Optogenetically controlled cargo shows full length human myosin VI integrates activation signals *in vivo* and *in vitro*

Alexander R. French[1], Tobin R. Sosnick[1,2*], & Ronald S. Rock[1,2*],

[1]Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology

[2]Institute for Biophysical Dynamics

The University of Chicago

[*]To whom correspondence should be addressed: trsosnic@uchicago.edu, rrock@uchicago.edu

Abstract

Myosins are force-producing motor proteins that play countless critical roles in the cell, each requiring it to be activated at a specific location and time. To control myosin VI with this specificity, we created a novel optogenetic tool for activating myosin VI by fusing the light-sensitive *Avena sativa* phototropin1 LOV2 domain to a peptide from Dab2 (LOVDab), a myosin VI cargo protein. Our approach harnesses the native activation mechanism of myosin VI, allowing direct inferences on myosin VI function. LOVDab robustly recruits human full length myosin VI to various organelles *in vivo* and hinders peroxisome motion in a light-controllable manner. LOVDab activates myosin VI in an *in vitro* gliding filament assay, where lipid cargo sensitizes myosin VI to activation by LOVDab. Our results support a new model of myosin VI regulation whereby myosin VI integrates Ca^{2+}, lipid, and protein cargo signals in the cell to deploy in a site-specific manner.

Introduction

Motor proteins play countless roles in biology, each requiring the motor to be recruited and activated at a particular time and place inside the cell. To dissect these multiple roles, we must develop tools that allow us to control the recruitment and activation process. One promising technique for achieving this goal is through optogenetics (1). Optogenetics involves the engineering and application of optically-controlled, genetically encoded proteins, and is transforming the fields of neuro- and cell biology (2, 3). A major benefit of optogenetics is that proteins are activated using light, which allows for high temporal and spatial control over their protein of interest.

Myosin VI is a motor protein whose study could particularly benefit from optogenetic control. It is the only myosin known to walk toward the pointed end of actin filaments (5, 6). This property enables it to perform a diverse array of cellular functions including cell division, endosome trafficking, autophagy, and Golgi and plasma membrane anchoring (7-10). Myosin VI is also auto-inhibited, a property that is commonly found in other myosins (11). When myosin VI binds to cargo through specialized adaptor

proteins, this auto-inhibition is relieved through a poorly understood mechanism likely involving the

disruption of an interaction between its cargo binding domain (CBD) and the myosin head (12, 13).

Dissociation of the CBD from the head both frees the head to bind tightly to actin and exposes

dimerization sites throughout the tail domain of myosin VI, allowing it to become a processive dimer (4,

16, 17). In some cases, myosin VI could conceivably function as a monomer, for example when fulfilling

its role as a membrane tether during spermatid individualization (18). If this is the case, more work is

needed to elucidate the cellular signals that determine its oligomeric state at each site of action.

Myosin VI has two classes of cargo proteins that bind to distinct, conserved motifs on the myosin VI

C-terminus (22). Disabled2, or Dab2, belongs to the class of cargo proteins that bind to a conserved

WWY site on myosin (23). Optineurin (OPTN) is a member of the second class that binds to a conserved

RRL motif (19). Binding to members of either of these classes of cargo protein is thought to relieve the

auto-inhibition through the mechanism described above. Recently, however, myosin VI's activation

mechanism has been found to be more elaborate, with evidence now showing that myosin VI binds

specifically to the signaling lipid PI(4,5)P$_2$ as well as being activated using Ca^{2+} (23, 24). The outstanding

questions on its activation mechanism, together with its many roles throughout the cell, make myosin VI

an ideal target for optogenetic study.

One highly successful optogenetic strategy is to use or modify naturally-occurring light sensitive

proteins that directly alter a cellular property of interest, such as using channel rhodopsin to manipulate

membrane ion gradients (1). Another method is to fuse a target protein to a naturally light-sensitive

protein that undergoes a conformational change in response to light. The new fusion protein is designed

such that it sterically blocks the target protein's binding to its partner in the dark state, but releases it in

the lit state.

This latter engineering strategy has been employed using a variety of light-sensitive proteins,

including phytochromes (25, 26), cryptochromes (27), photoactive yellow protein (PYP) (28), and the

Light, Oxygen, Voltage sensing domain 2, or LOV2, from *Avena sativa* phototropin1 (29-31). Each system

has its own benefits (1), but we proceeded with the LOV2 domain due to its ability to cage a peptide in

the dark, and expose it to binding effectors in the light. The ~150 AA domain binds a flavin

mononucleotide (FMN) and undergoes a conformational change involving the unfolding of its N- and C-

terminal helices upon absorbing blue light ($\lambda_{max} \approx 447nm$) (32). Specifically, absorption leads to the

formation of a covalent bond between the FMN's C4a atom and the sulfur atom of the catalytic cysteine

residue C450 (33, 34). This event triggers the unfolding of both the A'α helix at its N-terminus and the

larger Jα helix at its C-terminus (35, 36). When the light stimulus is removed, the protein-FMN bond

spontaneously hydrolyses in the dark on the seconds to minutes time scale followed by rapid refolding

of the A'α and Jα helices, thus completing the photocycle (34-36). The degree of this conformational

change and the rate at which the helices refold in the dark can be independently altered by mutation

(36, 37) which can be useful for tuning a photoswitch (29, 30).

Previously, we engineered the LOV2 domain to control DNA binding (38) as well as to sterically

block, or "cage," a small PDZ domain-binding peptide that was fused to the C-terminal Jα helix (30). In

the latter system, the light-induced unfolding of the Jα helix uncages the peptide and recruits PDZ

domain-tethered proteins *in vivo*. This strategy has been utilized by others for the control of a variety of

protein-protein interactions (39) including most recently epigenetic modifications (40).

Here we apply a similar uncaging strategy to engineer a LOV2 fusion protein that can recruit myosin

VI in a light controllable manner. A short peptide region from the myosin VI cargo protein Dab2

(Dab2[pep]) has been crystallized in complex with the cargo binding domain (CBD) of myosin VI, and shown

to recruit the myosin VI tail *in vivo* (17). Phichith *et al.* independently were able to activate full-length

porcine myosin VI *in vitro* using high concentrations of a Dab2 truncation that included Dab2[pep] (4).

Additionally, ITC experiments suggest that the N-terminal α-helix of Dab2[pep] contains most of its binding

affinity for myosin VI, making Dab2[pep] a suitable target for caging by the LOV2 domain.

Here we engineer and apply an optogenetic activator for full-length human myosin VI using a LOV2-

Dab2[pep] fusion protein termed "LOVDab". LOVDab robustly recruits myosin VI to a variety of cellular

organelles in a light-dependent manner. Recruitment of myosin VI to peroxisomes slows their velocity,

demonstrating myosin VI activation upon recruitment *in vivo* that is consistent with an anchoring role

for myosin VI. We also purify full-length human myosin VI and demonstrate its photoactivation *in vitro*

using a modified gliding filament assay. Lastly, we interrogate the interplay of lipid and cargo proteins in

activating myosin VI, and show that the presence of $PI(4,5)P_2$ enhances myosin VI's affinity for Dab2[pep].

Results

LOVDab design and optimization

To obtain light-controlled activation of myosin VI, we fused the portion of its cargo protein Dab2 (res.

674-711, Dab2[pep]) that binds to the myosin VI cargo binding domain (CBD) to the C-terminus of the LOV2

Jα helix. In the crystal structure of the CBD-Dab2[pep] complex, two CBDs and two Dab2[pep] molecules form

a tetrameric structure (**Figure 1A**). Each Dab2[pep] in the tetramer contains two helical regions that

contact the CBDs. The two helices bind to opposite CBDs so that each Dab2[pep] binds across both CBDs in

the tetramer. The modest interface between the two CBDs in the tetramer suggests that two Dab2[pep]s

promote myosin VI dimerization by tethering two myosin VI molecules in close proximity to each other,

disrupting the interaction of the CBD to the myosin VI head domain and promoting myosin VI

dimerization at various sites along its tail domain (4, 16, 17). Whether Dab2[pep] directly competes with

the interaction between the CBD and the myosin VI head is unknown. ITC measurements indicate that

the majority of the binding affinity for the CBD lies in the N-terminal helix of Dab2[pep] (17). Taken

together, these observations suggest that light-induced uncaging of the N-terminal region of two

Dab2[pep] peptides may be sufficient to control myosin VI dimerization. Furthermore, the 2:2

stoichiometry of the CBD_2:$Dab2^{pep}_2$ tetramer results in a binding reaction dependent on the square of

the concentration of Dab2[pep], which should amplify the switching capability of our design.

Figure 1: Design of LOVDab. (**A**) *Left and center*: The myosin VI CBD co-crystalized with Dab2[pep] in two orientations illustrating how two Dab2[pep] molecules straddle the CBDs. *Right*: View of N-terminal Dab2[pep]:CBD binding interface with aromatic residues shown in sticks. (**B**) Diagram of desired function of LOVDab. In the dark, Dab2[pep] is prevented from binding myosin VI. When excited by blue light, the A'α and Jα helices of LOV2 unfold, releasing Dab2[pep] to recruit myosin VI to the membrane. An N-terminal tag targets LOVDab to a specific organelle in the cell. (**C**) Domain architecture of EGFP-LOVDab with the sequences of LOVDab[+ctrl] and LOVDab at the fusion site. (**D**) Recruitment assay from (**B**) performed using the constructs in (**C**). LOVDab[+ctrl] does not cage Dab2[pep] in the dark whereas LOVDab only recruits myosin VI to the mitochondria in the lit state. Scale bar: 5 μm. (**E**) Alignment of LOV2 with Dab2[pep]:CBD crystal structure (with zoom to boxed region on right) suggests LOVDab obstructs myosin VI binding to Dab2[pep] in the dark. Dark blue: A'α helix. (**F**) Time course of dark state photorecovery of constructs in (**B**) measured by circular dichroism (CD) at 222nm normalized to their respective values in the dark state. At time = 0 sec the LOV2 domains are fully excited and allowed to recover in the dark.

98   Myosin VI cargo proteins tether myosin VI to membrane-bound organelles *in vivo.* Accordingly, we

99  tested our designs using an assay that would recruit myosin VI to various membranes in the cell (**Figure**

100  **1B**). Mitochondria were an ideal first choice for such an assay since proteins can be targeted to the

101  mitochondrial membrane by fusing the Tom70 transmembrane helix (Tom70^{helix}) to their N-termini (30).

102  Additionally, myosin VI is not known to act on mitochondria, and overexpression of myosin VI in the cell

103  should therefore not lead to increased background binding of myosin VI to this organelle. We thus

104  cotransfected HeLa cells with Tom70^{helix}-Fluorescent Protein (FP)-LOVDab and -LOVDab$_{+ctrl}$ fusion

105  proteins and full-length human myosin VI tagged with a complimentary FP on its N-terminus. Because

106  the absorption λ_{max} of EGFP is similar to that of LOV2, we alternate which of two FPs, mCherry or EGFP,

107  is on myosin VI and which is on LOVDab. The protein we intend to watch in both the light and the dark

108  states of LOV2 is fused to mCherry in order to avoid simultaneous excitation of LOV2.

109   We first tested whether Dab2^{pep} is sufficient to recruit full-length myosin VI using an uncaged,

110  constitutively open fusion of Dab2^{pep} to the C-terminus of the LOV2 Jα helix (**Figure 1C**). Residues 674-

111  679 of Dab2^{pep} are mostly glycine and serine, suggesting that these residues could serve as a flexible

112  linker between the LOV2 domain and the remaining residues in Dab2^{pep}. This flexibility should prevent

113  caging of the myosin VI binding site on Dab2^{pep} even when the Jα helix is folded in the dark. As expected,

114  LOV2 fused to the full Dab2^{pep} sequence recruits myosin VI to mitochondria in the dark (**Figure 1D**).

115  Myosin VI is seen on puncta that correspond to the mitochondria visualized in the LOVDab$_{+ctrl}$ channel.

116  We refer to this construct as LOVDab$_{+ctrl}$ (**Figure 1C**), since it is always myosin VI binding-competent.

117   Having established that Dab2^{pep} is sufficient for myosin VI recruitment, we next sought to re-

118  engineer LOVDab$_{+ctrl}$ to have light-dependent binding. Our strategy focuses on caging the aromatic

119  residues F680, Y683, and F684 on the Dab2$^{pep'}$s N-terminal helix that are buried upon CBD binding

120  (**Figure 1A**, right panel). These three residues must be close enough to the Jα helix that they are

121  sterically prevented from binding the CBD when the Jα helix is folded in the LOV2 dark state. This

criterion is accomplished by reducing the number of intervening residues while maintaining a

continuous helix encompassing the Jα helix and the N-terminal helix of Dab2[pep]. An additional variable is

helical registry which controls the relative orientation of the Jα and the Dab2[pep] helices, and therefore

the angle of the CBD-binding residues in Dab2[pep] with respect to the LOV2 domain. Additionally,

disruption of the interaction between I539 on the Jα helix and the LOV2 core partially unfolds the Jα

helix (41). These constraints together limit the amount of Jα sequence we can modify.

We tested a small battery of constructs for light-dependent myosin VI binding using our

mitochondrial recruitment assay (**Figure 1B**). One construct, which we refer to as LOVDab (**Figure 1C**),

exhibits robust recruitment of myosin VI in response to light (**Figure 1D**). As intended, Tom70[helix]-FP-

LOVDab localizes to the surface of mitochondria, which are visible as puncta (**Figure 1D**). When the LOV2

is in the dark state, mCherry-myosin VI fluorescence shows a diffuse, cytosolic signature indicating that

it is unbound and diffuse throughout the cytosol. Upon LOV2 activation via whole cell illumination with a

blue, 488 nm laser, the myosin VI fluorescence is depleted from the cytosol and forms puncta

corresponding to the mitochondria where Tom70[helix]-FP-LOVDab resides (**Figure 1D**). Thus, LOVDab

exhibits light-dependent binding to myosin VI.

Small deviations from this sequence abolish this light-dependent recruitment. LOVDab lacking K544

shows minor switching that was often accompanied with high dark state binding. LOVDab lacking both

A543 and K544 shows weak, constitutive binding, indicating that moving the Dab2[pep] closer to the LOV2

domain beyond these residues destabilized the Jα helix. The insertion of residues either C-terminal to

K545 in the LOV2 sequence, or N-terminal to S678 in the LOVDab sequence, even when making

compensatory insertions or deletions in the opposite fusion protein to maintain the myosin VI CBD

binding site on the identical face of the Jα helix, prevents caging of Dab2[pep]. Adding S678 of Dab2[pep] to

LOVDab produced a construct with similar switching as LOVDab. Following the predicted register of the

helix, this fusion would position F680, a residue that is buried in the Dab2[pep]:CBD interface (**Figure 1A**),

facing toward the Hβ-Iβ loop of LOV2. However, this construct shows a small level of dark state binding,

so we continued our study with LOVDab.

Both LOVDab and LOVDab$_{+ctrl}$ contain the double mutation T406A/T407A on the A'α helix. This

double mutation stabilizes the Jα helix by increasing the helicity of the neighboring A'α helix, which in

turn improves caging of peptides fused to the Jα helix (30, 36). Modeling using the crystal structure of

LOV2 and that of the Dab2$^{pep}_2$:CBD$_2$ complex suggests that LOVDab blocks Dab2^{pep} from binding to the

CBD of myosin VI by sterically occluding the CBD from its binding site on Dab2^{pep} (**Figure 1E**).

Interestingly, the largest steric clash is between the CBD and the A'α helix, suggesting that both terminal

helices contribute directly to the caging of Dab2^{pep}. In contrast, the flexible Dab2^{pep} residues 674-679 in

LOV2Dab$^{pep}_{+ctrl}$ make this steric clash unlikely. This clash, together with the placement of Dab2^{pep} F680 in

the LOV2 L546 position in LOVDab (42), may explain why this construct shows such robust light-

dependent recruitment.

We further probed the structural changes in LOVDab and LOVDab$_{+ctrl}$ using circular dichroism (CD) at

222 nm to interrogate the light triggered unfolding of the Jα helix and the uncaging of Dab2^{pep}. In order

to better replicate the protein used in the *in vivo* assays, we fused an EGFP to the N-termini of LOVDab

and LOVDab$_{+ctrl}$ for our CD measurements. The observed 25% fractional change in helicity in EGFP-

LOVDab$_{+ctrl}$ compares well with our previously measured values for the LOV2 domain (36), suggesting

that fusion to either EGFP or Dab2^{pep} does not alter the extent of conformational change. We expect

that Dab2^{pep} forms a helical extension of the Jα helix in LOVDab, whereas Dab2^{pep} is likely unfolded in

EGFP-LOVDab$_{+ctrl}$, as Dab2^{pep}'s intrinsic helicity is only 0.64% (43). Consistent with this finding, the

fractional change in helicity is smaller in EGFP-LOVDab than in EGFP-LOVDab$_{+ctrl}$ (**Figure 1F**), in part

driven by the 20% higher intrinsic helicity of EGFP-LOVDab over EGFP-LOVDab$_{+ctrl}$. This decrease in

apparent unfolding of the Jα helix supports the notion that the Dab2^{pep} is more tightly caged in LOVDab

than in LOVDab$_{+ctrl}$.

Photoswitchable cargo recruits myosin VI to diverse membrane compartments in the cell.

One benefit of optogenetic approaches is their ability to control proteins at a subcellular level. Using a

focused laser beam, myosin VI recruitment via LOVDab was performed selectively on subcellular regions

(<5 μm in diameter) in a reversible and repeatable manner (**Figures 2A-C, Video 1**). Overlaying the helix

recovery CD trace of LOVDab on the mitochondrial fluorescence (**Figures 2C**) shows that myosin VI

unbinds from the mitochondria at a similar rate to the Jα helix refolding in the dark, indicating that

myosin VI recruitment is occurring through our LOV2 construct.

To test the generalizability of this recruitment, we targeted LOVDab to two other membranes, the

plasma membrane. By fusing LOVDab to beta-2-adrenergic receptor (B2AR), we demonstrate

recruitment of myosin VI to the plasma membrane in response to light (**Figures 2D,E**, **Video 2**). The flat

shape of the HeLa cell makes it more challenging to observe the contrast in cytosolic versus membrane-

bound myosin VI as compared to that with mitochondria in the previous assay. Therefore, LOVDab is a

robust recruiter of myosin VI.

Light-dependent recruitment of myosin VI stalls peroxisomes.

Peroxisome movement is highly coupled to microtubule dynamics (44). Myosin V has previously

been shown to slow the redistribution of peroxisomes upon recruitment of constitutively active kinesin-

2, causing the peroxisomes to accumulate near the cell boundary. These observations suggest that

myosins are capable of stalling and/or decoupling peroxisomes from microtubule machinery (45). We

reasoned that if recruiting myosin VI to peroxisomes alters their motion inside the cell, this assay could

determine whether LOVDab can activate myosin VI upon its recruitment. We targeted LOVDab and

LOVDab$_{+ctrl}$ to peroxisomes by fusing the Pex3 membrane targeting domain (Pex3^{MTD}) to its N-terminus

(46). We find that among cells co-transfected with Pex3^{MTD}-FP-LOV2Dab2$^{pep}_{+ctrl}$ and myosin VI, cells

Figure 2. LOVDab robustly recruits myosin VI to different membranes within the cell in a light dependent manner. (**A-C**) Spot-specific, light-dependent recruitment of myosin VI to mitochondria. (**A**) Images of cell showing spot-dependent (blue arrow) recruitment of myosin VI. As cell is left in dark, myosin VI unbinds. Scale bar: 5 μm. (**B**) Fluorescence intensity line scan across red line in (**A**) over time. (**C**) Mean fluorescence in spot peak in (**A**) quantified over sequential pulses of light shows myosin VI recruitment is reversible and repeatable. Overlayed circular dichroism (CD) trace of EGFP-LOVDab photorecovery indicates that the myosin unbinding rate is similar to the refolding rate of the Jα helix in LOVDab. (**D**) Light-dependent recruitment of myosin VI to the plasma membrane. *Bottom row*: expanded view. Line is approximately 3 μm (see (**E**)). (**E**) Quantification of fluorescence of line in Panel (**D**). Fluorescence increases near cell edge where the plasma membrane is in focus.

having high myosin VI concentration exhibit constitutive binding between LOV2Dab2$^{pep}_{+ctrl}$ and myosin

VI. In contrast, wild type peroxisomes do not show significant binding of myosin VI (**Figures 3A,B**).

Significantly, peroxisomes that bind myosin VI have overall slower velocities than wild type peroxisomes,

supporting previous data showing that myosin V is capable of stalling microtubule-directed transport of

these organelles (45).

We next targeted LOVDab to peroxisomes, and were able to achieve reversible, light-dependent

recruitment of myosin VI to this organelle (**Figures 4A,B**; **Video 3**). Furthermore, the recruitment occurs

only to peroxisomes coated in LOVDab. Recruitment did not appear to be sufficient to deplete myosin VI

from other vesicles in the cell, suggesting that our design does not impact native myosin VI activity.

Lit peroxisomes move more slowly, presumably because the recruited myosin VIs act as a dynamic

tension sensor that stalls and anchors peroxisomes to the actin network (47). Reduced peroxisome

movement is evident from projections of maximum pixel values from consecutive blocks of 24-25

frames (46-48 seconds), as the fast moving peroxisomes form blurred patterns that coalesce into

compact puncta in the light (**Figure 4C**, **Video 4**). After three minutes in the dark, the peroxisome

motility resumes, as indicated by the blurred patterns reappearing. We therefore conclude that LOVDab

is capable of reversibly activating myosin VI *in vivo*.

Activation of myosin VI in an *in vitro* gliding filament assay.

Myosin VI could halt peroxisome movements by binding to actin filaments either with or without motor

activity. In order to directly demonstrate activation of myosin VI motility by Dab2^{pep}, we designed a

modified gliding filament assay whereby we anchor an EGFP-LOV2-Dab2^{pep} fusion protein to the surface

of a glass coverslip through an anti-GFP antibody (3E6). We then perfused soluble mCherry-myosin VI

with actin together (**Figure 5A**). This design allows us to interrogate myosin VI activation without

altering its C-terminal cargo binding domain.

Figure 3. Myosin VI is recruited to peroxisomes via Dab2[pep]. (**A**) The membrane targeting domain of Pex3 (Pex3[MTD]) is used to express either mCherry-LOVDab[+ctrl] or mCherry only (Wild type). Top two rows: Cells with peroxisomes containing LOVDab[+ctrl] bind EGFP-myosin VI. Bottom two rows: Wild type peroxisomes lacking LOVDab[+ctrl] do not bind myosin VI. The 2nd and 4th rows are expanded views of boxed regions in the 1st and 3rd rows, respectively. Scale bars: 5 μm (1 μm expanded). (**B**) Quantification of overlap between peroxisome and myosin VI channels confirms significant recruitment of myosin VI to peroxisomes labeled with LOVDab[+ctrl] (mean ± SEM, $p \ll .01$, t-test; wild type, n=3; LOV2Dab2[pep][+ctrl], n=6). (**C**) Peroxisome frame-to-frame velocities are markedly reduced in cells expressing Pex3[MTD]-mCherry- LOVDab[+ctrl]. Inset shows distributions for each cell used in analysis. Main graph shows distributions pooling all peroxisome data from these cells.

Figure 4. LOVDab reversibly recruits EGFP-myosin VI to peroxisomes in a light-dependent manner, which causes them to stall. (**A**) Images of cell showing light-dependent recruitment of myosin VI to peroxisomes. *Top row*: whole cell view. *Bottom row*: expanded image of boxed region. Vesicles showing light-dependent recruitment (red arrows) correspond to peroxisomes (right image) while vesicles binding myosin VI in the dark do not (white arrows). Thus, light-dependent recruitment occurs through LOVDab. Scale bars: 5 μm (1 μm expanded). (**B**) Quantification of fluorescence of vesicles labelled in (**A**). The red line corresponds to red arrowed vesicle; black line to the white labeled vesicle. (**C**) Maximum intensity projections over 48-50 seconds of peroxisome movement in a cell expressing EGFP-myosin VI and Pex3^{MTD}-mCherry-LOVDab. Peroxisomes moving diffusively appear blurred out and occupy expanded areas. Restricted peroxisomes occupy compact spaces (see right picture, middle row). As LOVDab is activated, peroxisomes stall, displaying more limited excursions. Motions resume after peroxisomes are left in the dark (3 minute delay between middle and bottom row). Projections constructed from consecutive blocks of 24-25 two second frames. Each row is from separate video; time increases down and to the right. Scale bar, 1μm.

Figure 5. *In vitro* gliding filament assay indicates that LOVDab activates full-length human myosin VI in response to light. (**A**) Diagram of the modified gliding filament assay. EGFP-LOVDab is attached to the coverslip surface using an anti-GFP antibody. Soluble myosin VI is recruited to the surface by Dab2[pep] and translates actin filaments. (**B**) Quantification of actin binding to surface over time for LOVDab. Recorded in multiple videos. Slide 1 was prepared and excited throughout second video. Slide 2 was left in the dark for all three recordings. Pictures underneath correspond to indicated time points in red curve. Below, matching time points for a representative slide coated with LOVDab+ctrl are included for comparison. (**C**) Filament velocities in different conditions. Salt significantly alters filament velocity while velocities for LOVDab and LOVDab+ctrl are similar (mean + SEM; first column, n=4; others, n=3). (**D**) *Upper row*: in high salt, actin releases from coverslip when free myosin and actin are washed out of the slide. *Lower row*: the I539E mutation unfolds the Jα helix, acting as a constitutive lit state mutant. This mutation allows LOVDab to recruit actin to the surface in high salt.

221 We find that full-length human myosin VI purified in a low salt ([KCl] = 25mM) MOPS buffer propels

222 filaments at 170 nm/sec when recruited by LOVDab$_{+ctrl}$. This velocity agrees well with published values

223 (12, 48). Under these conditions, LOVDab$_{+ctrl}$ recruits actin to the surface rapidly in the dark (**Figure 5B**,

224 lower panels; **Video 5**).

225 When the coverslip is coated with LOVDab, myosin VI only recruits actin to the surface after the

226 LOV2 is excited with blue light (**Figure 5B**, **Video 6**). After 400 seconds of excitation, the coverslip surface

227 is not yet saturated with actin, indicating that the actin deposits at a slower rate than with LOVDab$_{+ctrl}$-

228 coated coverslips. The velocity of the actin filaments recruited through LOVDab is similar to that of

229 filaments recruited through LOVDab$_{+ctrl}$ (**Figure 5C**). This latter finding suggests that both constructs fully

230 activate myosin VI. The slower deposition rate for LOVDab may be due to the lower availability of the

231 Dab2^{pep} in LOVDab over LOVDab$_{+ctrl}$, leading to fewer recruited myosin VI motors. It could also reflect the

232 selective recruitment of microaggregates of myosin VI by LOVDab, which would diffuse to the surface

233 more slowly than monomeric myosin VI (see below).

234 For both constructs, myosin VI appears to be recruited to the surface irreversibly, as once the LOV2

235 was activated, actin did not release from the slide (**Figure 5B**, lower panels). To determine whether this

236 irreversibility was due to the high affinity of Dab2^{pep} for the myosin VI CBD, we attempted to invert the

237 gliding filament assay to directly observe myosin VI walking on actin. However, we find that myosin VI

238 purified in low salt solutions forms soluble microaggregates which are visible as diffusing clusters in the

239 TIRF microscope. Testing a series of buffers, we find that myosin VI prepared in a high salt (KCl = 150

240 mM) Tris buffer does not form visible microaggregates. Myosin VI prepared in this second buffer is

241 active in the gliding filament assay when LOVDab$_{+ctrl}$ is on the surface. Consistent with a reduction in the

242 myosin:actin affinity in high salt, filaments recruited to the surface in this assay have a significantly

243 reduced velocity (**Figure 5C**). This myosin also did not perform in the TIRF assay, either because the

244 concentration needed to dimerize the myosin VI using cargo was prohibitively high for detection using

TIRF, or because of the well-documented decrease in affinity between myosins and actin in high salt

conditions (49, 50).

Unlike in low salt conditions, washing out free actin and myosin VI from the slide results in the slow

release of myosin VI from LOVDab$_{+ctrl}$ in high salt. (**Figure 5D**) Together, the high off-rate of myosin VI

from the mitochondria and the reversibility in the high salt myosin VI preparation suggest that the

irreversibility seen in the gliding filament assays using myosin VI prepared in low salt was primarily due

to the presence of myosin VI microaggregates. However, without the high avidity of the myosin VI

microaggregates, we do not observe recruitment of actin to the surface via LOVDab under high salt

conditions. We postulate that the lack of myosin VI recruitment to LOVDab *in vitro* is due to the

engineered caging effect of the LOV2 domain on Dab2^{pep} in the LOVDab construct. This effect could

weaken the effective K_D for binding of Dab2^{pep} to myosin VI to a point where even in the light, the

myosin VI cannot be recruited at concentrations where it is soluble in our high salt buffers. Alternatively,

the lifetime of the LOVDab:myosin VI:actin complex may be too short to propel the actin filaments.

Importantly, LOVDab containing an I539E point mutation that unfolds the Jα helix (41) constitutively

recruited actin in the presence of high salt myosin VI, proving that LOVDab is inherently capable of

activating myosin VI (**Figure 5D**).

We also tested whether a LOV2 domain fused to the myosin VI-binding residues (412-520) of

optineurin (OPTN) could activate myosin VI in our gliding filament assay. Though EGFP-LOV2-OPTN$_{(412-520)}$

migrates as a dimer in size exclusion chromatography (**Figure 5 – figure supplement 1**), it does not

activate myosin VI. Lack of activation is consistent with recent studies showing that the large insert in

the myosin VI tail domain, which is present in our construct, occludes the OPTN binding site (21).

Furthermore, OPTN must be ubiquitinated prior to binding myosin VI (20).

Multiple signals contribute to myosin VI activation

Other factors that may contribute to the differences in our *in vivo* and *in vitro* assays include the lack of

Ca^{2+} and PI(4,5)P$_2$ in the *in vitro* assays, both of which are known to bind myosin VI (23, 24). At myosin VI

concentrations too low to interact with LOVDab$_{+ctrl}$, the addition of PI(4,5)P$_2$ rescues recruitment of actin

to the coverslip (**Figure 6A**). This rescue requires the presence of Ca^{2+} ions, suggesting that the three

signals, PI(4,5)P$_2$, Ca^{2+} and Dab2, are integrated to activate myosin VI in the proper time and place in the

cell.

This signal integration could explain recruitment of myosin VI to the Golgi via LOVDab with the KDEL

receptor (KDELR). Overexpression of KDELR seems to be cytotoxic. At low expression levels KDELR-FP-

LOVDab recruits myosin VI to the Golgi apparatus in a light-dependent manner (**Figure 6B,C; Video 7**).

However, the amount of myosin VI binding to each LOVDab-labeled membrane does not correlate with

the amount of LOVDab on that membrane (**Figure 6B-D**). This lack of correlation cannot be explained by

limiting myosin VI since when light is applied to the cell, additional myosin VI binds to each membrane.

Thus, other factors on these membranes must alter the myosin VI affinity for its protein cargo Dab2^{pep},

even between similar membranes. Together, these data support a new model of myosin VI activation

whereby myosin VI integrates multiple cargo signals to deploy in a site-specific manner in the cell

(**Figure 6E**, see discussion below). The presence of these signals *in vivo* also may explain why myosin VI is

activated by LOVDab *in vivo* but not in our *in vitro* gliding filament assay.

Discussion

Myosin VI is the only myosin that walks toward the pointed end of actin filaments (5, 6). This property

gives it many unique roles throughout the cell including endocytosis, cell migration, and autophagy (51).

Furthermore, the mechanism for myosin VI activation is still highly debated (13). To create a tool that

could help address these questions, we engineered an optogenetic activator of myosin VI, LOVDab.

Figure 6. Myosin VI integrates cargo signals to deploy in site-specific manner. (**A**) At concentrations of mCherry2B-Myosin VI (prepared in physiological KCl conditions, see text) too low for LOVDab$_{+ctrl}$ to recruit actin to the coverslip (- liposomes, bottom), addition of artificial liposomes containing 5% PI(4,5)P$_2$ sensitizes full-length myosin VI to Dab2^{pep} cargo, rescuing recruitment of actin to the surface (+ liposomes, top). (**B**) LOVDab was targeted to the Golgi apparatus using a KDEL receptor (KDELR) with an R5Q/D193N double mutation to promote retention in the Golgi. White arrows highlight peripheral Golgi that exceptionally recruits myosin VI. Red arrows highlight portions of perinuclear Golgi stacks, some of which recruit myosin VI well (right arrow) whereas others have minor switching (left arrow). Scale bar: 5μm. (**C**) Mean fluorescence in different areas of the cell described in (**B**). Perinuclear Golgi fluorescence is the mean of all perinuclear stacks. Cytosolic fluorescence is anticorrelated with Golgi fluorescence, indicating depletion of myosin VI from the cytosol upon excitation of LOVDab. Nuclear fluorescence is static and indicates the noise level of the measurement. (**D**) The amount of myosin VI binding is not correlated with the amount of LOVDab on the different Golgi stacks, indicating other factors in the cell must alter the myosin VI:LOVDab affinity on the different membranes. (**E**) Model of myosin VI cargo integration in the cell. Alternative splicing biases myosin VI isoforms to different cargo proteins. Protein cargo recruits myosin VI isoforms to specific locations in the cell and determines its oligomeric state. Lipid, Ca^{2+}, and other cargoes help myosin VI sense the environment, modulating its affinity for cargo, as seen in (**A-D**).

Previous optogenetic efforts to control motors recruited constitutively active, truncated, forced-dimer

motor proteins (52, 53). These designs provide an excellent means of laser-induced force-generation *in*

vivo, and allow researchers to use members of each of the three classes of motor proteins. Our LOVDab

differs in that it controls myosin VI through its native activation mechanism without any alterations to

the myosin itself. Thus, our approach allows for direct tests of myosin VI function and control in cellular

contexts. Additionally, since full-length myosin VI is auto-inhibited when not bound to cargo, our

method couples recruitment and motor activity, preventing potential adverse effects on cytoskeletal

systems when exogenous myosin VI is expressed *in vivo*.

Curiously, LOVDab places the residue F680 of Dab2[pep] (res. 674-711) in the L546 position of LOV2,

which Guntas *et al* observed to bind to a hydrophobic pocket in the non-FMN binding face of the β sheet

of LOV2 (42). When optimizing their LOV2-based recruitment system, "improved Light Inducible Dimer

(iLID)", they noticed their optimal switch had a key phenylalanine residue that bound this pocket.

Another parallel between our designs is a shortened photorecovery time. Their optimal construct

exhibits a photorecovery time with $\tau \approx 22$ seconds relative to the wild type value of 80 seconds (36).

Similarly, LOVDab exhibits a $\tau = 15$ seconds compared to LOVDab$_{+ctrl}$ which has a mean $\tau = 47$ seconds,

much closer to the recorded value for LOV2 406A/407A of 56 seconds (37). The relative difference in

photorecovery times are evident from the rates of Jα helix reversion in **Figure 1D**. Given the relative

intolerance of LOVDab to deviations of F680 from this position, these results suggest that this

hydrophobic pocket may be of general importance for caging peptides on the C-terminus of LOV2.

Furthermore, a quickened dark state reversion time may highlight a key role of the L546 pocket in the

overall conformational dynamics of the LOV2 domain. In any case, it seems that placing the Dab2[pep]

F680 in this pocket contributed to robust, light-dependent recruitment of myosin VI by LOVDab.

We demonstrated that LOVDab is capable of recruiting myosin VI in a light-dependent manner to

mitochondrial, plasma, Golgi and peroxisomal membranes. Strictly speaking, however, binding of

myosin VI to Dab2[pep] is not equivalent to activation. Activation requires the release of autoinhibition of

full-length myosin VI, allowing it to interact with actin in either a transport (54) or anchoring role (18). In

order to demonstrate activation of myosin VI *in vivo*, we showed that myosin VI recruited to

peroxisomes via our engineered positive control construct LOVDab$_{+ctrl}$ stalls these organelles. Similarly,

upon activation with light, LOVDab reversibly recruits myosin VI at high concentrations in the cell,

stalling peroxisomes. These findings indicate that upon recruitment by LOVDab, myosin VI is capable of

interacting with actin strongly enough to decouple peroxisomal motion from the underlying

microtubule-based processes that drive their native behavior (44). This anchoring strongly suggests that

LOVDab is capable of activating myosin VI *in vivo*.

In order to verify activation of myosin VI directly, we developed a modified *in vitro* gliding filament

assay in which myosin VI is recruited to the coverslip via LOVDab or LOVDab$_{+ctrl}$. Myosin VI purified

under either high or low salt conditions is active when recruited through LOVDab$_{+ctrl}$. Moreover, at low

concentrations of myosin VI, PI(4,5)P$_2$ -containing liposomes enhance myosin VI's affinity for LOVDab$_{+ctrl}$

(**Figure 6A**). Therefore, membranes enriched in PI(4,5)P$_2$ (55) would enhance myosin VI's effective

affinity to its cargo protein Dab2[pep]. This enhancement requires Ca^{2+}, supporting recent studies

suggesting that Ca^{2+} transients could play a role in regulating myosin VI function (23, 24). Moreover,

when LOVDab is targeted to the Golgi apparatus, the amount of myosin VI bound does not scale with

the amount of LOVDab on a given stack. Therefore, local differences modulate myosin VI's ability to bind

these organelles. One cause for this difference could be in the concentrations of PI4P on the different

stacks. Though myosin VI binds more strongly to PI(4,5)P$_2$, it also shows significant binding to PI4P (23),

which is found on the Golgi (55). Another source of diversity is likely in Ca^{2+} transients, as secretory

pathway organelles such as the Golgi are rich in Ca^{2+} (56). The cisternae in the Golgi have different

luminal Ca^{2+} concentrations based on their developmental stage and can differentially release Ca^{2+} (57).

Together with our work, these data suggest that even within a cell, myosin VI integrates protein, lipid,

and Ca^{2+} signals to perform its function in a site-specific manner.

Our findings therefore support a new model of myosin VI activation where, rather than having a

given cargo for a given function, myosin VI integrates multiple signals on a given membrane to modulate

binding and motor activation (**Figure 6E**). Regulation through splicing biases myosin VI to certain protein

cargo adaptors (21). The protein cargos regulate the localization of myosin VI in the cell, and may also be

the primary determinant of myosin VI's oligomeric state (4). Once on-site, secondary cargo signals such

as lipids or Ca^{2+} may fine-tune myosin VI's activity at that location by increasing myosin VI's effective

affinity for its substrate, driving local activation (24).

LOVDab is a unique optogenetic tool in that it activates full-length myosin VI without altering the

myosin itself, allowing for direct inferences on myosin VI activity and regulation *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Our

approach here could guide similar optogenetic efforts to control native systems with minimal

perturbation. LOVDab exhibits robust, light-dependent myosin VI recruitment to many different

organelles, and myosin binding to LOVDab propels actin filaments in vitro. Using LOVDab, we discovered

evidence for a new activation model for human myosin VI, whereby myosin VI integrates its activation

signals to obtain a site-specific mode of activation. How each of these cargos are integrated, and how

this integration is reflected structurally in myosin VI, are outstanding questions. In particular, the

relation between the oligomeric state of myosin VI and its site-specific roles in the cell, and how this is

impacted by different cargos, is not entirely known. We believe that LOVDab will be an extremely useful

tool for answering these and additional questions about how this important motor protein functions in

the cell.

Materials and methods

Plasmid construction

For protein purification, plasmids encoding LOV2-Dab2[pep] fusion proteins were engineered within a pHISparallel1 vector encoding a modular -/NdeI/-His6-Protein G-/AgeI/-EGFP-/EcoRI/-LOV2-Dab2[pep]-/XhoI/- that allowed for facile swapping of domains via ligation. Full-length myosin VI was incorporated into baculovirus for expression in SF9 cells using the BestBac system by Expression Systems (Nevada, USA) according to the manufacturer's guidelines. An mCherry2B (58) with an N-terminal FLAG tag was first incorporated at the myosin VI N-terminus within the pET vector (see below) prior to insertion into a modified pBlueBac plasmid via SLiCE cloning due to the large sizes of the fragments (59). We fused mCherry2B to its to improve solubility, reduce dimerization, and increase fluorescence of the protein over mCherry (58).

For live cell imaging, LOV2-Dab2[pep] plasmid constructs were created for transfection using ligations from a modular pEGFP vector containing the general sequence -/NheI/-membrane tag-/AgeI/-FP-/EcoRI/-LOV2-Dab2[pep]-/BamHI/- Membrane tags include: for mitochondria, the mitochondrial outer membrane targeting sequence of yeast Tom70[helix] (res. 1-40); for the plasma membrane, full length golden hamster Beta-2-Adrenergic Receptor (B2AR); for the Golgi Apparatus, full-length human KDEL receptor containing a R5Q/D193N double mutation to improve retention in the Golgi (60, 61); and for peroxisomes, the N-terminal targeting sequence of human Pex3 (res. 1-42) (Pex3[MTD]) with a (GGS)x3 linker (46, 62). Tom70[helix] and B2AR were generous gifts from M. Glotzer. KDELR and Pex3[MTD] were constructed from gBlocks ordered from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA). Full-length human myosin VI, isoform 1 (a generous gift from M. Zhang) was expressed in their modified pET vector containing a sequence encoding -/NheI/-FP-/XhoI/-myosin VI-/NotI/-. Fluorescent proteins were exchanged via ligation.

Protein purification

LOV2-containing constructs were purified as described previously (36). Briefly, constructs were

expressed in E. coli with an (His)$_6$-Protein G fusion to their N-terminus, followed by a TEV protease

restriction site. After elution from the Ni-NTA column, proteins were dialyzed against a TEV protease

cleavage buffer (50 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, pH = 8.0) before or during the cleavage reaction.

EDTA and DTT were removed by dialysis prior to passing the cleaved protein through a regenerated Ni-

NTA column. Proteins were then concentrated and ran on a size exclusion column (HiPrep 16/60 S-100

HR, GE Healthcare Life Sciences) that was equilibrated in the relevant assay buffer.

Full-length human myosin VI with an N-terminal FLAG-mCherry2B fusion was incorporated into

baculovirus as discussed above. SF9 cells were infected at a 1:1 MOI and proteins were harvested from

SF9 cells 58-72 hours post infection. The cells were re-suspended in Lysis buffer (see below for recipes)

containing 2 mM ATP, and a cocktail of protease inhibitors including 1mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride

(PMSF) and 10μg/mL each of: aprotinin, leupeptin, chymostatin, and pepstatin A. The cells were lysed by

douncing and nutated for 40 minutes at 4°C to allow the myosin VI to diffuse out of the cells. The lysate

was spun at 14,000 rpm in a Legend X1R centrifuge (F15S-8x50c rotor, Thermo Scientific) and a variable

amount of anti-FLAG resin (M2, Sigma) added to the supernatant. After incubation, the resin was spun

out of suspension at 900xg in a 5810R centrifuge (A-4-81 rotor, Eppendorf) and washed with wash

buffer (see below) containing 3 mM ATP, a variable amount of Calmodulin, and protease inhibitors.

Resin was repelleted using the same procedure and re-suspended in wash buffer before transferred to a

drip column where the resin was further washed. Resin was then incubated with elution buffer (wash

buffer + 0.2 mg/mL FLAG peptide) for 1 hour. Protein was eluted and dialyzed against wash buffer

containing no ATP or protease inhibitors. In the low salt preps (see text) the lysis buffer was 50 mM

Tris·HCl, 150 mM KCl, 0.5 mM DTT, and 0.1% Triton X-100, pH = 7.7. The wash buffer was 20 mM

imidazole, 150 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM EGTA, and 0.5 mM DTT, pH = 7.5. The

storage/dialysis buffer was either AB: 25mM imidazole, 25 mM KCl, 4 mM Mg_2Cl_2, 1mM EGTA, 2mM

DTT, pH = 7.5; or KMg25: 10 mM MOPS, 25 mM KCl, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, and 1 mM DTT, pH = 7.0.

For the high salt prep, the lysis buffer was 50 mM Tris·HCl, 150 mM KCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 4

mM $MgCl_2$, 0.5 mM DTT, and 0.1% Triton X-100, pH = 7.3, and the final dialysis/assay buffer was 50mM

Tris·HCl, 150 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1mM EGTA, 5 mM MgCl2, and 0.5 mM DTT (1 mM DTT for final

dialysis), pH = 7.3. For both preps, buffers were chilled to 0-4°C before use. pH values were set at room

temperature.

Protein purity was assessed using SDS-PAGE. In the case of LOV2-containing constructs, protein

quality was further assessed using UV-Vis spectroscopy to measure the FMN photorecovery rate and

circular dichroism (CD) (see below) to measure the fractional change in helicity of the protein, as done

previously in our lab (36). The UV-Vis spectroscopy was carried out on an Olis HP 8452 Diode Array

Spectrophotometer (Bogart, GA). The recovery of FMN absorbance at 448 nm was fit to a single

exponential using MATLAB to obtain the FMN dark state recovery time constant, τ_{FMN}. Circular dichroism

(CD) was performed on a Jasco 715 spectrophotometer and a 40W white LED lamp (BT DWNLT A,

TheLEDLight.com) was used to excite the LOV2 domain in the cuvette for ≥ 12 seconds for photo

excitation. The refolding traces at 222 nm were fit to a single exponential using MATLAB and the

fractional change in helicity in the protein as $\delta_{222} = (CD_{222,dark} - CD_{222,light})/CD_{222,dark}$.

Gliding filament assay

Nitrocellulose-coated coverslips were first coated in anti-GFP antibody (clone 3E6 MP Biomedicals,

California, USA) at 0.25 μg/mL in PBS. Following this, coverslips were blocked using 1 mg/mL BSA (ELISA

grade, EMD Millipore) and the antibody saturated using EGFP-LOV2-Dab2[pep] at 1 μM. After this, the slide

was washed using KMg25 and a solution containing myosin VI, F-actin, and an oxygen scavenging system

(0.45% glucose (w/v) 0.5% (v/v) BME, 432 μg/mL glucose oxidase, and 72 μg/mL catalase) (63) was

perfused. Slides were then imaged using an x100, 1.65 NA objective (Olympus) on a custom-built total

internal reflection microscope employing an EMCCD camera (iXon; Andor Technologies). This

microscope was controlled with the open source Micro-Manager program (www.micro-manager.org).

For assays involving lipid cargo, artificial liposomes from Echelon Biosciences (Utah, USA) containing 5%

of the signaling lipid PI(4,5)P$_2$ were added to the assay buffer. The liposomes had no effect on myosin VI

activity if Ca^{2+} was not present at a total concentration of at least 1.5 mM (corresponding to a free Ca^{2+}

concentration of approximately 25 μM). The necessity of Ca^{2+} matches previous results but this

concentration is approximately tenfold lower than previously used for assessing the interaction of lipids

with the myosin VI tail domain (23).

Gliding filament velocities were calculated from at least 3 videos from at least 2 independent

preparations of myosin VI, using at least 10 filaments/video and at least 10 frames/filament. MTrackJ

was used to generate the actin tracks (64). Filaments showing smooth and continuous movement were

tracked. To quantify the length of actin on the coverslip, images were background subtracted and

thresholded to create binary images of the actin that were then skeletonized using the ImageJ function.

The sum of pixels in the images were converted to length using the pixel calibration and used as the

estimate for the length of actin on the coverslip.

Live cell assays

HeLa cells were passaged in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) from Corning (New York, USA)

supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS (Sigma, Missouri, USA) and 1x HyClone pen-strep-L-glut

(GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Utah, USA). One day prior to transfection, cells were split onto plasma

cleaned glass coverslips. Coverslips that were 80-95% confluent were washed into DMEM media lacking

supplements 10-20 minutes prior to transfection. Cells were co-transfected with a combination of

plasmids containing genes for either an FP-LOV2-Dab2^{pep} construct fused to a gene encoding an

organelle-targeting transmembrane protein at its 5' end or a gene encoding full-length human myosin VI

with either EGFP or mCherry2B (see above) at its N-terminus using Lipofectamine 2000 (Life

Technologies, Illinois, USA), according to the manufacturer's guidelines. Cells were imaged 18-24 hours

post-transfection. Confocal images were taken using a 63×, 1.4 numerical aperture (NA) objective on an

Axiovert 200M microscope (Zeiss) with a spinning disk confocal (CSU10, Yokogawa) and an electron-

multiplying charge-coupled device (EMCCD) camera (Cascade 512B, Photometrics). The microscope was

controlled using MetaMorph (Molecular Devices). TIRF images (peroxisome experiments) were taken

using the microscope used in the gliding filament assay. Videos were background subtracted using either

the rolling ball or parabolic algorithms in ImageJ (65). Quantified pixel values are plotted post-

background subtraction to match images shown.

Author Contributions

ARF, TRS and RSR designed research; ARF performed research and analyzed data, ARF, TRS and RSR

wrote the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Michael Glotzer and Margaret Gardel for sharing equipment, and members of the

Sosnick and Rock labs for helpful discussions. This work was supported by NIH R01s GM078450/S1 and

GM109863 (to RSR), GM55694 (to TRS) and NIH T32 GM007183 (to B. Glick).

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Figure 5-figure supplement 1. EGFP-LOV2 fused to the myosin VI-binding portion of Optineurin migrates as a dimer by size exclusion chromatography. Optineurin (OPTN) has previously been shown to activate full-length porcine myosin VI at much lower concentrations than a truncated portion of Dab2 containing Dab2[pep] (4). We therefore wanted to test whether the putative myosin VI binding site on OPTN (res. 412-520) could activate full-length human myosin VI in our gliding filament assay. Comparison to the elution trace of EGFP-LOVDab[+ctrl] dimerized via a GCN4 leucine zipper, OPTN[(421-520)] fused to the C-terminus of EGFP-LOV2 migrates as a dimer in our size exclusion column. This is consistent with previous reports showing OPTN is a dimer (4) and the prediction that these residues in OPTN form a coiled-coil (14, 15). However, EGFP-LOV2-OPTN[(412-520)] did not recruit actin to the surface of our gliding filament assay, seemingly inconsistent with the study showing activation of porcine myosin VI via optineurin. (4). Though OPTN binding to the myosin VI tail was shown to be large insert independent (19), one difference between porcine myosin VI and our human full length construct is that our construct contains the large insert of isoform 1. Since doing this experiment, a group of researchers have shown that myosin VI binds OPTN only after it has been ubiquitinated (20), and have solved the structure of a molecular "switch" region in the tail domain involving the long insert that occludes the OPTN binding site (21). Our results therefor support their findings.

VIDEOS

Video 1. Spot-specific recruitment of myosin VI to mitochondria. Two concatenated videos showing

subcellular recruitment of myosin VI to mitochondria in cell depicted in **Figure 2A**. Scale bar: 5 μm; time

stamp: min:sec.

Video 2. Light-dependent recruitment of myosin VI to plasma membrane. Plasma membrane is in focus

near cell edge (compare to **Figure 2D,E**). As cell is illuminated, myosin VI appears to spread from the

middle of the cell outwards, indicating binding to LOVDab on plasma membrane. Scale bar: 10 μm.

Video 3. Myosin VI is recruited to peroxisomes in a light-dependent manner. Sequential movies showing

light-dependent recruitment of myosin VI to peroxisomes in cell shown in **Figure 4A**, with subsequent

release of myosin VI in the dark. Scale bar: 5 μm. Time resets to 0 sec at the start of each video.

Video 4. Recruitment of myosin VI to peroxisomes causes them to stall. As light is applied to the cell

(**Figure 4C**), peroxisome movements slow. When the cell is returned to the dark, the peroxisomes begin

to move freely again. In the upper right, tubules are visible that occur in some cells overexpressing

peroxisome proteins. Tubules form independently of Dab2^{pep}. Scale bar: 5μm. Time stamp is min:sec and

resets to zero at the start of each movie; cell was left in the dark three minutes prior to final movie.

Video 5. Myosin VI bound to LOVDab$_{+ctrl}$ propels filaments in vivo. Gliding filament assay depicted in

Figure 5A with LOVDab$_{+ctrl}$. Video shows actin filaments propelled by myosin VI prepared in low salt,

indicating activation of myosin VI motor activity by LOVDab$_{+ctrl}$. Scale bar: 5μm; time stamp: min:sec.

Video 6. Light-dependent recruitment of actin to coverslip. Gliding filament assay depicted in **Figure 5A**

with LOVDab shows that once light is applied to the slide, actin filaments begin to come down to the

surface, indicating binding. However, actin filaments do not unbind from the coverslip when the slide is

returned to the dark. This differs from our *in vivo* experiments where myosin VI rapidly unbinds from the

membrane once the cell is returned to the dark (see **Figures 2A-C, 4B**; **Videos 1-3**). Scale bar: 5 μm; time

stamp: min:sec.

Video 7. Light-dependent recruitment of myosin VI to the Golgi apparatus. LOVDab targeted to Golgi

apparatus via KDEL receptor (R5Q/D193N) rapidly recruits myosin VI in the light and releases it in the

dark. Comparison to LOVDab channel (**Figure 6B-D**) shows that myosin VI binding is not proportional to

the amount of LOVDab on each Golgi stack. Scale bar: 5 μm; time stamp: min:sec.

REFERENCES

1.   Gautier A, Gauron C, Volovitch M, Bensimon D, Jullien L, Vriz S. How to control proteins with light in living systems. Nat Chem Biol. 2014;10(7):533-41.

2.   Fenno L, Yizhar O, Deisseroth K. The development and application of optogenetics. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2011;34:389-412.

3.   Tischer D, Weiner OD. Illuminating cell signalling with optogenetic tools. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2014;15(8):551-8.

4.   Phichith D, Travaglia M, Yang Z, Liu X, Zong AB, Safer D, et al. Cargo binding induces dimerization of myosin VI. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106(41):17320-4.

5.   Wells AL, Lin AW, Chen LQ, Safer D, Cain SM, Hasson T, et al. Myosin VI is an actin-based motor that moves backwards. Nature. 1999;401(6752):505-8.

6.   Rock RS, Rice SE, Wells AL, Purcell TJ, Spudich JA, Sweeney HL. Myosin VI is a processive motor with a large step size. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001;98(24):13655-9.

7.   Buss F, Arden SD, Lindsay M, Luzio JP, Kendrick-Jones J. Myosin VI isoform localized to clathrin-coated vesicles with a role in clathrin-mediated endocytosis. EMBO J. 2001;20(14):3676-84.

8.   Self T, Sobe T, Copeland NG, Jenkins NA, Avraham KB, Steel KP. Role of myosin VI in the differentiation of cochlear hair cells. Dev Biol. 1999;214(2):331-41.

9.   Warner CL, Stewart A, Luzio JP, Steel KP, Libby RT, Kendrick-Jones J, et al. Loss of myosin VI reduces secretion and the size of the Golgi in fibroblasts from Snell's waltzer mice. EMBO J. 2003;22(3):569-79.

10.   Tumbarello DA, Waxse BJ, Arden SD, Bright NA, Kendrick-Jones J, Buss F. Autophagy receptors link myosin VI to autophagosomes to mediate Tom1-dependent autophagosome maturation and fusion with the lysosome. Nat Cell Biol. 2012;14(10):1024-35.

11.   Heissler SM, Sellers JR. Various Themes of Myosin Regulation. J Mol Biol. 2016;428(9 Pt B):1927-

46.

12.   Lister I, Schmitz S, Walker M, Trinick J, Buss F, Veigel C, et al. A monomeric myosin VI with a

large working stroke. EMBO J. 2004;23(8):1729-38.

13.   Sweeney HL, Houdusse A. Myosin VI rewrites the rules for myosin motors. Cell.

2010;141(4):573-82.

14.   Kachaner D, Genin P, Laplantine E, Weil R. Toward an integrative view of Optineurin functions.

Cell Cycle. 2012;11(15):2808-18.

15.   Laplantine E, Fontan E, Chiaravalli J, Lopez T, Lakisic G, Veron M, et al. NEMO specifically

recognizes K63-linked poly-ubiquitin chains through a new bipartite ubiquitin-binding domain. EMBO J.

2009;28(19):2885-95.

16.   Mukherjea M, Llinas P, Kim H, Travaglia M, Safer D, Menetrey J, et al. Myosin VI dimerization

triggers an unfolding of a three-helix bundle in order to extend its reach. Mol Cell. 2009;35(3):305-15.

17.   Yu C, Feng W, Wei Z, Miyanoiri Y, Wen W, Zhao Y, et al. Myosin VI undergoes cargo-mediated

dimerization. Cell. 2009;138(3):537-48.

18.   Noguchi T, Frank DJ, Isaji M, Miller KG. Coiled-coil-mediated dimerization is not required for

myosin VI to stabilize actin during spermatid individualization in Drosophila melanogaster. Mol Biol Cell.

2009;20(1):358-67.

19.   Sahlender DA, Roberts RC, Arden SD, Spudich G, Taylor MJ, Luzio JP, et al. Optineurin links

myosin VI to the Golgi complex and is involved in Golgi organization and exocytosis. J Cell Biol.

2005;169(2):285-95.

20.   He F, Wollscheid HP, Nowicka U, Biancospino M, Valentini E, Ehlinger A, et al. Myosin VI

Contains a Compact Structural Motif that Binds to Ubiquitin Chains. Cell Rep. 2016;14(11):2683-94.

21.   Wollscheid HP, Biancospino M, He F, Magistrati E, Molteni E, Lupia M, et al. Diverse functions of

myosin VI elucidated by an isoform-specific alpha-helix domain. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2016;23(4):300-8.

22.   Buss F, Kendrick-Jones J. How are the cellular functions of myosin VI regulated within the cell?

Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2008;369(1):165-75.

23.   Spudich G, Chibalina MV, Au JS, Arden SD, Buss F, Kendrick-Jones J. Myosin VI targeting to

clathrin-coated structures and dimerization is mediated by binding to Disabled-2 and PtdIns(4,5)P2. Nat

Cell Biol. 2007;9(2):176-83.

24.   Batters C, Brack D, Ellrich H, Averbeck B, Veigel C. Calcium can mobilize and activate myosin-VI.

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2016;113(9):E1162-9.

25.   Toettcher JE, Gong D, Lim WA, Weiner OD. Light-based feedback for controlling intracellular

signaling dynamics. Nat Methods. 2011;8(10):837-9.

26.   Levskaya A, Weiner OD, Lim WA, Voigt CA. Spatiotemporal control of cell signalling using a light-

switchable protein interaction. Nature. 2009;461(7266):997-1001.

27.   Bugaj LJ, Choksi AT, Mesuda CK, Kane RS, Schaffer DV. Optogenetic protein clustering and

signaling activation in mammalian cells. Nat Methods. 2013;10(3):249-52.

28.   Kumar A, Ali AM, Woolley GA. Photo-control of DNA binding by an engrailed homeodomain-

photoactive yellow protein hybrid. Photochem Photobiol Sci. 2015;14(9):1729-36.

29.   Strickland D, Yao X, Gawlak G, Rosen MK, Gardner KH, Sosnick TR. Rationally improving LOV

domain-based photoswitches. Nat Methods. 2010;7(8):623-6.

30.   Strickland D, Lin Y, Wagner E, Hope CM, Zayner J, Antoniou C, et al. TULIPs: tunable, light-

controlled interacting protein tags for cell biology. Nat Methods. 2012;9(4):379-84.

31.   Wu YI, Frey D, Lungu OI, Jaehrig A, Schlichting I, Kuhlman B, et al. A genetically encoded

photoactivatable Rac controls the motility of living cells. Nature. 2009;461(7260):104-8.

32.   Christie JM, Salomon M, Nozue K, Wada M, Briggs WR. LOV (light, oxygen, or voltage) domains

of the blue-light photoreceptor phototropin (nph1): binding sites for the chromophore flavin

mononucleotide. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1999;96(15):8779-83.

33.   Crosson S, Moffat K. Structure of a flavin-binding plant photoreceptor domain: insights into

light-mediated signal transduction. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001;98(6):2995-3000.

34.   Salomon M, Eisenreich W, Durr H, Schleicher E, Knieb E, Massey V, et al. An optomechanical

transducer in the blue light receptor phototropin from Avena sativa. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.

2001;98(22):12357-61.

35.   Harper SM, Neil LC, Gardner KH. Structural basis of a phototropin light switch. Science.

2003;301(5639):1541-4.

36.   Zayner JP, Antoniou C, Sosnick TR. The amino-terminal helix modulates light-activated

conformational changes in AsLOV2. J Mol Biol. 2012;419(1-2):61-74.

37.   Zayner JP, Antoniou C, French AR, Hause RJ, Jr., Sosnick TR. Investigating models of protein

function and allostery with a widespread mutational analysis of a light-activated protein. Biophys J.

2013;105(4):1027-36.

38.   Strickland D, Moffat K, Sosnick TR. Light-activated DNA binding in a designed allosteric protein.

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008;105(31):10709-14.

39.   Pudasaini A, El-Arab KK, Zoltowski BD. LOV-based optogenetic devices: light-driven modules to

impart photoregulated control of cellular signaling. Front Mol Biosci. 2015;2:18.

40.   Yumerefendi H, Lerner AM, Zimmerman SP, Hahn K, Bear JE, Strahl BD, et al. Light-induced

nuclear export reveals rapid dynamics of epigenetic modifications. Nat Chem Biol. 2016;12(6):399-401.

41.   Harper SM, Christie JM, Gardner KH. Disruption of the LOV-Jalpha helix interaction activates

phototropin kinase activity. Biochemistry. 2004;43(51):16184-92.

42.   Guntas G, Hallett RA, Zimmerman SP, Williams T, Yumerefendi H, Bear JE, et al. Engineering an

improved light-induced dimer (iLID) for controlling the localization and activity of signaling proteins. Proc

Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2015;112(1):112-7.

43.   Lacroix E, Viguera AR, Serrano L. Elucidating the folding problem of alpha-helices: local motifs,

long-range electrostatics, ionic-strength dependence and prediction of NMR parameters. J Mol Biol.

1998;284(1):173-91.

44.   Kulic IM, Brown AE, Kim H, Kural C, Blehm B, Selvin PR, et al. The role of microtubule movement

in bidirectional organelle transport. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008;105(29):10011-6.

45.   Kapitein LC, van Bergeijk P, Lipka J, Keijzer N, Wulf PS, Katrukha EA, et al. Myosin-V opposes

microtubule-based cargo transport and drives directional motility on cortical actin. Curr Biol.

2013;23(9):828-34.

46.   Kammerer S, Holzinger A, Welsch U, Roscher AA. Cloning and characterization of the gene

encoding the human peroxisomal assembly protein Pex3p. FEBS Lett. 1998;429(1):53-60.

47.   Altman D, Sweeney HL, Spudich JA. The mechanism of myosin VI translocation and its load-

induced anchoring. Cell. 2004;116(5):737-49.

48.   Morris CA, Wells AL, Yang Z, Chen LQ, Baldacchino CV, Sweeney HL. Calcium functionally

uncouples the heads of myosin VI. J Biol Chem. 2003;278(26):23324-30.

49.   Furch M, Geeves MA, Manstein DJ. Modulation of actin affinity and actomyosin adenosine

triphosphatase by charge changes in the myosin motor domain. Biochemistry. 1998;37(18):6317-26.

50.   Llinas P, Pylypenko O, Isabet T, Mukherjea M, Sweeney HL, Houdusse AM. How myosin motors

power cellular functions: an exciting journey from structure to function: based on a lecture delivered at

the 34th FEBS Congress in Prague, Czech Republic, July 2009. FEBS J. 2012;279(4):551-62.

51.   Tumbarello DA, Kendrick-Jones J, Buss F. Myosin VI and its cargo adaptors - linking endocytosis

and autophagy. J Cell Sci. 2013;126(Pt 12):2561-70.

52.   van Bergeijk P, Adrian M, Hoogenraad CC, Kapitein LC. Optogenetic control of organelle

transport and positioning. Nature. 2015;518(7537):111-4.

53.   Duan L, Che D, Zhang K, Ong Q, Guo S, Cui B. Optogenetic control of molecular motors and

organelle distributions in cells. Chem Biol. 2015;22(5):671-82.

54.   Aschenbrenner L, Naccache SN, Hasson T. Uncoated endocytic vesicles require the

unconventional myosin, Myo6, for rapid transport through actin barriers. Mol Biol Cell. 2004;15(5):2253-

63.

55.   Le Roy C, Wrana JL. Clathrin- and non-clathrin-mediated endocytic regulation of cell signalling.

Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2005;6(2):112-26.

56.   Wuytack F, Raeymaekers L, Missiaen L. PMR1/SPCA Ca2+ pumps and the role of the Golgi

apparatus as a Ca2+ store. Pflugers Arch. 2003;446(2):148-53.

57.   Li LH, Tian XR, Jiang Z, Zeng LW, He WF, Hu ZP. The Golgi Apparatus: Panel Point of Cytosolic

Ca(2+) Regulation. Neurosignals. 2013;21(3-4):272-84.

58.   Papanikou E, Day KJ, Austin J, Glick BS. COPI selectively drives maturation of the early Golgi.

Elife. 2015;4.

59.   Zhang Y, Werling U, Edelmann W. Seamless Ligation Cloning Extract (SLiCE) cloning method.

Methods Mol Biol. 2014;1116:235-44.

60.   Townsley FM, Wilson DW, Pelham HR. Mutational analysis of the human KDEL receptor: distinct

structural requirements for Golgi retention, ligand binding and retrograde transport. EMBO J.

1993;12(7):2821-9.

61.   Scheel AA, Pelham HR. Identification of amino acids in the binding pocket of the human KDEL

receptor. J Biol Chem. 1998;273(4):2467-72.

62.   Kapitein LC, Schlager MA, van der Zwan WA, Wulf PS, Keijzer N, Hoogenraad CC. Probing

intracellular motor protein activity using an inducible cargo trafficking assay. Biophys J. 2010;99(7):2143-

52.

63.   Rock RS, Rief M, Mehta AD, Spudich JA. In vitro assays of processive myosin motors. Methods.

2000;22(4):373-81.

64.   Meijering E, Dzyubachyk O, Smal I. Methods for cell and particle tracking. Methods Enzymol.

2012;504:183-200.

65.   Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. Nat

Methods. 2012;9(7):671-5.