1   # Pollutants and insecticides drive local adaptation in African malaria
2   # mosquitoes
3
4
5
6

7   Colince Kamdem[1*], Caroline Fouet[1], Stephanie Gamez[1], Bradley J. White[1,2,*]
8
9   [1]Department of Entomology, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521
10
11   [2]Center for Disease Vector Research, Institute for Integrative Genome Biology,
12   University of California, Riverside, CA 92521
13
14   [*]Corresponding author: e-mail: colincek@ucr.edu; bwhite@ucr.edu

15
16
17

ABSTRACT

The *Anopheles gambiae* complex contains a number of highly anthropophilic

mosquito species that have acquired exceptional ability to thrive in complex

human habitats. Thus, examining the evolutionary history of this Afrotropical

mosquito may yield vital information on the selective processes that occurred

during the adaptation to human-dominated environments. We performed reduced

representation sequencing on 941 mosquitoes of the *Anopheles gambiae*

complex collected across four ecogeographic zones in Cameroon. We find

evidence for genetic and geographic subdivision within *An. coluzzii* and *An.*

gambiae sensu *stricto* – the two most significant malaria vectors in the region.

Importantly, in both species, rural and urban populations are genetically

differentiated. Genome scans reveal pervasive signatures of selection centered

on genes involved in xenobiotic resistance. Notably, a selective sweep containing

detoxification enzymes is prominent in urban mosquitoes that exploit polluted

breeding sites. Overall, our study suggests that recent anthropogenic

environmental modifications and widespread use of insecticides are driving

population differentiation and local adaptation in vectors with potentially

significant consequences for malaria epidemiology.

INTRODUCTION

Humans and their actions on ecosystems can profoundly alter the evolutionary trajectory of other organisms in various ways (Palumbi 2001; Bull and Maron 2016; Hendry et al. 2017). Signatures of human-driven evolutionary changes are particularly evident in cities and urbanizing regions, which often necessitate a rapid adaptation to complex environments (Alberti 2015; Alberti et al. 2017; Hendry et al. 2017). A wealth of data exists on genetic and phenotypic signals associated with adaptation to anthropogenic changes in multiple taxa, but the extent of signatures across genomes remain largely unexplored. The widespread use of xenobiotics in agriculture and public health also increases selective pressures on pathogens and their vectors, prompting significant evolutionary adjustments that have yet to be fully elucidated (Georghiou 1972; Mallet 1989; Palumbi 2001). The application of powerful genomic tools now available will help identify the genes and genomic regions underlying adaptation to human-induced selective pressures.

The most effective malaria mosquitoes of the Afrotropical region are remarkably anthropophilic and closely associated with human habitats (White 1974; Coluzzi et al. 1979; Antonio-Nkondjio et al. 2006; Sinka et al. 2010; Dia et al. 2013). Therefore, dissecting the genome of contemporary populations of these species may provide significant insights into the genetic targets of recent selection driven by anthropogenic disturbance. Adaptation to human-dominated environments is challenging and very selective even among highly specialized mosquitoes that feed almost exclusively on human hosts. For example, tens of

Anopheles species transmit human malaria parasites across the continent, but

only four members of the *Anopheles gambiae* complex thrive in dense urban

areas (Antonio-Nkondjio et al. 2005; Oyewole and Awolola 2006; Mourou et al.

2010; Dabiré et al. 2012; Kamdem et al. 2012). The recent scaling up of

measures using insecticides and insecticide-treated materials to combat malaria

expose mosquitoes to an even more disruptive adaptive challenge (Sokhna et al.

2013; WHO 2013). Although the genetic basis remains unknown, studies suggest

that both physiological and ecological limits and species ranges are profoundly

altered in *Anopheles* mosquitoes as a result of rapid urbanization and

widespread use of insecticides (Jones et al. 2012; Kamdem et al. 2012;

Mwangangi et al. 2013; Tene Fossog et al. 2013; Antonio-Nkondjio et al. 2015).

The *Anopheles gambiae* complex is a group of at least nine isomorphic

mosquito species exhibiting varying degrees of geographic and reproductive

isolation (Riehle et al. 2011; Coetzee et al. 2013; Fontaine et al. 2015). Owing to

ongoing adaptive speciation, the principal vectors of the complex have an

amazing plasticity in adjusting to diverse ecological conditions, allowing them to

track humans across most of tropical Africa (Davidson 1964; Coluzzi et al. 1979;

Coluzzi et al. 2002). This adaptive flexibility and the underlying behavioral

complexity have undermined at least one major effort at vector control

(Molineaux and Gramiccia 1980). Importantly, the epidemiological consequences

of adaptive radiation will be even more challenging to tackle if a part of the

divergence recently described within the particularly efficient vectors *An.*

gambiae sensu stricto (hereafter *An. gambiae*) and *An. coluzzii* is driven by

human-induced changes (Touré et al. 1998; Wondji et al. 2005; Slotman et al.

2007; Pinto et al. 2013; Caputo et al. 2014). This hypothesis has been formulated

based on ecological observations and population structure, but the genetic

underpinnings still need to be clearly assessed by examining patterns of variation

across the genome (Coluzzi et al. 2002; Kamdem et al. 2012; Caputo et al.

2014).

To delineate the population structure and the role of recent processes –

mainly urbanization and the rapid scaling up of insecticide-treated bed net

coverage – in the genetic differentiation, we genotyped 941 mosquitoes of the

An. gambiae complex collected from diverse environments in Cameroon at

>8,000 SNP. We found strong evidence for complex geographic and genetic

structuring giving rise to seven subpopulations distributed along a continuum of

genomic differentiation within both *An. gambiae* and *An. coluzzii*. By combining

genomic evidence and ecological knowledge, we revealed that the strongest

correlates of genetic differentiation in this region are intense suburban

agriculture, urbanization, insecticides and forest-savannah subdivisions. These

findings add to a growing body of data indicating that the inevitable rise of

insecticide resistance together with ongoing local adaptation of *Anopheles*

species in urban areas are the major challenges for current vector control

strategies.

RESULTS

Population structure of An. gambiae *sensu lato (s.l.) sibling species*

We performed extensive sampling of human-associated *Anopheles* across

the main ecological zones in Cameroon (Table S1, Figure S1) to collect diverse

populations belonging to the four species of the *An. gambiae* complex that are

known to occur in the country (Simard et al. 2009). Certain subpopulations or

species can be overlooked when sampling is biased toward one type of

population (Riehle et al. 2011). To maximize the chances that our samples best

represent the genetic diversity within each species, we used several sampling

methods (Service 1993) to collect both larvae and adult populations. In addition,

populations of *An. gambiae* and *An. coluzzii* segregate along urbanization

gradients, which seem to be the most important driver of ecological divergence in

the forest zone (Kamdem et al. 2012). To validate this hypothesis and to

investigate the genomic targets of local adaptation in urban environments, we

surveyed several neighborhoods representing the urban and suburban

ecosystems in the two biggest cities of the forest area: Douala and Yaoundé

(Figure S1).

To assess the genetic relatedness among individuals, we subjected all

941 mosquitoes that were morphologically identified as *An. gambiae* s.l. to

population genomic analysis. Individual mosquitoes were genotyped in parallel at

a dense panel of markers using double-digest restriction associated DNA

sequencing (ddRADseq), which enriches for a representative and reproducible

fraction of the genome that can be sequenced on the Illumina platform (Peterson

et al. 2012). After aligning ddRADseq reads to the *An. gambiae* reference

genome, we used STACKS (Catchen et al. 2011; Catchen et al. 2013) to identify

8,476 SNPs (~1 SNP every 30kb across the genome) within consensus RAD loci

present in more than 80% of individuals, and we inferred the population structure

based on these variations. First, we performed principal component analysis

(PCA) across all 941 individuals (Figure 1A). The top three components explain

28.4% of the total variance and group individuals into five main clusters.

Likewise, a neighbor-joining (NJ) tree, based on Euclidian distance of allele

frequencies, shows five distinct clades of mosquitoes (Figure 1B). We

hypothesized that these groups at least partially correspond to the four sibling

species – *An. gambiae*, *An. coluzzii*, *An. arabiensis*, and *An. melas* – known to

occur in Cameroon. To confirm, we typed a subset of 288 specimens using

species identification PCRs (Fanello et al. 2002; Santolamazza et al. 2004) and

found that each cluster comprised a single species. In agreement with previous

surveys (Wondji et al. 2005; Simard et al. 2009), our collections indicate that the

brackish water breeding *An. melas* is limited to coastal regions, while the arid-

adapted *An. arabiensis* is restricted to the savannah. In contrast, *An. gambiae*

and *An. coluzzii* are distributed across the four eco-geographic zones of

Cameroon (Figure 1D). Lee et al. 2013 recently reported frequent bouts of

hybridization between *An. gambiae* and *An. coluzzii* in Cameroon. While both the

PCA and NJ trees clearly separate the two species, the PCA does show

intermixing of some rare individuals consistent with semi-permeable species

boundaries.

In support of population structuring below the species level, Bayesian

clustering analysis with fastSTRUCTURE (Raj et al. 2014) finds that 7 population

clusters (*k*) best explain the genetic variance present in our data (Figure 1C,

Figure S2). Indeed, grouping of samples within *An. gambiae* and *An. coluzzii*

clades suggests that additional subdivision may exist within each species (Figure

1A, 1B). Ancestry plots further support inference from the PCA and NJ tree: at

least two subgroups compose *An. coluzzii* and *An. gambiae* individuals show

admixed ancestry, while *An. arabiensis* and *An. melas* are panmictic (Figure 1C,

Figure S2). A cryptic subgroup of *An. gambiae* has been discovered recently by

comparing indoor and outdoor fauna from the same village in Burkina Faso

(Riehle et al. 2011). Visual inspections of our PCA, NJ and fastSTRUCTURE

clustering results do not indicate any genetic subdivision based on the collection

methods or the developmental stage. To explicitly test for the effects of the

sampling methods and the geographic origin of samples on the genetic variance

among individuals, we applied a hierarchical Analysis of Molecular Variance

(AMOVA) (Excoffier et al. 1992), which confirmed the absence of genetic

structuring based on microhabitats or temporal segregations in both species. The

large majority of the genetic variation is attributable to differences among

individuals. While the geographic origin accounts for 13.2 % ($p < 0.001$) and

9.4% ($p < 0.001$) of the variance in *An. coluzzii* and *An. gambiae* respectively, the

effect of the types of sample is marginal and not significant.

Population Structure within An. gambiae

To further resolve the population structure within 357 *An. gambiae*

specimens, we performed population genetic analysis with a set of 9,345 filtered

SNPs. Using a combination of PCA, NJ trees, and ancestry assignment, we

consistently identify three distinct subgroups within *An. gambiae* (Figure 2, Figure

S2). The first and largest subgroup (termed *GAM1*) comprises the vast majority

of all *An. gambiae* specimens including individuals collected in all four eco-

geographic regions (Table S1). A total of 17 individuals make up a second small

subgroup (termed *GAM2*). Interestingly, individuals assigned to this cluster

include both larvae and adults collected in 3 different villages spread across 2

eco-geographic regions. In the absence of any obvious evidence of niche

differentiation between *GAM1* and *GAM2,* it is unclear what is driving and/or

maintaining divergence between the two sympatric subgroups. Specimens

collected from Nkolondom, a suburban neighborhood of Yaoundé where larval

sites associated with small-scale agricultural irrigation are common (Fossog

Tene et al. 2013; Nwane et al. 2013), form a genetically distinct third subgroup

(termed *Nkolondom*) that appears to be a locally-adapted ecotype.

Population Structure within An. coluzzii

To examine population structure within 521 *An. coluzzii* specimens, we

utilized 9,822 SNPs that passed stringent filtration. All analyses show a clear split

between individuals from the northern savannah region and the southern three

forested regions of Cameroon (Coastal, Forest, Forest-Savannah) (Figure 3A-C).

In principle, the north-south structuring could be caused solely by differences in

chromosome 2 inversion frequencies, which form a cline from near absence in

the humid south to fixation in the arid north (Simard et al. 2009; Fouet et al.

2012). However, we find SNPs from all five chromosomal arms consistently

separate northern and southern mosquitoes, indicating a substantial genome-

wide divergence between the two populations (Figure S3).

Southern populations of *An. coluzzii* were collected from three different

areas: Douala (the largest city of Cameroon), Yaoundé (the second largest city)

and the rural coastal region. PCA, NJ trees, and fastSTRUCTURE show clear

clustering of southern samples by collection site (Figure 3D-F). Mosquitoes from

Douala, situated on the coastal border, contain a mixture of urban and coastal

polymorphisms as illustrated by their intermediate position along PC3 (Figure

3D). Despite considerable geographic segregation, clusters are not fully discrete,

likely owing to substantial migration between the three sites. Taken together, the

data suggest a dynamic and ongoing process of local adaptation within southern

An. coluzzii. In contrast, no similar geographic clustering is observed in northern

populations (Figure S2, S4). Slight variations can be observed between genetic

clustering patterns suggested by different methods. We have only considered

subdivisions that were consistent across the three methods we employed. For

example, individuals from Yaoundé have been treated as a single subgroup,

although PCA showed that a few specimens were relatively detached from the

main cluster. This putative subdivision was not supported by the NJ tree and

fastSTRUCTURE analyses (Figure 3D-F). All populations described as "urban"

were collected from the most urbanized areas of the city, which are characterized

by a high frequency of built environments as described in Kamdem *et al*. 2012.

Relationships Between Species and Subgroups

Population genomic analysis identified four different *An. gambiae* s.l.

species present within our samples. Within *An. gambiae* and *An. coluzzii* we

identified seven potential subgroups with apparently varying levels of divergence.

To further explore the relationships between different populations, we built an

unrooted NJ tree using pairwise levels of genomic divergence (F_{ST}) between all

species and subgroups (Figure 4, Table S2). As previously observed in a

phylogeny based on whole genome sequencing (Fontaine et al. 2015), we find

that *An. melas* is highly divergent from all other species (F_{ST} ~ 0.8), while *An.*

arabiensis shows intermediate levels of divergence (F_{ST} ~ 0.4) from *An. gambiae*

and *An. coluzzii*. As expected, the sister species *An. gambiae* and *An. coluzzii*

are more closely related to each other (F_{ST} ~ 0.2) than any other species. When

examining differentiation between subgroups within *An. coluzzii*, we find that the

southern and northern subgroups are relatively divergent (F_{ST} > 0.1), while

differentiation between local ecotypes within the south is much lower (F_{ST} <

0.04), corroborating patterns of population structure described within this species

(Figure 3D-F). Similarly, as suggested by the genetic structuring, the level of

differentiation between *An. gambiae* subgroups *GAM1* and *GAM2* is relatively

significant (F_{ST} ~ 0.1), while the suburban ecotype from Nkolondom shows a low

level of divergence from *GAM1* (F_{ST} ~ 0.05). To further examine the degree of

isolation of subgroups within species, we assessed the reductions in observed

heterozygosity with respect to that expected under Hardy–Weinberg Equilibrium

among *An. coluzzii* and *An. gambiae* populations, by computing the average

Wright's inbreeding coefficient, F_{IS}, across genome-wide SNPs. Values of F_{IS}

close to 1 indicate a deviation from Hardy–Weinberg Equilibrium and the

existence of cryptic subdivisions while F_{IS} close to 0 suggest that there are no

barriers to gene flow. In spite of the strong population genetic structure observed

within *An. coluzzii* and *An. gambiae*, we found surprisingly low genome-wide F_{IS}

values (less than 0.0003) in both species, suggesting a lack of assortative

mating. Overall, there is no evidence for reproductive isolation within *An.*

gambiae and *An. coluzzii* despite ongoing local adaptation and significant

population differentiation.

Signatures of selection

To find potential targets of selection within subgroups, we performed

scans of nucleotide diversity (θ_W, θ_π) and allele frequency spectrum (*Tajima's D*)

in non-overlapping 150-kb windows across the genome. Scans of θ_W, θ_π, *and*

Tajima's D were conducted by importing aligned, but otherwise unfiltered, reads

directly into ANGSD, which uses genotype likelihoods to calculate summary

statistics (Korneliussen et al. 2014). Natural selection can increase the frequency

of an adaptive variant within a population, leading to localized reductions in

genetic diversity as the haplotype containing the adaptive variant(s) sweeps

towards fixation (Maynard Smith and Haigh 1974; Tajima 1989). Selective

processes can also promote the coexistence of multiple alleles in the gene pool

of a population (balancing selection). Thus, genomic regions harboring targets of

selection should exhibit extreme values of diversity and allele frequency spectra

relative to genome-wide averages (Storz 2005).

We also performed genome scans using both a relative (F_{ST}) and absolute

(d_{xy}) measure of divergence calculated with STACKS and *ngsTools* (Fumagalli et

al. 2014), respectively. For both diversity and divergence scans we used a

maximum of 40 mosquitoes per population, prioritizing individuals with the

highest coverage in populations when sample size exceeded 40. In contrast to

Tajima's *D* and F_{ST}, the genome-wide distribution of d_{xy} and nucleotide diversity

in 150-kb sliding windows yielded relatively noisy patterns due to a large variance

(Wakeley 1996) (Figure 5 and 6). As a result, the identification of signatures of

selection was based primarily on outliers of Tajima's *D* and F_{ST}. Estimates of d_{xy}

and nucleotide diversity were used to confirm genomic locations that were

pinpointed as candidate selective sweep on the basis of values of Tajima's *D* and

F_{ST}. Precisely, genomic regions were considered as targets of selection if they

mapped to significant peaks or depressions of diversity and d_{xy}, and their values

of F_{ST} and Tajima's *D* were among the top 1% of the empirical distribution in at

least one population. Significantly negative values of Tajima's *D* relative to the

genome-wide average suggest an increase in low-frequency mutations due to

negative or positive selection whereas significantly positive values of Tajima's *D*

indicate a balancing selection.

Targets of Selection within An. gambiae *subgroups*

Our estimates of genome-wide diversity levels (Table S3) within *An.*

gambiae subgroups are comparable to previous values based on RAD

sequencing of East African *An. gambiae* s.l. populations (O'Loughlin et al. 2014).

As expected, the large *GAM1* population harbors more genetic diversity than the

apparently rare *GAM2* population or the geographically restricted Nkolondom

ecotype (Table S3, Figure 5A-C). *Tajima's D* is consistently negative across the

entire genome of all three subgroups, indicating an excess of low-frequency

variants that are likely the result of recent population expansion (Figure 5A-C).

Indeed, demographic models infer relatively recent bouts of population expansion

in all three subgroups (Table S4).

Genome scans of each subgroup reveal genomic regions that show

concordant reductions in diversity and allele frequency spectrum consistent with

selection (highlighted in Figure 5 A-C). Based on the 1% cutoff of Tajima's *D*

(upper and lower bounds) and F_{ST}, we identified 4 candidate regions exhibiting

strong signatures of selection. It should be noted that due to the reduced

representation sequencing approach we used, our analysis may be highlighting

only clear instances of selection, which are likely both recent and strong (Tiffin

and Ross-Ibarra 2014). An apparent selective event on the left arm of

chromosome 2 near the centromere is found in all populations. This selective

sweep is characterized by a prominent depression of Tajima's *D* (~1.5Mb in

width) and contains ~80 genes including the pyrethroid knockdown resistance

gene (*kdr*). Although it is difficult to precisely identify the specific gene that has

been influenced by selection in this region, the voltage-gated sodium channel

gene (*kdr*), which is a pervasive target of selection in *Anopheles* mosquitoes

(Clarkson et al. 2014; Norris et al. 2015) represents the strongest candidate in

our populations. Three mutations of the para-type sodium channel conferring

knockdown resistance (1014F, 1014L and 1014S) are widespread in Cameroon

(Nwane et al. 2011). The drop in Tajima's D in the putative kdr sweep is sharpest

in the Nkolondom population. Both 1014F and 1014L kdr alleles coexist at high

frequencies in mosquitoes from this suburban neighborhood of Yaoundé where

larvae can be readily collected from irrigated garden plots that likely contain

elevated levels of pesticides directed at agricultural pests (Nwane et al. 2011;

Fossog Tene et al. 2013; Nwane et al. 2013).

Another region exhibiting consistent signatures of selection in all

populations is found around the centromere on the X chromosome. Functional

analyses of gene ontology (GO) terms (Table S5) revealed a significant

representation of chitin binding proteins in this sweep (p = 1.91e-4). A strong

genetic divergence among the three subgroups of *An. gambiae* characterized by

significant F_{ST} and d_{xy} peaks is also observed at ~30 Mb and ~40 Mb on

chromosome 3R. Positive outliers of both Tajima's D and nucleotide diversity

suggest that this genetic divergence is due to balancing selection on multiple

alleles among populations. Functional analyses of GO terms indicate that the

region at ~40 Mb on 3R is enriched in cell membrane proteins, genes involved in

olfaction and epidermal growth factors (EGF) genes (Table S5). Finally, a striking

depression in Tajima's D supported by a marked dip in nucleotide diversity

occurs on chromosome 2L from ~33-35 Mb in the Nkolondom population

exclusively (Figure 5A-C). Despite the lack of genetic differentiation, this region –

enriched in six EGFs (Table S5) – is probably a recent selective sweep, which

could facilitate larval development of this subgroup in pesticide-laced agricultural

water.

Targets of Selection within An. coluzzii *subpopulations*

As above, we used diversity, allele frequency spectra and genetic

differentiation metrics to scan for targets of selection in the four subgroups of *An.*

coluzzii. Overall, genetic diversity is higher in the northern savannah population

than either of three southern populations, which all exhibit similar levels of

diversity (Table S3). Just as in *An. gambiae*, all subgroups have consistently

negative Tajima's *D* values confirming demographic models of population

expansion (Table S4).

We detected 33 regions where either F_{ST} or Tajima's *D* was above the 99th

percentile of the empirical distribution in at least one population. This included at

least 10 hotspots of F_{ST} clustered within the 2L*a* inversion, which segregates

between forest and savannah populations, as well as a region centered on the

resistance to dieldrin (*rdl*) locus at ~25 Mb on 2L (Figure 6H). However, based on

the 1% threshold of both Tajima's *D* and F_{ST}, we found only 5 candidate selective

sweeps including the *kdr* region, the sweep on the X chromosome, and the two

hot spots of balancing selection detected on the chromosome 3R in *An. gambiae*

(Figure 6A-D). The fifth putative selective sweep characterized by a sharp drop in

both diversity and Tajima's *D* occurs on 3R from ~28.5-29.0 Mb, with the decline

being more significant in urban populations. The particularly reduced diversity at

this sweep in urban mosquitoes strongly suggests it may contain variant(s) that

confer adaptation to human-induced changes. Indeed, this genomic region

harbors a cluster of both Glutathione S-transferase (*GSTE1-GSTE7*) and

cytochrome P450 (*CYP4C27*, *CYP4C35*, *CYP4C36*) genes, and functional

analyses of GO terms reveals an overrepresentation of terms containing

"Glutathione S-transferase" (Table S5, *p* = 5.14e-10). Both the *GSTE* and

cytochrome P450 gene families are known to confer metabolic resistance to

insecticides and pollutants in mosquitoes (Enayati et al. 2005; David et al. 2013).

In particular, *GSTE5* and *GSTE6* are intriguing candidate targets of selection as

each is up-regulated in highly insecticide resistant *An. arabiensis* populations that

recently colonized urban areas of Bobo-Dioulasso, Burkina Faso (Jones et al.

2012).

Both relative and absolute divergences between populations at the two

selective sweeps involved in xenobiotic resistance probably reflect a spatial

variation in selection along gradients of anthropogenic disturbance. In particular,

the *kdr* locus exhibits minimal divergence in all pairwise comparisons, suggesting

that the same resistance haplotype is under selection in each population (Figure

6E-H). This hypothesis is corroborated by data on the distribution of *kdr*

mutations in Cameroon (Nwane et al. 2011). As opposed to *An. gambiae*

populations, which are broadly polymorphic for three *kdr* alleles, the 1014L

mutation is the major allele present at very high frequencies in *An. coluzzii*

throughout the country. The GSTE/CYP450 sweep on 3R shows a peak in F_{ST},

but surprisingly low absolute divergence between Yaoundé and Coastal

mosquitoes – a pattern consistent with genomic regions that underwent a

selective sweep over a broad geographic area followed by episodes of within-

population selection leading to reduced diversity across certain locations (Irwin et

al. 2016). Comparisons between Douala and Coastal populations show a more

moderate increase in F_{ST}, presumably due to high rates of migration between

these nearby sites. To further explore the 3R GSTE/CYP450 sweep, we

reconstructed haplotypes for all 240 *An. coluzzii* southern chromosomes across

the 28 SNPs found within the sweep. In the Yaoundé population, a single

haplotype is present on 44 out of 80 (55%) chromosomes (all grey SNPs), while

an additional 11 haplotypes are within one mutational step of this common

haplotype (Figure 7A). In Douala, the same haplotype is the most common, but

present at a lower frequency (31%) than in Yaoundé (Figure 7B). This haplotype

is found on only 6/80 (7.5%) coastal chromosomes (Figure 7C). Population

genomic analyses of the same 28 SNPs (Figure 7D-F) mirror results of the

haplotype analysis, confirming that haplotype inference did not bias the results.

DISCUSSION

Genetic differentiation within species of the An. gambiae *complex*

The *An. gambiae* complex is a model of adaptive radiation with a puzzling

evolutionary history (Coluzzi et al. 2002; Ayala and Coluzzi 2005). Due to the

lack of observable phenotypes that segregate between populations, it is almost

impossible to tackle the genetic basis of divergence between and within species

of the complex using traditional genetic mapping methods. Consequently, the

examination of sequence divergence in the wild with high-throughput sequencing

and genotyping approaches is particularly adapted to address the environmental

and genomic targets of ecological divergence in *An. gambiae* s.l. In that respect,

patterns of genomic divergence have started to be dissected and significant

insights have been gained into the signatures of selection among continental

populations (Lawniczak et al. 2010; Neafsey et al. 2010; White et al. 2011).

However, ecological divergence and local adaptation within species of the *An.*

gambiae complex sometimes occur at lower spatial scales (often a few

kilometres) (Sogoba et al. 2008; Kamdem et al. 2012) that are ignored by

continental-scale analyses. Here we have applied a population genomic

approach to investigate the genomic architecture of selection across a more

restricted geographic area characterized by a great environmental diversity. We

first showed that reduced representation sequencing of 941 *An. gambiae* s.l.

collected in or near human settlements in 33 sites scattered across Cameroon

facilitated rapid identification of known sibling species and revealed a complex

hierarchical population structure within *An. gambiae* and *An. coluzzii*. This result

is opposite to that found in East Africa, where RADseq markers detected no

population differentiation within species of the *An. gambiae* complex (O'Loughlin

et al. 2014). Indeed, contrary to their East African counterparts, West African

populations have a long history of genetic differentiation that is still unresolved

despite the application of several generations of genetic markers (Coluzzi et al.

1979; Touré et al. 1998; Coluzzi et al. 2002; Wondji et al. 2002). Polymorphic

chromosomal inversions and microsatellite markers have suggested the

presence of additional subdivision within *An. coluzzii* in Cameroon (Wondji et al.

2005; Slotman et al. 2007). Using genome-wide SNPs, we have shown that this

subdivision results in at least three genetically distinct clusters including

populations from the savannah, coastal and urban areas. Little is known about

the phenotypic differentiation of these populations, but recent studies suggest

that mosquitoes from the coastal region tolerate higher concentrations of salt

(Tene Fossog et al. 2015) while urban populations are highly resistant to

insecticides and environmental pollutants (Antonio-Nkondjio et al. 2011; Fossog

Tene et al. 2013; Tene Fossog et al. 2013; Antonio-Nkondjio et al. 2015). Models

of ecological niches of *An. gambiae* s.l. in Cameroon predict that favourable

habitats of *An. coluzzii* populations are fragmented and marginal in contrast to

An. gambiae, which occupies a broad range across the country (Simard et al.

2009). In line with this prediction, we have found a weak differentiation and

higher diversity in *An. gambiae* over significant geographic areas despite the

presence of an emerging suburban cluster in Nkolondom.

Genomic signatures of selection

When approached with powerful tools, sensitive enough to capture shallow and recent processes, populations depicting increasing levels of genetic differentiation along a speciation continuum may provide insights into the targets of selection at early stages of ecological divergence (Savolainen et al. 2013). In principle, weakly differentiated populations such as the subgroups we described typically display a marginal genomic divergence because there has not been enough time for neutral and selective forces to shape the genomic architecture (Nosil and Feder 2012; Andrew and Rieseberg 2013). Indeed, we found signatures consistent with selection only across a handful of loci in structured populations of *An. gambiae* and *An. coluzzii*. Although some targets of selection are likely missing due to the limited genomic coverage of the RADseq approach we used (Arnold et al. 2013; Tiffin and Ross-Ibarra 2014), the few selective sweeps we found are relevant based on the genes they contain. Specifically, the five main targets of selection in our populations are enriched in genes whose functions include insecticide resistance and detoxification, epidermal growth, cuticle formation and olfaction. The cuticle plays a major role at the interface between several biological functions in insects and recent data support the hypothesis that the coevolution of multiple mechanisms, including cuticular barriers, has occurred in highly pyrethroid-resistant *An*. *gambiae* (Balabanidou et al. 2016). Olfaction also mediates a wide range of both adult and larval behaviours including feeding, host preference, and mate selection in blood-feeding insects (Bowen 1991; Carey et al. 2010; Takken and Verhulst 2013).

Finally, due to the extreme association between the most effective vectors of the

An. gambiae complex and human habitats, a long-standing hypothesis has

suggested that human-driven selection is the main modulator of ecological

divergence between and within species (Coluzzi et al. 1979; Coluzzi et al. 2002;

Kamdem et al. 2012; Caputo et al. 2014). Consistent with this hypothesis, we

have found that urban and suburban mosquitoes are genetically differentiated

from rural populations and exhibit strong signatures of recent selection at loci

containing xenobiotic resistance genes.

Anopheles mosquitoes currently experience strong selective pressures

across the African continent due to the increased use of pesticides/insecticides in

agriculture and vector control over the last few decades (WHO 2012; Reid and

McKenzie 2016). The idea that insecticide resistance can affect the population

structure of mosquitoes as a result of a limited gene flow and/or local adaptation

between resistant and sensitive populations was already evoked in the 1990s

(Silvestrini et al. 1998; Lenormand et al. 1999). In addition to increased

resistance, large-scale exposure of vector populations to insecticide-treated bed

nets in Africa is also correlated with changes in species distribution and

behavioral shifts (Bøgh et al. 1998; Derua et al. 2012; Moiroux et al. 2012;

Mwangangi et al. 2013; Sokhna et al. 2013). However, so far, there is no

evidence that these changes can lead to genetic clustering or the creation of

cryptic populations within species (Main et al. 2016). Our data also support that

the genetic differentiation within the *An. gambiae* complex in Cameroon is due to

regional-scale subdivisions that do not correspond to known distributional or

behavioural shifts. Previous studies have documented significant reductions in the population size of *An. gambiae* s.l. after introduction of long lasting insecticide treated nets, but did not determine the influence of exposure on population or genomic structuring (Athrey et al. 2012). The demographic history of subgroups of *An. gambiae* and *An. coluzzii* inferred from allele frequency spectra does not indicate signals of recent population bottlenecks that can be associated with insecticide exposure (supplementary information, Table S4). It has also been shown that adaptive introgression, allowing genomic regions containing insecticide resistance genes to spread among sibling species of *An. gambiae* s.l., coincides with major insecticide-treated net distribution campaigns (Clarkson et al. 2014; Norris et al. 2015). Although the frequency of natural hybrids is low (less than 2%), episodic gene flow occurs between species of the complex in Cameroon (Lee et al. 2013), but adaptive introgression at insecticide resistance loci have yet to be explicitly demonstrated in this region. Insecticide resistance, involving both multiple alleles of *kdr* and significant levels of metabolic resistance, is widespread among *An. gambiae* and *An. coluzzii* populations in Cameroon especially in urban areas and locations where intensive farming practices are common (Ndjemaï et al. 2009; Antonio-Nkondjio et al. 2011; Nwane et al. 2011; Fossog Tene et al. 2013; Nwane et al. 2013; Antonio-Nkondjio et al. 2015). Alongside a mass distribution of insecticide-treated nets throughout the country that was held in 2011, indoor residual insecticide spraying has been tested in a few pilot locations, but this approach is not yet applied on a large scale (Etang et al. 2011; Bowen 2013). We hypothesized that regional

variations in insecticide exposure exist across the country and contribute to the

patterns observed at the two selective sweeps associated with xenobiotic

resistance. Knockdown and metabolic resistance involving some of the genes

present in the 3R GSTE/CYP450 sweep are the most common resistance

mechanisms against the classes of insecticides currently used on a large scale

that are found in *An. gambiae* s.l (Nwane et al. 2013). As expected, urban and

suburban populations that are exposed to higher levels of insecticides/pollutants

exhibit stronger signals of selection (Kamdem et al. 2012; Fossog Tene et al.

2013; Tene Fossog et al. 2013). Indeed, the synergistic effects of the two types

of xenobiotics could be exerting intense selection pressure for pleiotropic

resistance in urban mosquitoes (Nwane et al. 2013). Further analysis of the 3R

GSTE/CYP450 sweep using a combination of whole genome resequencing and

functional genomic approaches should help resolve the specific genes that are

targets of local adaptation in this region of the genome. Additional studies are

also needed to further characterize the spatial and temporal variations as well as

the haplotypes correlated with insecticide-induced selection within the sweeps.

Effective strategies for managing insecticide resistance that has reached a

critical tipping point among malaria vectors on the continent are urgently needed

(Hemingway et al. 2016; Ranson and Lissenden 2016). In addition, considerable

evidence suggests that insecticide resistance has much deeper consequences

on the mosquito biology by interfering with other key biological functions such as

the immune system, which in turn profoundly affects the fitness of the vector and

its efficiency to transmit the pathogen (vectorial capacity) (Alout et al. 2013; Alout

et al. 2014; Alout et al. 2016). As a result, detailed knowledge of genomic

signatures of insecticide resistance and their pleiotropic effects on vectorial

capacity is necessary to design effective resistance management. Surprisingly,

despite intense research on insecticide resistance, this information is lacking in

all malaria vectors partly because efforts have so far focused on a few resistance

genes and have failed to capture the widespread effects of insecticide resistance

across the genome. The population genomic approaches we have used are

among the new tools that are being applied to investigate the genomic targets of

insecticide resistance at a fine scale in mosquitoes and will facilitate the

development of rational resistance management strategies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mosquito collections

We collected *Anopheles* mosquitoes from 33 locations spread across the

four major ecogeographic regions of Cameroon from August to November 2013

(Table S1). All collections were performed by researchers who were given

malaria prophylaxis before and after the collection period. Indoor resting adult

mosquitoes were collected by pyrethrum spray catch, while host-seeking adults

were obtained via indoor/outdoor human-baited landing catch. Larvae were

sampled using standard dipping procedures (Service 1993). Individual

mosquitoes belonging to the *An. gambiae* complex were identified using

morphological keys (Gillies and De Meillon 1968; Gillies and Coetzee 1987).

ddRADseq Library Construction

Genomic DNA was extracted from adults using the ZR-96 Quick-gDNA kit

(Zymo Research) and from larvae using the DNeasy Extraction kit (Qiagen). A

subset of individuals were assigned to sibling species using PCR-RFLP assays

that type fixed SNP differences in the rDNA (Fanello et al. 2002; Santolamazza

et al. 2004). Preparation of ddRAD libraries largely followed (Turissini et al.

2014). Briefly, ~1/3rd of the DNA extracted from an individual mosquito (10µl) was

digested with *MluC1* and *NlaIII* (New England Biolabs). Barcoded adapters (1 of

48) were ligated to overhangs and 400 bp fragments were selected using 1.5%

gels on a BluePippin (Sage Science). One of six indices was added during PCR

amplification. Each library contained 288 individuals and was subjected to single

end, 100 bp sequencing across one or two flow cells lanes run on an Illumina

HiSeq2500.

Raw sequence reads were demultiplexed and quality filtered using the

STACKS v 1.29 process_radtags pipeline (Catchen et al. 2011; Catchen et al.

2013). After removal of reads with ambiguous barcodes, incorrect restriction

sites, and low sequencing quality (mean Phred < 33), GSNAP (Wu and Nacu

2010) was used to align reads to the *An. gambiae* PEST reference genome

(AgamP3) allowing up to five mismatches per read. The STACKS pipeline was

then used to identify unique RAD tags and construct consensus assemblies for

each and to call individual SNP genotypes using a maximum-likelihood statistical

model.

Population Genomic Analysis

Population genetic structure was assessed using the SNP dataset output

by the *populations* program of STACKS. We used PLINK v 1.19 to retrieve

subsets of genome-wide SNPs as needed (Purcell et al. 2007). PCA, neighbor-

joining tree analyses, and Bayesian information criterion (BIC) were implemented

using the packages *adegenet* and *ape* in R (Paradis et al. 2004; Jombart 2008; R

Development Core Team 2014). Ancestry analyses were conducted in

fastSTRUCTURE v 1.0 (Raj et al. 2014) using the logistic method. The

choosek.py script was used to find the appropriate number of populations (k); in

cases where a range of k was suggested, the BIC-inferred number of clusters

was chosen. CLUMPP v1.1.2 (Jakobsson and Rosenberg 2007) was used to

summarize assignment results across independent runs and DISTRUCT v1.1

(Rosenberg 2004) was used to visualize ancestry assignment of individual

mosquitoes. We used a subset of 1,000 randomly chosen SNPs to calculate

average pairwise F_{ST} between populations in GENODIVE v 2.0 using up to 40

individuals – prioritized by coverage – per population (Meirmans and Van

Tienderen 2004). Using this same subset of 1,000 SNPs, we conducted an

AMOVA (Excoffier et al. 1992) to quantify the effect of the sampling method and

the geographic origin on the genetic variance among individuals in GENODIVE.

We used 10,000 permutations to assess significance of F_{ST} values and AMOVA.

We input pairwise F_{ST} values into the program Fitch from the Phylip (Plotree and

Plotgram 1989) suite to create the population-level NJ tree. F_{IS} values were

computed with the *populations* program in STACKS.

Genome Scans for Selection

We used ANGSD v 0.612 (Korneliussen et al. 2014) to calculate

nucleotide diversity (θ_w and θ_π) and Tajima's *D* in 150-kb non-overlapping

windows. Unlike most genotyping algorithms, ANGSD does not perform hard

SNP calls, instead taking genotyping uncertainty into account when calculating

summary statistics. Similarly, absolute divergence (d_{xy}) was calculated using

ngsTools (Fumagalli et al. 2014) based on genotype likelihoods generated by

ANGSD. Kernel smoothed values for 150-kb windows for all four metrics ($\theta_w, \theta_\pi,$

D, d_{xy}) were obtained with the R package *KernSmooth*. F_{ST} (based on AMOVA)

was calculated with the *populations* program in STACKS using only loci present

in 80% of individuals. A Kernel smoothing procedure implemented in STACKS

was used to obtain F_{ST} values across 150-kb windows. Because regions with

unusually high or low read depth can yield unreliable estimates of diversity and

divergence parameters due to the likelihood of repeats and local misassembly,

we checked that the average per-locus sequencing coverage was consistent

throughout the genome (Figure S5). To determine if selective sweeps were

enriched for specific functional annotation classes, we used the program DAVID

6.7 with default settings (Huang et al. 2009). We delimitated the physical limits of

the selective sweep as the region corresponding to the base of the peak or the

depression of Tajima's *D*. Haplotypes across the GSTE/CYP450 sweep were

reconstructed by PHASE v 2.1.1 using the default recombination model

(Stephens et al. 2001; Stephens and Scheet 2005).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the University of California Riverside and National

Institutes of Health (1R01AI113248, 1R21AI115271 to BJW). We thank Elysée

Nchoutpouem and Raymond Fokom for assistance collecting mosquitoes and

Sina Hananian for assisting in DNA extraction. We thank the editor and three

anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments, which helped us to

improve the manuscript. This work would not have been possible without the

collaboration of inhabitants and administrative authorities of all sampled sites and

we want to underscore their generosity and patience.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceived and designed the experiments: CK BJW. Performed the experiments:

CK BJW SG. Analyzed the data: CK CF BJW. Wrote the paper: CK CF BJW.

REFERENCES

Alberti M. 2015. Eco-evolutionary dynamics in an urbanizing planet. Trends Ecol. Evol. 30:114–126.

Alberti M, Marzluff J, Hunt VM. 2017. Urban driven phenotypic changes: empirical observations and theoretical implications for eco-evolutionary feedback. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 372:20160029.

Alout H, Dabiré RK, Djogbénou L, Abate L, Corbel V, Chandre F, Cohuet A. 2016. Interactive cost of *Plasmodium* infection and insecticide resistance in the malaria vector *Anopheles gambiae*. Sci. Rep.:1–11.

Alout H, Djègbè I, Chandre F, Djogbénou LS, Dabiré RK, Corbel V, Cohuet A. 2014. Insecticide exposure impacts vector– parasite interactions in insecticide- resistant malaria vectors. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 281:20140389.

Alout H, Ndam NT, Sandeu MM, Djégbe I, Chandre F, Dabiré RK, Djogbénou LS, Corbel V, Cohuet A. 2013. Insecticide Resistance Alleles Affect Vector Competence of Anopheles gambiae s.s. for Plasmodium falciparum Field Isolates. PLoS One 8.

Andrew RL, Rieseberg LH. 2013. Divergence is focused on few genomic regions early in speciation: incipient speciation of sunflower ecotypes. Evolution 67:2468–2482.

Antonio-Nkondjio C, Fossog BT, Ndo C, Djantio BM, Togouet SZ, Awono-Ambene P, Costantini C, Wondji CS, Ranson H. 2011. Anopheles gambiae

distribution and insecticide resistance in the cities of Douala and Yaoundé

(Cameroon): influence of urban agriculture and pollution. Malar. J. 10:154.

Antonio-Nkondjio C, Kerah CH, Simard F, Awono-Ambene P, Chouaibou M,

Tchuinkam T, Fontenille D. 2006. Complexity of the malaria vectorial system

in Cameroon: contribution of secondary vectors to malaria transmission. J.

Med. Entomol. 43:1215–1221.

Antonio-Nkondjio C, Simard F, Awono-Ambene P, Ngassam P, Toto JC,

Tchuinkam T, Fontenille D. 2005. Malaria vectors and urbanization in the

equatorial forest region of south Cameroon. Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.

99:347–354.

Antonio-Nkondjio C, Tene Fossog B, Kopya E, Poumachu Y, Menze Djantio B,

Ndo C, Tchuinkam T, Awono-Ambene P, Wondji CS. 2015. Rapid evolution

of pyrethroid resistance prevalence in Anopheles gambiae populations from

the cities of Douala and Yaoundé (Cameroon). Malar. J. 14.

Arnold B, Corbett-Detig RB, Hartl D, Bomblies K. 2013. RADseq underestimates

diversity and introduces genealogical biases due to nonrandom haplotype

sampling. Mol. Ecol. 22:3179–3190.

Athrey G, Hodges TK, Reddy MR, Overgaard HJ, Matias A, Ridl FC,

Kleinschmidt I, Caccone A, Slotman M a. 2012. The effective population size

of malaria mosquitoes: large impact of vector control. PLoS Genet.

8:e1003097.

Ayala FJ, Coluzzi M. 2005. Chromosome speciation: humans, Drosophila, and

mosquitoes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 102 Suppl:6535–6542.

Balabanidou V, Kampouraki A, MacLean M, Blomquist GJ, Tittiger C, Juárez MP,

Mijailovsky SJ, Chalepakis G, Anthousi A, Lynd A, et al. 2016. Cytochrome

P450 associated with insecticide resistance catalyzes cuticular hydrocarbon

production in *Anopheles gambiae*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 113:201608295.

Bøgh C, Pedersen EM, Mukoko D a, Ouma JH. 1998. Permethrin-impregnated

bednet effects on resting and feeding behaviour of lymphatic filariasis vector

mosquitoes in Kenya. Med. Vet. Entomol. 12:52–59.

Bowen HL. 2013. Impact of a mass media campaign on bed net use in

Cameroon. Malar. J. 12:36.

Bowen MF. 1991. The sensory physiology of host-seeking behavior in

mosquitoes. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 36:139–158.

Bull JW, Maron M. 2016. How humans drive speciation as well as extinction.

Proc. R. Soc. B 283:1–10.

Caputo B, Nwakanma D, Caputo FP, Jawara M, Oriero EC, Hamid-Adiamoh M,

Dia I, Konate L, Petrarca V, Pinto J, et al. 2014. Prominent intraspecific

genetic divergence within Anopheles gambiae sibling species triggered by

habitat discontinuities across a riverine landscape. Mol. Ecol. 23:4574–4589.

Carey AF, Wang G, Su CY, Zwiebel LJ, Carlson JR. 2010. Odorant reception in

the malaria mosquito Anopheles gambiae. Nature 464:66–71.

Catchen J, Hohenlohe P a., Bassham S, Amores A, Cresko W. 2013. Stacks: An

analysis tool set for population genomics. Mol. Ecol. 22:3124–3140.

Catchen JM, Amores A, Hohenlohe P, Cresko W, Postlethwait JH. 2011. Stacks:

building and genotyping Loci de novo from short-read sequences. G3

(Bethesda). 1:171–182.

Clarkson CS, Weetman D, Essandoh J, Yawson AE, Maslen G, Manske M, Field

SG, Webster M, Antão T, MacInnis B, et al. 2014. Adaptive introgression

between Anopheles sibling species eliminates a major genomic island but

not reproductive isolation. Nat. Commun. 5:4248.

Coetzee M, Hunt RH, Wilkerson R, Torre A Della, Coulibaly MB, Besansky NJ.

2013. Anopheles coluzzii and Anopheles amharicus, new members of the

Anopheles gambiae complex. 3619:246–274.

Coluzzi M, Sabatini A, Petrarca V, Di Deco M. 1979. Chromosomal differentiation

and adaptation to human environments in the Anopheles gambiae complex.

Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 73:483–497.

Coluzzi M, Sabatini A, Torre A, Angela M, Deco D, Petrarca V. 2002. A Polytene

Chromosome Analysis of the Anopheles gambiae Species Complex.

Science (80-.). 298:1415–1419.

Dabiré RK, Namountougou M, Sawadogo SP, Yaro LB, Toé HK, Ouari A,

Gouagna L-C, Simard F, Chandre F, Baldet T, et al. 2012. Population

dynamics of Anopheles gambiae s.l. in Bobo-Dioulasso city : bionomics,

infection rate and susceptibility to insecticides. Parasit. Vectors 5:127.

David J, Ismail HM, Chandor-proust A, Ingraham MJ, John M, Paine I. 2013.

Role of cytochrome P450s in insecticide resistance : impact on the control of

mosquito-borne diseases and use of insecticides on Earth Role of

cytochrome P450s in insecticide resistance : impact on the control of

mosquito-borne diseases and use of insecti. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B.

Biol. Sci.

Davidson G. 1964. The five mating types of the Anopheles gambiae complex.

Riv. Malariol. 13:167–183.

Derua Y a, Alifrangis M, Hosea KM, Meyrowitsch DW, Magesa SM, Pedersen

EM, Simonsen PE. 2012. Change in composition of the Anopheles gambiae

complex and its possible implications for the transmission of malaria and

lymphatic filariasis in north-eastern Tanzania. Malar. J. 11:188.

Dia I, Guelbeogo M, Ayala D. 2013. Advances and Perspectives in the Study of

the Malaria Mosquito Anopheles funestus.

Enayati AA, Ranson H, Hemingway J. 2005. Insect glutathione transferases and

insecticide resistance. Insect Mol. Biol. 14:3–8.

Etang J, Nwane P, Mbida JA, Piameu M, Manga B, Souop D, Awono-Ambene P,

Ahmed AM, Braimah N, Drakeley C, et al. 2011. Variations of insecticide

residual bio-efficacy on different types of walls: results from a community-

based trial in south Cameroon. Malar. J. 10:333.

Excoffier L, Smouse PE, Quattro JM. 1992. Analysis of molecular variance

inferred from metric distances among DNA haplotypes: Application to human

mitochondrial DNA restriction data. Genetics 131:479–491.

Fanello C, Santolamazza F, DellaTorre A. 2002. Simultaneous identification of

species and molecular forms of the Anopheles gambiae complex by PCR-
RFLP. Med. Vet. Entomol. 16:461–464.

Fontaine MC, Pease JB, Steele a., Waterhouse RM, Neafsey DE, Sharakhov I
V., Jiang X, Hall a. B, Catteruccia F, Kakani E, et al. 2015. Extensive
introgression in a malaria vector species complex revealed by
phylogenomics. Science (80-.). 347:1258524–1258524.

Fossog Tene B, Poupardin R, Costantini C, Awono-Ambene P, Wondji CS,
Ranson H, Antonio-Nkondjio C. 2013. Resistance to DDT in an Urban
Setting: Common Mechanisms Implicated in Both M and S Forms of
Anopheles gambiae in the City of Yaoundé Cameroon. PLoS One 8.

Fouet C, Gray E, Besansky NJ, Costantini C. 2012. Adaptation to aridity in the
malaria   mosquito   Anopheles   gambiae: chromosomal   inversion
polymorphism and body size influence resistance to desiccation. PLoS One
7:e34841.

Fumagalli M, Vieira FG, Linderoth T. 2014. ngsTools : methods for population
genetics analyses from Next-Generation Sequencing data. Bioinformatics.

Georghiou GP. 1972. The evolution of resistance to pesticides. Annu. Rev. Ecol.
Syst. 3:133–168.

Gillies MT, Coetzee M. 1987. A supplement to the Anophelinae of Africa south of
the Sahara. Johannesburg: The South African Institute for Medical Research

Gillies MT, De Meillon B. 1968. The Anophelinae of Africa South of the Sahara.
Second Edi. Johannesburg: Publications of the South African Institute for

Medical Research

Hemingway J, Ranson H, Magill A, Kolaczinski J, Fornadel C, Gimnig J, Coetzee

M, Simard F, Roch DK, Hinzoumbe CK, et al. 2016. Averting a malaria

disaster: Will insecticide resistance derail malaria control? Lancet 387:1785–

1788.

Hendry AP, Gotanda KM, Svensson EI. 2017. Human influences on evolution,

and the ecological a nd societal consequences.

Huang DW, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. 2009. Systematic and integrative

analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nat.

Protoc 4:44–57.

Irwin DE, Alcaide M, Delmore KE, Irwin JH, Owens GL. 2016. Recurrent

selection explains genomic regions of high relative but low absolute

differentiation in the greenish warbler ring species. Mol. Ecol. 25:4488–4507.

Jakobsson M, Rosenberg N. 2007. CLUMPP: a cluster matching and

permutation program for dealing with multimodality in analysis of population

structure. Bioinformatics 23:1801– 1806.

Jombart T. 2008. adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic

markers. Bioinformatics 24:1403–1405.

Jones CM, Toé HK, Sanou A, Namountougou M, Hughes A, Diabaté A, Dabiré

R, Simard F, Ranson H. 2012. Additional Selection for Insecticide

Resistance in Urban Malaria Vectors: DDT Resistance in Anopheles

arabiensis from Bobo-Dioulasso, Burkina Faso. PLoS One 7:e45995.

Kamdem C, Tene Fossog B, Simard F, Etouna J, Ndo C, Kengne P, Boussès P,

Etoa F-X, Awono-Ambene P, Fontenille D, et al. 2012. Anthropogenic

Habitat Disturbance and Ecological Divergence between Incipient Species of

the Malaria Mosquito Anopheles gambiae. PLoS One 7:e39453.

Korneliussen T, Albrechtsen A, Nielsen R. 2014. ANGSD: Analysis of Next

Generation Sequencing Data. BMC Bioinformatics 15:356.

Lawniczak MKN, Emrich SJ, Holloway a K, Regier a P, Olson M, White B,

Redmond S, Fulton L, Appelbaum E, Godfrey J, et al. 2010. Widespread

divergence between incipient Anopheles gambiae species revealed by

whole genome sequences. Science 330:512–514.

Lee Y, Marsden CD, Norris LC, Collier TC, Main BJ, Fofana A, Cornel AJ,

Lanzaro GC. 2013. Spatiotemporal dynamics of gene flow and hybrid fitness

between the M and S forms of the malaria mosquito, Anopheles gambiae.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 110:19854–19859.

Lenormand T, Bourguet D, Guillemaud T, Raymond M. 1999. Tracking the

evolution of insecticide resistance in the mosquito Culex pipiens. Nature

400:861–864.

Main BJ, Lee Y, Ferguson HM, Kreppel KS, Kihonda A, Govella NJ, Collier TC,

Cornel AJ, Eskin E, Kang EY, et al. 2016. The Genetic Basis of Host

Preference and Resting Behavior in the Major African Malaria Vector,

Anopheles arabiensis. PLoS Genet. 12:1–17.

Mallet J. 1989. The evolution of insecticide resistance: Have the insects won?

Trends Ecol. Evol. 4:336–340.

Maynard Smith J, Haigh J. 1974. The hitch-hiking effect of a favorable gene.

Genet Res 23:22–35.

Meirmans P, Van Tienderen P. 2004. GENOTYPE and GENODIVE: two

programs for the analysis of genetic diversity of asexual organisms. Mol.

Ecol. Notes 4:792–794.

Moiroux N, Gomez MB, Pennetier C, Elanga E, Djènontin A, Chandre F, Djègbé

I, Guis H, Corbel V. 2012. Changes in anopheles funestus biting behavior

following universal coverage of long-lasting insecticidal nets in benin. J.

Infect. Dis. 206:1622–1629.

Molineaux L, Gramiccia G. 1980. The Garki Project. Research on the

epidemiology and control of malaria in the sudan savanna of West Africa.

World Health Organization

Mourou J-R, Coffinet T, Jarjaval F, Pradines B, Amalvict R, Rogier C, Kombila M,

Pagès F. 2010. Malaria transmission and insecticide resistance of

Anopheles gambiae in Libreville and Port-Gentil, Gabon. Malar. J. 9:321.

Mwangangi JM, Mbogo CM, Orindi BO, Muturi EJ, Midega JT, Nzovu J, Gatakaa

H, Githure J, Borgemeister C, Keating J, et al. 2013. Shifts in malaria vector

species composition and transmission dynamics along the Kenyan coast

over the past 20 years. Malar. J. 12:13.

Ndjemaï HNM, Patchoké S, Atangana J, Etang J, Simard F, Bilong CFB, Reimer

L, Cornel A, Lanzaro GC, Fondjo E. 2009. The distribution of insecticide

resistance in Anopheles gambiae s.l. populations from Cameroon: an

update. Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg. 103:1127–1138.

Neafsey DE, Lawniczak MKN, Park DJ, Redmond SN, Coulibaly MB, Traoré SF,

Sagnon N, Costantini C, Johnson C, Wiegand RC, et al. 2010. SNP

genotyping defines complex gene-flow boundaries among African malaria

vector mosquitoes. Science 330:514–517.

Norris LC, Main BJ, Lee Y, Collier TC, Fofana A, Cornel AJ, Lanzaro GC. 2015.

Adaptive introgression in an African malaria mosquito coincident with the

increased usage of insecticide-treated bed nets. Proc. Natl. Acad.

Sci.:201418892.

Nosil P, Feder JL. 2012. Widespread yet heterogeneous genomic divergence.

Mol. Ecol. 21:2829–2832.

Nwane P, Etang J, Chouaïbou M, Toto J, Mimpfoundi R, Simard F. 2011. Kdr-

based insecticide resistance in Anopheles gambiae s.s populations in. BMC

Res. Notes 4:463.

Nwane P, Etang J, Chouaïbou M, Toto JC, Koffi A, Mimpfoundi R, Simard F.

2013. Multiple insecticide resistance mechanisms in Anopheles gambiae s.l.

populations from Cameroon, Central Africa. Parasit. Vectors 6:41.

O'Loughlin SM, Magesa S, Mbogo C, Mosha F, Midega J, Lomas S, Burt A.

2014. Genomic Analyses of Three Malaria Vectors Reveals Extensive

Shared Polymorphism but Contrasting Population Histories. Mol. Biol.

Evol.:1–14.

Oyewole IO, Awolola TS. 2006. Impact of urbanisation on bionomics and

distribution of malaria vectors in Lagos, southwestern Nigeria. J. Vector

Borne Dis. 43:173–178.

Palumbi SR. 2001. Evolution - Humans as the world's greatest

evolutionary force. Science (80-.). 293:1786–1790.

Paradis E, Claude J, Strimmer K. 2004. Analyses of Phylogenetics and Evolution

in R language. Bioinformatics 20:289–290.

Peterson BK, Weber JN, Kay EH, Fisher HS, Hoekstra HE. 2012. Double Digest

RADseq: An Inexpensive Method for De Novo SNP Discovery and

Genotyping in Model and Non-Model Species. PLoS One 7:e37135.

Pinto J, Egyir-Yawson A, Vicente J, Gomes B, Santolamazza F, Moreno M,

Charlwood J, Simard F, Elissa N, Weetman D, et al. 2013. Geographic

population structure of the African malaria vector Anopheles gambiae

suggests a role for the forest-savannah biome transition as a barrier to gene

flow. Evol. Appl. 6:910–924.

Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira M, Bender D, Maller J,

Sklar P, de Bakker P, Daly M, et al. 2007. PLINK: a toolset for whole-

genome association and population-based linkage analysis. Am. J. Hum.

Genet. 81.

R Development Core Team. 2014. R: A language and environment for statistical

computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria

Raj A, Stephens M, Pritchard JK. 2014. FastSTRUCTURE: Variational inference

of population structure in large SNP data sets. Genetics 197:573–589.

Ranson H, Lissenden N. 2016. Insecticide Resistance in African Anopheles

Mosquitoes: A Worsening Situation that Needs Urgent Action to Maintain

Malaria Control. Trends Parasitol. 32:187–196.

Reid MC, McKenzie FE. 2016. The contribution of agricultural insecticide use to

increasing insecticide resistance in African malaria vectors. Malar. J. 15:107.

Riehle MM, Guelbeogo WM, Gneme A, Eiglmeier K, Holm I, Bischoff E, Garnier

T, Snyder GM, Li X, Markianos K, et al. 2011. A cryptic subgroup of

Anopheles gambiae is highly susceptible to human malaria parasites.

Science 331:596–598.

Rosenberg N. 2004. DISTRUCT: a program for the graphical display of

population structure. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 4:137–138.

Santolamazza F, Torre A Della, Caccone A. 2004. Short Report : a New

Polymerase Chain Reaction − Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism

Method To Identify Anopheles Arabiensis From an . Gambiae and Its Two

Molecular Forms From Degraded Dna Templates or Museum Samples.

Trop. Med. 70:604–606.

Savolainen O, Lascoux M, Merilä J. 2013. Ecological genomics of local

adaptation. Nat. Rev. Genet. 14:807–820.

Service MW. 1993. Mosquito ecology: field sampling methods. (Elsevier Applied

Science, London UK, editor.).

Silvestrini F, Severini C, di Pardo V, Romi R, de Matthaeis E, Raymond M. 1998.

Population structure and dynamics of insecticide resistance genes in Culex

pipiens populations from Italy. Heridity 81:342–348.

Simard F, Ayala D, Kamdem GC, Pombi M, Etouna J, Ose K, Fotsing J-M,

Fontenille D, Besansky NJ, Costantini C. 2009. Ecological niche partitioning

between Anopheles gambiae molecular forms in Cameroon: the ecological

side of speciation. BMC Ecol. 9:17.

Sinka ME, Bangs MJ, Manguin S, Coetzee M, Mbogo CM, Hemingway J, Patil

AP, Temperley WH, Gething PW, Kabaria CW, et al. 2010. The dominant

Anopheles vectors of human malaria in Africa, Europe and the Middle East:

occurrence data, distribution maps and bionomic précis. Parasit. Vectors

3:117.

Slotman M a, Tripet F, Cornel a J, Meneses CR, Lee Y, Reimer LJ, Thiemann

TC, Fondjo E, Fofana a, Traoré SF, et al. 2007. Evidence for subdivision

within the M molecular form of Anopheles gambiae. Mol. Ecol. 16:639–649.

Sogoba N, Vounatsou P, Bagayoko MM, Doumbia S, Dolo G, Gosoniu L, Traoré

SF, Smith T a, Touré YT. 2008. Spatial distribution of the chromosomal

forms of anopheles gambiae in Mali. Malar. J. 7:205.

Sokhna C, Ndiath MO, Rogier C. 2013. The changes in mosquito vector

behaviour and the emerging resistance to insecticides will challenge the

decline of malaria. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 19:902–907.

Stephens M, Scheet P. 2005. Accounting for decay of linkage disequilibrium in

haplotype inference and missing-data imputation. Am. J. Hum. Genet.

76:449–462.

Stephens M, Smith NJ, Donnelly P. 2001. A new statistical method for haplotype

reconstruction from population data. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 68:978–989.

Storz JF. 2005. Using genome scans of DNA polymorphism to infer adaptive

population divergence. Mol. Ecol. 14:671–688.

Tajima F. 1989. Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by

DNA polymorphism. Genetics 123:585–595.

Takken W, Verhulst NO. 2013. Host Preferences of Blood-Feeding Mosquitoes.

Annu. Rev. Entomol.:433–453.

Tene Fossog B, Antonio-Nkondjio C, Kengne P, Njiokou F, Besansky NJ,

Costantini C. 2013. Physiological correlates of ecological divergence along

an urbanization gradient: differential tolerance to ammonia among molecular

forms of the malaria mosquito Anopheles gambiae. BMC Ecol. 13:1.

Tene Fossog B, Ayala D, Acevedo P, Kengne P, Ngomo Abeso Mebuy I,

Makanga B, Magnus J, Awono-Ambene P, Njiokou F, Pombi M, et al. 2015.

Habitat segregation and ecological character displacement in cryptic African

malaria mosquitoes. Evol. Appl. 8:326–345.

Tiffin P, Ross-Ibarra J. 2014. Advances and limits of using population genetics to

understand local adaptation. Trends Ecol. Evol. 29:673–680.

Touré Y, Petrarca V, Traoré S, Coulibaly A, Maiga H, Sankaré O, Sow M, Di

Deco M, Coluzzi M. 1998. The distribution and inversion polymorphism of

chromosomally recognized taxa of the Anopheles gambiae complex in Mali,

951 West Africa. Parassitologia 40:477–511.

952 Turissini D, Gamez S, White BJ. 2014. Genome-Wide Patterns of Polymorphism
953  in an Inbred Line of the African Malaria Mosquito Anopheles gambiae.
954  Genome Biol. Evol. 6:3094–3104.

955 Wakeley J. 1996. The variance of pairwise nucleotide differences in two
956  populations with migration. Theor. Popul. Biol. 49:39–57.

957 White B, Lawniczak M, Cheng C, Coulibaly MB, Wilson MD, Sagnon N,
958  Costantini C, Simard F, Christophides GK, Besansky NJ. 2011. Adaptive
959  divergence between incipient species of Anopheles gambiae increases
960  resistance to Plasmodium. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 108:244–249.

961 White GB. 1974. Anopheles gambiae complex and disease transmission in
962  Africa. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 68:278–298.

963 WHO. 2012. Global Plan for Insecticide Resistance Management in Malaria
964  Vectors. :24.

965 WHO. 2013. World malaria report 2013.

966 Wondji C, Simard F, Fontenille D. 2002. Evidence for genetic differentiation
967  between the molecular forms M and S within the Forest chromosomal form
968  of *Anopheles gambiae* in an area of sympatry. Insect Mol. Biol. 11:11–19.

969 Wondji C, Simard F, Petrarca V. 2005. Species and populations of the Anopheles
970  gambiae complex in Cameroon with special emphasis on chromosomal and
971  molecular forms of Anopheles gambiae ss. J. Med. Entomol.

972 Wu TD, Nacu S. 2010. Fast and SNP-tolerant detection of complex variants and

splicing in short reads. Bioinformatics 26:873–881.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. *Anopheles gambiae* complex sibling species are genetically

distinct. A) PCA B) NJ Tree, and C) fastSTRUCTURE analyses clearly separate

the four *An. gambiae* complex species that occur in Cameroon into discrete

genetic clusters. Additional subdivision below the species level is apparent within

An. coluzzii and *An. gambiae.* D) Species composition varies strongly between

eco-geographic regions. Sampling sites are denoted by black dots.

Figure 2. *Anopheles gambiae* is divided into three subpopulations. A) PCA,

B) NJ Tree, and C) fastSTRUCTURE analyses reveal subdivisions within *An.*

gambiae. We term the most abundant group *GAM1*, while a second small, but

widely distributed group, is termed *GAM2.* Finally, most individuals from the

village of Nkolondom are genetically distinct from other *An. gambiae* suggestive

of local adaptation.

Figure 3. *Anopheles coluzzii* is divided into four subgroups. A) PCA, NJ

Tree, and C) fastSTRUCTURE reveal major population structuring between *An.*

coluzzii from the northern Savannah eco-geographic region and *An. coluzzii* from

the southern three forested regions. Within the south, D) PCA, E) NJ Tree, and

F) fastSTRUCTURE analyses separate mosquitoes based on geographic origin,

although clustering is not fully discrete indicating a dynamic interplay between

local adaptation and migration.

Figure 4. The genetic distance (F_{ST}) between populations show recent

radiation within *An. gambiae* and *An. coluzzii* clades. In an unrooted, F_{ST}-

based NJ tree, *An. melas* is most distant from all other species, while *An.*

gambiae and *An. coluzzii* are sister species. Southern populations of *An. coluzzii*

are more closely related to each other than to the northern savannah population.

In contrast to geographic distance, the Douala subpopulation is genetically closer

to Yaoundé rather than Coastal mosquitoes. Within *An. gambiae*, a relatively

deep split is present between *GAM2* and *GAM1*, while *Nkolondom* appears to

have recently diverged from *GAM1*.

1008

Figure 5. Genome scans reveal footprints of global and local adaptation in

***An. gambiae* subpopulations.** A-C) Diversity and Tajima's *D* are plotted for

each of the three subpopulations. Brown asterisks denote windows above the

99th percentile or below the 1st percentile of empirical distribution of Tajima's *D*.

D-E) Both absolute (d_{xy}) and relative (F_{ST}) divergence between populations are

plotted across 150-kb windows. Red asterisks indicate windows above the 99th

percentile of empirical distribution of F_{ST}. In all populations, concordant dips in

diversity and Tajima's *D* are evident near the pericentromeric region of 2L where

the *para* sodium channel gene is located. Three other selective sweeps located

on the X and 3R chromosomes are highlighted (grey boxes).

1019

Figure 6. Strong signatures of selection are centered on xenobiotic

resistance loci in subpopulations of *An. coluzzii*. A-H) Grey boxes highlight

five selective sweeps characterized by extreme values of F_{ST} and Tajima's D. As

in *An. gambiae*, sharp declines in diversity and allele frequency spectrum at the

para sodium channel gene are present in all populations. A sweep encompassing

a cluster of detoxification genes on 3R is sharpest in urban mosquitoes.

1026

Figure 7. Spatially varying selection between urban and coastal

populations. For each of the three southern *An. coluzzii* subpopulations, 80

reconstructed haplotypes are visualized by color-coding 28 bi-allelic SNPs in the

3R GSTE/CYP450 sweep either grey or white. A single invariant haplotype -- all

grey SNPs -- is common in (A) Yaoundé, less so in (B) Douala, and very rare in

(C) coastal populations. D-E) Similarly, in PCA and NJ Tree analysis of the same

28 SNPs, coastal individuals (navy blue) are diffuse across genotypic space,

while Yaoundé mosquitoes (purple) are tightly clustered. As expected, Douala

(pink) exhibits an intermediate degree of variation. F) STRUCTURE analysis

based solely on the 28 SNPs within the sweep shows clear distinctions between

the three populations.

1038

1039

1040 SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE LEGENDS

1041 **Figure S1.** Detailed map of sampling sites in Cameroon.

1042

Figure S2. Bayesian information criterion was used to determine the most likely

number of clusters/populations for A) all 941 samples, B) all *An. coluzzii*, C) *An.*

coluzzii from the northern savannah, D) *An. arabiensis*, E) the 309 individuals

used for genome scans F) all *An. gambiae*, G) southern *An. coluzzii*, and H) *An.*

melas. BIC scores for 1 to 50 clusters are plotted. The lower the BIC score the

better the model fits the observed genetic diversity.

1049

1050 **Figure S3.** Southern (pale pink) and northern populations (deep pink) of *An.*

1051 *coluzzii* are readily separated in PCA (top) and NJ trees (bottom) using SNPs

1052 exclusively from any of the five chromosomal arms.

1053

1054 **Figure S4.** No population substructure is detectable within northern *An. coluzzii*

1055 using PCA and NJ tree analysis.

1056

1057 **Figure S5.** Mean sequencing coverage per individual is plotted in 300kb non-

1058 overlapping windows across the genome. Only individuals used in the genome

1059 scans are included in the coverage calculation.

Figure 1.

Figure 2.

Figure 3.

Figure 4.

An. melas

An. arabiensis

GAM1 ⎤
Nkolondom ⎬ An. gambiae
GAM2 ⎦

Savannah ⎤
Coast ⎥
Douala ⎬ An. coluzzii
Yaoundé ⎦

0.05

Figure 5.

Figure 6.

Figure 7.

Figure S1.

Figure S2.

Figure S3.

Figure S4.

A

B

Figure S5.

1060

1061

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Consistently negative Tajima's *D* across all subgroups may reflect recent

population expansions. To further address this hypothesis we modeled the

demographic history of each population using a diffusion-based approach

implemented in the software package ∂a∂i v 1.6.3 (Gutenkunst et al. 2009). We

fit four alternative demographic models (*neutral, growth, two-epoch, bottle-*

growth), without migration or recombination, to the folded allele frequency

spectrum of each cryptic subgroup of *An. gambiae s.s.* and *An. coluzzii*. The best

model was selected based on the highest composite log likelihood, the lowest

Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), and visual inspection of residuals. As the

choice of model can be challenging in recently diverged populations, we

prioritized the simplest model when we found it difficult to discriminate between

conflicting models. To obtain uncertainty estimates for the demographic

parameters we used the built-in bootstrap function implemented in ∂a∂i to derive

95% bootstrap confidence intervals.

Results indicate that GAM1, GAM2, and Savannah populations have

experienced recent size increases. However, for the southern populations of

Yaoundé, Coast, Douala, and Nkolondom the best demographic model is a

bottle-growth (Table S4). While most classical studies report *An. gambiae s.l.*

populations that are in expansion (Donnelly et al. 2001), a more recent study

employing RAD markers revealed that some East African populations have more

complex demographic histories, often involving several changes in effective

population size (N_e) as we observed in southern forest populations of both *An.*

coluzzii and *An. gambiae* (O'Loughlin et al. 2014).

1086

SUPPLEMENTAL REFERENCES

Donnelly MJ, Licht MC, Lehmann T. 2001. Evidence for recent population

expansion in the evolutionary history of the malaria vectors Anopheles

arabiensis and Anopheles gambiae. Mol. Biol. Evol. 18:1353–1364.

Gutenkunst RN, Hernandez RD, Williamson SH, Bustamante CD. 2009. Inferring

the joint demographic history of multiple populations from multidimensional

SNP frequency data. PLoS Genet. 5:e1000695.

O'Loughlin SM, Magesa S, Mbogo C, Mosha F, Midega J, Lomas S, Burt A.

2014. Genomic Analyses of Three Malaria Vectors Reveals Extensive

Shared Polymorphism but Contrasting Population Histories. Mol. Biol.

Evol.:1–14.

1098

Table S1. Locations of *An. gambiae s.l.* mosquitoes sequenced in Cameroon

Ecogeographic regions	Sampling locations	Geographic coordinates	Sampling methods				Total	Anopheles gambiae sensu lato populations identified								
			HLC-OUT	HLC-IN	LC	SPRAY		YDE	DLA	CST	SAV	GAM2	NKO	GAM1	A	ME
Savannah	Lagdo	9°02'56"N, 13°39'22"E	52	27	21	43	143				116			9	18	
	Lougga Tabadi	7°06'36"N, 13°12'36"E	2				2							2		
	Malang Dang	7°26'06"N, 13°33'14"E				11	11				2			9		
	Ngao Bella	6°30'04"N, 12°25'34"E	28	17		69	114				65	14		35		
	Paniéré Tibati	6°28'08"N, 12°37'44"E	5		22	16	43				13	1		29		
	Total		87	44	43	139	313				196	15		84	18	
Forest-Savannah Transition	Makoupa Bord	6°06'06"N, 11°11'29"E	2			16	18							18		
	Makoupa Le Grand	6°02'28"N, 11°10'39"E			4	10	14							14		
	Manchoutvi	5°52'48"N, 11°06'36"E			70		70					2		68		
	Manda	5°43'32"N, 10°52'06"E	1	2		33	36							36		
	Mante Le Grand	6°03'44"N, 11°12'18"E				8	8				1			7		
	Mfelap	5°43'30"N, 10°52'00"E				8	8							8		
	Mgbandji	6°05'49"N, 11°08'26"E			3	5	8							8		
	Mouinkoing	6°02'56"N, 11°24'36"E				3	3							3		
	Total		3	2	77	83	165				1	2		162		
Forest (urban)	Bepanda Omnisport (Douala)	4°03'18"N, 9°43'16"E			7		7		7							
	Beti Maképé (Douala)	4°03'54"N, 9°45'40"E			25		25		6					19		
	Bomono Gare (Douala)	4°04'55"N, 9°35'35"E			13		13		9					4		
	PK10 (Douala)	4°02'49"N, 9°46'47"E			20		20		16					4		
	Missolé 2 (Douala)	3°59'17"N, 9°54'22"E			8		8		8							
	Ndobo Bonabéri (Douala)	4°04'39"N, 9°40'12"E			1		1		1							
	Sable (Douala)	4°04'52"N, 9°43'34"E	28		5		33		33							
	Village Petit Mobil (Douala)	4°00'16"N, 9°45'18"E	1		16		17		17							
	Nkolbisson (Yaoundé)	3°52'29"N, 11°26'58"E			9		9	9								
	Nkolondom (Yaoundé)	3°58'20"N, 11°30'56"E			48		48	12					33	3		
	Tsinga Elobi (Yaoundé)	3°52'49"N, 11°30'23"E			46		46	46								
	Combattant (Yaoundé)	3°52'36"N, 11°30'46"E	18		48		66	65					1			
	Total		47	0	246	0	293	132	97				34	30		
Forest (rural)	Mbébé	4°10'00"N, 11°04'00"E				5	5							5		
	Nyabessan Centre	2°24'00"N, 10°24'00"E	4	1			5							5		
	Oveng	2°44'00"N, 11°27'00"E	1				1							1		
	Total		5	1	0	5	11							11		
Coast	Afan Essokyé	2°22'01"N, 9°58'59"E	1			13	14			3				11		
	Bouanjo	2°48'00"N, 9°54'00"E			5		5			5						
	Campo	2°22'01"N, 9°49'01"E	48		16	27	91			79				1		11
	Ebodjé	2°30'00"N, 9°49'05"E	35	4		3	42			5				3		34
	Mutengéné	4°06'53"N, 9°14'51"E			7		7		1	2				4		
	Total		84	4	28	43	159		1	94				19		45
Total			226	51	394	270	941	132	98	94	197	17	34	306	18	45

HLC-OUT, human landing catches performed outdoor; HLC-IN, human landing catches performed indoor; LC, larval collection; SPRAY, spray catches.

Table S2. Pairwise comparison of genetic distance (F_{ST}) among cryptic subgroups and sibling species of *An. gambiae s.l.*

F_{ST}	Yaoundé	Douala	Coast	Savannah	GAM2	Nkolondom	GAM1	An. arabiensis
Yaoundé	-							
Douala	0.016	-						
Coast	0.035	0.023	-					
Savannah	0.127	0.109	0.103	-				
GAM2	0.244	0.199	0.230	0.168	-			
Nkolondom	0.197	0.201	0.200	0.247	0.161	-		
GAM1	0.183	0.153	0.178	0.188	0.090	0.050	-	
An. arabiensis	0.451	0.406	0.498	0.383	0.478	0.372	0.327	-
An. melas	0.851	0.836	0.830	0.792	0.841	0.818	0.781	0.872

Table S3. Average nucleotide diversity in seven cryptic subgroups of *An. coluzzii* and *An. gambiae s.s*.

Population	$\theta\pi$ (bp^{-1})	θw (bp^{-1})
Yaoundé	0.0025	0.0028
Douala	0.0031	0.0037
Coast	0.0025	0.0031
Savannah	0.0035	0.0057
GAM2	0.0019	0.0020
Nkolondom	0.0020	0.0021
GAM1	0.0042	0.0069

Table S4. Parameters of demographic models inferred from folded Site Frequency Spectrum (SFS) of autosomal SNPs in seven cryptic subpopulations of *An. gambiae*

Species	Population	Best Model	Log Likelihood	Final Pop Size[a] (95% CI)	Bottleneck Size[b] (95% CI)	Time[c] (95% CI)
Anopheles coluzzii	*Yaoundé*	*Bottle-growth*	-83.45	1.18 (0.92 – 1.69)	24.85 (11.94 - 125.77)	0.58 (0.43 - 1.01)
	Douala	*Bottle-growth*	-84.50	2.20 (1.52 - 3.77)	5.66 (2.80 - 142.42)	0.59 (0.41 - 1.77)
	Coast	*Bottle-growth*	-82.95	1.72 (1.28 - 2.33)	23.83 (10.95 - 128.52)	0.67 (0.50 - 1.10)
	Savannah	*Two-epoch*	-102.65	6.73 (6.35 - 7.21)		0.62 (0.54 - 0.72)
Anopheles gambiae s.s.	*GAM2*	*Two-epoch*	-37.48	3.60 (1.74 - 7.70)		3.60 (0.56 - 9.30)
	Nkolodom	*Bottle-growth*	-74.92	1.08 (0.82 - 1.12)	24.95 (47.91 - 99.84)	0.63 (0.47 - 0.91)
	GAM1	*Two-epoch*	-103.33	6.75 (6.33 - 7.24)		0.68 (0.60 - 0.78)

[a] Ratio of contemporary to ancient population size.
[b] Ratio of population size after instantaneous change to ancient population size.
[c] Time in the past at which instantaneous change happened and growth began (in units of 2*Na generations).

Table S5. Functional analysis of gene ontology terms in candidate regions.

Supplementary online information