Evolution trajectories of snake genes and genomes revealed by comparative analyses

of five-pacer viper

Wei Yin[1,*], Zong-ji Wang[2, 3, 4, 5,*], Qi-ye Li[3, 4, 6], Jin-ming Lian[3], Yang Zhou[3], Bing-zheng

Lu[7], Li-jun Jin[3], Peng-xin Qiu[7], Pei Zhang[3], Wen-bo Zhu[7], Bo Wen[8], Yi-jun Huang[7],

Zhi-long Lin[8], Bi-tao Qiu[3, 9], Xing-wen Su[7], Huan-ming Yang[8,9], Guo-jie Zhang[3, 4, 10],

Guang-mei Yan[7,#], Qi Zhou[2,11, #]

1. Department of Biochemistry, Zhongshan School of Medicine, Sun Yat-sen University,

Guangzhou, 510089, China.

2. Life Sciences Institute, The Key Laboratory of Conservation Biology for Endangered

Wildlife of the Ministry of Education, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310058,

China.

3. China National Genebank, BGI-Shenzhen, Shenzhen, 518083, China.

4. State Key Laboratory of Genetic Resources and Evolution, Kunming Institute of

Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, China.

5. School of Bioscience & Bioengineering, South China University of Technology,

Guangzhou, 510006, China.

6. Centre for GeoGenetics, Natural History Museum of Denmark, University of

Copenhagen, Øster Voldgade 5–7, 1350 Copenhagen K, Denmark.

7. Department of Pharmacology, Zhongshan School of Medicine, Sun Yat-sen

University, Guangzhou, 510089, China.

8. BGI-Shenzhen, Shenzhen, 518083, China.

9. James D. Watson Institute of Genome Sciences, Hangzhou 310058, China

10. Centre for Social Evolution, Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen,

Universitetsparken 15, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark.

11. Department of Integrative Biology, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley,

CA94720, United States

[*] These authors contributed equally to the work

[#] To whom correspondence should be addressed: zhouqi1982@zju.edu.cn or

ygm@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Abstract

Snake's numerous fascinating features distinctive from other tetrapods necessitate a rich history of genome evolution that is still obscure. To address this, we report the first high-quality genome of a viper, *Deinagkistrodon acutus* and comparative analyses using other species from major snake and lizard lineages. We map the evolution trajectories of transposable elements (TEs), developmental genes and sex chromosomes onto the snake phylogeny. TEs exhibit dynamic lineage-specific expansion. And in the viper many TEs may have been rewired into the regulatory network of brain genes, as shown by their associated expression with nearby genes in the brain but not in other tissues. We detect signatures of adaptive evolution in olfactory, venom and thermal-sensing genes, and also functional degeneration of genes associated with vision and hearing. Many *Hox* and *Tbx* limb-patterning genes show evidence of relaxed selective constraints, and such genes' phylogenetic distribution supports fossil evidence for a successive loss of forelimbs then hindlimbs during the snake evolution. Finally, we infer that the Z and W sex chromosomes had undergone at least three recombination suppression events at the ancestor of advanced snakes, with the W chromosomes showing a gradient of degeneration from basal to advanced snakes. These results, together with all the genes identified as undergoing adaptive or degenerative evolution episodes at respective snake lineages forge a framework for our deep understanding into snakes' molecular evolution history.

Snakes have undergone massive adaptive radiation with ~3400 extant species

successfully inhabiting almost all continents except for the polar regions[1]. This process

has culminated in 'advanced snakes' (Caenophidia, ~3000 species), involved numerous

evolutionary changes in body form, chemo-/thermo- perception, venom and sexual

reproductive systems, which together distinguish snakes from majorities of other

Squamates (lizards and worm lizards). Some of these dramatic changes can be tracked

from fossils, which established that the ancestor of snakes had already evolved a

elongated body plan, probably as an adaptation to a burrowing and crawling lifestyle, but

had lost only the forelimbs[2-4]. Extant boa and python species retain rudimentary

hindlimbs, while advanced snakes have completely lost them. The limblessness,

accompanied by degeneration in visual and auditory perception have not compromised

snakes' dominant role as top predators, largely due to the evolution of infrared sensing

and/or venom, and the development of corresponding facial pit and fangs (specialized

teeth for venom injection) independently in different lineages[5,6].

These extreme adaptations have sparked strong and standing interest into their

genetic basis. Snakes are used as a model for studying various basic questions like

mechanisms of axial patterning and limb development[3,7,8], 'birth-and-death' of venom

proteins[9-11], and also sex chromosome evolution[12]. Cytogenetic findings in snakes first

drove Ohno to propose that sex chromosomes in vertebrates evolved from ancestral

autosomes[13], like those of insects[14] and plants[15]. Our insights into these questions have

been recently advanced by the application of next-generation sequencing. Analyses of

python and king cobra genomes and transcriptomes have uncovered the metabolic gene

repertoire involved in feeding, and inferred massive expansion and adaptive evolution of

toxin families in elapids (an 'advanced' group)[10,16]. However, comparative studies of

multiple snake genomes unraveling their evolutionary trajectories since the divergence

from lizards are lacking, and so far only a few specific developmental 'toolbox' (e.g.,

Hox[7,17,18] and Fgf signaling pathway[19]) genes have been studied between snakes and

lizards. This hampers our comprehensive understanding into the molecular basis of

stepwise or independent acquisition of snake specific traits. We bridge this gap here by

deep-sequencing the genomes and transcriptomes of five-pacer viper (*Deinagkistrodon*

acutus, **Figure 1A**), a member of the Viperidae family. This pit viper is a paragon of

infrared sensing, heteromorphic ZW sex chromosomes, and distinctive types of fangs and

toxins (its common name exaggerates that victims can walk no more than five paces)

from other venomous snake families[6,20]. Despite the intraspecific differences within the

same family, comparative analyses to the available genomes of three other major snake

family species, i.e., *Boa constrictor* (Boidae family)[21], *Python bivittatus* (Pythonidae

family)[16], *Ophiophagus hannah* (Elapidae family)[10] and several reptile outgroups should

recapitulate the major genomic adaptive or degenerative changes occurred in the ancestor

and along individual branches of snake families, and would also promote current

antivenom therapy and drug discovery (e.g., thrombolytics) out of the viper toxins[22].

Evolution of snake genome architecture

We sequenced a male and a female five-pacer viper to extremely high-coverage (♀ 238

fold, ♂114 fold, **Supplementary Table 1**), and estimated the genome size to be 1.43Gb

based on k-mer frequency distribution[23] (**Supplementary Table 2, Supplementary**

Figure 1). Fewer than 10% of the reads, which have a low quality or are probably

derived from repetitive regions, were excluded from the genome assembly

(**Supplementary Table 3**). We generated a draft genome using only male reads for

constructing the contigs, and female long-insert (2kb~40kb) library reads for joining the

contigs into scaffolds. It has an assembled size of 1.47Gb, with a slightly better quality

than the genome using purely female reads. It has high continuity (contig N50: 22.42kb,

scaffold N50: 2.12Mb) and integrity (gap content 5.6%, **Supplementary Table 4**), thus

was chosen as the reference genome for further analyses. It includes a total of 21,194

predicted protein-coding genes, as estimated using known vertebrate protein sequences

and massive transcriptome data generated in this study from eight tissues (**Figure 1A,**

Materials and Methods). For comparative analyses, we also annotated 17,392

protein-coding genes in the boa genome (the SGA version from[21]). 80.84% (17,134) of

the viper genes show robust expression (normalized expression level RPKM>1) in at

least one tissue, comparable to the value of 70.77% in king cobra (**Supplementary Table**

5). Based on 5,353 one-to-one orthologous gene groups of four snake species (five-pacer

viper, boa[21], python[16], king cobra[10]), green anole lizard[24] and several other sequenced

vertebrate genomes (**Materials and Methods**), we constructed a phylogenomic tree with

high bootstrapping values at all nodes (**Figure 1B**). We estimated that advanced snakes

diverged from boa and python about 66.9 (47.2~84.4) million years ago (MYA), and

five-pacer viper and king cobra diverged 44.9 (27.5~65.0) MYA assuming a molecular

clock. These results are consistent with the oldest snake and viper fossils from 140.8 and

84.7 MYA, respectively[25].

The local GC content of snakes (boa and five-pacer viper) shows variation (GC

isochores) similar to the genomes of turtles and crocodiles, and intermediate between

mammals/birds and lizard (**Figure 1C, Supplementary Figure 2**), confirming the loss of

such a genomic feature in lizard among tetrapods[24]. Cytogenetic studies showed like most

other snakes, the five-pacer viper karyotype has 2n=36 (16 macro- and 20

micro-chromosomes) chromosomes[26], with extensive inter-chromosomal conservation

with the lizard[27]. This enables us to organize 56.50% of the viper scaffold sequences into

linkage groups, based on their homology with sequences of known green anole lizard

macro-chromosomes (**Supplementary Table 6**). As expected, autosomal sequences have

the same read coverage in both sexes, whereas scaffolds inferred to be located on the

viper Z chromosome (homologous to green anole lizard chr6) have coverage in the

female that is half that in the male (**Figure 1C**). Additionally, the frequency of

heterozygous variants on the Z chromosome is much lower in the female than in the male

(0.005% vs 0.08%, *P*-value<2.2e-16, Wilcoxon signed rank test) due to the nearly

hemizygous state of Z chromosome in female, while those of autosomes (~0.1%) are very

similar between sexes. These results indicated that our assembly mostly assigns genes to

the correct chromosome, and this is further supported by comparisons of 172 genes'

locations with previous fluorescence *in situ* hybridization results (**Supplementary Data**

1)[27]. They also suggest that the viper sex chromosomes are highly differentiated from

each other (see below).

47.47% of the viper genome consists of transposable element sequences (TEs),

higher than any other snakes so far analyzed (33.95~39.59%), which cannot be explained

solely by the higher assembly quality than that of the other species genome

sequences[10,16,21] **(Supplementary Table 7-8)**. These are mostly long interspersed

elements (LINE, 13.84% of the genome) and DNA transposons (7.96%, **Supplementary**

Table 7). Sequence divergence of individual families from inferred consensus sequences

uncovered recent rampant activities in the viper lineage of LINEs (CR1), DNA

transposons (hAT and TcMar) and retrotransposons (Gypsy and DIRS). In particular,

there is an excess of low-divergence (<10% divergence level) CR1 and hAT elements in

the viper genome only (**Figure 2A**). We also inferred earlier propagation of TEs shared

by viper and king cobra, which thus probably occurred in the ancestor of advanced

snakes. Together, these derived insertions resulted in an at least three-fold difference in

the CR1 and hAT content between viper and more basal-branching snakes like boa and

python (**Figure 2B**). While boa and python have undergone independent expansion of L2

and CR1 repeats, so that their overall LINE content is at a similar level to that of the

viper and cobra (**Figure 2A, Supplementary Table 7**).

These TEs are presumably silenced through epigenetic mechanisms to prevent their

deleterious effects of transposition or mediating genomic rearrangements. Indeed, very

few are transcribed in all of the tissue examined, except, unexpectedly in brain (**Figure**

2C). This brain-specific expression prompted us to test whether some snake TE families

might have been co-opted into brain gene regulatory networks. Focusing on highly

expressed (RPKM>5) TEs' that are located within 5kb flanking regions of genes, we

found that these nearby genes also show a significantly higher expression in brain than

any other tissues (*P-value*<1.1e-40, Wilcoxon test, **Supplementary Figure 3**). The

expression levels of individual genes are strongly correlated (*P-value*<1.35e-08,

Spearman's test) with those of nearby TE's. These genes are predominantly enriched

(*Q-value* < 0.05, Fisher's Chi-square test, **Supplementary Data 2**) in functional domains

of 'biological process' compared to 'cellular component' and 'molecular function', and

particularly enriched categories include environmental response ('response to organic

substance', 'regulation of response to stimulus' and 'sensory perception of light stimulus')

and brain signaling pathways ('neuropeptide signaling pathway', 'opioid receptor

signaling pathway' and 'regulation of cell communication' etc.). Further experimental

studies are required to elucidate how some of these TEs evolved to regulate the brain

gene expression; these results nevertheless highlighted the evolution dynamics and

potential functional contribution of TEs in shaping the snake genome evolution.

Evolution of snake genes and gene families

To pinpoint the critical genetic changes underlying the phenotypic innovations of snakes,

we next mapped protein coding genes' gain and loss (**Figure 1B**), signatures of adaptive

or degenerative evolution (**Figure 3A**) measured by their ratios (ω) of nonsynonymous vs.

synonymous substitution rates (**Supplementary Data 3**) onto the phylogenetic tree. We

inferred a total of 1,725 gene family expansion and 3,320 contraction events, and

identified 610 genes that appear to have undergone positive selection (PSG) and 6149

with relaxed selective constraints (RSG) at different branches, using a likelihood model

and conserved lineage-specific test[28]. Genes of either scenario were separated for

analyzing their enriched gene ontology (GO) and mouse orthologs' mutant phenotype

(MP) terms, assuming most of them have a similar function in snakes.

Significantly (*P-value*<0.05, Fisher's exact test) enriched MP terms integrated with

their branch information illuminated the molecular evolution history of snake-specific

traits (**Figure 3A**). For example, as adaptations to a fossorial lifestyle, the four-legged

snake ancestor[29] had evolved an extreme elongated body plan without limbs, and also

fused eyelids ('spectacles', presumably for protecting eyes against soil[30]). The latter is

supported by the results for the PSG genes *Ereg*, and RSGs *Cecr2* and *Ext1* at the snake

ancestor branch (**Supplementary Data 4**), whose mouse mutant phenotype is shown as

prematurely opened or absent eyelids. The limbless body plan has already driven many

comparisons of expression domains and coding-sequences of the responsible *Hox* genes

between snakes and other vertebrates[7,17]. We here refined the analyses to within-snake

lineages, focusing on sequence evolution of *Hox* and other genes involved in limb

development and somitogenesis. We annotated the nearly complete sequences of 39 *Hox*

genes organized in four clusters (*HoxA-HoxD*) of the five-pacer viper. Compared to the

green anole lizard, the four studied snake species have *Hox* genes whose sizes are

generally reduced, due to the specific accumulation of DNA transposons in the lizard's

introns and intergenic regions (**Supplementary Figure 4**). However, snakes have

accumulated particularly higher proportions of simple tandem repeat and short

interspersed element (SINE) sequences within *Hox* clusters (**Supplementary Figure 5**),

either as a result of relaxed selective constraints and/or evolution of novel regulatory

elements. We identified 11 *Hox* genes as RSGs and one (*Hoxa9*) as PSG (**Figure 3B**).

Their combined information of gene function and affected snake lineage informed the

stepwise evolution of snake body plan. In particular, *Hoxa5*[31], *Hoxa11*[32] and *Tbx5*[33]

which specifically pattern the forelimbs in mouse, have been identified as RSGs in the

common ancestor of all four snakes. While *Hoxc11* and *Tbx4*[34], which pattern the

hindlimbs in mouse, and many other limb-patterning genes (e.g. *Gli3*, *Tbx18*, *Alx4*) were

identified as RSGs that evolved independently on snake external branches (**Figure 3B,**

Supplementary Data 4). These results provide robust molecular evidence supporting the

independent loss of hindlimbs after the complete loss of forelimbs in snake ancestors. In

the snake ancestor branch, we also identified RSGs *Hoxa11, Hoxc10* and *Lfng*, which are

respectively associated with sacral formation[35], rib formation[8] and somitogenesis speed[36]

in vertebrates. Their changed amino acids and the expression domains that have expanded

in snakes relative to lizards[17,19] might have together contributed to the

'de-regionalization'[17] and elongation of the snake body plan. In several external branches,

we identified *Hoxd13* independently as RSG. Besides its critical roles in limb/digit

patterning[37], it is also associated with termination of somitogenesis signal and is

specifically silenced at the snake tail relative to lizard[7]. This suggests that body

elongation may have evolved more than once among snake lineages. Overall,

limb/digit/tail development MP terms are significantly enriched in RSGs at both ancestral

and external branches of snakes (**Figure 3A**), and we identified many such genes at

different snake lineages for future targeted experimental studies (**Supplementary Table 9,**

Supplementary Data 4).

Another important adaption to snakes' ancestrally fossorial and later ground surface

lifestyle is the shift of their dominant source of environmental sensing from

visual/auditory to thermal/chemical cues. Unlike most other amniotes, extant snake

species do not have external ears, and some basal species (e.g., blindsnake) have

completely lost their eyes. Consistently, we found MP terms associated with hearing/ear

and vision/eye phenotypes (e.g., abnormal ear morphology, abnormal vision, abnormal

cone electrophysiology) are enriched among RSGs along all major branches of snakes

starting from their common ancestor (**Figure 3A, Supplementary Figure 6,**

Supplementary Data 4). Gene families that have contracted in the ancestor of four

studied snake species, and specifically in the viper, are also significantly (*Q-value*<

9.08e-4, Fisher's Exact Test) enriched in gene ontologies (GO) of 'sensory perception of

light stimulus (GO:0050953)' or 'phototransduction (GO:0007602)' (**Figure 1C,**

Supplementary Data 5). In particular, only three (*RH1, LWS* and *SWS1*) out of 13 opsin

genes' complete sequences can be identified in the viper genome, consistent with the

results found in python and cobra[16]. By contrast, infrared receptor gene *TRPA1*[5] and

ubiquitous taste-signaling gene *TRPM5*[38] have respectively undergone adaptive evolution

in five-pacer viper and the ancestor of boa and python. Gene families annotated with the

GO term 'olfactory receptor (OR) activity' have a significant (*Q-value* < 1.63e-4, Fisher's

Exact Test) expansion in all snake species studied and at some of their ancestral nodes,

except for the king cobra (**Supplementary Data 6**). In the boa and viper, whose genome

sequences have much better quality than the other two snake genomes, we respectively

annotated 369 and 412 putatively functional OR genes, based on homology search and

the characteristic 7-TM (transmembrane) structure (**Materials and Methods**). Both

terrestrial species have an OR repertoire predominantly comprised of class II OR families

(OR1-14, presumably for binding airborne molecules, **Figure 3C**), and their numbers are

much higher than the reported numbers in other Squamate genomes[39]. Some (ranging

from 18 to 24) class I (OR51-56, for water-borne molecules) genes have also been found

in the two species, indicating this OR class is not unique to python as previously

suggested[39]. Compared with the green anole lizard, the boa and viper exhibit a significant

(*P*<0.05, Fisher's exact test) size expansion of OR family 5, 11 and 14, and also a bias

towards being located on the Z chromosome (**Figure 3C**), leading to higher expression of

many OR genes in males than in females (see below). In particular, OR5 in the viper

probably has experienced additional expansion events and become the most abundant

(with 71 members) family in the genome. Intriguingly, this family is specifically enriched

in birds of prey[40] relative to other birds, and in non-frugivorous bats vs. frugivorous

bats[41]. Therefore, its expansion in the five-pacer viper could have been positively selected

for a more efficient detection of prey.

Besides acute environmental sensing, specialized fangs[6] and venoms[11] (e.g,

hemotoxins of viper or neurotoxins of elapid) arm the venomous snakes (~650 species) to

immediately immobilize the much larger prey for prolonged ingestion, which probably

comprised one of the most critical factors that had led to the advanced snakes' species

radiation. It has been proposed that the tremendous venom diversity probably reflects

snakes' local adaption for the prey[42] and was generated by changes in the expression of

pre-existing or duplicated genes[11,43]. Indeed, we found that the five-pacer viper's venom

gland gene repertoire has a very different composition comparing to other viper[44] or

elapid species[10] (**Figure 3D**). We have annotated a total of 35 venom genes or gene

families using all the known snake venom proteins as the query. Certain gene families,

including snake venom metalloproteinases (SVMP), C-type lectin-like proteins (CLPs),

thrombin-like snake venom serine proteinases (TL), Kunitz and disintegrins, have more

genomic copies in the five-pacer viper than other studied snakes or the green anole lizard

(**Supplementary Table 10**); while characteristic elapid venom genes like three-finger

toxins (3FTx) are absent from the viper genome. Most venom proteins of both the viper

and king cobra have expression restricted to venom or accessary glands, and for both

species this is particularly seen for those genes that originated in the ancestor of snakes or

of advanced snakes (**Figure 3D**). But for elapid or viper specific venom genes, i.e., those

that originated more recently, they usually express in the liver of the other species. Such

cases include FactorV, FactorX of king cobra and PLA2-2A of viper (**Figure 3D**). This

suggests that these venom genes may have originated from metabolic proteins and

undergone neo-/sub-functionalization, with altered expression.

Evolution of snake sex chromosomes

Different snake species exhibit a continuum of sex chromosome differentiation: pythons

and boas possess homomorphic sex chromosomes, which is assumed to be the ancestral

state; the lack of differentiation between the W and Z chromosomes suggests that most

regions of this chromosome pair recombine like the autosomes[45]. Advanced snakes

usually have heteromorphic sex chromosomes that have undergone additional

recombination suppressions[45,46]. We found the five-pacer viper probably has suppressed

recombination throughout almost the entire sex chromosome pair, as the read coverage in

the female that we sequenced is half that in the male (**Figure 1C, Figure 4**). By contrast,

boa's homologous chromosomal regions show a read coverage pattern that does not differ

from that of autosomes. Assuming that these two species share the same ancestral snake

sex-determining region, this suggests that that region is not included in our current

chromosomal assembly.

In plants, birds and mammals, it has been found that recombination suppression

probably occurred by a succession of events. This has led to the punctuated accumulation

of excessive neutral or deleterious mutations on the Y or W chromosome by genetic drift,

and produced a gradient of sequence divergence levels over time, which are termed

'evolutionary strata'[47-49]. Advanced snakes have been suggested to have at least two

strata[12]. One goal of our much better genome assembly of the five-pacer viper compared

to those of any other studied advanced snakes[10,12] (**Supplementary Table 4**) was to

reconstruct a fine history of snake sex chromosome evolution. We assembled 77Mb

Z-linked and 33Mb W-linked scaffolds (**Materials and Methods**). The reduction of

female read coverage along the Z chromosome suggests there is a substantial divergence

between Z- and W- linked sequences, and this would enable the assembly of two

chromosomes' scaffolds in separate. Mapping the male reads confirmed that the inferred

W-linked scaffold sequences are only present in female (**Supplementary Figure 7**).

Their density and pairwise sequence divergence values within putative neutral regions

along the Z chromosome indicate at least two 'evolution strata', with the older stratum

extending 0~56Mb, and the younger one extending 56~70Mb. The boundary at 56Mb

region can be also confirmed by analyses of repetitive elements on the Z chromosome

(see below). Consistently, identifiable W-linked fragments are found at the highest

density per megabase in the latter (**Figure 4**), suggesting that this region has suppressed

recombination more recently. The older stratum includes much fewer identifiable

fragments that can resolve the actual times of recombination suppression events. To study

this region further, we inspected the homologous Z-linked region, whose recombination

has also been reduced, albeit to a much smaller degree than the W chromosome, after the

complete suppression of recombination between Z/W in females. In addition, Z

chromosome is biasedly transmitted in males. As males usually have a higher mutation

rate than females, due to many more rounds of DNA replication during spermatogenesis

than oogenesis ('male-driven evolution')[50], Z-linked regions are expected to have a

generally higher mutation rate than any other regions in the genome. This male-driven

evolution effect has been demonstrated in other snake species[12], and also been validated

for the snakes inspected in this study (**Supplementary Figure 8**). As a result, we

expected regions in older strata should be more diverged from their boa autosome-like

homologs than those in the younger strata. This enabled us to identify another stratum

(0~42Mb, stratum 2, S2 in **Figure 4**) and demarcate the oldest one (42~56Mb, S1), by

estimating the sequence conservation level (measured by LASTZ alignment score, blue

line) between the Z chromosomes of boa and viper. The Z-linked region in the inferred

oldest stratum S1 exhibits the highest sequence divergence with the homologous

W-linked region, and also the highest proportion of repetitive elements (CR1, Gypsy and

L1 elements; **Figure 4** shows the example of Gypsy; other repeats are shown in

Supplementary Figure 9). This can be explained by the effect of genetic drift[51], which

has been acting on the Z-linked S1 for the longest time since it reduced recombination

rate in females. As a result, the accumulated repeats of S1 also tend to have a higher

divergence level from the inferred ancestral consensus sequences compared to nearby

strata (**Figure 4**). Unexpectedly, a similar enrichment was found in the homologous

region of S1 in boa, despite being a recombining region and exhibiting the same coverage

depth between sexes (**Figure 4**, **Supplementary Figure 9**). This indicates the pattern is

partially contributed by the ancestral repeats that had already accumulated on the

proto-sex chromosomes of snake species. Since our current viper sex chromosomal

sequences used the green anole lizard chromosome 6 as a reference, rearrangements

within this chromosome make it impossible to test whether S2 encompasses more than

one stratum.

We dated the three resolved strata by constructing phylogenetic trees with

homologous Z- and W- linked gene sequences of multiple snake species. Combining the

published CDS sequences of pygmy rattlesnake (Viperidae family species) and garter

snake (Colubridae family species)[12], we found 31 homologous Z-W gene pairs,

representing the three strata. All of them clustered by chromosome (i.e., the Z-linked

sequences from all the species cluster together, separately from the W-linked ones) rather

than by species (**Supplementary Figure 10-12**). This indicates that all three strata

formed before the divergence of advanced snakes, and after their divergence from boa

and python, i.e., about 66.9 million years ago (**Figure 1C**).

We found robust evidence of functional degeneration on the W chromosome. It is

more susceptible to the invasion of TEs: the assembled sequences' overall repeat content

is at least 1.5 fold higher than that of the Z chromosome, especially in the LINE L1 (2.9

fold) and LTR Gypsy families (4.3 fold) (**Supplementary Table 11 and Supplementary**

Figure 13). Of 1,135 Z-linked genes, we were only able to identify 137 W-linked

homologs. Among these, 62 (45.26%) have probably become pseudogenes due to

nonsense mutations (**Supplementary Table 12**). W-linked loci generally transcribe at a

significantly (*P-value*<0.0005, Wilcoxon test) lower level, with pseudogenes transcribing

even lower relative to the autosomal or Z-linked loci regardless of the tissue type

(**Supplementary Figure 14-15**). Given such a chromosome-wide gene loss, like other

snakes[12] or majority of species with ZW sex chromosomes[52], the five-pacer viper shows a

generally male-biased gene expression throughout the Z-chromosome and probably has

not evolved global dosage compensation (**Supplementary Figure 16**).

Discussion

The 'snake-like' body plan has evolved repeatedly in other tetrapods (e.g., worm lizard

and caecilians), in which limb reduction/loss seems to have always been accompanied by

the body elongation. For example, several limb-patterning *Hox* genes (*Hoxc10*, *Hoxd13*)

identified as RSG also have been characterized by previous work with a changed

expression domain along the snake body axis[7,17]. Another RSG *Hoxa5* which is involved

in the forelimb patterning[31], also participates in lung morphogenesis[53]. It might have been

involved in the elimination of one of the snake lungs during evolution. Therefore, the

newly identified PSGs and RSGs throughout the snake phylogeny in this work

(**Supplementary Data 3-4, Supplementary Table 9**) can provide informative clues for

future experimental work to use snake as an emerging 'evo-devo' model[54] to understand

the genomic architecture of developmental regulatory network of organogenesis, or the

crosstalk between these networks.

Like many of its other reptile relatives, the snake ancestor is very likely to determine

the sex by temperature and does not have sex chromosomes. Extant species Boa can still

undergo occasional parthenogenesis and is able to produce viable WW offspring[55],

consistent with its the most primitive vertebrate sex chromosome pair reported to date. In

the ancestor of advanced snakes, we inferred that there were at least three recombination

suppressions occurred between Z/W, leading to a generally degenerated W chromosome

that we have observed in five-pacer viper. How snakes genetically determine the sex

would be a rather intriguing question to study in future.

Materials and Methods

Genome sequencing and assembly

All animal procedures were carried out with the approval of China National Genebank

animal ethics committee. We extracted genomic DNAs from blood of a male and a

female five-pacer viper separately. A total of 13 libraries with insert sizes ranging from

250bp to 40kb were constructed using female DNA, and 3 libraries with insert sizes from

250 bp to 800 bp were constructed using male DNA. We performed paired-end

sequencing (HiSeq 2000 platform) following manufacturer's protocol, and produced 528

Gb raw data (357 Gb for female and 171 Gb for male). We estimated the genome size

based on the K-mer distribution. A K-mer refers to an artificial sequence division of K

nucleotides iteratively from sequencing reads. The genome size can then be estimated

through the equation G=K_num/Peak_depth, where the K_num is the total number of

K-mer, and Peak_depth is the expected value of K-mer depth[56]. We found a single main

peak in the male K-mer (K=17) frequency distribution and an additional minor peak in

the female data, the latter of which probably results from the divergence between W and

Z chromosomes (**Supplementary Figure 1**). Based on the distribution, we estimated that

the genome size of this species is about 1.43 Gb (**Supplementary Table 2**), comparable

to that of other snakes (1.44 Gb and 1.66Gb for Burmese python and King cobra[10,16],

respectively).

After filtering out low-quality and duplicated reads, we performed additional filtering

using the following criteria: we excluded the reads from short-insert libraries (250, 500,

800 bp) with 'N's over 10% of the length or having more than 40 bases with the quality

lower than 7, and the reads from large-insert libraries (2 kb to 40 kb) with 'N's over 20%

of the length or having more than 30 bases with the quality lower than 7. Finally, 109.20

Gb (73X coverage) male reads and 148.49Gb (99X coverage) female reads were retained

for genome assembly **(Supplementary Table 1)** using SOAPdenovo[57]

(http://soap.genomics.org.cn). To assemble the female and male genomes, reads from

small-insert libraries of the female and male individual were used for contig construction

separately. Then read-pairs from small- and large-insert libraries were utilized to join the

contigs into scaffolds. We also used female long-insert libraries to join the male contigs

into the longer scaffolds. At last, small-insert libraries of female and male individuals

were used for gap closure for their respective genomes. The final assemblies of female

and male have a scaffold N50 length of 2.0 Mb and 2.1 Mb respectively, and the gap

content of the two genomes are both less than 6% (♀ 5.29%, ♂ 5.61%)**(Supplementary**

Table 4).

To access the assembly quality, reads from small-insert libraries that passed our

filtering criteria were aligned onto the two assemblies using BWA[58] (Version: 0.5.9-r16)

allowing 8 mismatches and 1 indel per read. A total of ~97% reads can be mapped back

to the draft genome (**Supplementary Table 3**), spanning 98% of the assembled regions

excluding gaps (**Supplementary Table 13**), and most genomic bases were covered by

about 80X reads **(Supplementary Figure 17)**. Thus we conclude that we have assembled

most part of the five-pacer viper genome. To further test for potential mis-joining of the

contigs into scaffolds, we analyzed the paired-end information and found that 57% of the

paired-end reads can be aligned uniquely with the expected orientation and distance. This

proportion of the long insert library is significantly lower than that from the short insert

libraries due to a circulization step during the library construction. When such

paired-ends were excluded, the proportion increased to 94.98% (**Supplementary Table**

3). Overall, these tests suggested that the contigs and scaffolds are consistent with the

extremely high density of paired-end reads, which in turn indicated the high-quality of

the assembly.

Previous cytogenetic studies showed that snake genomes show extensive

inter-chromosomal conservation with lizard[27,45]. Thus we used the chromosomal

information from green anole lizard[24] as a proxy to assign the snake scaffolds. We first

constructed their orthologous relationship combining information of synteny and

reciprocal best BLAST hits (RBH). Then gene coordinates and strandedness from the

consensus chromosome were used to place and orient the snake scaffolds. Furthermore,

we linked scaffolds into chromosomes with 600 'N's separating the adjacent scaffolds. In

total, 625 five-pacer viper scaffolds comprising 832Mb (56.50% scaffolds in length) were

anchored to 5 autosomes and Z chromosome (**Supplementary Table 6**).

Repeat and gene annotation

We identified the repetitive elements in the genome combining both homology-based and

de novo predictions. We utilized the 'Tetrapoda' repeat consensus library in Repbase[59] for

RepeatMasker (http://www.repeatmasker.org) to annotate all the known repetitive

elements in the five-pacer viper genome. In order to maximize the identification and

classification of repeat elements, we further used RepeatModeler

(http://www.repeatmasker.org/RepeatModeler.html) to construct the consensus repeat

sequence libraries of the green anole lizard, boa and five-pacer viper, then used them as a

query to identify repetitive elements using RepeatMasker. Finally, we retrieved a

non-redundant annotation for each species after combining all the annotation results

using libraries of 'Tetrapoda', 'green anole lizard', 'boa' and 'five-pacer viper'. For the

purpose of comparison, we ran the same pipeline and parameters in all the snake and

lizard genomes as shown in **Supplementary Table 7**. To provide a baseline estimate for

the sequence divergence of TEs from the snake ancestral status, we first merged the

genomes from boa and five-pacer viper, and constructed the putative ancestral consensus

sequences using RepeatModeler. Then TE sequences of each snake species were aligned

to the consensus sequence to estimate their divergence level using RepeatMasker.

For gene annotation, we combined resources of sequence homology, *de novo*

prediction and transcriptome to build consensus gene models of the reference genome.

Protein sequences of green lizard, chicken and human were aligned to the reference

assembly using TBLASTN (E-value <= 1E-5)[60]. Then the candidate gene regions were

refined by GeneWise[61] for more accurate splicing sites and gene models. We randomly

selected 1000 homology-based genes to train Augustus[62] for *de novo* prediction on the

pre-masked genome sequences. We mapped RNA-seq reads of 13 samples to the genome

using TopHat (v1.3.1)[63] and then assembled the transcripts by Cufflinks (v1.3.0)

(http://cufflinks.cbcb.umd.edu/). Transcripts from different samples were merged by

Cuffmerge. Finally, gene models from these three methods were combined into a

non-redundant gene set.

We finally obtained 21,194 protein-coding genes with intact open reading frames

(ORFs) **(Supplementary Table 14)**. The gene models (measured by gene length, mRNA

length, exon number and exon length) are comparable to those of other vertebrates and

are well supported by the RNA-Seq data **(Supplementary Figure 18 and**

Supplementary Table 5). To annotate the gene names for each predicted protein-coding

locus, we first mapped all the 21,194 genes to a manually collected Ensembl gene library,

which consists of all proteins from *Anolis carolinensis, Gallus gallus, Homo sapiens,*

Xenopus tropicalis and Danio rerio. Then the best hit of each snake gene was retained

based on its BLAST alignment score, and the gene name of this best hit gene was

assigned to the query snake gene. Most of the predicted genes can be found for their

orthologous genes in the library at a threshold of 80% alignment rate (the aligned length

divided by the original protein length), suggesting our annotation has a high quality

(Supplementary Table 15).

RNA-seq and gene expression analyses

Total RNAs were isolated from four types of tissues collected from both sexes, including

brain, liver, venom gland and gonad **(Supplementary Table 16).** RNA sequencing

libraries were constructed using the Illumina mRNA-Seq Prep Kit. Briefly, oligo(dT)

magnetic beads were used to purify poly-A containing mRNA molecules. The mRNAs

were further fragmented and randomly primed during the first strand synthesis by reverse

transcription. This procedure was followed by a second-strand synthesis with DNA

polymerase I to create double-stranded cDNA fragments. The cDNAs were subjected to

end-repairing by Klenow and T4 DNA polymerases and A-tailed by Klenow lacking

exonuclease activity. The fragments were ligated to Illumina Paired-End Sequencing

adapters, size selected by gel electrophoresis and then PCR amplified to complete the

library preparation. The paired-end libraries were sequenced using Illumina HiSeq 2000

(90/100 bp at each end).

We used TopHat (v1.3.1) for aligning the RNA-seq reads and predicting the splicing

junctions with the following parameters: -I/--max-intron-length: 10000, --segment-length:

25, --library-type: fr-firststrand, --mate-std-dev 10, -r/--mate-inner-dist: 20. Gene

expression was measured by reads per kilobase of gene per million mapped reads

(RPKM). To minimize the influence of different samples, RPKMs were adjusted by a

scaling method based on TMM (trimmed mean of M values; M values mean the log

expression ratios)[64] which assumes that the majority of genes are common to all samples

and should not be differentially expressed.

Evolution analyses

A phylogenetic tree of the five-pacer viper and the other sequenced genomes (*Xenopus*

tropicalis, Homo sapiens, Mus musculus, Gallus gallus, Chelonia mydas, Alligator

mississippiensis, Anolis carolinensis, Boa constrictor, Python bivittatus and *Ophiophagus*

hannah) was constructed using the 5,353 orthologous single-copy genes. Treebest

(http://treesoft.sourceforge.net/treebest.shtml) was used to construct the phylogenetic tree.

To estimate the divergence times between species, for each species, 4-fold degenerate

sites were extracted from each orthologous family and concatenated to one sequence for

each species. The MCMCtree program implemented in the Phylogenetic Analysis by

Maximum Likelihood (PAML)[65] package was used to estimate the species divergence

time. Calibration time was obtained from the TimeTree database

(http://www.timetree.org/). Three calibration points were applied in this study as normal

priors to constrain the age of the nodes described below. 61.5-100.5 MA for the most

recent common ancestor (TMRCA) of human-mouse; 259.7-299.8 MA for TMRCA of

Crocodylidae and Lepidosauria; 235- 250.4 MA for TMRCA of Aves and Crocodylidae[66].

To examine the evolution of gene families in Squamate reptiles, genes from four

snakes (*Boa constrictor, Python bivittatus, Deinagkistrodon acutus, Ophiophagus*

hannah) and green anole lizard were clusterred into gene families by Treefam

(min_weight=10, min_density=0.34, and max_size=500) [67]. The family expansion or

contraction analysis was performed by CAFE[68]. In CAFE, a random birth-and-death

model was proposed to study gene gain and loss in gene families across a user-specified

phylogenetic tree. A global parameter λ (lambda), which described both gene birth (λ)

and death ($\mu = -\lambda$) rate across all branches in the tree for all gene families was estimated

using maximum likelihood method. A conditional p-value was calculated for each gene

family, and the families with conditional p-values lower than 0.05 were considered to

have a significantly accelerated rate of expansion and contraction.

For the PAML analyses, we first assigned orthologous relationships for 12,657 gene

groups among all Squamata and outgroup (turtle) using the reciprocal best blast hit

algorithm and syntenic information. We used PRANK[69] to align the orthologous gene

sequences, which takes phylogenetic information into account when placing a gap into

the alignment. We filtered the PRANK alignments by gblocks[70] and excluded genes with

high proportion of low complexity or repetitive sequences to avoid alignment errors. To

identify the genes that evolve under positive selection (PSGs), we performed likelihood

ratio test (LRT) using the branch model by PAML[65]. We first performed a LRT of the

two-ratio model, which calculates the dN/dS ratio for the lineage of interest and the

background lineage, against the one-ratio model assuming a uniform dN/dS ratio across all

branches, so that to determine whether the focal lineage is evolving significantly faster

(p-value < 0.05). In order to differentiate between episodes of positive selection and

relaxation of purifying selection (RSGs), we performed a LRT of two-ratios model

against the model that fixed the focal lineage's dN/dS ratio to be 1 (p-value < 0.05) and

also required PSGs with the free-ratio model dN/dS > 1 at the focal lineage. For the

identified RSGs and PSGs, we used their mouse orthologs' mutant phenotype

information[71] and performed enrichment analyses using MamPhEA[72]. Then we grouped

the enriched MP terms by different tissue types.

Olfactory receptor (OR), *Hox* and venom gene annotation

To identify the nearly complete functional gene repertoire of OR, *Hox* and venom toxin

genes in the investigated species, we first collected known amino acid sequences of 458

intact OR genes from three species (green anole lizard, chicken and zebra finch)[73], all

annotated *Hox* genes from *Mus musculus* and *HoxC3* from *Xenopus tropicalis*, and

obtained the query sequences of a total of 35 venom gene families[74] from UniProt

(http://www.uniprot.org/) and NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). These 35 venom

gene families represent the vast majority of known snake venoms. Then we performed a

TBlastN[60] search with the cutoff E-value of 1E-5 against the genomic data using these

query sequences. Aligned sequence fragments were combined into one predicted gene

using perl scripts if they belonged to the same query protein. Then each candidate gene

region was extended for 2kb from both ends to predict its open reading frame by

GeneWise[61]. Obtained sequences were verified as corresponding genes by BlastP

searches against NCBI nonredundant (nr) database. Redundant annotations within

overlapped genomic regions were removed.

For the OR gene prediction, these candidates were classified into functional genes

and nonfunctional pseudogenes. If a sequence contained any disruptive frame-shift

mutations and/or premature stop codons, it was annotated as a pseudogene. The

remaining genes were examined using TMHMM2.0[75]. Those OR genes containing more

at least 6 transmembrane (TM) structures were considered as intact candidates and the

rest were also considered as pseudogenes. Finally, each OR sequence identified was

searched against the HORDE (the Human Olfactory Data Explorer) database

(http://genome.weizmann.ac.il/horde/) using the FASTA (ftp://ftp.virginia.edu/pub/fasta)

and classified into the different families according to their best-aligned human OR

sequence. For the venom toxin genes, we only kept these genes with RPKM higher than 1

in the five-pacer viper and king cobra venom gland tissue as final toxin gene set.

Identification and analyses of sex-linked genes

To identify the Z-linked scaffolds in the male assembly, we aligned the female and male reads to the male genome separately with BWA[58] allowing 2 mismatches and 1 indel. Scaffolds with less than 80% alignment coverage (excluding gaps) or shorter than 500 bp in length were excluded. Then single-base depths were calculated using SAMtools[76], with which we calculated the coverage and mean depth for each scaffold. The expected male vs. female (M:F) scaled ratio of a Z-linked scaffold is equal to 2, and we defined a Z-linked scaffold with the variation of an observed scaled ratio to be less than 20% (i.e. 1.6 to 2.4). With this criteria, we identified 139 Z-lined scaffolds, representing 76.93Mb with a scaffold N50 of 962 kb (**Supplementary Table 17**). These Z-linked scaffolds were organized into pseudo-chromosome sequence based on their homology with green anole lizard. Another characteristic pattern of the Z-linked scaffolds is that there should be more heterozygous SNPs in the male individual than in the female individual resulted from their hemizygous state in female. We used SAMtools[76] for SNP/indel calling. SNPs and indels whose read depths were too low (<10) or too high (>120), or qualities lower than 100 were excluded. As expected, the frequency of heterozygous sites of Z chromosome of the female individual is much lower than that of the male individual (0.005% vs 0.08%), while the heterozygous rate of autosomes are similar in both sex (~0.1%) (**Supplementary Table 18**). To identify the W-linked scaffolds, we used the similar strategy as the Z-linked scaffold detection to obtain the coverage and mean depth of each scaffold. Then we identified those scaffolds covered by female reads over 80% of the length, and by male reads with less than 20% of the length. With this method, we identified 33 Mb W-linked scaffolds with a scaffold N50 of 48 kb (**Supplementary Table 19**).

We used the protein sequences of Z/W gametologs from garter snake and pygmy rattle snake[12] as queries and aligned them to the genomes of boa (the SGA assembly, http://gigadb.org/dataset/100060), five-pacer viper and king cobra with BLAST[60]. The best aligned (cutoff: identity>=70%, coverage>=50%) region with extended flanking sequences of 5kb at both ends was then used to determine whether it contains an intact

open reading frame (ORF) by GeneWise[61] (-tfor -genesf -gff -sum). We annotated the

ORF as disrupted when GeneWise reported at least one premature stop codon or

frame-shift mutation. CDS sequences of single-copy genes' Z/W gametologs were

aligned by MUSCLE[77] and the resulting alignments were cleaned by gblocks[70] (-b4=5,

-t=c, -e=-gb). Only alignments longer than 300bp were used for constructing maximum

likelihood trees by RAxML[78] to infer whether their residing evolutionary stratum is

shared among species or specific to lineages.

References

1   Greene, H. W. *Snakes: the evolution of mystery in nature*.   (Univ of California
629   Press, 1997).

630   2   Tchernov, E., Rieppel, O., Zaher, H., Polcyn, M. J. & Jacobs, L. L. A fossil snake
631   with limbs. *Science* **287**, 2010-2012 (2000).

632   3   Cohn, M. J. & Tickle, C. Developmental basis of limblessness and axial
633   patterning in snakes. *Nature* **399**, 474-479, doi:10.1038/20944 (1999).

634   4   Apesteguia, S. & Zaher, H. A Cretaceous terrestrial snake with robust hindlimbs
635   and a sacrum. *Nature* **440**, 1037-1040, doi:10.1038/nature04413 (2006).

636   5   Gracheva, E. O. *et al.* Molecular basis of infrared detection by snakes. *Nature* **464**,
637   1006-1011, doi:10.1038/nature08943 (2010).

638   6   Vonk, F. J. *et al.* Evolutionary origin and development of snake fangs. *Nature* **454**,
639   630-633, doi:10.1038/nature07178 (2008).

640   7   Di-Poi, N. *et al.* Changes in Hox genes' structure and function during the
641   evolution of the squamate body plan. *Nature* **464**, 99-103,
642   doi:10.1038/nature08789 (2010).

643   8   Guerreiro, I. *et al.* Role of a polymorphism in a Hox/Pax-responsive enhancer in
644   the evolution of the vertebrate spine. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**, 10682-10686,
645   doi:10.1073/pnas.1300592110 (2013).

646   9   Fry, B. G. From genome to "venome": molecular origin and evolution of the
647   snake venom proteome inferred from phylogenetic analysis of toxin sequences
648   and related body proteins. *Genome Res* **15**, 403-420, doi:10.1101/gr.3228405

(2005).

10   Vonk, F. J. *et al.* The king cobra genome reveals dynamic gene evolution and

adaptation in the snake venom system. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**,

20651-20656, doi:10.1073/pnas.1314702110 (2013).

11   Fry, B. G., Vidal, N., van der Weerd, L., Kochva, E. & Renjifo, C. Evolution and

diversification of the Toxicofera reptile venom system. *J Proteomics* **72**, 127-136,

doi:10.1016/j.jprot.2009.01.009 (2009).

12   Vicoso, B., Emerson, J. J., Zektser, Y., Mahajan, S. & Bachtrog, D. Comparative

sex chromosome genomics in snakes: differentiation, evolutionary strata, and lack

of global dosage compensation. *PLoS Biol* **11**, e1001643,

doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001643 (2013).

13   Ohno, S. *Sex Chromosomes and Sex-linked Genes*. Vol. 1967 (Springer Berlin

Heidelberg, 1967).

14   Kaiser, V. B. & Bachtrog, D. Evolution of sex chromosomes in insects. *Annu Rev*

Genet **44**, 91-112, doi:10.1146/annurev-genet-102209-163600 (2010).

15   Westergaard, M. The mechanism of sex determination in dioecious flowering

plants. *Adv Genet* **9**, 217-281 (1958).

16   Castoe, T. A. *et al.* The Burmese python genome reveals the molecular basis for

extreme adaptation in snakes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**, 20645-20650,

doi:10.1073/pnas.1314475110 (2013).

17   Woltering, J. M. *et al.* Axial patterning in snakes and caecilians: evidence for an

alternative interpretation of the Hox code. *Dev Biol* **332**, 82-89,

doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2009.04.031 (2009).

18   Head, J. J. & Polly, P. D. Evolution of the snake body form reveals homoplasy in

amniote Hox gene function. *Nature* **520**, 86-89, doi:10.1038/nature14042 (2015).

19   Gomez, C. *et al.* Control of segment number in vertebrate embryos. *Nature* **454**,

335-339, doi:10.1038/nature07020 (2008).

20   Zhang, B. *et al.* Transcriptome analysis of Deinagkistrodon acutus venomous

gland focusing on cellular structure and functional aspects using expressed

sequence tags. *BMC Genomics* **7** (2006).

21   Bradnam, K. R. *et al.* Assemblathon 2: evaluating de novo methods of genome

680   assembly in three vertebrate species. *Gigascience* **2**, 10,

681   doi:10.1186/2047-217X-2-10 (2013).

682   22   Lin, X. *et al.* The effect of the fibrinolytic enzyme FIIa from Agkistrodon acutus

683   venom on acute pulmonary thromboembolism. *Acta Pharmacologica Sinica* **32**,

684   239-244 (2011).

685   23   Li, Z. *et al.* Comparison of the two major classes of assembly algorithms:

686   overlap-layout-consensus and de-bruijn-graph. *Brief Funct Genomics* **11**, 25-37,

687   doi:10.1093/bfgp/elr035 (2012).

688   24   Alfoldi, J. *et al.* The genome of the green anole lizard and a comparative analysis

689   with birds and mammals. *Nature* **477**, 587-591, doi:10.1038/nature10390 (2011).

690   25   Pyron, R. A. & Burbrink, F. T. Extinction, ecological opportunity, and the origins

691   of global snake diversity. *Evolution* **66**, 163-178,

692   doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2011.01437.x (2012).

693   26   Yunfang, Q., Xingfu, X., Youjin, Y., Fuming, D. & Meihua., H. Chromosomal

694   studies on six species of venomous snakes in Zhejiang. *Acta Zoologica Sinica* **273**,

695   218-227 (1981).

696   27   Srikulnath, K. *et al.* Karyotypic evolution in squamate reptiles: comparative gene

697   mapping revealed highly conserved linkage homology between the butterfly

698   lizard (Leiolepis reevesii rubritaeniata, Agamidae, Lacertilia) and the Japanese

699   four-striped rat snake (Elaphe quadrivirgata, Colubridae, Serpentes). *Chromosome*

700   *Res* **17**, 975-986, doi:10.1007/s10577-009-9101-7 (2009).

701   28   Yang, Z. Likelihood ratio tests for detecting positive selection and application to

702   primate lysozyme evolution. *Mol Biol Evol* **15**, 568-573 (1998).

703   29   Martill, D. M., Tischlinger, H. & Longrich, N. R. EVOLUTION. A four-legged

704   snake from the Early Cretaceous of Gondwana. *Science* **349**, 416-419,

705   doi:10.1126/science.aaa9208 (2015).

706   30   Held, J. *How the Snake Lost Its Legs: Curious Tales from the Frontier of*

707   *Evo-Devo*.   (Cambridge University Press, 2014).

31   Xu, B. *et al.* Hox5 interacts with Plzf to restrict Shh expression in the developing

forelimb. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**, 19438-19443,

doi:10.1073/pnas.1315075110 (2013).

32   Boulet, A. M. & Capecchi, M. R. Multiple roles of Hoxa11 and Hoxd11 in the

formation of the mammalian forelimb zeugopod. *Development* **131**, 299-309,

doi:10.1242/dev.00936 (2004).

33   King, M., Arnold, J. S., Shanske, A. & Morrow, B. E. T-genes and limb bud

development. *Am J Med Genet A* **140**, 1407-1413, doi:10.1002/ajmg.a.31250

(2006).

34   Logan, M. & Tabin, C. J. Role of Pitx1 upstream of Tbx4 in specification of

hindlimb identity. *Science* **283**, 1736-1739 (1999).

35   Wellik, D. M. & Capecchi, M. R. Hox10 and Hox11 genes are required to

globally pattern the mammalian skeleton. *Science* **301**, 363-367,

doi:10.1126/science.1085672 (2003).

36   McGrew, M. J., Dale, J. K., Fraboulet, S. & Pourquie, O. The lunatic fringe gene

is a target of the molecular clock linked to somite segmentation in avian embryos.

Curr Biol **8**, 979-982 (1998).

37   Muragaki, Y., Mundlos, S., Upton, J. & Olsen, B. R. Altered growth and

branching patterns in synpolydactyly caused by mutations in HOXD13. *Science*

272, 548-551 (1996).

38   Kaske, S. *et al.* TRPM5, a taste-signaling transient receptor potential ion-channel,

is a ubiquitous signaling component in chemosensory cells. *BMC Neuroscience* **8**,

49 (2007).

39   Dehara, Y. *et al.* Characterization of squamate olfactory receptor genes and their

transcripts by the high-throughput sequencing approach. *Genome Biol Evol* **4**,

602-616, doi:10.1093/gbe/evs041 (2012).

40   Khan, I. *et al.* Olfactory receptor subgenomes linked with broad ecological

adaptations in Sauropsida. *Mol Biol Evol* **32**, 2832-2843,

doi:10.1093/molbev/msv155 (2015).

41   Hayden, S. *et al.* A cluster of olfactory receptor genes linked to frugivory in bats.

Mol Biol Evol **31**, 917-927, doi:10.1093/molbev/msu043 (2014).

42 Daltry, J. C., Wuster, W. & Thorpe, R. S. Diet and snake venom evolution. *Nature*
379, 537-540, doi:10.1038/379537a0 (1996).

43 Hargreaves, A. D., Swain, M. T., Hegarty, M. J., Logan, D. W. & Mulley, J. F.
Restriction and recruitment-gene duplication and the origin and evolution of
snake venom toxins. *Genome Biol Evol* **6**, 2088-2095, doi:10.1093/gbe/evu166
(2014).

44 Casewell, N. R., Harrison, R. A., Wuster, W. & Wagstaff, S. C. Comparative
venom gland transcriptome surveys of the saw-scaled vipers (Viperidae: Echis)
reveal substantial intra-family gene diversity and novel venom transcripts. *BMC*
Genomics **10**, 564, doi:10.1186/1471-2164-10-564 (2009).

45 Matsubara, K. *et al.* Evidence for different origin of sex chromosomes in snakes,
birds, and mammals and step-wise differentiation of snake sex chromosomes.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **103**, 18190-18195, doi:10.1073/pnas.0605274103
(2006).

46 Ohno, S. *Sex chromosomes and sex-linked genes*. Vol. 1 (Springer, 1967).

47 Zhou, Q. *et al.* Complex evolutionary trajectories of sex chromosomes across bird
taxa. *Science* **346**, 1246338, doi:10.1126/science.1246338 (2014).

48 Cortez, D. *et al.* Origins and functional evolution of Y chromosomes across
mammals. *Nature* **508**, 488-493, doi:10.1038/nature13151 (2014).

49 Nicolas, M. *et al.* A gradual process of recombination restriction in the
evolutionary history of the sex chromosomes in dioecious plants. *PLoS Biol* **3**, e4,
doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0030004 (2005).

50 Li, W. H., Yi, S. & Makova, K. Male-driven evolution. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **12**,
650-656 (2002).

51 Vicoso, B. & Charlesworth, B. Evolution on the X chromosome: unusual patterns
and processes. *Nat Rev Genet* **7**, 645-653, doi:10.1038/nrg1914 (2006).

52 Mank, J. E. The W, X, Y and Z of sex-chromosome dosage compensation. *Trends*
Genet **25**, 226-233, doi:10.1016/j.tig.2009.03.005 (2009).

53 Boucherat, O. *et al.* Partial functional redundancy between Hoxa5 and Hoxb5
paralog genes during lung morphogenesis. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol*

304, L817-L830 (2013).

54   Guerreiro, I. & Duboule, D. Snakes: hatching of a model system for Evo-Devo?
Int J Dev Biol **58**, 727-732, doi:10.1387/ijdb.150026dd (2014).

55   Booth, W., Johnson, D. H., Moore, S., Schal, C. & Vargo, E. L. Evidence for
viable, non-clonal but fatherless Boa constrictors. *Biol Lett* **7**, 253-256,
doi:10.1098/rsbl.2010.0793 (2011).

56   Li, R. *et al.* The sequence and de novo assembly of the giant panda genome.
Nature **463**, 311-317, doi:10.1038/nature08696 (2010).

57   Luo, R. *et al.* SOAPdenovo2: an empirically improved memory-efficient
short-read de novo assembler. *Gigascience* **1**, 18, doi:10.1186/2047-217X-1-18
(2012).

58   Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
transform. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1754-1760, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324
(2009).

59   Jurka, J. Repbase update: a database and an electronic journal of repetitive
elements. *Trends Genet* **16**, 418-420 (2000).

60   Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W. & Lipman, D. J. Basic local
alignment search tool. *J Mol Biol* **215**, 403-410,
doi:10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2 (1990).

61   Birney, E., Clamp, M. & Durbin, R. GeneWise and Genomewise. *Genome Res* **14**,
988-995, doi:10.1101/gr.1865504 (2004).

62   Stanke, M., Steinkamp, R., Waack, S. & Morgenstern, B. AUGUSTUS: a web
server for gene finding in eukaryotes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **32**, W309-312,
doi:10.1093/nar/gkh379 (2004).

63   Trapnell, C., Pachter, L. & Salzberg, S. L. TopHat: discovering splice junctions
with RNA-Seq. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1105-1111, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp120
(2009).

64   Robinson, M. D. & Oshlack, A. A scaling normalization method for differential
expression analysis of RNA-seq data. *Genome Biol* **11**, R25,
doi:10.1186/gb-2010-11-3-r25 (2010).

65   Yang, Z. PAML 4: phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. *Mol Biol Evol*
800   **24**, 1586-1591, doi:10.1093/molbev/msm088 (2007).

801   66   Benton, M. J. & Donoghue, P. C. Paleontological evidence to date the tree of life.
802   *Mol Biol Evol* **24**, 26-53, doi:10.1093/molbev/msl150 (2007).

803   67   Li, H. *et al.* TreeFam: a curated database of phylogenetic trees of animal gene
804   families. *Nucleic Acids Res* **34**, D572-580, doi:10.1093/nar/gkj118 (2006).

805   68   De Bie, T., Cristianini, N., Demuth, J. P. & Hahn, M. W. CAFE: a computational
806   tool for the study of gene family evolution. *Bioinformatics* **22**, 1269-1271,
807   doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btl097 (2006).

808   69   Loytynoja, A. & Goldman, N. An algorithm for progressive multiple alignment of
809   sequences with insertions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**, 10557-10562,
810   doi:10.1073/pnas.0409137102 (2005).

811   70   Talavera, G. & Castresana, J. Improvement of phylogenies after removing
812   divergent and ambiguously aligned blocks from protein sequence alignments. *Syst
813   Biol* **56**, 564-577, doi:10.1080/10635150701472164 (2007).

814   71   Bult, C. J. *et al.* Mouse genome database 2016. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**, D840-847,
815   doi:10.1093/nar/gkv1211 (2016).

816   72   Weng, M. P. & Liao, B. Y. MamPhEA: a web tool for mammalian phenotype
817   enrichment analysis. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 2212-2213,
818   doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq359 (2010).

819   73   Steiger, S. S., Kuryshev, V. Y., Stensmyr, M. C., Kempenaers, B. & Mueller, J. C.
820   A comparison of reptilian and avian olfactory receptor gene repertoires:
821   species-specific expansion of group gamma genes in birds. *BMC Genomics* **10**,
822   446, doi:10.1186/1471-2164-10-446 (2009).

823   74   Mackessy, S. P. *Handbook of venoms and toxins of reptiles*.   (CRC Press, 2016).

824   75   Krogh, A., Larsson, B., von Heijne, G. & Sonnhammer, E. L. Predicting
825   transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: application to
826   complete genomes. *J Mol Biol* **305**, 567-580, doi:10.1006/jmbi.2000.4315 (2001).

827   76   Li, H. *et al.* The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*
828   **25**, 2078-2079, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352 (2009).

829   77   Edgar, R. C. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high

830   throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res* **32**, 1792-1797, doi:10.1093/nar/gkh340 (2004).

831   78   Stamatakis, A. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and

832   post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 1312-1313,

833   doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033 (2014).

 Figure Legend

Figure 1. The comparative genomic landscape of five-pacer viper

(**A**) *Deinagkistrodon acutus* (five-pacer viper) and eight adult tissues used in this study.

(**B**) Circos plot showing the linkage group assignment using lizard chromosomes as

reference (outmost circle), normalized female vs. male mapped read coverage ratio (blue

line) and GC-isochore structures of five-pacer viper (red), boa (yellow) and green anole

lizard (green). Both snake genomes have a much higher variation of local GC content

than that of green anole lizard. (**C**) Phylogenomic tree constructed using fourfold

degenerate sites from 8006 single-copy orthologous genes. We also showed bootstrapping

percentages, the numbers of inferred gene family expansion (in green) and contraction

(red), and corresponding phylogenetic terms at each node. MRCA: most recent common

ancestor.

Figure 2. Genomic and transcriptomic variation of snake transposable elements

(A) Violin plots showing each type of TE's frequency distribution of sequence divergence

level from the inferred ancestral consensus sequences. Clustering of TEs with similar

divergence levels, manifested as the 'bout' of the violin, corresponds to the burst of TE

amplification. **(B)** Bar plots comparing the genome-wide TE content between four snake

species. TE families were annotated combining information of sequence homology and

de novo prediction. **(C)** TE's average normalized expression level (measured by RPKM)

across different tissues in five-pace viper.

Figure 3. Evolution of snake genes and gene families

(**A**) Phylogenetic distribution of mutant phenotypes (MP) of mouse orthologs of snakes.

Each MP term is shown by an organ icon, and significantly enriched for snake genes

undergoing positive selection (red) or relaxed selective constraints (gray) inferred by

lineage-specific PAML analyses.   (**B**) We show the four *Hox* gene clusters of snakes,

with each box showing the ratio of nonsynonymous (dN) over synonymous substitution

(dS) rate at the snake ancestor lineage. White boxes represent genes that haven't been

calculated for their ratios due to the genome assembly issue in species other than

five-pacer viper. Boxes with dotted line refer to genes with dS approaching 0, therefore

the dN/dS ratio cannot be directly shown. Each cluster contains up to 13 *Hox* genes with

some of them lost during evolution. We also marked certain *Hox* genes undergoing

positive selection (in red) or relaxed selective constraints (in green) at a specific lineage

above the box. Each lineage was denoted as: S: *Serpentes* (ancestor of all snakes), H:

Henophidia (ancestor of boa and python), B: *Boa constrictor*, P: *Python bivittatus*, C:

Colubroidea, D: *Deinagkistrodon acutus*, O: *Ophiophagus hannah*. (**C**) Comparing

olfactory receptor (OR) gene repertoire between boa, viper and lizard. Each cell

corresponds to a certain OR family (shown at y-axis) gene number on a certain

chromosome (x-axis). (**D**) Pie chart shows the composition of normalized venom gland

transcripts of male five-pacer viper. The heatmap shows the normalized expression level

(in RPKM) across different tissues of viper and king cobra. We grouped the venom genes

by their time of origination, shown at the bottom x-axis.

Figure 4. Snake sex chromosomes have at least three evolution strata

The three tracks in the top panel shows female read depths along the Z chromosome

relative to the median depth value of autosomes, Z/W pairwise sequence divergence

within intergenic regions, and female read depths of W-linked sequence fragments

relative to the median depth value of autosomes. Depths close to 1 suggest that the region

is a recombining pseudoautosomal region (PAR), whereas depths of 0.5 are expected in a

highly differentiated fully sex-linked region where females are hemizygous. The

identifiable W-linked fragments are much denser at the region 56Mb~70Mb, probably

because this region (denoted as stratum 3, S3) has suppressed recombination most

recently. S2 and S1 were identified and demarcated by characterizing the sequence

conservation level (measured by LASTZ alignment score, blue line) between the chrZs of

boa and viper. At the oldest stratum S1 where recombination has been suppressed for the

longest time, there is an enrichment of repetitive elements on the affected Z-linked region

(Gypsy track in red, 100kb non-overlapping sliding window). And these Z-linked TEs A

similar pattern was found in homologous recombining region of boa, but not in lizard.

 Supplementary Figure Legend

Supplementary Figure 1. K-mer estimation of the genome size of five-pacer viper.

Distribution of 17-mer frequency in the used sequencing reads from female (left) and

male (right) samples. The x-axis represents the sequencing depth. The Y-axis represents

the proportion of a *K*-mer counts in total *K*-mer counts at a given sequencing depth. The

estimated genome size is about 1.43 Gb.

Supplementary Figure 2. GC isochore structure of different tetrapod genomes.

We show the standard deviation (SD) of GC content calculated with different window

size (3 kb to 320 kb) for different vertebrate genomes.

Supplementary Figure 3. Comparing expression levels of genes nearby expressed TEs

in each tissue.

We show expression patterns of genes around highly expressed TE (RPKM > 5) in

different tissues from both sexes, including brain, liver, venom gland and gonad. We also

performed comparison between the focal tissue vs. the other tissues. We show levels of

significance with asterisks. *: 0.001=< *P*-value <0.01; **: 0.0001 =< *P*<0.001; ***:

P<0.0001.

Supplementary Figure 4. Comparison of *Hox* gene structure between snakes and lizard.

Schematic representation of four *Hox* clusters in anole lizard, boa, Burmese python,

five-pacer viper and king cobra. Each number from 1 to 13 denotes the specific *Hox* gene

belonging to one cluster. We showed the length difference between each species vs.

mouse by the colored lines (for intergenic regions) or boxes (for intronic regions): a

1.5~3 fold increase of length was shown by blue, a more than 3-fold increase was shown

in red. Exons were shown by vertical lines, and dotted lines refer to exons with unknown

boundaries, either due to assembly issues. Double-slashes refer to the gap between two

different scaffolds.

Supplementary Figure 5. Repeat accumulation at *Hox* gene clusters.

Comparison of the TE and simple repeat content of *Hox* cluster genes with 5kb flanking

regions between snakes and lizard. We calculated the repeat density by dividing the total

length of specific repeat sequence vs. the length of corresponding region. This density was

normalized over the genome-wide repeat density and then shown by heatmap.

Supplementary Figure 6. Phylogenetic distribution of enriched MP terms.

We identified enriched mutant phenotypes (MP) of mouse orthologs of snake genes that

are undergoing lineage-specific positive selection (red) and relaxed selective constraints

(gray). And then we mapped these MP terms onto the snake phylogeny.

Supplementary Figure 7. Read coverage density plot of different linkage groups.

For each linkage group (from left to right, chrW, chrZ, chr1), male reads were plotted in

blue, and female reads in red. The identified chrW scaffolds in this work all show a

female-specific read depth pattern.

Supplementary Figure 8. Male-driven evolution effect in snakes.

For each gene, we calculated the substitution rates between anole lizard and each of boa,

Burmese python, five-pacer viper and king cobra at synonymous sites (dS) and

non-synonymous sites (dN) divided into different chromosome sets. To detect

branch-specific differences, we obtained for each gene the ratios of these evolutionary

rates between the different snake species vs. boa. Since boa's homologous chromosomal

region to the Z chromosomes of other advanced snakes represent the ancestral status of

snake sex chromosomes, a higher relative ratios of Z-linked dS of advanced snakes vs.

boa than those of autosomes indicate the male-driven evolution effect. We shown the

Wilcoxon test significant differences between the Z chromosome and the autosomes

(chr1-5) are marked with asterisks (***, *P*-value < 0.001).

Supplementary Figure 9. Repeat accumulation along the snake sex chromosomes.

Shown are comparisons of the distribution of Gypsy, CR1 and L1 content along the

chromosome in anole lizard, Boa and five-pacer viper. The TE content was calculated by

averaging the TE density of each sliding window of 100kb as well as the flanking 10

windows.

Supplementary Figure 10. Gene trees for Z and W linked gametologs in S1 region.

Shown are maximum likelihood (ML) trees using coding regions of Z and W allelic

sequences from multiple snake species, with the gene name under each tree and

bootstrapping values at each node. Trees that show separate clustering of Z- or W- linked

gametologs provide strong evidence that these genes suppressed recombination before the

speciation.

Supplementary Figure 11. Gene trees for Z and W linked gametologs in S2 region.

Supplementary Figure 12. Gene trees for Z and W linked gametologs in S3 region.

Supplementary Figure 13. Comparison of repeat content between viper chrZ and chrW.

TE families were determined based on the combined annotations of Repbase,

RepeatModeler, and coverage in the genome was annotated using in-house scripts.

Supplementary Figure 14. Comparison of gene expression across different

chromosomes.

We show gene expression patterns between different chromosome sets across tissues.

'pseudo-W' refers to W-linked genes that have premature stop codons or frameshift

mutations

Supplementary Figure 15. Pairwise comparison of gene expression levels between

homologous Z and W alleles.

Supplementary Figure 16. Gene expression along the Z chromosome and autosome

chr5 in different tissues of five-pacer viper.

We show log-based male-to-female gene expression ratio along the Z chromosomes and

autosome chr5. Only genes with RPKM >= 1 in both the male and female were

considered. If genes are mostly non-biased, the line is expected to centered at 0. The

pattern indicates five-pacer viper lacks chromosome-wide dosage compensation.

Supplementary Figure 17. Frequency distribution of sequencing depth.

Distribution of sequencing depth of the assembled female (left) and male (right) genomes

by reads from the female and male samples. The peak depth is 76X and 77X for the

female and male reads aligned to corresponding assembly, respectively.

Supplementary Figure 18. Comparisons of gene parameters among the sequenced

representative species.

We used the published genomes of *Gallus gallus, Homo sapiens, Anolis carolinensis,*

Boa constrictor to compare with *Deinagkistrodon acutus*, without finding any obvious

differences between them and five-pacer viper in the annotated genes' length and number.

This indicates the high quality of gene annotation.

1000

1001 **Supplementary Table Legend**

1002 **Supplementary Table 1.** Statistics of five-pacer viper genome sequencing.

1003

1004 **Supplementary Table 2.** Statistics of 17-mer analysis.

1005

1006 **Supplementary Table 3.** Statistics of reads of small-insert and large-insert libraries
1007 aligned to the male assembly.
1008 *PE mapped* refer to reads being mapped to the genome as read pairs, and *SE mapped*
1009 represent reads being mapped to the genome as single reads.

1010

1011 **Supplementary Table 4.** Summary of the five-pacer viper genome assemblies.

1012

1013 **Supplementary Table 5.** Number of expressed genes of five-pacer viper.

1014

1015 **Supplementary Table 6.** Number of genes and scaffold size organized into
1016 chromosomes.

1017

1018   **Supplementary Table 7.** Comparison of repeat content between snakes and lizard.

1019

1020   **Supplementary Table 8.** Comparison of genome assembly quality between snakes and

1021   lizard.

1022

Supplementary Table 9. Evolution of candidate limb-patterning genes in snakes.

Candidate limb-patterning genes were collected from MGI database and published paper.

Genes undergoing positive selection (P) or relaxed selective constraints (R), were

identified by PAML analyses. N: there is no significant selection signal. '-' refers to

genes that cannot be completely assembled for their coding sequences due to genome

assembly gaps.

1029

Supplementary Table 10. Comparison of venom genes between snakes.

Statistics of venom gene families in the four snakes and anole lizard genomes based on

homology-based prediction. AVIT: Prokineticin; C3: complement C3; CVF: Cobra

Venom Factor; CRISPs: Cysteine-Rich Secretory Proteins; Hy: Hyaluronidases;

Natriuretic: Natriuretic peptide; NGF: Snake Venom Nerve Growth Factors; PLA2-2A:

Snake Venom Phospholipase A2 (type IIA); SVMP: Snake Venom Metalloproteinases;

TL: thrombin-like snake venom serine proteinases; LAAO: Snake Venom L-Amino Acid

Oxidases; PDE: phosphodiesterases; CLPs: snake C-type lectin-like proteins; VEGF:

vascular endothelin growth factor; PLA2-1B: Snake Venom Phospholipase A2 (type IB);

3FTX: The three-finger toxins; ACeH: Acetylcholinesterase;

1040

1041   **Supplementary Table 11.** Comparison of repeat content between snake sex

1042   chromosomes and their lizard homolog.

1043

1044   **Supplementary Table 12.** Location of W-linked putative pseudogenes.

1045

1046   **Supplementary Table 13.** Fractions of bases covered by reads in the male assembly.
1047

1048   **Supplementary Table 14.** Characteristics of predicted protein-coding genes in the male

1049　assembly.

1050

Supplementary Table 15. Number of predicted genes that can find homologs in the

Ensembl library with different aligning rate cutoff.

Alignment rate was calculated by dividing the aligned length vs. the original protein

length. And we required both the query and subject to satisfy our alignment cutoff. The

Ensembl library consists of all proteins from Anolis carolinensis, Gallus gallus, Homo

sapiens, Xenopus tropicalis and Danio rerio.

1057

1058　**Supplementary Table 16.** Data production and alignment statistic of RNA-Seq aligned

1059　to male genome assembly.

1060

1061　**Supplementary Table 17.** Statistics of the identified Z-linked scaffolds.

1062

1063　**Supplementary Table 18.** Statistics of SNPs identified in the female and male

1064　individual.

1065

1066　**Supplementary Table 19.** Statistics of identified W-linked scaffolds**.**

1067

1068　**Supplementary Data Legend**

1069　**Supplementary Data 1**

1070　Comparing five-pacer viper's chromosomal assignment vs. reported fluorescence in situ

1071　hybridization results.

1072

1073　**Supplementary Data 2**

1074　GO enrichment of nearby genes of TE highly expressed in brain.

1075

1076　**Supplementary Data 3**

1077　Positively selected genes (PSGs) and genes with relaxed selective constraints (RSGs) and

1078　their affected lineage.

1079

1080　**Supplementary Data 4**

1081 Mouse mutant terms' enrichment analyses of PSGs and RSGs across different snake
1082 branches.
1083
1084 **Supplementary Data 5**
1085 GO enrichment of expanded and contracted gene families across different snake lineages.
1086
1087 **Supplementary Data 6**
1088 Plots of GO enrichment of expanded and contracted gene families using Ontologizer.
1089

Acknowledgement
We would like to thank Ren-jie Wang for sharing the snake photo, and three anonymous
reviewers for their valuable comments. This project was supported by the Thousand
Young Talents Program funding, and a startup funding of Life Science Institute of
Zhejiang University to Q. Z., and National Major Scientific and Technological Special
Project for 'Significant New Drugs Development' during the Twelfth Five-year Plan
Period (No. 2009ZX09102-217, 2009-2010) to W. Y. All the raw reads, genome
assembly and annotation generated in this study are deposited under the BioProject #
PRJNA314370 on NCBI.

1099

Author Contribution
Q.Z., W.Y., G.Y. conceived and supervised the project. B.L., P.Q., W.Z., Y.H. and X.S.
provided and extracted the samples. Z.L. and B.W. performed the proteomic mass
spectrometry-based experiment and data analysis. J.L., Z.W. and Y.Z. performed the
genome assembly and annotation. J.L. and Q.L. designed and performed the
identification of sex-linked scaffolds. P.Z. performed RNA-seq data analysis. Z.W., L.J.
and Y.Z. performed the genome evolution analyses. Z.W., Y.Z., L.J. and B.Q. performed
genes and gene family evolution analyses. Z.W. and L.J. performed the sex chromosome
evolution analyses. Q.Z., Z.W., G.Z., G.Y. interpreted the results and wrote the
manuscript. All of the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

1110

1111 **Figure 1.** The comparative genomic landscape of five-pace viper

1112

1113

1114 **Figure 2.** Genomic and transcriptomic variation of snake transposable elements

1115

1116

Figure 3. Evolution of snake genes and gene families

1119 **Figure 4.** Snake sex chromosomes have at least three evolution strata

1120