**Expression of *E. coli* glycogen branching enzyme in an *Arabidopsis* mutant devoid of**

**endogenous starch branching enzymes induces the synthesis of starch-like polyglucans**

**Running Title:** Synthesis of starch-like polyglucans by *E. coli* BE

Laura Boyer [1], Xavier Roussel [1], Adeline Courseaux [1], Ofilia Mvundza Ndjindji [2,3],

Christine Lancelon-Pin [2,3], Jean-Luc Putaux [2,3], Ian Tetlow [4], Michael Emes [4], Bruno

Pontoire [5], Christophe D'Hulst [1] and Fabrice Wattebled [1, *]

[1] Univ. Lille, UGSF, Unité de Glycobiologie Structurale et Fonctionnelle, UMR8576

CNRS –, F-59000 Lille, France

[2] Université Grenoble Alpes, Centre de Recherches sur les Macromolécules Végétales

(CERMAV), F-38000 Grenoble, France

[3] CNRS, CERMAV, F-38000 Grenoble, France

[4] Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Science Complex, University of Guelph,

Guelph, Ontario N1G 2W1, Canada

[5] UR1268 BIA, INRA, F-44300 Nantes, France

[*] Corresponding author: fabrice.wattebled@univ-lille1.fr

Total word count: 7707

**ABSTRACT**

Starch synthesis requires several enzymatic activities including branching enzymes (BEs) responsible for the formation of α(1→6) linkages. Distribution and number of these linkages are further controlled by debranching enzymes (DBEs) that cleave some of them, rendering the polyglucan water-insoluble and semi-crystalline. Although the activity of BEs and DBEs is mandatory to sustain normal starch synthesis, the relative importance of each in the establishment of the plant storage polyglucan (i.e. water-insolubility, crystallinity, presence of amylose) is still debated. Here, we have substituted the activity of BEs in *Arabidopsis* with that of the *Escherichia coli* glycogen branching enzyme (GlgB). The latter is the BE counterpart in the metabolism of glycogen, a highly branched water-soluble and amorphous storage polyglucan. GlgB was expressed in the *be2 be3* double mutant of *Arabidopsis* that is devoid of BE activity and consequently free of starch. The synthesis of a water-insoluble, partly crystalline, amylose-containing starch-like polyglucan was restored in GlgB-expressing plants, suggesting that BEs origin only have a limited impact on establishing essential characteristics of starch. Moreover, the balance between branching and debranching is crucial for the synthesis of starch, as an excess of branching activity results in the formation of highly branched, water-soluble, poorly crystalline polyglucan.

**KEYWORDS**

## INTRODUCTION

Amylopectin is one of the two homopolymers of glucose that constitute native starch in plants and algae. Like glycogen found in bacteria, fungi and animals it is composed of glucosyl residues linked by α(1→4) O-glycosidic bonds and branched through α(1→6) linkages. However, the degree of branching of amylopectin does not exceed 5-6%, while it usually reaches 8 to 10% in glycogen (Buleon et al., 1998, Roach et al., 2012). Moreover, the distribution of α(1→6) linkages is different in both polyglucans. On the one hand, in glycogen, branch points are regularly distributed in the macromolecule, leading to the formation of a spherical homogenous structure with limited size (Melendez-Hevia et al., 1993). On the other hand, amylopectin exhibits a heterogeneous molecular organization in which short linear segments are clustered and branch points form amorphous lamellae. Since the initial proposition of cluster organization of amylopectin (French, 1972, Robin et al., 1974), several models of cluster pattern have been proposed (Bertoft, 2013). Hizukuri's model suggests that clusters follow one another linearly. The clusters are linked together by longer glucans that expand from the preceding structure to the following (Hizukuri, 1986). More recently, Bertoft has proposed an alternative model in which clusters are linked side by side to a long, linear, glucan backbone (Bertoft, 2004). In Bertoft's model, the formation of a new cluster does not depend on the synthesis of a previous one. Regardless of which amylopectin model is considered, these differences between amylopectin and glycogen lead to different properties for both polymers. Amylopectin is water-insoluble and partly crystalline whereas glycogen is water-soluble and amorphous.

The biosynthesis of these polysaccharides involves the same set of enzymatic activities:

i.   Polymerizing enzymes (i.e. starch- or glycogen-synthases) that transfer the glucose moiety of a nucleotide-sugar (being ADP-glucose or UDP-glucose) to the non-reducing end of an α-glucan by creating α(1→4) linkages (Fujita et al., 2012).

ii.  Branching enzymes (1,4-α-glucan:1,4-α-glucan 6-glucosyltransferase; EC 2.4.1.18) that introduce the α(1→6) branch points by rearranging linear glucans. These enzymes cleave an α(1→4) linkage and then transfer the released glucan in

α(1→6) position by an intermolecular or intramolecular mechanism (Tetlow,
2012).

However, about 20 years ago, it was suggested that isoamylase-type debranching
enzymes (DBEs) were required for the building of the final structure of amylopectin in
plants, determining insolubility and partial crystallization (Ball *et al.*, 1996, Mouille *et al.*,
1996, Myers *et al.*, 2000). This idea was further supported and confirmed by several studies
conducted with different plant species (James *et al.*, 1995, Nakamura, 1996, Rahman *et al.*,
1998, Delatte *et al.*, 2005, Wattebled *et al.*, 2005). In all cases, the lack of isoamylase-type
DBEs (except the ISA3 isoform dedicated to starch degradation) caused a strong reduction
of starch accumulation, and a modification of the ultrastructure of the residual starch
accompanied by a decrease of the crystallinity index. Moreover, these isoamylase mutants
also accumulate phytoglycogen, a water-soluble and amorphous glucan structure, which is
comparable to that of the glycogen found in animals, fungi and bacteria. Compared to wild-
type starch, phytoglycogen displays significant modifications of chain length distribution
characterized by enrichment in very short chains (DP 3-5). The crystallization of starch
clearly depends on the distribution of α(1→6) linkages, but also on chain length
distribution (Pfister *et al.*, 2014). Starch synthases (by elongating glucans) and isoamylases
(by removing some branch points) are key enzymes that control both parameters that are
crucial to define the final structure and properties of starch. Modification of plant branching
enzyme (BE) activity level can affect the physicochemical characteristics of starch as well.
For instance, the reduction of BE activity in potato by the antisense inhibition of both SBE
A and SBE B isoforms induces the synthesis of very-high-amylose starch in potato tubers
(Schwall *et al.*, 2000). In rice and maize, modification of BE activity (by mutation or over-
expression) alters the structure and properties of the endosperm starch (Satoh *et al.*, 2003,
Tanaka *et al.*, 2004, Yao *et al.*, 2004). Although it is obvious that BEs are mandatory for
the synthesis of starch since these enzymes are the only one that create the α(1→6)
linkages, their actual contribution for the determination of starch characteristics (i.e. water-
insolubility, semi-crystallinity, presence of amylose, amylopectin $DP_{max}$ at 12-13) has not
been precisely evaluated so far. Recently, the synthesis of high amounts of insoluble
polyglucans was reported in a quintuple mutant of Arabidopsis lacking all four DBEs plus

one form of plastidial α-amylase (Streb *et al.*, 2008). Thus, the necessity of DBEs *per se* for

the determination of the water-insolubility and crystallinity of starch could be questioned,

although the polyglucans synthesized in the above-mentioned quintuple mutant displays

specific features (very high DP<10 content for instance) not observed in WT starch.

Therefore, the function of BEs appears to be crucial in establishing the intrinsic structural

features of starch although crystallinity of the polyglucan synthesized in the Arabidopsis

quintuple mutant was not reported in (Streb *et al.*, 2008). Branching enzymes are classified

into two groups depending on their amino-acid sequences: group I or B-family and group II

or A-family (Burton *et al.*, 1995). The *in vitro* determination of catalytic parameters

showed that BEI is more active on amylose than amylopectin, in contrast to the members of

the BEII group (Guan *et al.*, 1993, Guan *et al.*, 1994). Moreover, BEI transfers longer

glucans compared to BEII (Guan *et al.*, 1997). GlgB that generates α(1→6) linkages during

glycogen synthesis has catalytic properties obviously distinct to that of maize BEII and BEI

(Guan *et al.*, 1997).

In this work, our objective was to test whether the phylogenetic and family origin of

BEs was crucial for the production of water-insoluble semi-crystalline polysaccharides. The

expression of maize BEI or BEII or both BEI and BEII in a *glgB-* mutant of *E. coli* resulted

in the synthesis of glycogen-like but not starch-like polysaccharides (Guan *et al.*, 1995).

Similar results were obtained after the expression of maize BEIIa and/or BEIIb in a

branching enzyme mutant of yeast (Seo *et al.*, 2002). Alternatively, *E. coli* glycogen

branching enzyme (GlgB) was already expressed in different plants such as potato

(Kortstee *et al.*, 1996, Huang *et al.*, 2013) and rice (Kim *et al.*, 2005) leading to

modification of the structure of the synthesized polyglucans (increased branching degree

and altered chain length distribution of amylopectin). However in both cases, the

endogenous BEs were still active, thus preventing from the determination of the specific

contribution of GlgB for the synthesis of starch.

Arabidopsis contains only two BEs involved in transitory starch synthesis and both of

them belong to the BEII group (Fisher *et al.*, 1996). Arabidopsis *be2 be3* double mutants

are unable to create branch points during polysaccharide synthesis and are therefore

starchless (Dumez *et al.*, 2006). We used these plants, devoid of endogenous BEs, to

express the *E. coli* GlgB glycogen branching enzyme to evaluate whether enzyme

metabolic origin (i.e. glycogen versus starch) can sustain the synthesis of starch-like

polyglucan. More precisely, a starch-like polyglucan could be defined as a polyglucan that

is water-insoluble, partly crystalline, contain both amylopectin and amylose and in which

amylopectin $DP_{max}$ is 12-13. GlgB is involved in the synthesis of glycogen and belongs to

the BEI family (Sawada *et al.*, 2014). The analysis of polyglucan accumulation in

*Arabidopsis* plants expressing GlgB revealed that the balance between branching and

debranching activities, assuming that elongating activity is not-limiting, establishes the

structure of the polysaccharide produced, regardless of the origin of the branching enzyme.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

*Cloning of the glycogen branching enzyme (GlgB) of* Escherichia coli

The chloroplast transit peptide of *Arabidopsis thaliana* Branching Enzyme 2

(At5g03650) was identified with the use of ChloroP 1.1

(http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/ChloroP/). The corresponding nucleotide sequence was

reconstituted by PCR using two long and partially complementary primers, TPbe2-1 and

TPbe2-2 (primer sequences and method of amplification are depicted in details in Figure

S1). A fragment corresponding to the first 30 nucleotides of the 5' end of *glgB* was then

added downstream of the sequence encoding the transit peptide (Figure S1). In parallel, the

coding sequence of *glgB* was amplified by PCR from genomic DNA of *E. coli* TOP10

using primers glgB-For and glgB-Rev (Figure S1). The final sequence was obtained after

20 cycles of polymerase extension of both overlapping sequences. This chimeric cDNA

was subsequently amplified by PCR with primers TPbe2 for-CACC and glgB rev (Figure

S1) and cloned into pENTR™/D-TOPO prior to be transferred by homologous

recombination into pMDC32 using the gateway® technology (Life Technologies). The

sequence was checked by full-sequencing before further uses. All PCR reactions were

performed using a high-fidelity DNA polymerase (Kapa Hifi from KAPABIOSYSTEM®).

*Plant growth, transformation and selection*

Unless otherwise indicated, Arabidopsis plants were cultivated in growth cabinet in
16-h light : 8-h dark regime at 23°C during the day and 20°C during the night under 75%
relative humidity and 100 $\mu$E.m$^{-2}$.s$^{-1}$ irradiance.

The *be2 be3* double mutant of *A. thaliana* (WS ecotype) (Dumez *et al.*, 2006) was
transformed by the floral dip method using *A. tumefaciens* strain GV3101 (Clough *et al.*,
1998, Jyothishwaran *et al.*, 2007) according to (Facon *et al.*, 2013). Transformed plants
were selected for their resistance to hygromycin B. T1 seeds were sterilized according to
(Harrison *et al.*, 2006) and sown on petri dishes containing 1% (w/v) Murashige and Skoog
medium, 1% (w/v) agar and 20 $\mu$g.mL$^{-1}$ of hygromycin B (Sigma-Aldrich). Plates were
incubated in growth cabinet for 2-3 weeks at 22°C, 80% humidity and a specific
photoperiod according to (Harrison *et al.*, 2006). 98 hygromycin-resistant individuals were
transferred to soil and cultivated in a greenhouse under a 16-h light : 8-h dark photoperiod
(20°C during the day and 18°C at night; 100-150 $\mu$E.m$^{-2}$.s$^{-1}$ irradiance). The presence of the
transgene was confirmed by PCR amplification of the *glgB* sequence (glgB-For and glgB-
Rev primers) in 22 plants displaying a leaf iodine-staining phenotype (see below) different
to that of the *be2 be3* mother plant. A representative panel of eleven plants with different
leaf iodine-staining phenotypes was selected and T2 seeds were collected. T2 plants were
selected on solid MS medium as described above and 5 homozygous lines were identified by
segregation analysis of the hygromycin-resistance trait.

*Leaf iodine staining*

Plants were grown in a greenhouse under a 16-h light : 8-h dark photoperiod (20°C
during the day and 18°C at night; 100-150 $\mu$E.m$^{-2}$.s$^{-1}$ irradiance). Approximately 2-week-
old plants were harvested at the end of the day and immediately immersed in 70% hot
ethanol. Samples were incubated under shaking at 70°C and ethanol was replaced several
times until complete loss of pigments, prior to be rinsed with water and stained by a KI 1%
(w/v) - I$_2$ 0.1% (w/v) solution.

*Extraction and quantification of polyglucans*

Leaves were harvested at the end of the day, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen

and stored at - 80°C until use. Two different protocols were then applied for polyglucan

extraction.

Isolation of insoluble polyglucans (for microscopy and crystallographic analysis) was

adapted from (Streb *et al.*, 2008). Several tens of grams of leaves were homogenized in a

buffered medium (0.1 M Na-acetate (pH 4.8); 0.05% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA) with a

blender, and then filtered on two layers of Miracloth (Calbiochem). SDS was added to the

filtrate at a final concentration of 1.5% (w/v) and the insoluble polyglucans were collected

by centrifugation (20 min at 20,000 g). Then, the samples were washed 4 times with water

and were sequentially filtered on nylon meshes of decreasing pore size, in the order: 100,

31 and 11 µm. The filtrates were centrifuged (10 min at 16,000 g) and the polyglucan pellet

was resuspended in 20% ethanol and stored at 4°C until use.

Extraction of insoluble and soluble polyglucans (for quantification and ultrastructure

analysis) was performed on 1 g of leaves using the perchloric acid method described in

detail in (Streb *et al.*, 2008).

The polyglucan content was measured by a spectrophotometric method (Enzytec™,

R-BIOPHARM®) following the manufacturer's instructions.

*Analysis of the ultrastructure of polyglucans*

The chain length distribution (CLD) of the polyglucans was determined with 200 µg

of purified material debranched with a mix of 4 U isoamylase (*Pseudomonas sp.*,

Megazyme) and 2U pullulanase (*Klebsiella planticola*, Megazyme) in sodium acetate

buffer (55 mM final concentration, pH3.5) incubated overnight at 42°C in a final volume of

500 µL. After 10 min at 100°C, the mix was desalted on Alltech™ Extract-Clean™

Carbograph columns (Fisher Scientific). First, columns were equilibrated with 5 mL of

25% acetonitrile and washed with 5 mL of deionized sterile water. Then, samples were

loaded on the columns and subsequently rinsed with 5ml of deionized water. Sample

elution was performed with 2 mL of 25% acetonitrile. The samples were lyophilized and

resuspended in 250 µL of deionized water. The chain length distribution of each sample

was determined by HPAEC-PAD analysis (Dionex® – PA200 CarboPac column) as fully

described in (Roussel *et al.*, 2013).

*Starch fractionation*

Starch fractionation (separation of high and low mass polymers) was performed by
size exclusion chromatography on a Sepharose CL-2B matrix. 1.2 mg of starch was
dispersed in 200 µL of DMSO (100%) during 15 min at 100 °C. The polymers were
precipitated by the addition of 800µL of absolute ethanol and left for 2h on ice. After
centrifugation for 5 min at 10,000 g, the pellet was solubilized in 500 µL of 10 mM NaOH
and loaded onto the column previously equilibrated with 10 mM NaOH (0.5 cm i.d. × 65
cm) at a flow rate of 12 mL.h$^{-1}$. Fractions of 300 µL were collected and the glucans were
detected using $I_2$ / KI solution (0.1% and 1% w/v respectively).

*Protein extraction*

Protein extracts were obtained from approximately 1 g of fresh leaves harvested at the
middle of the day and directly frozen in liquid nitrogen. Frozen leaves were finely
powdered and immersed in ice-cold 100 mM Tricine/KOH (pH 7.8) 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 1 mM
DTT containing 0.1 % (v/v) of protease inhibitor cocktail (ProteaseArrest$^{TM}$, G
Biosciences). Samples were centrifuged at 16,000 g at 4°C during 10 min. The supernatant
was collected and the protein content was determined by Bradford assay.

*Zymogram of branching enzyme activity*

Zymograms of branching enzyme activity were obtained according to the method
described by (Tetlow *et al.*, 2004, Tetlow *et al.*, 2008). Branching enzyme activity is
detected indirectly by the stimulation of phosphorylase « a ». In the presence of a high
concentration of Glc-1-P and the absence of Pi, phosphorylase « a » synthesizes linear
α(1→4)-linked polyglucans that can be branched in α(1→6) if a branching enzyme is in the
vicinity. Branching increases the number of available non-reducing ends and thus
stimulates phosphorylase « a » polymerizing activity. This leads to the synthesis of
branched polyglucans that can be detected in the form of dark brown bands in the gel after
iodine staining. In brief, 5% (w/v) polyacrylamide resolving gel in 375 mM of Tris-HCl
pH8.8 (non-denaturing conditions) was prepared with 3 U.mL$^{-1}$ of rabbit phosphorylase

« a » (Sigma), 1 mM of maltoheptaose (Sigma) and 1.5 mM of acarbose (Sigma-Aldrich)

and was maintained at 4°C. 60 µg of proteins were mixed with a loading solution in a 20:1

ratio (loading solution: 2 mg.mL$^{-1}$ of bromophenol blue and 50% (v/v) glycerol) and loaded

onto the gel. After migration (1 h 30 min at 15 V.cm$^{-1}$, at 4°C in 25 mM Tris / 192mM

Glycine buffer pH8.5), the gel was washed twice in buffer 20 mM MES and 100 mM

sodium citrate at pH6.6 at room temperature. After incubation for 2 h at 30°C in 20 mM

MES and 100 mM sodium citrate buffer (pH6.6) supplemented with 50 mM of glucose-1-

phosphate, 2.5 mM AMP, 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM DTT, the gel was gently rinsed three

times with water and subsequently revealed with I$_2$/KI solution (0.1% / 1% (w/v).

In vitro *assay of branching enzyme activity*

The branching enzyme activity in leaf extracts was determined according to the

method described in details by (Tetlow *et al.*, 2008).

*Immunoblotting*

Two types of immunoblotting experiments were carried out during this work. The

first was performed after 100 µg of total proteins from a cell extract were separated by

SDS-PAGE (7.5% acrylamide and 0.1% (w/v) SDS). After migration, proteins were

transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (Whatmann) with a transfer buffer (0.025 M Tris;

0.192 M glycine; 20% (v/v) methanol). After transfer the membrane was incubated in a

blocking solution (0.01 M Tris; 0.25 M NaCl; 1.5% (w/v) BSA) during 15 min and then

incubated overnight with the primary antibody diluted at 1/5000 in the blocking solution at

room temperature. Then the membrane was washed three times in TTBS (0.1 M Tris; 0.25

M NaCl; 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20). The membrane was incubated for 2 h with a 1/30000

dilution of the secondary antibody (anti-rabbit IgG- alkaline phosphatase; Sigma) at room

temperature. The membrane was finally rinsed three times with TTBS buffer and developed

with the BCIP/NTB substrate kit following manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen).

In the second type of immunoblotting experiment protein extracts were treated as for BE

zymogram analysis described above. However, after migration, proteins were transferred to

a nitrocellulose membrane (Whatmann) following the protocol described above.

The primary antibody raised against GlgB was produced in rabbit by the inoculation

of a peptide specific of the *E. coli* branching enzyme (Eurogentec). Peptide sequence: $NH_2$-

NLYEHSDPREGYHQDW -$CONH_2$ (position 355-370 of *E. coli* GlgB protein); Protein

carrier: KLH.

*Transmission and scanning electron microscopy*

Strips of freshly cut leaves harvested at the end of the day were fixed with

glutaraldehyde, post-fixed with osmium tetroxide and embedded in Epon resin. 70 nm-thin

sections were cut with a diamond knife in a Leica UC6 microtome and post-stained with

periodic acid thiosemicarbazide silver proteinate (PATAg) ([Gallant *et al.*, 1969](#)). Drops of

dilute suspensions of purified glucans were deposited on glow-discharged carbon-coated

copper grids and the preparations were negatively stained with 2% uranyl acetate. All

specimens were observed with a Philips CM200 transmission electron microscope (TEM)

operating at 80 kV. Images were recorded on Kodak SO163 films. In addition, drops of

briefly sonicated suspensions of purified glucans were allowed to dry on freshly cleaved

mica and coated with Au/Pd. Secondary electron images of the specimens were recorded

with a FEI Quanta 250 scanning electron microscope (SEM) equipped with a field emission

gun and operating at 2 kV.

*Wide-angle X-ray scattering*

The crystallinity index of the polyglucan samples was measured by wide-angle X-ray

scattering following a method described by ([Wattebled *et al.*, 2008](#)).

**RESULTS**

***Selection of Arabidopsis lines expressing GlgB***

*glgB* from *E. coli* was fused downstream of the nucleotide sequence encoding AtBE2

(At5g03650) chloroplast transit peptide. The resulting cDNA was then cloned into

pMDC32, a binary vector designed for transgene expression under the control of the CaMV

35S promoter. *be2 be3* double mutants were transformed by the floral dip method ([Clough](#)

[*et al.*, 1998](#)) with an *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain carrying the construct. Among 6000

plants tested, 98 transformants (T1), displaying hygromycin resistance, were selected on

MS agar plates. 5 independent homozygous lines were selected among their progeny (T2

plants) and further analyzed for their leaf iodine-staining phenotype (Figure 1). At the end

of the daytime period, while wild-type (WT) leaves stain black due to normal amounts of

starch, *be2 be3* leaves stain yellow because they lack polysaccharides. By contrast,

*isa1 isa3 pu1* leaves stain orange because they accumulate high amounts of phytoglycogen

([Wattebled *et al.*, 2008](#)). The phenotypes of the transformants, called "β lines", were

intermediate between those of WT and *isa1 isa3 pu1* lines, suggesting that the

polysaccharide synthesis was at least partially restored. However, while β20 and β6 lines

stain brown, β12 stains dark brown and β1 stains dark grey, suggesting different levels of

complementation. β5 is noteworthy since iodine staining is not homogeneous in that line. A

grey stain was observed at the apex of some leaves, while other leaves remained yellow. It

suggests a non-homogeneous expression of the transgene in that line. For clarity, only β1,

β12, and β20 lines, that are representative of each phenotype, will be further presented here.

Results obtained for lines β5 and β6 are available as Supporting figures (Figure S7 and

Figure S8).

The *be2 be3* double mutant displays strong growth retardation probably due to the

over-accumulation of maltose ([Dumez *et al.*, 2006](#)). Therefore, we analyzed the

developmental phenotype of the transformants as well as *be2 be3* and wild-type plants

(Figure S2). Contrary to *be2 be3* mutant plants, GlgB-expressing lines were similar to the

wild type at maturity.

### 338     *Expression and activity of GlgB*

The expression of GlgB in the "β" lines was confirmed by western blot using a

peptide-specific anti-*E. coli* BE antibody raised against a 16-mer peptide of the protein

(Figure 2A). As expected, while GlgB is absent in *be2 be3* and WT, a unique band of

approximately 85 kDa was observed in the transgenic lines. Interestingly, each of these

lines displays increasing band intensity in the order: β1, β12 and β20. Zymograms of BE

activity were obtained using cell extracts prepared from leaves harvested at the middle of

the light period (Figure 2B). As already described (Dumez *et al.*, 2006), no BE activity is

observed in the *be2 be3* mutant of Arabidopsis while WT displays one band of activity on

the zymogram (Figure 2B). In contrast, a large activity band of lower mobility was found in

the *E. coli* extract. BE electrophoretic profiles of the transgenic lines differ between each

other as well as from those of *E. coli* and Arabidopsis. Indeed, one band of intermediate

mobility (Figure 2B, a + b) is present in all extracts of the three "β" lines except in line β5

in which expression of GlgB seems extremely low and heterogenous throughout the plant

(Figure 2B). Moreover, an additional band with a higher mobility than that of Arabidopsis

BE is visible in line β20 (Figure 2B, c). Band intensities also vary among "β" lines, being

far greater in β12 and β20 extracts compared to β1 (Figure 2B).

Correlations between zymogram activities and *glgB* expression in the transgenic lines

were sought by western blot. Leaf or cell protein extracts were separated by polyacrylamide

gel electrophoresis in non-denaturing conditions similar to those used in the zymogram

assay. As expected, no cross-reaction could be seen in *Arabidopsis* WT and *be2 be3*

samples. One band was observed in the *E. coli* extract, the mobility of which corresponded

to the activity detected on the zymogram (Figure 2B and 2C). Similarly, two bands

corresponding to the activities observed on the zymogram were visible in the β1, β12, and

β20 transgenic lines (Figure 2C, a and b). However, the intensity of the two bands in line

β1 was lower than that of the two other transgenic lines. A third band of higher mobility

was also clearly visible in line β20 (Figure 2C, c) and may correspond to the high mobility

activity observed on the zymogram.

Zymogram and immunoblotting assays suggest that different levels of BE activity can

be found in the lines tested in this work. Thus, BE activity was measured *in vitro* by the

phosphorylase « a » stimulating assay using $^{14}$C-labeled Glc-1-P (Figure 2D). Leaf extracts

were incubated for 10, 20 or 40 min at 30°C and Glc-1-P consumption was plotted against

the incubation time (Figure 2D). This assay confirmed that BE activity increased in the "β"

lines, in the order: β1, β12 and β20. An extremely low level of activity was detected in the

*be2 be3* mutant of Arabidopsis (phosphorylase « a » activity is not stimulated because of

the lack of BE activity in this line) while WT shows significant incorporation of Glc into

polyglucan after 40 min of incubation.

### *Polyglucan accumulation in the glgB expressing lines*

Iodine-staining phenotypes of the transgenic lines expressing *glgB* suggest that starch
and/or water-soluble polysaccharide synthesis is at least partially restored despite the lack
of endogenous branching enzymes. These polyglucans were assayed after extraction from
leaves harvested at the end of the 16-h light period (Figure 3). Wild-type Arabidopsis plants
accumulate insoluble polysaccharides and only tiny amounts of non-methanol-precipitable
sugars (i.e. glucose and maltooligosaccharides), while methanol-precipitable
polysaccharides could not be detected (Figure 3). Interestingly, line β1 displayed the
highest amount of insoluble polyglucans among transgenic lines while it also showed the
lowest BE activity (Figure 2 and Figure 3). In line with this, insoluble polysaccharide
contents were lower in both β12 and β20, in contrast to the levels of BE activity observed
in these plants. Interestingly, methanol-precipitable glucans were detected at significant
levels in β12 and β20 compared to β1. Non-methanol-precipitable glucans were found at a
relatively high level in β20 (about 1 mg.g$^{-1}$ of fresh leaves) compared to the other lines
(0.35 mg.g$^{-1}$ in β12; 0.2 mg.g$^{-1}$ in β1; 0.05 mg.g$^{-1}$ in WT). In the same culture conditions
(16 h light), the *be2 be3* double mutant accumulated very high amounts of maltose (up to
20 mg.g$^{-1}$ of fresh weight) (Dumez *et al.*, 2006). Finally, note that the glucans accumulated
in the different lines analyzed in this work were degraded in the night as shown by leaves
iodine staining (Figure S3).

### *Observation of polyglucans accumulation in leaf chloroplasts*

The accumulation of polyglucans was directly observed *in planta* by transmission
electron microscopy (TEM - Figure 4). Ultrathin sections of leaves were observed after
positive staining of the polyglucans with PATAg. Flat and smooth starch granules of
approximately 1-3 μm were observed in WT (Figure 4A), as already described in the
literature. By contrast, no starch granules were detected in the *be2 be3* double mutant, in
agreement with the phenotype already described for this line (Figure 4B) (Dumez *et al.*,
2006). The *isa1 isa3 pu1* triple mutant was included in this analysis as a starchless

phytoglycogen-accumulating line. Phytoglycogen was visible in the form of very small and

polydisperse particles homogeneously distributed in the stroma of the chloroplasts (Figure

4C). Different types of particles were observed in the three lines expressing *glgB*. Lines β1

and β12 accumulated 0.2 - 3 µm-large and irregularly shaped particles (Figure 4D and 4E,

respectively), while β20 contained significantly smaller and highly polydisperse particles

(Figure 4F). The larger objects could reach 1 µm in size but most particles were smaller

than 0.2 µm. The image of β20 is thus similar to that of *isa1 isa3 pu1* (Figure 4C) although

no large aggregates were observed in the images of the triple DBE mutant. The surface of

the particles was irregular and rather rough in β1 while it was smoother in β12. In both

specimens, the fact that the larger particles may correspond to the aggregation of smaller

units cannot be excluded.

***Morphology of purified insoluble and soluble polyglucans***

The insoluble polyglucans were observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

after extraction from leaves and purification (Figure 5). In WT, starch granules appeared as

polygonal flat particles with a smooth surface, as usually observed for Arabidopsis starch

granules (Figure 5A). Their size typically ranged between 2 and 5 µm. The identification of

individual particles in extracts from the transgenic lines was rather difficult as the images

showed that the particles were highly polydisperse and with very irregular shapes (Figure

5B-5D). Artefactual particle aggregation could not be excluded since, during purification,

the fraction were extensively washed using repeated centrifugation. Local concentration of

the smallest particles upon drying on the supporting mica was also unavoidable as they

were more easily subject to the capillary effects of evaporating water. Nevertheless, the

images were in fairly good agreement with what was expected from the TEM observation

of ultrathin sections of leaves (Figure 4D-4F), with the particle average size clearly

decreasing with increasing GlgB activity. In particular, the particles in β1 exhibited

tortuous shapes and a rather rough surface (Figure 5B), while two polyglucan populations

were observed in β20 (Figure 5D): one with an average size ranging from 500 nm to 1 µm,

quite similar to those observed in β12 (Figure 5C), and one containing smaller particles

(< 200 nm) whose morphology was hardly resolved by SEM (inset Figure 5D).

Higher resolution images of the insoluble polyglucans were recorded by TEM of
negatively stained preparations. As the particles extracted from β1 were too large to be
observed with this technique, only particles extracted from β12 and β20 are shown in
Figure 6. Moreover, only the smallest of these insoluble particles could be properly stained
and observed with sufficient detail. Consequently, the images illustrate the morphology of
this fraction of particles but may not represent that of the larger objects.

The insoluble polyglucans in β12 often appeared as aggregates of smaller particles
with various shapes and sizes (Figure 6A and 6B). 200 nm bulky and rather smooth
spheroidal particles could be recognized, often in contact with multilobular less well-
defined material. The particles in β20 are more flat and exhibit a clear multilobular aspect
(Figure 6C and 6D). Their average size is still around a few hundreds nanometers but they
seem to be composed of 50-70 nm subunits. Although it is difficult to see if the larger
particles are aggregates of individual subunits formed upon centrifugation and/or drying,
the morphology resembles that of the so-called α-particles observed in liver glycogen and
constituted of smaller β-subunits (Ryu *et al.*, 2009, Sullivan *et al.*, 2012).

TEM images of the polyglucans isolated from the soluble fractions from β12 and β20
are shown in Figure 7. In both cases, 20-30 nm particles are observed (Figure 7A and 7B)
whose aspect and size distribution are very similar to those of maize phytoglycogen (Figure
7C).

***Ultrastructure of insoluble and soluble polyglucans***

The ultrastructure of insoluble and soluble polyglucans was determined and compared
to that of reference samples. First, insoluble polyglucans were fractionated by size
exclusion chromatography on Sepharose CL-2B® (Figure 8). WT starch shows a regular
elution profile exhibiting two peaks (Figure 8A). The first peak corresponds to high-mass
amylopectin (between 10 and 13 mL) while the second broader peak corresponds to the
low-mass amylose (between 16 and 27 mL). The elution profile of insoluble polyglucans
for line β1 also contains two distinct peaks corresponding to high-mass (same elution
volume as WT amylopectin) and low-mass material (elution volume equivalent to that of
WT amylose), respectively (Figure 8B). However, the peak of low-mass material is much

higher and slightly shifted towards lower masses (to the right on the diagram) compared to

WT amylose. Moreover, the $\lambda_{max}$ of the iodine-polysaccharide complex for high-mass

material in line β1 is 10 nm higher compared to that of WT amylopectin. This suggests that

this material is composed, on average, of longer-chain glucans than WT amylopectin. β12

and β20 insoluble polyglucans display more or less the same elution profile (Figure 8C and

8D, respectively). A unique large peak of high-mass material is observed, the elution

profile of which is equivalent to that of WT amylopectin. Contrary to WT and β1 insoluble

polyglucans, no distinct peak of low-mass material was seen in β12 and β20 lines. In

addition, the $\lambda_{max}$ of the high-mass material in these two lines was decreased by 10 nm

compared to WT amylopectin, suggesting that the length of the glucans is shorter on

average (Table1).

The crystallinity index of the insoluble polyglucans was determined by wide-angle X-

ray scattering. The analyses were performed on two samples of polyglucans extracted from

two plant preparations cultivated independently (Table 1). All samples are of B-type

allomorph. The WT starch granules have a crystallinity index of 33%, in agreement with

previous reports on Arabidopsis starch (Wattebled *et al.*, 2008). The β1 and β12 insoluble

polyglucans display a lower crystallinity index (27 and 20%, respectively), while the β20

insoluble glucans have the lowest crystallinity index (13%) among those analyzed in this

work.

The chain length distribution (CLD) profile of the insoluble polyglucans was

established after debranching with a mixture of bacterial isoamylase and pullulanase. The

resulting linear glucans were separated and quantified by HPAEC-PAD (Figure 9). The

profile of β1 polyglucans has a DP max of 12-13 glucosyl residues identical to WT starch

(Figure 9A and 9C). However, the fraction of DP 5-18 glucans is higher and the fraction of

DP 21-40 is lower in β1 compared to WT. The higher fraction of short glucans (DP<13)

that do not interact with iodine may explain the increase of the $\lambda_{max}$ of the iodine-

polyglucan complex observed in this sample (Table 1). The β12 insoluble polyglucan has a

profile similar to that of β1 although the fraction of DP 5-11 glucans is higher, especially at

DP 6-7 (Figure 9A). In β20, the $DP_{max}$ is at DP 7 and the profile strongly resembles that of

the insoluble glucan synthesized by the *Arabidopsis isa1 isa3 pu1* triple mutant (Figure 9B)

apart from for a strong depletion of DP 3-4 glucans in β20 that is probably due to the
presence of DBE activity in that line compared to the triple DBE mutant.

The branching degree of the insoluble polyglucans was estimated according to the
method described in (Szydlowski *et al.*, 2011) (Table 1). WT, β1, β12 and β20 polyglucans
had branching degrees of 5.1, 6.1, 6.8 and 7.3%, respectively. The branching degree of the
phytoglycogen of the *Arabidopsis isa1 isa3 pu1* triple mutant was estimated at 8.1%, a
value very close to that previously reported (Szydlowski *et al.*, 2011).

The CLD profiles were also established for the soluble polyglucans isolated from the
transgenic lines. The corresponding profiles were compared to that of rabbit liver glycogen
(Figure S4). In all cases, DP 7 chains were the most abundant among those analyzed.
Although the profiles of the transgenic lines were all similar, they were different to that of
glycogen with a significant excess of DP 5-13 chains and a deficit in DP > 17 chains.

**DISCUSSION**

The suppression of both BE2 and BE3 in *Arabidopsis* resulted in the complete loss
of starch branching enzyme activity (Figure 2B and 2D) and the loss of starch synthesis and
was accompanied by the accumulation of maltose and plant growth retardation (Dumez *et*
*al.*, 2006). Other starch metabolizing enzymes were not affected by the mutations. Thus, the
*be2 be3* double mutant represents an excellent model to investigate the ability of GlgB to
restore starch or starch-like polyglucan synthesis in Arabidopsis.

The expression of *glgB* in *A. thaliana* was successfully achieved after
transformation of the corresponding cDNA into *be2 be3* plants. The corresponding protein
was detected by immunoblot analysis of leaf extracts of several independently transformed
plants (Figures 2A and 2C) and the branching activity of the enzyme was also detected
(Figures 2B and 2D). Different levels of expression were obtained, although all plants
analyzed in this study were homozygous for the transgene. Indeed, the level of BE activity
was much higher in β20 compared to β12, and most notably compared to β1 which had the
lowest BE activity as measured by zymogram and *in vitro* radioactive assay (Figures 2B
and 2D). These levels of activity were correlated with GlgB protein contents. Immunoblot

analysis performed under denaturing or non-denaturing conditions (Figures 2A and 2C respectively) showed that the content of GlgB is higher in β20 than in β12 and even more than in β1, which is in good agreement with the level of activity assayed in each line. Interestingly, immunoblot analysis performed under non-denaturing conditions (Figure 2C) revealed several bands reacting with the anti-GlgB antibody in the transgenic lines. Two major bands (Figure 2B bands a and b) were detected in all three lines and a third band (Figure 2B band c) of higher mobility but lower intensity was specifically observed in β20. Two additional lines were analyzed during this work: β5 and β6. Corresponding results are presented as Supporting data in the form of Figures S6, S7 and S8 and confirm the results presented above. Line β5, which has a very low GlgB activity, displays a phenotype similar to that of β1. Conversely line β6, that has high GlgB activity, is similar to β20. This result suggests that GlgB is either post-translationally modified when expressed in *Arabidopsis* or is involved in the formation of protein complexes (homo or heteromeric complexes) with different electrophoretic mobility (Tetlow *et al.*, 2004, Makhmoudova *et al.*, 2014).

Although GlgB was expressed and active in all transgenic lines, the phenotype of polyglucan accumulation was different from one line to another. Indeed, albeit displaying the lowest GlgB expression level, β1 accumulated polyglucans with a structure close to that of WT starch. These polyglucans had a granular morphology and individual particles were observed in the stroma of the chloroplast (Figure 4). These granules had a size similar to that of WT starch although their surface appeared to be rougher. Smaller PATAg-stained particles, with a size well below 1 μm, were also dispersed throughout the stroma of β1 chloroplasts. Moreover, the β1 insoluble polyglucan fraction was partially crystalline (27% compared to 33% for WT starch) and was composed of both high-mass amylopectin-like material and low-mass amylose-like material (Figure 8). Further, the CLD profile after debranching was similar to that of WT starch although it was enriched in DP 5-19 chains (with a $DP_{max}$ at 12 glucose residues such as in WT starch) and depleted in DP 21-40 chains (Figure 9). As a whole, the β1 polyglucan can be considered as starch-like implying that a bacterial glycogen BE can sustain the synthesis of such specifically ordered polysaccharide when expressed in plants. It follows that the final distribution of the branch points within

starch and consequently the properties of the synthesized polyglucan (crystallinity, water-
insolubility, granular morphology, amylopectin $DP_{max}$ at 12-13, and the presence of
amylose) is not solely under the control of starch branching enzymes (although branching
enzyme activity is mandatory to create $\alpha(1\rightarrow6)$ linkages). Otherwise, expression of a
bacterial glycogen-branching enzyme in the plant would have resulted in the synthesis of
soluble and amorphous glycogen-like polymers. Nevertheless, the polyglucan that
accumulates in β1 can not be regarded as true WT starch and several hypotheses can be
proposed which could explain why this is the case. Firstly, GlgB is expressed in
*Arabidopsis* under the control of the constitutive 35S promoter. Consequently, GlgB is
likely expressed linearly during the day and the night, which may influence the final
structure of the synthesized polyglucan. However, the expression profiles of the
endogenous BEs (BE2 and BE3) are not similar during the day/night cycle (Smith *et al.*,
2004) although their functions are largely overlapping (Dumez *et al.*, 2006). Indeed, BE2
exhibits only slightly higher expression in the day compared to the night. BE3, expression
is significantly higher during the day compared to night and displays an expression pattern
that resembles that of enzymes involved in starch degradation (Smith *et al.*, 2004),
although, more recently, BE3 protein abundance was reported as unmodified throughout
the day/night cycle (Skeffington *et al.*, 2014). The second hypothesis relies to the intrinsic
properties of GlgB activity. Indeed, starch BE isoforms have different catalytic properties
(Tetlow, 2012). In *A. thaliana*, in contrast to other plants and to other dicots, only class-II
branching enzymes (BE2 and BE3) have been described so far. However, because of its
catalytic properties, GlgB can be classified in the class-I plant branching enzymes and
preferentially transfers DP 6-15 chains (with a maximum for DP 10-12 chains) (Guan *et al.*,
1997, Sawada *et al.*, 2014). Therefore it is not surprising that the expression of a class-I BE
instead of class-II BEs results in modifications in the structure of the synthesized
polysaccharide compared to the wild type. Another explanation relates to enzyme complex
formation. It is now well established that some of the starch metabolizing enzymes interact
to form hetero-multimeric complexes (Hennen-Bierwagen *et al.*, 2008, Tetlow *et al.*, 2008,
Hennen-Bierwagen *et al.*, 2009). Branching enzymes are important components of these
complexes as shown in the endosperm of cereals (Liu *et al.*, 2009, Liu *et al.*, 2012a, Liu *et*

*al., 2012b*). Although it has not been shown yet, it is likely that starch-metabolizing

enzymes also organize in the form of multisubunit complexes in Arabidopsis. It is highly

probable that BE2/BE3 and GlgB are engaged in different protein complexes or simply that

GlgB is unable to interact with other endogenous plant enzymes. This could result in the

modification of other starch-metabolizing activities and consequently polyglucan structure.

Even if enzymes of starch synthesis do not physically interact in Arabidopsis chloroplasts,

their activities must still be interdependent in order to produce the final structure of

amylopectin. Removing one or several enzymes of the pathway could alter the activity of

others whose normal activity depends on the presence of the complete set of enzymes

(Szydlowski *et al.*, 2011, Nakamura *et al.*, 2012, Abe *et al.*, 2014, Brust *et al.*, 2014,

Nakamura *et al.*, 2014). GlgB may only partially counterbalance the lack of both BE2 and

BE3 in the transgenic lines, which, in turn, may alter other starch-metabolizing activities.

Lastly, some starch-metabolizing enzymes are regulated by the redox state of the cell or the

organelle where the pathway occurs (Glaring *et al.*, 2012, Lepisto *et al.*, 2013). It is

possible that GlgB does not behave like Arabidopsis BEs which are redox sensitive

(Glaring *et al.*, 2012), thus modifying the branching activity in the transgenic lines and

explaining why it is not possible to restore a true WT phenotype.

Finally, it should be emphasized that the ability to form starch-like structures

depends on the level of branching enzyme activity of GlgB. Indeed, β20, which possessed

the highest GlgB activity, synthesizes polyglucans whose structure resembles more or less

that of the residual insoluble glucans isolated from Arabidopsis DBE mutants (Wattebled *et*

*al.*, 2008). The β12 line, that had a GlgB activity intermediate between that of β1 and β20,

displays an intermediate polyglucan-accumulating phenotype. Debranching enzyme activity

level was estimated in the different lines by zymogram analysis (Figure S5). No obvious

modification of isoamylase activity was detected in the GlgB-expressing plants compared

to the wild type. Starch synthase activity was also evaluated with zymograms (Figure S6).

SS1 and SS3 are the two major isoforms of starch synthases encompassing over 90% of the

elongating activity measured in *Arabidopsis* leaf extracts (Szydlowski *et al.*, 2011). Starch

synthase activities were unmodified in the *be2 be3* mutant (Dumez *et al.*, 2006). Both SS1

and SS3 activities were reduced in β1 compared to WT. The activity of SS1 was slightly

higher in β12 and β20 compared to WT whereas SS3 was, to some extent, reduced. At this

stage it is unclear why starch synthase activity is modified in the transgenic lines, especially

in β1. Nevertheless, despite possessing the lowest starch synthase activity, β1 is the GlgB

expressing line accumulating the highest amount of insoluble polyglucans. Thus the

remaining SS activity in β1 appears in be in excess of that needed to allow the synthesis of

the insoluble polyglucan, and does not seem to be a limiting factor.

Thus, in a context of non-limiting starch synthase activity, the accumulation of the

insoluble and soluble polyglucans in β20 occurs under circumstances of over-branching

which cannot be balanced by the debranching activity of endogenous isoamylases and

pullulanase. This results in a higher branching degree (7.3%) and a very low crystallinity

index (13%) of the insoluble polyglucans in β20. Such increase of the branching degree of

the polyglucan produced in plants after the expression of *E. coli* GlgB has already been

described in potato and rice (Kortstee *et al.*, 1996, Kim *et al.*, 2005). In both cases, the

number of branch points of amylopectin was significantly increased. However, because

endogenous BE activity was not knocked down in these plants the actual contribution of

GlgB for the synthesis of the highly branched starch was impossible to determine.

Our result suggests that a finely tuned balance between branching and debranching

activities is probably acting *in planta* to control α(1→6) linkage placement and number,

and consequently to allow the formation of starch or starch-like polyglucan. Figure 10 is an

attempt to model our interpretation of the results generated in this work. As a model, it is

likely a simplified view of reality (for instance starch synthases are not included in the

model) and was conceptualized from the results of the expression of a bacterial enzyme in

plants. However, we suggest that it could be generalized to any situation where the balance

between BE and DBE activity is compromised. For instance, in rice, the endogenous BEIIb

isoform was expressed in a BEIIb-defective mutant by expression of the corresponding

structural gene (Tanaka *et al.*, 2004). Overexpression of the protein and of the

corresponding activity was obtained in one of the transgenic lines leading to the

accumulation of significantly higher amount of soluble polyglucan compared to the wild

type (almost three times more). This was accompanied by enrichment in DP<15 glucans of

amylopectin and alteration of the crystalline structure of starch.

642           Nevertheless expression of GlgB did not restore the synthesis of true WT starch,

possibly because GlgB activity cannot be regulated in the same way as endogenous BEs.

Protein complexes and thus other enzyme activities (starch synthases for instance) might be

affected by the lack of endogenous BEs, leading to the synthesis of structurally modified

polyglucan as frequently observed in mutants defective for enzymes of the pathway.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors thank Dr. Nicolas Szydlowski for fruitful discussions and critical
reading of the manuscript, the NanoBio-ICMG Electron Microscopy Platform (Grenoble)
and gratefully acknowledge the financial support of Agence Nationale de la Recherche
(contract # ANR-11-BSV6-0003) and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research
Council of Canada (Team Discovery Grant, number 435781, MJE, IJT).

**REFERENCES**

Abe N., Asai H., Yago H., Oitome N., Itoh R., Crofts N., Nakamura Y. & Fujita N. (2014)
Relationships between starch synthase I and branching enzyme isozymes
determined using double mutant rice lines. *BMC Plant Biology*, **14**, 80.

Ball S., Guan H.-P., James M., Myers A., Keeling P., Mouille G., Buléon A., Colonna P. &
Preiss J. (1996) From Glycogen to Amylopectin: A Model for the Biogenesis of the
Plant Starch Granule. *Cell*, **86**, 349-352.

Bertoft E. (2004) On the nature of categories of chains in amylopectin and their connection
to the super helix model. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, **57**, 211-224.

Bertoft E. (2013) On the building block and backbone concepts of amylopectin structure.
*Cereal Chemistry*, **90**, 294-311.

Brust H., Lehmann T., D'Hulst C. & Fettke J. (2014) Analysis of the functional interaction
of Arabidopsis starch synthase and branching enzyme isoforms reveals that the
cooperative action of SSI and BEs results in glucans with polymodal chain length
distribution similar to amylopectin. *PLoS One*, **9**, e102364.

Buleon A., Colonna P., Planchot V. & Ball S. (1998) Starch granules: structure and
biosynthesis. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, **23**, 85-112.

Burton R.A., Bewley J.D., Smith A.M., Bhattacharyya M.K., Tatge H., Ring S., Bull V.,
Hamilton W.D. & Martin C. (1995) Starch branching enzymes belonging to distinct
enzyme families are differentially expressed during pea embryo development. *Plant*
*J*, **7**, 3-15.

Clough S.J. & Bent A.F. (1998) Floral dip: a simplified method for Agrobacterium-
mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant J*, **16**, 735-743.

Delatte T., Trevisan M., Parker M.L. & Zeeman S.C. (2005) Arabidopsis mutants *Atisa1*
and *Atisa2* have identical phenotypes and lack the same multimeric isoamylase,
which influences the branch point distribution of amylopectin during starch
synthesis. *Plant J*, **41**, 815-830.

Dumez S., Wattebled F., Dauvillée D., Delvallé D., Planchot V., Ball S.G. & D'Hulst C.
(2006) Mutants of Arabidopsis lacking starch branching enzyme II substitute

plastidial starch synthesis by cytoplasmic maltose accumulation. *Plant Cell*, **18**,

2694-2709.

Facon M., Lin Q., Azzaz A.M., Hennen-Bierwagen T.A., Myers A.M., Putaux J.-L.,

Roussel X., D'Hulst C. & Wattebled F. (2013) Distinct functional properties of

isoamylase-type starch debranching enzymes in monocot and dicot leaves. *Plant*

*Physiol*, **163**, 1363-1375.

Fisher D.K., Gao M., Kim K.N., Boyer C.D. & Guiltinan M.J. (1996) Two closely related

cDNAs encoding starch branching enzyme from Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant Mol*

*Biol*, **30**, 97-108.

French D. (1972) Fine structure of starch and its relationship to the organization of starch

granules. *Journal of the Japanese Society of Starch Science*, **19**, 8-25.

Fujita N. & Nakamura Y. (2012) Distinct and overlapping functions of starch synthase

isoforms. In: *Starch: Origins, Structure and Metabolism.* (ed I.J. Tetlow), pp. 115-

140. Society for Experimental Biology, London, UK.

Gallant D. & Guilbot A. (1969) Etude de l'ultrastructure du grain d'amidon à l'aide de

nouvelles méthodes de préparation en microscopie électronique. *Die stärke*, **6**, 156-

163.

Glaring M.A., Skryhan K., Kotting O., Zeeman S.C. & Blennow A. (2012) Comprehensive

survey of redox sensitive starch metabolising enzymes in Arabidopsis thaliana.

*Plant Physiol Biochem*, **58**, 89-97.

Guan H., Kuriki T., Sivak M. & Preiss J. (1995) Maize branching enzyme catalyzes

synthesis of glycogen-like polysaccharide in glgB-deficient Escherichia coli. *Proc*

*Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **92**, 964-967.

Guan H., Li P., Imparl-Radosevich J., Preiss J. & Keeling P. (1997) Comparing the

Properties of *Escherichia coli* Branching Enzyme and Maize Branching Enzyme.

*Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics*, **342**, 92-98.

Guan H.P., Baba T. & Preiss J. (1994) Expression of branching enzyme I of maize

endosperm in Escherichia coli. *Plant Physiol.*, **104**, 1449-1453.

Guan H.P. & Preiss J. (1993) Differentiation of the properties of the branching isozymes

from maize (Zea mays). *Plant Physiol*, **102**, 1269-1273.

Harrison S.J., Mott E.K., Parsley K., Aspinall S., Gray J.C. & Cottage A. (2006) A rapid

and robust method of identifying transformed Arabidopsis thaliana seedlings

following floral dip transformation. *Plant Methods*, **2**, 19.

Hennen-Bierwagen T.A., Lin Q., Grimaud F., Planchot V., Keeling P.L., James M.G. &

Myers A.M. (2009) Proteins from multiple metabolic pathways associate with

starch biosynthetic enzymes in high molecular weight complexes: a model for

regulation of carbon allocation in maize amyloplasts. *Plant Physiol*, **149**, 1541-

1559.

Hennen-Bierwagen T.A., Liu F., Marsh R.S., Kim S., Gan Q., Tetlow I.J., Emes M.J.,

James M.G. & Myers A.M. (2008) Starch biosynthetic enzymes from developing

maize endosperm associate in multisubunit complexes. *Plant Physiol*, **146**, 1892-

1908.

Hizukuri S. (1986) Polymodal distribution of the chain lengths of amylopectins, and its

significance. *Carbohydrate Research*, **147**, 342-347.

Huang X.-F., Nazarian-Firouzabadi F., Vincken J.-P., Ji Q., Suurs L.C.J.M., Visser R.G.F.

& Trindade L.M. (2013) Expression of an engineered granule-bound Escherichia

coli glycogen branching enzyme in potato results in severe morphological changes

in starch granules. *Plant Biotechnology Journal*, **11**, 470-479.

James M.G., Robertson D.S. & Myers A.M. (1995) Characterization of the maize gene

sugary1, a determinant of starch composition in kernels. *Plant Cell*, **7**, 417-429.

Jyothishwaran G., Kotresha D., Selvaraj T., Srideshikan S.M., Rajvanshi P.K. &

Jayabaskaran C. (2007) A modified freeze-thaw method for efficient transformation

of Agrobacterium tumefaciens. *Current Science*, **93**, 770-772.

Kim W.S., Kim J., Krishnan H.B. & Nahm B.H. (2005) Expression of Escherichia coli

branching enzyme in caryopses of transgenic rice results in amylopectin with an

increased degree of branching. *Planta*, **220**, 689-695.

Kortstee A.J., Vermeesch A.M., de Vries B.J., Jacobsen E. & Visser R.G. (1996)

Expression of *Escherichia coli* branching enzyme in tubers of amylose-free

transgenic potato leads to an increased branching degree of the amylopectin. *Plant*

*J*, **10**, 83-90.

745 Lepisto A., Pakula E., Toivola J., Krieger-Liszkay A., Vignols F. & Rintamaki E. (2013)
746   Deletion of chloroplast NADPH-dependent thioredoxin reductase results in inability
747   to regulate starch synthesis and causes stunted growth under short-day
748   photoperiods. *J Exp Bot*, **64**, 3843-3854.

749 Liu F., Ahmed Z., Lee E.A., Donner E., Liu Q., Ahmed R., Morell M.K., Emes M.J. &
750   Tetlow I.J. (2012a) Allelic variants of the amylose extender mutation of maize
751   demonstrate phenotypic variation in starch structure resulting from modified
752   protein-protein interactions. *J Exp Bot*, **63**, 1167-1183.

753 Liu F., Makhmoudova A., Lee E.A., Wait R., Emes M.J. & Tetlow I.J. (2009) The amylose
754   extender mutant of maize conditions novel protein-protein interactions between
755   starch biosynthetic enzymes in amyloplasts. *J Exp Bot*, **60**, 4423-4440.

756 Liu F., Romanova N., Lee E.A., Ahmed R., Evans M., Gilbert E.P., Morell M.K., Emes
757   M.J. & Tetlow I.J. (2012b) Glucan affinity of starch synthase IIa determines
758   binding of starch synthase I and starch-branching enzyme IIb to starch granules.
759   *Biochem J*, **448**, 373-387.

760 Makhmoudova A., Williams D., Brewer D., Massey S., Patterson J., Silva A., Vassall K.A.,
761   Liu F., Subedi S., Harauz G., Siu K.W., Tetlow I.J. & Emes M.J. (2014)
762   Identification of multiple phosphorylation sites on maize endosperm starch
763   branching enzyme IIb, a key enzyme in amylopectin biosynthesis. *J Biol Chem*,
764   **289**, 9233-9246.

765 Melendez-Hevia E., Waddell T.G. & Shelton E.D. (1993) Optimization of molecular design
766   in the evolution of metabolism: the glycogen molecule. *Biochem J*, **295 ( Pt 2)**, 477-
767   483.

768 Mouille G., Maddelein M.L., Libessart N., Talaga P., Decq A., Delrue B. & Ball S. (1996)
769   Preamylopectin processing: a mandatory step for starch biosynthesis in plants. *Plant*
770   *Cell*, **8**, 1353-1366.

771 Myers A.M., Morell M.K., James M.G. & Ball S.G. (2000) Recent progress toward
772   understanding biosynthesis of the amylopectin crystal. *Plant Physiol*, **122**, 989-997.

773 Nakamura Y. (1996) Some properties of starch debranching enzymes and their possible
774   role in amylopectin biosynthesis. *Plant Science*, **121**, 1-18.

Nakamura Y., Aihara S., Crofts N., Sawada T. & Fujita N. (2014) In vitro studies of
enzymatic properties of starch synthases and interactions between starch synthase I
and starch branching enzymes from rice. *Plant Sci*, **224**, 1-8.

Nakamura Y., Ono M., Utsumi C. & Steup M. (2012) Functional interaction between
plastidial starch phosphorylase and starch branching enzymes from rice during the
synthesis of branched maltodextrins. *Plant Cell Physiol*, **53**, 869-878.

Pfister B., Lu K.J., Eicke S., Feil R., Lunn J.E., Streb S. & Zeeman S.C. (2014) Genetic
evidence that chain length and branch point distributions are linked determinants of
starch granule formation in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol*, **165**, 1457-1474.

Rahman A., Wong K., Jane J., Myers A.M. & James M.G. (1998) Characterization of SU1
isoamylase, a determinant of storage starch structure in maize. *Plant Physiol*, **117**,
425-435.

Roach P.J., Depaoli-Roach A.A., Hurley T.D. & Tagliabracci V.S. (2012) Glycogen and its
metabolism: some new developments and old themes. *Biochem J*, **441**, 763-787.

Robin J.P., Mercier C., Charbonniere R. & Guilbot A. (1974) Lintnerized Starches. Gel
Filtration and Enzymatic Studies of Insoluble Residues from Prolonged Acid
Treatment of Potato Starch. *Cereal Chemistry*, **51**, 389-405.

Roussel X., Lancelon-Pin C., Vikso-Nielsen A., Rolland-Sabate A., Grimaud F., Potocki-
Veronese G., Buleon A., Putaux J-L. & D'Hulst C. (2013) Characterization of
substrate and product specificity of the purified recombinant glycogen branching
enzyme of Rhodothermus obamensis. *Biochim Biophys Acta*, **1830**, 2167-2177.

Ryu J.H., Drain J., Kim J.H., McGee S., Gray-Weale A., Waddington L., Parker G.J.,
Hargreaves M., Yoo S.H. & Stapleton D. (2009) Comparative structural analyses of
purified glycogen particles from rat liver, human skeletal muscle and commercial
preparations. *Int J Biol Macromol*, **45**, 478-482.

Satoh H., Nishi A., Yamashita K., Takemoto Y., Tanaka Y., Hosaka Y., Sakurai A., Fujita
N. & Nakamura Y. (2003) Starch-Branching Enzyme I-Deficient Mutation
Specifically Affects the Structure and Properties of Starch in Rice Endosperm.
*Plant Physiol.*, **133**, 1111-1121.

Sawada T., Nakamura Y., Ohdan T., Saitoh A., Francisco P.B., Jr., Suzuki E., Fujita N.,
Shimonaga T., Fujiwara S., Tsuzuki M., Colleoni C. & Ball S. (2014) Diversity of
reaction characteristics of glucan branching enzymes and the fine structure of alpha-
glucan from various sources. *Arch Biochem Biophys*, **562**, 9-21.

Schwall G.P., Safford R., Westcott R.J., Jeffcoat R., Tayal A., Shi Y.-C., Gidley M.J. &
Jobling S.A. (2000) Production of very-high-amylose potato starch by inhibition of
SBE A and B. *Nat Biotech*, **18**, 551-554.

Seo B.S., Kim S., Scott M.P., Singletary G.W., Wong K.S., James M.G. & Myers A.M.
(2002) Functional interactions between heterologously expressed starch-branching
enzymes of maize and the glycogen synthases of Brewer's yeast. *Plant Physiol*, **128**,
1189-1199.

Skeffington A.W., Graf A., Duxbury Z., Gruissem W. & Smith A.M. (2014) Glucan, Water
Dikinase Exerts Little Control over Starch Degradation in Arabidopsis Leaves at
Night. *Plant Physiology*, **165**, 866-879.

Smith S.M., Fulton D.C., Chia T., Thorneycroft D., Chapple A., Dunstan H., Hylton C.,
Zeeman S.C. & Smith A.M. (2004) Diurnal Changes in the Transcriptome Encoding
Enzymes of Starch Metabolism Provide Evidence for Both Transcriptional and
Posttranscriptional Regulation of Starch Metabolism in Arabidopsis Leaves. *Plant*
*Physiol.*, **136**, 2687-2699.

Streb S., Delatte T., Umhang M., Eicke S., Schorderet M., Reinhardt D. & Zeeman S.C.
(2008) Starch granule biosynthesis in Arabidopsis is abolished by removal of all
debranching enzymes but restored by the subsequent removal of an endoamylase.
*Plant Cell*, **20**, 3448-3466.

Sullivan M.A., O'Connor M.J., Umana F., Roura E., Jack K., Stapleton D.I. & Gilbert R.G.
(2012) Molecular insights into glycogen alpha-particle formation.
*Biomacromolecules*, **13**, 3805-3813.

Szydlowski N., Ragel P., Hennen-Bierwagen T.A., Planchot V., Myers A.M., Merida A.,
d'Hulst C. & Wattebled F. (2011) Integrated functions among multiple starch
synthases determine both amylopectin chain length and branch linkage location in
Arabidopsis leaf starch. *J Exp Bot*, **62**, 4547-4559.

Tanaka N., Fujita N., Nishi A., Satoh H., Hosaka Y., Ugaki M., Kawasaki S. & Nakamura

Y. (2004) The structure of starch can be manipulated by changing the expression

levels of starch branching enzyme IIb in rice endosperm. *Plant Biotechnology*

*Journal*, **2**, 507-516.

Tetlow I.J. (2012) Branching enzymes and their role in determining structural and

functional properties of polyglucans. In: *Starch: Origins, Structure and Metabolism.*

(ed I.J. Tetlow), pp. 141-178. Society for Experimental Biology, London, UK.

Tetlow I.J., Beisel K.G., Cameron S., Makhmoudova A., Liu F., Bresolin N.S., Wait R.,

Morell M.K. & Emes M.J. (2008) Analysis of protein complexes in wheat

amyloplasts reveals functional interactions among starch biosynthetic enzymes.

*Plant Physiol*, **146**, 1878-1891.

Tetlow I.J., Wait R., Lu Z., Akkasaeng R., Bowsher C.G., Esposito S., Kosar-Hashemi B.,

Morell M.K. & Emes M.J. (2004) Protein phosphorylation in amyloplasts regulates

starch branching enzyme activity and protein-protein interactions. *Plant Cell*, **16**,

694-708.

Wattebled F., Dong Y., Dumez S., Delvalle D., Planchot V., Berbezy P., Vyas D., Colonna

P., Chatterjee M., Ball S. & D'Hulst C. (2005) Mutants of Arabidopsis lacking a

chloroplastic isoamylase accumulate phytoglycogen and an abnormal form of

amylopectin. *Plant Physiol*, **138**, 184-195.

Wattebled F., Planchot V., Dong Y., Szydlowski N., Pontoire B., Devin A., Ball S. &

D'Hulst C. (2008) Further evidence for the mandatory nature of polysaccharide

debranching for the aggregation of semicrystalline starch and for overlapping

functions of debranching enzymes in Arabidopsis leaves. *Plant Physiol*, **148**, 1309-

1323.

Yao Y., Thompson D.B. & Guiltinan M.J. (2004) Maize starch-branching enzyme isoforms

and amylopectin structure. In the absence of starch-branching enzyme IIb, the

further absence of starch-branching enzyme Ia leads to increased branching. *Plant*

*Physiol.*, **136**, 3515-3523.

    **TABLES**

    **Table 1:** The branching degree was calculated according to (Szydlowski *et al.*, 2011). The
    $\lambda_{max}$ of the iodine-amylopectin complex was determined after insoluble polyglucans
    fractionation by SEC on CL-2B matrix (Figure 8). It was determined at the fraction of
    maximum absorbance. The crystallinity index and allomorph were determined by wide-
    angle X-ray scattering. All samples were of B-type. The values are the means of two
    independent assays. In the case of the *isa1 isa3 pu1* triple mutant, the branching degree was
    determined for phytoglycogen. NA = not available.

| Sample | WT | β1 | β12 | β20 | *isa1 isa3 pu1* |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Branching degree (%) | 5.1 | 6.1 | 6.8 | 7.3 | 8.1 |
| $\lambda_{max}$ of amylopectin (nm) | 563 | 572 | 553 | 552 | NA |
| Crystallinity index (%) | 33 | 27 | 20 | 13 | NA |

**FIGURE LEGENDS**

**Figure 1: Iodine staining of individual plants harvested at the end of the day.** 2-
week old plants were harvested and stained with iodine solution after being destained in hot
ethanol. All pictures are at the same scale. Colors and intensity of the stain is indicative of
the polyglucan content and structure. Wild-type (WT) plants stain dark brown because of
the synthesis of high amount of normal starch (ecotype *Wassilewkija*). The *be2 be3* plants
stain yellow as a consequence of the lack of any polyglucan in this mutant (Dumez *et al.*,
2006). *isa1 isa3 pu1* is a debranching enzyme triple mutant accumulating high amount of
phytoglycogen (Wattebled *et al.*, 2008) and therefore stains orange with iodine. β1, β5, β6,
β12 and β20 are hygromycin resistant plants selected after transformation of the *be2 be3*
mutant with a vector containing the *E. coli glgB* gene. Intermediate colors between those of
the WT and the *isa1 isa2* mutant were obtained suggesting that polyglucan synthesis is
partially restored in these transgenic lines.

**Figure 2: Expression of glgB in the *be2 be3* transformed lines.** (A) Immunoblot
analysis performed in denaturing conditions. Protein extracts were denatured before and
during migration in the polyacrylamide gel. After migration, proteins were blotted onto a
nitrocellulose membrane and hybridized with a peptide-directed antibody raised against
GlgB. (B) zymogram of branching enzyme activity. The polyacrylamide gel contains DP7
maltooligosaccharides and phosphorylase "a". Branching enzyme activity was revealed by
incubating the gel for 2 hours in a phosphorylase "a" stimulating buffer. Bands of branched
polyglucans were detected by soaking the gel in iodine solution. (C) Immunoblot analysis
performed in non-denaturing conditions: protein extract preparation and migration in the
gel was carried out as in (B). After migration, proteins were treated as in (A). WT: wild
type of *A. thaliana* (*Wassilewskija* ecotype); β1, β12, β20: transgenic plants expressing
GlgB; *be2 be3*: branching enzyme double mutant (Dumez et *al.*, 2006); *E. coli*: bacterial
cell extract of wild type *E. coli*. Proteins or activity bands corresponding to GlgB expressed
in plants were labeled as a, b , and c. In (A), (B) and (C) all lines are from the same gel and
blots. (D) *in vitro* assay of branching enzyme activity. Protein extracts were incubated for
10 to 40 min in a buffer containing DP7 maltooligosaccharides, phosphorylase "a" and [U-

[$^{14}$C]Glc-1-P (7.4 kBq per assay) at 50 mM final concentration. The mean of incorporated

Glc into branched polyglucans was calculated for 3 independent assays and plotted against

the time of incubation. Vertical lines stand for standard deviation. Open diamonds: WT

extract; closed squares: *be2 be3* mutant; closed circles: β1; open triangles: β5; closed

triangles: β6; open squares: β12; open circles: β20.

**Figure 3: Leaf glucan contents.** Glucans were extracted from leaves of 3-week old

plants by the perchloric acid protocol. Leaves were harvested at the end of 16-h light

period. Insoluble and soluble polyglucans and sugars/maltooligosaccharides were assayed

by a spectrophotometric method. The averages of three independent cultures are presented.

WT: wild type *WS* ecotype; β1, β12, β20: transgenic plants expressing the *E. coli glgB*

gene. Vertical thin bars are the standard deviation of three independent biological

replicates.

**Figure 4: Transmission electron microscopy of leaf chloroplasts.** Leaves from

plants were harvested at the end of the day, cut in small strips and immediately fixed with

glutaraldehyde in a cacodylate buffer and stained with PATAg to reveal glucans. A: wild

type from *Wassilewskija* ecotype of *A. thaliana*; B: *be2 be3*: branching enzyme double

mutant; C: *isa1 isa3 pu1*: debranching enzyme triple mutant accumulating phytoglycogen

(Wattebled *et al.*, 2008); D: β1, E: β12, F: β20: *be2 be3* transgenic plants expressing the

bacterial *glgB* gene.

**Figure 5: Scanning electron microscopy of purified insoluble polyglucans.**

Insoluble polyglucans were extracted and purified from leaves of 3-week-old plants

harvested at the end of the day of 16-h light / 8 h dark cycles. A: wild-type *Wassilewskija*

ecotype; B: β1; C: β12; D: β20 (inset: magnified view of the smallest particles).

**Figure 6: Morphology of particles purified from the insoluble polyglucans of**

**the GlgB-expressing lines.** TEM images of negatively stained particles from the insoluble

fractions of β12 (A, B) and β20 (C, D).

**Figure 7: Morphology of particles purified from the soluble polyglucans**
**isolated from the GlgB-expressing lines.** TEM images of negatively stained particles
from the water-soluble polyglucans isolated from β12 (A) and β20 (B). The image of maize
phytoglycogen (C) is given for comparison.

**Figure 8: Fractionation of insoluble polyglucans by size exclusion**
**chromatography.** Purified insoluble polyglucans were dispersed in DMSO, solubilized in
NaOH 10 mM and loaded onto a Sepharose® CL-2B matrix. Elution was conducted in
NaOH 10 mM at a rate of 12 mL.h$^{-1}$. 300 µL fractions were collected and subsequently
analyzed by iodine spectrophotometry. Thick continuous lines are the maximum
absorbance of the iodine-polyglucan complexes (left Y-axis). Dashed lines indicate the
wavelength (nm) of the iodine-polyglucan complex at the maximum of absorbance (right
Y-axis). X-axis is the volume of elution in mL. A: WT; B: β1; C: β12; D: β20.

**Figure 9: Chain length distribution of insoluble polyglucans.** Purified insoluble
polyglucans were digested with a mix of bacterial debranching enzymes. The
corresponding linear glucans were separated by high-performance anion exchange
chromatography and detected by pulsed amperometric detection (HPAEC-PAD). The
fraction of each DP (from 3 to 60 glucose residues) is expressed in % of the total DP
presented on the profile. (A) WT: continuous black line; β1: grey triangles; β12: closed
circles; β20: discontinuous grey line. (B) Comparison of the profiles of the WT (continuous
black line) and β20 (discontinuous grey line). The profile of the *isa1 isa3 pu1* triple mutant
already published in (Wattebled *et al.*, 2008) is given (open diamonds). (C) Comparison of
the profiles of the WT (continuous black line) and β1 (grey triangles).

**Figure 10: Starch or starch-like polyglucan synthesis occurs thanks to a**
**balanced branching / debranching activity ratio assuming that starch synthase**
**activity is not limiting whatever the branching/debranching ratio.** Left panel: in the
absence of branching activity (*be2 be3* double mutant), starch or phytoglycogen synthesis is
impossible and is substituted by maltose accumulation; the BR/DEBR ratio is null. Middle
panel: when the ratio BR/DEBR activity is balanced (WT) or near-balanced (β1), starch or

starch-like polyglucan synthesis is promoted. In the case of β1, the slight structural
modification of starch is due to the expression of the bacterial glycogen-branching enzyme
that has different catalytic and regulatory properties. Right panel: in the absence of
debranching activity (such as in *isa1 isa3 pu1*; BR/DEBR is infinite) or when branching
activity is extremely high (such as in β20; BR/DEBR >> optimal) phytoglycogen or
phytoglycogen-like insoluble polyglucan is synthesized respectively. Superimposed
drawings correspond to (from left to right): maltose, amylopectin, phytoglycogen; black
points depict the reducing end.

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Supporting Figure S1: **Description of the approach employed for the synthesis the GlgB chimeric sequence used for expression in *A. thaliana*.**

Supporting Figure S2: **Comparison of mature plant size.**

Supporting Figure S3: **Iodine-staining phenotype of individual plants harvested at different time points.**

Supporting Figure S4: **Chain length distribution profiles of water-soluble polyglucans.**

Supporting Figure S5: **Zymogram analysis of starch and β-limit dextrins hydrolyzing enzymes from transformed plants expressing GlgB.**

Supporting Figure S6: **Zymogram analysis of soluble starch synthases activities.**

Supporting Figure S7: **Structure of polysaccharides produced in β5 and β6 transformant lines.**

Supporting Figure S8: **Leaf glucan contents and granule morphology.**

**Figure 1: Iodine staining of individual plants harvested at the end of the day.** 2-week old plants were harvested and stained with iodine solution after being destained in hot ethanol. All pictures are at the same scale. Colors and intensity of the stain is indicative of the polyglucan content and structure. Wild-type (WT) plants stain dark brown because of the synthesis of high amount of normal starch (ecotype *Wassilewkija*). The *be2 be3* plants stain yellow as a consequence of the lack of any polyglucan in this mutant (Dumez et al. 2006). *isa1 isa3 pu1* is a debranching enzyme triple mutant accumulating high amount of phytoglycogen (Wattebled et al. 2008) and therefore stains orange with iodine. β1, β5, β6, β12 and β20 are hygromycin resistant plants selected after transformation of the *be2 be3* mutant with a vector containing the *E. coli glgB* gene. Intermediate colors between those of the WT and the *isa1 isa2* mutant were obtained suggesting that polyglucan synthesis is partially restored in these transgenic lines.

**Figure 2: Expression of *glgB* in the *be2 be3* transformed lines.** (A) Immunoblot analysis performed in denaturing conditions. Protein extracts were denatured before and during migration in the polyacrylamide gel. After migration, proteins were blotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane and hybridized with a peptide-directed antibody raised against GlgB. (B) zymogram of branching enzyme activity. The polyacrylamide gel contains DP7 maltooligosaccharides and phosphorylase "a". Branching enzyme activity was revealed by incubating the gel for 2 hours in a phosphorylase "a" stimulating buffer. Bands of branched polyglucans were detected by soaking the gel in iodine solution. (C) Immunoblot analysis performed in non-denaturing conditions: protein extract preparation and migration in the gel was carried out as in (B). After migration, proteins were treated as in (A). WT: wild type of *A. thaliana* (*Wassilewskija* ecotype); β1, β12, β20: transgenic plants expressing GlgB; *be2 be3*: branching enzyme double mutant (Dumez et *al.*, 2006); *E. coli*: bacterial cell extract of wild type *E. coli*. Proteins or activity bands corresponding to GlgB expressed in plants were labeled as a, b , and c. In (A), (B) and (C) all lines are from the same gel and blots. (D) *in vitro* assay of branching enzyme activity. Protein extracts were incubated for 10 to 40 min in a buffer containing DP7 maltooligosaccharides, phosphorylase "a" and [U-$^{14}$C]Glc-1-P (7.4 kBq per assay) at 50 mM final concentration. The mean of incorporated Glc into branched polyglucans was calculated for 3 independent assays and plotted against the time of incubation. Vertical lines stand for standard deviation. Open diamonds: WT extract; closed squares: *be2 be3* mutant; closed circles: β1; open triangles: β5; closed triangles: β6; open squares: β12; open circles: β20.

**Figure 3: Leaf glucan contents.** Glucans were extracted from leaves of 3-week old plants by the perchloric acid protocol. Leaves were harvested at the end of 16-h light period. Insoluble and soluble polyglucans and sugars/ maltooligosaccharides were assayed by a spectrophotometric method. The averages of three independent cultures are presented. WT: wild type *WS* ecotype; β1, β12, β20: transgenic plants expressing the *E. coli glgB* gene. Vertical thin bars are the standard deviation of three independent biological replicates.

**Figure 4: Transmission electron microscopy of leaf chloroplasts.** Leaves from plants were harvested at the end of the day, cut in small strips and immediately fixed with glutaraldehyde in a cacodylate buffer and stained with PATAg to reveal glucans. A: wild type from *Wassilewskija* ecotype of *A. thaliana*; B: *be2 be3*: branching enzyme double mutant; C: *isa1 isa3 pu1*: debranching enzyme triple mutant accumulating phytoglycogen (Wattebled et al. 2008); D: β1, E: β12, F: β20: *be2 be3* transgenic plants expressing the bacterial *glgB* gene.

**Figure 5. Scanning electron microscopy of purified insoluble polyglucans.** Insoluble polyglucans were extracted and purified from leaves of 3-week-old plants harvested at the end of the day of 16-h light / 8 h dark cycles. A: wild-type *Wassilewskija* ecotype; B: β1; C: β12; D: β20 (inset: magnified view of the smallest particles).

**Figure 6: Morphology of particles purified from the insoluble polyglucans of the GlgB-expressing lines.** TEM images of negatively stained particles from the insoluble fractions of β12 (A, B) and β20 (C, D).

**Figure 7: Morphology of particles purified from the soluble polyglucans isolated from the GlgB-expressing lines.** TEM images of negatively stained particles from the water-soluble polyglucans isolated from β12 (A) and β20 (B). The image of maize phytoglycogen (C) is given for comparison.

**Figure 8: Fractionation of insoluble polyglucans by size exclusion chromatography.** Purified insoluble polyglucans were dispersed in DMSO, solubilized in NaOH 10 mM and loaded onto a Sepharose® CL-2B matrix. Elution was conducted in NaOH 10 mM at a rate of 12 mL.h$^{-1}$. 300 µL fractions were collected and subsequently analyzed by iodine spectrophotometry. Thick continuous lines are the maximum absorbance of the iodine-polyglucan complexes (left Y-axis). Dashed lines indicate the wavelength (nm) of the iodine-polyglucan complex at the maximum of absorbance (right Y-axis). X-axis is the volume of elution in mL. A: WT; B: β1; C: β12; D: β20.

**Figure 9: Chain length distribution of insoluble polyglucans.** Purified insoluble polyglucans were digested with a mix of bacterial debranching enzymes. The corresponding linear glucans were separated by high-performance anion exchange chromatography and detected by pulsed amperometric detection (HPAEC-PAD). The fraction of each DP (from 3 to 60 glucose residues) is expressed in % of the total DP presented on the profile. (A) WT: continuous black line; β1: grey triangles; β12: closed circles; β20: discontinuous grey line. (B) Comparison of the profiles of the WT (continuous black line) and β20 (discontinuous grey line). The profile of the *isa1 isa3 pu1* triple mutant already published in Wattebled et al., 2008 is given (open diamonds). (C) Comparison of the profiles of the WT (continuous black line) and β1 (grey triangles).

## No branching

⟹ no starch
⟹ no phytoglycogen

*be2 be3*

## BR/DEBR = 0

## Balanced or near-balanced branching & debranching

⟹ starch or starch-like

*WT*

## BR/DEBR = optimal

*β1*

## BR/DEBR ≈ optimal

## No debranching or high branching

⟹ no starch
⟹ phytoglycogen or phytoglycogen-like insoluble polyglucan

*isa1 isa3 pu1*

## BR/DEBR = ∞

*β20*

## BR/DEBR >> optimal

**Figure 10: Starch or starch-like polyglucan synthesis occurs thanks to a balanced branching / debranching activity ratio assuming that starch synthase activity is not limiting whatever the branching/debranching ratio.** Left panel: in the absence of branching activity (*be2 be3* double mutant), starch or phytoglycogen synthesis is impossible and is substituted by maltose accumulation; the BR/DEBR ratio is null. Middle panel: when the ratio BR/DEBR activity is balanced (WT) or near-balanced (β1), starch or starch-like polyglucan synthesis is promoted. In the case of β1, the slight structural modification of starch is due to the expression of the bacterial glycogen-branching enzyme that has different catalytic and regulatory properties. Right panel: in the absence of debranching activity (such as in *isa1 isa3 pu1*; BR/DEBR is infinite) or when branching activity is extremely high (such as in β20; BR/DEBR >> optimal) phytoglycogen or phytoglycogen-like insoluble polyglucan is synthesized respectively. Superimposed drawings correspond to (from left to right): maltose, amylopectin, phytoglycogen; black points depict the reducing end.

**Supplemental Figure S1**

**Description of the approach employed for the synthesis the GlgB chimeric sequence used for expression in *A. thaliana*.**
The chimeric sequence used to transform the *be2 be3* double mutant is composed of the nucleotide sequence of the transit peptide of the plant gene AtBE2 (At5g03650) and the E. coli *glgB* nucleotide sequence (M13751). a) Global scheme of the method is presented. b) Primers nucleotide sequences. Nucleotides in green correspond to the AtBE2 transit peptide sequence and nucleotides in red correspond to the coding sequence of *glgB*. Nucleotides "CACC" highlighted in blue are required for insertion of the sequence in the pENTR/D-TOPO vector. (c) Hybridization temperatures used for each couple of primers and number of cycles used during amplification. (d) Nucleotide and amino acid sequences of the chimeric gene.

## a) Global scheme

The coding sequence of *glgB* was amplified directly with *E. coli* genomic DNA (PCR1). The transit peptide was synthesized with two long complementary primers (synthesis 1) (PCR2). PCR products 1 and 2 were hybridized to synthesize the chimeric sequence (synthesis 2) and the chimeric sequence was finally amplified (PCR 3) before its insertion in the entry vector.

### b) Primers sequences

| | |
|---|---|
| **glgB for** | atgtccgatcgtatcgatagagacgtgatt |
| **glgB rev** | tcattctgcctcccgaacca |
| **TPbe2-1** | atggtggtgattcacggagtgtctcttactccacgcttcactcttccttctcgacctctcaacactggctttaatgccggcaattccaccctctctttcttcttcaaaaagcaccctc |
| **TPbe2-2** | agagatagcttgtgacgaagaatcaaactccgcagattgtttcccagcaaagatcttccgagagagagggtgcttttgaagaagaaagagagggtgg |
| **TPbe2 for-CACC** | caccatggtggtgattcacggagt |
| **TPbe2 rev** | aatcacgtctctatcgatacgatcggacatagagatagcttgtgacgaagaatca |

### c) PCR conditions

| Primer couples | Hybridization temperatures | Number of cycles |
|---|---|---|
| **PCR1: glgB for / glgB rev** | 61°C | 34 |
| **SYNTHESIS 1: TPbe2-1 / TPbe2-2** | 55°C | 24 |
| **PCR2: TPbe2 for-CACC / Tpbe2 rev** | 54°C | 30 |
| **SYNTHESIS 2: with amplicons from PCR1 & PCR2** | 54°C | 20 |
| **PCR3: TPbe2 for-CACC / glgB rev** | 54°C | 34 |

### d) Chimeric sequences

Nucleotide sequence of the chimeric gene

In green: nucleotides corresponding to the transit peptide of the AtBE2 gene. In red: nucleotides of the coding sequence of *E. coli glgB* gene. In blue, nucleotides required for insertion of the construction into the entry vector. Underlined nucleotides correspond to the primers used for PCR amplification (see table above)

CACCATGGTGGTGATTCACGGAGTGTCTCTTACTCCACGCTTCACTCTTCCTTCTCGACCTCTCAA
CACTGGCTTTAATGCCGGCAATTCCACCCTCTCTTTCTTCTTCAAAAAGCACCCTCTCTCTCGGAA
GATCTTTGCTGGGAAACAATCTGCGGAGTTTGATTCTTCGTCACAAGCTATCTCTATGTCCGATCG
TATCGATAGAGACGTGATTAACGCGCTAATTGCAGGCCATTTTGCGGATCCTTTTTCCGTACTGGG
AATGCATAAAACCACCGCGGGACTGGAAGTCCGTGCCCTTTTACCCGACGCTACCGATGTGTGGGT
GATTGAACCGAAAACCGGGCGCAAACTCGCAAAACTGGAGTGTCTCGACTCACGGGGATTCTTTAG
CGGCGTCATTCCGCGACGTAAGAATTTTTTCCGCTATCAGTTGGCTGTTGTCTGGCATGGTCAGCA
AAACCTGATTGATGATCCTTACCGTTTTGGTCCGCTAATCCAGGAAATGGATGCCTGGCTATTATC
TGAAGGTACTCACCTGCGCCCGTATGAAACCTTAGGCGCGCATGCAGATACTATGGATGGCGTCAC
AGGTACGCGTTTCTCTGTCTGGGCTCCAAACGCCCGTCGGGTCTCGGTGGTTGGGCAATTCAACTA
CTGGGACGGTCGCCGTCACCCGATGCGCCTGCGTAAAGAGAGCGGCATCTGGGAACTGTTTATCCC
TGGGGCGCATAACGGTCAGCTCTATAAATACGAGATGATTGATGCCAATGGCAACTTGCGTCTGAA
GTCCGACCCTTATGCCTTTGAAGCGCAAATGCGCCCGGAAACCGCGTCTCTTATTTGCGGGCTGCC
GGAAAAGGTTGTACAGACTGAAGAGCGCAAAAAGCGAATCAGTTTGATGCGCCAATCTCTATTTA
TGAAGTTCACCTGGGTTCCTGGCGTCGCCACACCGACAACAATTTCTGGTTGAGCTACCGCGAGCT
GGCCGATCAACTGGTGCCTTATGCTAAATGGATGGGCTTTACCCACCTCGAACTACTGCCCATTAA
CGAGCATCCCTTCGATGGCAGTTGGGGTTATCAGCCAACCGGCCTGTATGCGCCAACCCGCCGTTT

TGGTACTCGCGACGACTTCCGTTATTTCATTGATGCCGCACACGCAGCTGGTCTGAACGTGATTCT
CGACTGGGTGCCAGGCCACTTCCCGACTGATGACTTTGCGCTTGCCGAATTTGATGGCACGAACTT
GTATGAACACAGCGATCCGCGTGAAGGCTATCATCAGGACTGGAACACGCTGATCTACAACTATGG
TCGCCGTGAAGTCAGTAACTTCCTCGTCGGTAACGCGCTTTACTGGATTGAACGTTTTGGTATTGA
TGCGCTGCGCGTCGATGCGGTGGCGTCAATGATTTATCGCGACTACAGCCGTAAAGAGGGGGAGTG
GATCCCGAACGAATTTGGCGGGCGCGAGAATCTTGAAGCGATTGAATTCTTGCGTAATACCAACCG
TATTCTTGGTGAGCAGGTTTCCGGTGCGGTGACAATGGCTGAGGAGTCTACCGATTTCCCTGGCGT
TTCTCGTCCGCAGGATATGGGCGGTCTGGGCTTCTGGTACAAGTGGAACCTCGGCTGGATGCATGA
CACCCTGGACTACATGAAGCTCGACCCGGTTTATCGTCAGTATCATCACGATAAACTGACCTTCGG
GATTCTCTACAACTACACTGAAAACTTCGTCCTGCCGTTGTCGCATGATGAAGTGGTCCACGGTAA
AAAATCGATTCTCGACCGCATGCCGGGCGACGCATGGCAGAAATTCGCGAACCTGCGCGCCTACTA
TGGCTGGATGTGGGCATTCCCGGGCAAGAAACTACTGTTCATGGGTAACGAATTTGCCCAGGGCCG
CGAGTGGAACCATGACGCCAGCCTCGACTGGCATCTGTTGGAAGGCGGCGATAACTGGCACCACGG
TGTCCAGCGTCTGGTGCGCGATCTGAACCTCACCTACCGCCACCATAAAGCAATGCATGAACTGGA
TTTTGACCCGTACGGCTTTGAATGGCTGGTGGTGGATGACAAAGAACGCTCGGTGCTGATCTTTGT
GCGTCGCGATAAAGAGGGTAACGAAATCATCGTTGCCAGTAACTTTACGCCGGTACCGCGTCATGA
TTATCGCTTCGGCATAAACCAGCCGGGCAAATGGCGTGAAATCCTCAATACCGATTCCATGCACTA
TCACGGCAGTAATGCAGGCAATGGCGGCACGGTACACAGCGATGAGATTGCCAGCCACGGTCGTCA
GCATTCACTAAGCCTGACGCTACCACCGCTGGCCACTATCTGGC<u>TGGTTCGGGAGGCAGAATGA</u>

Aminoacid sequence of the chimeric GlgB protein (transit peptide in green)

<span style="color:green">MVVIHGVSLTPRFTLPSRPLNTGFNAGNSTLSFFFKKHPLSRKIFAGKQSAEFDS
SSQAIS</span>MSDRIDRDVINALIAGHFADPFSVLGMHKTTAGLEVRALLPDATDVWVI
EPKTGRKLAKLECLDSRGFFSGVIPRRKNFFRYQLAVVWHGQQNLIDDPYRFGPL
IQEMDAWLLSEGTHLRPYETLGAHADTMDGVTGTRFSVWAPNARRVSVVGQFNYW
DGRRHPMRLRKESGIWELFIPGAHNGQLYKYEMIDANGNLRLKSDPYAFEAQMRP
ETASLICGLPEKVVQTEERKKANQFDAPISIYEVHLGSWRRHTDNNFWLSYRELA
DQLVPYAKWMGFTHLELLPINEHPFDGSWGYQPTGLYAPTRRFGTRDDFRYFIDA
AHAAGLNVILDWVPGHFPTDDFALAEFDGTNLYEHSDPREGYHQDWNTLIYNYGR
REVSNFLVGNALYWIERFGIDALRVDAVASMIYRDYSRKEGEWIPNEFGGRENLE
AIEFLRNTNRILGEQVSGAVTMAEESTDFPGVSRPQDMGGLGFWYKWNLGWMHDT
LDYMKLDPVYRQYHHDKLTFGILYNYTENFVLPLSHDEVVHGKKSILDRMPGDAW
QKFANLRAYYGWMWAFPGKKLLFMGNEFAQGREWNHDASLDWHLLEGGDNWHHGV
QRLVRDLNLTYRHHKAMHELDFDPYGFEWLVVDDKERSVLIFVRRDKEGNEIIVA
SNFTPVPRHDYRFGINQPGKWREILNTDSMHYGSNAGNGGTVHSDEIASHGRQH
SLSLTLPPLATIWLVREAE

**Supplemental Figure S2: comparison of mature plant size.** Seeds were sown on peat-based compost and plants were grown in greenhouse for 16h in the light at 21°C and 8h in the dark and 16°C. Pictures were taken 3 weeks after germination. The *be2 be3* double mutant has a strong growth retardation phenotype and plants are much smaller than wild type (Dumez et al., 2006) whereas all transformed plants expressing *E. coli* GlgB branching enzyme have a phenotype close to that of the wild type reference.

**Supplemental Figure S3: Iodine-staining phénotype of individual plants harvested at different time points.** Plants were cultivated in growth cabinet in 16-h light; 8-h dark (A, B, C) or in 12-h light; 12-h dark (D, E, F) regime at 23°C during the day and 20°C during the night and 100 µE.m$^{-2}$.s$^{-1}$ irradiance. Plants were harvested at the end of light period (A and D) or at the end of the dark period (B and E). In panels C and F plants were left 24 more hours in the dark. All plants were destained in hot ethanol and stained with iodine solution. At the end of the dark period (Panels B and E) polysaccharide degradation is either complete (β5, β6, β12, β20;) or similar to the wild type line (β1). After an extend of 24 hours in darkness, all lines appear colorless.

**Supplemental Figure S4: Chain length distribution profiles of water soluble polyglucans** purified from GlgB-expressing lines β1 (green), β12 (red) and β20 (blue) compared to that of the rabbit liver glycogen (black). After purification, water soluble polyglucans were debranched by a mix of bacterial isoamylase and pullulanase. The branched products were analyzed by HPAEC-PAD. The relative proportion of each glucan is plotted versus their degree of polymerization. Values are the mean of two analysis carried out with soluble polyglucans extracted of plants cultivated independently.

**Supplemental Figure S5: Zymogram analysis of transformed plant expressing GlgB.** Three to four leaves of 3 week-old plants were harvested at mid-day for soluble extract preparation. The equivalent of 50 µg of proteins were loaded on polyacrylamide gel impregnated with either 0.3% of potato soluble starch (A) or 0.3% of maize β-limit dextrins (B). After migration (2 h at 4°C, 15 mA / gel), the gels were incubated overnight at room temperature in a buffer composed of : Tris 25 mM; Glycine 192 mM; $MgCl_2$ 1 mM; $CaCl_2$ 1 mM; DTT 10 mM. After incubation, enzymes that modified the substrate were revealed by soaking the gels in iodine solution (1% KI [w/v]; 0.1% $I_2$ [w/v]). The gels were rinsed in water before taking pictures. WS is the wild type reference (*Wassilewskija*) and all other plants are in the same genetic background. *be2 be3* corresponds to a double mutant lacking endogenous branching enzymes. β1, β5, β6, β12, and β20 are *be2 be3* mutants expressing different levels of *E. coli* GlgB. Blue Band labeled 1 and red band labeled 2 were previously determined as Iso1 and BE2 respectively (Dumez et al., 2006; Wattebled et al., 2008). The pale pink band labeled 3 with an extremely low mobility, present only in transformed plants, corresponds to GlgB. Band 4 is the pullulanase (Wattebled et al., 2008).

**Supplemental Figure S6: Zymogram analysis of soluble starch synthases activities.** An equal amount of proteins from leaf crude extracts was loaded on native PAGE containing 0.3% (w/v) of rabbit liver glycogen. Approximately 2h30 of migration in native conditions (Tris-glycine buffer 1X, 4°C) was applied. After incubation in an appropriate buffer for starch synthase activity (Glycyl-glycine 66 mM (pH 7.5); $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ 66 mM; $MgCl_2$ 1 mM; β-mercaptoethanol 3.3 mM; ADP-glucose 1.2 mM), the gel was washed 6 times into water to remove β-mercaptoethanol and further incubated in iodine solution until revelation of bands of activity. This zymogram is representative of three biological independent experiments.

**C**

| Sample | WT | β5 | β12 | β6 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Branching degree (%) | 5.1 | 6.2 | 6.8 | 7.8 |
| λmax of amylopectin (nm) | 563 | 571 | 553 | 545 |
| Crystallinity index (%) | 33 | 23 | 20 | 15 |

**Supplemental Figure S7 : Structure of polysaccharides produced in β5 and β6 transformant lines.**
**(A) Fractionation of insoluble polyglucans by size exclusion chromatography.** Dashed lines indicate the wavelength (nm) of the iodine-polyglucan complex at the maximum of absorbance (right Y-axis).
**(B) Chain length distribution of insoluble polyglucans.** WT: continuous black line; β1: closed grey triangles; β5: open grey triangles ; β6: dotted grey line; β20: discontinuous grey line.
**(C) Structural parameters of polysaccharides produced in β5 and β6 tranformant lines**. The branching degree was calculated according to Szydlowski et al. (2011).

**A**

**C**

**B**

**Supplemental Figure S8 : Leaf glucan contents and granules morphology.**
A: For glucan content the average of three independent cultures are presented. Vertical thin bars are the standard deviation of three independent biological replicates.  B: Scanning electron microscopy images of purified insoluble polyglucans. C: Transmission electron microscocopy images of leaf chloroplasts positively stained with PATAg.