1

Serial passaging causes extensive positive selection in seasonal influenza A

hemagglutinin

Claire D. McWhite[1,2], Austin G. Meyer[1,3,4], Claus O. Wilke[1,3,4]

[1]Center for Systems and Synthetic Biology and Institute for Cellular and Molecular

Biology, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712

[2]Department of Molecular Biosciences, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX

78712

[3]Center for Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, The University of Texas at

Austin, Austin, TX 78712

[4]Department of Integrative Biology, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712

Address correspondence to wilke@austin.utexas.edu

Clinical influenza A isolates are rarely sequenced directly. Instead, a majority of these

isolates (~70% in 2015) are first subjected to serial passaging for amplification, most

commonly in non-human cell culture. Here, we find that this passaging leaves distinct

signals of adaptation in the viral sequences, and it confounds evolutionary analyses of

the viral sequences. We find distinct patterns of adaptation to generic (MDCK) and

monkey cell culture. These patterns also dominate pooled data sets not separated by

passaging type. By contrast, MDCK-SIAT1 passaged sequences seem mostly (but not

entirely) free of passaging adaptations. Contrary to previous studies, we find that using

only internal branches of the influenza phylogenetic trees is insufficient to correct for

passaging artifacts. These artifacts can only be safely avoided by excluding passaged

sequences entirely from subsequent analysis. We conclude that all future influenza

evolutionary analyses must appropriately control for potentially confounding effects of

passaging adaptations.

INTRODUCTION

The routine sequencing of clinical isolates has become a critical component of global seasonal influenza surveillance (World Health Organization Global influenza surveillance network, 2011). Analysis of these viral sequences informs the selection of future vaccine strains (Stöhr et al., 2012; WHO Writing Group et al., 2012), and a wide variety of computational methods have been developed to identify sites under selection or immune-escape mutations (Blackburne et al., 2008; Koelle et al., 2006; Nelson et al., 2006; Suzuki, 2008; Wolf et al., 2006), or to predict the short-term evolutionary future of influenza virus (Łuksza and Lässig, 2014; Neher et al., 2014). However, sites that appear positively selected in sequence analysis frequently do not agree with sites identified experimentally in hemagglutination inhibition assays (Meyer and Wilke, 2015; Tusche et al., 2012), and the origin of this discrepancy is unclear. Here, we argue that a major cause of this discrepancy is widespread serial passaging of influenza virus before sequencing.

Clinical isolates are generally passaged in culture to amplify viral copy number, as well as to introduce virus into a living system for testing strain features such as vaccine response, antiviral response, and replication efficiency (Kumar and Henrickson, 2012; World Health Organization Global influenza surveillance network, 2011). A variety of culture systems are used for virus amplification. Cell cultures derived from Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells are by far the most widely used system, with the majority of sequences in influenza repositories deriving from virus that has been passaged through an MDCK cell culture (Balish et al., 2005; Bogner et al., 2006). Influenza virus may also

be passaged through monkey kidney (RhMK or TMK) cell culture or injected directly into

egg amniotes. Alternatively, complete influenza genomes can be obtained from PCR-

amplified influenza samples without intermediate passaging (Katz et al., 1990; Lee et

al., 2013a).

Several experiments have demonstrated that influenza hemagglutinin (HA) accumulates

mutations following rounds of serial passaging in both cell (Ilyushina et al., 2012; Lee et

al., 2013b; Wyde et al., 1977) and egg culture (Robertson et al., 1993). The decreased

number of mutations in MDCK-based cell culture is the main argument for use of this

system over egg amniotes in vaccine production (Katz and Webster, 1989), with MDCK

cells expressing human SIAT1 having the highest fidelity to the original sequence and

reduced host adaptation (Hamamoto et al., 2013). Viral adaptations to eggs have

recently been linked to reduced vaccine efficacy (Skowronski et al., 2014; Xie et al.,

2015) and were implicated as potentially contributing to reduced efficacy of 2014-2015

seasonal H3N2 influenza vaccination in the World Health Organization's

recommendations for 2015-2016 vaccine strains (The World Health Organization,

2015). As the majority of influenza vaccines worldwide are produced in eggs, vaccine

strain selection is limited to virus with the ability to replicate rapidly in this system (World

Health Organization Global influenza surveillance network, 2011).

Although egg-passaged sequences are increasingly excluded from influenza

phylogenetic analysis (see e.g. the NextFlu tracker (Neher and Bedford, 2015)), due to

the known high host-specific substitution rates, cell culture is generally not thought to be

sufficiently selective to produce a discernable evolutionary signal. One of few existing

evolutionary analyses of passaging effects on influenza (Bush et al., 2000)

demonstrated that passaging causes no major changes in clade structure between egg

and cell passaged viruses. Moreover, several studies have recommended the use of

internal branches in the phylogenetic tree to reduce passaging effects in evolutionary

analysis of Influenza A (Bush et al., 2001; Suzuki, 2006). Another study discovered egg

culture to be the cause of misidentification of several sites under positive selection in

Influenza B (Gatherer, 2010), but this study was limited to comparing egg-cultured to

cell-cultured virus. As the availability of unpassaged influenza sequences has

dramatically increased over the past ten years, we can now perform a direct comparison

of passaged to circulating virus.

Here, we compare patterns of adaptation in North American seasonal H3N2 influenza

HA sequences derived from passaged and unpassaged virus. We divide viral

sequences by their passaging history, distinguishing between unpassaged clinical

samples, egg amniotes, RhMK (monkey) cell culture, and generic/MDCK-based cell

culture. For the latter, we also distinguish between virus passaged in MDCK-SIAT1 cell

culture (SIAT1) and in unmodified MDCK or unspecified cell culture (non-SIAT1). We

find clear signals of adaptation to the various passaging conditions. These signals are

strongly present in the tip branches of the phylogenetic trees but can also be detected in

internal branches. Finally, we demonstrate that the identification of antigenic escape

sites from sequence data has been confounded by passaging adaptations, and that the

exclusion of passaged sequences allows us to use sequence and structural data to

highlight regions involved in antigenic escape.

RESULTS

Most influenza-virus samples collected from patients are first serially passaged through

one or more culturing systems, prior to PCR amplification and sequencing (Figure 1A).

Reconstructed trees of influenza evolution contain a mixture of passage histories at

their tips (Figure 1B). During serial passaging, influenza genomes accumulate adaptive

mutations, and the effect of these mutations on evolutionary analyses of influenza

sequences is not well understood.

Sitewise evolutionary rate patterns differ between passage groups

To quantify any evolutionary signal that may be introduced by passaging, we

assembled, from the GISAID database (Bogner et al., 2006), a set of North American

human influenza H3N2 hemagglutinin sequences collected between 2005 and 2015.

We initially sorted these sequences into groups by their passage history: (1)

unpassaged, (2) egg-passaged, (3) generic cell-passaged, and (4) monkey cell-

passaged (Table 1). To assess evolutionary variation at individual sites, we calculated

site-specific *dN/dS* (Echave et al., 2016), using Single Likelihood Ancestor Counting

(SLAC). Specifically, we calculated one-rate *dN/dS* estimates, i.e., site-specific *dN*

values normalized by a global *dS* value (see Methods for details). In addition to

considering groups of sequences with specific passage histories, we also calculated

dN/dS values by pooling all sequences into one combined analysis. This pooled group

corresponds to a typical influenza evolutionary analysis in which passage history has

not been accounted for.

We first correlated the sitewise *dN/dS* values we obtained for virus sequences derived

from different passage histories. If passage history did not matter, then the *dN/dS*

values obtained from different sources should correlate strongly with each other, with *r*

approaching 1. Instead, we found that correlation coefficients ranged from 0.68 to 0.88,

depending on which specific comparison we made (Figure 2A). (In this analysis, and

throughout this work, we down-sampled alignments to the smallest number of

sequences available for any of the conditions compared, to keep the samples as

comparable as possible overall. The analysis of Figure 2 used *n* = 917 randomly drawn

sequences for each condition.) Unpassaged *dN/dS* correlated more strongly with cell

and pooled *dN/dS* (correlations of 0.77 and 0.79, respectively) than with monkey-cell

*dN/dS (*0.68*)*. Note that the *dN/dS* values from the pooled group, which corresponds to

a typical data set used in a phylogenetic analysis of influenza, more closely correlated

with the *dN/dS* values from the generic cell group (*r* = 0.87) than from the unpassaged

group (*r* = 0.79). Egg-derived sequences were excluded from this analysis due to low

sequence numbers (*n* = 79), however evolutionary rates from this condition correlated

particularly poorly with those of random draws of 79 unpassaged sequences

(Supplementary Figure 1). This result is consistent with the conclusions of (Bush et al.,

2000), (Suzuki, 2006), and (Gatherer, 2010) that egg-derived sequences show specific

adaptations not found otherwise in influenza sequences.

Because the common ancestor of any two passaged influenza viruses is a virus that

replicated in humans, we would expect that any adaptations introduced during

passaging should not extend into the internal branches of a reconstructed tree.

Therefore, we additionally subdivided phylogenetic trees into internal branches and tip

branches, and calculated site-specific *dN/dS* values separately for these two sets of

branches. In fact, (Bush et al., 2000) had recommended the use of internal branches to

reduce variation seen between egg and non-egg passaged virus. As expected, we

found that when *dN/dS* calculations were restricted to the internal branches, the

correlations between the passage groups overall increased (Figure 2B), even though

distinct differences between the passage groups remained. Conversely, when only

considering tip branches, correlations among most groups were relatively low (Figure

2C), with the exception of cell-passaged sequences compared to the pooled

sequences. This finding emphasizes once again that the pooled sample is most similar

to the cell-passaged sample. We conclude that different passaging histories leave

distinct, evolutionary signatures of adaptation to the passaging environment.

To further investigate the apparent discrepancies between *dN/dS* derived from

unpassaged sequences, monkey-cell passaged sequences, cell-passaged sequences,

and the pooled set, we compared the magnitude of the site-wise rates (Figure 2D). Cell-

passaged and pooled sequences had, on average, significantly inflated *dN/dS* values

compared to unpassaged and monkey-cell-passaged sequences in the full phylogenetic

tree (paired *t* test, *P* = 1.5 x 10^{-05} and *P* = 9.1 x 10^{-05}, respectively) and along tip

branches (paired *t* test, *P* =1.8 x 10^{-06} and *P* = 6.3 x 10^{-05}, respectively). By contrast,

there were no significant differences between cell-passaged and pooled sequences in

all three cases (paired *t* test, *P* = 0.26, *P* = 0.24, and *P* = 0.26, respectively, for the full

tree, internal branches, and tip branches). *dN/dS* values were generally more similar

along internal branches, however a significant difference of *dN/dS* from cell-passaged

and pooled sequences relative to monkey-cell-passaged sequences remained. These

results demonstrate that both cell-passaged and pooled sequences show artificially

inflated *dN/dS* values compared to unpassaged sequences.

In aggregate, these results show that while both generic-cell-passaged sequences and

monkey-cell-passaged sequences yield different sitewise *dN/dS* patterns relative to

unpassaged sequences (Fig. 2A-C), cell passaging additionally creates inflated *dN/dS*

values (Fig. 2D), indicating positive adaptation to the passaging condition. At the same

time, *dN/dS* values derived from monkey-cell-passaged sequences are the least similar

to *dN/dS* from unpassaged sequences (Fig. 2A–C). The pooled group of sequences,

which corresponds to a typical data set used in evolutionary analyses of influenza virus,

describes evolutionary rates of specifically cell passaged virus and poorly matches

evolutionary rates of circulating influenza virus.

Adaptations to cell and monkey-cell passage display characteristic patterns of

site variation

We next asked whether adaptations to passage history were located in specific regions

of the HA protein. To address this question, we employed the geometric model of HA

evolution we recently introduced (Meyer and Wilke, 2015). For H3N2 HA, this model

explains over 30% of the variation in dN/dS using two simple physical measures, the

relative solvent accessibility (RSA) of individual residues in the structure (Tien et al.,

2013) and the inverse linear distance in 3D space from each residue to protein site 224

in the hemagglutinin monomer. Notably, the geometric model was previously applied to

a pooled sequence set including sequences of various passaging histories. To what

extent it carries over to sequences with specific passaging histories is not known.

We first considered the correlation between dN/dS and RSA (Figure 3A). We found that

for all passage groups, R^2 values ranged from 0.10 to 0.16 in the full tree, consistent

with our earlier work (Meyer and Wilke, 2015). The high congruence among R^2 values

for internal branches and all branches suggests that RSA imposes a pervasive selection

pressure on HA, independent of passaging adaptations. Thus, RSA represents a useful

structural measure of a persistent effect of dN/dS with stronger correlations in the full

tree and internal branches than in tip branches.

Next we considered the correlation between dN/dS and the inverse distance to site 224

(Figure 3B). In contrast to RSA, correlations here were systematically higher in tip

branches, suggesting a recent adaptive signal. We found virtually no correlation for

unpassaged sequences, while a low correlation existed for monkey-cell cultured

sequences and a higher correlation for cell-passaged and pooled sequences.

Correlations from pooled sequences mirrored cell culture correlations and persisted

through internal branches. Thus, the correlation of dN/dS with the inverse distance to

site 224 seems to be primarily an artifact of cell passage, even though its effect can be

seen along internal branches as well. As the majority of the available HA sequences are

cell-derived, this cell-specific signal dominates the pooled data set. Further, this cell-

specific signal is partially attenuated along internal branches and amplified along tip

branches, as we would expect from a signal caused by recent host-specific adaptation.

Even though this signal is a true predictor of influenza evolutionary rates for virus grown

in cell culture, it does not transfer to unpassaged sequences and therefore has no

relevance for the circulating virus. This finding serves as a strong demonstration of

passage history as a confounder in evolutionary analysis of hemagglutinin evolution, not

just for egg passage as previously demonstrated, but also for cell and monkey-cell

passage.

Surprisingly, the correlation we found here between dN/dS and inverse distance to site

224 for pooled sequences (R^2 = 0.067) was less than half of the value reported by

(Meyer and Wilke, 2015) (Fig. 3B). However, using a dataset of sequences more

temporally matched to that paper's dataset (2005–2014 instead of 2005–2015), we

recovered the previously seen higher correlation. This finding suggests that there is

some feature in the additional 2015 sequences that changes the pooled dataset's

relationship with inverse distance to site 224. In 2015, unpassaged and SIAT1

sequenced each doubled in number compared to in 2014, while the number of non-

SIAT1 cell cultured sequences dropped dramatically (Table 2). Therefore, we next

investigated whether the drop in correlation from 2014 to 2015 could be attributed to the

recent reduction in cell culture using non-SIAT1 cells.

There is little signal of adaptation to passage in SIAT1 cells

In the preceding analyses, we lumped all cell cultures except monkey cells into the

same category. However, there are more subtle distinctions in cell passaging systems,

and they can exert differential selective pressures on human adapted virus (Hamamoto

et al., 2013; Oh et al., 2008)**.** As our generic cell culture group was composed of a

mixture of wild type MDCK, SIAT1, and unspecified cell cultures, we next investigated

whether any one culture type was the source of the high cell-culture signal in Figure 3B.

The SIAT1 cell system, which overexpresses human-like 6-linked sialic acids over

native 3-linked sialic acids (Matrosovich et al., 2003), is currently the dominant system

for serial passaging of influenza virus. Approximately half of the 2015 influenza

sequences currently available from GISAID derive from serial passaging through SIAT1

cells. Experimental analysis of SIAT1 demonstrates improved sequence fidelity and

reduced positive selection over unmodified MDCK cell culture (Hamamoto et al., 2013;

Oh et al., 2008). We sought to determine if the apparently cell-culture-specific

correlation of site-wise evolutionary rates and inverse distance to site 224 extended to

SIAT1 cell culture. To compare cell-culture varieties, we created sample-size matched

groups of non-SIAT1 cell culture, SIAT1 cell culture, and unpassaged sequences

collected between 2005 and 2015 (n = 1046), excluding sequences that had been

passaged through both a non-SIAT1 and a SIAT1 cell culture.

All groups showed similar correlations between dN/dS and RSA, regardless of whether

dN/dS was calculated for the entire tree, for internal branches only, or for tip branches

only (Figure 4A). By contrast, inverse distance to site 224 uniquely correlated with

dN/dS from non-SIAT1-cultured virus (Figure 4B). This effect was the strongest along

tip branches (R^2 = 0.139), but it was almost as strong along the entire tree (R^2 = 0.129).

The correlation was reduced, though still significant, among internal branches (R^2 =

0.075). Thus, we conclude that the correlation between dN/dS and the inverse distance

to site 224 (Meyer and Wilke, 2015) represents a unique signal of adaptation to

passaging in non-SIAT1 cells. In our previous analysis (Meyer and Wilke, 2015), a non-

SIAT1-specific signal completely dominated our evolutionary rate models, due to use of

a standard, pooled data set mainly composed of sequences passaged in non-SIAT1

cells. In our new analysis (Figure 3B), the high correlation of non-SIAT1 cell dN/dS with

inverse distance to site 224 is suppressed in the pooled condition, because the number

of unpassaged and SIAT1-passaged sequences grew substantially in 2015. This

difference in sample composition explains the lower than expected correlations in

Figure 3B for pooled dN/dS.

When considering all branches in the phylogenetic tree, we found that dN/dS values

were significantly inflated in sequences passaged in non-SIAT1 cells compared to both

unpassaged and SIAT1-passaged sequences (paired t test, P = 5.05 x 10^6 and P = 6.94

x 10^8, respectively, Figure 4C), whereas unpassaged and SIAT1-passaged sequences

showed no significant increase (Figure 4C). Unpassaged and non-SIAT1-passaged

sequences showed significant differences along internal branches (paired t test, P =

0.036) and tip branches as well (paired t test, P = 2.03 x 10^6, Figure 4C). Thus, virus

amplified in non-SIAT1 cell culture measurably adapts to this non-human host, and

these adaptations can significantly confound downstream evolutionary analyses.

As these three conditions are somewhat temporally separated (most non-SIAT1 cell

culture sequences are pre-2015, and most unpassaged and SIAT1 culture sequences

are post-2014), we controlled for season-to-season variation by drawing 249 sequences

from each group from 2014. First, we again considered site-wise *dN/dS* correlations

among passaging groups, and we found that overall, unpassaged and SIAT1-passaged

sequences appeared the most similar (Supplementary Figure 2A–C). However, both

SIAT1 and non-SIAT1 showed *dN/dS* values that were inflated over *dN/dS* in

unpassaged sequences when considering the full tree (paired *t* test, *P* = 0.029 and *P* =

0.0005, respectively, Supplementary Figure 2D), although only non-SIAT1 *dN/dS* was

significantly inflated in tip branches (paired *t* test, *P* = 0.0008, Supplementary Figure

2D). (No significant difference was seen along internal branches.) Notably, in this more

controlled comparison of SIAT1 cell culture to unpassaged sequences from the same

year, we observed a significant difference in *dN/dS* between these conditions,

suggesting that at least minor passaging artifacts remain after SIAT1 passaging.

Evolutionary variation in sequences from unpassaged virus predicts regions

involved in antigenic escape

The preceding results might suggest that the inverse distance metric we previously

proposed (Meyer and Wilke, 2015) only captures effects of adaptation to non-SIAT1 cell

culture. However, this is not necessarily the case. Importantly, inverse distance needs

to be calculated relative to a specific reference point. We previously used site 224 as

the reference point because it yielded the highest correlation for the data set we

analyzed then. For a different data set, one that doesn't carry the signal of adaptation to

non-SIAT1 cell culture, a different reference point may be more appropriate.

We thus repeated the analysis of (Meyer and Wilke, 2015) for a size matched sample of

1703 sequences from both non-SIAT1 cell passaged and unpassaged virus collected

between 2005 and 2015 (Figure 5). In brief, for each possible reference site in the

hemagglutinin structure, we measured the inverse distance in 3D space from that site to

every other site in the structure. We then correlated the inverse distances with the

dN/dS values at each site, resulting in one correlation coefficient per reference site.

Finally, we mapped these correlation coefficients onto the HA structure, coloring each

reference site by its associated correlation coefficient. If inverse distances measured

from a particular reference amino acid have higher correlation with the sitewise dN/dS

values, then this reference site will appear highlighted on the structure.

For non-SIAT1-passaged virus, this analysis recovered the finding of (Meyer and Wilke,

2015) that the loop containing site 224 appeared strongly highlighted (Figure 5A).

However, this signal was entirely absent in unpassaged virus (Figure 5B), with no sites

in that loop working well as a reference point. These results suggest that this loop is

specifically involved in adaptation of hemagglutinin to non-SIAT1 cell culture, explaining

the non-SIAT1-specific signal shown in Figure 4A. Thus, the inverse distance metric is

useful for differentiating regions of selection particular to different experimental groups.

(Meyer and Wilke, 2015) had concluded that sites under positive selection differed from

sites involved in immune escape. Here, we have found that the origin of this positive

selection is adaptation to the non-human passaging host, not immune escape in or

adaptation to humans. Therefore, we next asked what residual patterns of positive

selection remained once the adaptation to non-SIAT1 cells was removed. Even though

site-wise correlations are relatively low for unpassaged virus compared to the ones

observed for non-SIAT1-passaged virus, we could still recover relevant patterns of HA

adaptation after rescaling our coloring. In particular, we found that sites opposite to the

loop containing site 224 lit up in our analysis of unpassaged sequences (Figure 6A).

Sites in this region are known to be involved in antigenic escape. In fact, many of the

highlighted regions contain experimentally determined antigenic sites (Koel et al., 2013)

and/or the sites determined to be responsible for the antigenic shift in the 2014/2015

seasonal flu (Chambers et al., 2015) (Table 2). We found a similar pattern of

concordance with antigenic sites when mapping dN/dS values directly onto the structure

(Figure 6B). The inverse-distance correlations, however, performed better at identifying

antigenic sites than did raw dN/dS values. When considering the 90th percentile (top

10% highest scored sites) by either metric, the inverse-distance correlations recovered

7 of 8 sites while dN/dS alone recovered only 2 of 8 sites (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

We have found that serial passaging of influenza virus introduces a measurable signal

of adaptation into the evolutionary analysis of natural influenza sequences. There are

unique, characteristic patterns of adaptation to egg passage, monkey cell passage, and

non-SIAT1 cell passage. Monkey cell-derived sequences show different molecule-wide

evolutionary rate patterns, even though they show no *dN/dS* inflation when compared

with unpassaged sequences. Non-SIAT1 cell-derived sequences instead display both

dN/dS inflation and a hotspot of positive selection in a loop underneath the sialic-acid

binding region. This hotspot has been previously noted (Meyer and Wilke, 2015) but no

explanation for its origin was available. Further, we have found that virus passaged in

SIAT1 cells seems to accumulate only minor passaging artifacts. Throughout our

analyses, we have found limited utility to subdividing phylogenetic trees into internal and

terminal branches. While signals of passage adaptation are consistently elevated along

terminal branches and attenuated along internal branches, evolutionary rates along

internal branches remain confounded by passaging artifacts. Finally, we could

accurately recover the experimentally determined antigenic regions of hemagglutinin

from evolutionary-rate analysis by using a data set consisting of only unpassaged viral

sequences.

Previous studies (Bush et al., 2001; Suzuki, 2006) have suggested the use of internal

branches to alleviate passage adaptations. However, we have found here that this

strategy is insufficient, because the evolutionary signal of passage adaptations can

often be detected along internal branches. This finding seems counterintuitive, as

internal nodes should exclusively represent human-adapted virus. We suggest that

passaging adaptations in internal branches may be caused by convergent evolution; if

different clinical isolates converge onto the same adaptive mutations under passaging,

then these mutations may incorrectly be placed along internal branches under

phylogenetic tree reconstruction. Additionally, although the use of only internal branches

removes some differences between the passage groups, the exclusion of terminal

sequences can obscure recent natural adaptations and thus obscure actual sites under

positive selection. Therefore, analysis of internal branches is not only insufficient for

eliminating artifacts from passaging adaptations but also suboptimal for detecting

positive selection in seasonal H3N2 influenza.

The safest route to avoid passaging artifacts is to limit sequence data sets to only

unpassaged virus, although this approach limits sequence numbers. The human-like 6-

linked sialic acids in SIAT1 (Matrosovich et al., 2003) greatly reduce observed cell

culture-specific adaptations, particularly in the loop of hemagglutinin which contains site

224. This lack of selection concords with multiple experiments finding low levels of

adaptation in this cell line (Hamamoto et al., 2013; Oh et al., 2008). As our analysis only

detected minor differences between unpassaged and SIAT1 passaged virus, we posit

that this passage condition is an acceptable substitute for unpassaged clinical samples.

Even so, our findings do not preclude the existence of SIAT1-specific adaptations that

may confound specific analyses.

Although the majority of the sequences from the year 2015 are SIAT1-passaged or

unpassaged, several hundred sequences from that year derive from monkey cell

culture. The use of monkey cell culture has surged in 2014 and 2015 compared to

previous years. We recommend that these recently collected sequences be excluded

from influenza rate analysis, in favor of the majority of unpassaged and SIAT1-

passaged sequences. As passaging is a useful and cost effective method for

amplification of clinically collected virus, unpassaged viral sequences are unlikely to

completely dominate influenza sequence databases in the near future. However, new

human epithelial cell culture systems for influenza passaging, as in (Ilyushina et al.,

2012), could soon provide an ideal system that both amplifies virus and protects it from

non-human selective pressures.

Passage history should routinely be considered as a potential confounding variable in

future analyses of influenza evolutionary rates. Future studies should be checked

against unpassaged samples to ensure that conclusions are not based on adaptation to

non-human hosts. We recommend the exclusion of viral sequences which derive from

serial passage in egg amniotes, monkey kidney cell culture, and any unspecified cell

culture. Prior work that did not consider passaging history may likely have been

confounded by passaging adaptations. In particular, we suggest that the evolutionary

markers of influenza virus determined by (Belanov et al., 2015) be reevaluated to

ensure these sites are not artifacts of viral passaging. Similarly, many of the earlier

studies performing site-specific evolutionary analysis of HA, such as (Bush et al., 1999;

Meyer and Wilke, 2015, 2013; Pan and Deem, 2011; Shih et al., 2007; Suzuki, 2008,

2006; Tusche et al., 2012), likely contain some conclusions that can be traced back to

passaging artifacts. Additionally, even though passage artifacts do not appear to be

sufficiently strong to affect clade-structure reconstruction (Bush et al., 2000), they do

have the potential to cause artificially long branch lengths, due to dN/dS inflation, or

misplaced branches, due to convergent evolution under passaging. Thus, future

phylogenetic predictive models of influenza fitness and antigenicity, as in (Łuksza and

Lässig, 2014), (Neher et al., 2014), and (Bedford et al., 2014), should too be checked

for the presence of passage-related signals. Finally, while it is beyond the scope of this

work to investigate passage history effects in other viruses, we suspect that passage-

derived artifacts could be a factor in their phylogenetic analyses as well. The use of data

sets free of passage adaptations will likely bring computational predictions of influenza

positive selection more in line with corresponding experimental results.

Sequences without passage annotations are inadequate for reliable evolutionary

analysis of influenza virus. Yet, passage annotations are often completely missing from

strain information, and, when present, are often inconsistent; there is currently no

standardized language to represent number and type of serial passage. We note,

however, that passage annotations from the 2015 season are greatly improved when

compared to previous seasons. Several major influenza repositories, including the

Influenza Research Database (Squires et al., 2012) and the NCBI Influenza Virus

Resource (Bao et al., 2008), do not provide any passaging annotations at all.

Additionally, passage history is not required for new sequence submissions to the NCBI

Genbank (Benson et al., 2012). The EpiFlu database maintained by the Global Initiative

for Sharing Avian Influenza Data (GISAID) (Bogner et al., 2006) and OpenFluDB

(Liechti et al., 2010), however, stand apart by providing passage history annotations for

the majority of their sequences. Of these, only the OpenFluDB repository allows filtering

of sequences by passage history during data download. Our results demonstrate the

strength of passaging artifacts in evolutionary analysis of influenza. The lack of a universal standard for annotation of viral passage histories and a universal standard for serial passage experimental conditions complicate the analysis and mitigation of passaging effects.

METHODS

Influenza sequence data

Non-laboratory strain H3N2 hemagglutinin (HA) sequences collected in North America were downloaded from The Global Initiative for Sharing Avian Influenza Data (GISAID) (Bogner et al., 2006) for the 1968–2015 influenza seasons. Non-complete HA sequences were excluded. Sequences were trimmed to open reading frames, filtered to remove redundancies, and aligned by translation-alignment-back-translation and MAFFT (Katoh and Standley, 2013). Sequence headers of FASTA files were standardized into an uppercase text format with non-alphanumeric characters replaced by underscores. As H3N2 strains have experienced no persistent insertion or deletion events, we deleted sequences which introduced gaps to the alignment. To ascertain overall data quality, we built a phylogenetic tree of the entire sequence set (using FastTree 2.0 (Price et al., 2010)) and checked for any abnormal clades or other unexpected tree features. We found one abnormal clade of approximately 20 sequences with an exceptionally long branch length (> 0.01) and removed the sequences in that clade from further analysis. Our final data set consisted of 6873 sequences from 2005-2015 as well as an outgroup of 45 sequences from 1968–1977 (not considered for further analysis). We did not consider sequences collected from 1978-2004.

Identification of passage history and evolutionary-rate calculations

We divided sequences into groups by their passage history annotation and collection year, determining passage history by parsing with regular expressions for key words in FASTA headers (Table 1). We classified 1133 sequences with indeterminate or missing passage histories, or passage through multiple categories of hosts (i.e. both egg and cell), as "other". The final data sets for individual passage groups contained between 79 and 3041 sequences (Table 1).

We next constructed phylogenetic trees for each passage group as well as one tree for a pooled data set combining all individual passage groups and other sequences. All phylogenetic trees were constructed using FastTree 2.0 (Price et al., 2010). We calculated site-specific *dN/dS* values using a one-rate SLAC (Single-Likelihood Ancestor Counting) model implemented in HyPhy (Pond et al., 2005). One rate models, which fit a site-specific *dN* and a global *dS*, yield more accurate estimates than two-rate models and hence are preferred (Spielman et al., 2015). Among different one-rate, site-specific models, SLAC performs nearly identical to other approaches, and it was chosen here due to its speed and ease of extracting *dN/dS* estimates along internal and tip branches. To obtain branch-specific estimates, we extracted the *dN/dS* values calculated by the SLAC algorithm at internal and tip branches.

We chose sequences from 2005-2015 as our sample set due the low number of available sequences prior to this period. As *dN/dS* estimates can be confounded by

sample size (Spielman et al., 2015), we sought to limit this effect by down-sampling

each experimental set to match the number of sequences in the smallest group being

considered in a particular analysis (Table 1). To reduce season-to-season variation in

the comparison of unpassaged, SIAT1, and non-SIAT1 cell culture, we performed one

analysis with sequences from only 2014, which is the year that maximizes sequences

available from all three conditions (n = 249 each).

Geometric analysis of *dN/dS* distributions

For each site i in HA, we computed the correlation of *dN/dS* at every site $j \neq i$ with the

inverse Euclidian distance between j and i in the 3D crystal structure of the protein. This

method is discussed in detail in (Meyer and Wilke, 2015). This correlation is then color-

mapped onto the reference site. Sites spatially closest to positively selected regions in

the protein have the highest correlation in this analysis. Thus, this approach allows us to

visualize regions of increased positive selection. We processed the HA PDB structure

as discussed in (Meyer and Wilke, 2015), and we provide a renumbered and formatted

H3N2 structure derived from PDB ID 2YP7 (Lin et al., 2012) with our data analysis code

(see below).

Statistical analysis and data availability

Raw influenza sequences used in this analysis are available for download from GISAID

(http://gisaid.org) using the parameters "North America", "H3N2", "1976 – 2015.

Acknowledgements for sequences used in this study are available in Supplementary

File 1. The complete, processed data set used in our statistical analysis is available in

Supplementary Dataset 6, including protein and gene numbering, computed

evolutionary rates, relative solvent accessibility for the hemagglutinin trimer, and

sitewise distance to protein site 224. Relative solvent accessibility of the hemagglutinin

trimer was taken from (Meyer and Wilke, 2015). Site-wise distances between all amino

acids in the HA structure PDBID:2YP7 were recalculated as in (Meyer and Wilke, 2015).

Statistical analysis was performed using R (Ihaka and Gentleman, 1996), and all graph

figures drawn with the R package ggplot2 (Wickham, 2009). Throughout this work, *

denotes a significance of $0.01 \leq P < 0.05$, ** denotes a significance of $0.01 \leq P < 0.05$,

and *** denotes a significance of $P < 0.001$.

Linear models between sitewise *dN/dS* and RSA or inverse distance were fit using the

lm() function in R. Correlations were calculated using the R function cor() and

significance determined using cor.test().

Our entire analysis pipeline, instructions for running analyses and raw data (except

initial sequence data per the GISAID user agreement) are available at the following

Github project repository:

https://github.com/wilkelab/influenza_H3N2_passaging.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceived and designed the experiments: CDM COW. Wrote scripts and analytic tools: CDM AGM. Performed the experiments: CDM. Analyzed the data: CDM COW. Wrote the paper: CDM COW.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Sebastian Maurer-Stroh for help with interpreting passaging annotations in GISAID. This work was supported in part by NIH grant no. R01 GM088344, DTRA grant no. HDTRA1-12-C-0007, and NSF Cooperative agreement no. DBI-0939454 (BEACON Center). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

Balish, A.L., Katz, J.M., Klimov, A.I., 2005. Influenza: Propagation, Quantification, and Storage, in: Current Protocols in Microbiology. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Bao, Y., Bolotov, P., Dernovoy, D., Kiryutin, B., Zaslavsky, L., Tatusova, T., Ostell, J., Lipman, D., 2008. The Influenza Virus Resource at the National Center for Biotechnology Information. J. Virol. 82, 596–601. doi:10.1128/JVI.02005-07

Bedford, T., Suchard, M.A., Lemey, P., Dudas, G., Gregory, V., Hay, A.J., McCauley, J.W., Russell, C.A., Smith, D.J., Rambaut, A., 2014. Integrating influenza antigenic dynamics with molecular evolution. eLife 3, e01914. doi:10.7554/eLife.01914

Belanov, S.S., Bychkov, D., Benner, C., Ripatti, S., Ojala, T., Kankainen, M., Lee, H.K., Tang, J.W.-T., Kainov, D.E., 2015. Genome-wide analysis of evolutionary markers of human influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 and A(H3N2) viruses may guide selection of vaccine strain candidates. Genome Biol. Evol. evv240. doi:10.1093/gbe/evv240

Benson, D.A., Karsch-Mizrachi, I., Clark, K., Lipman, D.J., Ostell, J., Sayers, E.W., 2012. GenBank. Nucleic Acids Res. 40, D48–D53. doi:10.1093/nar/gkr1202

Blackburne, B.P., Hay, A.J., Goldstein, R.A., 2008. Changing Selective Pressure during Antigenic Changes in Human Influenza H3. PLoS Pathog 4, e1000058. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000058

Bogner, P., Capua, I., Lipman, D.J., Cox, N.J., others, 2006. A global initiative on sharing avian flu data. Nature 442, 981–981. doi:10.1038/442981a

Bush, R.M., Fitch, W.M., Bender, C.A., Cox, N.J., 1999. Positive selection on the H3 hemagglutinin gene of human influenza virus A. Mol. Biol. Evol. 16, 1457–1465.

Bush, R.M., Fitch, W.M., Smith, C.B., Cox, N.J., 2001. Predicting influenza evolution: the impact of terminal and egg-adapted mutations. Int. Congr. Ser. 1219, 147–153. doi:10.1016/S0531-5131(01)00643-4

Bush, R.M., Smith, C.B., Cox, N.J., Fitch, W.M., 2000. Effects of passage history and sampling bias on phylogenetic reconstruction of human influenza A evolution. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 97, 6974–6980. doi:10.1073/pnas.97.13.6974

Chambers, B.S., Parkhouse, K., Ross, T.M., Alby, K., Hensley, S.E., 2015. Identification of Hemagglutinin Residues Responsible for H3N2 Antigenic Drift during the 2014–2015 Influenza Season. Cell Rep. 12, 1–6. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2015.06.005

Echave, J., Spielman, S.J., Wilke, C.O., 2016. Causes of evolutionary rate variation among protein sites. Nat. Rev. Genet. doi:10.1038/nrg.2015.18

602   Gatherer, D., 2010. Passage in egg culture is a major cause of apparent positive selection in
603   influenza B hemagglutinin. J. Med. Virol. 82, 123–127. doi:10.1002/jmv.21648

604   Hamamoto, I., Takaku, H., Tashiro, M., Yamamoto, N., 2013. High Yield Production of Influenza
605   Virus in Madin Darby Canine Kidney (MDCK) Cells with Stable Knockdown of IRF7.
606   PLoS ONE 8, e59892. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059892

607   Ihaka, R., Gentleman, R., 1996. R: A Language for Data Analysis and Graphics. J. Comput.
608   Graph. Stat. 5, 299–314. doi:10.2307/1390807

609   Ilyushina, N.A., Ikizler, M.R., Kawaoka, Y., Rudenko, L.G., Treanor, J.J., Subbarao, K., Wright,
610   P.F., 2012. Comparative study of influenza virus replication in MDCK cells and in
611   primary cells derived from adenoids and airway epithelium. J. Virol. 86, 11725–11734.
612   doi:10.1128/JVI.01477-12

613   Katoh, K., Standley, D.M., 2013. MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7:
614   Improvements in Performance and Usability. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30, 772–780.
615   doi:10.1093/molbev/mst010

616   Katz, J.M., Wang, M., Webster, R.G., 1990. Direct sequencing of the HA gene of influenza
617   (H3N2) virus in original clinical samples reveals sequence identity with mammalian cell-
618   grown virus. J. Virol. 64, 1808–1811.

619   Katz, J.M., Webster, R.G., 1989. Efficacy of Inactivated Influenza A Virus (H3N2) Vaccines
620   Grown in Mammalian Cells or Embryonated Eggs. J. Infect. Dis. 160, 191–198.
621   doi:10.1093/infdis/160.2.191

622   Koel, B.F., Burke, D.F., Bestebroer, T.M., van der Vliet, S., Zondag, G.C.M., Vervaet, G.,
623   Skepner, E., Lewis, N.S., Spronken, M.I.J., Russell, C.A., Eropkin, M.Y., Hurt, A.C., Barr,
624   I.G., de Jong, J.C., Rimmelzwaan, G.F., Osterhaus, A.D.M.E., Fouchier, R.A.M., Smith,
625   D.J., 2013. Substitutions near the receptor binding site determine major antigenic
626   change during influenza virus evolution. Science 342, 976–979.
627   doi:10.1126/science.1244730

628   Koelle, K., Cobey, S., Grenfell, B., Pascual, M., 2006. Epochal evolution shapes the
629   phylodynamics of interpandemic influenza A (H3N2) in humans. Science 314, 1898–
630   1903. doi:10.1126/science.1132745

631   Kumar, S., Henrickson, K.J., 2012. Update on Influenza Diagnostics: Lessons from the Novel
632   H1N1 Influenza A Pandemic. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 25, 344–361.
633   doi:10.1128/CMR.05016-11

634   Lee, H.K., Tang, J.W.-T., Kong, D.H.-L., Koay, E.S.-C., 2013a. Simplified Large-Scale Sanger
635   Genome Sequencing for Influenza A/H3N2 Virus. PLoS ONE 8.
636   doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064785

637   Lee, H.K., Tang, J.W.-T., Kong, D.H.-L., Loh, T.P., Chiang, D.K.-L., Lam, T.T.-Y., Koay, E.S.-C.,
638   2013b. Comparison of Mutation Patterns in Full-Genome A/H3N2 Influenza Sequences
639   Obtained Directly from Clinical Samples and the Same Samples after a Single MDCK
640   Passage. PLoS ONE 8, e79252. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0079252

641   Liechti, R., Gleizes, A., Kuznetsov, D., Bougueleret, L., Mercier, P.L., Bairoch, A., Xenarios, I.,
642   2010. OpenFluDB, a database for human and animal influenza virus. Database 2010,
643   baq004. doi:10.1093/database/baq004

644   Lin, Y.P., Xiong, X., Wharton, S.A., Martin, S.R., Coombs, P.J., Vachieri, S.G., Christodoulou,
645   E., Walker, P.A., Liu, J., Skehel, J.J., Gamblin, S.J., Hay, A.J., Daniels, R.S., McCauley,
646   J.W., 2012. Evolution of the receptor binding properties of the influenza A(H3N2)
647   hemagglutinin. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 109, 21474–21479. doi:10.1073/pnas.1218841110

648   Łuksza, M., Lässig, M., 2014. A predictive fitness model for influenza. Nature 507, 57–61.
649   doi:10.1038/nature13087

650   Matrosovich, M., Matrosovich, T., Carr, J., Roberts, N.A., Klenk, H.-D., 2003. Overexpression of
651   the alpha-2,6-sialyltransferase in MDCK cells increases influenza virus sensitivity to
652   neuraminidase inhibitors. J. Virol. 77, 8418–8425.

653   Meyer, A.G., Wilke, C.O., 2015. Geometric Constraints Dominate the Antigenic Evolution of
654   Influenza H3N2 Hemagglutinin. PLoS Pathog. 11. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004940

655   Meyer, A.G., Wilke, C.O., 2013. Integrating Sequence Variation and Protein Structure to Identify
656   Sites under Selection. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30, 36–44. doi:10.1093/molbev/mss217

657   Neher, R.A., Bedford, T., 2015. nextflu: real-time tracking of seasonal influenza virus evolution
658   in humans. Bioinformatics btv381. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btv381

659   Neher, R.A., Russell, C.A., Shraiman, B.I., 2014. Predicting evolution from the shape of
660   genealogical trees. eLife 3, e03568. doi:10.7554/eLife.03568

661   Nelson, M.I., Simonsen, L., Viboud, C., Miller, M.A., Taylor, J., George, K.S., Griesemer, S.B.,
662   Ghedin, E., Sengamalay, N.A., Spiro, D.J., Volkov, I., Grenfell, B.T., Lipman, D.J.,
663   Taubenberger, J.K., Holmes, E.C., 2006. Stochastic Processes Are Key Determinants of
664   Short-Term Evolution in Influenza A Virus. PLoS Pathog 2, e125.
665   doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0020125

Oh, D.Y., Barr, I.G., Mosse, J.A., Laurie, K.L., 2008. MDCK-SIAT1 cells show improved isolation
rates for recent human influenza viruses compared to conventional MDCK cells. J. Clin.
Microbiol. 46, 2189–2194. doi:10.1128/JCM.00398-08

Pan, K., Deem, M.W., 2011. Quantifying selection and diversity in viruses by entropy methods,
with application to the haemagglutinin of H3N2 influenza. J. R. Soc. Interface 8, 1644–
1653. doi:10.1098/rsif.2011.0105

Pond, S.L.K., Frost, S.D.W., Muse, S.V., 2005. HyPhy: hypothesis testing using phylogenies.
Bioinformatics 21, 676–679. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bti079

Price, M.N., Dehal, P.S., Arkin, A.P., 2010. FastTree 2 – Approximately Maximum-Likelihood
Trees for Large Alignments. PLoS ONE 5, e9490. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0009490

Robertson, J.S., Nicolson, C., Major, D., Robertson, E.W., Wood, J.M., 1993. The role of
amniotic passage in the egg-adaptation of human influenza virus is revealed by
haemagglutinin sequence analyses. J. Gen. Virol. 74 (Pt 10), 2047–2051.
doi:10.1099/0022-1317-74-10-2047

Shih, A.C.-C., Hsiao, T.-C., Ho, M.-S., Li, W.-H., 2007. Simultaneous amino acid substitutions at
antigenic sites drive influenza A hemagglutinin evolution. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 104,
6283–6288. doi:10.1073/pnas.0701396104

Skowronski, D.M., Janjua, N.Z., De Serres, G., Sabaiduc, S., Eshaghi, A., Dickinson, J.A.,
Fonseca, K., Winter, A.-L., Gubbay, J.B., Krajden, M., Petric, M., Charest, H., Bastien,
N., Kwindt, T.L., Mahmud, S.M., Van Caeseele, P., Li, Y., 2014. Low 2012–13 Influenza
Vaccine Effectiveness Associated with Mutation in the Egg-Adapted H3N2 Vaccine
Strain Not Antigenic Drift in Circulating Viruses. PLoS ONE 9, e92153.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0092153

Spielman, S., Wan, S., Wilke, C.O., 2015. One-rate models outperform two-rate models in site-
specific dN/dS estimation. bioRxiv 032805. doi:10.1101/032805

Squires, R.B., Noronha, J., Hunt, V., García-Sastre, A., Macken, C., Baumgarth, N., Suarez, D.,
Pickett, B.E., Zhang, Y., Larsen, C.N., Ramsey, A., Zhou, L., Zaremba, S., Kumar, S.,
Deitrich, J., Klem, E., Scheuermann, R.H., 2012. Influenza Research Database: an
integrated bioinformatics resource for influenza research and surveillance. Influenza
Other Respir. Viruses 6, 404–416. doi:10.1111/j.1750-2659.2011.00331.x

Stöhr, K., Bucher, D., Colgate, T., Wood, J., 2012. Influenza Virus Surveillance, Vaccine Strain
Selection, and Manufacture, in: Kawaoka, Y., Neumann, G. (Eds.), Influenza Virus,
Methods in Molecular Biology. Humana Press, pp. 147–162.

Suzuki, Y., 2008. Positive selection operates continuously on hemagglutinin during evolution of
700   H3N2 human influenza A virus. Gene 427, 111–116. doi:10.1016/j.gene.2008.09.012

701   Suzuki, Y., 2006. Natural selection on the influenza virus genome. Mol. Biol. Evol. 23, 1902–
702   1911. doi:10.1093/molbev/msl050

703   The World Health Organization, 2015. Recommended composition of influenza virus vaccines
704   for use in the 2015- 2016 northern hemisphere influenza season.

705   Tien, M.Z., Meyer, A.G., Sydykova, D.K., Spielman, S.J., Wilke, C.O., 2013. Maximum allowed
706   solvent accessibilites of residues in proteins. PloS One 8, e80635.
707   doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080635

708   Tusche, C., Steinbrück, L., McHardy, A.C., 2012. Detecting patches of protein sites of influenza
709   A viruses under positive selection. Mol. Biol. Evol. 29, 2063–2071.
710   doi:10.1093/molbev/mss095

711   WHO Writing Group, Ampofo, W.K., Baylor, N., Cobey, S., Cox, N.J., Daves, S., Edwards, S.,
712   Ferguson, N., Grohmann, G., Hay, A., Katz, J., Kullabutr, K., Lambert, L., Levandowski,
713   R., Mishra, A.C., Monto, A., Siqueira, M., Tashiro, M., Waddell, A.L., Wairagkar, N.,
714   Wood, J., Zambon, M., Zhang, W., 2012. Improving influenza vaccine virus
715   selectionReport of a WHO informal consultation held at WHO headquarters, Geneva,
716   Switzerland, 14–16 June 2010. Influenza Other Respir. Viruses 6, 142–152.
717   doi:10.1111/j.1750-2659.2011.00277.x

718   Wickham, H., 2009. ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis. Springer New York.

719   Wolf, Y.I., Viboud, C., Holmes, E.C., Koonin, E.V., Lipman, D.J., 2006. Long intervals of stasis
720   punctuated by bursts of positive selection in the seasonal evolution of influenza A virus.
721   Biol. Direct 1, 34. doi:10.1186/1745-6150-1-34

722   World Health Organization Global influenza surveillance network, 2011. Manual for the
723   laboratory diagnosis and virological surveillance of influenza. Geneva, Switzerland.

724   Wyde, P.R., Couch, R.B., Mackler, B.F., Cate, T.R., Levy, B.M., 1977. Effects of Low- and High-
725   Passage Influenza Virus Infection in Normal and Nude Mice. Infect. Immun. 15, 221–
726   229.

727   Xie, H., Wan, X.-F., Ye, Z., Plant, E.P., Zhao, Y., Xu, Y., Li, X., Finch, C., Zhao, N., Kawano, T.,
728   Zoueva, O., Chiang, M.-J., Jing, X., Lin, Z., Zhang, A., Zhu, Y., 2015. H3N2 Mismatch of
729   2014–15 Northern Hemisphere Influenza Vaccines and Head-to-head Comparison
730   between Human and Ferret Antisera derived Antigenic Maps. Sci. Rep. 5.
731   doi:10.1038/srep15279

Figure 1. Schematic of influenza A virus sequence collection and analysis. (A) Typical processing steps of influenza A virus clinical isolates. Virus collected from patients may be passaged serially prior to PCR amplification and sequencing in a variety of different environments (Ex. canine cell culture, monkey cell culture, egg amniotes). However, some clinical virus is not passaged and is sequenced directly. (B) Phylogenetic tree of H3N2 HA sequences from the 2005-2015 seasons. The inset shows a small clade of sequences from the 2006/2007 season, with colored dots representing sequences with passage annotations (red virion: unpassaged, blue dog: canine cell culture, gray hen: egg amniote, unlabeled: missing passage history annotation).

745
Figure 2. Comparison of sitewise *dN/dS* values among sequences with differing

passage histories. (A–C) Pearson correlations between sitewise *dN/dS* values for HA

sequences derived from passaged and unpassaged influenza virus collected between

2005 and 2015 (downsampled to *n* = 917 in all groups). Correlations were calculated

separately for *dN/dS* estimated from complete trees (A), internal branches only (B), and

tip branches only (C). Asterisks denote significance of correlations (*0.01 ≤ *P* < 0.05,

**0.001 ≤ *P* < 0.01, ***P* < 0.001). (D) Scatter plots show the raw sitewise *dN/dS* values

used to calculate the correlations in parts A–C. We tested for systematic differences in

dN/dS values with paired *t* tests, and significant differences are indicated with asterisks

(*0.01 ≤ *P* < 0.05, **0.001 ≤ *P* < 0.01, ***P* < 0.001). Data used to generate this figure

are available in Supplementary Dataset 1.

Figure 3. Percent variance in *dN/dS* explained by relative solvent accessibility (A) and by inverse distance to protein site 224 (B). (A) Relative solvent accessibility (RSA) explains ~10%–16% of the variation in *dN/dS* for all sequences. (B) Inverse distance to site 224 explains ~7% of the variation in *dN/dS* for cell-passaged sequences and for all sequences (pooled), however it explains virtually no variation for unpassaged sequences. Asterisks denote significance of correlations (*0.01 ≤ *P* < 0.05, **0.001 ≤ *P* < 0.01, ***P* < 0.001). Data used to generate this figure are available in Supplementary Dataset 1.

Figure 4. **Virus passaged in non-SIAT1 cells carries unique adaptations not present in unpassaged or SIAT1-passaged virus.** For size matched groups of sequences collected between 2005 and 2015 (*n* = 1046), (A) the correlation between *dN/dS* and RSA is weakened for virus passaged in non-SIAT1 cells. (B) The correlation between *dN/dS* and inverse distance to site 224, representing a positive-selection hotspot in the vicinity of that site, is only present in virus passaged in non-SIAT1 cells. (C) Scatter plots show individual *dN/dS* values obtained from the full phylogenetic tree, internal branches only, and tip branches only. For the full tree, internal branches, and tip branches, *dN/dS* in non-SIAT1-passaged virus is significantly elevated relative to unpassaged and SIAT1-passaged virus (paired *t* test). Asterisks denote significance levels (*0.01 ≤ P < 0.05, **0.001 ≤ P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001). Data used to generate this figure are available in Supplementary Dataset 3.

Figure 5. **Correlations mapped onto the hemagglutinin structure for non-SIAT1-passaged and unpassaged sequences.** The correlation between *dN/dS* and inverse distance for each reference site was mapped onto the hemagglutinin structure for (A) non-SIAT1 sequences and (B) unpassaged sequences collected between 2005 and 2015 (*n* = 1703). Red coloring represents positive correlations, while white represents zero or negative correlations. Non-SIAT1-passaged and unpassaged sequences yield distinct correlation patterns. In particular, the loop containing site 224 lights up strongly for non-SIAT1-passaged sequences but not for unpassaged sequences. Data used to generate this figure are available in Supplementary Dataset 5.

Figure 6. **Unpassaged sequences allow recovery of antigenic regions from positive-selection analysis.** For each site, the correlation between *dN/dS* and inverse distance (A) or *dN/dS* directly (B) were mapped onto the hemagglutinin structure, for *dN/dS* derived from unpassaged sequences collected between 2005 and 2015 (*n* = 1703). Red coloring represents higher correlation; blue coloring represents higher *dN/dS*. Highlighted regions contain sites (labeled with protein site number) which experimentally determined to be antigenic by (Koel et al., 2013) and sites experimentally determined by (Chambers et al., 2015) to be responsible for antigenic escape of the 2014-2015 influenza. Correlations and *dN/*dS for antigenic sites are given in Table 2. Data used to generate this figure are available in Supplementary Dataset 5.

Supplementary Figure 1. Comparison of sitewise hemagglutinin *dN/dS* derived

from size-matched samples of sequences with various passage histories,

including egg amniotes. Pearson correlations between sitewise *dN/dS* values for HA

sequences derived from passaged and unpassaged influenza virus collected between

2005 and 2015, randomly down-sampled to 79 sequences per passage group. (Since

there were so few egg-derived sequences, each down-sampling was independently

performed three times, resulting in replicates 1, 2, and 3 for each passage group.)

Correlations were calculated separately for complete trees (A), internal branches only

(B), and tip branches only (C). Asterisks denote significance of correlations (*0.01 ≤ *P* <

0.05, **0.001 ≤ *P* < 0.01, ****P* < 0.001). Data used to generate this figure are available

in Supplementary Dataset 2.

Supplementary Figure 2. Comparison of unpassaged, non-SIAT1-passaged, and SIAT1-passaged virus in 2014 only. (A–C) Pearson correlations between sitewise *dN/dS* values for the three passage groups (*n* = 249), for complete trees, internal branches only, and tip branches only, respectively. (D) Scatter plots show the raw sitewise *dN/dS* values used to calculate the correlations in parts A–C. We tested for systematic differences in *dN/dS* values with paired *t* tests, and significant differences are indicated with asterisks (*0.01 ≤ P < 0.05, **0.001 ≤ P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001). For the full tree, *dN/dS* in both non-SIAT1-passaged virus and SIAT1-passaged virus is significantly elevated relative to unpassaged virus. For tip branches, *dN/dS* in non-SIAT1-passaged virus is significantly elevated relative to unpassaged virus. No significant difference was found for internal branches. Data used to generate this figure are available in Supplementary Dataset 4.

Table 1. Parsing of passage-annotated FASTA sequences into passage history

groups. For each passage group, we defined a regular expression that could reliably

identify sequences with that passage history. Regular expressions were applied through

the built-in python library "re". SIAT1 and non-SIAT1 cell culture regular expressions

were applied to the subset of sequences identified as generic cell culture sequences.

The right three columns list the number of sequences we identified for each passage

group, for years 2005–2015, 2014 only, and 2015 only.

Passage group	Regular expression	Number of sequences		
		2005–2015	2014	2015
Chicken egg amniotes	AM[1-9]|E[1-7]|AMNIOTIC|EGG|EX|AM_[1-9]	79	6	0
Monkey cell culture	TMK|RMK|RHMK|RII|PMK|R[1-9]|RX	917	366	290
Generic cell culture	S[1-9]|SX|SIAT|MDCK|C[1-9]|CX|C_[1-9]|M[1-9]|MX|X[1-9]|^X_$	3041	794	787
SIAT1	^S[1-9]_$| ^SX_$|SIAT2_SIAT1| SIAT3_SIAT1	1046	389	626
Non-SIAT1 cell culture	not SIAT|SX|S[1-9]	1755	297	56
Unpassaged	LUNG|P0|OR_|ORIGINAL|CLINICAL|DIRECT	1703	249	506

 Table 2. Evolutionary rates and inverse distance correlations of antigenic sites.

851 For each site, we determined *dN/dS* and the correlation between *dN/dS* and inverse

852 distance for unpassaged sequences collected between 2005 and 2015 (*n* = 1703). 7/8

853 antigenic sites have inverse-distance correlations above the 90th percentile, while only

854 2/8 antigenic sites have *dN/dS* values above the 90th percentile. Antigenic sites were

855 experimentally determined by (Chambers et al., 2015) and (Koel et al., 2013).

Antigenic site			Raw *dN/dS*		Inv.-dist. correlation	
Gene	Protein	Study	*dN/dS*	percentile	*r*	percentile
161	145	Koel	1.828	0.863	0.071	0.910
171	155	Koel	0	0.002	0.061	0.871
172	156	Koel	1.371	0.805	0.116	0.982
174	158	Koel	3.244	0.965	0.157	0.994
175	159	Koel, Chambers	0.936	0.738	0.165	0.998
176	160	Chambers	2.922	0.953	0.133	0.980
205	189	Koel	0.965	0.748	0.076	0.928
209	193	Koel	1.829	0.879	0.084	0.947

Supplementary File 1. GISAID acknowledgements for hemagglutinin sequences

collected between 1968 and 2015.

Supplementary Dataset 1. Data used to generate Figures 2 and 3. This file includes 1)

sitewise *dN/dS* values of random draws of 917 unpassaged, generic cell cultured,

monkey cell cultured, and the pooled group sequences collected between 2005 and

2015, 2) protein and gene numbering, 3) PDB:2YP7 sequence, 4) relative solvent

accessibilities of the hemagglutinin trimer, and 5) linear distances to protein site 224.

Supplementary Dataset 2. Data used to generate Supplementary Figure 1. This file

includes 1) sitewise *dN/dS* values of random draws of 97 unpassaged, generic cell

cultured, egg cultured, monkey cell cultured, and the pooled group sequences collected

between 2005 and 2015, 2) protein and gene numbering, 3) PDB:2YP7 sequence.

Supplementary Dataset 3. Data used to generate Figure 4. This file includes 1)

sitewise *dN/dS* values of random draws of 1046 unpassaged, SIAT1, and non-SIAT1

cell culture sequences collected between 2005 and 2015, 2) protein and gene

numbering, 3) PDB:2YP7 sequence, 4) relative solvent accessibilities of the

hemagglutinin trimer, and 5) linear distances to protein site 224.

Supplementary Dataset 4. Data used to generate Supplementary Figure 2. This file

includes 1) sitewise *dN/dS* values of random draws of 249 unpassaged, SIAT1 cultured,

and non-SIAT1 cell cultured sequences collected in 2014, 2) protein and gene

numbering, 3) PDB:2YP7 sequence.

Supplementary Dataset 5. Data used to generate Figures 5 and 6. This file includes 1)

sitewise *dN/dS* values of random draws of 1703 unpassaged and non-SIAT1 cell

cultured sequences collected between 2005 and 2015, 2) protein and gene numbering,

3) PDB:2YP7 sequence, 4) sitewise inverse distance correlations.

Supplementary Dataset 6. This file includes 1) all sitewise *dN/dS* values used to

generate figures, 2) protein and gene numbering, 3) PDB:2YP7 sequence, 4) relative

solvent accessibilities of the hemagglutinin trimer, and 5) linear distances to protein site

224.