1

Eukaryotic association module in phage WO genomes

from *Wolbachia*

7   Sarah R. Bordenstein[1] and Seth R. Bordenstein[1,2]

10   **Affiliations:**

11   [1]Department of Biological Sciences, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37232, USA.

12   [2]Department of Pathology, Microbiology, and Immunology, Vanderbilt University,

13   Nashville, TN 37232, USA.

14   Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to

15   s.bordenstein@vanderbilt.edu or sarah.bordenstein@vanderbilt.edu.

Abstract

Viruses are trifurcated into eukaryotic, archaeal and bacterial categories. This domain-specific ecology underscores why eukaryotic viruses typically co-opt eukaryotic genes and bacteriophages commonly harbor bacterial genes. However, the presence of bacteriophages in obligate intracellular bacteria of eukaryotes may promote DNA transfers between eukaryotes and bacteriophages. Here we report the metagenomic analysis of purified bacteriophage WO particles of *Wolbachia* and uncover a eukaryotic association module. It encodes domains, such as the black widow latrotoxin C-terminal domain, that are uninterrupted in bacteriophage genomes, enriched with eukaryotic protease cleavage sites, and combined with additional domains to forge one of the largest bacteriophage genes to date (14,256 bp). These domains have never before been reported in packaged bacteriophages, to our knowledge, and their phylogeny, distribution and sequence diversity imply lateral transfers between animal and bacteriophage genomes. Finally, the WO genome sequences and identification of attachment sites will potentially advance genetic manipulation of *Wolbachia*.

Introduction

Viruses are the most abundant and diverse biological entities in the biosphere[1,2]. Infecting organisms across the tree of life, they associate with every ecosystem on the planet. They are generally classified into polythetic groups according to ecological niche and mode of replication[3,4]. While any cellular domain can be infected by a virus, no extant virus is known to traverse more than one domain[5,6]. This domain-specific ecology of viruses underpins the current taxonomic paradigm of trifurcating viruses into eukaryotic, archaeal and bacterial categories, along with recent reappraisals of whether viruses constitute a fourth domain of life[7,8]. As a result of this domain-specific ecology, viruses often integrate host genes via specific highways of lateral gene transfer. Eukaryotic viruses tend to hijack genes directly from their eukaryotic hosts to evade, manipulate and counter-strike anti-viral immune responses[9,10], with the exception of some giant viruses that appear to acquire genes from all domains of life[11]. Bacterial viruses, or bacteriophages (phages), integrate genetic material from their bacterial hosts including toxin[12], photosynthesis[13] and pigment biosynthesis genes[14] that contribute to the fitness of their bacterial host. To date, however, there is no archetypal case of phage particles harboring genomes with eukaryotic DNA.

While all viruses are specific to one of the three domains of life, some bacteriophages target obligate intracellular bacteria of eukaryotic cells. For instance, phage WO infects the obligate intracellular alpha-proteobacteria *Wolbachia*, which in turn infect an estimated 40% of the most speciose group of animals worldwide - arthropods (as well as filarial nematodes). *Wolbachia* cause a range of host reproductive pathologies[15,16],

primarily infect the cells of host reproductive tissues, exist in Golgi-derived vesicles

within the eukaryotic cytoplasm, and are enclosed by a bacterial cell membrane and one

or more eukaryotic-derived membranes[17,18]. Nearly all sequenced *Wolbachia* genomes,

with the exception of those acting as obligate mutualists, harbor prophage WO[19-21]. The

prophage WO encode conserved structural modules (e.g., head, tail, baseplate) and

exhibit *Caudovirales* morphology in electron micrographs of purified phages [20,22-25].

Electron microscopy and quantitative analyses indicate that prophages undergo a lytic

phase capable of rupturing bacterial and eukaryotic cell membranes, and phage WO

occurs in the extracellular matrix of arthropod gonads[23,26]. Therefore, phage WO appears

to uniquely contend with the cellular exit, entry and defense mechanisms of two separate

domains of life. WO is also a promising tool for genome editing of *Wolbachia* that has

thus far been refractory to genetic modifcation.

Here we assemble the sequenced genomes of phage WO particles, resolve the

bacteriophage attachment and bacterial integration sites, report a eukaryotic association

module in bacteriophages, and discuss lateral gene transfers between eukaryotes and

bacteriophages.

Results

Phage WO genomes reveal a eukaryotic association module. Here we report the

metagenomic analysis of phage WO particles from *w*VitA-infected *Nasonia giraulti*

wasps and *w*CauB-infected *Ephestia kuehniella* moths (the *w*-prefix indicates specific

Wolbachia strain and WO-prefix indicates phage haplotype; see Supplementary Table 1

for a complete list). We identify the phage attachment sites and insertion regions and

show from fully sequenced genomes that WO harbors all formerly described phage

genetic modules (lysogeny, baseplate, head, replication, virulence, tail and patatin-like

phospholipase[27]) as well as a new group of genes with atypical protein domains

indicative of eukaryotic interaction. We collectively group these genes, which include the

second largest gene in bacteriophages to date, into a 'Eukaryotic Association Module'

(EAM; Fig. 1, white box). The EAM features genes that (i) encode protein domains and

cleavage sites central to eukaryotic functions, (ii) occur in phage and metazoan hosts, (iii)

are among the largest genes in phage genomes (up to 14,256 bp) and (iv) are absent from

mutualistic, phage-free genomes such as the bedbug-infecting *w*Cle and filarial

nematode-infecting *w*Bm and *w*Oo. They occur in all complete prophage WO haplotypes

(Supplementary Table 2).

To verify the newly discovered EAM in the phage genome, we identified the terminal

prophage WO genes and Sanger sequenced amplicons from an independent sample of

phage WOVitA1 (Fig. 1a) across the linear phage *attP* site (hypothetical protein

gwv_1089 to recombinase, Supplementary Fig. 1). Next, using the newly identified *attR*

and *attL* sites, we extrapolated the bacterial *attB* site in WOVitA1, which is a noncoding,

repetitive sequence in *Wolbachia* from *Nasonia* wasps (Supplementary Fig. 1e). The full

length of the completely assembled, linear WOVitA1 genome is 65,653 bp, which is

23,531 bp larger than the previous prophage WO annotation. Similarly, we identified the

new terminal ends of the WOCauB3 prophage [23,099 bp (51%) larger than original

estimate of 45,078 bp], extending the previous observation that the end of the genome is

beyond the patatin gene[25], along with internal localization of the EAM genes by Sanger

sequencing its *attP* site [Domain of Unknown Function (DUF)2426 to recombinase].

While we were not able to assemble a complete contig for WOCauB2, it is more than

6,854 bp larger than the original estimate of 43,016 bp, includes multiple ankyrin repeat

genes homologous to those in WOVitA1, and, like many other prophage haplotypes (e.g.,

WORiC, WOVitA2, WOSuziC), integrates directly into *Wolbachia*'s magnesium

chelatase (*chlI*) gene.

The EAM is enriched with eukaryotic-like domains. We then analyzed each phage

WO protein domain for homology and surrounding peptide architecture. Unlike the single

domain architecture of phage WO's structural genes, EAM genes are highly polymorphic

and encompass fusions of both eukaryotic and bacterial protein domains. By extending

the analysis to include homologous prophage regions from all sequenced *Wolbachia*

chromosomes, ten types of protein domains with putative eukaryotic functions were

uncovered spanning four predicted functions: (i) toxins, (ii) host-microbe interactions,

(iii) host cell suicide, and (iv) secretion of proteins through the cell membrane (Fig. 2).

Notably, over half of these domain types [6/10; latrotoxin C-terminal domain (CTD),

PRANC, NACHT, SecA, gwv_1093 N-terminal domain (NTD), Octomom-NTD] share

greater amino acid homology to eukaryotic invertebrates than to bacteria in GenBank.

Among this subset with eukaryotic sequence homology, the protein domains are almost

exclusively found in the prophage EAM region (N=17) versus the *Wolbachia*

chromosome (N=2). In the latter case, the two chromosomal latrotoxin-CTD domains

(wNo_10650 and wHa_05390) are flanked by phage-associated genes and transposases,

indicating a likely phage WO origin and subsequent genomic rearrangement. This pattern

differs from other EAM protein domains with bacterial homology, which are equally

dispersed in phage WO (N=19) and the *Wolbachia* chromosome (N=18) (Fig. 2, Fisher's

Exact Test, p = 0.0072). The difference importantly indicates that the eukaryotic-like

protein domains are highly enriched in the EAM, suggesting a near exclusive role in

phage WO biology.

The black widow latrotoxin-CTD. Latrotoxin-CTD is the most prevalent eukaryotic

domain in prophage WO. Originally described for its major role in the venom of widow

spiders (*Latrodectus* species), latrotoxins act extracellularly to cause the formation of ion-

permeable membrane pores in their vertebrate or invertebrate victims. The CTD,

specifically, is only associated with the latrotoxin precursor molecule (protoxin) and

could possibly act intracellularly to facilitate disintegration of the spider's toxin-

producing cells[28]. While latrotoxins are generally considered exclusive to spiders, CTD-

homologs in *Wolbachia*, *Rickettsiella grylli*[28], and a transcriptome from a *Wolbachia*-

infected stink bug[29] have been reported. Here, phylogenetic analysis implies that the

latrotoxin-CTD horizontally transferred between widow spiders and phage WO (Fig. 3).

Reciprocal search queries using homologous spider and phage CTDs return the same

BLASTP hits shown in Fig. 3. Notably, phage WO CTD sequences have the highest

amino acid similarity to black widow spider homologs that target invertebrates, which are

the primary hosts of *Wolbachia*. While convergent evolution could explain amino acid

sequence similarities of the latrotoxin-CTD in black widows and *Wolbachia*, these two

taxa occur in overlapping ecological niches (*Wolbachia* are known to infect spiders of the

family *Theridiidae*) in which gene transfers are likely to happen[30]. We also confirmed the

presence of *Wolbachia* in three independent *Latrodectus geometricus* samples by

amplifying *Wolbachia* 16S rDNA and *wsp* membrane protein genes. The transfer event

was apparently followed by a relatively more recent transfer from phage WO back to

animals in the *Aedes aegypti* genome, where the region is located between genes of

mosquito origin [fibrinogen-related protein (AAEL004156) and GalE3 (AAEL004196)].

Toxin activation by eukaryotic furin cleavage. Latrotoxin-CTD is universally located

at the 3'-terminal ends of both conserved spider latrotoxin genes[31] and enormous,

polymorphic, and eukaryotic-like phage WO genes (up to 14,256 bp). There is a high

incidence of eukaryotic furin cleavage sites that immediately precede the latrotoxin-CTD.

In spiders, cleavage at these sites by the eukaryotic furin protease in the trans-Golgi

network or extracellular matrix is required for latrotoxin activation before the toxin exerts

its effects upon the victim. We show that all prophage WO EAMs contain at least one site

for eukaryotic furin cleavage (Supplementary Table 3), and the proportion of all EAM

genes with predicted furin cleavage sites (25%) is two-fold greater than that of the genes

in the core phage genome (11%, Fisher's Exact Test, $p < 0.0001$), defined as the

conserved bacteriophage region from recombinase to patatin. In regards to the phage WO

latrotoxin-CTD, its preferential localization in prophage WO genomes versus the rest of

the *Wolbachia* chromosome, conservation of eukaryotic furin cleaveage sites, large

eukaryotic-like length, homology to invertbrate-specific toxins, and reduced divergence

relative to the spider venom homologs is consistent with a eukaryotic origin and post-

translational processing by furin peptidases.

Pox protein Repeats of ANkyrin C terminus (PRANC). Domains central to modifying

animal proteins are also abundant in the phage WO EAM. The PRANC domain in the

WOVitA1 genome (gwv_1092) shares protein sequence homology with corresponding

PRANC domains in multiple parasitic wasp hosts (Supplementary Table 4) and their

eukaryotic viruses. Reciprocal BLASTP searches retrieve the same best hits and support

previous findings that this protein domain horizontally transferred between eukaryotic

viruses, animals, and *Proteobacteria*[33]. The discovery here of the eukaryotic-like PRANC

domain in phage WO parallels its presence in the *Poxviridae* virus family, in which it

functions in evasion of eukaryotic immune responses via modification of host

ubiquitination. PRANC is related to amino acid sequences in F-box proteins, which are

eukaryotic proteins involved in protein degradation. The PRANC domain also occurs in

vaccina virus, ectromelia virus, cowpox virus and Orf virus and can regulate NF-κB

signalling pathway to inhibit transcription of inflammatory cytokines[34].

Conserved ankyrin and TetratricoPeptide Repeat (TPR) protein. Adjacent to the

PRANC-encoding gene in WOVitA1's EAM is an ankyrin and TPR-containing

gwv_1093. Ankyrin repeats and TPRs mediate a broad range of protein-protein

interactions (apoptosis, cell signaling, inflammatory response, etc.) within eukaryotic

cells and are commonly associated with effector proteins of certain intracellular

pathogens[35,36]. In *Wolbachia*, ankyrins within the core phage genome have been

associated with reproductive manipulation of the insect host[37,38]. While generally rare in

viral genomes (Supplementary Fig. 2 and 3), these repeat regions occur in all prophage

WO haplotypes from sequenced *Wolbachia* genomes (N=23). Phylogenetic analysis

using reciprocal BLASTP hits (Fig. 4) shows that the N-terminus sequences of the TPR-

containing gwv_1093 are embedded within a diverse set of homologs from many

athropod lineages (Fig. 4b), with the most recent transfer putatively occurring between

phage WO and *Solenopsis invicta* (Fig. 4c). In this species, the gene is located between

ant genes bicaudal D and rho guanine nucleotide exchange factor 11. As *S. invicta* can

naturally harbor *Wolbachia*[39], either a gene transfer event occurred between these

ecologically-associated taxa or the *S. invicta* homolog could be an assembly artifact. This

assembly was based on samples from a region rarely infected with *Wolbachia* (Y Wurm,

personal communication, April 2016) and there are no other *Wolbachia*/prophage WO

homologs in the *S. invicta* genome; therefore, the latter explanation seems unlikely.

Moreover, other gwv_1093 homologs are from insect genome sequences of uninfected

strains, i.e., *N. vitripennis*, and thus they can not be derived by an assembly artifact.

Based on parsimony, the transfer event appears to have occurred from arthopod to phage

WO since the arthropod taxa comprise a more diverse set of lineages. However, the

reverse is plausible as transfers from *Wolbachia* to their arthropod hosts are common[40-42].

NACHT. Another instance of genetic transfer involves the programmed cell death (PCD)

domain, NACHT (Fig. 5). Eukaryotic NACHT-containing proteins are typically engaged

in PCD by acting as pathogen-sensors and signal transduction molecules of the innate

immune system[43]. The polymorphic prophage WO homolog encodes ankyrin repeats and

a latrotoxin-CTD directly downstream from the conserved NTPase domain (Fig. 5a).

NACHT domains have been identified in animals, fungi and bacteria[44] and phylogenetic

patterns indicate multiple instances of horizontal transfer[45]. A NACHT-containing

peptide was recently discovered in the *Clostridium difficile*-infecting phage

phiCDHM1[46]. In contrast to prophage WO, it is bacterial in both amino acid homology

and protein architecture. While all BLASTP and reciprocal BLASTP queries of the

phiCDHM1 NACHT domain yield only bacterial homologs, BLASTP searches of the

prophage WO NACHT domain yield only animal homologs, and reciprocal BLASTP

searches of these yield only hits to prophage WO and other animals. Similar to the

phylogeny of the N-terminus of the TPR-containing gwv_1093, this single NACHT

domain sequence in prophage WO is embedded within a more diverse set of homologs in

arthropods (Fig. 5b,c). Phylogenetic analyses place the prophage WO variants adjacent to

a divergent *Bombyx mori* sequence, though these variants have slightly closer total

homology to *Culex quiquefasciatus* mosquitoes that harbor *Wolbachia* with related

prophage WO variants.

Discussion

Metagenomic analysis of the complete genome from phage WO particles reveals all

formerly described phage genetic modules (lysogeny, baseplate, head, replication,

virulence, tail and patatin-like phospholipase[27]) as well as a new group of genes that we

collectively group into a eukaryotic associatoin module (EAM). Some of these genes (i)

encode protein domains and cleavage sites central to eukaryotic functions, (ii) occur in

both phage and metazoan hosts, (iii) comprise the second largest phage gene to date

(14,256 bp) and (iv) are absent from mutualistic, phage-free genomes of *Wolbachia*.

Together, these genes increase the phage WO genome size by roughly 50% and include

ten types of protein domains with four predicted eukaryotic functions: toxins, host-

microbe interactions, host cell suicide, and secretion of proteins through the cell

membrane. Notably, over half of these domain types share greater amino acid homology

to eukaryotic invertebrates than to bacteria in GenBank. Among this subset with

eukaryotic sequence homology, the protein domains are almost exclusively found in the

phage EAM. An EAM has never before been reported in bacteriophage genomes, to our

knowledge, possibly because phages of obligate intracellular bacteria occupy a unique

eukaryotic-enclosed niche and are relatively understudied.

The presence of eukaryotic protein domains in bacteriophage genomes is of special note

as they curiously mirror eukaryotic genes in large eukaryotic viruses that aid in viral

mimicry and manipulation of host processes[47,48]. In phage WO, these animal protein

domains are central to anti-eukaryotic functions including the black widow latrotoxin,

programmed cell death (NACHT), immune evasion (PRANC), and protein-protein

interactions.

Bacteriophage WO frequently transfer between *Wolbachia* coinfections in the same

animal host[49,50] and to the host genome as part of large transfers of the *Wolbachia*

chromosome[40,41]. We previously reported that phage WO in *Wolbachia* of *Nasonia*

vitripennis were also capable of transferring adjacent, flanking, non-phage genes in the

process of exchange between coinfections[51]. For two of these flanking genes, sequence

evidence indicated that *Wolbachia* genomes may be able to receive eukaryotic

DNA[42,52,53]. However, the nature of these lateral genetic transfers remained to be

elucidated as these regions were not previously known to be part of the packaged phage

genome until now. Here, we demonstrate that genes with eukaryotic homology are

constituents of phage WO and its EAM, and they either retain conservation of eukaryotic

furin cleaveage sites and a large eukaryotic-like length (i.e., latrotoxin-CTD), or they

exhibit markedly reduced or no diversity relative to the arthropod homologs as the WO

sequences exist as single or a few representatives (NACHT and TPR-containing

proteins). Moreover, WO protein domains with eukaryotic homology are highly enriched

in the EAM over WO protein domains with bacterial homology. Based on this work, we

suspect that systematic surveys of phage genomes in intimate host-associated bacteria

may uncover a broad range of eukaryotic-like protein domains involved in phage

lifecycle adaptations and phage-eukaryote interactions. Of particular note is the reported

association between phage WO genes, specifically ankyrins, transcriptional regulators

and the Ulp1 operon, and *Wolbachia*'s ability to manipulate host reproduction[37,38,54-56].

The mechanisms by which eukaryotic protein domains are exchanged with phage WO are

unknown and could follow at least three models (Fig. 6). First, animal genetic material

could directly transfer to and from WO genomes during phage particle propagation in the

cytoplasm of animal cells (Fig. 6b) or during packaging inside *Wolbachia* cells that are

lysing and exposed to the eukaryotic cytoplasmic environment. Packaging of eukaryotic

host RNAs, for instance, occur in the virions of herpesvirus[57] and cytomegalovirus[58].

Second, genes may transfer between animal genomes and the *Wolbachia* chromosome

and then to prophage WO. For this scenario to be plausible, animal genetic material

transferred in random locations in the *Wolbachia* genome would have to be preferentially

lost in non-phage associated locations from the *Wolbachia* chromosome (Fig. 6c) because

domains with eukaryotic homology are highly enriched in the phage/prophage WO EAM

versus the rest of the chromosome (Fig. 2). Third, DNA may transfer first between

animal genomes and intermediary entities, such as eukaryotic viruses or other obligate

intracellular bacteria, and then to phage WO and/or *Wolbachia* (Fib. 6d). In fact, the

PRANC-domain (described in gwv_1092) was named for its discovery in and association

with eukaryotic Pox viruses. Finally, once DNA is incorporated into a prophage genome,

it is susceptible to recombination with other phage WO haplotypes located in the same

Wolbachia chromosome and can transfer from one haplotype to another.

Alternatively, these protein domains could originate in the phage and be particularly

prone to transfer, maintenance, and spread in their recipient arthropod genomes (Fig. 6b).

For this scenario to be plausible, it would have to imply that phage genetic material

independently and repeatedly transfers to athropods and spreads through the host

population, which would subsequently be followed by loss of these phage genes or

recombination with other non-transferred phage genetic material so that the eukaryotic

sequence varation clusters seperately from the phage WO sequence(s). While each mode

of transfer is possible, the eukaryotic length of these genes, presence of furin protease

domains, and enrichment in the phage WO EAM provides evidence for their eukaryotic

origin.

Why are these protein domains present in the EAM of bacteriophage WO? Some phages

of obligate intracellular bacteria may have to overcome two major challenges not

encountered by the well-studied phages of free-living bacteria. First, they are contained

within both bacterial and eukaryotic membranes, posing an enigmatic "two-fold cell

challenge". They may not only have to breach peptidoglycan and permeabilize bacterial

membranes, but they may also have to exit (and enter) across the eukaryotic membrane(s)

that directly encapsulates the bacteria. Second, like their bacterial hosts, they must

survive the internal cellular environment of the animal host, including the innate immune

response and autophagy, while searching for phage-susceptible bacteria. Phage WO can

dwell in the eukaryotic cytoplasm and extracellular matrix that they encounter upon

bacterial lysis[26], raising the likelihood of direct interaction with host membranes and

intracellular biology. In this context, EAM protein domains are prime candidates to aid in

functions including cell lysis (latrotoxin-CTD), manipulation of programmed cell death

(NACHT and NB-ARC), host ubiquitination (OTU and Ulp1), insecticidal toxicity (ABC

toxin) and interaction with host proteins (ankryin repeats and TPRs). Rather than simply

act as virulence factors to benefit their bacterial host, their massive proportion of genomic

real estate (up to 60% of the prophage genome, Supplementary Fig. 4) implies that they

may be necessary to phage biology and likely have a direct impact on phage propagation.

The concept of phage-mediated ecosystem modification as an alternative to bacterial

virulence is not new[59] but, much like the biology of phage WO, is relatively

understudied.

Phage WO is not the only virus described within obligate intracellular bacteria.

Chlamydiomicroviridae infect obligate intracellular bacteria, yet still do not directly

contend with the eukaryotic membrane. Rather, they attach to dormant chlamydial cells

(i.e., reticulate bodies) and enter via phagocytosis or endocytosis of the bacteria[60]. The

phages then alter development of their bacterial host, which leads to disintegration of the

chlamydial inclusion and subsequent lysis of the eukaryotic host cell[61,62]. The nature of

phage WO's lifestyle, on the other hand, may require a distinct interaction with multiple

membranes and immune responses because lytic activity of phage WO has been

associated with typical bacterial cell defects including degraded bacterial DNA, a

detached inner membrane, and exit of the phage particles from inside *Wolbachia* and its

host cell into the extracellular matrix of the reproductive tissues[26]. Bacteriophages of

free-living bacteria also regularly colonize eukaryotic environments, particularly those

associated with mucosal surfaces[63]. They, however, do not infect or traverse the

eukaryotic membrane and are still within the genomic boundaries of the bacterial

virosphere.

Temperate dsDNA phages also occur in facultative symbionts of aphids[64] and tsetse

flies[65]. While *Wolbachia* has never successfully been cultured outside of host cells[66],

these facultative symbionts can replicate both intra- and extracellularly (JW Brandt,

personal communication, July 2015) suggesting that their phages are not constrained by

the same two-fold cell challenge. In addition, their phages encode a traditional lytic

cassette (holin and lysozyme) that correlates with the need to deal only with bacterial

membranes. In some cases, the phages harbor bacterial-derived toxins that target

eukaryotic cells[67], and these function mutualistically in aphids by arresting development

of parasitoid wasp larvae[64]. Furthermore, unlike phage WO that is stably maintained in

the lab, these phages are readily lost in the absence of parasitoids during laboratory

rearing, presumably due to the cost of their toxins[68].

In addition to providing new insights into the evolution of bacteriophages and showing

phage WO genomes to be far more complex than previously described, the findings here

reveal evidence for gene sharing between metazoan hosts and phages of obligate

intracellular bacteria. We suggest that the putative acquistion and retooling of intact

eukaryotic domains in phage WO is analgous to the commandeering of host genes by

eukaryotic viruses. Whether lateral genetic transfers between metazoans and

bacteriophages are common in the symbiotic virosphere remains to be determined.

Methods

Insect and bacterial strains. The transfected line of the Mediterranean flour moth

Ephestia kuehniella harboring *Wolbachia* strain *w*CauB was obtained with the help of

Takema Fukatsu and Tetsuhiko Sasaki[22]. Moths were maintained at 24˚C and 70%

humidity on a diet consisting of wheat bran, glycerol and dried yeast (20:2:1 w/w). The

introgressed line of the parasitoid wasp *Nasonia giraulti* harboring *Wolbachia* strain

*w*VitA, termed IntG12.1, was previously derived by repeatedly backcrossing *N.*

vitripennis (strain 12.1) females to *N. giraulti* males for nine generations[69]. The strain

was incubated at 25˚C using the flesh fly *Sarcophaga bullata* as host.

Phage particle purification. Phage particles were isolated according to Fujii et al[22] with

modifications. Approximately 4 g of adult insects were homogenized in 29.6 ml cold SM

buffer (50mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 0.1 M NaCl, 10mM $MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_20$, and 0.1% (w/v)

gelatin). NaCl and RNase A were added to a final concentration of 1M and 1µg/ml,

respectively. The homogenate was incubated on a shaker at 4˚C for 1 h and then

centrifuged at 13,000g for 10 min at 4˚C. Polyethylene glycol (PEG) 6000 was added to a

final concentration of 10% to precipitate phage particles, incubated at 4˚C for 1 hr with

gentle shaking and centrifuged at 13,000g for 10 min. The pellet was resuspended in 5 ml

TM buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 10 mM $MgCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$) and mixed with an equal

volume chloroform. The suspension was centrifuged at 3,000g to remove PEG and the

aqueous phase was filtered through a 0.22 µm filter to remove bacterial cells. The

suspension was centrifuged at 60,000g for 1 h at 4˚C to collect phage particles. The pellet

was suspended in 10 µl TM buffer.

Phage DNA extraction and metagenomic sequencing. The phage suspension was

treated with RQ1 RNase-Free DNase (Promega) for 30 min at 37˚C, followed by heat

inactivation for 10 min at 65˚C, to remove host DNA contamination. Phage DNA was

extracted from the suspension using the QIAamp MinElute Virus Spin Kit (Qiagen) and

amplified using the REPLI-g Mini Kit (Qiagen). Following amplification, paired-end

DNA libraries were prepared according to manufacturer's (Illumina) instructions and

samples were sequenced with an Illumina HiSeq 2000 (2×100-nt read length).

Bioinformatics and statistics. Metagenomic sequences (reads) were trimmed, paired and

assembled into contigs using the CLC Assembler (CLC bio) with bubble size = 50,

insertion and deletion cost = 3, mismatch cost = 2, length fraction = 0.6, minimum contig

size = 130, similarity = 0.5, minimum distance = 90 and maximum distance = 200.

Contigs were compared to the GenBank non-redundant database using NCBI's BLASTN

(http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) and those with similarity to phage WO and/or

Wolbachia (E-value $<10^{-10}$) were manually annotated using Geneious (Biomatters Ltd.).

Individual reads were mapped to reference sequences using Geneious. Open reading

frame (ORF) homology searches were performed to determine putative function using

NCBI's BLASTP (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) and Wellcome Trust Sanger

Institute's pfam database (http://pfam.sanger.ac.uk). Coiled coil domains were predicted

with EMBL's Simple Modular Architecture Research Tool (SMART, http://smart.embl-

heidelberg.de). Furin cleavage sites were identified using PiTou

(http://www.nuolan.net/reference.html). The number of genes with and without furin

cleavage sites was analyzed with respect to phage-region using Fisher's Exact Test

(GraphPad Software). Phylogenetic trees were built using the Bayes plugin in Geneious

and model selection for each Bayes analysis was estimated using ProtTest[70].

Confirmation of phage WO terminal genes. Genomic DNA was extracted from *w*VitA-

infected *N. vitripennis* (strain 12.1) and *w*CauB-infected *E. kuehniella* individuals using

the Gentra Puregene Tissue Kit (Qiagen). Primers were designed for both WOVitA1 and

WOCauB3 *attP* sites, respectively: VitA1_attF (5'- CGA AGA ACC AGC ACA GGG

TGG-3'), VitA1_attR (5'- GCT GGA AGA GGG CAT CTG CAT C-3'), CauB3_attF

(5'- TCG TGA CTG CCC TAT TGC TGC T – 3') and CauB3_attR (5'- ATG CGG CCA

AAG CTG GGT GT – 3'). Amplification was performed in a Veriti thermal cycler

(Applied Biosystems) using GoTaq green master mix (Promega) under the following

conditions: 94˚C for 2 min; 35 cycles of 94˚C for 30 s, 53˚C for 30 s, 72˚C for 1 min; and

a final elongation cycle of 72˚C for 10 min. PCR products were sequenced via Sanger

sequencing (Genewiz, Inc).

<u>**Data availability.**</u> The phage WOVitA1 genome assembly reported in this paper has

been deposited in NCBI under accession number KX522565. The *N. vitripennis* viral

metagenome sequences have been deposited in the SRA under accession number

SRR3560636 and BioProject PRJNA321548. The *w*CauB-infected *E. kuehniella* viral

metagenome sequences have been deposited in the SRA under accession number

SRR3536639 and BioProject PRJNA321549.

Data referenced in this study are available in NCBI with accession codes AE017196

(wMel), AM999887 (wPip), CTEH00000000 (wPipMol), ABZA00000000 (wPipJHB)

CP001391 (wRi), CAOU00000000 (wSuzi), AMZJ00000000 (wDi), AAGB01000001

(wAna), CAGB00000000 (wAlbB), CAOH00000000 (wBol1-b), JYPC00000000 (wOb),

CP003884 (wHa), CP003883 (wNo), LK055284 (wAu), AP013028 (wCle), HE660029

(wOo), PRJNA213627 (wVitA), AB478515 (WOCauB2), AB478516 (WOCauB3),

KC955252 (WOSol), HQ906665 and HQ906666 (WOVitB).

References

1.　Edwards, R.A. & Rohwer, F. Viral metagenomics. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **3**, 504-10
(2005).
2.　Hendrix, R.W., Smith, M.C., Burns, R.N., Ford, M.E. & Hatfull, G.F.
Evolutionary relationships among diverse bacteriophages and prophages: all the
world's a phage. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **96**, 2192-7 (1999).
3.　Brussow, H. The not so universal tree of life or the place of viruses in the living
world. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **364**, 2263-74 (2009).
4.　King, A.M.Q., Adams, M.J., Lefkowitz, E.J. & Carstens, E.B. *Virus taxonomy:*
classification and nomenclature of viruses: Ninth Report of the International
Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses., 1327 (Elsevier, San Diego, 2012).
5.　Nasir, A., Forterre, P., Kim, K.M. & Caetano-Anolles, G. The distribution and
impact of viral lineages in domains of life. *Front Microbiol* **5**, 194 (2014).
6.　Prangishvili, D., Forterre, P. & Garrett, R.A. Viruses of the Archaea: a unifying
view. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **4**, 837-48 (2006).
7.　Forterre, P. Giant viruses: conflicts in revisiting the virus concept. *Intervirology*
53, 362-78 (2010).
8.　Raoult, D. TRUC or the need for a new microbial classification. *Intervirology* **56**,
349-53 (2013).
9.　Elde, N.C. & Malik, H.S. The evolutionary conundrum of pathogen mimicry. *Nat*
Rev Microbiol **7**, 787-97 (2009).
10.　Rappoport, N. & Linial, M. Viral proteins acquired from a host converge to
simplified domain architectures. *PLoS Comput Biol* **8**, e1002364 (2012).
11.　Colson, P. & Raoult, D. Gene repertoire of amoeba-associated giant viruses.
Intervirology **53**, 330-43 (2010).
12.　Canchaya, C., Fournous, G. & Brussow, H. The impact of prophages on bacterial
chromosomes. *Mol Microbiol* **53**, 9-18 (2004).
13.　Lindell, D. *et al.* Transfer of photosynthesis genes to and from Prochlorococcus
viruses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **101**, 11013-8 (2004).

14.   Dammeyer, T., Bagby, S.C., Sullivan, M.B., Chisholm, S.W. & Frankenberg-
471   Dinkel, N. Efficient phage-mediated pigment biosynthesis in oceanic
472   cyanobacteria. *Curr Biol* **18**, 442-8 (2008).

473   15.   Werren, J.H., Baldo, L. & Clark, M.E. Wolbachia: master manipulators of
474   invertebrate biology. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **6**, 741-51 (2008).

475   16.   Zug, R. & Hammerstein, P. Still a host of hosts for Wolbachia: analysis of recent
476   data suggests that 40% of terrestrial arthropod species are infected. *PLoS One* **7**,
477   e38544 (2012).

478   17.   Cho, K.O., Kim, G.W. & Lee, O.K. Wolbachia bacteria reside in host Golgi-
479   related vesicles whose position is regulated by polarity proteins. *PLoS One* **6**,
480   e22703 (2011).

481   18.   Louis, C. & Nigro, L. Ultrastructual evidence of Wolbachia Rickettsiales in
482   Drosophila simulans and their relationships with unidirectional cross-
483   incompatibility. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* **54**, 39-44 (1989).

484   19.   Gavotte, L. *et al.* A Survey of the bacteriophage WO in the endosymbiotic
485   bacteria Wolbachia. *Mol Biol Evol* **24**, 427-35 (2007).

486   20.   Kent, B.N. & Bordenstein, S.R. Phage WO of Wolbachia: lambda of the
487   endosymbiont world. *Trends Microbiol* **18**, 173-81 (2010).

488   21.   Metcalf, J.A. & Bordenstein, S.R. The complexity of virus systems: the case of
489   endosymbionts. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **15**, 546-52 (2012).

490   22.   Fujii, Y., Kubo, T., Ishikawa, H. & Sasaki, T. Isolation and characterization of the
491   bacteriophage WO from Wolbachia, an arthropod endosymbiont. *Biochem*
492   *Biophys Res Commun* **317**, 1183-8 (2004).

493   23.   Masui, S. *et al.* Bacteriophage WO and virus-like particles in Wolbachia, an
494   endosymbiont of arthropods. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **283**, 1099-104
495   (2001).

496   24.   Sanogo, Y.O. & Dobson, S.L. WO bacteriophage transcription in Wolbachia-
497   infected Culex pipiens. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* **36**, 80-5 (2006).

498   25.   Tanaka, K., Furukawa, S., Nikoh, N., Sasaki, T. & Fukatsu, T. Complete WO
499   phage sequences reveal their dynamic evolutionary trajectories and putative
500   functional elements required for integration into the Wolbachia genome. *Appl*
501   *Environ Microbiol* **75**, 5676-86 (2009).

502   26.   Bordenstein, S.R., Marshall, M.L., Fry, A.J., Kim, U. & Wernegreen, J.J. The
503   tripartite associations between bacteriophage, Wolbachia, and arthropods. *PLoS*
504   *Pathog* **2**, e43 (2006).

505   27.   Kent, B.N., Funkhouser, L.J., Setia, S. & Bordenstein, S.R. Evolutionary
506   genomics of a temperate bacteriophage in an obligate intracellular bacteria
507   (Wolbachia). *PLoS One* **6**, e24984 (2011).

508   28.   Zhang, D., de Souza, R.F., Anantharaman, V., Iyer, L.M. & Aravind, L.
509   Polymorphic toxin systems: Comprehensive characterization of trafficking modes,
510   processing, mechanisms of action, immunity and ecology using comparative
511   genomics. *Biol Direct* **7**, 18 (2012).

512   29.   Ioannidis, P. *et al.* Rapid transcriptome sequencing of an invasive pest, the brown
513   marmorated stink bug Halyomorpha halys. *BMC Genomics* **15**, 738 (2014).

514   30.   Goodacre, S.L., Martin, O.Y., Thomas, C.F. & Hewitt, G.M. Wolbachia and other
515   endosymbiont infections in spiders. *Mol Ecol* **15**, 517-27 (2006).

516   31.   Garb, J.E. & Hayashi, C.Y. Molecular evolution of alpha-latrotoxin, the
517   exceptionally potent vertebrate neurotoxin in black widow spider venom. *Mol*
518   *Biol Evol* **30**, 999-1014 (2013).
519   32.   Bhere, K.V., Haney, R.A., Ayoub, N.A. & Garb, J.E. Gene structure, regulatory
520   control, and evolution of black widow venom latrotoxins. *FEBS Lett* **588**, 3891-7
521   (2014).
522   33.   Werren, J.H. *et al.* Functional and evolutionary insights from the genomes of
523   three parasitoid Nasonia species. *Science* **327**, 343-8 (2010).
524   34.   Chang, S.J. *et al.* Poxvirus host range protein CP77 contains an F-box-like
525   domain that is necessary to suppress NF-kappaB activation by tumor necrosis
526   factor alpha but is independent of its host range function. *J Virol* **83**, 4140-52
527   (2009).
528   35.   Cerveny, L. *et al.* Tetratricopeptide repeat motifs in the world of bacterial
529   pathogens: role in virulence mechanisms. *Infect Immun* **81**, 629-35 (2013).
530   36.   Pan, X., Luhrmann, A., Satoh, A., Laskowski-Arce, M.A. & Roy, C.R. Ankyrin
531   repeat proteins comprise a diverse family of bacterial type IV effectors. *Science*
532   **320**, 1651-4 (2008).
533   37.   Pichon, S. *et al.* The expression of one ankyrin pk2 allele of the WO prophage is
534   correlated with the Wolbachia feminizing effect in isopods. *BMC Microbiol* **12**,
535   55 (2012).
536   38.   Walker, T. *et al.* Ankyrin repeat domain-encoding genes in the wPip strain of
537   Wolbachia from the Culex pipiens group. *BMC Biol* **5**, 39 (2007).
538   39.   Shoemaker, D.D., Ross, K.G., Keller, L., Vargo, E.L. & Werren, J.H. Wolbachia
539   infections in native and introduced populations of fire ants (Solenopsis spp.).
540   *Insect Mol Biol* **9**, 661-73 (2000).
541   40.   Dunning Hotopp, J.C. *et al.* Widespread lateral gene transfer from intracellular
542   bacteria to multicellular eukaryotes. *Science* **317**, 1753-6 (2007).
543   41.   Funkhouser-Jones, L.J. *et al.* Wolbachia co-infection in a hybrid zone: discovery
544   of horizontal gene transfers from two Wolbachia supergroups into an animal
545   genome. *PeerJ* **3**, e1479 (2015).
546   42.   Klasson, L., Kambris, Z., Cook, P.E., Walker, T. & Sinkins, S.P. Horizontal gene
547   transfer between Wolbachia and the mosquito Aedes aegypti. *BMC Genomics* **10**,
548   33 (2009).
549   43.   Koonin, E.V. & Aravind, L. Origin and evolution of eukaryotic apoptosis: the
550   bacterial connection. *Cell Death Differ* **9**, 394-404 (2002).
551   44.   Koonin, E.V. & Aravind, L. The NACHT family - a new group of predicted
552   NTPases implicated in apoptosis and MHC transcription activation. *Trends*
553   *Biochem Sci* **25**, 223-4 (2000).
554   45.   Leipe, D.D., Koonin, E.V. & Aravind, L. STAND, a class of P-loop NTPases
555   including animal and plant regulators of programmed cell death: multiple,
556   complex domain architectures, unusual phyletic patterns, and evolution by
557   horizontal gene transfer. *J Mol Biol* **343**, 1-28 (2004).
558   46.   Hargreaves, K.R., Kropinski, A.M. & Clokie, M.R. What does the talking?:
559   quorum sensing signalling genes discovered in a bacteriophage genome. *PLoS*
560   *One* **9**, e85131 (2014).

47.   Alcami, A. & Koszinowski, U.H. Viral mechanisms of immune evasion. *Immunol*
Today **21**, 447-55 (2000).
48.   Seet, B.T. *et al.* Poxviruses and immune evasion. *Annu Rev Immunol* **21**, 377-423
(2003).
49.   Bordenstein, S.R. & Wernegreen, J.J. Bacteriophage flux in endosymbionts
(Wolbachia): infection frequency, lateral transfer, and recombination rates. *Mol*
Biol Evol **21**, 1981-91 (2004).
50.   Masui, S., Kamoda, S., Sasaki, T. & Ishikawa, H. Distribution and evolution of
bacteriophage WO in Wolbachia, the endosymbiont causing sexual alterations in
arthropods. *J Mol Evol* **51**, 491-7 (2000).
51.   Kent, B.N. *et al.* Complete bacteriophage transfer in a bacterial endosymbiont
(Wolbachia) determined by targeted genome capture. *Genome Biol Evol* **3**, 209-18
(2011).
52.   Duplouy, A. *et al.* Draft genome sequence of the male-killing Wolbachia strain
wBol1 reveals recent horizontal gene transfers from diverse sources. *BMC*
Genomics **14**, 20 (2013).
53.   Woolfit, M., Iturbe-Ormaetxe, I., McGraw, E.A. & O'Neill, S.L. An ancient
horizontal gene transfer between mosquito and the endosymbiotic bacterium
Wolbachia pipientis. *Mol Biol Evol* **26**, 367-74 (2009).
54.   Beckmann, J.F. & Fallon, A.M. Detection of the Wolbachia protein WPIP0282 in
mosquito spermathecae: implications for cytoplasmic incompatibility. *Insect*
Biochem Mol Biol **43**, 867-78 (2013).
55.   Pinto, S.B. *et al.* Transcriptional regulation of Culex pipiens mosquitoes by
Wolbachia influences cytoplasmic incompatibility. *PLoS Pathog* **9**, e1003647
(2013).
56.   Sutton, E.R., Harris, S.R., Parkhill, J. & Sinkins, S.P. Comparative genome
analysis of Wolbachia strain wAu. *BMC Genomics* **15**, 928 (2014).
57.   Amen, M.A. & Griffiths, A. Packaging of Non-Coding RNAs into Herpesvirus
Virions: Comparisons to Coding RNAs. *Front Genet* **2**, 81 (2011).
58.   Terhune, S.S., Schroer, J. & Shenk, T. RNAs are packaged into human
cytomegalovirus virions in proportion to their intracellular concentration. *J Virol*
78, 10390-8 (2004).
59.   Abedon, S.T. & Lejeune, J.T. Why bacteriophage encode exotoxins and other
virulence factors. *Evol Bioinform Online* **1**, 97-110 (2005).
60.   Sliwa-Dominiak, J., Suszynska, E., Pawlikowska, M. & Deptula, W. Chlamydia
bacteriophages. *Arch Microbiol* **195**, 765-71 (2013).
61.   Hsia, R., Ohayon, H., Gounon, P., Dautry-Varsat, A. & Bavoil, P.M. Phage
infection of the obligate intracellular bacterium, Chlamydia psittaci strain guinea
pig inclusion conjunctivitis. *Microbes Infect* **2**, 761-72 (2000).
62.   Salim, O., Skilton, R.J., Lambden, P.R., Fane, B.A. & Clarke, I.N. Behind the
chlamydial cloak: the replication cycle of chlamydiaphage Chp2, revealed.
Virology **377**, 440-5 (2008).
63.   Barr, J.J. *et al.* Bacteriophage adhering to mucus provide a non-host-derived
immunity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**, 10771-6 (2013).

64. Moran, N.A., Degnan, P.H., Santos, S.R., Dunbar, H.E. & Ochman, H. The
players in a mutualistic symbiosis: insects, bacteria, viruses, and virulence genes.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **102**, 16919-26 (2005).
65. Belda, E., Moya, A., Bentley, S. & Silva, F.J. Mobile genetic element
proliferation and gene inactivation impact over the genome structure and
metabolic capabilities of Sodalis glossinidius, the secondary endosymbiont of
tsetse flies. *BMC Genomics* **11**, 449 (2010).
66. Rasgon, J.L., Gamston, C.E. & Ren, X. Survival of Wolbachia pipientis in cell-
free medium. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **72**, 6934-7 (2006).
67. Degnan, P.H. & Moran, N.A. Diverse phage-encoded toxins in a protective insect
endosymbiont. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **74**, 6782-91 (2008).
68. Oliver, K.M., Degnan, P.H., Hunter, M.S. & Moran, N.A. Bacteriophages encode
factors required for protection in a symbiotic mutualism. *Science* **325**, 992-4
(2009).
69. Chafee, M.E. *et al.* Decoupling of host-symbiont-phage coadaptations following
transfer between insect species. *Genetics* **187**, 203-15 (2011).
70. Abascal, F., Zardoya, R. & Posada, D. ProtTest: selection of best-fit models of
protein evolution. *Bioinformatics* **21**, 2104-5 (2005).

Acknowledgements
We thank Tetsuhiko Sasaki and Takema Fukatsu for assistance with providing the

*w*CauB-infected moths; Rini Pauly for computational assistance; Merri Lynn Casem for

providing widow spiders; Kerry Oliver for information on *Hamiltonella*; Yannick Wurm

for information on *Solenopsis*; and Michael Gerth, Julie Dunning Hotopp, Kevin Kohl,

Jason Metcalf for feedback on the manuscript. We apologize to our colleagues for not

being able to include all possible references due to citation restrictions. This research was

funded by NIH Awards R01 GM085163 and R21 HD086833 and NSF Awards DEB

1046149 and IOS 1456778 to SRB. The funders had no role in study design, data

collection and interpretation or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Author contributions

Sarah Bordenstein designed and performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared

figures and tables, wrote and reviewed drafts of the paper.Seth Bordenstein conceived

and helped design the experiments, analyzed the data, wrote and reviewed drafts of the

paper.

Competing financial interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Figure legends

Figure 1 | Phage WO genomes harbor a Eukaryotic Association Module (EAM)

The complete phage WO genome for (a) WOVitA1 was sequenced directly from purified

viral particles using high throughput, metagenomic sequencing. The prophage (b)

649 WOVitA1, (c) WOCauB3 and (d) WOCauB2 genomes were reannotated based on

650 sequencing reads obtained from purified particles; complete genomes of WOCauB3 and

651 WOCauB2 were not obtained. Each genome consists of a bacteriophage-like region

652 (recombinase to patatin) and EAM highlighted in white. Gray slash marks indicate

653 illustrative continuation of the genome. Dark blue dots indicate the discovery of the *attL*

654 and *attR* sites of the prophage, which adjoin in the packaged WO genome to form *attP*.

655 Numbers above the open reading frames indicate locus tags. Scale bar, 5,000 base pairs.

657 **Figure 2** | **Eukaryotic-like EAM genes are enriched in prophage WO regions**

658 EAM genes with (a) eukaryotic homology are most likely to be associated with prophage

659 WO while those with (b) bacterial homology are both phage-associated and found

660 scattered throughout the *Wolbachia* chromosome. (*) The two chromosomal latrotoxin-

661 CTD domains (wNo_10650 and wHa_05390) are located within phage-associated genes

662 and transposases, indicating a potential genomic rearrangement. (†) SecA represents one

663 'domain type' but is listed separately because phage WO contains two different homologs

664 (i.e., wHa_3920 and wHa_3930). Putative functional categories are: anti-eukaryotic

665 toxins (orange); host-microbe interactions (green); host cell suicide (blue); secretion of

666 virulence factors (pink); and unknown (black). Octomom refers to WD0513 of the *w*Mel

667 genome.

669 **Figure 3** | **Latrotoxin-CTD phylogeny supports lateral genetic transfers**

670 (a) Phylogeny of phage WO latrotoxin-CTD protein domains and their eukaryotic

671 homologs was constructed by Bayesian analysis of 74 amino acids using the JTT model

of evolution. Consensus support values are shown at the nodes. Comparative protein

architecture shows that spider venom (b) vertebrate-specific alpha-latrotoxins and (c)

invertebrate-specific alpha- and delta-latrotoxins are highly conserved, whereas (d) phage

WO are not. Bolded nomenclature in (d) denotes the specific phage WO haplotype (listed

as WO). Genome locus tags are listed in parentheses. Predicted furin cleavage sites, listed

in Supplementary Table 3, are illustrated with gray triangles. (*) A second *L. hesperus*

sequence represents a recently-described downstream paralog with unknown toxin

activity[32]. (†) wNo_10650 is located within phage-associated genes and transposases,

indicating a potential genomic rearrangement of a phage region. (‡) Architecture is not

shown for sequences on incomplete contigs (WOBol1-b, WOAlbB, WODi, WOPipMol,

WOVitB) because complete peptide information and specific phage association are

unknown. Scale bar, 1,000 amino acids.

Figure 4 | Conserved TPR and anyrin proteins support lateral genetic transfer

(a) A BLASTP query of WOVitA1's gwv_1093 N-terminus reveals homologs in

moquitoes, ants, beetles, a mealybug, a solitary bee and one obligate intracellular

gammaproteobacteria. Bayesian phylogenetic trees were constructed based on (b) a 137-

aa alignment of all homologs with E-value less than e^{-40} using the LG+G model of

evolution. (c) To resolve taxa closest to phage WO, trees were reconstructed based on a

627-aa alignment of all homologs with an E-value of 0 using the JTT+I+G model of

evolution. Isoforms were removed from each alignment. Both trees are unrooted.

Consensus support values are shown at the nodes. Chromosomal neighborhood analyses

of available animal genome sequences indicate that animal homologs to the phage WO

protein are on contigs with other animal genes. Scale bar, 1,000 amino acids.

Figure 5 | Phylogeny and protein architecture of the cell death domain, NACHT

(a) A BLASTP query of prophage WO's NACHT region reveals homologs throughout

arthropods and crustaceans. (b) Bayesian phylogenetic trees were constructed based on a

271-aa alignment of all homologs with E-value less than e^{-15} and coverage greater than

70% using the cpREV+G model of evolution. To resolve taxa closest to prophage WO,

all *Daphnia* sequences were removed from the alignment and clusters of highly divergent

residues (i.e., 5 or more sequential residues with less than 15% pairwise identity) were

trimmed. Trees were reconstructed based on this 262-aa alignment using the LG+G

model of evolution. Consensus support values are shown at the nodes. Both trees are

unrooted. Chromosomal neighborhood analyses of available animal genome sequences

indicate that animal homologs to the prophage WO protein are on contigs with other

animal genes. Scale bar, 1,000 amino acids.

Figure 6 | Models of lateral DNA transfer between eukaryotes and bacteriophages

(a) The eukaryotic cell can harbor multiple microbes capable of horizontal gene transfer.

Genetic transfers between eukaryotes and bacteriophages can, in theory, occur (b)

directly between eukaryotic chromosomes and phage genomes; (c) indirectly between

eukaryotic and *Wolbachia* chromosomes; or (d) indirectly between eukaryotic

chromosomes and intermediary entities, such as eukaryotic viruses and other intracellular

bacteria.

a Spider venom CTD   Phage WO CTD

HGT to mosquito

A. aegypti

WOAu

WOBol1-b(2) ‡

Octomom

WOAlbB(1) ‡

WOBol1-b(1) ‡

WODi‡

WOOb‡

WOAlbB(2) ‡

*w*No⁺

WOPip5

WOPipMol(1) ‡

WOPipJHB(1)

WOPip1

74 74 92

L. tredecimguttatus

L. hesperus

L. hasselti

L. geometricus

S. grossa

Vertebrate-specific Toxins

*L. hesperus**

69 100

0.2 substitutions/site

LCTA

LITD

Invertebrate-specific Toxins

WOPip4(2)

WOPipMol(2) ‡

WOPipJHB(2)

98 87 100

WOVitB1 WOPip4(1)

WOPipMol(3) ‡

b *L. tredecimguttatus*

L. hesperus

L. hasselti

S. grossa

L. geometricus

Vertebrate-specific (alpha-latrotoxin)

c Alpha-latrocrustotoxin (LCTA)

Delta-latroinsectotoxin (LITD)

Invertebrate-specific

d Octomom (WD0512)

A. aegypti (AAEL004181)

WOAu (WPWAU0629)

*w*No⁺ (wNo_10640 & wNo_10650; frameshift)

WOPip5 (WP1346)

WOPip JHB(1) (C1A_1255)

WOPip1 (WP0292)

WOPip4(1) (WP0407)

WOPipJHB(2) (C1A_54)

WOPip4(2) (WP0462)

Phage WO latrotoxin-CTD

■ Ankyrin repeats   ■ Coiled coil   ■ TPR repeats   ■ Transmembrane   ■ Deaminase   ■ NACHT

■ Latrotoxin-CTD   ■ NB-ARC   ■ OTU   ■ Apolipophorin III   ■ RHS repeats   ▲ Furin-cleavage

a WOVitA1 *(gwv_1093)*

800 aa query

b N-terminal homologs (137 aa)

Diplorickettsia massiliensis

Dendroctonus ponderosae

* *Planococcus citri*

C. floridanum

N. vitripennis

M. demolitor

*

M. rotundata

M. rotundata

S. invicta

Phage WO

77 56

C. biroi

A. aegypti

C. quinquefasciatus

A. aegypti

98 100

A. gambiae

A. sinensis

A. aegypti

A. aegypti

A. sinensis

0.4 substitutions/site

Mosquitoes

Aedes aegypti

Anopheles sinensis

Culex quinquefasciatus

Anopheles gambiae str. PEST

c Full-length homologs (627 aa)

WOVitB 100

WOVitA1

Solenopsis invicta

WOCauB2 100

WOAnaC 100

WORiC

Cerapachys biroi

Megachile rotundata

M. demolitor

C. floridanum

N. vitripennis

0.2

Parasitic wasps

Microplitis demolitor

Copidosoma floridanum

Nasonia vitripennis

Ankyrin repeats TPR repeats Coiled coil Sequencing gap

a

WOPip1 *(WP0292)*

450 aa query

b

Anopheles sinensis

Anopheles gambiae str. PEST

Anopheles sinensis

Bombyx mori

Culex quinquefasciatus

Zootermopsis nevadensis

Phage WO

Athalia rosae

Halyomorpha halys

Oryctes borbonicus

Daphnia pulex

100 100 86
100 100 95 93
80
100
100

0.3 substitutions/site

c

A. rosae

H. halys

O. borbonicus

O. borbonicus

A. gambiae

A. sinensis

A. sinensis

C. quinquefasciatus

Z. nevadensis

Z. nevadensis

B. mori

WOPip1

WOPipJHB

0.3

NACHT NACHT homology Coiled coil Ankyrin repeats Latrotoxin-CTD Transmembrane

a Eukaryotic chromosomes   Phage WO   *Wolbachia*   Bacteria   Eukaryotic viruses

b

1 DNA transfers between eukaryotes and phage WO

2 Phage WO integrates into *Wolbachia* chromosome

Phage WO

c

1 DNA transfers between eukaryotes and *Wolbachia*

2 Some eukaryotic DNA lost from *Wolbachia*

3 Phage WO inserts adjacent to remaining eukaryotic region

4 Eukaryotic DNA incorporates into phage genome

Wolbachia

d

1 DNA transfers between eukaryotes and intermediary entities

2 Eukaryotic DNA transfers between intermediaries and phage WO

3 Eukaryotic DNA transfers between intermediaries and *Wolbachia*

4 Eukaryotic DNA incorporates into phage genome

Intermediary Entities