1   **Chromosomal inversions facilitate chromosome-scale evolution in**
2   ***Anopheles funestus***
3

4   Caroline Fouet[1]*, Colince Kamdem[1], Bradley J. White[1,2]*

5   [1]Department of Entomology, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521

6   [2]Center for Disease Vector Research, Institute for Integrative Genome Biology,

7   University of California, Riverside, CA 92521

8   *Corresponding authors: caroline.fouet@ucr.edu; bradley.white@ucr.edu

Abstract

Understanding the neutral and selective forces that shape the genetic diversity along chromosomes is one of the central goals of evolutionary genomics. Contrary to expectations, combined actions of recombination and selection can result in reduced diversity along an entire chromosome, but this hypothesis still lacks strong empirical supports. Here we show that nucleotide diversity is specific to chromosome arms in the African malaria mosquito *Anopheles funestus*. We analysed the population history and genetic diversity in 132 wild-caught individuals representing two diverging ecotypes using RADseq markers. Genome scans revealed that genetic differentiation between ecotypes is accumulated within segregating polymorphic chromosomal inversions. Importantly, the presence of multiple overlapping inversions is associated with strong reductions in diversity throughout entire chromosome arms. The depression in genetic diversity is correlated to the frequency of inversions, being stronger in forest populations that are fixed for several adaptive inversions. Our results emphasize the role of polymorphic inversions in the genome architecture of adaptive speciation in *An. funestus* and provide a case of chromosome-scale evolution associated with the interplay between population demography, selection and recombination.

Key words: Divergent selection, chromosomal inversion, recombination, nucleotide diversity

Introduction

Investigating the population history and genetic diversity in emerging species should provide important insights into the genomic architecture of speciation driven by natural selection (Nosil 2012). Advances in genomics now allow robust descriptions of genetic diversity along chromosomes in almost any living organism (Davey et al. 2011; Ellegren 2014). However, while obtaining detailed estimates of genomic diversity has become very common, the evolutionary signals that contribute to shape this diversity remain difficult to understand. In principle, patterns of diversity that are consistent throughout the genome are generally thought to result from neutral demographic processes. In contrast, signatures of recombination and those of positive or negative selection are expected to be restricted to more or less small portions of chromosomes (O'Reilly et al. 2008). A vast majority of the massive genome scans that are accumulating owing to increased availability of high-throughput sequencing largely support this view (Hohenlohe et al. 2010; Lawniczak et al. 2010; Nielsen et al. 2011; Via 2012; Gagnaire et al. 2013; Kamdem et al. 2016). The known exceptions include rearranged chromosomal regions of chromosomes and collinear regions around the centromere and the telomere where disproportionately depressed levels of polymorphism due to low recombination can extend over several megabases (Neafsey et al. 2010; Ellegren et al. 2012; Marsden et al. 2014). However, in reality, the nature of the genetic diversity across genomes can be more complicated to explain than this, mainly because of the potentially confounding signals produced by several neutral and selective forces. For example, analyses of genetic variation in several *Drosophila*

species have revealed widespread footprints of purifying selection across the

genome (Sella et al. 2009). Likewise, recurrent bottlenecks can obscure signals of

positive selection (Chang and Hartl 2014). Further, the power to detect molecular

signatures of natural selection varies depending on whether the demographic

history is explicitly taken into account or not (Huber et al. 2014). Overall, the

dichotomy between localized effects of selection/recombination and genome-wide

effects of demography is sufficient to explain common patterns of genetic diversity

along chromosomes, but it is much less straightforward to judge when the

evolutionary history of the species is too complex or when diversity is altered over

extremely large portions of the genome.

One example of these puzzling scenarios involves patterns of nucleotide diversity

that are specific to whole chromosomes or chromosome arms. Reduced diversity on

sex chromosomes relative to the rest of the genome is common and well

documented in the heterogametic sex (Ellegren 2009). This discrepancy is largely

due to neutral effects since the effective population size of sex chromosomes is

lower in the heterogametic sex, but can also in some cases be correlated to

widespread selection along the chromosome (e.g., the Y chromosome of humans)

(Wilson Sayres et al. 2014). In contrast, autosome-specific diversity is very unusual,

more difficult to explain, and certainly involves a complex interplay between drift,

selection and recombination that is yet to be elucidated. The strongest evidence of

autosome-specific diversity so far comes from *Drosophila* (Kulathinal et al. 2009;

Corbett-Detig and Hartl 2012; Pool et al. 2012). Genome scans of worldwide

populations of *Drosophila melanogaster* revealed that some populations have either

reduced or elevated nucleotide diversity specific to autosomal arms (Corbett-Detig

and Hartl 2012; Pool et al. 2012). Interestingly, both the elevation and the reduction

of diversity along a chromosome relative to the rest of the genome are due to the

presence of inversions (Pool et al. 2012). Chromosomal inversions have been the

focus of intense research for several decades and their role in local adaptation and

speciation is widely recognized (Hoffmann and Rieseberg 2008; Kirkpatrick 2010).

Notably, frequencies of certain inversions match latitudinal clines in flies and

mosquitoes providing strong evidence of divergent selection on alternative

karyotypes (Coluzzi et al. 2002; Hoffmann and Rieseberg 2008; Ayala et al. 2011).

The explicit selective mechanisms at play within chromosomal inversions remain

poorly elucidated. It has been suggested that inversions reduce local recombination

and bring adapted alleles into closer physical association, which causes their spread

in favourable environments (Kirkpatrick 2010; Lohse et al. 2015). Despite their

importance as a major mechanism for reorganizing the genome, little progress has

been made towards understanding how and why inversions evolve. Even though the

generalization across taxa remains insufficient, the recent realization that inversions

affect sequence polymorphism not only within their physical limits but also

chromosome-wide reminds us that their impacts in genome evolution are perhaps

far more complex than we dare suppose. The fine-scale examination of genetic

diversity along chromosomes of species bearing numerous well-characterized

polymorphic chromosomal inversions will provide crucial insights into the physical

extent of selective processes in and around inversions.

Mosquitoes of the genus *Anopheles* provide an excellent opportunity for studying the

dynamics of genome evolution during speciation. The short generation time allow

the accumulation of recombination events that can be easily observed. Recent

adaptive processes can also be investigated within clades with large effective

population sizes and rapid divergence (Donnelly et al. 2001; Coluzzi et al. 2002;

Sinka et al. 2010; Kamdem et al. 2012; Kamdem et al. 2016). Further, the

evolutionary proximity of anopheline mosquitoes with *Drosophila* flies allows

comparisons based on data from some of the best-studied model species.

Importantly, *Anopheles* species appear particularly attractive to understand the role

of inversions on the evolution of chromosomes because of the presence of hundreds

of inversion polymorphisms and strong karyotypic variability among and within

species (Sharakhova et al. 2011).

In this paper, we focused on *Anopheles funestus*, the second most important vector of

human malaria parasites in Sub-Saharan Africa. This highly anthropophilic mosquito

is splitting into at least two ecotypes widespread across the continent (Michel et al.

2005; Ayala et al. 2011; Coetzee and Koekemoer 2013; Dia et al. 2013).

Microsatellites and cytogenetic analyses suggest that chromosomal inversions have

played a crucial role in the adaptive evolution of *An. funestus* (Ayala et al. 2011).

Indeed, not only an impressive number of polymorphic chromosomal inversions

have been identified in the polytene chromosome, but gradients of inversion

frequencies are well characterized in natural populations of *An. funestus* (Sharakhov

et al. 2004; Ayala et al. 2011; Dia et al. 2013). The main goal of this study was to use

Next Generation Sequencing to characterize targets of selection in the *An. funestus*

genome. We investigated the genomic architecture of divergence and selection in

the two ecotypes using ~10,000 SNP markers identified with RAD sequencing. We

conducted genome scans in 132 individuals from Cameroon and we showed that

strong signatures of divergent selection are clustered within two major polymorphic

inversions. We found that strong selection and low recombination in inversions

result in reduced diversity throughout entire chromosomal arms supporting the

idea of a chromosome-scale evolution facilitated by the presence of rearrangements.

Results

SNP genotyping

We sequenced 132 *An. funestus* mosquitoes collected from two locations separated by ~500km (Fig. 1A, Table S1). Mfou is a small city belonging to the southern forest region. *An. funestus* breeds in an artificial lake and maintain abundant populations throughout the year across the city. Tibati lies within the forest-savannah transition zone. Likewise, several artificial lakes provide abundant breeding sites for dense and perenial populations of *An. funestus* in this village. Using alignments to the draft reference genome, we identified 490,871 unique 96-bp RAD loci. We removed loci that were no present in all populations and in at least 60% of individuals within every population and we randomly selected one SNP identified within these loci resulting in 10687 high-quality biallelic markers.

Genetic and geographic differentiation

Based on 10687 genome-wide SNPs, the NJ tree and the first three PCA axes clearly distinguished two genetic clusters corresponding presumably to the two subgroups previously described in Cameroon and across the continent with microsatellites and inversion polymorphism markers (Cohuet et al. 2005; Michel et al. 2005; Ayala et al. 2011) (Fig. 1B). In Cameroon, in particular, populations of *An. funestus* are spread along a latitudinal cline of about 1500km and bear tens of polymorphic inversions, but remain weakly differentiated (Cohuet et al. 2005; Ayala et al. 2011). Both the method of Evanno et al and the DAPC confirmed the existence of only two to three demes corresponding to the forest and the savannah ecotypes among our samples (Fig. 1D,E). Despite this subdivision, the overall genetic differentiation is low (F_{ST} =

0.033, p < 0.005) between the two subgroups and a STRUCTURE analysis with k = 2

simply depicts a single population with admixed ancestry rather than two distinct

subunits. The moderate geographic differentiation is also reflected by the results of

an AMOVA, which shows that the most significant proportion of the genetic variance

(98.2%) among our samples is explained by within-individual variations. The

geographic origin explained less than 2% of the genetic variance, confirming that

extensive gene flow among populations overwhelms ongoing local adaptations. The

low number of sampling locations can be a handicap for the interpretation of

patterns of genetic structure we observed. However, our sampling includes the two

main subdivisions described in *An. funestus* with a variety of genetic markers and

thereby provided an unbiased picture of the genetic differentiation of this species in

West Africa.

Evidence for strong divergent selection in chromosomal inversions

We estimated and plotted the locus-specific F_{ST} along the pseudochromosomes to

assess the genomic differentiation between ecotypes and identify outlier loci. First,

using 10687 SNPs, we observed a non-random distribution of highly differentiated

sites, characterized by an aggregation of the highest F_{ST} values in genomic regions

bearing known polymorphic chromosomal inversions (Fig. 2). Using the 99% cutoff,

we identify 876 outliers of F_{ST} throughout the genome, 31 of which were mapped to

concatenated scaffolds and were confirmed as statistical outliers in LOSITAN. All

these outliers resided on chromosomes 3R and 2R, which also concentrate the

highest number of polymorphic inversions identified in the *An. funestus* (Fig. 2, S1).

We also noted an imbalance in the number of outliers between the 2R and 3R (9

against 22, respectively) implying that inversions located on the 3R are the most

significant in local adaptation. The strong divergence of inversions and inverted

chromosomes is particularly evident in the genomic distribution of d_{xy} and fixed

differences. In keeping with F_{ST} values, the highest values of d_{xy} are clustered within

the 3R*a* and 3R*b* inversions on the 3R chromosome arm (Fig. 3), which further

emphasizes the role of these two large inversions in ecological divergence.

Unsurprisingly, the 3R arm has by far the highest proportion of fixed differences

among autosomes. Finally, two distinct peaks of both d_{xy} and d_f can be clearly

identified in regions corresponding to the 3R*a* and 3R*b* inversions (Fig. 3).

To further assess the magnitude of the role of inversions in adaptive divergence, we

performed NJ analyses separately for each chromosome arm as well as four

different inversions (2R*h*, 3R*a*, 3R*b* and 3R*d*). As expected, the inverted

chromosome 3R and to a lesser extent the 2R reproduced the segregation between

ecotypes observed with genome-wide SNPs (Fig. 4). Most notably, variations within

the 3Ra inversion assigned 100% of individuals to their respective ecotypes, and the

3*Rb* also separated a significant proportion of individuals whereas the two

populations were not discriminated by SNPs from regions outside the two

inversions (Fig. 4). In contrast, the two inversions, 2R*h* and 3R*d*, had no

discriminatory power, which confirmed that the 3R*a* and 3R*b*, and especially the

3Ra, are the two main targets of divergent selection. This strong selection translates

into F_{ST} values, which increase from 0.033 genome-wide to 0.08 within the 2R*b*

inversion and reach 0.22 within the 3Ra inversion. Finally, the crucial role of the two

inversions in the geographic structuring of the genetic diversity in *An. funestus* is

also reflected by the drastic increase in the proportion of the genetic variance

explained by the geographic origin of samples within inversions. This proportion

rises from 1.8% for the genome-wide SNPs to 4.7% and 12.9% when only variants

included in the 3*Rb* and the 3Ra inversions, respectively, are used. Overall, our

results provide multiple lines of evidence that strong signatures of spatially varying

selection are clustered within polymorphic chromosomal inversions in a moderately

differentiated genomic background in *An. funestus*.

Chromosome arm-specific diversity associated with inversions

To further characterize the signatures of selection, we conducted genome scans

using estimates of nucleotide diversity (θ_π and θw) and Tajima's *D* (Fig. 5).

Surprisingly, there was no obvious depression in diversity and Tajima's *D* within the

3R*a* and the 3R*b* inversions relative to the rest of the genome as could be expected

due to strong divergent selection observed within these inversions. Instead, we

noted that, in contrast to θ_π that is fairly constant across the entire length of all

chromosomes, θw varies significantly between chromosome arms (Fig. 5, 6). The

highest values of θw are concentrated on the uninverted chromosome 2L, and there

are statistically significant (Wilcoxon rank sum test, *p-value* < 0.001) differences in

nucleotide diversity between the 2L and each of the 3 other autosomes (Fig. 6).

Precisely, inverted autosomes showed reduced average θw of 34.1% for the 3R,

24.0% for the 2R and 13.2% for the 3L relative to the 2L arm. The X chromosome

bears no known inversion, but presumably because of its particular divergence and

distorted effective size, the average θw diversity was also weaker relative to the 2L

arm (21.1% reduction relative to the 2L). Before examining the biological meaning

of these particular patterns of genetic diversity, we conducted several tests to insure

that uncertainties associated with our sequencing and analytical approach cannot

account for the observed chromosomal-scale disparities. First, we compared the

distribution and lengths of mapped scaffolds and we confirmed that every

chromosome arm was represented by a substantial number of scaffolds (Table S2

and Fig. S2). The length of pseudochromosomes was also proportional to the actual

length of chromosomes, ranging from 6.8 Mb on the X chromosome (the smallest) to

27.4 Mb on the 2R (the largest). Therefore, we excluded the possibility that the

chromosome-bias diversity was due to a systematic error associated with the

reference sequence. Further, mapped scaffolds were evenly distributed along all

chromosomes, suggesting that inconsistencies in genome-wide diversity were not

linked to centromere or telomere-proximal effects (Fig. S1). Second, although our

estimates of genetic diversity were derived using a "low coverage approach"

designed to alleviate the uncertainty associated with low sequencing depth

(Korneliussen et al. 2013; Korneliussen et al. 2014), we examined the distribution of

the average depth of coverage along chromosome arms to insure that the

chromosome-specific diversity was not correlated to inconsistent sequencing across

the genome. We ruled out this possibility because the mean depth of sequencing

coverage per mapped scaffolds was consistent along all the five chromosome arms

(Fig. S2). As a result, the intriguing pattern of chromosome arm-specific diversity

observed in *An. funestus* genome clearly has a biological meaning. Below, we are

using several analyses to better understand this process.

First, θw, which varies between chromosomes, is an estimate of the number of

segregating sites also known as the population scaled mutation rate and represents

the "raw" amount of polymorphisms in one population. In theory, the drastic

variability in average polymorphism between inverted and uninverted autosomes

can be due to a correspondingly low mutation rate on inverted chromosomes.

However, as shown in other insect species (Keightley et al. 2015), such an important

variability in mutation rates *per se* between chromosomes is very unlikely. Also,

neutral processes such as the variation of effective size among chromosomes often

observed between autosomes and the sex chromosome cannot solely explain our

findings since all individuals possess all autosomal arms. Our results are most likely

due to a combination of recombination and selective events, and probably other

more stochastic forces that differ significantly between inverted and uninverted

chromosome arms. The distribution of the neutral recombination rate remains

unknown in the genome of *An. funestus*, but it is widely acknowledged that

recombination is rare within inversions compared with genome-wide backgrounds

and that this low crossover rate can also extends beyond the limits of inversions

(Hoffmann and Rieseberg 2008). Therefore, the autosomal arms bearing

polymorphic inversions presumably have average recombination rates that are

substantially lower than that of the 2L. The strong correlation between reduced

recombination and depressed diversity is both conceptually obvious and largely

documented by empirical evidence.

We have also provided compelling evidence of strong signatures of divergent

selection on adaptive inversions in *An. funestus*, notably the peaks of d_{xy} and fixed

differences observed in the loci of the 3R*a* and 3R*b* inversions. As we noted

previously, it is hard to tell from our genome scans if there is a specific reduction of

polymorphism within the 3R*a* and the 3R*b* inversion loci, but the low recombination

and strong selection should clearly lead to lower nucleotide diversity. Although we

can explain the depression in polymorphism expected within inversions by

straightforward recombination and selective mechanisms, we however lack a

comprehensive understanding of the processes that extend this diversity reduction

beyond the limits of the inversions. This intriguing pattern of chromosome-scale

reduction in diversity has been described only in several species of *Drosophila* and is

hard to explain through common paradigms like the selective sweeps and cold spots

of recombination. Further studies will be needed to clarify different hypotheses in

An. funestus in particular. In the first place, a direct comparison of diversity and

long-range linkage disequilibrium between inversion-bearing haplotypes and

standard uninverted haplotypes from a sympatric area like Tibati using a more

comprehensive genome sequencing method will be crucial to more clearly

demonstrate the association between the presence of inversions and chromosome-

scale diversity (Corbett-Detig and Hartl 2012; Pool et al. 2012). On the other hand,

more than 100 inversions have been identified in the polytene chromosome map of

An. funestus (Sharakhov et al. 2004), and how exactly the number and the natural

frequencies of inversions bore by an autosomal arm are important in its overall

diversity remains to be clarified. Each inverted chromosome of *An. funestus* contains

multiple overlapping inversions of large size, the greatest number of common

inversions being observed on the 2R arm (Fig. S1). It is therefore tempting to

imagine that perhaps selection on multiple overlapping inversions can degrade

diversity across the entire chromosome arm, but this hypothesis holds only if all

inversions are simultaneously under significant selection. Nevertheless, as

illustrated with the example of the non-recombining Y chromosome of humans,

selection may be particularly potent when there is no recombination, so that

deleterious mutations in one area of the chromosome could reduce levels of genetic

diversity across the entire chromosome (Wilson Sayres et al. 2014). Likewise, if

recombination is rare along entire chromosomal arms in *An. funestus* because of the

presence of multiple overlapping inversions, a strong selection within a single

inversion is sufficient to reduce genetic polymorphism across the chromosome arm.

Our findings have revealed obvious footprints of spatially varying selection only

within the 3Ra and 3*Rb*, which represent ~50% of the length of the 3R arm, but we

can not exclude that other inversions may also be subjected to strong divergent

selection at other spatial scales throughout the species' range. For instance, the 2*Ra*

and 3*La* inversions are widespread in continental populations and are suspected to

provide selective advantage in certain environments (Dia et al. 2013). Rare and

endemic inversions like the 2R*t*, 2R*h* and 2R*d* may also be strong targets of local

selection in some settings (Ayala et al. 2011). Further studies will focus on using

more extensive sampling to examine the adaptive status as well as the footprints

and strengths of positive or negative selection in other common and rare inversions

in *An. funestus*.

Finally, we noted variations in patterns of chromosome-scale diversity between

Mfou and Tibati samples consistent with perceptible differences in the population

demographic history despite high overall gene flow between ecotypes, which raise

the question of the contribution of stochastic processes in the creation of

chromosome-specific diversity. Indeed, the average genome-wide θw is 1.3 greater

in Tibati than in Mfou populations. The reduction of nucleotide diversity on inverted

autosomes relative to the 2L is also stronger in Mfou compare with Tibati samples.

The drop of the average θw of inverted chromosomes relative to the 2L is 34.7% on

the 3R, 29.2% on the 2R and 13.2% on the 3L in Mfou and 33.5% on the 3R, 18.8%

on the 2R and 13.2 on the 3L in Tibati. This difference likely reflects the spatial

variation in the intensity of selection within inversion since forest populations

(Mfou) are fixed for the major polymorphic chromosomal inversions (notably the

3Ra and 3Rb) whose frequencies fluctuate in Tibati (savannah) (Cohuet et al. 2005;

Ayala et al. 2011). The effective size should also be reduced on inverted related to

uninverted chromosome because selection on inverted chromosome lowers the

number of individuals carrying certain polymorphisms. We address this particular

aspect later in this section by fitting different growth models on Site Frequency

Spectra (SFS) of the different autosomal arms. Beside obvious indirect effects of the

specific demographic history of chromosome arms on their diversity, it remains to

be determined if the population-level demography have any impact on

chromosome-scale evolution. Both the overall diversity (θw = 0.0083 and $\theta\pi$ =

0.0042 in Mfou; θw = 0.0097 and $\theta\pi$ = 0.0043 in Tibati) and the percentage of sites

that are polymorphic within populations (64.0 % in Mfou and 65.2% in Tibati)

suggest that *An. funestus* is a highly polymorphic species. As a result, it is also crucial

to understand how important is a population expansion that maintains high

baseline genome-wide diversity to chromosome-specific diversity. To infer the

demographic history of our populations, we first examined the genome-wide

distribution of allele frequency spectra, summarized as Tajima's *D* (TD). Values of

TD ranged from -2.47 to -1.58 among Tibati samples and from -2.20 to -1.19 in Mfou

and were shifted towards negative values as expected in case of recent population

expansion leading to an accumulation of low-frequency variants. Unsurprisingly, TD

is more negative on the 2L chromosome in both ecotypes since this chromosome

freely accumulates mutations in contrast to inverted chromosomes that are

constrained by strong selection. We next modeled the SFS to infer the demographic

history of populations in ∂a∂i. We used 9412 genome-wide SNPs while excluding

variants located on the X chromosome to prevent the confounding effects associated

with the lesser population effective size of this chromosome. We used a dataset

comprising 35 samples from Tibati and 35 samples from Mfou selected based on the

best sequencing coverage criterion. We found that a population expansion model

matched the average observed levels of autosomal polymorphism in both Tibati and

Mfou populations. The best-fit model depicts an exponential growth in Tibati and a

two-epoch growth in Mfou. Model parameters depict a more important population

growth in Tibati consistent with the greater genome-wide diversity observed in

savannah populations (Table 1). As we mentioned previously, we also modeled the

population growth on each chromosome using arm-specific SFS in ∂a∂i. Although

the true history of chromosome arms is likely explained by more complex models

including drift, selection and local recombination rates, simple growth models fitted

the SFS of all autosomes in both Tibati and Mfou populations. As expected, the

growth seems relatively more important on the 2L relative to inverted autosomes

(Table 1). Overall, the simple growth models fitted to chromosomes do not

integrally reproduce the ratio of diversity described among chromosomal arms

because chromosome-specific diversity is due to compound factors as we specified

before. However, these models well illustrate the fact that the 3R and to a lesser

extent the 2R arm have gone through recent drastic reduction in population

effective size associated with strong selection within polymorphic chromosomal

inversions.

Discussion

Population history of *An. funestus*

We conducted the first genome-wide analysis of natural polymorphism in *An.*
funestus populations, which revealed that genetic divergence between the two
weakly differentiated ecotypes of this mosquito species is almost exclusively located
in a few inverted segments of the genome. Moreover, strong selection and reduced
recombination within these polymorphic chromosomal inversions are also
associated with an unusual pattern of chromosome-specific diversity. We analyzed
the genetic structure of populations from Cameroon and found that genome-wide
SNPs corroborated previous results obtained with microsatellites and/or
polymorphic chromosomal inversions markers. Low-resolution markers have
indicated that populations of *An. funestus* globally belong to two clusters that have
been sometimes called "Kiribina" and "Folonzo": the names of two locations in
Burkina Faso where the subgroups were described for the first time (Cohuet et al.
2005; Michel et al. 2005; Ayala et al. 2011; Dia et al. 2013). In Cameroon, an
intensive sampling including 305 sites along a north-to-south transect and
subsequent examination of the genetic structure with microsatellites and several
polymorphic inversion loci showed that *An. funestus* is separated into two weakly
differentiated subgroups corresponding probably to Kiribina and Folonzo (Ayala et
al. 2011). Our detailed genome-wide analysis with more than 10000 SNPs is in
perfect agreement with this study. PCA, Neighbor-joining trees and Bayesian
clustering confirmed the segregation based on the geographic origin (the savannah
against the forest). A massive ongoing gene flow and a weak genetic differentiation

Discussion

Population history of *An. funestus*

We conducted the first genome-wide analysis of natural polymorphism in *An. funestus* populations, which revealed that genetic divergence between the two weakly differentiated ecotypes of this mosquito species is almost exclusively located in a few inverted segments of the genome. Moreover, strong selection and reduced recombination within these polymorphic chromosomal inversions are also associated with an unusual pattern of chromosome-specific diversity. We analyzed the genetic structure of populations from Cameroon and found that genome-wide SNPs corroborated previous results obtained with microsatellites and/or polymorphic chromosomal inversions markers. Low-resolution markers have indicated that populations of *An. funestus* globally belong to two clusters that have been sometimes called "Kiribina" and "Folonzo": the names of two locations in Burkina Faso where the subgroups were described for the first time (Cohuet et al. 2005; Michel et al. 2005; Ayala et al. 2011; Dia et al. 2013). In Cameroon, an intensive sampling including 305 sites along a north-to-south transect and subsequent examination of the genetic structure with microsatellites and several polymorphic inversion loci showed that *An. funestus* is separated into two weakly differentiated subgroups corresponding probably to Kiribina and Folonzo (Ayala et al. 2011). Our detailed genome-wide analysis with more than 10000 SNPs is in perfect agreement with this study. PCA, Neighbor-joining trees and Bayesian clustering confirmed the segregation based on the geographic origin (the savannah against the forest). A massive ongoing gene flow and a weak genetic differentiation

between the two ecotypes translate into values of F_{ST} near zero. As a result, we

emphasize that the putative subgroups of *An. funestus* are far from incipient species,

but represent at best two ecotypes at early stages of genetic divergence.

Additionally, as we document further in the next paragraphs, populations of *An.*

funestus are globally undifferentiated even at the genomic level except within loci of

a few polymorphic chromosomal inversions. The consistent subdivision observed

across the species range is primarily a translation of karyotypic variability along

geographic clines of these inversions. *An. funestus* larvae breed almost exclusively in

lakes that are most of the time artificial lakes created by human activity in

geographically distant locations. In Cameroon, historic populations of *An. funestus*

are generally observed around a network of ~5 relatively isolated artificial lakes

(Fig 1A). Our findings and previous studies (Cohuet et al. 2005; Ayala et al. 2011)

show that this apparent lack of connectivity among populations does not prevent a

massive gene flow.

The distribution and genetic structure of *Anopheles* populations across

microhabitats and time scales is markedly heterogeneous, especially among leading

African malaria vectors. This heterogeneity is being exacerbated by the recent scale-

up of malaria control measures including the widespread use of Insecticide-Treated

Nets (ITNs) and the multiplication of indoor insecticide spraying campaigns to

which mosquito populations respond by shifting their spatial and temporal

distributions (Derua et al. 2012; Mwangangi et al. 2013). With regard to *An. funestus*

precisely, a detectable change in the nocturnal biting cycle has been noticed in West

and East Africa after the scale-up of mosquito nets ownership and use (Moiroux et

al. 2012). These shifts may lead to the emergence of cryptic subgroups if specialized

populations become genetically differentiated (Riehle et al. 2011). Fortunately, our

results do not indicate any genetic structure beyond the major geographic

subdivisions expected within *An. funestus* populations. In Cameroon, as in many

other Sub-Saharan African countries, massive ITNs distribution campaigns have

been implemented recently and studies are ongoing to evaluate the short or long-

term effects of these measures on vector populations (Bowen 2013). We surveyed

28 locations, including historical foci of *An. funestus* population Cameroon, and we

recorded the presence of the species in only two villages. This rarity may suggest a

recent contraction in the distribution range of *An. funestus*, which still need to be

confirmed by a careful examination of the temporal dynamics. Importantly, a high-

confidence genome-scale analysis allows us to clearly emphasize that *An. funestus*

has undergone no shift in its population genetic structure so far.

Spatially varying selection in chromosomal inversions

We estimated locus-specific population differentiation using the Weir and

Cockerham's unbiased estimator of the F_{ST}, the absolute sequence divergence (d_{xy})

and the proportion of fixed differences to characterize the level of divergence at

each variant site sampled across the genome. We found a strong heterogeneity in

genetic divergence across the genome. Notably, despite the lack of differentiation

between ecotypes (F_{ST} = 0.033), more than 5% of SNPs have disproportionately

elevated F_{ST} (F_{ST} outliers). Importantly, these F_{ST} outliers are exclusively found on

two of the five chromosome arms (2R and 3R), especially within two segregating

inversions (3Ra and 2*Rb*). The genome-wide organization of genetic divergence

during speciation has been increasingly examined in diverse taxa, but the

conclusions remain contentious. Two concurrent models have been proposed to

explain the architecture of genetic divergence end reproductive isolation along

genomes of emerging species. The genetic divergence is thought to be either

"heterogeneous", meaning that genomic regions of high differentiation are scattered

throughout the genome, or "restricted" when only a few regions that have been

sometimes called "speciation island" bear signatures of strong divergence (Nosil and

Feder 2012; Nosil and Feder 2013). Empirical data support the existence of both

models at unknown frequencies in nature (Lawniczak et al. 2010; Nosil and Feder

2012; Roesti et al. 2012; Andrew and Rieseberg 2013; Nosil and Feder 2013; Renaut

et al. 2013). However, divergence is clearly focused on few genomic regions early in

speciation due to localized effects of natural selection (Andrew and Rieseberg

2013). During the speciation process, when the ecological and genetic segregation

between splitting lineages becomes significant, the combination of selective and

demographic processes expands the genetic divergence throughout the genome.

Moreover, it is very common to find high levels of genetic divergence that are

accumulated in regions of low recombination especially at early stages of speciation

(Andrew and Rieseberg 2013). Based on our findings, *An. funestus* appears as

excellent model system to understand the architecture of genomic divergence at

early stages of adaptive divergence. Unsurprisingly, the genomic divergence

between the two undifferentiated ecotypes is restricted to a few regions of low

recombination, which correspond to polymorphic chromosomal inversions in this

species. Clear signals of divergent selection within two inversions translate into

peaks of F_{ST}, d_{xy} and fixed differences relative to the genome-wide average. The prime role of the two inversions in ecological divergence is also illustrated by the fact that except these inversions, no other locus or chromosome is able to reproduce the structuring of *An. funestus* into two populations as expected. Cytogenetic analyses and microsatellite loci have also suggested that the 3R*a* inversion may have a central role in local adaptation in Cameroonian populations (Ayala et al. 2011). Chromosomal inversions have been found in virtually all species that have been well scrutinized so far (Kirkpatrick 2010). Nearly a century of research on these chromosomal rearrangements has provided multiple lines of evidence suggesting that strong selection governs the distribution of inversions in insects, plants and animals (Hoffmann and Rieseberg 2008). Notably, chromosomal inversions play a crucial role in adaptive speciation as shown in several insect species: the most prominent examples being *Drosophila*, *Rhagoletis* and *Anopheles* (Feder et al. 2003; Ayala and Coluzzi 2005; Rane et al. 2015). In another African malaria mosquito, *An. gambiae*, known for its reticulate speciation leading to numerous cryptic species and emerging subgroups, high levels of genetic differentiation are also accumulated in selective sweeps found within segregating polymorphic chromosomal (Kamdem et al. 2016), especially the 2L*a* inversion involved in resistance to aridity (Cheng et al. 2012). Likewise, inversions are responsible for the majority of the genetic structure observed in cosmopolitan populations of *Drosophila melanogaster* (Corbett-Detig and Hartl 2012; Pool et al. 2012). Chromosomal rearrangements are also involved in adaptation to new host plants and sympatric speciation in *Rhagoletis pomonella* (Feder et al. 2003). Inversions are thought to contribute to the spread of

combinations of favourable alleles because recombination is suppressed between

the inverted sequence and standard karyotype, leading to divergence between

inversions and homo-sequential regions and the potential for evolution of

coadapted gene complexes (Kirkpatrick and Barton 2006; Hoffmann and Rieseberg

2008). One of the direct effects of low recombination within inversion is also to

minimize the competition that can occur between loci under selection to reduce

diversity across their nearby linked loci (Hill–Robertson effect) (Comeron et al.

2008). These combined actions of recombination and selection then result in a

population effective size that is considerably reduced within inversions relative to

genomic regions with higher recombination. In principle, selection in inversions can

be either strong and infrequent or weak and common, but empirical supports about

the type and magnitude of selection within inversions remain rare. However,

impressive clines of inversions frequencies observed in natural populations,

especially in *Drosophila* and mosquitoes illustrate the powerful effects of

recombination and selection within inversion in genomic divergence.

Chromosome-scale evolution

The genetic hitchhiking model (Via 2012) well explain the reduced diversity that can

be encountered within loci of segregating inversion subjected to divergent natural

selection. Beyond the accumulation of outliers of F_{ST} and other divergence metrics

within the 3R*a* and 3R*b* inversions, we haven't observed other characteristic signals

of divergent selection such as the depression of nucleotide diversity and skewed

allele frequency spectrum (Fig. 5). A more sophisticated version of the reference

genome assembly and annotation of *An. funestus* will allow us to conduct a more

sensitive sequential and functional characterization of footprints of selection

observed within these key inversions. Presumably, as the two inversions segregate

along a latitudinal gradient, genes involved in resistance to aridity or desiccation can

be suspected to be among the functional classes potentially accumulated within the

two inversions. In support of this hypothesis, comparative cytogenetic have

revealed that the 3R chromosomal arm of *An. funestus* and the 2L arm of *An.*

gambiae share a very close evolutionary history (Sharakhova et al. 2011). It is still

unclear whether this chromosomal similarity is the result of a convergent evolution

or something that the two species share since they diverged from their common

ancestor. Importantly, the comparative cytogenetic study also stipulates that the

3*Rb* inversion of *An. funestus* probably correspond to the 2*La* inversion known to be

crucial for desiccation tolerance in *An. gambiae* populations (Fouet et al. 2012).

Instead of reduced diversity or skewed AFS in loci of inversions subjected to strong

selection, we have found that the genetic diversity was rather specific to whole

chromosomal arms. We conducted several analyses to first rule out the possibility

that chromosome-bias diversity was due to errors and limits of our experimental

approach. There is no simple explanation that can translate the biological meaning

of chromosome-specific diversity, but our work and previous studies on *Drosophila*

(Corbett-Detig and Hartl 2012; Pool et al. 2012) pinpoint the critical role of spatially

varying selection and reduced recombination within chromosomal inversions as the

primary catalyzers. In *An. funestus* chromosome-scale evolution of diversity is likely

due to the presence of multiple overlapping inversions subjected to spatially varying

divergent selection all across the geographic range of the species. The models of

population demography of our samples suggest an exponential population growth,

but we do not know if high levels of genome-wide polymorphism are key to the

onset of chromosome-specific diversity or not.

Chromosome-scale evolution is an emerging field or research and it is premature to

provide general rules or a definitive explanation of forces that affect the genetic

variation at large scales across genomes. So far, patterns of chromosome-specific

diversity have been described mainly along sex chromosomes (Ellegren 2009).

Particularly, it has recently been hypothesized that the reduced diversity along the Y

chromosome relative to autosomes in humans is not only due to predictable effects

of a lower population effective size of the sex chromosome but also to pervasive

effects of selection (Wilson Sayres et al. 2014). Precisely, the absence of

recombination along the Y chromosome renders background selection particularly

efficient, so that deleterious mutations in one area of the chromosome can

potentially reduce levels of genetic diversity across the entire chromosome if the

selection is sufficiently strong to overcome the effects of interference with

neighboring loci (Hill-Robertson effect) (Wilson Sayres et al. 2014). A similar

process can be possible on inverted chromosomal arms in *An. funestus* where

recombination is suppressed because of the presence of multiple inversions.

The reduction of diversity due to low recombination across large portions of the

genome is sufficiently documented, especially around centromeric and telomeric

regions of chromosomes (Neafsey et al. 2010; Marsden et al. 2014). In plants,

polyploidy and loss of recombination result in substantial reduction of diversity

along large chromosomal regions (Akhunov et al. 2010). Likewise, species with low

levels of genome-wide recombination, such as highly self-fertilizing species, show

reduced genetic diversity compared with their outcrossing relatives. Indeed,

extensive linkage disequilibrium and low recombination due to self-fertilization are

thought to interact with selection to shape chromosome-scale patterns of genetic

diversity in *Caenorhabditis* (Cutter and Choi 2010; Andersen et al. 2012; Thomas et

al. 2015). The really intriguing cases of chromosome-scale diversity in outcrossing

species are observed so far in *Drosophila* and *An. funestus*. In *Drosophila*

melanogaster, *Drosophila pseudoobscura* and related species, comparison of

inversion-bearing haplotypes and individuals with standard arrangements lead to

the conclusion that, in contrast to *An. funestus* where the action of overlapping

inversions can be suggested, the presence of only one polymorphic inversion can

drive diversity reduction or increase throughout the entire chromosome arm

(Corbett-Detig and Hartl 2012; McGaugh and Noor 2012; Pool et al. 2012).

Conclusions and implications

We described chromosome-wide diversity supporting recent evidence from *Drosophila* that genome evolution and patterns of variation at genome or chromosome-scales can be facilitated by selection and recombination within polymorphic chromosomal inversions. Our results should motivate other studies in *Anopheles* and other insect species in order to provide additional empirical support for this process, which has strong implications in our understanding of the evolutionary forces that shape genetic diversity along chromosomes. In *An. funestus*, further directions could be to improved genomic resources of this nonmodel organism, notably a recombination map and an improved version of the reference genome. This will allow a more precise understanding of the genetic variation and functional characterization of local adaptation in this mosquito. Notably, a high-quality reference genome combine with a more intensive sampling, a comprehensive genomic sequencing and additional cytogenetic studies will be crucial for mapping chromosomal inversions and karyotyping individuals across the species range. As such, we will be able to conduct direct tests for the effects of inclusion or exclusion of inversions on the genetic diversity similar to what has been done in *Drosophila melanogaster* (Pool et al. 2012). Finally, *An. funestus* is the second most important vector of human malaria parasites in Africa and investigating the genomic bases of its evolutionary diversification will be useful to assess the adaptive response of this vector to ongoing expansive insecticide-based malaria control campaigns.

Materials and methods

Sample collection

An. funestus is endemic to Africa and is structured in two genetically differentiated ecotypes distributed along a latitudinal cline with a wide contact zone (Cohuet et al. 2003; Cohuet et al. 2005; Ayala et al. 2011). We surveyed 28 locations across a north-to-south transect in Cameroon, from August to November 2013, to collect *An. funestus* specimens (Fig. 1A, Table S1). Most of the locations we visited were selected because previous surveys indicated the presence of *An. funestus* populations (Cohuet et al. 2003; Ayala et al. 2011) or because of the presence of lakes, which are typical larval breeding sites of *An. funestus*. Others were based on opportunistic sampling. We used several sampling methods to collect mosquitoes at distinct stages of the life cycle, from different microhabitats and from different time points during the nocturnal biting cycle (Service 1993). This approach maximizes the chances that our sampling represents a best approximation of the species genetic diversity. We identified *An. funestus* individuals using morphological characters and PCR (Gillies and De Meillon 1968; Gillies and Coetzee 1987; Cohuet et al. 2003).

Library preparation and sequencing

We extracted genomic DNA of larvae and adult specimens with the DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen) and the Zymo Research MinPrep kit. Double-digest Restriction-site Associated DNA (ddRAD) libraries were prepared following a modified protocol of Peterson *et al.* 2012. Each library contained DNA fragments resulting from simultaneous digestion with *MluC1* and *NlaIII* restriction enzymes

and annealed to unique short nucleotide sequences (barcode adaptors) that enabled

the identification of the individual associated with each read. RAD tags of

individually-barcoded mosquitoes were pooled and size-selected to target 400-bp

sequences that were enriched via PCR. One extremity of the resulting 400-bp RAD

tags was sequenced on Illumina HiSeq2000 to generate single-end reads of 101 bp.

Alignment and SNP calling

Raw reads were demultiplexed and filtered using the *process_radtags* program of

the Stacks v 1. 35 software (Catchen et al. 2013). Reads with ambiguous barcodes or

restriction sites and those with low sequencing quality score (average Phred score <

33) were removed. To call and identify SNP among our sampling, we aligned the

remaining high-quality reads along the *An. funestus* reference sequence using GSNAP

(Wu and Nacu 2010). A maximum of five nucleotide mismatches were allowed and

terminal alignments prevented. We used Stacks v 1. 35 to identify polymorphisms

and to call SNPs within each RAD locus. Following assembly and genotyping, the

polymorphism data was further filtered to maximize data quality. We retained only

one randomly selected SNP per RAD locus and we kept only loci scored in every

population and in at least 60 % of individuals within population for further analyses.

We created SNP files in different formats using the *populations* program in Stacks v

1. 35, PLINK and PGDSPIDER (Purcell et al. 2007; Lischer and Excoffier 2012;

Catchen et al. 2013). We checked the depth of sequencing coverage of the final data

set after all filtering steps using VCFtools (Danecek et al. 2011). The *An. funestus*

draft genome assembly we used consisted of 12,243 scaffolds ranging from 2,334 to

3,334,433 bp in length. Therefore, to perform genome scans and to effectively

identify footprints of selection throughout the genome, we placed SNPs on the five

An. funestus chromosome arms using 104 scaffolds that had been physically mapped

to chromosome (Neafsey et al. 2015). Mapped scaffolds corresponded to 7% in

number and 33% in length of all *An. funestus* scaffolds (Table S2).

Population structure and genetic divergence

We analyzed the genetic structure of populations with a Principal Component

Analysis (PCA), neighbor-joining (NJ) trees and the STUCTURE v 2.3.4 software

(Pritchard et al. 2000). PCA and NJ analysis were performed respectively with the

packages *adegenet* and *ape* in R (Paradis et al. 2004; Jombart 2008; R Development

Core Team 2014). In STRUCTURE, we ran five replicates of 1 to 10 assumed clusters

(k). Each run consisted of 200,000 iterations and the first 50000 iterations were

discarded as burn-in. CLUMPP v1.1.2 (Jakobsson and Rosenberg 2007) was used to

aggregate results across multiple STRUCTURE runs and the clustering results were

visualized graphically using DISTRUCT v1.1 (Rosenberg 2004). To find the number

of genetically distinct clusters, we used both the Discriminant Analysis of Principal

Component (DAPC) implemented in *adegenet* and the ad hoc statistic DeltaK of

Evanno et al, 2005 (Evanno et al. 2005; Earl and VonHoldt 2012).

To quantify the extent of the genetic differentiation between pairs of populations,

we calculated the overall F_{ST} (Weir and Cockerham 1984) in Genodive v1.06

(Meirmans and Van Tienderen 2004) using a subset of 2000 randomly selected

SNPs. Additionally, to assess the contribution of regional and micro-spatial

distributions of samples on the genetic variance, we conducted a hierarchical

analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) (Excoffier et al. 1992) in Genodive. We used

10000 permutations to assess the statistical significance of F_{ST} and AMOVA.

Genomic targets of selection

To search for footprints of selection in the genome of *An. funestus*, we examined the

distribution of genetic diversity, allele frequency spectrum and genetic

differentiation on RAD loci located on mapped scaffolds. We computed the pairwise

nucleotide diversity (θ_π), the Watterson's estimator of segregating sites (θw) and

Tajima's *D* with ANGSD v 0.612 (Korneliussen et al. 2014). This program estimates

the genetic diversity and Site Frequency Spectrum (SFS) statistics using genotype

likelihoods without SNP calling thereby alleviating the uncertainty and biases

associated with SNP calling in low coverage Next Generation Sequencing

(Korneliussen et al. 2013). To gain genome-wide view and identify outliers regions

of diversity and Tajima's D, average values of these metrics were plotted in non-

overlapping 90-kb windows along mapped scaffolds that we previously ordered and

concatenated into "pseudo-chromosomes" corresponding to different *An. funestus*

chromosome arms. F_{ST} values per SNP locus were also calculated with the

populations program in *Stacks* and plotted across the pseudo-chromosomes. To

identify F_{ST} outliers that are likely genomic regions under strong selection, we used

both empirical and model-based approaches. First, loci above the top 1% of the

empirical distribution were identified as putative outliers of F_{ST}. Second, we used the

coalescence-based method FDIST2 (Beaumont and Nichols 1996) as implemented in

LOSITAN (Antao et al. 2008) to identify loci with unusually low or high F_{ST} values

compared to neutral expectations (outlier of F_{ST}). The mean neutral F_{ST} across all

SNPs in the data set was approximated by choosing the "neutral mean F_{ST} option"

with 99% confidence interval in LOSITAN. We ran LOSITAN with 100000

simulations and assumed a False Discovery Rate (FDR) of 0.1 to minimize the

number of false positives. Estimates of F_{ST} are affected by within-population

diversity, which can confound assessments of population divergence (Cruickshank

and Hahn 2014). It has been suggested that the use of several divergence metrics

including the d_{xy} provides a more reliable approach to examine the genetic

divergence among populations (Noor and Bennett 2009; Cruickshank and Hahn

2014). We estimated the absolute sequence divergence (d_{xy}) and the proportion of

fixed differences between populations (d_f) using ngsTools (Fumagalli et al. 2014)

and we derived and plotted average values across non-overlapping 10-kb windows

along pseudochromosomes in R.

Demographic history of An. funestus

We used the diffusion approximation method implemented in the software package

∂a∂i v 1.6.3 (Gutenkunst et al. 2009) to infer the demographic history of *An. funestus*

from Site Frequency Spectrum (SFS). Different demographic histories leave distinct

signatures in SNPs across the genome. ∂a∂i applies composite likelihoods to

evaluate how well the spectrum of allele frequencies fit different simple or

compound demographic scenarios. Using 9412 filtered SNPs, we fitted four

alternative one-population models (*neutral, growth, two-epoch, bottle-growth*),

describing simple demographic scenarios without migration or recombination

events, to the folded allele frequency spectrum of our samples. We chose the best

model as the one with the highest composite log likelihood, the lowest Akaike

Information Criterion (AIC) and the lowest uncorrelated residuals. We used the

built-in bootstrap function implemented in $\partial a \partial i$ to derive 95% bootstrap confidence

intervals for the different demographic parameters.

Acknowledgements

Funding for this project was provided by the University of California Riverside and

NIH grants 1R01AI113248 and 1R21AI115271 to BJW.

Author contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: CF CK BJW. Performed the experiments: CF CK BJW. Analyzed the data: CF CK BJW. Wrote the paper: CF CK BJW.

References

Akhunov ED, Akhunova AR, Anderson OD, Anderson J a, Blake N, Clegg MT, Coleman-

Derr D, Conley EJ, Crossman CC, Deal KR, et al. 2010. Nucleotide diversity maps

reveal variation in diversity among wheat genomes and chromosomes. BMC

Genomics 11:702.

Andersen EC, Gerke JP, Shapiro J a, Crissman JR, Ghosh R, Bloom JS, Félix M-A,

Kruglyak L. 2012. Chromosome-scale selective sweeps shape Caenorhabditis

elegans genomic diversity. Nat. Genet. 44:285–290.

Andrew RL, Rieseberg LH. 2013. Divergence is focused on few genomic regions early

in speciation: incipient speciation of sunflower ecotypes. Evolution 67:2468–

2482.

Antao T, Lopes A, Lopes RJ, Beja-Pereira A, Luikart G. 2008. LOSITAN: a workbench

to detect molecular adaptation based on a Fst-outlier method. BMC

Bioinformatics 9:323.

Ayala D, Fontaine MC, Cohuet A, Fontenille D, Vitalis R, Simard F. 2011.

Chromosomal inversions, natural selection and adaptation in the malaria vector

Anopheles funestus. Mol. Biol. Evol. 28:745–758.

Ayala FJ, Coluzzi M. 2005. Chromosome speciation: humans, Drosophila, and

mosquitoes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 102 Suppl :6535–6542.

Beaumont MA, Nichols RA. 1996. Evaluating loci for use in the genetic analysis of

population structure. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 263:1619–1626.

Bowen HL. 2013. Impact of a mass media campaign on bed net use in Cameroon.

Malar. J. 12:36.

Catchen J, Hohenlohe P a., Bassham S, Amores A, Cresko W. 2013. Stacks: An analysis

tool set for population genomics. Mol. Ecol. 22:3124–3140.

Chang H-H, Hartl DL. 2014. Recurrent bottlenecks in the malaria life cycle obscure

signals of positive selection. Parasitology:1–10.

Cheng C, White BJ, Kamdem C, Mockaitis K, Costantini C, Hahn MW, Besansky NJ.

2012. Ecological genomics of Anopheles gambiae along a latitudinal cline: a

population-resequencing approach. Genetics 190:1417–1432.

Coetzee M, Koekemoer L. 2013. Molecular Systematics and Insecticide Resistance in

the Major African Malaria Vector Anopheles funestus. Annu. Rev. Entomol.

Cohuet A, Dia I, Simard F, Raymond M, Rousset F, Antonio-Nkondjio C, Awono-

Ambene PH, Wondji CS, Fontenile D. 2005. Gene flow between chromosomal

forms of the malaria vector Anopheles funestus in Cameroon, Central Africa,

and its relevance in malaria fighting. Genetics 169:301–311.

Cohuet A, Simard F, Toto J-C, Kengne P, Coetzee M, Fontenille D. 2003. Species

identification within the Anopheles funestus group of malaria vectors in

Cameroon and evidence for a new species. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 69:200–205.

Coluzzi M, Sabatini A, Torre A, Angela M, Deco D, Petrarca V. 2002. A Polytene

Chromosome Analysis of the Anopheles gambie Species Complex. Science (80-.

). 298:1415–1419.

Comeron JM, Williford a, Kliman RM. 2008. The Hill-Robertson effect: evolutionary

consequences of weak selection and linkage in finite populations. Heredity

(Edinb). 100:19–31.

Corbett-Detig RB, Hartl DL. 2012. Population Genomics of Inversion Polymorphisms

in Drosophila melanogaster. PLoS Genet. 8.

Cruickshank TE, Hahn MW. 2014. Reanalysis suggests that genomic islands of

speciation are due to reduced diversity, not reduced gene flow. Mol. Ecol.

23:3133–3157.

Cutter AD, Choi JY. 2010. Natural selection shapes nucleotide polymorphism across

the genome of the nematode Caenorhabditis briggsae Natural selection shapes

nucleotide polymorphism across the genome of the nematode Caenorhabditis

briggsae. Genome Res.:1103–1111.

Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers C a., Banks E, DePristo M a., Handsaker RE,

Lunter G, Marth GT, Sherry ST, et al. 2011. The variant call format and VCFtools.

Bioinformatics 27:2156–2158.

Davey JW, Hohenlohe P a, Etter PD, Boone JQ, Catchen JM, Blaxter ML. 2011.

Genome-wide genetic marker discovery and genotyping using next-generation

sequencing. Nat. Rev. Genet. 12:499–510.

Derua Y a, Alifrangis M, Hosea KM, Meyrowitsch DW, Magesa SM, Pedersen EM,

Simonsen PE. 2012. Change in composition of the Anopheles gambiae complex

and its possible implications for the transmission of malaria and lymphatic

filariasis in north-eastern Tanzania. Malar. J. 11:188.

Dia I, Guelbeogo M, Ayala D. 2013. Advances and Perspectives in the Study of the

Malaria Mosquito Anopheles funestus.

Donnelly MJ, Licht MC, Lehmann T. 2001. Evidence for recent population expansion

in the evolutionary history of the malaria vectors Anopheles arabiensis and

Anopheles gambiae. Mol. Biol. Evol. 18:1353–1364.

Earl DA, VonHoldt BM. 2012. STRUCTURE HARVESTER: a website and program for

visualizing STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method. Conserv.

Genet. Resour. 4.

Ellegren H, Smeds L, Burri R, Olason PI, Backström N, Kawakami T, Künstner A,

Mäkinen H, Nadachowska-Brzyska K, Qvarnström A, et al. 2012. The genomic

landscape of species divergence in Ficedula flycatchers. Nature 491:756–760.

Ellegren H. 2009. The different levels of genetic diversity in sex chromosomes and

autosomes. Trends Genet. 25:278–284.

Ellegren H. 2014. Genome sequencing and population genomics in non-model

organisms. Trends Ecol. Evol. 29:51–63.

Evanno G, Goudet J, Regnaut S. 2005. Detecting the number of clusters of individuals

using the software structure: a simulation study. Mol. Ecol. 14:2611–2620.

Excoffier L, Smouse PE, Quattro JM. 1992. Analysis of molecular variance inferred

from metric distances among DNA haplotypes: Application to human

mitochondrial DNA restriction data. Genetics 131:479–491.

Feder JL, Roethele JB, Filchak K, Niedbalski J, Romero-Severson J. 2003. Evidence for

inversion polymorphism related to sympatric host race formation in the apple

maggot fly, Rhagoletis pomonella. Genetics 163:939–953.

Fouet C, Gray E, Besansky NJ, Costantini C. 2012. Adaptation to aridity in the malaria

mosquito Anopheles gambiae: chromosomal inversion polymorphism and body

size influence resistance to desiccation. PLoS One 7:e34841.

Fumagalli M, Vieira FG, Linderoth T. 2014. ngsTools : methods for population

genetics analyses from Next-Generation Sequencing data. Bioinformatics.

Gagnaire P-A, Pavey S a, Normandeau E, Bernatchez L. 2013. The genetic

architecture of reproductive isolation during speciation-with-gene-flow in lake

whitefish species pairs assessed by RAD sequencing. Evolution 67:2483–2497.

Gillies MT, Coetzee M. 1987. A supplement to the Anophelinae of Africa south of the

Sahara. Johannesburg: The South African Institute for Medical Research

Gillies MT, De Meillon B. 1968. The Anophelinae of Africa South of the Sahara.

Second Edi. Johannesburg: Publications of the South African Institute for

Medical Research

Gutenkunst RN, Hernandez RD, Williamson SH, Bustamante CD. 2009. Inferring the

joint demographic history of multiple populations from multidimensional SNP

frequency data. PLoS Genet. 5:e1000695.

Hoffmann A a, Rieseberg LH. 2008. Revisiting the Impact of Inversions in Evolution:

From Population Genetic Markers to Drivers of Adaptive Shifts and Speciation?

Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 39:21–42.

Hohenlohe P a, Bassham S, Etter PD, Stiffler N, Johnson E a, Cresko W a. 2010.

Population genomics of parallel adaptation in threespine stickleback using

sequenced RAD tags. PLoS Genet. 6:e1000862.

Huber CD, Nordborg M, Hermisson J, Hellmann I. 2014. Keeping It Local: Evidence

for Positive Selection in Swedish Arabidopsis thaliana. Mol. Biol. Evol. 31:3026–

3039.

Jakobsson M, Rosenberg N. 2007. CLUMPP: a cluster matching and permutation

program for dealing with multimodality in analysis of population structure.

Bioinformatics 23:1801–1806.

Jombart T. 2008. adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic

markers. Bioinformatics 24:1403–1405.

Kamdem C, Fouet C, Gamez S, White BJ. 2016. Pollutants and insecticides drive local

adaptation in African malaria mosquitoes. bioRxiv.

Kamdem C, Tene Fossog B, Simard F, Etouna J, Ndo C, Kengne P, Boussès P, Etoa F-X,

Awono-Ambene P, Fontenille D, et al. 2012. Anthropogenic Habitat Disturbance

and Ecological Divergence between Incipient Species of the Malaria Mosquito

Anopheles gambiae. PLoS One 7:e39453.

Keightley PD, Pinharanda A, Ness RW, Simpson F, Dasmahapatra KK, Mallet J, Davey

JW, Jiggins CD. 2015. Estimation of the Spontaneous Mutation Rate in

Heliconius melpomene. Mol. Biol. Evol. 32:239–243.

Kirkpatrick M, Barton N. 2006. Chromosome inversions, local adaptation and

speciation. Genetics 173:419–434.

Kirkpatrick M. 2010. How and why chromosome inversions evolve. PLoS Biol. 8.

Korneliussen T, Albrechtsen A, Nielsen R. 2014. ANGSD: Analysis of Next Generation

Sequencing Data. BMC Bioinformatics 15:356.

Korneliussen TS, Moltke I, Albrechtsen A, Nielsen R. 2013. Calculation of Tajima's D

and other neutrality test statistics from low depth next-generation sequencing

data. BMC Bioinformatics 14:289.

Kulathinal RJ, Stevison LS, Noor MAF. 2009. The genomics of speciation in

Drosophila: Diversity, divergence, and introgression estimated using low-

coverage genome sequencing. PLoS Genet. 5.

Lawniczak MKN, Emrich SJ, Holloway a K, Regier a P, Olson M, White B, Redmond S,

Fulton L, Appelbaum E, Godfrey J, et al. 2010. Widespread divergence between

incipient Anopheles gambiae species revealed by whole genome sequences.

Science 330:512–514.

Lischer HEL, Excoffier L. 2012. PGDSpider: An automated data conversion tool for

connecting population genetics and genomics programs. Bioinformatics

28:298–299.

Lohse K, Clarke M, Ritchie MG, Etges WJ. 2015. Genome-wide tests for introgression

between cactophilic Drosophila implicate a role of inversions during speciation.

Evolution (N. Y). 69:1178–1190.

Marsden CD, Lee Y, Kreppel K, Weakley A, Cornel A, Ferguson HM, Eskin E, Lanzaro

GC. 2014. Diversity, Differentiation, and Linkage Disequilibrium: Prospects for

Association Mapping in the Malaria Vector Anopheles arabiensis. G3

(Bethesda). 4:121–131.

McGaugh SE, Noor M a F. 2012. Genomic impacts of chromosomal inversions in

parapatric Drosophila species. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 367:422–

429.

Meirmans P, Van Tienderen P. 2004. GENOTYPE and GENODIVE: two programs for

the analysis of genetic diversity of asexual organisms. Mol. Ecol. Notes 4:792–

794.

Michel a. P, Ingrasci MJ, Schemerhorn BJ, Kern M, Le Goff G, Coetzee M, Elissa N,

Fontenille D, Vulule J, Lehmann T, et al. 2005. Rangewide population genetic

structure of the African malaria vector Anopheles funestus. Mol. Ecol. 14:4235–

4248.

Moiroux N, Gomez MB, Pennetier C, Elanga E, Djènontin A, Chandre F, Djègbé I, Guis

H, Corbel V. 2012. Changes in anopheles funestus biting behavior following

universal coverage of long-lasting insecticidal nets in benin. J. Infect. Dis.

206:1622–1629.

Mwangangi JM, Mbogo CM, Orindi BO, Muturi EJ, Midega JT, Nzovu J, Gatakaa H,

Githure J, Borgemeister C, Keating J, et al. 2013. Shifts in malaria vector species

composition and transmission dynamics along the Kenyan coast over the past

20 years. Malar. J. 12:13.

Neafsey DE, Lawniczak MKN, Park DJ, Redmond SN, Coulibaly MB, Traoré SF, Sagnon

N, Costantini C, Johnson C, Wiegand RC, et al. 2010. SNP genotyping defines

complex gene-flow boundaries among African malaria vector mosquitoes.

Science 330:514–517.

Neafsey DE, Waterhouse RM, Abai MR, Aganezov SS, Alekseyev MA, Allen JE, Amon J,

Arca B, Arensburger P, Artemov G, et al. 2015. Highly evolvable malaria vectors:

The genomes of 16 Anopheles mosquitoes. Science (80-.). 347:1258522–

1258522.

Nielsen R, Paul JS, Albrechtsen A, Song YS. 2011. Genotype and SNP calling from

next-generation sequencing data. Nat. Rev. Genet. 12:443–451.

Noor M a F, Bennett SM. 2009. Islands of speciation or mirages in the desert?

Examining the role of restricted recombination in maintaining species. Heredity

(Edinb). 103:439–444.

Nosil P, Feder JL. 2012. Widespread yet heterogeneous genomic divergence. Mol.

Ecol. 21:2829–2832.

Nosil P, Feder JL. 2013. Genome evolution and speciation: toward quantitative

descriptions of pattern and process. Evolution 67:2461–2467.

Nosil P. 2012. Ecological Speciation. Oxford Ser.

O'Reilly PF, Birney E, Balding DJ. 2008. Confounding between recombination and

selection, and the Ped/Pop method for detecting selection. Genome Res.

18:1304–1313.

Paradis E, Claude J, Strimmer K. 2004. Analyses of Phylogenetics and Evolution in R

language. Bioinformatics 20:289–290.

Peterson BK, Weber JN, Kay EH, Fisher HS, Hoekstra HE. 2012. Double Digest

RADseq: An Inexpensive Method for De Novo SNP Discovery and Genotyping in

Model and Non-Model Species. PLoS One 7:e37135.

Pool JE, Corbett-Detig RB, Sugino RP, Stevens K a., Cardeno CM, Crepeau MW,

Duchen P, Emerson JJ, Saelao P, Begun DJ, et al. 2012. Population Genomics of

Sub-Saharan Drosophila melanogaster: African Diversity and Non-African

Admixture. PLoS Genet. 8.

Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P. 2000. Inference of population structure using

multilocus genotype data. Genetics 155:945–959.

Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira M, Bender D, Maller J, Sklar P,

de Bakker P, Daly M, et al. 2007. PLINK: a toolset for whole-genome association

and population-based linkage analysis. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 81.

R Development Core Team. 2014. R: A language and environment for statistical

computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria

Rane AR V, Rako L, Lee SF, Hoffmann A a. 2015. Genomic evidence for role of

inversion 3RP of Drosophila melanogaster in facilitating climate change

adaptation Abstract : :1–24.

Renaut S, Grassa CJ, Yeaman S, Moyers BT, Lai Z, Kane NC, Bowers JE, Burke JM,

Rieseberg LH. 2013. Genomic islands of divergence are not affected by

geography of speciation in sunflowers. Nat. Commun. 4:1827.

Riehle MM, Guelbeogo WM, Gneme A, Eiglmeier K, Holm I, Bischoff E, Garnier T,

Snyder GM, Li X, Markianos K, et al. 2011. A cryptic subgroup of Anopheles

gambiae is highly susceptible to human malaria parasites. Science 331:596–

598.

Roesti M, Hendry AP, Salzburger W, Berner D. 2012. Genome divergence during

evolutionary diversification as revealed in replicate lake-stream stickleback

population pairs. Mol. Ecol. 21:2852–2862.

Rosenberg N. 2004. DISTRUCT: a program for the graphical display of population

structure. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 4:137–138.

Sella G, Petrov D a, Przeworski M, Andolfatto P. 2009. Pervasive natural selection in

the Drosophila genome? PLoS Genet. 5:e1000495.

Service MW. 1993. Mosquito ecology: field sampling methods. (Elsevier Applied

Science, London UK, editor.).

Sharakhov I, Braginets O, Grushko O, Cohuet A, Guelbeogo WM, Boccolini D, Weill M,

Costantini C, Sagnon N, Fontenille D, et al. 2004. A Microsatellite Map of the

African Human Malaria Vector Anopheles funestus. J. Hered. 95:29–34.

Sharakhova M V, Xia A, Leman SC, Sharakhov I V. 2011. Arm-specific dynamics of

chromosome evolution in malaria mosquitoes. BMC Evol. Biol. 11:91.

Sinka ME, Bangs MJ, Manguin S, Coetzee M, Mbogo CM, Hemingway J, Patil AP,

Temperley WH, Gething PW, Kabaria CW, et al. 2010. The dominant Anopheles

vectors of human malaria in Africa, Europe and the Middle East: occurrence

data, distribution maps and bionomic précis. Parasit. Vectors 3:117.

Thomas CG, Wang W, Jovelin R, Ghosh R, Lomasko T, Trinh Q, Kruglyak L, Stein LD,

Cutter AD. 2015. Full-genome evolutionary histories of selfing , splitting , and

selection in Caenorhabditis. :667–678.

Via S. 2012. Divergence hitchhiking and the spread of genomic isolation during

ecological speciation-with-gene-flow. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 367:451–

460.

Weir BS, Cockerham CC. 1984. Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population

structure. Evolution (N. Y). 38:1358–1370.

Wilson Sayres MA, Lohmueller KE, Nielsen R. 2014. Natural Selection Reduced

Diversity on Human Y Chromosomes. PLoS Genet. 10.

Wu TD, Nacu S. 2010. Fast and SNP-tolerant detection of complex variants and

splicing in short reads. Bioinformatics 26:873–881.

 Figure legends

Figure 1: Geographic origin and genetic relatedness of *An. funestus* samples in

Cameroon. (A) Map of sampling locations showing the 28 sites surveyed (small

circles) and the two villages (large orange and green squares) where *An. funestus*

samples were collected. The line in the middle of the map indicates an arbitrary

delimitation of the distribution ranges of the two ecotypes found in Cameroon

(Cohuet et al. 2005; Ayala et al. 2011). (B), (C) and (D) Population genetic structure

as revealed by neighbor-joining tree, PCA and Bayesian clustering (STRUCTURE).

The percentage of variance explained is indicated on each PCA axis. (E) and (F)

Confirmation of the presence of two ecotypes with DAPC and the delta k method of

Evanno et al. The decrease of the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) (DAPC) and

the increase of delta k as a function of the number of k indicate a subdivision of *An.*

funestus into two 2-3 genetic clusters.

Figure 2: Estimates of pairwise population differentiation (F_{ST}) based on 10687

SNPs ordered by position along "pseudochromosomes" representing the five

chromosomal arms of *An. funestus*. F_{ST} values above the red line are above the 99th

percent of the empirical distribution. Arrows indicate the genomic coordinates of

the 3Ra and 3R*b* inversions.

Figure 3: Genome-wide distribution of d_{xy} and fixed differences (d_f) in *An. funestus*

among Mfou and Tibati populations. Estimates are smoothed with kernel smoothing

and plotted along the five chromosomal arms.

Figure 4: Population genetic structure revealed by each chromosomal arm and by

four polymorphic chromosomal inversions (2R*h*, 3R*a*, 3R*b* and 3R*d*).

Figure 5: Estimates of nucleotide diversity ($\theta\pi$ and θw) and allele frequency

spectrum (Tajima's *D*) across 90-kb non-overlapping windows illustrating the

uneven distribution of genetic diversity along the chromosomal arms. The only

uninverted autosome (2L) is the most polymorphic both in Mfou and Tibati.

Figure 6: Box plot depicting the variation of θw among chromosomes in Mfou and

Tibati.

Supplemental Figure Legends

Figure S1: Positions of the 104 scaffolds placed on the physical map of *An. funestus*

indicated by grey rectangles below the chromosomes (modified from Sharakhov *et*

al. 2004).

Figure S2: Distribution of the average depth of sequencing coverage along mapped

scaffolds.

Tables

Table 1: Parameters of the best-fitted demographic model in ∂a∂i for the genome-wide and chromosome-specific diversity in Mfou and Tibati populations.

Population	Chromosome	Best model	Log Likelihood	Final population size[b] (95% CI)		Time[c] (95% CI)	
Tibati	Autosomes	*Growth*	-88.8	77.6	(51.8 - 138.1)	3.8	(1.8 - 8.0)
	2R	*Growth*	-52.93	92.5	(54.3 - 187.2)	5.3	(2.4 - 11.9)
	2L	*Growth*	-45.86	141.4	(69.2 - 199.3)	4.5	(2.0 - 10.1)
	3R	*Growth*	-47.35	38.8	(24.8 - 87.9)	3.8	(0.6 - 3.9)
	3L	*Growth*	-42.52	83	(47.4 - 185.1)	4.6	(1.3 - 12.3)
Mfou	Autosomes	*Two-epoch*	-84.7	13.6	(12.2 - 15.7)	1.4	(1.2 - 1.7)
	2R	*Two-epoch*	-56.45	11.4	(8.4 - 17.1)	1.5	(1.0 - 2.8)
	2L	*Two-epoch*	-44.27	26.9	(16.4 - 52.5)	2.2	(1.1 - 4.8)
	3R	*Two-epoch*	-44.65	15.1	(10.7 - 23.4)	1.2	(0.8 - 2.2)
	3L	*Two-epoch*	-41.65	19	(5.1 - 36.0)	2.2	(0.2 - 4.4)

[b]Relative to ancestral population size.

[c]Expressed in units 2Ne generations from start of growth to present

Supplemental Tables

Table S1: Description of *An. funestus* samples included in this study.

Ecogeographic regions	Sampling locations	Geographic coordinates	Sampling methods				Total	Gender		
			HLC-OUT	HLC-IN	LC	SPRAY		Female	Male	NA
Savannah	Tibati	6°28'08"N, 12°37'44"E	8	7	0	32	47	43	4	0
Forest	Mfou	3°58'00"N, 11°56'00"E	2	8	22	53	85	55	8	22
	Total						132	98	12	22

HLC-OUT, human landing catches performed outdoor; HLC-IN, human landing catches performed indoor; LC, larval collection; SPRAY, spray catches

Table S2: Characteristics of the five "pseudochromosomes" of *An. funestus* used for genome scans.

Chromosome	Number of scaffolds	Total length (bp)	Number of SNPs
2R	30	27 415 570	1 587
2L	18	19 110 819	987
3R	24	15 197 945	745
3L	22	12 545 136	652
X	10	6 812 527	296

Figures

Figure1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure S1

Figure S2