A novel multi-network approach reveals tissue-specific cellular modulators of fibrosis in systemic sclerosis, pulmonary fibrosis and pulmonary arterial hypertension

Jaclyn N. Taroni[1], Casey S. Greene[2], Viktor Martyanov[1], Tammara A. Wood[1], Romy B. Christmann[3], Harrison W. Farber[4], Robert A. Lafyatis[3,5], Christopher P. Denton[6], Monique E. Hinchcliff[7], Patricia A. Pioli[8], J. Matthew Mahoney[9,§], Michael L. Whitfield[1, §]

Affiliations:

[1] Department of Molecular and Systems Biology, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Hanover, NH 03755, USA
[2] Department of Systems Pharmacology & Translational Therapeutics, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA
[3] Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA
[4] Pulmonary Center, Department of Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, 02118, USA
[5] Division of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Department of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, PA, 15261 USA
[6] Division of Medicine, University College London, London, UK
[7] Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, 60611, USA
[8] Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Lebanon, NH 03756, USA
[9] Department of Neurological Sciences, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT 05405, USA

Running Title: Multi-tissue functional genomic networks of fibrosis

§To whom correspondence should be addressed:

Michael L. Whitfield, Ph.D.
Department of Molecular and Systems Biology
Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth
7400 Remsen
Hanover, NH 03755
Email: michael.whitfield@dartmouth.edu

J. Matthew Mahoney, Ph.D.
Department of Neurological Sciences
University of Vermont College of Medicine
HSRF 426
149 Beaumont Avenue
Burlington, VT 05405
Email: John.M.Mahoney@uvm.edu

Abstract

We have used integrative genomics to determine if a common molecular mechanism underlies different clinical manifestations in systemic sclerosis (SSc), and the related conditions pulmonary fibrosis (PF) and pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH). We identified a common pathogenic gene expression signature–an immune-fibrotic axis–indicative of pro-fibrotic macrophages (MØs) in multiple affected tissues (skin, lung, esophagus and PBMCs) of SSc, PF, and PAH. We used this disease-associated signature to query tissue-specific functional genomic networks. This allowed us to identify common and tissue-specific pathology of SSc and related conditions. We rigorously contrasted the lung- and skin-specific gene-gene interaction networks to identify a distinct lung resident MØ signature (LR-MØ) associated with lipid stimulation and alternative activation. In keeping with our network results, we find distinct MØ alternative activation transcriptional programs in SSc-PF lung and in the skin of patients with an 'inflammatory' SSc gene expression signature. Our results suggest that the innate immune system is central to SSc disease processes, but that subtle distinctions exist between tissues. Our approach provides a framework for examining molecular signatures of disease in fibrosis and autoimmune diseases and for leveraging publicly available data to understand common and tissue-specific disease processes in complex human diseases.

Author Summary

Human disease in part arises from aberrant interplay between tissues and from the interactions of gene products in tissue-specific microenvironments. Recent efforts have utilized 'big data' to build functional maps that model these interactions. We used these tools to study systemic sclerosis (SSc), a rare and clinically complex disease characterized by multi-organ involvement, high mortality, pulmonary fibrosis, and pulmonary arterial hypertension, and related fibrotic conditions. We developed a novel procedure to assess which processes are affected across multiple fibrotic organs and tissues. We found that patients with severe disease share molecular patterns that are indicative of dysregulated, immune and fibrotic processes. Placing these patterns into the context of functional maps allowed us to study severe disease manifestations that occur in a subset of patients. This study not only offers the potential to identify shared pathology in SSc and fibrosis, but a 'road map' for the use of tissue-specific networks to describe complex human diseases.

Introduction

Integrative genomics has yielded powerful tissue-specific functional networks that model the interaction of genes in these specialized 'microenvironments' (1). These tools hold promise for understanding how genes may contribute to human diseases (2) that arise, in part, out of an aberrant interplay of cell types and tissues. Network biology has played a crucial role in our understanding of complex human diseases such as cancer (3,4), and more recently, in disorders where the interactions among multiple tissues are dysregulated (5).

Analytical approaches that leverage biological 'big data' can be especially fruitful in rare and heterogeneous diseases (6), in which the risk of mortality is significant and no approved treatments exist. We performed an integrative, multi-tissue analysis for systemic sclerosis (SSc; scleroderma), a disease for which all of these tenets are true, and included samples from patients with pulmonary fibrosis (PF) and pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH). SSc is characterized by abnormal vasculature, adaptive immune dysfunction (autoantibody production), and extracellular matrix (ECM) deposition in skin and internal organs. The etiology of SSc is unknown, but it has complex genetic risk (7) and postulated triggers include immune activation by cancer (8), infection (9), or dysbiosis (10). SSc is clinically heterogeneous with some patients experiencing rapidly progressive skin and internal organ disease, while others have stable disease that is largely limited to skin. Understanding the drivers of disease in multiple affected organ systems is critical to understand the pathogenesis of SSc and other complications, such as PF and PAH, that co-occur in these patients.

These 'big data' approaches integrate individual experiments measuring hundreds of disease states and biological perturbations. Integration of these data holds promise for understanding how genes contribute to organ specific manifestations of human diseases (2). We previously developed mutual information consensus clustering (MICC) to identify gene expression that is conserved across multiple, disparate datasets (11). Here we expanded MICC to perform an integrative, multi-tissue analysis of SSc and related fibrotic conditions. Following MICC, we used the Genome-scale Integrated Analysis of gene Networks in Tissues (GIANT) tissue-specific functional genomic networks (1) to identify gene-gene interactions among those expressed consistently across affected tissues. These

GIANT networks are a detailed, genome-scale representation of the functional interactions between genes in different microenvironments. We included gene expression datasets from ten different cohorts representing four different affected tissues from patients with SSc. We identified a pathogenic signature – a common 'immune-fibrotic axis' – that is present in all tissues analyzed and is increased in the most severe disease complications, including PF and PAH.

The immune-fibrotic axis implicates alternatively activated MØs as central drivers of fibrosis in all solid organs studied. MØs are highly plastic cells implicated in a wide range of pathologic processes (12-14). Using tissue-specific functional networks (1), we analyzed the nature of the immune-fibrotic axis to understand the gene-gene interactions that underlie fibrosis across organ systems. Using differential network analysis, we were able to identify skin- and lung-specific gene-gene interactions relevant to MØ plasticity and SSc pathophysiology. We now propose a model that implicates alternatively activated MØs as part of the immune-fibrotic axis that may drive fibrosis in multiple tissues.

Results

We performed an integrative analysis of ten independent gene expression datasets containing samples from patients with systemic sclerosis and associated co-morbidities (Table 1). A total of 573 samples from 321 subjects recruited at seven independent centers were analyzed. These data represent samples from four different affected tissues derived from seven different clinic centers in the US and Europe. Data include SSc and control skin from a University of California, San Francisco cohort (15), a Boston University cohort (16) and a Northwestern University cohort (17). Many patients in the skin cohorts provided lesional (forearm) and non-lesional (back) skin biopsies; a subset of patients in the Northwestern skin cohort provided biopsies longitudinally over time as part of a clinical trial for mycophenolate mofetil (MMF). Peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC) samples from patients with and without SSc-PAH, patients with idiopathic PAH (IPAH) and healthy controls were included from a Boston University cohort (18) and a University of Colorado PAH cohort (19). Lung data contained a cohort of late or end-stage patients that underwent lung transplant at the University of Pittsburgh (20) and a second cohort from open lung biopsies from early SSc-associated PF obtained in Brazil (21). The

lung biopsies included patients with SSc-associated PF, idiopathic PF (IPF), SSc-associated PAH, and

idiopathic PAH (IPAH). Data on previously unpublished samples were also included in these analyses.

These are two datasets of skin biopsies from patients with limited cutaneous SSc (LSSc) recruited from

University College London (UCL) / Royal Free Hospital and Boston University Medical Center. Only

data that were judged to be high quality were included in the analyses. To our knowledge, there was no

overlap between the patient cohorts beyond 5 patients recruited at Northwestern that provided both

skin and esophageal biopsies. We summarize all patient cohorts in S1 Table.

The primary goal of this study was to identify the fundamental processes that occur across end-

target and peripheral tissues of patients with SSc and related fibrotic conditions. Secondly, we aimed to

identify the presence or absence of common gene expression patterns that underlie the molecular

intrinsic subsets of SSc (15) in different organs. Analysis of multiple tissue biopsies from patients with

skin fibrosis, esophageal dysfunction, PF and PAH, allowed us to determine in an unbiased analysis

whether these tissues were perturbed in a similar manner on a genomic scale.

We applied MICC (11) to identify conserved, differentially co-expressed genes across all tissues

in our SSc compendium. MICC is a 'consensus clustering' procedure, meaning that it identifies the

shared co-clustering of genes present in multiple datasets. MICC identifies genes that are consistently

coexpressed in multiple tissues. Procedurally, MICC clusters gene expression data into coexpression

modules using weighted gene correlation network analysis (WGCNA) (Fig 1). Because this clustering is

purely data-driven, coexpression modules derived from different datasets necessarily differ from each

other. MICC integrates these coexpression modules across datasets by identifying significant overlaps

between modules from different datasets and forming a 'module overlap network'. MICC then parses

the module overlap network to find sets of modules (communities) that are strongly conserved across

many datasets (see Methods). These strongly overlapping modules correspond to molecular processes

that are conserved across multiple datasets.

All datasets were partitioned into coexpression modules using WGCNA, resulting in 549 modules

(Table 2). We constructed the 10-partite module overlap network (Fig 2) and identified eight

communities in the network using modularity-based community detection methods. Because the

community structure of the module overlap network was hierarchical, we used a hierarchical labeling

scheme, where numerals denote large communities and letters denote smaller sub-communities (Fig

2A). For each community, we used set theoretic formulae to derive a final gene set ('consensus genes')

associated with the modules in that community (see Methods and S2 Table; consensus gene sets

ranged from 64-9597 genes in size). The majority of the consensus gene sets pertain to biological

processes that are not disease-specific. These include processes such as telomere organization (1A)

and macromolecule localization (3A). *Disease-specific* consensus genes were identified by first

determining which communities contained modules associated with pathophenotypes under study and

then deriving consensus gene sets from those combined communities (see below).

168
Severe pathophenotypes share a common immune-fibrotic axis

The module overlap network is agnostic to the clinical phenotypes corresponding to each biopsy.

To associate communities in the module overlap network with SSc and fibrotic pathophenotypes, we

tested each of the 549 modules for differential expression in relevant pathophenotypes (see Methods).

For example, every lung module in the PAH cohorts was tested for differential expression in PAH.

Clusters 4A and 4B in the module overlap network contain modules with increased expression in all

pathophenotypes of interest: the inflammatory and proliferative subsets of SSc, PAH, and PF (Fig 2B).

Thus, the modules in these communities correspond to a common, broad disease signal that is present

in every pathophenotype under study. As with our prior studies, we did not find a strong association

with autoantibody subtype and the co-expression modules identified here.

Edges in the module overlap graph represent overlap between coexpression modules in different

datasets, so we identified the intersection of genes between adjacent modules. We then asked if these

'edge gene sets' were similar to known biological processes by computing the Jaccard similarity

between edges and canonical pathways from the Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB; see

Methods) (22). Edges in 4A encode immune processes such as antigen processing and presentation

and cytotoxic T cell and helper T cell pathways (Table 3). This cluster also contains modules from all

tissues, including PBMCs (Fig 2B). Altered immunophenotypes have been reported in SSc-PAH and

SSc-PF (18-21). Here, we find that the immune processes with increased expression in these severe

pathophenotypes have substantial overlap with each other, as well as with the inflammatory subsets in

esophagus and skin (Fig 2B and S1). Notably, 4A is composed of modules with increased expression in

PAH in PBMCs and lung, and a module upregulated in end-stage PF (S1 Fig). This demonstrates a

commonality of molecular pathways between the inflammatory component of SSc and the most severe

end-organ complications at the expression level.

Edges in 4B encode pro-fibrotic processes including ECM receptor interaction, collagen

formation, and TGF-β signaling (Table 3). Cluster 4B consists of skin inflammatory and fibroproliferative

subset-associated modules as well as lung PAH-, late PF- and early PF-associated modules (Fig 2B

and S1). These results validate and expand what we have found in our prior meta-analysis of skin data

alone (11): the immune-fibrotic axis observed in the SSc intrinsic subsets are *connected* to and,

furthermore, *are found in all* other tissues and SSc-associated pathophenotypes.

To understand how the immune-fibrotic axis and these phenotypes are functionally related, we

identified the consensus genes in the combined 4A and 4B clusters (see Methods; 2079 unique genes;

S4 Table). Using a conservative measure, these consensus genes are enriched for genes with

increased expression in all disease manifestations (Significance Analysis of Microarrays or SAM (23),

FDR <5%) (PF in both lung datasets p < 2.2e-16; PAH lung, p = 7.88×10^{-5}; PAH in both PBMC

datasets, p = 3.20×10^{-15}, Fisher's exact test). This demonstrates that the tissue consensus genes are

highly relevant to all disease manifestations in this study. The tissue consensus gene sets allow us to

rigorously extrapolate from this conservative set a substantially broader, disease-associated signal.

This extrapolation is especially important for tissue studies that are underpowered to detect a large

number of significantly differentially expressed genes (see Discussion). We took the union of the tissue

consensus gene sets as a set of 'immune-fibrotic axis consensus genes' that are informative about

pathology in every tissue.

The lung functional genomic network reveals a coupling of immune and fibrotic processes

The GIANT functional networks infer functional relationships between genes by integrating

publicly available data including genome-wide human expression experiments, physical and genetic

interaction data, and phenotype and disease data (1). In these networks, genes are nodes and edges

are weighted by the estimated probability of a tissue-specific relationship between genes. GIANT

contains networks for multiple tissues, including skin and lung. To investigate the function of the immune-fibrotic axis consensus genes in pulmonary manifestations of SSc, we extracted the subnetwork of the GIANT whole genome lung network corresponding to the immune-fibrotic axis consensus genes – the *lung network* (Fig 3 and S3). Similar to our previous analysis of SSc skin, we find interconnected functional modules related to both immune (interferon (IFN)/antigen presentation and innate immune/NF-κB/apoptotic processes) and fibrotic (response to TGF-β and ECM disassembly/wound healing) processes (Fig 3A). This demonstrates that, like skin, there is functional coupling between inflammatory and pro-fibrotic pathways in lung.

The lung network distinguishes early and late events in SSc lung disease

Our analysis includes two lung datasets derived from both early SSc-PF (open lung biopsies obtained for diagnostic purposes (21)) and end-stage or late disease (SSc-PF patients that underwent lung transplantation (20)). In addition to the differences in disease stage between these two datasets, there is also some difference in the histological patterns of fibrosis in these cohorts. In the Bostwick lung dataset (20), all patients with SSc-PF had usual interstitial pneumonia (UIP). This study used lung tissues from patients who underwent lung transplantation (late disease). The Christmann lung dataset (21) contains 5 patients with non-specific interstitial pneumonia (NSIP) and 2 patients with centrilobular fibrosis (CLF). This study looked at early SSc-PF patients, used open lung biopsies, and specifically avoided honeycombing areas.

Although NSIP and UIP have distinct clinical outcomes, they have been shown to be nearly indistinguishable at the gene expression level (24). Furthermore, these datasets have overlapping coexpression patterns as demonstrated by their shared community membership in the module overlap network. Comparison of different datasets allows us to determine how genes with increased expression at these different stages and histological subtypes of lung disease are distributed throughout the lung network and to suggest an order of molecular events in SSc-PF progression. Genes overexpressed in SSc-PF (SAM, PF vs. Normal comparison, FDR < 5%) are distributed throughout the lung network and therefore are predicted to participate in all of the molecular processes identified in the network. Quantification of the distribution of SSc-PF differentially expressed genes throughout the consensus

lung network (Fig 3B) demonstrates that molecular processes can be associated either with a disease

stage or transition between stages. The cell cycle module contains only early SSc-PF genes, the innate

immune response/NF-κB/apoptotic processes module contains more late SSc-PF genes, and the

response to TGF-β module contains genes from *both* disease stages (Fig 3A-B).

Hub and bridge genes are highly relevant to the pathogenesis of pulmonary fibrosis

Certain genes occupy privileged positions within molecular networks and these genes often have

critical biological function (25). *Module hub genes* are connected to a significant fraction of genes within

a functional module, whereas *bridge genes* are genes that connect to multiple functional modules and

thus 'bridge' them. We identified the hub and bridge genes within the lung network for their possible

roles in PF pathogenesis. We highlight the hubs and bridges of the lung network in Fig 3C-E and Fig

3F, respectively. The hubs of several of the functional modules in the consensus lung network show

increased expression at different disease stages (Fig 3C-E). For instance, *LAMC1* shows increased

expression in early SSc-PF and is highly connected within the response to TGF-β module (Fig 3C). The

gene Niemann-Pick disease, type C2 (*NPC2*) is upregulated in early disease and is connected to

cathepsins L and B (*CTSL, CTSB*) and *GLB1* in the lung network (Fig 3D). We tabulate information on

selected genes from the lung network in Table 4.

The innate immune response/NF-κB signaling/apoptotic process module contains genes that are

highly expressed in late SSc-PF, including the hub genes *CYR61* and *TM4SF1* (Fig 3A-B and S3). The

hub gene *TNFAIP3* (A20), which is increased in late SSc-PF (Fig 3E), is a negative regulator of NF-κB

signaling and inhibitor of TNF-mediated apoptosis. The innate immune response/NF-κB

signaling/apoptotic process and IFN/antigen presentation modules are bridged by *TNFSF10,* also

known as TRAIL (TNF-related apoptosis inducing ligand, Fig 3F). These results suggest that the

balance of apoptosis is altered in late SSc-PF. The upregulation of genes with anti-apoptotic function

was not reported in the original study (20), which demonstrates the strength of both the MICC method

and the study of functional interactions.

CD44 and *PLAUR* (uPAR) bridge multiple functional modules in the lung network (Fig 3F) and

have been implicated in IPF (26,27). Because these genes link modules important in regulating disease

progression, therapeutic targeting of CD44 and uPAR may be an effective strategy in combatting SSc-

PF. Indeed, anti-CD44 treatment reduces fibroblast invasion and bleomycin-induced lung fibrosis (26),

and inhibition of uPAR ligation significantly reduces motility of pulmonary fibroblasts from patients with

idiopathic PF (28). These results are consistent with our identification of these genes as key genes in

the lung network.

The lung microenvironment provides a distinct milieu for pro-fibrotic processes

Pulmonary fibrosis is histologically distinct from skin fibrosis and occurs in a subset of patients

with SSc. We hypothesized that the lung microenvironment may have a distinct organization of

immune-fibrotic axis consensus genes when compared to skin. Indeed, for interactions (edge weight >

0.5) that are present in both the lung and skin networks, there are gene pairs that are much more likely

to interact in one tissue than the other (Fig 4A). In other words, the skin and lung networks are 'wired

differently'. To identify *highly lung-specific* and *highly skin-specific interactions*, we performed a

differential network analysis that identified gene pairs that are strongly predicted to interact in one

tissue but not the other (see Methods).

These highly specific interactions are displayed in Fig 4B, where a cell is red if it is lung-specific

or blue if it is skin-specific (cf. S4 Fig). The number of tissue-specific edges in each functional module is

quantified in Figs 4B and 4C, which illustrate that most functional modules in lung have fewer

interactions than in skin, with the exception of the cell cycle module. Of particular interest is the

relationship between the phagolysosome/ECM disassembly genes and response to TGF-β genes, as

strong differential connectivity can be observed in this module (Figs 4B and 4C). Thus, even though

ECM disassembly and TGF-β module genes are coordinately differentially expressed in both lung and

skin, they are differentially connected to each other suggesting that the microenvironment strongly

determines the functional consequences of upregulating these pro-fibrotic genes.

To summarize lung-specific biological processes in the immune-fibrotic axis, we clustered the

lung-specific interactions (differential lung network) to identify lung-specific pathways (S5 Fig). We

identified 23 clusters corresponding to biological processes such as type I IFN signaling (cluster 10),

antigen processing and presentation (cluster 4), REACTOME Cell surface interactions at the vascular

wall (cluster 22), and mitotic cell cycle (cluster 16, shown in Fig S5B). Taken together, this suggests

that within the immune-fibrotic axis we find innate immune and cell proliferation processes that are

highly lung-specific. One of the largest of these clusters (cluster 13, Fig 4D and S5C) includes *NPC2*,

S100A4, and *CTSB*, which encode protein products that are highly expressed in normal lung-resident

MØs (LR-MØs) (29,30).

NPC2, is a hub of the ECM disassembly/wound healing module in the full lung network (Fig 3);

many of the genes in cluster 13 also belong to the ECM disassembly/wound healing module in the

whole network, including the cathepsins *CTSB* and *CTSL.* Alveolar MØs are the main source of

cathepsins in bleomycin-induced fibrotic lung tissue (31). Additional genes associated with

development and maintenance of alternative MØ activation include *TGFBI* (32), *NEU1* (33), *PRCP* (34),

and *DAB2* (35). Genes that are specifically associated with alternative activation of lung MØs include

PLP2 (36) and *IFITM1* (37) (Fig 4D and S5C). Based on these genes and the complete lung network in

Figure 3, we identified an LR-MØ signature. These findings are consistent with previous reports of

alternative MØ activation in SSc (21,38).

To explore this signature further, we examined some genes from this cluster along with genes

identified in the Christmann, et al. study (21). Consistent with the primary publication (21), some

heterogeneity in SSc-PF gene expression is observed and is likely due to tissue sampling from various

lobes of the lung as well as the inclusion of patients with centrilobular fibrosis (Fig 5A, right dendrogram

branch). Nevertheless, the LR-MØ signature comprises genes that are highly correlated with canonical

markers of alternatively activated MØs that were validated by either PCR or immunohistochemistry in

the original study (e.g., *CD163* and CCL18) (21).

The LR-MØ cluster in the differential lung network also contains a number of genes implicated in

lipid storage disorders, including *HEXB*, *GLB1*, and *NPC2*. Several other LR-MØ cluster genes have

been shown to be important for regulating cholesterol trafficking genes in an animal model of obesity,

including *CTSB*, *CTSL*, and *NPC2* (39). It has been noted that lipid metabolism genes are upregulated

in lung MØs relative to other tissue-specific MØs (36). Furthermore, in the bleomycin injury mouse

model of pulmonary fibrosis, lipid-laden MØs have been observed to increase expression of markers

associated with alternative MØ activation and to secrete TGF-β (40).

Distinct MØ gene expression programs are elevated in lung and skin

We hypothesized that early SSc-PF lung samples may have evidence of both alternatively activated and lipid-stimulated MØs and that this may differ from what is observed in skin. The presence of alternatively activated MØs in the inflammatory subset of skin was inferred in our single tissue analysis (11). To test this hypothesis, we used gene sets associated with classical activation of MØs, alternative activation of MØs, or stimulation of MØs with a variety of activation stimuli, including free fatty acids, taken from Xue, et al. (12). To summarize the expression of each MØ gene set (12) and compare across tissues in these data, we computed the average expression of all genes in each gene set (see Methods; see S5 Table for a mapping between Xue, et al. modules and our naming scheme). Results are displayed for control and SSc-PF lung, as well as control and SSc-inflammatory skin (Fig 5B). As shown in Figure 5B, there is evidence of an increase in alternatively activated and free fatty acid stimulated gene sets in SSc-PF and SSc-inflammatory skin. These data do not show statistically significant differences in expression of gene sets associated with classical MØ activation between controls and SSc-PF or SSc-inflammatory skin (see S6 Table for p-values of all modules tested).

The discovery of IFN (IFN)-related genes among the consensus genes indicates that these pathways are increased in pathophenotypes of interest (e.g., SSc-PF and the skin inflammatory subset). Christmann, et al. also noted a strong IFN-related gene signature in SSc-PF samples, although the cellular compartment responsible for this signature was not described (21). Because stimulation with IFN results in classical activation of MØs, we examined the expression of genes from CL 1, as it is most strongly associated with IFN-γ treatment ("classical activation") in human MØs (12). However, CL 1 genes' expression is not different between disease and controls in either skin or lung (Wilcoxon p = 0.76 and 0.80, respectively; Fig 5B). This result is consistent with our inability to discern differences in classical MØ activation markers between controls and SSc-PF and inflammatory skin and suggests that classically activated MØs are not the source of the reported IFN signature.

Modules ALT 1 and ALT 2 are both associated with IL-4 and IL-13 treatment, which are stimuli associated with alternative activation of MØs (12). These two gene sets are non-overlapping coexpression modules and therefore represent two "parts" of the alternatively activated MØ

transcriptional program. We performed functional enrichment analysis for ALT 1 and 2 to understand which biological processes underlie these transcriptional signatures (see Methods). Module ALT 1 is enriched for genes involved in oxidative phosphorylation (KEGG, $p < 0.0001$) and the citric acid cycle (REACTOME, $p < 0.0001$) pathways. In lung, ALT 1 expression is higher in SSc-PF than in controls (Wilcoxon $p = 0.0046$). There is no difference between healthy controls and the inflammatory subset in skin (Wilcoxon $p = 0.41$). Module ALT 2 shows an opposite trend is enriched for genes implicated in the positive regulation of response to wounding (GO BP, $p = 0.027$) and defense response (GO BP, $p = 0.00035$); this module includes alternatively activated MØ markers such as *CD14* and *CCL26* (41,42). ALT 2 expression is increased in the inflammatory subset in skin (Wilcoxon $p = 0.041$) and trends toward decreased expression in SSc-PF lung (Wilcoxon $p = 0.16$). Together, these pathways suggest a metabolic "switch" associated with alternative activation in lung that is not found in skin (for review see (43); Fig 5B).

We also analyzed modules associated with free fatty acids (FFA) stimulation, which are relevant to the question of lipid signaling or exposure in SSc tissues (FFA 1, 2, and 3). We first performed functional enrichment analysis for these modules to gain biological insight into these transcriptional programs. FFA 1 is enriched for genes involved in the Unfolded Protein Response (REACTOME, $p = 0.025$). FFA 2 is enriched for Antigen processing-Cross presentation genes (REACTOME; $p = 0.00101$). FFA 3 is enriched for genes in the ER-Phagosome Pathway (REACTOME, $p = 0.0076$). Expression of FFA 1 and 2 is significantly increased in lung (FFA 1: Wilcoxon $p = 0.046$; $p = 0.97$ in skin; FFA 2: Wilcoxon $p = 0.0013$; $p = 0.63$ in skin), whereas FFA 3 is upregulated in SSc-PF lung (Wilcoxon, $p = 0.0013$) and the SSc inflammatory subset in skin (Wilcoxon, $p = 0.00056$). These results suggest that LR- MØs may have a distinct lipid exposure that strongly diverges from that in skin.

The differential network analysis (Fig. 4) allowed us to identify highly lung-specific interactions in the immune-fibrotic axis that implicated lipid signaling as a distinct functional process in lung. The higher expression of *multiple* free fatty acid-associated modules in lung suggests that the role of lipid signaling in MØs may be more important in this tissue than in skin, consistent with what we would predict based on *highly lung-specific* gene-gene interactions, and based on prior biomedical literature in

383   related conditions (36,40). Thus, a major difference between the lung and skin networks can be

384   attributed to the presence of a distinct MØ phenotype in lungs.

Discussion

SSc is a systemic disease that affects multiple internal organs but, to our knowledge, no one has

shown if there are distinct or common deregulated pathways between these organ systems, or their

relationship to other fibrotic conditions. In recent years, gene expression data have been collected for

multiple tissues. However, these data often have issues that are common to many diseases. First, SSc

is rare and patients with particular disease manifestations are still rarer, so there is a limit to the amount

of biopsy material available for study. Second, for practical and ethical reasons, internal organ biopsies

are seldom taken from healthy subjects making comparisons difficult. Thus, lung, esophagus, and other

affected internal organs are more difficult to study than blood and skin tissue. Therefore, there is a

critical need to leverage our biological prior knowledge with our understanding of well-studied tissues –

like blood and skin – to make plausible inferences about pathogenesis in tissues that are more difficult

to study.

The clinical heterogeneity of SSc, particularly the difficulty of predicting internal organ

involvement, raises an important question: are the fibrotic processes observed in multiple organs

derived from a common disease process, or is each organ manifestation effectively a distinct disease?

Our analyses demonstrate that there is a common gene expression signature underlying all severe

organ manifestations of SSc – the immune-fibrotic axis – in solid organs. The immune-fibrotic axis

underlies both SSc pulmonary manifestations of PF and PAH, and the intrinsic subsets of skin and

esophagus. Moreover, coexpression modules from peripheral blood, a mixture of innate and adaptive

immune cells, have significant overlap with modules associated with all pathophenotypes studied.

Thus, while fibrotic processes were largely associated with solid tissues, the inflammatory component

of the immune-fibrotic axis is only found in peripheral blood.

The presence of a common gene expression signature across multiple tissues suggests a

common disease driver, but it does not resolve the possible tissue-specific processes that contribute to

disease in the internal organs. Indeed, there are many layers of biological regulation between gene expression and whole tissue phenotypes. Resolving the relationship between molecular profiles and phenotypes is a difficult biological problem underlying most biomedical inquiry. However, these relationships have been approximated by integrating high-throughput genomic data into tissue-specific functional networks using 'big data' machine learning strategies (1). We addressed tissue-specificity in SSc pathology by interpreting the common expression signal – the immune-fibrotic axis – within these tissue-specific functional networks. These networks allowed us to identify critical genes that occupy important positions in molecular pathways in lung. It is clear from this work that the coupling of immune and fibrotic processes is a hallmark of SSc that occurs in SSc-PF and SSc-PAH as well as skin. However, we also find subtle, lung-specific functional differences that we attribute, in part, to the plasticity of the myeloid cell lineage.

The plasticity of the myeloid lineage may drive tissue-specific SSc disease processes

By performing a combined analysis of SSc gene expression in multiple tissues, we are able to observe and infer, in a genome-wide manner, commonalities in the complex mixture of cell types in a tissue at the time of biopsy. Overwhelmingly, we detected a MØ signature associated with severe disease. In the module overlap network, we find that PAH-associated modules from PBMCs (18,19) have significant overlap with SSc inflammatory subset-associated modules from skin and esophagus (Fig 2). Indeed, in Pendergrass et al. *(18)*, we observed that PBMCs from lcSSc patients have significant enrichment in myeloid- and MØ-related gene sets as compared to healthy controls. Christmann et al. (44) expanded on this, showing that highly expressed transcripts in lcSSc-PAH CD14$^+$ monocytes were induced in IL-13-stimulated cells, i.e. that PAH monocytes are alternatively activated. We assert that this MØ polarization is a significant part of the immune-fibrotic axis we find in these data and, therefore, is likely *a common driver* of the complex pathophysiology of SSc. In support of this, an independent study also identified MØs and dendritic cells (DCs) as possible sources of an "inflammatory" signature in lesional SSc skin (45).

We found evidence for the contribution of LR-MØs to SSc-PF pathobiology, consistent with the alternative activation of MØs and TGF-β production. In our prior analysis of skin, we inferred

alternatively activated MØs as modulators of the SSc inflammatory intrinsic subset in skin (11). Our

current study identifies a LR-MØ signature within the functional relationships of immune-fibrotic axis

consensus genes in lung (Fig 4D and 5A). We posit that the differences in fibrotic responses of skin

and lung tissue are due, in large part, to innate differences between tissue-resident MØs that have

been observed (46,47), as well as the interactions between infiltrating monocytes and tissue-resident

cell types (e.g., alveolar epithelial cells vs. keratinocytes). Because MØ phenotype and function are

plastic and readily modulated by the local tissue microenvironment, it is likely that differential activation

of MØs in these tissues is the result of exposure to distinct cytokine milieu. Indeed, we show that

distinct alternative activation gene expression programs have increased expression in SSc-PF lung and

inflammatory SSc skin (Fig. 5). In particular, there were multiple lipid-related signatures elevated in

SSc-PF lung alone.

We cannot rule out that the MØ changes we observe are a secondary response to the affected

organ pathology. Regardless, therapies that target MØ effectors such as IL6R have shown promise in

clinical trials (48) and MØ chemoattractants have been shown to be important in animal models of SSc

inflammatory disease (49), suggesting that MØs play a central role in SSc pathogenesis. We also

cannot rule out that DCs contribute to our results, as plasmacytoid DCs are observed to be important in

the Stiff Skin Syndrome mouse model (50). However, some skin-resident DCs have been shown to be

transcriptionally similar to peripheral blood monocytes in humans (51). We speculate that the circulation

of peripheral myeloid cells contributes to the multi-organ nature of SSc. Future studies may use *in silico*

and cell sorting techniques to deconvolve SSc expression data to identify changes in cell proportion

and transcriptome throughout disease course and to finely phenotype myeloid cells from SSc patient

tissue samples.

An overview of SSc-PF disease processes

The study of two different lung datasets that sampled early- and late-stage SSc-PF allows us to

describe differences between the disease processes found in these two datasets. The two datasets

each contained patients with different types of interstitial pneumonia (see Methods), which may limit

interpretation of these results. However, as stated in the results, we and others (24) find evidence of

highly similar gene expression patterns between UIP and NSIP. We do not have treatment information

for patients in these studies and acknowledge that late-stage patients are more likely to be treated with

immunosuppressive therapy. With these caveats in mind, we can nevertheless draw non-intuitive

conclusions through the combination of our data-driven approach and mechanistic insight from

disparate literature. We provide an overview of disease processes in Fig 6.

Christmann and coworkers identified an increase in IFN- and TGF-β-regulated genes in biopsies

from early SSc-PF (21). It was also noted that there was more CCL18 at the protein-level and a higher

level of *CD163* transcript in SSc-ILD lungs, suggestive of the presence of alternatively activated MØs

(21). However, it was unclear which cell types were responsible for the IFN signature or if there was

evidence of distinct subpopulations of MØs. We found that gene signatures that are upregulated in

alternatively-activated human MØs and MØs treated with free fatty acids are enriched in early SSc-PF

patients and that there is no evidence for enrichment of a pro-inflammatory, IFN-stimulated MØ

signature (Fig 5) (12).

The LR-MØ signature identified in our differential network analysis consisted of genes with

increased expression in early SSc-PF that participate in lipid and cholesterol trafficking (Figs 4D, S6,

differential lung network). The expression of these genes is correlated with "canonical" MØ genes

identified in the primary publication (21) (Fig 5). We find elevated gene expression programs associated

with MØ alternative activation (specifically metabolic "reprogramming") and lipid exposure in this

dataset (Fig 5). In the bleomycin injury mouse model of pulmonary fibrosis, lipid-laden MØs, or foam

cells, have been observed to upregulate markers associated with alternative MØ activation and to

secrete TGF-β (59). Oxidized phospholipid treatment also causes alternative activation and TGF-β

secretion in human MØs (40). Consistent with this report, recent work demonstrates that foam cell

formation *in vivo* favors the development of a pro-fibrotic MØ activation profile (52,53). These studies,

along with our results, suggest that lipid exposure or uptake in MØs may be important.

TGF-β signaling is a hallmark of fibrotic disease, and was noted in the initial analysis of both lung

datasets (20,21). Similarly, we find genes from both datasets in the response to TGF-β module of the

lung network. However, we also find evidence that the type I IFN signature is present in the Bostwick

dataset(Fig 3). The functional module most strongly associated with late stage disease/UIP is the

innate immune, NF-κB, and apoptotic processes module. This module is connected to the TGF-β

module through components of the fibrinolysis pathway such as PAI-1 (*SERPINE1*) (Fig 3). PAI-1 is

upregulated in late stage SSc-PF and is known to be important in pulmonary fibrosis (54-56). One

mechanism by which fibrinolysis may contribute to the resolution of fibrosis is through the induction of

fibroblast apoptosis (57). Both TGF-β1 and PAI-1 have been shown to inhibit lung fibroblast apoptosis

(57).

We found evidence for a shift in the balance of apoptosis in the Bostwick dataset, perhaps in

myofibroblasts (58), in our network analyses (Fig 6). Long-lived myofibroblasts are thought to

continually deposit collagen and contribute to persistent fibrosis (59). This apoptotic-resistance

phenotype is related to the stiffness of the matrix (60), suggesting that a shift in apoptotic processes

may occur once the deposition of excess collagen begins. Moreover, impaired phagocytosis of

apoptotic cells, or efferocytosis, has been observed in the alveolar MØs of IPF patients (61). We find

genes involved in efferocytosis, specifically in receptors (*CD44*) and endocytic machinery associated

with this process, in the lung network (Figs 3, 6) (62). If the shift in apoptosis and efferocytosis occurs,

we speculate that the fibrotic and inflammatory processes in our network will also be altered.

Efferocytosis by alveolar MØs plays a key role in the resolution of inflammation in the lung through the

subsequent release of TGF-β (63). We hypothesize that following initial injury, TGF-β signaling,

antifibrinolytic factors, and the disruption of apoptosis and efferocytosis may contribute to progressive

fibrosis in SSc-PF (Fig 6).

Conclusions

In this study, we have utilized data from multiple tissues to examine the systemic nature of SSc.

Our integrative analysis allowed us to leverage well-studied tissues to inform us about SSc

manifestations that are under-studied molecularly. This study rigorously tests the notion that patients

with severe disease have shared immunological and fibrotic alterations. The common immune-fibrotic

axis shows evidence for alternatively activated MØs in multiple SSc tissues. However, there are subtle

differences in the MØ gene expression programs detected in skin and lung. Different

microenvironments likely provide distinct stimuli to infiltrating MØs that determine the pro-fibrotic

character of these cells. The plasticity of this lineage is likely central to the divergence of fibrotic

processes in multiple SSc-affected tissues and is a central component of an immune-fibrotic axis

driving disease.

Methods

Patients and datasets

Eight out of 10 datasets included in this study were previously published (see Table 1) and descriptions of the patient populations and criteria for inclusion can be found in those publications. We used the patient disease label (e.g., PAH) as published in the original work for all of these sets. In Table S1, we summarize the patient information to which we had access on a per array basis as that is what is required for comparison to the expression data. Below, we note some important characteristics (for the purposes of this work) of the included patient populations. As noted in the Results section, the two lung datasets contained patients with different histological patterns of lung disease. Some patients included in the PBMC dataset, including those with PAH, also had interstitial lung disease, though exclusion of these patients does not significantly change the interpretation as put forth in (18). As illustrated in S1 Table, two datasets (ESO, LSSc) did not contain healthy control samples and three datasets (UCL, LSSc, and PBMC) were comprised entirely of lcSSc patients.

Ethics statement on previously unpublished datasets

The LSSc and UCL studies are previously unpublished. The samples from the LSSc dataset were obtained at Boston University Medical Center (BUMC)/Boston Medical Center (BMC); the BUMC/BMC Institution Review Board approved this study. The samples from the UCL dataset were obtained at University College of London; the London-Hampstead NRES Committee approved this study. The Dartmouth College CPHS approved this work. All subjects gave informed consent. All research conformed to the principles expressed in the Declaration of Helinski.

Microarray dataset processing

This work contains 10 datasets on multiple microarray platforms. Agilent datasets (Pendergrass, PBMC, Milano, Hinchcliff, ESO, UCL, LSSc) used either Agilent Whole Human Genome (4x44K) Microarrays (G4112F)(Pendergrass, PBMC, Milano, Hinchcliff, ESO, UCL) or 8x60K (LSSc). Data were

Log$_2$-transformed and lowess normalized and filtered for probes with intensity 2-fold over local

background in Cy3 or Cy5 channels. Data were multiplied by -1 to convert to Log$_2$(Cy3/Cy5) ratios.

Probes with >20% missing data were excluded. The Illumina dataset (Bostwick, HumanRef-8 v3.0

BeadChips) was processed using variance-stabilizing transformation and robust spline normalization

using the lumi R package. Dr. Christmann provided the raw data in the form of .CEL files. Dr. Feghali-

Bostwick provided Illumina BeadSummary files. Affymetrix datasets (Risbano, HGU133plus2;

Christmann, HGU133A_2) were processed using the RMA method as implemented in the affy R

package. Batch bias was detected in the ESO dataset. To adjust these data, missing values were

imputed via *k*-nearest neighbor algorithm using a GenePattern (64) module with default parameters and

the data were adjusted using ComBat (65) run as a GenePattern module to eliminate the batch effect.

To compare datasets in our downstream analysis, duplicate genes must not be present in the

dataset and must be summarized in some way. First, we annotated each probe with its Entrez gene ID.

Agilent 4x44K arrays were annotated using the hgug4112a.db Bioconductor package. LSSc was

annotated using UNC Microarray Database with annotations from the manufacturer. Probes annotated

to lincRNAs (A19) were removed from the analysis. The Illumina dataset was annotated by converting

the gene symbols (provided as part of the BeadSummary file) to Entrez IDs using the org.Hs.eg.db

package. The Risbano PBMC dataset was annotated using the hgu133plus2.db package. The

Christmann dataset was annotated using an annotation file from the manufacturer. NAs and probes that

mapped to multiple Entrez IDs were removed in all cases. Probes that mapped to the same Entrez ID

were collapsed to the gene mean using the aggregate function in R, followed by gene median

centering.

Clustering of microarray data and statistical tests for phenotype association

The collapsed datasets were used to find coherent coexpression modules. We used Weighted

Gene Co-expression Network Analysis (WGCNA), a strong clustering method, which allows us to

automatically detect the number of coexpression modules and remove outliers (66). Each dataset was

clustered using the blockwiseModules function in WGCNA R package using the signed network option

and power = 12; all other parameters were set to default. The number of arrays and resulting co-

expression modules are summarized in Table 2. Using the WGCNA coexpression modules also

reduces the dimensionality of the dataset, as it allows us to test for genes' association with, or

differential expression in, a particular pathophenotype of interest on the order of tens, rather than

thousands using the module eigengene. The module eigengene is the first principal component, and

represents the expression of all genes in a module and an idealized hub of the coexpression module.

We used the moduleEigengenes function in the WGCNA R package to extract the eigengenes. A

module was considered to be pathophenotype-associated if the module eigengene was significantly

differentially expressed in or significantly correlated with a pathophenotype of interest. Only 2-class

categorical variables were considered using a Mann-Whitney U test (i.e., all pulmonary fibrosis and

pulmonary arterial hypertension patients were grouped together regardless of underlying etiology). We

used Spearman correlation for continuous values. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected on a per

phenotype basis. See S1 File for complete output. In the main text, we discuss categorical

pathophenotypes, as these were enriched at the consensus cluster level. We do find instances

coexpression modules that are associated with continuous pathophenotypes, such as pulmonary

function test measurements, but these were not apparent at the consensus cluster level of abstraction.

Module overlap network construction and community detection

The 10-partite 'module overlap network' was constructed as in Mahoney et al. (23), where it was

called the 'information graph' due to its relationship to information theory. We describe the method here

in brief and refer to (11) for motivating details. The modules from different datasets have no *a priori*

relationship to each other. The module overlap network encodes the pairs of modules that significantly

overlap. Specifically, for each pair of modules (C_i and C_j) we compute an overlap score

$$W_{ij} = \frac{|C_i \cap C_j|}{N} \log \frac{|C_i \cap C_j| N}{|C_i||C_j|} \quad (1)$$

where N is the total number of genes shared between the two datasets. The overlap scores can be

positive, negative, or zero, indicating that the modules overlap more, less, or the same as expected at

random, respectively. As shown in Mahoney, et al. (11), the overlap scores can be naturally

thresholded using information theory to yield a sparse network of significant overlaps. This is the

module overlap network.

The module overlap network is highly structured. For example, a module representing an

inflammatory process in skin often significantly overlaps inflammatory modules in other tissues. Thus,

the structure of the module overlap network corresponds to the biological processes that are common

to multiple datasets. We can identify these processes by clustering the module overlap network itself.

To detect clusters in the module overlap network, we used two methods of community detection in the

iGraph R package (67). First, we used fast-greedy modularity optimization (68), which yielded large,

diffuse communities. We call these 'top-level' communities. To find smaller, more densely connected

sub-communities, we used spin-glass community detection (igraph R package implementation,

gamma.minus = 0.125, all other parameters were set to default) (67,69). We call these 'bottom-level'

communities. The community/sub-community structure of the module overlap network demonstrates

that there is a hierarchy of biological processes that are common across datasets, where large

communities contain smaller ones (Fig. 2). To display this hierarchical community structure, we first

sorted by top-level community label, and then within each community we sorted by bottom-level label.

The adjacency matrix of the module overlap network and its node attributes (including dataset of origin

and community labels) are supplied in S2 File.

We also tested each top-level community in the module overlap network for enrichment of

pathophenotype-associated modules for each phenotype of interest using a Fisher's exact test followed

by Bonferroni correction (Table 5). This test takes into account both modules that had increased and

decreased in pathophenotypes under study.

Functional and pathphenotype annotation of the module overlap network

The module overlap network contains rich information about the biological processes that are

active in each tissue under study. We functionally annotated the module overlap network by finding

pathways that strongly correlate to each community. Because an edge in the module overlap network

corresponds to a significant overlap between coexpression modules from different datasets, we can

think of an edge 'encoding' that overlap as a gene set. For each pair of coexpression modules C_i and

C_j, we define an 'edge gene set', E_{ij}, as the overlap between the in two datasets

$E_{ij} = C_i \cap C_j$ (2)

To annotate this edge gene set with biological pathways, we computed the Jaccard similarity of an

edge gene set E and a pathway P

$J(E,P) = \dfrac{|E \cap P|}{|E \cup P|}$ (3)

We used biological pathways from the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (70), BioCarta, and

Reactome (71) obtained from Molecular Signatures Database from the Broad Institute

(software.broadinstitute.org/gsea/msigdb). The Jaccard similarity between the edge and pathway will

be equal to one, if all of the genes shared between two modules are exactly the same set of genes

annotated to the pathway, or zero if no genes are shared between the two sets. To functionally

annotate a community in the information graph, we compared the Jaccard similarities of the edges

within the community to edges outside of the community using a Mann-Whitney U test (with Bonferroni

adjustment). The full results of this analysis are included as S3 File.

Tissue consensus gene sets

To understand how the immune and fibrotic responses in these phenotypes are functionally

related, we found the consensus genes in the combined 4A and 4B clusters. Tissue consensus gene

sets were derived by considering all modules within 4A and 4B, finding their unions within their dataset,

and then computing their intersection across datasets from the same tissue of origin. For example, the

lung consensus gene set (CC_{lung}) was derived by computing the union of the Christmann (denoted c)

and Bostwick (denoted b) modules in 4AB separately, and then computing the intersection across these

two datasets:

$CC_{lung} = \left(\bigcup_{c \in C_{4AB}} c \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{b \in B_{4AB}} b \right)$ (4)

As each tissue was considered separately (limited skin and diffuse skin were considered

separately), 5 tissue consensus gene sets were generated; the union of these tissue consensus

datasets was used to query the functional genomic networks and is referred to as the 'immune-fibrotic

axis consensus' gene set or genes throughout the text. For all genes in modules in clusters 4A and 4B,

we calculated the Pearson correlation to their respective module eigengene (kME). We compared the

kME of consensus genes to that of non-consensus genes using a Mann-Whitney U test. S3 Table

contains the tissue consensus genes from 4AB or the 'IMMUNE-FIBROTIC AXIS consensus genes.'

Querying GIANT functional networks, single tissue network analysis, and network visualization

The GIANT functional genomic networks were obtained as binary (.dab) files and processed

using the Sleipnir library for computational functional genomics (72). We queried all networks (lung,

skin, 'all tissue') using the immune-fibrotic axis consensus gene sets (as Entrez IDs) and pruned all low

probability (< 0.5) edges. All networks are available for download from the GIANT webserver

(giant.princeton.edu) (1). For each single tissue analysis (consensus lung and consensus skin

networks), we considered only the largest connected component of each network and performed spin-

glass community detection as implemented in the igraph R package (67) to obtain the functional

modules. We annotated functional modules using g:Profiler (73) using all genes in a module as a query.

All networks in this work were visualized using Gephi (74). The network layout was determined by

community membership, the strength of connections between communities, and finally the interactions

between individual genes.

Differential network analysis

The tissue-specific networks from GIANT allow for the analysis of the differing functional connectivity

between genes in different microenvironments. In order to understand the specific immune-fibrotic

connectivity in lung relative to skin, we performed a differential network analysis (Fig 4). To compare

networks we retained only nodes common to consensus skin network and consensus lung largest

connected components (see above). We define the 'differential lung network' as the network with

adjacency matrix:

$A_{diff} = \max(A_{lung} - \max(A_{skin}, A_{global}), 0)$ (5)

where A_{lung}, A_{skin}, and A_{global} are the lung, skin, and global (all tissues) adjacency matrices from GIANT.

The differential lung network is thus the lung network minus the maximum edge weight from the skin

and lung networks, where all edges that are stronger in skin or the global network are set to zero. Thus,

the differential lung network contains only highly lung-specific interactions. Functional modules in the

lung differential network were found using spin-glass community detection (see above) within the

largest connected component of the network.

Differential expression and MØ gene set analysis

To identify genes that were differentially expressed in SSc-PF, SSc-PF samples were compared

to normal controls in both datasets using SAM (23) (1000 permutations, implemented in samr R

package). Genes with an FDR < 5% were considered further. The MØ gene sets used in this study are

WGCNA modules taken from a study of human MØ transcriptomes (12). The z-score of each genes'

expression (Eqn. 6) was computed in the collapsed Christmann and Hinchcliff datasets (as described in

'Microarray dataset processing' section of Methods). The z-score *z* of gene *g* in the *i*th array/sample is

computed as:

$z_{gi} = \dfrac{x_{gi} - \mu_g}{\sigma_g}$ (6)

where x_{gi} is the gene expression value in array/sample *i*, is the μ_g gene mean, and σ_g is the gene

standard deviation. The average z-score of genes in a set (module from Xue, et al. (12)). computed for

an array/sample to summarize gene set expression. Mann-Whitney U tests were used to compare

average z-scores between groups (Fig 5).

Acknowledgements

JNT would like to thank members of the Whitfield Laboratory for thoughtful discussion.

Funding:

This work has been supported by grants from: the Scleroderma Research Foundation (SRF www.srfcure.org) to MLW and MH; grants from the Scleroderma Foundation to PAP and MLW; the Dr. Ralph and Marian Falk Medical Research Trust Catalyst Award to MLW; Dartmouth SYNERGY award to MLW; the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation (GBMF4552) to CSG; National Institutes of Health (NIH; www.nih.gov) grants 2R01AR051089 to RL, P50 AR060780 and P30AR061271 to RL and MLW, K23 AR059763 to MH. JNT received support from NIH-NIGMS T32GM008704 and the John H. Copenhaver, Jr. and William H. Thomas, MD 1952 Junior Fellowship from Dartmouth Graduate Studies. CPD received support from the EULAR ODP programme. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Author contribution

JNT, JMM, and MLW conceived of the study. JNT, CSG, VM, JMM, and MLW designed data analyses, performed analyses, and interpreted the results. TAW performed the microarray experiments. RBC, HWF, RAL, and CPD designed study cohorts included in this work and contributed samples and/or data. MEH provided clinical expertise and interpreted the results. PAP provided macrophage biology expertise and interpreted the results. JNT, PAP, JMM, and MLW wrote the paper. All authors read, revised, and approved the manuscript.

Competing interests

CPD has been a consultant to Roche, GlaxoSmithKline, Actelion, Inventiva, CSL Behring, Takeda, Merck-Serono, MedImmune and Biogen. MLW and MH have filed patents for gene expression biomarkers in systemic sclerosis. MLW is a scientific founder of Celdara Medical LLC. MLW has served as consultant to GlaxoSmithKline, Bristol Myers Squib, EMD Serono, Biogen and Quintiles. RL has

References

1. Greene CS, Krishnan A, Wong AK, Ricciotti E, Zelaya RA, Himmelstein DS, et al. Understanding multicellular function and disease with human tissue-specific networks. Nat Genet 2015, Apr 27. 2. Gross AM, Ideker T. Molecular networks in context. Nat Biotechnol 2015;33(7):720-1.

3. Hofree M, Shen JP, Carter H, Gross A, Ideker T. Network-based stratification of tumor mutations. Nat Methods 2013, Nov;10(11):1108-15.

4. Chen S, Wang Q, Wu Z, Li Y, Li P, Sun F, et al. Genetic association study of TNFAIP3, IFIH1, IRF5 polymorphisms with polymyositis/dermatomyositis in chinese han population. PLoS One 2014;9(10):e110044.

5. Chen JC, Cerise JE, Jabbari A, Clynes R, Christiano AM. Master regulators of infiltrate recruitment in autoimmune disease identified through network-based molecular deconvolution. Cell Syst 2015, Nov 25;1(5):326-37.

6. Sharma A, Menche J, Huang C, Ort T, Zhou X, Kitsak M, et al. A disease module in the interactome explains disease heterogeneity, drug response and captures novel pathways and genes. Hum Mol Genet 2015:ddv001.

7. Assassi S, Wu M, Tan FK, Chang J, Graham TA, Furst DE, et al. Skin gene expression correlates of severity of interstitial lung disease in systemic sclerosis. Arthritis Rheum 2013, Nov;65(11):2917-27.

8. Joseph CG, Darrah E, Shah AA, Skora AD, Casciola-Rosen LA, Wigley FM, et al. Association of the autoimmune disease scleroderma with an immunologic response to cancer. Science 2014, Jan 10;343(6167):152-7.

9. Farina A, Cirone M, York M, Lenna S, Padilla C, McLaughlin S, et al. Epstein-Barr virus infection induces aberrant TLR activation pathway and fibroblast-myofibroblast conversion in scleroderma. J Invest Dermatol 2014, Apr;134(4):954-64.

10. Arron ST, Dimon MT, Li Z, Johnson ME, A Wood T, Feeney L, et al. High rhodotorula sequences in skin transcriptome of patients with diffuse systemic sclerosis. J Invest Dermatol 2014, Aug;134(8):2138-45.

11. Mahoney JM, Taroni J, Martyanov V, Wood TA, Greene CS, Pioli PA, et al. Systems level analysis of systemic sclerosis shows a network of immune and profibrotic pathways connected with genetic polymorphisms. PLoS Comput Biol 2015;11(1):e1004005.

12. Xue J, Schmidt SV, Sander J, Draffehn A, Krebs W, Quester I, et al. Transcriptome-based network analysis reveals a spectrum model of human macrophage activation. Immunity 2014, Feb 20;40(2):274-88.

13. Amit I, Winter DR, Jung S. The role of the local environment and epigenetics in shaping macrophage identity and their effect on tissue homeostasis. Nat Immunol 2015, Dec 17;17(1):18-25.

14. Okabe Y, Medzhitov R. Tissue biology perspective on macrophages. Nat Immunol 2015, Dec 17;17(1):9-17.

15. Milano A, Pendergrass SA, Sargent JL, George LK, McCalmont TH, Connolly MK, Whitfield ML. Molecular subsets in the gene expression signatures of scleroderma skin. PLoS One 2008;3(7):e2696.

16. Pendergrass SA, Lemaire R, Francis IP, Mahoney JM, Lafyatis R, Whitfield ML. Intrinsic gene expression subsets of diffuse cutaneous systemic sclerosis are stable in serial skin biopsies. J Invest Dermatol 2012, May;132(5):1363-73.

17. Hinchcliff M, Huang CC, Wood TA, Matthew Mahoney J, Martyanov V, Bhattacharyya S, et al. Molecular signatures in skin

associated with clinical improvement during mycophenolate treatment in systemic sclerosis. J Invest Dermatol 2013,

Aug;133(8):1979-89.

18. Pendergrass SA, Hayes E, Farina G, Lemaire R, Farber HW, Whitfield ML, Lafyatis R. Limited systemic sclerosis patients

with pulmonary arterial hypertension show biomarkers of inflammation and vascular injury. PLoS One 2010;5(8):e12106.

19. Risbano MG, Meadows CA, Coldren CD, Jenkins TJ, Edwards MG, Collier D, et al. Altered immune phenotype in

peripheral blood cells of patients with scleroderma-associated pulmonary hypertension. Clin Transl Sci 2010, Oct;3(5):210-8.

20. Hsu E, Shi H, Jordan RM, Lyons-Weiler J, Pilewski JM, Feghali-Bostwick CA. Lung tissues in patients with systemic

sclerosis have gene expression patterns unique to pulmonary fibrosis and pulmonary hypertension. Arthritis Rheum 2011,

Mar;63(3):783-94.

21. Christmann RB, Sampaio-Barros P, Stifano G, Borges CL, de Carvalho CR, Kairalla R, et al. Association of interferon- and

transforming growth factor β-regulated genes and macrophage activation with systemic sclerosis-related progressive lung

fibrosis. Arthritis Rheumatol 2014, Mar;66(3):714-25.

22. Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: A

knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005, Oct

25;102(43):15545-50.

23. Tusher VG, Tibshirani R, Chu G. Significance analysis of microarrays applied to the ionizing radiation response. Proc Natl

Acad Sci U S A 2001, Apr 24;98(9):5116-21.

24. Cho JH, Gelinas R, Wang K, Etheridge A, Piper MG, Batte K, et al. Systems biology of interstitial lung diseases:

Integration of mrna and microrna expression changes. BMC Med Genomics 2011;4:8.

25. Barabási A-L, Gulbahce N, Loscalzo J. Network medicine: A network-based approach to human disease. Nature Reviews

Genetics 2011;12(1):56-68.

26. Li Y, Jiang D, Liang J, Meltzer EB, Gray A, Miura R, et al. Severe lung fibrosis requires an invasive fibroblast phenotype

regulated by hyaluronan and CD44. J Exp Med 2011, Jul 4;208(7):1459-71.

27. Grove LM, Southern BD, Jin TH, White KE, Paruchuri S, Harel E, et al. Urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor

(upar) ligation induces a raft-localized integrin signaling switch that mediates the hypermotile phenotype of fibrotic fibroblasts.

J Biol Chem 2014, May;289(18):12791-804.

28. Grove LM, Southern BD, Jin TH, White KE, Paruchuri S, Harel E, et al. Urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor

(upar) ligation induces a raft-localized integrin signaling switch that mediates the hypermotile phenotype of fibrotic fibroblasts.

J Biol Chem 2014, May;289(18):12791-804.

29. Martinez FO, Helming L, Milde R, Varin A, Melgert BN, Draijer C, et al. Genetic programs expressed in resting and IL-4

alternatively activated mouse and human macrophages: Similarities and differences. Blood 2013, Feb 28;121(9):e57-69.

30. Uhlen M, Oksvold P, Fagerberg L, Lundberg E, Jonasson K, Forsberg M, et al. Towards a knowledge-based human

protein atlas. Nat Biotechnol 2010;28(12):1248-50.

31. Koslowski R, Knoch K, Kuhlisch E, Seidel D, Kasper M. Cathepsins in bleomycin-induced lung injury in rat. Eur Respir J

2003, Sep;22(3):427-35.

32. Nacu N, Luzina IG, Highsmith K, Lockatell V, Pochetuhen K, Cooper ZA, et al. Macrophages produce tgf-beta-induced

(beta-ig-h3) following ingestion of apoptotic cells and regulate MMP14 levels and collagen turnover in fibroblasts. J Immunol

2008, Apr 1;180(7):5036-44.

33. Seyrantepe V, Iannello A, Liang F, Kanshin E, Jayanth P, Samarani S, et al. Regulation of phagocytosis in macrophages

by neuraminidase 1. J Biol Chem 2010, Jan 1;285(1):206-15.

34. Jackman HL, Tan F, Schraufnagel D, Dragović T, Dezsö B, Becker RP, Erdös EG. Plasma membrane-bound and

lysosomal peptidases in human alveolar macrophages. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 1995, Aug;13(2):196-204.

35. Bell-Temin H, Culver-Cochran AE, Chaput D, Carlson CM, Kuehl M, Burkhardt BR, et al. Novel molecular insights into

classical and alternative activation states of microglia as revealed by stable isotope labeling by amino acids in cell culture

(SILAC)-based proteomics. Mol Cell Proteomics 2015, Dec;14(12):3173-84.

36. Gautier EL, Shay T, Miller J, Greter M, Jakubzick C, Ivanov S, et al. Gene-expression profiles and transcriptional

regulatory pathways that underlie the identity and diversity of mouse tissue macrophages. Nat Immunol 2012,

Nov;13(11):1118-28.

37. Wang J, Nikrad MP, Travanty EA, Zhou B, Phang T, Gao B, et al. Innate immune response of human alveolar

macrophages during influenza A infection. PLoS One 2012;7(3):e29879.

38. Higashi-Kuwata N, Makino T, Inoue Y, Takeya M, Ihn H. Alternatively activated macrophages (M2 macrophages) in the

skin of patient with localized scleroderma. Exp Dermatol 2009;18(8):727-9.

39. Hannaford J, Guo H, Chen X. Involvement of cathepsins B and L in inflammation and cholesterol trafficking protein NPC2

secretion in macrophages. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2013, Aug;21(8):1586-95.

40. Romero F, Shah D, Duong M, Penn RB, Fessler MB, Madenspacher J, et al. A pneumocyte-macrophage paracrine lipid

axis drives the lung toward fibrosis. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 2014, Nov 19.

41. Clavel C, Ceccato L, Anquetil F, Serre G, Sebbag M. Among human macrophages polarised to different phenotypes, the

m-csf-oriented cells present the highest pro-inflammatory response to the rheumatoid arthritis-specific immune complexes

containing ACPA. Ann Rheum Dis 2016, Mar 23.

42. Stubbs VE, Power C, Patel KD. Regulation of eotaxin-3/CCL26 expression in human monocytic cells. Immunology 2010,

May;130(1):74-82.

43. O'Neill LA, Pearce EJ. Immunometabolism governs dendritic cell and macrophage function. J Exp Med 2016, Jan

11;213(1):15-23.

44. Christmann RB, Hayes E, Pendergrass S, Padilla C, Farina G, Affandi AJ, et al. Interferon and alternative activation of

monocyte/macrophages in systemic sclerosis-associated pulmonary arterial hypertension. Arthritis Rheum 2011,

Jun;63(6):1718-28.

45. Assassi S, Swindell WR, Wu M, Tan FD, Khanna D, Furst DE, et al. Dissecting the heterogeneity of skin gene expression

patterns in systemic sclerosis. Arthritis Rheumatol 2015, Aug 3.

46. Okabe Y, Medzhitov R. Tissue-specific signals control reversible program of localization and functional polarization of

macrophages. Cell 2014, May 8;157(4):832-44.

47. Gosselin D, Link VM, Romanoski CE, Fonseca GJ, Eichenfield DZ, Spann NJ, et al. Environment drives selection and

function of enhancers controlling tissue-specific macrophage identities. Cell 2014, Dec 4;159(6):1327-40.

48. Khanna D, Denton CP, Jahreis A, van Laar JM, Cheng S, Spotswood H, et al. OP0054 safety and efficacy of

subcutaneous tocilizumab in adults with systemic sclerosis: Week 48 data from the fasscinate trial. Ann Rheum Dis

2015;74(Suppl 2):87-8.

49. Greenblatt MB, Sargent JL, Farina G, Tsang K, Lafyatis R, Glimcher LH, et al. Interspecies comparison of human and

murine scleroderma reveals IL-13 and CCL2 as disease subset-specific targets. Am J Pathol 2012, Mar;180(3):1080-94.

50. Gerber EE, Gallo EM, Fontana SC, Davis EC, Wigley FM, Huso DL, Dietz HC. Integrin-modulating therapy prevents

fibrosis and autoimmunity in mouse models of scleroderma. Nature 2013, Nov 7;503(7474):126-30.

51. McGovern N, Schlitzer A, Gunawan M, Jardine L, Shin A, Poyner E, et al. Human dermal CD14   cells are a transient

population of monocyte-derived macrophages. Immunity 2014, Sep 18;41(3):465-77.

52. Thomas AC, Eijgelaar WJ, Daemen MJ, Newby AC. Foam cell formation in vivo converts macrophages to a pro-fibrotic

phenotype. PLoS One 2015;10(7):e0128163.

53. Thomas AC, Eijgelaar WJ, Daemen MJ, Newby AC. The pro-fibrotic and anti-inflammatory foam cell macrophage paradox.

Genom Data 2015, Dec;6:136-8.

54. Eitzman DT, McCoy RD, Zheng X, Fay WP, Shen T, Ginsburg D, Simon RH. Bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis in

transgenic mice that either lack or overexpress the murine plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 gene. Journal of Clinical

Investigation 1996;97(1):232.

55. Gu nther A, Lu bke N, Ermert M, Schermuly RT, Weissmann N, Breithecker A, et al. Prevention of bleomycin-induced lung

fibrosis by aerosolization of heparin or urokinase in rabbits. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2003;168(11):1358-65.

56. Chambers RC. Abnormal wound healing responses in pulmonary fibrosis: Focus on coagulation signalling. Eur Respir Rev

2008, Dec;17(109):130-7.

57. Horowitz JC, Rogers DS, Simon RH, Sisson TH, Thannickal VJ. Plasminogen activation induced pericellular fibronectin

proteolysis promotes fibroblast apoptosis. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 2008, Jan;38(1):78-87.

58. Moodley YP, Caterina P, Scaffidi AK, Misso NL, Papadimitriou JM, McAnulty RJ, et al. Comparison of the morphological

and biochemical changes in normal human lung fibroblasts and fibroblasts derived from lungs of patients with idiopathic

pulmonary fibrosis during fasl-induced apoptosis. J Pathol 2004, Apr;202(4):486-95.

59. Uhal BD. The role of apoptosis in pulmonary fibrosis. European Respiratory Review 2008, Dec 1;17(109):138-44.

60. Liu F, Mih JD, Shea BS, Kho AT, Sharif AS, Tager AM, Tschumperlin DJ. Feedback amplification of fibrosis through matrix

stiffening and COX-2 suppression. J Cell Biol 2010, Aug 23;190(4):693-706.

61. Morimoto K, Janssen WJ, Terada M. Defective efferocytosis by alveolar macrophages in IPF patients. Respir Med 2012,

Dec;106(12):1800-3.

62. Vachon E, Martin R, Plumb J, Kwok V, Vandivier RW, Glogauer M, et al. CD44 is a phagocytic receptor. Blood 2006,

May;107(10):4149-58.

63. Noguera A, Gomez C, Faner R, Cosio B, González-Périz A, Clària J, et al. An investigation of the resolution of

inflammation (catabasis) in COPD. Respir Res 2012;13:101.

64. Reich M, Liefeld T, Gould J, Lerner J, Tamayo P, Mesirov JP. GenePattern 2.0. Nat Genet 2006;38(5):500-1.

65. Johnson WE, Li C, Rabinovic A. Adjusting batch effects in microarray expression data using empirical bayes methods.

Biostatistics 2007, Jan;8(1):118-27.

66. Horvath S, Dong J. Geometric interpretation of gene coexpression network analysis. PLoS Comput Biol

2008;4(8):e1000117.

67. Csardi G, Nepusz T. The igraph software package for complex network research. InterJournal, Complex Systems

2006;1695(5):1-9.

68. Newman ME. Modularity and community structure in networks. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences

2006;103(23):8577-82.

69. Reichardt J, Bornholdt S. Statistical mechanics of community detection. Physical Review E 2006;74(1):016110.

70. Kanehisa M, Goto S. KEGG: Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucleic Acids Res 2000;28(1):27-30.

71. Croft D, Mundo AF, Haw R, Milacic M, Weiser J, Wu G, et al. The reactome pathway knowledgebase. Nucleic Acids Res

2014;42(D1):D472-7.

72. Huttenhower C, Schroeder M, Chikina MD, Troyanskaya OG. The sleipnir library for computational functional genomics.

Bioinformatics 2008, Jul 1;24(13):1559-61.

73. Reimand J, Arak T, Vilo J. G: Profilera web server for functional interpretation of gene lists (2011 update). Nucleic Acids

Res 2011:gkr378.

74. Bastian M, Heymann S, Jacomy M. Gephi: An open source software for exploring and manipulating networks. ICWSM

2009;8:361-2.

75. Taroni JN, Martyanov V, Huang C, Mahoney JM, Hirano I, Shetuni B, et al. Molecular characterization of systemic

sclerosis esophageal pathology identifies inflammatory and proliferative signatures. Arthritis Res Ther 2015, Jul 29;17(1).

76. Jung KK, Liu XW, Chirco R, Fridman R, Kim HR. Identification of CD63 as a tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-1

interacting cell surface protein. EMBO J 2006, Sep 6;25(17):3934-42.

77. Matsumoto T, Matsumori H, Taki T, Takagi T, Fukuda Y. Infantile gm1-gangliosidosis with marked manifestation of lungs.

Acta Pathol Jpn 1979, Mar;29(2):269-76.

78. Roy N, Deveraux QL, Takahashi R, Salvesen GS, Reed JC. The c-iap-1 and c-iap-2 proteins are direct inhibitors of

specific caspases. EMBO J 1997, Dec 1;16(23):6914-25.

79. Deveraux QL, Roy N, Stennicke HR, Van Arsdale T, Zhou Q, Srinivasula SM, et al. IAPs block apoptotic events induced by

caspase-8 and cytochrome c by direct inhibition of distinct caspases. EMBO J 1998, Apr 15;17(8):2215-23.

80. Todorovic V, Chen CC, Hay N, Lau LF. The matrix protein CCN1 (CYR61) induces apoptosis in fibroblasts. J Cell Biol

2005, Nov 7;171(3):559-68.

81. Juric V, Chen C-C, Lau LF. Fas-mediated apoptosis is regulated by the extracellular matrix protein CCN1 (CYR61) in vitro

and in vivo. Mol Cell Biol 2009;29(12):3266-79.

82. Franzen CA, Chen CC, Todorović V, Juric V, Monzon RI, Lau LF. Matrix protein CCN1 is critical for prostate carcinoma cell

proliferation and trail-induced apoptosis. Mol Cancer Res 2009, Jul;7(7):1045-55.

83. Rössig L, Haendeler J, Hermann C, Malchow P, Urbich C, Zeiher AM, Dimmeler S. Nitric oxide down-regulates MKP-3

mrna levels: Involvement in endothelial cell protection from apoptosis. J Biol Chem 2000, Aug 18;275(33):25502-7.

84. Azab NA, Rady HM, Marzouk SA. Elevated serum TRAIL levels in scleroderma patients and its possible association with

pulmonary involvement. Clin Rheumatol 2012, Sep;31(9):1359-64.

85. Prunier C, Howe PH. Disabled-2 (dab2) is required for transforming growth factor beta-induced epithelial to mesenchymal

transition (EMT). J Biol Chem 2005, Apr 29;280(17):17540-8.

86. Penheiter SG, Singh RD, Repellin CE, Wilkes MC, Edens M, Howe PH, et al. Type II transforming growth factor-beta

receptor recycling is dependent upon the clathrin adaptor protein dab2. Mol Biol Cell 2010, Nov 15;21(22):4009-19.

87. Smyth N, Vatansever HS, Murray P, Meyer M, Frie C, Paulsson M, Edgar D. Absence of basement membranes after

targeting the LAMC1 gene results in embryonic lethality due to failure of endoderm differentiation. J Cell Biol 1999, Jan

11;144(1):151-60.

88. Rice LM, Ziemek J, Stratton EA, McLaughlin SR, Padilla CM, Mathes AL, et al. A longitudinal biomarker for the extent of

skin disease in patients with diffuse cutaneous systemic sclerosis. Arthritis Rheumatol 2015, Nov;67(11):3004-15.

Figure Legends

Fig 1. Schematic overview of analysis pipeline. Four datasets are shown for simplicity. Each gene expression dataset was partitioned using WGCNA independently to obtain coexpression modules. Module eigengenes were tested for their differential expression in pathophenotypes of interest. Modules were compared across datasets using MICC to form the 'module overlap graph' and community detection algorithms were used to identify communities and subcommunities in the graph. These communities correspond to molecular processes that are conserved across datasets. Each community was examined for enrichment of pathophenotype-associated modules and edge overlap with canonical biological pathways. Gene sets derived from these communities were used to query GIANT functional genomic networks. The resulting networks allow for tissue-specific interrogations of the gene sets. Differential network analysis was performed to compare the lung and skin networks.

Fig 2. The multi-tissue module overlap graph demonstrates that severe pathophenotypes have similar underlying expression patterns. (A) The full adjacency matrix of the module overlap graph sorted to reveal hierarchical community structure. A darker cell color is indicative of a higher W score or larger edge weight. Communities (numbered) and sub-communities (lettered) are indicated by the annotation tracks above and on the right side of the matrix, respectively. Coexpression modules with expression that is increased in a phenotype of interest are marked by the annotation bar on the left side of the matrix. If a module was up in SSc as well as another pathophenotype of interest, the other pathophenotype color is displayed. (B) The adjacency matrix of sub-communities 4A and 4B indicates that these clusters contain modules that are up in all pathophenotypes of interest and show that there are many edges between the two sub-communities. Sub-community 4A contains modules from all tissues whereas 4B contains mostly solid tissue modules as indicated by the tissue annotation track to the left of the matrix.

Fig 3. Genes that are overexpressed in late and early SSc-PF are distributed throughout the consensus lung network. (A) The lung network shows functional connections between inflammatory and fibrotic processes. Genes in the largest connected component were clustered into functional modules using community detection. Biological processes associated with the functional modules are in boxes next to the modules. Genes are colored by whether they are over-expressed in late SSc-PF (red), early SSc-PF (blue), both ('SSc-PF', purple), or neither if they are grey. Gene symbols in bold have putative SSc risk polymorphisms. Node (gene) size is determined by degree (number of functional interactions) and edge width is determined by the weight (probability of interaction between pairs of genes). The layout is determined by community membership, the strength of connections between communities, and finally the interactions between individual genes in the network. A fully labeled network is supplied as a supplemental figure intended to be viewed digitally (S3). (B) Quantification of differentially expressed genes in each of the five largest functional modules. C-E. Hubs of the consensus lung network; only the first neighbors of the hub that are in the same functional module are shown. (C) *LAMC1* is a hub of the response to TGF-beta module. (D) *NPC2* is a hub of the ECM disassembly, wound healing module. (E) *TNFAIP3* is a hub of the innate immune

968 response, NF-κB signaling, and apoptotic processes module. (F) Bridges of the consensus lung network. First neighbors of

969 *PLAUR*, *CD44*, *TNFSF10*, and *TGFBI* are shown.

970
Fig 4. The lung and skin network structures indicate distinct tissue microenvironments influence fibrosis. The skin

and lung networks were compared by first finding the giant component of the lung network and then collapsing to nodes only

found in both the skin and lung networks (which are termed the common skin and common lung networks). (A) A scatterplot of

high probability edges (> 0.5 in both networks) illustrates that pairs of genes with a higher probability of interacting in skin than

lung exist and vice versa. Edges are colored red if the weight (probability) is 1.25x higher in lung or blue if it is 1.25x higher in

skin. (B) The differential adjacency matrix where a cell is colored if the edge weight in a given tissue is over and above the

weight in the global average and tissue comparator networks. For instance, a cell is red if the edge weight was positive

following the successive subtraction of the global average weight and skin weight. Community detection was performed on the

common lung network to identify functional modules; common functional modules largely recapitulate modules from the full

lung network. Representative processes that modules are annotated to are above the adjacency matrix. The annotation track

indicates a genes functional module membership. Nodes (genes) are ordered within their community by common lung within

community degree. A fully labeled heatmap is supplied as a supplemental figure intended to be viewed digitally (S4). (C)

Quantification of tissue-specific interactions in each of the 5 largest functional modules. (D) The lung-resident MØ module

found in the differential lung network (consists only of edges in red in panel B).

985
Fig 5. Evidence for alternative activation of MØs in SSc-PF lung that is distinct from . (A) Genes identified by differential

network analysis and inferred to be indicative of lung-resident MØs are correlated with canonical markers of alternatively

activated MØs such as *CCL18* and *CD163* in the Christmann dataset. (B) Summarized expression values (mean standardized

expression value) of gene sets (coexpression modules) upregulated in various MØ states from the Christmann and Hinchcliff

datasets - Module CL1: classical activation (IFN-γ); Modules ALT 1 and 2: alternative activation (IL-4, IL-13); Modules FFA 1,

2, and 3: treatment with free fatty acids. Taken from (12).

992
993 **Fig 6**. **Overview of SSc-PF disease processes.** (A) Network-centric overview (B) Cell type-centric overview.

994
995

Table 1. Datasets included in this study.

Dataset label	Tissue	Phenotypes of interest	Citation(s)	GEO Accession
Milano	Diffuse skin	Inflammatory subset, Proliferative subset	Milano et al. (15)	GSE9285
Pendergrass	Diffuse skin	Inflammatory subset, Proliferative subset	Pendergrass et al. (16)	GSE32413
Hinchcliff	Diffuse skin	Inflammatory subset, Proliferative subset	Hinchcliff et al. (17) Mahoney et al. (11)	GSE45485, GSE59785
LSSc	Limited skin	N/A	Present study	GSE76806
UCL	Limited skin	N/A	Present study	GSE76807
Christmann	Lung	SSc-PF	Christmann et al. (21)	GSE76808
Bostwick	Lung	SSc-PF, IPF, IPAH, SSc-PAH	Hsu et al. (20)	GSE48149
Esophagus	Esophagus	Inflammatory subset, Proliferative subset, SSc-PAH	Taroni et al. (75)	GSE68698
PBMC	PBMC	SSc-PAH	Pendergrass et al. (18)	GSE19617
Risbano	PBMC	IPAH, SSc-PAH	Risbano et al. (19)	GSE22356
Abbreviations: PBMC – Peripheral blood mononuclear cells, PAH – Pulmonary arterial hypertension, PF – Pulmonary fibrosis				

Table 2. Number of arrays and WGCNA coexpression modules in each of the datasets included in this study.

Datasets	Number of Arrays	Number of Coexpression Modules
Milano	75	39
Pendergrass	89	38
Hinchcliff	165	62
LSSc	24	39
UCL	15	98
Christmann	18	56
Bostwick	62	54
Esophagus	33	71
PBMC	54	38
Risbano	38	54

1012 **Table 3. Selected pathways that are similar to overlapping coexpression patterns in consensus**
1013 **clusters in the information graph.**
1014
1015

Consensus cluster	Summary of selected pathways
1A	DNA repair Cell cycle RNA metabolism Transcription
2	Cell-cell junction organization Aquaporin mediated transport Tight junctions
3A	Endocytosis mRNA processing Metabolism of proteins
4A	T cytotoxic & helper pathway Antigen processing and presentation Allograft rejection
4B	ECM receptor interaction Collagen formation ECM organization TGF-beta signaling Signaling by PDGF
5	G2 M checkpoint Unwinding of DNA Cell cycle
6	Notch signaling Nuclear receptors in lipid metabolism and toxicity
7	Steroid biosynthesis Fatty acid metabolism PPAR signaling pathway
8	Keratin metabolism FGFR ligand binding and activation

1016
1017
1018 Legend: We calculated the Jaccard similarity index between edges in the information graph and
1019 canonical pathways and used a Mann-Whitney U test to assess whether a particular pathway was more
1020 similar to edges within a consensus cluster than outside the consensus cluster.
1021
1022

Table 4. Selected genes in the consensus lung network.

Functional Module	Gene symbol	Description	Network Position	Up in	Function/Potential Role in Disease
cell cycle	BUB3	BUB3 Mitotic Checkpoint Protein	-	Early SSc-PF	Encodes a mitotic cell cycle checkpoint protein that regulates the onset of anaphase.
	CDC7	Cell Division Cycle 7	-	-	Regulates MCM complex.
	MCM3	Minichromosome Maintenance Complex Component 3	-	Early SSc-PF	Subunit of minichromosome maintenance (MCM) complex
	MSH6	MutS Homolog 6	-	Early SSc-PF	Participates in DNA mismatch repair.
ECM disassembly/wound healing	CD44	CD44 Molecule (Indian Blood Group)	Bridge	-	A hyaluronic acid receptor that can interact with many other ligands found in the ECM. Primary idiopathic PF fibroblasts exhibit an invasive phenotype that was abrogated with treatment with anti-CD44 (26).
	CD63	CD63 Molecule	-	-	Has been observed to interact with TIMP1 (76)
	CTSB	Cathepsin B	-	-	Regulates NPC2 secretion, TNF-alpha production, and cholesterol trafficking genes in an animal model of obesity (39)
	CTSL	Cathepsin L	-	-	Regulates NPC2 secretion, TNF-alpha production, and cholesterol trafficking genes in an animal model of obesity (39)
	GLB1	Galactosidase, beta 1	-	Early SSc-PF	Mutations in this gene can lead to GM1-gangliosidosis, a manifestation of which includes foam cell accumulation in the lungs (77).
	NPC2	Niemann-Pick disease, type C2	Hub	Early SSc-PF	Mutations in this gene result in a lipid storage disorder. Functions in the regulation of cholesterol trafficking through the lysosome by binding to cholesterol released from low density lipoproteins taken up by cells.
	TGFBI	Transforming Growth Factor, Beta-Induced	Bridge	Late SSc-PF	Induced by phagocytosis of apoptotic debris in monocyte-derived MØs and regulates collagen turnover (32)
	TIMP1	TIMP Metallopeptidase Inhibitor 1	-	Early SSc-PF	Has been observed to interact with CD63 and overexpression has been noted to inhibit apoptosis in a CD63-dependent manner (76)
innate immune response/NFkB signaling/apoptotic process	BIRC3	Baculoviral IAP repeat-containing protein 3	-	Late SSc-PF	Has antiapoptotic activity through interactions with caspases as well as the TNF superfamily members TRAF1 and TRAF2 (78,79).
	CYR61	Cysteine-Rich, Angiogenic Inducer, 61		Late SSc-PF	Also known as CCN1. Implicated in apoptosis in fibroblasts (80). Has been shown to play a role in Fas-mediated and TRAIL-induced apoptosis (81,82).
	DUSP6	Dual Specificity Phosphatase 6	-	Late SSc-PF	Plays a role in the positive regulation of apoptosis (83)
	FAS	Fas Cell Surface Death Receptor	-	Early SSc-PF	Cell surface death receptor.
	NFKBIE	Nuclear Factor Of Kappa Light Polypeptide Gene Enhancer In B-Cells Inhibitor, Epsilon	-	-	Negative regulator of NFkB signaling
	PLAUR	Plasminogen Activator, Urokinase Receptor	Bridge	Late SSc-PF	Also known as uPAR. Contains an SSc risk SNP. Pulmonary fibroblasts from patients with idiopathic PF over express uPAR and that uPAR ligation results in a hypermotile phenotype (28).
	PLSCR1	Phospholipid Scramblase 1	-	-	Regulates phospholipid membrane asymmetry.
	TNFAIP3	Tumor Necrosis Factor, Alpha-Induced Protein 3	Hub		Also known as A20. Contains an SSc risk SNP (also associated with other autoimmune conditions). Negative regulator of NFkB signaling.
	TNFSF10	Tumor Necrosis Factor (Ligand) Superfamily, Member 10	Bridge	-	Also known as TRAIL. Elevated in serum of SSc patients (84)
	TNFRS	Tumor Necrosis	-	Late SSc-PF	Also known as TRAILR2.

	F10B	Factor Receptor Superfamily, Member 10b			
IFN/antigen presentation	*HLA-E*	Major Histocompatibility Complex, Class I, E	-	-	Class I MHC molecule.
	HLA-F	Major Histocompatibility Complex, Class I, F	-	-	Class I MHC molecule.
	IFITM1	IFN Induced Transmembrane Protein 1	-	SSc-PF	IFN signaling.
	IFITM2	IFN Induced Transmembrane Protein 2	-	Early SSc-PF	IFN signaling.
	IFITM3	IFN Induced Transmembrane Protein 3	-	Early SSc-PF	IFN signaling.
	IRF1	IFN Regulatory Factor 1	-	Late SSc-PF	Activator of type I IFN signaling.
	OAS1	2'-5'-Oligoadenylate Synthetase 1, 40/46kDa	-	Early SSc-PF	Involved in innate immune response to viral infection.
response to TGF-beta	*CAV1*	Caveolin 1	-	-	Contains an SSc risk SNP.
	CTGF	Connective tissue growth factor	-	-	Also known as CCN2. Has been shown to play a role in Fas-mediated and TRAIL-induced apoptosis (81,82).
	DAB2	Dab, Mitogen-Responsive Phosphoprotein, Homolog 2 (Drosophila)	-	SSc-PF	Required for the epithelial to mesenchymal transition induced by TGF-beta in mouse and for type II TGFbR recycling (85,86)
	FN1	Fibronectin 1	-	-	Extracellular matrix protein.
	LAMC1	Laminin gamma1 chain	Hub	Early SSc-PF	Expression of this gene is essential for the development of basement membranes (87).
	THBS1	Thrombospondin 1	-	-	Mediates cell-to-cell and cell-to-matrix interactions. Putative biomarker of modified Rodnan skin score (88).

1025
1026
1027 **Table 5. Bonferroni-corrected p-values, Fisher's exact test pathophenotype-associated modules**
1028 **in top-level communities in the module overlap graph.**
1029

Top-level community	'In SSc' p-value	'In Inflammatory' p-value	'In Proliferative' p-value	'In PAH' p-value	'In PF' p-value
1	1	0.02	1	1	1
2	0.71	0.07	1	1	1
3	0.09	0.27	1	0.77	0.29
4	8.56E-07	6.30E-12	1	0.30	1
5	1	1	0.03	1	1
6	1	1	1	1	1
7	1	0.64	1	0.03	1
8	1	1	1	1	1

1030
1031
1032

Supporting Information Captions

S1 Fig. Network view of consensus clusters 4A and 4B in the information graph.

S2 Fig. Density plot of correlation to respective module eigengene (kME). Tissue consensus genes have significantly higher kME and are therefore more 'hub-like' than non-consensus genes. Mann Whitney U, $p < 2.2x10^{-16}$ reported by R

S3 Fig. Fully labeled version of the consensus lung network (Fig 3A). This file is intended to be viewed digitally.

S4 Fig. Fully labeled version of the differential adjacency matrix in Fig 4B. This file is intended to be viewed digitally.

S5 Fig. The differential lung network. The highly lung-specific network (minus global network and skin network) contains functional modules.

S1 Table. Table describing clinical characteristics of cohorts included in this study.

S2 Table. Consensus gene set sizes.

S3 Table. Immune-fibrotic axis consensus genes.

S4 Table. Mapping of Xue, et al. module numbers to our module names (Figure 5B).

S5 Table. P-values of all Xue, et al. modules tested.

S1 File. Tables - pathophenotype associations with WGCNA co-expression modules.

S2 File. Information graph adjacency matrix and module consensus cluster membership.

S3 File. Full output of edge-pathway (Jaccard) similarity Mann-Whitney U tests.

S4 File. Functional network edge lists and node attribute files (networks from Figures 3 and 4). The "common lung network" tab provides the module membership information for Fig 4B.

S1 Text. Glossary of terms.

S2 Text. Additional results about pathophenotype-associated consensus clusters in the information graph.

1. divide datasets into coexpression modules

Datasets A-D

WGCNA

Differential expression in pathophenotypes of interest— pathophenotype-associated modules

multi-tissue MICC

2. construct module overlap graph & identify communities and sub-communities

sub-community

community

Enrichment for pathophenotype-associated modules

Edge overlap with pathways

GIANT

3. perform functional genomic network analyses

Lung network analyses

Differential network analyses

lung network

skin network

Fig 1

A community

expression up in phenotype

Legend

Phenotype
- Inflammatory subset
- Fibroproliferative subset
- Pulmonary fibrosis
- Pulmonary arterial hypertension
- Systemic sclerosis

Tissue
- Diffuse skin
- Limited skin
- Lung
- Esophagus
- PBMC

count
40000

20000

1e-7   1e-1
W score

B sub-communities 4A & 4B

all tissues

solid tissues

Fig 2

Fig 3

A High Probability Edges
Skin vs. Lung

B

lung ■ skin ■

C Tissue-specific Edges per Functional Module

D

Fig 4

A

B

| Classically Activated | Alternatively Activated |
| CL 1 | ALT 1 | ALT 2 |

Free Fatty Acid Stimulated

| FFA 1 | FFA 2 | FFA 3 |

condition
☐ Control lung
☐ SSc-PF lung
☐ Control skin
☐ SSc-Inflammatory skin

dataset: Christmann, Hinchcliff

Fig 5

A

**NF-kappaB signaling,
apoptotic processes**

**resistance
to apoptosis**

*coagulation / inhibition of fibrin degradation
& collagen deposition*

TRAIL

efferoctyosis

injury?

**IFN, antigen
presentation**

TGF-beta

**response to
TGF-beta**

lipids

TGFbR
recycling

**ECM
disassembly,
wound healing**

**lung
macrophage
response**

B

Fig 6