1 POLLUTANTS AND INSECTICIDES DRIVE LOCAL ADAPTATION IN AFRICAN
2 MALARIA MOSQUITOES
3
4
5
6

7 Colince Kamdem[1*], Caroline Fouet[1], Stephanie Gamez[1], Bradley J. White[1,2,*]
8
9 [1]Department of Entomology, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521
10
11 [2]Center for Disease Vector Research, Institute for Integrative Genome Biology,
12 University of California, Riverside, CA 92521
13
14 [*]Corresponding authors: guy.kamdemdjoko@ucr.edu; bwhite@ucr.edu

15
16
17

18   ABSTRACT
19
Urbanization presents unique environmental challenges to human commensal

species. The Afrotropical *Anopheles gambiae* complex contains a number of

synanthropic mosquito species that are major vectors of malaria. To examine

ongoing cryptic diversification within the complex, we performed reduced

representation sequencing on 941 mosquitoes collected across four

ecogeographic zones in Cameroon. We find evidence for clear subdivision within

An. coluzzii and *An. gambiae s.s.* – the two most significant malaria vectors in

the region. Importantly, in both species rural and urban populations of

mosquitoes were genetically differentiated. Genome scans of cryptic subgroups

reveal pervasive signatures of selection centered on genes involved in xenobiotic

resistance. Notably, a selective sweep containing eight detoxification enzymes is

unique to urban mosquitoes that exploit polluted breeding sites. Overall, our

study reveals that anthropogenic environmental modification is driving population

differentiation and local adaptation in African malaria mosquitoes with potentially

significant consequences for malaria epidemiology.

INTRODUCTION

In species occupying ecologically heterogeneous ranges, natural selection

can drive local adaptation, increasing mean individual fitness and promoting

biological diversification [1, 2]. Contemporary anthropogenic alteration of the

landscape may be increasing pressure for local adaptation in diverse taxa [3].

For example, the rise of urban centers over the past two centuries has presented

unique challenges to human-commensal species, often necessitating the rapid

evolution of resistance to pollutants and pesticides [4-6]. Successful local

adaptation requires that selection overcome the homogenizing effect of gene flow

from nearby populations [7]. Theoretical simulations suggest that such

divergence with gene flow can occur under a range of conditions [8], although the

most likely genomic distribution of the underlying adaptive variants remains

unclear [9, 10]. Studies of populations in the earliest stages of ecological

divergence should help elucidate the conditions needed for local adaptation and

relevant targets of natural selection [11].

The Afrotropical *Anopheles gambiae* complex is a group of at least nine

isomorphic mosquito species exhibiting varying degrees of geographic and

reproductive isolation [12-14]. Owing to the critical role its members play in

sustaining malaria transmission, a wealth of genomic data exists on the complex

including whole genome assemblies for most of the species [15, 16]. Because

radiation of the complex began ~1.85 mya, interspecific genetic comparisons will

yield little insight into the establishment of divergence with gene flow. However,

both ecological and genetic evidence suggests that contemporary local

adaptation and diversification is occurring within *Anopheles gambiae s.s.*

(hereafter *An. gambiae*) and *Anopheles coluzzii*, two of the most widespread and

important vectors of malaria within the complex [17-20]. Up to now, shallow

sampling and/or or the use of low-resolution genetic markers limited the ability to

delineate new cryptic subgroups within either species.

We genotyped 941 mosquitoes collected from diverse environments in

Cameroon at >8,000 SNPs and find strong evidence for ongoing diversification

within both *An. gambiae* and *An. coluzzii*. In total, the two species harbor seven

cryptic subgroups distributed along a continuum of genomic differentiation. While

An. gambiae exhibits relatively high levels of panmixia, we did identify an ecotype

associated with intense suburban agriculture and a second subgroup that

appears partially reproductively isolated but exhibits no obvious

ecological/geographical distinctions. In contrast, *An. coluzzii* is separated into

multiple ecotypes exploiting different regional-scale habitats, including highly

urbanized landscapes. In most cryptic subgroups, selective sweeps contain an

excess of detoxification enzymes and insecticide resistance genes, suggesting

that human activity mediates spatially varying natural selection in both species.

Worrisomely, ongoing local adaptation to urban sites with high prevalence of

xenobiotics is both increasing malaria transmission spatially and further

compromising the effectiveness of chemical control tools. Moreover, the

extensive population structure within both species will present a formidable

challenge to novel vector control strategies that rely on population replacement.

RESULTS
84
We performed extensive, largely unbiased collections of human-

associated *Anopheles* across four ecological zones in the central African country

of Cameroon (Table S1). To robustly detect any cryptic populations, we

subjected all 941 mosquitoes that were morphologically identified as *An.*

gambiae s.l. to population genomic analysis. Individual mosquitoes were

genotyped in parallel at a dense panel of markers using double-digest restriction

associated DNA sequencing (ddRADseq), which enriches for a representative

and reproducible fraction of the genome that can be sequenced on the Illumina

platform [21].

94
95　*Identification of An. gambiae s.l. sibling species*
96
After aligning ddRADseq reads to the *An. gambiae* reference genome, we

used STACKS to remove loci present in <80% of individuals, leaving 8,476 SNPs

(~1 SNP every 30kb across the genome) for population structure inference [22,

23]. First, we performed principal component analysis (PCA) on genetic diversity

across all 941 individuals (Figure 1A). The top three components explain 28.4%

of the total variance and group individuals into five main clusters. Likewise, a

neighbor-joining (NJ) tree, based on Euclidian distance of allele frequencies,

shows five distinct clades of mosquitoes (Figure 1B). We hypothesized that these

groups at least partially correspond to the four sibling species – *An. gambiae*,

An. coluzzii, *An. arabiensis*, and *An. melas* – known to occur in Cameroon. To

confirm, we typed a subset of 288 specimens using validated species ID PCRs

and found that each cluster comprised a single species [24, 25]. In agreement

with previous surveys [26, 27], our collections indicate that the brackish water

breeding *An. melas* is limited to coastal regions, while the arid-adapted *An.*

arabiensis is restricted to the savannah. In contrast, *An. gambiae* and *An.*

coluzzii are distributed across the four eco-geographic zones of Cameroon

(Figure 1D). Lee and colleagues [28] recently reported frequent bouts of

hybridization between *An. gambiae* and *An. coluzzii* in Cameroon. While both the

PCA and NJ trees clearly separate the two species, the PCA does show

intermixing of some rare individuals consistent with semi-permeable species

boundaries.

In support of population structuring below the species level, Bayesian

clustering analysis finds that 7 population clusters (k) best explain the genetic

variance present in our sample set (Figure S1). Indeed, grouping of samples

within *An. gambiae* and *An. coluzzii* clades suggests that additional subdivision

may exist within each species (Figure 1A, 1B). Ancestry plots made with

fastSTRUCTURE [29] further support inference from the PCA and NJ tree: at

least two subgroups compose *An. coluzzii* and admixture is present within *An.*

gambiae, while *An. arabiensis* and *An. melas* are largely panmictic (Figure 1C,

Figure S1).

Cryptic Population Structure within An. gambiae s.s.

To further resolve the population structure within 357 *An. gambiae*

specimens, we performed population genetic analysis with a set of 9,345 filtered

SNPs. Using a combination of PCA, NJ trees, and ancestry assignment, we

consistently identify three distinct subgroups within *An. gambiae* (Figure 2A-C).

The first and largest subgroup (termed *GAM1*) comprises the vast majority of all

An. gambiae specimens including individuals collected in all four eco-geographic

regions. A total of 17 individuals make up a second small subgroup (termed

GAM2). Interestingly, individuals assigned to this cluster include both larvae and

adults collected in 3 different villages spread across 2 eco-geographic regions. In

the absence of any obvious evidence of niche differentiation between *GAM1* and

GAM2, it is unclear what is driving and/or maintaining divergence between the

two sympatric subgroups. Specimens collected from Nkolondom, a suburban

neighborhood of Yaoundé where larval sites associated with small-scale

agricultural irrigation are common [30, 31], form a genetically distinct third

subgroup (termed *Nkolondom*) that appears to be a locally-adapted ecotype.

Cryptic Population Structure within An. coluzzii

To examine population structure within 521 *An. coluzzii* specimens, we

utilized 9,822 SNPs that passed stringent filtration. All analyses show a clear split

between individuals from the northern savannah region and the southern three

forested regions of Cameroon (Coastal, Forest, Forest-Savannah) (Figure 3A-C).

In principle, the north-south structuring could be caused solely by differences in

chromosome 2 inversion frequencies, which form a cline from near absence in

the humid south to fixation in the arid north. However, we find SNPs from all five

chromosomal arms robustly and consistently separate northern and southern

mosquitoes, suggesting incipient reproductive isolation between the two

populations (Figure S2).

Southern populations of *An. coluzzii* were collected from three different

areas: Douala (the largest city of Cameroon), Yaoundé (the second largest city)

and the rural coastal region. PCA, NJ trees, and fastSTRUCTURE show clear

clustering of southern samples by collection site (Figure 3D-F). Mosquitoes from

Douala, situated on the coastal border, contain a mixture of urban and coastal

polymorphisms as illustrated by their intermediate position along PC3 (Figure

3D). Despite considerable geographic segregation, clusters are not fully discrete,

likely owing to substantial migration between the three sites. Taken together, the

data suggest a dynamic and ongoing process of local adaptation within southern

An. coluzzii. In contrast, no similar geographic clustering is observed in northern

populations (Figure S1, S3).

Relationships Between Species and Subgroups

Population genomic analysis identified four different *An. gambiae* s.l.

species present within our samples. Within *An. gambiae* and *An. coluzzii* we

identified seven potential subgroups with apparently varying levels of isolation.

To further explore the relationships between different populations, we built an

unrooted NJ tree using pairwise levels of genomic divergence (F_{ST}) between all

species and subgroups (Figure 4, Table S2). As previously observed in a

phylogeny based on whole genome sequencing [16], we find that *An. melas* is

highly divergent from all other species ($F_{ST} \sim 0.8$), while *An. arabiensis* shows

intermediate levels of divergence ($F_{ST} \sim 0.4$) from *An. gambiae* and *An. coluzzii*.

As expected, the sister species *An. gambiae* and *An. coluzzii* are more closely

related to each other ($F_{ST} \sim 0.2$) than any other species. When examining

differentiation between subgroups within *An. coluzzii*, we find that the southern

and northern subgroups are highly divergent ($F_{ST} > 0.1$), while differentiation

between local ecotypes within the south is much lower ($F_{ST} < 0.04$). The *An.*

gambiae subgroups *GAM1* and *GAM2* are highly diverged ($F_{ST} \sim 0.1$) from each

other suggesting genuine barriers to gene flow despite sympatry, while the

suburban ecotype from Nkolondom shows a low level of divergence from *GAM1*

($F_{ST} \sim 0.05$), characteristic of ongoing local adaptation. In sum, we find a gradient

of differentiation between species and subgroups ranging from complete (or

nearly complete) reproductive isolation down to the initial stages of divergence

with gene flow.

190
191   *Using genome scans to identify selective sweeps*
192
To find potential targets of selection within subgroups we performed scans

of nucleotide diversity (θ_w, θ_π) and allele frequency spectrum (*Tajima's D*) using

non-overlapping 150-kb windows across the genome. Scans of θ_w, θ_π, *and*

Tajima's D were conducted by importing aligned, but otherwise unfiltered, reads

directly into ANGSD, which uses genotype likelihoods to calculate summary

statistics [32]. Natural selection can increase the frequency of an adaptive variant

within a population, leading to localized reductions in genetic diversity (and a

skew towards rare polymorphisms) as the haplotype containing the adaptive

variant(s) sweeps towards fixation [33, 34]. Thus, genomic regions harboring

targets of recent selection should exhibit extreme reductions in these metrics

relative to genome-wide averages [35].

We also performed genome scans using both a relative (F_{ST}) and absolute

(d_{xy}) measure of divergence calculated with STACKS and ANGSD, respectively

[36]. If positive selection is acting on alternative haplotypes of the same locus in

two populations, values of F_{ST} and d_{xy} should increase at the target of selection.

Whereas spatially varying selection that acts on one population, but not the

other, should produce a spike in F_{ST} between populations and no change in d_{xy}.

Finally, parallel selection on the same haplotype in two populations should lead

to a decrease in both metrics. For both diversity and divergence scans we used a

maximum of 40 mosquitoes per population, prioritizing individuals with the

highest coverage in populations where sample size exceeded 40.

Targets of Selection within An. gambiae subgroups

Our calculations of genome-wide diversity levels (Table S3) within *An.*

gambiae subgroups are comparable to previous estimates based on RAD

sequencing of Kenyan *An. gambiae* s.l. populations [37]. As expected, the large

GAM1 population harbors more genetic diversity than the apparently rare *GAM2*

population or the geographically restricted Nkolondom ecotype (Table S3, Figure

5A-C). *Tajima's D* is consistently negative across the entire genome of all three

subgroups, indicating an excess of low-frequency variants that are likely the

result of recent population expansion (Figure 5A-C) [34]. Indeed, formal

demographic models infer relatively recent bouts of population expansion in all

three subgroups (Table S4).

Genome scans of each subgroup reveal genomic regions that show

concordant dips in diversity and allele frequency spectrum consistent with recent

positive selection (highlighted in Figure 5 A-C). An apparent selective sweep on

the left arm of chromosome 2 near the centromere is found in all populations.

Notably, the *para* sodium channel gene is embedded within this sweep. The *kdr*

allele of *para* confers <u>k</u>nock<u>d</u>own <u>r</u>esistance to pyrethroids and selective sweeps

in the same genomic location have been previously identified in many *An.*

gambiae s.l. populations [38-42].

Other sweeps are population-specific. For example, a major sweep unique

to the Nkolondom population is found on chromosome 2L from ~33-35 Mb.

Nkolondom is suburban region of Yaoundé where larvae can be readily collected

from irrigated garden plots that likely contain elevated levels of pesticides

directed at agricultural pests [30, 31]. Intriguingly, the region contains six

epidermal growth factor (*EGF*) genes, which could facilitate larval development of

this subgroup in pesticide-laced agricultural water. It should be noted that due to

the reduced representation sequencing approach we used, our analysis is

necessarily conservative, highlighting only clear instances of positive selection,

which are likely both recent and strong [10]. Additional smaller sweeps outside

our power of detection are likely prevalent in all subgroups.

We next performed divergence based genome scans. At the putative *kdr*

sweep, we observe contrasting patterns in local values of d_{xy} and F_{ST} (Figure 5D-

E). In both the GAM1-GAM2 and GAM1-Nkolondom comparisons, d_{xy} dips at the

kdr sweep, while local values of F_{ST} actually increase. While not definitive, the

dramatic drop in d_{xy} suggests that the same resistant haplotype is sweeping

through each population. Localized increases in F_{ST} could owe to differences in

kdr allele frequencies between populations; despite parallel selection, the sweep

may be closer to fixation in certain populations relative to others, perhaps due to

differences in selection intensity. At the Nkolondom specific 2L *EGF* sweep, we

observe an increase in F_{ST} between *GAM1-Nkolondom* and no clear change in

$d_{xy,}$ indicative of ongoing local adaptation in Nkolondom.

Targets of Selection within An. coluzzii subpopulations
258

As above, we first used diversity metrics to scan for targets of selection in

the four subgroups of *An. coluzzii*. Overall, genetic diversity is higher in the

northern savannah population than either of three southern populations, which all

exhibit similar levels of diversity (Table S3). Just as in *An. gambiae*, all

subgroups have consistently negative *Tajima's D* values confirming demographic

models of population expansion (Table S4). Examination of genome-wide plots

reveals that all subgroups exhibit dips in diversity and *Tajima's D* at the *kdr* locus

(Figure 6A-D). A large sweep at ~25 Mb on 2L centered on the resistance to

dieldrin (*rdl*) locus is clearly present in all southern groups. In the northern

savannah population, a pronounced dip in diversity occurs at *rdl*, but *Tajima's D*

stays constant. While we can confidently infer that a resistant *rdl* allele has

recently swept through all southern populations of *An. coluzzii,* evidence for a

sweep in the northern population is inconclusive. Regional and population-

specific sweeps are also evident including a sweep on 3R is nearly exclusive to

mosquitoes collected in the urban areas of Yaoundé and Douala. A sharp,

dramatic drop in both diversity and Tajima's D occurs on 3R from ~28.5-29.0 Mb

with the decline being more dramatic in Yaoundé than Douala. Geographical

limitation of the sweep to urban mosquitoes strongly suggests it may contain

variant(s) that confer adaptation to extreme levels of anthropogenic disturbance.

Compellingly, the selective sweep contains a cluster of both Glutathione S-

transferase (*GSTE1-GSTE7*) and cytochrome P450 (*CYP4C27*, *CYP4C35*,

CYP4C36) genes. Both gene families can confer metabolic resistance to

insecticides and pollutants in mosquitoes [43-45]. In particular, *GSTE5* and

GSTE6 are intriguing candidate targets of selection as each is up-regulated in

highly insecticide resistant *An. arabiensis* populations that recently colonized

urban areas of Bobo-Dioulasso, Burkina Faso [46, 47].

Genome scans of both relative and absolute divergence between

populations reveal intriguing patterns at sites of putative selective sweeps. The

kdr locus exhibits minimal divergence in all pairwise comparisons, suggesting

that the same resistance haplotype is under selection in each population (Figure

6E-H). The region surrounding the *rdl* gene shows low F_{ST} and a pronounced dip

in d_{xy} between all southern populations, confirming that the same haplotype is

sweeping through these three populations. Differentiation between southern and

northern populations at *rdl* is obscured by the high divergence between

alternative arrangements of the 2L*a* inversion. Finally, the urban-centric

294 GSTE/CYP450 sweep on 3R shows a peak in F_{ST} between Yaoundé and Coastal

295 mosquitoes and minimal change in d_{xy} – a pattern consistent with local

296 adaptation. Comparisons between Douala and Coastal populations show a more

297 moderate increase in F_{ST}, presumably due to high rates of mosquito migration

298 between these nearby sites. Finally, when comparing mosquitoes from the two

299 urban areas (Douala and Yaoundé), we observe only a slight bump in F_{ST} and a

300 dramatic dip in d_{xy} indicative of an ongoing, shared selective sweep.

301   To further explore the 3R GSTE/CYP450 sweep, we reconstructed

302 haplotypes for all 240 *An. coluzzii* southern chromosomes across the 28 SNPs

303 found within the sweep. In the Yaoundé population, a single haplotype is present

304 on 44 out of 80 (55%) chromosomes (all grey SNPs), while an additional 11

305 haplotypes are within one mutational step of this common haplotype (Figure 7A).

306 In Douala, the same haplotype is the most common, but present at a lower

307 frequency (31%) than in Yaoundé (Figure 7B). Strikingly, this haplotype is found

308 on only 6/80 (7.5%) coastal chromosomes (Figure 7C). The overall low

309 nucleotide variation and high frequency of a single haplotype in Yaoundé is

310 consistent with positive selection acting on a de novo variant(s) to generate the

311 3R GSTE/CYP450 sweep. Less intense selection pressure in Douala, and

312 particularly the Coast, would explain the markedly higher haplotype diversity in

313 these two populations relative to Yaoundé. It is also possible that Douala

314 mosquitoes experience similar selection pressures to Yaoundé mosquitoes, but

315 frequent migrant haplotypes from the nearby rural Coast populations decrease

316 the efficiency of local adaptation. Importantly, multiple population genomic

analyses of the same 28 SNPs (Figure 7D-F) mirror results of the haplotype

analysis, confirming that haplotype inference did not bias the results. In sum, we

hypothesize that divergence in xenobiotic levels between urban and rural larval

habitats is the main ecological force driving spatially variable selection at this

locus.

DISCUSSION
324
Reduced representation sequencing of 941 *An. gambiae* s.l. collected in

or near human settlements facilitated rapid identification of known sibling species

and revealed multiple instances of novel cryptic diversification within *An.*

gambiae and *An. coluzzii*. Genome scans in subgroups highlighted numerous

selective sweeps centered on detoxification enzymes suggesting that xenobiotic

exposure, particularly in urban and suburban areas, is driving local adaptation

within both species. More generally, our study highlights the utility of reduced

representation sequencing as a 'discovery tool' for finding hidden population

structure within species. Further, such dense genotyping data also permits

localization of recent selective sweeps, providing insight into the ecological

forces driving diversification.

Anthropogenic Mediated Selection

Human activity has altered the evolutionary trajectory of diverse taxa. In

insects, spatially varying intensity of insecticide application can drive divergence

between populations, potentially leading to reproductive isolation. While a

plausible scenario, scant empirical evidence supports the hypothesis [48].

Previous studies have documented dramatic reductions in the population size of

An. gambiae s.l. after introduction of long lasting insecticide treated nets (LLINs),

but did not determine the influence of exposure on population structuring [49, 50].

Among Cameroonian populations of both *An. gambiae and An. coluzzii*, we find a

pervasive signature of selection at the *para* sodium channel gene. We infer that

this sweep confers globally beneficial resistance to LLINs, which are ubiquitous

in Cameroon and treated with pyrethroids that target *para* [51]. In contrast,

selective sweeps centered on other insecticide resistance genes are restricted to

specific geographic locations/populations. For example, a sweep at the *rdl* locus

is limited to southern populations of *An. coluzzii*. Initial selection for dieldrin

resistance likely occurred during massive indoor residual spraying campaigns

conducted by the WHO in southern Cameroon during the 1950s. Indeed, the

spraying was so intense that it temporarily eliminated *An. gambiae* s.l. from

Yaoundé (and likely other locations in the forest region) [52]. However, due to

high human toxicity, dieldrin has been banned for use in mosquito control since

the mid-1970s. In the absence of insecticide exposure, resistant *rdl* mosquitoes

are significantly less fit than wild type mosquitoes [53-55], making the continued

persistence of resistant alleles in southern *An. coluzzii* populations puzzling. One

plausible explanation is that other cyclodienes targeting *rdl*, such as fipronil and

lindane, are still commonly used in agriculture and may frequently runoff into *An.*

coluzzii larval habitats, imposing strong selection for resistant mosquitoes. A

similar phenomenon was recently proposed to explain the maintenance of

resistance *rdl* alleles in both *Culex* and *Aedes* mosquitoes [56].

Mosquitoes inhabiting Cameroon's two major cities, Yaoundé and Douala,

provide a clearer example of how xenobiotic exposure can directly influence

population structure. Both cities have seen exponential human population growth

over the past 50 years, creating a high concentration of hosts for anthropohilic

mosquitoes. Despite elevated levels of organic pollutants and insecticides in

urban relative to rural larval sites, surveys show substantial year-round

populations of *An. gambiae* and *An. coluzzii* in both cities [57-59]. Bioassays of

insecticide resistance demonstrate that urban mosquitoes have significantly

higher levels of resistance to multiple insecticides compared to rural mosquitoes

[30, 31, 57, 60, 61]. In support of human mediated local adaptation, we find a

selective sweep in urban *An. coluzzii* mosquitoes centered on a cluster of

GSTE/CYP450 detoxification genes. While the specific ecological driver of the

selective sweep is unknown, GSTE and P450 enzymes detoxify both organic

pollutants and insecticides [62-64]. Indeed, the synergistic effects of the two

types of xenobiotics could be exerting intense selection pressure for pleiotropic

resistance in urban mosquitoes [44, 45, 65]. Regardless of the underlying targets

of selection, it is clear that mosquitoes inhabiting highly disturbed urban and

suburban landscapes are genetically differentiated from rural populations.

Further analysis of specific sweeps using a combination of whole genome

resequencing and emerging functional genetics approaches (e.g. CRISPR/Cas9)

should help resolve the specific targets of local adaptation in urban mosquitoes,

while also shedding light on the evolutionary history of the enigmatic subgroup

GAM2.

Impacts on Vector Control

Just five decades ago, there was not a single city in Sub-Saharan African

with a population over 1 million; today there are more than 40. Population shifts

to urban areas will only continue to increase with the United Nations estimating

that 60% of Africans will live in large cities by 2050 [66]. When urbanization

commenced, it was widely assumed that malaria transmission would be minimal

because rural *Anopheles* vectors would not be able to complete development in

the polluted larval habitats present in cities [67]. However, increasingly common

reports of endemic malaria transmission in urban areas across Sub-Saharan

Africa unequivocally demonstrate that anophelines are exploiting the urban niche

[68-70]. Specifically, our study shows that *An. gambiae* s.l. from the urban and

suburban centers of southern Cameroon form genetically distinct subgroups

relative to rural populations. Local adaptation to urban environments is

accompanied by strong selective sweeps centered on putative xenobiotic

resistance genes, which are likely driven by a combination of exposure to organic

pollutants and insecticides in larval habitats. The rapid adaptation of *Anopheles*

to the urban landscape poses a growing health risk to Africans as levels of

resistance in these populations negate the effectiveness of almost all commonly

used insecticides. Moreover, repeated instances of beneficial alleles

introgressing between *An. gambiae* s.l. species make the emergence of highly

resistant subgroups even more troubling [14, 16, 41, 42, 71]. In essence, urban

populations can serve as a reservoir for resistance alleles, which have the

potential to rapidly move between species/populations as needed. Clearly,

sustainable malaria vector control, urban or otherwise, requires not only more

judicious use of insecticides, but also novel strategies not reliant on chemicals.

Towards this goal, various vector control methods that aim to replace or

suppress wild mosquito populations using genetic drive are currently under

development (e.g. [72]). While promising, the complexities of ongoing cryptic

diversification within African *Anopheles* must be explicitly planned for prior to the

release of transgenic mosquitoes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

419
420 *Mosquito collections*
421
In 2013, we collected *Anopheles* from 30 locations spread across the four

major ecogeographic regions of Cameroon (Table S1). Indoor resting adult

mosquitoes were collected by pyrethrum spry catch, while host-seeking adults

were obtained via indoor/outdoor human-baited landing catch. Larvae were

collected using standard dipping procedures [73]. All researchers were provided

with malaria chemoprophylaxis throughout the collection period following [74].

Individual mosquitoes belonging to the *An. gambiae* s.l. complex were identified

by morphology [75].

ddRADseq Library Construction

Genomic DNA was extracted from adults using the ZR-96 Quick-gDNA kit

(Zymo Research) and from larvae using the DNeasy Extraction kit (Qiagen). A

subset of individuals were assigned to sibling species using PCR-RFLP assays

that type fixed SNP differences in the rDNA [76]. Preparation of ddRAD libraries

largely followed [77]. Briefly, ~1/3rd of the DNA extracted from an individual

mosquito (10ul) was digested with *MluC1* and *NlaIII* (New England Biolabs).

Barcoded adapters (1 of 48) were ligated to overhangs and 400 bp fragments

were selected using 1.5% gels on a BluePippin (Sage Science). One of six

indices was added during PCR amplification. Each library contained 288

individuals and was subjected to single end, 100 bp sequencing across one or

two flow cells lanes run on an Illumina HiSeq2500. A detailed library preparation

protocol is available at mosquitogenomics.org/protocols.

Raw sequence reads were demultiplexed and quality filtered using the

STACKS v 1.29 process_radtags pipeline [22, 23]. After removal of reads with

ambiguous barcodes, incorrect restriction sites, and low sequencing quality

(mean Phred < 33), GSNAP was used to align reads to the *An. gambiae* PEST

reference genome (AgamP4.2) allowing up to five mismatches per read. After

discarding reads that perfectly aligned to more than one genomic position, we

used STACKS to identify unique RAD tags and construct consensus assemblies

for each. Individual SNP genotypes were called using default setting in the

maximum-likelihood statistical model implemented in the STACKS genotypes

pipeline.

Population Genomic Analysis

Population genetic structure was assessed using the SNP dataset output

by the *populations* program of STACKS. We used PLINK v 1.19 to retrieve

subsets of genome-wide SNPs as needed [78]. PCA, neighbor-joining tree

analyses, and Bayesian information criterion (BIC) were implemented using the

packages *adegenet* and *ape* in R [79, 80]. Ancestry analyses were conducted in

fastSTRUCTURE v 1.0 [29] using the logistic method. The choosek.py script was

used to find the appropriate number of populations (k); in cases where a range of

k was suggested, the BIC-inferred number of clusters was chosen [81]. Ancestry

assignment of individual mosquitoes was then visualized with DISTRUCT v 1.1

[82]. We input pairwise F_{ST} values into the program Fitch from the Phylip [83]

suite to create the population-level NJ tree.

Genome Scans for Selection

We used ANGSD v 0.612 [32] to calculate nucleotide diversity (θ_w and θ_π)

and Tajima's *D* in 150-kb non-overlapping windows. Unlike most genotyping

algorithms, ANGSD does not perform hard SNP calls, instead taking genotyping

uncertainty into account when calculating summary statistics. Similarly, absolute

divergence (d_{xy}) was calculated using *ngsTools* [84] based on genotype

likelihoods generated by ANGSD. Kernel smoothed values for 150-kb windows

for all four metrics (θ_w, θ_π, *D*, d_{xy}) were obtained with the R package *KernSmooth*.

F_{ST} (based on AMOVA) was calculated with the *populations* program in STACKS

using only loci present in 80% of individuals. A Kernel smoothing procedure

implemented in STACKS was used to obtain F_{ST} values across 150-kb windows.

Selective sweeps were identified by locating regions where Tajima's *D* was in the

top percentile of empirical values in at least one population. Regions with low

Tajima's *D*, but unusually high or low read depth (Figure S4) were deemed

unreliable due to the likelihood of repeats and local misassembly. We used a

subset of 1,000 randomly chosen SNPs to calculate average pairwise F_{ST}

between populations in GENODIVE using up to 40 individuals – prioritized by

coverage – per population [85]. To determine if selective sweeps were enriched

for specific functional annotation classes, we used the program DAVID 6.7 with

default settings [86]. Haplotypes across the GSTE/CYP450 sweep were

reconstructed by PHASE v 2.1.1 using the default recombination model (87).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the University of California Riverside and National

Institutes of Health (1R01AI113248, 1R21AI115271 to BJW). We thank Elysée

Nchoutpouem and Raymond Fokom for assistance collecting mosquitoes and

Sina Hananian for assisting in DNA extraction.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS
498
499   Conceived and designed the experiments: CK BJW. Performed the experiments:

500   CK BJW SG. Analyzed the data: CK CF BJW. Wrote the paper: CK CF BJW.

502   REFERENCES
503

1.   Nosil, P., Vines, T.H., and Funk, D.J. (2005). Reproductive isolation

caused by natural selection against immigrants from divergent habitats.

Evolution *59*, 705-719.

2.   Hereford, J. (2009). A quantitative survey of local adaptation and fitness

trade-offs. The American Naturalist *173*, 579-588.

3.   Gaston, K.J. (2010). Urban ecology, (Cambridge University Press).

4.   Pelz, H.-J., Rost, S., Hünerberg, M., Fregin, A., Heiberg, A.-C., Baert, K.,

MacNicoll, A.D., Prescott, C.V., Walker, A.-S., and Oldenburg, J. (2005).

The genetic basis of resistance to anticoagulants in rodents. Genetics

170, 1839-1847.

5.   Song, Y., Endepols, S., Klemann, N., Richter, D., Matuschka, F.-R., Shih,

C.-H., Nachman, M.W., and Kohn, M.H. (2011). Adaptive introgression of

anticoagulant rodent poison resistance by hybridization between old world

mice. Current Biology *21*, 1296-1301.

6.   Davies, T.G.E., Field, L.M., and Williamson, M.S. (2012). The re-

emergence of the bed bug as a nuisance pest: implications of resistance

to the pyrethroid insecticides. Medical and Veterinary Entomology *26*, 241-

254.

7.   Kawecki, T.J., and Ebert, D. (2004). Conceptual issues in local adaptation.

Ecology Letters *7*, 1225-1241.

8. Berdahl, A., Torney, C.J., Schertzer, E., and Levin, S.A. (2015). On the

evolutionary interplay between dispersal and local adaptation in

heterogeneous environments. Evolution.

9. Le Corre, V., and Kremer, A. (2012). The genetic differentiation at

quantitative trait loci under local adaptation. Molecular Ecology *21*, 1548-

1566.

10. Tiffin, P., and Ross-Ibarra, J. (2014). Advances and limits of using

population genetics to understand local adaptation. Trends in ecology &

evolution *29*, 673-680.

11. Feder, J.L., Flaxman, S.M., Egan, S.P., Comeault, A.A., and Nosil, P.

(2013). Geographic mode of speciation and genomic divergence. Annual

Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics *44*, 73-97.

12. White, B.J., Collins, F.H., and Besansky, N.J. (2011). Evolution of

Anopheles gambiae in Relation to Humans and Malaria. Annu Rev Ecol

Evol S *42*, 111-132.

13. Coetzee, M., Hunt, R.H., Wilkerson, R., Della Torre, A., Coulibaly, M.B.,

and Besansky, N.J. (2013). Anopheles coluzzii and Anopheles amharicus,

new members of the Anopheles gambiae complex. Zootaxa *3619*, 246-

274.

14. Crawford, J., Riehle, M.M., Guelbeogo, W.M., Gneme, A., Sagnon, N.f.,

Vernick, K.D., Nielsen, R., and Lazzaro, B.P. (2014). Reticulate speciation

and adaptive introgression in the Anopheles gambiae species complex.

bioRxiv, 009837.

15.   Holt, R.A., Subramanian, G.M., Halpern, A., Sutton, G.G., Charlab, R.,

Nusskern, D.R., Wincker, P., Clark, A.G., Ribeiro, J.M., Wides, R., et al.

(2002). The genome sequence of the malaria mosquito *Anopheles*

gambiae. Science *298*, 129-149.

16.   Fontaine, M.C., Pease, J.B., Steele, A., Waterhouse, R.M., Neafsey, D.E.,

Sharakhov, I., Jiang, X., Hall, A.B., Catteruccia, F., Kakani, E., et al.

(2014). Extensive introgression in a malaria vector species complex

revealed by phylogenomics. Science.

17.   Slotman, M.A., Tripet, F., Cornel, A.J., Meneses, C.R., Lee, Y., Reimer,

L.J., Thiemann, T.C., Fondjo, E., Fofana, A., Traore, S.F., et al. (2007).

Evidence for subdivision within the M molecular form of Anopheles

gambiae. Mol Ecol *16*, 639-649.

18.   Wang-Sattler, R., Blandin, S., Ning, Y., Blass, C., Dolo, G., Toure, Y.T.,

della Torre, A., Lanzaro, G.C., Steinmetz, L.M., Kafatos, F.C., et al.

(2007). Mosaic Genome Architecture of the Anopheles gambiae Species

Complex. PLoS One *2*, -.

19.   Lee, Y., Marsden, C.D., Norris, L.C., Collier, T.C., Main, B.J., Fofana, A.,

Cornel, A.J., and Lanzaro, G.C. (2013). Spatiotemporal dynamics of gene

flow and hybrid fitness between the M and S forms of the malaria

mosquito, Anopheles gambiae. Proceedings of the National Academy of

Sciences *110*, 19854-19859.

20.   Caputo, B., Nwakanma, D, Caputo, F., Jawara, M., Oriero, E.,

Hamid-Adiamoh, M., Dia, I., Konate, L., Petrarca, V., and Pinto, J. (2014).

570   Prominent intraspecific genetic divergence within Anopheles gambiae

571   sibling species triggered by habitat discontinuities across a riverine

572   landscape. Molecular Ecology *23*, 4574-4589.

573 21. Peterson, B.K., Weber, J.N., Kay, E.H., Fisher, H.S., and Hoekstra, H.E.

574   (2012). Double digest RADseq: an inexpensive method for de novo SNP

575   discovery and genotyping in model and non-model species. PLoS One *7*,

576   e37135.

577 22. Catchen, J., Hohenlohe, P.A., Bassham, S., Amores, A., and Cresko,

578   W.A. (2013). Stacks: an analysis tool set for population genomics.

579   Molecular Ecology *22*, 3124-3140.

580 23. Catchen, J.M., Amores, A., Hohenlohe, P., Cresko, W., and Postlethwait,

581   J.H. (2011). Stacks: building and genotyping loci de novo from short-read

582   sequences. G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics *1*, 171-182.

583 24. Scott, J.A., Brogdon, W.G., and Collins, F.H. (1993). Identification of

584   single specimens of the Anopheles gambiae complex by the polymerase

585   chain reaction. Am J Trop Med Hyg *49*, 520-529.

586 25. Santolamazza, F., Della Torre, A., and Caccone, A. (2004). Short report: A

587   new polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism

588   method to identify *Anopheles arabiensis* from *An. gambiae* and its two

589   molecular forms from degraded DNA templates or museum samples. Am

590   J Trop Med Hyg *70*, 604-606.

591 26. Wondji, C., Frederic, S., Petrarca, V., Etang, J., Santolamazza, F., Della

592   Torre, A., and Fontenille, D. (2005). Species and populations of the

Anopheles gambiae complex in Cameroon with special emphasis on

chromosomal and molecular forms of *Anopheles gambiae s.s*. J Med

Entomol *42*, 998-1005.

27.   Simard, F., Ayala, D., Kamdem, G.C., Etouna, J., Ose, K., Fotsing, J.-M.,

Fontenille, D., Besansky, N.J., and Costantini, C. (2009). Ecological niche

partitioning between the M and S molecular forms of *Anopheles gambiae*

in Cameroon: the ecological side of speciation. BMC Ecology *9*, 17.

28.   Lee, Y., Marsden, C.D., Norris, L.C., Collier, T.C., Main, B.J., Fofana, A.,

Cornel, A.J., and Lanzaro, G.C. (2013). Spatiotemporal dynamics of gene

flow and hybrid fitness between the M and S forms of the malaria

mosquito, Anopheles gambiae. Proceedings of the National Academy of

Sciences of the United States of America *110*, 19854-19859.

29.   Raj, A., Stephens, M., and Pritchard, J.K. (2014). fastSTRUCTURE:

variational inference of population structure in large SNP data sets.

Genetics *197*, 573-589.

30.   Nwane, P., Etang, J., Chouaibou, M., Toto, J.C., Koffi, A., Mimpfoundi, R.,

and Simard, F. (2013). Multiple insecticide resistance mechanisms in

Anopheles gambiae sl populations from Cameroon, Central Africa. Parasit

Vectors *6*, 41.

31.   Tene, B.F., Poupardin, R., Costantini, C., Awono-Ambene, P., Wondji,

C.S., Ranson, H., and Antonio-Nkondjio, C. (2013). Resistance to DDT in

an urban setting: common mechanisms implicated in both M and S forms

of Anopheles gambiae in the city of Yaoundé Cameroon. PLoS One *8*,

e61408.

32. Korneliussen, T.S., Albrechtsen, A., and Nielsen, R. (2014). ANGSD:

analysis of next generation sequencing data. BMC bioinformatics *15*, 356.

33. Maynard Smith, J., and Haigh, j. (1974). The hitch-hiking effect of a

favorable gene. Genetical research *23*, 22-35.

34. Tajima, F. (1989). Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation

hypothesis by DNA polymorphism. Genetics *123*, 585-595.

35. Storz, J.F. (2005). Using genome scans of DNA polymorphism to infer

adaptive population divergence. Mol Ecol *14*, 671-688.

36. Cruickshank, T.E., and Hahn, M.W. (2014). Reanalysis suggests that

genomic islands of speciation are due to reduced diversity, not reduced

gene flow. Molecular Ecology *23*, 3133-3157.

37. O'Loughlin, S.M., Magesa, S., Mbogo, C., Mosha, F., Midega, J., Lomas,

S., and Burt, A. (2014). Genomic analyses of three malaria vectors reveals

extensive shared polymorphism but contrasting population histories.

Molecular Biology and Evolution *31*, 889-902.

38. Donnelly, M.J., Corbel, V., Weetman, D., Wilding, C.S., Williamson, M.S.,

and Black, W.C. (2009). Does kdr genotype predict insecticide-resistance

phenotype in mosquitoes? Trends in Parasitology *25*, 213-219.

39. Lynd, A., Weetman, D., Barbosa, S., Yawson, A.E., Mitchell, S., Pinto, J.,

Hastings, I., and Donnelly, M.J. (2010). Field, genetic, and modeling

approaches show strong positive selection acting upon an insecticide

638   resistance mutation in Anopheles gambiae ss. Molecular Biology and

639   Evolution *27*, 1117-1125.

640 40. Jones, C.M., Liyanapathirana, M., Agossa, F.R., Weetman, D., Ranson,

641   H., Donnelly, M.J., and Wilding, C.S. (2012). Footprints of positive

642   selection associated with a mutation (N1575Y) in the voltage-gated

643   sodium channel of Anopheles gambiae. Proceedings of the National

644   Academy of Sciences *109*, 6614-6619.

645 41. Clarkson, C.S., Weetman, D., Essandoh, J., Yawson, A.E., Maslen, G.,

646   Manske, M., Field, S.G., Webster, M., Antão, T., and MacInnis, B. (2014).

647   Adaptive introgression between Anopheles sibling species eliminates a

648   major genomic island but not reproductive isolation. Nature

649   communications *5*.

650 42. Norris, L.C., Main, B.J., Lee, Y., Collier, T.C., Fofana, A., Cornel, A.J., and

651   Lanzaro, G.C. (2015). Adaptive introgression in an African malaria

652   mosquito coincident with the increased usage of insecticide-treated bed

653   nets. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences *112*, 815-820.

654 43. Enayati, A.A., Ranson, H., and Hemingway, J. (2005). Insect glutathione

655   transferases and insecticide resistance. Insect Molecular Biology *14*, 3-8.

656 44. Nkya, T.E., Akhouayri, I., Kisinza, W., and David, J.-P. (2013). Impact of

657   environment on mosquito response to pyrethroid insecticides: facts,

658   evidences and prospects. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology *43*,

659   407-416.

45. David, J.-P., Ismail, H.M., Chandor-Proust, A., and Paine, M.J.I. (2013).

Role of cytochrome P450s in insecticide resistance: impact on the control

of mosquito-borne diseases and use of insecticides on Earth.

Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological

Sciences *368*, 20120429.

46. Dabiré, R.K., Namountougou, M., Sawadogo, S.P., Yaro, L.B., Toé, H.K.,

Ouari, A., Gouagna, L.-C., Simard, F., Chandre, F., and Baldet, T. (2012).

Population dynamics of Anopheles gambiae sl in Bobo-Dioulasso city:

bionomics, infection rate and susceptibility to insecticides. Parasit Vectors

5, 127.

47. Jones, C.M., Toé, H.K., Sanou, A., Namountougou, M., Hughes, A.,

Diabaté, A., Dabiré, R., Simard, F., and Ranson, H. (2012). Additional

selection for insecticide resistance in urban malaria vectors: DDT

resistance in Anopheles arabiensis from Bobo-Dioulasso, Burkina Faso.

PLoS One *7*, e45995.

48. Chen, H., Wang, H., and Siegfried, B.D. (2012). Genetic differentiation of

western corn rootworm populations (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) relative

to insecticide resistance. Ann Entomol Soc Am *105*, 232-240.

49. Athrey, G., Hodges, T.K., Reddy, M.R., Overgaard, H.J., Matias, A., Ridl,

F.C., Kleinschmidt, I., Caccone, A., and Slotman, M.A. (2012). The

effective population size of malaria mosquitoes: large impact of vector

control. PLoS genetics *8*, e1003097.

50.   Bayoh, M.N., Mathias, D.K., Odiere, M.R., Mutuku, F.M., Kamau, L.,

Gimnig, J.E., Vulule, J.M., Hawley, W.A., Hamel, M.J., and Walker, E.D.

(2010). Anopheles gambiae: historical population decline associated with

regional distribution of insecticide-treated bed nets in western Nyanza

Province, Kenya.

51.   Bowen, H.L. (2013). Impact of a mass media campaign on bed net use in

Cameroon. Malar J *12*, 10.1186.

52.   Livadas, G., Mouchet, J., Gariou, J., and Chastang, R. (1958). Can one

foresee the eradication of malaria in wooded areas of South Cameroun.

Rivista di Malariologia *37*, 229.

53.   Rowland, M. (1991). Activity and mating competitiveness of gamma

HCH/dieldrin resistant and susceptible male and virgin female Anopheles

gambiae and An. stephensi mosquitoes, with assessment of an

insecticide-rotation strategy. Medical and Veterinary Entomology *5*, 207.

54.   Rowland, M. (1991). Behaviour and fitness of gamma HCH/dieldrin

resistant and susceptible female Anopheles gambiae and An. stephensi

mosquitoes in the absence of insecticide. Medical and Veterinary

Entomology *5*, 193.

55.   Platt, N., Kwiatkowska, R., Irving, H., Diabaté, A., Dabire, R., and Wondji,

C. (2015). Target-site resistance mutations (kdr and RDL), but not

metabolic resistance, negatively impact male mating competiveness in the

malaria vector Anopheles gambiae. Heredity.

56.   Tantely, M.L., Tortosa, P., Alout, H., Berticat, C., Berthomieu, A., Rutee,

A., Dehecq, J.-S., Makoundou, P., Labbé, P., and Pasteur, N. (2010).

Insecticide resistance in Culex pipiens quinquefasciatus and Aedes

albopictus mosquitoes from La Reunion Island. Insect Biochemistry and

Molecular Biology *40*, 317-324.

57.   Antonio-Nkondjio, C., Fossog, B.T., Ndo, C., Djantio, B.M., Togouet, S.Z.,

Awono-Ambene, P., Costantini, C., Wondji, C.S., and Ranson, H. (2011).

Anopheles gambiae distribution and insecticide resistance in the cities of

Douala and Yaounde(Cameroon): influence of urban agriculture and

pollution. Malaria Journal *10*, 154-154.

58.   Kamdem, C., Fossog, B.T., Simard, F., Etouna, J., Ndo, C., Kengne, P.,

Boussès, P., Etoa, F.-X., Awono-Ambene, P., and Fontenille, D. (2012).

Anthropogenic habitat disturbance and ecological divergence between

incipient species of the malaria mosquito Anopheles gambiae. PLoS One

7, e39453.

59.   Antonio-Nkondjio, C., Youmsi-Goupeyou, M., Kopya, E., Tene-Fossog, B.,

Njiokou, F., Costantini, C., and Awono-Ambene, P. (2014). Exposure to

disinfectants (soap or hydrogen peroxide) increases tolerance to

permethrin in Anopheles gambiae populations from the city of Yaoundé,

Cameroon. Malaria Journal *13*, 296.

60.   Nwane, P., Etang, J., Chouaibou, M., Toto, J.C., Kerah-Hinzoumbé, C.,

Mimpfoundi, R., Awono-Ambene, H.P., and Simard, F. (2009). Trends in

DDT and pyrethroid resistance in Anopheles gambiae ss populations from

urban and agro-industrial settings in southern Cameroon. BMC infectious

diseases *9*, 163.

61.   Antonio-Nkondjio, C., Fossog, B.T., Kopya, E., Poumachu, Y., Djantio,

B.M., Ndo, C., Tchuinkam, T., Awono-Ambene, P., and Wondji, C.S.

(2015). Rapid evolution of pyrethroid resistance prevalence in Anopheles

gambiae populations from the cities of Douala and Yaoundé (Cameroon).

Malaria Journal *14*, 1-9.

62.   Suwanchaichinda, C., and Brattsten, L. (2001). Effects of exposure to

pesticides on carbaryl toxicity and cytochrome P450 activities in Aedes

albopictus larvae (Diptera: Culicidae). Pesticide Biochemistry and

Physiology *70*, 63-73.

63.   David, J.-P., Coissac, E., Melodelima, C., Poupardin, R., Riaz, M.A.,

Chandor-Proust, A., and Reynaud, S. (2010). Transcriptome response to

pollutants and insecticides in the dengue vector Aedes aegypti using next-

generation sequencing technology. BMC Genomics *11*, 216.

64.   Poupardin, R., Riaz, M.A., Jones, C.M., Chandor-Proust, A., Reynaud, S.,

and David, J.-P. (2012). Do pollutants affect insecticide-driven gene

selection in mosquitoes? Experimental evidence from transcriptomics.

Aquatic Toxicology *114*, 49-57.

65.   Mueller, P., Chouaibou, M., Pignatelli, P., Etang, J., Walker, E.D.,

Donnelly, M.J., Simard, F., and Ranson, H. (2008). Pyrethroid tolerance is

associated with elevated expression of antioxidants and agricultural

practice in Anopheles arabiensis sampled from an area of cotton fields in

Northern Cameroon. Molecular Ecology *17*, 1145-1155.

66.   United Nations, D.o.E.a.S.A., Population Division (2014). World

Urbanization Prospects: The 2014 Revision, Highlights.

(ST/ESA/SER.A/352).

67.   Donnelly, M.J., McCall, P., Lengeler, C., Bates, I., D'Alessandro, U.,

Barnish, G., Konradsen, F., Klinkenberg, E., Townson, H., and Trape, J.-

F. (2005). Malaria and urbanization in sub-Saharan Africa. Malar J *4*, 12.

68.   Robert, V., Macintyre, K., Keating, J., Trape, J.-F., Duchemin, J.-B.,

Warren, M., and Beier, J.C. (2003). Malaria transmission in urban sub-

Saharan Africa. The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene

68, 169-176.

69.   Keiser, J., Utzinger, J., De Castro, M.C., Smith, T.A., Tanner, M., and

Singer, B.H. (2004). Urbanization in sub-saharan Africa and implication for

malaria control. The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene

71, 118-127.

70.   De Silva, P.M., and Marshall, J.M. (2012). Factors contributing to urban

malaria transmission in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. Journal

of tropical medicine *2012*.

71.   Weill, M., Chandre, F., Brengues, C., Manguin, S., Akogbeto, M., Pasteur,

N., Guillet, P., and Raymond, M. (2000). The kdr mutation occurs in the

Mopti form of Anopheles gambiae s.s. through introgression. Insect Mol

Biol *9*, 451-455.

72.   Windbichler, N., Menichelli, M., Papathanos, P.A., Thyme, S.B., Li, H.,

773   Ulge, U.Y., Hovde, B.T., Baker, D., Monnat, R.J., Jr., Burt, A., et al.

774   (2011). A synthetic homing endonuclease-based gene drive system in the

775   human malaria mosquito. Nature *473*, 212-215.

776   73.   Service, M.W. (1993). Mosquito Ecology. Field Sampling Methods, 2nd

777   ed., (London: Elsevier Applied Science Publishers, Ltd.).

778   74.   Gimnig, J.E., Walker, E.D., Otieno, P., Kosgei, J., Olang, G., Ombok, M.,

779   Williamson, J., Marwanga, D., Abong'o, D., and Desai, M. (2013).

780   Incidence of malaria among mosquito collectors conducting human

781   landing catches in western Kenya. The American Journal of Tropical

782   Medicine and Hygiene *88*, 301-308.

783   75.   Gillies, M.T., and De Meillon, B. (1968). The Anophelinae of Africa South

784   of the Sahara, 2nd Edition, (Johannesburg: South African Institute for

785   Medical Research).

786   76.   Fanello, C., Santolamazza, F., and della Torre, A. (2002). Simultaneous

787   identification of species and molecular forms of the *Anopheles gambiae*

788   complex by PCR-RFLP. Med Vet Entomol *16*, 461-464.

789   77.   Turissini, D.A., Gamez, S., and White, B.J. (2014). Genome-wide patterns

790   of polymorphism in an inbred line of the African malaria mosquito

791   Anopheles gambiae. Genome biology and evolution *6*, 3094-3104.

792   78.   Purcell, S., Neale, B., Todd-Brown, K., Thomas, L., Ferreira, M.A.,

793   Bender, D., Maller, J., Sklar, P., De Bakker, P.I., and Daly, M.J. (2007).

794    PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based

795    linkage analyses. The American Journal of Human Genetics *81*, 559-575.

796 79. Paradis, E., Claude, J., and Strimmer, K. (2004). APE: analyses of

797    phylogenetics and evolution in R language. Bioinformatics *20*, 289-290.

798 80. Jombart, T. (2008). adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of

799    genetic markers. Bioinformatics *24*, 1403-1405.

800 81. Jakobsson, M., and Rosenberg, N.A. (2007). CLUMPP: a cluster matching

801    and permutation program for dealing with label switching and

802    multimodality in analysis of population structure. Bioinformatics *23*, 1801-

803    1806.

804 82. Rosenberg, N.A. (2004). DISTRUCT: a program for the graphical display

805    of population structure. Mol Ecol Notes *4*, 137-138.

806 83. Plotree, D., and Plotgram, D. (1989). PHYLIP-phylogeny inference

807    package (version 3.2). cladistics *5*, 163-166.

808 84. Fumagalli, M., Vieira, F.G., Linderoth, T., and Nielsen, R. (2014).

809    ngsTools: methods for population genetics analyses from next-generation

810    sequencing data. Bioinformatics *30*, 1486-1487.

811 85. Meirmans, P.G., and Van Tienderen, P.H. (2004). GENOTYPE and

812    GENODIVE: two programs for the analysis of genetic diversity of asexual

813    organisms. Mol Ecol Notes *4*, 792-794.

814 86. Huang, D.W., Sherman, B.T., and Lempicki, R.A. (2008). Systematic and

815    integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics

816    resources. Nature protocols *4*, 44-57.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. *Anopheles gambiae* complex sibling species are genetically

distinct. A) PCA B) NJ Tree, and C) fastSTRUCTURE analyses clearly separate

the four *An. gambiae* complex species that occur in Cameroon into discrete

genetic clusters. Additional subdivison below the species level is apparent within

An. coluzzii and *An. gambiae.* D) Species composition varies dramatically

between eco-geographic regions. Sampling sites are denoted by black dots.

Figure 2. *Anopheles gambiae* is divided into three cryptic subpopulations.

A) PCA, B) NJ Tree, and C) fastSTRUCTURE analyses reveal subdivision within

An. gambiae. We term the most abundant group *GAM1*, while a second small,

but widely distributed group, is termed *GAM2*. Finally, most individuals from the

village of Nkolondom are genetically distinct from other *An. gambiae* suggestive

of local adaptation.

Figure 3. *Anopheles coluzzii* is divided into four subgroups. A) PCA, NJ

Tree, and C) fastSTRUCTURE reveal major population structuring between *An.*

coluzzii from the northern Savannah eco-geographic region and *An. coluzzii* from

the southern three forested regions. Within the south, D) PCA, E) NJ Tree, and

F) fastSTRUCTURE analyses separate mosquitoes based on geographic origin,

although clustering is not fully discrete indicating a dynamic interplay between

local adaptation and migration.

Figure 4. Phylogenetic relationships between populations show recent

radiation within *An. gambiae* and *An. coluzzii* clades. In an unrooted, F_{ST}-

based NJ tree, *An. melas* is most distant from all other species, while *An.*

gambiae and *An. coluzzii* are sister species. Southern populations of *An. coluzzii*

are more closely related to each other than to the northern savannah population.

In contrast to geographic distance, the Douala subpopulation is genetically closer

to Yaoundé rather than Coastal mosquitoes. Within *An. gambiae*, a relatively

deep split is present between *GAM2* and *GAM1*, while *Nkolondom* appears to

have recently diverged from *GAM1*.

Figure 5. Genome scans reveal footprints of global and local adaptation in

***An. gambiae* subpopulations.** A-C) Diversity and Tajima's *D* are plotted for

each of three subpopulations. A dashed line marks the 1st percentile empirical

distribution of Tajima's *D*. In all populations, concordant dips in diversity and

Tajima's *D* are evident near the pericentromeric region of 2L where the *para*

sodium channel gene is located. A population-specific sweep in Nkolondom is

present at ~34 Mb on 2L. D-E) Both absolute (d_{xy}) and relative (F_{ST}) divergence

between populations are plotted with a dashed line marking the 99th percentile

distribution of F_{ST}. An increase in F_{ST} between *GAM1-Nkolondom* occurs at the

Nkolondom-specific 2L sweep*.*

Figure 6. Strong positive selection acts on xenobiotic resistance loci in

subpopulations of *An. coluzzii*. A-D) Sharp declines in diversity and allele

frequency spectrum at the *para* sodium channel gene are present in all

populations. A sweep centered on the resistance to dieldrin (*rdl*) gene is present

in southern subpopulations, while a dramatic sweep encompassing a cluster of

detoxification genes on 3R is limited to urban mosquitoes. E-G) No evidence for

locally elevated divergence is observed at the *para* or *rdl* loci suggesting a

shared sweep amongst populations. In contrast, urban-rural mosquitoes show

extreme levels of divergence at the detoxification-enriched sweep on 3R.

Figure 7. Spatially varying selection between urban and coastal

populations. For each of the three southern *An. coluzzii* subpopulations, 80

reconstructed haplotypes are visualized by color-coding 28 bi-allelic SNPs in the

3R GSTE/CYP450 sweep either grey or white. A single invariant haplotype -- all

grey SNPs -- is common in (A) Yaoundé, less so in (B) Douala, and very rare in

(C) coastal populations. D-E) Similarly, in PCA and NJ Tree analysis of the

same 28 SNPs, coastal individuals (navy blue) are diffuse across genotypic

space, while Yaounde mosquitoes (purple) are tightly clustered. As expected,

Douala (pink)exhibits an intermediate degree of variation. F) STRUCTURE

analysis based solely on the 28 SNPs within the sweep shows clear distinctions

between the three populations.

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure S1. Bayesian information criterion was used to determine the most likely

number of clusters/populations for A) all 941 samples, B) all *An. coluzzii*, C) *An.*

coluzzii from the northern savannah, D) *An. arabiensis*, E) the 309 individuals

used for genome scans F) all *An. gambiae*, G) southern *An. coluzzii*, and H) *An.*

melas. BIC scores for 1 to 50 clusters are plotted. The lower the BIC score the

better the model fits the observed genetic diversity.

Figure S2. Southern (pale pink) and northern populations (deep pink) of *An.*

coluzzii are readily separated in PCA (top) and NJ trees (bottom) using SNPs

exclusively from any of the five chromosomal arms.

Figure S3. No population substructure is detectable within northern *An. coluzzii*

using PCA and NJ tree analysis.

Figure S4. Mean sequencing coverage per individual is plotted in 300kb non-

overlapping windows across the genome. Only individuals used in the genome

scans are included in the coverage calculation.

Figure 1.

Figure 2.

Figure 3.

Figure 4.

Legend:
- *An. melas*
- *An. arabiensis*
- *GAM1* ⎤
- *Nkolondom* ⎥ *An. gambiae*
- *GAM2* ⎦
- Savannah ⎤
- *Coast* ⎥ *An. coluzzii*
- *Douala* ⎥
- *Yaoundé* ⎦

0.05

Figure 5.

Figure 6.

Figure 7.

Figure S1.

Figure S2.

Figure S3.

A

B

Figure S4.

1 SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
2
Consistently negative Tajima's *D* across all subgroups may reflect recent

population expansions. To further address this hypothesis we modeled the

demographic history of each population using a diffusion-based approach

implemented in the software package *∂a∂i* v 1.6.3 (1). We fit four alternative

demographic models (*neutral, growth, two-epoch, bottle-growth*), without

migration or recombination, to the folded allele frequency spectrum of each

cryptic subgroup of *An. gambiae s.s.* and *An. coluzzii*. The best model was

selected based on the highest composite log likelihood, the lowest Akaike

Information Criterion (AIC), and visual inspection of residuals. As the choice of

model can be challenging in recently diverged populations, we prioritized the

simplest model when we found it difficult to discriminate between conflicting

models. To obtain uncertainty estimates for the demographic parameters we

used the built-in bootstrap function implemented in *∂a∂i* to derive 95% bootstrap

confidence intervals.

Results indicate that GAM1, GAM2, and Savannah populations have

experienced recent size increases. However, for the southern populations of

Yaoundé, Coast, Douala, and Nkolondom the best demographic model is a

bottle-growth (Table S4). While most classical studies report *An. gambiae s.l.*

populations that are in expansion (e.g. 2), a more recent study employing RAD

markers revealed that some East African populations have more complex

demographic histories, often involving several changes in effective population

size (N_e) as we observed in southern forest populations of both *An. coluzzii* and

An. gambiae. It has also been shown that *Anopheles* mosquitoes can experience

drastic declines in N_e due to insecticidal campaigns (3). Such events affect

demographic parameters and could be a plausible explanation for the difficulty

we encountered in distinguishing between *bottle-growth* and *two-epoch* models

in some populations.

SUPPLEMENTAL REFERENCES

1. Gutenkunst RN, Hernandez RD, Williamson SH, Bustamante CD (2009)

Inferring the joint demographic history of multiple populations from

multidimensional SNP frequency data. *PLoS Genet* 5(10):e1000695.

2. Donnelly MJ, Licht MC, Lehmann T (2001) Evidence for recent population

expansion in the evolutionary history of the malaria vectors Anopheles

arabiensis and Anopheles gambiae. *Mol Biol Evol* 18(7):1353–1364.

3. Athrey G, et al. (2012) The effective population size of malaria mosquitoes:

large impact of vector control. *PLoS Genet* 8(12):e1003097.

Table S1. Locations of *An. gambiae s.l.* mosquitoes sequenced in Cameroon

Ecogeographic regions	Village Name	Geographic coordinates	Sampling methods				Total
			HLC-OUT	HLC-IN	LC	SPRAY	
Savannah	Lagdo	9°02'56"N, 13°39'22"E	52	27	21	43	143
	Lougga Tabadi	7°06'36"N, 13°12'36"E	2	0	0	0	2
	Malang Dang	7°26'06"N, 13°33'14"E	0	0	0	11	11
	Ngao Bella	6°30'04"N, 12°25'34"E	28	17	0	69	114
	Paniéré Tibati	6°28'08"N, 12°37'44"E	5	0	22	16	43
	Total		87	44	43	139	313
F-S Transition	Makoupa Bord	6°06'06"N, 11°11'29"E	2	0	0	16	18
	Makoupa Le Grand	6°02'28"N, 11°10'39"E	0	0	4	10	14
	Manchoutvi	5°52'48"N, 11°06'36"E	0	0	70	0	70
	Manda	5°43'32"N, 10°52'06"E	1	2	0	33	36
	Mante Le Grand	6°03'44"N, 11°12'18"E	0	0	0	8	8
	Mfelap	5°43'32"N, 10°52'06"E	0	0	0	8	8
	Mgbandji	6°05'49"N, 11°08'26"E	0	0	3	5	8
	Mouinkoing	6°02'56"N, 11°24'36"E	0	0	0	3	3
	Total		3	2	77	83	165
Forest (urban)	Bepanda Omnisport (Douala)	4°03'18"N, 9°43'16"E	0	0	7	0	7
	Beti Maképé (Douala)	4°03'54"N, 9°45'40"E	0	0	25	0	25
	Bomono Gare (Douala)	4°04'55"N, 9°35'35"E	0	0	13	0	13
	PK10 (Douala)	4°02'49"N, 9°46'47"E	0	0	20	0	20
	Missolé 2 (Douala)	3°59'17"N, 9°54'22"E	0	0	8	0	8
	Ndobo Bonabéri (Douala)	4°04'39"N, 9°40'12"E	0	0	1	0	1
	Sable (Douala)	4°04'52"N, 9°43'34"E	28	0	5	0	33
	Village Petit Mobil (Douala)	4°00'16"N, 9°45'18"E	1	0	16	0	17
	Nkolbisson (Yaoundé)	3°52'29"N, 11°26'58"E	0	0	9	0	9
	Nkolondom (Yaoundé)	3°58'20"N, 11°30'56"E	0	0	48	0	48
	Tsinga Elobi (Yaoundé)	3°52'49"N, 11°30'23"E	0	0	46	0	46
	Combattant (Yaoundé)	3°52'36"N, 11°30'46"E	18	0	48	0	66
	Total		47	0	246	0	293
Forest (rural)	Mbébé	4°10'00"N, 11°04'00"E	0	0	0	5	5
	Nyabessan Centre	2°24'00"N, 10°24'00"E	4	1	0	0	5
	Oveng	2°44'00"N, 11°27'00"E	1	0	0	0	1
	Total		5	1	0	5	11

Coast	Afan Essokyé	2°22'01"N, 9°58'59"E	1	0	0	13	14
	Bouanjo	2°48'00"N, 9°54'00"E	0	0	5	0	5
	Campo	2°22'01"N, 9°49'01"E	48		16	27	91
	Ebodjé	2°30'00"N, 9°49'05"E	35	4	0	3	42
	Mutengéné	4°06'53"N, 9°14'51"E	0	0	7	0	7
	Total		84	4	28	43	159
Total			226	51	394	270	941

HLC-OUT, human landing catches performed outdoor; HLC-IN, human landing catches performed indoor; LC, larval collection; SPRAY, spray catches.

Table S2. Pairwise comparison of genetic distance (F_{ST}) among cryptic subgroups and sibling species of *An. gambiae s.l.*

$\mathbf{F_{ST}}$	*Yaoundé*	*Douala*	*Coast*	*Savannah*	*GAM2*	*Nkolondom*	*GAM1*	*An. arabiensis*
Yaoundé	-							
Douala	0.016	-						
Coast	0.035	0.023	-					
Savannah	0.127	0.109	0.103	-				
GAM2	0.244	0.199	0.230	0.168	-			
Nkolondom	0.197	0.201	0.200	0.247	0.161	-		
GAM1	0.183	0.153	0.178	0.188	0.090	0.050	-	
An. arabiensis	0.451	0.406	0.498	0.383	0.478	0.372	0.327	-
An. melas	0.851	0.836	0.830	0.792	0.841	0.818	0.781	0.872

Table S3. Average nucleotide diversity in seven cryptic subgroups of *An. coluzzii* and *An. gambiae s.s.*

Population	$\theta\pi$ (bp^{-1})	θw (bp^{-1})
Yaoundé	0.0025	0.0028
Douala	0.0031	0.0037
Coast	0.0025	0.0031
Savannah	0.0035	0.0057
GAM2	0.0019	0.0020
Nkolondom	0.0020	0.0021
GAM1	0.0042	0.0069

Table S4. Parameters of demographic models inferred from folded Site Frequency Spectrum (SFS) of autosomal SNPs in seven cryptic subpopulations of *An. gambiae*

Species	Population	Best Model	Log Likelihood	Final Pop Size[a] (95% CI)	Bottleneck Size[b] (95% CI)	Time[c] (95% CI)
Anopheles coluzzii	*Yaoundé*	*Bottle-growth*	-83.45	1.18 (0.92 – 1.69)	24.85 (11.94 - 125.77)	0.58 (0.43 - 1.01)
	Douala	*Bottle-growth*	-84.50	2.20 (1.52 - 3.77)	5.66 (2.80 - 142.42)	0.59 (0.41 - 1.77)
	Coast	*Bottle-growth*	-82.95	1.72 (1.28 - 2.33)	23.83 (10.95 - 128.52)	0.67 (0.50 - 1.10)
	Savannah	*Two-epoch*	-102.65	6.73 (6.35 - 7.21)		0.62 (0.54 - 0.72)
Anopheles gambiae s.s.	*GAM2*	*Two-epoch*	-37.48	3.60 (1.74 - 7.70)		3.60 (0.56 - 9.30)
	Nkolodom	*Bottle-growth*	-74.92	1.08 (0.82 - 1.12)	24.95 (47.91 - 99.84)	0.63 (0.47 - 0.91)
	GAM1	*Two-epoch*	-103.33	6.75 (6.33 - 7.24)		0.68 (0.60 - 0.78)

[a] Ratio of contemporary to ancient population size.
[b] Ratio of population size after instantaneous change to ancient population size.
[c] Time in the past at which instantaneous change happened and growth began (in units of 2*Na generations).