Probing the fabric of the rare biosphere

Bibiana G. Crespo[1*], Philip J. Wallhead[2], Ramiro Logares[1] and Carlos Pedrós-Alió[1]

[1] Institut de Ciències del Mar, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (ICM-CSIC).

Passeig Marítim de la Barceloneta, 37-49. 08003. Barcelona, Spain.

[2] Norwegian Institute for Water Research (NIVA), Thormøhlens gate 53D, N-5006 Bergen,
Norway.

Correspondence: Bibiana G. Crespo, Uni Research Environment, Center for Applied

Biotechnology, Thormøhlens gate 49B, N-5006 Bergen, Norway.

Email: bibianagc@hotmail.com

[*]Present address: Uni Research Environment, Center for Applied Biotechnology,

Thormøhlens gate 49B, N-5006 Bergen, Norway.

Abstract: The relatively recent development of high-throughput sequencing (HTS)

techniques has revealed a wealth of novel sequences found in very low abundance: the rare

biosphere. Today, most studies of diversity of microorganisms are carried out almost

exclusively with HTS techniques. However, culturing seems indispensable for diversity

studies especially if the aim is exploring the rare biosphere. We have carried out a deep (1

million sequences per sample) pyrosequencing analysis of two marine bacterial samples and

isolated a culture collection from one of them. We have shown that the collectors curves of

the pyrosequencing data were close to reaching an asymptote. We have estimated the total

richness of the samples and the sequencing effort necessary to obtain the total estimated

richness experimentally. Comparing the pyrosequencing data and the isolates sequences we

have found that isolation retrieves some of the rarest taxa and that the composition of rare

taxa follows an annual succession. We have shown that increasing the number of tags

sequenced would slowly uncover the isolated bacteria. However, even if the whole bacterial

diversity was found by increasing the sampling depth, culturing would still be essential for

the study of marine bacterial communities, especially if the target is the rare biosphere.

Introduction

The question of how many species of living beings are there on Earth has intrigued ecologists and evolutionary scientists for decades (May, 1988; Erwin, 1991). One of the most recent estimates considered a number of 8.7 million species, but excluded bacteria and archaea from the estimate due to our ignorance of the microorganisms (Mora *et al.*, 2011). The International Census of Marine Microbes attempted to map the diversity of microbes in the oceans with novel high throughput sequencing techniques (Amaral-Zettler *et al.*, 2010) but a global estimate was not attempted. Some estimates for marine bacterial species range from 10^4 to 10^6 based on different assumptions (Curtis *et al.*, 2002; Hagström *et al.*, 2002). Such a range of values, spanning several orders of magnitude, shows that we are far from a reasonable estimate.

Traditionally, bacteria were isolated in pure culture and then characterized biochemically and genetically until a new species could be formally described. It was realized that the bacteria able to grow in culture media were a small fraction of the bacterial cells that could be directly counted on a filter, a discrepancy named the "great plate count anomaly" (Staley & Konopka, 1985). Different studies estimated that only about 1% of the cells in natural waters could be cultivated (Pace, 1997; Eilers *et al.*, 2000). Moreover, most of the cells in pure cultures were not the abundant ones in nature.

After the application of molecular cloning to natural systems (Giovannoni *et al.*, 1990; Pace, 1997) a wealth of new taxa were found and, this time, they were the abundant ones in the oceans (DeLong, 1997; Pace, 1997). The drawback was that a sequence of the 16S rDNA did not provide much information about the physiology of the organism. Further, the

realization that bacteria obtained in culture were mostly different from bacterial sequences

obtained in clone libraries, produced what could be called the "great clone library anomaly".

Molecular methods could retrieve many sequences from the abundant organisms but missed

the rare ones, and only occasionally a rare clone was found. Isolation, on the other hand,

retrieved mostly rare bacteria and, occasionally an abundant one. This anomaly was a

consequence of the fact that natural assemblages are formed a by a few taxa in very large

concentrations and many taxa in very low concentrations. The problem can be easily

visualized by looking at a rank-abundance curve (Pedrós-Alió, 2006). Primers for clone

libraries will hybridize with the most abundant sequences over and over again before they

find a rare target. Thus, only a fraction of the community will be available to cloning and

sequencing. The relatively recent development of high-throughput sequencing (HTS)

techniques and their application to natural microbial communities (Sogin *et al*., 2006) now

provides an opportunity to solve the "great clone library anomaly".

The study of microbial communities with such technologies has revealed a wealth of novel

sequences found in very low abundance: the rare biosphere (Sogin *et al*., 2006). And different

properties of the latter have been examined (Galand *et al*., 2009; Jones & Lennon, 2010;

Pedrós-Alió, 2012; Caporaso *et al*., 2012; Lynch *et al*., 2012; Gibbons *et al*., 2013). Today,

most studies of diversity of microorganisms are carried out almost exclusively with such HTS

techniques. Yet, culturing seems indispensable for diversity studies (Donachie *et al*., 2007;

Shade *et al*., 2012; Lekunberri *et al*., 2014), especially if the aim is exploring the rare

biosphere. In this regard, Shade *et al*. (2012) compared the outputs of a shallow (~ 2 000

sequences per sample) pyrosequencing analysis of the bacteria collected from a soil sample

and the isolates cultured from the same sample. They found that 61% of the cultured bacteria

were not present in the pyrosequencing dataset, demonstrating that culturing provided a

fruitful route to the rare biosphere that was complementary to sequencing. These authors

postulated that they would have found that remaining cultured bacteria in the pyrosequencing

dataset if hey had increased the sequencing depth.

But the question "can all the bacterial taxa isolated in culture from a sample be found by

HTS?" has not been addressed in a direct and sufficiently exhaustive way. This question has

several implications. First, an in depth comparison of both cultures and sequences should

eventually solve the "great clone library anomaly". Second, it should provide an estimate of

the total number of bacterial taxa in a sample. And third, it would allow a calculation of the

sequencing effort necessary to retrieve all the diversity in a sample. We have addressed this

question by carrying out a deep (1 million sequences per sample) pyrosequencing analysis of

two marine bacterial samples and isolating a culture collection from one of them.

Comparison of both data sets allowed us to bracket the dimensions of the rare biosphere, at

least in these two marine samples.

Material and methods

1. Study area and sampling

Samples were taken in the NW Mediterranean Sea during cruise SUMMER between 13th

and 22nd of September 2011, on board the RV "García del Cid". Specifically, the samples for

this study were collected at Station D, an open sea station at 40º52'N and 02º47'E (Table 1,

and Pedrós-Alió *et al*., 1999). The surface sample was taken at 5 m on 15th September and the

bottom sample was collected at 2 000 m depth on 17th September.

Sampling was done with Niskin bottles mounted on a rosette with a conductivity-

temperature-depth (CTD) profiler. Water was prefiltered through a 200 μm mesh and

immediately processed on board. To collect microbial biomass, between 5 and 15 L of

sea-water were prefiltered through a 3 μm pore size Durapore filter (Millipore, Cork, Ireland)

and free-living bacterial biomass was collected on a 0.22 μm pore size Sterivex filter

(Durapore, Millipore). The filtration was done in succession using a peristaltic pump. The

0.22 μm pore size Sterivex unit was filled with 1.8 ml of lysis buffer (40 mM EDTA, 50 mM

Tris-HCl, 0.75 M sucrose) and stored at –80ºC. DNA was extracted by a standard protocol

using phenol/chloroform (details in Schauer *et al.*, 2003).

2. 454-pyrosequencing and noise removal

Purified DNA samples were submitted to the Research and Testing Laboratory (Lubbock,

Texas, USA) for amplification of the 16S rRNA gene. Tag-pyrosequencing was done with

Roche 454 Titanium platform following manufacturer protocols (454 Life Science). Primers

28F (5'-GAGTTTGATCNTGGCTCAG) and 519R (5'-GTNTTACNGCGGCKGCTG) were

used for amplification of the hypervariable regions V1-V3; approximately 400 bp long tags

were obtained. PCR and subsequent sequencing are described in Dowd et al. (2008). 713 076

and 970 346 tags were retrieved from the surface and the bottom samples, respectively (Table

1). These data has been deposited in EMBL with accession number PRJEB9061.

The raw tag-sequences were processed using QIIME (Caporaso *et al.*, 2010). Briefly, to

reduce sequencing errors and their effects, the multiplexed reads were first trimmed, quality-

filtered and assigned to the samples, surface or bottom. The filtering criteria included a

perfect match to the sequence barcode and primer, at least 400 bp in length, a quality score

window of 50 bp and a minimum average quality score of 28. Additionally, denoising was

used to reduce the amount of erroneous sequences (Quince *et al.*, 2011). The final number of

tags was reduced after this processing to 500 262 for the surface sample and to 574 960 for

the bottom sample (Table 1). The sequences were then clustered into Operational Taxonomic

Units (OTUs) based on the relatedness of the sequences (97% similarity) with UCLUST.

Afterwards, a representative sequence from each OTU was selected. To identify potential

chimera sequences, the dataset was subjected to the ChimeraSlayer implemented in Mothur

(Schloss *et al.*, 2011). Then, taxonomy assignment was made with QIIME by searching the

representative sequences of each OTU against the SILVA 16S/18S rDNA non-redundant

reference dataset (SSU Ref 108 NR) (Quast *et al.*, 2013) using the Basic Local Alignment

Search Tool (BLAST) and an e-value of 0.03. Chimera, chloroplast, eukarya and archaea

sequences were removed from the output fasta file that was used for building a table with the

OTU abundance of each sample and the taxonomic assignments for each OTU.

In addition to the sequences obtained in the present study, we used a 454 pyrosequencing

dataset from cruise MODIVUS, for comparative purposes. Cruise MODIVUS took place in

September 2007 and sampled a transect between the Blanes Bay Microbial Observatory

(BBMO, http://www.icm.csic.es/bio/projects/icmicrobis/bbmo/), a coastal sampling site north

of Barcelona (41˚40'N, 02˚48'E) and Station D (40˚52'N, 02˚47'E), the open sea station

sampled here. The samples used for pyrosequencing included surface samples along the

transect and a vertical profile down to 2000 m at Station D. The MODIVUS data have been

published in Pommier *et al.* (2010) and Crespo *et al.* (2013). Due to the limitations of the

technique at the time, these sequences only included de V3 region of the 16S rDNA (~68 bp).

3. Isolation of bacterial cultures

Isolates were obtained on board by plating 100 µl of undiluted and 10x diluted sea-water

from the surface sample, in triplicates, onto modified Zobell agar plates (i.e. 5 g peptone, 1 g

yeast extract and 15 g agar in 1 l of 0.2 µm filtered 75% sea water). Agar plates were

incubated at *in situ* temperature (~20 °C), in the dark, for 14 days. 326 bacterial colonies

were selected and the cultures were subsequently purified by re-isolation three times in a

month. Next, isolates were grown at 20 ºC on the same liquid medium and stored at -80 ºC

with 25% (v/v) glycerol. 200 µl of these cultures were place in 96 well plates, diluted 1:4 and

heated (95ºC, 10 min) to cause cell lysis, so available DNA could be used as a template in

Polimerase Chain Reactions (PCR). PCR, using Taq polymerase (Boehringer-Mannheim), of

the Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS) were done to select as many different species as

possible from the 326 isolates. ITS length is species specific and therefore allows to

differentiate the isolates (Fisher & Triplett 1999; Scheinert *et al*. 1996). ITS amplification

was done using primers ITS-F (5'-GTCGTAACAAGGTAGCCGTA) and ITS-R

(5'-GCCAAGGCATCCACC) and the following thermal conditions: 94ºC for 2 min, then 32

cycles of 94ºC for 15 sec, 55ºC for 30 sec, 72ºC for 3 min, followed by one cycle of 72ºC for

4 min and 4ºC on hold. According to their different ITS patterns, 148 isolates were chosen

out of 326, including some replicates, and their 16S rRNA gene were then amplified using

bacterial 16S rRNA gene primers 27F (5'-AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG) and 1492R (5'-

GTTTACCTTGTTACGACTT). The thermal conditions were as follows: 94ºC for 5 min,

then 30 cycles of 94ºC for 1 min, 55ºC for 1 min, 72ºC for 2 min, followed by one cycle of

72ºC for 10 min and 4ºC on hold. Nearly the full-length 16S rRNA gene (aprox. 1 300 bp)

was sequenced in GENOSCREEN (Lille Cedex, France). Taxonomical assignment was done

by BLAST searches in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) website.

The 16S rRNA sequences have been deposited in EMBL with accession numbers LN845965

to LN846112.

In addition to the SUMMER culture collection obtained for the present study, we also

used three previously isolated collections for comparison. The isolation procedures were the

same as above, but the samples were collected at the Blanes Bay Microbial Observatory

(BBMO). Station D sampled in the present work is about 100 km offshore from the BBMO.

Culture collection BBMO-1 consisted of over 300 isolates collected between 2001 and 2004

at different times of the year. Collections BBMO-2 and BBMO-3 were smaller collections

isolated in February and September 2007 respectively. Since these cultures were isolated and

used for different purposes, many of them had only partial sequences of the 16S rDNA. A

description of these collections was published in Lekunberri *et al*. (2014).

4. Richness, sampling effort estimates, and diversity of 454 pyrosequecing data

Richness (S) was computed as the total number of OTUs (97% similarity) in each sample.

Estimates of total richness were calculated using two packages of the free software R (R Core

Team, 2013). The "vegan" package (Oksanen *et al*., 2013) was used for non-parametric

(S_{Chao}, Abundance-based Coverage Estimator [ACE]) estimations. The "drc" package (Ritz &

Streibig, 2005) was used to estimate richness by fitting the species accumulation curves to a

mathematical function. We fitted several mathematical functions to our data (Flather, 1996).

The best fits were given by Michaelis-Menten, Rational, and Weibull Cumulative functions.

The three parameter Weibull Cumulative function was the best of all according to the

coefficient of determination (R^2), the residual sum of squares (RSS), and Akaike's

information criterion (AIC) (Supplementary Table 1). The Weibull cumulative function takes

the form:

$$a \, (1-\exp \, (-bx)^{c})$$

where a, b and c are fitted coefficients, and a is the maximal number of species predicted by

the model, i.e. the asymptote.

The sampling effort necessary to obtained 99% and 99.9% of total estimated richness was

calculated from the extrapolation of the species accumulation curves by fitting the Weibull

Cumulative function and from the non-parametric estimation of Chao 1 using the method

described in Chao *et al*. (2009).

Shannon (H') and Simpson (D) diversity indexes were used to calculate diversity:

$$H' = -\sum p_i \ln(p_i) \qquad (1)$$

$$D = 1 - \sum p_i^{2} \qquad (2)$$

221   where $p_{i=} N_i/N$, the number of individuals of species *i* divided by the total number of

222   individuals in the sample (N). Finally, evenness was computed with the Pielou index:

$$J' = H' / \, H\max \qquad (3)$$

224   where H' is the Shannon diversity index and H_{max} is the maximal possible Shannon diversity

225   index if all the species were equally abundant:

$$H\max = -\sum (1/S)\ln(1/S) = \ln S \qquad (4)$$

227   where S is the total number of OTUs (richness). Diversity and evenness of each sample were

228   calculated using the "vegan" package (Oksanen *et al*., 2013).

229   Rank-abundance plots of the isolated cultures and the 454 pyrosequencing data were done

230   using the "BiodiversityR" package (Kindt & Coe, 2005) and the accumulation curves using

231   the "vegan" package (Oksanen *et al*., 2013) of R (R Core Team, 2013).

5. Comparison of 454-pyrosequencing tags and isolates

Comparison between isolates and 454 tag-sequences was done running BLASTn locally. Thus, the isolate sequences were searched for in the 454 tag-sequence datasets and vice versa, and only the reciprocal matches between these two searches were considered. The output was filtered using R (R Core Team, 2013) according to a 99% of identical nucleotide matches, 75%-100% of coverage of the isolate sequence and a bit-score higher than 100. In all the cases the e-value was lower than 0.0001.

Since the primers used for Sanger sequencing of the isolates and those used for the pyrosequencing of the environmental DNA were different, the possibility existed of different biases that could prevent detection of the cultures in the 454 dataset. Multiple alignments of the sequences of the isolates and the sequences of the primers used in the pyrosequencing analysis were done using the software Genious. The multiple alignments were used to check that the 454 primers hybridized with the sequences of all the isolates.

In order to estimate the sequencing effort necessary to find all the isolates in the sequence dataset, we did a kind of "rarefaction" analysis with detected isolates in the Y-axis and number of 454 sequences or number of OTUs in the X-axis. The 454 tag-sequences were subsampled in 5% intervals 1000 times and the percentage of coincidence with the isolate sequences was calculated for each new 454 tag-sequence dataset and plotted against the corresponding number of sequence tags analyzed. The same was done with the number of OTUs. Calculations were done using R (R Core Team, 2013).

Results

1. Pyrosequencing dataset

Richness (S), computed as the total number of OTUs, was higher in the bottom (4 460)

than in the surface (1 400) sample (Table 1). In both samples only ~17% of the OTUs were

singletons (an OTU represented by a single sequence) (Table 1). Evenness (J') and diversity

(H' and D) were also higher in the bottom than in the surface sample (Table 1). Richness

estimations calculated with non-parametric methods, Chao Index and ACE, and with

parametric methods (Figure 1) were slightly higher than the actual number of OTUs (S)

observed as expected (Table 1). None of the estimates were significantly different from each

other (z-tests on the differences, all P > 0.05). The mathematical function that best fitted the

species accumulation curves was the Weibull Cumulative function (99% model efficiency or

R^2 =0.99) (Figure 1). Fitting the species accumulation curve allowed both calculation of the

total richness of the samples by extrapolation (as explained above), and estimation of the

sequencing effort necessary to obtain the total estimated richness experimentally (Table 1).

Our estimates indicated that the sequencing effort necessary to retrieve 99% and 99.9% of the

total estimated richness should be 4 to 8 times higher that the one applied in this study (Table

1). These numbers are approximately twice higher that the estimations obtained from the

Chao index (Chao *et al*., 2009) (Table 1).

Rank-abundance curves (Figure 2) showed that the bacterial assemblages from both

samples were characterized by few abundant and many rare OTUs. The most abundant OTU

was more abundant in the surface than in the bottom sample, in agreement with the lower

evenness found for the surface sample (Table 1). The abundance of the most abundant OTU

in the bottom sample was close to the abundance of the second most abundant OTU in the

surface sample.

2. Culture collection

Bacterial isolation from the sample collected at the surface retrieved 148 cultures

belonging to 38 different species. The most frequent bacterium in the collection was

Erythrobacter citreus, isolated 37 times, while 17 species were isolated only once. A rank

abundance plot of the 38 species can be seen in Fig. 3. The isolates belonged to the phyla

Actinobacteria (4 isolates), *Bacteroidetes* (4 isolates) and *Firmicutes* (2 isolates) and to the

Proteobacteria classes Alpha-*proteobacteria* (18 isolates) and Gamma-*proteobacteria* (10

isolates). The names of all the isolates are shown in Table 2 and supplementary Table 2.

3. Comparison of isolates and sequences

Only 14 (37%) of the 38 different species were found in the 454 tag-sequence datasets:

one *Actinobacteria*, two *Bacteroidetes*, two *Firmicutes*, four Alpha-*proteobacteria* and five

Gamma-*proteobacteria* isolates (Figure 3, Table 2). Surprisingly, the number of cultures

found in the 454 tag-sequence dataset was higher in the sample collected at 2 000 m (37%)

than in the surface sample (24%), even though the latter was the sample used for isolation of

the bacterial cultures (Figure 3, Table 2). Nine species were found in the sequences from both

samples (maroon in Figure 3), 5 were found in the bottom sample only (green in Figure 3)

and 24 were not found in either sample (empty symbols in Figure 3). Practically all the 454

tag-sequences that matched the sequences from the isolates belonged to rare OTUs (<1% of

the total tags). Only the OTU matching the isolate *Alteromonas macleodii* str. 'Balearic Sea

AD45' (Gamma-*Proteobacteria*) was somewhat abundant (1.3%) in the bottom sample

(Table 2). Further, all the matching sequences made a larger percentage of the assemblage at

the bottom sample than at the surface sample.

In order to examine the rate of appearance of cultured species in the sequence database,

we calculated a kind of "rarefaction curves", by randomly resampling either the OTUs or the

454-pyrosequecing tags in 5% increases, and checking how many cultured species had been

found in each case (Figure 4). These curves showed that the rate of appearance of the isolates

in the 454 tags datasets as the sequencing depth increased was slower for tags than for OTUs

but in neither case was an asymptote reached (Figure 4). The rate of increase of retrieved

isolates versus OTUs was constant, but the rates were different at the two depths: one new

isolate was retrieved every 50 OTUs in the surface sample and every 117 OTUs in the bottom

sample (Figures 4A-B). The increase in retrieved isolates with respect to the number of tags

showed a nonlinear response, implying that the sampling effort had to increase as the number

of isolates increased (Figures 4C-D).

4. Comparisons across different times and locations

Three additional culture collections, isolated in the same way as the collection described

above (see material and methods), were available for comparisons with 454-pyrosequencing

data (A in Table 3). In addition to the 454-pyrosequecing dataset obtained in the present

study, 454 sequences from the MODIVUS cruise in September 2007 were also available (see

material and methods). These different datasets offered the possibility to compare how

effective was the retrieval of isolated species in 454 sequence datasets at different time and

space scales (B and C in Table 3). The number of cultures from each collection (columns 2

and 4 in Table 3) that could be compared to the two 454 datasets (B and C in Table 3) was

different because the 16S rRNA fragments sequenced were different (see material and

methods).

Column 3 (Table 3) shows that the percent of cultures retrieved was much higher from the

simultaneously taken culture collection (37%) than form those taken years before (~ 10%).

Likewise, column 5 (Table 3) shows that the percent of cultures isolated the same year of the

cruise MODIVUS was higher (83% and 80%) than with those isolated earlier (44% in 2001-

2004) or later (74% in 2011). The cultures collected the same year but at a different date

(February 2007) were retrieved almost with the same efficiency as those collected on the

same dates (80%).

The next comparisons examine the efficiency of retrieval at different space scales.

Columns 5 and 6 (Table 3) show the difference in percent of cultures found in the

MODIVUS database if the whole set of sequences is considered (including a transect and a

vertical profile, column 5 in Table 3) or if only the sequences from BBMO are used for the

comparison (column 6 in Table 3). For the three BBMO collections the percent increased

when a larger area was considered. The same can be observed in columns 5, 7 and 8 (Table

3) for the SUMMER culture collection: when only the sequences from the open sea station

were included, the percentages recovered were 24 (for the surface sequences) and 34 (for the

bottom sequences). When the whole MODIVUS dataset was included, 74% of the cultures

were retrieved. Surprisingly, this percentage is larger than that of the SUMMER 454 dataset.

This occurred despite the fact that the SUMMER data set had many more sequences

(1.7×10^6) than the MODIVUS dataset (3×10^5).

Discussion

1. Estimates of richness.

A large proportion of the microbial world is invisible to traditional cultivation approaches but it can be accessed using molecular tools (DeLong, 1997). The first cloning and Sanger sequencing approaches revealed a wealth of new taxa in the oceans (Giovannoni *et al*., 1990). The number of clones examined however, was still too limited and there was a discrepancy between the taxa obtained in pure culture and by cloning and sequencing (Pedrós-Alió, 2006). The development of massive parallel sequencing technologies in the last decade has helped to uncover a large fraction of the hidden diversity of marine microorganisms (Sogin *et al*., 2006; Pedrós-Alió, 2012). In a previous study (Pommier *et al*., 2010) we used pyrosequencing of the V6 region of the 16S rDNA gene to estimate richness of the bacterial assemblages in the NW Mediterranean Sea. Around 20 000 tag sequences were obtained per sample. For the surface and deep samples from station D, we found 632 and 2 065 OTUs respectively and using the Chao estimate these translated into estimated richness of 1 289 and 4 156 OTUs for surface and deep samples respectively. It is well know that the number of new species retrieved increases with sampling size and sampling effort (Preston, 1960; Magurran, 1988; Rosenzweig, 1995) and a large part of the diversity remains hidden due to sampling limitations (Chao *et al*., 2009; Gotelli & Colwell 2011). Therefore, taking advantage of the increasing sampling depth of pyrosequencing, we obtained 1 million raw 16S rRNA gene tag-sequences per sample, trying to achieve realistic estimates of the whole bacterial diversity in our samples.

Our collector curves were close to reaching an asymptote (Figure 1). The relatively low percentage of singletons (~17%) found in this study compared to the higher percentage (40%-

60%) in the previous study (Pommier *et al.*, 2010) indicates a good coverage of the whole

bacterial richness. By increasing the number of tags per sample from 20 000 to 1 000 000 we

increased the number of OTUs from 632 to 1 400 at the surface and from 2 065 to 4 460 at

the bottom. The Chao estimators were relatively close in both studies: 1 289 vs 1 643 and

4 156 vs 5 029 for surface and bottom samples respectively. This suggests that the

sequencing effort carried out in the present study is not necessary for a general evaluation of

the richness of a marine sample, which is good news for further routine studies. However, we

needed this effort to answer the questions discussed below.

The Chao index is based on the number of singletons and doubletons. We wanted to use

all the information contained in the species accumulation curves to get a more robust estimate

of richness. After testing several options, the Weibull cumulative function proved to be

excellent. The extraordinary good fit (99% model efficiency) of this function to the species

accumulation curves (Figure 2) gives confidence to the richness estimations made by

extrapolation. Again, it is good for further studies that there were no significantly differences

from the non-parametric estimations (z-tests on the differences, $P > 0.05$). The Weibull

cumulative function has been satisfactorily fitted to species accumulation curves of well-

sampled communities of birds (Flather, 1996), reptiles (Thompson *et al.*, 2003), snakes (van

Rooijen, 2012), butterflies (Jiménez-Valverde *et al.*, 2006) and microplankton (Cermeño *et*

al., 2014). We also fitted the model to a marine bacterial sample collected in the Western

English Channel (Caporaso *et al.*, 2012; Gibbons *et al.*, 2013). This sample was sequenced at

an extraordinary depth (on the order of 10^7 Illumina tags) and we also found a good fit

($R^2=0.99$). The fit was better when singletons were included in the analysis (the AIC value

was lower, supplementary Fig. 1). Therefore, the Weibull cumulative function will be a very

useful tool to characterize the species accumulation curves and to obtain robust richness

estimates, at least for well-sampled bacterial communities.

The high abundance of the most abundant OTU in the surface sample (Figure 2) may have

caused less OTUs to be uncovered, forcing the richness to appear lower at the surface sample

than at the bottom sample. However, higher richness as well as higher diversity at the bottom

than at the surface have been reported before for the sampling area (Pommier *et al*., 2010).

Also, the high number of sequences examined and the richness estimations (Table 1) leave

not doubt that a high percentage of the rare OTUs were sampled. Moreover, the number of

OTUs in both samples was close to the number of OTUs estimated by other authors for the

upper ocean (Rusch *et al*., 2007; Pommier *et al*., 2010; Crespo *et al*., 2013) and deep waters

(Salazar *et al*., submitted).

The study of the English Channel mentioned above (Caporaso *et al*., 2012; Gibbons *et al*.,

2013) is particularly relevant for our analysis. Station L4 was very deeply sequenced (10

million sequences) by Illumina. The sequences were then compared to pyrosequencing data

from 72 samples collected along the seasons through six years (Caporaso *et al*., 2012) or to

the ICoMM pyrosequencing samples from around the world's oceans (Gibbons *et al*., 2013).

The purpose of this exercise was to see whether the collectors curve could be saturated with

sufficiently deep sequencing and whether the rare biosphere of one site, at one particular

time, included all the taxa found at that site through the years. Caporaso *et al*. (2012) found

that around 95% of the OTUs in the combined seasonal samples could be found in the one

deeply sequenced sample. Thus, the conclusion was that the rare biosphere included all the

taxa that were abundant at L4 at one time or another. "This suggested that the vast majority

of taxa identified in this ecosystem were always present, but just in different proportions"

(Caporaso *et al.*, 2012).

However, there are some caveats in interpreting this data set. The number of OTUs found

by pyrosequencing in the combined 72 samples was 13 424. We think this agrees well with

our estimates of 2 000 to 5 000 OTUs in one single sample. A fourfold increase in OTUs

when analyzing 72 samples collected through the seasons instead of 1 sample seems

reasonable. On the other hand the deep Illumina sampling produced 116 107 OTUs, which is

one order of magnitude higher.

Several issues must be considered. First, these authors found that 45-48% of their OTUs

were singletons. Given than the data sets consisted of over $8\mathrm{x}10^5$ sequences (seasonal

samples) and the other of over 10^7 sequences, these very large percentages of singletons are

intriguing. In a previous study of the Mediterranean, we found a similar percentage of

singletons (46%) with a lower number of sequences ($\approx2\mathrm{x}10^5$) (Pommier *et al.*, 2010; Crespo

et al., 2013). However, with the increase in sequences to $5\mathrm{x}10^5$ in the present study of the

same area, the number of singletons was reduced to 17%. A reduction in percent of singletons

with an increase in sequencing depth is what one would expect and is what other studies have

found (Wall *et al.*, 2009; Penton *et al.*, 2013). Thus, we find the results of Caporaso *et al.*

(2012) and Gibbons *et al.* (2013) surprising.

Second, most of the OTUs in Caporaso *et al.* (2012) could not have a taxonomy ascribed,

even after exclusion of singletons. More than half were "unclassified bacteria" and about

10% were "unclassifiable (reads too short)" (see their Figure 1C). Thus, only about 40% of

the OTUs could be classified. Again, this very low figure is intriguing. The short read length

cannot be the reason, because in our earlier studies, we used exactly the same methodology

and read length as for the seasonal samples from the English Channel or the world ocean

samples from ICoMM and yet, 98.9% of our OTUs could be assigned a taxonomy at least at

the Phylum level and most of the time at the Class level. Obviously, different criteria for

assignment of identity can be responsible for this, but the 10% of sequences labeled as

"unclassifiable (reads too short)" should probably have been discarded.

We used the data from their deep sequenced L4 sample to try the Weibull fit and found a

good fit, both with and without the singletons. In both cases the number of OTUs was one

order of magnitude higher than our estimates (40 000 and 100 000 OTUs without and with

singletons respectively). It is difficult to integrate these very different estimates of richness.

We see three possibilities. First, if both data sets and sequence processing are correct the

English Channel could have ten times more species than the Mediterranean Sea. We find this

possibility very unlikely, since both environments correspond to relatively open seawater.

Second, perhaps the procedure chosen for OTU calling with the L4 data set overestimated the

number of OTUs. As explained, the number of OTUs found in the seasonal samples was

coherent with our estimates. The number of OTUs with the Illumina sequences, on the other

hand was one order of magnitude higher. We believe that the current processing of

pyrosequencing data is quite robust (Quince *et al.*, 2011). Processing of Illumina tags,

however, was still in its infancy when the studies mentioned above were carried out. Thus,

the possibility exists of an overestimation of diversity. This is actually what happened in the

first application of pyrosequencing to marine bacterial diversity in Sogin *et al*. (2006). Later

studies found ways to properly clean the sequences and estimates became lower (Huse *et al.*,

2010; Quince *et al.*, 2011). We think this is the most likely explanation. Finally, there could

be some methodological issue that caused an increase in diversity when the number of

sequences increased by one order of magnitude. Thus, when diversity of marine bacterial

communities was estimated from conventional clone libraries (with a few hundred clones)

richness estimations were on the order of a few hundred OTUs. When similar samples were

analyzed by HTS (with tens of thousands of sequences per sample) the richness estimators

gave numbers of several thousand OTUs (as in the present study).

2. Comparison of sequencing and isolation

The current power of massive parallel sequencing allows probing the rare biosphere

(Caporaso *et al.*, 2012; Pedrós-Alió, 2012; Gibbons *et al.*, 2013), but culturing is an

alternative avenue to explore it (Pedrós-Alió, 2006, Shade *et al.*, 2012). Comparing both

approaches we have found that isolation retrieves some of the rarest taxa since only 24 to

37% of the isolates were found in the 454-pyrosequencing data and, moreover, they were

found in extremely low abundance (Figure 3, Table 2).

In principle, the low percentage of coincidence might have been due to potential PCR bias

and differential DNA amplification of the sequencing techniques (Berry *et al.*, 2011; Pinto &

Raskin, 2012). However, when tested *in silico*, the primers used for pyrosequencing covered

the whole diversity captured by the primers used for Sanger sequencing of the isolates and

therefore, a PCR bias affecting the diversity found using both methods was unlikely.

Our results showed that the deep sequencing approach failed to retrieve 76% of the

bacterial cultures that were isolated in the surface sample. In a similar study, but with much

495 shallower sequencing depth (~2 000 sequences per sample), Shade *et al.* (2012) found out

496 that 61% of their isolates were not retrieved by 454-pyrosequencing. They performed

497 pyrosequencing of a pool of bacterial cultures isolated from soil samples instead of Sanger

498 sequencing of each isolate as we did in this study. Thus both studies, one in soils and another

499 in seawater, produced similar percentages.

501  The long tail of rare species estimated by fitting the Weibull cumulative function to the

502 accumulation curve (Figure 2, Table 1) and by the non-parametric Chao method (Chao *et al.*,

503 2009) (Table 1) should harbor the isolates not found with the sequencing depth applied in this

504 study. Increasing the number of tags sequenced would slowly uncover the isolated bacteria in

505 a logarithmic way (Figure 4). Even if the whole bacterial diversity were found by increasing

506 the sampling depth, culturing would still be essential for the study of marine bacterial

507 communities, especially if the target is the rare biosphere (Donachie *et al.*, 2007).

509  Taking advantage of other datasets previously collected from the same area of our

510 sampling, we were able to compare 454-pyrosequecing data and cultured isolates from

511 different times of the year and stations along a horizontal transect and a vertical profile

512 (Table 3). The percentage of cultured isolates sequences found in the 454-pyrosequecing

513 datasets decreased as the time between the collection of samples for isolation and

514 pyrosequencing increased; i.e., cultures isolated from the same sample as the pyrosequencing

515 data were found more easily in the pyrosequencing dataset than the cultures collected further

516 away in time or space (Table 3). The consistency of this pattern within the two datasets,

517 SUMMER and MODIVUS (Table 3), suggests that the composition of the bacteria isolated

518 changes along the year probably following the annual succession of the marine bacterial

composition (Alonso-Sáez *et al*., 2007; Alonso-Sáez *et al*., 2008; Gilbert *et al*., 2012).

Similarly, Lekunberri *et al*. (2014) found that sequences from cultures obtained from one

season of the year tended to cluster together with environmental sequences obtained at the

same time of the year. These two findings suggest that the composition of rare taxa also

follows an annual succession, supporting the conclusion that the environment determines the

marine bacterial composition, not only of the abundant taxa but also of the rare members of

the bacterial community (Galand *et al.*, 2009). The shorter length of the 454-tag sequences

(and the consequent decrease in precision of taxonomy) was likely responsible for the higher

percentage of cultured isolates found on the 454-pyrosequecing datasets of MODIVUS cruise

(454-tags sequences of ~68 bp) than of SUMMER cruise (454-tags sequences of ~400 bp).

In conclusion, by obtaining 10^6 tags per sample and fitting a Weibull cumulative function

we have been able to obtain a robust estimate of the richness of the bacterial assemblages in

two samples at the surface and deep Mediterranean Sea. This richness is of the order of 3 000

to 5 000 taxa. The comparison with cultures shows that many of the isolates are found deep

within the rare biosphere, and we have determined the sequencing effort necessary to retrieve

them. HTS and culturing appear as two complementary strategies to probe the fabric of the

rare biosphere.

Acknowledgements

We thank the crews and scientists in cruises Modivus and SUMMER, both on the RV

García del Cid, supported by the Spanish MICINN grants CTM2005-04795/MAR and

CTM2008-03309/MAR respectively.

We thank F. M. Cornejo-Castillo for his advice on the method for isolates' differentiation.

E. Sa and V. Balagué help with bacterial culturing and PCR work is highly appreciated.

B. G. C. was supported by a Juan de la Cierva contract from the Spanish "Ministerio de

Ciencia e Innovación". Research was funded by the Spanish "Plan Nacional de Investigación

Científica y Técnica" grants Marine Gems (CTM2010-20361) and Blue Genes (CTM2013-

48292-C3-1-R).

References

Alonso-Sáez L, Balagué V, Sà EL, Sánchez O, González JM, Pinhassi J, *et al.* (2007).
Seasonality in bacterial diversity in north-west Mediterranean coastal waters: assessment
through clone libraries, fingerprinting and FISH. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **60**:98–112.
Alonso-Sáez L, Sánchez O, Gasol JM, Balagué V, Pedrós-Alio C. (2008). Winter-to-summer
changes in the composition and single-cell activity of near-surface Arctic prokaryotes.
Environ Microbiol **10**:2444–54.
Amaral-Zettler L, Artigas LF, Baross J, Bharathi LPA, Boetius A, Chandramohan D, *et al.*
(2010). A Global Census of Marine Microbes — Census of Marine Life Maps and
Visualization. In:*Life in the World's Oceans: Diversity, Distribution, and Abundance.*,
McIntyre., A (ed), Wiley-Blackwell, pp. 223–345.
Berry D, Ben Mahfoudh K, Wagner M, Loy A. (2011). Barcoded primers used in multiplex
amplicon pyrosequencing bias amplification. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **77**:7846–9.
Caporaso J, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bttinger K, Bushman F, Costello E, *et al.* (2010).
QIIME allows analysis of high- throughput community sequencing data. *Nature* **7**:335–
336.
Caporaso JG, Paszkiewicz K, Field D, Knight R, Gilbert J a. (2012). The Western English
Channel contains a persistent microbial seed bank. *ISME J* **6**:1089–93.
Cermeño P, Teixeira IG, Branco M, Figueiras FG, Marañón E. (2014). Sampling the limits of
species richness in marine phytoplankton communities. *J Plankton Res* **36**:1135–1139.
Chao A, Colwell RK, Lin C, Gotelli NJ. (2009). Sufficient Sampling for Asymptotic
Minimum Species Richness Estimators. *Ecology* **90**:1125–1133.
Crespo BG, Pommier T, Fernández-Gómez B, Pedrós-Alió C. (2013). Taxonomic
composition of the particle-attached and free-living bacterial assemblages in the
Northwest Mediterranean Sea analyzed by pyrosequencing of the 16S rRNA.
Microbiologyopen **2**:541–552.
Curtis TP, Sloan WT, Scannell JW. (2002). Estimating prokaryotic diversity and its limits.
Proc Natl Acad Sci **99**:10494–99.
DeLong E. (1997). Marine microbial diversity: the tip of the iceberg. *Trends Biotechnol*
15:203–207.
Donachie SP, Foster JS, Brown M V. (2007). Culture clash: challenging the dogma of
microbial diversity. *ISME J* **1**:97–9.
Dowd SE, Callaway TR, Wolcott RD, Sun Y, McKeehan T, Hagevoort RG, *et al.* (2008).
Evaluation of the bacterial diversity in the feces of cattle using 16S rDNA bacterial tag-
encoded FLX amplicon pyrosequencing (bTEFAP). *BMC Microbiol* **8**:125.
Eilers H, Pernthaler J, Glöckner FO, Amann R. (2000). Culturability and In situ abundance of
pelagic bacteria from the North Sea. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **66**:3044–51.
Erwin T. (1991). How many species are there? Revisited. *Conserv Biol* **5**:1–4.
Fisher MM, Triplett EW. (1999). Automated approach for ribosomal intergenic spacer
analysis of microbial diversity and its application to freshwater bacterial communities.
Appl Environ Microbiol **65**:4630–6.
Flather CH. (1996). Fitting Species-Accumulation Functions and Assessing Regional Land
Use Impacts on A vian Diversity Curtis H. Flather. *J Biogeogr* **23**:155–168.
Galand PE, Casamayor EO, Kirchman DL, Lovejoy C. (2009). Ecology of the rare microbial
biosphere of the Arctic Ocean. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **106**:22427–22432.

Gibbons SM, Caporaso JG, Pirrung M, Field D, Knight R, Gilbert JA. (2013). Evidence for a
persistent microbial seed bank throughout the global ocean. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
110:4651–4655.
Gilbert JA, Steele JA, Caporaso JG, Steinbrück L, Reeder J, Temperton B, *et al.* (2012).
Defining seasonal marine microbial community dynamics. *ISME J* **6**:298–308.
Giovannoni SJ, Britschgi TB, Moyer CL, Field KG. (1990). Genetic diversity in Sargasso
Sea bacterioplankton. *Nature* **345**:60–3.
Hagström Å, Pommier T, Rohwer F, Simu K, Svensson D, Zweifel U. (2002). Bio-
informatics reveal surprisingly low species richness in marine bacterioplankton. *Appl*
Environ Microbiol **67**:3628–3633.
Huse SM, Welch DM, Morrison HG, Sogin ML. (2010). Ironing out the wrinkles in the rare
biosphere through improved OTU clustering. *Environ Microbiol* **12**:1889–98.
Jiménez-Valverde A, Mendoza SJ, Cano JM, Munguira ML. (2006). Comparing Relative
Model Fit of Several Species-Accumulation Functions to Local Papilionoidea and
Hesperioidea Butterfly Inventories of Mediterranean Habitats. *Biodivers Conserv*
15:177–190.
Jones SE, Lennon JT. (2010). Dormancy contributes to the maintenance of microbial
diversity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**:5881–6.
Kindt R, Coe R. (2005). Tree diversity analysis. A manual and software for common
statistical methods for ecological and biodiversity studies. World Agroforestry Centre
(ICRAF): Nairobi (Kenya).
Lekunberri I, Gasol JM, Acinas SG, Gómez-Consarnau L, Crespo BG, Casamayor EO, *et al.*
(2014). The phylogenetic and ecological context of cultured and whole genome-
sequenced planktonic bacteria from the coastal NW Mediterranean Sea. *Syst Appl*
Microbiol. **37**:216-28.
Lynch MDJ, Bartram AK, Neufeld JD. (2012). Targeted recovery of novel phylogenetic
diversity from next-generation sequence data. *ISME J* **6**:2067–77.
Magurran AE. (1988). Ecological diversity and its measurements. Princeton University Press:
Princeton, New Jersey.
May RM. (1988). How many species are there on Earth? *Science* **241**:1441–9.
Mora C, Tittensor DP, Adl S, Simpson AGB, Worm B. (2011). How many species are there
on Earth and in the ocean? *PLoS Biol* **9**:e1001127.
Oksanen J, Guillaume-Blanchet F, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin P, O'Hara R, *et al.* (2013).
Vegan: Community Ecology Package.
Pace NR. (1997). A molecular view of microbial diversity and the biosphere. *Science*
276:734–40.
Pedrós-Alió C. (2006). Marine microbial diversity: can it be determined? *Trends Microbiol*
14:257–63.
Pedrós-Alió C. (2012). The Rare Bacterial Biosphere. *Ann Rev Mar Sci* **4**:449–466.
Pedrós-Alió C, Calderón-Paz J-I, Guixa-Boixereu N, Estrada M, Gasol JM. (1999).
Bacterioplankton and phytoplankton biomass and production during summer
stratification in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea. *Deep Sea Res Part I Oceanogr Res*
Pap **46**:985–1019.
Penton CR, St Louis D, Cole JR, Luo Y, Wu L, Schuur EAG, *et al.* (2013). Fungal diversity
in permafrost and tallgrass prairie soils under experimental warming conditions. *Appl*
Environ Microbiol **79**:7063–72.

Pinto AJ, Raskin L. (2012). PCR biases distort bacterial and archaeal community structure in
pyrosequencing datasets. *PLoS One* **7**:e43093.
Pommier T, Neal P, Gasol J, Coll M, Acinas S, Pedrós-Alió C. (2010). Spatial patterns of
bacterial richness and evenness in the NW Mediterranean Sea explored by
pyrosequencing of the 16S rRNA. *Aquat Microb Ecol* **61**:221–233.
Preston FW. (1960). Time and Space and the Variation of Species. *Ecology* **41**:612–627.
Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, *et al.* (2013). The SILVA
ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools.
Nucleic Acids Res **41**:D590–6.
Quince C, Lanzen A, Davenport RJ, Turnbaugh PJ. (2011). Removing noise from
pyrosequenced amplicons. *BMC Bioinformatics* **12**:38.
RCoreTeam. (2013). R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
Ritz C, Streibig J. (2005). Bioassay Analysis using R. *J Stat Softw* **12**.
Van Rooijen J. (2012). Estimating the snake species richness of the Santubong
Peninsula (Borneo): a computer-simulation. *Amphibia-Reptilia* **33**:521–525.
Rosenzweig M. (1995). Species diversity in space and time. In:Cambridge University Press:
Cambridge.
Rusch DB, Halpern AL, Sutton G, Heidelberg KB, Williamson S, Yooseph S, *et al.* (2007).
The Sorcerer II Global Ocean Sampling expedition: northwest Atlantic through eastern
tropical Pacific. *PLoS Biol* **5**:e77.
Schauer M, Balagué V, Pedrós-Alió C, Massana R. (2003). Seasonal changes in the
taxonomic composition of bacterioplankton in a coastal oligotrophic system. *Aquat
Microb Ecol* **31**:163–174.
Scheinert P, Krausse R, Ullmann U, Söller R, Krupp G. (1996). Molecular differentiation of
bacteria by PCR amplification of the 16S–23S rRNA spacer. *J Microbiol Methods*
26:103–117.
Schloss PD, Gevers D, Westcott SL. (2011). Reducing the effects of PCR amplification and
sequencing artifacts on 16S rRNA-based studies. Gilbert, JA (ed). *PLoS One* **6**:e27310.
Shade A, Hogan CS, Klimowicz AK, Linske M, McManus PS, Handelsman J. (2012).
Culturing captures members of the soil rare biosphere. *Environ Microbiol* **14**:2247–52.
Sogin ML, Morrison HG, Huber JA, Mark Welch D, Huse SM, Neal PR, *et al.* (2006).
Microbial diversity in the deep sea and the underexplored "rare biosphere". *Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A* **103**:12115–20.
Staley J, Konopka A. (1985). Measurement of in situ activities of nonphotosynthetic
microroganisms in aquatic and terrestrial habitats. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **39**:321–383.
Thompson GG, Withers PC, Pianka ER, Thompson S a. (2003). Assessing biodiversity with
species accumulation curves; inventories of small reptiles by pit-trapping in Western
Australia. *Austral Ecol* **28**:361–383.
Wall PK, Leebens-Mack J, Chanderbali AS, Barakat A, Wolcott E, Liang H, *et al.* (2009).
Comparison of next generation sequencing technologies for transcriptome
characterization. *BMC Genomics* **10**:347.

Figure legends

Figure 1. OTU accumulation curves of the surface (orange circles) and bottom (green triangles) samples. The black line is the Weibull cumulative function fit with model efficiency of 99% (R^2=0.99).

Figure 2. Rank-abundance plots of surface (A) and bottom (B) samples. The red line is the rank-abundance plot calculated with the actual data. The blue lines show the estimates of the sampling effort necessary to retrieve 99% (dark blue) or 99.9% (light blue) of the total estimated richness calculated by extrapolation of the species accumulation curve using the Weibull cumulative function. The vertical black line separates the real data (left) from the estimates (right). The percentage of cultured isolates found in the 454-pyrosequencing datasets is indicated at the left side of the black vertical line. The percentage of cultured isolates not found in the 454-pyrosequencing datasets, and that would presumably be found by increasing the sampling effort, is indicated at the right of the black vertical line. Insert pictures show some of the bacterial cultures grown from the surface sample. Font size and pictures are scaled according to the percentage of cultured isolates found or not found in the 454-pyrosequencing datasets.

Figure 3. Rank-abundance plot of the 38 isolated bacterial species. The maroon squares indicate the cultured isolates found in both the surface and bottom 454-pyrosequencing datasets, the green triangles indicate the cultures isolated found only in the bottom 454-pyrosequencing dataset, and the white circles indicate the cultures that were not found in any of the 454-pyrosequencing datasets. A list of the isolated bacterial species can be found in Table 2 and supplementary Table 2.

710   Figure 4. Accumulation curves of the percentage of cultured isolated found in the 454-

711   pyrosequencing datasets (surface sample: A, C and bottom sample: B, D) when increasing the

712   number of sampled OTUs (A, B) and Tags (C, D).

Table 1. Summary of location and depth (m) of samples, total sequences before (Raw Tags)

and after (Final Tags) cleaning, richness (S) computed as total Operational Taxonomic Units

(OTUs) clustered at 97% identity, percentage of singletons. Diversity estimates according to

the Shannon diversity index (H'), Simpson diversity (D) and Pielou's evenness (J). Richness

(S) estimates according the non-parametric methods Chao Index (SChao) and Abundance-

base Coverage Estimator (ACE) (S_{ACE}) and the fitting of the accumulation curve to a Weibull

Cumulative three parameter function ($S_{WeibullFit}$) which model efficiency was 99% for the

surface and the bottom samples fitting (see Fig. 1). Estimates of the sampling effort (number

of Tags) that would be needed to obtained the 99% and 99.9% of the total richness estimated

by the Chao Index (S_{Chao}) and the Weibull cumulative function fitting ($S_{WeibullFit}$).

	Surface	Bottom
Lat, Long	40º52'N, 02º47'E	40º52'N, 02º47'E
Depth (m)	5	2 000
Raw Tags	713 076	970 346
Final Tags	500 262	574 960
OTUs 97 % identity (S)	1 400	4 460
Singletons (% OTUs)	17.86	17.20
Diversity estimates:		
H' (Shannon diversity index)	3.26	4.75
D (Simpson diversity)	0.45	0.66
J' (Pielou's eveness)	0.45	0.57
Richness estimates (estimated S):		
Chao Index (S_{Chao})	1 643	5 029
ACE (S_{ACE})	1 556	4 924
Weibull Cumulative Fitting ($S_{WeibullFit}$)	1 519	4 946
Sampling effort estimates (Number of Tags) for:		
99 % of S_{Chao}	1.33×10^6	1.04×10^6
99.9 % of S_{Chao}	2.47×10^6	2.03×10^6
99 % of $S_{WeibullFit}$	1.92×10^6	1.96×10^6
99.9 % of $S_{WeibullFit}$	4.02×10^6	3.91×10^6

735 Table 2. Isolates' closest relative according to BLAST results, % of identity with the BLAST reference strain (identity BLAST),

736 GenBank accession number of the BLAST reference strain, number of tags matching the isolates sequences in the surface and bottom

737 samples (Tags in Surface, Tags in Bottom), percentage of the tags in the surface and bottom samples (% Surface, % Bottom) and number

738 of isolates of each taxa sequenced. *Actino* (*Actinobacteria*), *Bact* (*Bacteroidetes*), *Firm* (*Firmicutes*), Alpha-*P* (Alpha-*Proteobacetria*)

739 and Gamma-*P* (Gamma-*Proteobacteria*).

Isolates' closest relative	Identity BLAST	GenBank accession number	Tags in Surface	% Surface	Tags in Bottom	% Bottom	Isolates number
Uncultured Brevundimonas sp. (Alpha-*P*)	99.9 %	JX047099	76	1.52×10^{-2}	172	2.99×10^{-2}	1
Alteromonas macleodii str. 'Balearic Sea AD45' (Gamma-*P*)	100 %	CP003873	40	8.00×10^{-3}	7526	1.31	2
Sphingobium olei (Alpha-*P*)	100 %	HQ398416	34	6.80×10^{-3}	232	4.04×10^{-2}	8
Erythrobacter citreus (Alpha-*P*)	100 %	EU440970	31	6.20×10^{-3}	861	1.50×10^{-1}	37
Citromicrobium sp.(Alpha-*P*)	100 %	HQ871848	22	4.40×10^{-3}	39	6.78×10^{-3}	1
Acinetobacter baumannii (Gamma-*P*)	100 %	JX966437	16	3.20×10^{-3}	128	2.23×10^{-2}	4
Bizionia sp. (*Bact*)	100 %	EU143366	13	2.60×10^{-3}	66	1.15×10^{-2}	1
Muricauda ruestringensis (*Bact*)	99 %	JN791391	4	8.00×10^{-4}	92	1.60×10^{-2}	2
Microbacterium jejuense (*Actino*)	100 %	AM778450	1	2.00×10^{-4}	15	2.61×10^{-3}	1
Marinobacter flavimaris (Gamma-*P*)	100 %	AB617558	0	0	174	3.03×10^{-2}	5
Bacillus sp. (*Firm*)	100 %	AM950311	0	0	17	2.96×10^{-3}	1
Bacillus horikoshii (*Firm*)	100 %	JQ904719	0	0	8	1.39×10^{-3}	2
Halomonas aquamarina (Gamma-*P*)	100 %	AB681582	0	0	1	1.74×10^{-4}	5
Idiomarina seosinensis (Gamma-*P*)	99.9 %	EU440964	0	0	1	1.74×10^{-4}	2

Table 3. Percentage of coincidence of the 454 pyrosequencing datasets from cruises SUMMER (September 2011) and MODIVUS

(September 2007) with cultures covering the specific hypervariable regions sequenced in each cruise. The cultures belonged to four

culture collections from the NW Mediterranean. The — symbol indicates that the comparison was not significant due to the low number

of cultures with V1-V3 regions in the BBMO-3 culture collection. The comparison was Not Applicable (NA) when the samples

compared did not belong to the same sampling site. The highest percentages of coincidences are indicated in bold type. BBMO means

Blanes Bay Microbial Observatory.

A) Culture collection	B) SUMMER 454 sequences (September 2011)		C) MODIVUS 454 sequences (September 2007)				
1	2	3	4	5	6[b]	7[b]	8[b]
	# cultures[a]	% found with all sequences	# cultures[a]	% found with all sequences	% found with BBMO sequences	% found with Open-Sea, Surface	% found with Open-Sea, Bottom
BBMO-1 (2001-2004)	65	8%	39	44%	23%	NA	NA
BBMO-2 (Feb 2007)	11	9%	20	80%	40%	NA	NA
BBMO-3 (Sept 2007)	2	—	6	**83%**	**67%**	NA	NA
SUMMER (Sept 2011)	38	**37%**	38	74%	NA	26%	**34%**

746   [a] Number of cultures in each collection where the sequence available matched the region targeted in the 454 sequencing (V1-V3
747   in Summer and V6 in MODIVUS).

748   [b] Comparison of the culture collections and the 454 pyrosequencing data of the sample collected at the same site as the sample
749   for culture isolation.

Figure 1
Crespo et al.

A

B

Figure 2
Crespo et al.

Figure 3
Crespo et al.

Figure 4
Crespo et al.