1   **TITLE**: The evolution of CHROMOMETHYLTRANSFERASES and gene body
2   DNA methylation in plants
3
4   **RUNNING TITLE**: CMT gene family in plants
5

6   Adam J. Bewick[1]*, Chad E. Niederhuth[1], Nicolas A. Rohr[1], Patrick T. Griffin[1], Jim
7   Leebens-Mack[2], Robert J. Schmitz[1]
8
9   [1]Department of Genetics, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA
10 [2]Department of Plant Biology, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA
11
12 **CO-CORRESPONDING AUTHORS**: Adam J. Bewick, bewickaj@uga.edu and
13 Robert J. Schmitz, schmitz@uga.edu

14
15 **KEY WORDS**: CHROMOMETHYLTRANSFERASE, Phylogenetics, DNA
16 methylation, WGBS
17
18 **WORD COUNT**: ≈4582
19
20 **TABLE COUNT**: 0 main, 1 SI
21
22 **FIGURE COUNT**: 5 main, 5 SI
23
24

ABSTRACT

The evolution of gene body methylation (gbM) and the underlying mechanism is
poorly understood. By pairing the largest collection of
CHROMOMETHYLTRANSFERASE (CMT) sequences (773) and methylomes
(72) across land plants and green algae we provide novel insights into the
evolution of gbM and its underlying mechanism. The angiosperm- and eudicot-
specific whole genome duplication events gave rise to what are now referred to
as CMT1, 2 and 3 lineages. CMTε, which includes the eudicot-specific CMT1
and 3, and orthologous angiosperm clades, is essential for the perpetuation of
gbM in angiosperms, implying that gbM evolved at least 236 MYA. Independent
losses of CMT1, 2 and 3 in eudicots, and CMT2 and CMTε$^{monocot+magnoliid}$ in
monocots suggests overlapping or fluid functional evolution. The resulting gene
family phylogeny of CMT transcripts from the most diverse sampling of plants to
date redefines our understanding of CMT evolution and its evolutionary
consequences on DNA methylation.

INTRODUCTION

DNA methylation is an important chromatin modification that protects the genome
from selfish genetic elements, is sometimes important for proper gene
expression, and is involved in genome stability. In plants, DNA methylation is
found at cytosines in three sequence contexts: CG, CHG, and CHH (H is any
base, but G). Establishment and maintenance of DNA methylation at these three
sequence contexts is performed by a suite of distinct *de novo* and maintenance
DNA methyltransferases, respectively. CHROMOMETHYLTRANSFERASES
(CMTs) are an important class of plant-specific DNA methylation maintenance
enzymes, which are characterized by the presence of a CHRromatin
Organisation MOdifier (CHROMO) domain between the cytosine
methyltransferase catalytic motifs I and IV[1]. Identification, expression and
functional characterization of CMTs have been extensively performed in the
model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*[2-4] and in the model grass species *Zea mays*[5].
Homologous CMTs have been identified in other flowering plants[6-8], the moss
Physcomitrella patens, the lycophyte *Selaginella moellendorffii*, and the green
algae *Chlorella* NC64A and *Volvox carteri*[9]. There are three CMT genes encoded
in *A. thaliana*: CMT1, CMT2, and CMT3[2,10-12]. CMT1 is the least studied of the
three chromomethyltransferases as a handful of *A. thaliana* accessions contain
an Evelknievel retroelement insertion or a frameshift mutation truncating the
protein, which suggested that CMT1 is nonessential[10]. The majority of DNA
methylation present in pericentromeric regions of the genome composed of long
transposable elements is targeted by a CMT2-dependent pathway[4,3]. Allelic
variation at CMT2 has been shown to alter genome-wide levels of CHH DNA
methylation, and plastic alleles of CMT2 may play a role in adaptation to
temperature[13-15]. Whereas DNA methylation at CHG sites is often maintained by

CMT3 through a reinforcing loop with histone H3 lysine 9 di-methylation
(H3K9me2) catalyzed by the KRYPTONITE (KYP)/SUVH4 lysine
methyltransferase[5,16].
A large number of plant genes exclusively contain CG DNA methylation in
the transcribed region and a depletion of CG DNA methylation from both the
transcriptional start and stop sites (referred to as "gene body DNA methylation",
or "gbM")[17-19]. The penetrance of this DNA methylation pattern is strong, and can
be observed without exctracting gbM genes from metaplots[20]. The current model
for the evolution of gbM relies on rare transcription-coupled
incorporation/methylation of histone H3K9me2 in gene bodies with subsequent
failure of INCREASED IN BONSAI METHYLATION 1 (IBM1) to de-methylate
H3K9me2[21]. This provides a substrate for CMT3 to bind and methylate DNA and
through an unknown mechanism leads to CG DNA methylation, which is
maintained over evolutionary timescales by CMT3 and through DNA replication
by MET1. Methylated DNA then provides a substrate for KYP and related family
members, which increases the rate at which H3K9 is methylated[21,22]. Finally,
gene body methylation spreads throughout the gene over evolutionary time[21].
Support for this model is evident in the eudicot species *Eutrema salsugineum*
and *Conringia planisiliqua* (family Brassicaceae), which have independently lost
CMT3 and gbM[21]. The loss of CMT3 in these species phenocopies the reduced
levels of CHG DNA methylation found in the *A. thaliana cmt3* mutants[23]. Closely
related Brassicaceae species have reduced levels of CHG DNA methylation on a
per cytosine basis and have reduced gbM relative to other species, but still
posses CMT3[20,21], which indicates changes at the molecular level may have
disrupted function of CMT3.
Previous phylogenetic studies have proposed that CMT1 and CMT3 are
more closely related to each other than to CMT2, and that ZMET2 and ZMET5
proteins are more closely related to CMT3 than to CMT1 or CMT2[6], and the
placement of non-seed plant CMTs more closely related to CMT3[24]. However,
these studies were not focused on resolving phylogenetic relationships within the
CMT gene family, but rather relationships of CMTs between a handful of species.
These studies have without question laid the groundwork to understand CMT-
dependent DNA methylation pathways and patterns in plants. However, the
massive increase in transcriptome data for a broad sampling of plant species
together with advancements in sequence alignment and phylogenetic inference
algorithms have made it possible to incorporate thousands of sequences into a
single phylogeny, allowing for a more complete understanding of the CMT gene
family. A comprehensive plant CMT gene phylogeny has implications for
mechanistic understanding of DNA methylation across the plant kingdom.
Additionally, advancements in high throuput screening of DNA methylation has
made it possible to get accurately estimate genome-wide levels from species
without a sequenced reference assembly[25]. Understanding the evolutionary
relationships of CMT proteins is foundational for inferring the evolutionary origins,
maintenance, and consequences of genome-wide DNA methylation and gbM.

Here we investigate phylogenetic relationships of CMTs at a much larger
evolutionary timescale using data generate from the 1KP Consortium. In the
present study we have analyzed 773 mRNA transcripts from 443 different
species, identified as belonging to the CMT gene family, from an extensive
taxonomic sampling including eudicots (basal, core, rosid, and asterid), basal
angiosperms, monocots and commelinids, magnoliids, gymnosperms (conifers,
cycadales, ginkgoales), monilophytes (ferns and fern allies), lycophytes,
bryophytes (mosses, liverworts and hornworts) and green algae. CMT homologs
identified in all major land plant lineages and green algae, indicate that CMT
genes originated prior to the origin of land plants (≥480 MYA)[26-29]. In addition,
phylogenetic relationships suggests at least two duplication events occurred
within the angiosperm lineage giving rise to the CMT1, CMT2, and CMT3 gene
clades. In the light of CMT evolution we explored patterns of genomic and genic
DNA methylation levels in 72 species of land plants, revealing diversity of the
epigenome within and between major taxonomic groups, and the evolution of
gbM in association with the origin of the CMTε gene clade.
129
130 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**
131
The origins of CHROMOMETHYLTRANSFERASES. CMT proteins are found in
most major taxonomic groups of land plants and some algae: eudicots (basal,
core, rosid, and asterid), basal angiosperms, monocots and commelinids,
magnoliids, gymnosperms (conifers, cycadales, ginkgoales), ferns
(leptosporangiates, eusporangiates), lycophytes, mosses, liverworts, hornworts
and green algae (Fig. 1A and B and Table S1). CMT genes were not identified in
transcriptome data sets for species outside of green plants (Viridiplantae)
including species within the glaucophyta, red algae, dinophyceae, chromista, and
euglenozoa, as CMT genes were only identified in a few green algae lineages.
Interestingly, CMT genes were not sampled from three species within the
gymnosperm order Gnetales. A transcript with CHROMO and C-5 cytosine-
specific DNA methylase domains was identified in *Welwitschia mirabilis* RNA-seq
data, but this transcript did not include a Bromo Adjacent Homology (BAH)
domain. The BAH domain is an interaction surface that is required to capture
H3K9me2, and mutations that abolish this interaction causes a failure of a CMT
protein (e.g., ZMET2) binding to nucleosomes, and a complete loss of activity *in
vivo*[5]. Therefore, although present, it may represent a nonfunctional copy of a
CMT protein. Alternatively, it may represent an incomplete transcript. Most of the
species without a CMT protein are red algae, which may have lost CMT or CMT
evolved after the diversification from green algae[30,31].
The relationships among CMTs suggests that CMT2 and CMTε lineages
arose from a duplication event within a common ancestor of all flowering plant
species (Fig. 1C). Relationships among non-angiosperm CMTs largely
recapitulate species relationships[31] but the existence of two distinct clades for
both gymnosperms and ferns suggesting gene duplication and loss events early

in vascular plant evolution. The non-angiosperm CMT protein-coding genes
analyzed here include those previously identified in the lycophyte *S.*
moellendorffii[9] and the moss *P. patens*[9,33]. CMTs identified in a few green algae
from the 1KP sequencing data also belong to this clade (Fig. S1). However,
previously identified CMT proteins in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, *Chlorella*
NC64A and *Volvox carteri* were not included in the CMT gene family clade
because they lacked the CHROMO and other domains typically associated with
CMT proteins (Fig. S2B), and *C. reinhardtii* and *V. carteri* sequences are more
homologous to METHYTRANSFERASE 1 (MET1) than other green algae CMT
(Table S1). The greatly increased sampling of non-angiosperm CMTs defines the
understanding of relationships of CMTs in early land plants and plants in
general[9,24,33,34].

Further diversification of CMT proteins occurred in the eudicots; a second
duplication gave rise to what is now called CMT1 and CMT3 (Fig. 1C). Thus,
CMT1 and CMT3 are eudicot-specific, and the monocot and magnoliid CMTs
(CMTε$^{monocot+magnoliid}$) are sister to both CMT1 and CMT3 (Fig. 1C). CMT1, CMT3
and CMTε$^{monocot+magnoliid}$ share a common ancestor with basal angiosperms
(CMTε$^{basal\ angiosperm}$). This and the previously mentioned angiosperm-specific
duplication events may have coincided with the ancestral angiosperm whole
genome duplication (WGD) event or the eudicot WGD event, respectively[35]. Not
all eudicots possess CMT1, CMT2 and CMT3, but rather species exhibit CMT
gene content ranging from zero to three of these homologs, suggesting multiple
independent losses and fluidity of the functionality of these proteins (Fig. S3 and
Table S1).

Functional differences among these paralogs has been characterized in *A.*
thaliana; CMT3 is functional and is required for the maintenance of DNA
methylation at CHG sites, however allelic diversity of CMT1 is high, which
suggests alleles are segregating neutrally or under relaxed selection in
populations and may not serve a function in the maintenance of CHG DNA
methylation. Additionally, CMT1 does not compensate CMT3 function *in vivo* in
A. thaliana Δcmt3[23]. The expression and persistence of CMT1 in numerous other
eudicots raises the possibility of functional divergence and convergence over the
evolutionary history of eudicots. For example, CMT1 may have evolved a novel
function (neofunctionalization), CMT1 and CMT3 may both be required to achieve
the same phenotype (subfunctionalization) or CMT1 may have a redundant
function to that of CMT3 (redundancy). The latter possibility does not seem to be
the case for naturally occurring *Δcmt3* species, *E. salsugineum,* as CMT1 does
not recover levels of CHG DNA methylation as expected[21]. However,
subfunctionalization of CMT1 in *E. salsugineum* seems plausible since DNA
methylation at CHG sites still occurs at low levels[21]. The exact fate of CMT1 and
interplay between these two paralogs in shaping the epigenome remains
unknown.

The *Zea mays*-specific ZMET2 and ZMET5, and closely related CMT
proteins in other monocots, monocots/commelinids, and magnoliids form a well-

supported monophyletic clade with bootstrap support of 95%
(CMTε$^{monocot+magnoliid}$; Fig. 1). The inclusion of magnoliids in the
CMTε$^{monocot+magnoliid}$ clade is interesting because monophyletic support of the
magnoliidae as basal to all monocots has been hypothesized[36,37]. Akin to
eudicots, monocots possess combinations of CMTε$^{monocot+magnoliid}$ and CMT2 (Fig.
S4). For example, the model grass species *Z. mays* has loss CMT2, whereas the
closely related species *Sorghum bicolor* possess both CMTε$^{monocot+magnoliid}$ and
CMT2 (Fig. S3). CMTε$^{monocot+magnoliid}$ is not strictly homologous to CMT3, and
represents a unique monophyletic group that is co-orthologous to CMT1 and
CMT3. However, ZMET2 is functionally orthologous to CMT3 and maintains DNA
methylation at CHG sites[5]. But, unlike CMT3, ZMET2 is associated with DNA
methylation at CHH sites within some loci[38]. Given the inclusion of monocot and
magnoliid species the monophyletic CMTε$^{monocot+magnoliid}$ clade, this dual-function
is expected to be present in other monocot species, and magnoliid species.

Several monocot/commelinid species possess a CMTε$^{monocot+magnoliid}$
protein with a kinase domain, hereafter referred to as CHROMO-kinase
methyltransferase (CKMT) (Fig. 2A). These proteins are restricted to the true
grasses (family Poaceae), and species *Panicum hallii*, *Panicum virgatum* and
Setaria viridis exclusively possess CKMT proteins (Fig. 2B). The relationship
among CMTε$^{monocot+magnoliid}$ and CKMT is polyphyletic, and suggests that the
addition of a kinase domain was through two independent fusion and deletion
events affecting different paralogous genes (Fig. 2C). One fusion event shared
by all species in the family Poaceae in paralog α and other in the clade
containing *Pan. hallii*, *Pan. virgatum* and *Se. viridis* in paralog β (Fig. 2C).
Subsequently, two deletion events occurred in paralog α: one in the clade
containing *So. bicolor* and *Z. mays* and the other in the clade containing *Pan.*
hallii, *Pan. virgatum* and *Se. viridis* (Fig. 2C). Interestingly, genes with protein
kinase domains are in close proximity to CKMT (Table S1). Kinase proteins have
shown to be involved in histone phosphorylation in the green algae *C.*
reinhardtii[39] and *A. thaliana*[40]. The kinase and DNA methyltransferase domains of
CKMTs may coincide with dual functions: histone phosphorylation and DNA
methylation. Further, functional exploration of CKMTs in the grasses will help
identify its contribution to DNA methylation, chromatin modifications and gene
expression.

Overall, these redefined CMT clades, and monophyletic clades of broad
taxonomic groups, are well supported with bootstrap support of ≥80 (Fig. 1).
Thus, the identification of novel CMT proteins in magnoliids, gymnosperms,
bryophytes, lycophytes, liverworts, hornworts, and green algae pushes the timing
of evolution of CMT, and potentially certain mechanisms maintaining CHG and
CHH DNA methylation, prior to the origin of land plants (≥480)[26-29].

Non-neutral evolution of CMT contributes to the epigenome of flowering
plants. Gene body methylation can be found in all angiosperms investigated to
date, with the exception of a couple species in the Brassicaceae[20,21]. Shared by

all angiosperms are CMTs belonging to the CMTε clade (Fig. 1), suggesting the
evolution of this clade is tightly linked to the evolution of gbM in plants. For
example, recent work identified independent events that lead to the loss of CMT3
in *E. salsugineum* and *C. planisiliqua*, which is linked to the loss of gbM over
evolutionary time[21]. Peculiarly, closely related species with CMT3 – *Brassica*
oleracea, *Brassica rapa* and *Schrenkiella parvulum* (Fig. 3 Clade B) – have
reduced numbers of gbM loci compared to a sister clade – *A. thaliana*,
Arabidopsis lyrata and *Capsella rubella* (Fig. 3 Clade C) – and other eudicot
species[20]. At the molecular level, CMT3 has evolved under less selective
constraint – measured as *dN/dS* (ω) – in the Brassicaceae (Clade A) (ω=0.175)
compared to 162 eudicot species (ω=0.0961), and with reduced apparent
constraint in the clade containing *B. oleracea*, *B. rapa* and *S. parvulum*
(ω=0.241) compared to the clade containing *A. thaliana*, *A. lyrata* and *C. rubella*
(ω=0.164) (Fig. 3). A hypothesis of positive selection was not preferred to
contribute to the increased rates of ω in either clade (Fig. 3). Relaxed selective
constraint may be associated with decreased gbM in some members of the
Brassicaceae. The severity of these substitutions within Brassicaceae clades A,
B and C (Fig. 3) effects the respective epigenomes differently, and substitutions
at CMT3 have progressed over evolutionary time from compromising levels of
CHG DNA methylation (Clades A and C) to the number of gbM loci (Clade B).
It is conceivable that additional independent losses or non-neutral
evolution of CMTε has shaped the epigenome of other species of plants. Several
eudicot species of plants possess truncated annotations of CMT3 or CMT3 was
absent from the assembled transcriptomes. These species often possessed low
levels (e.g., *Kalanchoe tomentosa*) of CG DNA methylation in gene bodies (Table
S1; Fig. S4). However, other species missing CMT3 showed similar patterns of
DNA methylation to species with CMT3 (e.g., *S. dulcificum* and *A. thaliana*),
which may represent false-negatives (Table S1; Fig. S4). Alternatively, not
enough time has passed since the loss of CMT3 to effect levels of CG DNA
methylation within gene bodies. Co-orthologous proteins to CMT3, including
CMTε$^{monocot+magnoliid}$ and CMTε$^{basal\ angiosperm}$, are similar to CMT3 functionally[5] and
at the amino acid level to CMT3 (e.g., ZMET2 shares 417/915 amino acid sites),
thus similar phenotypic consequences are expected for naturally occurring
CMTε$^{monocot+magnoliid}$ and CMTε$^{basal\ angiosperm}$ mutants. The monocot *Dioscorea*
elephantipes is missing CMTε$^{monocot+magnoliid}$ from its assembled transcriptome,
and has low levels of CG DNA methylation within gene bodies (Table S1).
However, this observation is from only a couple dozen assembled transcripts.
282
CG DNA methylation within gene bodies of non-flowering plants is not
typical of gbM patterns in angiosperms. CG DNA methylation within gene
bodies can be found in most taxonomic groups with lycophyte, moss and
liverwort as the exceptions (Fig. 4A). Similar to what has been documented in
angiosperms[20] there exists substantial variation of DNA methylation across non-
flowering plants, and within taxonomic groups of non-flowering plants (Fig. 4A;

Fig. S4)[41]. Levels of CG DNA methylation are typically much higher than CHG
DNA methylation (and CHH DNA methylation) in species with gbM (Fig. 4A)[20].
However, CG and CHG DNA methylation within gene bodies are at a similar level
in non-flowering plants – CG:CHG DNA methylation levels are closer to one –
especially in gymnosperms (conifer, cycadale, and gnetale) and ferns
(eusporangiate and leptosporangiate) (Fig. 4A). Additionally, there is a stronger
correlation of gene body levels of CG and CHG DNA methylation in non-flowering
plants compared to flowering plants, which suggests a shared association of the
mechanism(s) involved in methylating cytosines at these two sequence contexts
(Fig. 4B). Furthermore, levels of CG and CHG DNA methylation within gene
bodies of non-flowering plants tends to mirror one another (Fig. 4C), further
suggesting a relationship between mechanisms that methylate cytosines at CG
and CHG sites or a single mechanism that methylates cytosines at both
sequence contexts. Thus, enrichment of only CG DNA methylation within gene
bodies (i.e., angiosperm-like gbM) seems unlikely, or restricted to a limited
number of loci in gymnosperms and other non-flowering plants.
A gradual increase in levels of CG DNA methylation towards the center of
the gene body is not observed in non-flowering plants (Fig. 5). On average
species belonging to these taxonomic groups – gymnosperms, ferns, lycophyte,
moss, fern, and green algae – do not exhibit the typical pattern of minimal CG
DNA methylation at the transcriptional start site (TSS) and transcriptional
terminate site (TTS) found in species with gbM (Fig. 4B). The opposite is
observed for the majority of non-flowering plants, especially at the TTS where a
spike in CG DNA methylation occurs (Fig. 5). Green algae represents a different
CG methyl-type within gene bodies compared to flowering and non-flowering
plants, which is characterized by hypomethylation spreading towards the TTS
(Fig. 5), which is more similar to metazoan and/or mammalian CG DNA
methylation patterns within gene bodies[9,42]. Qualitatively, gymnosperms have a
CG methyl-type that is a mesh of flowering and non-flowering plants; a gradual
increase in levels of CG DNA methylation towards the center of gene body and a
spike in CG DNA methylation at the TTS (Fig. 5). Two paralogous CMT proteins
are present in most species of gymnosperms, and independent evolution of a
CMT-dependent mechanism for the perpetuation of CG DNA methylation within
gene bodies may have occurred with one paralog being opted to perform this
function. Some support for this hypothesis is observed in the gnetale *Gnetum*
gnemon, which does not possess a full or partial copy of CMT protein(s), and has
very low levels of CG (and CHG and CHH) DNA methylation within gene bodies
compared to other gymnosperms and other land plants (Fig. S5). However,
further investigation is needed into the functionality of CMTs and the relationship
to gbM in gymnosperms.
329
330 **CONCLUSION**
331

In summary, we present the most comprehensive CMT gene-family phylogeny to
date. Refined relationships between CMT1, CMT2 and CMT3, and other CMTs
have shed light on current models for the evolution of gbM, and provided a
framework for further research on the role of CMT in establishment and
maintenance of DNA methylation and histone modifications. CMTs are ancient
proteins that evolved prior to the diversification of land plants. A shared function
of CMTs is the maintenance of non-CG DNA methylation, which has been
essential for DNA methylation at long transposable elements in the
pericentromeric regions of the genome, and the evolution of gbM in angiosperms.
We hypothesize that the angiosperm-specific duplication ≥236 MYA[35]
gave rise to what is now CMT2 and CMTε, and gbM in angiosperms.
Furthermore, the eudicot-specific duplication event (≥134 MYA[35]) gave rise to the
eudicot-specific proteins CMT1 and CMT3. Eudicot species without CMT3 have
loss of gbM, and currently at least three independent losses have been
supported with phylogenetic analyses and sequencing data. Non-neutral
evolution at CMT3 has played an important role in shaping the epigenome of the
Brassicaceae. Reduced selective constraint at CMT3 has reduced levels of CHG
DNA methylation in the Brassicaceae, and further reductions in *B. oleracea, B.*
rapa, and *S. parvulum* have reduced levels of gbM and the number of gbM loci.
Similarly, corresponding phenotypic consequences for naturally occurring
mutants of co-orthologous proteins to CMT3, CMTε$^{monocot+magnoliid}$, has been
observed in the monocot *D. elephantipes*. Also, it seems plausible that the loss of
CMT would lead to reductions in the histone modification H3K9me2, and this
could subsequently lead to genomic structural consequences. The majority of
non-flowering plants do not possess signatures of DNA methylation within gene
bodies that is typical of species with gbM. Convergent evolution of gene body
methylation, through a CMT mechanism, may be present in species outside of
flowering plants, however support for this hypothesis remains sparse.
CMTs have diversified during land plant evolution, and given this diversity
it seems plausible that divergent functions among homologs and paralogs has
occurred. The function of some homologs have been well studied in model
species of plants including *A. thaliana* and *Z. mays*, and studies using natural
epigenomic variation have revealed novel functions of CMTε in the evolution of
gbM. This study has opened the door for future functional studies of CMT1 in
eudicots, CKMT in true grasses, and CMTα in basal land plants. Also, it is
possible that convergent evolution of mechanisms leading to gbM in species
outside of angiosperms could occur. These future studies are essential to
understanding the role of CMTs in DNA methylation and histone modifications,
and discovery of novel mechanisms.
371
372   **MATERIALS AND METHODS**
373
1KP sequencing, transcriptome assembling and orthogrouping.
Transcriptome data from the One Thousand Plants (1KP) Consortium for a total

of 1329 species were included in this analysis, including both amino acid and
coding sequence (Table S1). Additionally, gene annotations from 20 additional
species – *A. lyrata*, *Br. distachyon*, *B. oleracea*, *B. rapa*, *Cit. clementina*, *Ca.*
rubella, *Can. sativa*, *C. sativus*, *E. salsugineum*, *F. vesca*, *G. max*, *Go. raimondii*,
L. japonicus, *M. domestica*, *Me. truncatula*, *Pan. hallii*, *Pan. virgatum*, *R.*
communis, *Se. viridis*, and *Z. mays* – from Phytozome were included. The CMT
gene family was extracted from the previously compiled orthogroupings using the
A. thaliana gene identifier for CMT1, CMT2 and CMT3. This orthogroup
determined by the 1KP Consortium included all three *A. thaliana* CMT proteins,
and a total of 5383 sequences. Sequences from species downloaded from
Phytozome, that were not included in sequences generated by 1KP, were
included to the gene family through reciprocal best BLAST with *A. thaliana*
CMT1, CMT2 and CMT3. In total the CMT gene family included 5449 sequences
from 1043 species. We used the protein structure of *A. thaliana* as a reference to
filter the sequences found within the CMT gene family. Sequences were retained
if the included the same base pfam domains as *A. thaliana* – CHROMO
(CHRromatin Organisation MOdifier) domain, BAH domain, and C-5 cytosine-
specific DNA methylase – as identified by Interproscan[43]. These filtered
sequences represent a set of high-confident, functional, ideal CMT proteins,
which included 773 sequences from 432 species, and were used for phylogenetic
analyses.
397
Phylogeny construction. To estimate the gene tree for the CMT sequences, a
series of alignment and phylogenetic estimation steps were conducted. An initial
protein alignment was carried out using Pasta with the default settings[44]. The
resulting alignment was back-translated using the CDS sequence into in-frame
codons using a custom Perl script. A phylogeny was estimated by RAxML[45] (-m
GTRGAMMA) with 1000 rapid bootstrap replicates using the in-framed aligned
sequences, and with only the first and second codon positions. Long branches
can effect parameter estimation for the substitution model, which can in turn
degrade phylogenetic signal. Therefore, phylogenies were constructed with and
without green algae species, and were rooted to the green algae clade or
liverworts, respectively. The species *Balanophora fungosa* has been reported to
have a high substitution rate, which can also produce long branches, and was
removed prior to phylogenetic analyses.
411
Codon analysis. Similar methodology as described above was used to construct
phylogenetic trees for testing hypotheses on the rates of evolution in a
phylogenetic context. However, the program Gblocks[46] was used to identify
conserved amino acids in codon alignments. The parameters for Gblocks were
kept at the default settings, except we allowed for %50 gapped positions. The
program Phylogenetic Analysis by Maximum Likelihood (PAML)[47] was used to
test branches (branch test) and sites along branches (branch-site test) for
deviations from the background rate of molecular evolution (*dN/dS*; ω) and for

deviations from the neutral expectation, respectively. Branches tested and a
summary of each test can be found in Table S1.

MethylC-seq. MethylC-seq libraries were prepared according to the following
protocol[48]. Prior to mapping each transcript was searched for the longest open
reading frame from all six possible frames, and only transcripts beginning with a
start codon and ending with one of the three stop codons were kept. All
sequencing data for each species was aligned to their respective transcriptome
or species within the same genus using the methylpy pipeline[49], which can be
found in Table S1. Weighted methylation was calculated for each sequence
context (CG, CHG and CHH) by dividing the total number of aligned methylated
reads by the total number of methylated plus un-methylated reads. Since, per site
sequencing coverage was low – on average ~1X – subsequent binomial tests
could not be performed to bin genes as gbM[20]. To investigate the affect of low
coverage we compared levels of DNA methylation of 1X randomly sampled
MethylC-seq reads to actual levels for 33 angiosperm species and Chlorella
NC64A[9,20]. On average DNA methylation levels determined from 1X sequencing
coverage are 1.28, 2.63, and 2.17 times higher at CG, CHG and CHH sequence
contexts compared to the actual levels within coding regions. These values were
used to adjust levels of DNA methylation within coding regions for species of
plants sequenced in this study. Genome-wide levels of DNA methylation were
estimated using $FAST^mC$ and the *plant* model[25].

Metagene plots. The gene body – start to stop codon – was divided into 20
windows and weighted methylation levels were calculated for each window. The
mean weighted methylation for each window was then calculated for all genes
and plotted in R v3.2.4. Genic CHG DNA methylation often paralleled levels of
CG DNA methylation in gymnosperms and ferns, thus to investigate the
contribution of only CG DNA methylation, and the contribution of CG DNA
methylation via gbM mechanisms, reads with CHG methylation were removed.
Additionally, different levels of CG DNA methylation within genes between
species can obscure the distribution and the qualitative assessment of gbM. To
overcome this obstacle the level of CG DNA methylation was standardized to the
bin with the highest level for each species. Thus, making the levels of CG DNA
methylation within each species comparable across species. Several species
were removed from the metaplot analysis due to poor mapping of MethylC-seq
reads compared to other species, and these included *A. lyrata*, *Ca. rubella*, and
P. persica.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Nathan Springer for comments and discussions as well as Kevin
Tarner (UGA greenhouse), and Michael Wenzel and Ron Determann (Atlanta
Botanical Gardens) for plant tissue. We also thank the Georgia Genomics Facility

(GGF) for sequencing. Computational resources were provided by the Georgia
Advanced Computing Resource Center (GACRC). We thank Gane Ka-Shu Wong
and the 1000 Plants initiative (1KP, onekp.com) for advanced access to
transcript assemblies. This work was supported by the National Science
Foundation (NSF) (MCB–1402183) and by The Pew Charitable Trusts to R.J.S.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Phylogenetic relationships among CMTs in land plants. (A) CMTs
are separated into five monophyletic clades and one polyphyletic clade based on
bootstrap support and placement within a phylogenetic context: the superclade
CMTε with subclades CMT1, CMT3, CMTε$^{monocot+magnoliid}$ and CMTε$^{basal\ angiosperm}$,
CMT2, and CMTα. CMT1 and CMT3 clades only contain eudicot species of
plants suggesting a eudicot-specific duplication event that occurred after the
divergence of eudicots from monocots and monocots/commelinids. Sister to
CMT1 and CMT3 is the monophyletic group CMTε$^{monocot+magnoliid}$, which contains
monocots, monocots/commelinids, and magnoliids species, and subsequently
basal-angiosperms (CMTε$^{basal\ angiosperm}$). CMT2 is sister to CMT1 and CMT3, and
contains all major taxonomic groups of land plants and green algae (Fig. S1).
Lastly, the polyphyletic CMTα is ancestral to all previously mentioned clades.
This delineation is somewhat *ad hoc*, and it seems plausible that CMTε or CMT2
would include species of gymnosperms (conifers, cycadales, and gnetales) and
ferns (eusporangiate and leptosporangiate). (B) A collapsed CMT gene family
tree showing the six major clades. Pie charts represent species diversity within
each clade, and are scaled to the number of species. (C) Two duplication events
shared by all angiosperms (ε) and eudicots (Γ) gave rise to what is now referred
to as CMT1, CMT2 and CMT3. Values at nodes in (A) and (B) represent
bootstrap support from 1000 replicates, and (A) was rooted to the clade
containing all liverwort species. The duplication events in (C) correspond to what
was reported by Jiao et al. (2011).

**Figure 2. CHROMO-kinase methyltransferase (CKMT) proteins are unique
to true grasses (family Poaceae)**. (A) Several species belonging to the family
Poaceae possess a CMT with a protein tyrosine kinase domain (e.g., *O. sativa*
and *Pan. hallii*) compared to other Poaceae (e.g., *Z. mays*). (B) Species with
CKMTs are polyphyletic within the CMTε$^{monocot+magnoliid}$ clade. (C) Relationships
among grasses with and without CKMT suggests a duplication event followed by
two fusion (+) and two loss events (grey) occurred. The presence of a kinase and
DNA methylase domain suggests these novel proteins could play a role in both
histone 3 threonine 3 phosphorylation (H3T3ph) and DNA methylation. Shaded
circles at nodes in (B) represent bootstrap support from 1000 replicates, and the
tree was rooted to *Ph. patens* and *Sel. moellendorffii*.

Figure 3. Non-neutral evolution of CMT3 in the Brassicaceae. Branch and
branch-site tests for violations of the neutral expectation were performed in the
Brassicaceae for CMT3 (bolded). An overall higher rate of molecular evolution
measured as the number of non-synonymous substitutions per non-synonymous
divided by the number of synonymous substitutions per synonymous (*dN/dS* or
ω) were detected in the Brassicaceae (Clade A). Also, a higher rate ratio of ω
was detected in the Brassicaceae (B) clade containing B. rapa and closely
related species compared to the clade (C) containing A. thaliana and closely
related species. The higher rate ratio in clade B, compared the background
branches, was not attributed to positive selection (chart). Therefore, relaxed
selective constraint on CMT3 in the Brassicaceae may be contributing to reduced
levels of CHG, and reduced levels of gbM in *B. rapa*, *B. oleracae* and *S.*
parvulum.
520
Figure 4. Gene-body methylation (gbM) is unique to flowering plants. (A)
DNA methylation at CG, CHG, and CHH sites within gene bodies can be found at
the majority of species investigated. DNA methylation within gene bodies at any
sequence context was not observed in lycophyte, moss and liverwort. Variation of
DNA methylation levels within gene bodies at all sequence contexts is high
across all land plants, and within major taxonomic groups. CG DNA methylation
levels are typically higher than CHG, followed by CHH. However, levels of CG
and CHG DNA methylation within genes are similar in several gymnosperms and
ferns, and the ratio of CG:CHG is significantly lower (T-test) in gymnosperms and
ferns compared to flowering plants. (B) Levels of CG and CHG DNA methylation
are highly correlated in non-flowering plants compared to flowering plants. (C)
Also, CG and CHG DNA methylation tend to mirror one another throughout the
gene bodies of non-flowering plants as opposed to flowering plants. Together
these results suggest a relationship between mechanism(s) involved in
methylating cytosines at CG and CHG sites within gene bodies of non-flowering
plants. Cladogram was obtained from Open Tree of Life[50].
537
Figure 5. Distribution of CG DNA methylation within gene bodies of non-
flowering plants is not indicative of gbM. Levels of CG DNA methylation
within gene bodies of species known to possess gbM loci are exemplified by a
normal distribution-like pattern with depletions at the TSS and TTS (Eudicot,
Monocot, and Basal angiosperm). However, non-flowering plants, which are
suspected not to contain gbM loci based on the evolution of CMTε, do not exhibit
this type of pattern (Gymnosperm, Fern, Lycophyte, Moss, Liverwort, and Green
algae). All non-flowering plants have a spike in CG DNA methylation at the TTS.
Gymnosperms possess a methyl-type that shares characteristics to species with,
and without gbM: a normal distribution-like pattern of CG DNA methylation with
depletions at the TSS, and a spike at the TTS. Error bars represent standard
error of the mean.
550

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Figure S1. Phylogenetic relationships among CMTs in land plants and
green algae. Similarly to Fig. 1, CMTs are separated into five monophyletic
clades and one polyphyletic clade based on bootstrap support and placement
within a phylogenetic context: the superclade CMTε with subclades CMT1,
CMT3, CMTε$^{monocot+magnoliid}$ and CMTε$^{basal\ angiosperm}$, CMT2, and CMTα. Green
algae belong to the CMTα clade. Values at nodes in represent bootstrap support
from 1000 replicates, and the tree was rooted to the clade containing all green
algae species.

Figure S2. CMT proteins in green algae (*Ch. reinhardtii*, *Chlorella* NC64A,
and *V. carteri*) may represent misidentified homologs. (A) A midpoint rooted
gene tree constructed from a subset of species and green algae using protein
sequences. Previously identified CMT homologs in *Ch. reinhardtii*, *Chlorella*
NC64A, and *V. carteri* (JGI accession ids 190580, 52630, and 94056,
respectively) have low amino acid sequence similarity to *A. thaliana* CMT
compared to other green algae species (Table S1), which is reflected in long
branches, especially for *Ch. reinhardtii* and *V. carteri*. Values on branches are
raw branch lengths represented as amino acid substitutions per amino acid site.
(B) Protein structure of previously identified CMT homologs in *Ch. reinhardtii*,
Chlorella NC64A, and *V. carteri* and those identified in green algae from the 1KP
dataset. Reported CMTs in *Ch. reinhardtii* and *Chlorella* NC64A do not contain
CHROMO domains, and the homolog in *V. carteri* does not contain any
recognizable pfam domains, however BAH, CHROMO and a DNA methylase
domain can all be identified in green algae CMT homologs from the 1KP dataset.

Figure S3. Permutations of presences and absences of CMT in eudicots,
and monocots and monocots/commelinids. (A) Eudicot (basal, core, rosid,
and asterid) species of plants possess different combinations of CMT1, CMT2,
and CMT3. CMT3 was potentially loss from 46/262 (~18%), and CMT1 is found
in 106/262 (~40%) of eudicot species sequenced by the 1KP Consortium.
Species without CMT3 are predicted to have significantly reduced levels of gbM
loci compared to eudicot species with CMT3. The presence of CMT1 in
numerous species suggests a yet to be determined functional role of CMT1 in
DNA methylation and/or chromatin modification. (B) Similarly to eudicots,
monocots and monocots/commelinids have different combinations of
CMTε$^{monocot+magnoliid}$ and CMT2, which may reflect differences in genome
structure, and DNA methylation and chromatin modification patterns.

Figure S4. Genome-wide levels of DNA methylation. DNA methylation levels
estimated by alignment to a reference genome or predicted by *FAST^{m}C*[25].
Cladogram was obtained from Open Tree of Life[50].

Figure S5. Metagene plots of DNA methylation across gene bodies. DNA methylation levels within all full-length genes for additional species used in this study. All reads were used for generating these plots.

REFERENCES

1. Bartee, L., Malagnac, F. & Bender, J. *Arabidopsis* cmt3 chromomethylase mutations block non-CG methylation and silencing of an endogenous gene. Genes Dev 15, 1753–8 (2001).
2. Jackson, J. P., Lindroth, A. M., Cao, X. & Jacobsen, S. E. Control of CpNpG DNA methylation by the KRYPTONITE histone H3 methyltransferase. Nature 416, 556–560 (2002).
3. Zemach, A. et al. The *Arabidopsis* nucleosome remodeler DDM1 allows DNA methyltransferases to access H1-containing heterochromatin. Cell 153, 193–205 (2013).
4. Stroud, H. et al. Non-CG methylation patterns shape the epigenetic landscape in *Arabidopsis*. Nat Struct Mol Biol 21, 64–72 (2014).
5. Du, J. et al. Dual binding of chromomethylase domains to H3K9me2-containing nucleosomes directs DNA methylation in plants. Cell 151, 167–180 (2012).
6. Papa, C. M., Springer, N. M., Muszynski, M. G., Meeley, R. & Kaeppler, S. M. Maize chromomethylase *Zea* methyltransferase2 Is required for CpNpG methylation. The Plant Cell 13, 1919–1928 (2001).
7. Hou, P. Q. et al. Functional characterization of *Nicotiana benthamiana* chromomethylase 3 in developmental programs by virus-induced gene silencing. Physiologia Plantarum 150, 119–132 (2013).
8. Garg, R., Kumari, R., Tiwari, S. & Goyal, S. Genomic survey, gene expression analysis and structural modeling suggest diverse roles of DNA methyltransferases in legumes. PLoS One 9, e88947 (2014).
9. Zemach, A., McDaniel, I. E., Silva, P. & Zilberman, D. Genome-wide evolutionary analysis of eukaryotic DNA methylation. Science 328, 916–919 (2010).
10. Henikoff, S. & Comai, L. A DNA methyltransferase homolog with a chromodomain exists in multiple polymorphic forms in *Arabidopsis*. Genetics 149, 307–318 (1998).
11. Finnegan, E. J. & Kovac, K. A. Plant DNA methyltransferases. Plant Molecular Biology 43, 189–201 (2000).
12. McCallum, C. M., Comai, L., Greene, E. A. & Henikoff, S. Targeted screening for induced mutations. Nature Biotechnology 18, 455–457 (2000).
13. Shen, X. et al. Natural CMT2 variation is associated with genome-wide methylation changes and temperature seasonality. PLoS Genet 10, e1004842 (2014).
14. Bewick, A. J. & Schmitz, R. J. Epigenetics in the wild. eLife DOI: 10.7554/eLife.07808 (2015).

15. Dubin, M. J. et al. DNA methylation in *Arabidopsis* has a genetic basis and
shows evidence of local adaptation. eLife DOI: 10.7554/eLife.05255 (2015).
16. Du, J. et al. Mechanism of DNA methylation-directed histone methylation by
KRYPTONITE. Molecular Cell 55, 495–504 (2014).
17. Tran, R. K. et al. DNA methylation profiling identifies CG methylation clusters
in *Arabidopsis* genes. Curr Biol 15, 154–9 (2005).
18. Zhang, X. et al. Genome-wide high-resolution mapping and functional
analysis of DNA methylation in *Arabidopsis*. Cell 126, 1189–201 (2006).
19. Zilberman, D., Gehring, M., Tran, R. K., Ballinger, T. & Henikoff, S.
Genomewide analysis of *Arabidopsis* thaliana DNA methylation uncovers an
interdependence between methylation and transcription. Nat Genet 39, 61–9
(2007).
20. Niederhuth, C. E., Bewick, A. J. et al. Widespread natural variation of DNA
methylation within angiosperms. biorxiv doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/045880
(2016).
21. Bewick, A. J., Ji, L., Niedtherhuth, C. E., Willing, E. et al. On the origin and
evolutionary consequences of gene body DNA methylation. biorxiv doi:
http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/045542 (2016).
22. Inagaki, S. & Kakutani T. What triggers differential DNA methylation of genes
and TEs: contribution of body methylation? Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant
Biol. 77, 155-160 (2012).
23. Stroud, H., Greenber, M. V. C., Feng, S., Bernatavichute, Y. V. & Jacobsen,
S. E. Comprehensive analysis of silencing mutants reveals complex
regulation of the Arabidopsis methylome. Cell 152, 352–364 (2013).
24. Noy-Malka, C. et al. A single CMT methyltransferase homolog is involved in
CHG DNA methylation and development of *Physcomitrella patens*. Plant Mol
Biol 84, 719–35 (2014).
25. Bewick, A. J. et al. *FAST^{m}C*: a suite of predictive models for non-reference-
based estimations of DNA methylation. G3 6, 447–452 (2015).
26. Kenrick, P. & Crane, P. R. The origin and early evolution of plants on land.
Nature 389, 33–39 (1997).
27. Wellman, C. H., Osterloff, P. L. & Mohiuddin, U. Fragments of the earliest
land plants. Nature 425, 282–285 (2003).
28. Steemans, P. et al. Origin and radiation of the earliest vascular land plants.
Science 324, 353 (2009).
29. Rubinstein, C. V., Gerrienne, P., de la Puente, G. S., Astini, R. A. &
Steemans, P. Early Middle Ordovician evidence for land plants in Argentina
(eastern Gondwana). New Phytologist 188, 365–369 (2010).
30. Bhattacharya, D. & Medlin, L. Algal phylogeny and the origin of land plants.
Plant Physiology 116, 9–15 (1998).
31. Stiller, J. W. & Hall, B. D. The origin of red algae: Implications for plastid
evolution. PNAS 94, 4520–4525 (1997).
32. Wickett, N. J. et al. Phylotranscriptomic analysis of the origin and early
diversification of land plants. PNAS 111, E4859–68 (2014).

33. Malik, G., Dangwal, M., Kapoor, S. & Kapoor, M. Role of DNA methylation in
growth and differentiation in *Physcomitrella patens* and characterization of
cytosine DNA methyltransferases. FEBS J 279, 4081–94 (2012).
34. Feng, S. et al. Conservation and divergence of methylation patterning in
plants and animals. PNAS 107, 8689–8694 (2010).
35. Jiao, Y. et al. Ancestral polyploidy in seed plants and angiosperms. Nature
473, 97–100 (2011).
36. Qiu, Y. et al. Phylogenetic analyses of basal angiosperms based on nine
plastid, mitochondrial, and nuclear Genes. International Journal of Plant
Sciences 166, 815–842 (2005).
37. Cai, Z. et al. Complete plastid genome sequences of Drimys, Liriodendron,
and Piper: implications for the phylogenetic relationships of magnoliids. BMC
Evolutionary Biology 6, doi:10.1186/1471–2148–6–77 (2006).
38. Li, Q. et al. Genetic perturbation of the maize methylome. Plant Cell 26,
4602–16 (2014).
39. Casas-Mollano, J. A., Jeong, B., Xu, J., Moriyama, H. & Cerutti, H. The
MUT9p kinase phosphorylates histone H3 threonine 3 and is necessary for
heritable epigenetic silencing in *Chlamydomonas*. PNAS 105, 6486–6491
(2008).
40. Wanga, Z. et al. Osmotic stress induces phosphorylation of histone H3 at
threonine 3 in pericentromeric regions of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. PNAS 112,
8487–8492 (2015).
41. Takuno, S., Ran, J.-H. & Gaut, B. S. Evolutionary patterns of genic DNA
methylation vary across land plants. Nature Plants 15222,
doi:10.1038/nplants.2015.222 (2016).
42. Lister, R. et al. Human DNA methylomes at base resolution show widespread
epigenomic differences. Nature 462, 315–22 (2009).
43. Jones, P. et al. InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification.
Bioinformatics 30, 1236–40 (2014).
44. Mirarab, S. et al. PASTA: Ultra-Large Multiple Sequence Alignment for
Nucleotide and Amino-Acid Sequences. J Comput Biol 22, 377–86 (2015).
45. Stamatakis, A. RAxML Version 8: A tool for phylogenetic analysis and
postanalysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30, 1312–1313 (2014).
46. Castresana, J. Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for
their use in phylogenetic analysis. Molecular Biology and Evolution 17, 540–
552 (2000).
47. Yang, Z. PAML 4: Phylogenetic Analysis by Maximum Likelihood. Molecular
Biology and Evolution 24, 1586–1591 (2007).
48. Urich, M. A., Nery, J. R., Lister, R., Schmitz, R. J. & Ecker, J. R. MethylCseq
library preparation for base-resolution whole-genome bisulfite sequencing.
Nature Protocols 10, 475–483 (2015).
49. Schultz, M. D. et al. Human body epigenome maps reveal noncanonical DNA
methylation variation. Nature 523, 212–6 (2015).

50. Hinchliff, C. E. et al. Synthesis of phylogeny and taxonomy into a
comprehensive tree of life. PNAS 112, 12764–9 (2015).

Model	Null -lnL	Alternative -lnL	df	p-value	ω	Summary
Branch	149273.628	149223.700	1	≤0.0001	b=0.0961 f=0.1750	Higher ω in Brassicaceae (A)
Branch	149273.628	149223.256	2	≤0.0001	b=0.0966 fB=0.2406 fC=0.1642	Higher and different ω between *Brassica* (B) and *Arabidopsis* (C) clades
Branch-site	146970.090	146970.090	1	1	0: p=0.6595, b=0.0822 f=0.0822 1: p=0.1274, b=1.0000, f=1.0000 2a: p=0.1786, b=0.0822, f=1.0000 2b: p=0.0345, b=1.0000, f=1.0000	Higher ω in *Brassica* (B) clade not due to positive selection

f: foreground; b: background; 0, 1, 2a,2b: site classes described in Yang (2007)