Title: Comprehensive analysis of RNA-sequencing to find the source of 1 trillion reads

across diverse adult human tissues

Authors: Serghei Mangul[#1,2], Harry Taegyun Yang[1], Nicolas Strauli[3], Franziska Gruhl[4,5],

Hagit T. Porath[6], Kevin Hsieh[1], Linus Chen[7], Timothy Daley[8], Stephanie Christenson[9],

Agata Wesolowska-Andersen[10], Roberto Spreafico[2], Cydney Rios[10], Celeste Eng[11], Andrew

D. Smith[8], Ryan D. Hernandez[12,13,14], Roel A. Ophoff[15,16,17], Jose Rodriguez Santana[18], Erez

Y. Levanon[6], Prescott G. Woodruff[19], Esteban Burchard[9,10], Max A. Seibold*[8,19,20], Sagiv

Shifman*[21], Eleazar Eskin*[1,16], Noah Zaitlen*[#9]

Affiliations:

[1]Department of Computer Science, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA

[2]Institute for Quantitative and Computational Biosciences, University of California Los

Angeles, Los Angeles, USA

[3]Biomedical Sciences Graduate Program, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

[4]Center for Integrative Genomics, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

[5]Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Lausanne, Switzerland

[6]The Mina and Everard Goodman Faculty of Life Sciences, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan,

Israel

[7]Department of Bioengineering, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA

[8]Department of Molecular and Computational Biology, University of Southern California,

CA, USA

[9]Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care, Sleep and Allergy, Department of Medicine, and

Cardiovascular Research Institute, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

[10]Center for Genes, Environment, and Health, National Jewish Health, Denver, CO, USA

[11]Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

[12]Department of Bioengineering and Therapeutic Sciences, University of California, San

Francisco, CA, USA

[13]Institute for Quantitative Biosciences, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

[14]Institute for Human Genetics, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA,

USA

[15]Center for Neurobehavioral Genetics, Semel Institute for Neuroscience and Human

Behavior, University California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA

[16]Department of Human Genetics, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA

[17]Department of Psychiatry, Brain Center Rudolf Magnus, University Medical Center

Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

[18]Pediatric Pulmonology, San Juan, Puerto Rico

[19]Department of Pediatrics, National Jewish Health, Denver, CO, USA

[20]University of Colorado School of Medicine, Denver CO, USA

[21]Department of Genetics, The Institute of Life Sciences, The Hebrew University of

Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel

Correspondence to: Serghei Mangul smangul@ucla.edu and Noah Zaitlen

Noah.Zaitlen@ucsf.edu

*Equal contribution

Abstract

High throughput RNA sequencing technologies have provided invaluable research

opportunities across distinct scientific domains by producing quantitative readouts of the

transcriptional activity of both entire cellular populations and single cells. The majority of

RNA-Seq analyses begin by mapping each experimentally produced sequence (i.e., read)

to a set of annotated reference sequences for the organism of interest. For both biological

and technical reasons, a significant fraction of reads remains unmapped. In this work, we

develop Read Origin Protocol (ROP) to discover the source of all reads originating from

complex RNA molecules, recombinant T and B cell receptors, and microbial communities.

We applied ROP to 8,641 samples across 630 individuals from 54 tissues. A fraction of

RNA-Seq data (n=86) was obtained in-house; the remaining data was obtained from the

Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx v6) project. To generalize the reported number of

accounted reads, we also performed ROP analysis on thousands of different, randomly

selected, and publicly available RNA-Seq samples in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA).

Our approach can account for 99.9% of 1 trillion reads of various read length across the

merged dataset (n=10641). Using in-house RNA-Seq data, we show that immune profiles

of asthmatic individuals are significantly different from the profiles of control individuals,

with decreased average per sample T and B cell receptor diversity. We also show that

immune diversity is inversely correlated with microbial load. Our results demonstrate the

potential of ROP to exploit unmapped reads in order to better understand the functional

mechanisms underlying connections between the immune system, microbiome, human

gene expression, and disease etiology. ROP is freely available at

https://github.com/smangul1/rop and currently supports human and mouse RNA-Seq

reads.

INTRODUCTION

Advances in RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) technology have provided an unprecedented

opportunity to explore gene expression across individual, tissues, and environments

(Cloonan et al., 2008; Sultan et al., 2008; Tang et al., 2009) by efficiently profiling the RNA

sequences present in a sample of interest (Z. Wang, Gerstein, & Snyder, 2009). RNA-seq

experiments currently produce tens of millions of short read subsequences sampled from

the complete set of RNA transcripts that are provided to the sequencing platform. An

increasing number of bioinformatic protocols are being developed to analyze reads in

order to annotate and quantify the sample's transcriptome (Mihaela Pertea, 2015;

Nicolae, Mangul, Mandoiu, & Zelikovsky, 2011; Trapnell et al., 2010). When a reference

genome sequence or, preferably, a transcriptome of the sample is available, mapping-

based RNA-seq analysis protocols align the RNA-seq reads to the reference sequences,

identify novel transcripts, and quantify the abundance of expressed transcripts.

Unmapped reads, the reads falling to map to the human reference, are a large

and often overlooked output of standard RNA-seq analyses. Even in carefully executed

experiments, the *unmapped reads* can comprise a substantial fraction of the complete set

of reads produced; for example, approximately 9%-20% of reads are unmapped in recent

large human RNA-seq projects (Ardlie et al., 2015; Li, Tighe, Nicolet, Grove, Levy,

Farmerie, Viale, Wright, Schweitzer, Gao, Kim, et al., 2014; Seqc/Maqc-Iii Consortium,

2014). Unmapped reads can arise due to technical sequencing artifacts that were

produced by low quality and error prone copies of the nascent RNA sequence being

sampled (Ozsolak & Milos, 2011). A recent study by Baruzzo et al., (2017) suggests that at

least 10% of the reads simulated from human references remain unmapped across 14

contemporary state-of-the art RNA alligners. This rate may be due to shortcomings of the

aligner's efficient yet heuristic algorithms (Siragusa, Weese, & Reinert, 2013). Reads can

also remain unmapped due to unknown transcripts (Grabherr et al., 2011), recombined

B and T cell receptor sequences (Blachly et al., 2015; N. B. Strauli & Hernandez, 2016), A-

to-G mismatches from A-to-I RNA editing (Porath, Carmi, & Levanon, 2014), trans-splicing

(Wu et al., 2014), gene fusion (X.-S. Wang et al., 2009), circular RNAs (Jeck & Sharpless,

2014), and the presence of non-host RNA sequences (Kostic et al., 2011) (e.g., bacterial,

fungal, and viral organisms).

In this work, we report the development of a comprehensive method that can

characterize the origin of unmapped reads obtained by RNA-seq experiments. Analyzing

unmapped reads can inform future development of read mapping methods, provide

access to additional biological information, and resolve the irksome puzzle of the origin

of unmapped reads. We developed the Read Origin Protocol (ROP), a multi-step approach

that leverages accurate alignment methods for both host and microbial sequences. The

ROP tool contains a combination of novel algorithms and existing tools focused on specific

categories of *unmapped reads* (Blachly et al., 2015; Brown, Raeburn, & Holt, 2015; Chuang

et al., 2015; Kostic et al., 2011; N. Strauli & Hernandez, 2015). The comprehensive analytic

nature of the ROP tool prevents biases that can otherwise arise when using standard

targeted analyses. Currently, ROP supports human and mouse RNA-Seq data.

RESULTS

ROP – a computational protocol to explain unmapped reads in RNA-Sequencing

Mapping-based RNA-seq analysis protocols overlook reads that fail to map onto the

human reference sequences (i.e., *unmapped reads*). We designed a read origin protocol

(ROP) that identifies the origin of both mapped and unmapped reads (Fig. 1). The protocol

first identifies human reads by mapping them onto a reference genome and

transcriptome using a standard high-throughput mapping algorithm (Kim et al., 2013). We

used tophat v. 2.0.12 with ENSEMBL GRCh37 transcriptome and hg19 build, but many

other mapping tools are available and have recently been reviewed by Baruzzo et al.,

2017) . After alignment, reads are grouped into genomic (e.g., CDS, UTRs, introns) and

repetitive (e.g., SINEs, LINEs, LTRs) categories. The rest of the ROP protocol characterizes

the remaining *unmapped reads*, which failed to map to the human reference sequences.

The ROP protocol effectively processes the *unmapped reads* in seven steps. First,

we apply a quality control step to exclude low-quality reads, low-complexity reads, and

reads that match rRNA repeat units among the unmapped reads (FASTQC (Andrews &

others, 2010), SEQCLEAN ("https://sourceforge.net/projects/seqclean/," n.d.)). Next, we

employ Megablast (Camacho et al., 2009), a more sensitive alignment method, to search

for human reads missed due to heuristics implemented for computational speed in

conventional aligners and reads with additional mismatches. These reads typically include

those with mismatches and short gaps relative to the reference set, but they can also

include perfectly matched reads. Hyper-editing pipelines recognize reads with excessive

('hyper') editing, which are usually rejected by standard alignment methods due to many

A-to-G mismatches (Porath, Carmi, & Levanon, 2014). We use a database of repeat

sequences to identify lost repeat reads among the *unmapped reads*. Megablast, and

similar sensitive alignment methods, are not designed to identify 'non-co-linear' RNA

(Chuang et al., 2015) reads from circRNAs, gene fusions, and trans-splicing events, which

combine a sequence from distant elements. For this task, we independently map 20bp

read anchors onto the genome (see Supplemental Methods). Similarly, reads from BCR

and TCR loci, which are subject to recombination and somatic hyper-mutation (SHM),

require specifically designed methods. For this case, we use IgBlast (Ye, Ma, Madden, &

Ostell, 2013). The remaining reads that did not map to any known human sequence are

potentially microbial in origin. We use microbial genomes and phylogenetic marker genes

to identify microbial reads and assign them to corresponding taxa (Truong et al., 2015).

Microbial reads can be introduced by contamination or natural microbiome content in

the sample, such as viral, bacterial, fungi, or other microbial species (Salter et al., 2014).

Taken together, ROP considers six classes of *unmapped reads*: (1) lost human

reads, (2) hyper-edited reads, (3) lost repeat elements, (4) reads from 'non-co-linear'

(NCL) RNAs, (5) reads from the recombination of BCR and TCR segments (i.e. V(D)J

recombination), and (6) microbial reads. Previously proposed individual methods do

examine some of these classes (Blachly et al., 2015; Brown et al., 2015; Chuang et al.,

2015; Kostic et al., 2011; N. Strauli & Hernandez, 2015). However, we find that performing

a sequential analysis, in the order described above, is critical for minimizing

misclassification of reads due to homologous sequences between the different classes.

Furthermore, as shown in the Results section below, only a comprehensive analysis allows

comparison across these classes. We have demonstrated the robustness of the proposed

approach against alternating order of steps and values of the thresholds (Supplemental

Methods and Supplemental Methods Figure SM1). Complete details of ROP, including all

parameters and thresholds used, are provided in the Supplemental Methods.

RNA-Seq reads

In-silico separation

Human references

Mapped human reads

Unmapped reads

ROP

CDS, UTR, introns

LTR, LINE, SINE

QC

Lost human reads

Hyper-edited RNAs

Lost repeat elements

Non-co-linear RNAs

V(D)J recombination (BCR/TCR)

Microbial communities

Figure 1. Schematic of the Read Origin Protocol (ROP). Human reads are identified by

mapping all reads onto the reference sequences using a standard high-throughput

mapping algorithm. ROP protocol categorizes mapped reads into genomic (red colors)

and repetitive (green colors) categories. Unmapped reads that fail to map are extracted

and further filtered to exclude low quality reads, low complexity reads, and reads from

rRNA repeats (brown color). ROP protocol is able to identify unmapped reads aligned to

human references with use of a more sensitive alignment tool (lost human reads: red

color), unmapped reads aligned to human references with excessive ('hyper') editing

(hyper-edited RNAs: cyan color), unmapped reads aligned to the repeat sequences (lost

repeat elements: green color), unmapped reads spanning sequences from distant loci

(non-co-linear: orange color), unmapped reads spanning antigen receptor gene

rearrangement in the variable domain (V(D)J recombination of BCR and TCR: violet color),

and unmapped reads aligned to the microbial reference genomes and marker genes

(microbial reads: blue color).

The ROP protocol is able to account for 99.9% of all reads

To test ROP, we applied it to one trillion RNA-Seq reads across 54 tissues from 2630

individuals. The data was combined from 3 studies: (1) in-house RNA-Seq data (n=86)

from the peripheral blood, nasal, and large airway epithelium of asthmatic and control

individuals (S1); (2) multi-tissue RNA-Seq data from Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx v6)

from 53 human body sites (Consortium & others, 2015) (n=8555) (S2); (3) randomly

selected RNA-Seq samples from the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) (n=2000) (S3). Unless

otherwise noted, we reported percentage of reads averaged across 3 datasets.

RNA-Seq data obtained from the three sources represent a large collection of

tissue types and read diversity. We selected these three sources to most accurately model

the precision and broad applicability of ROP. The in-house RNA-Seq data was collected

from 53 asthmatics and 33 controls. RNA-seq libraries were prepared from total RNA with

two types of RNA enrichment methods: (1) Poly(A) enrichment libraries, applied to RNA

from peripheral blood and nasal epithelium (n=38), and (2) ribo-depletion libraries,

applied to RNA from large airway epithelium (n=49). The GTEx dataset was derived from

38 solid organ tissues, 11 brain subregions, whole blood, and three cell lines across 544

individuals.   Randomly selected SRA RNA-Seq samples included samples from whole

blood, brain, various cell lines, muscle, and placenta. Length of reads from in-house data

was 100bp, read length in Gtex data was 76bp, read length in SRA data ranged from 36bp

to 100bp. In total, 1 trillion reads (97 Tbp) derived from 10641 samples were available for

ROP (Supplemental Table S1 and Supplementary Methods). For counting purposes, the

pairing information of the reads is disregarded, and each read from a pair is counted

separately.

We used standard read mapping procedures to obtain mapped and unmapped

reads from all three data sources. Read mapping for GTEx data was performed by the

GTEx consortium using TopHat2 (Kim et al., 2013). Following the GTEx consortium

practice, we used TopHat2 to map reads from in-house and SRA studies. High-throughput

mapping using TopHat2 (Kim et al., 2013) recovered 83.1% of all reads from three studies

(Fig. 2.a), with the smallest fraction of reads mapped in the SRA study (79% mapped

reads). From the *unmapped reads*, we first excluded low-quality/low-complexity reads

and reads mapping to the rRNA repeating unit, which together accounted for 7.0% and

2.4% of all reads, respectively (Fig. 2.b). We were then able to align unmapped reads to

human reference sequences (5.7% of all reads, Fig. 2.c) and identify "hyper-edited" reads

(0.1% of all reads Fig. 2.d). We then referenced repeat sequences (0.2% of all reads, Fig.

2.d), reads identified as 'non-co-linear'(NCL) RNAs (circRNAs, gene fusion or trans-

splicing) (0.3% of all reads, Fig. 2.e), and reads mapped to recombined B and T cell

receptors (0.02% off all reads, Fig. 2.f). The remaining reads were mapped to the microbial

sequences (1.4% off all reads, Fig. 2.g). Following the seven steps of ROP, the origins of

99.9% of reads were identified. Genomic profile of unmapped reads for each dataset is

separately reported in Table S2. Uncategorized reads from SRA samples are freely

available at https://smangul1.github.io/recycle-RNA-seq/. This resource allows the

bioinformatics community to further increase the number of reads with known origin.

trillion RNA-Seq reads

Mapping to the human genome (TopHat2)

Mapped reads (82.9%)　　Unmapped reads (17.1%)

Genomic profile of unmapped reads

a. Quality control (FASTQC, SEQCLEAN)

Low quality reads (7.0%)　rRNA repeat (2.4%)

b. Remap to human references (Megablast)

lost human reads (5.7%)

c. Hyper-editing detection (scripts from Porath et. all)

hyper-edited reads (0.1%)

d. Map to repeat sequences (Megablast)

lost repeat elements (0.2%)

e. Non-co-linear(NCL) RNA profiling (TopHat-Fusion, CIRCexplorer2)

NCL RNA (0.3%)

f. B and T lymphocytes profiling (IgBLAST)

V(D)J recombinations (0.02%)

g. Microbiome profiling (Megablast)

microbial reads (1.4%)

unaccounted reads (0.1%)

Figure 2. Genomic profile of unmapped reads across 10641 samples and 54 tissues.

Percentage of unmapped reads for each category is calculated as a fraction from the total

number of reads. Bars of the plot are not scaled. Human reads (black color) mapped to

reference genome and transcriptome via TopHat2. (a) Low quality/low-complexity (light

brown) and reads matching rRNA repeating unit (dark brown) were excluded. (b) Hyper-

edited reads are captured by hyper-editing pipeline proposed in (Porath et al., 2014). (c)

ROP identifies lost human reads (red color) from unmapped reads using a more sensitive

alignment. (d) ROP identifies lost repeat sequences (green color) by mapping unmapped

reads onto the reference repeat sequences. (e) Reads arising from trans-spicing, gene

fusion and circRNA events (orange color) are captured by a TopHat-Fusion and

CIRCexplorer2 tools. (f) IgBlast is used to identify reads spanning B and T cell receptor

gene rearrangement in the variable domain (V(D)J recombinations) (violet color). (g)

Microbial reads (blue color) are captured by mapping the reads onto the microbial

reference genomes.

The ROP protocol identifies lost human reads

Some human reads may remain unmapped due to the heuristic nature of high throughput

aligners (Baruzzo et al., 2016; Siragusa et al., 2013). As shown by Baruzzo et al.,

even the best performing RNA-Seq aligners fail to map at least 10% of reads simulated

from the human references. To prevent misclassification of reads derived from human

genome into other downstream ROP categories, we used the slower and more sensitive

Megablast aligner on this subset of unmapped reads. This method allows us to filter an

additional 5.7% of human reads.

We investigated the impact of mapping parameters and RNA-Seq aligners on the

number of unmapped reads. We additionally used STAR (Dobin et al., 2013) and added

results for sensitive and very sensitive mapping settings of each of the tools

(Supplemental Methods and Supplemental Table S4). We observe that an alternative

aligner and a more sensitive mapping setting has no substantial effect on the number of

mapped reads (Supplementary Table S5). This is in line with Baruzzo et al., 2016, which

have shown that optimizing the parameters of RNA-Seq aligner is a non-trivial task and

methods with good performance for the default setting is a preferred choice.

Using both mapped and unmapped reads across the studies, we classified on

average 7.5% of the RNA-Seq reads as repetitive sequences originated from various

repeat classes and families (Supplemental Fig. S2). We observe Alu elements to have 33%

relative abundance, which was the highest among all the repeat classes. Among DNA

repeats, hAT-Charlie was the most abundant element with 50% relative abundance

(Supplemental Fig. S3). Among SVA retrotransposons, SVA-D was the most abundant

element with 50% relative abundance (Supplemental Fig. S4). Consistent with repEnrich

(Criscione, Zhang, Thompson, Sedivy, & Neretti, 2014), when using in-house data we

observe the differences in proportions of L1 and Alu elements between poly(A) and ribo-

depletion libraries. Among the repeat reads, poly(A) samples have the highest fraction of

reads mapped to Alu elements, and ribo-depleted samples have the highest fraction

mapped to L1 elements (Supplemental Fig. S5). Among the GTEx tissues, testis showed

significantly higher expression of SVA F retrotransposons compared to other GTEx tissues

($p = 2.46{\times}10^{-33}$) (Supplemental Figure S6). Furthermore, we observe high co-

expression of *Alu* elements and L1 elements across GTEx tissues ($R^2 = 0.7615$)

(Supplemental Figure 7).

ROP identifies hyper edited reads

Using standard read mapping approaches, some human reads may remain unmapped due

to "hyper editing." An extremely common post-transcriptional modification of RNA

transcripts in human is A-to-I RNA editing (Bazak et al., 2014). Adenosine deaminases

acting on RNA (ADARs) proteins can modify a genetically encoded adenosine (A) into an

inosine (I). Inosine is read by the cellular machinery as a guanosine (G), and, in turn,

sequencing of inosine results in G where the corresponding DNA sequencing reads

A. Current methods to detect A-to-I editing sites are based on the alignment of RNA-Seq

reads to the genome to identify such A-to-G mismatches. Reads with excessive ('hyper')

editing are usually rejected by standard alignment methods. In this case, many A-to-G

mismatches obscure their genomic origin.

We have identified hyper-edited reads by using the pipeline proposed in (Porath,

Carmi, & Levanon, 2014). This hyper-editing pipeline transforms all As into Gs, in both

the unmapped reads and the reference genome, and the pipeline realigns the

transformed RNA-Seq reads and the transformed reference genome. The method then

recovers original sequences and searches for dense clusters of A-to-G mismatches.

A total of 201,676,069 hyper-edited reads were identified across all samples

from the three studies. As a control for the detection, we calculated the prevalence of all

6 possible nucleotide substitutions and found that 79.9% (201,676,069/252,376,867) of

the detected reads were A-to-G mismatches (Supplemental Fig. S8). In comparison, the

in-house RNA-Seq samples have a 96.1% rate of A-to-G mismatches. This massive over-

representation of mismatches strongly suggests that these reads resulted from ADAR

mediated RNA editing. However, additional experiments are required to confirm the

nature of these edits. In addition, we found that the nucleotide sequence context of the

detected editing sites complies with the typical sequence motif of ADAR targets

(Supplemental Fig. S9).

The ROP protocol complements transcriptome profiling by non-co-linear RNAs

The ROP protocol is able to detect 'non-co-linear' reads via Tophat-Fusion (Kim & Salzberg,

2011) and CIRCexplorer2 (Zhang et al., 2016) tools from three classes of events: (1) reads

spliced distantly on the same chromosome supporting trans-splicing events; (2) reads

spliced across different chromosomes supporting gene fusion events; and (3) reads

spliced in a head-to-tail configuration supporting circRNAs. On average, we observed 816

trans-splicing events, 7510 fusion events, and 930 circular events per individual sample

supported by more than one read. Over 90% of non-co-linear events were supported by

fewer than 10 samples (Supplemental Fig. S10). We used a liberal threshold, based on

number of reads and individuals, because our interest is mapping all reads. However, a

more stringent cut off is recommended for confident identification of non-co-linear

events, specially in the clinical settings.

Based on the in-house RNA-Seq data, we observe that the library preparation

technique strongly affects the capture rate of non-co-linear transcripts. To compare the

number of NCL events, we sub-sampled unmapped reads to 4,985,914 for each sample,

which corresponded to the sample with the smallest number of unmapped reads among

in-house RNA-Seq samples. We observed an average increase of 92% of circRNAs in

samples prepared by ribo-depletion compared to poly(A) protocol (p-value = 3 x 10^{-12})

(Supplemental Fig. S11). At the same time, we observed an average 43% decrease of

trans-splicing and fusion events in samples prepared by ribo-depletion compared to

poly(A) protocol (p-value < 8 x 10^{-4}) (Supplemental Fig. S11). However, because the

tissues differed between protocols (e.g., nasal versus large airway epithelium), this effect

might be due in part to tissue differences in NCL events. We view the tissue differences

effect to be unlikely. We previously showed that gene expression profiles of nasal airway

tissue largely recapitulate expression profiles in the large airway epithelium tissue (Poole

et al., 2014).

Furthermore, many NCL events will not be captured by poly-A selection.

Therefore, we expect systematic differences in NCL abundance between capture

methods. There were no statistically significant differences (p-value > 5x10^{-3}) between

NCL events in cases and controls. We have compared number of NCL reads across GTEx

tissue, and we observe the highest fraction of NCL reads across pancreas samples with

0.75% of reads classified as NCL reads. In all other tissue types, ROP classified

approximately .3% reads as NCL reads (Supplemental Figure S12).

ROP identifies microbial and immune reads and differentiate tissue types and disease

status

Reads mapped to B and T cell receptor loci and unmapped reads were used to survey the

human adaptive immune repertoires in health and disease. We first used the mapped

reads to extract reads entirely aligned to BCR and TCR genes. Using IgBlast (Ye et al.,

2013), we identified unmapped reads with extensive somatic hyper mutations (SHM) and

reads arising from V(D)J recombination. After we identified all the reads with the human

origin, we detected microbial reads by mapping the remaining reads onto microbial

reference genomes and phylogenetic marker genes. Here, the total number of microbial

reads obtained from the sample is used to estimate microbial load. We use MetaPhlAn2

(Truong et al., 2015) to assign reads on microbial marker genes and determine the

taxonomic composition of the microbial communities.

Using in-house RNA-Seq data, we compare immunological and microbial profiles

across asthmatics and unaffected controls for the peripheral blood, nasal, and large

airway epithelium tissues. A total of 339 bacterial taxa were assigned with Metaphlan2

(Truong   et   al.,   2015)   across   all   studies   and   are   freely   available   at

https://smangul1.github.io/recycle-RNA-seq/.

Using Metaphlan2, we detected bacterial reads in all GTEx tissues except testis,

adrenal gland, heart, brain, and nerve. We also observe no bacteria reads in the following

cell lines: EBV-transformed lymphocytes(LCLs), Cells-Leukemia (CML), and Cells-

Transformed fibroblasts cell lines. On average, we observe 1.43 +-0.43 phyla assigned per

sample. All samples were dominated by Proteobacteria (relative genomic abundance of

73%+-28%). Other phyla detected included Acidobacteria, Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes,

Cyanobacteria, Fusobacteria, and Firmicutes. Consistent with previous studies, we

observe the nasal epithelium is dominated by Actinobacteria phyla (particularly the

Propionibacterium genus) (Yan et al., 2013), and the large airway epithelium is dominated

by Proteobacteria phyla (Beck, Young, & Huffnagle, 2012) (Supplemental Table S3). As a

positive control for virus detection, we used GTEx samples from EBV-transformed

lymphoblastoid cell lines (LCLs). ROP detected EBV virus across all LCLs samples. An

example of a coverage profile of EBV virus for one of the LCLs samples is presented in

Supplemental Fig. S13.

We assess combinatorial diversity of the B and T cell receptor repertoires by

examining the recombination of the of Variable (V) and Joining (J) gene segments from

the variable region of BCR and TCR loci. We used per sample alpha diversity (Shannon

entropy) to incorporate the total number of VJ combinations and their relative

proportions into a single diversity metric. We observed a mean alpha diversity of .7 among

all the samples for immunoglobulin kappa chain (IGK). Spleen, minor salivary gland, and

small intestine (terminal ileum) were the most immune diverse tissue, with corresponding

IGK alpha diversity of 86.9, 52.05, and 43.96, respectively (Supplemental Fig. S14-S15).

Across all the tissues and samples, we obtained a total of 312 VJ recombinations for IGK

chains and 194 VJ recombinations for IGL chains. Inferred recombinations are freely

available at https://smangul1.github.io/recycle-RNA-seq/.

Using in-house data, we investigated the effect of different library preparation

techniques over the ability to detect B and T cell receptor transcripts. We compared the

alpha diversity in large airway samples to nasal samples (Supplemental Fig. S16).

Decreased alpha diversity in large airway samples compared to nasal (2.5 for nasal versus

1.0 for large airway) could correspond to an overall decrease in percentage of immune

reads. This effect can be attributed to the ribo-depletion protocol not enriching for

polyadenylated antibody transcripts. Alternatively, it may result from clonal expansion of

certain clonotypes responding to the cognate antigen.

Our comprehensive ROP protocol presents several advantages over previous

methods designed to examine features of unmapped reads. First, our method

interrogates relationships between features. To explore interactions between the

immune system and microbiome, we compared immune diversity against microbial load.

Microbes trigger immune responses, eliciting proliferation of antigen-specific

lymphocytes. This dramatic expansion skews the antigen receptor repertoire in favor of a

few dominant clonotypes and decreases immune diversity (Spreafico et al.,

2016). Therefore, we reasoned that antigen receptor diversity in the presence of

microbial insults should shrink. In line with our expectation, we observed that

combinatorial immune diversity of IGK locus was negatively correlated with the viral load

(Pearson coefficient r = -0.55, p-value = 2.4 x 10^{-6}), consistent also for bacteria and

eukaryotic pathogens across BCR and TCR loci (Supplemental Fig. S17).

Using in-house data, we compared alpha diversity of asthmatic individuals (n = 9)

and healthy controls (n = 10). The combinatorial profiles of B and T cell receptors in blood

and large airway tissue provide no differentiation between case control statuses. Among

nasal samples, we observed decreased alpha diversity for asthmatic individuals relative

to healthy controls (p-value = 10^{-3}) (Fig. 2.b). Additionally, we used beta diversity

(Sørensen–Dice index) to measure compositional similarities between samples, including

gain or loss of VJ combinations of IGK locus. We observed higher beta diversity

corresponding to a lower level of similarity across the nasal samples of asthmatic

individuals in comparison to samples from unaffected controls (Fig. 2.c, p-value < $3.7x10^{-13}$

). Moreover, nasal samples of unaffected controls are significantly more similar than

samples from the asthmatic individuals (Fig. 2.c, p-value < $2.5x10^{-9}$). Recombination

profiles of immunoglobulin lambda locus (IGL) and T cell receptor beta and gamma (TCRB

and TCRG) loci yielded a similar pattern of decreased beta diversity across nasal samples

of asthmatic individuals (Supplemental Fig. S18-S20). Together the results demonstrate

the ability of ROP to interrogate additional features of the immune system without the

expense of additional TCR/BCR sequencing.

Figure 3. Combinatorial diversity of immunoglobulin kappa locus (IGK) locus

differentiates disease status. (a) Heatmap depicting the percentage of RNA-Seq samples

supporting of particular VJ combination for whole blood (n = 19), nasal epithelium of

healthy controls (n = 10), and asthmatic individuals (n = 9). Each row corresponds to a V

gene, and each column correspond to a J gene.   (b) Alpha diversity of nasal samples is

measured using the Shannon entropy and incorporates total number of VJ combinations

and their relative proportions.   Nasal epithelium of asthmatic individuals exhibits

decreased combinatorial diversity of IGK locus compared to healthy controls (p-value = 1

x 10^{-3}). (c) Compositional similarities between the nasal samples in terms of gain or loss

of VJ combinations of IGK locus are measured across paired samples from the same group

(Asthma, Controls) and paired samples from different groups (Asthma versus Controls)

using Sørensen–Dice index. Lower level of similarity is observed between nasal samples

of asthmatic individuals compared to unaffected controls (p-value < 7.3 x 10^{-13}). Nasal

samples of unaffected controls are more similar to each other than to the asthmatic

individuals (p-value < 2.5 x 10^{-9}).

DISCUSSION

Our study is the first that systematically accounts for almost all reads, totaling one trillion,

available via three RNA-seq datasets. We demonstrate the value of analyzing unmapped

reads present in the RNA-seq data to study the non-co-linear, RNA editing,

immunological, and microbiome profiles of a tissue. We developed a new tool (ROP) that

accounts for 99.9% of the reads, a substantial increase compared over the 82.2% of reads

account for using conventional protocols. We found that the majority of *unmapped reads*

are human in origin and from diverse sources, including repetitive elements, A-to-I RNA

editing, circular RNAs, gene fusions, trans-splicing, and recombined B and T cell receptor

sequences. In addition to those derived from human RNA, many reads were microbial in

origin and often occurred in numbers sufficiently large to study the taxonomic

composition of microbial communities in the tissue type represented by the sample.

We found that both unmapped human reads and reads with microbial origins are

useful for differentiating between type of tissue and status of disease. For example, we

found that the immune profiles of asthmatic individuals have decreased immune diversity

when compared to those of controls. Further, we used our method to show that immune

diversity is inversely correlated with microbial load. This case study highlights the

potential for producing novel discoveries, when the information in RNA-seq data is fully

leveraged by incorporating the analysis of unmapped reads, without need for additional

TCR/BCR or microbiome sequencing. The ROP profile of unmapped reads output by our

method is not limited to RNA-Seq technology and may apply to whole-exome and whole-

genome sequencing. We anticipate that ROP profiling will have broad future applications

in studies involving different tissue and disease types.

We observed large effects when using different library preparation protocols on

non-co-linear, immunological, and microbial profiles. For example, the poly(A) protocol

better captures antibody transcripts by enriching for polyadenylated transcripts, while

ribo-depletion protocols capture more circRNAs. The results presented here suggest that

selection of a protocol impacts quality of analysis results, and our study may guide the

choice of protocol depending on the features of interest.

The ROP protocol facilitates a simultaneous study of immune systems and

microbial communities, and this novel method advances our understanding of the

functional, interrelated mechanisms driving the immune system, microbiome, human

gene expression, and disease etiology. We hope that future efforts will provide a

quantitative and qualitative assessment of the immune and microbial components of

disease across various tissues. Recent increase in read length and sequencing efficiency

provides opportunity for studying individual microbial species and full TCR/BCR

sequencing.

METHODS

In-house RNA-Seq data

For poly(A) selected samples (n=38), we used a subset of Puerto Rican Islanders recruited

as part of the on-going Genes-environments & Admixture in Latino Americans study

(GALA II) (Anders, Pyl, & Huber, 2014; Jin, Tam, Paniagua, & Hammell, 2015; Melé et al.,

2015; Tarailo-Graovac & Chen, 2009). Nasal epithelial cells were collected from behind

the inferior turbinate with a cytology brush using a nasal illuminator. Whole blood was

collected using PAXgene RNA blood tubes. RNA was isolated using PAXgene RNA blood

extraction kits. For ribo-depleted samples (n=49), we recruited adults aged 18-70 years

to undergo research bronchoscopy.   During bronchoscopy airway epithelial brushings,

samples were obtained from 3rd-4th generation bronchi. RNA was extracted from the

epithelial brushing samples using the Qiagen RNeasy mini-kit.

Poly(A) selected RNA-seq libraries (n=38) were constructed using 500 ng of blood and

nasal airway epithelial total RNA from 9 atopic asthmatics and 10 non-atopic controls.

Libraries were constructed and barcoded with the Illumina TruSeq RNA Sample

Preparation v2 protocol. Barcoded nasal airway RNA-seq libraries from each of the 19

subjects were pooled and sequenced as 2 x 100bp paired-end reads across two flow cells

of an Illumina HiSeq 2000. Barcoded blood RNA-seq libraries from each of the 19 subjects

were pooled and sequenced as 2 x 100bp paired end reads across 4 lanes of an Illumina

Hiseq 2000 flow cell. Ribo-depleted RNA-seq libraries (n=38), were constructed using

100ng of isolated RNA of large airway epithelium total RNA from 61 samples. Libraries

were constructed and barcoded with the TruSeq Stranded Total RNA using a Ribo-Zero Human/Mouse/Rat library preparation kit, per manufacturer's protocol. Barcoded bronchial epithelial RNA-seq libraries were multiplexed and sequenced as 2 x 100bp paired end reads on an Illumina HiSeq 2500. We excluded 12 samples from further analyses due to high ribosomal RNA read counts (library preparation failure), leaving a total of 49 samples suitable for further analyses.

GTEx RNA-Seq data

We used RNA-Sequencing data from Genotype-Tissue Expression study (GTEx Consortium v.6) corresponding to 8,555 samples collected from 544 individuals from 53 tissues obtained from Genotype-Tissue Expression study (GTEx v6). RNA-Seq data is from Illumina HiSeq sequencing of 75 bp paired-end reads. The data was derived from 38 solid organ tissues, 11 brain subregions, whole blood, and three cell lines of postmortem donors. The collected samples are from adults matched for age across males and females. We downloaded the mapped and unmapped reads in BAM format from dbGap (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gap).

SRA RNA-Seq data

Samples (n=2000) were randomly selected using SQLite database from R/Bioconductor package SRAdb (https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/SRAdb.html). We have used a script from https://github.com/nellore/runs/blob/master/sra/define_and_get_fields_SRA.R to

select run_accessions from the sra table with platform = 'ILLUMINA', library_strategy =

'RNA-Seq', and taxon_id = 9606 (human).

Workflow to categorize mapped reads

We mapped reads onto the human transcriptome (Ensembl GRCh37) and genome

reference (Ensembl hg19) using TopHat2 (v 2.0.13) with the default parameters. Tophat2

was supplied with a set of known transcripts (as a GTF formatted file, Ensembl GRCh37)

using –G option. The mapped reads of each sample are stored in a binary format (.bam).

ROP (gprofile.py) categorizes the reads into genomic categories (junction read, CDS,

intron, UTR3, UTR5, introns, inter-genic read, deep a deep inter-genic read, mitochondrial

read, and multi-mapped read) based on their compatibility with the features defined by

Ensembl (GRCh37) gene annotations. ROP (rprofile.py) categorizes reads into repeat

elements (classes and families) based on their compatibility with repeat instances defined

by RepeatMasker annotation (Repeatmasker v3.3, Repeat Library 20120124). We count

the number of reads overlapping variable(V), diversity (D), joining (J), and constant (C)

gene segments of B cell receptor (BCR) and T cell receptor (TCR) loci using htseq-count

(HTSeq v0.6.1).

Workflow to categorize unmapped reads

We first converted the unmapped reads saved by TopHat2 from a BAM file into a FASTQ

file (using samtools with bam2fq option). The FASTQ file of unmapped reads contains full

read pairs (both ends of a read pair were unmapped) and discordant read pairs (one read

end was mapped while the other end was unmapped). We disregarded the pairing

information of the unmapped reads and categorized unmapped reads using the

protocol's seven steps. Reads identified at each step are filtered out.

A. Quality Control. Low quality reads, defined as reads that have quality lower than 30 in

at least 75% of their base pairs, were identified by FASTX (v 0.0.13). Low complexity reads,

defined as reads with sequences of consecutive repetitive nucleotides, were identified by

SEQCLEAN. As a part of the quality control, we also excluded unmapped reads mapped

onto the rRNA repeat sequence (HSU13369 Human ribosomal DNA complete repeating

unit) (BLAST+ 2.2.30).

B. Remap to human references. We remapped the remaining unmapped reads to the

human reference genome (hg19) and transcriptome (known transcripts, Ensembl

GRCh37) using Megablast (BLAST+ 2.2.30). ROP step 3.

C. Hyper-editing detection. We used a hyper-editing pipeline (HE-pipeline

http://levanonlab.ls.biu.ac.il/resources/zip), which is capable of identifying hyper-

edited reads.

D. Map to repeat sequences. The remaining unmapped reads were mapped to the

reference repeat sequences using Megablast (BLAST+ 2.2.30). The reference repeat

sequences were downloaded from Repbase v20.07 (http://www.girinst.org/repbase/).

Human repeat elements (humrep.ref and humsub.ref) were merged into a single

reference.

E. Non-co-linear (NCL) RNA profiling. NCL events include three classes of events: reads

supporting trans-splicing events that are spliced distantly on the same chromosome;

reads supporting gene fusion events that are spliced across different chromosomes; and

reads supporting circRNAs that are spliced in a head-to-tail configuration. To distinguish

between these three categories, we use circExplorer2 (v2.0.13). CircExplorer2 relies on

Tophat-Fusion (v2.0.13, bowtie1 v0.12.) and allows simultaneous monitoring of NCL

events in the same run. To extract trans-spicing and gene fusion events from the TopHat-

Fusion output, we ran a ruby custom script, which is part of the ROP pipeline (NCL.rb).

D. B and T lymphocytes profiling. We used IgBLAST (v. 1.4.0) with a stringent e-value

threshold (e-value < 10^{-20}) to map the remaining unmapped reads onto the V(D)J gene

segments of the of the B cell receptor (BCR) and T cell receptor (TCR) loci. Gene segments

of B cell receptors (BCR) and T cell receptors (TCR) were imported from IMGT

(International ImMunoGeneTics information system). IMGT database contains: variable

(V) gene segments; diversity (D) gene segments; and joining (J) gene segments.

E. Microbiome profiling. We used Megablast (BLAST+ 2.2.30) to align remaining

unmapped reads onto the collection of bacterial, viral, and eukaryotic reference

genomes. Bacterial and viral genomes were downloaded from NCBI

(ftp://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/). Genomes of eukaryotic pathogens were downloaded from

EuPathDB (http://eupathdb.org/eupathdb/). We used MetaPhlAn2 (Metagenomic

Phylogenetic Analysis, v 2.0) to obtain the taxonomic profile of microbial communities

present in the sample.

Reference databases

A detailed description of reference databases used by ROP is provided in Supplemental

Materials.

Comparing diversity across groups

First, we sub-sampled unmapped reads by only including reads corresponding to a sample

with the smallest number of unmapped reads. Diversity within a sample was assessed

using the richness and alpha diversity indices. Richness was defined as a total number of

distinct events in a sample. We used Shannon Index (SI), incorporating richness and

evenness components, to compute alpha diversity, which is calculated as follows:

$$\text{SI} = -\sum (p \times \log_2(p))$$

We used beta diversity (Sørensen–Dice index) to measure compositional similarities

between the samples in terms of gain or loss in events. We calculated the beta diversity

for each combination of the samples, and we produced a matrix of all pairwise sample

dissimilarities. The Sørensen–Dice beta diversity index is measured as $1 - \frac{2J}{A+B}$, where J is

the number of shared events, while A and B are the total number of events for each

sample, respectively.

The robustness of the ROP results against changing the thresholds for each of the ROP

steps

We have performed the robustness analysis to investigate the impact of the thresholds

used in each step of the ROP approach. For each ROP step, we reported the number of

reads identified under different thresholds. The results are presented as cumulative

frequency plots (Supplemental Methods Figure SM1).

The impact of mapping parameters and RNA-Seq aligners on the number of unmapped

reads

We   investigated   the   impact   of   alternative   aligners   (STAR,

https://github.com/alexdobin/STAR) and carefully adjusted the mapping setting to

achieve sensitive and very sensitive settings (Supplemental Table S4). The average

runtime on Hoffman2 Cluster for Tophat per million reads was 2.5 hours; STAR, 0.13

hours; and Novoalign, 9.1 hours. Novoalign was not considered in the analysis due to its

substantially longer running time, which made it infeasible for the protocol.

Software availability

The ROP software is publicly available at https://github.com/smangul1/rop. The source

code for ROP v1.0.4 is also available as Supplemental Material. Custom scripts

necessary to reproduce the results and reference files are distributed with Supplemental

Material, and are also available with ROP software. A tutorial with detailed instructions

on how to run ROP is freely available at https://github.com/smangul1/rop/wiki. For a

quick start, an example with 2508 unmapped reads is distributed with the ROP package.

Reads are randomly selected from a publically available normal skin (SRR1146076) RNA-

Seq sample and might not represent the typical reads of RNA-Seq experiment. The reads

are provided for demonstration purposes and are distributed with ROP software.

Additional details of ROP, including all parameters and thresholds used, are provided in

the Supplemental Methods.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

DISCLOSURE

The authors declare no competing interests.

DATA ACCESS

Portions of the nasal airway epithelial whole transcriptome data were published in a

previous manuscript (Tarailo-Graovac & Chen, 2009)

REFERENCES

Adiconis, X., Borges-Rivera, D., Satija, R., DeLuca, D. S., Busby, M. A., Berlin, A. M., … others. (2013). Comparative analysis of RNA sequencing methods for degraded or low-input samples. *Nature Methods*, *10*(7), 623–629. article.

Anders, S., Pyl, P. T., & Huber, W. (2014). HTSeq--A Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*, btu638. article.

Andrews, S., & others. (2010). FastQC: A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. *Reference Source*. article.

Ardlie, K. G., Deluca, D. S., Segrè, A. V, Sullivan, T. J., Young, T. R., Gelfand, E. T., … others. (2015). The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) pilot analysis: Multitissue gene regulation in humans. *Science*, *348*(6235), 648–660. article.

Baruzzo, G., Hayer, K. E., Kim, E. J., Di Camillo, B., FitzGerald, G. A., & Grant, G. R. (2016). Simulation-based comprehensive benchmarking of RNA-seq aligners. *Nature Methods*. article.

Bazak, L., Haviv, A., Barak, M., Jacob-Hirsch, J., Deng, P., Zhang, R., … others. (2014). A-to-I RNA editing occurs at over a hundred million genomic sites, located in a majority of human genes. *Genome Research*, *24*(3), 365–376. article.

Beck, J. M., Young, V. B., & Huffnagle, G. B. (2012). The microbiome of the lung. *Translational Research : The Journal of Laboratory and Clinical Medicine*, *160*(4), 258–66. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.trsl.2012.02.005

Blachly, J. S., Ruppert, A. S., Zhao, W., Long, S., Flynn, J., Flinn, I., … others. (2015).

Immunoglobulin transcript sequence and somatic hypermutation computation from

unselected RNA-seq reads in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Proceedings of the*

National Academy of Sciences, *112*(14), 4322–4327. article.

Brown, S. D., Raeburn, L. A., & Holt, R. A. (2015). Profiling tissue-resident T cell repertoires

by RNA sequencing. *Genome Medicine*, *7*(1), 1–8.

Camacho, C., Coulouris, G., Avagyan, V., Ma, N., Papadopoulos, J., Bealer, K., & Madden,

T. L. (2009). BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *10*(1), 421.

article.

Chuang, T.-J., Wu, C.-S., Chen, C.-Y., Hung, L.-Y., Chiang, T.-W., & Yang, M.-Y. (2015).

NCLscan: accurate identification of non-co-linear transcripts (fusion, trans-splicing

and circular RNA) with a good balance between sensitivity and precision. *Nucleic*

Acids Research, gkv1013. article.

Cloonan, N., Forrest, A. R. R., Kolle, G., Gardiner, B. B. A., Faulkner, G. J., Brown, M. K., …

others. (2008). Stem cell transcriptome profiling via massive-scale mRNA

sequencing. *Nature Methods*, *5*(7), 613–619. article.

Consortium, Gte., & others. (2015). The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) pilot analysis:

Multitissue gene regulation in humans. *Science*, *348*(6235), 648–660. article.

Criscione, S. W., Zhang, Y., Thompson, W., Sedivy, J. M., & Neretti, N. (2014).

Transcriptional landscape of repetitive elements in normal and cancer human cells.

BMC Genomics, *15*(1), 583. http://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-15-583

Dobin, A., Davis, C. A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., … Gingeras, T. R.

(2013). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics*, *29*(1), 15–21.

article.

Engström, P. G., Steijger, T., Sipos, B., Grant, G. R., Kahles, A., Rätsch, G., … others. (2013).

Systematic evaluation of spliced alignment programs for RNA-seq data. *Nature*

Methods, *10*(12), 1185–1191. article.

Grabherr, M. G., Haas, B. J., Yassour, M., Levin, J. Z., Thompson, D. A., Amit, I., … Regev,

A. (2011). Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a

reference genome. *Nature Biotechnology*, *29*(7), 644–52.

http://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1883

https://sourceforge.net/projects/seqclean/. (n.d.). article.

Inman, C. F., Murray, T. Z., Bailey, M., & Cose, S. (2012). Most B cells in non-lymphoid

tissues are naïve. *Immunology and Cell Biology*, *90*(2), 235–242.

http://doi.org/10.1038/icb.2011.35

Jeck, W. R., & Sharpless, N. E. (2014). Detecting and characterizing circular RNAs. *Nature*

Biotechnology, *32*(5), 453–61. http://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2890

Jin, Y., Tam, O. H., Paniagua, E., & Hammell, M. (2015). TEtranscripts: a package for

including transposable elements in differential expression analysis of RNA-seq

datasets. *Bioinformatics*, btv422. article.

Kim, D., Pertea, G., Trapnell, C., Pimentel, H., Kelley, R., & Salzberg, S. L. (2013). TopHat2:

accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and

gene fusions. *Genome Biology*, *14*(4), R36. http://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2013-14-4-r36

Kim, D., & Salzberg, S. L. (2011). TopHat-Fusion: an algorithm for discovery of novel fusion

transcripts. *Genome Biol*, *12*(8), R72. article.

Kostic, A. D., Ojesina, A. I., Pedamallu, C. S., Jung, J., Verhaak, R. G. W., Getz, G., &

Meyerson, M. (2011). PathSeq: software to identify or discover microbes by deep

sequencing of human tissue. *Nature Biotechnology*, *29*(5), 393–396. article.

Li, S., Tighe, S. W., Nicolet, C. M., Grove, D., Levy, S., Farmerie, W., … Mason, C. E. (2014).

Multi-platform assessment of transcriptome profiling using RNA-seq in the ABRF

next-generation sequencing study. *Nature Biotechnology*, *32*(9), 915–925.

http://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2972

Li, S., Tighe, S. W., Nicolet, C. M., Grove, D., Levy, S., Farmerie, W., … others. (2014). Multi-

platform assessment of transcriptome profiling using RNA-seq in the ABRF next-

generation sequencing study. *Nature Biotechnology*, *32*(9), 915–925. article.

Melé, M., Ferreira, P. G., Reverter, F., DeLuca, D. S., Monlong, J., Sammeth, M., … others.

(2015). The human transcriptome across tissues and individuals. *Science*, *348*(6235),

660–665. article.

Mihaela Pertea, J. T. M. S. L. S. (2015). StringTie enables improved reconstruction of a

transcriptome from RNA-seq reads. *Nature Biotechnology*, *33*, 290–295.

http://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3122

Nicolae, M., Mangul, S., Mandoiu, I. I., & Zelikovsky, A. (2011). Estimation of alternative

splicing isoform frequencies from RNA-Seq data. *Algorithms for Molecular Biology*,

6(1), 9.

Ozsolak, F., & Milos, P. M. (2011). RNA sequencing: advances, challenges and

opportunities. *Nature Reviews. Genetics*, *12*(2), 87–98.

http://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2934

Perucheon, S., Chaoul, N., Burelout, C., Delache, B., Brochard, P., Laurent, P., … Richard,

Y. (2009). Tissue-specific B-cell dysfunction and generalized memory B-cell loss

during acute SIV infection. *PLoS ONE*, *4*(6), e5966.

http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0005966

Poole, A., Urbanek, C., Eng, C., Schageman, J., Jacobson, S., O'Connor, B. P., … others.

(2014). Dissecting childhood asthma with nasal transcriptomics distinguishes

subphenotypes of disease. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, *133*(3), 670–

678. article.

Porath, H. T., Carmi, S., & Levanon, E. Y. (2014). A genome-wide map of hyper-edited RNA

reveals numerous new sites. *Nature Communications*, *5*, 4726.

http://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5726

Salter, S. J., Cox, M. J., Turek, E. M., Calus, S. T., Cookson, W. O., Moffatt, M. F., … Walker,

A. W. (2014). Reagent and laboratory contamination can critically impact sequence-

based microbiome analyses. *BMC Biology*, *12*(1), 87. article.

Seqc/Maqc-Iii Consortium. (2014). A comprehensive assessment of RNA-seq accuracy,

reproducibility and information content by the Sequencing Quality Control

Consortium. *Nature Biotechnology*, *32*(9), 903–914.

http://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2957

Siragusa, E., Weese, D., & Reinert, K. (2013). Fast and accurate read mapping with

approximate seeds and multiple backtracking. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *41*(7), e78--

e78. article.

Spreafico, R., Rossetti, M., van Loosdregt, J., Wallace, C. A., Massa, M., Magni-Manzoni,

S., … Albani, S. (2016). A circulating reservoir of pathogenic-like CD4+ T cells shares

a genetic and phenotypic signature with the inflamed synovial micro-environment.

Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases, *75*(2), 459–465. article.

Strauli, N. B., & Hernandez, R. D. (2016). Statistical inference of a convergent antibody

repertoire response to influenza vaccine. *Genome Medicine*, *8*(1), 1. article.

Strauli, N., & Hernandez, R. (2015). Statistical Inference of a Convergent Antibody

Repertoire Response to Influenza Vaccine. *bioRxiv*, 25098. article.

Sultan, M., Schulz, M. H., Richard, H., Magen, A., Klingenhoff, A., Scherf, M., … others.

(2008). A global view of gene activity and alternative splicing by deep sequencing of

the human transcriptome. *Science*, *321*(5891), 956–960. article.

Tang, F., Barbacioru, C., Wang, Y., Nordman, E., Lee, C., Xu, N., … others. (2009). mRNA-

Seq whole-transcriptome analysis of a single cell. *Nature Methods*, *6*(5), 377–382.

article.

Tarailo-Graovac, M., & Chen, N. (2009). Using RepeatMasker to identify repetitive

elements in genomic sequences. *Current Protocols in Bioinformatics*, 4–10. article.

Trapnell, C., Williams, B. a, Pertea, G., Mortazavi, A., Kwan, G., van Baren, M. J., … Pachter,

L. (2010). Transcript assembly and quantification by RNA-Seq reveals unannotated

transcripts and isoform switching during cell differentiation. *Nature Biotechnology*,

28(5), 511–515. http://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1621

Truong, D. T., Franzosa, E. A., Tickle, T. L., Scholz, M., Weingart, G., Pasolli, E., … Segata,

N. (2015). MetaPhlAn2 for enhanced metagenomic taxonomic profiling. *Nature*

Methods, *12*(10), 902–903. article.

Wang, X.-S., Prensner, J. R., Chen, G., Cao, Q., Han, B., Dhanasekaran, S. M., … Chinnaiyan,

A. M. (2009). An integrative approach to reveal driver gene fusions from paired-end

sequencing   data   in   cancer.   *Nature   Biotechnology*,   *27*(11),   1005–11.

http://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1584

Wang, Z., Gerstein, M., & Snyder, M. (2009). RNA-Seq: a revolutionary tool for

transcriptomics. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, *10*(1), 57–63. article.

Wu, C.-S., Yu, C.-Y., Chuang, C.-Y., Hsiao, M., Kao, C.-F., Kuo, H.-C., & Chuang, T.-J. (2014).

Integrative transcriptome sequencing identifies trans-splicing events with important

roles in human embryonic stem cell pluripotency. *Genome Research*, *24*(1), 25–36.

Yan, M., Pamp, S. J., Fukuyama, J., Hwang, P. H., Cho, D. Y., Holmes, S., & Relman, D. a.

(2013). Nasal microenvironments and interspecific interactions influence nasal

microbiota complexity and S. aureus carriage. *Cell Host and Microbe*, *14*(6), 631–640.

http://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2013.11.005

Ye, J., Ma, N., Madden, T. L., & Ostell, J. M. (2013). IgBLAST: an immunoglobulin variable

domain sequence analysis tool. *Nucleic Acids Research*, gkt382. article.

Zhang, X.-O., Dong, R., Zhang, Y., Zhang, J.-L., Luo, Z., Zhang, J., … Yang, L. (2016). Diverse

alternative back-splicing and alternative splicing landscape of circular RNAs. *Genome*

Research . http://doi.org/10.1101/gr.202895.115

822