Human demographic history impacts genetic risk prediction across diverse

populations

Alicia R. Martin[1,2,3], Christopher R. Gignoux[3], Raymond K. Walters[1,2], Genevieve L.

Wojcik[3], Benjamin M. Neale[1,2], Simon Gravel[4], Mark J. Daly[1,2], Carlos D. Bustamante[3],

Eimear E. Kenny[5]

[1] Analytic and Translational Genetics Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston,

MA 02114

[2] Medical and Population Genetics, Broad Institute of Harvard and the Massachusetts

Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA USA

[3] Department of Genetics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

[4] Department of Human Genetics, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

[5] Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Mt. Sinai School of Medicine, New

York, NY, USA

Corresponding author: eimear.kenny@mssm.edu

Abstract (250 words)

The vast majority of genome-wide association studies are performed in Europeans, and

their transferability to other populations is dependent on many factors (e.g. linkage

disequilibrium, allele frequencies, genetic architecture). As medical genomics studies

become increasingly large and diverse, gaining insights into population history and

consequently the transferability of disease risk measurement is critical. Here, we

disentangle recent population history in the widely-used 1000 Genomes Project

reference panel, with an emphasis on populations underrepresented in medical studies.

To examine the transferability of single-ancestry GWAS, we used published summary

statistics to calculate polygenic risk scores for six well-studied traits and diseases. We

identified directional inconsistencies in all scores; for example, height is predicted to

decrease with genetic distance from Europeans, despite robust anthropological

evidence that West Africans are as tall as Europeans on average. To gain deeper

quantitative insights into GWAS transferability, we developed a complex trait

coalescent-based simulation framework considering effects of polygenicity, causal allele

frequency divergence, and heritability. As expected, correlations between true and

inferred risk were typically highest in the population from which summary statistics were

derived. We demonstrated that scores inferred from European GWAS were biased by

genetic drift in other populations even when choosing the same causal variants, and

that biases in any direction were possible and unpredictable. This work cautions that

summarizing findings from large-scale GWAS may have limited portability to other

populations using standard approaches, and highlights the need for generalized risk

prediction methods and the inclusion of more diverse individuals in medical genomics.

Introduction

The majority of genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have been performed in

populations of European descent[1-4]. An open question in medical genomics is the

degree to which these results transfer to new populations. GWAS have yielded tens of

thousands of common genetic variants significantly associated with human medical and

evolutionary phenotypes, most of which have replicated in other ethnic groups[5-8].

However, GWAS are optimally powered to discover common variant associations, and

the European bias in GWAS results in associated SNPs with higher minor allele

frequencies on average compared to other populations. The predictive power of GWAS

findings in non-Europeans are therefore limited by population differences in allele

frequencies and linkage disequilibrium structure.

As GWAS sample sizes grow to hundreds of thousands of samples, they also become

better powered to detect rare variant associations[9-11]. Large-scale sequencing studies

have demonstrated that rare variants show stronger geographic clustering than

common variants[12-14]. Rare, disease-associated variants are therefore expected to track

with recent population demography and/or be population restricted[13,15-17]. As the next

era of GWAS expands to evaluate the disease-associated role of rare variants, it is not

only scientifically imperative to include multi-ethnic populations, it is also likely that such

studies will encounter increasing genetic heterogeneity in very large study populations.

A comprehensive understanding of the genetic diversity and demographic history of

multi-ethnic populations is critical for appropriate applications of GWAS, and ultimately

for ensuring that genetics does not contribute to or enhance health disparities[4].

The most recent release of the 1000 Genomes Project (phase 3) provides one of the

largest global reference panels of whole genome sequencing data, enabling a broad

survey of human genetic variation[18]. The depth and breadth of diversity queried

facilitates a deep understanding of the evolutionary forces (e.g. selection and drift)

shaping existing genetic variation in present-day populations that contribute to

adaptation and disease[19-25]. Studies of admixed populations have been particularly

fruitful in identifying genetic adaptations and risk for diseases that are stratified across

diverged ancestral origins[26-34]. Admixture patterns became especially complex during

the peopling of the Americas, with extensive recent admixture spanning multiple

continents. Processes shaping structure in these admixed populations include sex-

biased migration and admixture, isolation-by-distance, differential drift in mainland

versus island populations, and variable admixture timing[13,35,36].

Standard GWAS strategies approach population structure as a nuisance factor. A

typical step-wise procedure first detects dimensions of global population structure in

each individual, using principal component analysis (PCA) or other methods[37-40], and

often excludes "outlier" individuals from the analysis and/or corrects for inflation arising

from population structure in the statistical model for association. Such strategies reduce

false positives in test statistics, but can also reduce power for association in

heterogeneous populations, and are less likely to work for rare variant association[41-44].

Recent methodological advances have leveraged patterns of global and local ancestry

for improved association power[30,45,46], fine-mapping[47] and genome assembly[48]. At the

same time, population genetic studies have demonstrated the presence of fine-scale

sub-continental structure in the African, Native American, and European components of

populations from the Americas[49-52]. If trait-associated variants follow the same patterns

of demography, then we expect that modeling sub-continental ancestry may enable

their improved detection in admixed populations.

In this study, we explore the impact of population diversity on the landscape of variation

underlying human traits. We infer demographic history for the global populations in the

1000 Genomes Project, focusing particularly on admixed populations from the

Americas, which are under represented in medical genetic studies[4]. We disentangle

local ancestry to infer the ancestral origins of these populations. We link this work to

ongoing efforts to improve study design and disease variant discovery by quantifying

biases in clinical databases and GWAS in diverse and admixed populations. These

biases have a striking impact on genetic risk prediction; for example, a previous study

calculated polygenic risk scores for schizophrenia in East Asians and Africans based on

GWAS summary statistics derived from a European cohort, and found that prediction

accuracy was reduced by more than 50% in non-European populations[53]. To

disentangle the role of demography on polygenic risk prediction derived from single-

ancestry GWAS, we designed a novel coalescent-based simulation framework reflecting

modern human population history and show that polygenic risk scores derived from

European GWAS are biased when applied to diverged populations. Specifically, we

identify reduced variance in risk prediction with increasing divergence from Europe

reflecting decreased overall variance explained, and demonstrate that an enrichment of

low frequency risk and high frequency protective alleles contribute to an overall

protective shift in European inferred risk on average across traits. Our results highlight

the need for the inclusion of more diverse populations in GWAS as well as genetic risk

prediction methods improving transferability across populations.

Material and Methods

Ancestry deconvolution

We used the phased haplotypes from the 1000 Genomes consortium. We phased

reference haplotypes from 43 Native American samples from[54] inferred to have > 0.99

Native ancestry in ADMIXTURE using SHAPEIT2 (v2.r778)[55], then merged the

haplotypes using scripts made publicly available. These combined phased haplotypes

were used as input to the PopPhased version of RFMix v1.5.4[56] with the following flags:

-w 0.2, -e 1, -n 5, --use-reference-panels-in-EM, --forward-backward. The node size of 5

was selected to reduce bias in random forests resulting from unbalanced reference

panel sizes (AFR panel N=504, EUR panel N=503, and NAT panel N=43). We used the

default minimum window size of 0.2 cM to enable model comparisons with previously

inferred models using *Tracts*[57]. We used 1 EM iteration to improve the local ancestry

calls without substantially increasing computational complexity. We used the reference

panel in the EM to take better advantage of the Native American ancestry tracts from

the Hispanic/Latinos in the EM given the small NAT reference panel. We set the LWK,

MSL, GWD, YRI, and ESN as reference African populations, the CEU, GBR, FIN, IBS,

and TSI as reference European populations, and the samples from Mao et al[54] with

inferred > 0.99 Native ancestry as reference Native American populations, as

previously[58].

Ancestry-specific PCA

We performed ancestry-specific PCA, as described in[35]. The resulting matrix is not

necessarily orthogonalized, so we subsequently performed singular value

decomposition in python 2.7 using numpy. There were a small number of major outliers,

as seen previously[35]. There was one outlier (ASW individual NA20314) when analyzing

the African tracts, which was expected as this individual has no African ancestry. There

were 8 outliers (PUR HG00731, PUR HG00732, ACB HG01880, ACB HG01882, PEL

HG01944, ACB HG02497, ASW NA20320, ASW NA20321) when analyzing the

European tracts. Some of these individuals had minimal European ancestry, had South

or East Asian ancestry misclassified as European ancestry resulting from a limited 3-

way ancestry reference panel, or were unexpected outliers. As described in the

PCAmask manual, a handful of major outliers sometimes occur. As AS-PCA is an

iterative procedure, we therefore removed the major outliers for each sub-continental

analysis and orthogonalized the matrix on this subset.

Tracts

The RFMix output was collapsed into haploid bed files, and "UNK" or unknown ancestry

was assigned where the posterior probability of a given ancestry was < 0.90. These

collapsed haploid tracts were used to infer admixture timings, quantities, and

proportions for the ACB and PEL (new to phase 3) using *Tracts*[57]. Because the ACB

have a very small proportion of Native American ancestry, we fit three 2-way models of

admixture, including one model of single- and two models of double-pulse admixture

events, using *Tracts*. In both of the double-pulse admixture models, the model includes

an early mixture of African and European ancestry followed by another later pulse of

either European or African ancestry. We randomized starting parameters and fit each

model 100 times and compared the log-likelihoods of the model fits. The single-pulse

and double-pulse model with a second wave of African admixture provided the best fits

and reached similar log-likelihoods, with the latter showing a slight improvement in fit.

We next assessed the fit of 9 different models in *Tracts* for the PEL[57], including several

two-pulse and three-pulse models. Ordering the populations as NAT, EUR, and AFR,

we tested the following models: ppp_ppp, ppp_pxp, ppp_xxp, ppx_xxp, ppx_xxp_ppx,

ppx_xxp_pxx, ppx_xxp_pxp, ppx_xxp_xpx, and ppx_xxp_xxp, where the order of each

letter corresponds with the order of populations given above, an underscore indicates a

distinct migration event with the first event corresponding with the most generations

before present, p corresponding with a pulse of the ordered ancestries, and x

corresponding with no input from the ordered ancestries. We tested all 9 models

preliminarily 3 times, and for all models that converged and were within the top 3

models, we subsequently fit each model with 100 starting parameters randomizations.

Imputation accuracy

Imputation accuracy was calculated using a leave-one-out internal validation approach.

Two array designs were compared for this analysis: Illumina OmniExpress and

Affymetrix Axiom World Array LAT. Sites from these array designs were subset from

chromosome 9 of the 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3 release for admixed populations.

After fixing these sites, each individual was imputed using the rest of the dataset as a

reference panel.

Overall imputation accuracy was binned by minor allele frequency (0.5-1%, 1-2%, 2-3%,

3-4%, 4-5%, 5-10%, 10-20%, 20-30%, 30-40%, 40-50%) comparing the genotyped true

alleles to the imputed dosages. A second round of analyses stratified the imputation by

local ancestry diplotype, which was estimated as described earlier. Within each

ancestral diplotype (AFR_AFR, AFR_NAT, AFR_EUR, EUR_EUR, EUR_NAT,

NAT_NAT), imputation accuracy was again estimated within MAF bins.

Empirical polygenic risk score inferences

To compute polygenic risk scores in the 1000 Genomes samples using summary

statistics from previous GWAS, we first filtered to biallelic SNPs and removed

ambiguous AT/GC SNPs from the integrated 1000 Genome call set. To get relatively

independent associations taking LD into account when multiple significant p-value

associations are in the same region in a GWAS, we performed LD clumping in plink (--

clump) for all variants with MAF ≥ 0.01[59], which uses a greedy algorithm ordering SNPs

by p-value, then selectively removes SNPs within close proximity and LD in ascending

p-value order (i.e. starting with the most significant SNP). As a population cohort with

similar LD patterns to the study sets, we used European 1000 Genomes samples (CEU,

GBR, FIN, IBS, and TSI). To compute the polygenic risk scores, we considered all

SNPs with p-values ≤ 1e-2 in the GWAS study, a window size of 250 kb, and an R^2

threshold of 0.5 in Europeans to group SNPs. After obtaining the top clumped signals,

we computed scores using the --score flag in plink.

Polygenic risk score simulations

We simulated genotypes in a coalescent framework with msprime v0.4.0[60] for

chromosome 20 incorporating a recombination map of GRCh37 and an assumed

mutation rate of 2e-8 mutations / (base pair * generation). We used a demographic

model previously inferred using 1000 Genomes sequencing data[13] to simulate

individuals that reflect European, East Asian, and African population histories. We focus

on these populations as the demography has previously been modeled and this avoids

the challenges of simulating the geographically heterogeneous[52] and sex-biased

process of admixture in the Americas[61]. To imitate a GWAS with European sample bias

and evaluate polygenic risk scores in other populations, we simulated 200,000

European individuals, 200,000 East Asian, and 200,000 African individuals. Next, we

assigned "true" causal effect sizes to m evenly spaced alleles. Specifically, we randomly

assigned effect sizes as:

$$\beta \sim N(0, \frac{h^2}{m})$$

221   where the normal distribution is specified by the mean and standard deviation (as in

222   python's numpy package). For all other non-causal sites, the effect size is zero. We

223   then define X as:

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{m} g_i \beta_i$$

225   where the g_i are the genotype states (i.e. 0, 1, or 2). To handle varying allele

226   frequencies, potential weak LD between causal sites, ensure a neutral model with

227   random true polygenic risks with respect to allele frequencies, and to obtain the total

228   desired variance, we normalize X as:

$$Z_X = \frac{X - \mu_X}{\sigma_X}$$

230   We then compute the true polygenic risk score, as:

231   $G = \sqrt{h^2} * Z_X$

232   such that the total variance of the scores is h^2. We also simulated environmental noise

233   and standardize to ensure equal variance between normalized genetic and

234   environmental effects before, defining the environmental effect E as:

$$\epsilon = N(0, 1 - h^2)$$
$$Z_\epsilon = \frac{\epsilon - \mu_\epsilon}{\sigma_\epsilon}$$

235   $E = \sqrt{1 - h^2} * Z_\epsilon$

236   such that the total variance of the environmental effect is $1 - h^2$. We then define the

237   total liability as:

$$L = \sqrt{h^2} * Z_X + \sqrt{1 - h^2} * Z_\epsilon$$
$$= G + E$$

We assigned 10,000 European individuals at the most extreme end of the liability

threshold "case" status assuming a prevalence of 5%. We randomly assigned 10,000

different European individuals "control" status. We ran a GWAS with these 10,000

European cases and 10,000 European controls, computing Fisher's exact test for all

sites with MAF > 0.01. As before for empirical polygenic risk score calculations from real

GWAS summary statistics, we clumped these SNPs into LD blocks for all sites with p ≤

1e-2, R^2 ≥ 0.5 in Europeans, and within a window size of 250 kb. We used these SNPs

to compute inferred polygenic risk scores as before, summing the product of the log

odds ratio and genotype for the true polygenic risk in a cohort of 10,000 simulated

European, African, and East Asian individuals (all not included in the simulated GWAS).

We compared the true versus inferred polygenic risk scores for these individuals across

varying complexities (m = 200, 500, 1000) and heritabilites (h^2 = 0.33, 0.50, 0.67).

Results

Genetic diversity within and between populations in the Americas

We first assessed the overall diversity at the global and sub-continental level of the

1000 Genomes Project (phase 3) populations[18] using a likelihood model via

ADMIXTURE[62] and PCA[63] (**Figure S1** and **Figure S2**). The six populations from the

Americas demonstrate considerable continental admixture, with genetic ancestry

primarily from Europe, Africa, and the Americas, recapitulating previously observed

population structure[18]. To quantify continental genetic diversity in these populations, we

repeated the analysis using YRI, CEU, and NAT samples[54] as reference panels

(population labels and abbreviations in **Table S1**). We observed widely varying

continental admixture contributions in the six populations from the Americas at K=3

(**Figure 1**A and **Table S2**). For example, when compared to the ASW, the ACB have a

higher proportion of African ancestry (μ = 0.88, 95% CI = [0.87-0.89] versus μ = 0.76,

95% CI = [0.73-0.78]; two-sided t-test p=3.0e-13) and a smaller proportion of EUR and

NAT ancestry. The PEL have more NAT ancestry than all of the other AMR populations

(μ = 0.77, 95% CI = [0.75-0.80] versus CLM: μ = 0.26, 95% CI = [0.24, 0.27], p=2.9e-95;

PUR: μ = 0.13, 95% CI = [0.12, 0.13], p=4.8e-93; and MXL: μ = 0.47, 95% CI = [0.43,

0.50], p=1.7e-28) ascertained in 1000 Genomes.

We explored the origin of the subcontinental-level ancestry from recently admixed

individuals by identifying local ancestry tracts[29,35,56,64] (Methods, **Figure S3**). As proxy

source populations for the recent admixture, we used EUR and AFR continental

samples from the 1000 Genomes Project as well as NAT samples genotyped

previously[54]. Concordance between global ancestry estimates inferred using

ADMIXTURE at K=5 and RFMix was typically high (Pearson's correlation ≥ 98%, see

Figure S4). Using *Tracts*[57], we modeled the length distribution of the AFR, EUR, and

NAT tracts to infer that admixing began ~12 and ~8 generations ago in the PEL and

ACB populations, respectively (**Figure S5)**, consistent with previous estimates from

other populations from the Americas[49,57,65].

We further investigated the subcontinental ancestry of admixed populations from the

Americas one ancestry at a time using a version of PCA modified to handle highly

masked data (ancestry-specific or AS-PCA) as implemented in PCAmask[66]. Example

ancestry tracts in a PEL individual subset to AFR, EUR, and NAT components are

shown in **Figure 1**B, D, and F, respectively. Consistent with previous observations, the

inferred European tracts in Hispanic/Latino populations most closely resemble southern

European IBS and TSI populations with some additional drift[35] (**Figure 1**E). The

European tracts of the PUR are more differentiated compared to the CLM, MXL, and

PEL populations, consistent with sex bias (**Figure S6 and Table S3**) and excess drift

from founder effects in this island population[35]. In contrast to the southern European

tracts from the Hispanic/Latino populations, the African descent populations in the

Americas have European admixture that more closely resembles the northwestern CEU

and GBR European populations. The clusters are less distinct, owing to lower overall

fractions of European ancestry, however the European components of the

Hispanic/Latino and African American populations are significantly different (Wilcoxan

rank sum test p=2.4e-60).

The ability to localize aggregated ancestral genomic tracts enables insights into the

evolutionary origins of admixed populations. To disentangle whether the considerable

Native American ancestry in the ASW individuals arose from recent admixture with

Hispanic/Latino individuals or recent admixture with indigenous Native American

populations, we queried the European tracts. We find that the European tracts of all

ASW individuals with considerable Native American ancestry are well within the ASW

cluster and project closer in Euclidean distance with AS-PC1 and AS-PC2 to

northwestern Europe than the European tracts from Hispanic/Latino samples (p=1.15e-

3), providing support for the latter hypothesis and providing regional nuance to previous

findings[49].

We also investigated the African origin of the admixed AFR/AMR populations (ACB and

ASW), as well as the Native American origin of the Hispanic/Latino populations (CLM,

MXL, PEL, and PUR). The African tracts of ancestry from the AFR/AMR populations

project closer to the YRI and ESN of Nigeria than the GWD, MSL, and LWK populations

(**Figure 1**C). This is consistent with slave records and previous genome-wide analyses

of African Americans indicating that most sharing occurred in West and West-Central

Africa[67-69]. There are subtle differences between the African origins of the ACB and

ASW populations (e.g. difference in distance from YRI on AS-PC1 and AS-PC2 p=6.4e-

6), likely due either to mild island founder effects in the ACB samples or differences in

African source populations for enslaved Africans who remained in Barbados versus

those who were brought to the US. The Native tracts of ancestry from the AMR

populations first separate the southernmost PEL populations from the CLM, MXL, and

PUR on AS-PC1, then separate the northernmost MXL from the CLM and PUR on AS-

PC2, consistent with a north-south cline of divergence among indigenous Native

American ancestry (**Figure 1**G). [35,70]

Impact of continental and sub-continental diversity on disease variant mapping

To investigate the role of ancestry in phenotype interpretation from genetic data, we

assessed diversity across populations and local ancestries for recently admixed

populations across the whole genome and sites from two reference databases: the

GWAS catalog and ClinVar pathogenic and likely pathogenic sites. We recapitulate

results showing that there is less variation across the genome (both genome-wide and

on the Affymetrix 6.0 GWAS array sites used in local ancestry calling) in out-of-Africa

versus African populations, but that GWAS variants are more polymorphic in European

and Hispanic/Latino populations (**Figure S7A-B, Figure S8**A-B). We use a normalized

measure of the minor allele frequency, an indicator of the amount of diversity captured

in a population, to obtain a background coverage of each population, as done previously

(e.g. Figure S4 from phase 3 of the 1000 Genomes Project[18]). We show that the

Affymetrix 6.0 array has a slight European bias (**Figure S5**A and **Figure S6**A). We

compared the site frequency spectrum of variants across the genome versus at GWAS

catalog sites, and identify elevated allele frequencies at GWAS catalog loci, particularly

in populations with more European ancestry (e.g. the EUR, AMR, and SAS super

populations, **Figure S5**C-D). We further compared heterozygosity (estimated here as

2pq) and the site frequency spectrum in recently admixed populations across diploid

and haploid local ancestry tracts, respectively. Sites in the GWAS catalog and ClinVar

are more and less common than genome-wide variants, respectively (**Figure 2**).

Whereas heterozygosity across the whole genome is highest in African ancestry tracts,

it is consistently the greatest in European ancestry tracts across these databases

(**Figure 2** and **Figure S8**C-D), reflecting a strong bias towards European study

participants[1-4,18,71]. These results highlight imbalances in genome interpretability across

local ancestry tracts in recently admixed populations and the utility of analyzing these

variants jointly with these ancestry tracts over genome-wide ancestry estimates alone.

We also assessed imputation accuracy across the 3-way admixed populations from the

Americas (CLM, MXL, PEL, PUR) for two arrays: the Illumina OmniExpress and the

Affymetrix Axiom World Array LAT. Imputation accuracy was estimated as the

correlation (r^2) between the original genotypes and the imputed dosages. For both array

designs, imputation accuracy across all minor allele frequency (MAF) bins was highest

for populations with the largest proportion of European ancestry (PUR) and lowest for

populations with the largest proportion of Native American ancestry (PEL, **Figure S9**A-

B). We also stratified imputation accuracy by local ancestry tract diplotype within the

Americas. Consistently, tracts with at least one Native American ancestry tract had

lower imputation accuracy when compared to tracts with only European and/or African

ancestry (**Figure 3** and **Figure S10**).

Transferability of GWAS findings across populations

To quantify the transferability of European-biased genetic studies to other populations,

we next used published GWAS summary statistics to infer polygenic risk scores[72]

across populations for well-studied traits, including height[9], waist-hip ratio[73],

schizophrenia[10], type II diabetes[74,75], and asthma[76] (**Figure 4**A-D, **Figure S11**,

Methods). Most of these summary statistics are derived from studies with primarily

European cohorts, although GWAS of type II diabetes have been performed in both

European-specific cohorts as well as across multi-ethnic cohorts. We identify clear

directional inconsistencies in these score inferences. For example, although the height

summary statistics show the expected cline of southern/northern cline of increasing

European height (FIN, CEU, and GBR populations have significantly higher polygenic

risk scores than IBS and TSI, p=1.5e-75, **Figure S9**A), polygenic scores for height

across super populations show biased predictions. For example, the African populations

sampled are genetically predicted to be considerably shorter than all Europeans and

minimally taller than East Asians (**Figure 4**A), which contradicts empirical observations

(with the exception of some indigenous pygmy/pygmoid populations)[77,78]. Additionally,

polygenic risk scores for schizophrenia, while at a similar prevalence across populations

where it has been well-studied[79] and sharing significant genetic risk across

populations[80], shows considerably decreased scores in Africans compared to all other

populations (**Figure 4**B). Lastly, the relative order of polygenic risk scores computed for

type II diabetes across populations differs depending on whether the summary statistics

are derived from a European-specific (**Figure 4**C) or multi-ethnic (**Figure 4**D) cohort.

Ancestry-specific biases in polygenic risk score estimates

We performed coalescent simulations to determine how GWAS signals discovered in

one ancestral case/control cohort (i.e. 'single-ancestry' GWAS) are expected to impact

polygenic risk score estimates in other populations under neutrality using summary

statistics (for details, see Methods). Briefly, we simulated variants according to a

previously published demographic model inferred from Africans, East Asians, and

Europeans[13]. We specified "causal" alleles and effect sizes randomly, such that each

causal variant has evolved neutrally and has a mean effect of zero with the standard

deviation equal to the global heritability divided by number of causal variants. We then

computed the true polygenic risk for each individual as the product of the estimated

effect sizes and genotypes, then standardized the scores across all individuals. We

calculated the total liability as the sum of the genetic and random environmental

contributions, then identified 10,000 European cases with the most extreme liabilities

and 10,000 other European controls. We then computed Fisher's exact tests with this

European case-control cohort, then quantified inferred polygenic risk scores as the sum

of the product of genotypes and log odds ratios for 10,000 samples per population not

included in the GWAS.

In our simulations and consistent with realistic coalescent models, most variants are

rare and population-specific; "causal" variants are sampled from the global site

frequency spectrum, resulting in subtle differences in true polygenic risk across

populations (**Figure S12, Figure 5**B-D). We mirrored standard practices for performing

a GWAS and computing polygenic risk scores (see above and Methods). We find that

the correlation between true and inferred polygenic risk is generally low (**Figure 5**A,

Figure S13), consistent with limited variance explained by polygenic risk scores from

GWAS of these cohort sizes for height (e.g. ~10% of variance explained for a cohort of

size 183,727[81]) and schizophrenia (e.g. ~7% variance explained for a cohort of size

36,989 cases and 113,075 controls[10]). Low correlations in our simulations are most

likely because common tag variants are a poor proxy for rare causal variants. As

expected, correlations between true and inferred risk within populations are typically

highest in the European population (i.e. the population in which variants were

discovered, **Figure 5**A and **Figure S13**). Across all populations, the mean Spearman

correlations between true and inferred polygenic risk increase with increasing heritability

while the standard deviation of these correlations significantly decreases (p=0.05);

however, there is considerable within-population heterogeneity resulting in high

variation in scores across all populations. We find that in these neutral simulations, a

polygenic risk score bias in essentially any direction is possible even when choosing the

exact same causal variants, heritability, and varying only fixed effect size (i.e. inferred

polygenic risk in Europeans can be higher, lower, or intermediate compared to true risk

relative to East Asians or Africans, **Figure S12, Figure 5**B-D).

While causal variants in our simulations are drawn from the global site frequency

spectrum and are therefore mostly rare, inferred scores are derived specifically from

common variants that are typically much more common in the study population than

elsewhere (here Europeans with case/control MAF ≥ 0.01). Consequently, the

distribution of mean true polygenic risk across simulation runs for each population are

not significantly different (**Figure 5**E); however, inferred risk is considerably less than

zero in Europeans (p=1.9e-54, 95% CI=[-84.3, -67.4]), slightly less than zero in East

Asians (p=5.9e-5, 95% CI=[-19.1, -6.6]) and not significantly different from zero in

Africans, with variance in risk scores decreasing with this trend (**Figure 5**F). The scale

is orders of magnitude different between the true and inferred unstandardized scores,

cautioning that while they are informative on a relative scale (**Figure 5**A and **Figure**

S11), their absolute scale should not be overinterpreted. The inferred risk difference

between populations is driven by the increased power to detect minor risk alleles rather

than protective alleles in the study population[82], given the differential selection of cases

and controls in the liability threshold model. We demonstrate this empirically in these

neutral simulations within the European population (**Figure 5**G), indicating that this

phenomenon occurs even in the absence of population structure and when case and

control cohort sizes are equal.

Discussion

To date, GWAS have been performed opportunistically in primarily single-ancestry

European cohorts, and an open question remains about their biomedical relevance for

disease associations in other ancestries. As studies gain power by increasing sample

sizes, effect size estimates become more precise and novel associations at lower

frequencies are feasible. However, rare variants are largely population-private, and their

effects are unlikely to transfer to new populations. Because linkage disequilibrium and

allele frequencies vary across ancestries, effect size estimates from diverse cohorts are

typically more precise than from single-ancestry cohorts (and often tempered)[5], and the

resolution of causal variant fine-mapping is considerably improved[75]. Across a range of

genetic architectures, diverse cohorts provide the opportunity to reduce false positives.

At the Mendelian end of the spectrum, for example, disentangling risk variants with

incomplete penetrance from benign false positives and localizing functional effects in

genes is much more feasible with large diverse population cohorts than possible with

single-ancestry analyses[83,84]. Multiple false positive reports of pathogenic variants

causing hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, a disease with relatively simple genomic

architecture, have been returned to patients of African descent or unspecified ancestry

that would have been prevented if even a small number of African American samples

were included in control cohorts[85]. At the highly complex end of the polygenicity

spectrum, we and others have shown that the utility of polygenic risk inferences and the

heritable phenotypic variance explained in diverse populations is improved with more

diverse cohorts[80,86].

Standard single-ancestry GWAS typically apply linear mixed model approaches and/or

incorporate principal components as covariates to control for confounding from

population structure with primarily European-descent cohorts[1-3]. A key concern when

including multiple diverse populations in a GWAS is that there is increasing likelihood of

identifying false positive variants associated with disease that are driven by allele

frequency differences across ancestries. However, previous studies have analyzed

association data for diverse ancestries and replicated findings across ethnicities,

assuaging these concerns[7,75,87]. In this study, we show that this ancestry stratification is

not continuous along the genome: long tracts of ancestrally diverse populations present

in admixed samples from the Americas are easily and accurately detected. Querying

population substructure within these tracts recapitulates expected trends, e.g. European

ancestry in African Americans primarily descends from northern Europeans in contrast

to European ancestry from Hispanic/Latinos, which primarily descends from southern

Europeans, as seen previously[49]. Additionally, population substructure follows a north-

south cline in the Native component of Hispanic/Latinos, and the African component of

admixed African descent populations in the Americas most closely resembles reference

populations from Nigeria (albeit given the limited set of African populations from The

1000 Genomes Project). Admixture mapping has been successful at large sample sizes

for identifying ancestry-specific genetic risk factors for disease[88]. Given the level of

accuracy and subcontinental-resolution attained with local ancestry tracts in admixed

populations, we emphasize the utility of a unified framework to jointly analyze genetic

associations with local ancestry simultaneously[45].

The transferability of GWAS is aided by the inclusion of diverse populations[89]. We have

shown that European discovery biases in GWAS are recapitulated in local ancestry

tracts in admixed samples. We have quantified GWAS study biases in ancestral

populations and shown that GWAS variants are at lower frequency specifically within

African and Native tracts and higher frequency in European tracts in admixed American

populations. Imputation accuracy is also stratified across diverged ancestries, including

across local ancestries in admixed populations. With decreased imputation accuracy

especially on Native American tracts, there is decreased power for potential ancestry-

specific associations. This differentially limits conclusions for GWAS in an admixed

population in a two-pronged manner: the ability to capture variation and the power to

estimate associations.

As GWAS scale to sample sizes on the order of hundreds of thousands to millions,

genetic risk prediction accuracy at the individual level improves[90]. However, we show

that the utility of polygenic risk scores computed using GWAS summary statistics are

dependent on genetic similarity to the discovery cohort. BLUP risk prediction methods

have been proposed to improve risk scores, but they require access to raw genetic data

typically from very large datasets, are also dependent on LD structure in the study

population, and only offer modest improvements in prediction accuracy[91]. Furthermore,

polygenic risk scores contain a mix of true positives (which have the bias described

above) and false positives in the training GWAS. False positives, being chance

statistical fluctuations, do not have the same allele frequency bias and therefore

unfortunately play an outsized role in applying a PRS in a new population.

We have demonstrated that polygenic risk computed from summary statistics in a

single-ancestry cohort can be biased in essentially any direction across diverse

populations simply as a result of genetic drift, limiting their interpretability; directional

selection is expected to bias polygenic risk inferences even more. Because biases arise

from genetic drift alone, we recommend: 1) avoiding interpretations from polygenic risk

score differences extrapolated across populations, as these are likely confounded by

latent population structure that is not properly corrected for with current methods, 2)

mean-centering polygenic risk scores for each population, and 3) computing polygenic

risk scores in populations with similar demographic histories as the study sample to

ensure maximal predictive power. Further, additional methods that account for local

ancestry in genetic risk prediction to incorporate different ancestral linkage

disequilibrium and allele frequencies are needed. This study demonstrates the utility of

disentangling ancestry tracts in recently admixed populations for inferring recent

demographic history and identifying ancestry-stratified analytical biases; we also

motivate the need to include more ancestrally diverse cohorts in GWAS to ensure that

health disparities arising from genetic risk prediction do not become pervasive in

individuals of admixed and non-European descent.

Competing interests

CDB is an SAB member of Liberty Biosecurity, Personalis, Inc., 23andMe Roots into the

Future, Ancestry.com, IdentifyGenomics, LLC, Etalon, Inc., and is a founder of CDB

Consulting, LTD. CRG owns stock in 23andMe, Inc. All other authors declare that they

have no competing interests.

Author contributions

ARM, CRG, RKW, CDB, MJD, EEK conceived of and designed the experiments. ARM

and GLW performed the data analysis. SG, CDB, and MJD contributed analysis

tools/materials. ARM wrote the manuscript with comments from CRG, RKW, GLW,

MJD, SG, and EEK. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We thank Suyash Shringarpure, Brian Maples, Andres Moreno-Estrada, Danny Park,

Noah Zaitlen, Alexander Gusev, and Alkes Price for helpful discussions/feedback. We

thank Verneri Antilla for providing GWAS summary statistics. We thank Jerome Kelleher

for several conversations about msprime, providing example scripts, and implementing

new simulation capabilities. This work was supported by funds from several grants; the

National Human Genome Research Institute under award numbers U01HG009080

(EEK, CDB, CRG), U01HG007419 (CDB, CRG, GLW), U01HG007417 (EEK),

U01HG005208 (MJD), T32HG000044 (CRG) and R01GM083606 (CDB), and the

National Institute of General Medical Sciences under award number T32GM007790

(ARM) at the National Institute of Health; the Directorate of Mathematical and Physical

Sciences award 1201234 (SG, CDB) at the National Science Foundation; the Canadian

Institutes of Health Research through the Canada Research Chair program and

operating grant MOP-136855 (SG), and a Sloan Research Fellowship (SG).

Web Resources

Phased 1000 Genomes haplotypes: ftp://ftp-

trace.ncbi.nih.gov/1000genomes/ftp/release/20130502/supporting/shapeit2_scaffolds/w

gs_gt_scaffolds/

Local ancestry calls: https://personal.broadinstitute.org/armartin/tgp_admixture/

Scripts for processing data and running simulations:

https://github.com/armartin/ancestry_pipeline/

PCAmask software: https://sites.google.com/site/pcamask/dowload

Tracts software: https://github.com/sgravel/tracts

Msprime software: https://github.com/jeromekelleher/msprime

Figure captions

Figure 1 – Sub-continental diversity and origins of African, European, and Native

American components of recently admixed Americas populations. A) ADMIXTURE

analysis at K=3 focusing on admixed Americas samples, with the NAT[54], CEU, and YRI

as reference populations. B,D,F) Local ancestry karyograms for representative PEL

individual HG01893 with B) African, D) European, and F) Native American components

shown. C,E,G) Ancestry-specific PCA applied to admixed haploid genomes as well as

ancestrally homogeneous continental reference populations from 1000 Genomes

(where possible) for C) African tracts, E) European tracts, and G) Native American

tracts. A small number of admixed samples that constituted major outliers from the

ancestry-specific PCA analysis were removed, including C) 1 ASW sample (NA20314)

and E) 8 samples, including 3 ACB, 2 ASW, 1 PEL, and 2 PUR samples.

A

B

C

Figure 2 – Heterozygosity (estimated here as 2pq) in admixed populations stratified by

diploid local ancestry in A) the whole genome, B) sites from the GWAS catalog, and C)

sites from ClinVar classified as "pathogenic" or "likely pathogenic." The mean and 95%

confidence intervals were calculatated by bootstrapping 1000 times. Populations not

shown in a given panel have too few diploid ancestry tracts overlapping sites to

calculate heterozygosity.

Figure 3 - Imputation accuracy by population assessed using a leave-on-out strategy,

stratified by diploid local ancestry on chromosome 9 for the Illumina OmniExpress

genotyping array.

Figure 4 - Biased genetic discoveries influence disease risk inferences. A-D) Inferred

polygenic risk scores across individuals colored by population for: A) height based on

summary statistics from [9]. B) schizophrenia based on summary statistics from [10]. C)

type II diabetes summary statistics derived from a European cohort from [74]. D) type II

diabetes summary statistics derived from a multiethnic cohort from [75].

606
Figure 5 - Coalescent simulation results for true vs inferred polygenic risk scores

computed from GWAS summary statistics with 10,000 cases and 10,000 controls

modeling European, East Asian, and African population history (demographic

parameters from [13]). A) Violin plots show Pearson's correlation across 50 iterations per

parameter set between true and inferred polygenic risk scores across differing genetic

architectures, including m=200, 500, and 1,000 causal variants and h^2=0.67. The "ALL"

population correlations were performed on population mean-centered true and inferred

polygenic risk scores. B-D) Standardized true versus inferred polygenic risk scores for 3

different coalescent simulations showing 10,000 randomly drawn samples from each

population not included as cases or controls. E-F) The distribution for each population

across 500 simulations with m=1000 causal variants and h^2=0.67 of: E) unstandardized

mean true polygenic risk and F) unstandardized mean inferred polygenic risk. G) Allele

frequency versus inferred odds ratio for sites included in inferred polygenic risk scores

for each population across 500 simulations, as in E-F).

References

1. Need AC, Goldstein DB (2009) Next generation disparities in human genomics:

concerns and remedies. Trends in genetics : TIG 25:489-494

2. Bustamante CD, Francisco M, Burchard EG (2011) Genomics for the world. Nature

475:163-165

3. Petrovski S, Goldstein DB (2016) Unequal representation of genetic variation across

ancestry groups creates healthcare inequality in the application of precision medicine.

Genome Biology 17:157

4. Popejoy AB, Fullerton SM (2016) Genomics is failing on diversity. Nature 538:161

5. Carlson CS, Matise TC, North KE, Haiman CA, Fesinmeyer MD, Buyske S,

Schumacher FR, Peters U, Franceschini N, Ritchie MD, et al (2013) Generalization and

dilution of association results from European GWAS in populations of non-European

ancestry: the PAGE study. PLoS Biol 11:e1001661

6. Torgerson DG, Ampleford EJ, Chiu GY, Gauderman WJ, Gignoux CR, Graves PE,

Himes BE, Levin AM, Mathias RA, Hancock DB, et al (2011) Meta-analysis of genome-

wide association studies of asthma in ethnically diverse North American populations.

Nature genetics 43:887-892

7. Waters KM, Stram DO, Hassanein MT, Le Marchand L, Wilkens LR, Maskarinec G,

Monroe KR, Kolonel LN, Altshuler D, Henderson BE, et al (2010) Consistent association

of type 2 diabetes risk variants found in europeans in diverse racial and ethnic groups.

PLoS Genet 6

8. Hindorff LA, Sethupathy P, Junkins HA, Ramos EM, Mehta JP, Collins FS, Manolio

TA (2009) Potential etiologic and functional implications of genome-wide association

loci for human diseases and traits. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences

106:9362-9367

9. Wood AR, Esko T, Yang J, Vedantam S, Pers TH, Gustafsson S, Chu AY, Estrada K,

Luan J, Kutalik Z, et al (2014) Defining the role of common variation in the genomic and

biological architecture of adult human height. Nature genetics 46:1173-1186

10. Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (2014)

Biological insights from 108 schizophrenia-associated genetic loci. Nature 511:421-427

11. Muñoz M, Pong-Wong R, Canela-Xandri O, Rawlik K, Haley CS, Tenesa A (2016)

Evaluating the contribution of genetics and familial shared environment to common

disease using the UK Biobank. Nat Genet

12. Mathieson I, McVean G (2012) Differential confounding of rare and common

variants in spatially structured populations. Nature Genetics 44:243-246

13. Gravel S, Henn BM, Gutenkunst RN, Indap AR, Marth GT, Clark AG, Yu F, Gibbs

RA, Bustamante CD (2011) Demographic history and rare allele sharing among human

populations. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of

America 108:11983-11988

14. Walter K, Min JL, Huang J, Crooks L, Memari Y, McCarthy S, Perry JRB, Xu C,

Futema M, Lawson D, et al (2015) The UK10K project identifies rare variants in health

and disease. Nature

15. Novembre J, Johnson T, Bryc K, Kutalik Z, Boyko AR, Auton A, Indap A, King KS,

Bergmann S, Nelson MR, et al (2008) Genes mirror geography within Europe. Nature

456:98-101

16. Do R, Kathiresan S, Abecasis GR (2012) Exome sequencing and complex disease:

Practical aspects of rare variant association studies. Human Molecular Genetics 21:1-9

17. Lek M, Karczewski KJ, Minikel EV, Samocha KE, Banks E, Fennell T, O'Donnell-

Luria AH, Ware JS, Hill AJ, Cummings BB, et al (2016) Analysis of protein-coding

genetic variation in 60,706 humans. Nature 536:285-291

18. Auton A, Abecasis GR, Altshuler DM, Durbin RM, Abecasis GR, Bentley DR,

Chakravarti A, Clark AG, Donnelly P, Eichler EE, et al (2015) A global reference for

human genetic variation. Nature 526:68-74

19. Tennessen JA, Bigham AW, O'Connor TD, Fu W, Kenny EE, Gravel S, McGee S,

Do R, Liu X, Jun G, et al (2012) Evolution and functional impact of rare coding variation

from deep sequencing of human exomes. Science (New York, N.Y.) 337:64-69

20. Grossman SR, Shlyakhter I, Karlsson EK, Byrne EH, Morales S, Frieden G,

Hostetter E, Angelino E, Garber M, Zuk O, et al (2010) A composite of multiple signals

distinguishes causal variants in regions of positive selection. Science (New York, N.Y.)

327:883-886

21. MacArthur DG, Balasubramanian S, Frankish A, Huang N, Morris J, Walter K,

Jostins L, Habegger L, Pickrell JK, Montgomery SB, et al (2012) A systematic survey of

loss-of-function variants in human protein-coding genes. Science (New York, N.Y.)

335:823-828

22. Henn BM, Botigué LR, Peischl S, Dupanloup I, Lipatov M, Maples BK, Martin AR,

Musharoff S, Cann H, Snyder MP, et al (2016) Distance from sub-Saharan Africa

predicts mutational load in diverse human genomes. Proceedings of the National

Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 113:E440-E449

23. Lohmueller KE, Indap AR, Schmidt S, Boyko AR, Hernandez RD, Hubisz MJ,

Sninsky JJ, White TJ, Sunyaev SR, Nielsen R, et al (2008) Proportionally more

deleterious genetic variation in European than in African populations. Nature 451:994-

997

24. Fu W, Gittelman RM, Bamshad MJ, Akey JM (2014) Characteristics of Neutral and

Deleterious Protein-Coding Variation among Individuals and Populations. The American

Journal of Human Genetics 95:421-436

25. Simons YB, Turchin MC, Pritchard JK, Sella G (2014) The deleterious mutation load

is insensitive to recent population history. Nature genetics 46:220-224

26. Stokowski RP, Pant PVK, Dadd T, Fereday A, Hinds DA, Jarman C, Filsell W,

Ginger RS, Green MR, van der Ouderaa FJ, et al (2007) A genomewide association

study of skin pigmentation in a South Asian population. American journal of human

genetics 81:1119-1132

27. Marcheco-Teruel B, Parra EJ, Fuentes-Smith E, Salas A, Buttenschøn HN,

Demontis D, Torres-Español M, Marín-Padrón LC, Gómez-Cabezas EJ, Alvarez-

Iglesias V, et al (2014) Cuba: exploring the history of admixture and the genetic basis of

pigmentation using autosomal and uniparental markers. PLoS genetics 10:e1004488

28. Adhikari K, Fontanil T, Cal S, Mendoza-Revilla J, Fuentes-Guajardo M, Chacón-

Duque J, Al-Saadi F, Johansson JA, Quinto-Sanchez M, Acuña-Alonzo V, et al (2016) A

genome-wide association scan in admixed Latin Americans identifies loci influencing

facial and scalp hair features. Nature Communications 7:10815

29. Price AL, Tandon A, Patterson N, Barnes KC, Rafaels N, Ruczinski I, Beaty TH,

Mathias R, Reich D, Myers S (2009) Sensitive detection of chromosomal segments of

distinct ancestry in admixed populations. PLoS genetics 5:e1000519

30. Pasaniuc B, Zaitlen N, Lettre G, Chen GK, Tandon A, Kao WHL, Ruczinski I,

Fornage M, Siscovick DS, Zhu X, et al (2011) Enhanced statistical tests for GWAS in

admixed populations: Assessment using african americans from CARe and a breast

cancer consortium. PLoS Genetics 7

31. Fejerman L, Chen GK, Eng C, Huntsman S, Hu D, Williams A, Pasaniuc B, John

EM, Via M, Gignoux C, et al (2012) Admixture mapping identifies a locus on 6q25

associated with breast cancer risk in US Latinas. Human molecular genetics 21:1907-

1917

32. Fejerman L, Ahmadiyeh N, Hu D, Huntsman S, Beckman KB, Caswell JL, Tsung K,

John EM, Torres-Mejia G, Carvajal-Carmona L, et al (2014) Genome-wide association

study of breast cancer in Latinas identifies novel protective variants on 6q25. Nat

Commun 5:5260

33. Freedman ML, Haiman CA, Patterson N, McDonald GJ, Tandon A, Waliszewska A,

Penney K, Steen RG, Ardlie K, John EM, et al (2006) Admixture mapping identifies

8q24 as a prostate cancer risk locus in African-American men. Proceedings of the

National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 103:14068-14073

34. Bhatia G, Patterson N, Pasaniuc B, Zaitlen N, Genovese G, Pollack S, Mallick S,

Myers S, Tandon A, Spencer C, et al (2011) Genome-wide comparison of African-

ancestry populations from CARe and other cohorts reveals signals of natural selection.

American journal of human genetics 89:368-381

35. Moreno-Estrada A, Gravel S, Zakharia F, McCauley JL, Byrnes JK, Gignoux CR,

Ortiz-Tello PA, Martínez RJ, Hedges DJ, Morris RW, et al (2013) Reconstructing the

Population Genetic History of the Caribbean. PLoS Genetics 9:e1003925

36. Bryc K, Velez C, Karafet T, Moreno-Estrada A, Reynolds A, Auton A, Hammer M,

Bustamante CD, Ostrer H (2010) Colloquium paper: genome-wide patterns of

population structure and admixture among Hispanic/Latino populations. Proceedings of

the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 107 Suppl :8954-

8961

37. Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P (2000) Inference of population structure using

multilocus genotype data. Genetics 155:945-959

38. Tang H, Peng J, Wang P, Risch NJ (2005) Estimation of individual admixture:

Analytical and study design considerations. Genetic Epidemiology 28:289-301

39. Alexander DH, Novembre J, Lange K (2009) Fast model-based estimation of

ancestry in unrelated individuals. Genome research 19:1655-1664

40. Price AL, Zaitlen NA, Reich D, Patterson N (2010) New approaches to population

stratification in genome-wide association studies. Nature reviews. Genetics 11:459-463

41. Mathieson I, Mcvean G (2014) Demography and the Age of Rare Variants. 10

42. O'Connor TD, Fu W, Mychaleckyj JC, Logsdon B, Auer P, Carlson CS, Leal SM,

Smith JD, Rieder MJ, Bamshad MJ, et al (2015) Rare variation facilitates inferences of

fine-scale population structure in humans. Mol Biol Evol 32:653-660

43. Babron MC, de Tayrac M, Rutledge DN, Zeggini E, Génin E (2012) Rare and low

frequency variant stratification in the UK population: description and impact on

association tests. PLoS One 7:e46519

44. Bhatia G, Gusev A, Loh P-R, Finucane HK, Vilhjalmsson BJ, Ripke S, Purcell S,

Stahl E, Daly M, de Candia TR (2016) Subtle stratification confounds estimates of

heritability from rare variants. bioRxiv:048181

45. Szulc P, Bogdan M, Frommlet F, Tang H (2016) Joint Genotype-and Ancestry-

based Genome-wide Association Studies in Admixed Populations. bioRxiv:062554

46. Conomos M, Reiner A, Weir B, Thornton T (2016) Model-free Estimation of Recent

Genetic Relatedness. The American Journal of Human Genetics 98:127-148

47. Zaitlen N, Paşaniuc B, Gur T, Ziv E, Halperin E (2010) Leveraging genetic variability

across populations for the identification of causal variants. Am J Hum Genet 86:23-33

48. Genovese G, Handsaker RE, Li H, Kenny EE, McCarroll SA (2013) Mapping the

human reference genome's missing sequence by three-way admixture in Latino

genomes. Am J Hum Genet 93:411-421

49. Baharian S, Barakatt M, Gignoux CR, Shringarpure S, Errington J, Blot WJ,

Bustamante CD, Kenny EE, Williams SM, Aldrich MC, et al (2016) The Great Migration

and African-American Genomic Diversity. PLoS genetics 12:e1006059

50. Reich D, Patterson N, Campbell D, Tandon A, Mazieres S, Ray N, Parra MV, Rojas

W, Duque C, Mesa N, et al (2012) Reconstructing Native American population history.

Nature 488:370-374

51. Ruiz-Linares A, Adhikari K, Acuña-Alonzo V, Quinto-Sanchez M, Jaramillo C, Arias

W, Fuentes M, Pizarro M, Everardo P, de Avila F, et al (2014) Admixture in Latin

America: geographic structure, phenotypic diversity and self-perception of ancestry

based on 7,342 individuals. PLoS Genet 10:e1004572

52. Moreno-Estrada A, Gignoux CR, Fernández-López JC, Zakharia F, Sikora M,

Contreras AV, Acuña-Alonzo V, Sandoval K, Eng C, Romero-Hidalgo S, et al (2014)

The genetics of Mexico recapitulates Native American substructure and affects

biomedical traits. Science (New York, N.Y.) 344:1280-1285

53. Vilhjálmsson BJ, Yang J, Finucane HK, Gusev A, Lindström S, Ripke S, Genovese

G, Loh PR, Bhatia G, Do R, et al (2015) Modeling Linkage Disequilibrium Increases

Accuracy of Polygenic Risk Scores. Am J Hum Genet 97:576-592

54. Mao X, Bigham AW, Mei R, Gutierrez G, Weiss KM, Brutsaert TD, Leon-Velarde F,

Moore LG, Vargas E, McKeigue PM, et al (2007) A genomewide admixture mapping

panel for Hispanic/Latino populations. American journal of human genetics 80:1171-

1178

55. O'Connell J, Gurdasani D, Delaneau O, Pirastu N, Ulivi S, Cocca M, Traglia M,

Huang J, Huffman JE, Rudan I, McQuillan R, et al (2014) A General Approach for

Haplotype Phasing across the Full Spectrum of Relatedness. PLoS Genetics

10:e1004234

56. Maples BK, Gravel S, Kenny EE, Bustamante CD (2013) RFMix: A Discriminative

Modeling Approach for Rapid and Robust Local-Ancestry Inference. American journal of

human genetics 93:278-288

57. Gravel S (2012) Population genetics models of local ancestry. Genetics 191:607-

619

58. 1000 Genomes Project Consortium (2012) An integrated map of genetic variation

from 1,092 human genomes. Nature 135:0-9

59. Purcell S, Neale B, Toddbrown K, Thomas L, Ferreira M, Bender D, Maller J, Sklar

P, Debakker P, Daly M (2007) PLINK: A Tool Set for Whole-Genome Association and

Population-Based Linkage Analyses. The American Journal of Human Genetics 81:559-

575

60. Kelleher J, Etheridge AM, McVean G (2016) Efficient coalescent simulation and

genealogical analysis for large sample sizes. PLoS Comput Biol 12:e1004842

61. Mathias RA, Taub MA, Gignoux CR, Fu W, Musharoff S, O'Connor TD, Vergara C,

Torgerson DG, Pino-Yanes M, Shringarpure SS, et al (2016) A continuum of admixture

in the Western Hemisphere revealed by the African Diaspora genome. Nature

Communications 7:12522

62. Shringarpure SS, Bustamante CD, Lange KL, Alexander DH (2016) Efficient

analysis of large datasets and sex bias with ADMIXTURE. bioarXiv 1:1-10

63. Price AL, Patterson NJ, Plenge RM, Weinblatt ME, Shadick NA, Reich D (2006)

Principal components analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association

studies. Nature genetics 38:904-909

64. Baran Y, Pasaniuc B, Sankararaman S, Torgerson DG, Gignoux C, Eng C,

Rodriguez-Cintron W, Chapela R, Ford JG, Avila PC, et al (2012) Fast and accurate

inference of local ancestry in Latino populations. Bioinformatics 28:1359-1367

65. Moreno-Estrada A, Gravel S, Zakharia F, McCauley JL, Byrnes JK, Gignoux CR,

Ortiz-Tello PA, Martínez RJ, Hedges DJ, Morris RW, et al (2013) Reconstructing the

Population Genetic History of the Caribbean. PLoS Genetics 9:e1003925

66. Moreno-Estrada A, Gravel S, Zakharia F, McCauley JL, Byrnes JK, Gignoux CR,

Ortiz-Tello PA, Martínez RJ, Hedges DJ, Morris RW, et al (2013) Reconstructing the

Population Genetic History of the Caribbean. PLoS Genetics 9:e1003925

67. Tishkoff SA, Reed FA, Friedlaender FR, Ehret C, Ranciaro A, Froment A, Hirbo JB,

Awomoyi AA, Bodo J, Doumbo O, et al (2009) The genetic structure and history of

Africans and African Americans. Science (New York, N.Y.) 324:1035-1044

68. Zakharia F, Basu A, Absher D, Assimes TL, Go AS, Hlatky MA, Iribarren C, Knowles

JW, Li J, Narasimhan B, et al (2009) Characterizing the admixed African ancestry of

African Americans. Genome biology 10:R141

69. Schroeder H, Ávila-Arcos MC, Malaspinas A, Poznik GD, Sandoval-Velasco M,

Carpenter ML, Moreno-Mayar JV, Sikora M, Johnson PLF, Allentoft ME, et al (2015)

Genome-wide ancestry of 17th-century enslaved Africans from the Caribbean.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 112:201421784

70. Gravel S, Zakharia F, Moreno-Estrada A, Byrnes JK, Muzzio M, Rodriguez-Flores

JL, Kenny EE, Gignoux CR, Maples BK, Guiblet W, et al (2013) Reconstructing Native

American migrations from whole-genome and whole-exome data. PLoS genetics

9:e1004023

71. Kessler MD, Yerges-Armstrong L, Taub MA, Shetty AC, Maloney K, Jeng LJB,

Ruczinski I, Levin AM, Williams LK, Beaty TH, et al (2016) Challenges and disparities in

the application of personalized genomic medicine to populations with African ancestry.

Nature Communications 7:12521

72. Purcell SM, Wray NR, Stone JL, Visscher PM, O'Donovan MC, Sullivan PF, Sklar P,

Purcell SM, Stone JL, Sullivan PF, et al (2009) Common polygenic variation contributes

to risk of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. Nature

73. Shungin D, Winkler TW, Croteau-Chonka DC, Ferreira T, Locke AE, Mägi R,

Strawbridge RJ, Pers TH, Fischer K, Justice AE, et al (2015) New genetic loci link

adipose and insulin biology to body fat distribution. Nature 518:187-196

74. Gaulton KJ, Ferreira T, Lee Y, Raimondo A, Mägi R, Reschen ME, Mahajan A,

Locke A, Rayner NW, Robertson N, et al (2015) Genetic fine mapping and genomic

annotation defines causal mechanisms at type 2 diabetes susceptibility loci. Nat Genet

47:1415-1425

75. Mahajan A, Go MJ, Zhang W, Below JE, Gaulton KJ, Ferreira T, Horikoshi M,

Johnson AD, Ng MC, Prokopenko I, et al (2014) Genome-wide trans-ancestry meta-

analysis provides insight into the genetic architecture of type 2 diabetes susceptibility.

Nat Genet 46:234-244

76. Moffatt MF, Gut IG, Demenais F, Strachan DP, Bouzigon E, Heath S, Von Mutius E,

Farrall M, Lathrop M, Cookson WO (2010) A large-scale, consortium-based

genomewide association study of asthma. New England Journal of Medicine 363:1211-

1221

77. N'Diaye A, Chen GK, Palmer CD, Ge B, Tayo B, Mathias RA, Ding J, Nalls MA,

Adeyemo A, Adoue V, et al (2011) Identification, replication, and fine-mapping of Loci

associated with adult height in individuals of african ancestry. PLoS Genet 7:e1002298

78. Gustafsson A, Lindenfors P (2004) Human size evolution: no evolutionary allometric

relationship between male and female stature. J Hum Evol 47:253-266

79. Whiteford HA, Degenhardt L, Rehm J, Baxter AJ, Ferrari AJ, Erskine HE, Charlson

FJ, Norman RE, Flaxman AD, Johns N, et al (2013) Global burden of disease

attributable to mental and substance use disorders: findings from the Global Burden of

Disease Study 2010. The Lancet 382:1575-1586

80. De Candia TR, Lee SH, Yang J, Browning BL, Gejman PV, Levinson DF, Mowry BJ,

Hewitt JK, Goddard ME, O'Donovan MC, et al (2013) Additive genetic variation in

schizophrenia risk is shared by populations of African and European descent. American

Journal of Human Genetics 93:463-470

81. Lango Allen H, Estrada K, Lettre G, Berndt SI, Weedon MN, Rivadeneira F, Willer

CJ, Jackson AU, Vedantam S, Raychaudhuri S, et al (2010) Hundreds of variants

clustered in genomic loci and biological pathways affect human height. Nature 467:832-

838

82. Chan Y, Lim E, Sandholm N, Wang S, McKnight A, Ripke S, Daly M, Neale B,

Salem R, Hirschhorn J (2014) An Excess of Risk-Increasing Low-Frequency Variants

Can Be a Signal of Polygenic Inheritance in Complex Diseases. The American Journal

of Human Genetics 94:437-452

83. Minikel EV, Vallabh SM, Lek M, Estrada K, Samocha KE, Sathirapongsasuti JF,

McLean CY, Tung JY, Yu LPC, Gambetti P, et al (2016) Quantifying prion disease

penetrance using large population control cohorts. Science Translational Medicine

8:322ra9-322ra9

84. Walsh R, Thomson K, Ware JS, Funke BH, Woodley J, McGuire KJ, Mazzarotto F,

Blair E, Seller A, Taylor JC (2016) Reassessment of Mendelian gene pathogenicity

using 7,855 cardiomyopathy cases and 60,706 reference samples. bioRxiv:041111

85. Manrai AK, Funke BH, Rehm HL, Olesen MS, Maron BA, Szolovits P, Margulies

DM, Loscalzo J, Kohane IS (2016) Genetic Misdiagnoses and the Potential for Health

Disparities. New England Journal of Medicine 375:655-665

86. Li YR, Keating BJ (2014) Trans-ethnic genome-wide association studies:

advantages and challenges of mapping in diverse populations. Genome Med 6:91

87. Dumitrescu L, Carty CL, Taylor K, Schumacher FR, Hindorff LA, Ambite JL,

Anderson G, Best LG, Brown-Gentry K, Bůžková P, et al (2011) Genetic Determinants

of Lipid Traits in Diverse Populations from the Population Architecture using Genomics

and Epidemiology (PAGE) Study. PLoS Genetics 7:e1002138

88. Freedman ML, Haiman CA, Patterson N, McDonald GJ, Tandon A, Waliszewska A,

Penney K, Steen RG, Ardlie K, John EM (2006) Admixture mapping identifies 8q24 as a

prostate cancer risk locus in African-American men. Proceedings of the National

Academy of Sciences 103:14068-14073

89. Rosenberg NA, Huang L, Jewett EM, Szpiech ZA, Jankovic I, Boehnke M (2010)

Genome-wide association studies in diverse populations. Nature reviews. Genetics

11:356-366

90. Dudbridge F (2013) Power and predictive accuracy of polygenic risk scores. PLoS

Genet 9:e1003348

91. Wray NR, Yang J, Hayes BJ, Price AL, Goddard ME, Visscher PM (2013) Pitfalls of

predicting complex traits from SNPs. Nature Reviews Genetics 14:507-515