–42.

34. Dietrich J-E, Hiiragi T. Stochastic patterning in the mouse pre-implantation embryo. Development. 2007 Dec;134(23):4219–31.

35. Ohnishi Y, Huber W, Tsumura A, Kang M, Xenopoulos P, Kurimoto K, et al. Cell-to-cell expression variability followed by signal reinforcement progressively segregates early mouse lineages. Nature Cell Biology. 2014 Jan;16(1):27–37.

36. Grabarek JB, Zyzynska K, Saiz N, Piliszek A, Frankenberg S, Nichols J, et al. Differential plasticity of epiblast and primitive endoderm precursors within the ICM of the early mouse embryo. Development. 2012 Jan;139(1):129–39.

37. Nichols J, Zevnik B, Anastassiadis K, Niwa H, Klewe-Nebenius D, Chambers I, et al. Formation of pluripotent stem cells in the mammalian embryo depends

on the POU transcription factor Oct4. Cell. 1998 Oct 30;95(3):379–91.

38.   Skarnes WC, Rosen B, West AP, Koutsourakis M, Bushell W, Iyer V, et al. A
       conditional knockout resource for the genome-wide study of mouse gene
       function. Nature. 2011 Jun 16;474(7351):337–42.

39.   Nowotschin S, Eakin GS, Hadjantonakis A-K. Dual transgene strategy for live
       visualization of chromatin and plasma membrane dynamics in murine
       embryonic stem cells and embryonic tissues. Genesis. 2009 May 1;47(5):330–
       6.

40.   Nagy A, Gertsenstein M, Vintersten K, Behringer R. Karyotyping Mouse Cells.
       Cold Spring Harbor …. 2008.

41.   Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, et
       al. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods.
       2012 Jul;9(7):676–82.

42.   Edelstein A, Amodaj N, Hoover K, Vale R, Stuurman N. Computer Control of
       Microscopes Using μManager. Hoboken, NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc;
       2001.

43.   Erik Meijering ODIS. Methods for Cell and Particle Tracking. 2012 Apr 4;:1–
       16.

Supporting Material

Supporting Figures

S1 Figure: Inducible GATA4-FLAG reaches higher expression levels and triggers PrE-like differentiation in a larger proportion of cells compared to GATA6-FLAG.

A, B Immunostaining of ES cells carrying a doxycycline-inducible GATA4-FLAG transgene 2 h (**A**) or 24 h (**B**) after 6 h of treatment with doxycycline. Untreated controls are on the left. Exogenous GATA4-FLAG is co-expressed with Nanog shortly after the treatment, but degraded one day later. Endogenous GATA6 is expressed one day after the treatment in a mutually exclusive pattern with Nanog. Scale bar, 50 μm. **C**, **D** Comparison of GATA6-FLAG and GATA4-FLAG transgene induction efficiency. Cells were immunostained for FLAG 2 h after a 6 h doxycycline pulse and analysed by confocal microscopy (**C**) or flow cytometry (**D**). The percentage of expressing cells is comparable between the two constructs, but GATA4-FLAG is expressed at higher levels in individual cells. One representative clone shown. **E** Flow cytometric analysis of cells immunostained for GATA6 24 h after transient expression of GATA4-FLAG (red) or GATA6-FLAG (blue). Inducible GATA4-FLAG expression triggers endogenous GATA6 expression in a larger proportion of cells compared to inducible GATA6-FLAG expression. One representative clone shown. **F** Quantitation of results from **D** and **E**; numbers state mean and standard deviation from three independent clones.

S2 Figure: Transient expression of GATA4-mCherry-FLAG can induce endogenous GATA6 expression.

A Immunostaining for FLAG in cells expressing GATA4-FLAG (left) or GATA4-mCherry-FLAG (right) following 6 h of doxycycline treatment. Both inducible proteins are expressed in a comparable proportion of cells, but FLAG staining intensity is lower for the mCherry-tagged protein, suggesting lower expression levels in individual cells. **B** Immunostaining for Nanog and GATA6 of cells carrying a doxycycline-inducible GATA4-FLAG (left) or GATA4-mCherry-FLAG (right) transgene 24 h after a 6 h treatment with doxycycline. Scale bars in **A**, **B**, 50 μm. **C** Flow cytometric analysis of cells treated as in **B** stained for GATA6 expression.

GATA6 expression levels do not depend on the inducible protein used, but GATA4-mCherry-FLAG induces expression of endogenous GATA6 in a smaller proportion of cells than GATA4-FLAG, possibly as a consequence of lower GATA4-mCherry expression levels in individual cells.

S3 Figure: Removal of feeders and reduction of serum levels reduces the accessibility of the PrE-like differentiation program.

A Immunostaing of cells carrying an inducible GATA4-mCherry transgene cultured on feeder cells in the presence of 15% serum (left) or in the absence of feeders in 10% serum (right) 24 h after a 6 h doxycycline pulse. Scale bar, 50 μm. **B** Flow cytometric analysis of cells treated as in **A** and stained for GATA6. The proportion of GATA6-positive cells is severely reduced in the absence of feeders and lowered serum

concentrations. **C** Flow cytometric analysis of GATA4-mCherry expression in cells treated as in **A** 2h after the end of the doxycycline treatment. Both conditions give robust expression of the inducible GATA4-mCherry protein.

S4 Figure: Pre-culture regimes and –times affect the expression efficiency of the doxycycline-inducible transgene.

Cells grown for increasing periods of time in 2i + LIF medium (**A**) or cultured for 3d in the indicated media (**B**) were changed to medium containing serum + LIF and doxycycline, followed by flow cytometric analysis for GATA4-mCherry expression 2h after the end of a 6 h doxycycline pulse.

S5 Figure: The Gata6:H2B-Venus reporter is specifically expressed following doxycycline treatment.

A Immunostaining for Venus expression in the parental cell line carrying the doxycycline-inducible GATA4-mCherry transgene (left) and the Gata6:H2B-Venus reporter cell line derived from it (right). Cells were either left untreated (left panels for each line), or treated with doxycycline for 6 h, followed by a 24 h chase period (right panel for each line). Scale bar, 50 µm. **B** Flow cytometry of cells treated as in **A**. Venus expression can only be detected in reporter cells that have been treated with doxycycline.

S6 Figure: Hierarchical clustering distinguishes groups of cells with high and low H2B-Venus expression in the Gata6 reporter line.

Gata6:H2B-Venus reporter cells were hierarchically clustered according to H2B-Venus fluorescence intensity values recorded during and after a 6 h doxycycline pulse. Dark blue indicates strong fluorescence. This analysis identifies two main groups that markedly differ in their H2B-Venus expression intensity in the second half of the experiment. These two groups are highlighted by different branch colors (green: H2B-Venus^{low}, purple: H2B-Venus^{high}), and inform the color code in Fig. 3G; see also S1 Movie.

S7 Figure: Titration of GATA4-mCherry exposure by changing doxycycline pulse-length.

A Flow cytometric analysis of GATA4-mCherry expression in cells 2h after the end of doxycycline pulses of indicated duration. **B** Quantification of results from **A**. Datapoints represent mean ± standard deviation from three independent experiments.

S8 Figure: Separation of GATA4-mCherry^high and GATA4-mCherry^low cells by flow cytometry.

Cells carrying a doxycycline-inducible GATA4-mCherry transgene were stimulated with doxycycline for 5 h, trypsinised and sorted into two fractions according to mCherry fluorescence intensity. Figure shows the result of post-sort control, where fractions were analyzed on a separate cytometer approx. 2h after the end of the sort for fluorescence levels and purity. The GATA4-mCherry^high population is in red, the GATA4-mCherry^low population is in blue, unsorted cells are in shaded in turquoise, and uninduced control is shaded in grey.

S9 Figure: Immunostaining of filmed cells for correlating GATA4-mCherry expression levels with fate choice.

A Overlay of brightfield and Cerulean fluorescence (blue) and **B** overlay of brightfield and immunostaining for Nanog (green) and GATA6 (purple) in cells carrying an inducible GATA4-mCherry transgene that had been filmed for 30h during and after a doxycycline pulse. **C** Individual GATA4-mCherry fluorescence traces recorded from cells shown in S2 Movie and panels **A**, **B**, color-coded according to staining detected in **B**. Cells without clear GATA6 or Nanog immunostaining are not shown in **C**.

S10 Figure: Identification of an optimal threshold to predict fate choice from GATA4-mCherry expression levels.

A, **B** Fluorescence time traces of individual cells carrying an inducible GATA4-mCherry transgene filmed during and after a 6 h doxycycline pulse. Cells with GATA6-positive progeny are depicted with purple traces in **A**, cells with Nanog-positive progeny are depicted with green traces in **B**. The optimal threshold detected by ROC analysis (Fig. 4G) is shown in black. **C** Accuracy of fate prediction using GATA4-mCherry fluorescence and optimal threshold depicted in **A**, **B**. Fate choice can be predicted with greater than 80% accuracy approx. 3h after addition of doxycycline. Area shaded in green indicates presence of doxycycline, area shaded in purple indicates standard deviation determined by bootstrapping.

S11 Figure: Signaling in serum-free medium supports PrE-like differentiation

A Flow cytometry of cells stained for GATA6 that had been subjected to a 6 h doxycycline pulse and a 24 h chase period in the indicated media conditions. A large proportion of cells expresses GATA6 following doxycycline-treatment and differentiation in serum-free medium, and this proportion can only moderately be increased by addition of recombinant FGF4. **B** Quantification of results from **A**. Data points show mean and standard error from two independent experiments. Note that the serum-free medium used in these experiments was supplemented with 1 μg/ml heparin.

S12 Figure: Erk phosphorylation peaks transiently after removal of PD03.

A Immunoblot detecting phosphorylated Erk (top), total Erk (middle) and β-tubulin (bottom) in cells grown for 3d in the presence of 1μM PD03 following transfer into inhibitor-free medium for indicated periods of time. Removal of the inhibitor leads to rapid but transient phosphorylation of Erk. **B** Quantification of results from **A**. Signal intensity of phosphorylated p42 Erk were quantified and normalized by the signal intensity of the corresponding total p42 Erk band.

S13 Figure: MAPK signaling required for PrE-like differentiation is mainly triggered through FGF receptors.

Flow cytometric analysis of cells stained for GATA6 following a 6 h doxycycline pulse and a 24 h chase period in serum-containing medium with the indicated concentrations of the FGF receptor inhibitor PD17, or the Mek inhibitor PD03. PD17 inhibits most of PrE-like differentiation, indicating that the signaling input required for this differentiation decision is mainly transmitted through FGF receptors.

S14 Figure: Estimation of peak positions from flow cytometry data.

A, **B** Smoothened flow cytometry data from Figure 5 C (GATA6 staining, **A**) and Fig. 7 C (Nanog-Venus staining, **B**) with peak positions indicated by dots. Histograms were smoothened by transforming each bin of the original data into a gaussian distribution of values around the center of the bin, and peaks were identified as local maxima in the smoothened distributions. **C**, **D** Peak positions identified in **A**, **B** plotted for different PD03 concentrations. The position of the peak with low staining levels comprising of GATA6-negative and Nanog-Venus-negative cells is constant for different PD03-concentrations, whereas the position of the Nanog-Venus-positive, but not the GATA6-positive peak, changes with signaling levels.

Supporting table

S1 Table

Param.	Value	Units	Description
α_{N0}	1 [a)] ½ [b)]	*adimensional concentration units/h*	Maximum Nanog production rate in 0% signaling conditions. [a)] signaling inhibits Nanog production. [b)] signaling promotes GATA production.
α_{N1}	½	*adimensional concentration units/h*	Increase in the max. Nanog production rate in 100% signaling conditions.
α_{G0}	½ [a)] ¼ [b)]	*adimensional concentration units/h*	Maximum endogenous Gata production rate in 0% signaling conditions. [a)] signaling inhibits Nanog production. [b)] signaling promotes GATA production.
α_{G1}	½	*adimensional concentration units/h*	Maximum endogenous Gata production rate in 100% signaling conditions.
λ_N	0.15	1/h	Nanog protein degradation rate.
λ_G	0.15	1/h	Gata protein degradation rate.
p	4	-	Hill coefficient for the inhibition of Nanog by Gata.
q	4	-	Hill coefficient for the inhibition of Gata by Nanog.
σ_D	0.25	*adimensional concentration units/h*	Scale parameter of the lognormal distribution of the maximum production rate of exogenous Gata in the presence of doxycycline.
τ	6	*h*	Doxycycline pulse duration

S1 Table. Parameter values of the model.

Supporting Movie legends

S1 Movie: Gata6:H2B-Venus expression dynamics following transient doxycycline treatment.

ES cells carrying the inducible GATA4-mCherry transgene, the transcriptional Gata6:H2B-Venus reporter and a randomly integrated H2B-Cerulean transgene under the control of the CAGS promoter were filmed during and after a 6 h doxycycline pulse. Left panel shows overlay of brightfield image and Gata6:H2B-Venus fluorescence, left panel shows overlay of brightfield image and H2B-Cerulean fluorescence. Cell tracking is indicated by yellow circles (Venus channel) or yellow dots (Cerulean channel). See also Fig. 3G, S6 Fig..

S2 Movie: Tracking of GATA4-mCherry expression levels following transient doxycycline treatment

ES cells carrying a doxycycline-inducible GATA4-mCherry transgene and a randomly integrated H2B-Cerulean transgene under the control of the CAGS promoter were filmed during and after a 6 h doxycycline pulse. Left panel shows overlay of brightfield image and GATA4-mCherry fluorescence, right panel shows overlay of brightfield image and H2B-Cerulean fluorescence. Cell tracking is indicated by yellow circles (mCherry channel) or yellow dots (Cerulean channel). See also Fig. 4, S9 Fig..

S3 Movie: Subsaturating doses of PD03 do not affect cell viability

ES cells carrying a doxycycline-inducible GATA4-mCherry transgene and a randomly integrated H2B-Cerulean transgene under the control of the CAGS

promoter were filmed during and after a 6 h doxycycline pulse in the absence of PD03 (left) or in 62.5 nM PD03 (right). Movie shows overlay of brightfield image, H2B-Cerulean (cyan) and GATA4-mCherry (red) fluorescence. Subsaturating doses of PD03 do not lead to increased cell death.

S4 Movie: Nanog-Venus expression dynamics following transient doxycycline treatment

Time-lapse movie of ES cells carrying a randomly integrated H2B-Cerulean transgene under the control of the CAGS promoter (blue, upper left, overlay with brighfield image), the inducible GATA4-mCherry transgene (red, upper right), and a Nanog-Venus translational reporter (green, lower left) during and after a 6 h doxycycline pulse. Lower right shows overlay of brightfield, GATA4-mCherry and Nanog-Venus fluorescence; note the widespread co-expression of GATA4-mCherry and Nanog-Venus. Cell tracking is indicated by yellow dots and circles. See also Figure 6E.