1   **Unsupervised extraction of functional gene expression signatures in the**
2   **bacterial pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* with eADAGE**
3

4   Jie Tan[1,¶], Georgia Doing[2,¶], Kimberley A. Lewis[2], Courtney E. Price[2], Kathleen M. Chen[3], Kyle C.
5   Cady[4,5], Barret Perchuk[4,5], Michael T. Laub[4,5], Deborah A. Hogan[2], Casey S. Greene[3,6,7,*]
6
1. Department of Molecular and Systems Biology, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth,
Hanover, NH, USA 03755
2. Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth,
Hanover, NH, USA 03755
3. Department of Systems Pharmacology and Translational Therapeutics, University of
Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA 19104
4. Department of Biology, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, 02139, USA.
5. Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Cambridge, MA, 02139, USA.
6. Institute for Translational Medicine and Therapeutics, Perelman School of Medicine,
University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA 19104
7. Institute for Biomedical Informatics, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania,
Philadelphia, PA, USA 19104
19
20   *To whom correspondence should be addressed: csgreene@mail.med.upenn.edu
21   ¶ These authors contributed equally to this work.

22
23   Running title: Extracting signatures from public data

Abstract

While the large sets of publicly available gene expression data contain substantial information about relationships between mRNA expression profiles and genetic background, environment, and cellular state, cross experiment comparisons of public data are challenged by technical noise that masks biological signals. We previously showed that one could reveal biological signatures within compendia of expression data using an unsupervised neural network algorithm, called ADAGE, which excels at detecting patterns in noisy datasets. Here, we show that the generation and integration of multiple ADAGE models, resulting in an ensemble ADAGE (eADAGE), better identified biological pathways. For the bacterium *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, our analysis found that on the order of 1000 samples were needed to build pathway-level gene expression signatures. The *P. aeruginosa* gene expression compendium contains experiments performed in 78 different media, and we used eADAGE to identify expression signatures associated with medium-type across multiple experiments. We identified a subset of media, including several complex media that were not designed to limit phosphate, in which *P. aeruginosa* exhibited a phosphate starvation response controlled by PhoB. Furthermore, while it was expected that PhoB activates the phosphate starvation response in low phosphate, our analyses found that PhoB was also active in moderate phosphate concentrations and predicted that activity required a second stimulus provided by a sensor kinase, KinB, which was validated in subsequent experiments including a screen of a histidine kinase knock out collection confirmed the specificity of its role in the activation of the Pho regulon. Algorithms that extract biological signal from large collections of public gene expression data, such as eADAGE, can highlight opportunities to discover mechanisms that are currently unrecognized from public data.

Keywords

denoising autoencoders/ensemble modeling/gene expression/*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*/PhoB crosstalk

Introduction

Available gene expression data are outstripping our knowledge about the organisms that we're measuring. Ideally each organism's data reveals the principles underlying gene regulation and consequent pathway activity changes in every condition in which gene expression is measured. Extracting this information requires new algorithms, but many commonly used algorithms are supervised. These algorithms require curated pathway knowledge to work effectively, and in many species such resources are biased in various ways (Schnoes *et al*, 2013; Gillis & Pavlidis, 2013; Greene & Troyanskaya, 2012). Annotation transfer can help, but such function assignments remain challenging for many biological processes (Jiang *et al*, 2016). An unsupervised method that doesn't rely on annotation transfer would bypass the challenges of both annotation transfer and biased knowledge.

Along with our wealth of data, abundant computational resources can now power deep
unsupervised applications of neural networks, which are powerful methods for unsupervised
feature learning (Bengio *et al*, 2013). In a neural network, input variables are provided to one or
more layers of "neurons". Each neuron (also called node) has some activation function that
determines whether or not it turns on given some input. For the final layer of the network, the
output is designed to accomplish some task, and the entire network is trained by grading the
quality of the output. The process of training adjusts the edge weight that each node of the
network provides to the other. The denoising autoencoder (DA) is a type of unsupervised neural
network method in which noise is added to the input data and the neural network is trained to
produce the original input at the final layer (Vincent *et al*, 2008). This approach has properties
that make it suitable for gene expression data (Tan *et al*, 2015). First, the sigmoid activation
function produces features that tend to be on or off, which helps to describe biological
processes, e.g. transcription factor activation, with threshold effects. Second, the algorithm is
robust to noise. The first step in training a DA is to add random noise to input data. The DA is
then trained to process the data through neural network nodes to reconstruct the original data
without noise. This step helps a DA to learn features that are robust to noise. We previously
observed that a one-layer DA-based method, ADAGE (analysis using denoising autoencoders of
gene expression), was more robust than linear approaches such as ICA or PCA in the context of
public data, which employ heterogeneous experimental designs, lack shared controls and
provide limited metadata (Tan *et al*, 2016b).
86
Neural networks have many edge weights that must be fit during training. Given some gene
expression dataset, there are many different DAs that could reconstruct the data equally well.
In a technical sense we would say that the objective functions of neural networks are typically
non-convex and trained through stochastic gradient descent and that if we trained multiple
models, each would represent a local minimum. In this work, we aim to identify patterns that
are stable across many of these neural networks. Yu's work on stability emphasizes the
importance of patterns that are stable across statistical models in the process of discovery (Yu,
2013). In this case with gene expression data, each neural network is a statistical model. While
run-to-run variability obscures some biological features within individual models, stable cross-
model patterns may clearly resolve biological pathways. To directly target stability, we
introduce an unsupervised modeling procedure inspired by consensus clustering (Monti *et al*,
2003). Consensus clustering has become a standard part of clustering applications for biological
datasets. Our approach builds an ensemble neural network that captures stable features and
improves model robustness.
101
To apply the neural network approach to compendium-wide analyses, we first sought to create
a comprehensive model in which biological pathways were successfully learned from gene
expression data. We adapted ADAGE (Tan *et al*, 2016b) to capture pathways more specifically
by increasing the number of nodes (model size) that reflect potential pathways from 50 to 300,
a size that our analyses indicate the current public data compendium can support. We then
built its ensemble version (eADAGE) and compared it with ADAGE, PCA, and ICA. While it is
impossible to specify *a priori* the number of true biological pathways that exhibit gene
expression signatures, we observed that eADAGE models produced gene expression signatures

that corresponded to more biological pathways. This indicates that this method more
effectively identifies biological signatures from noisy public data. While ADAGE models reveal
biological features perturbed within an experiment, the more robust eADAGE models also
enable analyses that cut across an organism's gene expression compendium.
114
To assess the utility of the eADAGE model in making predictions of biological activity, we
applied it to the analysis of the *Pseudomonas aerguinosa* gene expression compendium which
included 1051 samples grown in 78 distinct medium conditions, 128 distinct strains and isolates,
and dozens of different environmental parameters. After grouping samples by medium type,
we searched for eADAGE-defined signatures that differed between medium types. This cross-
compendium analysis identified five media that elicited a response to low-phosphate mediated
by the transcriptional regulator PhoB, and only one of these five media was specifically defined
as a condition with low phosphate. While PhoB is known to respond to low phosphate through
its interaction with PhoR in low concentrations (Wanner & Chang, 1987), our analyses indicated
that PhoB is also active at moderate phosphate concentrations. Specifically in media with
moderate phosphate concentrations, the eADAGE model predicted a previously undiscovered
role for KinB in the activation of PhoB, and our molecular analyses of *P. aeruginosa* confirmed
this prediction. Analysis of a collection of *P. aeruginosa* mutants defective in kinases validated
the specificity of the KinB-PhoB relationship.
129
In summary, eADAGE more precisely and robustly captures biological processes and pathways
from gene expression data than other unsupervised approaches. The signatures learned by
eADAGE support functional gene set analyses without manual pathway annotation. The
signatures are robust enough to enable biologists to identify not only differentially active
signatures within one experiment, but also cross-compendium patterns that reveal
undiscovered regulatory mechanisms captured within existing public data.
136

Results

Analysis of the effects of model size on pathway characterization of ADAGE models from *P.*
***aeruginosa* gene expression.**
Determining the optimal structure of a neural network is challenging. In the case of an ADAGE
model with a single hidden layer we term the number of nodes in the hidden layer to be the
model size. We evaluated the model size through both a data-driven heuristic and a knowledge-
driven heuristic. Importantly, the data-driven heuristic requires no curated pathway
information and can be applied even when such resources are unavailable for an organism.
During ADAGE training, neural networks are trained to reconstruct the input from data with
noise added. The reconstruction error can be used to estimate model sizes that can be
supported by the available *P. aeruginosa* gene expression data. The reconstruction error quickly
decreases as model size increases and reaches a floor at model size of approximately 300
(Figure 1A). Further increasing model size does not improve reconstruction, suggesting that the
available data are insufficient to support larger models.
152

While ADAGE models are constructed without the use of any curated information, we can use
experimentally-derived knowledge of gene functions to provide heuristic information about the
number and types of pathways captured by a model and determine how this varies with model
size. We define a functional signature learned by an ADAGE model as a set of genes that
contribute the highest positive or highest negative weights to a specific node (see methods for
detail). Therefore, one node results in two gene signatures, one on each high weight side. These
high-weight (HW) genes are often involved in a common biological process as demonstrated by
the fact that there is often a statistically significant enrichment in specific KEGG pathways
within each signature. For models of different sizes (10-1000 nodes), we determined the
number of KEGG pathways significantly associated with at least one gene signature in a model,
referred to as KEGG pathway coverage for that model, and found that KEGG pathway coverage
increased as model size increased until a model size of approximately 300 (Figure 1B). The
number of pathways per node (including pathways associated with both the positive and
negative signatures in a node) for all nodes with at least one associated KEGG pathway
decreased as model size increased (Figure EV1), suggesting that multiple pathways were
grouped in small models and were separated into more discrete features in large models with
more nodes. Though this method was unsupervised, we inferred that methods that extracted
signatures corresponding to known pathways better captured biological signals in the
compendium. Therefore, considering the data-driven and knowledge-driven heuristics together,
we identified a 300-node neural network model as most appropriate for the existing *P.*
aeruginosa gene expression compendium.
174
Analysis of the effects of sample number in the training set on ADAGE models
The expression compendium contains 1051 samples from 125 experiments. We aimed to
identify the amount of data required to saturate the method's ability to discover biologically
supported signatures and to identify how far the compendium could be reduced before
performance dropped precipitously. To assess this, we performed a subsampling analysis in
which we trained ADAGE models on randomly selected sets of 100, 200, 500, and 800
expression profiles. We examined the number of KEGG pathways associated with at least one
gene signature (pathway coverage) as a function of the size of the training set (Figure 1C). In
the 50-node models, the size used in (Tan *et al*, 2016b), the average KEGG pathway coverage at
each training size increased significantly up to 500 samples (Tukey's HSD adjusted p-values <
0.05 between models trained with 100, 200, and 500 samples), but differences beyond 500
training samples were not significant (Tukey's HSD adjusted p values > 0.05 between models
trained with 500, 800, and 1051 samples). For 300-node models, pathway coverage showed
significant increases (Figure 1C) between the models constructed with 100, 200, 500, and 800
samples (Tukey's HSD adjusted p-values < 0.05) but not between 800 and 1051 (Tukey's HSD
adjusted p-value > 0.05). The slower increase in pathway coverage when sample size is
relatively large suggests redundancy in the compendium, potentially due to biological replicates
or experiments probing similar processes. This highlights the importance of data that capture
diverse processes.
194
Using the subsampling strategy, we also evaluated the reconstruction error of each model on
its training set and a randomly chosen held out test set of 200 samples. As sample size

increased, training reconstruction errors increased slightly while testing reconstruction errors
dropped dramatically (Figure 1D). We fitted exponential models between sample size and the
differences of training and testing errors (R^2= 0.78 for 50-node models and R^2= 0.83 for 300-
node models). We extrapolated from these models to predict that testing errors would
approximately match training errors when sample size was 782 for 50-node models and 1076
for 300-node models. These results suggested that smaller models were less sensitive to sample
size, likely because they have fewer parameters to fit and also that our 1051 sample
compendium was sufficient to train a 300-node model.
205
eADAGE: ensemble modeling improves the model breadth, depth, and robustness
Individual ADAGE models capture a local minimum, with models of the same size capturing
different pathways. This occurs because each ADAGE model is initialized with random weights,
and the training processes are sensitive to these initial conditions. eADAGE, in which we built
an ensemble version of individual ADAGE models, took advantage of this variation to enhance
model robustness. Each eADAGE model integrated nodes from 100 individual ADAGE models
(Figure 2A). To unite nodes from different models, we applied consensus clustering on nodes'
weight vectors. Our previous ADAGE analyses showed that HW genes characterized each node's
biological significance, so we designed a weighted Pearson correlation to incorporate gene
weights in building eADAGE models (see methods). We compared eADAGE to two primary
baseline methods: individual ADAGE models and corADAGE, which combined nodes with an
unweighted Pearson correlation. For direct comparison, the model sizes of ADAGE, eADAGE,
and corADAGE were all fixed to 300 nodes, which we found to be appropriate for the current *P.*
aeruginosa expression compendium.
220
eADAGE models exhibited greater KEGG pathway coverage than those generated by other
methods. We evaluated ADAGE, corADAGE, and eADAGE for the number of covered KEGG
pathways (Figure 2B). Both corADAGE and eADAGE covered significantly more KEGG pathways
than ADAGE (t-test p-value of 1.04e-6 between corADAGE (n=10) and ADAGE (n=1000) and t-
test p-value of 1.41e-6 between eADAGE (n=10) and ADAGE (n=1000)). Moreover, eADAGE
models covered, on average, 10 more pathways than corADAGE (t-test p-value of 1.99e-3, n=10
for both groups), confirming the critical roles of an ADAGE node's HW gene signatures in
defining biological pathways. Genes that participate in multiple pathways can influence
pathway enrichment analysis, a factor termed pathway crosstalk (Donato *et al*, 2013). If
eADAGE signatures tended to include genes that participated in many pathways, this could also
drive the increase in number of observed pathways. To control for this, we performed crosstalk
correction (Donato *et al*, 2013). After correction, the total number of covered pathways
dropped approximately by half (Figure EV2), but eADAGE still covered significantly more
pathways than corADAGE (t-test p-value of 1.60e-3) and ADAGE (t-test p-value of 6.16e-07).
These results suggested that eADAGE effectively integrates multiple models to more broadly
capture pathway signals embedded in diverse gene expression compendia.
237
We next evaluated how specifically and completely signatures learned by the models capture
known KEGG pathways. We use each gene signature's FDR corrected p-value for enrichment of
a KEGG pathway as a combined measure, as this captures both the sensitivity and specificity. If

a pathway was significantly associated with multiple gene signatures in a model, we only
considered its most significant association. We found that 71% of pathways were more
significantly enriched (had lower median p-values) in corADAGE models (n=10) when compared
to individual ADAGE models (n=100) (Figure EV3). This increased to 87% for eADAGE (n=10). We
also directly compared eADAGE and corADAGE by this measure and observed that 74% of
pathways were more significantly enriched in eADAGE. Our earlier evaluation of pathway-based
heuristics showed that different pathways were best captured at different model sizes (Figure
EV3). We next compared the 300-node eADAGE model to individual models of each size.
Although the 300-node eADAGE models were constructed only from 300-node ADAGE models,
we found that 69% pathways were more significantly enriched (i.e. lower median p-values) in
eADAGE models than ADAGE models of any size, including those with more nodes than the
eADAGE models (Figure EV3). Three example pathways that are best captured either when
model size is small, large, or in the middle are all well captured in the 300-node eADAGE model
(Figure 2C). These results demonstrate that eADAGE's ensemble modeling procedure captures
signals across model sizes more effectively than individual ADAGE and corADAGE models. Thus
eADAGE more completely and precisely captures the gene expression signatures of biological
pathways.
258
We designed eADAGE to provide a more robust analysis framework than individual ADAGE
models. To assess this, we examined the percentage of models that covered each pathway
(coverage rate) between ADAGE and eADAGE (Figure EV4). The pathways covered by each
individual ADAGE model were highly variable. Most KEGG pathways were covered by less than
half of individual models but more than half of eADAGE models (Figure EV5), suggesting that
eADAGE models were more robust than individual ADAGE models. We excluded all pathways
always covered by both individual ADAGE and eADAGE models and observed that 72% of the
remaining pathways were covered more frequently by eADAGE than ADAGE. This suggests that
their associations are stabilized through the ensemble construction procedures. In summary,
these comparisons of eADAGE and ADAGE reveal that not only are more pathways captured
more specifically, but also those that are captured are captured more consistently.
270
Principle component analysis (PCA) and independent component analysis (ICA) have been
previously used to extract biological features and build functional gene sets (Engreitz *et al*, 2010;
Raychaudhuri *et al*, 2000; Gong *et al*, 2007; Alter *et al*, 2000; Raychaudhuri *et al*, 2000; Lutter *et*
al, 2009; Frigyesi *et al*, 2006; Chen *et al*, 2008; Roden *et al*, 2006; Ma & Kosorok, 2009). We
performed PCA and generated multiple ICA models from the same *P. aeruginosa* expression
compendium and evaluated their KEGG pathway coverage following the same procedures used
for eADAGE. eADAGE substantially and significantly outperforms PCA in terms of pathway
coverage (Figure 2D). We observed that low-order PCs tend to be associated with more
pathways than high-order PCs, which is consistent with the higher variance explained by low-
order PCs. ICA and eADAGE covered a similar number of pathways at the significance cutoff of
FDR 0.05. However, we observed that eADAGE represented KEGG pathways more precisely
than ICA. Specifically, among pathways significantly enriched in either approach, 68% pathways
exhibited more significant enrichment in eADAGE. Increasing the significance threshold for
pathway coverage demonstrates the advantage of eADAGE (Figure 2D).

Pathway databases provide a means to compare unsupervised methods for signature discovery.
Not all pathways will be regulated at the transcriptional level, but those that are may be
extracted from gene expression data. The unsupervised eADAGE method revealed signatures
that corresponded to *P. aeruginosa* KEGG pathways better than PCA, ICA, ADAGE, and
corADAGE. It had higher pathway coverage (breadth), covered pathways more specifically
(depth), and more consistently than existing methods (robustness).

Elucidating functional signatures that are indicative of growth medium
Analysis of differentially expressed genes is widely used to analyze single experiments, but
crosscutting signatures are required to reveal general response patterns from large-scale
compendia. Signature-based analyses can suggest mechanisms such as crosstalk and novel
regulatory networks. However, in order for this to be effective, these signatures must be robust
and comprehensive. By capturing biological pathways more completely and robustly, eADAGE
enables the analysis of signatures, including those that don't correspond to any KEGG pathway,
across the entire compendium of *P. aeruginosa.*

Gene expression experiments have been used to investigate a diverse set of questions about *P.*
aeruginosa biology, and these experiments have used many different media to emphasize
different phenotypes. Our manual annotation showed that 78 different base media were used
across the gene expression compendium (Table EV1). While the compendium contains 125
different experiments, it is exceedingly rare for investigators to use multiple base lab media
within the same experiment. There were only two examples in the entire compendium (Table
EV1). Further, other than LB, which is used in 43.6% (458/1051) of the samples in the
compendium, most media are only represented by a handful of samples. Comparing samples
across the compendium can help shed light on the influence of medium on *P. aeruginosa* even
if the medium wasn't widely used.

For biological evaluation, we built a single new eADAGE model with 300 nodes. The model's
weight matrix (Table EV2), positive and negative gene signatures for each node (Table EV3), and
signature activities for each sample in the compendium (Table EV4) are provided. To compare
the *P. aeruginosa* response to the different media in the compendium, we looked for signatures
that were differentially active in samples grown in different media and media groups (see
methods). Table EV5 lists signatures that are active in a specific medium above a stringent
threshold and Table EV6 lists signatures that are most active in a group of media (a complete
list of signature-media group associations is in Table EV7).

Distinct aspects of the response to low phosphate are captured among the most active
signatures
The two signatures with the highest pan-media activation scores are Node164pos and
Node108neg (Table EV6), therefore we examined them to better understand their roles in the
transcriptional response to different media. To evaluate the basis for the high activation scores,
we examined their underlying activities across all media (Node164pos is shown as an example
in Figure 3A). This revealed that they were highly active in King's A medium, Peptone medium,

and NGM+<0.1mM phosphate (NGMlowP), but not in NGM+25mM phosphate (NGMhighP).
The difference in their activities between NGMlowP and NGMhighP suggested that these
signatures respond to phosphate concentrations. Interestingly, the other two media (Peptone
and King's A) in which *P. aeruginosa* gene expression leads to consistently high activities also
had low phosphate concentrations (0.4 mM) relative to other media in the compendium. For
example, commonly used LB has a phosphate concentration of approximately 4.5 mM (Bertani,
2004) and many others have concentrations above 20 mM.
336
Consistent with the observation that Node164pos is only active in low phosphate media, a
KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of Node164pos genes suggested a strong enrichment in
phosphate acquisition related pathways (Table EV6). Many of the phosphate responsive genes
in Node164pos are known to be under the control of PhoB, a transcription factor in the PhoR-
PhoB two-component system that responds to conditions of low phosphate in *P. aeruginosa*
(Santos-Beneit, 2015; Blus-Kadosh *et al*, 2013; Bielecki *et al*, 2015), and *phoB* itself is in this
signature. Enrichment analysis using a previously defined PhoB regulon (see methods) showed
that this signature has the largest overlap with the PhoB regulon in comparison to all other
gene signatures learned by this eADAGE model (FDR q-value of 8.1e-29 in hypergeometric test).
The transcript levels of genes in Node164pos are higher in Peptone, King's A, and NGMlowP
medium relative to the other samples in the compendium including NGMhighP (Figure 3B).
348
Among the highest weight genes in Node164pos is a gene that encodes alkaline phosphatase
(PhoA), an enzyme with an activity that can be easily measured using a colorimetric assay. As
expected, PhoA activity (blue color) was high when *P. aeruginosa* was grown on NGMlowP and
not when grown on NGMhighP (Figure 4A). The same trend was observed in another medium,
MOPS, with the same low and high phosphate concentrations. Also consistent, PhoA was not
active on the phosphate-replete medium LB. Although King's A and Peptone are not considered
phosphate-limited media, their phosphate concentrations were low enough to provoke PhoA
activity, as predicted by Node164pos's signature-medium relationship (Figure 4B). Furthermore,
PhoA activity was dependent on PhoB and the PhoB-activating histidine kinase PhoR, which is
consistent with previous publications (Bielecki *et al*, 2015). These results provide striking
evidence that low phosphate media, including Peptone and King's A, induced PhoB activity as
predicted by the eADAGE analysis and previous characterizations of the *P. aeruginosa*
phosphate response.
362
Unlike Node164pos, Node108neg is not characterized by KEGG pathways associated with
phosphate starvation (Table EV6). Of the thirty-two genes in Node108neg, more than half of
the genes are shared with Node164pos, suggesting a relationship between the two signatures.
Node108neg was also enriched of PhoB regulated genes (FDR q-value of 5.2e-9 in
hypergeometric test), but contains a much narrower set of PhoB regulon. Of the PhoB-
regulated genes present in Node108neg, we found almost all of the genes (six of seven) that
were also regulated by TctD, a transcriptional repressor described by Haussler and colleagues
(Bielecki et al, 2015). TctD binding to these promoters prevents their activation by PhoB.
Therefore, we predicted that Node108neg represented a group of PhoB-activated genes that
were also negatively regulated by TctD. This is consistent with the observation that

Node108neg was the most differentially active signature in the RNA-Seq experiment that
compares Δ*tctD* and the wild type (E-GEOD-64056). eADAGE learned the relationship between
PhoB and TctD directly from an expression compendium that did not contain any samples of
tctD mutants, suggesting that the signature was derived from samples with differences in TctD
activity. This demonstrates the value of KEGG-independent eADAGE signatures for the analysis
of large-scale compendia.
379
We evaluated whether the PhoB and TctD signals were also extracted by PCA, ICA, or ADAGE
using ten models constructed by each method except PCA, which is deterministic and produces
a single model models. The PhoB regulon was captured with less fidelity by ICA and ADAGE than
by eADAGE, as reflected by the smaller overlap with the PhoB regulon (Table EV8). PCA
captured a strong PhoB signal in its 19th principle component. However, it did not learn the
subtler TctD signal. Component19pos was the only signature that was highly active in the low
phosphate media group (Table EV9). In summary, the other methods were able to capture
some of this signature but in a manner that was less complete or failed to separate TctD.
388
Cross-compendium analysis of Node164pos activity reveals a role for the histidine kinase KinB
in the regulation of PhoB
Interestingly, Node164pos activity exhibited a wide spread in PIA medium (0.8 mM phosphate),
with six samples having high activities and the other six samples having low activities (Figure
3A). Each set of six samples came from a different study. All of the strains in the first sample set,
published in 2012, in which Node164pos was low used a PAO1 *kinB*::Gm^R mutant background
(Damron *et al*, 2012). The second, published in 2013, used a PAO1 strain with *kinB* intact and
showed high Node164pos activity on PIA (Damron *et al*, 2013). The fact that *kinB* mutant
samples in PIA show significantly lower Node164pos activity suggests that KinB may be a
regulator of PhoB on PIA.
399
As predicted by Node164pos activity, PhoA activity was evident and was KinB dependent on PIA
medium (Figure 4B). Notably, PhoA activity was still dependent on PhoB and PhoR as it was on
Peptone and King's A. Over time, the Δ*phoR* mutant developed PhoA activity on all three media,
but the Δ*kinB* mutant on PIA did not (Figure 4C). Recovery of PhoA activity in the Δ*phoR* mutant
suggests that there are PhoR-independent paths for PhoB activation. The co-dependence on
KinB and PhoR suggest that these kinases do not perform redundant functions but rather
regulate PhoB in conjunction with each other. To determine if the deletion of kinases non-
specifically altered PhoB activation, we screened 63 in-frame deletion mutants each lacking a
histidine kinase (Table EV9) to identify mutants altered in PhoA activation on PIA. Other than
Δ*phoR*, Δ*kinB* was the only strain lacking PhoA activity on NGMlowP. This suggests that PhoA
activity is not altered by changes in generic kinase activity but rather the interaction with KinB is
specific.
412
Notably, although PIA, Peptone and King's A contain low phosphate levels compared to rich
media such as LB, PIA has a higher phosphate concentration (0.8mM) than do peptone and
King's A (0.4mM). To test whether the moderately low level of phosphate in PIA provokes KinB
regulation of PhoA, we conducted a titration experiment in MOPS minimal medium. PhoA

activity was consistent with that on NGM and other media. Further, while the PA14 wild type
(WT) showed PhoA activity at 0.5 mM, ΔkinB did not (Figure 4D). This shows KinB regulation of
PhoB in moderately low phosphate and not in lower phosphate. Noting that PIA has a
phosphate concentration of 0.8 mM and our titration experiment in MOPS showed *kinB*-
sensitivity at 0.5 mM, it is likely that the precise concentration at which KinB regulates PhoA
depends on the background medium. Node164pos activity across the compendium suggested,
and experimental evidence confirmed, that KinB regulates PhoA at moderately low phosphate
levels. To our knowledge, KinB has not been previously implicated in the activation of PhoB,
although cross-compendium eADAGE analysis of two PIA experiments was capable of revealing
this relationship.

In summary, eADAGE effectively extracted biologically meaningful features, accurately
indicated their activity in multiple media spanning numerous independent experiments, and
revealed a novel regulatory mechanism. By summarizing gene-based expression information
into biologically relevant features, eADAGE greatly simplifies analyses that cut across large gene
expression compendia.

Discussion
For unsupervised approaches, it has been very challenging to determine the appropriate model
complexity. In supervised learning problems, predictors can be assessed through cross-
validation accuracies. To our knowledge, there is not yet a similar well-established approach to
estimate appropriate model size for unsupervised feature construction of gene expression data.
Here we develop heuristics that target two aspects of the problem: the model needs to be well
supported by the amount of available data and the extracted features should well resemble
known biological processes. Our data-driven heuristics can be applied to organisms for which
gene-process annotations are lacking. We expect that additional data will support larger models,
especially data that measure experimental conditions that are not tested in the existing
compendium.

We also contribute a novel eADAGE algorithm. This algorithm combines multiple ADAGE
models into one ensemble model to address model variability due to stochasticity and local
minima. The algorithm is inspired by consensus clustering, which reconciles the differences in
cluster assignments in multiple runs. Comparable approaches have also been applied for ICA,
where researchers have used the centrotypes of multiple ICA models as the final model
(Frigyesi *et al*, 2006). The ICA centrotype approach for ADAGE corresponds to corADAGE, and
our comparison of eADAGE and corADAGE shows that eADAGE not only covers more biological
pathways, but also results in cleaner representations of biological pathways. The results of our
direct comparison suggest that placing particular emphasis on the genes most associated with a
particular signature may be a useful property for other unsupervised feature construction
algorithms in biology. While we believe the eADAGE algorithm can help improve the biological
interpretability of neural networks, it should be clear that it is not designed for increasing
prediction accuracies in supervised learning problems.

Our eADAGE method revealed patterns that were detectable from a large data compendium
containing experiments performed in 78 different media, but that were not necessarily evident
in individual experiments. For example, our cross-compendium analysis of expression patterns
specific to certain media determined those media in which *P. aeruginosa* had high PhoB
activity. PhoB is a global regulator, and thus an awareness of the different states of the PhoB
regulon in different media will likely provide important insight into medium-specific
phenotypes. For example, King's A and PIA are known to stimulate robust production of colorful
secondary metabolites (King *et al*, 1954) called phenazines and separate studies have shown
that PhoB can also influence phenazine levels (Jensen *et al*, 2006). Future studies will reveal
whether or not the low phosphate levels in these media contribute to this characteristic
phenotype.
471
Using eADAGE, we uncovered a subtle aspect of the phosphate starvation response that
depends on a histidine kinase not previously associated with PhoB. Bacteria have evolved many
mechanisms to insulate response pathways from each other (Podgornaia & Laub, 2013), but
cross-talk, wherein a sensor kinase from one pathway phosphorylates a response regulator
from another, has been suggested to explain the complexity of signaling networks, including
that of PhoB (Ninfa *et al*, 1988; Fisher *et al*, 1995). Thus, it is possible that both PhoR and KinB
directly activate PhoB. While there are many examples of one kinase partially compensating
when the cognate kinase is absent, it is more challenging to find conditions where two kinases
are needed for full response regulator activation (Verhamme *et al*, 2002). Alternatively, KinB
may influence PhoB activity indirectly by regulating activities that affect PhoB levels,
phosphorylation state, or protein-protein interactions. Future work will further address the
mechanistic aspects of this model. We propose that moderate levels of phosphate, like those in
PIA, provide a niche for crosstalk: the activity of PhoR is low enough that the interaction with
KinB is needed for full PhoB activity on this medium. Together, PhoR and KinB may enable a
more sensitive and effective response to phosphate limitation. Without the eADAGE analysis
across multiple experiments in different media, we would not have found this nuanced
mechanism.
489
The eADAGE model also includes a signature for PhoB interactions with another transcriptional
regulator, TctD. While this node was differentially active in samples grown on PIA, Peptone or
King's A agar due to the presence of multiple PhoB-regulated genes in its signature, we do not
have any evidence that TctD is involved in the PhoB responses studied here. Future studies may
leverage subtle and previously unrecognized differences in Node108neg activity in published
datasets to learn more about the range of conditions in which TctD-PhoB interactions are most
relevant.
497
In the 300-node eADAGE model used for medium analysis, 81% genes in *P. aeruginosa* PAO1
genome are included in at least one gene signature. Among all signatures, 59% have a KEGG
pathways associated with them. Among the remaining 41% of signatures, 17 of them had ten or
fewer genes and may not have associated with KEGG pathways for this reason. Some others
were associated with known pathways that have not yet been annotated in KEGG, such as
Node174pos which encodes genes encoded by the P2 phage or Node150neg which contains

genes involved in the formation of surface associated communities referred to as biofilms. Thus
eADAGE can group functionally linked genes in ways that may facilitate the identification or
annotation of pathways.
507
There are now abundant public gene expression data. Cross-compendium analyses provide the
opportunity to efficiently use existing data to identify regulatory patterns that are evident
across multiple experiments, datasets, and labs. To tap this potential, we will require algorithms
that robustly integrate these diverse datasets in a manner that is not tied to only aspects of
biology that are well understood. We expect that robust unsupervised data integration
methods, like eADAGE, will play a key role in this process.
514

Materials and Methods
Data processing
We followed the same procedures for data collection, processing, and normalization from (Tan
et al, 2016b) and updated the *P. aeruginosa* gene expression compendium to include newly
uploaded datasets on GPL84 platform from the ArrayExpress database (Rustici *et al*, 2013) on
31 July 2015. The updated *P. aeruginosa* compendium contains 125 datasets with 1051
individual genome-wide assays. Processed expression values of the Δ*tctD* RNAseq dataset were
downloaded from ArrayExpress (E-GEOD-64056) and normalized to the range of the
compendium using TDM (Thompson *et al*, 2016). We provide the *P. aeruginosa* expression
compendium (Dataset EV1) along with all the code used in this paper (Tan *et al*, 2016a). The
eADAGE repository is also tracked under version control at
https://bitbucket.org/greenelab/eadage.
527

Construction of ADAGE models
We constructed ADAGE models as described in (Tan *et al*, 2016b). To summarize the process
and outputs, we constructed a denoising autoencoder for the gene expression compendium.
Denoising autoencoders model the data in a lower dimension than the input space, and the
models are trained with random gene expression measurements set to zero. Thus an ADAGE
model must learn gene-gene dependencies to fill in this missing information. Once the ADAGE
model is trained, each node in the hidden layer contains a weight vector. These positive and
negative weights represent the strength of each gene's connection to that node.
536

Gene signatures as sign-specific high-weight gene sets
In previous work (Tan *et al*, 2016b) we defined high-weight (HW) genes as those in the
extremes of the weight distribution on the positive or negative side of a node. Here, we use a
more granular definition that accounts for sign specificity. Each node's gene weights are
approximately normal and centered at zero in ADAGE models (Tan *et al*, 2015, 2016b). We
defined positive HW genes as those that were more than 2.5 standard deviations from the
mean on the positive side, and negative HW genes as those that were more than 2.5 standard
deviations from the mean on the negative side. After this split, a model with n nodes provides
2n gene signatures. Because a node is simply named by the order that it occurs in a model, we
named two gene signatures derived from one node as "NodeXXpos" and "NodeXXneg".
547

KEGG pathway enrichment analysis

To evaluate the biological relevance of gene signatures extracted by an ADAGE model, we tested how they relate to known KEGG pathways (Kanehisa, 2000). We tested a signature's association with each KEGG pathway using hypergeometric test and corrected the p-value by the number of KEGG pathways we tested following the Benjamini–Hochberg procedure. We used a false discovery rate of 0.05 as the significance cutoff.

Genes can be annotated to multiple pathways. To control for this effect in our analysis, we also performed a parallel analysis after applying crosstalk correction as described in (Donato *et al*, 2013). This approach uses expectation maximization to map each gene to the pathway in which it has the greatest predicted impact. A gene-to-pathway membership matrix, defined using KEGG pathway annotations, initially makes the assumption that each gene's role in all of its assigned pathways remains constant independent of context. We then applied pathway crosstalk correction using genes' weights for each node in the ADAGE model. We used the expectation maximization algorithm to maximize the log-likelihood of observing the membership matrix given each node's weight vector. This process inferred an underlying gene-to-pathway impact matrix and iteratively estimated the probability that a particular gene g contributed the greatest fraction of its impact to some pathway P. Upon convergence, we assigned each gene to the pathway in which it had the maximum impact. The resulting pathway definitions do not share genes. We then used these corrected definitions for an analysis parallel to the KEGG process described above.

Reconstruction error calculation

The training objective of ADAGE is to take a sample with added noise and return the originally measured expression values. The error between the reconstructed data and the initial data is the 'reconstruction error.' To summarize the difference over all genes we used cross-entropy between the original sample and the reconstruction, which has been widely used with these methods and in this domain (Vincent *et al*, 2008; Tan *et al*, 2016b). This matches the statistic used during training of the model. To calculate reconstruction error for a model, we use the mean reconstruction error across samples.

Model size and sample size heuristics

One important parameter of a denoising autoencoder model is the number of nodes in the hidden layer, which we refer to as the model size. To evaluate the impact of model size and choose the most appropriate size, we built 100 ADAGE models at each model size of 10, 50, 100, 200, 300, 500, 750, and 1000, using different random seeds. The random seed determines the initialization statuses of the weight matrix and bias vectors in ADAGE construction and thus different random seeds will result in training stopped at different local minimums. Other training parameters were kept the same and set to the values identified as suitable for a gene expression compendium (Tan *et al*, 2015). In total, 800 ADAGE models with 100 at each model size were generated in the model size evaluation experiment.

To evaluate the impact of sample size on the performance of ADAGE models, we randomly generated subsets of the *P. aeruginosa* expression compendium with sample size of 100, 200,

592 500, and 800. We then trained 100 ADAGE models at each sample size, each with a different
593 combination of 10 different random subsets and 10 different random training initializations. To
594 evaluate each model, we randomly selected 200 samples not used during training as its testing
595 set. We performed this subsampling analysis at model size 50 and 300. In total, 800 ADAGE
596 models were built in the sample size evaluation experiment.
597
Construction of eADAGE models
We constructed ensemble ADAGE (eADAGE) models by combining many individual ADAGE
models in to a single model. For each eADAGE model we combined 100 individual ADAGE
models. The 100 models were trained with identical parameters but distinct random seeds. For
an eADAGE model of size 300, we trained 100 individual models with 300 nodes each, which
provided 30000 total nodes. Each node has a weight vector. We have previously observed that
high-weight genes provided the most information to each node (Tan *et al*, 2016b), so we
calculated a weighted Pearson correlation between each node's weight vectors. Our weighted
Pearson correlation used (|node1 weight|+|node2 weight|)/2 as the weight function for each
gene. We compared this to an unweighted Pearson correlation (corADAGE) as well a baseline
ADAGE model.
609
After calculating correlation (weighted for eADAGE and unweighted for corADAGE), we
converted the correlation to distance by calculating (1- correlation)/2. This provided a
30000*30000 distance matrix storing distances between every two nodes. We clustered this
distance matrix using the Partition Around Medoids (PAM) clustering algorithm (Park & Jun,
2009).We implemented clustering in R using the ConsensusClusterPlus package (Wilkerson &
Hayes, 2010) from Bioconductor with the ppam function from Sprint package to perform
parallel PAM (Piotrowski *et al*, 2011). We set the number of clusters to match the individual
ADAGE model (e.g. 300) allowing for direct comparison between the eADAGE and ADAGE
methods.
619
Clustering assigned each node to a cluster ranging from 1 to 300. We combined nodes assigned
to the same cluster by calculating the average of their weight vectors. These 300 averaged
vectors formed the weight matrix of the eADAGE model. Because the ensemble model is built
from the weight matrices of individual models, it does not have the parameters that form the
bias vectors. We built 10 eADAGE and 10 corADAGE models from 1000 ADAGE models with
each ensemble model built upon 100 different individual models. The individual eADAGE model
used for biological analysis in this work was constructed with random seed 123, which was
arbitrarily chosen before model construction and evaluation.
628
PCA and ICA model construction
We constructed PCA and ICA models and defined each model's weight matrix following the
same procedures in (Tan *et al*, 2016b). To compare with the 300-node eADAGE, we generated
models of matching size (300 components). For ICA, we evaluated 10 replicates. PCA provides a
single model. PCA and ICA models were evaluated through the KEGG pathway enrichment
analysis described above.
635

Media annotation of the *P. aeruginosa* compendium

A team of *P. aeruginosa* biologists annotated the media for all samples in the compendium by referring to information associated with each sample in the ArrayExpress (Rustici *et al*, 2013) and/or GEO (Edgar, 2002) databases and along with the original publication, if reported. Each sample was annotated by two curators separately. Conflicting annotations, if they occurred, were resolved by a third curator. The media annotation for all samples in the compendium were provided in Table EV1.

Activity calculation for a gene signature

We calculated a signature's activity for a specific sample as $A = W \cdot E / N$, in which W is the weight vector of genes in that signature, E is a vector of genes' expression values after zero-one normalization in that sample, and N is the number of genes. It can be viewed as an averaged weighted sum of genes' expression levels. We normalized a signature's activity by the number of genes (N) in that signature, because different signatures have different number of genes.

Identification of signatures activated across media

We calculated an activation score to identify gene signatures with dramatically elevated or reduced activity in a specific medium. We grouped samples by their medium annotation. For each gene signature and medium combination, we calculated the absolute difference between the mean activity of the signature for samples in that medium as well as the mean activity across the remainder of samples in the compendium. We divided this difference in the means by the range of activity for all samples across the compendium. This score captures the proportion by which the mean activity in a medium differs relative to the total difference across the compendium. We termed this ratio the activation score.

To identify the most specifically active signatures for each medium, we constructed a table for all pairs with an activation score greater than or equal to 0.4 (Table EV5). This was highly stringent: it captured only the top 2.4% of the potential signature-medium pairs. To identify pan-media signatures, we limited signatures to those that were active in multiple media (greater or equal to 0.4) and averaged their activation scores (Table EV7). These signatures exhibit parallel patterns for multiple media across multiple distinct experiments.

Definition of the PhoB regulon

A PhoB regulon for the PAO1 genome was adapted from the PhoB regulon of PA14 in (Bielecki *et al*, 2015) in order to be comparable to models built with PAO1 genome. Of the 187 genes in the PA14 regulon, 160 were in the PAO1 reference genome (www.pseudomonas.com).

Strains and Media

Strains used were WT, ΔphoB (DH2633, O'Toole lab collection), ΔphoR (DH2516) and ΔkinB (DH2517), all in the PA14 background. All strains were maintained on LB with 1.5% agar and grown at 37 °C. For cross-media and phosphate concentration comparisons, BCIP assays (see methods below) were conducted on different base media with 1.5% agar (Fisher): King's A (Pancreatic Digest of Gelatin (Difco) 20g/L; $MgCl_2$ 1.4g/L; K_2SO_4 10g/L; Glycerol 10ml/L) (King *et al*, 1954), LB (Tryptone (Fisher) 10g/L; Yeast Extract (Fisher) 5g/L; NaCl 5g/L) (Bertani, 2004),

MOPS (morpholinepropanesulfonic acid 40mM; Glucose 20 ml/L; K_2SO_4 2.67mM; K_2HPO_2 0mM,
25mM or 0.1 – 1 mM) (Neidhardt *et al*, 1974), NGM (Pancreatic Digest of Gelatin 2.5g/L;
Cholesterol 5mg/L; NaCl 3g/L; $MgSO_4$ 1mM; $CaCl_2$ 1mM; KCl 25mM; Potassium Phosphate
buffer pH6 0 or 25 mM) (Zaborin *et al*, 2009), Peptone (Pancreatic Digest of Gelatin 10g/L;
$MgSO_4$ 1.5g/L; K_2SO_4 10g/L) (Lundgren *et al*, 2013), Pseudomonas Isolation Agar (PIA, prepared
as per instructions, BioWorld).
686
BCIP assay
Various media were supplemented with 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate (BCIP) DMF
solution to a final concentration of 60 µg/mL. BCIP assay plates were inoculated with 5 µl of
overnight *P. aeruginosa* culture in LB broth. Colonies were grown for 16 hours at 37 °C then
matured at room temperature until imaging. Images were collected 16 and 32 hours post
inoculation.
693
Screen of a histidine kinase mutant collection
Molecular techniques to construct the histidine kinase (HK) knock out collection were carried
out as previously described (Ha *et al*, 2014). For each strain in the HK collection, a BCIP assay
was performed on PIA. Plates were struck with an overnight *P. aeruginosa* culture concentrated
two-fold by centrifugation. Plates were incubated at 37 °C 12-16 hours and matured at room
temperature for an additional 12-16 hours alkaline phosphatase activity was determined
qualitatively, based on blue color.
701

Acknowledgements
This work was supported in part by a grant from the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation
(GBMF 4552) to CSG. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grant RO1-
AI091702 to DAH. MTL is an investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute. This work
was supported by a pilot grant from the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation (STANTO15R0) to CSG and
DAH. The authors acknowledge Gregory Way and René Zelaya for helpful code review.
708
709 ## Author contributions
710 JT, DAH and CSG conceived and designed the research. JT, GD and KMC performed
711 computational analyses. GD, KAL and CEP performed molecular experiments. KC, BP and MTL
712 constructed and contributed the histidine kinase knock out collection. JT, GD, KMC, DAH and
713 CSG wrote the manuscript, and KAL, CEP, KMC, KD, BP and MTL provided critical feedback.
714
715 ## Conflict of interest
716 The authors have no conflicts of interest to report.
717

Reference
Alter O, Brown PO & Botstein D (2000) Singular value decomposition for genome-wide
expression data processing and modeling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **97:** 10101–6
Bengio Y, Courville A & Vincent P (2013) Representation Learning: A Review and New
Perspectives. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* **35:** 1798–1828

Bertani G (2004) Lysogeny at mid-twentieth century: P1, P2, and other experimental systems. *J.*
Bacteriol. **186:** 595–600
Bielecki P, Jensen V, Schulze W, Gödeke J, Strehmel J, Eckweiler D, Nicolai T, Bielecka A, Wille T,
Gerlach RG & Häussler S (2015) Cross talk between the response regulators PhoB and TctD
allows for the integration of diverse environmental signals in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.
Nucleic Acids Res. **43:** 6413–25
Blus-Kadosh I, Zilka A, Yerushalmi G & Banin E (2013) The effect of *pstS* and *phoB* on quorum
sensing and swarming motility in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *PLoS One* **8:** e74444
Chen L, Xuan J, Wang C, Shih I-M, Wang Y, Zhang Z, Hoffman E, Clarke R, Devore J, Peck R,
Tusher V, Tibshirani R, Chu G, Storey J, Xiao W, Leek J, Tompkins R, Davis R, Conesa A,
Nueda M, et al (2008) Knowledge-guided multi-scale independent component analysis for
biomarker identification. *BMC Bioinformatics* **9:** 416
Damron FH, Barbier M, McKenney ES, Schurr MJ & Goldberg JB (2013) Genes required for and
effects of alginate overproduction induced by growth of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* on
Pseudomonas isolation agar supplemented with ammonium metavanadate. *J. Bacteriol.*
195: 4020–36
Damron FH, Owings JP, Okkotsu Y, Varga JJ, Schurr JR, Goldberg JB, Schurr MJ & Yu HD (2012)
Analysis of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* regulon controlled by the sensor kinase KinB and
sigma factor RpoN. *J. Bacteriol.* **194:** 1317–30
Donato M, Xu Z, Tomoiaga A, Granneman JG, Mackenzie RG, Bao R, Than NG, Westfall PH,
Romero R & Draghici S (2013) Analysis and correction of crosstalk effects in pathway
analysis. *Genome Res.* **23:** 1885–93
Edgar R (2002) Gene Expression Omnibus: NCBI gene expression and hybridization array data
repository. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **30:** 207–210
Engreitz JM, Daigle BJ, Marshall JJ & Altman RB (2010) Independent component analysis: mining
microarray data for fundamental human gene expression modules. *J. Biomed. Inform.* **43:**
932–44
Fisher SL, Jiang W, Wanner BL & Walsh CT (1995) Cross-talk between the histidine protein
kinase VanS and the response regulator PhoB. Characterization and identification of a VanS
domain that inhibits activation of PhoB. *J. Biol. Chem.* **270:** 23143–9
Frigyesi A, Veerla S, Lindgren D, Höglund M, Quackenbush J, Jutten C, Herault J, Chiappetta P,
Roubaud M, Torrésani B, Hyvärinen A, Oja E, Liebermeister W, Lee S, Batzoglou S,
Martoglio A, Miskin J, Smith S, MacKay D, Saidi S, et al (2006) Independent component
analysis reveals new and biologically significant structures in microarray data. *BMC*
Bioinformatics **7:** 290
Gillis J & Pavlidis P (2013) Assessing identity, redundancy and confounds in Gene Ontology
annotations over time. *Bioinformatics* **29:** 476–82
Gong T, Xuan J, Wang C, Li H, Hoffman E, Clarke R & Wang Y (2007) Gene module identification
from microarray data using nonnegative independent component analysis. *Gene Regul.*
Syst. Bio. **1:** 349–63
Greene CS & Troyanskaya OG (2012) Accurate evaluation and analysis of functional genomics
data and methods. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **1260:** 95–100
Ha D-G, Richman ME & O'Toole GA (2014) Deletion mutant library for investigation of
functional outputs of cyclic diguanylate metabolism in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA14.

Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **80:** 3384–93

Jensen V, Lons D, Zaoui C, Bredenbruch F, Meissner A, Dieterich G, Munch R & Haussler S (2006)
RhlR Expression in Pseudomonas aeruginosa Is Modulated by the Pseudomonas Quinolone
Signal via PhoB-Dependent and -Independent Pathways. *J. Bacteriol.* **188:** 8601–8606

Jiang Y, Oron TR, Clark WT, Bankapur AR, D'Andrea D, Lepore R, Funk CS, Kahanda I, Verspoor
KM, Ben-Hur A, Koo DCE, Penfold-Brown D, Shasha D, Youngs N, Bonneau R, Lin A,
Sahraeian SME, Martelli PL, Profiti G, Casadio R, et al (2016) An expanded evaluation of
protein function prediction methods shows an improvement in accuracy. *Genome Biol.* **17:**
184

Kanehisa M (2000) KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **28:**
27–30

KING EO, WARD MK & RANEY DE (1954) Two simple media for the demonstration of pyocyanin
and fluorescin. *J. Lab. Clin. Med.* **44:** 301–7

Lundgren BR, Thornton W, Dornan MH, Villegas-Peñaranda LR, Boddy CN & Nomura CT (2013)
Gene PA2449 is essential for glycine metabolism and pyocyanin biosynthesis in
Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1. *J. Bacteriol.* **195:** 2087–100

Lutter D, Langmann T, Ugocsai P, Moehle C, Seibold E, Splettstoesser WD, Gruber P, Lang EW &
Schmitz G (2009) Analyzing time-dependent microarray data using independent
component analysis derived expression modes from human macrophages infected with *F.*
tularensis holartica. *J. Biomed. Inform.* **42:** 605–611

Ma S & Kosorok MR (2009) Identification of differential gene pathways with principal
component analysis. *Bioinformatics* **25:** 882–9

Monti S, Tamayo P, Mesirov J & Golub T (2003) Consensus clustering: a resampling-based
method for class discovery and visualization of gene expression microarray data. *Mach.*
Learn. **52:** 91–118

Neidhardt FC, Bloch PL & Smith DF (1974) Culture medium for enterobacteria. *J. Bacteriol.* **119:**
736–47

Ninfa AJ, Ninfa EG, Lupas AN, Stock A, Magasanik B & Stock J (1988) Crosstalk between bacterial
chemotaxis signal transduction proteins and regulators of transcription of the Ntr regulon:
evidence that nitrogen assimilation and chemotaxis are controlled by a common
phosphotransfer mechanism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **85:** 5492–6

Park H-S & Jun C-H (2009) A simple and fast algorithm for K-medoids clustering. *Expert Syst.*
Appl. **36:** 3336–3341

Piotrowski M, Forster T, Dobrezelecki B, Sloan TM, Mitchell L, Ghazal P, Mewsissen M, Petrou S,
Trew A & Hill J (2011) Optimisation and parallelisation of the partitioning around medoids
function in R. In *2011 International Conference on High Performance Computing &*
Simulation pp 707–713. IEEE

Podgornaia AI & Laub MT (2013) Determinants of specificity in two-component signal
transduction. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* **16:** 156–62

Raychaudhuri S, Stuart JM & Altman RB (2000) Principal components analysis to summarize
microarray experiments: application to sporulation time series. *Pac. Symp. Biocomput.***:**
455–66

Roden JC, King BW, Trout D, Mortazavi A, Wold BJ, Hart CE, Tavazoie S, Hughes J, Campbell M,
Cho R, Church G, Tamayo P, Slonim D, Mesirov J, Zhu Q, Kitareewan S, Dmitrovsky E,

Lander E, Golub T, Eisen M, et al (2006) Mining gene expression data by interpreting
principal components. *BMC Bioinformatics* **7:** 194
Rustici G, Kolesnikov N, Brandizi M, Burdett T, Dylag M, Emam I, Farne A, Hastings E, Ison J,
Keays M, Kurbatova N, Malone J, Mani R, Mupo A, Pedro Pereira R, Pilicheva E, Rung J,
Sharma A, Tang YA, Ternent T, et al (2013) ArrayExpress update--trends in database
growth and links to data analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **41:** D987-90
Santos-Beneit F (2015) The Pho regulon: a huge regulatory network in bacteria. *Front. Microbiol.*
6: 402
Schnoes AM, Ream DC, Thorman AW, Babbitt PC & Friedberg I (2013) Biases in the
experimental annotations of protein function and their effect on our understanding of
protein function space. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **9:** e1003063
Tan J, Doing G, Lewis KA, Price CE, Chen KM, Cady KC, Perchuk B, Laub MT, Hogan DA & Greene
CS (2016a) eADAGE-1.0.0rc2. *Zenodo*
Tan J, Hammond JH, Hogan DA & Greene CS (2016b) ADAGE-Based Integration of Publicly
Available *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Gene Expression Data with Denoising Autoencoders
Illuminates Microbe-Host Interactions. *mSystems* **1:** e00025-15
Tan J, Ung M, Cheng C & Greene CS (2015) Unsupervised feature construction and knowledge
extraction from genome-wide assays of breast cancer with denoising autoencoders. *Pac.*
Symp. Biocomput. **20:** 132–43
Thompson JA, Tan J & Greene CS (2016) Cross-platform normalization of microarray and RNA-
seq data for machine learning applications. *PeerJ* **4:** e1621
Verhamme DT, Arents JC, Postma PW, Crielaard W & Hellingwerf KJ (2002) Investigation of in
vivo cross-talk between key two-component systems of *Escherichia coli*. *Microbiology* **148:**
69–78
Vincent P, Larochelle H, Bengio Y & Manzagol P-A (2008) Extracting and composing robust
features with denoising autoencoders. In *Proceedings of the 25th International Conference*
on Machine learning - ICML '08 pp 1096–1103. New York, New York, USA: ACM Press
Wanner BL & Chang BD (1987) The phoBR operon in Escherichia coli K-12. *J. Bacteriol.* **169:**
5569–74
Wilkerson MD & Hayes DN (2010) ConsensusClusterPlus: a class discovery tool with confidence
assessments and item tracking. *Bioinformatics* **26:** 1572–3
Yu B (2013) Stability. *Bernoulli* **19:** 1484–1500
Zaborin A, Romanowski K, Gerdes S, Holbrook C, Lepine F, Long J, Poroyko V, Diggle SP, Wilke A,
Righetti K, Morozova I, Babrowski T, Liu DC, Zaborina O & Alverdy JC (2009) Red death in
Caenorhabditis elegans caused by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.*
S. A. **106:** 6327–32
847
848

Figure Legends
Figure 1: Knowledge- and data-driven heuristics for ADAGE
A   Data-driven model size heuristics on reconstruction error. As model size increases, the
reconstruction error drops quickly at the beginning and levels out at size 300. The red line goes
through the median reconstruction errors at each model size.

B   Knowledge-driven model size heuristics on pathway coverage. As model size increases,
pathway coverage also increases at first and then levels out at size 300. The red line goes
through the median value at each model size.
C   Knowledge-driven sample size heuristics on pathway coverage. For 50-node models,
pathway coverage increases with sample size and peaks at 500 samples. 300-node models
cover more pathways than 50-node modes in general and maintain a slow growing trend of
pathway coverage at the maximum sample size.
D   Data-driven sample size heuristics on reconstruction error. In both 50- and 300-node
models, the reconstruction errors on the test set get closer to the reconstruction errors on the
train set as sample size increases.
864
Figure 2: The construction and performance of eADAGE.
A   eADAGE construction workflow. 100 individual ADAGE models were built using the same
input dataset (step 1). Nodes from all models were extracted (step 2) and clustered based on
the similarities in their associated weight vectors (step 3). Nodes derived from different models
were rearranged by their clustering assignments (step 4). Weight vectors from nodes in the
same cluster were averaged and thus becoming the final weight vector of a newly constructed
node in an eADAGE model (step5).
B   Pathway coverage comparison between individual ADAGE and ensemble ADAGE.
eADAGE models (n=10) covers significantly more pathways than both corADAGE (n=10) and
ADAGE (n=1000).
C   The enrichment significance of three example pathways in different models. The three
pathways show different trends as model size increases in individual ADAGE, however, their
median significance levels in eADAGE are comparable or better than all individual models with
different sizes. The grey dotted line indicates FDR q-value of 0.05 in pathway enrichment.
D   Comparison among PCA, ICA, and eADAGE in pathway coverage at different significance
levels. eADAGE outperforms PCA at all significance levels. eADAGE and ICA show similar
pathway coverage at the cutoff q-value = 0.05. However, ICA covers less pathways than
eADAGE as the significance cutoff becomes more stringent.
883
Figure 3: Node164pos is active in a NGM+<0.1phosphate, peptone, King's A, and PIA media
A   Activity of Node164pos in each medium type. NGM+<0.1phosphate, peptone, and King's
A media have evident elevation in Node164pos's activity. PIA medium show a wide range in
Node164pos's activity. All other media have very low activities.
B   Gene expression heatmaps of genes in Node164pos across samples in
NGM+<0.1phosphate, peptone, King's A, and PIA media. Heatmap color range is determined by
the Z-scored gene expression of all samples in the compendium (Figure EV6). These genes are
highly expressed in all samples grown on NGM + <0.1mM phosphate, peptone, King's A, and
half of samples on PIA, but not expressed in samples grown on NGM + 25mM phosphate.
893
Figure 4: PhoA activity, as seen by the colorimetric BCIP assay in various media
A   PhoA activity, as seen by the blue-colored product of BCIP cleavage, is dependent on
low phosphate concentrations, *phoB, phoR* and, in NGM, *kinB*.

B   PhoA is active in King's A, Peptone and PIA and is dependent on *phoB* and *phoR* on
King's A and peptone but dependent on *kinB* as well on PIA at 16 hours.
C   PhoA is active in King's A, Peptone and PIA and is dependent on *phoB,* but no longer
phoR, while still dependent on *kinB* on PIA after 32 hours.
D   PhoA activity is dependent on phosphate concentrations < 0.6 mM, *phoB, phoR* and *kinB*
as well at 0.5 mM phosphate in MOPS. Concentration 0.2 mM (not shown) mimics 0.1mM and
concentrations 0.7mM – 0.9mM (not shown) mimic 1.0 mM.
904

Expanded View Figure Legends

906   Figure EV1: The relationship between model size and the number of KEGG pathways a node
907   significantly associated with. Pathways associated with positive and negative signatures of a
908   node were added together. When model is small, one node needs to account for multiple KEGG
909   pathways. As model size grows, more nodes become available and pathways also tend to
910   spread into different nodes.
911

912   Figure EV2: Pathway coverage comparison between individual ADAGE and ensemble ADAGE
913   after correcting pathway crosstalk effects. eADAGE models (n=10) covers significantly more
914   pathways than both corADAGE (n=10) and ADAGE (n=1000).
915

916   Figure EV3: The association significance of each KEGG pathway in the 300-node eADAGE models
917   (n = 10), 300-node corADAGE models (n=10) and ADAGE models with different number of
918   nodes (n = 100 for each model size).
919

920   Figure EV4: The coverage rate of each KEGG pathway in 300-node ADAGE models (n=1000) and
921   300-node eADAGE (n=10) models.
922

923   Figure EV5: The distribution of KEGG pathway coverage rates in 300-node ADAGE models
924   (n=1000) and 300-node eADAGE models (n=10). eADAGE shows a higher density in distribution
925   on the high coverage end.
926

927   Figure EV6: Z-scored gene expression heatmap of genes in signature Node164pos across all
928   samples in the compendium.
929

Expanded View Datasets and Tables

931   Dataset EV1: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* gene expression compendium. Gene expression values
932   in each sample have been background corrected and quantile normalized.
933

934   Table EV1: Medium annotation for each sample in the compendium. Some medium names are
935   abbreviated and their actual ingredients are provided in a separate sheet in the excel file.
936

937   Table EV2: Weight matrix of the eADAGE model highlighted in this paper. The weight matrix
938   defines how much each gene contributes to each node.
939

940   Table EV3: Genes in each signature in the eADAGE model. Filtering the weight matrix provides
941   these gene sets. Specifically, genes in a signature are those that were more than 2.5 standard
942   deviations from the mean on the positive side, or those that were more than 2.5 standard
943   deviations from the mean on the negative side.
944
945   Table EV4: The activity of each signature for each sample in the compendium. A signature has
946   high activity when its gene weights are required to reconstruct the gene expression.
947
948   Table EV5: A complete list of signatures activated in one medium with activation scores higher
949   than or equal to 0.4.
950
951   Table EV6: Top 5 eADAGE signatures that were active in a group of media. Each signature was
952   annotated by its percentage of uncharacterized genes, its associated KEGG pathways, and a
953   manual inspection of genes in the signature.
954
955   Table EV7: A complete list of eADAGE signatures activated in a group of media.
956
957   Table EV8: Comparison of the extraction of the PhoB regulon signal by PCA, ICA, ADAGE, and
958   eADAGE models.
959
960   Table EV9: A complete list of PCA signatures activated in a group of media.
961
962   Table EV10: Library of histidine kinase deletion mutants in PA14 used for a BCIP screen in PIA.
963   All strains in the collection of PA14 histidine kinase mutants described by the number from the
964   Hogan lab collection (DH number), the locus of the deleted gene, gene name (if available) and
965   position in the storage plate.
966

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

973   Figure 4