Hydraulic conductance and the maintenance of water balance in

flowers

Adam B. Roddy[1,2] and Todd E. Dawson[1]

[1]Department of Integrative Biology, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

[2]Present address: School of Forestry & Environmental Studies, Yale University, New Haven, CT,

USA

Author of contact:

Adam B. Roddy

email: adam.roddy@yale.edu

phone: +1 510.224.4432

Abstract

The production and maintenance of flowers is critical for successful reproduction in the

angiosperms, yet we know little about how flowers remain hydrated under desiccating conditions.

Here we quantify the variability in floral hydraulic conductance (K_{flower}) for a phylogenetically diverse

set of 17 species from 10 angiosperm families and relate the variability in K_{flower} to traits associated

with the liquid phase transport of water into and through flowers and traits associated with the

vapor phase diffusion of water vapor from floral surfaces. We found that K_{flower} was coordinated with

traits associated with water supply (vein length per area, VLA) and loss (minimum epidermal

conductance, g_{min}), particularly among the magnoliids. Among the monocots and eudicots, K_{flower} was

largely insensitive to variation in VLA, suggesting that the low VLA of these species may require

them to rely on other mechanisms of maintaining turgor than continuous supply of water by the

xylem. K_{flower} varied considerably among species, and its reduction among the monocots and eudicots

may have relaxed physiological constraints on flowers and allowed floral morphological traits to be

more efficiently molded by pollinator selection in these clades.

Key words: angiosperms, flower, hydraulic conductance, vein density, water balance

Introduction

The primary function of flowers in most angiosperms is to promote sexual reproduction by

either attracting animal pollinators or promoting pollen dispersal by wind or water. Animal

pollinators have long been thought to be one of the most important agents of selection on flowers

(Sprengel 1793; Darwin 1888), and numerous studies have shown that among narrowly defined

groups, pollinator selection can influence a variety of morphological traits, such as color, size, and

shape (Galen 2000; Bradshaw & Schemske 2003; Whittall & Hodges 2007; Hopkins & Rauscher

2012). However, flowers are subject to the same biophysical constraints of resource supply and

demand as other plant structures. These non-pollinator agents of selection, though rarely studied,

can also exert selective pressures on floral traits, often in opposition to the preferences of pollinators

(Strauss & Whittall, 2006). For example, pollinators generally prefer larger, more showy flowers, but

larger flowers have higher water requirements that can exact physiological costs on the rest of the

plant (Galen et al. 1999; Lambrecht & Dawson 2007; Lambrecht 2013). Flowers of many species

are often located in the hottest, driest parts of the plant canopy, which can influence the amount of

water they require throughout the day (Roddy & Dawson 2012). Knowing how flowers remain

turgid and attractive to pollinators is fundamental to understanding their structure, function, and

evolution.

Compared to other structures, such as leaves and stems (Feild et al. 2009; Pittermann 2010),

the hydraulic architecture of flowers has received little attention. Presuming that water influx and

efflux must be in balance over some time scale, the mass balance of flower water can be defined as:

$$\Delta W = J - E \qquad \text{(eqn 1)}$$

where W is the water content, and J and E the rates of water supply and loss, respectively. If mass

balance of water is to be maintained, then $\Delta W = 0$, and J must equal E. Various factors can

influence these rates of water loss from and water supply to flowers. Water can be transpired from

flowers via stomata or evaporate through the cuticle from epidermal cells. The relative

contributions of these two pathways to the total transpirational flux are unknown and may vary

among species. In some taxa, flowers are unable to regulate epidermal conductances to water vapor

(Hew et al. 1980; Teixido & Valladares 2014), while in other species stomata remain capable of

opening and closing (Azad et al. 2007; Feild et al. 2009). Whether or not transpiration is regulated

by stomata, maintaining high rates of floral transpiration would require that flowers have constant,

ample supplies of water. The most efficient way of providing large amounts of water to flowers

would be via the xylem, the tissue predominantly used for transporting water throughout the plant.

Flowers of some early-diverging, 'basal' angiosperms and magnoliids (the genera *Illicium*

(Schisandraceae) and *Magnolia* (Magnoliaceae)) have been shown to have water potentials more

negative than the stem, indicating that they are hydraulically connected to the stem xylem (Feild et al.

2009; Feild et al. 2009). In contrast, some eudicot flowers and petals tend to have higher, less

negative water potentials than subtending bracts and leaves (Trolinder et al. 1993; Chapotin et al.

2003). These 'reverse' water potential gradients imply that for flowers to remain hydrated, water

must be imported against an apoplastic water potential gradient in the xylem. This could be

performed by the phloem, which is primarily responsible for the transport of photosynthates

throughout the plant. In contrast to the xylem, the phloem has much higher hydraulic resistance

and lower water flux rates because phloem transport occurs symplastically (Münch 1930; Nobel

1983; Windt et al. 2009). Relying on phloem-delivered water instead of xylem-delivered water would

mean that J in eqn 1 would probably be lower, and in order to keep $\Delta W = 0$, then E must also be

lower. In other words, to maintain a constant water content, J and E must be coordinated such that

reductions in water supply associated with a possible switch to phloem-hydration would be

accompanied by reductions in water loss.

The dichotomy between xylem- and possibly phloem-hydrated flowers leads to the

prediction that there may be substantial variation in the xylem hydraulic conductance of flowers

(K_{flower}). Indeed, the xylem in some taxa may not be continuous from pedicel to petal, and the point

of discontinuity could be a source of high resistance to apoplastic water flow (Lersten & Wemple

1966). If flowers are hydrated by the phloem, then we would expect the xylem pathway to be

occluded or otherwise nonfunctional to prevent backflow of water to the stem, which would result

in a lowered K_{flower}. Low values of K_{flower} could be associated with lower rates of water loss (E), which

would mitigate the need for maintaining a high hydraulic supply capacity (J). Thus, coordinated

changes in water supply and water loss should correlate with changes in K_{flower}, and the phloem may

be capable of supplying enough water to flowers to maintain water balance if E is sufficiently low.

Regardless of whether the phloem is involved in supplying significant amounts of water to flowers,

there may nonetheless be significant variation in xylem hydraulic conductance among flowers which

may correspond to other traits associated with water supply and loss.

By comparison, leaf hydraulic conductance (K_{leaf}) is highly variable among species and

influenced by both environmental conditions and anatomical traits (Sack et al. 2003; Brodribb &

Jordan 2011). Maintaining water balance within thresholds that prevent significant dehydration

requires coordination between traits associated with water supply and traits associated with water

loss. In leaves, a few important traits have been shown to be critical in maintaining water balance

(Boyce et al. 2009; Brodribb et al. 2013). In terms of water supply, vein length per area (VLA; or

vein density) is one of the major traits controlling leaf hydraulic conductance (Sack & Frole 2006;

Brodribb et al. 2007; Brodribb & Jordan 2011). Leaves with higher VLA can deliver liquid water

closer to the sites of evaporation in the leaf to prevent desiccation of the photosynthetic tissues

during CO_2 exchange with the atmosphere. By increasing the supply of water closer to the sites of

evaporation, leaves can maintain higher stomatal conductances and photosynthetic rates.

Furthermore, VLA has been shown to be a critical determinant of leaf hydraulic supply capacity

across diverse land plant lineages and increased dramatically during angiosperm evolution (Brodribb

et al. 2007; Boyce et al. 2009; Brodribb & Feild 2010; Feild et al. 2011; Feild et al. 2011). In terms of

water loss from leaves, maximum stomatal conductance is tightly coordinated with stomatal size and

density, all of which are coordinated with hydraulic conductance of the leaf lamina (Sack et al. 2003;

Brodribb et al. 2013). However, flowers generally have few stomata, which would mean that

cuticular leakiness may have a larger effect on flower water loss than stomata.

109   In the present study, we measured whole flower hydraulic conductance (K_{flower}) for 17 species,

including one previously published species, from 10 angiosperm families that included the

magnoliids, monocots, and the eudicots. We sought to determine the variability of K_{flower} among

species and the anatomical and physiological traits associated with this variation. In addition to

VLA and stomatal traits, we also measured the Huber ratio (ratio of xylem cross-sectional area to

evaporative surface area) and the minimum epidermal conductance to water vapor under non-

transpiring conditions (g_{min}). We made four predictions about the hydraulic efficiency and

maintenance of water balance in flowers. First, we predicted that there would be positive

correlations between water supply traits and water loss traits. Positive correlations between traits in

these two suites would suggest that these traits are involved in synchronizing the liquid phase

transport of water into flowers and the regulation of vapor phase water losses from flowers.

Further, such positive correlations would support the hypothesis that the constraints of maintaining

water balance have required coordinated changes in water supply and water loss. Second, we

predicted that there would be positive correlations among traits within the two suites of traits. As a

result, we predicted that K_{flower} would correlate with both water supply and water loss traits.

Coordination between K_{flower} and water balance traits would provide strong support that these traits

are mechanistically related to the hydraulic capacity of flowers.

Materials and Methods

Plant material

We collected flowering shoots from around the University of California, Berkeley, campus and from the University of California Botanic Garden during the springs of 2013 and 2014. All plants had been kept well-watered. We chose a phylogenetically diverse set of species that varied by almost two orders of magnitude in floral display size (Table 1). These species also varied morphologically, from flowers with undifferentiated perianths to those with a fully differentiated calyx and corolla and from those with free petals to those with sympetalous connation. Additionally, we included inflorescences of *Cornus florida* (Cornaceae), which have small, inconspicuous flowers but large, white bracts as their showy organs. Although the showy floral structures of this set of species are not homologous, they all serve the same function, namely pollinator attraction. For each species, we measured K_{flower} on at least three flowers, and most species had low variance among individual flowers. Sample sizes for each species are shown in Table 1.

Measurements of hydraulic conductance

We used a low pressure flow meter to measure hydraulic conductance of whole flowers and developing flower buds (Kolb et al. 1996). This method has been shown to be insensitive to variation in irradiance and measures the capacity for water transport into the leaf or flower (Sack et al. 2002). We chose this method rather than the evaporative flux method because the evaporative flux method depends on maximizing boundary layer conductance. Because of the morphological complexities of flowers (i.e. unlike leaves, flowers are rarely planar), we were not confident we could maximize the boundary layer conductance to obtain realistic maximum values of K_{flower}. However, the low pressure flow meter has the potential to clear any xylem occlusion. We tested this on a subset of species by (1) comparing flow rates when increasing the vacuum pressure to flow rates measured when decreasing the vacuum pressure and (2) by repeatedly measuring the same flower. We found no differences between flow rates measured while increasing the vacuum or while decreasing the vacuum and no significant increase in K_{flower} with subsequent measurements (data not shown).

Flowering shoots were excised early in the morning (before 9:00 am) when stem water potentials of plants growing in this area are generally higher than -0.25 MPa. Cut shoots were

immediately recut under distilled water at least one node apical to the first cut and transported back

to the lab in a covered bucket to minimize water loss. Shoots were kept in water in the covered

bucket for at least one hour during transport and after returning to the lab before any flowers were

excised, allowing for relaxation of xylem tension. We only measured the most recently opened

flowers on each plant, based on each flower's development relative to other flowers on the plant.

Once in the lab, individual flowers were excised underwater at the pedicel base and connected to

hard-walled tubing that led back to an electronic balance (Sartorius CPA225, Sartorius, Goettingen,

Germany), on which sat a vial of dilute electrolyte solution (10 mM KCl, filtered to 0.2 um and

degassed the morning of measurements). Flowers were placed in a cylindrical acrylic chamber that

was attached to a vacuum pump and lined with wet paper towels. Flow rates of KCl solution into

the leaf or flower from the balance were measured every 10-60 seconds depending on the absolute

flow rate under 5-6 different pressures ranging from 15 to 60 kPa below ambient. At each pressure,

flow rates were allowed to stabilize for 3-20 minutes and until the coefficient of variation of the last

ten readings was, ideally, less than 5% and the instantaneous measurements converged on the

average of the last ten measurements. In practice, low absolute flow rates meant that stable averages

could be reached but the coefficient of variation often remained above 5%. To determine K_{flower}, we

linearly regressed the flow rates versus pressure and removed, at most, one outlying point from the

regression. Immediately after measurements, we scanned the flowers to determine the one-sided

projected surface area of all perianth parts, which we used to normalize hydraulic conductance to

calculate K_{flower} in units of mmol s^{-1} m^{-2} MPa^{-1}. For comparison of measurements made using the low

pressure flow meter to measurements made using other methods, values of K_{flower} for *Magnolia*

grandiflora reported by Feild et al. (2009b) using a different method were approximately equivalent to

values produced using our method for congeneric species, and maximum measurements of K_{flower} in

the field based on Darcy's Law for *Calycanthus occidentalis* approached those measured using the low

pressure flow meter (data not shown).

To compare our measured values of K_{flower} to values of K_{leaf}, we compiled data from a variety

of papers that reported K_{leaf} for both temperate (Nardini & Salleo 2000; Sack et al. 2002; Nardini et

al. 2005; Gullo et al. 2005; Scoffoni et al. 2008) and tropical (Sack & Frole 2006) plants.

Trait measurements

The Huber ratio is the ratio of the xylem cross-sectional area to the evaporative surface area.

In the laboratory, pedicels of the flowers measured for K_{flower} were sliced underwater using a sharp

razor blade. The sections were placed in distilled H_2O, while floral structures (tepals, petals, sepals)

were individually removed and scanned on a flatbed scanner. The pedicel cross-sections were

quickly stained with phloroglucinol and imaged at 5-40x under a compound microscope outfitted

with a digital camera. We measured the xylem cross-sectional area and the surface area of flowers

using ImageJ (version 1.44o; Rasband 2012). We did not measure the area of individual xylem

conduits, but instead quantified the amount of cross-sectional area that was occupied by xylem.

For flowers that had differentiated perianths, we made trait measurements only on the

corolla because the petals comprised the largest evaporative surface area. Sampling for vein density

was identical to Roddy et al. (2013) and briefly summarized here. To account for the high variability

in vein density within a petal, we excised multiple 1-cm^2 pieces from petals of multiple flowers.

These sections were placed in 2% NaOH for clearing. Sections were rinsed briefly in distilled H_2O

and then placed in 95% ethanol. Once in ethanol, samples were briefly stained with Safranin O and

imaged at 5-20x magnification under a compound microscope outfitted with a digital camera. One

or two images per section from each of five to twelve sections per species were captured, and vein

densities were measured using Image J (version 1.44o; Rasband 2012).

The minimum epidermal conductance, g_{min}, is the area-normalized conductance to water

vapor in the dark, after stomata have been allowed to close (Kerstiens 1996). We measured g_{min} on

individual petals or tepals by sealing the cut edges with a thick layer of petroleum jelly and placing

the structures in a dark box into which was placed a fan and a temperature and relative humidity

sensor. For connate flowers, we measured the entire tubular structure and sealed the cut base with

petroleum jelly. Structures sat on a mesh screen while the fan pulled air across the flowers inside the

container. Every 5 to 20 minutes, the container was briefly opened and the structure weighed on a

balance with a resolution of 0.1 mg. A regression of the linear part of this resulting curve was used

to calculate g_{min}. After approximately 10 measurements, each structure was scanned to measure its

area and then placed in a drying oven for later dry mass measurement.

We used two methods to measure stomatal traits, stomatal density and guard cell length.

First, we cleared sections in 2% NaOH, rinsed them briefly in distilled H_2O, and transferred them

into 95% EtOH. Images of the epidermis were made using a compound microscope at 5-40x. We

imaged 5-20 fields of view to determine stomatal densities, depending on the abundance of stomata.

We also made stomatal impressions using dental putty (Coltene Whaledent President Light Body).

Nail varnish impressions of the dental putty were then imaged with a compound microscope.

Guard cell length was determined by measuring the maximum length of at least 10 guard cells for

each species with stomata. The stomatal pore area index (SPI) was calculated as the product of

stomatal density and the square of average guard cell length, according to Sack et al. (2003a).

We lacked some trait data for some species but, because of the paucity of K_{flower}

measurements, we have chosen to include these species in the present analyses when possible. Data

for *Magnolia grandiflora* were taken from Feild (Feild et al. 2009) and so lacked many of the traits we

measured. There are no anatomical or structural traits for *Magnolia doltsopa*, so mean K_{flower} for this

species occurs only in Table 1.

Statistical analyses

For correlations between traits and between traits and K_{flower}, we tested whether there was a

significant correlation between variables using the conservative non-parametric Spearman rank

correlation. We compared linear, logarithmic, power, and quadratic fits and chose the model with

the lowest root mean square error (RMSE). Because calculating R^2 values from nonlinear fits is

statistically inappropriate, instead we report the Spearman correlation coefficient, r_s. Clade-specific

correlations were similarly examined, and correlations within the magnoliids were analyzed using

linear regressions with their respective R^2 values reported. All analyses were performed in R (; v.

3.1.1; R Core Team 2012).

Results

K_{flower} varied widely among the species we studied from a mean of 1.30 mmol s^{-1} m^{-2} MPa^{-1} for

Cornus florida inflorescences to 18.79 mmol s^{-1} m^{-2} MPa^{-1} for *Calycanthus occidentalis* flowers. *C. florida*

inflorescences, whose showy organs are bracts, had the lowest K_{flower} of any species measured.

Interestingly, the two magnoliid genera spanned most of the variation in K_{flower} of all species

measured, and K_{flower} of these two genera were marginally significantly different (averages of 13.84

and 3.18 mmol s^{-1} m^{-2} MPa^{-1} for *Calycanthus* and *Magnolia*, respectively; P = 0.05). The monocots

varied from 1.71 mmol s^{-1} m^{-2} MPa^{-1} for *Iris douglasiana* to 4.03 mmol s^{-1} m^{-2} MPa^{-1} for *Agapanthus*

africanus, while the eudicots ranged from 1.30 mmol s^{-1} m^{-2} MPa^{-1} for *Cornus florida* inflorescences to

3.81 mmol s^{-1} m^{-2} MPa^{-1} for *Paeonia suffruticosa*.

If the abundance of xylem conduits were a major limitation to K_{flower}, then species with more

abundant xylem, whether as veins in petals and tepals or as conduit cross-sectional area in pedicels,

should have higher K_{flower}. In general, xylem traits related to water supply were significantly and

positively correlated with K_{flower} (Figure 1). There was a significant relationship between K_{flower} and

VLA (r_s = 0.61, df = 13, P = 0.015), which was best fit by a quadratic relationship (RMSE = 1.53,

df = 12). However, within clades only the magnoliids showed a significant effect of VLA on K_{flower}

(R^2 = 0.93, F = 63.88, df = 4, P = 0.001). There was no significant relationship between K_{flower} and

the Huber ratio (P = 0.84), nor between VLA and Huber ratio (P = 0.85; Figure 3).

Water loss traits were also predicted to correlate with K_{flower}. Consistent with this hypothesis,

there was a significant relationship between K_{flower} and g_{min} (r_s = 0.52, df = 12, P = 0.05), which was

best fit by a quadratic function (RMSE = 1.22, df = 11; Figure 2a). This relationship was driven

predominantly by the large variation in g_{min} and K_{flower} among the Calycanthaceae. No relationships

between stomatal traits and K_{flower} were significant, although species with higher stomatal densities

(and thus also SPI) tended also to have higher K_{flower} (Figure 2b-d). It should be noted that leaves

have much higher stomatal densities than measured here on flowers; angiosperm leaves range from

100 to 500 stomata per mm^2 of lamina surface area (Sack et al. 2003), while flowers in the present

study had no more than 15 stomata per mm^2. The range of guard cell lengths we measured on

flowers (12-32 um was consistent with guard cell size recorded for leaves (Sack et al. 2003).

These water loss traits were also predicted to correlate with each other. Stomata represent

an open path for liquid water to evaporate from the flower intercellular spaces into the atmosphere,

so higher abundances or larger stomata should increase the rate at which flowers lose water,

particularly if stomata do not close completely (Hew et al. 1980). However, there was no significant

relationship between g_{min} and stomatal traits (Figure 4a).

Because of the need to maintain water balance, water supply traits and water loss traits were

predicted to correlate positively with each other. Indeed, the strongest correlation was between g_{min}

and VLA (r_s = 0.60, df = 10, P < 0.05), which was best described by a quadratic function (RMSE =

6.96, df = 9; Figure 5a). The strength of this correlation, combined with the strength of correlation

between each of these traits and K_{flower}, strengthens the importance of these two water balance traits

in influencing floral hydraulic architecture. Within clades, the correlation between g_{min} and VLA was

significant only for the magnoliids (R^2 = 0.88, F = 30.82, df = 3, P = 0.012). No other correlations

between water supply and water loss traits were significant (Figure 5b-d).

The hydraulic conductance of flowers is almost as variable as that of leaves (Figure 6).

However, most of this variation was due to variation among the magnoliids (the genera *Magnolia* and

Calycanthus in the current study), which had values of hydraulic conductance even higher than some

leaves. Average K_{flower} of the eudicots was slightly lower than that of the monocots, which was lower

than that of the magnoliids. Thus, most flowers, particularly of the monocots and eudicots, had

conductances in the low ends of the distributions for leaves and for stems. Only three species in

this combined dataset have had hydraulic conductance values measured for both leaves and flowers,

and for all of them K_{leaf} was higher than K_{flower} (*Calycanthus floridus* leaves and flowers averaged 13.44

(Nardini & Salleo 2000) and 10.38 mmol m^{-2} s^{-1} MPa^{-1}, respectively, *Magnolia soulangiana* leaves and

flowers averaged 7.87 (Nardini & Salleo 2000)and 3.51 mmol m^{-2} s^{-1} MPa^{-1}, respectively, and *Magnolia*

grandiflora leaves and flowers averaged 5.86 and 2.62 mmol m^{-2} s^{-1} MPa^{-1} (Feild et al. 2009),

respectively).

Discussion

Maintaining water balance in desiccating environments requires coordination between water

supply and water loss. As leaves or flowers transpire water, their water contents and water potentials

decline, which drives the movement of water along the soil-plant-atmosphere continuum. In order

to maintain turgor and physiological functioning, the capacity to transport liquid phase water should

be tightly coordinated with the rates at which water is lost to the atmosphere. In leaves, differences

between microenvironments, for example between sun and shade, induce coordinated changes in

traits associated with liquid phase and vapor phase conductances to water, lending strong support to

the idea that the need to maintain water balance is a critical determinant of leaf structure (Brodribb

& Jordan 2011). Although they are much more ephemeral than leaves, flowers must remain turgid

to attract pollinators and, as a result, may also need to maintain water balance throughout anthesis.

How they do this has been a point of controversy, with some suggesting that water is delivered

primarily by the phloem against the xylem water potential gradient (Trolinder et al. 1993; Chapotin

et al. 2003) and others showing that water is imported by the xylem (Feild et al. 2009; Feild et al.

2009).

In the present study, we took a different approach to examining variability in the hydraulic

capacity of recently opened flowers from a phylogenetically diverse set of species. Our results

showed that K_{flower} is linked to anatomical and physiological traits associated with water supply and

loss. K_{flower} increased significantly with increasing *VLA* (Figure 1), suggesting that the xylem is

involved in the delivery of liquid water to petals and tepals. K_{flower} also increased with increasing g_{min},

suggesting that the capacity for water transport through flowers is coordinated with rates of water

loss from them (Figure 2). The coordination between liquid phase water supply and vapor phase

water loss has been characterized for leaves (Brodribb & Jordan 2011), and the present study is, to

our knowledge, the first to demonstrate such coordination for flowers (Figure 5). Our results

further imply that the monocots and eudicots have minimized the hydraulic costs of flowers by

coordinated changes in water balance traits and K_{flower} (Figure 5). Despite being highly variable,

hydraulic conductances of flowers were generally lower than hydraulic conductances for leaves

(Figure 6). From the inflorescences of *Cornus florida* to the undifferentiated perianths of monocots,

there was remarkable convergence of K_{flower} across widely divergent morphologies and sizes among

the monocots and eudicots.

Regulating water loss from flowers

Rates of water loss from flowers can be affected by physiological processes, as well as

morphology and anatomy. More complex, three-dimensional morphologies with overlapping parts

would thicken boundary layers and reduce the gross transpiration flux. Our method for measuring

K_{flower} should be immune to boundary layer effects and allow us to elucidate the relationship between

structure and function. Although the abundance of stomata both within individual flowers and

among species is highly variable, floral stomata, unlike leaf stomata, may be limited in their ability to

curtail water loss from flowers (Hew et al. 1980; Teixido & Valladares 2014; Feild et al. 2009).

Although there were no significant correlations between stomatal traits and either K_{flower} or g_{min}, the

abundance and positioning of floral stomata, like foliar stomata (Sack et al. 2003), may nonetheless

influence water loss at least over microscopic spatial scales. Compared to leaves, lower stomatal

densities on flowers increase the relative importance of the cuticular pathway for water loss,

particularly among monocot and eudicot flowers, which had few, if any, stomata (Figure 4). As a

result, g_{min} was a strong predictor of K_{flower} among species (Figure 2). If it is a general pattern that

stomata on monocot and eudicot flowers do not close passively in response to environmental

conditions or actively in response to hormonal signaling (Hew et al. 1980; Teixido & Valladares

2014), then floral stomata, when they are present, may represent an open path for uncontrolled

water loss. While angiosperm leaves can actively close their stomata to prevent desiccation, floral

stomata, even when they do close, seem incapable of significantly curtailing water loss (Hew et al.

1980; Brodribb & McAdam 2011; McAdam & Brodribb 2012; Teixido & Valladares 2014). Stomata

provide an open pathway for water inside the flower to evaporate into the atmosphere, and the near

absence of stomata from many flowers may be an efficient way to prevent transpiration from tissues

incapable of assimilating CO_2. Thus, the role of stomata in regulating water loss from most flowers

may be not in their capability to close to prevent transpiration but rather in their near absence from

many flowers, which forces transpirational losses to be cuticular. In leaves, this cuticular pathway is

usually thought to be highly resistant to water loss.

Supplying water to flowers

For both flowers and fruits, there is controversy over the relative roles of the xylem and the

phloem in delivering water. The water content of reproductive organs results from a balance

between water supply by vascular tissues (xylem and phloem) and water losses to transpiration and

possible backflow to the stem. There has been substantially more work aimed at understanding

these dynamics in fruits. In various agricultural species, water flow to developing fruits early in

development is considered to come predominantly via the xylem, but this contribution diminishes

and is surpassed by the phloem contribution later in fruit development (Ho et al. 1987; Lang 1990;

Greenspan et al. 1994). However, more recent studies have called into question the idea that the

xylem becomes non-functional and that water import later in development is due solely to the

phloem (Choat et al. 2009; Windt et al. 2009; Clearwater et al. 2012; Clearwater et al. 2013). Instead,

water may be delivered by both xylem and phloem even if the xylem flow declines or fluctuates

throughout development.

With comparatively less work done on flowers, the controversy over xylem- and phloem-

delivery of water still remains unsolved. While the present study is incapable of determining

whether the xylem or the phloem is the predominant source of water to open flowers, our results do

suggest a role for the xylem, at least among some species. Among the magnoliids, increasing VLA

had a significant effect on K_{flower}, while it had little effect on K_{flower} of the moncots and eudicots.

(Figure 1). Increasing K_{flower} associated with increasing VLA strongly suggests that xylem is

functional, consistent with other studies measuring water potential gradients (Feild et al. 2009; Feild

et al. 2009). Interestingly, K_{flower} was insensitive to changes in VLA below a threshold of about 4 mm

mm^{-2} (Figure 1a). The species with VLA below this threshold were composed predominantly of the

monocots and eudicots. In a broader analysis of floral VLA variation, the vast majority of flowers

had VLA below 4 mm mm^{-2}, although species with floral VLA above 4 mm mm^{-2} appear in groups

across the angiosperm phylogeny (Roddy et al. 2013). Coincidentally, *Magnolia* species all had VLA

around this threshold 4 mm mm^{-2}, and inner whorl and outer whorl tepals of *M. grandiflora* vary both

in the direction of their water potential gradients and in $VLA;$ inner whorl tepals had an average

VLA of 3.26 mm mm^{-2} and water potential gradients indicative of phloem-hydration and outer

whorl tepals had a VLA of 5.18 mm mm^{-2} and water potential gradients indicative of xylem

hydration (Feild et al. 2009). These results for different $M. grandiflora$ tepals within the same flower

further suggest that a threshold VLA of 4 mm mm^{-2} may be important in determining how flowers

are hydrated.

The insensitivity of K_{flower} to VLA variation among these low VLA species has two possible

implications. First, veins in these species may not conduct water during anthesis, and the

predominant source of water for the flower may be delivered by the phloem in response to carbon

demand. However, estimates of the water flux that could be delivered by the phloem given

estimates of the carbon demand of flowers suggest that the phloem alone cannot supply enough

water to meet transpiration (Chapotin et al. 2003). Second, the near absence of stomata on flowers

with low VLA and the low g_{min} of these flowers may mean that the resistance in the hydraulic

pathway between the vein and the epidermis may be so high that the flux rate of water through the

veins may be spatially and temporally decoupled from rates of transpiration. VLA, relative to the

distance between vein and stoma has been shown to be optimized for transpiration in leaves

(Brodribb et al. 2007; Noblin et al. 2008; Zwieniecki & Boyce 2014). The low VLA in many flowers

suggests that they may not be similarly optimized for supplying water for transpiration and that

flowers may not rely on continuous delivery of water by the xylem. Instead, flowers may rely on

water imported before anthesis and stored in their hydraulic capacitors. Flowers tend to have higher

hydraulic capacitance than leaves (Chapotin et al. 2003), which may functionally isolate them from

diurnal water potential variation in the rest of the plant (Trolinder et al. 1993). Further, water stored

in hydraulic capacitors may buffer flow rates through veins from rates of transpiration, which may

explain why sap flow rates to flowers and inflorescences can be little affected by environmental

conditions (Higuchi & Sakuratani 2005; Roddy & Dawson 2012). Given the right conditions, water

may flow from flowers back to stems, as has been shown for developing mango fruits (Higuchi &

Sakuratani 2006).

There may be a strong phylogenetic component to the tradeoffs among the strategies

described here. Our results suggest that variation in how flowers maintain water balance may be

associated with coordinated shifts in anatomical and physiological traits. On one end of the

spectrum would be species that maintain a high K_{flower} with high VLA, g_{min}, and stomatal densities.

On the other end of the spectrum would be species that have low K_{flower} due to low VLA, g_{min}, and

few or no stomata. The coordination of traits associated with water supply and water loss supports

our hypothesis that the maintenance of water balance is fundamental to the functioning of flowers

and highlights, for the first time, that there may be variation in the hydraulic capacity of flowers.

Despite the coordination of K_{flower} with structural and physiological traits, precisely how flowers

remain turgid in desiccating microenvironments and the mechanisms of water import to flowers

remain unknown. Better characterization of the coordinated shifts in traits associated with

evolutionary transitions between the major angiosperm lineages could better elucidate how these

strategies may have evolved.

Caveats about comparative physiology of non-homologous floral structures

Measuring floral physiology at the broad phylogenetic scales used in the current study

requires comparing non-homologous structures. For example, the showy organs of flowers in our

dataset included undifferentiated tepals of the magnoliids and monocots, bracts of *Cornus florida*, and

petals of the eudicots (Table 1). Measuring and calculating K_{flower} and other traits required

normalizing flux measurements by an evaporative surface area. In the present study, we chose to

normalize by the showy display organs that would be attractive to pollinators responding to visual

cues. For these flowers, the showy organs also represent the majority of their evaporative surfaces

areas. Yet, other floral organs whose surface areas we did not measure could also contribute to

transpirational water losses. While comparing non-homologous structures is not ideal, these

structures nonetheless perform similar pollinator functions, which, we believe, warrants their

comparison. We are not ruling out the possibility that there may, in fact, be differences between

flowers associated with differences in perianth differentiation; indeed, our results suggest that among

clades differing in perianth differentiation there is significant variation in hydraulic architecture.

Future work could characterize more precisely how floral whorls may differ in hydraulic architecture

and function.

Conclusions

Flowers are one of the key innovations of the angiosperms and are incredibly diverse

morphologically, yet the physiological costs of flowers can limit the extent to which floral

morphology can be molded by pollinator selection. Reducing the physiological costs of flowers

would relax constraints on floral form. The diverse monocot and eudicot clades have traits

consistent with reduced hydraulic capacity compared to the magnoliids. Reductions in both water

loss and water supply among the monocot and eudicot clades may have relaxed physiological

constraints on floral morphology and allowed greater lability of morphological traits. While

maintaining water balance may nonetheless be important to flowers, there may be substantial

variation among species in how they remain turgid and prevent desiccation. One end of this

continuum may be defined by maintaining a high hydraulic conductance to continuously supply

water via the xylem, while the other end of the continuum may be defined by the reduction of water

loss rates to delay desiccation. Reduced hydraulic conductance and greater reliance on stored water

may physiologically separate flowers from diurnal variability in the water status of other plant

structures. Better understanding the mechanisms and timing of water transport to flowers and the

tradeoffs between the possible mechanisms for maintaining water balance will be an important

advancement in our understanding of floral physiology and its interaction with pollinator selection

over evolutionary timescales.

Acknowledgments

We thank P.V.A. Fine, D.D. Baldocchi, and D.D. Ackerly for useful comments on previous drafts

of this manuscript, K.A. Simonin for insightful discussions, A. Schürkmann for technical assistance,

and H. Forbes for facilitating access to plant material at the U.C. Botanic Garden. This work was

funded by grants from the Jepson Herbarium and the Department of Integrative Biology, UC-

Berkeley. A.B.R. was supported by a US NSF Graduate Research Fellowship.

References

Azad K., Sawa Y., Ishikawa T., Shibata H. (2007) Temperature-dependent stomatal movement in tulip petals controls water transpiration during flower opening and closing. *Annals of Applied Biology* **150,** 81-87.

Boyce C.K., Brodribb T.J., Feild T.S., Zwieniecki M.A. (2009) Angiosperm leaf vein evolution was physiologically and environmentally transformative. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* **276,** 1771-1776.

Bradshaw H.D., Schemske D.W. (2003) Allele substitution at a flower colour locus produces a pollinator shift in monkeyflowers. *Nature* **426,** 176-178.

Brodribb T.J., Feild T.S. (2010) Leaf hydraulic evolution led a surge in leaf photosynthetic capacity during early angiosperm diversification. *Ecology Letters* **13,** 175-183.

Brodribb T.J., Feild T.S., Jordan G.J. (2007) Leaf maximum photosynthetic rate and venation are linked by hydraulics. *Plant Physiology* **144,** 1890-1898.

Brodribb T.J., Jordan G.J. (2011) Water supply and demand remain balanced during leaf acclimation of *Nothofagus cunninghamii* trees. *The New Phytologist* **192,** 437-448.

Brodribb T.J., Jordan G.J., Carpenter R.J. (2013) Unified changes in cell size permit coordinated leaf evolution. *The New Phytologist* **199,** 559-570.

Brodribb T.J., McAdam S.A. (2011) Passive origins of stomatal control in vascular plants. *Science* **331,** 582-585.

Chapotin S.M., Holbrook N.M., Morse S.R., Gutiérrez M.V. (2003) Water relations of tropical dry forest flowers: Pathways for water entry and the role of extracellular polysaccharides. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **26,** 623-630.

Choat B., Gambetta G.A., Shackel K.A., Matthews M.A. (2009) Vascular function in grape berries across development and its relevance to apparent hydraulic isolation. *Plant Physiology* **151,** 1677-1687.

Clearwater M.J., Luo Z., Ong S.E., Blattmann P., Thorp T.G. (2012) Vascular functioning and the water balance of ripening kiwifruit (*Actinidia chinensis*) berries. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **63,** 1835-1847.

Clearwater M.J., Ong S.E.C., Li K.T. (2013) Sap flow and vascular functioning during fruit development. *Acta Horticulturae* **991,** 385-392.

Darwin C. (1888) *The various contrivances by which orchids are fertilised by insects.* John Murray, London.

Feild T.S., Brodribb T.J., Iglesias A., Chatelet D.S., Baresch A., Upchurch G.R., Gomez B., Mohr
B.A., Coiffard C., et al. (2011) Fossil evidence for cretaceous escalation in angiosperm leaf
vein evolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **108,** 8363-8366.

Feild T.S., Chatelet D.S., Brodribb T.J. (2009) Ancestral xerophobia: A hypothesis on the whole
plant ecophysiology of early angiosperms. *Geobiology* **7,** 237-264.

Feild T.S., Chatelet D.S., Brodribb T.J. (2009) Giant flowers of southern magnolia are hydrated by
the xylem. *Plant Physiology* **150,** 1587-1597.

Feild T.S., Upchurch Jr G.R., Chatelet D.S., Brodribb T.J., Grubbs K.C., Samain M.-S, Wanke S.
(2011) Fossil evidence for low gas exchange capacities for early cretaceous angiosperm
leaves. *Paleobiology* **37,** 195-213.

Galen C. (2000) High and dry: Drought stress, sex-allocation trade-offs, and selection on flower size
in the alpine wildflower *Polemonium viscosum* (Polemoniaceae). *The American Naturalist* **156,** 72-
83.

Galen C., Sherry R.A., Carroll A.B. (1999) Are flowers physiological sinks or faucets? Costs and
correlates of water use by flowers of *Polemonium viscosum*. *Oecologia* **118,** 461-470.

Greenspan M.D., Shackel K.A., Matthews M.A. (1994) Developmental changes in the diurnal water
budget of the grape berry exposed to water deficits. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **17,** 811-820.

Gullo M.A.L., Nardini A., Trifilò P., Salleo S. (2005) Diurnal and seasonal variations in leaf hydraulic
conductance in evergreen and deciduous trees. *Tree Physiology* **25,** 505-512.

Hew C.S., Lee G.L., Wong S.C. (1980) Occurrence of non-functional stomata in the flowers of
tropical orchids. *Annals of Botany* **46,** 195-201.

Higuchi H., Sakuratani T. (2005) The sap flow in the peduncle of the mango (*Mangifera indica* L.)
inflorescence as measured by the stem heat balance method. *Journal of the Japanese Society of*
Horticultural Science **74,** 109-114.

Higuchi H., Sakuratani T. (2006) Water dynamics in mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) Fruit during the
young and mature fruit seasons as measured by the stem heat balance method. *Journal of the*
Japanese Society for Horticultural Science **75,** 11-19.

Ho L.C., Grange R.I., Picken A.J. (1987) An analysis of the accumulation of water and dry matter in
tomato fruit. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **10,** 157-162.

Hopkins R., Rausher M.D. (2012) Pollinator-mediated selection on flower color allele drives
reinforcement. *Science* **335,** 1090-1092.

Kerstiens G. (1996) Cuticular water permeability and its physiological significance. *Journal of*
Experimental Botany **47,** 1813-1832.

Kolb K.J., Sperry J.S., Lamont B.B. (1996) A method for measuring xylem hydraulic conductance
and embolism in entire root and shoot systems. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **47,** 1805-1810.

Lambrecht S.C. (2013) Floral water costs and size variation in the highly selfing *Leptosiphon bicolor*
(*polemoniaceae*). *International Journal of Plant Sciences* **174,** 74-84.

Lambrecht S.C., Dawson T.E. (2007) Correlated variation of floral and leaf traits along a moisture
availability gradient. *Oecologia* **151,** 574-583.

Lang A. (1990) Xylem, phloem and transpiration flows in developing apple fruits. *Journal of*
Experimental Botany **41,** 645-651.

Lersten N.R., Wemple D.K. (1966) The discontinuity plate, a definitive floral characteristic of the
Psoraleae (Leguminosae). *American Journal of Botany* 548-555.

McAdam S.A.M., Brodribb T.J. (2012) Stomatal innovation and the rise of seed plants. *Ecology letters*
15, 1-8.

Münch E. (1930) *Die Stoffbewegungen in der Pflanze.*Gustav Fischer, Jena, Germany.

Nardini A., Gortan E., Salleo S. (2005) Hydraulic efficiency of the leaf venation system in sun- and
shade-adapted species. *Functional Plant Biology* **32,** 953.

Nardini A., Salleo S. (2000) Limitation of stomatal conductance by hydraulic traits: Sensing or
preventing xylem cavitation? *Trees* **15,** 14-24.

Nobel P.S. (1983) *Biophysical Plant Physiology and Ecology.* W.H. Freeman, San Francisco.

Noblin X., Mahadevan L., Coomaraswamy I.A., Weitz D.A., Holbrook N.M., Zwieniecki M.A.
(2008) Optimal vein density in artificial and real leaves. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
Sciences **105,** 9140-9144.

Pittermann J. (2010) The evolution of water transport in plants: An integrated approach. *Geobiology* **8,**
112-139.

Rasband W.S. (2012) <http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/> Bethesda, MD, USA: National Institutes of
Health.

R Core Team. (2012) <http://www.R-project.org/> Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical
Computing.

Roddy A.B., Dawson T.E. (2012) Determining the water dynamics of flowering using miniature sap
flow sensors. *Acta Horticulturae* **951,** 47-53.

Roddy A.B., Guilliams C.M., Lilittham T., Farmer J., Wormser V., Pham T., Fine P.V.A., Feild T.S.,
Dawson T.E. (2013) Uncorrelated evolution of leaf and petal venation patterns across the
angiosperm phylogeny. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **64,** 4081-4088.

Sack L., Cowan P.D., Jaikumar N., Holbrook N.M. (2003) The hydrology of leaves: Co-ordination
of structure and function in temperate woody species. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **26,** 1343-
1356.

Sack L., Frole K. (2006) Leaf structural diversity is related to hydraulic capacity in tropical rain forest
trees. *Ecology* **87,** 483-491.

Sack L., Melcher P.J., Zwieniecki M.A., Holbrook N.M. (2002) The hydraulic conductance of the
angiosperm leaf lamina: A comparison of three measurement methods. *Journal of Experimental*
Botany **53,** 2177-2184.

Scoffoni C., Pou A., Aasamaa K., Sack L. (2008) The rapid light response of leaf hydraulic
conductance: New evidence from two experimental methods. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **31,**
1803-1812.

Sprengel C.K. (1793) *Das entdeckte Geheimnis der Natur im Bau und in der Befruchtung der Blumen.*
Friedrich Vieweg dem aeltern, Berlin.

Strauss S.Y., Whittall J.B. (2006) Non-pollinator agents of selection on floral traits. In: *Ecology and*
Evolution of Flowers. pp. 120-138. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Teixido A.L., Valladares F. (2014) Disproportionate carbon and water maintenance costs of large
corollas in hot mediterranean ecosystems. *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics*
16, 83-92.

Trolinder N.L., McMichael B.L., Upchurch D.R. (1993) Water relations of cotton flower petals and
fruit. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **16,** 755-760.

Whittall J.B., Hodges S.A. (2007) Pollinator shifts drive increasingly long nectar spurs in columbine
flowers. *Nature* **447,** 706-709.

Windt C.W., Gerkema E., Van As H. (2009) Most water in the tomato truss is imported through the
xylem, not the phloem: A nuclear magnetic resonance flow imaging study. *Plant Physiology*
151, 830-842.

Zwieniecki M.A., Boyce C.K. (2014) Evolution of a unique anatomical precision in angiosperm leaf
venation lifts constraints on vascular plant ecology. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* **281,**
20132829.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Coordination between whole flower hydraulic conductance (K_{flower}) and water supply traits:
(a) vein length per area (VLA; or vein density) and (b) the ratio of xylem cross-sectional area to
evaporative surface area (Huber ratio). Dashed lines indicate curve fits for all species, and solid lines
indicate linear fits for the magnoliids only. All points include error bars, some of which may be
smaller than the points themselves.

Figure 2. Coordination between K_{flower} and water loss traits: (a) minimum epidermal conductance
(g_{min}), (b) stomatal density, (c) stomatal length, (d) stomatal pore area index (SPI). Dashed lines
indicate curve fits for all species, and solid lines indicate linear fits for the magnoliids only. Point
symbols are the same as in Figure 1. All points include error bars, some of which may be smaller
than the points themselves.

Figure 3. Lack of correlation among the water supply traits, VLA and Huber ratio.

Figure 4. Correlations between g_{min} and (a) stomatal pore area index (SPI) and (b) stomatal density.
Statistically significant curve fits are shown. Points symbols are the same as in Figure 1.

Figure 5. Coordination between water supply traits (VLA and Huber ratio) and water loss traits (g_{min}
and SPI). Statistically significant relationships are shown with curve fits and correlation coefficients.
Dashed lines indicate curve fits for all species, and solid lines indicate linear fits for the magnoliids
only. Point symbols are the same as in Figure 1. All points include error bars, some of which may
be smaller than the points themselves.

Figure 6. Variation among plant structures in hydraulic conductance normalized by the single-sided
evaporative surface area (i.e. total leaf or flower area). Groupings were chosen to highlight the
major differences within structures. Values below the bars indicate the number of species sampled
in each group. Data for flowers are from the current study and Feild et al.{%Feild 2009}; for
tropical leaves are from Sack and Frole{%Sack 2006}; for temperate leaves from Nardini and
Salleo{%Nardini 2000}, Sack et al.{%Sack 2002}, Nardini et al.{Nardini 2005}, Lo Gullo et
al.{%Gullo 2005}, Scoffoni et al.{%Scoffoni 2008}, Simonin et al.{%Simonin 2012}.

626   Figure 4
627
628

630   Figure 6
631
632

633 Table 1. List of species used in the current study and some structural and morphological traits.
634

Species	Family	Area (x10^{-3} m^2)	Mass per area (x10^{-3} g cm^{-2})	Perianth differentiation	Corolla fusion	n	k$_{flower}$ (mmol m^{-2} s^{-1} MPa^{-1})
Agapanthus africanus	Amaryllidaceae	1.07	2.63	monochlamydeous	fused	4	4.02
Amphilophium buccinatorium	Bignoniaceae	6.95	7.73	dichlamydeous	fused	4	2.68
Calycanthus chinensis	Calycanthaceae	9.08	4.86	graded tepals (monochlamydeous)	unfused	7	12.34
Calycanthus floridus	Calycanthaceae	2.53	5.68	graded tepals (monochlamydeous)	unfused	4	10.38
Calycanthus occidentalis	Calycanthaceae	4.91	15.42	graded tepals (monochlamydeous)	unfused	5	18.79
Camellia yunnanensis	Theaceae	4.92	NA	dichlamydeous	unfused	5	2.86
Cornus florida	Cornaceae	4.76	1.87	dichlamydeous	unfused	3	1.31
Hemerocallis sp.	Xanthorrhoeaceae	13.43	4.65	monochlamydeous	unfused	10	3.29
Iris douglasiana	Iridaceae	5.30	1.88	monochlamydeous	unfused	5	1.71
Magnolia doltsopa	Magnoliaceae	22.69	NA	monochlamydeous	unfused	4	2.95
Magnolia grandiflora	Magnoliaceae	45.0	5.3	monochlamydeous	unfused	NA	2.62
Magnolia soulangiana	Magnoliaceae	24.44	2.58	monochlamydeous	unfused	13	3.50
Magnolia stellata	Magnoliaceae	11.07	2.00	monochlamydeous	unfused	6	3.63
Paeonia suffruticosa	Paeoniaceae	80.45	3.57	dichlamydeous	unfused	4	3.81

Species	Family	Area ($\times 10^{-3}$ m^2)	Mass per area ($\times 10^{-3}$ g cm^{-2})	Perianth differentiation	Corolla fusion	n	k_{flower} (mmol m^{-2} s^{-1} MPa^{-1})
Rhododendron johnstoneanum	Ericaceae	4.52	2.20	dichlamydeous	fused	4	2.21
Rhododendron loderi	Ericaceae	16.08	1.96	dichlamydeous	fused	4	2.19
Rhododendron protistum	Ericaceae	8.24	2.92	dichlamydeous	fused	5	1.71

635
636
637
638