Canopy position has a profound effect on soybean seed composition

Steven C Huber[1,2, ^]*, Kunzhi Li[2,5,^], Randall Nelson[1,2^], Alexander Ulanov[3], Catherine M. DeMuro[1,§], and Ivan Baxter[4]*

[1]US Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Global Change and Photosynthesis Research Unit, Urbana, IL, USA.

[2]Plant Biology Department, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, IL, USA.

[3]Metabolomics Facility, Carver Biotechnology Center, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, IL, USA.

[4]US Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Danforth Research Center, St. Louis, MO, USA.

[5]Lab of plant nutrition genetic engineering, Biotechnology Research Center, Faculty of Life Science and Biotechnology, Kunming University of Science and Technology, Chenggong Campus Chenggong Kunming 650500, China

^These authors contributed equally to this work

*Correspondence: ivan.baxter@ars.usda.gov or schuber1@illinois.edu

Abstract

Although soybean seeds appear homogeneous, their composition (protein, oil and mineral concentrations) can vary significantly with canopy position.   Seeds produced at the top of the canopy have higher concentrations of protein but less oil and minerals such as Mg, Fe, and Cu compared to seeds produced at the bottom of the canopy. Altering the microenvironment within the soybean canopy affected the gradients in protein and oil without altering the distribution of Mg, Fe and Cu, suggesting different underlying mechanisms. Metabolomic analysis of developing seeds suggests that availability of free asparagine may be a positive determinant of storage protein accumulation in seeds. Our results establish a new category of seed heteromorphism and provide an unexpected approach to link agronomic practices to improve human nutrition and health by using seeds produced in the lower canopy for production of iron-rich soy foods for human consumption.

Introduction

Legumes like soybean can contribute not only protein to the human diet but also minerals like iron and zinc that are especially important for the health and nutrition of children and women. According to the World Health Organization, iron (Fe) deficiency is currently the most widespread mineral deficiency affecting more than 30% of the world's population (http://www.who.int/nutrition/topics/ida/en/). One approach to control this problem is to increase Fe intake via dietary diversification with Fe-rich foods. Although soybean seeds from a given plant may appear physically homogeneous, it has long been known that seed produced at the top of the canopy can have higher protein and less oil compared to seeds from the bottom of the canopy (Collins and Cartter 1956). Subsequently it was demonstrated that positional effects are observed with determinate as well as indeterminate soybeans (Escalante and Wilcox 1993a) and in normal protein as well as high-protein breeding lines (Escalante and Wilcox 1993b). While these effects on protein and oil concentrations have been documented to occur, they are nonetheless not widely recognized today and there are no insights concerning possible physiological mechanisms that may underlie these positional effects. There are many other seed constituents and the full impact of canopy position on various aspects of seed composition is unknown.

Several factors could affect the development of seeds at the top of the plant differently than those at the bottom of the canopy. First, flowering in indeterminate soybean plants that were used in the research occurs first at lower nodes; thus, there is the potential for seeds lower in the canopy to develop over a longer period. While there is a lot of information about node position and flowering, there are few reports that have documented differences in duration of the seed fill period (SFP) as a function of node, although this effect has been demonstrated in cultivar 'Williams79' (Raboy and Dickinson 1987). A second factor is that seeds lower in the canopy also develop under altered environmental conditions in terms of temperature, irradiance, light quality and humidity, which are recognized to impact seed composition (Wolf et al. 1982, Carrera et al. 2011, 2009). The role of canopy microenvironment on seed composition needs further investigation.

In the present study, we grew a core group of ten soybean lines in Urbana, IL, over 3-year period and monitored seed composition (protein, oil and mineral element concentration) at maturity as a function of node position. In general, there was a continuum in composition with

seed that developed at the top of the canopy having more protein but less oil and reduced
concentrations of minerals such as Mg, Fe, and Cu compared to seeds produced at the bottom of
the canopy. Of particular note was the variation in Fe concentration, which was generally ~20%
higher in seeds from the bottom of the canopy. The differences in mineral concentrations such
as Fe could have direct impact on use of soybeans for human food in countries that primarily
depend on plant protein sources. We also tested several possible developmental and micro-
environmental factors for their ability to influence the seed compositional gradients, and used
metabolomic profiling of developing seeds to investigate biochemical determinants of the protein
and oil gradients. Collectively, the results establish a new type of seed heteromorphism and
provide new insights to some of the underlying factors that may be responsible for the gradients.

Results

Canopy position affects soybean seed protein, oil and mineral concentrations

We investigated positional effects with a core group of ten soybean lines (*Figure 1—table
supplement 1*) grown in Urbana, IL, over a 3-year period. Main stems were harvested at maturity
and divided into four canopy position quadrants and the seeds collected from each quadrant were
analyzed separately for major storage products (protein and oil) and various minerals. Each
canopy gradient was normalized to a mean value of one and the values for each quadrant were
then expressed relative to the normalized mean. In this way, we could compare positional effects
for a given parameter across genotypes and years without the confounding effects of differences
in absolute values, but because the weather in each year of the study differed, the normalized
results are presented separately for each year. Oil concentration decreased progressively from
bottom to top of the canopy and was associated with a reciprocal increase in protein
concentration (*Figure 1A*). Protein and oil concentrations in soybean seeds are usually inversely
related (Wilcox 1998) and this was apparent with variation within the canopy as well. Single
seed weight varied with canopy position with seed produced in the middle portion tending to be
slightly heavier than seeds produced at either the bottom or top of the canopy; however, the
storage product gradients were independent of seed weight variation. Storage product gradients
did not vary significantly across the three years of the study; however, absolute protein and oil
concentrations varied among the three years of the study (*Figure 1—figure supplement 1*), This

is perhaps a result of weather that varied substantially in terms of temperature and precipitation

among the three growing seasons (*Figure 1—table supplement 2*).

We also found that canopy position significantly affected the seed ionome, which comprises

all of the minerals and trace elements found in mature seeds (*Figure 1B*). While there have been

several studies of the soybean seed ionome (Sha et al. 2012, Myers et al. 2014, McGrath and

Lobell 2013, Ziegler et al. 2013), to our knowledge this is the first report demonstrating variation

with canopy position. *Figure 1B* shows normalized canopy gradient plots for elements where

there was a statistically significant (p < 0.01) variation in concentration with position. Several

groups of minerals exhibited common responses with canopy position. The elements Mg, Fe,

Cu, Cd and Zn were present at highest concentrations in seeds from the bottom of the canopy and

decreased progressively to the top of the canopy. Within this group, the profiles for Mg and Fe

were similar to one another in that variation was relatively low and the gradients were almost

identical across the three years. The relative changes in Fe concentration were much greater in

magnitude compared to changes in Mg concentration. Cu, Zn and Cd showed similar patterns,

but were more variable among years. The second group that was apparent included Ca and Sr,

where seeds from the middle of the canopy exhibited the lowest concentrations except in 2010,

when concentrations of both Ca and Sr tended to increase going up the canopy. Finally, Mn

tended to to increase in concentration towards the top of the canopy. Ca and Sr, and Cd and Zn,

are chemically similar which may explain their parallel profiles. It is interesting to note that

while Rb is a chemical analog of K and the two are often closely correlated (Baxter 2009), that

was not the case for soybean seeds.   It is also noteworthy that 2010 was the one year where

mineral profiles were often distinct from those in 2011 and 2012. All three years were above

normal in terms of temperature, but 2010 was the only year with above normal precipitation.

Thus, water availability may be a major environmental factor impacting positional effects on the

seed ionome, and interestingly some minerals were affected (Ca, Mn, Cu, Zn, Sr) while others

(Mg, Fe, Co, Rb, Cd) were not.   We also measured other minerals (B, Na, Al, P, S, K, Ni, As, Se

and Mo) that did not show statistically significant variation with nodal position and are not

presented in Figure 1. *Figure 1—supplemental figure 1* shows non-normalized plots of

minerals that identify differences among metals in absolute abundance. As expected, absolute

concentrations of Mg, S, K, P and Ca were highest (> 1000 ppm); Mn, Fe, Rb, and Zn were

intermediate (10 to 100 ppm), and Na, Co, Ni, Cu, Sr, Mo, and Cd were present at trace levels (<

10 ppm).

Another way to compare canopy profiles for the minerals measured is to do an overall

correlation matrix of quadrant variation normalized to plot averages. In this way, one can look

across the entire data set for parameters that are correlated based on variation with nodal

position. A strong positive correlation would indicate that both components changed not only in

the same direction but also to the same relative extent.   As shown in *Figure 2A*, only a few

strong correlations were apparent among the measured parameters. Variation in seed size did not

significantly correlate with positional variation of any of the measured elements or storage

products. Protein and oil concentrations were strongly negatively correlated, as expected.   In

terms of minerals and storage products, the quadrant variation in protein concentration correlated

negatively with Fe and Cu, and positively with Mn. The reciprocal pattern was apparent with oil

concentration. Among the minerals, highly correlated element pairs included Fe-Cu, Ca-Sr, and

Zn-Cu, and between P and S, Zn, and Co. As noted earlier, Ca and Sr are chemical analogs and

frequently correlated (Baxter 2009), but surprisingly, other chemical analog pairs such as K-Rb

were not observed. Fe and Cu were positively paired and have been reported to be positively

correlated in soybean seeds (Vasconcelos, Clemente, and Grusak 2014) but the basis for the

pairing is unknown. Correlations between P and minerals are often considered to reflect

association of the mineral with seed phytate, the principal form of P in seeds (Vreugdenhil et al.

2004).

In addition to comparing parameters based on quadrant variation, it is also worthwhile to simply

compare plot averages, which will reflect genetic and environmental effects on absolute values

of the parameters. *Figure 2B* shows a dynamic matrix plot of correlations between plot means.

Compared to the corresponding plot that focused on quadrant variation (*Figure 2A*), many more

strong correlations were apparent when comparing plot means. Protein concentration was

positively correlated with S and Zn (and more weakly with Fe). The correlation with S is

expected as the total seed S has been shown to track closely with high cysteine- and methionine-

containing proteins in the soybean seed (Krishnan et al. 2012). The correlations between protein

content, Zn and Fe could be due to their primary role as cofactors of metalloproteins.

Accordingly, there was a significant negative correlation of Fe, S, and Zn with oil concentration.

Interestingly, there was also a strongly significant negative correlation of P with oil, whereas the

positive correlation of P with protein concentration was relatively weak. The majority of mineral

correlations were positive in nature, with a maxi-cluster of Rb, Mn, Sr, Mg, Ni, and Na and a

mini-cluster of Fe, Cu and Zn. The mini-cluster pairs of Fe-Cu and Cu-Zn were noted in the plot

of *Figure 2A*, but several members of the maxi-cluster correlation were not reported in the plot

normalized correlation matrix. For example, Mn and Mg concentrations did not relate to each

other in terms of quadrant variation but were strongly positively correlated based on plot means,

indicating that mineral uptake and allocation among seeds in different quadrants are controlled

separately. Finally, P concentration exhibited a positive correlation with Mn, Fe, Cu, Zn, S and

Co. The link among P and Zn, S and Co concentrations among quadrants was observed, but

when analyzed in terms of plot means the association of P with Mn, Fe, and Cu became apparent

as well. It is worth noting that in terms of plot means, there was no association between Ca and

Sr suggesting that these chemical analogs do not always behave similarly. Finally, there was one

strong negative correlation between Mo and Sr, perhaps suggesting a common component(s) of

the uptake system.

Canopy microenvironment impacts seed composition

Our understanding of the environmental factors responsible for the positional effects on seed

composition is limited; however, many microclimatic factors vary from the top to the bottom of

the closed soybean canopy (Baldocchi, Verma, and Rosenberg 1983). Environment is well

known to impact soybean seed protein and oil composition (Rotundo and Westgate 2009).

Therefore, we conducted experiments to evaluate microclimatic differences within the canopy by

thinning plants at flowering to remove the influence of neighboring plants. Removal of

neighboring plants increased protein concentration at the expense of oil in seeds throughout the

canopy of the spaced plants but the changes were greatest in pods lower on the main stem. As a

result, the thinning treatment reduced the positional effect on protein and oil by 50-60% (*Figure*

3A). Increased light energy to drive photosynthesis at most leaf positions and increased

temperature at lower positions could both favor increased protein accumulation at lower nodes

thereby reducing the difference between top and bottom seeds; however, while thinning

significantly altered the main stem gradients in major storage products there was relatively little

effect on minerals. As shown in *Figure 3B*, the canopy positional effect on Mg, Fe and Cu was

unaltered by the thinning treatment whereas Ca and Sr were similar to one another and showed a

significant effect of thinning but only in one of the two test years (2010). The general conclusion

is that thinning affects the canopy positional effect on some but not all minerals. This suggests that at least for Mg, Fe and Cu, the transport and homeostasis mechanisms are generally independent of instantaneous environmental factors and the transport of sucrose and amino acids into the developing seeds is not the sole factor driving their movement into seeds.

Seed fill period and seed composition

Another factor that could contribute to canopy position effects on seed composition is the duration of the seed-fill period (SFP), which is affected by genetic and environmental factors and is one of the major determinants of yield potential in soybean (Evans et al. 1995). Soybeans flower in response to photoperiod and the first flowers form lower in the canopy followed by flowering at upper nodes. Pods then form in the same order and when fully elongated the process of seed development is considered to begin when seeds are approximately 0.34 centimeter long (by visual inspection). In general, seeds lower in the canopy fill over a longer period but at a lower rate compared to seeds at the top of the canopy (Raboy and Dickinson 1987) so that at maturity, final seed size tends to be rather constant through the canopy rather than increasing progressively from bottom to top of the canopy. We measured the SFPs with our core group of ten lines and found substantial differences in SFPs at the bottom and top of the canopy (*Figure 4—supplemental table 3*). Top SFP was generally correlated with bottom SFP, as would be expected, but the difference in SPF (bottom – top position) was not correlated with the canopy gradients of protein, oil, or Fe (*Figure 4*). Therefore, factors other than the duration of the SFP are responsible for the documented variation in composition with nodal position.

Iron concentrations of soybean seed products

Our results raise the question of whether soy food products made from seed from different portions of the canopy would vary in terms of their mineral concentrations. Three of the most common and simplest products to make from soybean seeds are flour, milk and okara (the particulate material remaining after preparation of milk). Because Fe is one of the most critical minerals to human health and anemia is a global epidemic, we focused our initial analysis on the Fe content of these soy food products. We prepared flour from seven lines, and milk and okara from four lines and *Figure 5* summarizes the results*.* With all three products, the concentration of Fe was highest in products made from seeds produced at the bottom of the canopy and

decreased progressively with canopy position of the seeds used. Thus, as would be expected the concentration of seed Fe affects the concentration of Fe in the flour, milk or okara produced from those seeds. Although many questions remain, the public health implications of our findings are apparent. Given that mineral content of seeds, especially Fe, is important our results uncover another source of variation that can be directly exploited.

The vegetative soybean ionome

The canopy effect on seed mineral concentration prompted us to look at the distribution of minerals in the shoots of vegetative plants. Minerals deposited in seeds are derived from continued uptake from the soil or remobilization of previously accumulated minerals (Waters and Grusak 2008, Hocking and Pate 1977), and therefore the leaf ionome of the vegetative plant is relevant to studies of the mature seed ionome. Preliminary studies of the distribution of Fe in stems, petioles and leaves of 'Cumberland' soybean plants indicated that the majority of Fe was stored in leaves (data not shown). Consequently, we examined the leaf ionome as a function of canopy position. As shown in *Figure 6,* the concentrations of Mg, Al, Ca, Mn, Fe, Co, As, Se and Sr were highest in leaves at the bottom of the canopy and decreased progressively to the top of the canopy. Concentrations of P, S, K, Cu, Zn, Rb, and Mo increased from bottom to top leaves. Na and Ni were both present at low absolute concentrations and fluctuated but not in a progressive pattern as for the other minerals. Although leaves at different positions are often analyzed together (or as part of the 'shoot'), two previous studies with soybean also reported differences in mineral concentrations of lower, middle and upper leaves (Drossopoulos, Bouranis, and Bairaktari 1994) or young and old leaves (corresponding to different node positions) (Duke et al. 2012) that are generally consistent with our results. The basis for differential accumulation of foliar minerals at different positions within the canopy is not clear and will be important to address in future studies. One possible explanation is that the greater phloem mobility of P and K facilitates their enhanced remobilization to upper nodes whereas other less mobile elements (e.g. Fe, Ca, and Mg) tend to remain at their point of initial deposition. This would not readily explain the observed profiles for Cu, Zn and Mo, however, highlighting the complexities involved in metal homeostasis and the significant variation with canopy position. Another working hypothesis could be that K, P, Cu, Zn and Mo are mineral markers of metabolic activity and accumulate in leaves at the top of the canopy that have highest

rates of photosynthesis. Because minerals can be remobilized from leaves to developing seeds

(Sankaran and Grusak 2014, Drossopoulos, Bouranis, and Bairaktari 1994, Jiménez et al. 1996),

it is tempting to speculate that canopy seed gradient in Fe and Mg may be related to greater

stores of both metals in leaves lower in the canopy. Opposite patterns were observed for other

minerals (Ca, Mn, K, and Cu) suggesting that remobilization is either mineral specific or not

quantitatively important in delivery of minerals to developing seeds.

A final point to note is that the potential exists for some soil particles to adhere to vegetative

plant parts, especially lower in the canopy, while seeds are protected from soil contamination by

the pods. Since some minerals exhibited opposite patterns, it seems that soil adhesion could not

be completely responsible for the patterns observed.

Node position and the developing seed metabolome

Developing seeds were analyzed to determine whether canopy position affected seed metabolism

sufficiently to explain the observed differences in protein and oil concentrations at maturity. To

do this, we collected developing seeds from the top and bottom of the canopy at several time

points over a 24-h period (*Figure 7—figure supplement 1*). Because seeds at the top and

bottom of the canopy differed in size on the day of the experiment, seeds from the top of the

canopy were also collected 6 days later when they had reached the same size (fresh weight seed^{-}

^{1}) as the bottom seeds on the first collection date. All seeds were at the stage of development

where cell expansion and accumulation of storage compounds (protein and oil) were the

dominant metabolic processes (Collakova et al. 2013). Untargeted metabolite profiling was

conducted for analysis of polar compounds, free amino acids, free fatty acids, and total fatty

acids (*Figure 7—figure supplement 2*).

In general, most metabolites did not show diurnal changes in concentration, but there were

differences in concentrations as a function of seed size and node position. The metabolite plots in

Figure 7 illustrate some of the different patterns observed. The concentration of sucrose (*Figure

7A*) in developing seeds did not vary diurnally and remained relatively constant but the

concentration was slightly higher in the smallest seeds (day 1, top seed) compared to the larger

seeds sampled at the bottom position on day 1 or top position on day 7. The decrease in Suc

concentration comparing top seed on day 1 and day 7 likely reflects in part the dilution effect

caused by storage product accumulation as the seeds increased in size by roughly 2-fold. In

contrast, the concentration of citrate in developing seeds was roughly equal among the three samples (*Figure 7B*). These results suggest that seeds actually accumulate sucrose and to a larger extent citrate as they increase in dry matter during seed fill (thereby negating the dilution effect caused by seed growth). This also indicates that developing seeds have ample sugars and organic acids irrespective of size and node position and time of day. In marked contrast to Suc and citrate were the dramatic differences observed in free Asn concentration (*Figure 7C*), which was highest in top seed sampled on day 1 (Aug 20), and lowest in bottom seed sampled on the same day; the difference was roughly 8-fold. Sampling top seed on day 7 (Aug 26), when seed size was equivalent to that of bottom seed on day 1, still resulted in a ~4-fold elevation of free Asn concentration. The roughly 2-fold decrease in Asn concentration in seeds at the top of the canopy from day 1 to day 7 likely reflects the dilution effect of growth. The pattern for Asn concentration is potentially of interest because free Asn concentration during seed development correlates with protein concentration at maturity (Pandurangan et al. 2012, Hernandez-Sebastia et al. 2005, Miller et al. 2008, Herman 2014a). The results obtained in the present study suggest that greater supply of Asn to developing seeds at the top of the canopy may contribute to the observed greater accumulation of storage protein.

Importantly, Asn was also one of the important metabolites that distinguished the three sets of seeds collected based on a global metabolite analysis (*Figure 7—figure supplement 3*). Mean values for Asn, and other protein amino acids are shown in *Figure 8*. The concentrations of the free amino acids was highest in the small seed (top seed, day 1). Concentrations of Ala, Asn, Gly, and Thr were substantially higher in top seed at day 7 relative to bottom seed at day 1 (when seed sizes were similar). Of those amino acids, Asn was present at the highest absolute concentrations and may contribute to the storage protein biosynthesis either by acting as a signal metabolite or providing substrate for protein biosynthesis.

Discussion

There are two major conclusions that can be drawn from the present study. First, the position along the main stem at which soybean seeds develop has a profound impact on seed composition, affecting the concentrations of protein, oil and minerals at maturity. Second, the canopy position effects on seed mineral concentrations (in particular Fe) are sufficiently large that there may be

direct implications for human nutrition in countries where plants are the main source of protein

and soybeans are used for human food. These conclusions are discussed in more detail below.

Positional effects on seed protein and oil concentration are broadly observed

Results of the present study demonstrate that for 10 lines grown over a period of 3 years there

were remarkably consistent gradients in protein and oil concentrations in mature seeds as a

function of nodal position (***Figure 1A***). Increased concentration of oil in seeds from lower nodes

could result from the increased duration of the SFP documented for lower pods (***Figure 4—table***

supplement 1) because the accumulation of oil in seeds often starts earlier than protein (Saldivar

et al. 2011, Rotundo and Westgate 2009). However, oil accumulation tends to plateau before

protein accumulation and therefore, percent oil will often decrease with increasing duration of

the SFP rather than increase. In the present study, the protein and oil concentration gradients

from bottom to top of the canopy were not correlated with the difference in SFP between the two

positions (***Figure 4***) and thus it appears that SFP does determine the observed gradients in

protein and oil concentration. Micro-environment appears to be a factor controlling protein and

oil concentration gradients in the canopy because removal of neighboring plants at flowering

increased protein concentration at all positions and decreased the difference between top and

bottom nodes (***Figure 3***). While it is not clear which micro-environmental factor(s) might

actually be involved, we suggest that increased light energy reaching lower leaves may be a

contributing factor. Metabolomic analysis of developing seeds that identified free Asn as one of

the primary metabolites distinguishing seeds at the bottom and top of the canopy supports this

conclusion. Asparagine is the major free amino acid in developing soybean seeds and differences

in Asn concentration during development are positively correlated with protein concentration at

seed maturity (Pandurangan et al. 2012, Hernandez-Sebastia et al. 2005). Furthermore, over-

expression of asparaginase in soybean, driven by an embryo-specific promoter, resulted in a

reduction in free Asn concentration during development and reduced protein concentration in

mature seed, measured by nitrogen analysis (Pandurangan et al. 2015). Collectively, these results

suggest that free Asn is a sensor or regulator of processes that determine protein accumulation in

soybean seeds (Herman 2014b). Our results are consistent with this hypothesis and suggest that

differences in free Asn concentration may explain the position effects on seed protein (and oil)

concentration. Nitrogen and carbon flux into pods is largely provided by nearest sources

(Streeter and Jeffers 1979, Seddigh and Jolliff 1986) including the nearest trifoliolate leaves. We speculate that decreased light at lower positions in the closed canopy (i.e., with neighboring plants) would reduce leaf metabolism as well as the xylem flux of ureides and/or nitrate from roots to the lower leaves, thereby restricting the ability of those leaves to provide Asn (and Gln) to developing seeds. In contrast, removal of neighboring plants (in the 'thinned' plant treatment) would increase light at lower nodes thereby enhancing overall leaf metabolism and the flux of reduced nitrogen to subtending pods resulting in increased protein (and reduced oil) accumulation.

Positional effects on seed mineral concentration are documented

The concentration of minerals in seeds reflects the combined action of transport processes and regulation at multiple steps starting with mobilization from the soil, uptake into the root, and transport to the shoot for distribution among organs (Grusak, DellaPenna, and Welch 1999, Waters and Grusak 2008). Deposition of some minerals in seeds can also involve remobilization from leaves during seed filling (Hocking and Pate 1977, Grusak, DellaPenna, and Welch 1999), and it is interesting that different minerals show fundamentally different profiles of accumulation in seeds as a function of canopy position. These differences could reflect alternate routes from the apoplast to the symplast or differences in mobility in the phloem. Interestingly, minerals that tended to have highest concentrations in seeds at the bottom of the canopy (e.g., Mg, Fe, and Cu) are considered to have better phloem mobility compared to the minerals that tended to concentrate in the top of the canopy (e.g. Mn and in some cases Ca) that are considered to have poor phloem mobility. These results suggest that remobilization from leaves may be playing some role at least in the positional effects on the mature seed ionome.

While multiple seed constituents exhibited canopy concentration gradients, it seems unlikely that they are all caused by the same factors. Changing the microenvironment altered the protein and oil gradients but did not affect observed gradients for most of the minerals (*Figure 3*). Furthermore, while the slope of many gradients changes across lines, treatment and year, the way that they change is not well correlated between the different constituents, as illustrated in the plot normalized correlation matrix (*Figure 2A*), where relatively few strong correlations among the various parameters were apparent. However, numerous correlations were apparent when mean plot values were compared (*Figure 2B*). Several minerals (e.g., P, Mn, Fe, Zn, S, and Co)

had a negative relationship with oil concentration and increased with protein concentration. Thus, some coordination between seed storage product accumulation and mineral uptake into seeds is evident. However, the results suggest that total uptake of a mineral and the allocation among nodal positions are controlled by different mechanisms, and in general, canopy positional effects on minerals and protein/oil appear to be controlled by distinct mechanisms. Future studies will be required to sort out the mechanisms involved.

Human nutrition implications for variation in seed composition

Soybeans are valued for their protein and oil content, but when used for human nutrition the content of minerals such as iron and zinc is also critically important. On a global scale, human iron deficiency is one of the most prevalent nutritional disorders (McLean et al. 2009) especially in countries where plant-based diets are prominent. As discussed above, nodal position affected the concentration of several minerals such as Mg, Fe, and Cu that were present at higher concentrations in seeds produced at the bottom of the canopy. Iron is of particular interest and was generally 20% higher in seeds produced lower in the canopy relative to the top and as expected, differences in seed iron concentrations affected the concentration of iron in soy food products made from those seeds. Soy flour preserved more Fe than did milk/okara. Perhaps mineral retention improvement through product preparation is possible. An immediate application of our results with respect to human nutrition would be to use seeds from the top and bottom halves of the canopy for different purposes, with seeds produced in the lower half reserved for production of iron-rich soy foods for human consumption. Thus, knowledge of these canopy position effects provides an unexpected approach to link agronomic practices to improve human nutrition and health.

New type of seed heteromorphism and implications for climate change impacts

Seed heteromorphism is well established (Matilla, Gallardo, and Puga-Hermida 2005) but the seed heterogeneity documented here establishes a new category where an individual plant produces a continuum of seeds that differ in major aspects of their composition (protein, oil, and minerals) but are morphologically very similar. Overall, our results raise a number of questions and directions for future research. For example, it would be interesting to explore whether there are positional effects on soybean seed functional traits such as seed vigor or seedling stress

tolerance. Because environment during reproductive development of plants is now recognized to broadly impact seed properties, such as growth performance and stress tolerance of the progeny (Biodner et al. 2007, Tricker et al. 2013), it will be interesting to further explore similar properties of soybean seed produced at the different parts of the canopy. Our results also raise the question of whether similar effects occur in other species including non-domesticated plants where there might be some ecological significance.

Another area that will be interesting to explore is the impact of elevated CO_2 on the canopy positional effects described in the present study. It was recently reported (Myers et al. 2014, Loladze 2014) that grain from many species, including soybean, have lower concentrations of Zn and Fe when plants are grown at elevated CO_2 thereby uncovering a new climate change challenge to global health. The meta-analysis established a ~5% reduction in soybean seed Fe and Zn concentrations at high CO_2. It is relevant to note that variation in seed Fe concentration with node position established in the present study is substantially larger (4-fold greater) compared to the impact of climate change on mean seed Fe concentration. Therefore, our results are likely to be meaningful from a quantitative standpoint and have important implications for examining the impact of climate change on the seed ionome. For example, it will be interesting to determine how this overall reduction in mean seed Fe concentration at elevated CO_2 is related (if at all) to canopy position effects; is Fe reduced 5% in seeds at nodes throughout the canopy or are certain positions affected to a greater degree than others? Identifying the molecular mechanisms underlying canopy gradients in composition may provide new approaches to controlling soybean seed quality for various uses, including food for human consumption under conditions of global climate change.

Materials and Methods

Plant growth and sampling

Soybean lines were grown at the University of Illinois South Farm, Urbana, IL, in a randomized complete block design with three replicates each year. Each plot consisted of three rows 2.5 m long, with 0.75 m between rows and a planting density of roughly 30 seeds m^{-1}. To produce the thinning treatment, all but three plants were removed from each row shortly after flowering. Delaying thinning until after the reproductive period had begun minimized branching on the remaining plants. Approximately 20 cm of plants were thinned from the ends of each row

and the third plant was left in the middle in the row. The remaining plants were spaced

approximately 1 m apart.

Plants were harvested at maturity. All plants were cut close to ground level and brought

into the laboratory. Each stem was divided into four quadrants and the stem fractions in each

quadrant were threshed together for each plot. Only normal-sized plants were included in the

analysis, and extremely small, wrinkled or off-color seeds were manually removed from all

samples before analysis.

Soy products

To produce flour, soybeans were blanched (boiled for ~25 minutes) and then baked

before grinding. To produce soymilk and okara (remaining solids), soybeans were blanched

(boiled for ~5 min) twice and then ground in water and cooled slightly. The soymilk (liquid

phase) and okara (solid phase) were separated using a cheesecloth and then dried separately and

reground before analysis.

Seed storage product analysis

Protein and oil were measured with an Infratech 1241 Grain Analyzer (FOSS Analytical

AB, Höganäs, Sweden), which is a true Near Infrared Transmission instrument that generates a

spectrum from 850 to 1050 nm via the monochrome light source and mobile grating system. A

50-ml seed sample was used that allowed for 10 subsample readings reported on a 13 % moisture

basis.

Ionomic analysis

Seed analysis was conducted as described in Ziegler et al. 2012. Briefly, single seeds

from each quadrant were weighed using a custom built seed weighing robot and then digested in

concentrated nitric acid before loading onto an Elan ICP-MS. Internal standards were used to

control for differences in dilution and sample injection. Leaf and soy products were analyzed in

the same manner except that samples were added to digestion tubes by hand and weighed.

Custom scripts were used to correct for internal standards and correct for sample weight.

Metabolomic analysis

Metabolome analysis was done through Metabolomics Center, Roy J. Carver

Biotechnology Center, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. Frozen seeds with attached

seed coats were homogenized in liquid nitrogen and about 25 mg FW was extracted at room

temperature with 1 mL of 50% methanol followed by addition of 800 µ1 of methanol:chloroform

(1:2) as outlined in **Figure 7—supplemental figure 2**. Each extraction was followed by

centrifugation (5 min at 15,000 g), and the supernatants were collected. With the exception of

samples for analysis of coenzymes, final extracts were evaporated under vacuum at -60 $^{\circ}$C and

subjected to GC/MS analysis.

Metabolic profiling: Dried extracts were derivatized with 100 μL methoxyamine hydrochloride

(40 mg/ml in pyridine) for 90 min at 50 $^{\circ}$C, then with 100 μL MSTFA at 50 $^{\circ}$C for 120 min, and

following 2-hour incubation at room temperature. 5 μL of the internal standard (hentriacontanoic

acid, 10 mg/mL) was added to each sample prior to derivatization. Metabolites were analyzed

using a GC-MS system (Agilent Inc, CA, USA) consisting of an Agilent 7890 gas

chromatograph, an Agilent 5975 mass selective detector and a HP 7683B autosampler. Gas

chromatography was performed on a ZB-5MS (60m×0.32mm I.D. and 0.25μm film thickness)

capillary column (Phenomenex, CA, USA). The inlet and MS interface temperatures were 250

$^{\circ}$C, and the ion source temperature was adjusted to 230 $^{\circ}$C. An aliquot of 1 μL was injected with

the split ratio of 10:1. The helium carrier gas was kept at a constant flow rate of 2 ml/min. The

temperature program was: 5-min isothermal heating at 70 $^{\circ}$C, followed by an oven temperature

increase of 5 $^{\circ}$C min^{-1} to 310 $^{\circ}$C and a final 10 min at 310 $^{\circ}$C. The mass spectrometer was

operated in positive electron impact mode (EI) at 69.9 eV ionization energy at m/z 30-800 scan

range.

Amino acid analysis: A 20 µl aliquot of the internal standard DL-chlorophenylalanine (1mg/ml

in 0.1M HCl) was added to the extracts, dried under vacuum, derivatized with 50 µl of neat N-

Methyl-N-tert-butyldimethylsilyltrifluoroacetamide (MTBSTFA), and 50 μL of acetonitrile at 80

^{0}C for 4 h, cooled to room temperature and centrifuged briefly to remove condensate from the

top of tube prior to injection of 1 μL at 5:1 split ratio into the GC/MS system, which consisted of

an Agilent 6890N (Agilent Inc, Palo Alto, CA, USA) gas chromatograph, an Agilent 5973 mass

selective detector and Agilent 7683B autosampler. Gas chromatography was performed on a 60

m ZB-5MS column with 0.32 mm inner diameter (I.D.) and 0.25 μm film thickness

(Phenomenex, CA, USA) with injection temperature and MSD transfer line of 230 ^{0}C both, and

the ion source adjusted to 230^{0}C. The helium carrier gas was set at a constant flow rate of 2 ml

min^{-1}. The temperature program was 5 min at 150 ^{0}C, followed by an oven temperature ramp of

5 ^{0}C min^{-1} to 315 ^{0}C for a final 3 min. The mass spectrometer was operated in positive electron

impact mode (EI) at 69.9 eV ionization energy in m/z 50-800 scan range. Acquired data were

normalized to the internal standard (DL-p-Chlorophenylalanine) and sample fresh weight.

Amino acid concentrations were calculated based on 2ug/ml – 75ug/ml standard curves.

Free fatty acids, total fatty acids and coenzymes were also measured and values obtained used in

the global analysis, but specific results are not presented. Detailed methods for the analysis are

available on request.

The spectra of all chromatogram peaks were compared with electron impact mass spectrum

libraries NIST08 (NIST, MD, USA), W8N08 (Palisade Corporation, NY, USA), and a custom-

built database (460 unique metabolites). All known artificial peaks were identified and removed.

To allow comparison between samples, all data were normalized to the corresponding internal

standard and the sample fresh weight (FW). The spectra of all chromatogram peaks were

evaluated using the AMDIS 2.71 (NIST, MD, USA) program. Metabolite concentrations were

reported as concentrations relative to the internal standard (*i.e.*, target compound peak area

divided by peak area of internal standard: $N_I = X_i \times X^{-1}_{IS}$) per gram sample weight. The

instrument variability was within the standard acceptance limit (5%).

Metabolites with more than 50% of missing data were removed and for the rest of the

metabolites, any missing data was imputed with one-half of the minimum positive value in the

original data assuming their level was below the instrument detection limit. MVA and

visualization was performed with SIMCA-P+ 12.0 software (Umetrics AB, Umeå, Sweden) and

MetaboAnalyst (Xia and Wishart 2011) using log-transformed and autoscaled data and validated

by sevenfold Cross-Validation and permutation with 500 random. To address the problem of

multiple comparisons the False Discovery Rate (FDR) test was adopted. (Storey 2002).

Data analysis

Protein, oil, and elemental data were analyzed using R and the packages dplyr, ggplot2, grid, reshape2, qtlcharts and gplots. All data and analysis scripts used in the analysis are included as a supplemental file and are available on www.ionomicshub.org.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Kunming University of Science and Technology (KUST), Kunming City, P.R.

China for supporting the visit of Prof. Kunzhi Li to UIUC; Karl E. Weingarter and Marilyn L.

Nash for advice on soy food preparation and providing access to the Test Kitchen facility of the

National Soybean Research Laboratory at the University of Illinois; Greg Ziegler for expert

technical assistance with ionomic analysis and Sarah Schultz for help with field sampling.

Support for this work was provided by the United Soybean Board and the USDA-ARS.

REFERENCES

Baldocchi, Dennis D., Shashi B. Verma, and Norman J. Rosenberg. 1983. "Microclimate in the soybean canopy." *Agricultural Meteorology* 28 (4):321-337. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0002-1571(83)90009-2.

Baxter, Ivan. 2009. "Ionomics: studying the social network of mineral nutrients." *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 12 (3):381-386. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2009.05.002.

Biodner, C., C. Goebel, I. Feussner, C. Gatz, and A. Polle. 2007. "Warm and cold parental reproductive environments affect seed properties, fitness, and cold responsiveness in Arabidopsis thaliana progenies." *Plant, Cell & Environment* 30 (2):165-175. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2006.01615.x.

Carrera, Constanza, María José Martínez, Julio Dardanelli, and Mónica Balzarini. 2009. "Water Deficit Effect on the Relationship between Temperature during the Seed Fill Period and Soybean Seed Oil and Protein Concentrations." *Crop Science* 49 (3):990-998. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2008.06.0361.

Carrera, Constanza, María José Martínez, Julio Dardanelli, and Mónica Balzarini. 2011. "Environmental Variation and Correlation of Seed Components in Nontransgenic Soybeans: Protein, Oil, Unsaturated Fatty Acids, Tocopherols, and Isoflavones." *Crop Science* 51 (2):800-809. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2010.06.0314.

Collakova, Eva, Delasa Aghamirzaie, Yihui Fang, Curtis Klumas, Farzaneh Tabataba, Akshay Kakumanu, Elijah Myers, Lenwood Heath, and Ruth Grene. 2013. "Metabolic and Transcriptional Reprogramming in Developing Soybean (Glycine max) Embryos." *Metabolites* 3 (2):347-372.

Collins, F. I., and J. L. Cartter. 1956. "Variability in Chemical Composition of Seed From Different Portions of the Soybean Plant1." *Agron. J.* 48 (5):216-219. doi: 10.2134/agronj1956.00021962004800050006x.

Drossopoulos, J. B., D. L. Bouranis, and B. D. Bairaktari. 1994. "Patterns of mineral nutrient fluctuations in soybean leaves in relation to their position." *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 17 (6):1017-1035. doi: 10.1080/01904169409364785.

Duke, Stephen O., Krishna N. Reddy, Kaixuan Bu, and James V. Cizdziel. 2012. "Effects of Glyphosate on the Mineral Content of Glyphosate-Resistant Soybeans (Glycine max)." *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 60 (27):6764-6771. doi: 10.1021/jf3014603.

Escalante, E. E., and J. R. Wilcox. 1993a. "Variation in Seed Protein among Nodes of Determinate and Indeterminate Soybean near-Isolines." *Crop Science* 33 (6):1166-1168.

Escalante, E. E., and J. R. Wilcox. 1993b. "Variation in Seed Protein among Nodes of Normal-Protein and High-Protein Soybean Genotypes." *Crop Science* 33 (6):1164-1166.

Evans, T. A., J. J. Fuhrmann, R. L. Nelson, and B. L. Vasilas. 1995. "Relationship of nitrogen utilization patterns with soybean yield and seed-fill period." *Crop Science* 35:809+.

Grusak, Michael A, Dean DellaPenna, and Ross M Welch. 1999. "Physiologic processes affecting the content and distribution of phytonutrients in plants." *Nutrition reviews* 57 (9):27-33.

Herman, E. M. 2014a. "Soybean seed proteome rebalancing." *Front Plant Sci* 5:437. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00437.

Herman, Eliot. 2014b. "Soybean Seed Proteome Rebalancing." *Frontiers in Plant Science* 5. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00437.

Hernandez-Sebastia, C., F. Marsolais, C. Saravitz, D. Israel, R. E. Dewey, and S. C. Huber. 2005. "Free amino acid profiles suggest a possible role for asparagine in the control of storage-product accumulation in developing seeds of low- and high-protein soybean lines." *J Exp Bot* 56 (417):1951-63. doi: 10.1093/jxb/eri191.

Hocking, P. J., and J. S. Pate. 1977. "Mobilization of Minerals to Developing Seeds of Legumes." *Annals of Botany* 41 (6):1259-1278.

Jiménez, M. P., D. Effrón, A. M. de la Horra, and R. Defrieri. 1996. "Foliar potassium, calcium, magnesium, zinc, and manganese content in soybean cultivars at different stages of development." *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 19 (6):807-816. doi: 10.1080/01904169609365163.

Krishnan, Hari B., Sungchan Jang, Ivan Baxter, and William J. Wiebold. 2012. "Growing location has a pronounced effect on the accumulation of cancer chemopreventive agent Bowman-Birk inhibitor in soybean seeds." *Crop Sci* 52 (4). doi: 10.2135/cropsci2011.11.0593.

Loladze, Irakli. 2014. "Hidden shift of the ionome of plants exposed to elevated CO2 depletes minerals at the base of human nutrition." *eLife* 3. doi: 10.7554/eLife.02245.

Matilla, Angel, Mercedes Gallardo, and María Isabel Puga-Hermida. 2005. "Structural, physiological and molecular aspects of heterogeneity in seeds: a review." *Seed Science Research* 15 (02):63-76. doi: doi:10.1079/SSR2005203.

McGrath, J. M., and D. B. Lobell. 2013. "Reduction of transpiration and altered nutrient allocation contribute to nutrient decline of crops grown in elevated CO(2) concentrations." *Plant Cell Environ* 36 (3):697-705. doi: 10.1111/pce.12007.

McLean, E., M. Cogswell, I. Egli, D. Wojdyla, and B. de Benoist. 2009. "Worldwide prevalence of anaemia, WHO Vitamin and Mineral Nutrition Information System, 1993-2005." *Public Health Nutr* 12 (4):444-54. doi: 10.1017/S1368980008002401.

Miller, A. J., X. Fan, Q. Shen, and S. J. Smith. 2008. "Amino acids and nitrate as signals for the regulation of nitrogen acquisition." *J Exp Bot* 59 (1):111-9. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erm208.

Myers, S. S., A. Zanobetti, I. Kloog, P. Huybers, A. D. Leakey, A. J. Bloom, E. Carlisle, L. H. Dietterich, G. Fitzgerald, T. Hasegawa, N. M. Holbrook, R. L. Nelson, M. J. Ottman, V. Raboy, H. Sakai, K. A. Sartor, J. Schwartz, S. Seneweera, M. Tausz, and Y. Usui. 2014. "Increasing CO2 threatens human nutrition." *Nature* 510 (7503):139-42. doi: 10.1038/nature13179.

Pandurangan, S., A. Pajak, S. J. Molnar, E. R. Cober, S. Dhaubhadel, C. Hernandez-Sebastia, W. M. Kaiser, R. L. Nelson, S. C. Huber, and F. Marsolais. 2012. "Relationship between asparagine metabolism and protein concentration in soybean seed." *J Exp Bot* 63 (8):3173-84. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ers039.

Pandurangan, S., A. Pajak, T. Rintoul, R. Beyaert, C. Hernandez-Sebastia, D. C. Brown, and F. Marsolais. 2015. "Soybean seeds overexpressing asparaginase exhibit reduced nitrogen concentration." *Physiol Plant*. doi: 10.1111/ppl.12341.

Raboy, V., and D. B. Dickinson. 1987. "The timing and rate of phytic Acid accumulation in developing soybean seeds." *Plant Physiol* 85 (3):841-4.

Rotundo, José L., and Mark E. Westgate. 2009. "Meta-analysis of environmental effects on soybean seed composition." *Field Crops Research* 110 (2):147-156. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2008.07.012.

Saldivar, Xiaoyu, Ya-Jane Wang, Pengying Chen, and Anfu Hou. 2011. "Changes in chemical composition during soybean seed development." *Food Chemistry* 124 (4):1369-1375. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.07.091.

Sankaran, R. P., and M. A. Grusak. 2014. "Whole shoot mineral partitioning and accumulation in pea (Pisum sativum)." *Front Plant Sci* 5:149. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00149.

Seddigh, Majid, and Gary D. Jolliff. 1986. "Remobilization Patterns of C and N in Soybeans with Different Sink-Source Ratios Induced by Various Night Temperatures." *Plant Physiology* 81 (1):136-141.

Sha, Z., N. Oka, T. Watanabe, B. D. Tampubolon, K. Okazaki, M. Osaki, and T. Shinano. 2012. "Ionome of soybean seed affected by previous cropping with mycorrhizal plant and manure application." *J Agric Food Chem* 60 (38):9543-52. doi: 10.1021/jf3024744.

Storey, John D. 2002. "A direct approach to false discovery rates." *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)* 64 (3):479-498. doi: 10.1111/1467-9868.00346.

Streeter, J. G., and D. L. Jeffers. 1979. "Distribution of Total Non-Structural Carbohydrates in Soybean Plants Having Increased Reproductive Load." *Crop Science* 19 (5):729-734. doi: 10.2135/cropsci1979.0011183X001900050046x.

Tricker, Penny, Carlos López, George Gibbings, Paul Hadley, and Mike Wilkinson. 2013. "Transgenerational, Dynamic Methylation of Stomata Genes in Response to Low Relative Humidity." *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 14 (4):6674-6689.

Vasconcelos, Marta Wilton, Tom Clemente, and Michael A. Grusak. 2014. "Evaluation of constitutive iron reductase (AtFRO2) expression on mineral accumulation and distribution in soybean (Glycine max. L)." *Frontiers in Plant Science* 5. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00112.

Vreugdenhil, D., M. G. M. Aarts, M. Koornneef, H. Nelissen, and W. H. O. Ernst. 2004. "Natural variation and QTL analysis for cationic mineral content in seeds of Arabidopsis thaliana." *Plant, Cell & Environment* 27 (7):828-839. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2004.01189.x.

Waters, B. M., and M. A. Grusak. 2008. "Whole-plant mineral partitioning throughout the life cycle in Arabidopsis thaliana ecotypes Columbia, Landsberg erecta, Cape Verde Islands, and the mutant line ysl1ysl3." *New Phytol* 177 (2):389-405. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02288.x.

Wilcox, James R. 1998. "Increasing Seed Protein in Soybean with Eight Cycles of Recurrent Selection." *Crop Sci.* 38 (6):1536-1540. doi: 10.2135/cropsci1998.0011183X003800060021x.

Wolf, R. B., J. F. Cavins, R. Kleiman, and L. T. Black. 1982. "Effect of temperature on soybean seed constituents: Oil, protein, moisture, fatty acids, amino acids and sugars." *Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society* 59 (5):230-232. doi: 10.1007/BF02582182.

Xia, Jianguo, and David S. Wishart. 2011. "Web-based inference of biological patterns, functions and pathways from metabolomic data using MetaboAnalyst." *Nat. Protocols* 6 (6):743-760.

Ziegler, Greg, Aimee Terauchi, Anthony Becker, Paul Armstrong, Karen Hudson, and Ivan Baxter. 2013. "Ionomic Screening of Field-Grown Soybean Identifies Mutants with Altered Seed Elemental Composition." *The Plant Genome* 6 (2). doi: 10.3835/plantgenome2012.07.0012.

Figure Legends:

Figure 1. Canopy Gradients of Seed Composition Traits. For each trait, the data was normalized

to the plot average to remove the effect of environment and genotype. The plots display the

quadrant average as a line with the 95% confidence interval calculated using standard error as

the ribbon. **A**) Percentage protein, percentage Oil and single seed weight**. B**) Elements with a

significant (p < 1 e -10) effect of gradient in an ANOVA analysis that included Entry, Year and

Position.

Figure 2. Correlation Plot among Composition Traits. Pearson correlation values between

compositional traits. **A**) Correlation across 832 quadrants normalized to the plot average. **B**)

Correlation across 208 plot means.

Figure 3. Effect of Thinning on Compositional Traits. For each trait, the data was normalized to

the plot average to remove the effect of environment and genotype. The plots display the

quadrant average as a line with the 95% confidence interval calculated using standard error as

the ribbon. **A**) Percentage protein and percentage Oil in 2010. **B**) Elements (from 2010 and 2012)

with a significant (p < 1 e -10) effect of gradient in an ANOVA analysis that included Entry,

Year, Position and thinning.

Figure 4. Difference in Top/Bottom composition traits is not correlated with Seed Fill Period.

The difference in plot normalized composition between the top quad and the bottom quad for

protein, oil and iron plotted versus the difference in seed fill period for 51 plots in 2012.

Figure 5. Canopy differences in Iron are reflected in food products. Fe content of the products from 3 replicates of seven lines (flour) and four lines (Milk and Okara). Boxplots display the five number summary (median, 25, and 75% percentile define the box, with whiskers extending to 1.5 x interquartile range).

Figure 6. Canopy Gradients of Leaf Composition Traits. For each trait, the data was normalized to the plot average to remove the effect of environment and genotype. The plots display the quadrant average as a line with the 95% confidence interval calculated using standard error as the ribbon. Elements with a significant ($p < 1$ e -10) effect of gradient in an ANOVA analysis that included Entry, Collection Date and Position.

Figure 7. Concentrations of selected primary metabolites in developing seeds**. A**, Suc; **B**, citrate; and **C**, Asn. Boxplots display the five number summary (median, 25, and 75% percentile define the box, with whiskers extending to 1.5 x interquartile range) for three replicates at each sampling time: 7AM, 12N, 7PM and the following morning at 7AM. The grey vertical bars represent the intervening night period. Suc and citrate values are relative concentrations, while Asn is presented as $\mu g\ (g\ DW)^{-1}$.

Figure 8. Concentrations of free amino acids in developing seeds**.** Boxplots display the five number summary (median, 25, and 75% percentile define the box, with whiskers extending to 1.5 x interquartile range) for values from each sampling interval (3 replicates and 4 time points are merged within each box) and nodal position. Ornithine levels reflect both ornithine and arginine as arginine is converted to ornithine during sample prep for GC-MS. D1.bot, D1.top and D7.top refer to the samples collected on day one top and bottom quadrants and the day seven top quadrant respectively.

Figure 1

A Plot Normalized Correlations

B Plot Means−Correlations

Color Key
-1 -0.5 0 0.5 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure 8

Supplementary tables and figures

Figure 1—table supplement 1. Indeterminate lines used in the present study and selected characteristics

Figure 1—table supplement 2. Weather summary (June 1– August 31) during the 2010 to 2012 growing seasons

Figure 3—table supplement 1. Genotype differences in Seed fill period (SFP) and the difference in SFP at two node positions (bottom minus top; delSFP)

Figure 1—figure supplement 1. Absolute mineral concentrations in 3 years of study. The plots display the quadrant average as a line with the 95% confidence interval calculated using standard error as the ribbon.

Figure 7—figure supplement 1. Experimental protocol for sampling developing soybean seeds for metabolomic analysis.

Figure 7—figure supplement 2. Schematic representation of sample fractionation for global metabolite analysis.

Figure 7—figure supplement 3. Global analysis of metabolome of developing soybean seeds. **A**) PLS-DA scores plot ($R^2 = 98.7\%$, Q2 = 81.1%, $P < 0.001$ by permutation test) of soybean seeds at different canopy position and time of day. **B**) Variable Importance in the Projection (VIP) for the first component showing the fifteen most important compounds.

Figure 1—table supplement 1.

Indeterminate lines used in the present study

Genotype	MG	Pedigree	Protein	%PRO[a]	%OIL[a]	Comments
Burlison	II	K74-113-76-486 x Century (K74-113-76-486 is Tracy x Pomona)	medium	36.4	17.8	
Chamberlain	III	A76-304020 x Land O Lakes Max (A76-304020 is from (Beeson x AP68-1016) x (L15 x Calland) AP68-1016 is from Clark(5) x PI 84946-2 L15 is from Wayne (6) x Clark 63), Max is from [Wayne x (Clark x Adams)] x Cutler	normal	32.5	18.8	
Cumberland	III	Corsoy x Williams	normal	32.8	19.8	
LG00-13226	III	PI 437088A x Burlison	high	41.5	15.2	Derived from exotic germplasm
LG00-3372	III	PI 561319A x PI 574477	normal	32.1	18.7	Derived from exotic germplasm
LG00-13365	II	Williams 82 x PI 437088A	high	40.4	15.8	Derived from exotic germplasm
LG00-15595	III	Williams 82 (3) x Wisconsin Black	medium	37.1	17.3	Derived from exotic germplasm
LN97-15076	IV	Macon x Stressland	normal	32.4	18.4	
Logan	III	[Beeson x L15 (Wayne (6) x Clark 63)] x Amsoy	normal	31.9	20.6	
Williams 82	III	Williams (7) x Kingwa	normal	33.6	18.7	

[a]Average values for protein and oil from bottom, mid-bottom, mid-top, and top quadrants from 2012 based on 13% moisture.

Figure 1—table supplement 2

Weather summary (June 1– August 31) during the 2010 to 2012 growing seasons

Year	Temperature	Precipitation	Comments
2010[a]	Above average	Above average	Generally warm spring and record low temperatures set during summer; some severe weather episodes.
2011[b]	Above average	Below average	Hot and humid during July
2012[c]	Above average	Below average	Drought conditions during late spring and summer, impacting crop growth.

[a]http://www.crh.noaa.gov/ilx/?n=2010review

[b]http://www.crh.noaa.gov/ilx/?n=2011review

[c]http://www.crh.noaa.gov/ilx/?n=2012review

Figure 4—table supplement 1

Genotype differences in Seed fill period (SFP) and the difference in SFP at two node positions (bottom minus top; delSFP)

	Bottom SFP				Top SFP				overall
	2010	2012 NS	2012 EW	ave	2010	2012 NS	2012 EW	ave	delSFP
Cumberland	41.3	47.8	51.9	**47.0**	34.2	42.4	44.1	**40.2**	6.8
LN97-15076	40.7	50.1	51.6	**47.5**	36.4	43.3	43.7	**41.1**	6.4
LG00-13226	37.2	45.2	44.1	**42.2**	32.2	42.4	38	**37.5**	4.6
Burlison	38.6	48.2	48	**44.9**	33.2	39.3	39.8	**37.4**	7.5
LG00-13365	31.5	46	46.5	**41.3**	25	37.7	35.1	**32.6**	8.7
Logan	37.2	52.8	49.2	**46.4**	32.9	43.4	40.5	**38.9**	7.4
Chamberlain	41.8	49.9	53.3	**48.3**	34.1	41.5	42.3	**39.3**	9.1
LG00-3372	42.2	45	48.7	**45.3**	32.7	41	41.8	**38.5**	6.8
LG00-15595	40	44.3	49.9	**44.7**	34.9	41.7	48.3	**41.6**	3.1
Wms 82	38.5	48.9	49.3	**45.6**	33.4	42.8	38.9	**38.4**	7.2

In 2012, each line was grown in rows with East-West or North-South orientation and values for each are shown and were used to calculate average values for each node position.

Figure 1—figure supplement 1

Figure 7—figure supplement 1

A

8-20-2013   *6 days growth*   8-26-2013

1200 μ*E*

32° C

Top Seed:
0.075 g seed^{-1}

Top Seed:
0.151 g seed^{-1}

20-30 μ*E*

29° C

Bottom Seed:
0.163 g seed^{-1}

7AM   12N   7PM   7AM

B

4 time points X 4 reps

2 canopy positions on 8-20-2013
1 canopy position on 8-26-2013

Figure 7—supplemental Figure 2

1mL of 50% methanol → 100ul of supernatant for Coenzymes, LC/MS

1mL of 50% methanol → 200ul of supernatant for free Amino acids, GC/MS

1mL of 50% methanol → 600ul of supernatant for metabolic profiling, GC/MS

Centrifuged at 15000g

800ul of methanol:chloroform (1:2)

Centrifuged at 15000g

400ul of supernatant for free fatty acids, GC/MS

400ul of supernatant for total fatty acids, GC/MS → Saponification for fatty acid analysis

Figure 7—figure supplement 3

A

Autoscale and log
transformation for A) and B)
row normalization for C).

B

Top 8-26 vs bot 8-20