Full Title:

Radical Amino Acid Changes Persist Longer in the Absence of Sex

Running Title:

Radical Amino Acid Changes Persist Longer in Asexuals

Joel Sharbrough[1], Meagan Luse[1], Jeffrey L. Boore[2], John M. Logsdon, Jr.[1], Maurine Neiman[1]

[1] Department of Biology, University of Iowa, 143 Biology Building, Iowa City,

IA 52242, USA

[2] Department of Integrative Biology, University of California, Berkeley 3040 Valley Life

Sciences Bldg #3140, Berkeley CA 94720, USA

Corresponding author:

Joel Sharbrough

324 Biology Building

Iowa City, IA 52242, USA

joel-sharbrough@uiowa.edu

Keywords:

Hill-Robertson effect, mtDNA, sexual reproduction, asexual reproduction,

Potamopyrgus antipodarum

Data Archival Location:

Sequence data – GenBank

Python scripts – https://github.com/potamomics-scripts

Abstract

Harmful mutations are ubiquitous and inevitable, and the rate at which these mutations are

removed from populations is a critical determinant of evolutionary fate. Closely related and

otherwise similar sexual and asexual taxa provide a particularly powerful setting in which to

study deleterious mutation elimination because sex should facilitate mutational clearance by

reducing selective interference between sites. Here, we compared the rate of removal of

conservative and radical nonsynonymous mutations in sexual vs. asexual populations of

Potamopyrgus antipodarum, a New Zealand freshwater snail species featuring coexisting and

ecologically similar sexual and asexual lineages. Our analyses revealed that radical changes are

removed from populations at significantly higher rates than conservative changes and that sexual

lineages eliminate these radical changes more rapidly than asexual counterparts, especially over

relatively short time scales. Taken together, these results indicate that reduced efficacy of

purifying selection in asexual lineages allows harmful mutations to remain polymorphic longer

than in sexual lineages, potentially influencing the outcome of competition between sexual and

asexual lineages. The fact that our ability to detect differential patterns of mutational clearance in

sexual vs. asexual individuals required polymorphism data emphasizes the critical importance of

population-level sampling for characterizing evolutionary phenomena.

Introduction

One of the primary hypothesized advantages for sexual reproduction is the clearance of harmful mutations, which is expected to be much more effective when linkage disequilibria (LD) are disrupted by sex (Hill and Robertson 1966). A particularly striking and important example of how sex facilitates LD breakdown is provided by the transfer of mitochondrial (mt) genomes to new and potentially divergent nuclear genomic backgrounds from parents (usually but not always mothers; Barr et al. 2005) to sexually produced offspring. The close interactions between nuclear and mt gene products mean that the consequences of breakdown of mitonuclear LD are likely to be substantial. A good example of these consequences is provided by the common observation that changes in nuclear genomic background can substantially decrease mitochondrial function (Ellison and Burton 2006, Meiklejohn et al. 2013, Pichaud et al. 2013), likely a result of coevolution between the mt genome and the nuclear genes that encode the interacting protein subunits of the oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) pathway. Indeed, proper function of these subunits appears to be an important determinant of eukaryotic health (e.g., Chen et al. 2007, Pike et al. 2007, Barreto and Burton 2013, Muir et al. 2016).

Evolution of mitochondrial genomes in the absence of sex. With respect to coevolved mitonuclear protein complexes like those found in the OXPHOS pathway, the loss of sex in the nuclear genome is expected to result in at least one of two non-mutually exclusive evolutionary consequences. First, the biparental inheritance and meiotic recombination that the nuclear genome experiences during canonical sexual reproduction will decrease linkage disequilibrium (LD) in both the nuclear and mt genomes, increasing the efficacy of natural selection by decreasing interference from linked sites (Hill and Robertson 1966, Neiman and Taylor 2009).

By contrast, the uniparental (e.g., maternal) inheritance and reduced or absent meiotic

recombination that is a feature of asexual reproduction will decrease effective population size

(N_e) and increase LD across both genomes, decreasing the efficacy of selection by increasing

selective interference (i.e., Hill-Robertson effect, Hill and Robertson 1966). More specifically,

nuclear and mt genomes "trapped" in asexual lineages are co-transmitted as a single genetic unit,

such that the two genomes are effectively linked (Normark and Moran 2000). This scenario

underlies the expectation that asexual lineages will accumulate deleterious mutations more

rapidly than otherwise similar sexual lineages in both the nuclear (Birky and Walsh 1988,

Charlesworth 1993, Lynch et al. 1993) and mt genomes (Normark and Moran 2000, Neiman and

Taylor 2009). Because mitonuclear incompatibilities are expected to increase with divergence

(Burton and Barreto 2012) and mitonuclear linkage decreases the efficacy of selection (Normark

and Moran 2000, Neiman and Taylor 2009), accelerated accumulation of mildly deleterious

mutations within asexual lineages should also allow novel mitonuclear combinations, untested by

nature, to arise over time.

Second, the absence of sex may allow for elevated rates of mitonuclear coevolution if the

co-transmission of mt and nuclear genomes in asexuals allows selection to act more effectively

on multilocus (e.g., mitonuclear) genotypes that interact epistatically but tend to be disrupted by

recombination (Neiman and Linksvayer 2006). Thus, one important potential consequence of co-

transmittance of nuclear and mt genomes in the context of mutation accumulation is that the

permanent linkage of these two genomes may allow for relatively strong and effective selection

for compensatory mutations in response to accumulated deleterious mutations in OXPHOS genes.

The first scenario (increased mutation accumulation in asexuals) is expected to favor

sexual lineages that can effectively remove deleterious mutations, while the second scenario

(tighter coevolution in asexuals) should increase the cost of sex (i.e., recombination load, see

Maynard Smith 1978), thereby favoring asexual lineages. Both scenarios are expected to result in

more rapid accumulation of nonsynonymous mutations in the mt genomes of asexual lineages

than sexual lineages, making it essential to evaluate the relative fitness effects (i.e., deleterious,

neutral, or beneficial, and to what extent) of the mutations that accumulate in asexual lineages.

Differential fitness effects of mutations and the efficacy of selection. The prediction that the

mt genomes of asexuals should experience a higher rate of accumulation of nonsynonymous

mutations has found support from animal (Cutter and Payseur 2003, Neiman et al. 2010, Henry

et al. 2012) and plant (Horandl and Hojsgaard 2012, Voigt-Zielinsji et al. 2012, Hollister et al.

2015) taxa. While these results represent important steps towards understanding the genomic

consequences of asexuality, the evolutionary mechanisms underlying these observations remain

unclear, in large part because the extent to which accumulated mutations are actually deleterious

in asexuals has not been evaluated. Here, we depart from previous studies assessing mutational

load in asexuals that treat all nonsynonymous mutations as a monolithic "deleterious" class (but

see Henry et al. 2012) despite evidence that nonsynonymous mutations are likely to vary widely

in fitness effects (Keightley and Eyre-Walker 2007). Predicting and characterizing the fitness

effects of these nonsynonymous mutations remains a major challenge in evolutionary biology

(Keightley and Charlesworth 2005, Xue et al. 2008, Eyre-Walker and Keightley 2009, Halligan

et al. 2011). Yet, partitioning nonsynonymous changes into "conservative" changes (amino acid

changes in which the derived amino acid has similar biochemical properties to the ancestral

amino acid) and "radical" changes (amino acid changes in which the derived amino acid has

markedly different biochemical properties compared to the ancestral amino acid) represents a

relatively straightforward method for inferring effects of mutations on protein phenotype (Zhang

2000, Smith 2003, Hanada et al. 2007, Popadin et al. 2007).

Because mutational severity largely governs the efficacy with which selection can act

upon any given mutation (Fisher 1930), partitioning nonsynonymous changes into conservative

and radical mutational types also allows for an intuitive means of comparing the efficacy of

selection in sexual vs. asexual genomes. At present, commonly used methods for inferring

selection on DNA sequence data (e.g., π, θ, Tajima's D, d_N/d_S, *etc.*) do not typically incorporate

information from the distribution of fitness effects (DFE) of mutations (but see Kryazhimskiy

and Plotkin 2008, Schneider et al. 2011). Here, we provide a proof of principle for using

mutational information (i.e., radical vs. conservative changes) to infer the type, intensity, and

efficacy of selection using traditional tests of selection (e.g., McDonald-Kreitman test of

selection, π, θ, K_A/K_S), applied to the mt genomes of sexual vs. asexual snails.

***Potamopyrgus antipodarum*: a snail model for the evolutionary maintenance of sex.** The

removal of deleterious mutations (and the fixation of beneficial mutations) depends upon the

efficacy of selection as well as the fitness effect of the mutation(s), such that elevated N_e and

reduced LD in sexual vs. asexual populations should result in more rapid removal of deleterious

mutations for the former (Birky and Walsh 1988). As such, comparing rates and patterns of

evolution across reproductive modes while incorporating DFE information will provide a unique

and powerful glimpse into the evolutionary dynamics governing the removal of deleterious

mutations. The New Zealand freshwater snail *Potamopyrgus antipodarum* is ideally suited to

evaluate this critically important evolutionary process because otherwise similar obligately

sexual and obligately asexual *P. antipodarum* frequently coexist within New Zealand lake

populations (Lively 1987, Jokela et al. 1997), enabling direct comparisons across reproductive

modes, and, thereby, across lineages that vary in the efficacy of selection. Asexual lineages of *P.*

antipodarum are the product of multiple distinct transitions from sexual *P. antipodarum* (Neiman

and Lively 2004, Neiman et al. 2011), meaning that these asexual lineages represent separate

natural experiments into the consequences of the absence of sex. Neiman et al. (2010) showed

that asexual lineages of *P. antipodarum* experience a higher rate of nonsynonymous substitution

in their mt genomes than sexual lineages. Here, we use an expanded mt genomic dataset to

evaluate whether sexual lineages distinguish between radical and conservative changes more

effectively than asexual lineages at interspecific and intraspecific levels. This approach allowed

us to evaluate for the first time whether harmful mutation accumulation is visible at the

polymorphic level and, if so, whether this phenomenon is driven by more effective selection in

sexual lineages vs. relatively rapid mitonuclear coevolution in asexual lineages. The outcome of

these analyses emphasizes fundamental differences in the rate of accumulation of conservative vs.

radical nonsynonymous mutations and suggests that radical mutations persist longer in mt

genomes of asexual lineages of *P. antipodarum* compared to sexual counterparts, likely a

consequence of reduced efficacy of purifying selection.

Materials & Methods

Sequencing. We analyzed 31 whole *P. antipodarum* mt genomes from 8 sexual lineages and 23

asexual lineages, representing the natural range of this species in New Zealand along with

several invasive lineages (European, North American, see Table S1, Figure 1). Eighteen of these

genomes (4 sexual, 14 asexual) were obtained from Genbank (Accession Nos.: GQ996416 –

GQ996433), along with the whole mt genome of an outgroup species, *Potamopyrgus estuarinus*

(Accession No.: GQ996415.1) (Neiman et al. 2010). Five mt genomes (2 sexual lineages, 3

asexual lineages) were assembled from the DNA sequence data generated via Illumina

technology from the ongoing *P. antipodarum* nuclear genome project. The remaining eight mt

genomes (1 sexual lineage, 7 asexual lineages) were newly sequenced via bi-directional Sanger

sequencing on an ABI 3730. DNA for the Sanger-sequenced lineages was extracted with a

mollusk-adapted phenol-chloroform extraction protocol (Fukami et al. 2004). Mt genomes were

amplified in four overlapping fragments using primers and programs designed in Neiman et al

(2010). PCR products were cleaned with Shrimp Exo shrimp alkaline phosphatase (Werle et al.

1994) and directly sequenced with internal sequencing primers (Table S2). The newly generated

mt genome sequence data were assembled and manually edited in Sequencher 5.0. For these

eight newly generated mt genome sequences, only unambiguous sites with $\geq$ 2 Sanger reads were

used in our analyses. All new sequences will be deposited in GenBank.

We used flow cytometry (following the protocol outlined in Neiman et al. 2011, Neiman

et al. 2012, Paczesniak et al. 2013, Krist et al. 2014) to assign ploidy and thus reproductive mode

(diploid – sexual; polyploid – asexual) to the newly sequenced lineages for which ploidy had not

already been determined.

Phylogenetic analysis. Concatenated mt genome sequences have been shown to produce more

accurate tree topologies than single gene trees (Rokas et al. 2003, Gadagkar et al. 2005).

Accordingly, we concatenated nucleotide sequences from ~11 kbp protein-coding nucleotides

from each of the 31 *P. antipodarum* lineages and from *P. estuarinus*, for a total of 32

concatenated sequences. The concatenated sequences were aligned in the correct reading frame

using the ClustalW package implemented in MEGA 5.2.2 (Kumar et al. 2008) and manually

edited (alignment available upon request). To minimize the effects of selection on tree topology,

we used only 3rd-position sites to infer the mt genome phylogeny using the maximum likelihood

(ML) methods implemented in MEGA 5.2.2 software (Kumar et al. 2008), using the ML model

selection tool in MEGA 5.2.2 to select the Tamura-Nei model of molecular evolution with

gamma-distributed sites (Tamura and Nei 1993). Tree topology was assessed using 1,000

bootstrap replicates and visualized using FigTree v1.4 (Raumbaut 2007): only nodes with

bootstrap support > 60 were relied upon for tests of molecular evolution. The tree topology that

we obtained (Figure 1) is qualitatively identical to previously published mitochondrial trees for *P.*

antipodarum (Neiman et al. 2004, Neiman et al. 2010, and Paczesniak et al. 2013).

Quantifying the rate of radical vs**. conservative mutation and substitution.** We used seven

different amino acid classification schemes drawn from Zhang (2000), Hanada et al. (2007), and

a modified Grantham scheme based on amino acid composition, polarity, and volume (Grantham

1974) to evaluate patterns of radical and conservative nonsynonymous polymorphism and

substitution in the mt genome of *P. antipodarum* (Table 1). We defined radical mutations as

mutations in which the derived amino acid was from a different category than the ancestral

amino acid, while conservative mutations were defined as mutations in which the derived amino

acid and the ancestral amino acid were in the same category. While there is some overlap

between different classification schemes, each scheme highlights different amino acid properties

that are likely to shape protein evolution. To wit, amino acid charge is a major determinant of

protein folding (Perutz et al. 1965, Anfinsen 1973, Nakashima et al. 1986, Bashford et al. 1987,

Wright et al. 2005) and three-dimensional structure (Lesk and Chothia 1980, Geisler and Weber

1982, Doms et al. 1988, Rumbley et al. 2001), and uncharged to charged amino acid changes

(and vice versa) are rarely maintained (see Table S3, K_R/K_S). Amino acid polarity is particularly

important for proper membrane integration, as phospholipid membranes are highly hydrophobic,

and changes between polar and non-polar amino acids may expose or bury key interaction

residues (Von Heijne 1992). Volume and aromaticity can both affect protein folding (e.g.,

proline is a structure breaker) and can play a role in protein-protein interactions (Burley and

Petsko 1985). Classification schemes 4 and 7 are unique in that they are based on evolutionary

information (although classification scheme 7 largely fits with charge and polarity

classifications), meaning that these schemes incorporate aspects of other amino acid

characteristics into their classifications. All mutational types were defined relative to the

invertebrate mt genetic code (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Taxonomy/Utils/wprintgc.cgi#SG5).

The number of synonymous, conservative nonsynonymous, and radical nonsynonymous sites per

codon are detailed in Table S4.

Because the number of substitutions per site can be used as an estimate of the rate of

substitution (Li et al. 1985), we counted the number of nucleotide substitutions and determined

the type of substitution (i.e., synonymous, conservative nonsynonymous, and radical

nonsynonymous) in the protein-coding regions of the mt genomes (excluding codons with >1

change) of each lineage relative to *P. estuarinus*. We then calculated the number of mutational

target sites per lineage for a given type of mutation (see Table S4). To confirm that the number

of each of type of site was properly calculated, we checked that the number of nonsynonymous

sites and the number of synonymous sites per codon summed to three and that the number of

conservative nonsynonymous sites and the number of radical nonsynonymous sites per codon

summed to the number of nonsynonymous sites per codon (Hanada et al. 2007). Of particular

note is that the "GTG", "TTG", "ATT", "ATC", and "ATA" codons can all be used as

alternative start codons in invertebrate mt genomes (only the GTG alternative start codon was

observed in the present dataset), which we accounted for in our site calculations. We then used

custom-built Python scripts (available upon request) to calculate substitution rates for each

mutational type and used the Jukes-Cantor correction to account for multiple hits (Jukes and

Cantor 1969).

Substitutions between species are generally older than polymorphisms within species

(McDonald 1996), such that different analyses implicitly assume particular and distinct time

scales (i.e., substitutions: relatively old time scale, hereafter "long time scale"; polymorphisms:

relatively recent time scale, hereafter "short time scale"; ratio of polymorphism to divergence –

composite of recent and old time scales, hereafter "composite time scale"). We compared the

mean rate of conservative nonsynonymous substitution (Jukes-Cantor corrected; number of

conservative nonsynonymous substitutions/conservative nonsynonymous site; K_C) to the mean

rate of radical nonsynonymous substitution (Jukes-Cantor corrected; number of radical

nonsynonymous substitutions/radical nonsynonymous site; K_R) from each of the seven amino

acid classification schemes to the Jukes-Cantor-corrected mean synonymous substitution rate

(number of synonymous substitutions/synonymous site; K_S) and to one another using pairwise

Mann-Whitney U (MWU) tests and the Holm procedure for modified Bonferroni correction for

multiple comparisons (Holm 1979). All statistical tests were performed in R (R Core Team

2012). In subsequent analyses, we corrected for systematic across-lineage differences in

underlying mutation rate by dividing the estimate of K_R and K_C for each lineage by K_S.

Because polymorphisms within species give rise to divergences between species, and

because higher ratios of polymorphism to divergence are indicative of more intense purifying

selection (McDonald and Kreitman 1991), we used McDonald Kreitman (MK) tests of selection

254 to evaluate whether conservative changes were more likely than radical changes to reach fixation

255 using a Fisher's Exact Test (FET) with the Holm modification to the Bonferroni correction for

256 multiple comparisons for each of the seven amino acid categories. As an additional test of the

257 intensity of selection acting on conservative vs. radical changes, we compared the ratios of

258 polymorphism to divergence for conservative vs. radical changes by performing 10,000

259 bootstrap replicates. *P*-values were inferred by comparing the resulting bootstrap distributions

260 (Efron and Tibshirani 1993) and significance was evaluated using the Holm modification to the

261 Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons for each of the seven amino acid categories.

262   Finally, we evaluated nucleotide diversity, π (Tajima 1989), nucleotide heterozygosity, θ

263 (Watterson 1975), and Tajima's *D* (Tajima 1989) in conservative vs. radical sites to test whether

264 conservative and radical sites differ at the intraspecific (i.e., relatively recent) level.

265 Polymorphism at sites under more stringent purifying selection will tend to exist at lower relative

266 frequencies than at sites under less stringent purifying selection. To compare these intraspecific

267 measures of molecular evolution in all seven amino acid classification schemes we performed

268 10,000 bootstrap replicates, inferred *p*-values from the resulting distributions, and evaluated

269 statistical significance using the Holm modification to the Bonferroni correction for multiple

270 comparisons.

272 **Comparisons between sexual and asexual lineages.** Although our sampling scheme provided a

273 comprehensive picture of the mitochondrial diversity present in *P. antipodarum* (see Figure 1,

274 Neiman and Lively 2004, Neiman et al. 2011, Paczesniak et al. 2013), we lacked the statistical

275 power to use standard Phylogenetic Independent Contrast methods (Felsenstein 1985) to

276 compare sexuals and asexuals while accounting for phylogenetic non-independence. Instead, we

employed custom Python scripts to randomly sample (with replacement) sexual and asexual

lineages to compare rates and patterns of conservative and radical nonsynonymous evolution at

short, long, and composite time scales. We used 10,000 bootstrap replicates to estimate means

and 95% CIs for K_C/K_S, K_R/K_S, P_C/D_C, P_R/D_R, π_C/π_S, π_R/π_S, θ_C/θ_S, and θ_R/θ_S in sexual vs. asexual

lineages in all seven amino acid classification schemes. We estimated two-tailed *p*-values by

determining the probability of overlap of the bootstrap distributions and determined statistical

significance using the Holm procedure to perform a modified Bonferroni correction for multiple

comparisons.

To account for possible effects of differential sampling of sexual (n = 8) vs. asexual (n =

23) lineages, we used the methods described above to compare rates and patterns of conservative

vs. radical molecular evolution across reproductive modes by generating 10,000 bootstrap

replicates using equal (n = 8) and randomly-chosen-with-replacement, sample sizes for sexual

and asexual lineages. The results of this equivalent sampling analysis are depicted in Figure 3

and Table S3.

Because population demography can influence patterns of molecular evolution (Tajima

1989), and because transitions to asexuality and/or the rapid growth expected for a newly-

generated asexual lineage might influence important demographic parameters (e.g., N_e; Kaiser

and Charlesworth 2009), it is important to account for potential effects of demography when

evaluating molecular evolution in sexuals vs. asexuals (Wright and Charlesworth 2001). We

dealt with this issue by modifying the Watterson estimator of nucleotide heterozygosity θ

(Watterson 1975) by calculating the mean number of unique mutations per mt genome in sexuals

vs. asexuals, θ_U:

299   (1) $\theta_U = \dfrac{S_U}{L\, a_n}$

300 where S_U is the number of unique polymorphisms (i.e., changes that appear only within a single

301 lineage), L is the number of mutational target sites, and a_n is:

302         (2)  $a_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{i}$

303 in which n is equal to the number of lineages per reproductive mode. Fu and Li (1993) have

304 shown that θ_U is an estimate of nucleotide heterozygosity, θ, that only uses the external branch

305 lengths of a population (see equation 18, Fu and Li 1993). We compared the mean number of

306 unique polymorphisms per site for each mutational type across reproductive modes using 95%

307 CIs generated by 10,000 bootstrap replicates (Figure 4, Table S3).

308    One group of asexual *P. antipodarum* that we included in these analyses is quite

309 genetically distinct (mean pairwise distance between clade A and (clade B, clade C) = 0.035)

310 from other lineages (mean pairwise distance within (clade B, clade C) = 0.013) (Figure 1).

311 Therefore, including clade A may cause us to overestimate the extent of mutation accumulation

312 in asexual *P. antipodarum* as a whole. In order to exclude the possibility that this divergent

313 group of asexual lineages may be driving the observed pattern of amino acid evolution, we

314 repeated the comparisons of molecular evolution with clade A excluded, using the clade B

315 sexuals as an outgroup to clade C (see Figure 1, Table S3).

317 **Results & Discussion**

318 **Relative harm conferred by conservative** vs**. radical mutations.** We used ~11 kbp of mt

319 protein-coding sequence representing ~8520 nonsynonymous sites generated from eight sexual

320 and 23 asexual *P. antipodarum* lineages (Table S1, Figure 1) and seven distinct amino acid

321 classification schemes (Table 1) to evaluate the relative harmfulness of radical vs. conservative

322 mutations and address whether reproductive mode influences the rate of accumulation of these

two types of mutations in mt genomes. We quantified the number of each mutation type present

across these 31 *P. antipodarum* lineages relative to an outgroup, *P. estuarinus* (Table S1, Figure

1). We detected a total of 35 nonsynonymous fixed differences between these two species (mean

conservative nonsynonymous fixed differences = 27.14, SD = 4.49; mean radical

nonsynonymous fixed differences = 6.71, SD = 3.59).

Before comparing mutation accumulation across reproductive modes, we established the

type and intensity of selection acting on conservative vs. radical changes in the *P. antipodarum*

mitochondrial genome. To infer the type and intensity of selection acting on conservative vs.

radical changes at a relatively long time scale, we compared the Jukes-Cantor corrected rates of

conservative nonsynonymous substitution (K_C) and radical nonsynonymous substitution (K_R) to

the Jukes-Cantor corrected synonymous substitution rate (K_S). We found that conservative and

radical nonsynonymous substitutions accumulate at significantly lower rates than synonymous

substitutions in all amino acid classification schemes (Figure 2A, Table S3). Additionally,

conservative amino acid changes contribute to divergence between *P. antipodarum* and *P.*

estuarinus significantly more than radical changes (Figure 2A) in each of the seven amino acid

classification schemes (MWU: *p* < 0.0001 for all seven schemes, Table S3). These results

indicate that while both conservative and radical mutations are fixed at discernably lower rates

than synonymous changes, radical amino acid changes are fixed significantly less often than

conservative amino acid changes.

We next compared the ratio of polymorphism to divergence for synonymous,

nonsynonymous, conservative, and radical differences by performing MK tests of selection

(Figure 2B, Table S3). We calculated the mean number of polymorphisms and divergences

across amino acid classification schemes and found that conservative polymorphisms (mean

number of conservative polymorphisms = 122.14, SD = 27.25) and radical polymorphisms

(mean number of radical polymorphisms = 63.86, SD = 27.25) were significantly less likely to

reach fixation than synonymous polymorphisms (number of synonymous polymorphisms = 619)

(FET: $p < 2.2$ x 10^{-16} for both mutational types). We also found that conservative polymorphisms

were significantly more likely to contribute to divergence than radical polymorphisms (FET: $p =$

0.049, see Table S3 for MK tests of individual amino acid classification schemes). We also

compared the mean ratio of polymorphism to divergence for conservative (P_C/D_C) vs. radical

(P_R/D_R) changes using 10,000 bootstrap replicates. We found that mean P_R/D_R was significantly

higher than mean P_C/D_C by comparing bootstrap distributions ($p < 0.0002$, see Table S3 for

P_C/D_C vs. P_R/D_R of individual amino acid classification schemes). These findings reveal that

while both conservative and radical changes appear to be evolving under strong purifying

selection in *P. antipodarum* mt genomes, radical nonsynonymous changes are eliminated even

more rapidly than conservative nonsynonymous changes.

We next compared nucleotide diversity, π, at synonymous, nonsynonymous, conservative,

and radical sites, which provides a picture of the strength and efficacy of selection at a relatively

short time scale. Sites under purifying selection are expected to exhibit lower levels of nucleotide

diversity than relatively neutral sites (e.g., nonsynonymous vs. synonymous sites, respectively)

(Nei and Gojobori 1986). Consistent with this prediction, we used 10,000 bootstrap replicates to

compare nucleotide diversity across site types and found that mean nonsynonymous nucleotide

diversity ($\pi_A = 0.0050$) was significantly lower than mean synonymous nucleotide diversity ($\pi_S =$

0.066) in *P. antipodarum* ($p < 0.0002$, Table S3). Similarly, mean conservative nonsynonymous

nucleotide diversity ($\pi_C = 0.0060$) and mean radical nonsynonymous nucleotide diversity ($\pi_R =$

0.0027) were significantly lower than mean π_S ($p < 0.0002$ for π_C and π_R, see Table S3 for

individual schemes). When correcting for π_S (e.g., π_A/π_S), we found that mean synonymous-

corrected radical nucleotide diversity ($\pi_R/\pi_S = 0.042$) was over two-fold lower than mean

synonymous-corrected conservative nucleotide diversity ($\pi_C/\pi_S = 0.090$) ($p < 0.0002$, see Table

S3 for individual schemes), indicating that radical polymorphisms are maintained at lower

frequencies than conservative polymorphisms (Figure 2B, Table S3). Thus, even at a relatively

short time scale, radical amino acid changes appear to experience more stringent purifying

selection than conservative amino acid changes in *P. antipodarum* mt genomes.

Together, these results are consistent with the expectation that radical mutations are

usually more harmful than conservative mutations (Rand et al. 2000, Freudenberg-Hua et al.

2003, Smith 2003, Yampolsky et al. 2005). Our results are important in demonstrating that

radical and conservative mutations appear to experience very different histories of selection in

natural populations. In particular, we provide some of the first evidence from a non-model

system featuring reproductive mode polymorphism (a primary determinant of N_e) that there

exists more stringent purifying selection on radical vs. conservative mutational types, a pattern

detectable even at the intraspecific level. While there is substantial overlap amongst

classification schemes, the consistent signal of more stringent purifying selection acting on

radical changes is evidence that the grouping strategies employed by each scheme (*e.g.*, charge,

polarity, volume, *etc*.) are founded on important biological properties of amino acids. Our results

also emphasize that the relative degree of amino acid change should be an important

consideration in evaluating patterns of selection and suggest that the standard grouping of radical

and conservative mutational types into a monolithic "nonsynonymous" class is often overly

simplistic and may be positively misleading (for an example, see Summary & Implications).

Comparisons across long, short, and composite time scales (e.g., substitution rates vs.

nucleotide diversity vs. ratio of polymorphism to divergence) reveal qualitatively similar results

across all three time scales (Figure 2). In particular, radical changes clearly experience a higher

intensity of purifying selection than do conservative changes, indicating that radical changes

usually impart substantially more severe fitness effects than conservative changes and should

thus return to mutation-selection-drift equilibrium more rapidly than their conservative

counterparts (Figure 2, Fisher 1930). As such, we can use comparisons of these two mutational

types across different time scales to compare the efficacy with which sexual vs. asexual lineages

remove relatively mild (i.e., conservative) and relatively severe (i.e., radical) deleterious

mutations.

Estimating the efficacy of purifying selection in sexuals vs. **asexuals.** To estimate the relative

differences in rates of evolution in sexual vs. asexual lineages of *P. antipodarum* over a

relatively long time scale, we compared synonymous substitution rates (K_S), conservative

nonsynonymous substitution rates (K_C), and radical nonsynonymous substitution rates (K_R) for

sexuals vs. asexuals using 10,000 bootstrap replicates. We found that mean K_S of asexual *P.*

antipodarum is significantly higher than K_S of sexual *P. antipodarum* ($p < 0.0002$), indicating

that asexual *P. antipodarum* experience a higher rate of synonymous substitution than sexuals

(Table S2; see also Neiman et al. 2010). To account for this difference, we corrected estimates of

K_C and K_R by K_S and then compared K_C/K_S (mean sexual = 0.021, mean asexual = 0.022) and

K_R/K_S (mean sexual = 0.0059, mean asexual = 0.0063) across reproductive modes using 10,000

bootstrap replicates. While we did not detect significant differences between sexual and asexual

K_C/K_S or K_R/K_S ($p = 0.061$ and $p = 0.18$, respectively, Table S3), we did recapitulate Neiman et

al. (2010) in detecting significantly higher K_A/K_S in asexuals vs. sexuals ($p = 0.0034$). Thus, by

subdividing the number of substitutions into conservative and radical categories, we likely lost

substantial power in our ability to detect differences across reproductive modes. This explanation

is especially likely considering there are only a total of 35 nonsynonymous fixed differences

between the *P. antipodarum* and *P. estuarinus* mt genome sequences. Absence of evidence for

significant differences in the conservative and radical substitution rates might also be linked to

the relatively recent and multiple derivations of asexuality in *P. antipodarum* (Neiman and

Lively 2004, Paczesniak et al. 2013). Indeed, our results suggest that the resolution with which

two groups of organisms (e.g., sexual vs. asexual) can be differentiated with substitutions might

decrease with increasing divergence from the outgroup. In other words, a large class of

deleterious mutations that are still evident on the intraspecific level have long since disappeared

at the interspecific level, rendering them invisible to methods of quantifying mutation

accumulation that only focus on divergence.

To compare the efficacy of selection in different sexuals vs. asexuals at a composite time

scale, we compared the mean ratios of polymorphism to divergence for conservative ($P_C:D_C$) and

radical ($P_R:D_R$) changes across reproductive modes in all seven amino acid classification

schemes. Because our sample included an unequal number of sexual lineages (n = 8) compared

to the number of asexual lineages (n = 23), and because the number of polymorphisms increases

with sample size, we performed pairwise comparisons of $P_C:D_C$ and $P_R:D_R$ across reproductive

modes by performing 10,000 bootstrap replicates of eight randomly sampled (with replacement)

sexual lineages and eight randomly sampled (with replacement) asexual lineages. While sexual

and asexual lineages exhibited significantly lower mean $P_C:D_C$ than $P_R:D_R$ ($p = 0.0080$ and $p =$

0.0082, respectively), the fact that mean sexual $P_C D_C$ is significantly lower than mean asexual

$P_R D_R$ ($p = 0.0010$), but that mean asexual $P_C D_C$ is statistically indistinguishable from mean

sexual $P_R D_R$ ($p = 0.11$, Figure 3, see Table S3 for individual classification schemes) indicates

that the probability of radical polymorphisms proceeding to fixation is higher in asexual lineages

than in sexual lineages. These results from a composite time scale are consistent with sexual

lineages eliminating radical, but not conservative, polymorphisms more rapidly than asexual

lineages.

We next compared nucleotide diversity (π) and nucleotide heterozygosity (θ) across

reproductive modes using 10,000 bootstrap replicates. To account for differential effects of

neutral processes (e.g., demographic changes, mutation rate, *etc*.) on nucleotide diversity in

sexuals vs. asexuals (Charlesworth and Wright 2001, Kaiser and Charlesworth 2009), and

because sexual π_S was significantly lower than asexual π_S ($p = 0.011$, Table S3), we corrected π_C

and π_R by dividing each value by π_S. Similarly, because asexuals exhibited significantly higher θ_S

than sexual lineages ($p = 0.013$, Table S3), we corrected estimates of θ_C and θ_R by dividing by θ_S.

We did not find any significant differences in mean π_C/π_S, π_R/π_S, θ_C/θ_S, or θ_R/θ_S between sexuals

and asexuals (Table S3), indicating that sexual and asexual *P. antipodarum* harbor similar

numbers of conservative and radical polymorphisms and at similar relative frequencies. At face

value, these results are consistent with a scenario in which sexual and asexual lineages

experience similar efficacies of selection. What must be taken into account, however, is that at

the time of asexual origin, only a single founding mt genome is transmitted to a newly asexual

lineage. The consequence of this bottleneck at the transition to a new asexual lineage is that

ancestrally polymorphic sites become immediately monomorphic *within* the new asexual lineage.

This phenomenon should cause rapid changes in allele frequencies and thus, changes in

frequency-dependent measures of polymorphism (e.g., π) of the sampled population. The

implications are that such comparisons of nucleotide diversity and heterozygosity between

sexuals and asexuals do not necessarily provide a complete or accurate picture of evolutionary

processes.

To account for changes in allele frequency caused by the transition to asexuality itself,

we compared the mean number of unique polymorphisms per genome (see Methods) across

reproductive modes. By sampling only unique polymorphisms (i.e., relatively new mutations),

we were able to estimate mutation accumulation *since* the transition to asexuality. Sexuals and

asexuals did not differ in terms of the mean number of unique synonymous polymorphisms per

site ($\theta_{U\text{-}S}$), indicating that new mutations arise at similar rates across reproductive modes (Figure

4). Sexuals exhibited significantly lower mean $\theta_{U\text{-}R}/\theta_{U\text{-}S}$ than $\theta_{U\text{-}C}/\theta_{U\text{-}S}$ ($p < 0.0002$), while

$\theta_{U\text{-}C}/\theta_{U\text{-}S}$ and $\theta_{U\text{-}R}/\theta_{U\text{-}S}$ were statistically indistinguishable in asexual lineages ($p = 0.75$),

indicating that selection recognizes and removes deleterious changes more rapidly in sexual

lineages than in asexual lineages (Figure 4, see Table S3 for individual classification schemes).

Further, these results indicate that differences in the efficacy of selection across reproductive

modes become apparent relatively quickly after the transition to asexuality, especially with

respect to mutational changes with relatively large selection coefficients (i.e., radical mutations).

One group of asexuals was particularly genetically distinct relative to the rest of the *P.*

antipodarum dataset (clade A, Figure 1), raising the question of whether this group might be

contributing disproportionately to our observations of slowed radical mutation elimination in

asexual *P. antipodarum*. We addressed this possibility by performing the same analyses

described above on a subsample of *P. antipodarum* lineages (clade C) but treated the clade B

sexuals as an outgroup (see Methods, Table S3) and excluded clade A entirely. The results of this

more limited analysis are largely consistent with the outcomes of analyses from the whole

dataset (Table S3), indicating that the inclusion of the relatively divergent asexual clade A did

not substantively affect the original analysis. Notably, sexual lineages in clade C maintain radical

polymorphisms as significantly lower frequencies than conservative polymorphisms (mean π_C/π_S

$= 0.11$, mean $\pi_R/\pi_S = 0.058$, $p < 0.0002$, see Table S2 for individual classification schemes),

while conservative and radical polymorphisms were maintained at statistically indistinguishable

levels in clade C asexual lineages (mean $\pi_C/\pi_S = 0.13$, mean $\pi_R/\pi_S = 0.082$, $p = 0.27$, see Table S2

for individual classification schemes), consistent with ineffective selection in asexual lineages

contributing to the retention of radical polymorphisms.

Summary & Implications. Asexual *P. antipodarum* have already been found to exhibit elevated

accumulation of nonsynonymous substitutions in their mt genomes relative to sexual *P.*

antipodarum (Neiman et al. 2010). Here, we provide evidence that asexual *P. antipodarum*

exhibit elevated ratios of polymorphism to divergence for radical changes and harbor more

unique radical polymorphisms than sexuals, a particularly harmful type of nonsynonymous

mutation. Our analyses of the relative effects of conservative vs. radical changes provide a novel

line of evidence that the mutations these asexual lineages are accumulating are deleterious.

Together, these findings indicate that asexual lineages of *P. antipodarum* likely experience an

increased rate of accumulation of harmful mutations than sexual conspecifics, a pattern that is

observable at both relatively long (substitution) (Neiman et al. 2010, present study) and

relatively short (polymorphism) time scales (present study).

Radical mutations appear more likely to be deleterious than conservative mutations and

asexual *P. antipodarum* appear to be accumulating these mutations more rapidly than sexual *P.*

antipodarum, raising the intriguing possibility that asexual *P. antipodarum* might exhibit

decreased mitochondrial function compared to sexual counterparts. The presumed severity of

some mutations in these genomes (e.g., a nonsense mutation in *nd2* of one asexual lineage that

would truncate ND2 by three amino acids) suggests that either mitochondrial function is

decreased in at least some asexual lineages or that asexuals possess one or more mechanisms to

compensate for deleterious mutation load (e.g., RNA editing). RNA editing of mt-encoded

transcripts has been observed in a variety of plant taxa (Covello and Gray 1989, Gualberto et al.

1989) and in land snail mt-encoded tRNAs (Yokobori and Paabo 1995), but it is unclear whether

P. antipodarum employs similar strategies. Future work evaluating mitochondrial function at the

organelle and organismal levels in *P. antipodarum* will be essential to understanding how the

efficacy of selection influences the maintenance and distribution of sex in this system.

Our study also provides a clear demonstration of a situation where inclusion of all

nonsynonymous changes in a monolithic "deleterious" category can obscure important

evolutionary dynamics, especially at the polymorphic level. A particularly illuminating example

of the potential for this type of grouping to result in misleading conclusions is that when

nonsynonymous changes are treated as a single group, the number of unique nonsynonymous

mutations per genome ($\theta_{U\text{-}A}/\theta_{U\text{-}S}$) in sexual vs. asexual lineages is statistically indistinguishable,

in stark contrast to the clear distinctions between sexual and asexual *P. antipodarum* that are

revealed by taking mutational effect into account. The implications are, that by partitioning

mutations into conservative and radical bins, we gain substantial resolution at the intraspecific

level. Similarly, we find that sexual and asexual P/D ratios are statistically indistinguishable if all

nonsynonymous mutations are grouped together. At a longer time scale (i.e., divergence), the

relative dearth of radical nonsynonymous changes appears to present temporal sampling issues,

such that differences between sexual and asexual *P. antipodarum* can no longer be detected. This

result highlights the importance of representative intraspecific sampling and analysis.

While we interpret these results as resulting from less effective selection in asexual

lineages, another possible (and non-mutually exclusive) explanation is that the co-transmission

(and thus, effective linkage) between the nuclear and mt genomes in asexuals has facilitated the

persistence and spread of beneficial nonsynonymous mutations via selection imposed by

cooperation with nuclear-encoded genes (Blier et al. 2001, Meiklejohn et al. 2007). Because

asexuals co-transmit their nuclear and mt genomes, mutations in either genome may cause

decreases in mitochondrial function. Therefore, long-term co-transmission of the nuclear and mt

genomes may provide a scenario in which asexuals experience relatively strong selection

favoring compensatory mutation(s). We have not detected any evidence of positive selection

acting in the mt genome of *P. antipodarum* (e.g., codon-by-codon $d_N/d_S < 1$, Neutrality Index > 1

for all 13 protein-coding genes, sliding window $\pi_A/\pi_S < 1$ at all sites, data not shown), though

indirect evidence that a particular mt haplotype is spreading amongst asexual lineages hints that

selection favoring particular mt haplotypes or mitonuclear combinations might be involved

(Paczesniak et al. 2013). Evaluation of rates and patterns of evolution in the nuclear-encoded mt

genes that make up ≥95% of the genes that influence mitochondrial function (Sardiello et al.

2003), coupled with the functional analyses mentioned above, will ultimately be needed to

determine whether mitonuclear linkage in asexuals is at least in part responsible for elevated

retention of apparently harmful mutations in mt genomes.

Our results taken from different time points in the *P. antipodarum* evolutionary history

lead us to conclude that patterns of protein evolution in this species are being driven both by

mutational severity and reproductive mode. In particular, at the shortest time scale (i.e., number

of unique polymorphisms), sexuals and asexuals differ in the number of radical but not

conservative changes. At an older though still population-level scale (i.e., nucleotide diversity for

clade C only), radical polymorphisms reside at higher frequencies in asexuals rather than sexuals.

Differences across reproductive modes disappear at even older time scales (i.e., species-wide

nucleotide diversity, rates of substitution), although the composite time scale revealed that

asexuals have higher ratios of polymorphism to divergence than sexuals, particularly with

respect to radical changes. While these observations might appear inconsistent, the implicit time

scale assumption of each respective measurement instead hints that the selective process plays

out at different rates in sexuals vs. asexuals. Namely, our data indicate that mutations in asexuals

experience smaller $N_e|s|$ than in sexuals, meaning that the elimination of apparently deleterious

mutations occurs more slowly in asexual than in sexual lineages. Theoretical (Ohta 1987,

Charlesworth et al. 1993, Charlesworth and Wright 2001) and empirical (Wright et al. 2008,

Katju et al. 2015) work support this conclusion, in that populations with low N_e are expected to

harbor a larger proportion of "effectively neutral" mutations than populations with large N_e. Our

data are consistent with this phenomenon, revealing that amino acid-changing mutations

(especially radical changes) in the mt genome remain polymorphic longer in asexual than in

sexual lineages, an observation only possible with a multiple time scales approach.

568   Ultimately, less efficient removal of deleterious mutations in asexual lineages is only

important to the maintenance of sex and/or the persistence of asexual lineages if those mutations

in fact negatively affect fitness. Recent empirical evidence suggests that harmful mutations

indeed play a role in asexual lineage deterioration: Tucker et al. (2013) found that obligately

asexual *Daphnia* suffer from gene conversion-type processes that decrease heterozygosity and

subsequently expose deleterious recessive alleles, leading to lineage deterioration (Tucker et al.

2013). By contrast, our data indicate that asexual *P. antipodarum* harbor elevated numbers of

deleterious mutations due to less efficient removal of existing mutations rather than accelerated

acquisition of new mutations. Because mt genotype is critically important to organismal function

and fitness (Ellison and Burton 2006, Meiklejohn et al. 2013, Pichaud et al. 2013, Muir et al.

2016), this increased load of likely harmful mutations could potentially contribute to negative

phenotypic consequences in asexuals, though slowed deleterious mutation removal in asexuals

would likely also need to be prevalent in the nuclear genome in order to provide the short-term

advantages necessary to maintain sexual reproduction within a population (Lynch et al. 1993).

Given that the nuclear genome is the site of the vast majority of gene content and recombination

in sexual lineages, our observation of elevated retention of deleterious mutations in mt genomes

of asexual *P. antipodarum* leads us to predict that the nuclear genome is also likely to exhibit

substantial differences in deleterious mutational load and efficacy of selection across

reproductive modes.

Acknowledgements

We thank Cindy Toll and Gery Hehman for their assistance with DNA sequencing. We also

thank Stephen I. Wright and Aneil F. Agrawal for helpful discussions regarding interpretation of

intraspecific data. We thank Samuel J. Fahrner for helpful discussions regarding non-parametric

bootstrapping. We thank anonymous reviewers who saw previous versions of this manuscript for

their helpful comments. The National Science Foundation (NSF: MCB – 1122176; DEB –

1310825) and the Iowa Academy of Sciences (ISF #13-10) funded this research.

Literature Cited

Anfinsen, C. B. 1973. Principles that govern the folding of protein chains. Science 181:

223-230.

Barr, C. M., M. Neiman, and D. R. Taylor. 2005. Inheritance and recombination of

mitochondrial genomes in plants, fungi and animals. New Phytol 168: 39-50.

Barreto, F. S., and R. S. Burton. 2013. Elevated oxidative damage is correlated with reduced

fitness in interpopulation hybrids of a marine copepod. Proc Biol Sci 280: 20131521.

Bashford, D., C. Chothia, and A. M. Lesk. 1987. Determinants of a protein fold - unique

features of the globin amino acid sequences. J Mol Biol 196: 199-216.

Birky, C. W., Jr., and J. B. Walsh. 1988. Effects of linkage on rates of molecular evolution.

Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 85: 6414-6418.

Blier, P. U., F. Dufresne, and R. S. Burton. 2001. Natural selection and the evolution of

mtDNA-encoded peptides: evidence for intergenomic co-adaptation. Trends Genet 17:

400-406.

Burley, S. K., and G. A. Petsko. 1985. Aromatic-aromatic interaction: a mechanism of protein

structure stabilization. Science 229: 23-28.

Charlesworth, B. 1993. The evolution of sex and recombination in a varying environment. J

Heredity 84: 345-350.

Charlesworth, B., M. T. Morgan, and D. Charlesworth. 1993. The effect of deleterious

mutations on neutral molecular variation. Genetics 134: 1289-1303.

Charlesworth, D., and S. I. Wright. 2001. Breeding systems and genome evolution. Curr Opin

Genet Devel 11: 685-690.

Chen, J. H., C. N. Hales, and S. E. Ozanne. 2007. DNA damage, cellular senescence and

organismal ageing: causal or correlative? Nucl Acids Res 35: 7417-7428.

Covello, P. S., and M. W. Gray. 1989. RNA editing in plant mitochondria. Nature 341:

662-666.

Cutter, A. D., and B. A. Payseur. 2003. Rates of deleterious mutation and the evolution of sex

in *Caenorhabditis*. J Evol Biol 16: 812-822.

Das, J., S. T. Miller, and D. L. Stern. 2004. Comparison of diverse protein sequences of the

nuclear-encoded subunits of cytochrome C oxidase suggests conservation of structure

underlies evolving functional sites. Mol Biol Evol 21: 1572-1582.

Doms, R. W., A. Ruusala, C. Machamer, J. Helenius, A. Helenius, and J. K. Rose. 1988.

Differential effects of mutations in 3 domains on folding, quaternary structure, and

intracellular-transport of vesicular stomatitis virus G-protein. J Cell Biol 107: 89-99.

Efron, B., and R. J. Tibshirani. 1993. An introduction to the bootstrap, monographs on statistics

and applied probability, Vol. 57. New York and London: Chapman and Hall/CRC.

Ellison, C. K., and R. S. Burton. 2006. Disruption of mitochondrial function in interpopulation

hybrids of *Tigriopus californicus*. Evolution 60:1382-1391.

Eyre-Walker, A., and P. D. Keightley. 2009. Estimating the rate of adaptive molecular

evolution in the presence of slightly deleterious mutations and population size change.

Mol Biol Evol 26: 2097-2108.

Felsenstein, J. 1985. Phylogenies and the comparative method. Am Nat 125: 1-15.

Fisher, R. A. 1930. The genetical theory of natural selection. Oxford: The Clarendon Press.

Freudenberg-Hua, Y., J. Freudenberg, N. Kluck, S. Cichon, P. Propping, and M. M. Nothen.

2003. Single nucleotide variation analysis in 65 candidate genes for CNS disorders in a

representative sample of the European population. Gen Res 13: 2271-2276.

Fu, Y. X., and W.H. Li. 1993. Statistical tests of neutrality of mutations. Genetics 133: 693-709.

Fukami, H., A. F. Budd, D. R. Levitan, J. Jara, R. Kersanach, and N. Knowlton. 2004.

Geographic differences in species boundaries among members of the *Montastraea*

annularis complex based on molecular and morphological markers. Evolution 58: 324-

337.

Gadagkar, S. R., M. S. Rosenberg, and S. Kumar. 2005. Inferring species phylogenies from

multiple genes: Concatenated sequence tree versus consensus gene tree. J Exper Zool

Part B-Mol Devel Evol 304B: 64-74.

Geisler, N., and K. Weber. 1982. The amino acid sequence of chicken muscle desmin provides

a common structural model for intermediate filament proteins. Embo J 1: 1649-1656.

Grantham, R. 1974. Amino acid difference formula to help explain protein evolution. Science

185: 862-864.

Gualberto, J. M., L. Lamattina, G. Bonnard, J. H. Weil, and J. M. Grienenberger. 1989. RNA

editing in wheat mitochondria results in the conservation of protein sequences. Nature

341: 660-662.

Halligan, D. L., A. D. Peters, and P. D. Keightley. 2003. Estimating numbers of EMS-induced

mutations affecting life history traits in *Caenorhabditis elegans* in crosses between inbred

sublines. Genet Res 82: 191-205.

Hanada, K., S. H. Shiu, and W. H. Li. 2007. The nonsynonymous/synonymous substitution

rate ratio versus the radical/conservative replacement rate ratio in the evolution of

mammalian genes. Mol Biol Evol 24: 2235-2241.

Henry, L., T. Schwander, and B. J. Crespi. 2012. Deleterious mutation accumulation in

asexual *Timema* stick insects. Mol Biol Evol 29: 401-408.

Hill, W. G., and A. Robertson. 1966. The effect of linkage on limits to artificial selection.

Genet Res 8: 269-294.

Hollister, J. D., S. Greiner, W. Wang, J. Wang, Y. Zhang, G. K. Wong, S. I. Wright, and M. T.

Johnson. 2015. Recurrent loss of sex is associated with accumulation of deleterious

mutations in *Oenothera*. Mol Biol Evol 32: 896-905.

Holm, S. 1979. A simple sequentially rejective multiple test procedure. Scand J Statist 65-70.

Horandl, E., and D. Hojsgaard. 2012. The evolution of apomixis in angiosperms: A reappraisal.

Plant Biosyst 146: 681-693.

Jokela, J., C. M. Lively, M. F. Dybdahl, and J. A. Fox. 1997. Evidence for a cost of sex in the

freshwater snail *Potamopyrgus antipodarum*. Ecology 78: 452-460.

Jukes T. H., and C. R. Cantor. 1969. Evolution of protein molecules. Mammalian Protein

Metabolism Vol. 3. New York: Academic Press, Inc.

Kaiser, V. B., and B. Charlesworth. 2009. The effects of deleterious mutations on evolution in

non-recombining genomes. Trends Genet 25: 9-12.

Katju, V., L. B. Packard, L. Bu, P. D. Keightley, and U. Bergthorsson. 2015. Fitness decline

in spontaneous mutation accumulation lines of *Caenorhabditis elegans* with varying

effective population sizes. Evolution 69: 104-116.

Keightley, P. D., and B. Charlesworth. 2005. Genetic instability of *C. elegans* comes naturally.

Trends Genet 21: 67-70.

Keightley, P. D., and A. Eyre-Walker. 2007. Joint inference of the distribution of fitness effects

of deleterious mutations and population demography based on nucleotide polymorphism

frequencies. Genetics 177: 2251-2261.

Krist, A. C., A. D. Kay, K. Larkin, and M. Neiman. 2014. Response to phosphorus limitation

varies among lake populations of the freshwater snail *Potamopyrgus antipodarum*. PLoS

ONE 9: e85845.

Kryazhimskiy, S., and J. B. Plotkin. 2008. The population genetics of dN/dS. PLoS Genet

4: e1000304.

Kumar, S., M. Nei, J. Dudley, and K. Tamura. 2008. MEGA: a biologist-centric software for

evolutionary analysis of DNA and protein sequences. Brief Bioinform 9: 299-306.

Lesk, A. M., and C. Chothia. 1980. How different amino acid sequences determine similar

protein structures - structure and evolutionary dynamics of the globins. J Mol Biol 136:

225-270.

Li, W. H., C. I. Wu, and C. C. Luo. 1985. A new method for estimating synonymous and

nonsynonymous rates of nucleotide substitution considering the relative likelihood of

nucleotide and codon changes. Mol Biol Evol 2: 150-174.

Lively, C. M. 1987. Evidence from a New Zealand snail for the maintenance of sex by

parasitism. Nature 328: 519-521.

Lynch, M., R. Burger, D. Butcher, and W. Gabriel. 1993. The mutational meltdown in asexual

populations. J Heredity 84: 339-344.

Maynard Smith, J. 1978. The evolution of sex. London: Cambridge University Press.

McDonald, J. H. 1996. Detecting non-neutral heterogeneity across a region of DNA sequence

in the ratio of polymorphism to divergence. Mol Biol Evol 13: 253-260.

McDonald, J. H., and M. Kreitman. 1991. Adaptive protein evolution at the *Adh* locus in

Drosophila. Nature 351: 652-654.

Meiklejohn, C. D., M. A. Holmbeck, M. A. Siddiq, D. N. Abt, D. M. Rand, and K. L.

Montooth. 2013. An incompatibility between a mitochondrial tRNA and its nuclear-

encoded tRNA synthetase compromises development and fitness in *Drosophila*. PLoS

712   Genet 9: e1003238.

713 Meiklejohn, C. D., K. L. Montooth, and D. M. Rand. 2007. Positive and negative selection on

714   the mitochondrial genome. Trends Genet 23: 259-263.

715 Muir, R., A. Diot, and J. Poulton. 2016 Mitochondrial content is central to nuclear gene

716   expression: Profound implications for human health. Bioessays

717   doi: 10.1002/bies.201500105.

718 Nakashima, H., K. Nishikawa, and T. Ooi. 1986. The folding type of a protein is relevant to

719   the amino acid composition. J Biochem 99: 153-162.

720 Nei, M., and T. Gojobori. 1986. Simple methods for estimating the numbers of synonymous

721   and nonsynonymous nucleotide substitutions. Mol Biol Evol 3: 418-426.

722 Neiman, M., G. Hehman, J. T. Miller, J. M. Logsdon, Jr., and D. R. Taylor. 2010.

723   Accelerated mutation accumulation in asexual lineages of a freshwater snail. Mol Biol

724   Evol 27: 954-963.

725 Neiman, M., K. Larkin, A. R. Thompson, and P. Wilton. 2012. Male offspring production by

726   asexual *Potamopyrgus antipodarum*, a New Zealand snail. Heredity 109: 57-62.

727 Neiman, M., and T.A. Linksvayer. 2006. The conversion of variance and the evolutionary

728   potential of restricted recombination. Heredity 96: 111-121.

729 Neiman, M., and C. M. Lively. 2004. Pleistocene glaciation is implicated in the

730   phylogeographical structure of *Potamopyrgus antipodarum*, a New Zealand snail. Mol

731   Ecol 13: 3085-3098.

732 Neiman, M., D. Paczesniak, D. M. Soper, A. T. Baldwin, and G. Hehman. 2011. Wide

733   variation in ploidy level and genome size in a New Zealand freshwater snail with

734   coexisting sexual and asexual lineages. Evolution 65: 3202-3216.

Neiman, M., and D. R. Taylor. 2009. The causes of mutation accumulation in mitochondrial

genomes. Proc Biol Sci 276: 1201-1209.

Normark, B. B., and N. A. Moran. 2000. Opinion - Testing for the accumulation of deleterious

mutations in asexual eukaryote genomes using molecular sequences. J Nat Hist, 34:

1719-1729.

Ohta, T. 1987. Very slightly deleterious mutations and the molecular clock. J Mol Evol 26:

1-6.

Paczesniak, D., J. Jokela, K. Larkin, and M. Neiman. 2013. Discordance between nuclear and

mitochondrial genomes in sexual and asexual lineages of the freshwater snail

Potamopyrgus antipodarum. Mol Ecol 22: 4695-4710.

Perutz, M. F., J. C. Kendrew, and H. C. Watson. 1965. Structure and function of haemoglobin

– Some relations between polypeptide chain configuration and amino acid sequence. J

Mol Biol 13: 669-678.

Pichaud, N., J. W. Ballard, R. M. Tanguay, and P. U. Blier. 2013. Mitochondrial haplotype

divergences affect specific temperature sensitivity of mitochondrial respiration. J

Bioenerget Biomembr 45: 25-35.

Pike, T. W., J. D. Blount, B. Bjerkeng, J. Lindstrom, and N. B. Metcalfe. 2007. Carotenoids,

oxidative stress and female mating preference for longer lived males. Proc Biol Sci

274: 1591-1596.

Popadin, K., L. V. Polishchuk, L. Mamirova, D. Knorre, and K. Gunbin. 2007. Accumulation

of slightly deleterious mutations in mitochondrial protein-coding genes of large versus

small mammals. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104: 13390-13395.

Rand, D. M., R. A. Haney, and A. J. Fry. 2004. Cytonuclear coevolution: the genomics of

758   cooperation. Trends Ecol Evol 19: 645-653.

759 Rand, D. M., D. M. Weinreich, and B. O. Cezairliyan. 2000. Neutrality tests of conservative-

760   radical amino acid changes in nuclear- and mitochondrially-encoded proteins. Gene 261:

761   115-125.

762 Rambaut, A. 2007. FigTree, a graphical viewer of phylogenetic trees. See

763   http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree.

764 Rodriguez, F., J. L. Oliver, A. Marin, and J. R. Medina. 1990. The general stochastic model of

765   nucleotide substitution. J Theor Biol 142: 485–501.

766 Rokas, A., B. L. Williams, N. King, and S. B. Carroll. 2003. Genome-scale approaches to

767   resolving incongruence in molecular phylogenies. Nature 425: 798-804.

768 Rumbley, J., L. Hoang, L. Mayne, and S. W. Englander. 2001. An amino acid code for protein

769   folding. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 98: 105-112.

770 Sardiello, M., F. Licciulli, D. Catalano, M. Attimonelli, and C. Caggese. 2003. MitoDrome: a

771   database of *Drosophila melanogaster* nuclear genes encoding proteins targeted to the

772   mitochondrion. Nucl Acids Res 31: 322-324.

773 Schneider, A., B. Charlesworth, A. Eyre-Walker, and P. D. Keightley. 2011. A method for

774   inferring the rate of occurrence and fitness effects of advantageous mutations. Genetics

775   189: 1427-1437.

776 Smith, N. G. 2003. Are radical and conservative substitution rates useful statistics in molecular

777   evolution? J Mol Evol 57: 467-478.

778 Tajima, F. 1983. Evolutionary relationship of DNA sequences in finite populations. Genetics

779   105: 437-460.

780 Tajima, F. 1989. The effect of change in population size on DNA polymorphism. Genetics

123: 597-601.

Tamura, K., and M. Nei. 1993. Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the

control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. Mol Biol Evol 10:

512-526.

Tucker, A. E., M. S. Ackerman, B. D. Eads, S. Xu, and M. Lynch. 2013. Population-genomic

insights into the evolutionary origin and fate of obligately asexual *Daphnia pulex*. Proc

Natl Acad Sci USA 110: 15740-15745.

Voigt-Zielinski, M. L., M. Piwczynski, and T. F. Sharbel. 2012. Differential effects of

polyploidy and diploidy on fitness of apomictic *Boechera*. Sex Plant Repro 25: 97-109.

von Heijne, G. 1992. Membrane protein structure prediction. Hydrophobicity analysis and the

positive-inside rule. J Mol Biol 225: 487-494.

Watterson, G. A. 1975. On the number of segregating sites in genetical models without

recombination. Theor Popul Biol 7: 256-276.

Werle, E., C. Schneider, M. Renner, M. Volker, and W. Fiehn. 1994. Convenient single step,

one tube purification of PCR products for direct sequencing. Nucl Acids Res 22:

4354-4355.

Wright, C. F., S. A. Teichmann, J. Clarke, and C. M. Dobson. 2005. The importance of

sequence diversity in the aggregation and evolution of proteins. Nature 438: 878-

881.

Wright, S. I., N. Nano, J. P. Foxe, and V. U. Dar. 2008. Effective population size and tests of

neutrality at cytoplasmic genes in *Arabidopsis*. Genet Res 90:119-128.

Yampolsky, L. Y., F. A. Kondrashov, and A. S. Kondrashov. 2005. Distribution of the strength

of selection against amino acid replacements in human proteins. Human Mol Genet

14: 3191-3201.

Yokobori, S., and S. Paabo. 1995. Transfer RNA editing in land snail mitochondria. Proc Natl

Acad Sci USA 92: 10432-10435.

Zhang, J. 2000. Rates of conservative and radical nonsynonymous nucleotide substitutions in

mammalian nuclear genes. J Mol Evol 50: 56-68.

Figure 1. Whole-mt genome maximum likelihood phylogeny for *P. antipodarum* and an

outgroup, *P. estuarinus*. Maximum likelihood-based phylogeny of 23 asexual (red) and eight

sexual (blue) *Potamopyrgus antipodarum* lineages using only 3rd position nucleotides of the

~11kbp protein-coding region of the mitochondrial genome. Branch support was estimated using

1,000 bootstrap replicates; only bootstrap values >50 are shown. Because clade A represents a

particularly distinct group of asexuals (mean pairwise distance between clade A and (clade B,

clade C) = 0.035, mean pairwise distance within (clade B, clade C) = 0.013), we removed clade

A from the re-analysis of rates and patterns of amino acid sequence evolution; clade C was used

as the ingroup and clade B as an outgroup in this analysis.

Figure 2. Molecular evolution of conservative and radical changes in mt genomes of *P.*

***antipodarum*.** A) Comparison of the Jukes-Cantor-corrected substitution rates across different

mutational types. Left: Substitutions per site; K_S – synonymous, K_A – nonsynonymous, K_C –

conservative nonsynonymous, and K_R – radical nonsynonymous. Right: Inset of boxed-in region

depicting only K_A, K_C, and K_R. Error bars represent inner-quartile ranges (IQR). Statistical

significance was assessed using a Mann-Whitney U test. B) Ratio of polymorphism to

divergence in sites with conservative (white) vs. radical (gray) changes. Ratios for synonymous

(diagonal stripes) and nonsynonymous (black) mutational types are shown for comparison. Error

bars represent 95% confidence intervals generated using 10,000 bootstrap replicates. Statistical

significance in $P_C:D_C$ vs. $P_R:D_R$ was assessed using Fisher's Exact Test. C) Mean synonymous-

corrected nucleotide diversity for conservative (white) vs. radical (gray) sites in *P. antipodarum*.

Nucleotide diversity at nonsynonymous sites is shown for comparison. Error bars represent 95%

confidence intervals generated using 10,000 bootstrap replicates. Asterisks indicate significant

differences (* = $p < 0.05$, *** = $p < 0.0002$).

Figure 3. Ratios of polymorphism to divergence for conservative and radical changes in

sexual vs. asexual lineages of *P. antipodarum*. Comparison of ratios of polymorphism to

divergence for sexual (blue) vs. asexual (red) lineages for conservative (semi-transparent) vs.

radical (solid) changes. Error bars indicate 95% CIs generated using 10,000 bootstrap replicates.

Lower-case letters indicate statistical groupings determined using the Holm-modified Bonferroni

correction for multiple comparisons.

Figure 4. Mean number of unique polymorphisms per genome (θ_U) for sexual vs. **asexual**

lineages of *P. antipodarum*. Mean number of conservative (semi-transparent) and radical (solid)

unique polymorphisms per site for sexual (blue) vs. asexual (red) lineages of *P. antipodarum*.

Error bars indicate 95% CIs generated using 10,000 bootstrap replicates. Lower-case letters

indicate statistical groupings determined using the Holm-modified Bonferroni correction for

multiple comparisons.

Table S1. Summary of source populations of *Potamopyrgus antipodarum*.

Lake of Origin	Latitude/Longitude	Reproductive Mode	Ploidy	Sequencing Platform	Reference
Alexandrina	-35.440603, 139.083438	Sexual	2x	ABI 3730	Neiman et al. 2010
Alexandrina	-35.440603, 139.083438	Sexual	2x	ABI 3730	Neiman et al. 2010
Alexandrina	-35.440603, 139.083438	Sexual	2x	Illumina	This study
Alexandrina	-35.440603, 139.083438	Asexual	3x	ABI 3730	Neiman et al. 2010
Alexandrina	-35.440603, 139.083438	Asexual	3x	ABI 3730	Neiman et al. 2010
Alexandrina	-35.440603, 139.083438	Asexual	3x	ABI 3730	Neiman et al. 2010
Brunner	-42.607385, 171.439636	Asexual	3x	ABI 3730	This study
Brunner	-42.607385, 171.439636	Asexual	4x	ABI 3730	This study
Denmark	56.001158, 9.207968	Asexual	3x	ABI 3730	Neiman et al. 2010
Grasmere	-43.061036, 171.774569	Asexual	3x	ABI 3730	This study
Grasmere	-43.061036, 171.774569	Asexual	4x	ABI 3730	This study
Gunn	-44.874524, 168.090031	Asexual	3x	ABI 3730	Neiman et al. 2010
Heron	-43.481012, 171.169173	Asexual	3x	ABI 3730	Neiman et al. 2010
Heron	-43.481012, 171.169173	Asexual	3x	ABI 3730	Neiman et al. 2010
Ianthe	-43.481012, 171.169173	Sexual	2x	ABI 3730	Neiman et al. 2010
Ianthe	-43.481012, 171.169173	Sexual	2x	Illumina	This study
Kaniere	-43.481012, 171.169173	Sexual	2x	ABI 3730	This study
Kaniere	-43.481012, 171.169173	Asexual	3x	Illumina	This study
Lady	-42.599558, 171.573173	Sexual	2x	ABI 3730	Neiman et al. 2010
Lake Superior	47.917620, -86.953400	Asexual	3x	ABI 3730	Neiman et al. 2010
McGregor	-43.936019, 170.470227	Asexual	3x	ABI 3730	Neiman et al. 2010
Poerua	-42.702716, 171.495638	Asexual	3x	Illumina	This study
Poerua	-42.702716, 171.495638	Asexual	4x	ABI 3730, Illumina[*]	This study
Rotoiti	-38.037084, 176.345628	Asexual	4x	ABI 3730	This study
Rotoroa	-41.851912, 172.642727	Sexual	2x	ABI 3730	This study
Tarawera	-38.186357, 176.429540	Asexual	3x	ABI 3730	Neiman et al. 2010
Waikaremoana	-38.771011, 177.109841	Asexual	3x	ABI 3730	Neiman et al. 2010
Waikaremoana	-38.771011, 177.109841	Asexual	3x	ABI 3730	Neiman et al. 2010
Waikaremoana	-38.771011, 177.109841	Asexual	3x	ABI 3730	Neiman et al. 2010
Waikaremoana	-38.771011, 177.109841	Asexual	3x	Illumina	This study
Wales	52.321471, -3.703571	Asexual	3x	ABI 3730	Neiman et al. 2010

[*] – Same DNA extraction was sequenced on both platforms

Table S2. Primers used to amplify and sequence whole mtDNA in *P. antipodarum*.

Fragment	Forward	Reverse
PCR		
1	5'-GAGGTAGGAGACTGTAGT-3'	5'-GAGTCCTAAGCCCAATGCA-3'
2	5'-GCTAGTATGAATGGTTTGACG-3'	5'-GTTATGGCAGCAATAGTAATTG-3'
3	5'-TCAGCTTGTGGATCTGA-3'	5'-GCCTAATCAGTATGAGGAAG-3'
4	5'-GGAGTGAACGGAAATCA-3'	5'-CTCTTGAGTATGCTGAGTACA-3'
Sequencing		
1.1	5'TATGAATATTCAGATTTTTTAAATA-3'	5'-CTGCCACCTTTATTATAAAG-3'
1.2	5'-GGCTCATAGTTTACTTAACTT-3'	5'-CGTCAAACCATTCATACTAGC-3'
1.3	5'-GCGGTTAGACCACGAAG-3'	5'-AACTAATAGATGTTTCTATG-3'
1.4	5'-CATAGAAACATCTATTAGTT-3'	5'-CTAATCCCAGTTTCCCTC-3'
2.1	5'-GTCTCTCTCTAATTTTATAG-3'	5'-GCTGATAGATGAAAGTCTC-3'
2.2	5'-CTCTCCTTATTTTTTAGCC-3'	5'-ATATTTGCAGGAATTCAGTG-3'
2.3	5'-GCATTGGAAGCTAAAGAC-3'	5'-GTTAACAGCTTCTGTTCG-3'
2.4	5'-CAGCACACTTGAAACATTG-3'	5'-CTCATATCTTGCTGCAAC-3'
3.1	5'-CTTCATCAATTAGCGCTTTATTT-3'	5'-GTGAAAGAAATCTTAGCCTA-3'
3.2	5'-CTTTCTACCTTAAGCCAGCTAG-3'	5'-GTACTAAGCCCCTAAAGGCAA-3'
3.3	5'-CAGCACAGCCTTTAACTAAG-3'	5'CTAAATGAAAGGGGGTTACG-3'
3.4	5'-CCGCTAAATCCATTTGAAG-3'	5'-GTCATCCCTGTAGCTAG-3'
4.1	5'-CTATTGTAGTTATATTGTTGG-3'	5'-GAGATATTACAAGCGGTG-3'
4.2	5'-CAATTTCTTCCTCATACTGATT-3'	5'-CCTTAACTCCTAATCTTGGTAC-3'
4.3	5'-TTAGGGTGGATGCTATTTGC-3'	5'-GATACAAGAGCCTCTCATAC-3'
4.4	5'-GATTTAGCTATTTTTTCATTAC-3'	5'-CTGTTGTAATAAAGTTTACTG-3'

Table S4. Number of sites for different mutational types across seven amino acid classification schemes in the invertebrate mitochondrial genetic code.

Codon	Amino Acid	Synonymous	Nonsynonymous	1		2		3		4		5		6		7	
				Radical	Conservative	Radical	Conservative	Radical	Conservative	Radical	Conservative	Radical	Conservative	Radical	Conservative	Radical	Conservative
TTT	F	0.33	2.67	0.00	2.67	1.00	1.67	2.33	0.33	2.33	0.33	2.33	0.33	1.00	1.67	1.00	1.67
TTC	F	0.33	2.67	0.00	2.67	1.00	1.67	2.33	0.33	2.33	0.33	2.33	0.33	1.00	1.67	1.00	1.67
TTA	L	0.67	2.33	0.33	2.00	0.67	1.67	1.67	0.67	1.67	0.67	1.33	1.00	0.67	1.67	0.67	1.67
TTG	L	0.67	2.33	0.33	2.00	0.67	1.67	1.67	0.67	1.67	0.67	1.33	1.00	0.67	1.67	0.67	1.67
TTG	M†	0.67	2.33	0.33	2.00	0.67	1.67	1.67	0.67	1.67	0.67	1.33	1.00	0.67	1.67	0.67	1.67
TCT	S	1.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.67	1.33	0.67	1.33	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
TCC	S	1.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.67	1.33	0.67	1.33	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
TCA	S	1.00	2.00	0.33	1.67	1.67	0.33	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.67	1.33	1.67	0.33	1.67	0.33
TCG	S	1.00	2.00	0.33	1.67	1.67	0.33	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.67	1.33	1.67	0.33	1.67	0.33
TAT	Y	0.33	2.67	1.33	1.33	1.00	1.67	2.33	0.33	2.00	0.67	2.33	0.33	1.67	1.00	1.67	1.00
TAC	Y	0.33	2.67	1.33	1.33	1.00	1.67	2.33	0.33	2.00	0.67	2.33	0.33	1.67	1.00	1.67	1.00
TAA	*	0.33	2.67	2.67	0.00	2.67	0.00	2.67	0.00	2.67	0.00	2.67	0.00	2.67	0.00	2.67	0.00
TAG	*	0.33	2.67	2.67	0.00	2.67	0.00	2.67	0.00	2.67	0.00	2.67	0.00	2.67	0.00	2.67	0.00
TGT	C	0.33	2.67	0.33	2.33	1.00	1.67	2.67	0.00	1.67	1.00	1.67	1.00	1.67	1.00	1.33	1.33
TGC	C	0.33	2.67	0.33	2.33	1.00	1.67	2.67	0.00	1.67	1.00	1.67	1.00	1.67	1.00	1.33	1.33
TGA	W	0.33	2.67	0.67	2.00	2.33	0.33	2.67	0.00	2.33	0.33	2.67	0.00	2.00	0.67	2.33	0.33
TGG	W	0.33	2.67	0.67	2.00	2.33	0.33	2.67	0.00	2.33	0.33	2.67	0.00	2.00	0.67	2.33	0.33
CTT	L	1.00	2.00	0.67	1.33	0.67	1.33	1.33	0.67	1.33	0.67	1.00	1.00	0.67	1.33	0.67	1.33
CTC	L	1.00	2.00	0.67	1.33	0.67	1.33	1.33	0.67	1.33	0.67	1.00	1.00	0.67	1.33	0.67	1.33
CTA	L	1.33	1.67	0.33	1.33	0.67	1.00	1.00	0.67	1.00	0.67	0.33	1.33	0.67	1.00	0.67	1.00
CTG	L	1.33	1.67	0.33	1.33	0.67	1.00	1.00	0.67	1.00	0.67	0.33	1.33	0.67	1.00	0.67	1.00
CCT	P	1.00	2.00	0.67	1.33	1.33	0.67	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.67	1.33	1.33	0.67	1.33	0.67
CCC	P	1.00	2.00	0.67	1.33	1.33	0.67	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.67	1.33	1.33	0.67	1.33	0.67
CCA	P	1.00	2.00	0.33	1.67	1.33	0.67	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.33	1.67	1.33	0.67	1.33	0.67
CCG	P	1.00	2.00	0.33	1.67	1.33	0.67	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.33	1.67	1.33	0.67	1.33	0.67
CAT	H	0.33	2.67	2.33	0.33	0.67	2.00	2.33	0.33	1.33	1.33	2.33	0.33	2.33	0.33	2.33	0.33
CAC	H	0.33	2.67	2.33	0.33	0.67	2.00	2.33	0.33	1.33	1.33	2.33	0.33	2.33	0.33	2.33	0.33
CAA	Q	0.33	2.67	2.00	0.67	1.00	1.67	2.33	0.33	1.33	1.33	2.00	0.67	2.67	0.00	2.67	0.00
CAG	Q	0.33	2.67	2.00	0.67	1.00	1.67	2.33	0.33	1.33	1.33	2.00	0.67	2.67	0.00	2.67	0.00
CGT	R	1.00	2.00	1.67	0.33	0.67	1.33	1.67	0.33	1.67	0.33	1.67	0.33	1.67	0.33	1.67	0.33
CGC	R	0.67	2.33	2.00	0.33	0.67	1.33	2.00	0.33	1.67	0.33	1.67	0.33	2.00	0.33	1.67	0.33
CGA	R	1.00	2.00	2.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	0.00	1.33	0.67	2.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	0.00
CGG	R	1.00	2.00	2.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	0.00	1.33	0.67	2.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	0.00
ATT	I	0.33	2.67	0.00	2.67	1.00	1.67	1.33	1.33	1.33	1.33	0.33	2.33	1.00	1.67	1.00	1.67
ATT	M†	1.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	1.33	0.67	1.33	0.67	0.33	1.67	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
ATC	I	0.33	2.67	0.00	2.67	1.00	1.67	1.33	1.33	1.33	1.33	0.33	2.33	1.00	1.67	1.00	1.67
ATC	M†	1.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	1.33	0.67	1.33	0.67	0.33	1.67	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
ATA	M	0.33	2.67	0.33	2.33	1.00	1.67	1.00	1.67	1.00	1.67	0.33	2.33	1.00	1.67	1.00	1.67
ATA	M†	1.00	2.00	0.33	1.67	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.33	1.67	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
ATG	M	0.33	2.67	0.33	2.33	1.00	1.67	1.00	1.67	1.00	1.67	0.33	2.33	1.00	1.67	1.00	1.67
ATG	M†	1.67	1.33	0.33	1.00	1.00	0.33	1.00	0.33	1.00	0.33	0.33	1.00	1.00	0.33	1.00	0.33
ACT	T	1.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	0.67	1.33	0.33	1.67	0.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
ACC	T	1.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	0.67	1.33	0.33	1.67	0.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
ACA	T	1.00	2.00	0.33	1.67	1.00	1.00	0.67	1.33	0.67	1.33	0.33	1.67	1.33	0.67	1.33	0.67
ACG	T	1.00	2.00	0.33	1.67	1.00	1.00	0.67	1.33	0.67	1.33	0.33	1.67	1.33	0.67	1.33	0.67
AAT	N	0.33	2.67	1.33	1.33	0.33	2.33	2.33	0.33	2.00	0.67	1.67	1.00	1.67	1.00	1.67	1.00
AAC	N	0.33	2.67	1.33	1.33	0.33	2.33	2.33	0.33	2.00	0.67	1.67	1.00	1.67	1.00	1.67	1.00
AAA	K	0.33	2.67	2.67	0.00	0.67	2.00	2.67	0.00	2.33	1.30	2.67	0.00	2.67	0.00	2.67	0.00
AAG	K	0.33	2.67	2.67	0.00	0.67	2.00	2.67	0.00	2.33	1.30	2.67	0.00	2.67	0.00	2.67	0.00
AGT	S	1.00	2.00	0.33	1.67	0.33	1.67	1.33	0.67	0.67	1.33	0.33	1.67	1.00	1.00	0.67	1.33
AGC	S	1.00	2.00	0.33	1.67	0.33	1.67	1.33	0.67	0.67	1.33	0.33	1.67	1.00	1.00	0.67	1.33
AGA	S	1.00	2.00	0.67	1.33	0.67	1.33	1.33	0.67	1.33	0.67	1.00	1.00	1.67	0.33	1.33	0.67
AGG	S	1.00	2.00	0.67	1.33	0.67	1.33	1.33	0.67	1.33	0.67	1.00	1.00	1.67	0.33	1.33	0.67
GTT	V	1.00	2.00	0.33	1.67	0.67	1.33	1.33	0.67	1.33	0.67	0.67	1.33	0.33	1.67	0.67	1.33
GTC	V	1.00	2.00	0.33	1.67	0.67	1.33	1.33	0.67	1.33	0.67	0.67	1.33	0.33	1.67	0.67	1.33
GTA	V	1.00	2.00	0.33	1.67	0.67	1.33	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.33	1.67	0.33	1.67	0.67	1.33
GTG	V	1.00	2.00	0.33	1.67	0.67	1.33	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.33	1.67	0.33	1.67	0.67	1.33
GTG	M†	0.67	2.33	0.33	2.00	0.67	1.67	1.00	1.33	1.00	1.33	0.33	2.00	0.33	2.00	0.67	1.67
GCT	A	1.00	2.00	0.33	1.67	1.33	0.67	0.67	1.33	0.67	1.33	0.33	1.67	1.00	1.00	1.33	0.67
GCC	A	1.00	2.00	0.33	1.67	1.33	0.67	0.67	1.33	0.67	1.33	0.33	1.67	1.00	1.00	1.33	0.67
GCA	A	1.00	2.00	0.33	1.67	1.33	0.67	0.67	1.33	0.67	1.33	0.33	1.67	1.00	1.00	1.33	0.67
GCG	A	1.00	2.00	0.33	1.67	1.33	0.67	0.67	1.33	0.67	1.33	0.33	1.67	1.00	1.00	1.33	0.67
GAT	D	0.33	2.67	2.00	0.67	0.67	2.00	1.67	1.00	2.00	0.67	2.00	0.67	2.00	0.67	2.00	0.67
GAC	D	0.33	2.67	2.00	0.67	0.67	2.00	1.67	1.00	2.00	0.67	2.00	0.67	2.00	0.67	2.00	0.67
GAA	E	0.33	2.67	2.00	0.67	1.00	1.67	1.67	1.00	2.00	0.67	2.00	0.67	2.00	0.67	2.00	0.67
GAG	E	0.33	2.67	2.00	0.67	1.00	1.67	1.67	1.00	2.00	0.67	2.00	0.67	2.00	0.67	2.00	0.67
GGT	G	1.00	2.00	0.67	1.33	0.67	1.33	1.33	0.67	1.00	1.00	0.67	1.33	1.33	0.67	1.33	0.67
GGC	G	1.00	2.00	0.67	1.33	0.67	1.33	1.33	0.67	1.00	1.00	0.67	1.33	1.33	0.67	1.33	0.67
GGA	G	1.00	2.00	0.67	1.33	1.00	1.00	1.33	0.67	1.33	0.67	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.67	0.33
GGG	G	1.00	2.00	0.67	1.33	1.00	1.00	1.33	0.67	1.33	0.67	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.67	0.33
	Mean (SD)	0.75 (+/- 0.35)	2.25 (+/- 0.35)	0.82 (+/- 0.82)	1.43 (+/- 0.71)	0.99 (+/- 0.47)	1.26 (+/- 0.54)	1.54 (+/- 0.65)	0.71 (+/- 0.45)	1.36 (+/- 0.57)	0.91 (+/- 0.40)	1.15 (+/- 0.85)	1.10 (+/- 0.66)	1.37 (+/- 0.64)	0.88 (+/- 0.53)	1.41 (+/- 0.61)	0.84 (+/- 0.52)
	Total	52.33	157.67	57.67	100.00	69.33	88.00	107.67	50.00	95.33	63.93	80.33	77.00	96.00	61.67	98.67	58.67

* -- Indicates a stop codon

† -- Indicates case in which a codon is used as a start codon. Codons used as start codons have fewer nonsynonymous sites (mean = 2.00 +/- 0.41) than when they are used at any other time in a given amino acid sequence (mean = 2.50 +/- 0.28) .