Disease variants alter transcription factor levels and methylation of their binding sites

Marc Jan Bonder[1,*], René Luijk[2,*], Daria V. Zhernakova[1,**], Matthijs Moed[2,**], Patrick Deelen[1,3,**], Martijn Vermaat[4,**], Maarten van Iterson[2], Freerk van Dijk[1,3], Michiel van Galen[3], Jan Bot[5], Roderick C. Slieker[2], P. Mila Jhamai[6], Michael Verbiest[3], H. Eka D. Suchiman[2], Marijn Verkerk[6], Ruud van der Breggen[2], Jeroen van Rooij[6], Nico Lakenberg[2], Wibowo Arindrarto[8], Szymon M. Kielbasa[7], Iris Jonkers[2], Peter van 't Hof[7], Irene Nooren[5], Marian Beekman[2], Joris Deelen[2], Diana van Heemst[9], Alexandra Zhernakova[1], Ettje F. Tigchelaar[1], Morris A. Swertz[1,3], Albert Hofman[10], André G. Uitterlinden[6], René Pool[11], Jenny van Dongen[11], Jouke J. Hottenga[11], Coen D.A. Stehouwer[12], Carla J.H. van der Kallen[12], Casper G. Schalkwijk[12], Leonard H. van den Berg[13], Erik. W van Zwet[8], Hailiang Mei[7], Yang Li[1], Mathieu Lemire[14], Thomas J. Hudson[14,15,16], the BIOS Consortium, P. Eline Slagboom[2], Cisca Wijmenga[1], Jan H. Veldink[13], Marleen M.J. van Greevenbroek[12], Cornelia M. van Duijn[17], Dorret I. Boomsma[11], Aaron Isaacs[17,##], Rick Jansen[18,##], Joyce B.J. van Meurs[6,##], Peter A.C. 't Hoen[4,#], Lude Franke[1,#], Bastiaan T. Heijmans[2,#]

* Shared first, ** Shared second, ## Shared second last, # Shared last

Corresponding authors:

Lude Franke (lude@ludesign.nl) and Bastiaan T. Heijmans (bas.heijmans@lumc.nl)

[1] Department of Genetics, University of Groningen, University Medical Centre Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

[2] Molecular Epidemiology Section, Department of Medical Statistics and Bioinformatics, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

[3] Genomics Coordination Center, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen,

Groningen, the Netherlands

[4] Department of Human Genetics, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

[5] SURFsara, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

[6] Department of Internal Medicine, ErasmusMC, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

[7] Sequence Analysis Support Core, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

[8] Medical Statistics Section, Department of Medical Statistics and Bioinformatics, Leiden

University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

[9] Department of Gerontology and Geriatrics, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The

Netherlands

[10] Department of Epidemiology, ErasmusMC, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

[11] Department of Biological Psychology, VU University Amsterdam, Neuroscience Campus

Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

[12] Department of Internal Medicine and School for Cardiovascular Diseases (CARIM), Maastricht

University Medical Center, Maastricht, The Netherlands

[13] Department of Neurology, Brain Center Rudolf Magnus, University Medical Center Utrecht,

Utrecht, The Netherlands

[14]Ontario Institute for Cancer Research, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5G 0A3

[15]Department of Medical Biophysics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 1A1

[16]Department of Molecular Genetics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 1A1

[17] Genetic Epidemiology Unit, Department of Epidemiology, ErasmusMC, Rotterdam, The

Netherlands

[18] Department of Psychiatry, VU University Medical Center, Neuroscience Campus Amsterdam,

Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Most disease associated genetic risk factors are non-coding, making it challenging to design

experiments to understand their functional consequences[1,2]. Identification of expression

quantitative trait loci (eQTLs) has been a powerful approach to infer downstream effects of

disease variants but the large majority remains unexplained.[3,4]. The analysis of DNA

methylation, a key component of the epigenome[5], offers highly complementary data on the

regulatory potential of genomic regions[6,7]. However, a large-scale, combined analysis of

methylome and transcriptome data to infer downstream effects of disease variants is lacking.

Here, we show that disease variants have wide-spread effects on DNA methylation *in trans*

that likely reflect the downstream effects on binding sites of *cis*-regulated transcription

factors. Using data on 3,841 Dutch samples, we detected 272,037 independent *cis*-meQTLs

(FDR < 0.05) and identified 1,907 trait-associated SNPs that affect methylation levels of

10,141 different CpG sites *in trans* (FDR < 0.05), an eight-fold increase in the number of

downstream effects that was known from *trans*-eQTL studies[3,8,9]. *Trans*-meQTL CpG sites

are enriched for active regulatory regions, being correlated with gene expression and overlap

with Hi-C determined interchromosomal contacts[10,11]. We detected many *trans*-meQTL

SNPs that affect expression levels of nearby transcription factors (including *NFKB1*, *CTCF*

and *NKX2-3*), while the corresponding *trans*-meQTL CpG sites frequently coincide with its

respective binding site. *Trans*-meQTL mapping therefore provides a strategy for identifying

and better understanding downstream functional effects of many disease-associated

variants.

To systematically study the role of DNA methylation in explaining downstream effects of genetic

variation, we analysed genome-wide genotype and DNA methylation in whole blood from 3,841

samples from five Dutch biobanks[12–16] (Figure 1 and Extended Data Table 1). We found *cis*-

meQTL effects for 34.4% of all 405,709 tested CpGs (n=139,566 at a CpG-level FDR of 5%, $P \leq$

1.38 x 10^{-4}), typically with a short physical distance between the SNP and CpG (median distance

10 kb, Extended Data Fig. 1). By regressing out primary meQTLs effect for each of these CpGs

and repeating the *cis*-meQTL mapping, we observed up to 16 independent *cis*-meQTLs for these

CpGs (Extended Data Table 2). In total, we identified 272,037 independent *cis*-meQTL effects.

Few factors determine whether a CpG site shows a *cis*-meQTL effect except the variance in

methylation level of the CpG site involved: for the top 10% most variable CpGs, 57.2% showed a

cis-meQTL effect, dropping to only 8.1% for the 10% least-variable CpGs (Extended Data Fig. 2,

Extended Data Fig. 3a). The proportion of methylation variance explained by SNPs, however, is

typically small (Extended Data Fig. 3b). When accounting for this strong effect of CpG variation,

we find only modest enrichments and depletions for *cis*-meQTL CpG sites when using CpG island

(CGI) and genic annotation (Extended Data Fig. 3e) or when using annotations of biological

function based on chromatin segmentations of 27 blood cell types (Figure 2a).

We contrasted these modest functional enrichments to CpGs whose methylation levels correlates

with gene expression *in cis* (i.e. mapping expression quantitative trait methylations (eQTMs)), by

generating RNA-seq data for 2,101 out of 3,841 individuals in our study. Using a conservative

approach that maximally accounts for potential biases (i.e. *cis*-meQTL effects, *cis*-eQTL effects,

batch effects and cell heterogeneity effects), we identified 12,809 unique CpGs that correlated to

3,842 unique genes *in cis* (CpG-level FDR < 0.05). eQTMs were enriched for mapping in active

regions, e.g. in and around active TSSs (3-fold enrichment, $P = 1.8 \ x \ 10^{-91}$) and enhancers (2-fold

enrichment, $P = 1.1 \ x \ 10^{-139}$, Figure 2b). Of note, the majority of eQTMs showed the canonical

negative correlation with transcriptional activity (69.2%) but a substantial minority of correlations

was positive (30.8%) in line with recent evidence that DNA methylation does not always

negatively correlate with gene expression[17]. As expected, negatively correlated eQTMs were

enriched in active regions like active TSSs (3.7- fold enrichment, $P = 9.5 \ x \ 10^{-202}$). Positive

correlations primarily occurred in repressed regions (e.g. Polycomb repressed, 3.4-fold

enrichment, $P = 5.8 \ x \ 10^{-103}$) (Extended Data Fig. 4). The sharp contrast between positively and

negatively associated eQTMs, enabled us to build a model to predict the direction of the

correlation. A decision tree trained on the strongest eQTMs (those with an FDR $< 9.7x10^{-6}$,

n=5,137) using data on histone marks and distance relative to gene, could predict the direction

with an area under the curve of 0.83 (95% confidence interval, 0.78-0.87) (Figure 2d, e).

We next ascertained whether *trans*-meQTLs are biologically informative, since previous *trans*-

eQTL mapping studies demonstrated that identifying *trans*-expression effects provide a powerful

tool to uncover and understand downstream biological effects of disease-SNPs[3,8,9]. We focussed

on 6,111 SNPs that were previously associated with complex traits and diseases ('trait-associated

SNPs', see Methods and Extended Data Table 3). We observed that one-third of these trait-

associated SNPs (1,907 SNPs, 31.2%) affect methylation *in trans* at 10,141 CpG sites, totalling

27,816 SNP-CpG combinations (FDR < 0.05, $P < 2.6x10^{-7}$, Figure 3a), . This represents a 5-fold

increase in the number of CpG sites affected as compared with a previous trans-meQTL mapping

study[18]. We evaluated whether the GWAS SNP themselves were likely underlying the *trans*-

effects or that the associations could be attributed to another SNP in moderate LD. Of the 1,907

GWAS SNPs with trans-effects, 1,538 (87.2%) were in strong LD with the top SNP ($R^2 > 0.8$),

indicating that the GWAS SNPs indeed are the driving force behind many of the *trans*-meQTLs.

Of note, due to the sparse coverage of the Illumina 450k array, the true number of CpGs in the

genome that are altered by these trait associated SNPs will be substantially higher. After the

identification of the trans-meQTLs, we assessed if the *trans*-meQTLs also are present in

expression. Out of the 2,889 testable trans-eQTLs we identified 8.4% of these effects, 91% of the

cases the effect direction was consistent (Extended Data Table 4).

To ascertain stability our *trans*-meQTLs, we performed a replication analysis in a the set of 1,748

lymphocyte samples[18]: of the 18,764 overlapping *trans*-meQTLs between the datasets that could

be tested, 94.9% had a consistent allelic direction (Figure 1E). 12,098 *trans*-meQTLs were

nominally significant (unadjusted $P < 0.05$), of which 99.87% had a consistent allelic direction.

This indicates that the identified *trans*-meQTLs are robust and not caused by differences in cell-

type composition. (Extended Data Table 5). To further ascertain the stability of the *trans*-meQTLs,

we tested SNPs known to influence blood composition[19,20] for effects on methylation *in trans*,

finding these SNPs show no or only few *trans*-meQTLs whereas widespread trans-meQTL effects

were to be expected if our analysis had not properly controlled for blood cell composition

(Extended Data Table 6). Furthermore we linked our GWAS SNPs to the SNPs known to influence

cell proportions and found that only 0.6% of the GWAS SNPs are in high LD with SNPs known

to influence cell proportions. Lastly, we performed trans-meQTL mapping on uncorrected and cell

type corrected data see supplemental results and Extended Data Table 7,8.

In contrast to *cis*-meQTL CpGs, *trans*-meQTLs CpGs show many functional enrichments: they

are enriched around TSSs and depleted in heterochromatin (Figure 2c) and are strongly enriched

for being an eQTM (1,913 CpGs (18.9%), 5.2-fold, $P = 2.3 \ x \ 10^{-101}$). The 1,907 trait-associated

SNPs that make up the *trans*-meQTLs were overrepresented for immune- and cancer-related traits

(Figure 3b). The large majority of *trans*-meQTLs were inter-chromosomal (93%, 9,429 CpG-SNP

pairs) and included 12 *trans*-meQTLs SNPs (yielding 3,616 unique CpG-SNP pairs) that each

showed downstream *trans*-meQTL effects across all of the 22 autosomal chromosomes (i.e. *trans*-

bands, Figure 3d).

We subsequently studied the nature of these *trans*-meQTLs. Using high-resolution Hi-C data[10],

we identified 720 SNP-CpG pairs (including 402 CpG sites and 172 SNPs) among the *trans*-

meQTLs that overlapped with an inter-chromosomal contact, which is 2.9-fold more frequent than

expected by chance ($P = 3.7 \ x \ 10^{-126}$, Figure 3c, d). These Hi-C inter chromosomal enrichments

were not confounded due to SNPs that gave *trans*-meQTLs on many CpG sites (i.e. *trans*-bands):

when removing those *trans*-meQTLs from the analysis, Hi-C enrichments remained highly

significant ($P = 1.7x10^{-61}$). This indicates that some relationships between SNPs and CpGs *in trans*

are explained by inter-chromosomal contacts. In order to characterize the 720 SNP-CpG pairs

overlapping with inter-chromosomal contacts, we performed motif enrichments using three motif

enrichment analyses (Homer, PWMEnrich, DEEPbind)[21–23]. These analyses identified that the 402

CpG sites frequently overlapped with CTCF, RAD21 and SMC3 binding sites ($P = 2.3x10^{-5}$, $P =$

$3.5x10^{-5}$ and $P = 5.1x10^{-5}$, respectively), factors known to affect chromatin architecture[24,25]. This

finding was confirmed by incorporating ChIP-Seq data on CTCF binding (1.8-fold enrichment, P

$= 5.2x10\text{-}7$).

We next tested whether the *trans*-meQTLs reflected the effect of differential transcription factor

(TF) binding of TFs that map close to the SNPs since TF binding has been implicated in

demethylation and loss of TF occupancy with remethylation[6,7]. This suggests that if a SNP allele

increases TF els *in cis*, that *trans*-meQTL effects are likely detectable, and that the SNP allele

likely decreases methylation of these CpG sites. Indeed, we observed that if a SNP affects multiple

CpGs sites *in trans* (at least 10, n=305) that the assessed allele often consistently increased or

decreased methylation *in trans*, in the same direction for, on average, 76% of CpGs per *trans*-

meQTL SNP (expected 50%, $P=10^{-111}$; Figure 4a). This skew in allelic effect direction was present

for 59.7% of the 305 SNPs with at least 10 *trans*-meQTL effects increasing to 95.2% for 104 SNPs

with at least 50 *trans*-meQTL effects (binomial test P < 0.05), suggesting that differential TF

binding may explain a substantial fraction of *trans*-meQTLs.

In order to explore this mechanism further, we combined ChIP-seq data on TF binding at CpGs

and *cis*-expression effects of SNPs to directly examine the involvement of TFs in mediating *trans*-

meQTLs. Among trait-associated SNPs influencing at least 10 CpGs *in trans* (n=305), we

identified 13 *trans*-meQTL SNPs with strong support for a role of TFs (Figure 4a).

The most striking example was a locus on chromosome 4 (Figure 4b), where two SNPs (rs3774937

and rs3774959, in strong LD) were associated with ulcerative colitis (UC)[26]. Top SNP rs3774937

was associated with differential DNA methylation at 413 CpG sites across the genome, 92% of

which showed the same direction of the effect, i.e. lower methylation associated with the risk allele

(binomial P=$2.72x10^{-69}$). Of those 380 CpG sites with lower methylation, 147 (38.7%) overlap

with a nuclear factor kappaB (NFKB) transcription factor binding site (2.75-fold enrichment, *P* =

$5.3x10^{-32}$), as based on ENCODE NFKB ChIP-seq data in blood cell types (Figure 4c). Three motif

enrichment analyses (Homer, PWMEnrich, DEEPbind)[21–23] also revealed an enrichment of NFKB

binding motifs for the 413 CpG sites thus corroborating the ChIP-seq results. Notably, SNP

rs3774937 is located in the first intron of *NFKB1* and we found that the risk allele was associated

with higher *NFKB1* expression (Figure 4a). Of the 413 *trans*-CpGs, 64 were eQTMs and revealed

a coherent gene network (Figure 4d) that was enriched for immunological processes related to

NFKB1 function[27] (Figure 4e). Taken together, these results support the idea that the rs3774937

UC risk allele decreases DNA methylation *in trans* by increasing *NFKB1* expression *in cis*.

The same analysis approach indicated that the *trans*-methylation effects of rs8060686 (linked to

various phenotypes including metabolic syndrome[28] and coronary heart disease[29], and affecting

779 *trans*-CpGs) were due to CTCF which mapped 315 kb from rs8060686. We observed a strong

CTCF ChIP-seq enrichment with 603/779 trans-CpGs overlapping with CTCF binding (P =1.6x10^{-}

^{232}) and enriched CTCF motifs (Figure 4a and Extended Data Fig. 5). Of these *trans*-CpGs, only13

have been observed previously in lymphocytes[18]. We observed that the risk allele increased DNA

methylation *in trans* by decreasing *CTCF* gene expression *in cis.*

We found another example of this phenomenon: 228 *trans*-meQTL effects of 4 SNPs on

chromosome 10, mapping near *NKX2-3* and implicated in inflammatory bowel disease[26], were

strongly enriched for NKX2 transcription factor motifs and associated with *NKX2-3* expression.

The risk alleles decreased DNA methylation *in trans* at NKX2-3 binding sites by increasing *NKX2-*

3 gene expression *in cis* (Extended Data Fig. 6).

One height locus[30] contained 4 SNPs which influence 267 *trans*-CpGs and implicate *ZBTB38*

(Extended Data Fig. 7). In contrast to the aforementioned TFs that are transcriptional activators,

ZBTB38 is a transcriptional repressor[31,32] and its expression was positively correlated with

methylation *in trans*, in line with our observation that eQTMs in repressed regions are enriched

for positive correlations. Finally, the *trans*-methylation effects of rs7216064 (64 *trans*-CpGs),

associated with lung carcinoma[33], preferentially occurred at regions binding CTCF, while the SNP

was located in the *BPTF* gene, known to occupy CTCF binding sites[34] (Extended Data Fig. 8).

The possibility to link *trans*-meQTL effects to an association of TF expression in *cis* and

concomitant differential methylation in *trans* at the respective binding site is limited to TFs for

which ChIP-seq data or motif information is available. In order to make inferences on TFs for

which such data is not yet available, we ascertained whether *trans*-meQTLs SNPs were more

often affecting TF gene expression in *cis* as compared with SNPs that were not giving *trans*-

meQTLs. We observed that 13.1% of the GWAS SNPs that gave *trans*-meQTLs also affect TF

208 gene expression in *cis*, whereas only 4.5% of the GWAS SNPs that do not give *trans*-meQTLs

209 affect TF gene expression in cis (Fisher's exact P = 6.6 x 10-13).

210 Here we report that one third of known disease- and trait-associated SNPs has downstream

211 methylation effects *in trans*, often affecting multiple regions across the genome. The biological

212 mechanism underlying *trans*-meQTLs often involves a local effect on the transcriptional activity

213 of nearby TFs that affects DNA methylation at distal binding sites of the corresponding TFs. The

214 direction of downstream methylation effects is remarkably consistent for each SNP and indicates

215 that decreased DNA methylation is a signature of increased binding of transcriptional activators.

216 Our study reveals previously unrecognized functional consequences of disease variants in non-

217 coding regions. These can be looked up online (http://www.genenetwork.nl/biosqtlbrowser/), and

218 provide leads for experimental follow-up.

219 **Figures**

220 Figure 1. Overview of a genomic region around *TMEM176B*, where the relations between a SNP,

221 DNA methylation at nearby CpGs, and the associations with the gene itself are shown. **a**,

222 Illustration of a methylation Quantitative Trait Locus (meQTL) **b**, Illustration of an expression

223 Quantitative Trait Locus (eQTL). **c**, Ilustration of methylation-expression association (eQTM).

224 The figures show how correction for meQTLs may increase detection of such associations. The

225 left plot shows the data before correction for *cis*-meQTLs, the corrected data in the right figure

226 shows the meQTL-corrected methylation data. **d**, Two overlaid pie charts. The inner chart

227 indicates the proportion of tested CpGs harboring meQTLs. Over 35% of all tested CpGs show

228 evidence for harboring a meQTL, either *in cis* or *in trans*. The outer chart indicates what CpGs are

associated with gene expression *in cis* (in total 3.2%). **e**, Replication of peripheral blood *trans-*

meQTLs in lymphocytes.

Figure 2. **a-c**, Over- or underrepresentation of CpGs for different predicted chromatin states for

cis-meQTLs, *trans*-meQTLs and eQTMs. Grey bars reflect uncorrected enrichments, colored bars

reflect enrichments after correction for factors influencing the likelihood of harboring a meQTL

or eQTM, including methylation variability. Bar graphs show odds ratios and error bars (95%

confidence interval). CGI: CpG island; TssA: Active TSS; TssAFlnk: Flanking active TSS;

TxFlnk, Transcribed at gene 5' and 3'; Tx: Strong transcription; TxWk: Weak transcription; EnhG:

Genic enhancer; Enh: Enhancer; ZNF/Rpts: ZNF genes and repeats; Het: Heterochromatin;

TssBiv: Bivalent/Poised TSS; BivFlnk: Flanking bivalent TSS/Enhancer; EnhBiv: Bivalent

enhancer. **d**, Decision tree for predicting the effect direction of eQTMs. Each subplot shows the

distributions for positive (blue) and negative (red) associations for that subset of the data. Dashed

vertical lines indicate the optimal split used by the algorithm. The boxes in the leaves indicate the

number of positive and negative effects in each of the leaves. **e**, Receiver operator characteristic

curve showing the performance of the decision tree.Figure 3. **a**, Distribution of tested trait-

associated SNPs influencing DNA methylation *in trans*. Over 1,900 SNPs (31.2%) of all tested

SNPs have downstream effects on DNA methylation. **b**, Overrepresentation of SNPs with *trans-*

meQTLs in different GWAS trait categories, where the y-axis shows the odds ratio. **c**, Hi-C

contacts are overrepresented among *trans*-meQTLs. Grey bars show the number of Hi-C contacts

using permutated data, while the red bar reflects the actually observed number in our data. **d**, Dot-

plot depicting the *trans*-meQTLs. The effect strength is reflected by the size of the dot. Red dots

indicate an overlap with a Hi-C contact. Several SNPs with widespread *trans*-meQTLs show inter-

chromosomal contacts genome-wide, further implicating an important role for those SNPs in the

development of the associated trait.

Figure 3. **a**, Distribution of tested trait-associated SNPs influencing DNA methylation *in trans*.

Over 1,900 SNPs (31.2%) of all tested SNPs have downstream effects on DNA methylation. **b**,

Overrepresentation of SNPs with *trans*-meQTLs in different GWAS trait categories, where the y-

axis shows the odds ratio. **c**, Hi-C contacts are overrepresented among *trans*-meQTLs. Grey bars

show the number of Hi-C contacts using permutated data, while the red bar reflects the actually

observed number in our data. **d**, Dot-plot depicting the *trans*-meQTLs. The effect strength is

reflected by the size of the dot. Red dots indicate an overlap with a Hi-C contact. Several SNPs

with widespread *trans*-meQTLs show inter-chromosomal contacts genome-wide, further

implicating an important role for those SNPs in the development of the associated trait.

Figure 4. **a**, An imbalance in effect direction of *trans*-meQTLs implies involvement of

transcription factors. Each dot represents a SNP with at least 10 *trans*-meQTL effects. The x-axis

shows the number of *trans*-effects where the minor allele increases methylation, whereas the y-

axis shows a decrease in methylation. SNPs with a multitude of effects of which many have the

same allelic direction often exhibit evidence for a cis-eQTL on a transcription factor (colored dots),

and an overrepresentation of CpGs *in trans* overlapping with binding sites for that transcription

factor. **b**, Depiction of the *NFKB1* gene and rs3774937, associated with ulcerative colitis. The plot

shows an increased expression of *NFKB1* for the risk allele C. **c**, In addition to influencing *NFKB1*

expression, rs3774937 also influences DNA methylation at 413 CpGs *in trans*, decreasing

methylation levels at 93% of affected CpG sites (dark grey). In addition, many of the CpG sites

(37.3%) overlap with NFKB binding sites (3.8-fold enrichment, *P*-value $= 5.3 \times 10^{-32}$), shown in

the outer chart. **d**, Illustrations of meQTL (left plot) and eQTL effects (right plot) of rs3774937 *in*

trans. Only SNP-gene combinations were tested where the gene was associated with one of the

413 CpGs with a *trans*-meQTL. **e**, Gene network of the eQTM genes associated with 72 of the 413

CpGs (17.4%), that are showing a *trans*-meQTL (red). NFKB is depicted in blue. Genes also

showing evidence for a *trans*-eQTL effect are shown in red. **f**, Top pathways as identified by

enrichment method DEPICT for which many of the genes in **e** were overrepresented. Many of the

identified pathways were inflammation-related, in line with the inflammatory nature of ulcerative

colitis.

Methods

283   **Methods**

Cohort descriptions

Cohort descriptions

The five cohorts used in our study are described briefly below. The number of samples per

cohort and references to full cohort descriptions can be found in Extended data table 1.

CODAM

The Cohort on Diabetes and Atherosclerosis Maastricht[13] (CODAM) consists of a selection of

547 subjects from a larger population-based cohort.[35] Inclusion of subjects into CODAM was

based on a moderately increased risk to develop cardiometabolic diseases, such as type 2

diabetes and/or cardiovascular disease. Subjects were included if they were of Caucasian descent

and over 40 yrs of age and additionally met at least one of the following criteria: increased BMI

(>25), a positive family history of type 2 diabetes, a history of gestational diabetes and/or

glycosuria, or use of anti-hypertensive medication.

LifeLines-DEEP

The LifeLines-DEEP (LLD) cohort[12] is a sub-cohort of the LifeLines cohort.[36] LifeLines is a

multi-disciplinary prospective population-based cohort study examining the health and health-

related behaviours of 167,729 individuals living in the northern parts of The Netherlands using a

unique three-generation design. It employs a broad range of investigative procedures assessing

the biomedical, socio-demographic, behavioural, physical and psychological factors contributing

to health and disease in the general population, with a special focus on multi-morbidity and

complex genetics. A subset of 1,500 LifeLines participants also take part in LLD[12]. For these

participants, additional molecular data is generated, allowing for a more thorough investigation

of the association between genetic and phenotypic variation.

LLS

The aim of the Leiden Longevity Study[14] (LLS) is to identify genetic factors influencing

longevity and examine their interaction with the environment in order to develop interventions to

increase health at older ages. To this end, long-lived siblings of European descent were recruited

together with their offspring and their offspring's partners, on the condition that at least two

long-lived siblings were alive at the time of ascertainment. For men the age criteria was 89 or

older, for women age 91 or over. These criteria led to the ascertainment of 944 long-lived

siblings from 421 families, together with 1,671 of their offspring and 744 partners.

NTR

The Netherlands Twin Register[15,37,38] (NTR) was established in 1987 to study the extent to which

genetic and environmental influences cause phenotypic differences between individuals. To this

end, data from twins and their families (nearly 200,000 participants) from all over the

Netherlands are collected, with a focus on health, lifestyle, personality, brain development,

cognition, mental health, and aging. In NTR Biobank[15] samples for DNA, RNA, cell lines and

for biomarker projects have been collected.

RS

The Rotterdam Study[16] is a single-centre, prospective population-based cohort study conducted

in Rotterdam, the Netherlands[16]. Subjects were included in different phases, with a total of

14,926 men and women aged 45 and over included as of late 2008. The main objective of the

Rotterdam Study is to investigate the prevalence and incidence of and risk factors for chronic

diseases to contribute to a better prevention and treatment of such diseases in the elderly.

Genotype data

Data generation

Genotype data was generated for each cohort individually. Details on the methods used can be

found in the individual papers (CODAM: van Dam et al.[35]; LLD: Tigchelaar et al.[12]; LLS:

Deelen et al.[39], 2014; NTR: Willemsen et al.[15]; RS: Hofman et al.[16]).

Imputation and QC

For each cohort separately, the genotype data were harmonized towards the Genome of the

Netherlands[40] (GoNL) using Genotype Hamonizer[41] and subsequently imputed per cohort using

Impute2[42] using GoNL[43] reference panel[43] (v5). Quality control was also performed per cohort.

We removed SNPs with an imputation info-score below 0.5, a HWE *P*-value smaller than 10^{-4}, a

call rate below 95% or a minor allele frequency smaller than 0.05. These imputation and filtering

steps resulted in 5,206,562 SNPs that passed quality control in each of the datasets.

Methylation data

Data generation

For the generation of genome-wide DNA methylation data, 500 ng of genomic DNA was

bisulfite modified using the EZ DNA Methylation kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, California, USA)

and hybridized on Illumina 450k arrays according to the manufacturer's protocols. The original

IDAT files were generated by the Illumina iScan BeadChip scanner. We collected methylation

data for a total of 3,841 samples. Data was generated by the Human Genotyping facility (HugeF)

of ErasmusMC, the Netherlands (www.glimDNA.org).

Probe remapping and selection

We remapped the 450K probes to the human genome reference (HG19) to correct for inaccurate

mappings of probes and identify probes that mapped to multiple locations on the genome. Details

on this procedure can be found in Bonder et al. (2014)[44]. Next, we removed probes with a known

SNP (GoNL, MAF > 0.01) at the single base extension (SBE) site or CpG site. Lastly, we

removed all probes on the sex chromosomes, leaving 405,709 high quality methylation probes

for the analyses.

Normalization and QC

Methylation data was directly processed from IDAT files resulting from the Illumina 450k array

analysis, using a custom pipeline based on the pipeline developed by Tost & Toulemat[45]. First,

we used methylumi[46] to extract the data from the raw IDAT files. Next, we performed quality

control checks on the probes and samples, starting by removing the incorrectly mapped probes.

We checked for outlying samples using the first two principal components (PCs) obtained using

principal component analysis (PCA). None of the samples failed our quality control checks,

indicating high quality data. Following quality control, we performed background correction and

probe type normalization as implemented in DASEN[47]. Normalization was performed per cohort,

followed by quantile normalization on the combined data to normalize the differences per cohort.

The next step in quality control consisted of identifying potential sample mix-ups between

genotype and DNA methylation data. Using mix-up mapper[48], we detected and corrected 193

mix-ups. Lastly, in order to correct for known and unknown confounding sources of variation in

the methylation data and to give us more power to detect meQTLs, we removed the first

components which were not affected by genetic information, the 22 first PCs, from the

methylation data using methodology we have successfully used in *trans*-eQTL[3,49] and meQTL

analyses before[44].

RNA sequencing

Total RNA from whole blood was deprived of globin using Ambion's GLOBIN clear kit and

subsequently processed for sequencing using Illumina's Truseq version 2 library preparation kit.

Paired-end sequencing of 2x50bp was performed using Illumina's Hiseq2000, pooling 10

samples per lane. Finally, read sets per sample were generated using CASAVA, retaining only

reads passing Illumina's Chastity Filter for further processing. Data was generated by the

Human Genotyping facility (HugeF) of ErasmusMC, the Netherlands (www.glimDNA.org).

Initial QC was performed using FastQC[50] (v0.10.1), removal of adaptors was performed using

cutadapt[51] (v1.1), and Sickle[52] (V1.2) [2] was used to trim low quality ends of the reads (min

length 25, min quality 20). The sequencing reads were mapped to human genome (HG19) using

STAR[53] v2.3.125 . Gene expression quantification was performed by HTseq-count. The gene

definitions used for quantification were based on Ensmble version 71, with the extension that

regions with overlapping exons were treated as separate genes and reads mapping within these

overlapping parts did not count towards expression of the normal genes.

Expression data on the gene level were first normalized using Trimmed Mean of M-values[54].

Then expression values were log2 transformed, gene and sample means were centred to zero. To

correct for batch effects, PCA was run on the sample correlation matrix and the first 25 PCs were

removed using methodology that we have use for eQTL analyses before[49,55]. More details are

provided in Zhernakova et al (in preperation).

Cis-meQTL mapping

In order to determine the effect of nearby genetic variation on methylation levels (*cis*-meQTLs),

we performed *cis*-meQTL mapping using 3,841 samples for which both genotype data and

methylation data were available. To this end, we calculated the Spearman rank correlation and

corresponding *P*-value for each CpG-SNP pair in each cohort separately. We only considered

CpG-SNP pairs located no further than 250kb apart. The *P*-values were subsequently

transformed into a Z-score for meta-analysis. To maximize the power of meQTL detection, we

performed a meta-analysis over all datasets by calculating an overall, joint *P*-value using a

weighted Z-method. A comprehensive overview of this method has been described previously[55].

To detect all possible independent SNPs regulating methylation at a single CpG-site we

regressed out all primary *cis*-meQTL effects and then ran *cis*-meQTL mapping for the same

CpG-site to find secondary *cis*-meQTL. We repeated that in a stepwise fashion until no more

independent *cis*-meQTL were found.

To filter out potential false positive *cis*-meQTLs caused by SNPs affecting the binding of a probe

on the array, we filtered the *cis*-meQTLs effects by removing any CpG-SNP pair for which the

SNP was located in the probe. In addition, all other CpG-SNP pairs for which the SNP was

outside the probe, but in LD ($R^2 > 0.2$ or D' > 0.2) with a SNP inside the probe were also

removed. We tested for LD between SNPs in the probe and in the surrounding *cis* area in the

individual genotype datasets, as well as in GoNL v5, in order to be as strict as possible in

marking a QTL as true positive.

To correct for multiple testing, we empirically controlled the false discovery rate (FDR) at 5%.

For this, we compared the distribution of observed *P*-values to the distribution obtained from

performing the analysis on permuted data. Permutation was done by shuffling the sample

identifiers of one data set, breaking the link between, e.g., the genotype data and the methylation

or expression data. We repeated this procedure 10 times to obtain a stable distribution of *P*-

values under the null distribution. The FDR was determined by only selecting the strongest effect

per CpG[55] in both the real analysis and in the permutations (i.e. probe level FDR $< 5\%$).

***Cis*-eQTL mapping**

For a set of 2,116 BIOS samples we had also generated RNA-seq data. We used this data to

identify *cis*-eQTLs. *Cis*-eQTL mapping was performed using the same method as *cis*-meQTL

mapping. Details on these eQTLs will be described in a separate paper (Zhernakova *et al*, in

preparation).

Expression quantitative trait methylation (eQTM) analysis

To identify associations between methylation levels and expression levels of nearby genes (*cis*-eQTMs), we first corrected our expression and methylation data for batch effects and covariates by regressing out the PCs and regressing out the identified *cis*-meQTLs and *cis*-eQTLs, to ensure only relationships between CpG sites and gene expression levels would be detected that were not attributable to particular genetic variation or batch effects. We mapped eQTMs in a window of 250Kb around the TSS of a transcript. Further statistical analysis was identical to the *cis*-meQTL mapping. For this analysis we were able to use a total of 2,101 samples for which both genetic, methylation and gene expression data was available. To correct for multiple testing we controlled the FDR at 5%, the FDR was determined by only selecting the strongest effect per CpG[55] in both the real analysis and in the permutations.

***Trans*-meQTL mapping**

To identify the effects of distal genetic variation with methylation (*trans*-meQTLs) we used the same 3,841 samples that we had used for *cis*-meQTL mapping. To focus our analysis and limit the multiple testing burden, we restricted our analysis to SNPs that have been previously found to be significantly correlated to traits and diseases at a $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$. We extracted these SNPs from the NHGRI genome-wide association study (GWAS) catalogue, used recent GWAS studies not yet in the NHGRI GWAS catalogue and studies on the Immunochip and Metabochip platform that are not included in the NHGRI GWAS catalogue (Extended Data Table 1). We compiled this list of SNPs in December 2014. Per SNP we only investigated CpG sites that mapped at least 5 Mb from the SNP or on other chromosomes. Before mapping *trans*-meQTLs, we regressed out the identified *cis*-meQTLs to increase the statistical power of *trans*-meQTL detection (as done previously for *trans*-eQTLs[3]) and to avoid designating an association as *trans* that may be due to

long-range LD (e.g. within the HLA region). To ascertain the stability of the *trans*-meQTLs we

also performed the *trans*-mapping on the non-corrected data and the methylation data corrected

for cell-type proportions. In addition, we performed meQTL mapping on SNPs known to

influence the cell type proportions in blood[19,20].

To filter out potential false positive *trans*-meQTLs due to cross-hybridization of the probe, we

remapped the methylation probes with very relaxed settings, identical to Westra et al.[55], with the

difference that we only accepted mappings if the last bases of the probe including the SBE site

were mapped accurately to the alternative location. If the probe mapped within our minimal

trans-window, 5 Mb from the SNP, we removed the effect as being a false positive *trans*-

meQTL.

We controlled for multiple testing by using 10 permutations. We controlled the false-discovery

rate at 5%, identical to the aforementioned *cis*-meQTL analysis.

***Trans*-eQTL mapping**

To check if the *trans*-meQTL effects can also be found back on gene expression levels, we

annotated the CpGs with a *trans*-meQTL to genes using our eQTMs. Using the 2,101 samples

for which both genotype and gene expression data were available, we performed *trans*-eQTL

mapping, associating the SNPs known to be associated with DNA methylation in *trans* with their

corresponding eQTM genes.

Annotations and enrichment tests

Annotation of the CpGs was performed using Ensembl[56] (v70), UCSC Genome Browser[57] and

data from the Epigenomics Roadmap Project.[58] We used the Epigenomics Roadmap annotation

for the SBE site of the methylation site for all 27 blood cell types. We chose to use both the

histone mark information and the chromatin marks in blood-related cell types only, as generated

by the Epigenomics Roadmap Project. Summarizing the information over the 27 blood cell types

was done by counting presence of histone-marks in all the cell types and scaling the abundance,

i.e. if the mark is bound in all cell types the score would be 1 if it would be present in none of the

blood cell types the score would be 0.

To calculate enrichment of meQTLs or eQTMs for any particular genomic context, we used

logistic regression because this allows us to account for covariates such as CpG methylation

variation. For *cis*-meQTLs, we used the variability of DNA methylation, the number of SNPs

tested, and the distance to the nearest SNP per CpG as covariates. For all other analyses we used

only the variability in DNA methylation as a covariate.

Next to annotation data from the Epigenomics Roadmap project, we used transcription factor

ChIP-seq data from the ENCODE-project for blood-related cell lines. For every CpG site, we

determined if there was an overlap with a ChIP-seq signal and performed a Fisher exact test to

determine whether the *trans*-meQTL probes associated with the SNP in the transcription factor

region of interest were more often overlapping with a ChIP-seq region than the other *trans*-

meQTL probes. We collected all transcription factor called narrow peak files from the UCSC

genome browser to perform the enrichments.

Enrichment of known sequence motifs among *trans*-CpGs was assessed by PWMEnrich[22]

package in R, Homer[59] and DEEPbind[23]. For PWMEnrich hundred base pair sequences around

the interrogated CpG site were used, and as a background set we used the top CpGs from the 50

permutations used to determine the FDR threshold of the *trans*-meQTLs. For Homer the default

settings for motif enrichment identification were used, and the same CpGs derived from the

permutations were used as a background. For DEEPbind we used both the permutation

background like described for Homer and the permutations background as described for

PWMEnrich.

Using data published by Rao *et al.*[10] we were able to intersect the *trans*-meQTLs with

information about the 3D structure of the human genome. For the annotation, we used the

combined Hi-C data for both inter- and intra-chromosomal data at 1Kb and the quality threshold

of E30 in the GM12878 lymphoblastoid cell line. Both the *trans*-meQTL SNP and *trans*-meQTL

probes were put in the relevant 1Kb block, and for these blocks we looked up the chromosomal

contact value in the measurements by Rao et al. Surrounding the *trans*-meQTLs SNPs, we used a

LD window that spans maximally 250Kb from the *trans*-meQTL SNP and had a minimal R^2 of

0.8. If a Hi-C contact between the SNP block and the CpG-site was indicated, we flagged the

region as a positive for Hi-C contacts. As a background, we used the combinations found in our

50 permutated *trans*-meQTL analyses, taking for each permutation the top trans-meQTLs that

were similar in size to the real analysis. This permitted us to empirically determine whether there

were significantly more Hi-C interactions in the real data as compared to the permutations.

eQTM direction prediction

We predicted the direction of the eQTM effects using both a decision tree and a naïve Bayes

model (as implemented by Rapid-miner[60] v6.3). We built the models on the strongest eQTMs

(i.e. those identified at a very stringent FDR $<9.73 \times 10^{-6}$). For the decision tree we used a

standard cross-validation set-up using 20 folds. For the naive Bayes model we used a double

loop cross-validation: performance was evaluated in the outer loop using 20-fold cross-

validation, while feature selection (using both backward elimination and forward selection) took

place in the inner loop using 10-fold cross-validation. Details about the double-loop cross-

validation can be found in Ronde et al.[61]. During the training of the model, we balanced the two

classes making sure we had an equal number of positively correlating and negatively correlating

CpG-gene combinations, by randomly sampling a subset of the overrepresented negatively

correlating CpG-gene combination group. We chose to do so to circumvent labelling al eQTMs

as negative, since this is the class were the majority of the eQTMs are in.

In the models we used annotation from the CpG-site, namely: overlap with epigenomics roadmap

chromatin states, histone marks and relations between the histone marks, GC content

surrounding the CpG-site and relative locations from the CpG-site to the transcript.

DEPICT

To investigate whether there was biological coherence in the *trans*-meQTLs identified, we

performed gene-set enrichment analysis for each genetic risk factor that was showing at least 10

trans-meQTL effects. To do so, we adapted DEPICT[27], a pathway enrichment analysis method

that we previously developed for GWAS. Instead of defining loci with genes by using top

associated SNPs, we used the eQTM information to link CpGs to genes. Within DEPICT gene

set enrichment, significance is determined by using matched sets of permuted loci (in terms of

numbers of genes per locus) that have been identified using simulated GWAS. Subsequent

pathway enrichment analysis was conducted as described before, and significance was

determined by controlling the false discovery rate at 5%.

References

1.   Manolio, T. a. Genomewide association studies and assessment of the risk of disease. *N.*
Engl. J. Med. **363,** 166–176 (2010).

2.   Visscher, P. M., Brown, M. a., McCarthy, M. I. & Yang, J. Five years of GWAS
discovery. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **90,** 7–24 (2012).

3.   Westra, H.-J. *et al.* Systematic identification of trans eQTLs as putative drivers of known
      disease associations. *Nat. Genet.* **45,** 1238–1243 (2013).

4.   Wright, F. a *et al.* Heritability and genomics of gene expression in peripheral blood. *Nat.*
      Genet. **46,** 430–7 (2014).

5.   Bernstein, B. E., Meissner, A. & Lander, E. S. The Mammalian Epigenome. *Cell* **128,**
      669–681 (2007).

6.   Gutierrez-Arcelus, M. *et al.* Passive and active DNA methylation and the interplay with
      genetic variation in gene regulation. *Elife* **2,** e00523 (2013).

7.   Tsankov, A. M. *et al.* Transcription factor binding dynamics during human ES cell
      differentiation. *Nature* **518,** 344–349 (2015).

8.   Yao, C. *et al.* Integromic analysis of genetic variation and gene expression identifies
      networks for cardiovascular disease phenotypes. *Circulation* **131,** 536–49 (2015).

9.   Huan, T. *et al.* A Meta-analysis of Gene Expression Signatures of Blood Pressure and
      Hypertension. *PLOS Genet.* **11,** e1005035 (2015).

10.   Rao, S. S. P., Huntley, M. H., Durand, N. C. & Stamenova, E. K. A 3D Map of the Human
      Genome at Kilobase Resolution Reveals Principles of Chromatin Looping. *Cell* **159,**
      1665–1680 (2014).

11.   Grubert, F. *et al.* Genetic Control of Chromatin States in Humans Involves Local and
      Distal Chromosomal Interactions. *Cell* **162,** 1051–65 (2015).

12.   Tigchelaar, E. F. *et al.* Cohort profile: LifeLines DEEP, a prospective, general population
      cohort study in the northern Netherlands: study design and baseline characteristics. *BMJ*
      Open **5,** e006772 (2015).

13.   van Greevenbroek, M. M. J. *et al.* The cross-sectional association between insulin
      resistance and circulating complement C3 is partly explained by plasma alanine
      aminotransferase, independent of central obesity and general inflammation (the CODAM
      study). *Eur. J. Clin. Invest.* **41,** 372–379 (2011).

574   14.   Schoenmaker, M. *et al.* Evidence of genetic enrichment for exceptional survival using a
575   family approach: the Leiden Longevity Study. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* **14,** 79–84 (2006).
576

577   15.   Willemsen, G. *et al.* The Adult Netherlands Twin Register: twenty-five years of survey
578   and biological data collection. *Twin Res. Hum. Genet.* **16,** 271–81 (2013).
579

580   16.   Hofman, A. *et al.* The rotterdam study: 2014 objectives and design update. *Eur. J.*
581   *Epidemiol.* **28,** 889–926 (2013).
582

583   17.   Hu, S. *et al.* DNA methylation presents distinct binding sites for human transcription
584   factors. *Elife* **2013,** 1–16 (2013).
585

586   18.   Lemire, M. *et al.* Long-range epigenetic regulation is conferred by genetic variation
587   located at thousands of independent loci. *Nat. Commun.* **6,** 6326 (2015).
588

589   19.   Orrù, V. *et al.* Genetic variants regulating immune cell levels in health and disease. *Cell*
590   **155,** 242–56 (2013).
591

592   20.   Roederer, M. *et al.* The Genetic Architecture of the Human Immune System: A
593   Bioresource for Autoimmunity and Disease Pathogenesis. *Cell* **161,** 387–403 (2015).
594

595   21.   Heinz, S. *et al.* Simple Combinations of Lineage-Determining Transcription Factors Prime
596   cis-Regulatory Elements Required for Macrophage and B Cell Identities. *Mol. Cell* **38,**
597   576–589 (2010).
598

599   22.   Stojnic, R. & Diez, D. PWMEnrich: PWM Enrichment Analysis.
600

601   23.   Alipanahi, B., Delong, A., Weirauch, M. T. & Frey, B. J. Predicting the sequence
602   specificities of DNA- and RNA-binding proteins by deep learning. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **33,**
603   831–838 (2015).
604

605   24.   Zuin, J. *et al.* Cohesin and CTCF differentially affect chromatin architecture and gene
606   expression in human cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **111,** 996–1001 (2013).
607

608   25.   Splinter, E. *et al.* CTCF mediates long-range chromatin looping and local histone
609   modification in the beta-globin locus. *Genes Dev.* **20,** 2349–54 (2006).
610

611   26.   Jostins, L. *et al.* Host-microbe interactions have shaped the genetic architecture of

612 inflammatory bowel disease. *Nature* **491,** 119–24 (2012).
613

614 27. Pers, T. H. *et al.* Biological interpretation of genome-wide association studies using
615 predicted gene functions. *Nat. Commun.* **6,** 5890 (2015).
616

617 28. Kristiansson, K. *et al.* Genome-wide screen for metabolic syndrome susceptibility loci
618 reveals strong lipid gene contribution but no evidence for common genetic basis for
619 clustering of metabolic syndrome traits. *Circ. Cardiovasc. Genet.* **5,** 242–249 (2012).
620

621 29. Lettre, G. *et al.* Genome-Wide association study of coronary heart disease and its risk
622 factors in 8,090 african americans: The nhlbi CARe project. *PLoS Genet.* **7,** (2011).
623

624 30. Soranzo, N. *et al.* Meta-analysis of genome-wide scans for human adult stature identifies
625 novel loci and associations with measures of skeletal frame size. *PLoS Genet.* **5,** (2009).
626

627 31. Filion, G. J. P. *et al.* A Family of Human Zinc Finger Proteins That Bind Methylated
628 DNA and Repress Transcription A Family of Human Zinc Finger Proteins That Bind
629 Methylated DNA and Repress Transcription. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **26,** 169 (2006).
630

631 32. Sasai, N. & Defossez, P. A. Many paths to one goal? The proteins that recognize
632 methylated DNA in eukaryotes. *Int. J. Dev. Biol.* **53,** 323–334 (2009).
633

634 33. Shiraishi, K. *et al.* A genome-wide association study identifies two new susceptibility loci
635 for lung adenocarcinoma in the Japanese population. *Nat. Genet.* **44,** 900–903 (2012).
636

637 34. Qiu, Z. *et al.* Functional Interactions between NURF and Ctcf Regulate Gene Expression.
638 *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **35,** 224–237 (2015).
639

640 35. Van Dam, R. M., Boer, J. M. a, Feskens, E. J. M. & Seidell, J. C. Parental history off
641 diabetes modifies the association between abdominal adiposity and hyperglycemia.
642 *Diabetes Care* **24,** 1454–1459 (2001).
643

644 36. Scholtens, S. *et al.* Cohort Profile: LifeLines, a three-generation cohort study and biobank.
645 *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 1–9 (2014). doi:10.1093/ije/dyu229
646

647 37. Boomsma, D. I. *et al.* Netherlands Twin Register: a focus on longitudinal research. *Twin*
648 *Res.* **5,** 401–406 (2002).
649

650   38.   Boomsma, D. I. *et al.* Genome-wide association of major depression: description of
651   samples for the GAIN Major Depressive Disorder Study: NTR and NESDA biobank
652   projects. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* **16,** 335–342 (2008).
653

654   39.   Deelen, J. *et al.* Genome-wide association meta-analysis of human longevity identifies a
655   novel locus conferring survival beyond 90 years of age. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **23,** 4420–4432
656   (2014).
657

658   40.   The Genome of the Netherlands Consortium. Whole-genome sequence variation,
659   population structure and demographic history of the Dutch population. *Nat. Genet.* **46,** 1–
660   95 (2014).
661

662   41.   Deelen, P. *et al.* Genotype harmonizer: automatic strand alignment and format conversion
663   for genotype data integration. *BMC Res. Notes* **7,** 901 (2014).
664

665   42.   Howie, B. N., Donnelly, P. & Marchini, J. A flexible and accurate genotype imputation
666   method for the next generation of genome-wide association studies. *PLoS Genet.* **5,**
667   (2009).
668

669   43.   Deelen, P. *et al.* Improved imputation quality of low-frequency and rare variants in
670   European samples using the 'Genome of The Netherlands'. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* 1–6
671   (2014). doi:10.1038/ejhg.2014.19
672

673   44.   Bonder, M. J. *et al.* Genetic and epigenetic regulation of gene expression in fetal and adult
674   human livers. *BMC Genomics* **15,** 860 (2014).
675

676   45.   Touleimat, N. T echnology R eport Complete pipeline for Infinium ® Human Methylation
677   450K BeadChip data processing using subset quantile normalization for accurate DNA
678   methylation estimation T echnology R eport. **4,** 325–341 (2012).
679

680   46.   Davis, S., Du, P., Bilke, S., Triche, T. J. & Bootwalla, M. methylumi: Handle Illumina
681   methylation data.
682

683   47.   Pidsley, R. *et al.* A data-driven approach to preprocessing Illumina 450K methylation
684   array data. *BMC Genomics* **14,** 293 (2013).
685

686   48.   Westra, H.-J. *et al.* MixupMapper: correcting sample mix-ups in genome-wide datasets
687   increases power to detect small genetic effects. *Bioinformatics* **27,** 2104–11 (2011).
688

689 49. Fehrmann, R. S. N. *et al.* Trans-eQTLs reveal that independent genetic variants associated
690   with a complex phenotype converge on intermediate genes, with a major role for the HLA.
691   *PLoS Genet.* **7,** e1002197 (2011).
692

693 50. FastQC. at <http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/>
694

695 51. Martin, M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.
696   **17,** 10–12 (2011).
697

698 52. Joshi, N. A. & Fass, J. N. Sickle: A sliding-window, adaptive, quality-based trimming tool
699   for FastQ files. (2011). at <https://github.com/najoshi/sickle>
700

701 53. Dobin, A. *et al.* STAR: Ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29,** 15–21
702   (2013).
703

704 54. Robinson, M. D. & Oshlack, A. A scaling normalization method for differential
705   expression analysis of RNA-seq data. *Genome Biol.* **11,** R25 (2010).
706

707 55. Westra, H.-J. *et al.* Systematic identification of trans eQTLs as putative drivers of known
708   disease associations. *Nat. Genet.* **45,** 1238–1243 (2013).
709

710 56. Flicek, P. *et al.* Ensembl 2013. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **41,** 48–55 (2013).
711

712 57. Kent, W. J. *et al.* The Human Genome Browser at UCSC The Human Genome Browser at
713   UCSC. *Genome Res.* 996–1006 (2002). doi:10.1101/gr.229102.
714

715 58. Consortium, R. E. *et al.* Integrative analysis of 111 reference human epigenomes. *Nature*
716   **518,** 317–330 (2015).
717

718 59. Heinz, S. *et al.* Effect of natural genetic variation on enhancer selection and function.
719   *Nature* **503,** 487–92 (2013).
720

721 60. Markus, Hofmann Klinkenberg, R. *RapidMiner: Data Mining Use Cases and Business
722   Analytics Applications*. (Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2014).
723

724 61. de Ronde, J. J., Bonder, M. J., Lips, E. H., Rodenhuis, S. & Wessels, L. F. a. Breast cancer
725   subtype specific classifiers of response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy do not outperform
726   classifiers trained on all subtypes. *PLoS One* **9,** e88551 (2014).

Acknowledgements

This work was performed within the framework of the Biobank-Based Integrative Omics Studies (BIOS) Consortium funded by BBMRI-NL, a research infrastructure financed by the Dutch government (NWO 184.021.007). Samples were contributed by LifeLines (http://lifelines.nl/lifelines-research/general), the Leiden Longevity Study (http://www.healthy-ageing.nl; http://www.leidenlangleven.nl), the Netherlands Twin Registry (NTR: http://www.tweelingenregister.org), the Rotterdam studies, (http://www.erasmus-epidemiology.nl/rotterdamstudy), the Genetic Research in Isolated Populations program (http://www.epib.nl/research/geneticepi/research.html#gip), the Codam study (http://www.carimmaastricht.nl/) and the PAN study (http://www.alsonderzoek.nl/). We thank the participants of all aforementioned biobanks and acknowledge the contributions of the investigators to this study (Supplemental Acknowledgements). This work was carried out on the Dutch national e-infrastructure with the support of SURF Cooperative.

Author contributions

BTH, PACtH, JBJvM, AI, RJ and LF formed the management team of the BIOS consortium. DIB, RP, JVD, JJH, MMJVG, CDAS, CJHvdK, CGS, CW, LF, AZ, EFG, PES, MB, JD, DvH, JHV, LHvdB, CMvD, BAH, AI, AGU managed and organized the biobanks. JBJvM, PMJ, MV, HEDS, MV, RvdB, JvR and NL generated RNA-seq and Illumina 450k data. HM, MvI, MvG, JB, DVZ, RJ, PvtH, PD, IN, PACtH, BTH and MM were responsible for data management and the computational infrastructure. MJB, RL, MV, DVZ, RS, IJ, MvI, PD, FvD, MvG, WA, SMK, MAS, EWvZ, RJ, PACtH, LF and BTH performed the data analysis. MJB, RL, LF and BTH drafted the manuscript.

Data availability

All results can be queried using our dedicated QTL browser:

http://genenetwork.nl/biosqtlbrowser/. Raw data was submitted to the European Genome-phenome Archive (EGA).

a Methylation Quantititative Trait Loci

$P = 5.5 \times 10^{-455}$

Normalized methylation

G/G G/A A/A

b Expression Quantititative Trait Loci

$P = 9.72 \times 10^{-668}$

Normalized expression

G/G G/A A/A

meQTL   eQTL

3'   5'

eQTM

cg23533927
7:150,548,934 -150,548,935

TMEM176B
7:150,488,373-150,498,448

rs7806458
7:150,476,888

c Expression Quantititative Trait Methylation

$P = 3.21 \times 10^{-140}$

Normalized methylation

Normalized gene−expression

$P = 8.17 \times 10^{-5}$

Normalized methylation after regression out meQTL effects

Normalized gene−expression after regressing out eQTL effects

d Many CpG-sites are under genetic control

eQTMs within category (3.2%)

Cis- and *trans-*meQTL effects (1.6%)

Trans-meQTL effects only (0.9%)

Cis-meQTL effects only (32.8%)

No meQTL effects (64.7%)

e Trans-meQTLs are robust across cell types

94.9% of trans-meQTLs show consistent allelic direction

$r^2 = 0.74$

Trans-meQTLs in lymphocytes (Z-score)

Significant trans-meQTL effects in peripheral blood (Z-score)

a

4,204 GWAS SNPs without *trans*-meQTLs

1,907 GWAS SNPs with *trans*-meQTLs

b

Enrichment of GWAS SNPs

Immune · Cancer · Hematological · Anthropometric · Neurological · Cardiovascular · Various · Metabolic

c

Interchromosomal Hi-C contacts

Frequency

Permutations

Observed

Number of Hi−C contacts

d

Z-Score
5 − 60

trans-meQTl without HiC contact

trans-meQTl with HiC contact

Chromosomal location of CpG-Site

Chromosomal location of SNP

a

Nr of CpG sites with decreased methylation for minor allele (y-axis)
Nr of CpG sites with increased methylation for minor allele (x-axis)

rs8060686 (Metabolic syndrome)
rs8044995 (Schizophrenia)
rs255049 (HDL cholesterol)
rs3729639 (Coronary heart disease)
rs6763931 (Prostate cancer, Height)
rs724016 (Height)
rs6440003 (Height)
rs1991431 (Height)
rs16942887 (HDL cholesterol)
rs7216064 (Lung adenocarcinoma)
rs6584283 (Ulcerative colitis)
rs4409764 (IBD)
rs10883365 (Crohn's disease)
rs11190140 (IBD)
rs3774937 (Ulcerative colitis)
rs3774959 (Ulcerative colitis)

Legend:
- *NFKB* (red)
- *ZBTB38* (orange)
- *NKX2-3* (green)
- *CTCF* (blue)
- *BPTF* (magenta)
- Cell counts (black)
- Unknown (grey)

b

cis-eQTL
Normalized expression
$P = 2.6 \times 10^{-12}$
C/C   C/T   T/T

NFKB1
4: 103,422,486-103,538,459
rs3774937
4:103,434,253

Risk factor associated to
Ulcerative Colitis

413 CpGs affected in trans

c

Trans-meQTL effect direction and overlap with NFKB binding

3.8-fold enrichment
P-value = 5.3×10^{-32}

CpG-site overlapping *NFKB* binding sites

Risk allele decreasing methylation

Risk allele increasing methylation

d

trans-meQTL
Normalized methylation cg14437551
$P = 3.9 \times 10^{-22}$
C/C   C/T   T/T

trans-eQTL
Normalized expression
$P = 4.7 \times 10^{-16}$
C/C   C/T   T/T

e

Network nodes: LIMK2, ALPL, PDLIM7, RTN2, BAIAP2-AS1, BAIAP2, ICAM5, DDR1, IER3, ARID5A, LTA, MAML2, NOD2, LST1, SNX20, PRR5L, AIF1, ANO9, IL32, ASB2, TIGIT, SLAMF8, ICAM1, HIVEP1, HLA-J, PDZK1IP1, ITGAL, NFKB1, TRAF1, TRAF2, HLA-A, ZG16B, EHD1, HLA-A, FLVCR1, TATDN3, CCDC19, CCDC114, ZNRD1-AS1, ZNRD1, SLC9A3R2, INF2, MAP3K6, DNASE1L3, ABHD6, B3GNT7, RP11-473M20.7, PPFIA4, ALAS1, ZNF718, HLA-U, AP3M2, PRELID1, TRIM26, HLA-K, CHI3L2, RP4-647J21.1, SH3PXD2A, GPER, SF1, MAPKAPK2, TTN-AS1, NFKBIL1, RP11-344B5.2, COL9A2, ACSF3

f

Pathway	Pathway name	P-value
GO:0050727	Regulation of inflammatory response	3.6×10^{-10}
GO:0050729	Positive regulation of inflammatory response	1.0×10^{-09}
MP:0008872	Abnormal physiological response to xenobiotic	9.2×10^{-09}
GO:0032613	Interleukin-10 production	1.4×10^{-08}
GO:0006954	Inflammatory response	2.2×10^{-08}