1

4 **Extrinsic repair of injured dendrites as**

5 **a paradigm for regeneration by fusion**

Meital Oren-Suissa[1,2], Tamar Gattegno[1], Veronika Kravtsov[1], and Benjamin

Podbilewicz[1†]

[1] Department of Biology, Technion- Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000,

Israel

[†] Author for correspondence (podbilew@tx.technion.ac.il)

Additional footnotes:

[2] Present address: Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Columbia University, Department of

Dept. of Biological Sciences, New York, 10027, USA

Highlights

- New system to study dendritic plasticity, regeneration and degeneration

- Following dendrotomy AFF-1 is activated to bypass the lesion via auto-fusion

- Extracellular AFF-1-vesicles derived from the epidermis fuse severed neurons

- EFF-1 prunes injury-induced ectopic sprouting

- Combined activities of the fusogens EFF-1 and AFF-1 regenerate arbors

Abstract

Injury triggers regeneration of axons and dendrites. Research identified factors required for axonal regeneration outside the CNS, but little is known about regeneration triggered by dendrotomy. To determine how neurons regenerate dendrites following injury we study the *C. elegans* PVD polymodal neurons that display an arborized pattern of repetitive menorah-like structures. We injure dendrites by laser microsurgery, follow their fate and show that broken primary dendrites often regenerate via fusion. We describe how PVD dendrites regenerate and present roles for EFF-1 and AFF-1 glycoproteins in fusion and remodeling of menorahs. Menorahs lose self-avoidance and AFF-1 fuses them, bypassing the injury site. Branch sprouting, EFF-1-mediated pruning, and arbor simplification completes regeneration. When auto-fusion fails the distal arbor degenerates. Surprisingly, AFF-1 acts non-cell autonomously to mediate dendrite fusion. We propose that extracellular vesicles derived from the lateral epidermis fuse severed dendrites in a process reminiscent of enveloped virus-mediated cell fusion without infection.

Introduction

Sensory perception relies on networks of neurons that monitor and modify behavior to

assure that animals are able to locate food, sense their environment and avoid

predators or other threats [1]. This perception depends on the integrity and spatial-

coverage of the receptive field [2]. Axonal and dendritic trees play an essential role in

processing and transducing information to ultimately evoke the appropriate response

of the organism. In the central nervous system (CNS) of adult mammals axon

regeneration following injury is limited [3]. Therefore, the regenerative process

following axon severing has been the focus of numerous studies [3-5]. It is believed that

the main reasons why axons fail to regenerate are a reduction in neuronal growth

capacity and inhibitory extrinsic factors. However, the molecular mechanisms of

regeneration are not well understood. Recent studies have suggested that modulation

of intrinsic neuronal activity by mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) and G-

protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) signaling promote axon regeneration [4,6]. In parallel

there is evidence for a molecular pathway for axonal degeneration that affects

regeneration [7]. The molecular mechanisms required for regeneration by regrowth

following axonal injury are actively studied and numerous pathways have been

identified [3-5,8-12]. In contrast to regeneration by regrowth, a different strategy for

axonal regeneration that has been observed in diverse invertebrates is reconnection by

fusion of severed axons [13-18].

The nematode *C. elegans* is a powerful model to study neuronal regeneration

after injury. It has been recently found that injured axons of motor- and

mechanosensory-neurons regrow and in some cases fuse after *in vivo* severing using

laser surgery [15,16,18-20]. Moreover, screens for genes with roles in axon regrowth

identified many genes required for axon regeneration [15,21,22].

Compared to axonal regeneration and degeneration pathways, much less is

known about dendritic regeneration following injury [23-27]. Recent studies have

identified the PVD and FLP neurons as highly branched bilateral neurons in *C.*

elegans, which display a stereotypic arborization pattern composed of repetitive

structural units known as menorahs (**Figures 1A and 1B**)[28-36]. The PVD is highly

polarized with a single axon ventral to the cell body and a complex but stereotyped

dendritic arbors [32,36] making it an ideal system to study different aspects of the

generation, maintenance, regeneration and degeneration of dendritic trees. The PVD

neurons are two polymodal nociceptors, responsible for an avoidance response

generated after harsh mechanical stimuli to the main body or exposure to cold

temperatures [1,37,38]. Animals in which PVD neurons are laser-ablated fail to respond to

harsh touch [37]. Recent studies uncovered the degenerin ion channels DEG/ENaC,

MEC-10 and DEGT-1 that sense harsh-touch, and the TRPA-1 channels that respond

to cold temperatures [34,39]. Moreover, researchers have identified numerous genetic

pathways involved in dendritic arborization and maintenance of the PVD structure

[32,40-46].

The dynamic pathway of PVD arborization revealed an unexpected function of

EFF-1 fusogenic protein in sculpting neuronal trees [32]. EFF-1 mediates epithelial and

muscle cell-to-cell fusion [47-52], auto cell fusion in the digestive tract [53], axonal fusion

following injury [15,17,18,20] and acts in the PVD neurons to trim menorahs [32]. *eff-1*

mutants have hyperbranched disorganized menorahs and EFF-1 cell-autonomous

expression is sufficient to reduce the number of branches and to rescue disorganized

menorahs [32]. EFF-1 controls dendritic plasticity via retraction of excess branches, by

fusing branches and by forming loops that restrict further growth [32]. AFF-1, a paralog

of EFF-1, mediates fusion of the anchor cell to form the utse/hymen, fuses the lateral

epidermal seam cells and merges some embryonic epithelial cells [54]. In addition, AFF-

1 is induced by Notch to auto-fuse a myoepithelial toroid [53], and fuses the excretory

duct cell to form a single-cell tube [55].

Here we studied neuronal plasticity triggered by dendrotomy and determined

the fate of complex PVD arbors following laser surgery of dendrites. We found that

severed primary dendrites grew towards each other and reconnected via branch

fusion. Simultaneously, terminal branches lost self-avoidance and grew towards each

other, meeting and fusing at the tips via an AFF-1-mediated process. Ectopic branch

growth was identified as a step in the regeneration process required for bypassing the

lesion site. Failure of reconnection to the severed dendrites resulted in degeneration of

the distal end of the neuron. We discovered pruning of excess branches via EFF-1 that

acted to recover the original wild-type arborization pattern in a cell-autonomous

process. In contrast, AFF-1 activity during dendritic auto-fusion was derived from the

lateral seam cells and not autonomously from the PVD neuron. We propose a model

in which AFF-1-vesicles derived from the epidermal seam cells fuse neuronal

dendrites from without. Thus, EFF-1 and AFF-1 fusion proteins emerge as new

players in neuronal arborization and maintenance of arbor connectivity following

injury in *C. elegans*. Our results demonstrate that there is a genetically determined

multi-step pathway to repair broken dendrites in which EFF-1 and AFF-1 act on

different steps of the pathway. Intrinsic EFF-1 is essential for dendritic pruning after

injury and extrinsic AFF-1 is transported in vesicular carriers to mediate dendrite

fusion and to bypass injuries.

Results

Dissection of dendritic regeneration in *C. elegans* mechanosensory neurons

The regenerative ability of axons following injury has been previously described in vertebrates and invertebrates [14,56-59]. The morphological and molecular changes that occur following dendritic amputation remain mostly unexplored [23-26,32,60,61]. To study the process of regeneration of the PVD dendrites following amputation we performed dendrotomy of arborized neurites using a femtosecond laser [8,15,16,19]. A successful regeneration was defined as a process in which the severed branch was able to reconnect with its target [62]. Failure to rejoin the two parts of the severed primary dendrite results in degeneration of the distal part and in some cases a complete degeneration without regrowth of the multi-menorah dendritic tree. Temporal analysis of PVD dendrite dynamics following injury revealed several overlapping steps in arbor regeneration (**Figure 1C**). PVD dendrites showed robust regeneration when severed at the L4 stage. We found that severed primary dendrites grew towards each other (**Movies 1 and 2**) and in around 40% of the animals were able to reconnect the distal end to the soma via fusion (**Figure 1D and 1E**).

It was shown that following axotomy of the PLM mechanosensory neuron, reconnection of the axon to the distal branch is dependent upon EFF-1, but not AFF-1 activity [17,18,20]. In contrast, we found that primary dendrotomies in *eff-1* or *aff-1* single null mutants were repaired and regeneration via primary branch fusion occurred (**Figure 1F**). Due to the sub-viability of *eff-1aff-1* double mutants [54], we were unable to test whether there is redundancy between these genes in primary branch fusion. It is conceivable that there is redundancy in the fusion machinery that fixes broken neurites or that an unidentified fusogen is required for postembryonic primary dendrite auto-fusion after microsurgery. Failure to reconnect following dendrotomy

resulted in degeneration of the distal end and took place within 12 hr in about 20% of

the operated animals (**Figure 1G**, arrow, **1H** and **Movie 3**). Thus, following primary

branch microsurgery the two ends grow towards each other, the tips meet, connect

and the integrity of the distal arbors is maintained.

Dendrotomy reveals menorah plasticity and causes loss of self-avoidance

The dendritic architecture of the PVDs is maintained by a contact-dependent self-

avoidance mechanism. The tertiary branches withdraw upon contact of a neighboring

branch, maintaining the menorah architecture [33,42]. To test whether self-avoidance is

maintained after injury, we explored the spatial dynamics of regenerating dendrites.

Two hours after injury, we observed tertiary branches from neighboring menorahs

that contacted each other and extended far from their initial location, resulting in a

structure of overlapping menorahs throughout the neuron (**Figure 1D and 1E**,

bracket). Some of these overlaps extended and occurred between menorahs

originating from both sides of the lesion (**Figure 1-figure supplement 1 brackets**

and Movies 1 and 2). These overlapping structures persisted even 48 hr after the

injury (**Figure 1-figure supplement 1F**). Dendrotomy at earlier stages, such as the L3

stage, showed similar results; animals exhibited loss of avoidance-mechanisms and

branch overlap (data not shown). These results suggest that upon injury the avoidance

mechanisms are lost, making it more likely that a new connection will form to

compensate for the injury.

Menorah-menorah fusion bypasses the severed primary dendrites

We have previously shown that during wild type development PVD and FLP terminal

quaternary branches can auto-fuse with one another to maintain menorah structure

and to limit further growth [32]. To determine whether fusion of terminal dendrites is

part of the regeneration process, we analyzed the overlapping branches after injury

and found that most of the reconnections bypassed the injury site through menorah-

menorah fusion, resulting in giant menorahs (**Figures 2A-2D, and Figure 2- figure**

supplement 1B, brackets). To judge the connectivity of the tertiary branches we

verified that the distal processes do not degenerate, and analyzed GFP-signal

continuity using confocal microscopy and live imaging (**Figure 2D**, **Movies 1, 2 and**

4). In addition we used a photoactivatable Kaede cytoplasmic reporter expressed in

the PVD to demonstrate that the menorahs have fused and therefore have connected to

bypass the lesion site (**Figure 2- figure supplement 2**). We found that in animals

where menorah-menorah fusion took place the distal fragment did not degenerate,

regardless of primary-primary branch reconnection (n=23). Thus, terminal branch

auto-fusion acts as a mechanism to bridge the gap between the PVD soma and the

distal end to maintain connectivity and avoid degeneration.

Sprouting, pruning, and arbor simplification complete regeneration

We observed that the PVD dendrites appeared highly dynamic after injury, showing

ectopic growth of terminal branches. These results suggested that growth is not

restricted to a subset of branches, and can occur throughout the neuron, allowing for

massive regeneration (**Figures 2C and S1**; asterisks). To verify that growth is

stimulated specifically due to the dendrite injury and not because of laser damage, we

examined mock-injured animals for PVD morphology changes. We injured animals

near the PVDs, at the same focal plane, but without hitting any dendrite. PVD mock-

operated animals showed normal growth with no excess sprouting or changes in the

PVD morphology (data not shown). These results demonstrate that dendrite severing

specifically induced terminal branch reconnection by fusion, ectopic sprouting and

regeneration (**Figure 1C**, **Movies 1 and 2**).

Interestingly, some of the distal secondary stems were eliminated following

the reconnection, leaving just one or two secondary stems per giant menorah (**Figures**

2C and Figure 2- figure supplement 1B; arrows). These dendritic rearrangements

persisted even 48 hr after surgery, leading to simplification of the dendritic trees and

leaving mainly giant menorahs. Thus, active elimination of excess branches occurs in

order to recreate a pattern resembling wild-type menorahs. Analysis of time-lapse

movies showed that excess branches were eliminated, and pruning occurred

concomitantly with growth around the injury site (**Figure 2D** and **Movie 4**). Taken

together, the PVD dendrites are able to successfully regenerate following dendrotomy,

inducing dynamic remodeling by branch growth and elimination (**Figure 1C**).

EFF-1 is essential for pruning excess branches after dendrotomy

The central function of EFF-1 in PVD developmental arborization is in quality control

trimming of excess and abnormal branches [32]. To determine whether EFF-1 acts in

simplification following injury we amputated primary dendrites in *eff-1* mutants and

followed the repair process. We found that *eff-1* mutants maintained hyperbranched

and disorganized menorahs and failed to simplify the dendritic tree following injury

(**Figure 2E**). These phenotypes suggest that *eff-1* acts in branch retraction and

simplification induced by severing of the primary branch. We were not able to

determine whether *eff-1* participates in menorah-menorah fusion because the

hyperbranched and severely disorganized arbors prevented us from identifying

menorah fusion and additional ectopic sprouting (**Figure 2F**). In contrast, both uncut

and dendrotomized *eff-1* mutants showed no pruning, demonstrating that *eff-1* is

required for branch simplification following dendrotomy. In addition, cell-

autonomous expression of EFF-1 in the PVD resulted in excess pruning. Thus, EFF-1

acts cell autonomously to simplify excess sprouting following dendrotomy and is

sufficient to trim branches and simplify arbors.

AFF-1 is required to bypass cut dendrites via menorah-menorah fusion

Since the *C. elegans* known fusogens, EFF-1 and AFF-1, are essential and sufficient

to fuse cells in *C. elegans* and heterologous cells in culture [49,50,54,63,64], we

hypothesized that they may be required to regenerate broken neurites by homotypic

fusion.

Because EFF-1 prunes dendrites by branch retraction we decided to determine

a possible role for *aff-1* following dendrotomy, we asked whether menorah-menorah

fusion occurs in *aff-1* injured-mutants. We found that while in *aff-1* mutants dendrite

development was normal (**Figure 3A**), following dendrotomy most of the

reconnections were between the re-growing primary dendrite and its distal fragment,

rather than through menorah fusion as in wild-type animals (**Figure 3D**). This

observation suggests a fusogenic function for AFF-1 in terminal branch fusion. In *aff-*

1 mutant animals we found some exceptions in which some menorahs overlapped, but

we did not observe fusion between menorahs followed by secondary stem

degeneration (**Fig. 3D**). In dendrotomized *aff-1* animals failure to rejoin the dendritic

trees resulted in degeneration of the distal part of the arbor (**Figs. 3A and 3D**). Thus,

while *aff-1* has no apparent role in normal PVD arborization, it is required for

terminal branch fusion following dendrotomy.

AFF-1-mediated membrane fusion of terminal branches emerges as the main

mechanism by which dendritic repair occurs in *C. elegans*. We were not able to detect

aff-1 expression in the PVD neither before nor after dendrotomy. To determine

whether AFF-1 acts extrinsically to the PVD to reconnect dendrites we attempted to

rescue menorah-menorah fusion in *aff-1* mutant using expression of *grd-10p*::AFF-1

in the epidermal seam cells. We found induced recovery of reconnection and reduced

degeneration to wild-type levels (**Figure 3B-3D**). In contrast, we found that PVD

expressing *des-2p*::AFF-1 was not able to rescue the menorah-menorah fusion failure

phenotype (**Figure 3D**). Expression of *dpy-7p::aff-1* from the hypodermis was toxic

in *aff-1* homozygous mutants but improved PVD regeneration in heterozygous *aff-1/+*

animals, suggesting that non-autonomous expression from the surrounding

hypodermis is also sufficient to improve the ability of the PVD to rejoin the branches

by fusion (**Figure 3-figure supplement 1**). The non-cell autonomous activity of AFF-

1 in PVD regeneration by auto-fusion was unexpected since for cell-cell fusion AFF-1

acts homotypically in both fusing plasma membranes [64].

AFF-1-containing extracellular vesicles repair the PVD by fusing with it

To determine AFF-1 expression and localization before and after dendrotomy we

imaged AFF-1::GFP in worms expressing mCherry in the PVD. We could not detect

AFF-1 in the PVD at any stage during development or following dendrotomy. Instead,

AFF-1 is strongly expressed on the plasma membrane, filopodia and internal puncta

in the lateral seam cells. This was expected since the seam cells fuse homotypically

between the L4 and adult molt via AFF-1-mediated fusion [54]. Using structured

illumination microscopy we found extracellular puncta containing AFF-1::GFP that

apparently were derived from the seam cells. (**Figure 4A,** arrowheads). Using live

spinning disk confocal microscopy we found that the vesicles containing AFF-1::GFP

were observed outside the seam cells in control animals that were not dendrotomized

(**Figure 4B, Movies 5, 6 and 9**). Following dendrotomy the AFF-1::GFP signal in the

seam cells was brighter (**Figure 4C**) and there was a five-fold increase in the mobility

and number of extracellular vesicles (**Figure 4D-4F, Movies 7, 8, 10 and 11**). Thus,

taken together our results show that AFF-1 regenerates severed PVD dendrites in a

surprisingly non-cell autonomous way from the seam cells.

Discussion

AFF-1-extracellular vesicles merge injured neurons from without

Cell-cell fusion from within occurs when a fusogenic protein (e.g. a viral fusion

protein following infection) or an endogenous cellular fusion protein (e.g. EFF-1) is

expressed intrinsically in cellular compartments, including the plasma membrane.

Fusion from without occurs when a viral particle fuses target cells without infecting

them [65-67]. Here we discovered an example of fusion from without during neuronal

regeneration. We propose that epidermal seam cells shed extracellular vesicles that

travel 1000 nm or less to reach the PVD severed dendrites and the menorahs. These

vesicles contain AFF-1 and can fuse dendrites from without (**Figure 5**). AFF-1-

containing vesicles derived from the lateral epidermal seam cells mediate fusion of

severed dendrites and menorah auto-fusion to bypass the injury and to maintain

dendritic tree structure and function. Extracellular vesicles (EVs, microvesicles,

exosomes and ectosomes) from different sub-cellular and tissue origins have been

proposed as vehicles for cell-cell communication during normal physiology,

participate in the immune response, control coagulation and promote metastatic

cancer [68]. These extracellular vesicles have been shown to exist in bacteria, archea,

plants, fungi and animals [69-73]. In *C. elegans* EVs derived from ciliated neurons affect

mating behavior and communication between animals [74]. Signaling EVs derived from

the sperm activate oogenesis and ovarian muscle contraction [75]. EVs also participate

in engulfment of dead cells [76] and morphogenesis of the embryo [77].

EVs are probably universal but diverge in size, shape and place of origin.

They contain lipid bilayers, transmembrane proteins and nucleic acids. One of the

characteristics of these EVs is their ability to fuse to target cells and deliver RNAs,

plasmids, toxins and signaling molecules. However, the fusion proteins necessary to

deliver and merge the diverse EVs have not been identified and characterized in any

system. Mammalian cells transfected with *C. elegans* AFF-1 produce extracellular

vesicles that have been biochemically and ultrastructurally characterized [64,78].

Moreover, AFF-1-containing vesicles and pseudotyped particles are able to fuse to

mammalian cells expressing EFF-1 or AFF-1. Thus, AFF-1 can mediate fusion of

extracellular vesicles to cells expressing EFF-1 on the plasma membrane in a tissue

culture system [64,78]. Here we provide the initial evidence for a mechanism that can

fuse EVs to target neuronal cells in vivo. Surprisingly, these EVs can cause auto-

fusion from without mediated by AFF-1 transmembrane fusion protein on their

surface. Moreover, these AFF-1-EVs derived from the *C. elegans* lateral epithelia can

fuse neurons in vivo, thus directly promoting regeneration (**Figure 5**).

Neurodevelopmental genetic stages in dendrite repair after injury

The primary mechanism of PVD dendritic regeneration can be divided into five

stages: (1) reattachment at site of injury, (2) loss of self-avoidance between adjacent

menorahs, (3) menorah-menorah fusion to bypass lesions, (4) sprouting of

compensatory branches and (5) pruning of excess branches (**Figure 1C**).

The interplay between two effector fusogens revealed a genetic pathway that

links membrane remodeling during normal development and following neuronal

injury. Here we focused on two cellular stages: Extrinsic stage (3) AFF-1-mediated

menorah-menorah fusion from without and intrinsic stage (5) EFF-1-mediated

trimming of excess branches from within. (**Figures 1C** and **5**).

AFF-1 merges terminal branches to bypass broken dendrites

Axonal fusion after injury is crucial for reestablishing synaptic contacts, to prevent

degeneration, and for regaining neurological functionality [62]. Although *eff-1* mutants

failed to fuse broken axons [20], *eff-1* mutants succeed to merge injured dendrites. We

tested the two known *C. elegans* fusogens, EFF-1 and AFF-1, as well as the EFF-1

paralog, C26D10.7 ([50,79] and Oren-Suissa and Podbilewicz, unpublished results). We

found that terminal-branch fusion following dendrotomy was significantly reduced in

aff-1 mutants compared to wild-type. However, none of these genes was

independently required for primary dendrite fusion following an injury, suggesting

either redundancy or that yet another *C. elegans* fusogen awaits identification. Based

on these observations, we conclude that *aff-1* is required to heal dendritic wounds,

specifically via menorah-menorah fusion.

Our data support a model in which *aff-1* and *eff-1* expression is highly

regulated in the PVD. Following dendrotomy *eff-1* expression appears to be repressed

allowing ectopic sprouting of terminal branches and loss of self-avoidance

culminating with AFF-1-mediated menorah-menorah fusion via a surprising non-

autonomous mechanism of fusion from without (**Figure 5**).

EFF-1 retracts and simplifies excessive branching following injury

The development of the nervous system includes the formation of many new

branches, yet some of them are later eliminated. This process is termed pruning and is

crucial for the formation of stable connections. [80-85]. In the central and peripheral

nervous systems, axons that are severed from their cell bodies degenerate by the

genetically active process of Wallerian degeneration (WD) [7]. WldS mutant mice

present slow WD and the persistent axon fragments appear to prevent regeneration by

regrowth [7]. In addition, the ubiquitin/proteasome system acts to regulate axon

degeneration in Drosophila, in vitro and in mice [86]. Thus, failure to remove axon

debris following injury may physically block regeneration by regrowth of severed

axons and WD appears to be required for efficient regeneration by regrowth [87].

Developmental pruning occurs in *C. elegans* AIM interneurons, and this process is

regulated by the helix-turn-helix transcription factor MBR-1 [88] and Wnt-Ror kinase

signaling [81]. Here, we demonstrated a novel mechanism of branch pruning following

injury. We have previously shown that significant refinement is required to maintain

the complex web of dendrites in the final state of perfectly sculpted PVD menorahs [32].

This dynamic nature persists following dendrotomy, and many new branches sprout

around the injury site to ensure a successful connection will occur. This burst of

growth is followed by the elimination of excess branches, and the arbor is simplified

to resemble the original tree. This process is normally repressed probably by

transcriptional mechanisms. Following injury and a stimulation of ectopic branching,

eff-1 is activated to simplify the arbors and regenerate a normal structure of menorahs.

These results suggest that there is conservation of the molecular machinery for neurite

refinement, and that the ability to alter existing morphologies is a key component in

the development and survival of nervous systems.

Is auto-fusion an alternative pathway to repair severed neurons?

Fusion of severed axons occurs in invertebrates for example in Aplysia [13], crayfish[14]

and *C. elegans* [15-18] but rarely in vertebrates [6,89]. Why is this the case and how can our

study help us understand this? Invertebrates and vertebrates do have conserved

pathways to regenerate injured branches via regrowth [3,4,9,15,90-92]. However, it appears

that fusion of broken neurites or bypassing the injured site using fusion instead of

rebuilding complex trees is a more energetically economical process. The use of

extracellular vesicles could be a useful strategy to stimulate repair of injured branches

in the CNS of vertebrates that usually cannot regenerate.

Severed neurites can reconnect by suspending self-repulsion mechanisms

We have found that in *C. elegans* PVD, following dendrotomy there is a transient loss

of self-avoidance between the menorahs (tertiary branches) that allows the

reconnection by merging the menorahs and bypassing the site of injury, thus

maintaining the dendritic trees. This is consistent with studies in leech embryos

showing that laser microbeam severing of neurites of mechanosensory neurons result

in that the detached branch stopped being avoided by the rest of the cell. This is

consistent with a mechanism that controls self-avoidance and that requires physical

continuity between the neurites [93]. In *C. elegans* this mechanism appears to involve

netrins [42]. In contrast, in zebrafish detached fragments continue to repel the parent

arbor [87]. Thus in zebrafish and probably in other vertebrates it is required to have a

WD-like mechanism to remove fragments of sensory neurites before the process of

regrowth can occur. It would be useful to find ways to induce merging of the severed

neurites as occurs in some invertebrates.

Spinal cord injuries, experimental axotomies, surgical accidents, and diverse

forms of neurodegeneration are all conditions that currently cannot be generally

repaired [3,6,58,59,94]. Unveiling the mechanism of intrinsic *eff-1*-mediated dendritic

simplification and extrinsic *aff-1*-mediated neuronal auto-fusion from without may

pave the way for overcoming neurodegenerative diseases or injuries. The dynamic

dendritic plasticity of the PVD neurons and the power of genetics in *C. elegans* along

with its amenability for RNAi-based analysis and genome editing will uncover

genetic pathways that will enable the study of additional mechanisms involved in

PVD arborization during development and following injuries. In *C. elegans* AFF-1-

containing vesicles derived from epithelia appear to fuse dendrites emerging as a

potential effector that could repair broken neurons in heterologous systems.

Acknowledgements

We thank A. Fire for vectors, M. Krause for *myo-2::gfp,* the *C. elegans* knockout

consortium for the *eff-1(ok1021)* deletion allele, M. Heiman and C. Yip for CHB392,

C. Smith and D. Miller for NC1841. We also thank A. Sapir, B. Gildor, and J. Grimm

for critically reading the manuscript, and E. Oren for MATLAB advice. Supported by

grants from Israel Science Foundation, grants 826/08, 443/12 and BIKURA grant

1542/07, and the European Research Council advanced grant ELEGANSFUSION

268843 to B. P. Some strains were provided by the CGC, which is funded by NIH

Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440).

Materials and Methods

Strains and transgenic animals

All nematode strains were maintained according to standard protocols [95,96]. In addition

to the wild-type strain N2, the following mutations, transgenes and strains were used:

BP601 *aff-1(tm2214)/mIn1[dpy-10(e128) mIs14] II* [54], MF190 *hmIs4[DES-2::GFP,*

pRF4], BP328 *eff-1(ok1021) II; hmIs4,* BP450 *hyEx30[myo-2::gfp, DES-2::GFP,*

KS], BP431 *eff-1(hy21) II; hmIs4* [32], NC1841 (*wdIs52, F49H12.4::gfp*; *rwIs1,* *pmec-*

*7::*RFP) [33], CHB392 *[hmnEx133(ser-2prom3::kaede)],* kindly provided by Candice

Yip and Max Heiman [97]. Germline transformation was performed using standard

protocols [98]. The KS bluescript plasmid was used as carrier DNA. Transgenic lines

include: BP709 *[hmnIs133 (ser-2prom3::kaede)], BP1014 aff-1/mln1;*

dzIs53[pF49H12.4::mCherry]Is was created by crossing *aff-1/ mln1*with

pF49H12.4::mCherry (kindly provided by Salzburg Y.). BP1015 *aff-1/mln1;*

hmnIs133[ser-2prom3::kaede]; hyEx66 [KS , pCFJ90 (myo-2::mcherry), pME4(des-

2::AFF-1)]. BP1017 *aff-1/mln1; hmnIs133[ser-2prom3::kaede]; hyEx350[KS*

,pCFJ90 myo-2::mcherry, pTG5 dpy-7p::aff-1], BP1052 *aff-1/mln1; hmnIs133[ser-*

2prom3::kaede]; hyEx355[KS, pCFJ90 myo-2::mcherry, pTG4 grd-10p::aff-1],

BP1055 *dzIs53[F49H12.4p::mCherry]; hyEx66[pRF4, AFF-1fosmid::GFP, KS]*,

BP1056 *dzIs53[F49H12.4p::mCherry]; hyEx68[pRF4, AFF-1 fosmid::GFP, KS]*.

Molecular Biology

We used RF cloning to insert the *grd-10* promoter upstream to the *aff-1* gene [99], and

Gateway cloning [100] to clone *aff-1* into a plasmid containing the *dpy-7* promoter

fragment (pDest Dpy7 and pDONR™221). Phusion Hot Start II High-Fidelity DNA

polymerase (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA) was used to facilitate the cloning

process.

Confocal microscopy and live imaging *of C. elegans*

Nematodes were mounted on 3% agar pads mixed with 10 mM NaN$_3$ in M9 buffer.

For time-lapse analysis, worms were anesthetized with 0.1% tricaine and 0.01%

tetramisol in M9 solution [101-103]. Animals were analyzed by Nomarski optics and

fluorescence microscopy, using Zeiss LSM 510 META confocal, the Zeiss LSM 700

confocal or Nikon eclipse Ti inverted microscope equipped with Yokogawa CSU-X1

spinning disk (Yokogawa, Tokyo, Japan) and a sCMOS (Andor, Belfast, UK) camera.

Z-stacks were taken with PlanApochromat 60x oil NA=1.4 objective using the SDC

or 63x NA=1.4 objective using the LSM. When using the sCMOS (Andor) camera z-

stacks were taken with ~0.35 μm z-step. When the LSM 510 meta was used, z-step

was ~0.8 μm. Image acquisition was done using Andor iQ or Metamorph software

(Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) when using the spinning disk confocal (SDC),

and Zen software when using the LSM 510 meta microscope or Zeiss LSM 700.

Multidimensional data was reconstructed as projections using the ImageJ and

Metamorph softwares. Figures were prepared using ImageJ, Adobe Photoshop CS5

and Adobe Illustrator CS6.

Quantifying PVD branching phenotypes and statistics

Quantification was done as previously described [32]. Using confocal microscopy, at

least five sequential z-series pictures were taken from each worm. Each z-section was

analyzed separately. The results from each worm were normalized to a longitudinal

length of 100 μm in all relevant experiments. Significant differences between mutants

and wild-type were determined by the two-tailed unpaired t-test, the nonparametric

Mann-Whitney test or Fischer's exact test. For each group we observed >20 additional

animals that were not recorded by z series on the confocal microscope and that

showed similar phenotypes.

Laser surgery

Microsurgery was done using the LSM 510 META and a tunable multiphoton

Chameleon Ultra Ti-Sapphire laser system (Coherent, Santa Clara, CA), that produces

200-fs short pulses with a repetition rate of 113 MHz and 5 nJ energy at a wavelength

of 820 nm. 0.5-2 μm^2 selected rectangular ROIs of GFP-labeled PVD neurons were

cut and successful surgery was confirmed by visualizing targets immediately after

exposure. We evaluated the ability of severed neurons to reconnect by analyzing z-

stack images of GFP-labeled branches. Dendrotomy was performed on the primary

longitudinal process, and the morphological changes were followed for 2 to 72 hours

after the surgery. Imaging before and after surgery was done as described above,

using the 488 nm line of the Argon laser of the LSM microscope or using the spinning

disk confocal system. After surgery, animals were recovered to an agar plate and

remounted 5-72 hours after surgery. Recovered worms were analyzed for

regeneration, fusion between processes, and ectopic sprouting. At least 10 individuals

were observed for each experiment. For all worms the primary dendrite was injured

anterior to cell body. Animals were imaged and a z-stack was collected immediately

after injury to confirm a successful injury.

Photoactivation using Kaede

In order to verify that dendrites fuse as response to injury we used the

photoconvertible protein Kaede driven by a PVD specific promoter *ser-2prom3* [97]. A

PVD primary dendrite of *ser-2prom3::Kaede* expressing animals was dendrotomized,

animals were recovered for 23 hours and the dendrite reconnection to its stump was

assessed by Kaede photoconversion (Kravtsov et al., in revision). The green Kaede

form in the PVD cell body was irreversibly photoconverted to the red Kaede form

using a 405 nm laser with the Mosaic system (Andor) on the Nikon eclipse Ti

inverted microscope. Following photoconversion of the Kaede in the cell body we

followed spreading to the dendritic branches for 1 and 60 min post photoconversion.

Red Kaede form, though diluted while spreading through the dendritic tree, can be

observed beyond the reconnection site of injury in the distal part of the primary and

higher ordered dendritic branches. When the dendrites fail to reconnect or

immediately after dendrotomy the photoconverted Kaede did not cross the site of

injury, revealing that spreading of red Kaede is a reliable tool to confirm rejoining of

severed dendrites.

References

1   Goodman, M. B. Sensation is painless. *Trends Neurosci* **26**, 643-645 (2003).
2   Hall, D. H. & Treinin, M. How does morphology relate to function in sensory
arbors? *Trends Neurosci* **34**, 443-451, doi:S0166-2236(11)00108-1
[pii]10.1016/j.tins.2011.07.004 (2011).

3   Ruschel, J., Hellal, F., Flynn, K. C., Dupraz, S., Elliott, D. A., Tedeschi, A.,
Bates, M., Sliwinski, C., Brook, G., Dobrindt, K., Peitz, M., Brustle, O.,
Norenberg, M. D., Blesch, A., Weidner, N., Bunge, M. B., Bixby, J. L. &
Bradke, F. Axonal regeneration. Systemic administration of epothilone B
promotes axon regeneration after spinal cord injury. *Science* **348**, 347-352,
doi:10.1126/science.aaa2958 (2015).
4   Park, K. K., Liu, K., Hu, Y., Smith, P. D., Wang, C., Cai, B., Xu, B.,
Connolly, L., Kramvis, I., Sahin, M. & He, Z. Promoting axon regeneration in
the adult CNS by modulation of the PTEN/mTOR pathway. *Science* **322**, 963-
966 (2008).
5   Taylor, A. M., Blurton-Jones, M., Rhee, S. W., Cribbs, D. H., Cotman, C. W.
& Jeon, N. L. A microfluidic culture platform for CNS axonal injury,
regeneration and transport. *Nat Methods* **2**, 599-605, doi:nmeth777
[pii]10.1038/nmeth777 (2005).
6   Li, S., Yang, C., Zhang, L., Gao, X., Wang, X., Liu, W., Wang, Y., Jiang, S.,
Wong, Y. H., Zhang, Y. & Liu, K. Promoting axon regeneration in the adult
CNS by modulation of the melanopsin/GPCR signaling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U*
S A **113**, 1937-1942, doi:10.1073/pnas.1523645113 (2016).
7   Coleman, M. P. & Freeman, M. R. Wallerian degeneration, wld(s), and nmnat.
Annual review of neuroscience **33**, 245-267, doi:10.1146/annurev-neuro-
060909-153248 (2010).
8   Wu, Z., Ghosh-Roy, A., Yanik, M. F., Zhang, J. Z., Jin, Y. & Chisholm, A. D.
Caenorhabditis elegans neuronal regeneration is influenced by life stage,
ephrin signaling, and synaptic branching. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **104**,
15132-15137 (2007).
9   Yan, D., Wu, Z., Chisholm, A. D. & Jin, Y. The DLK-1 kinase promotes
mRNA stability and local translation in C. elegans synapses and axon
regeneration. *Cell* **138**, 1005-1018, doi:S0092-8674(09)00723-5
[pii]10.1016/j.cell.2009.06.023 (2009).
10   Hammarlund, M. & Jin, Y. Axon regeneration in C. elegans. *Curr Opin*
Neurobiol **27**, 199-207, doi:10.1016/j.conb.2014.04.001 (2014).
11   Edwards, T. J. & Hammarlund, M. Syndecan promotes axon regeneration by
stabilizing growth cone migration. *Cell reports* **8**, 272-283,
doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2014.06.008 (2014).
12   Hammarlund, M., Nix, P., Hauth, L., Jorgensen, E. M. & Bastiani, M. Axon
regeneration requires a conserved MAP kinase pathway. *Science* **323**, 802-
806, doi:10.1126/science.1165527 (2009).
13   Bedi, S. S. & Glanzman, D. L. Axonal rejoining inhibits injury-induced long-
term changes in Aplysia sensory neurons in vitro. *J Neurosci* **21**, 9667-9677
(2001).
14   Hoy, R. R., Bittner, G. D. & Kennedy, D. Regeneration in crustacean
motoneurons: evidence for axonal fusion. *Science* **156**, 251-252 (1967).
15   Ghosh-Roy, A. & Chisholm, A. D. Caenorhabditis elegans: a new model
organism for studies of axon regeneration. *Dev Dyn* **239**, 1460-1464,
doi:10.1002/dvdy.22253 (2010).
16   Yanik, M. F., Cinar, H., Cinar, H. N., Chisholm, A. D., Jin, Y. & Ben-Yakar,
A. Neurosurgery: functional regeneration after laser axotomy. *Nature* **432**, 822
(2004).
17   Neumann, B., Coakley, S., Giordano-Santini, R., Linton, C., Lee, E. S.,
Nakagawa, A., Xue, D. & Hilliard, M. A. EFF-1-mediated regenerative axonal

543		fusion requires components of the apoptotic pathway. *Nature* **517**, 219-222
544		(2015).
545	18	Neumann, B., Nguyen, K. C., Hall, D. H., Ben-Yakar, A. & Hilliard, M. A.
546		Axonal regeneration proceeds through specific axonal fusion in transected C.
547		elegans neurons. *Dev Dyn* **240**, 1365-1372, doi:10.1002/dvdy.22606 (2011).
548	19	Bourgeois, F. & Ben-Yakar, A. Femtosecond laser nanoaxotomy properties
549		and their effect on axonal recovery in C. elegans. *Opt Express* **15**, 8521-8531
550		(2007).
551	20	Ghosh-Roy, A., Wu, Z., Goncharov, A., Jin, Y. & Chisholm, A. D. Calcium
552		and cyclic AMP promote axonal regeneration in Caenorhabditis elegans and
553		require DLK-1 kinase. *J Neurosci* **30**, 3175-3183, doi:30/9/3175
554		[pii]10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5464-09.2010 (2010).
555	21	Nix, P., Hammarlund, M., Hauth, L., Lachnit, M., Jorgensen, E. M. &
556		Bastiani, M. Axon regeneration genes identified by RNAi screening in C.
557		elegans. *J Neurosci* **34**, 629-645, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3859-13.2014
558		(2014).
559	22	Gabel, C. V., Antoine, F., Chuang, C. F., Samuel, A. D. & Chang, C. Distinct
560		cellular and molecular mechanisms mediate initial axon development and
561		adult-stage axon regeneration in C. elegans. *Development* **135**, 1129-1136
562		(2008).
563	23	Standler, N. A. & Bernstein, J. J. Degeneration and regeneration of
564		motoneuron dendrites after ventral root crush: computer reconstruction of
565		dendritic fields. *Experimental neurology* **75**, 600-615 (1982).
566	24	Song, Y., Ori-McKenney, K. M., Zheng, Y., Han, C., Jan, L. Y. & Jan, Y. N.
567		Regeneration of Drosophila sensory neuron axons and dendrites is regulated
568		by the Akt pathway involving Pten and microRNA bantam. *Genes Dev* **26**,
569		1612-1625, doi:10.1101/gad.193243.112 (2012).
570	25	Stone, M. C., Albertson, R. M., Chen, L. & Rolls, M. M. Dendrite injury
571		triggers DLK-independent regeneration. *Cell reports* **6**, 247-253,
572		doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2013.12.022 (2014).
573	26	Stone, M. C., Nguyen, M. M., Tao, J., Allender, D. L. & Rolls, M. M. Global
574		up-regulation of microtubule dynamics and polarity reversal during
575		regeneration of an axon from a dendrite. *Mol Biol Cell* **21**, 767-777,
576		doi:10.1091/mbc.E09-11-0967 (2010).
577	27	Hall, G. F. & Cohen, M. J. Dendritic amputation redistributes sprouting
578		evoked by axotomy in lamprey central neurons. *J Neurosci* **8**, 3598-3606
579		(1988).
580	28	White, J. G., Southgate, E., Thomson, J. N. & Brenner, S. The structure of the
581		nervous system of Caenorhabditis elegans. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B*
582		*Biol. Sci* **314**, 1-340 (1986).
583	29	Halevi, S., McKay, J., Palfreyman, M., Yassin, L., Eshel, M., Jorgensen, E. &
584		Treinin, M. The C. elegans ric-3 gene is required for maturation of nicotinic
585		acetylcholine receptors. *Embo J* **21**, 1012-1020 (2002).
586	30	Tsalik, E. L., Niacaris, T., Wenick, A. S., Pau, K., Avery, L. & Hobert, O.
587		LIM homeobox gene-dependent expression of biogenic amine receptors in
588		restricted regions of the C. elegans nervous system. *Dev Biol* **263**, 81-102
589		(2003).
590	31	Yassin, L., Gillo, B., Kahan, T., Halevi, S., Eshel, M. & Treinin, M.
591		Characterization of the deg-3/des-2 receptor: a nicotinic acetylcholine receptor

592		that mutates to cause neuronal degeneration. *Mol Cell Neurosci* **17**, 589-599
593		(2001).
594	32	Oren-Suissa, M., Hall, D., Treinin, M., Shemer, G. & Podbilewicz, B. The
595		Fusogen EFF-1 Controls Sculpting of Mechanosensory Dendrites. *Science*
596		**328**, 1285-1288, doi:10.1126/science.1189095 (2010).
597	33	Smith, C. J., Watson, J. D., Spencer, W. C., O'Brien, T., Cha, B., Albeg, A.,
598		Treinin, M. & Miller, D. M., 3rd. Time-lapse imaging and cell-specific
599		expression profiling reveal dynamic branching and molecular determinants of
600		a multi-dendritic nociceptor in C. elegans. *Dev Biol* **345**, 18-33, doi:S0012-
601		1606(10)00805-5 [pii]10.1016/j.ydbio.2010.05.502 (2010).
602	34	Albeg, A., Smith, C., Chatzigeorgiou, M., Feitelson, D. G., Hall, D. H.,
603		Schafer, W. R., Miller, D. M., 3rd & Treinin, M. C. elegans multi-dendritic
604		sensory neurons: Morphology and function. *Mol Cell Neurosci* **46**, 308-317,
605		doi:S1044-7431(10)00246-0 [pii]10.1016/j.mcn.2010.10.001 (2011).
606	35	Pujadas, L., Gruart, A., Bosch, C., Delgado, L., Teixeira, C. M., Rossi, D., de
607		Lecea, L., Martinez, A., Delgado-Garcia, J. M. & Soriano, E. Reelin regulates
608		postnatal neurogenesis and enhances spine hypertrophy and long-term
609		potentiation. *J Neurosci* **30**, 4636-4649, doi:30/13/4636
610		[pii]10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5284-09.2010 (2010).
611	36	Maniar, T. A., Kaplan, M., Wang, G. J., Shen, K., Wei, L., Shaw, J. E.,
612		Koushika, S. P. & Bargmann, C. I. UNC-33 (CRMP) and ankyrin organize
613		microtubules and localize kinesin to polarize axon-dendrite sorting. *Nat*
614		*Neurosci* **15**, 48-56, doi:10.1038/nn.2970 (2012).
615	37	Way, J. C. & Chalfie, M. The mec-3 gene of Caenorhabditis elegans requires
616		its own product for maintained expression and is expressed in three neuronal
617		cell types. *Genes Dev* **3**, 1823-1833 (1989).
618	38	Chatzigeorgiou, M., Yoo, S., Watson, J. D., Lee, W. H., Spencer, W. C.,
619		Kindt, K. S., Hwang, S. W., Miller, D. M., 3rd, Treinin, M., Driscoll, M. &
620		Schafer, W. R. Specific roles for DEG/ENaC and TRP channels in touch and
621		thermosensation in C. elegans nociceptors. *Nature neuroscience* **13**, 861-868,
622		doi:10.1038/nn.2581 (2010).
623	39	Chatzigeorgiou, M. & Schafer, W. R. Lateral facilitation between primary
624		mechanosensory neurons controls nose touch perception in C. elegans. *Neuron*
625		**70**, 299-309, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2011.02.046 (2011).
626	40	Liang, X., Dong, X., Moerman, D. G., Shen, K. & Wang, X. Sarcomeres
627		Pattern Proprioceptive Sensory Dendritic Endings through UNC-52/Perlecan
628		in C. elegans. *Dev Cell* **33**, 388-400, doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2015.03.010 (2015).
629	41	Dong, X., Liu, O. W., Howell, A. S. & Shen, K. An extracellular adhesion
630		molecule complex patterns dendritic branching and morphogenesis. *Cell* **155**,
631		296-307, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.08.059 (2013).
632	42	Smith, C. J., Watson, J. D., VanHoven, M. K., Colon-Ramos, D. A. & Miller,
633		D. M., 3rd. Netrin (UNC-6) mediates dendritic self-avoidance. *Nat Neurosci*
634		**15**, 731-737, doi:10.1038/nn.3065 (2012).
635	43	Aguirre-Chen, C., Bulow, H. E. & Kaprielian, Z. C. elegans bicd-1, homolog
636		of the Drosophila dynein accessory factor Bicaudal D, regulates the branching
637		of PVD sensory neuron dendrites. *Development* **138**, 507-518,
638		doi:10.1242/dev.060939 (2011).
639	44	Salzberg, Y., Diaz-Balzac, C. A., Ramirez-Suarez, N. J., Attreed, M., Tecle,
640		E., Desbois, M., Kaprielian, Z. & Bulow, H. E. Skin-Derived Cues Control

641		Arborization of Sensory Dendrites in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Cell* **155**, 308-
642		320, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.08.058 (2013).
643	45	Taylor, C. A., Yan, J., Howell, A. S., Dong, X. & Shen, K. RAB-10 Regulates
644		Dendritic Branching by Balancing Dendritic Transport. *PLoS Genet* **11**,
645		e1005695, doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005695 (2015).
646	46	Dong, X., Chiu, H., Park, Y. J., Zou, W., Zou, Y., Ozkan, E., Chang, C. &
647		Shen, K. Precise regulation of the guidance receptor DMA-1 by KPC-1/Furin
648		instructs dendritic branching decisions. *eLife* **5**, doi:10.7554/eLife.11008
649		(2016).
650	47	Smurova, K. & Podbilewicz, B. RAB-5- and DYNAMIN-1-Mediated
651		Endocytosis of EFF-1 Fusogen Controls Cell-Cell Fusion. *Cell reports* **14**,
652		1517-1527, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2016.01.027 (2016).
653	48	Podbilewicz, B. Virus and Cell Fusion Mechanisms. *Annual Review of Cell*
654		*and Developmental Biology* **30**, 111-139 (2014).
655	49	Shemer, G., Suissa, M., Kolotuev, I., Nguyen, K. C. Q., Hall, D. H. &
656		Podbilewicz, B. EFF-1 is sufficient to initiate and execute tissue-specific cell
657		fusion in *C. elegans. Curr Biol* **14**, 1587-1591 (2004).
658	50	Mohler, W. A., Shemer, G., del Campo, J., Valansi, C., Opoku-Serebuoh, E.,
659		Scranton, V., Assaf, N., White, J. G. & Podbilewicz, B. The type I membrane
660		protein EFF-1 is essential for developmental cell fusion in *C. elegans. Dev*
661		*Cell* **2**, 355-362 (2002).
662	51	Shinn-Thomas, J. H., Del Campo, J. J., Wang, J. & Mohler, W. A. The EFF-
663		1A Cytoplasmic Domain Influences Hypodermal Cell Fusions in C. elegans
664		But Is Not Dependent on 14-3-3 Proteins. *PLoS One* **11**, e0146874,
665		doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0146874 (2016).
666	52	Shinn-Thomas, J. H. & Mohler, W. A. New insights into the mechanisms and
667		roles of cell-cell fusion. *Int Rev Cell Mol Biol* **289**, 149-209,
668		doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-386039-2.00005-5 (2011).
669	53	Rasmussen, J. P., English, K., Tenlen, J. R. & Priess, J. R. Notch signaling and
670		morphogenesis of single-cell tubes in the C. elegans digestive tract. *Dev Cell*
671		**14**, 559-569 (2008).
672	54	Sapir, A., Choi, J., Leikina, E., Avinoam, O., Valansi, C., Chernomordik, L.
673		V., Newman, A. P. & Podbilewicz, B. AFF-1, a FOS-1-Regulated Fusogen,
674		Mediates Fusion of the Anchor Cell in C. elegans. *Dev Cell* **12**, 683-698
675		(2007).
676	55	Stone, C. E., Hall, D. H. & Sundaram, M. V. Lipocalin signaling controls
677		unicellular tube development in the Caenorhabditis elegans excretory system.
678		*Dev Biol* **329**, 201-211, doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2009.02.030 (2009).
679	56	Bradbury, E. J., Moon, L. D., Popat, R. J., King, V. R., Bennett, G. S., Patel,
680		P. N., Fawcett, J. W. & McMahon, S. B. Chondroitinase ABC promotes
681		functional recovery after spinal cord injury. *Nature* **416**, 636-640 (2002).
682	57	Cajal, S. R. *Histología del sistema nervioso del hombre y de los vertebrados*.
683		2007 edn, Vol. 1 (Ministerio de Sanidad y Consumo, 1899).
684	58	Fernandez-Gonzalez, A., La Spada, A. R., Treadaway, J., Higdon, J. C.,
685		Harris, B. S., Sidman, R. L., Morgan, J. I. & Zuo, J. Purkinje cell degeneration
686		(pcd) phenotypes caused by mutations in the axotomy-induced gene, Nna1.
687		*Science* **295**, 1904-1906 (2002).
688	59	Devor, M. Neuroplasticity in the rearrangement of olfactory tract fibers after
689		neonatal transection in hamsters. *The Journal of comparative neurology* **166**,
690		49-72 (1976).

60 Oren-Suissa, M. & Podbilewicz, B. Evolution of programmed cell fusion:
Common mechanisms and distinct functions. *Developmental Dynamics* **239**,
1515-1528, doi:10.1002/dvdy.22284 (2010).
61 Nawabi, H., Zukor, K. & He, Z. No simpler than mammals: axon and dendrite
regeneration in Drosophila. *Genes Dev* **26**, 1509-1514,
doi:10.1101/gad.198150.112 (2012).
62 Hilliard, M. A. Axonal degeneration and regeneration: a mechanistic tug-of-
war. *J Neurochem* **108**, 23-32, doi:10.1111/j.1471-4159.2008.05754.x (2009).
63 Podbilewicz, B., Leikina, E., Sapir, A., Valansi, C., Suissa, M., Shemer, G. &
Chernomordik, L. V. The C. elegans developmental fusogen EFF-1 mediates
homotypic fusion in heterologous cells and in vivo. *Dev Cell* **11**, 471-481
(2006).
64 Avinoam, O., Fridman, K., Valansi, C., Abutbul, I., Zeev-Ben-Mordehai, T.,
Maurer, U. E., Sapir, A., Danino, D., Gruenewald, K., White, J. M. &
Podbilewicz, B. Conserved Eukaryotic Fusogens Can Fuse Viral Envelopes to
Cells. *Science* **332**, 589-592, doi:10.1126/science.1202333 (2011).
65 Clavel, F. & Charneau, P. Fusion from without directed by human
immunodeficiency virus particles. *J Virol* **68**, 1179-1185 (1994).
66 Bratt, M. A. & Gallaher, W. R. Preliminary analysis of the requirements for
fusion from within and fusion from without by Newcastle disease virus. *Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A* **64**, 536-543 (1969).
67 White, J., Matlin, K. & Helenius, A. Cell fusion by Semliki Forest, influenza,
and vesicular stomatitis viruses. *J Cell Biol* **89**, 674-679 (1981).
68 Tkach, M. & Thery, C. Communication by Extracellular Vesicles: Where We
Are and Where We Need to Go. *Cell* **164**, 1226-1232,
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.01.043 (2016).
69 Miyado, K., Yoshida, K., Yamagata, K., Sakakibara, K., Okabe, M., Wang,
X., Miyamoto, K., Akutsu, H., Kondo, T., Takahashi, Y., Ban, T., Ito, C.,
Toshimori, K., Nakamura, A., Ito, M., Miyado, M., Mekada, E. & Umezawa,
A. The fusing ability of sperm is bestowed by CD9-containing vesicles
released from eggs in mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **105**, 12921-12926
(2008).
70 Kwon, S. H., Liu, K. D. & Mostov, K. E. Intercellular Transfer of GPRC5B
via Exosomes Drives HGF-Mediated Outward Growth. *Curr Biol* **24**, 199-204,
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2013.12.010 (2014).
71 Liegeois, S., Benedetto, A., Garnier, J. M., Schwab, Y. & Labouesse, M. The
V0-ATPase mediates apical secretion of exosomes containing Hedgehog-
related proteins in Caenorhabditis elegans. *J Cell Biol* **173**, 949-961,
doi:10.1083/jcb.200511072 (2006).
72 Beveridge, T. J. Structures of gram-negative cell walls and their derived
membrane vesicles. *J Bacteriol* **181**, 4725-4733 (1999).
73 Hyenne, V., Apaydin, A., Rodriguez, D., Spiegelhalter, C., Hoff-Yoessle, S.,
Diem, M., Tak, S., Lefebvre, O., Schwab, Y., Goetz, J. G. & Labouesse, M.
RAL-1 controls multivesicular body biogenesis and exosome secretion. *J Cell
Biol* **211**, 27-37, doi:10.1083/jcb.201504136 (2015).
74 Wang, J., Silva, M., Haas, L. A., Morsci, N. S., Nguyen, K. C., Hall, D. H. &
Barr, M. M. C. elegans ciliated sensory neurons release extracellular vesicles
that function in animal communication. *Curr Biol* **24**, 519-525,
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.01.002 (2014).

75 Kosinski, M., McDonald, K., Schwartz, J., Yamamoto, I. & Greenstein, D. C.
elegans sperm bud vesicles to deliver a meiotic maturation signal to distant
oocytes. *Development* **132**, 3357-3369, doi:10.1242/dev.01916 (2005).
76 Mapes, J., Chen, Y. Z., Kim, A., Mitani, S., Kang, B. H. & Xue, D. CED-1,
CED-7, and TTR-52 regulate surface phosphatidylserine expression on
apoptotic and phagocytic cells. *Curr Biol* **22**, 1267-1275,
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2012.05.052 (2012).
77 Wehman, A. M., Poggioli, C., Schweinsberg, P., Grant, B. D. & Nance, J. The
P4-ATPase TAT-5 inhibits the budding of extracellular vesicles in C. elegans
embryos. *Curr Biol* **21**, 1951-1959, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2011.10.040 (2011).
78 Fridman, K. *Ultrastructure and function of AFF-1 and EFF-1 in membrane*
remodeling MSc thesis, Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, (2012).
79 Avinoam, O. & Podbilewicz, B. Eukaryotic cell-cell fusion families. *Curr Top*
Membr **68**, 209-234, doi:B978-0-12-385891-7.00009-X [pii]10.1016/B978-0-
12-385891-7.00009-X (2011).
80 Jorgensen, E. M. & Rankin, C. in *C. elegans II*   (eds D. L. Riddle, T.
Blumenthal, B. J. Meyer, & J. R. Priess) (1997).
81 Hayashi, Y., Hirotsu, T., Iwata, R., Kage-Nakadai, E., Kunitomo, H., Ishihara,
T., Iino, Y. & Kubo, T. A trophic role for Wnt-Ror kinase signaling during
developmental pruning in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nat Neurosci* **12**, 981-987,
doi:10.1038/nn.2347 (2009).
82 Tessier, C. R. & Broadie, K. Drosophila fragile X mental retardation protein
developmentally regulates activity-dependent axon pruning. *Development* **135**,
1547-1557 (2008).
83 Singh, K. K., Park, K. J., Hong, E. J., Kramer, B. M., Greenberg, M. E.,
Kaplan, D. R. & Miller, F. D. Developmental axon pruning mediated by
BDNF-p75NTR-dependent axon degeneration. *Nat Neurosci* **11**, 649-658
(2008).
84 Schuldiner, O., Berdnik, D., Levy, J. M., Wu, J. S., Luginbuhl, D., Gontang,
A. C. & Luo, L. piggyBac-based mosaic screen identifies a postmitotic
function for cohesin in regulating developmental axon pruning. *Dev Cell* **14**,
227-238 (2008).
85 Helton, T. D., Otsuka, T., Lee, M. C., Mu, Y. & Ehlers, M. D. Pruning and
loss of excitatory synapses by the parkin ubiquitin ligase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
U S A **105**, 19492-19497 (2008).
86 Zhai, Q., Wang, J., Kim, A., Liu, Q., Watts, R., Hoopfer, E., Mitchison, T.,
Luo, L. & He, Z. Involvement of the ubiquitin-proteasome system in the early
stages of wallerian degeneration. *Neuron* **39**, 217-225 (2003).
87 Martin, S. M., O'Brien, G. S., Portera-Cailliau, C. & Sagasti, A. Wallerian
degeneration of zebrafish trigeminal axons in the skin is required for
regeneration and developmental pruning. *Development* **137**, 3985-3994,
doi:10.1242/dev.053611 (2010).
88 Kage, E., Hayashi, Y., Takeuchi, H., Hirotsu, T., Kunitomo, H., Inoue, T.,
Arai, H., Iino, Y. & Kubo, T. MBR-1, a novel helix-turn-helix transcription
factor, is required for pruning excessive neurites in Caenorhabditis elegans.
Curr Biol **15**, 1554-1559, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2005.07.057 (2005).
89 Paltsyn, A., Komissarova, S., Dubrovin, I. & Kubatiev, A. Increased cell
fusion in cerebral cortex may contribute to poststroke regeneration. *Stroke*
research and treatment **2013**, 869327, doi:10.1155/2013/869327 (2013).

90   Yaniv, S. P., Issman-Zecharya, N., Oren-Suissa, M., Podbilewicz, B. &
Schuldiner, O. Axon Regrowth during Development and Regeneration
Following Injury Share Molecular Mechanisms. *Curr Biol* **22**, 1774-1782,
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2012.07.044 (2012).

91   Bradke, F., Fawcett, J. W. & Spira, M. E. Assembly of a new growth cone
after axotomy: the precursor to axon regeneration. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **13**, 183-
193, doi:10.1038/nrn3176 (2012).

92   Mar, F. M., Bonni, A. & Sousa, M. M. Cell intrinsic control of axon
regeneration. *EMBO reports* **15**, 254-263, doi:10.1002/embr.201337723
(2014).

93   Wang, H. & Macagno, E. R. A detached branch stops being recognized as self
by other branches of a neuron. *Journal of neurobiology* **35**, 53-64 (1998).

94   Moritz, C. T., Perlmutter, S. I. & Fetz, E. E. Direct control of paralysed
muscles by cortical neurons. *Nature* **456**, 639-642 (2008).

95   Brenner, S. The genetics of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* **77**, 71-94
(1974).

96   Sulston, J. & Hodgkin, J. in *The Nematode Caenorhabditis elegans* (ed W.B.
Wood) 587-606 (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 1988).

97   Yip, Z. C. & Heiman, M. G. Duplication of a Single Neuron in C. elegans
Reveals a Pathway for Dendrite Tiling by Mutual Repulsion. *Cell reports*,
doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2016.05.003 (2016).

98   Mello, C. & Fire, A. in *Methods in Cell Biology. Caenorhabditis elegans:*
Model Biological Analysis of an Organism Vol. 48 (eds H F Epstein & D C
Shakes) 451-482 (Academic Press, 1995).

99   Bond, S. R. & Naus, C. C. RF-Cloning.org: an online tool for the design of
restriction-free cloning projects. *Nucleic Acids Res* **40**, W209-213,
doi:10.1093/nar/gks396 (2012).

100   Petersen, L. K. & Stowers, R. S. A Gateway MultiSite recombination cloning
toolkit. *PLoS One* **6**, e24531, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0024531 (2011).

101   McCarter, J., Bartlett, B., Dang, T. & Schedl, T. On the control of oocyte
meiotic maturation and ovulation in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Dev Biol* **205**,
111-128 (1999).

102   McCarter, J., Bartlett, B., Dang, T. & Schedl, T. Soma-germ cell interactions
in Caenorhabditis elegans: multiple events of hermaphrodite germline
development require the somatic sheath and spermathecal lineages. *Dev Biol*
181, 121-143 (1997).

103   Kirby, C., Kusch, M. & Kemphues, K. Mutations in the *par* genes of
Caenorhabditis elegans affect cytoplasmic reorganization during the first cell
cycle. *Dev. Biol.* **142**, 203-215 (1990).

Figures

830
831

Figure 1.

Dendrite regeneration of multibranched PVD neurons following laser microsurgery in _C. elegans_

(A) A wild-type animal expressing _DES-2::GFP_, illustrating the PVD neuron elaborate branching pattern (inverted image). Branches of one menorah are numbered primary to quaternary (1ry to 4ry), and color-coded: blue, purple, red, and green, respectively [32], c= cell body.

(B) Schematic model of hypodermal cells and PVD menorahs in a young adult, left view. The wild-type PVDs grow between the hypodermis (outer cylinder, light blue) and the basement membrane of the hypodermis (not shown), extending processes that branch out to form the menorah structures. In light red is the left hypodermal seam syncytium. Modified from [32], se= seam cells, c= cell body.

(C) Cartoon summarizing the different stages in PVD regeneration following injury. Two-photon dendrotomy (see Materials and methods) of the primary process (red arrowhead) leads to dynamic changes in the PVD arbor: loss of branch self-avoidance and growth is followed by primary branch fusion (blue arrowhead), menorah-menorah fusion (pink bracket), or both. There is an additional phase of dynamic growth and pruning, leading to arbor refinement. When the branches fail to fuse, the distal end undergoes degeneration.

(D-E) Primary and menorah-menorah fusion following dendrotomy. L4 animal just after surgery (**D**) and 16 hr post-surgery (**E**). Red arrowhead marks the site of dendrotomy. Blue arrowhead marks reconnection site of dendrotomy.

(E) The severed distal and proximal ends of the primary branch reconnected (blue arrowhead). Distal and proximal menorahs fused to form an additional connection between the soma and distal end (Pink arrows and bracket).

(F) Percentage of primary branch fusion during regeneration in wild type (n=14), _eff-1(ok1021)_ (n=13) and _aff-1(tm2214)_ (n=12) dendrotomized animals.

(G) Live imaging of an injured young-adult animal in which reconnection was unsuccessful, and degeneration of the distal arbor occurred. Time after injury is indicated in minutes. Red arrowhead– site of injury. Arrow– degenerating arbor. Images are taken from **Movie 3**. Posterior is up and dorsal is left. Scale bars represent 20 μm in (A) and 10 μm in (D-E).

(H) Percentage of animals with degenerating distal end following dendrotomy in wild type, _eff-1(ok1021)_ and _aff-1(tm2214)_ animals.

877
878

879 **Figure 2.**
880
881 **The dynamic pathway of PVD regeneration after injury**
882
883 **(A-C)** Dendrotomy of an L4 animal resulted in menorah-menorah fusion.
884
885 **(A)** Just prior to the injury separate menorahs can be seen (pink arrow in inset). Red
886 arrowhead points to the lesion site.
887
888 **(B)** Schematic showing the site of injury (marked with an X).
889
890 **(C)** Formation of giant menorahs (pink bracket) and ectopic sprouting (asterisks)
891 within 24 hr. Pink arrow points to the site of loss of self-avoidance. Blue arrowhead
892 points to the site of 1ry-1ry fusion. Pruning of secondary branches also occurs (black
893 arrow, dotted lines, also marked in (**A**)).
894
895 **(D)** Intensity values view of images from a time-lapse movie of injured L4 wild-type
896 worm (**Movie 4**). Time after injury is shown at the upper right corner in minutes.
897 Arrowheads point to injury site and pruning of branches. Brackets mark two
898 menorahs from distal and proximal ends bypassing the break and contacting one
899 another.
900
901 **(E)** *eff-1* animal before and 24 hr after dendrotomy. There is a successful reconnection
902 of the severed primary branch (arrowhead) and pruning failure (the arbor does not
903 undergo simplification). Scale bars represent 10 μm.
904
(F) Dendrotomy-induced phenotypes in *eff-1(ok1021)* animals. Menorah-menorah
fusion, percentage of animals that contained fused giant menorahs; ectopic sprouting,
fraction of animals showing growth of additional processes; pruning, percentage of
animals in which PVD underwent branch refinement after fusion. In *eff-1(ok1021)*
mutants there is excess branching and disorganized branch structure [32], thus we could
not determine (ND) whether menorah-menorah connections and ectopic sprouting
occurred. ***$P<0.001$, Fisher's exact test. n values: 14, 13 animals for wild-type and
eff-1(ok1021), respectively.
913

A *aff-1(tm2214)*

Before dendrotomy   0 hr   3.5 hr

B **Menorah fusion**

aff-1(tm2214); grd-10p::aff-1

Before dendrotomy   0 hr   2 hr

24 hr   24 hr zoom

C **Primary fusion**

Before dendrotomy   0 hr   1.5 hr

D

WT   *aff-1(tm2214)*   *des-2p::aff-1; aff-1(tm2214)*   *grd-10p::aff-1; aff-1(tm2214)*

■ 1ry-1ry Fusion   ■ Menorah-Menorah Fusion
▮ Menorah-Menorah Fusion & 1ry-1ry Fusion   ■ Degeneration

914
915

Figure 3.

aff-1 **dendritic reconnection patterns are rescued cell non-autonomously**

(A) Dendrite regeneration following PVD nanosurgery in *aff-1(tm2214)* mutant animal. PVD is shown before and after nanosurgery (t=0 and 3.5 hr). In non-injured *aff-1* mutant animals PVD branching pattern is unaffected. After injury, fusion does not occur and the distal processes undergo degeneration (t=3.5 hr).

(B) Dendrite regeneration following PVD nanosurgery in *aff-1(tm2214); grd-10p::aff-1* animals. PVD is shown before and after nanosurgery (t=0, 2, and 24 hr). PVD reconnection occurred through fused menorahs (pink bracket). Red arrowhead marks site of injury. Primary branches did not fuse (red arrowhead).

(C) Dendrite regeneration following PVD nanosurgery in *aff-1(tm2214); grd-10p::aff-1* animals. PVD is shown before and after nanosurgery (t=0 and 1.5 hr). PVD reconnection occurred through primary fusion (blue arrowhead).

(D) PVD post-injury outcomes displayed in color coded pie graphs as Magenta– Menorah-Menorah fusion, Blue– primary-primary fusion, Magenta and Blue– Menorah-Menorah fusion and primary-primary fusion, Black– degeneration. Wild type n=22, *aff-1(tm2214)* n=32, *aff-1(tm2214);des-2p::aff*-n=11, *aff-1(tm2214); grd-10p::aff-1* n=13. ** *P*<0.01; * *P*<0.05; NS *P*>0.05. Dendrotomy site – red arrowhead, fused menorah – pink bracket, primary fusion – blue arrowhead. Scale bars represent 20 μm. Statistics was calculated using the Freeman-Halton extension of the Fisher exact probability test for a two-rows by four-columns contingency table, http://vassarstats.net/fisher2x4.html.

942
943

Figure 4.

AFF-1 protein dynamics during PVD regeneration

(A) Superresolution structured illumination microscopy (SIM) image of aligned *AFF-1::GFP* (green) vesicles in the seam cells (left, white arrows) and *AFF-1::GFP* extracellular vesicles derived from the seam cells (right, white arrowheads) in intact wild type animals. c, cell body. PVD is labeled in red.

(B) Spinning disk confocal images of *AFF-1::GFP* expression inside and outside the seam cells (Se), and in the vulva (V) of an intact L4 animal (left). *AFF-1::GFP* (cyan) expression in the seam cells and in vesicles near the seam cells is shown in a two channels merged image (right; PVD in magenta; **Movie 5**). Inset shows magnification of a single *AFF-1::GFP* vesicle near the seam cells (left, black arrowhead, numbered 1; **Movie 6**).

(C) *AFF-1::GFP* expression inside and outside the seam cells (Se), in the anchor cell (AC) and in the vulva (V) of a reconnected PVD L4 animal (left) is shown 2 hr post-dendrotomy in a spinning disk confocal image. *AFF-1::GFP* is expressed in vesicles inside and outside of the seam cells, in the anchor cell (AC) and in VulA ring (see **Movie 7**). Inset shows magnification of area with multiple vesicles, two are labeled (2,3) and shown in panels **(D-E).**

(D) Two *AFF-1::GFP* vesicles, numbered 2 and 3, are moving near the seam cells in a PVD-dendrotomized animal. Four time points are shown (0, 20, 60 and 80 sec; **Movie 8**).

(E) Vesicles dynamics (1, 2 and 3) is demonstrated in a color-coded graph during 340 seconds for vesicles 2 and 3 and 620 seconds for vesicle number 1. The plot illustrates vast differences between *AFF-1::GFP* vesicles movements in animals with an intact PVD versus *AFF-1::GFP* vesicles in PVD dendrotomized animals (**Movies 6 and 8**).

(F) Dot Plot of the number of *AFF-1::GFP* vesicles outside *aff-1* expressing organs in animals with intact PVD versus in animals with dendrotomized PVD. The graph demonstrates statistical significant difference between the mean number of *aff-1::GFP* vesicles outside *aff-1* expressing organs such as seam cells, vulva, anchor cell and the uterus. *P*=0.001, statistics was calculated using the nonparametric Mann-Whitney test.

982
983
984 **Figure 5.**
985
986 **Model of AFF-1-mediated repair via extracellular vesicle-cell fusion**
987
PVD (red) is in close proximity to the epithelial seam cells (blue). AFF-1 (black pins)
is expressed in seam cells and additional tissues, but not in the PVD. Upon injury,
AFF-1-containing extracellular vesicles (EVs) are highly released from the seam
cells. Some of these EVs reach the PVD and promote fusion of severed dendrites.
EFF-1 (green pins) is expressed in the PVD but it does not act to fuse severed
dendrites on its own. Instead it may collaborate with AFF-1-EVs. We propose that
menorah-menorah fusion is mediated by AFF-1-EVs that merge with the structurally
compatible EFF-1 expressed in the PVD. EFF-1-coated pseudotyped viruses can fuse
with cells expressing AFF-1 on their surface and vice versa [64].
997

Supplementary Figures

1002 **Figure 1-figure supplement 1.**
1003
1004 **Dendritic amputation induces hyper-branching, loss of self-avoidance and giant**
1005 **overlapping menorahs**
1006
1007 **(A)** Wild-type animal before dendrotomy.
1008
1009 **(B)** Two laser-induced injuries of primary branches (red arrowheads, injury sites).
1010
1011 **(C)** Confocal projection and a schematic tracing of the same animal 24 hr post-
1012 surgery. Asterisks mark new sprouting from proximal and distal branches. Pink
1013 brackets, giant menorahs. Blue arrowheads, primary branch fusion.
1014
1015 **(D)** A different wild-type animal injured (red arrowhead) at the early L4 stage,
1016 recovered and analyzed 24 **(E)** and 46 hr **(F)** post-surgery. Black arrow, retrograde
1017 branch. The degenerating branches are represented as dotted lines in the schematic
1018 tracing. Scale bars represent 5 μm **(D)** and 10 μm **(A-C, E-F).**

1024
1025
1026 **Figure 2- figure supplement 1.**
1027
1028 **Menorah fusion and 2ry stem pruning are part of the regeneration process of the**
1029 **PVDs following dendrotomy**
1030
1031 **(A)** Dendrotomy of an L4 wild-type animal. Red arrowheads point to sites of laser
1032 surgery.
1033
1034 **(B)** Analysis 48 hr post-surgery. Giant menorah can be seen (pink bracket); arrows
1035 (and dotted lines in the schematic tracing) point to secondary branches undergoing
1036 trimming. Blue arrowheads mark primary branch fusion at the sites of dendrotomy.
1037 Scale bars represent 10 μm.
1038

| 488 green channel | 561 red channel | Merged | Schematic |

GREEN RED MERGED

1039
1040
1041 **Figure 2-figure supplement 2.**
1042
1043 **PVD dendrite reconnection confirmed by Kaede photoconversion**
1044
A PVD primary dendrite of *ser-2prom3::Kaede* expressing animals was
dendrotomized, the animal was recovered for 23 hr and the dendrite reconnection to
its stump was assessed by Kaede photoconversion. The green Kaede form in the PVD
cell body was irreversibly photo-converted to the red Kaede form using a 405nm laser
with the Mosaic system and its spreading throughout the dendritic branches was
followed 1 and 60 min post-photoconversion. Panels left to right are confocal
reconstructions of a wild-type dendrotomized animal in the 488 green channel, 561
red channel, two channels merged view and a schematic representation of the merged
view.
1054
(A) Confocal reconstructions of the animal before dendrotomy, **(B)** immediately post
dendrotomy, **(C)** 23 hr post-dendrotomy, **(D)** 1 min post Kaede photoconversion and
(E) 60 min post Kaede photoconversion. Red Kaede form (cyan in merged and
shematic representations), though diluted when spreading through the dendritic tree,
can be observed beyond the reconnected site of injury in the distal part of the primary
and higher ordered dendritic branches. Thus, Kaede photoconversion and diffusion
beyond the injury site demonstrate fusion between the severed primary dendrite. In
animals where reconnection failed photoconverted Kaede did not spread beyond the
injury site (data not shown).
c, PVD cell body; red arrowhead, site of injury; blue arrowhead, site of primary
branch fusion. In the merged and schematic columns: pink, green Kaede; cyan,
photoconverted red Kaede.
1067
1068
1069

A **Menorah fusion**

aff-1/mIn1; dpy-7p::aff-1

Before injury

0 hr

3 hr

4 hr

CB

B **Primary fusion**

Before injury

0 hr

aff-1/mIn1; dpy-7p::aff-1

2 hr

▲ Injury site   ⌐⌐ Menorah-menorah fusion

C

NS

*   *   NS   NS

WT   *aff-1(tm2214)*   *des-2p::aff-1;*   *grd-10p::aff-1;*   *dpy-7p::aff-1;*
                              aff-1(tm2214)/mIn1   *aff-1(tm2214)/mIn1*   *aff-1(tm2214)/mIn1*

■ **1ry-1ry Fusion**   ■ **Menorah-Menorah Fusion**
■ **Menorah-Menorah Fusion &**   ■ **Degeneration**
   1ry-1ry Fusion

1070
1071
1072
1073   **Figure 3-figure supplement 1.**
1074
1075   **Ectopic expression of hypodermal AFF-1 improves PVD regeneration in**
1076   **heterozygous animals**

(A) Regeneration by menorah-menorah fusion following PVD nanosurgery in *C. elegans aff-1(tm2214)/mln1; dpy-7p::aff-1* animals. PVD is shown before and after nanosurgery (T=0, 3, and 4 hr). PVD reconnection occurred through fused menorahs (pink bracket) and primary fusion did not occur (red arrowhead).

(B) Dendrite regeneration following PVD primary branch nanosurgery in *C. elegans aff-1(tm2214)/mln1; dpy-7p::aff-1* animals. PVD is shown before and after nanosurgery (T=0 and 2 hr). PVD reconnection occurred through primary fusion (blue arrowhead).

(C) Animals showing different PVD post injury consequences displayed in color coded pie graphs as Magenta- Menorah-Menorah fusion, Blue- primary-primary fusion, Magenta and Blue- Menorah-Menorah fusion and primary-primary fusion, Black-degeneration. Wild type n=22; *aff-1(tm2214)* n=32; *aff-1/mln1; des-2p::AFF-1* n=10, *aff-1(tm2214) /mln1; grd-10p::aff-1* n=11, *aff-1(tm2214)/mln1; dpy-7p::aff-*1 n=14. *P<0.05. P values for wild type and heterozygous genotypes are not significant (NS). Statistics was calculated using the Freeman-Halton extension of the Fisher exact probability test for a two-rows by four-columns contingency table, http://vassarstats.net/fisher2x4.html.

Dendrotomy site, red arrowhead; fused Menorah, pink bracket; primary fusion, blue arrowhead. Scale bars represent 20 μm.

Supplemental movies legends

Movie 1. PVD dendrites touch and fuse following dendrotomy

Time lapse recording of an L4 wild-type animal just after injury. The z series images were recorded every 6 min, marker is *F49H12.4::GFP*. Arrows mark areas of fusing menorah tertiary branches. C marks PVD cell body.

Movie 2. A pseudo-colored presentation of depth information for Movie 1

Scale bar for the position in the z axis is shown at the bottom. The movie was obtained using the Zeiss LSM image browser DepthCod function.

Movie 3. Degeneration of the distal fragment following dendrotomy

Time lapse recording of a wild-type L4 animal after a two-photon injury. Posterior PVCR and PVCL are also marked with the *DES-2::GFP* marker and can be seen at the upper right corner. See **Figure 1G**.

Movie 4. Fusion and pruning during the PVD regeneration process

Intensity-values view of a time-lapse recording of an early L4 animal. Marker is *F49H12.4::GFP*. Menorahs from proximal and distal ends meet and fuse, bypassing the break induced by the two-photon injury. At the injury site growth and pruning of dendrites can be seen. Intensity scale bar is in **Figure 2D**.

Movie 5. AFF-1::GFP expression in PVD intact animals

Time lapse recording of *AFF-1::GFP* (cyan) expression inside and outside the seam cells (sc), and in the vulva (V) of an intact L4 animal shown in a two channels merged image. The z series images were recorded every 10 sec, PVD marker is *F49H12.4p::mCherry* (magenta). Arrows mark AFF-1 vesicles. See **Figure 4B**. The trajectory of vesicle 1 is shown in **Figure 4E**. Gut marks autofluorescent gut granules,

easily distinguishable from AFF-1 vesicles in size, shape, and appearance in both

channels.

Movie 6. AFF-1::GFP expression in PVD intact animals (zoom in of movie 5)

A magnification of a single *AFF-1::GFP* vesicle near the seam cells, ("vesicle 1").

Movie 7. AFF-1::GFP expression in PVD primary branch of injured animals

Time lapse recording of *AFF-1::GFP* expression (cyan) inside and outside the seam

cells (sc), in the anchor cell (AC) and in the vulva (V) of a reconnected PVD L4

animal after a two-photon injury anterior to PVD cell body. T=0 is 75 min after

injury. The z series images were recorded every 20 sec, PVD marker is

F49H12.4p::mCherry (magenta). Arrows point to vesicles containing AFF-1::GFP.

Movie 8. Magnification of Movie 7.

Magnification of an area with multiple vesicles, two are labeled (2,3). See **Figure 4**

D-E.

Movie 9. AFF-1::GFP expression in PVD intact animal

Time lapse recording of *AFF-1::GFP* (cyan) expression inside and outside the seam

cells (SC) of an intact L4 animal shown in a two channels merged image. The z series

images were recorded every 10 sec, PVD marker is *F49H12.4p::mCherry* (magenta).

Arrows mark AFF-1 vesicles.

Movies 10 and 11. AFF-1::GFP expression in a degraded PVD primary branch

of injured animals Time lapse recording of *AFF-1::GFP* expression (cyan) inside

and outside the seam cells (sc) of a reconnected PVD L4 animal after a two-photon

injury anterior to PVD cell body, red arrowhead is the site of injury. T=0 is 75 min

(movie10) and 150 min (movie 11) after injury. The z series images were recorded

every 2 sec, PVD marker is *F49H12.4p::mCherry* (magenta). Arrows point to vesicles

containing AFF-1::GFP.