Chromosome arm specific patterns of polymorphism associated

with chromosomal inversions in the major African malaria vector,

Anopheles funestus

Colince Kamdem[1]*, Caroline Fouet[1], Bradley J. White[1,#]

[1]Department of Entomology, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521

*Corresponding author: colincek@ucr.edu

[#] Present address: Verily Life Sciences, South San Francisco, CA 94080, USA

Abstract

Chromosomal inversions facilitate local adaptation of beneficial mutations and

modulate genetic polymorphism, but the extent of their effects within the genome is

still insufficiently understood. The genome of *Anopheles funestus*, a malaria

mosquito endemic to sub-Saharan Africa, contains an impressive number of

paracentric polymorphic inversions, which are unevenly distributed among

chromosomes and provide an excellent framework for investigating the genomic

impacts of chromosomal rearrangements. Here we present results of a fine-scale

analysis of genetic variation within the genome of two weakly differentiated

populations of *Anopheles funestus* inhabiting contrasting moisture conditions in

Cameroon. Using population genomic analyses, we found that genetic divergence

between the two populations is centered on regions of the genome corresponding to

three inversions, which are characterized by high values of F_{ST}, absolute sequence

divergence and fixed differences. Importantly, in contrast to the 2L chromosome

arm, which is collinear, nucleotide diversity is significantly reduced along the entire

length of three autosome arms bearing multiple overlapping chromosomal

rearrangements. These findings support the idea that interactions between reduced

recombination and natural selection within inversions contribute to sculpt

nucleotide polymorphism across chromosomes in *An. funestus*.

Introduction

Although much progress has been made in analyzing genetic variation among populations, less is known about the complex interactions between the different driving forces — including mutation, selection, recombination, gene flow, demography or incomplete lineage sorting — which shape nucleotide polymorphism across genomes (Begun & Aquadro 1992; Betancourt & Presgraves 2002; Nordborg & Tavar 2002; Comeron *et al.* 2008; Cutter & Payseur 2013; Gosset & Bierne 2013; Huber *et al.* 2014; Cruickshank & Hahn 2014). Most genetic variability is neutral or nearly so (Kimura 1983), but patterns of polymorphism within species are also influenced by several types of natural selection whose signatures are heterogeneous in nature and across the genome (Hill & Robertson 1966; Maynard Smith & Haigh 1974; Hudson & Kaplan 1988; Charlesworth *et al.* 1993; Gillespie 1997). One fundamental, unresolved question is how the adaptation of different gene pools to different fitness conditions imposed by spatially varying natural selection in heterogeneous environments (local adaptation) affects their genomic variation (Williams 1966; Kawecki & Dieter 2004; Savolainen *et al.* 2013).

Population genetics theory and empirical models suggest that candidate genomic regions, which contain genes underlying responses to spatially heterogeneous selection pressures exhibit high divergence among populations,

skewed polymorphism or an extended correlation among alleles from different loci

(linkage disequilibrium) (Lewontin & Krakauer 1973; Schlötterer 2002; Beaumont

2005; Nielsen 2005; Storz 2005; Nosil *et al.* 2009). Because migration, gene flow and

recombination among diverging populations can break down locally adapted allelic

combinations, genetic targets of local adaptation are often protected within genomic

regions of reduced recombination – including chromosomal inversions (Noor *et al.*

2001; Rieseberg 2001; Ortiz-Barrientos *et al.* 2002; Butlin 2005; Kirkpatrick &

Barton 2006; Joron *et al.* 2011; Roesti *et al.* 2012, 2013; Yeaman 2013; Nishikawa *et*

al. 2015).

Structural rearrangements known as chromosomal inversions, which occur

when a piece of DNA within a single chromosome breaks and rotates 180° before

being reinserted in the reversed orientation (Sturtevant 1921), are widespread in

plants and animals (Dobzhansky 1970). A large body of literature supports a major

implication of paracentric inversions (which do not encompass the centromere) in

evolutionary adaptation in a wide variety of species (see Krimbas & Powell 1992;

Powell 1997; Hoffmann *et al.* 2004; Hoffmann & Rieseberg 2008; Kirkpatrick 2010

for a review). This includes many examples of polymorphic inversions whose

frequencies are correlated with environmental variables and temporal changes

consistent with natural selection in dipteran species (Dobzhansky 1943; Mettler *et*

al. 1977; Coluzzi *et al.* 1979; Knibb 1982; Krimbas & Powell 1992; De Jong &

Bochdanovits 2003; Schaeffer *et al.* 2003; Anderson *et al.* 2005; Schaeffer 2008). The

adaptive potential of some rearrangements is also highlighted by experimental

evolution and phenotypic studies showing a remarkable association between the

inversion and several fitness-related traits (Wright & Dobzhansky 1946;

Dobzhansky 1948; Rako *et al.* 2006; Hoffmann & Weeks 2007; Kennington *et al.*

2007; Lowry & Willis 2010; Lee *et al.* 2011; Fouet *et al.* 2012; Kapun *et al.* 2014,

2016).

Another important property of inversions concerns the significant role they

play in genome evolution as a whole. Recombination is strongly reduced between

two paired chromosomes that differ by an inversion through several mechanisms,

which impede crossing over (reviewed in Roberts 1976). Local recombination rates

affect a myriad of processes including selection, gene conversion, diversity and

divergence throughout the genome (Begun & Aquadro 1992; Kliman & Hey 1993;

Andolfatto *et al.* 2001; Nordborg *et al.* 2005; Haddrill *et al.* 2007; Kulathinal *et al.*

2008; Comeron *et al.* 2012; Nachman & Payseur 2012; Roesti *et al.* 2012, 2013;

Campos *et al.* 2014; Ortiz-barrientos *et al.* 2016). Since the pioneering work of

Begun and Aquadro (1992), which demonstrated a positive correlation between

recombination rate and nucleotide diversity within the genome of *Drosophila*

melanogaster, multiple studies have provided empirical evidence that reduced

crossing over alters genetic variation along chromosomes in a wide variety of

organisms (Hellmann *et al.* 2003, 2005; Tenaillon *et al.* 2004; Begun *et al.* 2007;

Kulathinal *et al.* 2008, 2009; Pegueroles *et al.* 2010; Corbett-Detig & Hartl 2012;

McGaugh *et al.* 2012; Pool *et al.* 2012). Consequently, inversions, which are

coldspots of recombination, have the potential to modulate patterns of genetic

variation across relatively significant regions of the genome. More specifically, the

presence of one or several rearrangements may reduce crossing over and diversity

along an entire chromosome or chromosome arm, which in turn evolves differently

from the rest of the genome. This scenario is best illustrated by the nonrecombining

part of the Y chromosome in mammals, which is maintained by multiple inversions

that have suppressed recombination over the entire length of the chromosome

(Lahn & Page 1999). The potential of inversions to reduce recombination and

diversity in large regions of the genome has long been exploited to engineer

chromosomes or chromosomal arms with multiple inversions that block

recombination, allowing lethal or sterile mutations to be stably maintained in a

heterozygous state (balancer chromosomes) (Muller 1918; Sturtevant 1921;

Hentges & Justice 2004; Edgley *et al.* 2006). Despite these clear examples, our

understanding of how inversions affect polymorphism over the entire length of a

chromosome remains limited in part due to the lack of empirical support across

taxa. Targeted studies based on fine-scale examinations of genomic variation in

species with well-characterized patterns of naturally occurring inversions are

important for assessing both the genomic extent and the ubiquity of diversity

reduction associated with chromosomal rearrangements.

An excellent opportunity to investigate the role of inversions in genome

evolution exists in *An. funestus*, the second most important vector of *Plasmodium*

parasites in the Afrotropical region behind the best known species, *An. gambiae*

(Gillies & De Meillon 1968; Gillies & Coetzee 1987; Sinka *et al.* 2010; Coetzee &

Koekemoer 2013). The two taxa share several characteristics including a near

continental distribution, a marked preference for human hosts and intradomicillary

host-seeking and resting behavior, which reflect their efficiency as vector of malaria

parasites (Dia *et al.* 2013; Lanzaro & Lee 2013). Numerous studies have suggested

that ongoing adaptive divergence contributes in part to the significant

environmental flexibility, which underlies the widespread distribution of *An.*

funestus populations. This species has been described as an amalgam of at least two

relatively differentiated ecotypes whose ecology, phenotypic divergence,

distribution range and role in malaria transmission remain obscure (Green & Hunt

1980; Costantini *et al.* 1999; Dia *et al.* 2000; Kamau *et al.* 2002; Boccolini *et al.* 2005;

Cohuet *et al.* 2005; Michel *et al.* 2005; Ayala *et al.* 2011; Barnes *et al.* 2017). A very

high number of paracentric polymorphic chromosomal inversions have been

identified in polytene chromosomes of *An. funestus* via cytogenetic studies (Green &

Hunt 1980; Sharakhov *et al.* 2004). Some of these rearrangements are spread along

stable geographic clines and exhibit a significant deficit in heterokaryotypes,

suggesting that they may play a role in environmental adaptation (Costantini *et al.*

1999; Dia *et al.* 2000; Kamau *et al.* 2002; Boccolini *et al.* 2005; Ayala *et al.* 2011).

Importantly, dozens of large rearrangements have been detected on all autosomes

except for 2L (Sharakhov *et al.* 2004). This uneven distribution of inversions

between chromosome arms provides an ideal framework for testing hypotheses

about the impacts of rearrangements at the chromosome level via cross-

chromosomal comparisons.

In this study, we have used Restriction site Associated DNA Sequencing

(RAD-Seq) (Baird *et al.* 2008) to address the effects of inversions on the genetic

architecture of selection and diversity across the genome of *An. funestus* populations

collected from Cameroon. Although the genome-wide level of differentiation is

weak, signals of divergence are apparent within three large chromosomal

rearrangements whose frequencies vary along moisture gradients in this region

(3R*a*, 3R*b* and 2R*h*). Genome scans show that, in contrast to the collinear 2L arm, the

proportion of polymorphic sites is drastically reduced along the entire length of

three autosomal arms bearing multiple polymorphic inversions. These findings

suggest that the evolution of chromosomes is impacted by the combined effects of

suppressed recombination and selection within paracentric polymorphic inversions

in *An. funestus*.

Materials and methods

Mosquito samples

Populations of *An. funestus* that occur in Cameroon have been assimilated to

two weakly differentiated ecotypes distributed along a moisture gradient spanning

the whole country (Costantini *et al.* 1999; Cohuet *et al.* 2005; Ayala *et al.* 2011). We

sequenced 132 mosquitoes collected from two locations separated by ~500km,

representative of the savannah and the forest ecogeographic domains (Fig. 1A and

Table S1). In Mfou, a small city of the forest region, *An. funestus* mosquitoes breed in

an artificial lake and maintain abundant populations throughout the year. In Tibati,

which lies within the forest-savannah transition zone, several artificial lakes also

provide abundant breeding sites for dense and perennial populations of *An. funestus*.

Between August and November 2013, we used several sampling methods (Service

1993) to collect *An. funestus* larvae in breeding sites and adults seeking human hosts

in and around human dwellings at night, or resting indoors during daytime. (Fig. 1A

and Table S1). With this diversified sampling, we aimed to maximize the chances

that our sample represents a good approximation of the genetic diversity of *An.*

funestus populations, as genetic differentiation within malaria vectors species

sometimes overlap with subtle microgeographic and temporal segregations (e.g.

Riehle *et al.* 2011). *An. funestus* belong to a large taxonomic unit comprising at least

seven taxa that are identified by slight morphological variations and a diagnostic

PCR based on mutations of the ribosomal DNA (Gillies & De Meillon 1968; Gillies &

Coetzee 1987; Cohuet *et al.* 2003). We verified, using morphology and PCR, that all

samples included in this study belonged to the nominal species and only important

malaria vector of the group: *An. funestus*.

Library preparation, sequencing and SNP identification

We extracted genomic DNA of larvae and adult specimens with the DNeasy

Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen) and the Zymo Research MinPrep kit, respectively.

Double-digest Restriction-site Associated DNA (ddRAD) libraries were prepared as

described in Kamdem *et al.* (2017) following a modified protocol of Peterson *et al.*

(2012) and single-end sequenced to 100 base reads on Illumina HiSeq2000.

Illumina sequences were sorted according to barcode tag and filtered using

the *process_radtags* program of the Stacks v 1.35 software (Catchen *et al.* 2013).

Reads with ambiguous barcode, inappropriate restriction site or low sequencing

quality score were removed. We checked the depth of sequencing coverage of the

final data set after all filtering steps using VCFtools (Danecek *et al.* 2011). To call and

genotype SNPs, we first aligned the remaining high-quality reads to the *An. funestus*

reference sequence with GSNAP (Wu & Nacu 2010), with a maximum of five

mismatches allowed and terminal alignments prevented. We then used Stacks to

build consensus RAD loci and to identify SNPs within each locus. We set the

minimum number of reads required to form a "stack" to three and allowed two

mismatches during catalogue creation. Following assembly and genotyping, the

polymorphism data was further filtered to maximize data quality. To do this, we

retained only RAD loci scored in every population and in at least 60% of individuals

within each population and we randomly selected one SNP per locus for further

analyses. SNP files in different formats used for downstream analyses were created

with the *populations* program in Stacks, PLINK v 1.09 and PGDSpider v 2.0.8.2

(Purcell *et al.* 2007; Lischer & Excoffier 2012; Catchen *et al.* 2013).

Population structure and genetic divergence

We examined the genetic relatedness among individuals with a Principal

Component Analysis (PCA), a neighbor-joining (NJ) tree and the STUCTURE v 2.3.4

software (Pritchard *et al.* 2000) using SNPs identified in Stacks. We utilized the R

package *adegenet* to implement the PCA and *ape* to compute a genotype-based

Euclidian distance matrix between individuals and to infer individual-based NJ

networks (Paradis *et al.* 2004; Jombart 2008; R Development Core Team 2016). In

STRUCTURE, we ran five replicates of 1 to 10 assumed clusters (k). Each run

consisted of 200000 iterations, and the first 50000 iterations were discarded as

burn-in. CLUMPP v1.1.2 (Jakobsson & Rosenberg 2007) was used to aggregate

results across multiple STRUCTURE runs and the clustering results were visualized

graphically using DISTRUCT v1.1 (Rosenberg 2004). To find the optimal number of

genetically distinct clusters, we used both the Discriminant Analysis of Principal

Component (DAPC) implemented in *adegenet* and the ad hoc statistic DeltaK of

Evanno *et al.* (2005) (Evanno *et al.* 2005; Earl & VonHoldt 2012).

To assess the level of genetic differentiation between savannah and forest

populations, we estimated the overall F_{ST} (Weir & Cockerham 1984) in Genodive

v1.06 (Meirmans & Van Tienderen 2004) using a subset of 2000 randomly selected

SNPs. To examine to what extent the geographic region contributes to the genetic

variance among samples, we conducted a hierarchical analysis of molecular variance

(AMOVA) (Excoffier *et al.* 1992) in GenoDive. We used 10000 permutations to

assess the statistical significance of F_{ST} and AMOVA.

Genomic targets of selection

The current *An. funestus* draft genome assembly consists of 12243 scaffolds

ranging from 2334 to 3334433bp in length (Giraldo-Calderon *et al.* 2015; Neafsey *et*

al. 2015). Therefore, to perform genome scans and inspect footprints of selection

throughout the genome, we ordered and concatenated 104 long scaffolds whose

positions on the physical map have been inferred via alignment and orthology

(Neafsey *et al.* 2015) and we created "pseudo-chromosomes" corresponding to the

five chromosome arms of *An. funestus*. These mapped scaffolds accounted for 33%

in length of the approximate size of the *An. funestus* genome (Fig. S1 and Table S2).

To delineate genomic signatures of selection, we performed an outlier

analysis in order to detect genomic regions exhibiting exceptional differentiation or

diversity that are putative targets of selection (Lewontin & Krakauer 1973; Storz

2005). Locus-specific F_{ST} values between savannah and forest populations were

estimated with the *populations* program in *Stacks* and visualized graphically using

the subset of SNPs located on mapped scaffolds. SNPs with F_{ST} values above the top

1% of the empirical distribution were considered as outliers of genetic

differentiation. Loci with unusually low or high F_{ST} values relative to neutral

expectations were also detected using the coalescence-based method FDIST2

(Beaumont & Nichols 1996) as implemented in LOSITAN (Antao *et al.* 2008). The

mean neutral F_{ST} across all SNPs was approximated by choosing the "neutral mean

F_{ST} option" with 99% confidence interval in LOSITAN. We ran LOSITAN with 100000

simulations and assumed a false discovery rate of 0.1 to minimize the number of

false positives. F_{ST} values are dependent on within-population genetic diversity,

which may bias estimates of the level of divergence among populations (Noor &

Bennett 2009; Cruickshank & Hahn 2014). To alleviate this effect in our analyses, we

used two complementary statistics to assess the degree of genetic divergence among

populations across the genome — the absolute sequence divergence (d_{xy}) and the

proportion of fixed differences between populations (d_f). Both statistics were

estimated in ngsTools using genotype likelihood without SNP calling (Fumagalli *et*

al. 2014), and kernel smoothed values were visualized in non-overlapping 90-kb

windows along pseudo-chromosomes in R.

To inspect genomic patterns of genetic diversity and allele frequency spectra,

we calculated pairwise nucleotide diversity (θ_π), Watterson's estimator of

segregating sites (θ_w) and Tajima's *D* across RAD loci located on mapped scaffolds in

ANGSD v 0.612 (Korneliussen *et al.* 2014). This program derives diversity and allele

frequency spectrum statistics using genotype likelihoods without SNP calling,

thereby alleviating some of the uncertainties and biases associated with SNP calling

in low coverage Next Generation Sequencing (Korneliussen *et al.* 2013). To gain a

genome-wide view and identify genomic regions of exceptional diversity and/or

skewed allele frequency spectra, average values of θ_π, θ_w and Tajima's *D* were

determined in non-overlapping 90-kb windows and plotted along pseudo-

chromosomes.

Results

Genetic differentiation within *An. funestus*

Using alignments to the draft reference genome, we assembled 490871

unique RAD loci. We identified a total of 10687 high-quality biallelic markers by

randomly choosing one SNP across loci that were present in all populations and in at

least 60% of individuals within every population. A NJ tree and the first three PCA

axes based on these variants clearly distinguished two genetic clusters likely

corresponding to the two ecotypes previously described in Cameroon and in several

other countries with a diversity of genetic markers (Costantini *et al.* 1999; Dia *et al.*

2000; Kamau *et al.* 2002; Boccolini *et al.* 2005; Cohuet *et al.* 2005; Michel *et al.* 2005;

Ayala *et al.* 2011; Barnes *et al.* 2017) (Fig. 1B and 1C). The method of Evanno *et al.*

(2005) and DAPC confirmed the occurrence of two to three distinct gene pools

reflecting the ecological divergence known between forest and savannah

populations in Cameroon (Fig. 1D and 1E). However, despite this apparent

geographic segregation, the overall genetic differentiation is low between the two

putative subgroups (F_{ST} = 0.033, *p* < 0.005). Consistent with weak genetic

divergence, STRUCTURE analyses revealed a single cluster of individuals with

admixed ancestry at k = 2 (Fig. 1F). The moderate genetic differentiation between

savannah and forest populations is also illustrated by the results of an AMOVA,

which show that the greatest proportion of the genetic variance (98.2%, $p < 0.005$)

among our samples is explained by within-individual variations. The geographic

origin of individuals accounts for less than 2% of the genetic variance. Overall, the

genetic differentiation of *An. funestus* in Cameroon suggests a low level of genomic

divergence, consistent with extensive gene flow and/or recent split between the two

putative ecotypes.

Divergence within chromosomal inversions

We scanned the genome of savannah and forest populations to identify the

few regions of the genome that diverge within a largely homogeneous background.

We found that, in our panel of 10687 SNPs, highly differentiated loci are non-

randomly distributed, the highest F_{ST} values being clustered in genomic regions

bearing known polymorphic chromosomal inversions that have been previously

verified in specimen collected from this area (Cohuet *et al.* 2005; Ayala *et al.* 2011)

(Fig. 2 and Fig. S1). We identified a total of 107 outliers that fell above the 99th

percentile of the empirical distribution of F_{ST}, including 31 SNPs that were

successfully mapped to concatenated scaffolds and were confirmed as statistical

outliers in LOSITAN. F_{ST} outliers were located exclusively on two chromosome arms

containing nearly 20 polymorphic inversions (3R and 2R) (Fig. 2 and Fig. S1)

(Sharakhov *et al.* 2004). Moreover, in contrast to 2L, 3L and X, which have no

discriminatory power, SNPs that mapped to 3R or 2R reproduce the segregation

observed between the savannah and the forest at the genome level (Fig. 3). The

number of SNPs with F_{ST} values above the 1% threshold also varies between the 2R

and 3R (9 against 22) implying that mutations or structural variants of the 3R arm

are more strongly correlated with the genetic differentiation between the two

populations. Overall, the genomic distribution of F_{ST} outliers suggests that divergent

genomic regions between ecotypes are located within polymorphic inversion on two

chromosome arms.

To further explore the role of inversions in genetic divergence, we examined

the population structure separately for three large chromosomal rearrangements

present on 3R (3R*a*, 3R*b* and 3R*d*) and for the 2R*h* that occurs on 2R. Although

several inversions coexist and overlap along 2R, six out of nine F_{ST} outliers identified

on this chromosome mapped to scaffolds specific to portions of the 2R*h* inversion,

making it an interesting candidate locus. SNPs located within the 3R*a* assign more

that 90% of individuals to their respective ecotypes, and the 3R*b* also separates a

significant proportion of individuals between both sampling sites (Fig. 3). In

addition, consistent with the genomic pattern of differentiation, which indicates that

83% of F_{ST} outliers map to these two inversions (Fig. 2), the genome-wide

distribution of d_{xy} and fixed differences shows clear peaks within these two large

inversions (Fig. 4). The population structure of 2R*h* is comparable with that of 3R*b*,

suggesting that this inversion also contributes to the savannah-forest segregation of

An. funestus populations in Cameroon. Conversely, SNPs identified outside the 3R*a*

and 3R*b*, or within the 3R*d* have no discriminatory power (Fig. 3). In summary, the

population structure of inversions on 2R and 3R reflects the genomic distribution of

differentiated loci, which suggests that divergence centered on three chromosomal

rearrangements (3Ra, 3Rb and 2Rh) maintains some level of genomic integrity

despite low genome-wide differentiation between ecotypes of *An. funestus* in this

geographic area. This divergence translates into F_{ST} values, which increase from

0.033 at the genome level to 0.053, 0.08 and 0.22 in 2Rh, 3Rb and 3Ra, respectively.

Similarly, the proportion of the genetic variance explained by the geographic origin

of samples rises from 1.8% for the genome-wide SNPs to 3.2%, 4.7% and 12.9%

when only variants present within the 2Rh, 3Rb and 3Ra rearrangements,

respectively, are included.

Chromosome arm-specific diversity associated with inversions

We investigated patterns of polymorphism across the genome using scans

based on estimates of nucleotide diversity (θ_π and θ_w) and Tajima's *D* (Fig. 5). The

proportion of polymorphic sites (θ_w) varies substantially between chromosome

arms, the highest values being found on the only collinear chromosome arm (2L)

(Fig. 5 and Fig. 6). Precisely, the average value of θ_w differs significantly between 2L

and each of the three other autosomes (Wilcoxon rank sum test, $p < 0.001$) (Fig. 6).

θ_w is reduced by 34.1% on 3R, 24.0% on 2R and 13.2% on 3L relative to the 2L arm,

regardless of the sampling location. Estimates of the number of polymorphic sites

along each of the five chromosome arms are also significantly different between

Mfou (forest) and Tibati (savannah) populations (Wilcoxon rank sum test, $p <$

0.001). Additionally, the drop of the average θ_w on inverted autosomes relative to 2L

is 34.7% on 3R, 29.2% on 2R and 13.2% on 3L in Mfou compared with 33.5% on 3R,

18.8% on 2R and 13.2% on 3L in Tibati (Fig. 5 and Table 1). A different demographic

history between ecotypes or diverse other factors including the variation in sample

size between the two locations can account for this difference in the level of

polymorphism between populations. Nevertheless, since most of the polymorphic

chromosomal inversions (notably 3R*a* and 3R*b*) are fixed in forest populations and

fluctuate in Tibati (Cohuet *et al.* 2005; Ayala *et al.* 2011), it is likely that variations in

karyotype frequencies of inversions contribute at least in part to the stronger

reduction of nucleotide diversity on inverted autosomes observed in Mfou

compared with Tibati. The X chromosome bears no known chromosomal

rearrangement, but likely due to its particular divergence and its distorted effective

population size, its average θ_w is also weak compared with 2L (21.1% reduction

relative to 2L) regardless of the sampling site. A significant reduction in pairwise

nucleotide diversity (θ_π) relative to the 2L is found on chromosomes 3R, X and 2R to

a lesser extent, but there are no clear patterns among chromosomes and sampling

locations as is the case with θ_w (Fig. 5, Fig. 6 and Table 1).

Local recombination rates are strongly correlated with genetic diversity and

the effective population size (Begun & Aquadro 1992). Therefore, it is possible and

parsimonious that the strong variation in polymorphism observed among

autosomes in *An. funestus* is due to the presence of multiple overlapping inversions

that are under selection in different geographic contexts throughout the species'

range. These inversions reduce meiotic crossover and genetic variation along the

2R, 3R and 3L autosomes, which contain multiple rearrangements. For instance,

three inversions (3R*a*, 3R*b* and 3R*d*) account for at least 75% of the length of the 3R

arm (Fig. S1). Similarly, nearly 80% of the length of 2R and 3L is affected by

chromosomal rearrangements. The idea that the combined effects of low

recombination and selection within multiple inversions contributes to reduce

polymorphism throughout the three inverted autosomal arms is supported by the

pattern of diversity observed on the collinear chromosome arm 2L, which acts as a

negative control. Conceivably, polymorphism is highest on this chromosome arm

due to the absence of inversions, which preserves more substantial levels of

recombination.

The genome-wide diversity falls in the range described in other highly

polymorphic *Anopheles* populations with RADseq markers (θ_w = 0.0083 and θ_π =

0.0042 in Mfou; θ_w = 0.0097 and θ_π = 0.0043 in Tibati) (O'Loughlin *et al.* 2014;

Fouet *et al.* 2017; Kamdem *et al.* 2017). The range of values of Tajima's *D* (from -

2.47 to -1.58 among Tibati samples and from -2.20 to -1.19 in Mfou) was shifted

towards negative values suggestive of recent population expansion leading to an

accumulation of low-frequency variants, although the effects of population

structure, selective sweeps, background selection or changes in sample size can

contribute to skewed site frequency spectra across the genome (Donnelly *et al.*

2001; Thornton 2005; Gattepaille *et al.* 2013) (Fig. 5). Unsurprisingly, Tajima's *D* is

more negative on the 2L chromosome, which exhibits the greatest difference

between the number of polymorphic sites and the pairwise nucleotide diversity in

both ecotypes.

Discussion

Recombination, inversions and genetic divergence

Our analysis based on more than 10000 genome-wide SNPs revealed that *An. funestus* populations collected from two different moisture conditions in Cameroon are very weakly differentiated. These results corroborate two previous studies based on microsatellites and polymorphic chromosomal inversions, which have found similar levels of divergence between *An. funestus* populations in this region (Cohuet *et al.* 2005; Ayala *et al.* 2011). The population genetic structure of this mosquito throughout Africa remains largely unresolved, but based on many previous studies in different parts of the continent, it has been proposed that at least two ecotypes segregate within this species (Green & Hunt 1980; Costantini *et al.* 1999; Dia *et al.* 2000; Kamau *et al.* 2002; Boccolini *et al.* 2005; Cohuet *et al.* 2005; Michel *et al.* 2005; Ayala *et al.* 2011; Barnes *et al.* 2017). These studies have also indicated that polymorphic chromosomal inversions play a key role in ecological divergence in *An. funestus* (Green & Hunt 1980; Costantini *et al.* 1999; Dia *et al.* 2000; Cohuet *et al.* 2005; Ayala *et al.* 2011). The 3R*a* and 3R*b* inversions in particular have long been suspected to be strongly associated with ecological divergence due to the clinal distribution of alternative karyotypes and the significant deficit in heterokaryotypes observed in several countries (Costantini *et al.* 1999; Dia *et al.* 2000; Ayala *et al.* 2011). Here we provide the first genomic evidence that genetic divergence within this species is limited to a few loci bearing large chromosomal rearrangements. Our conclusions come with some caveats due to the potential limitations of the sampling scheme, the reference genome and the

RAD sequencing approach we used. For instance, it is likely that some genomic

signatures of selection are not detected because of the limited genomic coverage of

RAD tags and pseudo-chromosomes (Arnold *et al.* 2013; Tiffin & Ross-Ibarra 2014).

Bearing in mind these caveats, the clustering of genetic differentiation within the

3R*a*, 3R*b* and 2R*h* inversions appears to be consistent with the hypothesis that these

rearrangements are the major genetic targets of divergent selection in Cameroon.

The phenotypic, behavioral or ecological variations associated with

inversions in *An. funestus* remain unknown. In principle, the segregation between

the arid zone and the humid forest may potentially involve fitness traits and

phenotypes contributing to thermal tolerance. Indeed, examples of inversions

whose alternative karyotypes provide selective advantages in different moisture

conditions are well known in dipteran species (e.g. the 2L*a* inversion and to a lesser

extent the 2R*b* in *An. gambiae*) (Coluzzi *et al.* 1979; Gray *et al.* 2009; Lee *et al.* 2009;

Fouet *et al.* 2012; Cheng *et al.* 2012). Comparative cytogenetic studies also showed a

high proportion of conserved genes between the 3R*b* in *An. funestus* and the 2L*a* in

An. gambiae and between the 2R*h* in *An. funestus* and the 2R*b* in *An. gambiae*

(Sharakhova *et al.* 2011). Although this hypothesis awaits thorough investigation,

the two pairs of inversions may have inherited similar phenotypes via a common

ancestor or via convergent evolution between *An. funestus* and *An. gambiae*.

Theoretical models and empirical data support the idea that, at early stages

of divergence as in the case of *An. funestus* ecotypes, genetic differentiation is

restricted to a few genomic regions because the effects of natural selection at this

step are very localized (Feder *et al.* 2012; Nosil & Feder 2012; Andrew & Rieseberg

2013; Seehausen *et al.* 2014). Also, selection at a locus affects the level of genetic

differentiation among populations and reciprocally the degree of subdivision within

a population impacts patterns of variation at selected loci (Lewontin & Krakauer

1973; Charlesworth *et al.* 1997; Slatkin & Wiehe 1998; Majewski & Cohan 1999; Kim

& Maruki 2011; Schneider & Kim 2013). In fact, it has been proposed that selection

modulates levels of divergence locally and globally across the genome through two

types of genetic hitchhiking — divergence hitchhiking and genomic hitchhiking

(Feder *et al.* 2012). Divergent hitchhiking (the spread of a mutation and linked

neutral sequences within a population) (Maynard Smith & Haigh 1974) causes the

diffusion of favorable alleles at loci important for local adaptation and ecological

differentiation. Limited gene flow that occurs between divergent lineages can

naturally lead to genomic hitchhiking, which reflects the global accumulation of

differences at the genome level through different selective or demographic

mechanisms (Feder *et al.* 2012). In *An. funestus*, it is plausible that divergent

hitchhiking within the three important inversions, 3R*a*, 3R*b* and 2R*h*, is so far

strongly counterbalanced by extensive gene flow and the weak overall number of

selected loci across the genome, leading to minimal genomic divergence among

ecotypes.

Although some controversy persists (see McGaugh *et al.* 2012), the idea that

strongly differentiated genomic regions between species or between populations

within the same species accumulate disproportionately in regions of low genetic

recombination including chromosome centers and chromosomal rearrangements

has been strongly supported in many species notably humans, *Drosophila* flies,

stickleback fish and maize (Hellmann *et al.* 2003, 2005; Tenaillon *et al.* 2004;

Kulathinal *et al.* 2008; Cai *et al.* 2009; Keinan & Reich 2010; Nachman & Payseur

2012; McGaugh & Noor 2012; Roesti *et al.* 2012, 2013). This coincidence can be

explained by a mechanical effect of recombination, which reduces genetic

polymorphism within populations and thereby generates sequence divergence

between populations as a simple byproduct of diversity reduction (Begun &

Aquadro 1992; Roesti *et al.* 2012). Concordance between limited rate of crossing

over and increased divergence may also be directly correlated with more

pronounced effects of divergent selection in genomic regions in which

recombination is less frequent (Charlesworth *et al.* 1997; Charlesworth 1998;

Nachman 2002; Cutter & Payseur 2013; Cruickshank & Hahn 2014). Within

inversions in particular, reduced recombination enhances divergent selection acting

on locally adapted gene complexes, which co-segregate with or without epistatic

interactions (Dobzhansky 1950; Kirkpatrick & Barton 2006).

Recombination, inversions and genetic polymorphism

We have found chromosome arm specific patterns of polymorphism in *An.*

funestus characterized by a significant difference between collinear and inverted

chromosomes. We first conducted several tests to insure that uncertainties

associated with our sequencing and analytical approach cannot account for the

observed disparities in genetic polymorphism between chromosomes. We

compared the distribution and the length of mapped scaffolds and confirmed that

each chromosome arm is represented by a substantial number of long scaffolds

(Table S2 and Fig. S1). The length of pseudo-chromosomes is also proportional to

the length of chromosome arms, ranging from 6.8 Mb on X (the smallest) to 27.4 Mb

on 2R (the largest). Therefore, we can reasonably rule out the possibility that the

chromosome-bias diversity is due to a systematic error associated with our

reference sequence. Additionally, mapped scaffolds are evenly distributed along the

length of chromosomes, suggesting that such important variations in the amount of

polymorphism between chromosome arms are not due to centromere- and/or

telomere-proximal effects (Fig. S1) (Aguade *et al.* 1989; Stephan & Langley 1989).

Finally, we noted that the mean depth of sequencing coverage per mapped scaffolds

was consistent across the five chromosome arms (Fig. S2), implying that

chromosome-specific diversity is not simply a covariate of sequencing biases.

As estimates of the number of segregating sites (θ_w) also known as the

population-scaled mutation rate (Watterson 1975) are the most drastically affected

by between-chromosome variations observed in *An. funestus*, the stark contrast in

the amount of polymorphism among inverted and collinear autosomes may in

theory be due to lower mutation rates on inverted chromosomes. However, as

shown in other insect species, such a variability in mutation rates between

chromosomes or between large segments of the genome is unlikely (Begun *et al.*

2007; Keightley *et al.* 2015). Instead, the occurrence of chromosome-specific

patterns of diversity in *An. funestus* is consistent with the presence of weakly

recombining   autosomes   bearing   multiple   overlapping   chromosomal

rearrangements. These same causes produce similar effects along the non-

recombining portion of the Y chromosome in mammals (Lahn & Page 1999) or along

balancer chromosomes described in *Drosophila*, *Caenorhabditis* and rodent species

(Muller 1918; Hentges & Justice 2004; Edgley *et al.* 2006). Indeed, population

genetic analyses across genomes of diverse taxa have found a positive correlation

between the rate of recombination and genetic variation (Aguade *et al.* 1989;

Stephan & Langley 1989; Begun & Aquadro 1992; Hellmann *et al.* 2003, 2005;

Tenaillon *et al.* 2004; Begun *et al.* 2007; Kulathinal *et al.* 2008, 2009). This

correlation translates into specific patterns of diversity that can be observed at the

species, genomic or chromosomal levels. At the species level, plants and some

animal species, which reproduce by self-fertilization have reduced overall genomic

diversity due to low genetic recombination (Nordborg *et al.* 1996; Akhunov *et al.*

2010; Cutter & Choi 2010; Andersen *et al.* 2012; Thomas *et al.* 2015). The local

effects of recombination on genetic polymorphism are also particularly evident

across centromere and telomeres of chromosomes and within genomic regions

bearing structural rearrangements (Aguade *et al.* 1989; Stephan & Langley 1989;

Andolfatto *et al.* 2001; Corbett-Detig & Hartl 2012; Pool *et al.* 2012).

The relationship between diversity and crossing over has been ascribed to

two processes: selection and mutagenesis. Recombination may influence

polymorphism because of new mutations created during crossing over, which

increase diversity (the mutagenic effect of recombination) (Magni & Von Borstel

1962). However, multiple studies that have analyzed fine-scale recombination in

humans, yeast, *Arabidopsis*, *Anopheles*, *Drosophila*, and *Caenorhabditis* have

undermined the notion that recombination, or some correlate, generally exerts

mutagenic effects on genomes (Betancourt & Presgraves 2002; Stump *et al.* 2005;

Spencer *et al.* 2006; Wright *et al.* 2006; Begun *et al.* 2007; Noor 2008; Denver *et al.*

2009; Cutter & Choi 2010; Mcgaugh *et al.* 2012). Alternatively, recombination may

affect diversity indirectly by modulating the effects of positive or negative selection

across the genome. Indeed, the hitchhiking of favorable alleles (selective sweep) or

the removal of recurrent deleterious mutations (background selection) affect linked

neutral sequences more strongly in low-recombination genomic regions, which

gives rise to a positive correlation between diversity and recombination rate

(Maynard Smith & Haigh 1974; Kaplan *et al.* 1989; Begun & Aquadro 1992;

Charlesworth *et al.* 1993, 1997; Nordborg *et al.* 1996; Andolfatto 2001; Nachman

2002). Another indirect effect of recombination on genetic diversity may be driven

by the Hill-Roberston interference, which occurs when two sites under weak

selection are in physical linkage and as a result, selection at one site interferes with

selection at another site (Hill & Robertson 1966; Felsenstein 1974). Hill-Roberston

interference can also be thought of as a reduction in the effective population size

(N_e) caused by selection at linked loci (Comeron *et al.* 2008; Cutter & Payseur 2013;

Castellano *et al.* 2016). Recombination, by alleviating interference between linked

sites, alleviates this reduction in N_e leading to a positive correlation between

recombination rate and levels of neutral polymorphism. Because of the relationship

between recombination and polymorphism, measures of the skew in the allele

frequency spectrum, such as Tajima's *D* values (Tajima 1989), are expected to

positively correlate with the rate of recombination (Braverman *et al.* 1995). The *An.*

funestus genome shows a strong relationship between Tajima's *D* values and

recombination, and as expected, the chromosome 2L, whose recombination is not

limited by inversions, exhibits the sharpest skew in the AFS.

Conclusions and perspectives

We found evidence that, among the many chromosomal rearrangements identified in *An. funestus*, genomic footprints of divergence are centered on three inversions that are potential targets of selection among ecotypes in Cameroon. The other rearrangements are likely either cosmopolitan or endemic inversions under selection at various geographic extents that have yet to be resolved. Our data support the idea that interactions between recombination and selection — which amplify the effects of selective sweeps or background selection within genomic regions where recombination is blocked by multiple chromosomal inversions — account for the strong disparity in nucleotide diversity observed between autosomes in this mosquito.

To deepen our understanding of the adaptive role of inversions and their contribution to chromosome specific patterns of diversity, a complete reference genome assembly and extensive sampling across the species range are needed. These resources will make it possible to design more sensitive tests including the functional characterization of footprints of selection and their detailed signatures among individuals and populations. The presence of hallmarks of both reduced recombination and linked selection across large genomic sequences in *An. funestus* highlights the important contribution of multiple aspects of linkage in genome evolution. These aspects have significant implications for the detection of genomic signatures of adaptation in species whose genome contains multiple polymorphic chromosomal inversions.

580
Acknowledgements

582 We thank the associate editor and six reviewers for their constructive comments.

583 This work was supported by NIH grant 1R01AI113248 to BJW.

Author contributions

586 CK, CF and BJW conceived, designed and performed the experiments. CK, CF

587 analysed the data. CK wrote the paper.

Data Archiving Statement

590
591 Data for this study are available at: to be completed after manuscript is accepted for

592 publication

References

Aguade M, Miyashita N, Langley CH (1989) Reduced variation in the yellow-achaete-scute region in natural populations of Drosophila melanogaster. *Genetics*, **122**, 607–15.

Akhunov ED, Akhunova AR, Anderson OD *et al.* (2010) Nucleotide diversity maps reveal variation in diversity among wheat genomes and chromosomes. *BMC genomics*, **11**, 702.

Andersen EC, Gerke JP, Shapiro J a *et al.* (2012) Chromosome-scale selective sweeps shape Caenorhabditis elegans genomic diversity. *Nature genetics*, **44**, 285–90.

Anderson AR, Hoffmann AA, McKechnie SW, Umina PA, Weeks AR (2005) The latitudinal cline in the In (3R) Payne inversion polymorphism has shifted in the last 20 years in Australian Drosophila melanogaster populations. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **14**, 851–858.

Andolfatto P (2001) Adaptive hitchhiking effects on genome variability. *Current Opinion in Genetics and Development*, **11**, 635–641.

Andolfatto P, Depaulis F, Navarro A (2001) Inversion polymorphisms and nucleotide variability in Drosophila. *Genetical research*, **77**, 1–8.

Andrew RL, Rieseberg LH (2013) Divergence is focused on few genomic regions early in speciation: incipient speciation of sunflower ecotypes. *Evolution; international journal of organic evolution*, **67**, 2468–82.

Antao T, Lopes A, Lopes RJ, Beja-Pereira A, Luikart G (2008) LOSITAN: a workbench to detect molecular adaptation based on a Fst-outlier method. *BMC bioinformatics*, **9**, 323.

Arnold B, Corbett-Detig RB, Hartl D, Bomblies K (2013) RADseq underestimates

diversity and introduces genealogical biases due to nonrandom haplotype

sampling. *Molecular Ecology*, **22**, 3179–3190.

Ayala D, Fontaine MC, Cohuet A *et al.* (2011) Chromosomal inversions, natural

selection and adaptation in the malaria vector Anopheles funestus. *Molecular*

biology and evolution, **28**, 745–758.

Baird NA, Etter PD, Atwood TS *et al.* (2008) Rapid SNP Discovery and Genetic

Mapping Using Sequenced RAD Markers. *PLoS ONE*, **3**, e3376.

Barnes KG, Weedall GD, Ndula M *et al.* (2017) Genomic Footprints of Selective

Sweeps from Metabolic Resistance to Pyrethroids in African Malaria Vectors

Are Driven by Scale up of Insecticide-Based Vector Control. *PLoS Genetics*,

13(2): e10, 1–22.

Beaumont MA (2005) Adaptation and speciation : what can F st tell us ? *Trends in*

Ecology & Evolution, **20**, 435–440.

Beaumont MA, Nichols RA (1996) Evaluating loci for use in the genetic analysis of

population structure. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*,

263, 1619–1626.

Begun D, Aquadro C (1992) Levels of naturally occurring DNA polymorphism

correlate with recombination rates in D. melanogaster. *Nature*, **356**, 519–520.

Begun DJ, Holloway AK, Stevens K *et al.* (2007) Population genomics: whole-genome

analysis of polymorphism and divergence in Drosophila simulans. *PLoS biology*,

5, e310.

Betancourt AJ, Presgraves DC (2002) Linkage limits the power of natural selection in

Drosophila. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States*

of America, **99**, 13616–20.

Boccolini D, Carrara GC, Dia I *et al.* (2005) Chromosomal differentiation of

Anopheles funestus from Luanda and Huambo Provinces, western and central

Angola. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, **73**, 1071–1076.

Braverman JM, Hudson RR, Kaplan NL, Langley CH, Stephan W (1995) The

hitchhiking effect on the site frequency spectrum of DNA polymorphisms.

Genetics, **140**, 783–796.

Butlin RK (2005) Recombination and speciation. *Molecular Ecology*, **14**, 2621–2635.

Cai JJ, Macpherson JM, Sella G, Petrov DA (2009) Pervasive hitchhiking at coding and

regulatory sites in humans. *PLoS Genetics*, **5**.

Campos JL, Halligan DL, Haddrill PR, Charlesworth B (2014) The relation between

recombination rate and patterns of molecular evolution and variation in

drosophila melanogaster. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **31**, 1010–1028.

Castellano D, Coronado-Zamora M, Campos JL, Barbadilla A, Eyre-Walker A (2016)

Adaptive evolution is substantially impeded by hill-Robertson interference in

drosophila. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **33**, 442–455.

Catchen J, Hohenlohe P a., Bassham S, Amores A, Cresko W. (2013) Stacks: An

analysis tool set for population genomics. *Molecular Ecology*, **22**, 3124–3140.

Charlesworth B (1998) Measures of divergence between populations and the effect

of forces that reduce variability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **15**, 538–543.

Charlesworth B, Morgan MT, Charlesworth D (1993) The effect of deleterious

mutations on neutral molecular variation. *Genetics*, **134**, 1289–1303.

Charlesworth B, Nordborg M, Charlesworth D (1997) The effects of local selection,

balanced polymorphism and background selection on equilibrium patterns of

genetic diversity in subdivided populations. *Genet. Res., Camb*, **70**, 155–174.

Cheng C, White BJ, Kamdem C *et al.* (2012) Ecological genomics of Anopheles

gambiae along a latitudinal cline: a population-resequencing approach.

Genetics, **190**, 1417–32.

Coetzee M, Koekemoer LL (2013) Molecular systematics and insecticide resistance

in the major African malaria vector Anopheles funestus. *Annual Review of*

Entomology, **58**, 393–412.

Cohuet A, Dia I, Simard F *et al.* (2005) Gene flow between chromosomal forms of the

malaria vector Anopheles funestus in Cameroon, Central Africa, and its

relevance in malaria fighting. *Genetics*, **169**, 301–311.

Cohuet A, Simard F, Toto J-C *et al.* (2003) Species identification within the Anopheles

funestus group of malaria vectors in Cameroon and evidence for a new species.

The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene, **69**, 200–205.

Coluzzi M, Sabatini A, Petrarca V, Di Deco M (1979) Chromosomal differentiation

and adaptation to human environments in the Anopheles gambiae complex.

Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg, **73**, 483–97.

Comeron JM, Ratnappan R, Bailin S (2012) The Many Landscapes of Recombination

in Drosophila melanogaster. *PLoS Genetics*, **8**, 33–35.

Comeron JM, Williford a, Kliman RM (2008) The Hill-Robertson effect: evolutionary

consequences of weak selection and linkage in finite populations. *Heredity*, **100**,

19–31.

Corbett-Detig RB, Hartl DL (2012) Population Genomics of Inversion

Polymorphisms in Drosophila melanogaster. *PLoS Genetics*, **8**.

Costantini C, Sagnon N, Ilboudo-Sanogo E, Coluzzi M, Boccolini D (1999)

Chromosomal and bionomic heterogeneities suggest incipient speciation in

Anopheles funestus from Burkina Faso. *Parassitologia*, **41**, 595–611.

Cruickshank TE, Hahn MW (2014) Reanalysis suggests that genomic islands of

speciation are due to reduced diversity, not reduced gene flow. *Molecular*

ecology, **23**, 3133–57.

Cutter AD, Choi JY (2010) Natural selection shapes nucleotide polymorphism across

the genome of the nematode Caenorhabditis briggsae Natural selection shapes

nucleotide polymorphism across the genome of the nematode Caenorhabditis

briggsae. *Genome Research*, 1103–1111.

Cutter AD, Payseur BA (2013) Genomic signatures of selection at linked sites:

unifying the disparity among species. *Nature reviews. Genetics*, **14**, 262–74.

Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G *et al.* (2011) The variant call format and VCFtools.

Bioinformatics, **27**, 2156–2158.

Denver DR, Dolan PC, Wilhelm LJ *et al.* (2009) A genome-wide view of

Caenorhabditis elegans base-substitution mutation processes. *Proceedings of*

the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, **106**, 16310–4.

Dia I, Guelbeogo M, Ayala D (2013) Advances and Perspectives in the Study of the

Malaria Mosquito Anopheles funestus. In: *Anopheles mosquitoes - New insights*

into malaria vectors (ed Manguin S)

Dia I, Lochouarn L, Boccolini D, Costantini C, Fontenille D (2000) Spatial and

temporal variations of the chromosomal inversion polymorphism of Anopheles

funestus in Senegal. *Parasite*, **7**, 179–184.

Dobzhansky T (1943) Genetics of natural populations. IX. Temporal changes in the

composition of populations of Drosophila pseudoobscura. *Genetics*, **28**, 162–

186.

Dobzhansky T (1948) Genetics of natural populations .XVIII. Experiments on

chromosomes of Drosophila pseudoobscura from different geographic regions.

Genetics, **33**, 588–602.

Dobzhansky T (1950) Genetics of natural populations. XIX. Origin of heterosis

through natural selection in populations of Drosophila pseudoobscura. *Genetics*,

35, 288–302.

Dobzhansky T (1970) *Genetics of the evolutionary process.* New York.

Donnelly MJ, Licht MC, Lehmann T (2001) Evidence for recent population expansion

in the evolutionary history of the malaria vectors Anopheles arabiensis and

Anopheles gambiae. *Molecular biology and evolution*, **18**, 1353–1364.

Earl DA, VonHoldt BM (2012) STRUCTURE HARVESTER: a website and program for

visualizing STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method.

Conservation Genetics Resources, **4**.

Edgley ML, Baillie DL, Riddle DL, Rose AM (2006) *Genetic balancers* (Wormbook,

Ed,).

Evanno G, Goudet J, Regnaut S (2005) Detecting the number of clusters of

individuals using the software structure: a simulation study. *Molecular Ecology*,

14, 2611–2620.

Excoffier L, Smouse PE, Quattro JM (1992) Analysis of molecular variance inferred

from metric distances among DNA haplotypes: Application to human

mitochondrial DNA restriction data. *Genetics*, **131**, 479–491.

Feder JL, Egan SP, Nosil P (2012) The genomics of speciation-with-gene-flow. *Trends*

in Genetics, **28**, 342–350.

Felsenstein J (1974) The evolutionary advantage of recombination. II. Individual

selection for recombination. *Genetics*, **78**, 737–756.

Fouet C, Gray E, Besansky NJ, Costantini C (2012) Adaptation to aridity in the

malaria mosquito Anopheles gambiae: chromosomal inversion polymorphism

and body size influence resistance to desiccation. *PloS one*, **7**, e34841.

Fouet C, Kamdem C, Gamez S, White BJ (2017) Extensive genetic diversity among

populations of the malaria mosquito Anopheles moucheti revealed by

population genomics. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution*, **48**, 27–33.

Fumagalli M, Vieira FG, Linderoth T (2014) ngsTools : methods for population

genetics analyses from Next-Generation Sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*.

Gattepaille LM, Jakobsson M, Blum MGB (2013) Inferring population size changes

with sequence and SNP data: lessons from human bottlenecks. *Heredity*, **110**,

409–19.

Gillespie JH (1997) Junk ain't what junk does: Neutral alleles in a selected context.

Gene, **205**, 291–299.

Gillies MT, Coetzee M (1987) *A supplement to the Anophelinae of Africa south of the*

Sahara. The South African Institute for Medical Research, Johannesburg.

Gillies MT, De Meillon B (1968) *The Anophelinae of Africa South of the Sahara.*

Publications of the South African Institute for Medical Research, Johannesburg.

Giraldo-Calderon GI, Emrich SJ, MacCallum RM *et al.* (2015) VectorBase: an updated

bioinformatics resource for invertebrate vectors and other organisms related

with human diseases. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **43**, D707–D713.

Gosset CC, Bierne N (2013) Differential introgression from a sister species explains

high FST outlier loci within a mussel species. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*,

26, 14–26.

Gray EM, Rocca K a C, Costantini C, Besansky NJ (2009) Inversion 2La is associated

with enhanced desiccation resistance in Anopheles gambiae. *Malaria journal*, **8**,

215.

Green CA, Hunt RH (1980) Interpretation of variation in ovarian polytene

chromosomes of Anopheles funestus Giles, A. parensis Gillies, and A. aruni ?

Genetica, **51**, 187–195.

Haddrill PR, Halligan DL, Tomaras D, Charlesworth B (2007) Reduced efficacy of

selection in regions of the Drosophila genome that lack crossing over. *Genome*

biology, **8**, R18.

Hellmann I, Ebersberger I, Ptak SE, Pääbo S, Przeworski M (2003) A Neutral

Explanation for the Correlation of Diversity with Recombination Rates in

Humans. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*, **72**, 1527–1535.

Hellmann I, Prüfer K, Ji H *et al.* (2005) Why do human diversity levels vary at a

megabase scale? *Genome Research*, **15**, 1222–1231.

Hentges KE, Justice MJ (2004) Checks and balancers : balancer chromosomes to

facilitate genome annotation. *Trends in Genetics*, **20**.

Hill WG, Robertson A (1966) The effect of linkage on the limits of artificial selection.

Genetical Research, **8**, 269–294.

Hoffmann A a, Rieseberg LH (2008) Revisiting the Impact of Inversions in Evolution:

From Population Genetic Markers to Drivers of Adaptive Shifts and Speciation?

Annual review of ecology, evolution, and systematics, **39**, 21–42.

Hoffmann AA, Sgro CM, Weeks AR (2004) Chromosomal inversion polymorphisms

and adaptation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **19**, 482–488.

Hoffmann AA, Weeks AR (2007) Climatic selection on genes and traits after a 100

year-old invasion : a critical look at the temperate-tropical clines in Drosophila

melanogaster from eastern Australia. *Genetica*, **129**, 133–147.

Huber CD, Nordborg M, Hermisson J, Hellmann I (2014) Keeping It Local: Evidence

for Positive Selection in Swedish Arabidopsis thaliana. *Molecular Biology and*

Evolution, **31**, 3026–3039.

Hudson RR, Kaplan NL (1988) The coalescent process in models with selection and

recombination. *Genetics*, **120**, 819–829.

Jakobsson M, Rosenberg N (2007) CLUMPP: a cluster matching and permutation

program for dealing with multimodality in analysis of population structure.

Bioinformatics, **23**, 1801– 1806.

Jombart T (2008) adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic

markers. *Bioinformatics*, **24**, 1403–1405.

De Jong G, Bochdanovits Z (2003) Latitudinal clines in Drosophila melanogaster :

body size , allozyme frequencies , inversion frequencies , and the insulin-

signalling pathway. *Journal of Genetics*, **82**, 207–223.

Joron M, Frezal L, Jones RT *et al.* (2011) Chromosomal rearrangements maintain a

polymorphic supergene controlling butterfly mimicry. *Nature*, **477**, 203–206.

Kamau L, Hunt RH, Coetzee M (2002) Analysis of the population structure of

Anopheles funestus (Diptera: Culicidae) from western and coastal Kenya using

paracentric chromosomal inversion frequencies. *Journal of Medical Entomology*,

39, 78–83.

Kamdem C, Fouet C, Gamez S, White BJ (2017) Pollutants and insecticides drive local

adaptation in African malaria mosquitoes. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **34**,

1261–1275.

Kaplan NL, Hudson RR, Langley CH (1989) The "hitchhiking effect" revisited.

Genetics, **123**, 887–899.

Kapun M, Van Schalkwyk H, McAllister B, Flatt T, Schlötterer C (2014) Inference of

chromosomal inversion dynamics from Pool-Seq data in natural and laboratory

populations of Drosophila melanogaster. *Molecular Ecology*, **23**, 1813–1827.

Kapun M, Schmidt C, Durmaz E, Schmidt PS, Flatt T (2016) Parallel effects of the

inversion In (3R) Payne on body size across the North American and

Australian clines in Drosophila melanogaster. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*,

29, 1059–1072.

Kawecki TJ, Dieter E (2004) Conceptual issues in local adaptation. *Ecology Letters*, **7**,

1225–1241.

Keightley PD, Pinharanda A, Ness RW *et al.* (2015) Estimation of the Spontaneous

Mutation Rate in Heliconius melpomene. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **32**,

239–243.

Keinan A, Reich D (2010) Human population differentiation is strongly correlated

with local recombination rate. *PLoS Genetics*, **6**.

Kennington WJ, Hoffmann AA, Partridge L (2007) Mapping Regions Within

Cosmopolitan Inversion In(3R)Payne Associated With Natural Variation in

Body Size in Drosophila melanogaster. *Genetics*, **177**, 549–556.

Kim Y, Maruki T (2011) Hitchhiking effect of a beneficial mutation spreading in a

subdivided population. *Genetics*, **189**, 213–226.

Kimura MK (1983) *The Neutral Theory of Molecular Evolution*. Cambridge, UK.

Kirkpatrick M (2010) How and why chromosome inversions evolve. *PLoS Biology*, **8**.

Kirkpatrick M, Barton N (2006) Chromosome inversions, local adaptation and

speciation. *Genetics*, **173**, 419–434.

Kliman R, Hey J (1993) Reduced natural selection associated with low

recombination in Drosophila melanogaster. *Molecular biology and evolution*, **10**,

1239–1258.

Knibb WR (1982) Chromosome inversion polymorphisms in Drosophila

melanogaster II. Geographic clines and climatic associations in Australasia ,

North America and Asia. *Genetica*, **58**, 213–221.

Korneliussen T, Albrechtsen A, Nielsen R (2014) ANGSD: Analysis of Next

Generation Sequencing Data. *BMC Bioinformatics*, **15**, 356.

Korneliussen TS, Moltke I, Albrechtsen A, Nielsen R (2013) Calculation of Tajima's D

and other neutrality test statistics from low depth next-generation sequencing

data. *BMC bioinformatics*, **14**, 289.

Krimbas C, Powell JR (1992) Introduction. In: *Drosophila inversion polymorphism*

(eds Krimbas C, Powell JR), p. . London.

Kulathinal RJ, Bennett SM, Fitzpatrick CL, Noor MAF (2008) Fine-scale mapping of

recombination rate in Drosophila refines its correlation to diversity and

divergence. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **105**, 10051–

10056.

Kulathinal RJ, Stevison LS, Noor MAF (2009) The genomics of speciation in

Drosophila: Diversity, divergence, and introgression estimated using low-

coverage genome sequencing. *PLoS Genetics*, **5**.

Lahn BT, Page DC (1999) Chromosome Four Evolutionary Strata on the Human X

Chromosome. *Science*, **286**, 964–967.

Lanzaro GC, Lee Y (2013) Speciation in Anopheles gambiae — The Distribution of

Genetic Polymorphism and Patterns of Reproductive Isolation Among Natural

Populations. In: *Anopheles mosquitoes - New insights into malaria vectors* (ed

Manguin S)

Lee SF, Chen Y, Varan AK *et al.* (2011) Molecular Basis of Adaptive Shift in Body Size

in Drosophila melanogaster : Functional and Sequence Analyses of the Dca Gene

Research article. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **28**, 2393–2402.

Lee Y, Meneses CR, Fofana A, Lanzaro GC (2009) Desiccation Resistance Among

Subpopulations of Anopheles gambiae s.s. From Selinkenyi, Mali. *Journal of*

medical entomology, **46**, 316–320.

Lewontin RC, Krakauer J (1973) DISTRIBUTION OF GENE FREQUENCY AS A TEST

OF THE THEORY O F THE SELECTIVE NEUTRALITY OF and LEWONTIN.

Genetics, **74**, 175–195.

Lischer HEL, Excoffier L (2012) PGDSpider: An automated data conversion tool for

connecting population genetics and genomics programs. *Bioinformatics*, **28**,

298–299.

Lowry DB, Willis JH (2010) A Widespread Chromosomal Inversion Polymorphism

Contributes to a Major Life-History Transition , Local Adaptation , and

Reproductive Isolation. *PLoS Biology*, **8**.

Magni GE, Von Borstel RC (1962) Different Rates of Spontaneous Mutation during

Mitosis and Meiosis in Yeast. *Genetics*, **47**, 1097–1108.

Majewski J, Cohan FM (1999) Adapt globally, act locally: The effect of selective

sweeps on bacterial sequence diversity. *Genetics*, **152**, 1459–1474.

Maynard Smith J, Haigh J (1974) The hitch-hiking effect of a favourable gene.

Genetical research, **23**, 23–35.

Mcgaugh SE, Heil CSS, Manzano-winkler B *et al.* (2012) Recombination Modulates

How Selection Affects Linked Sites in Drosophila. *PLoS Biology*, **10**.

McGaugh SE, Noor M a F (2012) Genomic impacts of chromosomal inversions in

parapatric Drosophila species. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of*

London. Series B, Biological sciences, **367**, 422–9.

Meirmans P, Van Tienderen P (2004) GENOTYPE and GENODIVE: two programs for

the analysis of genetic diversity of asexual organisms. *Molecular Ecology Notes*,

4, 792–794.

Mettler LE, Voelker RA, Mukai T (1977) Inversion clines in populations of

Drosophila melanogaster. *Genetics*, **87**, 169–176.

Michel a. P, Ingrasci MJ, Schemerhorn BJ *et al.* (2005) Rangewide population genetic

structure of the African malaria vector Anopheles funestus. *Molecular Ecology*,

14, 4235–4248.

Muller HJ (1918) Genetic variability, twin hybrids, and constant hybrids, in a case of

balanced lethal factors. *Genetics*, **3**, 422–499.

Nachman MW (2002) Variation in recombination rate across the genome: Evidence

and implications. *Current Opinion in Genetics and Development*, **12**, 657–663.

Nachman MW, Payseur BA (2012) Recombination rate variation and speciation :

theoretical predictions and empirical results from rabbits and mice.

Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, **367**, 409–

421.

Neafsey DE, Waterhouse RM, Abai MR *et al.* (2015) Highly evolvable malaria vectors:

The genomes of 16 Anopheles mosquitoes. *Science*, **347**, 1258522–1258522.

Nielsen R (2005) Molecular Signatures of Natural Selection. *Annual review of*

genetics, **39**, 197–218.

Nishikawa H, Iijima T, Kajitani R *et al.* (2015) A genetic mechanism for female-

limited Batesian mimicry in Papilio butterfly. *Nature genetics*, **47**, 405–9.

Noor MAF (2008) Mutagenesis from meiotic recombination is not a primary driver

of sequence divergence between Saccharomyces species. *Molecular Biology and*

Evolution, **25**, 2439–2444.

Noor M a F, Bennett SM (2009) Islands of speciation or mirages in the desert?

Examining the role of restricted recombination in maintaining species. *Heredity*,

103, 439–444.

Noor MAF, Grams KL, Bertucci LA, Reiland J (2001) Chromosomal inversions and the

reproductive isolation of species. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*

Sciences, **98**, 12084–12088.

Nordborg M, Charlesworth B, Charlesworth B (1996) Increased levels of

polymorphism surrounding selectively maintained sites in highly selfing

species. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **263**, 1033–1039.

Nordborg M, Hu TT, Ishino Y *et al.* (2005) The pattern of polymorphism in

Arabidopsis thaliana. *PLoS Biology*, **3**, 1289–1299.

Nordborg M, Tavar S (2002) Linkage disequilibrium : what history has to tell us.

Trends in Genetics, **18**, 83–90.

Nosil P, Feder JL (2012) Widespread yet heterogeneous genomic divergence.

Molecular Ecology, **21**, 2829–2832.

Nosil P, Funk DJ, Ortiz-Barrientos D (2009) Divergent selection and heterogeneous

genomic divergence. *Molecular Ecology*, **18**, 375–402.

O'Loughlin SM, Magesa S, Mbogo C *et al.* (2014) Genomic Analyses of Three Malaria

Vectors Reveals Extensive Shared Polymorphism but Contrasting Population

Histories. *Molecular biology and evolution*, 1–14.

Ortiz-barrientos D, Engelstädter J, Rieseberg LH (2016) Recombination Rate

Evolution and the Origin of Species. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **31**, 226–236.

Ortiz-Barrientos D, Reiland J, Hey J, Noor MAF (2002) Recombination and the

divergence of hybridizing species. *Genetica*, **116**, 167–178.

Paradis E, Claude J, Strimmer K (2004) Analyses of Phylogenetics and Evolution in R

language. *Bioinformatics*, **20**, 289–290.

Pegueroles C, Ordóñez V, Mestres F, Pascual M (2010) Recombination and selection

in the maintenance of the adaptive value of inversions. *Journal of Evolutionary*

Biology, **23**, 2709–2717.

Peterson BK, Weber JN, Kay EH, Fisher HS, Hoekstra HE (2012) Double Digest

RADseq: An Inexpensive Method for De Novo SNP Discovery and Genotyping in

Model and Non-Model Species. *PLoS ONE*, **7**, e37135.

Pool JE, Corbett-Detig RB, Sugino RP *et al.* (2012) Population Genomics of Sub-

Saharan Drosophila melanogaster: African Diversity and Non-African

Admixture. *PLoS Genetics*, **8**.

Powell JR (1997) *Progress and prospects in evolutionary biology: the Drosophila*

model. New York.

Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P (2000) Inference of population structure using

multilocus genotype data. *Genetics*, **155**, 945–959.

Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K *et al.* (2007) PLINK: a toolset for whole-genome

association and population-based linkage analysis. *American Journal of Human*

Genetics, **81**.

R Development Core Team (2014) *R: A language and environment for statistical*

computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

Rako L, Anderson AR, Sgro CM, Stocker AJ, Hoffmann AA (2006) The association

between inversion In (3R) Payne and clinally varying traits in Drosophila

melanogaster. *Genetica*, **128**, 373–384.

Riehle MM, Guelbeogo WM, Gneme A *et al.* (2011) A cryptic subgroup of Anopheles

gambiae is highly susceptible to human malaria parasites. *Science (New York,*

N.Y.), **331**, 596–8.

Rieseberg LH (2001) Chromosomal rearrangements and speciation. *Trends in*

Ecology and Evolution, **16**, 351–358.

Roberts P (1976) The genetics of chromosomal aberration. In: *The genetics and*

biology of Drosophila (eds Ashburner M, Novitski E), pp. 67–184. London.

Roesti M, Hendry AP, Salzburger W, Berner D (2012) Genome divergence during

evolutionary diversification as revealed in replicate lake-stream stickleback

population pairs. *Molecular ecology*, **21**, 2852–62.

Roesti M, Moser D, Berner D (2013) Recombination in the threespine stickleback

genome - Patterns and consequences. *Molecular Ecology*, **22**, 3014–3027.

Rosenberg N (2004) DISTRUCT: a program for the graphical display of population

structure. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, **4**, 137–138.

Savolainen O, Lascoux M, Merilä J (2013) Ecological genomics of local adaptation. ,

14.

Schaeffer SW (2008) Selection in heterogeneous environments maintains the gene

arrangement polymorphism of drosophila pseudoobscura. *Evolution*, **62**, 3082–

3099.

Schaeffer SW, Goetting-minesky MP, Kovacevic M *et al.* (2003) Evolutionary

genomics of inversions in Drosophila pseudoobscura : Evidence for epistasis.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, **100**, 8319–8324.

Schlötterer C (2002) Towards a molecular characterization of adaptation in local

populations. *Current Opinion in Genetics and Development*, **12**, 683–687.

Schneider KA, Kim Y (2013) Genetic Hitchhiking under Heterogeneous Spatial

Selection Pressures. *PLoS ONE*, **8**.

Seehausen O, Butlin RK, Keller I *et al.* (2014) Genomics and the origin of species.

Nature reviews. Genetics, **15**, 176–92.

Service MW (1993) *Mosquito ecology: field sampling methods* (UK Elsevier Applied

Science, London, Ed,).

Sharakhova M V, Xia A, Leman SC, Sharakhov I V (2011) Arm-specific dynamics of

chromosome evolution in malaria mosquitoes. *BMC evolutionary biology*, **11**,

91.

Sharakhov I, Braginets O, Grushko O *et al.* (2004) A Microsatellite Map of the African

Human Malaria Vector Anopheles funestus. *Journal of Heredity*, **95**, 29–34.

Sinka ME, Bangs MJ, Manguin S *et al.* (2010) The dominant Anopheles vectors of

human malaria in Africa, Europe and the Middle East: occurrence data,

distribution maps and bionomic précis. *Parasites & vectors*, **3**, 117.

Slatkin M, Wiehe T (1998) Genetic hitch-hiking in a subdivided population. *Genetical

Research*, **71**, 155–160.

Spencer CCA, Deloukas P, Hunt S *et al.* (2006) The influence of recombination on

human genetic diversity. *PLoS Genetics*, **2**, 1375–1385.

Stephan W, Langley CH (1989) Molecular genetic variation in the centromeric region

of the X chromosome in three Drosophila ananassae populations. I. Contrasts

between the vermilion and forked loci. *Genetics*, **121**, 89–99.

Storz JF (2005) Using genome scans of DNA polymorphism to infer adaptive

population divergence. *Molecular Ecology*, **14**, 671–688.

Stump AD, Fitzpatrick MC, Lobo NF *et al.* (2005) Centromere-proximal

differentiation and speciation in Anopheles gambiae. *Proceedings of the*

National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, **102**, 15930–5.

Sturtevant A (1921) A case of rearrangement of genes in Drosophila. *Proceedings of*

the National Academy of Sciences, **7**, 235–237.

Tajima F (1989) Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by

DNA polymorphism. *Genetics*, **123**, 585–595.

Tenaillon MI, U'Ren J, Tenaillon O, Gaut BS (2004) Selection versus demography: A

multilocus investigation of the domestication process in maize. *Molecular*

Biology and Evolution, **21**, 1214–1225.

Thomas CG, Wang W, Jovelin R *et al.* (2015) Full-genome evolutionary histories of

selfing , splitting , and selection in Caenorhabditis. , 667–678.

Thornton K (2005) Recombination and the properties of Tajima's D in the context of

approximate-likelihood calculation. *Genetics*, **171**, 2143–8.

Tiffin P, Ross-Ibarra J (2014) Advances and limits of using population genetics to

understand local adaptation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **29**, 673–680.

Watterson GA (1975) On the number of segregating sites in genetical models

without recombination. *Theoretical Population Biology*, **7**, 256–276.

Weir BS, Cockerham CC (1984) Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population

structure. *Evolution*, **38**, 1358–1370.

Williams GC (1966) *Adaptation and Natural Selection: A Critique of Some Current*

Evolutionary Thought. Princeton, NJ.

Wright S, Dobzhansky T (1946) Genetics of natural populations. XII. Experimental

reproduction of some of the changes caused by natural selection in certain

populations of Drosophila pseudoobscura. *Genetics*, **31**, 125–156.

Wright SI, Foxe JP, DeRose-Wilson L *et al.* (2006) Testing for effects of

recombination rate on nucleotide diversity in natural populations of

Arabidopsis lyrata. *Genetics*, **174**, 1421–1430.

Wu TD, Nacu S (2010) Fast and SNP-tolerant detection of complex variants and

splicing in short reads. *Bioinformatics*, **26**, 873–881.

Yeaman S (2013) Genomic rearrangements and the evolution of clusters of locally

adaptive loci. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **110**, E1743-51.

1038

Figure legends

Figure 1: Geographic origin and genetic relatedness of *An. funestus* populations in Cameroon. (A) Map showing Mfou and Tibati, the two locations where mosquitoes were collected. A delimitation of the approximate distribution ranges of the two ecotypes that occur in Cameroon is shown (continuous line in the middle of the map). (B) and (C) Population genetic structure as revealed respectively by a neighbor-joining tree and a PCA. The percentage of variance explained is indicated on each PCA axis. (D) and (E) Confirmation of the presence of two *An. funestus* clusters with DAPC and the delta k method of Evanno *et al.* (2005). The lowest Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) and cross-validation error and the highest delta k indicate the most probable number of clusters (2-3). (F) Bayesian clustering in STRUCTURE.

Figure 2: Estimates of pairwise population differentiation (F_{ST}) based on SNPs ordered by position along pseudo-chromosomes representing the five chromosome arms of *An. funestus*. F_{ST} values on top of the red line are above the 99th percent of the empirical distribution. Arrows indicate the genomic coordinates of the 3R*a* and 3R*b* inversions.

Figure 3: Population genetic structure revealed by each chromosome arm and by four polymorphic chromosomal inversions (2R*h*, 3R*a*, 3R*b* and 3R*d*). The number of SNPs is indicated in parenthesis.

Figure 4: Genome-wide distribution of d_{xy} and fixed differences (d_f) across 90-kb non-overlapping windows along the five pseudo-chromosomes in Mfou and Tibati

populations. Strong sequence divergence within the 3Ra and 3Rb inversions is characterized by the presence of peaks of d_{xy} and d_f at these loci.

Figure 5: Estimates of nucleotide diversity (θ_π and θ_w) and allele frequency spectrum (Tajima's D) across 90-kb non-overlapping windows illustrating the uneven distribution of genetic diversity along the five chromosome arms. The collinear autosome (2L) is the most polymorphic in both Mfou and Tibati populations. In contrast, autosomes bearing multiple inversions exhibit a significant reduction in the amount of polymorphic sites.

Figure 6: Box plot depicting the distribution of θ_π and θ_w among chromosomes in Mfou and Tibati.

Supplemental Figure Legends

Figure S1: The positions of the 104 scaffolds that were placed on the *An. funestus* physical map are indicated by red rectangles. Inversions are delimitated by boxes and indicated by alphabet letters (modified from Sharakhov *et al*. 2004).

Figure S2: Distribution of the average coverage depth along mapped scaffolds.

Tables

Table 1: Reduction in nucleotide diversity relative to the 2L chromosome arm (%).

		2R	3L	3R	X
Mfou	θ_w	29.22 *	13.24 *	34.69 *	23.35 *
	θ_π	7.92 *	-3.23	19.23 *	13.92 *
Tibati	θ_w	18.79 *	13.24 *	33.54 *	18.94 *
	θ_π	3.23	-4.84	15.61 *	11.86 *

*Statistically significant (Wilcoxon rank sum test, $p < 0.001$)

Supplemental Tables

Table S1: Description of *An. funestus* samples included in this study.

Ecogeographic region	Sampling location	Geographic coordinates	Sampling method				Total	Gender		
			HLC-OUT	HLC-IN	LC	SPRAY		Female	Male	NA
Savannah	Tibati	6°28'08"N, 12°37'44"E	8	7	0	32	47	43	4	0
Forest	Mfou	3°58'00"N, 11°56'00"E	2	8	22	53	85	55	8	22
	Total						132	98	12	22

HLC-OUT, human landing catches performed outdoor; HLC-IN, human landing catches performed indoor; LC, larval collection; SPRAY, spray catches; NA, not available

Table S2: Characteristics of the five pseudo-chromosomes of *An. funestus* used for genome scans.

Chromosome	Number of mapped scaffolds	Total length (bp)	Number of SNPs
2R	30	27 415 570	1 587
2L	18	19 110 819	987
3R	24	15 197 945	745
3L	22	12 545 136	652
X	10	6 812 527	296

Figures

Figure1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure S1

Figure S2