1

Lateral Genetic Transfers Between

Eukaryotes and Bacteriophages

5   Sarah R. Bordenstein[a] and Seth R. Bordenstein* [a,b]

7   **Affiliations:**

8   [a]Department of Biological Sciences, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37232, USA.

9   [b]Department of Pathology, Microbiology, and Immunology, Vanderbilt University,

10   Nashville, TN 37232, USA.

Viruses are trifurcated into eukaryotic, archaeal and bacterial categories. This

domain-specific ecology underscores why eukaryotic genes are typically co-opted by

eukaryotic viruses and bacterial genes are commonly found in bacteriophages.

However, the presence of bacteriophages in symbiotic bacteria that obligately reside

in eukaryotes may promote eukayotic DNA transfers to bacteriophages. By

sequencing full genomes from purified bacteriophage WO particles of *Wolbachia,*

we discover a novel eukaryotic association module with various animal proteins

domains, such as the black widow latrotoxin-CTD, that are uninterrupted in intact

bacteriophage genomes, enriched with eukaryotic protease cleavage sites, and

combined with additional domains to forge some of the largest bacteriophage genes

(up to 14,256 bp). These various protein domain families are central to eukaryotic

functions and have never before been reported in packaged bacteriophages, and

their phylogeny, distribution and sequence diversity implies lateral transfer from

animal to bacteriophage genomes. We suggest that the evolution of these eukaryotic

protein domains in bacteriophage WO parallels the evolution of eukaryotic genes in

canonical eukaryotic viruses, namely those commandeered for viral life cycle

adaptations. Analogous selective pressures and evolutionary outcomes may occur in

bacteriophage WO as a result of its "two-fold cell challenge" to persist in and

traverse cells of obligate intracellular bacteria that strictly reside in animal cells.

Finally, the full WO genome sequences and identification of attachment sites will

advance eventual genetic manipulation of *Wolbachia* for disease control strategies.

Viruses are the most abundant and diverse biological entities in the biosphere[1,2]. Infecting

organisms across the tree of life, they associate with every ecosystem on the planet[3].

They are generally classified into polythetic groups according to ecological niche and

mode of replication[4,5]. While any cellular domain can be infected by a virus, no extant

virus is known to traverse more than one domain[6,7]. This domain-specific ecology of

viruses underpins the current taxonomic paradigm of trifurcating viruses into eukaryotic,

archaeal and bacterial categories, along with recent reappraisals of whether viruses

constitute a fourth domain of life[8-10]. As a result of this domain-specific ecology, viruses

often integrate host genes via specific highways of lateral gene transfer. Eukaryotic

viruses tend to hijack genes directly from their eukaryotic hosts to evade, manipulate and

counter-strike anti-viral immune responses[11,12], with the exception of some giant viruses

that appear to acquire genes from all domains of life[13]. Bacterial viruses, or

bacteriophages (phages), only integrate genetic material from their bacterial hosts

including toxin[14], photosynthesis[15] and pigment biosynthesis genes[16] that contribute to

the fitness of their bacterial host. To date, however, there is no archetypal case of phage

particles harboring genomes with eukaryotic DNA.

While all viruses are specific to one of the three domains of life, some bacteriophages

target obligate intracellular bacteria of eukaryotic cells. For instance, phage WO infects

the obligate intracellular alpha-proteobacteria *Wolbachia*, which in turn infect an

estimated 40% of the most speciose group of animals worldwide - arthropods (as well as

filarial nematodes). They cause a range of host reproductive pathologies[17,18], primarily

infect the cells of host reproductive tissues, exist in Golgi-derived vesicles within the

eukaryotic cytoplasm, and are enclosed by a bacterial cell membrane and one or more

eukaryotic-derived membranes[19-21]. Nearly all sequenced *Wolbachia* genomes, with the

exception of those acting as obligate mutualists, harbor prophage WO[22-24]. They encode

conserved structural modules (e.g., head, tail, baseplate) and exhibit *Caudovirales*

morphology in electron micrographs of purified phages[23,25-30]. Electron microscopy and

quantitative analyses indicate that prophages undergo a lytic phase capable of rupturing

bacterial and eukaryotic cell membranes, and phage WO occurs in the extracellular

matrix of arthropod gonads[27,31]. Therefore, phage WO appears to uniquely contend with

the cellular exit, entry and defense mechanisms of two separate domains of life. WO is

also a promising tool for genome editing of *Wolbachia* that has thus far been refractory to

genetic modifcation. Until now, the genomes of bacteriophage WO particles have not

been fully sequenced and assembled into circular genomes, and their attachment sites and

bacterial integration sites are unresolved.

<u>RESULTS</u>

Here we report the first metagenomic analysis of phage WO particles from *w*VitA-

infected *Nasonia giraulti* wasps and *w*CauB-infected *Ephestia kuehniella* moths. We

identify the phage attachment sites and insertion regions and show from fully sequenced

genomes that WO harbor all formerly described phage genetic modules (lysogeny,

baseplate, head, replication, virulence, tail and patatin[32]) as well as a new group of genes

with atypical protein domains indicative of eukaryotic interaction. We collectively group

these genes, which include one of the largest genes in bacteriophages to date, into a novel

"Eukaryotic Association Module" (EAM, white box, Fig. 1). The EAM features genes

that (i) encode protein domains and cleavage sites central to eukaryotic functions, (ii)

frequently undergo horizontal transfer between phage and metazoan hosts, (iii) can be

much longer (up to 14,256 bp) than those in the bacterial chromosome, (iv) are absent

from mutualistic, phage-free genomes such as the bedbug-infecting *w*Cle[33] and filarial

nematode-infecting *w*Bm and *w*Oo[34,35]. They occur in all complete phage WO haplotypes

(Supplementary Information Table 1).

Figure 1 | Complete phage WO genomes harbor a novel Eukaryotic Association

Module (EAM).

To verify the newly discovered EAM in the phage genome, we identified the terminal

phage WO genes and Sanger sequenced amplicons from an independent sample of phage

WOVitA1 (Fig. 1a) across the circularized phage *attP* site (hypothetical protein

gwv_1089 to recombinase, Supplementary Information Fig. 1). Next, using the newly

identified *attR* and *attL* sites, we extrapolated the bacterial *attB* site in WOVitA1, which

is a noncoding, repetitive sequence in *Wolbachia* from *Nasonia* wasps (Supplementary

Information Fig. 1e). The full length of the completely assembled circular WOVitA1 is

66,688 bp, which is 48% larger than any previous prophage WO annotation. Similarly,

we identified the new terminal ends of the WOCauB3 phage (23,099 bp (51%) larger

than original estimate of 45,078 bp) along with internal localization of the EAM genes by

Sanger sequencing its *attP* site [Domain of Unknown Function (DUF)2426 to

recombinase]. While we were not able to assemble a complete contig for WOCauB2, it is

more than 12,000 bp larger than the original estimate of 43,016, includes multiple

ankyrin repeat genes homologous to those in WOVitA1, and, like many other phage

haplotypes (e.g., WORiC, WOVitA2, WOSuziC), integrates directly into *Wolbachia*'s

magnesium chelatase (*chlI*) gene.

We then analyzed each phage WO protein domain for homology and surrounding peptide

architecture. Unlike the single domain architecture of phage WO's structural genes, EAM

genes are highly polymorphic and encompass fusions of both eukaryotic and bacterial

protein domains. By extending the analysis to include homologous prophage regions

from all sequenced *Wolbachia* chromosomes, ten types of protein domains with putative

eukaryotic functions were revealed spanning four predicted functions: (i) toxins, (ii) host-

microbe interactions, (iii) host cell suicide, and (iv) secretion of proteins through the cell

membrane (Fig. 2). Notably, over half of these domain types (6/10; latrotoxin-CTD,

PRANC, NACHT, SecA, gwv_1093-NTD, Octomom-NTD) share greater amino acid

homology to eukaryotic invertebrates than to bacteria in GenBank. Among this subset

with eukaryotic sequence homology, the protein domains are almost exclusively found in

the EAM region (N=17) versus the *Wolbachia* chromosome (N=2). This pattern differs

from other EAM protein domains with bacterial homology, which are equally dispersed

in phage WO (N=19) and the *Wolbachia* chromosome (N=18) (Fig. 2, Fisher's Exact

Test, p = 0.0072). This difference importantly indicates that the eukaryotic-like protein

domains are highly enriched in the EAM, suggesting a near exclusive role in phage WO

biology.

Figure 2 | Eukaryotic-like EAM genes are enriched in prophage WO regions in the

***Wolbachia* chromosome.**

Latrotoxin C-terminal domain (CTD) is the most prevalent eukaryotic domain in phage

WO. Originally described for its major role in the venom of widow spiders (*Latrodectus*

species), latrotoxins cause the formation of membrane pores in their vertebrate or

invertebrate victims. Phylogenetic analysis indicates that the latrotoxin-CTD horizontally

transferred between widow spiders and phage WO (Fig. 3). In addition, reciprocal search

queries using homologous spider and phage CTDs return the same BLASTp hits shown

in Fig. 3. These taxa occur in overlapping ecological niches (*Wolbachia* are known to

infect spiders of the family *Theridiidae*) in which gene transfers are more likely to

happen[36,37]. We also confirmed the presence of *Wolbachia* in three independent

Latrodectus geometricus samples by amplifying *Wolbachia* 16S rDNA and *wsp*

membrane protein genes. The transfer event was apparently followed by a relatively more

recent transfer from phage WO back to animals in the *Aedes aegypti* genome where the

region is located between genes of mosquito origin [fibrinogen-related protein

(AAEL004156) and GalE3 (AAEL004196)], or *A. aegypti* was the putative donor of the

domain to phage WO, followed by a recursive transfer to black widow spiders.

Latrotoxin-CTD is universally located at the 3'-terminal ends of both conserved spider

latrotoxin genes[38] and enormous, polymorphic, and eukaryotic-like phage WO genes (up

to 14,256 bp). Notably, phage WO CTD sequences have the highest amino acid similarity

to black widow spider homologs that target invertebrates, which are the primary hosts of

Wolbachia. There is also a high incidence of eukaryotic furin cleavage sites that

immediately precede the latrotoxin-CTD. In spiders, cleavage at these sites by the

eukaryotic furin protease in the trans-Golgi network or extracellular matrix is required for

latrotoxin activation before the toxin exerts its effects upon the victim[39-41]. We show that

all phage WO EAMs contain at least one site for eukaryotic furin cleavage

(Supplementary Information Table 2), and the proportion of all EAM genes with

predicted furin cleavage sites (25%) is two-fold greater than that of the genes in the core

phage genome (11%, Fisher's Exact Test, $p < 0.0001$), defined as the conserved

bacteriophage region from recombinase to patatin. In regards to the phage WO latrotoxin-

CTD, their packaging in virions, conservation of eukaryotic furin cleaveage sites, large

eukaryotic-like length, and reduced CTD divergence relative to the spider venom CTD is

consistent with their eukaryotic origin and post-translational processing by furin

peptidases.

Figure 3 | Latrotoxin-CTD phylogeny and protein architecture reveal lateral genetic

transfers between black widow spiders and bacteriophage WO.

Domains central to modifying animal proteins are also abundant in the phage EAM. The

Pox protein Repeats of ANkyrin C terminus (PRANC) domain in the WOVitA1 genome

(gwv_1092) shares protein sequence homology with corresponding PRANC domains in

multiple parasitic wasp hosts (Supplementary Information Table 3) and their eukaryotic

viruses. Reciprocal BLASTp searches retrieve the same best hits and support previous

findings that this protein domain horizontally transferred between eukaryotic viruses,

animals, and *Proteobacteria*[42]. The discovery here of the eukaryotic-like PRANC domain

in phage WO parallels its presence in the *Poxviridae* virus family, in which it functions in

evasion of eukaryotic immune responses via modification of host ubiquitination. PRANC

is related to amino acid sequences in F-box proteins, which are eukaryotic proteins

involved in protein degradation. The PRANC domain also occurs in vaccina virus,

ectromelia virus, cowpox virus and Orf virus and can regulate NF-κB signalling pathway

to inhibit transcription of inflammatory cytokines[43].

Adjacent to the PRANC-encoding gene in WOVitA1 is an ankyrin and tetratricopeptide

repeat (TPR)-containing *gwv_1093*. Ankyrin repeats and TPRs mediate a broad range of

protein-protein interactions (apoptosis, cell signaling, inflammatory response, etc.) within

eukaryotic cells and are commonly associated with effector proteins of certain

intracellular pathogens[44-48]. While generally rare in viral genomes (Supplementary

Information Fig. 2 and 3, respectively), they occur in all phage WO haplotypes from

sequenced *Wolbachia* genomes (N=23). Phylogenetic analysis using reciprocal BLASTp

hits (Fig. 4) shows that the N-terminus sequences of the TPR-containing gwv_1093 is

embedded within, and likely derived by horizontal transfer from, a deeper and more

diverse set of ancestral lineages in arthropods (Fig. 4b). The event was either followed by

a relatively recent recursive transfer from phage WO back to animals in the *Solenopsis*

invicta genome (Fig. 4c), where the gene is located between genes of ant origin (bicaudal

D and rho guanine nucleotide exchange factor 11), or *Solenopsis invicta* is the putative

donor of the region to phage WO.

Figure 4 | A conserved TPR and anyrin-repeat protein horizontally transferred

from eukaryotes to bacteriophage WO.

Another instance of genetic transfer between insects and bacteriophages involves the

programmed cell death (PCD) domain, NACHT (Fig. 5). Eukaryotic NACHT-containing

proteins are typically engaged in PCD by acting as pathogen-sensors and signal

transduction molecules of the innate immune system[49]. The polymorphic phage WO

homolog encodes ankyrin repeats and a latrotoxin-CTD directly downstream from the

conserved NTPase domain (Fig. 5a). NACHT domains have been identified in animals,

fungi and bacteria[50] and phylogenetic patterns indicate multiple instances of horizontal

transfer[51]. A NACHT-containing peptide was recently discovered in the *Clostridium*

difficile-infecting phage phiCDHM1 genome[52] although, in contrast to phage WO, the

phiCDHM1 NACHT domain is bacterial in both amino acid homology and protein

architecture. Similar to the phylogeny of the N-terminus of the TPR-containing

gwv_1093, the NACHT domain sequence in phage WO is embedded within, and likely

derived by horizontal transfer from, a deeper and more diverse set of ancestral variants in

arthropods (Fig. 5b,c).

Figure 5 | The programmed cell death domain, NACHT, horizontally transferred

from eukaryotes to bacteriophage WO.

<u>DISCUSSION</u>

This inaugural set of completely sequenced phage WO particle genomes, coupled with

reciprocal BLAST analyses, phylogenies, annotations of the conserved domains,

evolutionary distances, gene lengths, and enrichment of eukaryotic furin cleavage sites in

the phage EAM, reveals evidence for lateral genetic transfers from metazoans to

bacteriophage. The presence of eukaryotic protein domains in bacteriophage genomes is

of special note as they curiously mirror eukaryotic genes in large eukaryotic viruses that

aid in viral mimicry and manipulation of host processes[53-55]. Similarly in phage WO,

these animal protein domains are central to anti-eukaryotic functions including the black

widow latrotoxin, programmed cell death (NACHT), immune evasion (PRANC), and

protein-protein interactions. They have never before been reported in bacteriophage

genomes because phages have naturally been overlooked as recipients of eukaryotic

DNA.

Bacteriophage WO frequently transfer between *Wolbachia* coinfections in the same

animal host[56,57] and to the host genome as part of large transfers of the *Wolbachia*

chromosome[58,59]. We previously reported that they were also capable of transferring

adjacent flanking non-phage genes in the process of transfer between coinfections[60]. For

two of these flanking genes, sequence evidence indicated that *Wolbachia* genomes may

be able to receive eukaryotic DNA[61-63]. However, the nature of these lateral genetic

transfers remained to be validated and elucidated as these regions were not previously

known to be part of the packaged phage genome until now. Based on this work, we

suspect that systematic surveys of phage genomes in intimate host-associated bacteria

may uncover a broad range of eukaryotic protein domains involved in phage lifecycle

adaptations and phage-eukaryote interactions.

The mechanisms by which eukaryotic protein domains integrate into phage WO are

unknown, and could follow at least two models. First, animal genetic material could

directly transfer to WO genomes during phage particle packaging in the cytoplasm of

animal cells (Fig. 6a) or inside *Wolbachia* cells that are lysing and exposed to the

eukaryotic cytoplasmic environment. Packaging of eukaryotic host RNAs, for instance,

occur in the virions of herpesvirus[64,65] and cytomegalovirus[66]. Second, genes may trasnfer

from animal genomes to the *Wolbachia* chromosome and then to prophage WO.

However, for this scenario to be plausible, animal genetic material transferred

presumably in random locations in the *Wolbachia* genome would have to be

preferentially lost in non-phage associated domains from the *Wolbachia* chromosome

(Fig. 6b) because domains with eukaryotic homology are extremely enriched in the

phage/prophage WO EAM versus the rest of the chromosome (Fig. 2).

Figure 6 | Models of DNA transfer between eukaryotes and bacteriophages

Why are these protein domains present in the EAM of bacteriophage WO? Phages of

obligate intracellular bacteria are contained within both bacterial and eukaryotic

membranes and can possess an enigmatic "two-fold cell challenge". They may not only

have to breach peptidoglycan and permeabilize bacterial membranes, but they may also

have to exit (and enter) across the eukaryotic membrane(s) that directly encapsulates the

bacteria. Functional studies of homologous domains (i.e., PRANC and NACHT) suggest

that these proteins could have eukaryotic viral-like properties that are deployed in

processes such as the lysis of eukaryotic cells and post-translational modification of host

proteins[67,68]. Phage WO can dwell in the eukaryotic cytoplasm and extracellular matrix

that they encounter upon bacterial lysis[31], raising the possibility of direct interaction with

the host's biology.

Chlamydiomicroviridae infect obligate intracellular bacteria, yet still do not directly

contend with the eukaryotic membrane. Rather, they attach to dormant chlamydial cells

(i.e., reticulate bodies) and enter via phagocytosis or endocytosis of the bacteria[69]. The

phages then alter development of their bacterial host, which leads to disintegration of the

chlamydial inclusion and subsequent lysis of the eukaryotic host cell[70,71]. The nature of

phage WO's lifestyle, on the other hand, may require a distinct interaction with multiple

membranes and immune responses because lytic activity of phage WO has been

associated with typical bacterial cell defects including degraded bacterial DNA, a

detached inner membrane, and exit of the phage particles from inside *Wolbachia* and its

host cell into the extracellular matrix of the reproductive tissues[31]. Bacteriophages of

free-living bacteria also regularly colonize eukaryotic environments, particularly those

associated with mucosal surfaces[72]. They, however, do not infect or traverse the

eukaryotic membrane and are still within the genomic boundaries of the bacterial

virosphere.

Temperate dsDNA phages also occur in facultative symbionts of aphids[73] and tsetse

flies[74]. While *Wolbachia* has never successfully been cultured outside of host cells[75],

these facultative symbionts can replicate both intra- and extracellularly (JW Brandt,

personal communication, July 2015;[76]) suggesting that their phages are not constrained

by the same two-fold cell challenge. In addition, their phages encode a traditional lytic

cassette (holin and lysozyme) that correlates with the need to deal only with bacterial

membranes. In some cases, the phages harbor bacterial-derived toxins that target

eukaryotic cells[77], and these function mutualistically in aphids by arresting parasitoid

wasp larvae[73]. Furthermore, unlike phage WO, these phages are readily lost in the

absence of parasitoids during laboratory rearing, presumably due to the cost of their

toxins[78].

In addition to providing new insights into the evolution of bacteriophages and showing

phage WO to be far more complex than previously described, the findings here reveal

that phage evolution in *Wolbachia* leads to a novel example of phage-metazoan genomic

chimerism. Acquistion and retooling of intact eukaryotic domains in phage WO appears

to be analgous to the commandeering of host genes by eukaryotic viruses. Whether this

newly discovered highway of lateral genetic transfer is common in the symbiotic

virosphere remains to be determined.

METHODS

Insect and Bacterial Strains

The transfected line of the Mediterranean flour moth *Ephestia kuehniella* harboring

Wolbachia strain *w*CauB was obtained from Takema Fukatsu and Tetsuhiko Sasaki[26].

Moths were maintained at 24°C and 70% humidity on a diet consisting of wheat bran,

glycerol and dried yeast (20:2:1 w/w). The introgressed line of the parasitoid wasp

Nasonia giraulti harboring *Wolbachia* strain *w*VitA, termed IntG12.1, was previously

derived by repeatedly backcrossing *N. vitripennis* (strain 12.1) females to *N. giraulti*

males for nine generations[79]. The strain was incubated at 25°C using the flesh fly

Sarcophaga bullata as host.

Phage Particle Purification

Phage particles were isolated according to Fujii et al[26] with modifications. Approximately

4 g of adult insects were homogenized in 29.6 ml cold SM buffer (50mM Tris-HCl, pH

7.5, 0.1 M NaCl, 10mM $MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$, and 0.1% (w/v) gelatin). NaCl and RNase A

were added to a final concentration of 1M and 1ug/ml, respectively. The homogenate was

incubated on a shaker at 4°C for 1 h and then centrifuged at 13,000g for 10 min at 4°C.

Polyethylene glycol (PEG) 6000 was added to a final concentration of 10% to precipitate

phage particles, incubated at 4°C for 1 hr with gentle shaking and centrifuged at 13,000g

for 10 min. The pellet was resuspended in 5 ml TM buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 10

mM $MgCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$) and mixed with an equal volume chloroform. The suspension was

centrifuged at 3,000g to remove PEG and the aqueous phase was filtered through a 0.22

um filter to remove bacterial cells. The suspension was centrifuged at 60,000g for 1 h at

4C to collect phage particles. The pellet was suspended in 10 ul TM buffer.

Phage DNA Extraction & Metagenomic Sequencing

The phage suspension was treated with RQ1 RNase-Free DNase (Promega) for 30 min at

37˚C, followed by heat inactivation for 10 min at 65˚C, to remove host DNA

contamination. Phage DNA was extracted from the suspension using the QIAamp

MinElute Virus Spin Kit (Qiagen) and amplified using the REPLI-g Mini Kit (Qiagen).

Following amplification, paired-end DNA libraries were prepared according to

manufacturer's (Illumina) instructions and samples were sequenced with an Illumina

HiSeq 2000 (2×100-nt read length).

Bioinformatics & Statistics

Metagenomic sequences (reads) were trimmed, paired and assembled into contigs using

the CLC Assembler (CLC bio) with bubble size = 50, insertion and deletion cost = 3,

mismatch cost = 2, length fraction = 0.6, minimum contig size = 130, similarity = 0.5,

minimum distance = 90 and maximum distance = 200. Contigs were compared to the

GenBank non-redundant database using NCBI's BLASTN

(http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) and those with similarity to phage WO and/or

Wolbachia (E-value $<10^{-10}$) were manually annotated using Geneious (Biomatters Ltd.).

Individual reads were mapped to reference sequences using Geneious. Open reading

frame (ORF) homology searches were performed to determine putative function using

NCBI's BLASTP (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) and Wellcome Trust Sanger

Institute's pfam database (http://pfam.sanger.ac.uk). Coiled coil domains were predicted

with EMBL's Simple Modular Architecture Research Tool (SMART, http://smart.embl-

heidelberg.de). Furin cleavage sites were identified using PiTou

(http://www.nuolan.net/reference.html). The number of genes with and without furin

cleavage sites was analyzed with respect to phage-region using Fisher's Exact Test

(GraphPad Software). Phylogenetic trees were built using the Bayes plugin in Geneious

and model selection for each Bayes analysis was estimated using ProtTest [80].

Confirmation of Phage WO Terminal Genes

Genomic DNA was extracted from *w*VitA-infected *N. vitripennis* (strain 12.1) and

*w*CauB-infected *E. kuehniella* individuals using the Gentra Puregene Tissue Kit (Qiagen).

Primers were designed for both WOVitA1 and WOCauB3 *att* sites, respectively:

VitA1_attF (5'- CGA AGA ACC AGC ACA GGG TGG-3'), VitA1_attR (5'- GCT GGA

AGA GGG CAT CTG CAT C-3'), CauB3_attF (5'- TCG TGA CTG CCC TAT TGC

TGC T – 3') and CauB3_attR (5'- ATG CGG CCA AAG CTG GGT GT – 3').

Amplification was performed in a Veriti thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems) using

GoTaq green master mix (Promega) under the following conditions: 94C for 2 min; 35

cycles of 94C for 30 s, 53C for 30 s, 72C for 1 min; and a final elongation cycle of 72C

for 10 min. PCR products were sequenced via Sanger sequencing (Genewiz, Inc).

REFERENCES

1. Edwards, R.A. & Rohwer, F. Viral metagenomics. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **3**, 504-10
(2005).
2. Hendrix, R.W., Smith, M.C., Burns, R.N., Ford, M.E. & Hatfull, G.F. Evolutionary
relationships among diverse bacteriophages and prophages: all the world's a
phage. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **96**, 2192-7 (1999).
3. Suttle, C.A. Viruses in the sea. *Nature* **437**, 356-61 (2005).
4. Brussow, H. The not so universal tree of life or the place of viruses in the living
world. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **364**, 2263-74 (2009).
5. King, A.M.Q., Adams, M.J., Lefkowitz, E.J. & Carstens, E.B. *Virus taxonomy:*
classification and nomenclature of viruses: Ninth Report of the International
Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses., 1327 (Elsevier, San Diego, 2012).
6. Nasir, A., Forterre, P., Kim, K.M. & Caetano-Anolles, G. The distribution and
impact of viral lineages in domains of life. *Front Microbiol* **5**, 194 (2014).
7. Prangishvili, D., Forterre, P. & Garrett, R.A. Viruses of the Archaea: a unifying
view. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **4**, 837-48 (2006).
8. Forterre, P. Giant viruses: conflicts in revisiting the virus concept. *Intervirology*
53, 362-78 (2010).
9. Raoult, D. TRUC or the need for a new microbial classification. *Intervirology* **56**,
349-53 (2013).
10. Nasir, A. & Caetano-Anolles, G. A phylogenomic data-driven exploration of viral
origins and evolution. *Science Advances* **1**(2015).
11. Elde, N.C. & Malik, H.S. The evolutionary conundrum of pathogen mimicry. *Nat*
Rev Microbiol **7**, 787-97 (2009).
12. Rappoport, N. & Linial, M. Viral proteins acquired from a host converge to
simplified domain architectures. *PLoS Comput Biol* **8**, e1002364 (2012).
13. Colson, P. & Raoult, D. Gene repertoire of amoeba-associated giant viruses.
Intervirology **53**, 330-43 (2010).
14. Canchaya, C., Fournous, G. & Brussow, H. The impact of prophages on bacterial
chromosomes. *Mol Microbiol* **53**, 9-18 (2004).
15. Lindell, D. *et al.* Transfer of photosynthesis genes to and from Prochlorococcus
viruses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **101**, 11013-8 (2004).
16. Dammeyer, T., Bagby, S.C., Sullivan, M.B., Chisholm, S.W. & Frankenberg-Dinkel,
N. Efficient phage-mediated pigment biosynthesis in oceanic cyanobacteria. *Curr*
Biol **18**, 442-8 (2008).
17. Werren, J.H., Baldo, L. & Clark, M.E. Wolbachia: master manipulators of
invertebrate biology. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **6**, 741-51 (2008).
18. Zug, R. & Hammerstein, P. Still a host of hosts for Wolbachia: analysis of recent
data suggests that 40% of terrestrial arthropod species are infected. *PLoS One* **7**,
e38544 (2012).
19. Cho, K.O., Kim, G.W. & Lee, O.K. Wolbachia bacteria reside in host Golgi-related
vesicles whose position is regulated by polarity proteins. *PLoS One* **6**, e22703
(2011).

20. Henrichfreise, B. *et al.* Functional conservation of the lipid II biosynthesis
pathway in the cell wall-less bacteria Chlamydia and Wolbachia: why is lipid II
needed? *Mol Microbiol* **73**, 913-23 (2009).
21. Louis, C. & Nigro, L. Ultrastructual evidence of Wolbachia Rickettsiales in
Drosophila simulans and their relationships with unidirectional cross-
incompatibility. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* **54**, 39-44 (1989).
22. Gavotte, L. *et al.* A Survey of the bacteriophage WO in the endosymbiotic
bacteria Wolbachia. *Mol Biol Evol* **24**, 427-35 (2007).
23. Kent, B.N. & Bordenstein, S.R. Phage WO of Wolbachia: lambda of the
endosymbiont world. *Trends Microbiol* **18**, 173-81 (2010).
24. Metcalf, J.A. & Bordenstein, S.R. The complexity of virus systems: the case of
endosymbionts. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **15**, 546-52 (2012).
25. Chauvatcharin, N., Ahantarig, A., Baimai, V. & Kittayapong, P. Bacteriophage WO-
B and Wolbachia in natural mosquito hosts: infection incidence, transmission
mode and relative density. *Mol Ecol* **15**, 2451-61 (2006).
26. Fujii, Y., Kubo, T., Ishikawa, H. & Sasaki, T. Isolation and characterization of the
bacteriophage WO from Wolbachia, an arthropod endosymbiont. *Biochem
Biophys Res Commun* **317**, 1183-8 (2004).
27. Masui, S. *et al.* Bacteriophage WO and virus-like particles in Wolbachia, an
endosymbiont of arthropods. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **283**, 1099-104
(2001).
28. Sanogo, Y.O. & Dobson, S.L. WO bacteriophage transcription in Wolbachia-
infected Culex pipiens. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* **36**, 80-5 (2006).
29. Tanaka, K., Furukawa, S., Nikoh, N., Sasaki, T. & Fukatsu, T. Complete WO phage
sequences reveal their dynamic evolutionary trajectories and putative functional
elements required for integration into the Wolbachia genome. *Appl Environ
Microbiol* **75**, 5676-86 (2009).
30. Wright, J.D., Sjostrand, F.S., Portaro, J.K. & Barr, A.R. The ultrastructure of the
rickettsia-like microorganism Wolbachia pipientis and associated virus-like
bodies in the mosquito Culex pipiens. *J Ultrastruct Res* **63**, 79-85 (1978).
31. Bordenstein, S.R., Marshall, M.L., Fry, A.J., Kim, U. & Wernegreen, J.J. The
tripartite associations between bacteriophage, Wolbachia, and arthropods. *PLoS
Pathog* **2**, e43 (2006).
32. Kent, B.N., Funkhouser, L.J., Setia, S. & Bordenstein, S.R. Evolutionary genomics
of a temperate bacteriophage in an obligate intracellular bacteria (Wolbachia).
PLoS One **6**, e24984 (2011).
33. Hosokawa, T., Koga, R., Kikuchi, Y., Meng, X.Y. & Fukatsu, T. Wolbachia as a
bacteriocyte-associated nutritional mutualist. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 769-
74 (2010).
34. Darby, A.C. *et al.* Analysis of gene expression from the Wolbachia genome of a
filarial nematode supports both metabolic and defensive roles within the
symbiosis. *Genome Res* **22**, 2467-77 (2012).
35. Foster, J. *et al.* The Wolbachia genome of Brugia malayi: endosymbiont evolution
within a human pathogenic nematode. *PLoS Biol* **3**, e121 (2005).

36.   Goodacre, S.L., Martin, O.Y., Thomas, C.F. & Hewitt, G.M. Wolbachia and other
462   endosymbiont infections in spiders. *Mol Ecol* **15**, 517-27 (2006).

463   37.   Vanthournout, B., Swaegers, J. & Hendrickx, F. Spiders do not escape
464   reproductive manipulations by Wolbachia. *BMC Evol Biol* **11**, 15 (2011).

465   38.   Garb, J.E. & Hayashi, C.Y. Molecular evolution of alpha-latrotoxin, the
466   exceptionally potent vertebrate neurotoxin in black widow spider venom. *Mol*
467   *Biol Evol* **30**, 999-1014 (2013).

468   39.   Gordon, V.M. & Leppla, S.H. Proteolytic activation of bacterial toxins: role of
469   bacterial and host cell proteases. *Infect Immun* **62**, 333-40 (1994).

470   40.   Remacle, A.G. *et al.* Selective and potent furin inhibitors protect cells from
471   anthrax without significant toxicity. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* **42**, 987-95 (2010).

472   41.   Tsuneoka, M. *et al.* Evidence for involvement of furin in cleavage and activation
473   of diphtheria toxin. *J Biol Chem* **268**, 26461-5 (1993).

474   42.   Werren, J.H. *et al.* Functional and evolutionary insights from the genomes of
475   three parasitoid Nasonia species. *Science* **327**, 343-8 (2010).

476   43.   Chang, S.J. *et al.* Poxvirus host range protein CP77 contains an F-box-like domain
477   that is necessary to suppress NF-kappaB activation by tumor necrosis factor
478   alpha but is independent of its host range function. *J Virol* **83**, 4140-52 (2009).

479   44.   Cerveny, L. *et al.* Tetratricopeptide repeat motifs in the world of bacterial
480   pathogens: role in virulence mechanisms. *Infect Immun* **81**, 629-35 (2013).

481   45.   Jernigan, K.K. & Bordenstein, S.R. Ankyrin domains across the Tree of Life. *PeerJ*
482   **2**, e264 (2014).

483   46.   Jernigan, K.K. & Bordenstein, S.R. Tandem-repeat protein domains across the
484   tree of life. *PeerJ* **3**, e732 (2015).

485   47.   Li, J., Mahajan, A. & Tsai, M.D. Ankyrin repeat: a unique motif mediating protein-
486   protein interactions. *Biochemistry* **45**, 15168-78 (2006).

487   48.   Pan, X., Luhrmann, A., Satoh, A., Laskowski-Arce, M.A. & Roy, C.R. Ankyrin repeat
488   proteins comprise a diverse family of bacterial type IV effectors. *Science* **320**,
489   1651-4 (2008).

490   49.   Koonin, E.V. & Aravind, L. Origin and evolution of eukaryotic apoptosis: the
491   bacterial connection. *Cell Death Differ* **9**, 394-404 (2002).

492   50.   Koonin, E.V. & Aravind, L. The NACHT family - a new group of predicted NTPases
493   implicated in apoptosis and MHC transcription activation. *Trends Biochem Sci* **25**,
494   223-4 (2000).

495   51.   Leipe, D.D., Koonin, E.V. & Aravind, L. STAND, a class of P-loop NTPases including
496   animal and plant regulators of programmed cell death: multiple, complex
497   domain architectures, unusual phyletic patterns, and evolution by horizontal
498   gene transfer. *J Mol Biol* **343**, 1-28 (2004).

499   52.   Hargreaves, K.R., Kropinski, A.M. & Clokie, M.R. What does the talking?: quorum
500   sensing signalling genes discovered in a bacteriophage genome. *PLoS One* **9**,
501   e85131 (2014).

502   53.   Alcami, A. & Koszinowski, U.H. Viral mechanisms of immune evasion. *Immunol*
503   *Today* **21**, 447-55 (2000).

504   54.   Piekna-Przybylska, D., DiChiacchio, L., Mathews, D.H. & Bambara, R.A. A
505   sequence similar to tRNA 3 Lys gene is embedded in HIV-1 U3-R and promotes
506   minus-strand transfer. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **17**, 83-9 (2010).

507   55.   Seet, B.T. *et al.* Poxviruses and immune evasion. *Annu Rev Immunol* **21**, 377-423
508   (2003).

509   56.   Bordenstein, S.R. & Wernegreen, J.J. Bacteriophage flux in endosymbionts
510   (Wolbachia): infection frequency, lateral transfer, and recombination rates. *Mol*
511   *Biol Evol* **21**, 1981-91 (2004).

512   57.   Masui, S., Kamoda, S., Sasaki, T. & Ishikawa, H. Distribution and evolution of
513   bacteriophage WO in Wolbachia, the endosymbiont causing sexual alterations in
514   arthropods. *J Mol Evol* **51**, 491-7 (2000).

515   58.   Dunning Hotopp, J.C. *et al.* Widespread lateral gene transfer from intracellular
516   bacteria to multicellular eukaryotes. *Science* **317**, 1753-6 (2007).

517   59.   Funkhouser-Jones, L.J. *et al.* Wolbachia co-infection in a hybrid zone: discovery
518   of horizontal gene transfers from two Wolbachia supergroups into an animal
519   genome. *PeerJ* **3**, e1479 (2015).

520   60.   Kent, B.N. *et al.* Complete bacteriophage transfer in a bacterial endosymbiont
521   (Wolbachia) determined by targeted genome capture. *Genome Biol Evol* **3**, 209-
522   18 (2011).

523   61.   Duplouy, A. *et al.* Draft genome sequence of the male-killing Wolbachia strain
524   wBol1 reveals recent horizontal gene transfers from diverse sources. *BMC*
525   *Genomics* **14**, 20 (2013).

526   62.   Klasson, L., Kambris, Z., Cook, P.E., Walker, T. & Sinkins, S.P. Horizontal gene
527   transfer between Wolbachia and the mosquito Aedes aegypti. *BMC Genomics*
528   **10**, 33 (2009).

529   63.   Woolfit, M., Iturbe-Ormaetxe, I., McGraw, E.A. & O'Neill, S.L. An ancient
530   horizontal gene transfer between mosquito and the endosymbiotic bacterium
531   Wolbachia pipientis. *Mol Biol Evol* **26**, 367-74 (2009).

532   64.   Amen, M.A. & Griffiths, A. Identification and expression analysis of herpes B
533   virus-encoded small RNAs. *J Virol* **85**, 7296-311 (2011).

534   65.   Amen, M.A. & Griffiths, A. Packaging of Non-Coding RNAs into Herpesvirus
535   Virions: Comparisons to Coding RNAs. *Front Genet* **2**, 81 (2011).

536   66.   Terhune, S.S., Schroer, J. & Shenk, T. RNAs are packaged into human
537   cytomegalovirus virions in proportion to their intracellular concentration. *J Virol*
538   **78**, 10390-8 (2004).

539   67.   Bergsbaken, T., Fink, S.L. & Cookson, B.T. Pyroptosis: host cell death and
540   inflammation. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **7**, 99-109 (2009).

541   68.   Zhang, L., Villa, N.Y. & McFadden, G. Interplay between poxviruses and the
542   cellular ubiquitin/ubiquitin-like pathways. *FEBS Lett* **583**, 607-14 (2009).

543   69.   Sliwa-Dominiak, J., Suszynska, E., Pawlikowska, M. & Deptula, W. Chlamydia
544   bacteriophages. *Arch Microbiol* **195**, 765-71 (2013).

545   70.   Hsia, R., Ohayon, H., Gounon, P., Dautry-Varsat, A. & Bavoil, P.M. Phage infection
546   of the obligate intracellular bacterium, Chlamydia psittaci strain guinea pig
547   inclusion conjunctivitis. *Microbes Infect* **2**, 761-72 (2000).

71. Salim, O., Skilton, R.J., Lambden, P.R., Fane, B.A. & Clarke, I.N. Behind the
chlamydial cloak: the replication cycle of chlamydiaphage Chp2, revealed.
Virology **377**, 440-5 (2008).
72. Barr, J.J. *et al.* Bacteriophage adhering to mucus provide a non-host-derived
immunity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**, 10771-6 (2013).
73. Moran, N.A., Degnan, P.H., Santos, S.R., Dunbar, H.E. & Ochman, H. The players
in a mutualistic symbiosis: insects, bacteria, viruses, and virulence genes. *Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**, 16919-26 (2005).
74. Belda, E., Moya, A., Bentley, S. & Silva, F.J. Mobile genetic element proliferation
and gene inactivation impact over the genome structure and metabolic
capabilities of Sodalis glossinidius, the secondary endosymbiont of tsetse flies.
BMC Genomics **11**, 449 (2010).
75. Rasgon, J.L., Gamston, C.E. & Ren, X. Survival of Wolbachia pipientis in cell-free
medium. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **72**, 6934-7 (2006).
76. Weiss, B.L., Wu, Y., Schwank, J.J., Tolwinski, N.S. & Aksoy, S. An insect symbiosis
is influenced by bacterium-specific polymorphisms in outer-membrane protein
A. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **105**, 15088-93 (2008).
77. Degnan, P.H. & Moran, N.A. Diverse phage-encoded toxins in a protective insect
endosymbiont. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **74**, 6782-91 (2008).
78. Oliver, K.M., Degnan, P.H., Hunter, M.S. & Moran, N.A. Bacteriophages encode
factors required for protection in a symbiotic mutualism. *Science* **325**, 992-4
(2009).
79. Chafee, M.E. *et al.* Decoupling of host-symbiont-phage coadaptations following
transfer between insect species. *Genetics* **187**, 203-15 (2011).
80. Abascal, F., Zardoya, R. & Posada, D. ProtTest: selection of best-fit models of
protein evolution. *Bioinformatics* **21**, 2104-5 (2005).
81. Bhere, K.V., Haney, R.A., Ayoub, N.A. & Garb, J.E. Gene structure, regulatory
control, and evolution of black widow venom latrotoxins. *FEBS Lett* **588**, 3891-7
(2014).
577

 FIGURE LEGENDS

 Figure 1 | Complete phage WO genomes harbor a novel Eukaryotic Association

 Module (EAM). The complete phage WO genomes for (a) WOVitA1, (b) WOCauB3

 and (c) WOCauB2 were sequenced directly from purified phage particles using high

 throughput, metagenomic sequencing. Each genome consists of a bacteriophage-like

 region (recombinase to patatin) and an EAM highlighted in white. Gray slash marks

 indicate illustrative continuation of the genome. Dark blue dots indicate the discovery of

 the *attL* and *attR* sites of the prophage, which adjoin in the complete circular WO genome

 to form *attP.*

 Figure 2 | Eukaryotic-like EAM genes are enriched in prophage WO regions in the

 ***Wolbachia* chromosome.** EAM genes with (a) eukaryotic homology are most likely to be

 associated with prophage WO while those with (b) bacterial homology are both phage-

 associated and found scattered throughout the *Wolbachia* chromosome. (*) The two

 chromosomal latrotoxin-CTD domains (wNo_10650 and wHa_05390) are located within

 phage-associated genes and transposases, indicating a potential genomic rearrangement.

 ([†]) SecA represents one "domain type" but is listed separately because phage WO

 contains two different homologs (i.e., wHa_3920 and wHa_3930). Putative functional

 categories are: anti-eukaryotic toxins (orange); host-microbe interactions (green); host

 cell suicide (blue); secretion of virulence factors (pink); and unknown (black). Octomom

 refers to WD0513 of the *w*Mel genome.

Figure 3 | Latrotoxin-CTD phylogeny and protein architecture reveal lateral genetic

transfers between black widow spiders and bacteriophage WO.

(a) Phylogeny of phage WO latrotoxin-CTD protein domains and their eukaryotic

homologs was constructed by Bayesian analysis of 74 amino acids using the JTT model

of evolution. Consensus support values are shown at the nodes. Comparative protein

architecture shows that spider venom (b) vertebrate-specific alpha-latrotoxins and (c)

invertebrate-specific alpha- and delta-latrotoxins are highly conserved, whereas (d) phage

WO are not. Bolded nomenclature in (d) denotes the specific phage WO haplotype (listed

as WO). Genome locus tags are listed in parentheses. Predicted furin cleavage sites, listed

in Supplementary Information Table 2, are illustrated with gray triangles. (*) A second *L.*

hesperus sequence represents a recently-described downstream paralog with unknown

toxin activity[81]. (†) wNo_10650 is located within phage-associated genes and

transposases, indicating a potential genomic rearrangement of a phage region.

(‡) Architecture is not shown for sequences on incomplete contigs (WOBol1-b,

WOAlbB, WOCit, WOPipMol, WOVitB) because complete peptide information and

specific phage association are unknown.

Figure 4 | A conserved TPR and anyrin-repeat protein horizontally transferred

from eukaryotes to bacteriophage WO. (a) An 800-aa BLASTp query of WOVitA1's

gwv_1093 N-terminus reveals homologs throughout moquitoes, ants, beetles, a mealybug

and one obligate intracellular gammaproteobacteria. Bayesian phylogenetic trees were

constructed based on (b) a 137-aa alignment of all homologs with E-value less than e^{-40}

using the LG +G model of evolution. (c) To resolve taxa closest to phage WO, trees were

reconstructed based on a 627-aa alignment of all homologs with an E-value of 0 using the

JTT +I+G model of evolution. Isoforms were removed from each alignment. Both trees

are unrooted. Consensus support values are shown at the nodes. Chromosomal

neighborhood analyses of available animal genome sequences indicate that animal

homologs to the phage WO protein are on contigs with other animal genes.

Figure 5 | The programmed cell death domain, NACHT, horizontally transferred

from eukaryotes to bacteriophage WO. (a) A 450-aa BLASTp query of phage WO's

NACHT region reveals homologs throughout arthropods and crustaceans. (b) Bayesian

phylogenetic trees were constructed based on a 271-aa alignment of all homologs with E-

value less than e^{-15} and coverage greater than 70% using the cpREV+G model of

evolution. To resolve taxa closest to phage WO, all *Daphnia* sequences were removed

from the alignment and clusters of highly divergent residues (i.e., 5 or more sequential

residues with less than 15% pairwise identity) were trimmed. Trees were reconstructed

based on this 262-aa alignment using the LG +G model of evolution. Consensus support

values are shown at the nodes. Both trees are unrooted. Chromosomal neighborhood

analyses of available animal genome sequences indicate that animal homologs to the

phage WO protein are on contigs with other animal genes.

Figure 6 | Models of DNA transfer between eukaryotes and bacteriophages. Genetic

transfers between eukaryotes and bacteriophages can occur either (a) directly via

incorporation into the phage genome followed by subsequent inclusion in the

chromosomal prophage region or (b) indirectly via the transfer of eukaryotic DNA to the

bacterial chromosome or vice versa. Since phage EAM genes carrying protein domains

central to eukaryotic functions primarily occur in phage/prophage genomes (see Fig. 2),

transferred DNA from eukaryotes to non-phage regions in *Wolbachia* is likely eliminated

from the bacterial genome. Prophage genomes adjacent to these EAM genes then

incorporate the DNA into their packaged genomes and pass it on to new copies of the

phage.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
657
Supplementary Information Figure 1 | Sequencing reveals the phage, prophage and

bacterial *att* sites for WOVitA1.

Supplementary Information Figure 2 | Ankyrin repeat domain.

Supplementary Information Figure 3 | TPR domain.

Supplementary Information Table 1 | Comparative genomics of phage WO.

Supplementary Information Table 2 | Phage WO EAM furin cleavage sites.

Supplementary Information Table 3 | The phage WO PRANC domain shares amino

acid homology with multiple eukaryotic host peptides.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Tetsuhiko Sasaki and Takema Fukatsu for providing the *w*CauB-infected

moths; Rini Pauly for computational assistance; Merri Lynn Casem for providing widow

spiders; and Kerry Oliver for information on *Hamiltonella*. This research was funded by

NIH Awards R01 GM085163 and R21 HD086833 and NSF Awards DEB 1046149 and

IOS 1456778 to SRB. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and

interpretation or the decision to submit the work for publication.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

Sarah Bordenstein designed and performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared

figures and tables, wrote and reviewed drafts of the paper.

Seth Bordenstein conceived and helped design the experiments, analyzed the data, wrote

and reviewed drafts of the paper.

<u>AUTHOR INFORMATION</u>

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to

s.bordenstein@vanderbilt.edu or sarah.bordenstein@vanderbilt.edu.

Lateral Genetic Transfers Between

Eukaryotes and Bacteriophages

Sarah R. Bordenstein[a] and Seth R. Bordenstein* [a,b]

Affiliations:

[a]Department of Biological Sciences, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37232, USA.

[b]Department of Pathology, Microbiology, and Immunology, Vanderbilt University,

Nashville, TN 37232, USA.

Supplementary Information Figure 1 | Sequencing reveals the phage, prophage and bacterial *att* sites for WOVitA1.
(a) The entire prophage WO genome, including all core phage modules (from recombinase to patatin, green) and the EAM (purple), is integrated into the *Wolbachia* chromosome. Attachment sites are designated as BOP' (*attL*) and POB' (*attR*) with B representing 'bacterial' and P representing 'phage'-associated nucleotides. Gray arrows indicate the direction of PCR primers used to amplify the (b) phage attachment (*attP*) site, designated as POP' in the (c) circular WOVitA1 genome. (d) The bacterial attachment site (*attB*) is designated as BOB' on the *Wolbachia* chromosome. (e) All four *att* sites share a common region, O. Underlined nucleotides represent an inverted repeat region. *The *attB* site was predicted based on the *attL* and *attR* sequences. A BLASTN search of this sequence identified the putative *attB* site as a non-coding, repetitive sequence in closely related *Wolbachia* taxa lacking the WOVitA1 infection (e.g., *w*Au, *w*Mel, and *w*Ri).

a

- Eukaryotes (256,632)
- Bacteria (79,252)
- Viruses (7,578)
- Other (722)
- Archaea (209)

b

- Poxviridae (3,464)
- Mimiviridae (2,558)
- Other eukaryotic dsDNA viruses (1,502)
- Bacteriophages (46)
- Retroviridae (8)

C

Bacteriophages	# Ankyrin-containing protein records in NCBI	Length (# aa residues)
Phage WO	29	148-2474
Campylobacter phage	4 (identical)	95
Gordonia phage	2 (identical)	102
Lactococcal phage	3 (identical)	169
Leptospira phage	2 (identical)	260
Salmonella phage	3	69-103
Uncultured Mediterranean phage uvMED	3	173-191

Supplementary Information Figure 2 | Ankyrin repeat domain.
(**a**) The ankyrin domain is most commonly associated with eukaryotes as determined by a taxonomic search of the domain in NCBI's protein database. "Other" refers to synthetically-engineered constructs and metagenomic sequences. (b) Of the viral proteins that contain an ankyrin repeat, the majority are associated with either *Poxviridae* or *Mimiviridae*. (c) Only seven bacteriophages in the NCBI database contain annotated ankyrin domains. Of these, only phage WO ankyrins are eukaryotic in length. This analysis does not include prophage regions within bacterial chromosomes.

Eukaryotes (233,851)
Bacteria (929,704)
Viruses (343)
Other (1,021)
Archaea (7,721)

b

Mimiviridae (112)

Bacteriophages (100)

Poxviridae (79)

Other eukaryotic viruses (48)

Archaeal viruses (4)

Supplementary Information Figure 3 | TPR domain.
(a) The TPR domain is most commonly associated with bacteria as determined by a taxonomic search of the domain in NCBI's protein database. "Other" refers to synthetically-engineered constructs and metagenomic sequences. (b) The majority of viral proteins are associated with *Mimiviridae*. This analysis does not include prophage regions within bacterial chromosomes.

GENOME	CORE PHAGE REGION	EAM REGION	(WD0611-WD0621)-like
WOVitA1	gwv_1104-gwv_1156	gwv_1089-gwv_1103	na
WOVitA2	gwv_426-gwv_459	gwv_458-gwv_472; gwv_484-gwv_496	gwv_473-gwv_483
WOVitA4	gwv_146-gwv_175	gwv_131-gwv_145(T); gwv_176-gwv_178	na
WOCauB2	gp1-gp45	gp46-*(partial sequence)*	na
WOCauB3	gp1-gp44	gp45-GF2gp25	na
WOSol	So0001-So0022	wSo0003(T)-wSo0014; So0023-So0025; So0026-wSo0028(T)	wSo0015-wSo0026
WOMelA	WD0259-WD0288	WD0289-WD0296(T); WD0253(T)-WD0258	na
WOMelB	WD0634-WD0647(T); WD0563(T) - WD0604	WD0605-WD0610; WD0622-WD0633	WD0611-WD0621
*w*Mel WO-Island	na	WD0507-WD0514	na
WOPip1	WP0236(T)-WP0272	WP0273-WP0293	na
WOPip2	WP0297-WP0322	WP0294-WP0296	na
WOPip3	WP0323-WP0342	WP0343(T)-WP0354	na
WOPip4	WP0411-WP0455	WP0405(T)-WP0410; WP0456-WP0465	na
WOPip5	WP1294(T)-WP1340	WP1289(T)-WP1293; WP1341-WP1352(T)	na
WORiA	wRi_012450-wRi_012680(T)	na	na
WORiB	wRi_005400-wRi_005660	wRi_005310(T)-wRi_005390; wRi_005670-wRi_005720; wRi_005860-wRi_005930(T)	wRi_005730-wRi_005830
WORiB(2)	wRi_010060-wRi_010320	wRi_009980(T)-wRi_010050; wRi_010330-wRi_010380; wRi_010500-wRi_010580(T)	wRi_010390-wRi_010490
WORiC	wRi_006880-wRi_007230(T); wRi_007550-wRi_007660(T)	wRi_006620-wRi_006870	na
WOHa1	wHa_02360-wHa_02620	wHa_02010(T)-wHa_2050; wHa_02170-wHa_02350; wHa_02630-wHa_02760(T)	wHa_02060-wHa_02160
WOHa2	wHa_03390-wHa_03840	wHa_03850-wHa_03930	na
WONo1	wNo_01400-wNo01060	wNo_01000(T)-wNo_01050(T)	na
WONo2	wNo_07170(T)-wNo_07380(T)	na	na
WONo3	wNo_09000-wNo_09120	wNo_09130-wNo_09150(T)	na
WONo4	wNo_10070(T)-wNo_10280	wNo_10290-wNo_10350	na
*w*No WO-Islands	na	wNo_01980-wNo_1990; wNo_2110-wNo_02200; wNo_05070-wNo_05150(T)	wNo_2000-wNo_2100

Supplementary Information Table 1 | Comparative genomics of phage WO. All intact phage WO genomes contain a bacteriophage-like region (termed the "Core Phage Region") either adjacent to or flanked by a EAM region, listed with corresponding locus tags. Locus tags followed by (T) indicate that they are flanking transposases and may or may not be part of the prophage region. The (WD0611-WD0621)-like region represents a highly conserved cluster of bacterial genes with a broad range of metabolic function and transport potential. na, not applicable. NCBI accession numbers for the analyzed phage regions are: WOCauB2 – AB478515; WOCauB3 – AB478516; WOSol – KC955252; *w*Mel - AE017196; wPip - AM999887; wRi - CP001391; wVitA - PRJDB1504; *w*Ha - CP003884; *w*No - CP003883.

GENOME	EAM FURIN CLEAVAGE
WOCauB2	*(partial sequence)*
WOCauB3	gp45, GF2gp18-GF2gp22
WOSol	wSo0011, So0023, So0025
WOMelA	WD0257-WD0258
WOMelB	WD0610, WD0630-WD0632
*w*Mel WO-Island	WD0512-WD0514
WOPip1	WP0280, WP0283, WP0290, WP0292-WP0293
WOPip2	WP0294, WP0319-WP0320
WOPip3	WP0338
WOPip4	WP0407, WP0410, WP0428, WP0433, WP0457, WP0460, WP0462-WP0463
WOPip5	WP1291, WP1341, WP1344, WP1346, WP1349, WP1351
WORiA	(haplotype does not have an EAM)
WORiB	wRi_005330, wRi_005360, wRi_005670, wRi_005720
WORiB(2)	wRi_009990, wRi_010020, wRi_010330, wRi_010380, wRi_010570
WORiC	wRi_006630-wRi_006660, wRi_006740
WOVitA1	gwv_1095
WOVitA2	gwv_464, gwv_484, gwv_489, gwv_491-gwv_495
WOVitA4	gwv_134, gwv_141-gwv_142, gwv_144-gwv_145
WOHa1	wHa_02170, wHa_02200, wHa_02290, wHa_02350, wHa_02690, wHa_02730
WOHa2	wHa_03920
WONo1	wNo_01030, wNo_01060
WONo2	(haplotype does not have an EAM)
WONo3	wNo_09000, wNo_09080, wNo_09140
WONo4	wNo_10290, wNo_10320-wNo_10340
*w*No WO-Islands	wNo_01990, wNo_02030, wNo_02070, wNo_02120, wNo_02130, wNo_05080-wNo_05090, wNo_05110-wNo_05130

Supplementary Information Table 2 | Phage WO EAM furin cleavage sites.
Genes with predicted furin cleavage sites, indicative of potential host-induced protein modification, were identified within every prophage WO EAM. NCBI accession numbers for the analyzed phage regions are: WOCauB2 – AB478515; WOCauB3 – AB478516; WOSol – KC955252; *w*Mel - AE017196; wPip - AM999887; wRi - CP001391; wVitA - PRJDB1504; *w*Ha - CP003884; *w*No - CP003883.

EUKARYOTIC HOMOLOG	ACCESSION	E-VALUE	QUERY COVERAGE	IDENTITY
Microplitis demolitor	XP_014298115.1	8.00E-43	75%	49%
Nasonia vitripennis	XP_003426146.1	5.00E-23	76%	37%
Glypta fumiferanae	AKD28025.1	4.00E-21	71%	39%
Trichogramma pretiosum	XP_014232168.1	2.00E-16	73%	33%
Ceratosolen solmsi marchali	XP_011505281.1	8.00E-15	69%	31%
Copidosoma floridanum	XP_014206311.1	3.00E-12	58%	32%
Diaphorina citri	XP_008470724.1	9.00E-10	49%	31%

Supplementary Information Table 3 | The phage WO PRANC domain shares amino acid homology with multiple eukaryotic host peptides.

The PRANC domain in WOVitA1's gwv_1092 shares homology with multiple insect hosts. The best BLASTp hit for each species is listed above with E-value, query coverage and identity.