HIV-1 *gag-pol* mRNA localization regulates the site of virion assembly

Jordan T. Becker and Nathan M. Sherer*

McArdle Laboratory for Cancer Research, Institute for Molecular Virology, & Carbone Cancer

Center

University of Wisconsin – Madison

1525 Linden Drive, Madison, WI 53706

Short title: HIV-1 mRNA translation and virion production

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: 501 Robert M. Bock Lab, 1525 Linden Drive,

Madison, WI 53706. Tel: (608) 890-2551. Email: nsherer@wisc.edu

AUTHOR SUMMARY

The spatial distribution of messenger RNAs (mRNAs) within the cytoplasm can be a crucial

determinant of gene expression. Here we provide evidence that a devastating viral pathogen,

human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1), exploits localized translation to favor the

formation of infectious, transmissible virions at the surface of infected cells. Artificially tethering

viral mRNAs encoding the Gag and Gag-Pol capsid proteins (*gag-pol* mRNAs) to alternative

regions of the cell such as cytoplasmic vesicles or the actin cytoskeleton markedly alters Gag

subcellular distribution, perturbs sites of assembly, and reduces virus particle production. These

and additional findings suggest a model for HIV-1 assembly wherein localized Gag/Gag-Pol

translation coupled to confined interactions between Gag and viral genomes ensures infectious

virion production at the right place and the right time. Perturbing HIV-1 mRNA subcellular

localization could represent a novel antiviral strategy.

ABSTRACT

HIV-1 full-length, unspliced genomic RNAs (gRNAs) serve both as mRNAs encoding the Gag

and Gag-Pol capsid proteins as well as the genetic material packaged by Gag into virions that

assemble at the plasma membrane (PM). Whether localized Gag synthesis contributes to

assembly at the PM is unknown. Here we show that artificially tethering gRNAs or surrogate

gag-pol mRNAs to non-PM membranes or the actin cytoskeleton can markedly affect Gag's

distribution in the cytoplasm, causing aberrant subcellular sites of assembly and severe

reductions to virus particle output. Only *gag-pol* mRNAs competent for translation were capable

of altering Gag's distribution within the cell, and the activity mapped to two *cis*-acting RNA

regulatory elements; the 5' packaging signal (Psi) bound by Gag and, unexpectedly, the Rev

response element (RRE) that regulates the nuclear export of gRNAs and other intron-retaining

viral RNAs. Taken together, our results suggest a model wherein localized translation of gRNAs

at the PM helps to compartmentalize Gag-gRNA interactions, thereby promoting efficient

genome encapsidation.

INTRODUCTION

The spatial distribution of messenger RNAs (mRNAs) within the cytoplasm is a core determinant of mRNA turnover, cytoplasmic utilization, and the formation of functional macromolecular complexes [1–3]. Viruses face severe challenges in this regard during the productive phases of infection wherein viral mRNAs, genomes, and core structural elements must be successfully compartmentalized in space and time to ensure the efficient assembly and release of infectious virions [4–6].

For the retrovirus human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1), virion assembly is coordinated at the cytoplasmic face of the plasma membrane (PM) where a dimer of ~9kb, unspliced genomic RNA (gRNA) is encapsidated into an enveloped, proteinaceous shell consisting of ~2,000 Gag (and Gag-Pol) capsid polyproteins [7,8]. To initiate assembly, Gag interacts with the PM via a fatty acid myristoyl membrane anchor and must also interact with an RNA scaffold in the cytoplasm [9–13]. Four functional domains of the 55 kDa Gag precursor polyprotein (Pr55[Gag]) coordinate this process. Matrix (MA/p17[Gag]) targets Gag to the cytosolic face of the PM through interactions with the phospholipid phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PI(4,5)P$_2$) [14–16]. Capsid (CA/p24[Gag]) coordinates Gag-Gag interactions during capsid assembly [17–19,7]. Nucleocapsid (NC/p7[Gag]) binds to gRNAs and/or cellular RNAs [20–24]. The late domain (p6[Gag]) recruits the cellular endosomal sorting complex required for transport (ESCRT) machinery that catalyzes membrane abscission and particle release [25–28].

Upstream of assembly, a single pool of HIV-1 gRNA molecules is thought to serve both as mRNAs encoding Gag and Gag-Pol as well as the core genetic substrate bound by Gag and packaged into virions [29–33]. Gag is translated on free polysomes either prior to or coincident with the formation of viral ribonucleoprotein (vRNP) trafficking granules that consist of low-order multiples of Gag bound to gRNAs in conjunction with cellular RNA binding proteins [34–39]. Recent advanced imaging studies have demonstrated that vRNPs diffuse in the cytoplasm prior

to being tethered to the PM by Gag [13,40,41]. Gag-membrane binding is initiated when

cytoplasmic Gag concentrations reach a critical level known as the cooperative threshold [42–

44], triggering the activation of a myristoyl switch mechanism within MA that subsequently

anchors gRNP complexes to the PM [45,46,12].

Gag selectively encapsidates a dimer of gRNA molecules with high efficiency [47–50] due to

the NC domain's capacity to bind a *cis*-acting RNA packaging signal known as *Psi* located in the

gRNA's 5' untranslated region (UTR) with high affinity [51,21,31,52,53,33,54]. A second cis-

acting RNA structure, the Rev response element (RRE), may also contribute to the efficiency of

gRNA encapsidation albeit through an unknown mechanism [55,56]. Indeed, the RRE is much

better characterized as regulating gRNA nucleocytoplasmic transport through recruitment of the

viral Rev protein and subsequent Rev-mediated interactions with cellular CRM1 nuclear export

receptor [57–59]. The dimerization of gRNAs may occur in the cytoplasm [60] and/or after Gag-

PM anchoring [61], followed by the gradual recruitment of additional Gag molecules to form an

immature capsid lattice over a time period of 10-60 minutes [13,62,63,49,41]. NC also binds to

and packages cellular RNAs, with highly structured RNAs such as U6 snRNAs and 7SL RNAs

encapsidated into virions with a high degree of specificity [64,23,50,65,24]. Interestingly, the MA

domain has also been reported to bind RNAs, in particular cellular tRNAs, an activity that

regulates MA-membrane interactions *in vitro* and predicted to impact assembly efficiency in

cells [53,66,67].

Gag is sufficient to drive the assembly of non-infectious virus-like particles (VLPs) even in the

absence of packageable gRNAs [68–73]. Thus, capsid-genome interactions are clearly not

obligatory for HIV-1 assembly, unlike for many other viruses [74–76]. On the other hand,

imaging studies have demonstrated that gRNAs accumulate at the membrane with Gag prior to

the onset of higher-order assembly, so that the gRNA may be capable of nucleating assembly

[13,41]. Moreover, we and others have demonstrated that manipulating gRNA trafficking (*e.g.*,

rendering gRNAs or surrogate *gag-pol* mRNAs Rev/RRE-independent) can, in some instances,

profoundly affect Gag's capacity to traffic to the PM [77,35,78–81]. An attractive, long-standing

hypothesis for links between HIV-1 mRNA trafficking and assembly is that, under native

conditions, gRNAs encode one or more signals that influence gRNA/Gag subcellular distribution

in the cytoplasm [77,35,80,82]. However, direct evidence for such an activity remains elusive.

In the current study we combined imaging and functional assays to determine if the

cytoplasmic abundance or subcellular site of gRNA (*gag-pol* mRNA) localization are

determinants of the assembly pathway. Altering gRNA cytoplasmic abundance, in a non-coding

context, had little to no stimulatory effect on assembly when provided to Gag in *trans*. By

contrast, disrupting gRNA (*gag-pol* mRNA) diffusion in the cytoplasm by artificially tethering

gRNAs to non-PM membranes or the actin cytoskeleton markedly affected Gag subcellular

distribution and potently reduced virus particle production. Interestingly, these effects were only

observed for gRNAs competent for Gag synthesis (*i.e.*, *gag-pol* mRNAs), with the effects

mapping to the 5' *Psi* element bound by Gag as well as the Rev response element (RRE) that

governs gRNA nuclear export. Taken together, our results are consistent with a model wherein

localized Gag translation and compartmentalized Gag-gRNA interactions at the PM promote

efficient gRNA encapsidation.

RESULTS

Tracking Gag/gRNA interactions in single living cells. To study Gag/gRNA interactions

functionally and using fluorescence microscopy, we inserted 24 copies of the MS2

bacteriophage RNA stem-loop (MS2 stem loops; MSL), recognized by the MS2 coat protein,

between the *gag* and *pol* open reading frames within the major intron of a full-length HIV-1 NL4-

3-based luciferase reporter virus construct (WT-MSL) (Fig 1). WT-MSL expressed full-length

Gag and yielded robust production of virus-like particles (VLPs), albeit in the absence of Gag

cleavage due to insertion of the MSL cassette upstream of the *pol* gene thereby abolishing

synthesis of the viral protease (Figs 1A and 1B, lane 2). In order to visualize gRNAs in living

cells, MSL-bearing gRNAs were monitored in HeLa cells engineered to stably express the MS2-

YFP protein fused to a carboxy-terminal nuclear localization signal (NLS) (HeLa.MS2-YFP) (Fig

1C). In these cells, low levels of the MS2-YFP protein are sequestered in the nucleus until

bound to an MSL-containing gRNA and exported to the cytoplasm. We have previously

validated this strategy as a reliable way to obtain direct, single cell measurements of viral mRNA

nuclear export, cytoplasmic trafficking behaviors, and translation [82].

Fig 1. Tracking Gag/gRNA interactions in single living cells. (A) Cartoon depiction of

gRNAs used in these studies. Ψ = *Psi* packaging signal. MSL = 24 copies of MS2 RNA

stem loop. RRE = Rev-response element. *Tat* and *rev* encode gene-regulatory proteins

translated from multiply-spliced mRNAs. *Vif* and *vpu* encode immune modulatory

proteins translated from singly-spliced mRNAs. (B) HEK293T cells were transfected with

2000ng HIV-1 plasmids encoding the WT, modified, and mutated gRNAs depicted in (A).

VLPs and cell lysates were collected 48 hours post-transfection, resolved by SDS-

PAGE, and detected by immunoblot using anti-p24[Gag] antiserum (HSP90 was also

detected as a loading control). (C) Widefield deconvolution microscopy images of

HeLa.MS2-YFP cells transfected with 100ng RevInd GagFP and 900ng HIV constructs,

fixed ~30 hours post-transfection, and imaged. Single Z-plane images are shown. Scale

bars represent 10 microns in full images, 2 microns in regions of interest (ROI). Dashed

white lines show the relative position of cell nuclei. White box outlines the ROI. Red

arrows indicate sites where RevInd GagFP has accumulated in PM-adjacent punctae.

(D) Quantification of MS2-YFP localization phenotypes. Bar graphs show percent of

transfected cells with nuclear, cytoplasmic, or both distributions of gRNA for each

transfection condition. (E) Quantification of GagFP distribution phenotypes. Bar graphs

show percent of transfected cells with diffuse or PM-adjacent punctae Gag localization

for each transfection condition. For both (D) and (E), error bars represent standard

deviation from the mean for at least four independent experiments quantifying at least

100 cells per condition. (F) HEK293T cells were co-transfected with 500ng RevInd

GagFP and 1500ng of HIV gRNA constructs as indicated or an empty vector control

(pBluescript) and immunoblotted as in 1A. Bar graphs show fold change in Gag release

factor relative to empty vector condition. Release factor is calculated by Gag band

intensities in VLPs divided by lysates normalized to HSP90 (N=4).

Investigating the role of gRNAs during the process of assembly is confounded by the gRNA's

essential role as the *gag/gag-pol* mRNA [35]. Thus, we also monitored "gRNA-only" transcripts

bearing a single nucleotide substitution (ATG>ACG) at the initiator methionine codon of Gag

(1ACG-MSL, depicted in Fig 1A). To control for cytoplasmic activities, we mutated 1ACG-MSL

transcripts by deleting the Rev response element (RRE), thus generating a gRNA incapable of

exiting the nucleus due to the inability of HIV-1 Rev to regulate CRM1-dependent gRNA

nucleocytoplasmic trafficking (dRRE-MSL, Fig 1A). As expected, neither 1ACG nor dRRE

transcripts were competent for the translation of full-length Gag proteins (Fig 1B, compare lanes

3 and 4 to lane 2). However, 1ACG transcripts were both exported from the nucleus (Fig 1C)

and translated, as evidenced by the synthesis of low levels of a minor Gag isoform (p40)

previously shown to result from initiation at *gag* codon methionine-142 (Fig 1B, lane 3) [83,84].

To supply "gRNA-minus" Gag in *trans,* we expressed Gag-fluorescent protein (FP = cyan

fluorescent protein, mTagBFP2, mCherry, *etc.,* depending on the experiment but shown in blue

throughout for consistency) fusion proteins from constructs wherein the *gag* coding region was

codon-optimized to achieve protein synthesis in the absence of Rev or any other viral factors

(RevInd GagFP) [85,86] (Figs 1A and 1B, lane 5).

We first tested if RevInd GagFP trafficking or assembly efficiency was affected by the

provision of cytoplasmic HIV-1 gRNAs in *trans*. As expected, expression of WT-MSL and 1ACG-

MSL gRNAs yielded translocation of MS2-YFP from the nucleus to the cytoplasm in >50% of

transfected HeLa.MS2-YFP cells at ~24 hours post-transfection (Figs 1C and quantification in

1D). By contrast, dRRE-MSL gRNAs formed discrete MS2-YFP punctae that were retained in

the nucleus in >90% of transfected cells, consistent with gRNA transcription events but in the

absence of nuclear export (Figs 1C and 1D). When co-expressed, WT- or 1ACG-gRNAs co-

localized with RevInd GagFP aggregates at the plasma membrane, suggesting Gag/gRNA co-

trafficking to assembly sites (Fig 1C, bottom panels). However, for each of these conditions, we

observed only minor differences in the frequency of cells exhibiting PM-adjacent RevInd GagFP

aggregates (Fig 1C, middle panels, and quantification in 1E). Moreover, RevInd GagFP was

released from cells with similar efficiency when co-expressed with either 1ACG- or dRRE-

gRNAs in a VLP assembly assay using HEK293T cells (Fig 1F). These experiments

demonstrated that RevInd GagFP trafficking is largely unaffected by gRNAs co-expressed in the

cytoplasm and accessed in *trans.*

Increasing HIV-1 gRNA cytoplasmic abundance has only minor effects on GagFP

trafficking at low, sub-cooperative levels. Because per cell Gag expression levels vary

during transient transfection, we next tested the hypothesis that gRNA cytoplasmic abundance

is more relevant to the assembly pathway at low, sub-cooperative levels of Gag using HeLa

cells engineered to stably express only low levels of RevInd Gag-CFP (HeLa.Gag-CFP cells).

Consistent with previous reports defining cooperative assembly [42,43], these cells did not

exhibit marked Gag-CFP fluorescence along the PM or diffraction-limited Gag-CFP punctae at

the PM. We selected a high performance clone wherein RevInd Gag-CFP was typically

observed in a diffuse distribution throughout the cytoplasm until the cells were infected with an

HIV-1/mCherry reporter virus, wherein the Gag-CFP signal was markedly relocalized to punctae

at the PM at 24-36 hours post-infection (Fig 2A and S1 Video). This visual detection of the onset

of particle production demonstrated the utility of this cell line as a biosensor for detecting HIV-1

assembly activity in real time.

Fig 2. HIV-1 gRNA cytoplasmic abundance plays a minor role in GagFP trafficking

at the sub-cooperative threshold. (A) Widefield deconvolution microscopy images

from live cell imaging experiments of HeLa.Gag-CFP cells infected with WT NL4-3 E-R-

mCherry reporter virus. Multi-channel images were acquired once per hour for up to 48

hours beginning at ~1 hour post-infection. Scale bars represent 10 microns. Red arrows

indicate sites where stably expressed RevInd Gag-CFP has transitioned from a diffuse

cytoplasmic distribution to PM-associated punctae. (B) Depiction of "self-labeling" WT-

MSL gRNA. These gRNAs are identical to those depicted in Fig 1A with the addition of

MS2-mCherry-NLS as a reporter and gRNA-tagging protein expressed from the viral *nef*

gene position. (C) Widefield deconvolution microscopy images of stable HeLa.Gag-CFP

cells transfected with 1000ng HIV gRNA constructs and fixed ~30 hours post-

transfection. Scale bars represent 10 μm in full images, 2 μm in ROI. Dashed white lines

show the relative position of cell nuclei. The white box designates the ROI. Red arrows

indicate sites where stably expressed Gag-CFP has accumulated at the PM for WT-MSL

or in the cytoplasm for 1ACG-MSL. dRRE-MSL gRNAs are not exported from the

nucleus. Dashed red line in ROI represents edge of cell. (D & E) Quantification of Gag-

CFP distribution phenotypes from live cell imaging experiments performed similar to Fig

2A. HeLa.Gag-CFP cells were transfected with 333ng of gRNA constructs (WT-MSL,

1ACG-MSL, and dRRE-MSL also encoding MS2-mCherry-NLS as a reporter and gRNA

tagging protein). (D) Bar graphs show the percentage of transfected cells with diffuse or

PM-adjacent punctae Gag localization. (E) Bar graph shows the percentage of

transfected cells with diffuse, granules, or PM-adjacent punctae Gag localization. Error

bars represent standard deviation from the mean. At least 30 cells were quantified per

transfection condition per experiment (N=3). (F) HEK293T.Gag-CFP cells were

transfected with 2000ng of HIV gRNA constructs as indicated or an empty vector control

(pBluescript) and immunoblotted for Gag and HSP90. Bar graphs show fold change in

Gag release factor relative to empty vector condition (N=3). The asterisk (*) indicates

stable GagFP release factor for WT-MSL condition is significantly different from empty

vector condition (Two-tailed Student's t-test, p=0.0006).

Because the HeLa.Gag-CFP cell line lacked MS2-YFP, in these experiments we tracked

Gag-CFP in the presence of WT-MSL, 1ACG-MSL, and dRRE-MSL constructs modified to

express MS2-mCherry-NLS from the native viral promoter (*i.e.,* with MS2-mCherry-NLS inserted

into the *nef* reading frame, Fig 2B). In HeLa.Gag-CFP cells expressing WT-MSL/MS2-mCherry-

NLS gRNAs, we observed marked transitions of RevInd Gag-CFP to discrete punctae at the PM

of >75% of cells, identical to the transitions observed after infection (Fig 2A) and thus

demonstrating recruitment of Gag-CFP into budding virions (Figs 2C, left panels and

quantification in 2D). The gRNA's MS2-mCherry-NLS signal (yellow) co-localized with surface

Gag-CFP (cyan), consistent with Gag/gRNA co-trafficking to the PM and suggesting gRNA

encapsidation (Fig 2C, lower left panel). Gag-CFP was also released from cells as VLPs under

this condition (Fig 2F, compare lane 2 to lane 1). Contrary to our hypothesis, accumulation of

1ACG-MSL gRNAs in the cytoplasm did not drive RevInd Gag-CFP at sub-cooperative levels to

the PM. Instead, we observed RevInd Gag-CFP as well as cytoplasmic MS2-mCherry-NLS

signals coalescing in large cytoplasmic granules in >50% of cells (Figs 2C, middle panels,

quantification in 2E, and S2 Video). dRRE-MSL expression also had no effect on RevInd Gag-

CFP distribution, as expected (Figs 2C, right panels, 2D, and 2E). Taken together, these data

indicated that a sub-cooperative threshold for Rev-independent Gag assembly cannot be

lowered (or reached) by an excess abundance of cytoplasmic gRNA in single cells, at least

when provided in *trans*. In fact, the tendency of 1ACG-gRNAs to aggregate with Gag-CFP in

large cytoplasmic granules suggests that disproportionately high levels of gRNA in the

cytoplasm are detrimental to Gag trafficking.

Perturbing the subcellular localization of HIV-1 gRNAs competent for Gag synthesis

disrupts virus particle production. The above experiments indicated that altering gRNA

cytoplasmic abundance has little to no effect on Gag subcellular trafficking or in nucleating

assembly events at the PM when provided in *trans.* We next tested if gRNA subcellular

localization is a determinant of the assembly pathway. To this end, we modified MS2-YFP

fusion proteins to carry subcellular trafficking motifs in order to artificially target MSL-bearing

gRNAs to specific cellular membranes or the actin cytoskeleton (Figs 3-6). We first tested a

protein myristoylation signal (MGSSKSKPKD) derived from the proto-oncogene Src kinase, and

generated versions of Src-MS2-YFP that would or would not accumulate preferentially in

association with the nucleus due to the presence or absence of a carboxy-terminal NLS (Src-

MS2-YFP and Src-MS2-YFP-NLS, respectively) (Fig 3A). The Src targeting motif was chosen

for these experiments because, similar to Gag's MA domain, it targets proteins to $PI(4,5)P_2$

phospholipid moieties at the cytoplasmic face of the PM [87]. Indeed, prior work has shown that

the assembly of Gag mutants lacking MA is rescued by the addition of an amino-terminal Src

membrane targeting motif [42,88]. As a control, we employed a previously validated MS2-NXF1

fusion protein that alters gRNA nucleocytoplasmic transport by biasing it toward the NXF1/NXT1

nuclear export pathway, in competition with Rev and CRM1 [89,90]. Fluorescence microscopy

confirmed that the Src-MS2-YFP protein localized predominantly to the PM, as expected (Fig

3B). Interestingly, the addition of the NLS (Src-MS2-YFP-NLS) resulted in preferential targeting

to the nuclear membrane (Fig 3B), perhaps accessing nucleus-associated PI(4,5)P2 [91].

Fig 3. Perturbing HIV-1 gRNA subcellular localization disrupts virus particle

production. (A) Cartoon depiction of MS2-YFP targeting protein constructs used in

these studies. Short name used in subsequent Figs is underlined. Amino acid targeting

motif is shown at their relative (amino- or carboxy-terminal) position. (B) Widefield

deconvolution microscopy images of HeLa cells transfected with 333ng MS2-YFP

targeting constructs and fixed ~30 hours post-transfection. Scale bars represent 10 μm.

Dashed white lines show the relative position of cell nuclei. Dashed cyan lines show the

edge of cell. (C) HEK293T cells were transfected with 1000ng MS2-YFP targeting

constructs as indicated and 1000ng of WT-MSL and immunoblotted for Gag and HSP90.

Bar graphs show release factor relative to Free MS2-YFP. Error bars represent standard

deviation from the mean for three independent experiments. The asterisks (*) indicate

Pr55 Gag release factor is significantly different for comparisons indicated by black bars

(two-tailed Student's t-test, p=0.023 Src and 0.015 Src+NLS). (D) HEK293T cells were

transfected with 1000ng MS2-YFP targeting constructs as indicated and 1000ng of WT

NL4-3 E-R- and immunoblotted for Gag and HSP90. Cells were treated with the HIV-1

protease inhibitor saquinavir to prevent Pr55 Gag proteolytic processing and aid

quantification of Gag expression and release. Bar graphs show release factor relative to

Free MS2-YFP. Error bars represent standard deviation from the mean of three

independent experiments. No conditions were significantly different. (E) HEK293T cells

were transfected with the indicated amounts of WT NL4-3 E-R- plasmid as indicated and

processed as for (D). Bar gr103

aphs show release factor relative to 1000ng condition. Error bars represent standard

deviation from the mean for three independent experiments. (C-E). Numbers above blot

images represent Gag intensity value of band directly below, relative to lane 1 of each

image.

We initially hypothesized that the MS2-YFP protein bearing the Src membrane-targeting

signal would stimulate virus particle assembly by enhancing MSL-dependent gRNA trafficking to

the PM, and thus provide a nucleation signal to Gag. However, we observed the opposite

outcome, with co-expression of either Src-MS2-YFP or Src-MS2-YFP-NLS causing a greater

than ten-fold reduction in VLP release for Gag derived from MSL-bearing gRNA (WT-MSL)

transcripts (Fig 3C, compare lanes 3 and 4 to lanes 1 and 2). Parental WT gRNAs lacking the

MSL cassette were relatively immune to the MS2 targeting proteins (Fig 3D), even at a high

ratio (1:1) of MS2:gRNA plasmids, thus demonstrating that the bulk of the effect was specific to

MS2-MSL interactions. The MS2-NXF1 control also affected VLP release specifically from the

WT-MSL construct. However, this effect was associated with a marked increase to levels of cell-

associated Gag, likely reflecting enhanced gRNA nuclear export and/or effects on gRNA

trafficking or Gag synthesis intrinsic to the NXF1/NXT1 pathway (Fig 3C, lane 5).

Because assembly is a cooperative process (*i.e.,* highly sensitive to intracellular Gag levels)

[12,42,92], we tested if the effects on virus particle production reflected either 1) net reductions

to per cell Gag expression levels, 2) decreases to Gag assembly efficiency, or 3) a combination

of both effects. In our experiments, we measured virus assembly competency by calculating a

"release factor" (RF) [80], defined as the amount of Gag detected in VLPs released into the

culture media (determined by quantitative infrared immunoblot), and compared to relative levels

of cell-associated Gag. Careful control titrations of the WT construct from 1000 ng to 31.25 ng

per transfection (Fig 1E) demonstrated a remarkably linear (R^2=0.97) relationship between Gag

cytoplasmic abundance and VLP production at all levels of Gag in our assays (*i.e.*, a 10-fold

loss to VLP production correlated to a 10-fold decrease to cytoplasmic Gag abundance,

compare Fig 3E lane 1 to lane 3). For the Src-MS2-YFP conditions, we observed a ~2-fold

reduction to cell-associated Gag for both the WT-MSL and WT constructs (e.g., compare Fig 3C

lanes 3 and 4 to Fig 3D lane 3). However, the effects on VLP release for the MSL-bearing

construct was much greater (>10-fold compared to 3-fold, compare Figs 3C VLPs lanes 3 and 4

to Fig 3D lane 3). Thus, Src-MS2-YFP interactions with WT-MSL gRNAs were apparently

affecting not only Gag synthesis but also virus particle release.

Tethering *gag-pol* mRNAs to non-PM membranes disrupts Gag's trafficking to the plasma

membrane. To address the mechanism underpinning the Src-MS2-YFP effects on Gag

abundance and trafficking, we directly monitored Gag in single cells using previously validated,

intron-retaining and Rev-dependent GagFP-MSL-RRE surrogate gRNA transcripts [82] (Figs 3-

7). Similar to WT-MSL gRNAs, GagFP-MSL-RRE VLP release was completely inhibited by Src-

MS2-YFP or Src-MS2-YFP-NLS proteins (Fig 4B, compare lanes 3 and 4 to 1 and 2). Increasing

the ratio of Src-MS2-YFP:gRNA plasmids lowered the amount of GagFP released from cells in

the form of VLPs without changing levels of cell-associated GagFP-RRE-MSL (Fig 4C, lanes 4-

6), a result, again, consistent with a block to virus particle assembly or release from the cell.

Fig 4. Src-MS2-YFP proteins induce a gRNA-specific block to Gag trafficking in

***cis*.** (A) Cartoon depiction of subgenomic HIV-1 GagFP-MSL-RRE construct used.

Splice donor (SD) and splice acceptor (SA) are shown to emphasize that the viral *gagfp*

mRNA (surrogate subgenomic gRNA) retains an intron. (B) HEK293T cells were

transfected with 1000ng MS2-YFP targeting constructs as indicated, 900ng of GagFP-

MSL-RRE, and 100ng pRev and immunoblotted for Gag and HSP90. Bar graphs show

release factor relative to Free MS2-YFP. Error bars represent standard deviation from

the mean for three independent experiments. The asterisks (*) indicate Gag release

factor is significantly different for comparisons indicated by black bars (two-tailed

Student's t-test, p=0.03). (C) HEK293T were transfected with decreasing amounts of

GagFP-MSL-RRE (1500/1000/500ng) plus 200ng Rev and empty vector as filler up to

2µg total DNA in lanes 1-3. Cells were transfected with 1500ng GagFP-MSL-RRE, plus

200ng Rev, empty vector as filler, and increasing amounts (100/200/300ng) of Src-MS2-

YFP and immunoblotted for Gag and HSP90 in lanes 4-6. Bar graphs show release

factor relative to lane 1. Error bars represent standard deviation from the mean of three

independent experiments. (D) *Cis* versus *trans* effects. HEK293T cells were transfected

with 500ng RevInd Gag-CFP, 100ng MS2-YFP targeting construct as indicated, and

1400ng empty vector, 1ACG (no MSL), or 1ACG-MSL in lanes 1-6. Lanes 7-8 were

transfected with 1400ng GagFP-MSL-RRE, 100ng MS2-YFP targeting construct as

indicated, 200ng Rev, and 300ng empty vector immunoblotted for Gag and HSP90. (E)

Widefield deconvolution microscopy images of HeLa cells transfected with 100ng MS2-

YFP targeting constructs, 800ng subgenomic GagFP-MSL-RRE, and 100ng pRev and

fixed ~30 hours post-transfection. Scale bars represent 10 µm in full images and 2 µm in

regions of interest (ROI). Dashed white lines show the relative position of cell nuclei.

White boxes outline the ROIs. Red arrows indicate sites where GagFP has accumulated.

(F) Quantification of GagFP localization phenotypes. Bar graphs show the percentage of

cells exhibiting vesicular, diffuse cytoplasmic, or PM-associated puncate for each

transfection condition. Error bars represent the standard deviation from the mean for

three independent experiments, quantifying at least 100 cells per condition. (G) Whole

field quantification of GagFP fluorescence for ~500 cells comparing the permissive NLS

and non-permissive Src conditions in cells fixed and imaged at 24 hours post-

transfection. HeLa cells were transfected with 150ng MS2-YFP targeting construct,

750ng GagFP-MSL-RRE, and 100ng pRev. Error bars represent the standard deviation

from the mean for three independent transfections.

We also tested if Src-MS2-YFP proteins could inhibit VLP production in *trans* by co-

transfecting RevInd GagFP with 1ACG genomes either lacking or bearing the MSL cassette,

and also in the presence or absence of either control MS2-YFP-NLS or inhibitory Src-MS2-YFP

proteins. Src-MS2-YFP did not inhibit assembly by RevInd GagFP under these conditions (Fig

4D, compare lanes 3 and 6 to the controls in lanes 7 and 8), thus indicating that the Src-MS2-

YFP-induced, gRNA-dependent assembly inhibition only operates in *cis*, in the context of the

gag/gag-pol mRNA.

Fluorescence microscopy revealed GagFP to be less frequently detected at PM punctae

under these conditions (measured at 24 hours post-transfection), and most often found

associated with cytoplasmic vesicles (Fig 4E and quantification in 4F), perhaps consistent with

the capacity of the Src-derived trafficking signals to track PI(4,5)P2 throughout the endocytic

pathway [91,93]. Fluorescence-based measurements of GagFP demonstrated no differences to

cell-associated Gag at this low yet inhibitory ratio of Src-MS2-YFP:gRNA plasmids (1:5) (Figs

4B and fluorescence measured in 4G). Time lapse imaging of both MS2-YFP and GagFP

constructs simultaneously in single cells over a 16 hour time course revealed that, for the

control MS2-YFP-NLS protein, Gag filled the cytoplasm gradually prior to formation of bright

Gag- and gRNA-positive punctae at the PM. This was consistent with the expected punctuated

burst of gRNA nuclear export, Gag translation, Gag/gRNA diffusion in the cytoplasm, and

ultimately the formation of higher order assembly intermediates at the PM (Fig 5A, black

arrows). By contrast, the Src-MS2-YFP protein drove GagFP to accumulate at perinuclear

structures, visible even at the lowest levels of GagFP detected (Fig 5B, black arrows). We

concluded from these experiments that a convergence of Src-MS2-YFP, gRNA, and Gag leads

to the aggregation of Gag/gRNA transport complexes at non-PM membranes, with a possible

explanation being Gag accumulating in close proximity to its mRNA and localized site of

translation. Such a behavior would not be expected for Gag and gRNA when expressed in *trans*

(as suggested by Fig 2 and consistent with Fig 4D).

Fig 5. Mis-targeted gRNAs are capable of physically re-routing Gag trafficking to

aberrant subcellular locations even at very low levels of Gag expression. (A) Single

HeLa cells expressing GagFP derived from GagFP-MSL-RRE constructs, Rev, and

MS2-YFP-NLS transfected as for 4E and monitored using live cell fluorescence

microscopy for 16 hours. As expected [82], both GagFP and MS2-YFP signals

accumulated in the cytoplasm over time prior to coalescing in bright puncate at the PM.

(B) When co-expressed with the Src-MS2-YFP protein, both Src-MS2-YFP and GagFP

aggregated in a perinuclear zone at even the lowest levels of GagFP detected (see T=0

and T=1h).

Effects of targeting *gag* mRNAs to the actin cytoskeleton. The experiments above indicated

that tethered *gag-pol* mRNAs (as represented by the surrogate subgenomic GagFP gRNA

transcripts) physically reposition sites of Gag synthesis from typical cytoplasmic diffusion paths

toward the PM (see Fig 5A) to alternative locations (Fig 5B). To further test the capacity of

gRNAs to control Gag trafficking, we tested a second targeting protein, Lifeact-MS2-YFP,

intended to bias gRNA trafficking to the cell periphery due to its strong interactions with the

cortical actin cytoskeleton (Fig 6). Several studies have implicated cortical actin in HIV-1

trafficking/assembly [94–99]. Lifeact is a 17 amino acid peptide capable of targeting proteins to

F-actin bundles with high specificity [100] and, as expected, Lifeact-MS2-YFP localized to

peripheral actin fibers throughout the cell (Fig 6A). Both Src-MS2-YFP and Lifeact-MS2-YFP

had inhibitory effects on assembly (Fig 6B), although Lifeact-MS2-YFP was typically less potent

than Src-MS2-YFP (Fig 6B, compare lanes 5 and 6 to 4, and see Fig 8). Two-color time-lapse

single cell imaging confirmed that GagFP was rapidly targeted to actin fibers with LifeAct MS2-

YFP, again at the earliest and lowest detectable levels of GagFP expression (Fig 6C, white

arrows). Interestingly, at early time points GagFP clustered preferentially with Lifeact-MS2-YFP

at F-actin bundles at or near the cell body (the rounded portion of the cell) and was less

frequently observed in association with dynamic actin ruffles or filopodia at the cell periphery

(Fig 5C, black arrows at T=3h). These experiments confirmed that re-targeted *gag-pol* mRNAs

are sufficient to target Gag to even relatively exotic subcellular locales such as the actin

cytoskeleton.

Fig 6. Effects of re-targeting gRNAs to the actin cytoskeleton. (A) Cartoon depiction

of Lifeact-MS2-YFP targeting protein construct and widefield deconvolution microscopy

image of HeLa cell transfected with 333ng MS2-YFP targeting constructs, fixed ~30

hours post-transfection. Scale bar represent 10 microns. (B) HEK293T cells were

transfected with 100ng MS2-YFP targeting constructs as indicated and either 1700ng

RevInd GagFP (no MSL) with empty vector OR 1700ng of GagFP-MSL-RRE with 200ng

pRev and immunoblotted for Gag and HSP90. Bar graphs show release factor relative to

MS2-YFP-NLS condition for each Gag type (RevInd GagFP or GagFP-MSL-RRE). Error

bars represent standard deviation from the mean of three independent experiments. The

asterisks (*) indicate GagFP release factor is significantly different for comparisons

indicated by black bars (two-tailed Student's t-test, p=0.0001). (C) Images from live cell

time-lapse fluorescence microscopy of a HeLa cell expressing GagFP derived from

750ng GagFP-MSL-RRE constructs co-transfected with 100ng Rev and 150ng Lifeact-

MS2-YFP. Gag aggregated with linear F-actin bundles at or near the cell surface even at

early time points (T=0).

Targeting *gag* mRNAs to non-PM membranes or the actin cytoskeleton alters sites of

virus particle assembly. Because the MS2-YFP proteins were proxies for MSL-bearing RNAs,

it was essential to confirm the subcellular localization of native *gag-pol* mRNAs using single

molecule fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (smFISH) in conjunction with super-resolution

structured illumination microscopy (SIM). In these 3-color experiments, we transfected HeLa

cells with Rev-dependent GagFP-MSL-RRE and MS2-YFP fusion proteins either with or without

Rev, fixed cells at ~30 hours post-transfection, and performed smFISH using a *gag/gag-pol*-

specific DNA probe set (Fig 7A). HeLa cells transfected with MS2-YFP-NLS and GagFP-MSL-

RRE in the absence of Rev exhibited marked co-localization between MS2-YFP-NLS and the

gRNA FISH signal in the nucleus, with no apparent GagFP expression, consistent with robust

transcription but the inability of these intron-retaining mRNAs to escape the nucleus through the

CRM1 export pathway (Fig 7A, NLS no Rev condition, and S3 Video). When Rev was co-

expressed, both the MS2-YFP-NLS and gRNA FISH signals shifted to the cytoplasm, consistent

with nuclear export, and were now readily detected in a diffuse distribution throughout the

cytoplasm as well as co-localizing with GagFP at PM-adjacent punctae, (Fig 7A, NLS+Rev

condition, and S4 Video). These control experiments confirmed that the MS2-YFP signals

tracked in Figs 1-6 were indeed representative of actual gRNA trafficking.

Fig 7. gRNA-directed effects on Gag trafficking and subcellular sites of virus

particle assembly. (A) HeLa cells were transfected with 800ng GagFP-MSL-RRE,

100ng MS2-YFP targeting constructs as indicated, and 100ng Rev or empty vector as

indicated. Cells were fixed at ~30 hours post-transfection, subjected to FISH, and multi-Z

stack images acquired by structured-illumination microscopy (SIM) using a 100x (NA

1.49) TIRF oil objective. Single Z-plane images are shown with scale bars representing 5

microns. White arrows indicate points of interest highlighting colocalization. Nucleus =

"nuc", cytoplasm = "cyto". (B) HEK293T cells were transfected with 1800ng WT-MSL and

200ng MS2-YFP targeting constructs as indicated for EM. Red arrows indicate

representative particle events for budding (NLS), intracellular (Src), and incomplete

(Lifeact). (C) Quantification of particles with budding phenotypes observed by thin-

section EM. Bar graph shows percent of assembly events exhibiting intracellular,

incomplete, or budding phenotype. Errors bars represent standard deviation from the

mean of 10 cells imaged. At least 100 budding events were quantified per condition.

As expected, Src-MS2-YFP also co-localized with the gRNA smFISH signal. However,

for this condition the Src modification triggered a massive relocalization of gRNAs from a diffuse

cytoplasmic distribution to perinuclear membranes including, apparently, the nuclear envelope

itself (Fig 7A, Src+Rev condition, and S5 Video). Consistent with the time lapse imaging

presented in Fig 4B, we observed notable accumulations of GagFP at or near the perinuclear

sites (Fig 7A, compare blue panels for NLS+Rev and Src+Rev conditions). Moreover, thin

section electron microscopy on HEK293T cells transfected with WT-MSL and MS2-YFP

targeting constructs confirmed a high frequency of intracellular VLP assembly events for the

Src-MS2-YFP condition relative to the MS2-YFP-NLS control (50% of particles detected in

association with intracellular vesicles, Fig 7B and quantification in 7C). Thus, gRNA-directed

Gag trafficking to the "wrong" cellular membranes was likely to explain the bulk of the virus

particle release defect observed for the Src-MS2-YFP RNA tether. Interestingly, Lifeact-MS2-

YFP clearly did not abolish Gag's trafficking to the PM (Figs 7A and S6 Video). However, we

observed a large number of partially budding structures at the cell surface for this condition

(defined as electron dense shells less than 75% complete) (Figs 7B and 7C). Thus, Gag

tethered to actin filaments through its mRNA may be sufficient to confer an assembly defect.

Taken together, these high resolution imaging strategies provided further confirmation that

redirecting *gag* mRNAs to aberrant sites in the cytoplasm can markedly affect Gag subcellular

distribution and reduce virus particle output.

The *gag-pol* mRNA's capacity to influence Gag trafficking maps to both Psi and the RRE.

That *gag* mRNA trafficking influences the subcellular distribution of its protein product is consistent with a model wherein localized translation allows gRNAs to help compartmentalize Gag to the bud site. gRNAs encode two well-characterized *cis*-acting trafficking elements, Psi encoded within the 5'UTR is bound by Gag to facilitate gRNA encapsidation [22,33] and the RRE that is essential for the nuclear export of gRNAs and other intron-retaining mRNAs [57,58] (e.g., see Fig 8A). We compared the effects of MS2 targeting proteins at relatively low MS2:gRNA plasmid ratios (1:17) on WT *gag* mRNAs, a version mutated to no longer encode Psi (dPsi.GagFP-MSL-RRE), and a version that retained Psi but was rendered Rev-independent by replacing the RRE with four copies of the constitutive transport element (CTE) derived from Mason-Pfizer monkey virus that is well known to direct mRNA nuclear export to the NXF1/NXT1 pathway (depicted in Fig 8A) [77,101,102]. To our surprise, neither Src-MS2-YFP nor Lifeact-MS2-YFP had a negative effect on Gag derived from the dPsi mutant transcript (compare Fig 8B to 8C), and exerted only mild effects for the CTE condition (Fig 8D).

Fig 8. The HIV-1 *gag-pol* mRNA's capacity to regulate Gag trafficking maps to both Psi and the RRE. (A) Cartoon depiction of constructs used in these studies. 4xCTE = four copies of the constitutive transport element from Mason-Pfizer monkey virus. (B-E) HEK293T cells were transfected with 100ng MS2-YFP targeting constructs as indicated and 1700ng of GagFP-MSL-RRE and 200ng pRev (B), 1700ng dPsi.GagFP-MSL-RRE and 200ng pRev (C), 1700ng GagFP-MSL-CTE and 200ng empty vector (D), or 1700ng RevInd GagFP-MSL and 200ng empty vector (E). VLPs and cell lysates were immunoblotted for Gag and HSP90. Bar graphs show release factor relative to MS2-YFP-NLS. Error bars represent standard deviation from the mean of three independent experiments. The asterisks (*) indicate GagFP release factor is significantly different for

comparisons indicated by black bars (two-tailed Student's t-test, p=0.018 Src & 0.047

Lifeact). (F) Cartoon depiction of RevInd GagFP constructs used in (G). (G) HEK293T

cells were transfected with 100ng MS2-YFP targeting constructs as indicated, 1700ng of

RevInd GagFP constructs as indicated, and either 200ng empty vector or pRev as

indicated and immunoblotted for Gag and HSP90.

We also tested a dual Psi- and RRE-minus condition, using a transcript encoding codon-

optimized, RevInd GagFP (as for Fig 1A) but augmented to carry the 24xMSL cassette in the

3'UTR (depicted in Fig 8A). Interestingly, both Src-MS2-YFP and Lifeact-MS2-YFP actually

enhanced VLP production for Gag derived from these transcripts (Fig 8E and 8G, lanes 1-6).

Genetic manipulation of this construct allowed us to test the sufficiency of either RNA element

(Psi and/or RRE) to affect Gag trafficking. Gag derived from RevInd GagFP-MSL constructs

modified to bear the 5'UTR region encompassing Psi (RevInd Psi-GagFP-MSL, shown in Fig

8F) became highly sensitive to Src-MS2-YFP and LifeAct-MS2-YFP expression both in terms of

cytopolasmic abundance of Gag and net VLP production (Fig 8G, compare lanes 7-9 to 10-12).

Remarkably, Gag derived from RevInd transcripts modified to bear the RRE (RevInd GagFP-

MSL-RRE, shown in Fig 8F) also became sensitive to the Src-MS2-YFP targeting protein, but

only when expressed in the presence of Rev (Fig 8G, compare lanes 13-15 to 16-18).

Therefore, either Psi or the RRE (in the presence of Rev) structures were sufficient for Gag

synthesis and/or assembly to be affected by targeting mRNAs to non-PM locations.

DISCUSSION

For retroviruses, gRNA nucleocytoplasmic transport is a tightly regulated process ensuring robust late gene expression and efficient genome encapsidation during virion assembly. Herein we provide, to our knowledge, the first direct evidence that gRNA subcellular distribution represents a core determinant of the HIV-1 virion assembly pathway. We initially hypothesized that increasing the net abundance of PM-proximal gRNAs would stimulate virus particle assembly, according to the assumption that gRNAs encode one or more signals relevant to the nucleation of the assembly event at the PM [13,32,41]. However, increasing levels of "Gag-minus" 1ACG-gRNAs in *trans* had little to no effect on assembly either at high (Fig 1) or low (Fig 2) levels of GagFP. In fact, 1ACG-gRNAs arrested GagFP in cytoplasmic granules in our stable "low" GagFP cell line, suggesting that a suboptimal Gag-gRNA stoichiometry is detrimental to the formation and transit of gRNP trafficking complexes. Efforts to bias gRNP trafficking to the PM using our MS2-based RNA tethering strategy were also not beneficial to assembly, but instead inhibited virus particle production for Gag derived from gRNAs as well as *Psi-* or Rev/RRE-bearing *gag* mRNAs (Figs 3-8). Time resolved imaging confirmed striking changes to Gag subcellular distribution in living cells (Figs 5B and 6C), and single-molecule FISH coupled to super-resolution microscopy in fixed cells clearly demonstrated that both the Src-MS2-YFP and Lifeact-MS2-YFP proteins markedly altered the distribution of MSL-gRNAs and Gag away from their native, "diffuse" cytoplasmic pattern to accumulate preferentially at subcellular membranes or in association with F-actin, respectively (Fig 7A and S5 Video and S6 Video).

Although artificial, the MS2 tethering strategy provides for comparative measurements of mRNA trafficking, translation, and Gag function in single cells, thus providing useful insights relevant to the native assembly pathway. That mRNA-linked effects on Gag trafficking and assembly were only observed for gRNAs or *gag-pol* mRNAs competent for Gag synthesis (Fig 4D) bearing either Psi or the RRE *cis*-acting structural elements (Fig 8) suggests a *cis*-biased model for assembly wherein coordination of gRNA nuclear export, gRNA stability in the

cytoplasm, localized translation, and compartmentalized Gag-gRNA interactions regulate Gag's

assembly efficiency at the PM (working model presented in Fig 9). Consistent with a model for

localized translation, we have detected ribosomal proteins (*e.g.,* RPL9-YFP) at or near

Gag/gRNA complexes both at the PM and at "re-targeted" vesicular and F-actin locations (Fig

9B). However, additional work is necessary to directly assess if these sites are truly active for

Gag translation and, if so, for how long.

Fig 9. Right place, right time model for HIV-1 gRNA trafficking, Gag translation,

and virion assembly. (A) HIV-1 gRNAs (red line) are exported from the nucleus via the

RRE/Rev/CRM1 pathway. Once in the cytoplasm, the HIV-1 gRNA are translated

(purple ribosomes) to yield some amount of the Gag polyprotein. We suggest that these

HIV-1 gRNAs (likely bound by a small amount of Gag, possibly a Gag dimer) freely

diffuse toward the PM where gRNA dimerization, further Gag translation, high-order

Gag multimerization, increased Gag-gRNA interactions, and virion assembly occur. If

these gRNAs are redirected to different subcellular locales ("Bad Neighborhoods") such

as intracellular vesicles (tan circles) or actin filaments (black chalk lines), Gag trafficking

is similarly redirected and virion assembly is subsequently inhibited. In our system,

using Src-MS2-YFP and Lifeact-MS2-YFP we have observed this redirection and

inhibition that occurs via *cis* interactions between Gag and gRNA and is RRE/Rev-

dependent. It is possible that gRNAs exported through the CRM1 pathway are marked,

restructured, or coated by some as of yet unknown "missing link" indicated here by pink

factor X. (B) Consistent with our model, ribosomal proteins (RPL9-YFP, yellow) were

observed at PM-adjacent punctae colocalizing with Gag-CFP (cyan), and MS2-tagged

gRNA (MS2-mCherry-NLS, magenta) in transfected HeLa cells. RPL9-YFP also

colocalized with Gag-CFP in the presence of Src-MS2-mCherry and Lifeact-MS2-

mCherry. Scale bars represent 10 µm in full images and 2 µm in regions of interest

(ROI). White boxes outline the ROIs. White arrows indicate sites where MS2-mCherry,

RPL9-YFP and Gag-CFP have accumulated. White pixels occur where three colors

overlap.

Compartmentalization of Gag synthesis and gRNA binding in space and time may explain

why gRNA encapsidation is so highly efficient despite Gag's ready capacity to bind to non-viral

RNAs and assemble non-infectious particles even in the absence of gRNA binding. At early time

points, we speculate that Gag and gRNAs are maintained in the cytoplasm at low abundance in

order to avoid nucleating the formation of cytoplasmic granules that may represent kinetically

trapped ("dead-end") complexes (Fig 2D and Movie 3). Low-order Gag-gRNA interactions may

control how much Gag is translated [103], and diffusion in the cytoplasmic fluid will ultimately

allow assembly intermediates to achieve close proximity to the PM [36,37,104]. That mis-

targeted gRNAs are capable of "dragging" Gag to (and/or translate Gag at) aberrant locales

and, in some instances, perturb the efficiency of budding (note partial capsids for the Lifeact

condition shown in Fig 7B) suggests a strong physical interaction. In this context, Psi's role

while bound by Gag likely explains why this element is both necessary and sufficient to allow for

MS2-mediated perturbation of Gag distribution (Fig 8). That the RRE (with Rev) has a similar

but less potent effect (Fig 8G) is more confounding. However, Gag was recently shown by

Bieniasz and colleagues to bind the RRE with specificity [53] and Rev and/or the RRE have

previously been shown to play roles in gRNA encapsidation [105,56,55,106].

We emphasize that under native conditions, it is almost certain that Gag plays the dominant

role in defining the preferred site of assembly by tethering gRNAs to the PM through the activity

of its N-terminal Matrix domain [13,41]. However, our model does not rule out potential

contributions from one or more cellular RNA binding proteins that, like the MS2-targeting

proteins, modulate transient Gag/gRNA interactions with membranes or other cellular

machineries (Fig 9, factor "X"). The coating/coding of mRNAs with cellular factors influences

their size, hydrophobicity, fluid phase, localization, and utilization [107,108], and a plethora of

RNA binding proteins (ABCE1, DDX3, hnRNP isoforms, RHA, Staufen, SR proteins, among

others) have already been implicated in the formation and maintenance of the HIV-1 Gag/gRNA

trafficking granules [109,110,34,111,39,112,35,77,80,113–117]. We also note that unique

spatiotemporal features of the Rev-regulated nuclear export pathway (*e.g.,* punctuated, rapid

increases to free Gag/gRNP abundance in the cytoplasm, or diffusion in itself; Fig 4C) should

influence the efficiency of the assembly pathway [82]. In this context, deficiencies to one or

more co-factors tied to Rev-dependent trafficking dynamics or downstream cytoplasmic events

may underpin previously observed changes to Gag assembly competency when its message is

rendered Rev/RRE-independent [35,77,78,80,81].

In general, large vRNP complexes trafficking through the cytoplasm prior to utilization

draw parallels with cellular mRNA molecules that are translated locally [118–120]. Perturbations

to the ability of gRNAs to freely diffuse through a dense cytoplasmic fluid may have negative

consequences for localized translation and subsequent virion assembly. To date, there are no

FDA-approved antiviral approaches that perturb HIV-1 gene expression or the stages upstream

of immature virus particle assembly, although several strategies have been pursued including

disruption of Tat or Rev function, virus-specific miRNAs, and trans-dominant proteins [reviewed

in [121]]. Indeed, the regulation RNP complex formation is of increasing interest in other

diseases where malformation of aberrant RNA/protein aggregates or disruption of normal fluid

phase dynamics are evident [4,122,107,123,124]. Our results suggest that strategies to

successfully disrupt viral mRNA subcellular distribution, gRNP complex formation, or fluid phase

transitions using small molecule inhibitors or alternative strategies (*e.g.*, provision of *trans*-acting

synthetic "restriction" factors via gene therapy) merit further exploration.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture, plasmids, and stable cell lines. Human HeLa and HEK293T cell lines (obtained from the ATCC) were cultured in DMEM (Sigma-Aldrich, Madison, WI, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% L-glutamine, and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. Full-length parental WT HIV-1 proviral plasmids were derived from the pNL4-3 molecular clone [125] bearing inactivating mutations in *env*, *vpr*, and expressing a Firefly Luciferase reporter from the *nef* reading frame (E-R-/Luc) [126]. 24 copies of the MS2 bacteriophage RNA stem loop (MSL, a kind gift of Robert Singer, Albert Einstein University, New York, NY) were engineered into the full-length pNL4-3 derived constructs as previously described [127] thereby generating pNL4-3/E-R-Luc-24xMSL (WT-MSL). Replacing Firefly Luciferase reporter E-R-/Luc with mCherry in the nef reading frame using NotI and XhoI cut sites generated HIV-1/mCherry virus. Subgenomic GagFP-MSL HIV-1 expression plasmids encoded Gag fused to mTagBFP2 [128], ECFP, or mCherry upstream of the MSL cassette and inserted into surrogate, subgenomic HIV-1 gRNA plasmid Gag-Pol-Vif-RRE or Gag-Pol-Vif-4xCTE [113,82]. Rev-independent (RevInd) Gag-fluorescent protein (FP) plasmids were derived from a plasmid encoding partially codon-optimized Gag-GFP (a gift of Marilyn Resh, Memorial Sloan Ketterlng Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA) [85,86]. The FP reading frame was fused in frame to RevInd Gag cDNAs using overlapping PCR and inserted into pcDNA3.1 using *Nhe*I and *Xho*I cut sites. In all instances, mutants of full-length HIV-1 and RevInd Gag plasmids were generated using overlapping PCR. pRevInd-GagFP-MSL was generated by inserting the 24xMSL cassette into pRevInd-GagFP using *BsrGI* cut sites, Psi-RevInd-MSL GagFP by inserting HIV-1$_{NL4-3}$ 5'UTR nts 1-336 into *Nhe*I and *Sac*II sites in pRevInd-GagFP-MSL, and pRevInd-GagFP-MSL-RRE by transferring the RevInd-GagFP-MSL sequence into a pcDNA-RRE backbone plasmid using *Sac*I and *EcoR*I sites. mTagBFP2 was a gift from Michael Davidson (Addgene plasmid # 55302). pRev has been described [77]. MS2-YFP targeting constructs were generated by amplifying cDNAs from pMS2-

YFP (also a gift of Rob Singer, Albert Einstein University, New York, NY, USA) [129] using

overlapping PCR prior to subcloning into a pcDNA3.1 backbone using *Hind*III and *Xho*I cut sites.

MS2-mCherry-NLS was generated by overlapping PCR to replace YFP with the mCherry

reading frame and subcloned into the *nef* position of the full-length pNL4-3/E-R-Luc-24xMSL

HIV constructs using *Not*I and *Xho*I cut sites. MS2-YFP targeting constructs included an amino-

terminal membrane targeting signal derived from the Src kinase (MGSSKSKPKD) [87], amino-

terminal Lifeact actin-targeting domain (MGVADLIKKFESISKEE) [100], and/or a carboxy-

terminal nuclear localization signal (NLS; PKKKRKV) derived from the SV40 Large T antigen

[130]. pRPL9-YFP was subcloned from human cDNA using overlapping PCR. HeLa.MS2-YFP,

HeLa.Gag-CFP, and HEK293T.Gag-CFP stable cell lines were generated as previously

described [131–133,82]. Briefly, MS2-YFP or Gag-CFP reading frames were subcloned into a

MIGR1-derived retroviral vector (pCMS28) upstream of sequence encoding an internal

ribosomal entry site (IRES) regulating a second reading frame encoding Puromycin-N-

acetyltransferase [131]. High performance clones were selected by limiting dilution in 2μg/mL

puromycin.

Retroviral assembly assays. Cells at 30-40% confluency were transfected with 2μg DNA in six

well dishes using polyethylenimine (PEI; #23966, Polysciences Inc, Warrington, PA, USA).

pcDNA3.1 or pBlueScript were used as empty vector controls. Culture media were replaced at

24 hours post-transfection and cell lysates and supernatants were harvested for immunoblot

analysis at 48 hours as previously described [80]. Briefly, 1mL of harvested culture supernatant

was filtered, underlaid with 20% sucrose (w/v) in PBS, subjected to centrifugation at >21,000g

for two hours at 4ºC, and viral pellets were resuspended in 35μL dissociation buffer (62.5 mM

Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 10% glycerol, 2% sodium dodecyl sulfate [SDS], 5% β-mercaptoethanol). Cells

were harvested in 500μL radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH

7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 1% sodium deoxycholate), lysed

by passage through a 26G needle, subjected to centrifugation at 1,500g for 20 minutes at 4ºC,

and combined 1:1 with 2X dissociation buffer. Proteins were resolved by sodium dodecyl

sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and transferred to nitrocellulose

membranes. Gag was detected using a mouse monoclonal antibody recognizing HIV-1

capsid/p24 (183-H12-5C; 1:1000 dilution) from Dr. Bruce Chesebro and obtained from the NIH

AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program (Bethesda, MD, USA) [134] and anti-mouse

secondary antibodies conjugated to an infrared fluorophore (IRDye680LT, 1:10000 dilution, Li-

Cor Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA) for quantitative immunoblotting. As a loading control, heat

shock protein 90A/B (HSP90) was detected using a rabbit polyclonal antibody (H-114, 1:2500

dilution, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) and anti-rabbit secondary antibodies

conjugated to an infrared fluorophore (IRDye800CW, 1:7500 dilution, Li-Cor Biosciences).

Where indicated, the protease inhibitor saquinavir (NIH AIDS Research and Reference Reagent

program, Bethesda, MD) was added at 24 hours post-transfection. Typically, retroviral assembly

assays were performed with transfections and harvesting occurring in one week while

processing and immunoblotting occurred in the following week. To ensure reproducibility, most

results were obtained from three biological replicates as defined as cells plated in six well

dishes transfected on separate days (*i.e.* replicate 1 was transfected on a separate day from

replicate 2).

Microscopy and fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). Cells were plated in 24-well

glass-bottom dishes (Mattek Corporation, Ashland, MA, USA) or 8-well microslides (IBIDI,

Madison, WI, USA) and transfected using PEI. Transfection mixes contained 1µg (24-well) or

333ng (IBIDI) plasmid DNA, respectively. Deconvolution fixed-cell imaging experiments were

performed on a Nikon Ti-Eclipse inverted wide-field microscope (Nikon Corporation, Melville,

NY, USA) using a 100x Plan Apo oil objective lens (numerical aperture NA 1.45). These cells

were fixed 24-32 hours post-transfection in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS. Live cell imaging

experiments were also performed on a Nikon Ti-Eclipse inverted wide-field microscope using a

20x Plan Apo objective lens (NA 0.75) with images acquired typically every 60 minutes over a

time course of 16-36 hours. Images were acquired using an ORCA-Flash4.0 CMOS camera

(Hamamatsu Photonics, Skokie, IL, USA) and using the following excitation/emission filter sets

(nanometer ranges): 430/470 (CFP), 510/535 (YFP), 585/610 (mCherry).

For fixed cell experiments using smFISH to visualize HIV-1 gRNA, cells were plated and

transfected as above. At ~30 hours post-transfection, cells were washed, fixed in 4%

formaldehyde, and permeabilized in 70% ethanol for at least four hours at 4ºC. Custom Stellaris

FISH probes were designed to recognize NL4-3 HIV-1 *gag-pol* reading frame nucleotides 386-

4614 by utilizing Stellaris RNA FISH Probe Designer (Biosearch Technologies, Inc., Petaluma,

CA, USA) available online at www.biosearchtech.com/stellarisdesigner (version 4.1). The

samples were hybridized with the Gag/GagPol Stellaris RNA Fish Probe set (48 probes) labeled

with CAL Fluor Red 610 dye (Biosearch Technologies, Inc.), following manufacturer's

instructions available online at www.biosearchtech.com/stellarisprotocols. Structured

illumination microscopy (SIM) was performed on a Nikon N-SIM microscope using a 100x TIRF

oil objective lens (NA 1.49). Images were acquired using an Andor iXon Ultra 897 EMCCD

(Andor Technology, Belfast, United Kingdom) and Nikon NIS Elements in 3D-SIM mode using

the following excitation laser wavelengths (nanometer ranges): 408 (mTagBFP2), 488 (YFP),

and 561 (CAL Fluor Red 610). Widefield epifluorescent microscopy images were deconvolved

using NIS Elements. All images were processed and analyzed using FIJI/ImageJ2 [135].

Results were obtained from three biological replicates as defined as cells plated in IBIDI slides

or 24-well dishes transfected on separate days (*i.e.* replicate 1 was transfected on a separate

day from replicate 2).

Thin section electron microscopy. For thin section EM, HEK293T cells were cultured in six-

well dishes and transfected as described above and processed as previously described [136].

At 48 hours post-transfection, cells were fixed in a solution of 2.5% glutaraldehyde, 2.0%

paraformaldehyde in 0.1M sodium phosphate buffer (PBS), pH 7.4 for ~2 hours at room

temperature. Samples were rinsed five times for five minutes each in 0.1M PBS. Rinsed cells

were post-fixed in 1% osmium tetroxide, 1% potassium ferrocyanide in PBS for 1 hour at room

temperature. Following osmium tetroxide post-fixation, the samples were rinsed in PBS, as

before, and rinsed three times in distilled water for five minutes to clear phosphates and

embedded using increasing concentrations (10mL A/M, 10mL B, 300μL C, 100μL D

components) of Durcupan ACM resin (Fluka AG, Switzerland) at 60ºC. Cells were pelleted and

sectioned using a Leica EM UC6 ultramicrotome with 100nm sections collected on 300 mesh

copper thin-bar grids, and contrasted with Reynolds lead citrate and 8% uranyl acetate in 50%

ethanol. Sections were observed with a Phillips CM120 transmission electron microscope, and

images were collected with a MegaView III (Olympus-SIS, Lakewood, CO, USA) side-mounted

digital camera. All images were processed and analyzed using FIJI/ImageJ2 [135].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge Randall Massey and the University of Wisconsin SMPH Electron

Microscopy Facility for assistance with processing and imaging EM. We gratefully acknowledge

Elle Kielar Grevstad and the University of Wisconsin Biochemistry Optical Core for assistance

with structured illumination microscopy. The following reagents were obtained through the NIH

AIDS Reagent Program, Division of AIDS, NIAID, NIH: HIV-1 p24 Hybridoma (183-H12-5C)

(from Dr. Bruce Chesebro) and Saquinavir. We wish to thank Bill Sugden, Sam Butcher, Halena

VanDeusen, Ed Evans, and Bayleigh Benner for their assistance in reading this manuscript and

helpful intellectual discussions.

REFERENCES

1. Giorgi C, Moore MJ. The nuclear nurture and cytoplasmic nature of localized mRNPs. Semin Cell Dev Biol. 2007;18: 186–193. doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2007.01.002

2. Donnelly CJ, Fainzilber M, Twiss JL. Subcellular communication through RNA transport and localized protein synthesis. Traffic Cph Den. 2010;11: 1498–1505. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0854.2010.01118.x

3. Buxbaum AR, Haimovich G, Singer RH. In the right place at the right time: visualizing and understanding mRNA localization. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2015;16: 95–109. doi:10.1038/nrm3918

4. Beckham CJ, Parker R. P bodies, stress granules, and viral life cycles. Cell Host Microbe. 2008;3: 206–212. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2008.03.004

5. Miller S, Krijnse-Locker J. Modification of intracellular membrane structures for virus replication. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2008;6: 363–374. doi:10.1038/nrmicro1890

6. den Boon JA, Ahlquist P. Organelle-like membrane compartmentalization of positive-strand RNA virus replication factories. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2010;64: 241–256. doi:10.1146/annurev.micro.112408.134012

7. Sundquist WI, Kräusslich H-G. HIV-1 assembly, budding, and maturation. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2012;2: a006924. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a006924

8. Freed EO. HIV-1 assembly, release and maturation. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2015;13: 484–496. doi:10.1038/nrmicro3490

9.   Spearman P, Wang JJ, Vander Heyden N, Ratner L. Identification of human

immunodeficiency virus type 1 Gag protein domains essential to membrane binding and

particle assembly. J Virol. 1994;68: 3232–3242.

10.   Zhou W, Parent LJ, Wills JW, Resh MD. Identification of a membrane-binding domain

within the amino-terminal region of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 Gag protein

which interacts with acidic phospholipids. J Virol. 1994;68: 2556–2569.

11.   Campbell S, Rein A. In vitro assembly properties of human immunodeficiency virus type 1

Gag protein lacking the p6 domain. J Virol. 1999;73: 2270–2279.

12.   Tang C, Loeliger E, Luncsford P, Kinde I, Beckett D, Summers MF. Entropic switch

regulates myristate exposure in the HIV-1 matrix protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.

2004;101: 517–522. doi:10.1073/pnas.0305665101

13.   Jouvenet N, Simon SM, Bieniasz PD. Imaging the interaction of HIV-1 genomes and Gag

during assembly of individual viral particles. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106: 19114–

19119. doi:10.1073/pnas.0907364106

14.   Ono A, Ablan SD, Lockett SJ, Nagashima K, Freed EO. Phosphatidylinositol (4,5)

bisphosphate regulates HIV-1 Gag targeting to the plasma membrane. Proc Natl Acad

Sci U S A. 2004;101: 14889–14894. doi:10.1073/pnas.0405596101

15.   Saad JS, Miller J, Tai J, Kim A, Ghanam RH, Summers MF. Structural basis for targeting

HIV-1 Gag proteins to the plasma membrane for virus assembly. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S

A. 2006;103: 11364–11369. doi:10.1073/pnas.0602818103

16.   Ono A. HIV-1 Assembly at the Plasma Membrane: Gag Trafficking and Localization.

Future Virol. 2009;4: 241–257. doi:10.2217/fvl.09.4

17.   Fuller SD, Wilk T, Gowen BE, Kräusslich HG, Vogt VM. Cryo-electron microscopy reveals

ordered domains in the immature HIV-1 particle. Curr Biol CB. 1997;7: 729–738.

18.   Wright ER, Schooler JB, Ding HJ, Kieffer C, Fillmore C, Sundquist WI, et al. Electron

cryotomography of immature HIV-1 virions reveals the structure of the CA and SP1 Gag

shells. EMBO J. 2007;26: 2218–2226. doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.7601664

19.   Briggs J a. G, Riches JD, Glass B, Bartonova V, Zanetti G, Kräusslich H-G. Structure and

assembly of immature HIV. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106: 11090–11095.

doi:10.1073/pnas.0903535106

20.   Berkowitz RD, Ohagen A, Höglund S, Goff SP. Retroviral nucleocapsid domains mediate

the specific recognition of genomic viral RNAs by chimeric Gag polyproteins during RNA

packaging in vivo. J Virol. 1995;69: 6445–6456.

21.   Zhang Y, Barklis E. Nucleocapsid protein effects on the specificity of retrovirus RNA

encapsidation. J Virol. 1995;69: 5716–5722.

22.   D'Souza V, Summers MF. How retroviruses select their genomes. Nat Rev Microbiol.

2005;3: 643–655. doi:10.1038/nrmicro1210

23.   Rulli SJ, Hibbert CS, Mirro J, Pederson T, Biswal S, Rein A. Selective and nonselective

packaging of cellular RNAs in retrovirus particles. J Virol. 2007;81: 6623–6631.

doi:10.1128/JVI.02833-06

24.   Eckwahl MJ, Arnion H, Kharytonchyk S, Zang T, Bieniasz PD, Telesnitsky A, et al.

Analysis of the human immunodeficiency virus-1 RNA packageome. RNA N Y N.

2016;22: 1228–1238. doi:10.1261/rna.057299.116

25.   VerPlank L, Bouamr F, LaGrassa TJ, Agresta B, Kikonyogo A, Leis J, et al. Tsg101, a

846   homologue of ubiquitin-conjugating (E2) enzymes, binds the L domain in HIV type 1

847   Pr55(Gag). Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001;98: 7724–7729. doi:10.1073/pnas.131059198

848   26.   Garrus JE, von Schwedler UK, Pornillos OW, Morham SG, Zavitz KH, Wang HE, et al.

849   Tsg101 and the vacuolar protein sorting pathway are essential for HIV-1 budding. Cell.

850   2001;107: 55–65.

851   27.   Demirov DG, Ono A, Orenstein JM, Freed EO. Overexpression of the N-terminal domain

852   of TSG101 inhibits HIV-1 budding by blocking late domain function. Proc Natl Acad Sci U

853   S A. 2002;99: 955–960. doi:10.1073/pnas.032511899

854   28.   Martin-Serrano J, Zang T, Bieniasz PD. HIV-1 and Ebola virus encode small peptide

855   motifs that recruit Tsg101 to sites of particle assembly to facilitate egress. Nat Med.

856   2001;7: 1313–1319. doi:10.1038/nm1201-1313

857   29.   Dorman N, Lever A. Comparison of viral genomic RNA sorting mechanisms in human

858   immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1), HIV-2, and Moloney murine leukemia virus. J

859   Virol. 2000;74: 11413–11417.

860   30.   Butsch M, Boris-Lawrie K. Destiny of unspliced retroviral RNA: ribosome and/or virion? J

861   Virol. 2002;76: 3089–3094.

862   31.   Johnson SF, Telesnitsky A. Retroviral RNA dimerization and packaging: the what, how,

863   when, where, and why. PLoS Pathog. 2010;6: e1001007.

864   doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1001007

865   32.   Jouvenet N, Lainé S, Pessel-Vivares L, Mougel M. Cell biology of retroviral RNA

866   packaging. RNA Biol. 2011;8: 572–580. doi:10.4161/rna.8.4.16030

33.   Kuzembayeva M, Dilley K, Sardo L, Hu W-S. Life of psi: how full-length HIV-1 RNAs

become packaged genomes in the viral particles. Virology. 2014;454–455: 362–370.

doi:10.1016/j.virol.2014.01.019

34.   Cochrane AW, McNally MT, Mouland AJ. The retrovirus RNA trafficking granule: from

birth to maturity. Retrovirology. 2006;3: 18. doi:10.1186/1742-4690-3-18

35.   Swanson CM, Malim MH. Retrovirus RNA trafficking: from chromatin to invasive

genomes. Traffic Cph Den. 2006;7: 1440–1450. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0854.2006.00488.x

36.   Kutluay SB, Bieniasz PD. Analysis of the initiating events in HIV-1 particle assembly and

genome packaging. PLoS Pathog. 2010;6: e1001200. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1001200

37.   Robinson BA, Reed JC, Geary CD, Swain JV, Lingappa JR. A temporospatial map that

defines specific steps at which critical surfaces in the Gag MA and CA domains act during

immature HIV-1 capsid assembly in cells. J Virol. 2014;88: 5718–5741.

doi:10.1128/JVI.03609-13

38.   Lingappa JR, Reed JC, Tanaka M, Chutiraka K, Robinson BA. How HIV-1 Gag

assembles in cells: Putting together pieces of the puzzle. Virus Res. 2014;193: 89–107.

doi:10.1016/j.virusres.2014.07.001

39.   Reed JC, Molter B, Geary CD, McNevin J, McElrath J, Giri S, et al. HIV-1 Gag co-opts a

cellular complex containing DDX6, a helicase that facilitates capsid assembly. J Cell Biol.

2012;198: 439–456. doi:10.1083/jcb.201111012

40.   Chen J, Grunwald D, Sardo L, Galli A, Plisov S, Nikolaitchik OA, et al. Cytoplasmic HIV-1

RNA is mainly transported by diffusion in the presence or absence of Gag protein. Proc

Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111: E5205-5213. doi:10.1073/pnas.1413169111

41.   Sardo L, Hatch SC, Chen J, Nikolaitchik O, Burdick RC, Chen D, et al. Dynamics of HIV-1
RNA Near the Plasma Membrane during Virus Assembly. J Virol. 2015;89: 10832–10840.
doi:10.1128/JVI.01146-15

42.   Perez-Caballero D, Hatziioannou T, Martin-Serrano J, Bieniasz PD. Human
immunodeficiency virus type 1 matrix inhibits and confers cooperativity on gag precursor-
membrane interactions. J Virol. 2004;78: 9560–9563. doi:10.1128/JVI.78.17.9560-
9563.2004

43.   Hatziioannou T, Martin-Serrano J, Zang T, Bieniasz PD. Matrix-induced inhibition of
membrane binding contributes to human immunodeficiency virus type 1 particle assembly
defects in murine cells. J Virol. 2005;79: 15586–15589. doi:10.1128/JVI.79.24.15586-
15589.2005

44.   Yadav SS, Wilson SJ, Bieniasz PD. A facile quantitative assay for viral particle genesis
reveals cooperativity in virion assembly and saturation of an antiviral protein. Virology.
2012;429: 155–162. doi:10.1016/j.virol.2012.04.008

45.   Paillart JC, Göttlinger HG. Opposing effects of human immunodeficiency virus type 1
matrix mutations support a myristyl switch model of gag membrane targeting. J Virol.
1999;73: 2604–2612.

46.   Hermida-Matsumoto L, Resh MD. Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 protease
triggers a myristoyl switch that modulates membrane binding of Pr55(gag) and p17MA. J
Virol. 1999;73: 1902–1908.

47.   Chen J, Nikolaitchik O, Singh J, Wright A, Bencsics CE, Coffin JM, et al. High efficiency
of HIV-1 genomic RNA packaging and heterozygote formation revealed by single virion

analysis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106: 13535–13540.

doi:10.1073/pnas.0906822106

48.   Moore MD, Nikolaitchik OA, Chen J, Hammarskjöld M-L, Rekosh D, Hu W-S. Probing the

HIV-1 genomic RNA trafficking pathway and dimerization by genetic recombination and

single virion analyses. PLoS Pathog. 2009;5: e1000627.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000627

49.   Nikolaitchik OA, Dilley KA, Fu W, Gorelick RJ, Tai S-HS, Soheilian F, et al. Dimeric RNA

Recognition Regulates HIV-1 Genome Packaging. PLoS Pathog. 2013;9: e1003249.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003249

50.   Houzet L, Paillart JC, Smagulova F, Maurel S, Morichaud Z, Marquet R, et al. HIV

controls the selective packaging of genomic, spliced viral and cellular RNAs into virions

through different mechanisms. Nucleic Acids Res. 2007;35: 2695–2704.

doi:10.1093/nar/gkm153

51.   Lever A, Gottlinger H, Haseltine W, Sodroski J. Identification of a sequence required for

efficient packaging of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 RNA into virions. J Virol.

1989;63: 4085–4087.

52.   Lu K, Heng X, Summers MF. Structural determinants and mechanism of HIV-1 genome

packaging. J Mol Biol. 2011;410: 609–633. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2011.04.029

53.   Kutluay SB, Zang T, Blanco-Melo D, Powell C, Jannain D, Errando M, et al. Global

changes in the RNA binding specificity of HIV-1 gag regulate virion genesis. Cell.

2014;159: 1096–1109. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.09.057

54.   Keane SC, Heng X, Lu K, Kharytonchyk S, Ramakrishnan V, Carter G, et al. Structure of

933   the HIV-1 RNA packaging signal. Science. 2015;348: 917–921.

934   doi:10.1126/science.aaa9266

935   55.   Cockrell AS, van Praag H, Santistevan N, Ma H, Kafri T. The HIV-1 Rev/RRE system is

936   required for HIV-1 5' UTR cis elements to augment encapsidation of heterologous RNA

937   into HIV-1 viral particles. Retrovirology. 2011;8: 51. doi:10.1186/1742-4690-8-51

938   56.   Brandt S, Blissenbach M, Grewe B, Konietzny R, Grunwald T, Uberla K. Rev proteins of

939   human and simian immunodeficiency virus enhance RNA encapsidation. PLoS Pathog.

940   2007;3: e54. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0030054

941   57.   Pollard VW, Malim MH. The HIV-1 Rev protein. Annu Rev Microbiol. 1998;52: 491–532.

942   doi:10.1146/annurev.micro.52.1.491

943   58.   Fernandes J, Jayaraman B, Frankel A. The HIV-1 Rev response element. RNA Biol.

944   2012;9: 6–11. doi:10.4161/rna.9.1.18178

945   59.   Rausch JW, Grice SFJL. HIV Rev Assembly on the Rev Response Element (RRE): A

946   Structural Perspective. Viruses. 2015;7: 3053–3075. doi:10.3390/v7062760

947   60.   Ferrer M, Clerté C, Chamontin C, Basyuk E, Lainé S, Hottin J, et al. Imaging HIV-1 RNA

948   dimerization in cells by multicolor super-resolution and fluctuation microscopies. Nucleic

949   Acids Res. 2016; doi:10.1093/nar/gkw511

950   61.   Chen J, Rahman SA, Nikolaitchik OA, Grunwald D, Sardo L, Burdick RC, et al. HIV-1

951   RNA genome dimerizes on the plasma membrane in the presence of Gag protein. Proc

952   Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2016;113: E201-208. doi:10.1073/pnas.1518572113

62. Ivanchenko S, Godinez WJ, Lampe M, Kräusslich H-G, Eils R, Rohr K, et al. Dynamics of

HIV-1 assembly and release. PLoS Pathog. 2009;5: e1000652.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000652

63. Ku P-I, Miller AK, Ballew J, Sandrin V, Adler FR, Saffarian S. Identification of Pauses

during Formation of HIV-1 Virus Like Particles. Biophys J. 2013;105: 2262–2272.

doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2013.09.047

64. Onafuwa-Nuga AA, Telesnitsky A, King SR. 7SL RNA, but not the 54-kd signal

recognition particle protein, is an abundant component of both infectious HIV-1 and

minimal virus-like particles. RNA N Y N. 2006;12: 542–546. doi:10.1261/rna.2306306

65. Didierlaurent L, Racine PJ, Houzet L, Chamontin C, Berkhout B, Mougel M. Role of HIV-1

RNA and protein determinants for the selective packaging of spliced and unspliced viral

RNA and host U6 and 7SL RNA in virus particles. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011;39: 8915–

8927. doi:10.1093/nar/gkr577

66. Chukkapalli V, Hogue IB, Boyko V, Hu W-S, Ono A. Interaction between the human

immunodeficiency virus type 1 Gag matrix domain and phosphatidylinositol-(4,5)-

bisphosphate is essential for efficient gag membrane binding. J Virol. 2008;82: 2405–

2417. doi:10.1128/JVI.01614-07

67. Chukkapalli V, Oh SJ, Ono A. Opposing mechanisms involving RNA and lipids regulate

HIV-1 Gag membrane binding through the highly basic region of the matrix domain. Proc

Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010;107: 1600–1605. doi:10.1073/pnas.0908661107

68. Gheysen D, Jacobs E, de Foresta F, Thiriart C, Francotte M, Thines D, et al. Assembly

and release of HIV-1 precursor Pr55gag virus-like particles from recombinant baculovirus-

infected insect cells. Cell. 1989;59: 103–112.

69.   Smith AJ, Cho MI, Hammarskjöld ML, Rekosh D. Human immunodeficiency virus type 1

Pr55gag and Pr160gag-pol expressed from a simian virus 40 late replacement vector are

efficiently processed and assembled into viruslike particles. J Virol. 1990;64: 2743–2750.

70.   Wills JW, Craven RC. Form, function, and use of retroviral gag proteins. AIDS Lond Engl.

1991;5: 639–654.

71.   Zhang Y, Qian H, Love Z, Barklis E. Analysis of the assembly function of the human

immunodeficiency virus type 1 gag protein nucleocapsid domain. J Virol. 1998;72: 1782–

1789.

72.   Accola MA, Strack B, Göttlinger HG. Efficient particle production by minimal Gag

constructs which retain the carboxy-terminal domain of human immunodeficiency virus

type 1 capsid-p2 and a late assembly domain. J Virol. 2000;74: 5395–5402.

73.   Muriaux D, Costes S, Nagashima K, Mirro J, Cho E, Lockett S, et al. Role of murine

leukemia virus nucleocapsid protein in virus assembly. J Virol. 2004;78: 12378–12385.

doi:10.1128/JVI.78.22.12378-12385.2004

74.   Patel N, Dykeman EC, Coutts RHA, Lomonossoff GP, Rowlands DJ, Phillips SEV, et al.

Revealing the density of encoded functions in a viral RNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.

2015;112: 2227–2232. doi:10.1073/pnas.1420812112

75.   Rolfsson Ó, Middleton S, Manfield IW, White SJ, Fan B, Vaughan R, et al. Direct

Evidence for Packaging Signal-Mediated Assembly of Bacteriophage MS2. J Mol Biol.

2016;428: 431–448. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2015.11.014

76.　Stockley PG, White SJ, Dykeman E, Manfield I, Rolfsson O, Patel N, et al. Bacteriophage

MS2 genomic RNA encodes an assembly instruction manual for its capsid.

Bacteriophage. 2016;6: e1157666. doi:10.1080/21597081.2016.1157666

77.　Swanson CM, Puffer BA, Ahmad KM, Doms RW, Malim MH. Retroviral mRNA nuclear

export elements regulate protein function and virion assembly. EMBO J. 2004;23: 2632–

2640. doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.7600270

78.　Jin J, Sturgeon T, Chen C, Watkins SC, Weisz OA, Montelaro RC. Distinct intracellular

trafficking of equine infectious anemia virus and human immunodeficiency virus type 1

Gag during viral assembly and budding revealed by bimolecular fluorescence

complementation assays. J Virol. 2007;81: 11226–11235. doi:10.1128/JVI.00431-07

79.　Jin J, Sturgeon T, Weisz OA, Mothes W, Montelaro RC. HIV-1 matrix dependent

membrane targeting is regulated by Gag mRNA trafficking. PloS One. 2009;4: e6551.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006551

80.　Sherer NM, Swanson CM, Papaioannou S, Malim MH. Matrix mediates the functional link

between human immunodeficiency virus type 1 RNA nuclear export elements and the

assembly competency of Gag in murine cells. J Virol. 2009;83: 8525–8535.

doi:10.1128/JVI.00699-09

81.　Diaz-Griffero F, Taube R, Muehlbauer SM, Brojatsch J. Efficient production of HIV-1 viral-

like particles in mouse cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2008;368: 463–469.

doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2007.12.195

82.　Pocock GM, Becker JT, Swanson CM, Ahlquist P, Sherer NM. HIV-1 and M-PMV RNA

Nuclear Export Elements Program Viral Genomes for Distinct Cytoplasmic Trafficking

Behaviors. PLoS Pathog. 2016;12: e1005565. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005565

83. Buck CB, Shen X, Egan MA, Pierson TC, Walker CM, Siliciano RF. The human

immunodeficiency virus type 1 gag gene encodes an internal ribosome entry site. J Virol.

2001;75: 181–191. doi:10.1128/JVI.75.1.181-191.2001

84. Poon DTK, Chertova EN, Ott DE. Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 Preferentially

Encapsidates Genomic RNAs That Encode Pr55Gag: Functional Linkage between

Translation and RNA Packaging. Virology. 2002;293: 368–378.

doi:10.1006/viro.2001.1283

85. Schwartz S, Campbell M, Nasioulas G, Harrison J, Felber BK, Pavlakis GN. Mutational

inactivation of an inhibitory sequence in human immunodeficiency virus type 1 results in

Rev-independent gag expression. J Virol. 1992;66: 7176–7182.

86. Hermida-Matsumoto L, Resh MD. Localization of human immunodeficiency virus type 1

Gag and Env at the plasma membrane by confocal imaging. J Virol. 2000;74: 8670–8679.

87. Sigal CT, Zhou W, Buser CA, McLaughlin S, Resh MD. Amino-terminal basic residues of

Src mediate membrane binding through electrostatic interaction with acidic phospholipids.

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1994;91: 12253–12257.

88. Accola MA, Strack B, Göttlinger HG. Efficient particle production by minimal Gag

constructs which retain the carboxy-terminal domain of human immunodeficiency virus

type 1 capsid-p2 and a late assembly domain. J Virol. 2000;74: 5395–5402.

89. Yang J, Bogerd HP, Wang PJ, Page DC, Cullen BR. Two closely related human nuclear

export factors utilize entirely distinct export pathways. Mol Cell. 2001;8: 397–406.

90.   Wiegand HL, Coburn GA, Zeng Y, Kang Y, Bogerd HP, Cullen BR. Formation of

1040   Tap/NXT1 heterodimers activates Tap-dependent nuclear mRNA export by enhancing

1041   recruitment to nuclear pore complexes. Mol Cell Biol. 2002;22: 245–256.

1042   91.   Bunce MW, Bergendahl K, Anderson RA. Nuclear PI(4,5)P(2): a new place for an old

1043   signal. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2006;1761: 560–569. doi:10.1016/j.bbalip.2006.03.002

1044   92.   Yadav SS, Wilson SJ, Bieniasz PD. A facile quantitative assay for viral particle genesis

1045   reveals cooperativity in virion assembly and saturation of an antiviral protein. Virology.

1046   2012;429: 155–162. doi:10.1016/j.virol.2012.04.008

1047   93.   Haucke V. Phosphoinositide regulation of clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Biochem Soc

1048   Trans. 2005;33: 1285–1289. doi:10.1042/BST20051285

1049   94.   Ott DE, Coren LV, Kane BP, Busch LK, Johnson DG, Sowder RC, et al. Cytoskeletal

1050   proteins inside human immunodeficiency virus type 1 virions. J Virol. 1996;70: 7734–

1051   7743.

1052   95.   Jolly C, Kashefi K, Hollinshead M, Sattentau QJ. HIV-1 cell to cell transfer across an Env-

1053   induced, actin-dependent synapse. J Exp Med. 2004;199: 283–293.

1054   doi:10.1084/jem.20030648

1055   96.   Sasaki H, Ozaki H, Karaki H, Nonomura Y. Actin filaments play an essential role for

1056   transport of nascent HIV-1 proteins in host cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun.

1057   2004;316: 588–593. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2004.02.088

1058   97.   Cooper J, Liu L, Woodruff EA, Taylor HE, Goodwin JS, D'Aquila RT, et al. Filamin A

1059   protein interacts with human immunodeficiency virus type 1 Gag protein and contributes

to productive particle assembly. J Biol Chem. 2011;286: 28498–28510.

doi:10.1074/jbc.M111.239053

98.   Wen X, Ding L, Wang J-J, Qi M, Hammonds J, Chu H, et al. ROCK1 and LIM kinase

modulate retrovirus particle release and cell-cell transmission events. J Virol. 2014;88:

6906–6921. doi:10.1128/JVI.00023-14

99.   Thomas A, Mariani-Floderer C, López-Huertas MR, Gros N, Hamard-Péron E, Favard C,

et al. Involvement of the Rac1-IRSp53-Wave2-Arp2/3 Signaling Pathway in HIV-1 Gag

Particle Release in CD4 T Cells. J Virol. 2015;89: 8162–8181. doi:10.1128/JVI.00469-15

100. Riedl J, Crevenna AH, Kessenbrock K, Yu JH, Neukirchen D, Bista M, et al. Lifeact: a

versatile marker to visualize F-actin. Nat Methods. 2008;5: 605–607.

doi:10.1038/nmeth.1220

101. Bray M, Prasad S, Dubay JW, Hunter E, Jeang KT, Rekosh D, et al. A small element from

the Mason-Pfizer monkey virus genome makes human immunodeficiency virus type 1

expression and replication Rev-independent. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1994;91: 1256–

1260.

102. Wodrich H, Schambach A, Kräusslich H-G. Multiple copies of the Mason–Pfizer monkey

virus constitutive RNA transport element lead to enhanced HIV-1 Gag expression in a

context-dependent manner. Nucleic Acids Res. 2000;28: 901–910.

doi:10.1093/nar/28.4.901

103. Anderson EC, Lever AML. Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 Gag polyprotein

modulates its own translation. J Virol. 2006;80: 10478–10486. doi:10.1128/JVI.02596-05

104.   Dooher JE, Lingappa JR. Conservation of a stepwise, energy-sensitive pathway involving

1082   HP68 for assembly of primate lentivirus capsids in cells. J Virol. 2004;78: 1645–1656.

1083   105.   Ma H, Cockrell A, Bash R, VanDyke T, Kafri T. 822. A Rev/RRE Dependent Packaging

1084   System for MLV Based Vectors Raises Biosafety Concerns. Mol Ther. 2005;11: S320–

1085   S320. doi:10.1016/j.ymthe.2005.07.365

1086   106.   Grewe B, Ehrhardt K, Hoffmann B, Blissenbach M, Brandt S, Überla K. The HIV-1 Rev

1087   Protein Enhances Encapsidation of Unspliced and Spliced, RRE-Containing Lentiviral

1088   Vector RNA. PLOS ONE. 2012;7: e48688. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0048688

1089   107.   Anderson P, Kedersha N, Ivanov P. Stress granules, P-bodies and cancer. Biochim

1090   Biophys Acta. 2015;1849: 861–870. doi:10.1016/j.bbagrm.2014.11.009

1091   108.   Brangwynne CP. Phase transitions and size scaling of membrane-less organelles. J Cell

1092   Biol. 2013;203: 875–881. doi:10.1083/jcb.201308087

1093   109.   Zimmerman C, Klein KC, Kiser PK, Singh AR, Firestein BL, Riba SC, et al. Identification

1094   of a host protein essential for assembly of immature HIV-1 capsids. Nature. 2002;415:

1095   88–92. doi:10.1038/415088a

1096   110.   Yedavalli VSRK, Neuveut C, Chi Y-H, Kleiman L, Jeang K-T. Requirement of DDX3

1097   DEAD box RNA helicase for HIV-1 Rev-RRE export function. Cell. 2004;119: 381–392.

1098   doi:10.1016/j.cell.2004.09.029

1099   111.   Naji S, Ambrus G, Cimermančič P, Reyes JR, Johnson JR, Filbrandt R, et al. Host cell

1100   interactome of HIV-1 Rev includes RNA helicases involved in multiple facets of virus

1101   production. Mol Cell Proteomics MCP. 2012;11: M111.015313.

1102   doi:10.1074/mcp.M111.015313

1103 112. Chatel-Chaix L, Clément J-F, Martel C, Bériault V, Gatignol A, DesGroseillers L, et al.

1104    Identification of Staufen in the human immunodeficiency virus type 1 Gag

1105    ribonucleoprotein complex and a role in generating infectious viral particles. Mol Cell Biol.

1106    2004;24: 2637–2648.

1107 113. Swanson CM, Sherer NM, Malim MH. SRp40 and SRp55 promote the translation of

1108    unspliced human immunodeficiency virus type 1 RNA. J Virol. 2010;84: 6748–6759.

1109    doi:10.1128/JVI.02526-09

1110 114. Mouland AJ, Xu H, Cui H, Krueger W, Munro TP, Prasol M, et al. RNA trafficking signals

1111    in human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Mol Cell Biol. 2001;21: 2133–2143.

1112    doi:10.1128/MCB.21.6.2133-2143.2001

1113 115. Lévesque K, Halvorsen M, Abrahamyan L, Chatel-Chaix L, Poupon V, Gordon H, et al.

1114    Trafficking of HIV-1 RNA is Mediated by Heterogeneous Nuclear Ribonucleoprotein A2

1115    Expression and Impacts on Viral Assembly. Traffic. 2006;7: 1177–1193.

1116    doi:10.1111/j.1600-0854.2006.00461.x

1117 116. Boeras I, Song Z, Moran A, Franklin J, Brown WC, Johnson M, et al. DHX9/RHA Binding

1118    to the PBS-Segment of the Genomic RNA during HIV-1 Assembly Bolsters Virion

1119    Infectivity. J Mol Biol. 2016;428: 2418–2429. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2016.04.011

1120 117. Bolinger C, Boris-Lawrie K. Mechanisms employed by retroviruses to exploit host factors

1121    for translational control of a complicated proteome. Retrovirology. 2009;6: 8.

1122    doi:10.1186/1742-4690-6-8

1123 118. Lécuyer E, Yoshida H, Parthasarathy N, Alm C, Babak T, Cerovina T, et al. Global

1124    analysis of mRNA localization reveals a prominent role in organizing cellular architecture

1125    and function. Cell. 2007;131: 174–187. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2007.08.003

119. Donnelly CJ, Fainzilber M, Twiss JL. Subcellular communication through RNA transport
and localized protein synthesis. Traffic Cph Den. 2010;11: 1498–1505.
doi:10.1111/j.1600-0854.2010.01118.x

120. Sinnamon JR, Czaplinski K. mRNA trafficking and local translation: the Yin and Yang of
regulating mRNA localization in neurons. Acta Biochim Biophys Sin. 2011;43: 663–670.
doi:10.1093/abbs/gmr058

121. Herrera-Carrillo E, Berkhout B. Bone Marrow Gene Therapy for HIV/AIDS. Viruses.
2015;7: 3910–3936. doi:10.3390/v7072804

122. Lloyd RE. Regulation of stress granules and P-bodies during RNA virus infection. Wiley
Interdiscip Rev RNA. 2013;4: 317–331. doi:10.1002/wrna.1162

123. Bosse JB, Hogue IB, Feric M, Thiberge SY, Sodeik B, Brangwynne CP, et al. Remodeling
nuclear architecture allows efficient transport of herpesvirus capsids by diffusion. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2015;112: E5725-5733. doi:10.1073/pnas.1513876112

124. Shukla S, Parker R. Hypo- and Hyper-Assembly Diseases of RNA-Protein Complexes.
Trends Mol Med. 2016;22: 615–628. doi:10.1016/j.molmed.2016.05.005

125. Adachi A, Gendelman HE, Koenig S, Folks T, Willey R, Rabson A, et al. Production of
acquired immunodeficiency syndrome-associated retrovirus in human and nonhuman
cells transfected with an infectious molecular clone. J Virol. 1986;59: 284–291.

126. Connor RI, Chen BK, Choe S, Landau NR. Vpr is required for efficient replication of
human immunodeficiency virus type-1 in mononuclear phagocytes. Virology. 1995;206:
935–944. doi:10.1006/viro.1995.1016

127.   Phalora PK, Sherer NM, Wolinsky SM, Swanson CM, Malim MH. HIV-1 replication and

APOBEC3 antiviral activity are not regulated by P bodies. J Virol. 2012;86: 11712–11724.

doi:10.1128/JVI.00595-12

128.   Subach OM, Cranfill PJ, Davidson MW, Verkhusha VV. An Enhanced Monomeric Blue

Fluorescent Protein with the High Chemical Stability of the Chromophore. PLoS ONE.

2011;6: e28674. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0028674

129.   Femino AM, Fay FS, Fogarty K, Singer RH. Visualization of single RNA transcripts in situ.

Science. 1998;280: 585–590.

130.   Kalderon D, Roberts BL, Richardson WD, Smith AE. A short amino acid sequence able to

specify nuclear location. Cell. 1984;39: 499–509.

131.   Sheehy AM, Gaddis NC, Choi JD, Malim MH. Isolation of a human gene that inhibits HIV-

1 infection and is suppressed by the viral Vif protein. Nature. 2002;418: 646–650.

doi:10.1038/nature00939

132.   Sherer NM, Swanson CM, Hué S, Roberts RG, Bergeron JRC, Malim MH. Evolution of a

species-specific determinant within human CRM1 that regulates the post-transcriptional

phases of HIV-1 replication. PLoS Pathog. 2011;7: e1002395.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002395

133.   Aligeti M, Behrens RT, Pocock GM, Schindelin J, Dietz C, Eliceiri KW, et al. Cooperativity

among Rev-associated nuclear export signals regulates HIV-1 gene expression and is a

determinant of virus species tropism. J Virol. 2014;88: 14207–14221.

doi:10.1128/JVI.01897-14

134.   Chesebro B, Wehrly K, Nishio J, Perryman S. Macrophage-tropic human

1169   immunodeficiency virus isolates from different patients exhibit unusual V3 envelope

1170   sequence homogeneity in comparison with T-cell-tropic isolates: definition of critical

1171   amino acids involved in cell tropism. J Virol. 1992;66: 6547–6554.

1172   135.   Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, et al. Fiji: an

1173   open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods. 2012;9: 676–682.

1174   doi:10.1038/nmeth.2019

1175   136.   Garcia-Miranda P, Becker JT, Benner BE, Blume A, Sherer NM, Butcher SE. Stability of

1176   HIV Frameshift Site RNA Correlates with Frameshift Efficiency and Decreased Virus

1177   Infectivity. J Virol. 2016;90: 6906–6917. doi:10.1128/JVI.00149-16

1178

1179

1180

1181   **SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

1182

1183   **S1 Video. HIV-1 infection drives stably-expressed GagFP to assembly sites at the**

1184   **PM.** Live cell imaging of HeLa.Gag-CFP (cyan) cells infected with WT NL4-3 E-R-

1185   /mCherry reporter virus. Images were acquired once per hour and are shown here at 4

1186   frames per second. Scale bar represents 10 microns.   White arrows indicate sites

1187   where stably expressed RevInd Gag-CFP has accumulated in PM-adjacent punctae.

1188

S2 Video. HIV-1 "Gag-minus" 1ACG-gRNAs trigger accumulation of stably-

expressed GagFP into cytoplasmic granules. Live cell imaging of HeLa.Gag-CFP

(cyan) cells transfected with 1ACG-MSL/MS2-mCherry (yellow). Images were acquired

once per hour and are shown here at 4 frames per second. Scale bar represents 10

microns.   White arrows indicate sites where stably expressed RevInd Gag-CFP and

MS2-mCherry-tagged gRNA have accumulated in cytoplasmic granules.

1195

1196   **S3 Video. Three-dimensional view of MS2-YFP-NLS and smFISH tagged HIV-1**

1197   **gRNA expressed in the absence of Rev.** SIM image reconstructed in 3D using

1198   FIJI/ImageJ2. Scale bar represents 5 microns. MS2-YFP (green) and gRNA FISH (red)

1199   are sequestered in the nucleus in the absence of the Rev protein. White arrow indicates

1200   edge of nucleus (nuclear envelope).

1201

1202   **S4 Video. Three-dimensional view of MS2-YFP-NLS, smFISH tagged HIV-1 gRNA,**

1203   **and HIV-1 Gag.** SIM image reconstructed in 3D using FIJI/ImageJ2. Scale bar

1204   represents 5 microns. MS2-YFP (green) and gRNA FISH (red) colocalize in the

1205   cytoplasm and at the PM with Gag (blue). White arrows indicate colocalized MS2-YFP,

1206   gRNA, and Gag punctae at PM.

1207

1208 **S5 Video. Three-dimensional view of Src-MS2-YFP, smFISH tagged HIV-1 gRNA,**

1209 **and HIV-1 Gag.** SIM image reconstructed in 3D using FIJI/ImageJ2. Scale bar

1210 represents 5 microns. MS2-YFP (green) and gRNA FISH (red) colocalize at intracellular

1211 vesicles and the nuclear periphery with Gag (blue). White arrows indicate colocalized

1212 MS2-YFP, gRNA, and Gag punctae at intracellular membranes.

1213

1214 **S6 Video. Three-dimensional view of Lifeact-MS2-YFP, smFISH tagged HIV-1**

1215 **gRNA, and HIV-1 Gag.** SIM image reconstructed in 3D using FIJI/ImageJ2. Scale bar

1216 represents 5 microns. MS2-YFP (green) and gRNA FISH (red) colocalize along linear F-

1217 actin filaments with Gag (blue). White arrows indicate colocalized MS2-YFP, gRNA, and

1218 Gag punctae along actin filaments.

1219

1220 **S5 Video. Three-dimensional view of Src-MS2-YFP, smFISH tagged HIV-1 gRNA,**

1221 **and HIV-1 Gag.** SIM image reconstructed in 3D using FIJI/ImageJ2. Scale bar

1222 represents 5 microns. MS2-YFP (green) and gRNA FISH (red) colocalize at intracellular

1223 vesicles and the nuclear periphery with Gag (blue). White arrows indicate colocalized

1224 MS2-YFP, gRNA, and Gag punctae at intracellular membranes.

1225

1226 **S6 Video. Three-dimensional view of Lifeact-MS2-YFP, smFISH tagged HIV-1**

1227 **gRNA, and HIV-1 Gag.** SIM image reconstructed in 3D using FIJI/ImageJ2. Scale bar

1228 represents 5 microns. MS2-YFP (green) and gRNA FISH (red) colocalize along linear F-

1229 actin filaments with Gag (blue). White arrows indicate colocalized MS2-YFP, gRNA, and

1230 Gag punctae along actin filaments.

1231

A.

Nucleus | Cytoplasm | Vesicles | PM

gRNA | RRE | Rev/CRM1 export

Src-MS2 YFP

Bad Neighborhood

Gag | Ribosome | Localized Translation

Free Diffusion Pathway

Lifeact-MS2 YFP

Bad Neighborhood

Actin filaments

B.

	MS2-mCherry	RPL9-YFP	Gag-CFP	Merge	ROI
NLS					
Src					
Lifeact					

A.

| MS2-YFP | FISH | GagFP | Merge |

NLS (no Rev)
cyto   nuc
nuclear only

NLS (+ Rev)
nuc
cyto
diffuse & PM punctae

Src (+ Rev)
cyto
nuc
vesicular

Lifeact (+ Rev)
nuc
cyto
F-actin

B.

| MS2-YFP-NLS | Src-MS2-YFP | Lifeact-MS2-YFP |

ex

cyto

lumenal

cyto

ex

cyto

C.

% Particles with phenotype

NLS   Src   Lifeact

☐ Intracellular   ▨ Incomplete   ■ Budding

A.

Lifeact-MS2-YFP

MGVADLIKKFESISKEE MS2 YFP

B.

Release Factor

MS2-YFP: NLS Src Lifeact NLS Src Lifeact

RevInd GagFP | GagFP-MSL-RRE

VLPs — GagFP

Lysates — GagFP

HSP90

1 2 3 4 5 6

Gag:MS2 plasmid ratio = 17:1

C.

0 1 2 3 hrs

Lifeact-MS2-YFP

Ruffles

Gag-FP-MSL-RRE

GagFP at F-actin

A. GagFP-MSL-RRE

B.

Release Factor

MS2-YFP: Free | NLS | Src | Src+NLS | NXF1

VLPs — GagFP
Lysates — GagFP
HSP90

1 2 3 4 5

Gag:MS2 plasmid ratio = 1:1

C.

Release Factor

MS2-YFP: Src
GagFP-MSL-RRE

VLPs — GagFP
Lysates — GagFP
HSP90

1 2 3 4 5 6

Gag:MS2 plasmid ratio = 15:1 7.5:1 5:1

D.

RevInd GagFP

Control | +1ACG | +1ACG-MSL | Control | +1ACG | +1ACG-MSL | GagFP-MSL-RRE | GagFP-MSL-RRE

MS2-YFP: NLS | Src | NLS | Src

VLPs — GagFP
Lysates — GagFP
HSP90

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

E.

MS2-YFP | GagFP | Merge | ROI

NLS

Src

F. GagFP Localization

% Cells with Phenotype

Free | NLS | Src | Src+NLS

☐ Vesicular ☐ Cytoplasmic ■ PM/punctae

G. GagFP Levels

% MFI (Normalized to NLS)

NLS Src

A.

MS2-YFP-<u>NLS</u>   MS2 | YFP PKKKRKV

MS2-YFP (<u>Free</u>)   MS2 | YFP

<u>Src</u>-MS2-YFP   MGSSKSKPKD MS2 | YFP

Src-MS2-YFP-NLS (<u>Src+NLS</u>)   MGSSKSKPKD MS2 | YFP PKKKRKV

MS2-<u>NXF1</u>   MS2 | NXF1

B.

NLS   Free

Src   Src+NLS

C.

WT-MSL

Release Factor

MS2-YFP: Free NLS Src Src+NLS NXF1

| | 1 | 1 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 3 |

VLPs — Pr55 Gag

| 1 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 2 |

Lysates — Pr55 Gag

HSP90

       1   2   3   4   5

Gag:MS2 plasmid ratio = 1:1

D.

WT

Release Factor

MS2-YFP: Free NLS Src Src+NLS NXF1

| | 1 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.9 |

VLPs — Pr55 Gag

| 1 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 1 | 1 |

Lysates — Pr55 Gag

HSP90

       1   2   3   4   5
+saquinavir

Gag:MS2 plasmid ratio = 1:1

E.

WT Control Titration

Release Factor

| | 1000 | 500 | 250 | 125 | 62.5 | 31.25 | ng HIV plasmid |
| | 1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.02 | 0 | 0 | |

Pr55 Gag

| 1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.005 |

Pr55 Gag

HSP90

       1   2   3   4   5   6
+saquinavir

A.

1   15   25 hours   Zoom

Stable RevInd Gag-CFP

mCherry

B. **WT-MSL**

ψ gag   MSL   vif vpu tat rev   ms2-mCherry-NLS   AAAA
                              RRE

C.   WT-MSL   1ACG-MSL   dRRE-MSL

Stable RevInd Gag-CFP

MS2-mCherry

Merge

ROI

D.

% Gag Localization

WT-MSL   1ACG-MSL   dRRE-MSL

☐ Cytoplasmic
■ PM/punctae

E.

% Gag Localization

WT-MSL   1ACG-MSL   dRRE-MSL

☐ Diffuse
☐ Granules
■ PM/punctae

F.

Stable Gag-CFP Release Factor

Empty vector   WT-MSL   1ACG-MSL   dRRE-MSL

VLPs   Stable Gag-CFP
Lysates   Stable Gag-CFP
VLPs   Pr55 Gag
Lysates   Pr55 Gag
            HSP90

1   2   3   4