# Experimental evolution of Escherichia coli harboring an ancient translation protein

Betül Kaçar[1,2,*], Xueliang Ge[3], Suparna Sanyal[3] and Eric A. Gaucher[4, 5]

1. NASA Astrobiology Institute, Mountain View, CA 94035

2. Organismic and Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, 26 Oxford Street, Cambridge, MA 02138

3. Department of Cell and Molecular Biology, Uppsala University, Box-596, BMC, 75124, Uppsala, Sweden

4. School of Biology, Georgia Institute of Technology, 950 Atlantic Drive, Atlanta, GA 30332

5. Petit H. Parker Institute for Bioengineering and Bioscience, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332

* Corresponding author

E-mail: kacar@fas.harvard.edu

## Abstract

The ability to design synthetic genes and engineer biological systems at the genome scale opens new means by which to characterize phenotypic states and the responses of biological systems to perturbations. One emerging method involves inserting artificial genes into bacterial genomes, and examining how the genome and its new genes adapt to each other. Here we report the development and implementation of a modified approach to this method, in which phylogenetically inferred genes are inserted into a microbial genome, and laboratory evolution is then used to examine the adaptive potential of the resulting hybrid genome. Specifically, we engineered an approximately 700-million-year old inferred ancestral variant of *tufB*, an essential gene encoding Elongation Factor Tu, and inserted it in a modern *Escherichia coli* genome in place of the native *tufB* gene. While the ancient homolog was not lethal to the cell, it did cause a two-fold decrease in organismal fitness, mainly due to reduced protein dosage. We subsequently evolved replicate hybrid bacterial populations for 2,000 generations in the laboratory, and examined the adaptive response via fitness assays, whole-genome sequencing, proteomics and biochemical assays. Hybrid lineages exhibit a general adaptive strategy in which the fitness cost of the ancient gene was ameliorated in part by up-regulation of protein production. We expect that this ancient-modern recombinant method may pave the way for the synthesis of organisms that exhibit ancient phenotypes, and that laboratory evolution of these organisms may prove useful in elucidating insights into historical adaptive processes.

## 1 Background
2

Understanding historical evolutionary pathways is crucial to understanding how life

became the way it is today across millions of years of environmental and ecosystem

change (Gould 1989). One of the most difficult aspects of characterizing these historical

pathways is the limited amount of knowledge available about how ancient organisms

behaved and changed through time. Fossils provide useful morphological and

anatomical details, but only traces of information about sub-organismal level processes

and states can be inferred from fossilized specimens alone (Pagel 1999). Ancestral

sequence reconstruction permits phylogenetics-based sequence inferences of

ancestral genes at interior nodes of a tree using likelihood or Bayesian statistics, and

offer an opportunity to determine the selectively advantageous amino acid replacements

responsible for changes in protein behavior associated with adaptive events for

particular molecular systems (Benner 1995; Chang et al. 2002a; Huelsenbeck and

Bollback 2001; Liberles 2007; Pauling and Zuckerkandl 1963; Thornton 2004; Ugalde et

al. 2004). Going backwards in time at the protein level and studying the biochemical

properties of reconstructed ancient proteins in the laboratory may also improve our

ability to engineer proteins for specific tasks (Benner 2007; Chang et al. 2002b; Merkl

and Sterner 2016; Ogawa and Shirai 2014; Pal et al. 2014). However, mathematical

sequence reconstructions and *in vitro* characterization alone may not necessarily

provide the salient details of why the protein evolved along a particular evolutionary

pathway (Bar-Rogovsky et al. 2015; Copley 2012; Dean and Thornton 2007; Kacar

2016; Zhu et al. 2005), nor does it automatically indicate how the protein's evolution

might be mapped onto the phenotypic evolution of the whole organism, making *a priori*

predictions that connect inferred genotype to ancestral phenotype a challenge.

Incorporating a functional perspective into the study of ancient proteins may shed light

onto the biochemical mechanisms of enzyme evolution, and may also provide clues to the development of ancient adaptive pathways (Dean and Thornton 2007; Harms and Thornton 2013; Kacar and Gaucher 2012; Kacar and Gaucher 2013; Lunzer et al. 2005; Zhu et al. 2005).

Advances in synthetic biology, bioengineering and genomics now allow us to detect changes in genotype and to connect these changes with various perturbations triggered at multiple levels within the cellular environment such as engineering protein-protein interaction networks (Isalan et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2009; Wright et al. 2013), metabolic pathways (Gallagher et al. 2014; Nyerges et al. 2016; Xu et al. 2012), and constructing genetic circuits (Esvelt and Wang 2013; Nandagopal and Elowitz 2011). Further, current methods of evolutionary genome engineering rely upon modifying the genetic content of microbes to execute desired tasks (Andersson et al. 2015; Cambray et al. 2011; Dalchau et al. 2012; Feher et al. 2012; Sandoval et al. 2012; Storz et al. 2015), reducing the genome size to study efficacy of certain proteins and protein families (Annaluru et al. 2015; Deutschbauer et al. 2014; Kolisnychenko et al. 2002; Lee et al. 2005) and heterologously replacing genomic components with homologs obtained from other organisms (Acevedo-Rocha et al. 2013; Agashe et al. 2013; Andersson and Hughes 2009; Pena et al. 2010; Urbanczyk et al. 2012) as well as engineering endogenous promoters with variants obtained across taxa (Nevoigt et al. 2006; Peisajovich et al. 2010). Observing the adaptive pathways of a modified organism can reveal a variety of biochemical pathways adjusting regulatory networks (Dragosits and Mattanovich 2013; Kacar and Gaucher 2012; Michener et al. 2014; Quan et al. 2012), yielding invaluable information on the evolution and prediction of protein function

(Counago et al. 2006; Harms and Thornton 2014; Johnsen and Levin 2010; Lind et al.

2010).

An evolutionary bioengineering approach has been proposed to characterize the

adaptation of an ancient protein to a modern genome on time scales of laboratory

observation; an adaptive laboratory evolution method we previously coined as paleo-

experimental evolution (Kacar and Gaucher 2012) (**Figure 1**). It remains to be seen

whether it is possible to elucidate and discern ancient adaptive steps from adjustments

taken by a modern cell to a maladapted gene. We can begin with a top-level query: if

integrated into a modern genome, and given a chance to interact with descendant

protein partners in the cell, would the observed mutations alter the ancestral

component's protein structure and function in a manner comparable to the historical

pathway inferred for the organism, or will it develop an alternative adaptive pathway? In

order to address this, the paleo-experimental evolution system requires an organism

with a short generation time and a protein under strong selective constraints in the

modern host but whose ancestral genotype and phenotype, if genomically integrated,

would cause the modern host to be less fit than a modern population hosting the

modern form of the protein. The modern organism hosting the ancient protein would

need to be viable, but maladapted. *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) and an essential protein

family of the bacterial translation machinery, Elongation Factor-Tu (EF-Tu), are ideal for

this type of experiment. *E. coli* is an organism that grows quickly in the laboratory, the

genetics of the organism are well-known, easy to manipulate, utilizes a range of energy

sources and can be stored frozen and later can be re-animated to test ancestral versus

evolved populations (Blount 2015). Elongation Factor Tu (bacteria)/elongation factor 1A

(archaea and eukaryota) is a GTPase family member involved in the protein translation

system (Kavaliauskas et al. 2012). EF-Tu forms a complex with GTP that in turn favors

the binding of an aminoacyl-tRNA complex (Agirrezabala and Frank 2009). This ternary

complex binds to mRNA-programmed ribosomes thereby delivering aminoacyl-tRNA to

the ribosomal A site (Czworkowski and Moore 1996). The biochemistry of EF-Tu has

been studied for over three decades giving rise to a clear understanding of the

functional aspects of the protein (Negrutskii and El'skaya 1998). Previously, *in vitro*

peptide synthesis assays demonstrated that ancestral EF-Tus can participate in a

translation system in which all other components necessary for translation besides EF-

Tu are provided from modern *E. coli* (Zhou et al. 2012) indicating the possibility that co-

evolution between EF-Tu and aa-tRNAs/ribosome/nucleotide-exchange-factors in *E.*

*coli* since the divergence of the ancestral and modern EF-Tu forms has not prevented

the ancestral EF-Tu from interacting with the modern *E. coli* translation components.

We hypothesized that the diminished capacity of the ancestral EF-Tu is sufficient

enough to provide a strong selective constraint for the bacteria containing the ancient

gene to acquire beneficial mutations.

Our method builds upon heterologous gene replacement by engineering a synthetic

ancient EF-Tu into the genome of *E. coli* bacteria. The engineered EF-Tu represents

that of an ancestral γ–proteobacteria that is inferred to be approximately 700 million

years old and has 21 (out of 392) amino acid differences with the modern EF-Tu protein

(Gaucher et al. 2008). Our experimental system exploits the unique scenario in which *E.*

*coli* bacteria have a paralogous copy of the EF-Tu gene *tufA*, in the form of *tufB*, that

frequently recombines with the original copy (Abdulkarim and Hughes 1996). Each of

the EF-Tu genes has its own specific expression machinery, and EF-Tu produced

through *tufB* accounts for one-third of the cellular EF-Tu as that produced by the *tufA*

gene in bacteria (Van Delft et al. 1987; van der Meide et al. 1983; Zengel and Lindahl

1982). Through recombination mediate-engineering (recombineering), the *tufA* gene

was deleted from the bacterial genome and the *tufB* copy of a laboratory strain of *E.*

*coli* was replaced with an ancient EF-Tu variant under the control of the endogenous

*tufB* promoter, followed by adaptive laboratory evolution of the ancient-modern hybrid

populations in replicate lineages through daily propagation of bacterial cultures (**Figure**

**1**) (Bell 2016; Dragosits and Mattanovich 2013; Elena and Lenski 2003). Evolved

populations were sampled for whole genome sequencing, followed by identification of

the total number of genomic changes in each population relative to the founding strain

and assaying the change in adaptive response through fitness assays. We further

investigated whether *in vivo* analyses into the functionality of ancestral components can

be used to discern effects arising from the substituted gene when screened from

adaptive responses taken by the host cell to the sub-adapted genetic component.

Altogether this work provides the first demonstration of an artificial ancient essential

gene variant inside a bacterial genome and provides insights into the principles of using

experimental evolution for exploring adaptation of artificial genes in modern organisms.

**RESULTS**

**Replacement of modern EF-Tu with ancient EF-Tu is detrimental to *E. coli* fitness**

Complete replacement of endogenous EF-Tu protein requires disruption of both native

*tufA* and *tufB* genes, and insertion of the inferred ancient gene (**Supplementary Figure**

**1**) (Schnell et al. 2003). We first disrupted the native *tufA* gene. This intermediate *tufA*⁻

*tufB*⁺ construct displays a fitness of 0.89 ($P < 0.001$) relative to the parent clone.

Subsequent replacement of *tufB* with the reconstructed ancient *tuf* gene produced a

further fitness decline, to 0.77 ($P < 0.001$) relative to the parent clone (**Figure 2**). This

dramatic fitness detriment of complete EF-Tu replacement suggests that the ancient

gene is compatible with the modern *E. coli* genome, though unfit. Co-evolution between

EF-Tu and aa-tRNAs/ribosome/nucleotide-exchange-factors in *E. coli* since the ancestral

state for which the ancient *tuf* gene was inferred has thus not prevented the inferred

ancestral EF-Tu from interacting with the modern *E. coli* translation system in a viable

manner.

**Experimental evolution allows bacteria to restore fitness**

To examine the co-adaptation between *E. coli* and the ancient EF-Tu, we conducted

evolution experiments with both the ancient-modern hybrid and the *tufA⁻ tufB⁺*

construct. Six replicate populations were generated for each of the two modified

genomes by selecting identical clones that were verified to be free of any plasmid

vectors that could mediate genetic exchange. The twelve populations were then

evolved for 2000 generations under a daily 100-fold serial transfer regime in DM25

minimal glucose medium, at 37°C and 150 rpm. Under these conditions, each

population grew $\log_2(100) = 6.64$ generations per day before reaching stationary phase.

The daily maximum population size for each population is approximately $2.5 \times 10^8$ cells.

Fitness assays were conducted every 500 generations, in which evolved populations

were competed against the ancestral clone. The ancient-modern hybrid populations

displayed a mean fitness of 1.06 ($P <0.043$) at generation 500 (**Figure 2**). This increased

to 1.12 ($P <0.005$) at generation 1000, and 1.15 ($P<0.009$) and 1.16 ($P<0.001$) at

generations 1500 and 2000, respectively. The *tufA- tufB+* populations also exhibited

fitness increases. Mean fitness relative to the ancestor is 1.097 ($P<0.001$) at generation

500, 1.16 ($P<0.004$) at generation 1000, and 1.15 at both 1500 ($P<0.001$) and 2000

(P<0.004), respectively (**Figure 2**).

*Promoter level* **mutations upregulate ancient EF-Tu expression and restore**

**bacterial fitness**

To identify the genetic bases of the observed fitness increases, whole genomes of

clones were periodically isolated and sequenced from all six evolved populations during

the evolution experiment. Mutations generally accumulated in similar genes across all

experimental construct populations (**Table 1**). However, five out of the six ancient-

modern hybrid lineages, but none of the other controls, evolved mutations in the

*thrT/tufB* promoter region, with four variant alleles being observed (Lee et al. 1981). The

majority of these *thrT/tufB* promoter region mutations accumulated early in the

experiment, and rose to high frequency, if not fixation, by 2000 generations across all

five populations in which they occurred (**Figure 3**). Such cis-regulatory mutations have

been shown to be a common means of adaptation (Hoekstra and Coyne 2007; Jacob

and Monod 1961; Lynch and Wagner 2008). Others have observed the accumulation of

mutations in orthologous genes engineered into microbes during laboratory evolution

experiments. By contrast, we observed no mutations in the ancient or modern EF-Tu

gene-coding region in any of the evolved lineages, suggesting that compensatory

amino acid replacements may have only occurred at other sites in the genome.

We performed whole-cell shotgun proteomic analysis on five of the evolved hybrid

populations with EF-Tu promoter mutations to examine the impact of these mutations

on EF-Tu protein levels. The assayed time points were those for each population at

which the mutations had reached over 90% frequency in the population. For

comparison, we also assayed unevolved ancient-modern hybrid bacteria, the wild-type

parent *E. coli* strain, and an unevolved *tufA⁻ tufB⁺* construct. Deletion of the *tufB* copy

and the subsequent insertion of the ancient reconstructed gene into *E. coli* causes EF-

Tu protein levels to drop by approximately ~66% relative to that observed in the wild-

type. The evolved hybrid populations with *tufB* promoter mutations all show significant

increases in EF-Tu levels (**Figure 3, Figure 4a**). We also assessed the effect of these

promoter mutations on EF-Tu expression level *in vitro* by examining their effect on a

plasmid-borne fluorescent reporter. The mutant promoters increase expression

between 1.5 to 20 fold (**Supplementary Figure 2**). Interestingly, promoter mutations

that rose to high frequency later during the experiment had lower relative effects on

ancient EF-Tu protein expression than those that did so earlier during laboratory

evolution. To test whether increased ancient EF-Tu levels would correlate with

increased fitness, the unevolved ancient-modern cells, as well as *E. coli* harboring a

single wild-type *tufB* gene was transformed with pASK plasmids expressing ancient EF-

Tu proteins (Materials and Methods). Overexpression of ancient EF-Tu protein in *E. coli*

isogenic strain decreases the doubling time from 35 min to 26 min. Similarly,

overexpression of ancient EF-Tu protein in ancient-modern hybrid ancestor decreases

the doubling time from 52 min to 29 min (**Figure 4b**). This observation is in agreement

with the previous studies demonstrating the correlation between the cellular

concentration of EF-Tu and organismal fitness (Brandis et al. 2016; Tubulekas and

Hughes 1993). Taken together, these results indicate that each experimental population

exhibited parallel patterns of response such as upregulation of EF-Tu, as well as more

idiosyncratic means of compensating for altered EF-Tu expression and activity.

**Replacement of the endogenous EF-Tu with the ancient counterpart abolishes**

**previously existing protein level interactions**

The single ancient-modern hybrid population in which no *tufB* promoter mutations occurred did accumulate a number of mutations particular to this population, including one in *nusA* gene (**Table 1**). The *nusA* gene is a translation regulator and its protein product is thought to exhibit chaperone activity with direct interaction to ribosomal proteins (Shazand et al. 1993). NusA may affect the efficiency of the translation machinery in a manner similar to EF-Tu and other ribosomal proteins with chaperone activity (Caldas et al. 2000; Caldas et al. 1998). The mutation region is located at the C-terminal domain of the protein, and the complete deletion may be potentially detrimental for the protein's chaperone activity (**Supplementary Figure 4, 5**). To examine the biochemical effects of the *nusA* mutation, specifically the 27bp deletion (*nusA*Δ9), the mutant protein was cloned in an expression vector and subsequently purified. Changes in interaction of the mutant NusA protein with EF-Tu, ancient or modern was examined by measuring protein-protein binding via isothermal titration calorimetry. While the wild-type EF-Tu bound NusA with a robust binding constant (Kd) of 14.6 ± 5.2 $\mu$M , the ancient EF-Tu binds only weakly to the native NusA protein (**Figure 5**). Moreover, dipeptide formation assays detected no NusA-EF-Tu interaction in the ribosome, and the interaction between EF-Tu and NusA had no observable effect on dipeptide formation in the ribosome (**Supplementary Figure 5**).

On the other hand, *nusA*Δ9 and ancient EF-Tu exhibit a Kd of 680 ± 66 $\mu$M, suggesting virtually no interaction (**Supplementary Figure 5**). The loss of interaction might be due to the lack of interaction between EF-Tu in the ancestral context in which the

reconstructed ancient EF-Tu existed. To test this hypothesis, ancient *nusA* gene

representing the γ-proteobacterial ancestor was phylogenetically reconstructed,

synthesized, expressed, purified, and examined the ancient NusA protein's capacity to

bind to the ancient EF-Tu protein (**Supplementary Figure 6**). No detectable interaction

between the two ancient proteins was observed. Deletion of the mutant *nusA* gene from

the evolved and the ancestral allele has no observable fitness effect. However, deletion

of *nusA* did cause a statistically significant fitness drop of ~8% in the $tufA^{-}$ $tufB^{+}$

background (**Figure 5**). The *nusA* mutation is therefore neutral in evolved hybrid

background and the mutation is independent of the ancient-EF-Tu mechanism.

**Discussion**

By combining a unique set of tools drawn from synthetic biology, evolutionary biology

and genomics we experimentally evolved and then analyzed the adaptive properties of

a single-celled organism containing a reconstructed ancestral gene inserted in its

genome. A majority of the evolved lineages accumulated mutations in the promoter

region of the ancestral *tuf* gene and these lead to increased expression of the ancient

EF-Tu protein. It is possible that these promoter mutations constitute 'low hanging fruit'

of compensatory genetic changes, particularly for highly-conserved essential proteins,

and that structural mutations in the ancient *tuf* gene might have been observed had

evolution been allowed to continue. Understanding the lack of direct accumulation of

mutations on the ancient EF-Tu requires a full accounting of the fitness effects of all

potentially contributing mutations. Considering the important role of EF-Tu in the

translational machinery, mutations accumulating directly on the EF-Tu gene can cause

cell lethality and thus may not be readily adaptive (Goldman et al. 2010; Kacar and

Gaucher 2013; Pereira-Leal et al. 2006). On the other hand, there likely are beneficial

mutations that can occur, but they don't confer the advantage that others do under the

same conditions, resulting in tuf mutations always being out-competed early on.

Increasing the cellular EF-Tu protein level may be the first emergency response of the

organism to cope with a drastic alteration introduced by a maladapted protein central

to translation machinery (Bridgham et al. 2009; Gong and Bloom 2014; Kryazhimskiy et

al. 2014; Kvitek and Sherlock 2011; Lunzer et al. 2010).

Engineering native genomes with ancient genes has been considered a challenging

experimental approach due to the possibility of functional incompatibility of the

ancestral genes in modern organisms (Hobbs et al. 2015). Moreover, altering essential

genes carries the risk of drastic effects on cellular epistatic networks (Coulomb et al.

2005; Drummond et al. 2005; Zotenko et al. 2008). Even a single mutation in the

translation machinery can interfere with protein expression, and thereby drastically

impact an organism's viability (Ito et al. 1998; Lind and Andersson 2013). Indeed, if

phenotypically altered by subsequent functional divergence and promiscuity over time

(Copley 2003), ancestral sequences could be maladapted to the host cell to the extent

that a functional organism is all but precluded. However, this experimental limitation

does not apply to reconstructed ancestral genes alone. It has been suggested that as

the number of nodes connecting a protein within its protein-protein interaction network

increases, the capacity to replace that protein with another homolog decreases despite

the presumed functional equivalence between the endogenous gene and the homolog

(Jain et al. 1999). While a careful assessment of candidate ancestral protein properties

prior to integration is helpful, in most cases, studying gene-triggered genomic

perturbations through integration of ancestral genes offers a valuable and

complementary alternative to existing methodologies that use orthologous proteins (Pal

et al. 2014).

This work was originally conceived as a way to reconstruct the historical pathways by

which ancestral genes evolved into modern ones by replacing the modern gene with a

reconstructed ancestral one in a modern organism, and then performing experimental

evolution with the hybrid. No mutation was detected within the ancestral gene. Instead,

all likely adaptive mutations that compensated for the fitness detriment caused by the

substitution of the suboptimal ancestral gene occurred at other sites, including within

the promoter region of the ancestral gene. This result does not, however, rule out the

possibility that useful information on historical gene evolution might be gleaned with

this method. The most consistent drivers of historic mutational change may be

macroscopic variables (i.e., atmospheric composition, nutrient availability, ecological

partitioning, or long-term climate fluctuations) that cannot be readily incorporated into

laboratory-scale synthetic evolution experiments. In just one example relevant to this

experimental setup, the EF-Tu protein phenotype is tightly coupled to the optimal

growth temperature of its host organism (Gromiha et al. 1999), but bacterial clades are

not thought to have gone through any significant temperature-dependent evolutionary

bottlenecks over the 700 million years of evolution that has occurred between the ages

of the modern and ancestral homologous sequences (Blattler and Higgins 2014; Knauth

2004).  This is one possible interpretation for the observed lack of mutations on EF-Tu

itself. Future applications of this method should focus on linking substituted component

behavior with a demonstrable organismal phenotype that can be independently

retraced over the inferred age of the component (Kacar et al. 2016).

**Conclusions**

Engineering bacterial genomes with phylogenetically reconstructed genes complements the current technique of genome level alterations of gene and gene clusters with currently existing homologs, and provides insights into molecular mechanisms of adaptation by providing access to the historical states of currently existing proteins. However, these methods are also severely constrained by limited existing knowledge of how laboratory evolution setups impact evolutionary trajectories, especially for synthetic biology applications, and the connection between environment and protein phenotype. This knowledge is critical for discerning the change in behavior due to the ancestral state of the protein from the change in systems-level behavior attributable to its intrinsic response to a suboptimal cellular component. The synthetic system described here may enable the development of ancient-modern hybrid model systems that will provide new insights related to the role of evolutionary history and the "tape" of evolution as well as the degree of coupling between protein-level biochemical attributes and macroscale evolutionary trajectories and biogeochemical cycles.

**Acknowledgements**

We gratefully acknowledge Zachary Blount, Donald Burke and Seth Childers for their

comments on this manuscript. Neerja Hajela for providing the *E. coli* REL606 strain,

members of the Richard Lenski laboratory for providing feedback during the earlier

phase of this work, Deepak Unni for his assistance during the Breseq analyses,

undergraduates Lily Tran (Georgia Tech) and Jennifer Zhang (Georgia Tech) for their

assistance in the laboratory, and Brian Hammer (Georgia Tech) for providing the

pBBRlux plasmid.

**METHODS**

**Bacterial Strains and Culture Conditions**

All experiments were done at 37 °C unless stated otherwise. Luria-Bertani (LB) broth

was used as the base medium for liquid cultures and agar plates. Experimental

evolution and competition assays were carried out in Davis-Mingioli minimal medium

(DM) supplemented with 25 mg/L glucose (Davis 1950). Tetrazolium Arabinose (TA)

plates were used as the base for competition experiment plating (Reference). When

required, LB and DM media were supplemented with kanamycin, chloramphenicol and

tetracycline antibiotics. All dilutions were carried out in 0.1% sterile saline. LB and DM

cultures were incubated on a rotary shaker 200 rpm and 150 rpm, respectively. The

REL606 parent strain was kindly donated by Richard Lenski. Genes coding for ancestral

EF-Tu were codon optimized for expression in *E. coli*, chemically synthesized by DNA

2.0, and cloned into a pET15b plasmid as reported previously (Gaucher et al. 2008).

**Recombineering**

*Construction of the ancient-modern hybrid strain*

Integration of the ancient EF-Tu gene (*AnEF*) into the chromosome of *E. coli* strain

REL606 was carried out via the λ-red homology recombineering approach as described

by Datsenko and Wanner (Datsenko and Wanner 2000). First, linear DNA containing

homology sequences of upstream and downstream of *tufA* gene was amplified by PCR,

via (5' GTGGTTGCGAAAATCATCGCTAGAATTCCGGGGATCCGTCGACC 3' and 5'

TGTAATTAGCCCAGAACTTTAGCAACTGTAGGCTGGAGCTGCTTCG 3'), and pKD13

plasmid as template, and then transferred in REL606 cells through electrophoration,

together with the temperature sensitive pKD46 plasmid. Recombinants were isolated

from LB agar plates containing 50 ㎎g/㎖l kanamycin at 37 °C, grown in in liquid LB

medium containing 50 ㎎g/㎖L Kanamycin and their genomic DNA was isolated using

Promega Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit. Confirmation PCR was performed using

genomic DNA isolated from colonies as a template, with primers aligning to the

chromosome　　　outside　　　of　　　the　　　recombination　　　site　　　(5'

CAGGCCGTAATTGAAGCCCGTGGTAAATAAGCC　　　　3'　　　and　　　5'

GAATAATTTATTCGTTCTGACAGTACGAATAAG 3'). Once the successful replacement

of *tufA* gene with the kanamycin marker was confirmed via Sanger sequencing, the

strain was transformed with linear DNA containing homology sequences of upstream

and downstream *tufB* flanked in between the AnEF DNA construct soed to a

chloramphenicol marker originally amplified from the A007 loxP-Cm-loxP plasmid

(Gene Bridges GmbH) via Gibson Assembly. The transformants were selected on LB

plates containing 25 ㎎g/㎖L Chloramphenicol and 50 ㎎g/㎖L Kanamycin at 37 °C and

the correct insert was screened with primers aligning to the chromosome outside

recombination site using Fwd primer 5' TCCGTGTCTTAGAGGGACAATCGATG 3'and

Rev primer 5' GCAATTAGCTCAGAACTTTTGCTAC 3'. Once confirmed, both the

Kanamycin and the Chloramphenicol markers were removed using pCP20 and 706-Cre

plasmids (Gene Bridges GmbH), respectively, followed by the confirmation of the

deletions by genomic PCR analysis. Plasmids pKD46 and pCP20 were cured by

growing the cultures at 42 °C, the final Δ*tufA*, Δt*ufB*:AnEF construct was moved into a

fresh ancestral strain via bacteriophage P1 transduction . Freezer stocks of the REL606

Δ*tufA*, Δ*tufB:AnEF* were prepared by mixing 50% sterile glycerol and overnight liquid

cultures originated from a single colony, in 1:2 ratios. All stocks were stored in -80 °C.

Isogenic Ara+ variants of the REL606 Δ*tufA*, Δ*tufB:AnEF* were obtained through gene-

gorging protocol (Herring et al. 2003) (plasmid pJEB12 is kindly donated by Jeff

Barrick).

*Deletion of nusA gene from the chromosome*

The nusA gene from the chromosome of REL606, ancestral REL606 Ɗ *tufA*, Ɗ *tufB:AnEF*

and evolved REL606 Ɗ *tufA*, Ɗ tufB:AnEF  strain from lineage Rip2 were replaced with a

FRT-kan-FRT fragment in the presence of pKD46 helper plasmid as described by

Datsenko and Wanner using primers 5' TCCTGCGTGAAGATATGCTG 3'  and  5'

TCACTTCTTCGCCGATTTCT  3'. PCR amplification of the recombination region and

sanger sequencing of this amplified region confirmed the correct replacement and the

removal of the selection cassette. The cassette was then removed from the

chromosome via pCP20, followed by the curation of pKD46 and pCP20 plasmids at 42

°C.

**Growth assays**

Saturated overnight cultures were preconditioned by dilution into sterile saline by a

1:100, then again by 1:100 into DM25 medium, followed by an overnight growth.

Preconditioned cultures were diluted 1:100 into the assay medium and 100 $\mu$L

transferred into a 96-well microplate. OD readings were taken at 420 nm every 15

minutes with continuous shaking between readings for 24 hours.

**Experimental Evolution**

Experimental evolution was carried out using a serial transfer regime in DM25 medium

for 2000 generations (~6.6 generations per day) as described previously (Elena and

Lenski 2003). Relative fitness change was measured by competing evolved strains or

populations against the ancestral genotype, REL606 or REL607, every 500 generations

using a standard competition assay protocol. Relative fitness was defined as the ratio

of the Malthusian parameter of one competitor to the other. The Malthusian parameter

was calculated as follows: $m=(cd_x * f^x)/cd_0$, where $cd_0$ = count of the competitor on

day 0, and $cd_x$ = count of the competitor on day x, f = growth of the population over

time (x-0). In our competitions, f = 100 because our transfers involve 100-fold dilution

and subsequent outgrowth.

**Whole genome sequencing**

Sequencing libraries of clones of interest were prepared by isolating 3 mg of genomic

DNA from bacteria grown in 10 mL LB overnight, fragmented and tagged the isolated

DNA with specific Illumina adapters using Nextera DNA sample preparation kit. The

product was purified using the Zymo DNA Clean and Concentrator Kit, dual-indexed

the libraries with TruSeq Dual Indexed Sequencing primer sets and ensured the

products were pure using an Agilent 2100 BioAnalyzer.  Sets of compatible barcodes

(11plex) were combined into a single lane in an Illumina HiSeq 2500 Rapid Run flow cell

(v1) after QC. Sequencing was in a paired end 2 x 100 basepair format (PE100) using

TruSeq Rapid SBS reagents. Mutations were identified using the Breseq (0.23) pipeline

(Deatherage and Barrick 2014).

*Fitness measurement of the ancestral strain in the presence of over-expressed EF-Tu*

Ancient EF-Tu was cloned into a pASK-IBA43 (IBA Life Sciences) vector inducible

under a tetracycline promoter using the following primers Forward 5'

GTTGGAATTCATGTCTAAAGAAAAGTTTGAACGTAC 3' and Reverse 5'

CGGGATCCTCAAGCGATGATTTTCGCAACCAC 3', between the Xho and Nde sites

leading to plasmid pASK-IBA43-AnEF. Ligation was confirmed using Forward primer 5'

GAGTTATTTTACCACTCCCT 3' and Reverse primer 5' CGCAGTAGCGGTAAACG 3'.

The plasmid was transferred to REL606 Δ*tufA*, Δ*tufB:AnEF* cells via electrophoration

and selected on LB agar plate with Chloramphenicol. Five representative colonies were

picked, preconditioned in LB media containing 250 $\mu$M anhydrous tetracycline for 24

hours, followed by a 1:100 dilution into DM media containing glucose. Over-expression

of the EF-Tu protein was confirmed through SDS-PAGE gel analysis in comparison to

ancestral cells that harbored no plasmid and non-induced plasmid. A REL607 strain

was acclimated to the competition environment by separate grown under the same

environmental conditions as REL606 Δ*tufA*, Δ*tufB:AnEF* harboring pASK-IBA43-AnEF.

The competitors were then mixed in equal stoichiometric ratios by diluting into fresh

DM medium with glucose containing 250 $\mu$M anhydrous tetracycline. Samples were

plated on tetrazolium arabinose agar plate every 4 hours during the 24 hour

competition. The competitions were carried out two times to ensure the precision of

fitness estimates.

Whole genome sequencing was completed for 2000 generations for eight lineages

harboring ancient EF-Tu, as well as the wild-type strains. To prepare the sequencing

library, we isolated 3 mg of genomic DNA from bacteria grown in 10 mL LB overnight,

fragmented and tagged the isolated DNA with specific Illimuna adapters using Nextera

DNA sample preparation kit. We purified the product using Zymo DNA Clean and

Concentrator Kit, dual-indexed the libraries with TruSeq Dual Indexed Sequencing

primer sets and ensured the products were pure using a Agilent 2100 BioAnalyzer.  We

combined the sets of compatible barcodes (11plex) into a single lane on Illimuna HiSeq

2500 Rapid Run flow cell (v1) after QC. Sequencing was in a paired end 2 x 100bp

format (PE100) using TruSeq Rapid SBS reagents. The Breseq (0.23) software was used

for the generation and the analysis of the mutations (Deatherage and Barrick 2014).

**Fitness measurement of the ancestral strain in the presence of over-expressed**

**EF-Tu**

Ancient EF-Tu was cloned into a pASK-IBA43 (IBA Life Sciences) vector inducible

under    a    tetracycline    promoter    using    the    primers    (Forward)    5'

GTTGGAATTCATGTCTAAAGAAAAGTTTGAACGTAC    3'    and    (Reverse)    5'

CGGGATCCTCAAGCGATGATTTTCGCAACCAC 3', between the *Xho* and *Nde* sites.

Ligation was confirmed using Forward primer 5' GAGTTATTTTACCACTCCCT 3' and

Reverse primer 5' CGCAGTAGCGGTAAACG 3'. The plasmid was transformed into

REL606 Δ*tufA*, Δ*tufB:AncientEFTu* cells via electroporation, and transformants selected

on LB agar plate with chloramphenicol. Five representative colonies were picked,

preconditioned in LB media containing 250 μM anhydrous tetracycline for 24 hours,

followed by a 1:100 dilution into DM media containing glucose. Over-expression of the

EF-Tu protein was confirmed through SDS-PAGE gel analysis in comparison to

ancestral cells that harbored no plasmid, or non-induced plasmid. A REL607 strain was

acclimated to the competition environment by separate growth under the same

environmental conditions as REL606 Δ*tufA*, Δ*tufB:Rip* harboring pASK-IBA43 with the

ancient EF-Tu gene. The competitors were then mixed in 50:50 ratios by volume by

diluting each into fresh DM25 supplemented with 250 μM anhydrous tetracycline.

Samples were plated on tetrazolium arabinose agar plate every 4 hours during the 24-

hour competition. The competitions were carried out twice to increase the precision of

fitness estimates.

**Luciferase Assay**

*tufB and pBBRlux plasmid cloning*

The wild-type and mutant (evolved) promoter region of *tufB* gene (EF-Tu protein) was

cloned into the pBBRlux plasmid as adapted from Lenz et. al (2004) (kindly provided by

Prof. Brian Hammer, Georgia Tech). Phusion High-Fidelity DNA polymerase, dNTPs,

restriction enzymes (high fidelity), and T4 ligases were all obtained from New England

Biolabs. DNA purification materials were purchased from QIAGEN. Promoters were

amplified using PCR primers 5'-CAGAATGAAAATCAGGTAGCCGAGTTCCAG-3' and

5'-TAGTGATTGCAGCGGTCAGCGTTGTTTTAC-3' and resulted in a 403 bp product

from REL606 *E. coli* in the 4155251-4155654 region of the genome. Restriction sites

were subsequently added to the ends of the *tufB* promoter with the following primers:

Forward 5'-GAT<u>ACTAGT</u>CAGAATGAAAATCAGGTAGCCGAGTTCCAG-3' and Reverse

5'-TAT<u>GGATCC</u>TAGTGATTGCAGCGGTCAGCGTTGTTTTAC-3' (underlying restriction

sites correspond to *Spe*I and *Bam*HI, respectively). The EF-Tu promoter was cloned

upstream of the luciferase operon in the pBBRlux plasmid in order to drive

transcription. pBBRlux provides chloramphenicol (CMP) resistance.

*Scintillation Counts*

Four experimental constructs: +86/-29 (G+86A), +54/-61 (G+54T), +87/-28 (A+87C),

+94/-21 (19bp duplication, +96); and two control constructs: P (no promoter), Patuf

(wild-type, or unevolved ancestor, *tufB* promoter) were transformed into chemically

competent *E. coli* (REL606) cells and incubated at 37 °C for 24 hours on

chloramphenicol (CMP) agar plates. A single colony was cultured in LB media

containing CMP at 37°C for 24 hours. A 100μL aliquot of the overnight culture was

diluted one thousand-fold prior to being transferred into a 50 mL Erlenmeyer flask

containing 9.9 mL of DM25 media. Cells were grown for ~8.25 hours, or ~5 doublings

as monitored by plating (this represents the end of log growth since these cultures

reach stationary phase after ~6.6 generations in DM25) and then pelleted. The

supernatant was aspirated until 100 μL of media remained, and the pellet was then

resuspended in the remaining 100 μL. Scintillation counting was used to quantify the

amount of light signal generated by the luciferase pathway. For all six constructs, three

readings per sample were averaged for each of the two replicates assayed.

**Bacterial Enumeration**

For each construct, a 10µL aliquot was serially diluted 50 thousand-fold and 50 µL was

plated on agar petri dishes containing CMP. Extrapolation was utilized to determine the

total amount of cells in each scintillation assay. Three plates per flask were averaged.

*Luciferase Assay Statistical Analysis*

The luciferase expression per cell was normalized by:

$$\frac{\text{total scintillation counts}}{\text{total number of cells}}$$

Luciferase expression for each construct was subtracted by the amount of luciferase

signal from P to eliminate any leaky expression from the pBBRlux vector without

promoter and presented as fold-change relative to the amount of luciferase signal from

Patuf. A one-way ANOVA with $\alpha = 0.05$ and a post-hoc Tukey HSD Test were

performed against Patuf to determine significant differences.

**Protein biochemistry**

*Cloning, expression and purification of modern EF-Tu and ancient EF-Tu proteins*

Both of the EF-Tu genes were ligated into pET15b plasmid between BamH1/EcoR1

sites, containing an N-term His-Tag with Ampicilin resistance. For expression, the

plasmids were transferred in a BL21(DE3) strain, the cells were grown in LB media until

$OD_{600}$ reading reached 0.6-0.8 and then induced with 1 mM Imidazole for 4 hours. The

cells were lysed using Bugbuster protein extraction reagent (EMD Millipore) containing

benzonase. For purification of the His-tagged protein from the supernatant, the cleared

lysate was transferred into nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA) resin gravity-flow columns

(Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) at 4°C that was pre-equilibriated with lysis buffer (50 mM

NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, pH 8). The Ni-NTA gravity-flow column was

washed by two times wash with lysis buffer containing 20 mM imidazole. His-tagged

protein was eluted using elution buffer (50 mM NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 300 mM NaCl, 200 mM

imidazole, pH 8).

*Cloning, expression and purification of NusA proteins*

Both the wild type *nusA* and the evolved *nusA* genes were amplified from their host

bacterial genome using Forward primer 5'-GTGAAGGTGTCGACGCTGCGTGCGCT-3'

and Reverse primer 5'-AGCGCACGCAGCGTCGACACCTTCAC-3'. The amplified DNA

was purified through gel extraction, removed from salt and then cloned into a pET15b

vector (Novagen) using 5' GGCGACATATGAACAAAGAAATTTTGGC 3' and 5'

GGAGCTCGAGTTACGCTTCGTCACCGA 3' primers in between BamH1 and XhoI sites.

The plasmids were transferred into a BL21(DE3) strain for expression and induced by

IPTG. Cells were broken by French Press in Buffer A (20 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.5, 50 mM

MgCl$_2$, 200 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol). 25 µM GDP was added in Buffer A for EF-Tu

purification. After centrifugation for 30 min at 16,000 rpm (F21-8x50 rotor, Thermo), the

supernatant was applied to Ni-NTA column and elute with gradient Buffer B (Buffer A

supplied with 500 mM imidazole). To prepare EF-Tu and NusA for ITC experiment, the

proteins were dialyzed in Buffer C (20 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.5, 50 mM MgCl$_2$, 100 mM

KCl) for 16 hours at 4 °C.

*ITC Analysis*

The ITC data was measured on a Microcal ITC200 System (GE Healthcare). The syringe

was loaded with 42 µL of 0.6-1 mM NusA and the sample cell was filled with 10uM EF-

Tu. NusA was titrated (2.5 µL for each) into EF-Tu with 120 s intervals and the first

injection was 0.25 µL. The stirring speed was set at 1000 rpm. Blank experiment was

measured by titrating NusA into Buffer C (20 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.5, 50 mM MgCl$_2$, 100

mM KCl).

**LC-MS/MS Analysis**

*Sample preparation*

Whole cell lysate was generated from each ancestral and evolved strains using Bug

Buster reagent (EMD Millipore) and following manufacturer's instructions. Total protein

was quantified via BCA assay using Pierce BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher

Scientific). 30 mg of whole cell lysate were submitted to the Proteomics and

Metabolomics Facility at Colorado State University. Samples were processed for in-

solution trypsin digestion as previously described (Schauer et al. 2013). Briefly, protein

was precipitated out of solution in the presence of 4 volumes of 100% -20oC acetone

and then resolubilized in 8 M urea, 0.2% ProteaseMAXtm surfactant trypsin enhancer

(Promega, Madison, WI). Samples were reduced and alkylated with 5mM dithiothreitol

and 5 mM iodoacetamide. Trypsin (MS Grade, Thermo Pierce, San Jose, CA) was

added at an enzyme to substrate ratio of 1:50 and incubated at 37 ℃ for 3-hours.

Trypsin was deactivated with the addition of 5% trifluoroacetic acid and desalted using

C18 OMIX tips (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) using manufacturer's

instructions. Peptide eluate was dried in a vacuum evaporator and resuspended in 3%

acetonitrile/0.1% formic acid at a concentration of approximately 1 ㎎/㎖. Relative

Quantitation of EF-Tu proteins were carried out using spectral counting approach.

Approximately 2 ㎎ of tryptic digest for each sample was injected using an EASY

nanoLC-II system (Thermo Scientific, San Jose, CA). Peptides were purified and

concentrated using an on-line enrichment column (EASY-Column, 100 ㎜ ID x 2cm

ReproSil-Pur C18). Subsequent chromatographic separation was performed on a

reverse phase nanospray column EASY-Column, 3 ㎜, 75 ㎛ ID x 100mm ReproSil-

Pur C18) using a 180 minute linear gradient from 10%-55% buffer B (100% ACN, 0.1%

formic acid) at a flow rate of 400 nanoliters/min. Peptides were eluted directly into the

mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific Orbitrap Velos). The instrument was operated

in Orbitrap-LTQ mode where precursor measurements were acquired in

the Orbitrap (60,000 resolution) and MS/MS spectra (top 20) were acquired in

the LTQ ion trap with normalized collision energy of 35%. Mass spectra were collected

over a m/z range of 400-2000 Da using a dynamic exclusion limit of 2 MS/MS spectra

of a given peptide mass for 30 s (exclusion duration of 90 s). Compound lists of the

resulting spectra were generated using Xcalibur 2.2 software (Thermo Scientific) with a

S/N threshold of 1.5 and 1 scan/group.

*Data Analysis – Spectral Counting*:

*Database searching* Tandem mass spectra were extracted, charge state deconvoluted

and deisotoped by ProteoWizard version 3.0. All MS/MS samples were analyzed using

Mascot (Matrix Science, London, UK; version 2.3.02). Mascot was set up to search the

Uniprot_e_coli_custom_reverse database (Updated August 2014, 8750 entries) (Elias

and Gygi 2010) assuming the digestion enzyme trypsin, allowing up to 3 missed

cleavages. Mascot was searched with a fragment ion mass tolerance of 0.80 Da and a

parent ion tolerance of 20 PPM. Oxidation of methionine M (+15.99) and

carbamidomethyl of cysteine C (+57) were specified in Mascot as variable

modifications.

*Criteria for protein identification*

Scaffold (version Scaffold_4.3.4, Proteome Software Inc., Portland, OR) was used to

validate MS/MS based peptide and protein identifications. Peptide identifications were

accepted if they could be established at greater than 69.0% probability to achieve an

FDR less than 0.1% by the Scaffold Local FDR algorithm. Protein identifications were

accepted if they could be established at greater than 99.0% probability to achieve an

FDR less than 1.0% and contained at least 2 identified peptides (Kall et al. 2008; Keller

et al. 2002). Protein probabilities were assigned by the Protein Prophet algorithm

(Nesvizhskii et al. 2003). Proteins that contained similar peptides and could not be

differentiated based on MS/MS analysis alone were grouped to satisfy the principles of

parsimony.

*Quantitative analysis*

Binary comparisons were created in separate Scaffold files comparing wild type *E. coli*

REL606 and unevolved ancestor harboring the ancient protein and the evolved lineages

tested (biological replicates n=3) to Strain/Treatment Group (each n=3). Biological

samples were organized into Categories based on strain type. Each Category had 3

biological replicates. Normalization of spectral counts was not applied based on these

criteria: An equal amount of sample from each replicate was loaded into the mass

spectrometer and there was no deviation in processing and the number of spectra

between samples is closely similar (% CV < 5% between biological replicates). Spectral

counting uses the sum of the MS/MS spectra assigned to each protein as a measure of

abundance (Paoletti and Washburn 2006). A T-Test was performed on Total Spectral

Counts for each MS sample using the embedded algorithm in Scaffold v 4.3.4. Proteins

with P-values less than 0.05 are excluded in calculation of fold changes compared to *E.*

*coli* REL606.

# References

Abdulkarim F, Hughes D (1996) Homologous recombination between the tuf genes of Salmonella typhimurium. J Mol Biol 260:506

Acevedo-Rocha CG, Fang G, Schmidt M, Ussery DW, Danchin A (2013) From essential to persistent genes: a functional approach to constructing synthetic life. Trends Genet 29:273

Agashe D, Martinez-Gomez NC, Drummond DA, Marx CJ (2013) Good codons, bad transcript: large reductions in gene expression and fitness arising from synonymous mutations in a key enzyme. Mol Biol Evol 30:549

Agirrezabala X, Frank J (2009) Elongation in translation as a dynamic interaction among the ribosome, tRNA, and elongation factors EF-G and EF-Tu. Q Rev Biophys 42:159

Andersson DI, Hughes D (2009) Gene amplification and adaptive evolution in bacteria. Annu Rev Genet 43:167

Andersson DI, Jerlstrom-Hultqvist J, Nasvall J (2015) Evolution of new functions de novo and from preexisting genes. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 7

Annaluru N, Ramalingam S, Chandrasegaran S (2015) Rewriting the blueprint of life by synthetic genomics and genome engineering. Genome Biol 16:125

Bar-Rogovsky H, Stern A, Penn O, Kobl I, Pupko T, Tawfik DS (2015) Assessing the prediction fidelity of ancestral reconstruction by a library approach. Protein Eng Des Sel

Bell G (2016) Experimental macroevolution. Proc Biol Sci 283

Benner S (1995) Reconstructing Ancient Forms Of Life. Journal Of Cellular Biochemistry:200

Benner S (2007) The early days of paleogenetics: connecting molecules to the planet Oxford University Press

Blattler CL, Higgins JA (2014) Calcium isotopes in evaporites record variations in
Phanerozoic seawater SO4 and Ca. Geology 42:711

Blount ZD (2015) The unexhausted potential of E. coli. Elife 4

Brandis G, Bergman JM, Hughes D (2016) Autoregulation of the tufB operon in
Salmonella. Mol Microbiol 100:1004

Bridgham JT, Ortlund EA, Thornton JW (2009) An epistatic ratchet constrains the
direction of glucocorticoid receptor evolution. Nature 461:515

Caldas T, Laalami S, Richarme G (2000) Chaperone properties of bacterial elongation
factor EF-G and initiation factor IF2. J Biol Chem 275:855

Caldas TD, El Yaagoubi A, Richarme G (1998) Chaperone properties of bacterial
elongation factor EF-Tu. J Biol Chem 273:11478

Cambray G, Mutalik VK, Arkin AP (2011) Toward rational design of bacterial genomes.
Curr Opin Microbiol 14:624

Chang BS, Jonsson K, Kazmi MA, Donoghue MJ, Sakmar TP (2002a) Recreating a
functional ancestral archosaur visual pigment. Mol Biol Evol 19:1483

Chang BSW, Kazmi MA, Sakmar TP (2002b) Synthetic gene technology: Applications to
ancestral gene reconstruction and structure-function studies of receptors G
Protein Pathways, Pt A, Receptors, pp. 274-294

Conrad TM, Joyce AR, Applebee MK, Barrett CL, Xie B, Gao Y, Palsson BØ. (2009)
Whole-genome resequencing of Escherichia coli K-12 MG1655 undergoing
short-term laboratory evolution in lactate minimal media reveals flexible
selection of adaptive mutations. Genome Biol. 10:10

Copley SD (2003) Enzymes with extra talents: moonlighting functions and catalytic
promiscuity. Curr Opin Chem Biol 7:265

Copley SD (2012) Toward a systems biology perspective on enzyme evolution. J Biol
Chem 287:3

Coulomb S, Bauer M, Bernard D, Marsolier-Kergoat MC (2005) Gene essentiality and the topology of protein interaction networks. Proc Biol Sci 272:1721

Counago R, Chen S, Shamoo Y (2006) In vivo molecular evolution reveals biophysical origins of organismal fitness. Molecular Cell 22:441

Czworkowski J, Moore PB (1996) The elongation phase of protein synthesis. Prog Nucleic Acid Res Mol Biol 54:293

Dalchau N, Smith MJ, Martin S, Brown JR, Emmott S, Phillips A (2012) Towards the rational design of synthetic cells with prescribed population dynamics. J R Soc Interface 9:2883

Datsenko KA, Wanner BL (2000) One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in Escherichia coli K-12 using PCR products. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 97:6640

Dean AM, Thornton JW (2007) Mechanistic approaches to the study of evolution: the functional synthesis. Nature Reviews Genetics 8:675

Deatherage DE, Barrick JE (2014) Identification of mutations in laboratory-evolved microbes from next-generation sequencing data using breseq. Methods Mol Biol 1151:165

Deutschbauer A, Price MN, Wetmore KM, Tarjan DR, Xu Z, Shao W, Leon D, Arkin AP, Skerker JM (2014) Towards an informative mutant phenotype for every bacterial gene. J Bacteriol 196:3643

Dillon MM, Rouillard NP, Van Dam B, Gallet R, Cooper VS (2013) Diverse phenotypic and genetic responses to short-term selection in evolving Escherichia coli populations. Evolution 70:3

Dragosits M, Mattanovich D (2013) Adaptive laboratory evolution -- principles and applications for biotechnology. Microb Cell Fact 12:64

Drummond DA, Bloom JD, Adami C, Wilke CO, Arnold FH (2005) Why highly expressed proteins evolve slowly. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102:14338

Elena SF, Lenski RE (2003) Evolution experiments with microorganisms: The dynamics and genetic bases of adaptation. Nature Reviews Genetics 4:457

Elias JE, Gygi SP (2010) Target-decoy search strategy for mass spectrometry-based
proteomics. Methods Mol Biol 604:55

Esvelt KM, Wang HH (2013) Genome-scale engineering for systems and synthetic
biology. Mol Syst Biol 9:641

Feher T, Burland V, Posfai G (2012) In the fast lane: large-scale bacterial genome
engineering. J Biotechnol 160:72

Gallagher RR, Li Z, Lewis AO, Isaacs FJ (2014) Rapid editing and evolution of bacterial
genomes using libraries of synthetic DNA. Nat Protoc 9:2301

Gaucher EA, Govindarajan S, Ganesh OK (2008) Palaeotemperature trend for
Precambrian life inferred from resurrected proteins. Nature 451:704

Goldman AD, Samudrala R, Baross JA (2010) The evolution and functional repertoire of
translation proteins following the origin of life. Biol Direct 5:15

Gong LI, Bloom JD (2014) Epistatically interacting substitutions are enriched during
adaptive protein evolution. PLoS Genet 10:e1004328

Gould SJ (1989) Wonderful Life: The Burgess Shale and the Nature of History. W. W.
Norton & Company, New York

Gromiha MM, Oobatake M, Sarai A (1999) Important amino acid properties for
enhanced thermostability from mesophilic to thermophilic proteins. Biophysical
Chemistry 82:51

Harms MJ, Thornton JW (2013) Evolutionary biochemistry: revealing the historical and
physical causes of protein properties. Nat Rev Genet 14:559

Harms MJ, Thornton JW (2014) Historical contingency and its biophysical basis in
glucocorticoid receptor evolution. Nature 512:203

Herring CD, Glasner JD, Blattner FR (2003) Gene replacement without selection:
regulated suppression of amber mutations in Escherichia coli. Gene 311:153

Herron MD, Doebeli M (2013) Parallel evolutionary dynamics of adaptive diversification
in Escherichia coli. PLoS Biol. 11:12

Hobbs JK, Prentice EJ, Groussin M, Arcus VL (2015) Reconstructed Ancestral Enzymes
2             Impose a Fitness Cost upon Modern Bacteria Despite Exhibiting Favourable
3             Biochemical Properties. J Mol Evol

4    Hoekstra HE, Coyne JA (2007) The locus of evolution: evo devo and the genetics of
5             adaptation. Evolution 61:995

6    Huelsenbeck JP, Bollback JP (2001) Empirical and hierarchical Bayesian estimation of
7             ancestral states. Syst Biol 50:351

8    Isalan M, Lemerle C, Michalodimitrakis K, Horn C, Beltrao P, Raineri E, Garriga-Canut
9             M, Serrano L (2008) Evolvability and hierarchy in rewired bacterial gene
10            networks. Nature 452:840

11    Ito K, Uno M, Nakamura Y (1998) Single amino acid substitution in prokaryote
12            polypeptide release factor 2 permits it to terminate translation at all three stop
13            codons. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95:8165

14    Jacob F, Monod J (1961) Genetic regulatory mechanisms in the synthesis of proteins. J
15            Mol Biol 3:318

16    Jain R, Rivera MC, Lake JA (1999) Horizontal gene transfer among genomes: the
17            complexity hypothesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 96:3801

18    Johnsen PJ, Levin BR (2010) Adjusting to alien genes. Mol Microbiol 75:1061

19    Kacar B (2016) Rolling the Dice Twice: Evolving Reconstructed Ancient Proteins in
20            Extant Organisms. In: Ramsey G, Pence CH (eds) Chance in Evolution The
21            University of Chicago Press, United States of America, pp. 264-276

22    Kacar B, Adam ZR, Hanson-Smith V, Boekelheide N (2016) Constraining the Great
23            Oxidation Event within the Rubisco phylogenetic tree The Geological Society of
24            America, p 289

25    Kacar B, Gaucher EA (2012) Towards the Recapitulation of Ancient History in the
26            Laboratory: Combining Synthetic Biology with Experimental Evolution.
27            Proceedings of the Artificial Life 13:11

28    Kacar B, Gaucher EA (2013) Experimental evolution of protein-protein interaction
29            networks. Biochem J 453:311

Kall L, Storey JD, MacCoss MJ, Noble WS (2008) Assigning significance to peptides
identified by tandem mass spectrometry using decoy databases. J Proteome
Res 7:29

Kavaliauskas D, Nissen P, Knudsen CR (2012) The busiest of all ribosomal assistants:
elongation factor Tu. Biochemistry 51:2642

Keller A, Nesvizhskii AI, Kolker E, Aebersold R (2002) Empirical statistical model to
estimate the accuracy of peptide identifications made by MS/MS and database
search. Anal Chem 74:5383

Knauth PL (2004) Temperature and salinity history of the Precambrian ocean:
implications for the course of microbial evolution. Palaeogeography,
Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 219:53

Kolisnychenko V, Plunkett G, 3rd, Herring CD, Feher T, Posfai J, Blattner FR, Posfai G
(2002) Engineering a reduced Escherichia coli genome. Genome Res 12:640

Kryazhimskiy S, Rice DP, Jerison ER, Desai MM (2014) Microbial evolution. Global
epistasis makes adaptation predictable despite sequence-level stochasticity.
Science 344:1519

Kvitek DJ, Sherlock G (2011) Reciprocal sign epistasis between frequently
experimentally evolved adaptive mutations causes a rugged fitness landscape.
PLoS Genet 7:e1002056

Lee JS, An G, Friesen JD, Fill NP (1981) Location of the tufB promoter of E. coli:
cotranscription of tufB with four transfer RNA genes. Cell 25:251

Lee SY, Lee DY, Kim TY (2005) Systems biotechnology for strain improvement. Trends
Biotechnol 23:349

Liberles DA (2007) Using phylogeny to understand genomic evolution Parsimony,
Phylogeny, and Genomics. Oxford University Press

Lind PA, Andersson DI (2013) Fitness costs of synonymous mutations in the rpsT gene
can be compensated by restoring mRNA base pairing. PLoS One 8:e63373

Lind PA, Tobin C, Berg OG, Kurland CG, Andersson DI (2010) Compensatory gene amplification restores fitness after inter-species gene replacements. Mol Microbiol 75:1078

Lunzer M, Golding GB, Dean AM (2010) Pervasive cryptic epistasis in molecular evolution. PLoS Genet 6:e1001162

Lunzer M, Milter SP, Felsheim R, Dean AM (2005) The biochemical architecture of an ancient adaptive landscape. Science 310:499

Lynch VJ, Wagner GP (2008) Resurrecting the role of transcription factor change in developmental evolution. Evolution 62:2131

Maddamsetti R, Lenski RE, Barrick JE (2016) Adaptation, Clonal Interference, and Frequency-Dependent Interactions in a Long-Term Evolution Experiment with Escherichia coli. Genetics 200: 2

Merkl R, Sterner R (2016) Reconstruction of ancestral enzymes. Perspectives in Science

Michener JK, Camargo Neves AA, Vuilleumier S, Bringel F, Marx CJ (2014) Effective use of a horizontally-transferred pathway for dichloromethane catabolism requires post-transfer refinement. Elife 3

Nandagopal N, Elowitz MB (2011) Synthetic biology: integrated gene circuits. Science 333:1244

Negrutskii BS, El'skaya AV (1998) Eukaryotic translation elongation factor 1 alpha: structure, expression, functions, and possible role in aminoacyl-tRNA channeling. Prog Nucleic Acid Res Mol Biol 60:47

Nesvizhskii AI, Keller A, Kolker E, Aebersold R (2003) A statistical model for identifying proteins by tandem mass spectrometry. Anal Chem 75:4646

Nevoigt E, Kohnke J, Fischer CR, Alper H, Stahl U, Stephanopoulos G (2006) Engineering of promoter replacement cassettes for fine-tuning of gene expression in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Appl Environ Microbiol 72:5266

Nyerges A, Csorgo B, Nagy I, Balint B, Bihari P, Lazar V, Apjok G, Umenhoffer K, Bogos B, Posfai G, Pal C (2016) A highly precise and portable genome engineering

method allows comparison of mutational effects across bacterial species. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 113:2502

Ogawa T, Shirai T (2014) Tracing ancestral specificity of lectins: ancestral sequence reconstruction method as a new approach in protein engineering. Methods Mol Biol 1200:539

Pagel M (1999) Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution. Nature 401:877

Pal C, Papp B, Posfai G (2014) The dawn of evolutionary genome engineering. Nat Rev Genet 15:504

Paoletti AC, Washburn MP (2006) Quantitation in proteomic experiments utilizing mass spectrometry. Biotechnol Genet Eng Rev 22:1

Pauling L, Zuckerkandl E (1963) Chemical Paleogenetics Molecular Restoration Studies of Extinct Forms of Life. Acta Chemica Scandinavica 17:S9

Peisajovich SG, Garbarino JE, Wei P, Lim WA (2010) Rapid diversification of cell signaling phenotypes by modular domain recombination. Science 328:368

Pena MI, Van Itallie E, Bennett MR, Shamoo Y (2010) Evolution of a single gene highlights the complexity underlying molecular descriptions of fitness. Chaos 20:026107

Pereira-Leal JB, Levy ED, Teichmann SA (2006) The origins and evolution of functional modules: lessons from protein complexes. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 361:507

Phillips KN, Castillo G, Wünsche A, Cooper TF (2016) Adaptation of Escherichia coli to glucose promotes evolvability in lactose Evolution 70:2

Quan S, Ray JC, Kwota Z, Duong T, Balazsi G, Cooper TF, Monds RD (2012) Adaptive evolution of the lactose utilization network in experimentally evolved populations of Escherichia coli. PLoS Genet 8:e1002444

Sandoval NR, Kim JY, Glebes TY, Reeder PJ, Aucoin HR, Warner JR, Gill RT (2012) Strategy for directing combinatorial genome engineering in Escherichia coli. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109:10540

Schauer KL, Freund DM, Prenni JE, Curthoys NP (2013) Proteomic profiling and pathway analysis of the response of rat renal proximal convoluted tubules to metabolic acidosis. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol 305:F628

Schnell R, Abdulkarim F, Kalman M, Isaksson LA (2003) Functional EF-Tu with large C-terminal extensions in an E-coli strain with a precise deletion of both chromosomal tuf genes. Febs Letters 538:139

Shazand K, Tucker J, Grunberg-Manago M, Rabinowitz JC, Leighton T (1993) Similar organization of the nusA-infB operon in Bacillus subtilis and Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 175:2880

Storz JF, Bridgham JT, Kelly SA, Garland T, Jr. (2015) Genetic approaches in comparative and evolutionary physiology. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 309:R197

Thornton JW (2004) Resurrecting ancient genes: experimental analysis of extinct molecules. Nat Rev Genet 5:366

Tubulekas I, Hughes D (1993) Growth and translation elongation rate are sensitive to the concentration of EF-Tu. Mol Microbiol 8:761

Ugalde JA, Chang BS, Matz MV (2004) Evolution of coral pigments recreated. Science 305:1433

Urbanczyk H, Furukawa T, Yamamoto Y, Dunlap PV (2012) Natural replacement of vertically inherited lux-rib genes of Photobacterium aquimaris by horizontally acquired homologues. Environ Microbiol Rep 4:412

Van Delft JH, Schmidt DS, Bosch L (1987) The tRNA-tufB operon transcription termination and processing upstream from tufB. J Mol Biol 197:647

van der Meide PH, Vijgenboom E, Talens A, Bosch L (1983) The role of EF-Tu in the expression of tufA and tufB genes. Eur J Biochem 130:397

Wang HH, Isaacs FJ, Carr PA, Sun ZZ, Xu G, Forest CR, Church GM (2009) Programming cells by multiplex genome engineering and accelerated evolution. Nature 460:894

Wright PC, Jaffe S, Noirel J, Zou X (2013) Opportunities for protein interaction network-
guided cellular engineering. IUBMB Life 65:17

Xu P, Vansiri A, Bhan N, Koffas MA (2012) ePathBrick: a synthetic biology platform for
engineering metabolic pathways in E. coli. ACS Synth Biol 1:256

Zengel JM, Lindahl L (1982) A secondary promoter for elongation factor Tu synthesis in
the str ribosomal protein operon of Escherichia coli. Mol Gen Genet 185:487

Zhou Y, Asahara H, Gaucher EA, Chong S (2012) Reconstitution of translation from
Thermus thermophilus reveals a minimal set of components sufficient for protein
synthesis at high temperatures and functional conservation of modern and
ancient translation components. Nucleic Acids Research 40:7932

Zhu GP, Golding GB, Dean AM (2005) The selective cause of an ancient adaptation.
Science 307:1279

Zotenko E, Mestre J, O'Leary DP, Przytycka TM (2008) Why do hubs in the yeast
protein interaction network tend to be essential: reexamining the connection
between the network topology and essentiality. PLoS Comput Biol 4:e1000140

16
17

# Table Captions

**Table 1**

Parallel mutations in genes for six, initially identical, independently evolved populations harboring an ancient EF-Tu.

Top part represents the genes that accumulated mutations in at least three populations containing the ancient EF-Tu gene and occupied the population by minimum 20% across generation 500 to 2000 are shown for a total of 7 populations evolved in parallel. thrT/tuf represents the intergenic region between ancient EF-Tu gene and thrT gene. Bottom three are the mutated genes that are specific only to the single lineage that did not accumulate a mutation in the thrT/tuf region (referred as Rip 2). Mutations were detected in genes shown with asterisk are the genes in which mutations were detected in at least one population containing the native EF-Tu gene. Prior studies that report mutations in genes reported here include (Barrick et al. 2009, Maddamsetti et al. 2015, Dillon et al. 2016, Conrad 2009, Herron 2013 and Philips et al. 2014)

# Figure Captions

**Figure I**

Overall experimental scheme

A) Phylogenetic reconstruction allows inferences of the ancestral protein sequence. Replacement of the endogenous EF-Tu gene (orange) with the reconstructed ancient EF-Tu (black) allele is indicated by the black-orange hybrid.  B) The hybrid population was subjected to adaptive laboratory evolution through daily propagation and transfer of cultures in minimal media C) Relative fitness of evolved populations were assessed via competitive fitness assays as described by Elena 2005, followed by the identification of mutations through whole-genome sequencing and the characterization of the mutants via in-vivo and in-vitro assays.

**Figure II**

Fitness values of E. coli populations relative to the ancestral strain during adaptive

evolution

Replacement of the endogenous EF-Tu gene with the reconstructed ancient EF-

Tu allele significantly reduces the fitness of the ancient-modern hybrid relative to

the original strain (blue dashed line). Hybrid population mean fitness rapidly

improved during experimental evolution in minimal glucose medium (blue line).

E. coli ΔtufA represents the bacteria that contain a single tufB gene Fitness

change in E. coli ΔtufA is shown in orange. Error bars show 95 % confidence

interval among six replicate populations for each system. Red line represents

the average total number of genomic changes relative to the ancestor in each

sampled hybrid lineage. Mutations are total number of genomic changes relative

to the ancestor in each sampled lineage that reach to over 20% fixation.

**Figure III**

Analysis of the mutations accumulated in the cis-regulatory region thrT/tufB

A) The thrT/tufB promoter region in which five of six evolved hybrid populations

were found to have accumulated mutations. B) The allelic frequency of the

mutations located in ancient EF-Tu gene's promoter region per generation per

population during laboratory evolution.

**Figure IV**

A) Relative abundance of ancient EF-Tu protein among evolved hybrid strains

using the peak area quantification from MS proteomics data. Error bars obtained

using Anova/t-test.

B) Growth rates of an isogenic strain of E. coli REL606 lacking the *tufA* gene, as

well as the unevolved ancient-modern hybrid E. coli was evaluated in the

presence of with Anhydrotetracycline (ATC) inducer. Strains were induced with

500 mg/mL ATC in rich growth media for 3-4 hours to achieve proper induction.

Cells from these fresh induced cultures were inoculated in 96-well plates and

grown at 37°C with a starting $OD_{600}$ of ~0.06 under respective ATC

condition. Doubling times were determined by fitting the exponential growth

curves with an exponential function.

**Figure V**

Fitness change after deletion of nusA gene from the ancestral and evolved

bacterial genome. (Left) Bacterial constructs with nusA knockouts are

constructed and competed against the native E. coli bacteria for fitness

measurement. (Right) The interaction between the native EF-Tu, ancient EF-Tu

and nusA variants are measured via in vitro Isothermal Calorimetry binding

assays.

# Supplementary Material Captions

**Supplementary Figure I**

Recombineering outline for the allelic replacement of the tufA with the ancient EF-Tu gene

**Supplementary Figure II**

A) Effect of mutations on the expression of reporter gene for the EF-Tu promoter region measured using luciferase reporter assay. B) Relative whole cell concentration of EF-Tu (percent) based on MALDI TOF MS detection

**Supplemental Figure III**

The replacement of the modern EF-Tu with the ancient EF-Tu caused E. coli to be maladapted. As the ancient-modern hybrid populations evolve, the doubling time reduces from ~70 minutes to ~45 minutes. Each red line represents the mean doubling time calculated via three representative clones for each lineage (n=7) in minimal glucose media (DM25).

**Supplemental Figure IV**

ITC profiles for the titration of NusA to EF-Tu. NusA protein that was purified from plasmids pLT20 (wild-type nusA) (a and c), pLT21 (mutant nusA with 27bp deletion in C-terminal, nusA ΔCTD27) (b and d) was injected to 10 μM wild type (a and b) and ancient EF-Tu (c and d), respectively. The upper panel of each figure represents the raw plots of enthalpy for each injection (μcal/s) against time (min). The corresponding bottom panels show integrated heats (closed squares) in each injection against mole ratio. The data points were fitted to a one-site model, suggesting that native EF-Tu interacts with nusA with a Kd of 14.6 ± 5.2 μM.

**Supplemental Figure V**

A) SDS PAGE analysis of the ribosomal elongation complex shows that NusA cannot bind to 70S ribosome. Initiation complex (containing 70S ribosome, initiation factors Kd (µM) Wild type NusA NusA Δ9 Wild type EF-Tu 14.6 ± 5.2 153.6 ± 5.4 Ancient EF-Tu 30.1 ± 2.6 680 ± 66 (IF1, IF2 and IF3), XR7-ML mRNA and fMet-tRNAfMet) was mixed with elongation mix (containing EF-Tu GTPase mutant H84A, EF-Ts, Leu tRNA synthetase, Leucine) in the absence and presence of NusA. After incubating the reactions for 10s the mixes were loaded directly on 37% sucrose cushion (100 ml). The samples were centrifuged at 80,000 rpm for 2 hours at 4°C. Ribosomal pellets were loaded in the SDS-PAGE. The gel was stained with Coomassie blue and only the upper part of the gel showing bands corresponding to 30S protein S1, EF-Tu H84A and NusA is displayed. EF-Tu H84A was chosen to show EF-Tu retention in the ribosome since this mutant EF-Tu is defective in release.

The lanes contained following samples:

1. 70S initiation complex (IC), 2. Elongation mix (EM), 3. EF-Tu H84A protein,

4. 70S IC + EM + NusA, 5. NusA protein alone,

6. 70S IC + EM + NusAD27CTD, 7. NusAΔ9 alone,

8. 70S IC + EM, 9. 70S ribosome

The absence of NusA bands in lanes 4 and 6 suggest the lack of binding of NusA to the 70S ribosome.

**Supplementary Figure VI**

NusA protein structure highlighting the two alleles observed during the adaptive

laboratory evolution.  Nus A protein is made up of a dual repeated acidic domain

of 70 residues. These modules are referred to as acidic repeats (AR) 1 and 2.

Mutations are located in the C-Terminal, away from the its N-protein binding

site.

**Supplementary Figure VII**

Sequence alignment of the reconstructed ancestral and present-day NusA

protein.

14
15
16
17

1 # Figures

3 **Figure I**

**a) Phylogenetic and In-vivo resurrection**

Modern Bacteria

Engineered Ancient-Modern Hybrid Bacteria

Ancestral Bacteria

**b) Laboratory Evolution**
Selection pressure: Environment & Synthetic Ancient Gene

1:100  1:100  1:100  1:100

Δt  Δt  Δt

Repeat

1:100  1:100  1:100  1:100

Δt  Δt  Δt

Repeat

**c) Characterization**

Fitness

Mutations

Time = Generation

Ancient Gene

Identify mutations:
Biochemical, genetic and system level characterization

4
5

1 **Figure II**
2
3

4
5

1    **Figure III**

1    **Figure IVa**

1    **Figure IVb**

1 **Figure V**

1    **Table I**

| Gene | Function | Number of lineages that accumulated a non-synonymous mutation over 20% frequency | | | | Present in non-hybrid lines? | Previously reported for LTEE? |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Generation | | | | | |
| | | 500 | 1000 | 1500 | 2000 | | |
| mreB | cell wall structural complex MreBCD, actin-like component MreB | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | Yes | Yes |
| mrdB | cell wall shape-determining protein | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | Yes | Yes |
| thrT/tuf | tRNA-Thr/protein chain elongation factor EF-Tu | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | No | No |
| fadA | acetyl-CoA acetyltransferase | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | No | Yes |
| ftsZ | cell division protein FtsZ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | No | Yes |
| iclR | DNA-binding transcriptional repressor | - | 1 | 4 | 3 | No | Yes |
| accC | acetyl-CoA carboxylase | - | 1 | 4 | 5 | Yes | Yes |
| pykF | pyruvate kinase | - | 1 | 3 | 5 | Yes | Yes |
| topA | DNA topo isomerase | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Yes | Yes |
| nusA | transcription elongation factor NusA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | No | Yes |
| infB | translation initiation factor IF-2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | No | Yes |
| hupA | HU, DNA-binding transcriptional regulator, alpha subunit | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | No | Yes |