1   Kinetics and identities of extracellular peptidases in subsurface sediments of the White

2   Oak River Estuary, NC

Running title: Extracellular enzymes in subsurface sediments

Andrew D. Steen[a,b,#], Richard T. Kevorkian[a], Jordan T. Bird[a,*], Nina Dombrowski[c,†],

Brett J. Baker[c], Shane M. Hagen[b,‡], Katherine H. Mulligan[b,d,§], Jenna M. Schmidt[b],

Austen T. Webber[a], Taylor Royalty[b], Marc J. Alperin[e]

[a]Department of Microbiology, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA

[b]Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, University of Tennessee, Knoxville,

Tennessee, USA

[c]Department of Marine Science, University of Texas-Austin, Marine Science Institute,

Port Aransas, Texas, USA

[d]Department of Biology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Knoxville,

Tennessee, USA

[e]Department of Marine Sciences, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, USA

[#]Corresponding author. email: asteen1@utk.edu

mail: Strong Hall 601, 1621 Cumberland Avenue, Knoxville, TN 37996-1410

tel: (865) 974-4014, fax: (865) 974 2638

*Present address: Indiana University Bloomington, Indiana, USA

†Present address: Royal NIOZ, Texel, The Netherlands

‡Present address: University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, TN, USA

§Present address: East Carolina Brody School of Medicine, Greeneville, NC

Abstract

Anoxic subsurface sediments contain communities of heterotrophic microorganisms that metabolize organic carbon at extraordinarily slow rates. In order to assess the mechanisms by which subsurface microorganisms access detrital sedimentary organic matter, we measured kinetics of a range of extracellular peptidases in anoxic sediments of the White Oak River estuary, NC. Nine distinct peptidase substrates were enzymatically hydrolyzed at all depths. Potential peptidase activities (V_{max}) decreased with increasing sediment depth, although V_{max} expressed on a per cell basis was approximately the same at all depths. Half-saturation constants (K_m) decreased with depth, indicating peptidases that functioned more efficiently at low substrate concentrations. Potential activities of extracellular peptidases acting on molecules that are enriched in degraded organic matter (D-phenylalanine and L-ornithine) increased relative to enzymes that act on L-phenylalanine, further suggesting microbial community adaptation to access degraded organic matter. Nineteen classes of predicted, exported peptidases were identified in genomic data from the same site, of which genes for class C25 (gingipain-like) peptidases represented more than 40% at each depth. Methionine aminopeptidases, zinc carboxypeptidases, and class S24-like peptidases, which are involved in single-stranded DNA repair, were also abundant. These results suggest a subsurface heterotrophic microbial community that primarily accesses low-quality detrital organic matter via a diverse suite of well-adapted extracellular enzymes.

IMPORTANCE

Burial of organic carbon in marine and estuarine sediments represents a long-term

sink for atmospheric carbon dioxide. Globally, ~40% of organic carbon burial occurs in

anoxic estuaries and deltaic systems. However, the ultimate controls on the amount of

organic matter that is buried in sediments, versus oxidized into CO_2, are poorly

constrained. Here we used a combination of enzyme assays and metagenomic analysis to

identify how subsurface microbial communities catalyze the first step of proteinaceous

organic carbon degradation. Our results show that microbial communities in deeper

sediments are adapted to access molecules characteristic of degraded organic matter,

suggesting that those heterotrophs are adapted to life in the subsurface.

Introduction

A large fraction of the microorganisms in subsurface sediments are heterotrophs that metabolize aged, microbially altered organic matter (1–3). These communities' metabolisms can be more than a million-fold more slower than cells in culture (1, 4). A recent meta-analysis showed that only about 12% of cells in marine sediments belonged to cultured species, while 27% belong to phyla that contain no cultured representatives (5). Consequently, the mechanisms by which these microorganisms access detrital organic matter are poorly understood (6).

In surface environments, where organic carbon metabolism is relatively rapid, heterotrophic microorganisms gain energy by metabolizing a combination of small molecules (<600-1000 Da), which can be taken up directly via general uptake porins (7) and macromolecules, which must be broken down outside of the cell by extracellular enzymes. Most freshly-produced organic matter is macromolecular, and large molecules tend to be more bioavailable than small ones (8), so the nature and activity of extracellular enzymes present in surface environments is a major control on the rate of microbial carbon oxidation in such environments.

It is not clear whether microbial extracellular enzymes play the same role in subsurface sediments. It is conceivable that macromolecules are broken down primarily by non-enzymatic mechanisms in sediments. For instance, in soils, MnO_4 catalyzes the depolymerization of proteins without requiring enzymes. Certain bacterial species can use TonB-dependant transporters to transport polysaccharides that are substantially larger than 600 Da into the periplasm (although enzymatic hydrolysis is still required prior to

uptke into the cytoplasm; 9). Furthermore, some of the unique aspects of subsurface

sediments suggest that extracellular enzymes might not be an effective strategy to obtain

carbon or energy. In order for the production of extracellular enzymes to be part of a

viable metabolic strategy, each enzyme must, over its lifetime, provide the cell with at

least as much carbon or energy as was required to synthesize the enzyme (10–12). In

subsurface sediments, where cell division times may be on the order of decades to

millenia, enzyme lifetimes would need to be correspondingly longer to remain

'profitable'. Since enzyme lifetimes are finite, there must exist a community metabolic

rate below which extracellular enzyme lifetimes are too short to become profitable. That

limit is difficult to quantify because enzyme lifetimes in any environment are poorly

constrained (e.g., 13). Thus, it is plausible that extracellular enzyme-mediated carbon

acquisition is impractical in sediments in which metabolic rates are particularly slow.

While extracellular enzyme activity in surface sediments has frequently been

reported, few reports exist of extracellular enzyme activity from deeper than 20 cm below

the seafloor (cmbsf; 14). Enzyme activity has been reported in sapropels up to 389 cmbsf

in sapropels in the Eastern Mediterranean Sea (15, 16) and in sediment from 600-630

cmbsf in Aarhus Bay sediments (17), as well as in a few other subsurface environments,

such as the interior of seafloor basalts at the Loihi seamount (18). Furthermore, an

analysis of transcriptomes from subsurface sediments of the Peru Margin revealed

diverse exported peptidases and carbohydrate-active enzymes, which decreased in

relative abundance with increasing depth (19).

In order to better understand how heterotrophic microorganisms in subsurface

sediments access organic matter, we assayed a diverse set of peptidases (protein-

degrading enzymes) in sediment cores from the White Oak River estuary, NC. We paired

these assays with analysis of the potential for extracellular peptidase production from

existing metagenomic data sets. We chose this site because the porewater geochemistry

and microbiology of these sediments has been well-characterized (20–24) and because

they contain abundant Bathyarchaeota and Thermoprofundales archaea, which appear to

be capable of metabolizing detrital organic matter (17, 25–27). We focused on

peptidases because protein degradation appears to be an important metabolism for some

subsurface archaea (17) and because peptidases were more active than other enzymes in

similar environments (15, 18). Because environmental samples contain a wide range of

distinct peptidases at variable activities (28, 29) we measured the hydrolysis of eleven

different substrates, which may be hydrolyzed by structurally and genetically diverse

extracellular peptidases.

Results

PEPTIDASE KINETICS

Sediment cores were sampled on two dates: first, to measure saturation curves for

six structurally diverse peptidase substrates, and second to measure a more targeted set of

peptidases at high depth resolution in order to assess the relative ability of subsurface

communities to access more degraded organic matter. Combining all samples,

unambiguous hydrolysis of nine different peptidase substrates was observed. All

peptidase substrates assayed with the more-sensitive single-cuvette methodology were

hydrolyzed much faster in untreated sediments than in autoclaved controls (Fig 1).

Kinetics of substrate hydrolysis were qualitatively consistent with the Michaelis-Menten

rate law, $v_0 = \frac{V_{max} \times [S]}{K_m + [S]}$, with estimated V_{max} values ranging from 40 to 3400 nmol g^{-1}

sediment hr^{-1} (median 310, interquartile range 190 to 560 nmol g^{-1} sediment hr^{-1}).

Throughout the core, AAF-AMC, GGR-AMC, and Gly-AMC were hydrolyzed the

fastest, and Arg-AMC was hydrolyzed slowest (Fig 2). Summed V_{max} values for each

substrate, a proxy for the total peptidolytic potential of the microbial community,

decreased with depth from 9.09 µmol AMC g^{-1} sed hr^{-1} at the surface to 1.24 µmol AMC

g^{-1} sed hr^{-1}, or 13% of the surface value, at 82.5 cmbsf. Estimated K_m values ranged from

36.1 µM to 1310 µM (median 138 µM, interquartile range 102 to 326 µM), and trended

downward (i.e., to greater substrate affinity) with increasing depth (Fig 3). K_m values for

hydrolysis of Leu-AMC were the greatest (i.e., lowest substrate affinity) while K_m values

for hydrolysis of BocVPR-AMC, GGR-AMC, and Arg-AMC were the least.

In a separate core, hydrolysis rates of D-Phe-AMC, L-Phe-AMC, and L-Orn-

AMC were assessed. These were measured using a plate-reader technique that proved

insufficiently precise to accurately measure V_{max} or K_m, so we have only reported the

observed hydrolysis rate v_0, which was measured at a high substrate concentration (400

µM) and therefore approximates V_{max}. Ratios of v_0 for D-Phe-AMC : L-Phe-AMC

hydrolysis and L-Orn-AMC : L-Phe-AMC hydrolysis rates increased approximately

linearly downcore (Fig 4).

MICROBIAL ABUNDANCE, CELL-SPECIFIC PEPTIDASE ACTIVITY, AND ORGANIC CARBON OXIDATION RATES

Concordantly with potential activities (Fig 5a), cell counts decreased more-or-less steadily downcore from 4.5×10^8 cells ml^{-1} wet sediment at 1.5 cmbsf to 7.4×10^7 cells ml^{-1} wet sediment at 82.5 cmbsf. Consequently, cell-specific total potential peptidase activity was roughly constant at 32 +/- 14 amol AMC cell^{-1} hr^{-1} (Fig 5b) with no significant trend as a function of depth. Most of the error in cell-specific peptidase activities results from variance in cell counts rather than in V_{max} estimations.

Organic carbon oxidation rates were estimated using a 2-G model driven by porewater methane and sulfate concentrations. The total modeled organic carbon oxidation rate G at 82.5 cmbsf was approximately 0.17% relative to that at 4.5 cmbsf (the top of the model domain), a decrease of almost 3 orders of magnitude (Fig 5c). Thus, summed V_{max} relative to G, a proxy for the effort microbes exert compared to obtain complex organic carbon relative the amount of carbon they metabolize, increased more than 200-fold in the deepest sediments relative to surface sediments (Fig 5d).

PEPTIDASE GENES AND MICROBIAL TAXA

Samples for genomic analysis were taken from three broad sedimentary zones: the sulfate reduction zone (SRZ, 8-12 cmbsf), sulfate-methane transition zone (SMTZ, two distinct samples from nearby locations, 24-32 cmbsf and 26-30 cmbsf), and the methane-rich zone (52-54 cmbsf; data originally published in Baker *et al.*, 2015). A total of 3739 genes encoding extracellular peptidases were identified among metagenomes from the three depth zones examined, including 685 from SRZ, 1994 from SMTZ, and 1060 from

MRZ. Of the genes encoding for peptidases, 0-71% (depending on class of peptidase,

algorithm and sediment depth) contained a signal peptide (SP) and are likely secreted by

the SEC-dependent transport system (supplementary data). Among the genes associated

with signal peptides, members of peptidase family C25, belonging to the gingipain

family, were by far the most abundant at all depths, accounting for 41-45% of all SP-

associated peptidases (Fig. 6a). Genes annotated as encoding extracellular methionine

aminopeptidases and zinc carboxypeptidases were also abundant (13%-19%). Together,

these peptidase classes accounted for 73%, 76%, and 73% of exported peptidases in the

SRZ, SMTZ, and MRZ respectively. The composition of protein families was generally

consistent with depth, particularly among the more-abundant peptidases. Five peptidase

annotations were an exception to this trend: peptidase family M1, peptidase family

M20/M25/M40, peptidase family M3, M61 glycyl aminopeptidase, and thermophilic

metalloprotease (M29) were found in much lower abundance at the SMTZ than the MRZ

or SRZ. Given that those correspond to differences of one or a few total reads, these are

well within the range of noise.

Proteobacteria were by far the most abundant phylum in the SRZ sediments, with

smaller contributions from *Chloroflexi*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Planctomycetes*, *Euryarchaeota*,

and *Bathyarchaeota* (Fig 6b). This community differed substantially from the

communities in the SMTZ and MRZ, which were fairly similar to each other. In both

sediment depths, *Bathyarchaeota*, *Chloroflexi*, and *Proteobacteria* were the dominant

phyla.

Discussion

IDENTITIES OF EXTRACELLULAR PEPTIDASES PRESENT IN WHITE OAK RIVER

ESTUARY SEDIMENTS

Kinetics of fluorogenic substrate hydrolysis was consistent with the Michaelis-Menten rate law and hydrolysis rates were dramatically slower in autoclaved controls than in "live" treatments, indicating that the substrates were hydrolyzed by enzymes rather than by abiotic factors. The enzyme substrates used here encompassed a diverse range of amino acid and peptide chemistries, including polar and non-polar R groups at the P1 site (i.e., the amino acid N-terminal to the scissile bond) and substrates with and without steric protecting groups, which must have been hydrolyzed by endopeptidases (which cleave proteins from within) and aminopeptidases (which cleave proteins from the N terminus) respectively. Peptide bonds adjacent to a diverse set of amino acid residues were cleaved, including glycine (the smallest amino acid), phenylalanine (among the largest amino acids), arginine (positively charged at porewater pH) and leucine (uncharged, hydrophobic), consistent with the presence of a diverse range of extracellular peptidases throughout the core.

The metagenomic results also indicated the potential for a diverse range of secreted peptidases, produced by a broad range of taxa, throughout the sediment column (Fig 6). The metagenomic results represent a minimum estimate for the genomic potential for extracellular peptidase production, because they rely on the assumption that only those peptidases associated with signal peptides (SPs) are secreted. Non-SP-based enzyme secretion pathways may also contribute to the pool of extracellular enzymes,

including Sec-independent secretion systems (30) and release of internal enzymes into

the extracellular medium by viral lysis (31, 32).

The dominance of genes for exported gingipain-like endopeptidases (class C25) at

all depths is consistent with rapid hydrolysis rates of fluorogenic substrates for

endopeptidases. Gingipains are endopeptidases with preference for arginine at the P1

position (i.e., the N-terminal side of the hydrolyzed bond), which would be active

towards the substrates GGR-AMC and Boc-VPR-AMC. Those were among the fastest-

hydrolyzed substrates at each depth (Figs. 1, 2), indicating that genes for C25 peptidases

were likely expressed. Previously, gingipains have been identified in Thermoprofundales

(formerly Marine Benthic Group D) and in Bathyarchaeota, and appear to be widespread

in marine sediments (17, 19, 33). The M28 family, also among the most abundant

annotations, contains a diverse range of aminopeptidases and carboxypeptidases

including leucine aminopeptidase, consistent with the observed hydrolysis of Leu-AMC

(34). Genes for D-aminopeptidases genes were observed, consistent with hydrolysis of D-

Phe-AMC.

Other abundant genes were annotated as methionine aminopeptidase, zinc

carboxypeptidase, a C-terminal domain from bacterial pre-peptidases, and peptidases

from the MEROPS families M24, S24, M50, and M28. Potential activities of these

peptidases were not assayed. Zinc carboxypeptidases (M20) cleave enzymes from the

carboxy-terminus and have strong specificity for Gly at the P1 position (i.e., the position

C-terminal to the scissile bond), but little preference for the residue at the P1' position

(the position C-terminal to the scissile bond; in a carboxypeptidase this would be the C-

terminus of the protein). Methionine aminopeptidases (M24) are metallopeptidases with

preference for glycine at the P1 position.

S24 and M50 peptidases are less likely to be directly relevant to organic matter

processing. S24 peptidases are involved in the SOS response for single-stranded DNA

repair (34). M50 peptidases are membrane-bound enzymes that act as sporulation factors

in Bacillus subtilis, and possibly other Bacteria (35, 36), and which are not secreted.

However, DNA repair (37, 38) and spore formation (39, 40) both appear to be important

survival mechanisms for microorganisms in subsurface sediments. The bacterial C-

terminal pre-peptidase domain is often found in secreted peptidases, but is removed prior

the peptidase becoming active, and could be associated with a wide range of peptidases

(41).

Each of these annotations is plausible in terms of what is known about peptidase

activities in sediments, and the annotations were generally consistent with the observed

activities. We did not assay for carboxypeptidases (e.g. MEROPS family M20) or

methionine aminopeptidase, but carboxypeptidases have previously been observed to be

active in estuarine sediments (42) and the generally broad substrate specificities of

extracellular aminopeptidases suggests that methionine aminopeptidases could have

contributed to the hydrolysis of the other aminopeptidase substrates (43). However, a

note of caution is also warranted when interpreting peptidase annotations from deeply

branching microorganisms: the high diversity of hydrolases makes precise annotations

difficult, and the exact substrate specificities of the peptidases in these samples may

differ somewhat from those inferred from the annotations (44). Thus, while these

annotations are environmentally plausible and generally consistent with the fluorogenic

enzyme assays, they should nevertheless be viewed with some skepticism.

Interestingly, the sets of peptidases identified in genomes, and the activities

observed, varied among depths much less than the microbes present (compare the similar

profiles in Fig 6a to the notable differences among depths in 6b.) It is possible that

extracellular enzymes were only produced by a small subset of taxa that are present at all

sediment depths, although this would be inconsistent with previous evidence that diverse

taxa including sulfate reducers and fermenters produce extracellular enzymes in

sediments (albeit, deeper than those studied here, 19), the widespread phylogenetic

distribution of similar extracellular enzymes (45), and previous observations of functional

redundancy with respect to extracellular enzyme production in diverse systems (46–48).

PEPTIDASE KINETICS SUGGEST ADAPTAION OF SUBSURFACE PEPTIDASES TO

DEGRADED ORGANIC MATTER

Heterotrophic microorganisms in subsurface sediments have little access to fresh

organic matter. In the cores described here, which represented ~275 years of sediment

deposition, organic matter oxidation rate decreased by at least three orders of magnitude

between the surface and 82.5 cmbsf (Fig 5c). It is challenging to determine what fraction

of high- vs low-molecular weight organic matter subsurface microorganisms metabolize.

However, the fact that cell-specific V_{max} was more-or-less constant downcore (Fig 5b)

suggests that the heterotrophic community relied on complex organic matter to a similar

degree at all depths. The cell-specific V_{max} values for Leu-AMC hydrolysis, 21-51 amol

cell^{-1} hr^{-1}, are comparable to previous measurements in active environments such as

surface sediments (2-100 amol cell^{-1} hr^{-1}) and seawater (mostly less than 100 amol cell^{-1}

hr^{-1}, but with some measurements up to 10 nmol cell^{-1} hr^{-1}; Vetter and Deming, 1994 and

references therein), consistent with communities that relied primarily on organic carbon

derived from macromolecules.

The ratio of ΣV_{max} : OC oxidation rate is sensitive to the mix of enzymes included

in the sum, and to the substrate specificity of enzymes assayed (some enzymes will be

capable of hydrolyzing multiple substrates). The absolute value of that sum, therefore, is

not particularly meaningful. The trend, however, is informative: as sediment depth

increased, the potential activity of extracellular peptidases decreased much more slowly

than the actual rate of organic carbon oxidation, so the ratio of ΣV_{max} : OC oxidation rate

increased dramatically (Fig 5d). V_{max} is a proxy for enzyme concentration, so the

observed increase in ΣV_{max} : OC oxidation rate combined with the trend in cell-specific

ΣV_{max} suggests that deeper heterotrophic communities exhibited similar demand for

detrital OM, but that those enzymes returned bioavailable hydrolysate at a much slower

rate because substrate concentrations were lower. The White Oak River subsurface

communities were similar to their surface counterparts in terms of reliance on

extracellular enzymes for bioavailable organic carbon, although subsurface metabolisms

were considerably slower. However, enzyme kinetics and potential activities of D-

phenylalanine aminopeptidase, L-phenylalanine aminopeptidase, and L-ornithine

aminopeptidase all suggested microbial community adaptation to old, degraded organic

matter in deeper sediments.

Most amino acids are biosynthesized as L-stereoisomers. As organic matter ages,

the ratio of D-amino acids to L-amino acids (D:L ratio) increases with depth, due to

abiotic racemization and increased abundance of D-amino acids derived from bacterial

cell walls (1, 50). Accordingly, the potential activity of D-phenylalanyl aminopeptidase

increased relative to that of L-phenylalanyl aminopeptidase, indicating an increased

capacity to access degraded organic matter. Ornithine, which is a product of the release of

urea from arginine, is another marker for degraded organic matter, while phenylalanine is

more characteristic of fresher organic matter (51), and the relative potential activity of L-

phenylalanine aminopeptidase : ornithine aminopeptidase followed the same increasing

trend with depth. Finally, the decrease of K_m values with increasing depth indicates

peptidases that function more efficiently at lower substrate concentrations. It is intuitive

that the concentration of enzyme-labile organic matter concentrations would decrease

downcore, and the observed increase in ΣV_{max} : OC oxidation rate provides direct

evidence of that. Taken together, these three observations provide strong evidence for a

subsurface heterotrophic microbial community that is increasingly adapted to persist

using degraded organic matter at increasing depth.

This evidence raises the ecological question of *how* selective pressure produces a

heterotrophic community adapted to degraded organic matter. Modeling and genomic

observations in older (thousands to millions of years), deeper sediments suggest that

microbial growth rates are too slow for community adaptation by enhanced growth rates

of more successful taxa; rather, communities in deeper sediments consist of taxa that

were deposited at the sediment-water interface and died at the slowest rates (6, 52, 53).

If those findings can be generalized to the shallower environments investigated

here, that poses a question: in which aquatic environments are microorganisms capable

gaining reproductive advantage by growing on recalcitrant organic carbon? The studies

cited above addressed sites at which sedimentation rates, microbial respiration, and likely

cell doubling times were considerably slower than in the sediments described here, so

even if growth (as opposed to persistence) on recalcitrant organic carbon is not possible

in those environments, it may have been in the White Oak River sediments. Alternately,

microbial taxa may gain adaptations to metabolize recalcitrant organic matter in

environments where labile organic matter is more abundant and growth rates are higher.

This scenario would imply that organisms which primarily metabolize more labile

organic matter would gain some selective advantage by also metabolizing recalcitrant

organic matter. Finally, it is not entirely clear how the microorganisms in this study used

the amino acids resulting from extracellular hydrolysis. In deeper sediments, heterotrophs

appear to be energy-limited rather than carbon limited (54), which would suggest that

amino acids would be likely assimilated directly into proteins. However, if amino acids

are catabolized, there may be an energetic advantage to incorporating D-amino acids:

some catabolic pathways for L-amino acids involve conversion to the D-form prior to

further processing, in which case uptake of D-amino acids could save energy (55).

Further analysis of the mechanisms by which subsurface heterotrophs access degraded

sedimentary organic matter may yield insights into how microorganisms survive in low-

energy environments, and into the processes that shape the pool of organic carbon that is

preserved or oxidized over geological timescales.

Materials and Methods

STUDY SITE

Samples were collected from Station H in the White Oak River Estuary, 34° 44.490' N, 77° 07.44' W, first described by Gruebel and Martens (56). The White Oak River Estuary occupies a drowned river valley in the coastal plain of North Carolina. Station H is characterized by salinity in the range of 10 to 28 and water depth on the order of 2 m (21). The flux of ΣCO_2 across the sediment-water interface was 0.46 ± 0.02 mmol m^{-2} hr^{-1} (measured in May of 1987), primarily due to organic carbon oxidation via sulfate reduction. The sediment accumulation rate averages 0.3 cm yr^{-1}. Total organic carbon content is approximately 5% (21). For this study, push cores of 40-85 cm were collected from Station H by swimmers on May 28, 2013, and October 22, 2014. In 2013, cores were transported to the nearby Institute of Marine Sciences (University of North Carolina) at Morehead City, where they were sectioned and processed for enzyme activities, porewater geochemistry, and cell counts within 6 hours of sample collection. Porewater sulfate in 2013 was depleted by 43.5 cm, and methane peaked at 79.5 cm (Fig. S1). In 2014, cores were transported on the day of sampling to the University of Tennessee, Knoxville, stored at 4 °C, and processed for enzyme activities the following day. Samples for metagenomic analysis were collected separately in October 2010 from three sites (sites 1, 2, and 3, as previously described by Baker et al., 2015), all of which are within 550 m of Station H. Porewater geochemistry of those samples is described in

Figure S2 of Lazar et al (57). We note that, although the samples for sequencing were

taken at a different time and slightly different location than the samples for enzyme

assays, geochemistry and geomicrobiology of White Oak River sediments appears to be

extremely stable and homogenous, possibly due to the fact that sediments are extremely

fine and the estuarine water flow rates are low. In any case, geochemistry of the

sediments are stable over timescales of decades (21, 24, 58) and microbial abundances in

the SMTZ were very similar even though they were collected at sites separated by ~500m

(error bars in Fig 6b are mostly smaller than the differences among depths).

ENZYME ASSAYS

Enzyme assays were performed using different protocols in 2013 (data presented

in Figs 1-3) versus 2014 (data presented in Fig 4). In 2013, enzyme assays were

performed according to a protocol similar to the one described in Lloyd et al (2013b).

Cores were sectioned into 3 cm intervals. The following intervals were selected for

enzyme assays: 0-3 cm, 3-6 cm, 27-30 cm, 57-60 cm, and 81-83 cm. Each section was

homogenized, and approximately 0.5 ml wet sediment was transferred into separate 5 ml

amber glass serum vials, which had been pre-weighed and preloaded with 4 ml anoxic

artificial seawater (Sigma Sea Salts, salinity = 15, pH=7.5) Samples were weighed again

to determine the precise mass of wet sediment added, and then an appropriate quantity of

20 mM peptidase substrate stock dissolved in DMSO was added, up to 90 μL, for final

substrate concentrations of 0, 25, 50, 75, 100, 200, or 300 μM. Substrates are listed in

Table 1. Triplicate incubations with 400 μM Arg-AMC, Gly-AMC, Leu-AMC and Gly-

Gly-Arg-AMC were also created, but these were omitted for Ala-Ala-Phe-AMC and Boc-

Phe-Val-Arg-AMC because the latter two substrates are considerably more expensive.

Each serum vial was vortexed, briefly gassed with N_2 to remove oxygen introduced with

the sample, and approximately 1.3 ml slurry was immediately removed, transferred to a

microcentrifuge tube, and placed on ice to quench the reaction. The precise time of

quenching was recorded. This was centrifuged at $10,000 \times g$ within approximately 15

minutes. The supernatant was transferred to a methacrylate cuvette and fluorescence was

measured with a Turner Biosystems TBS-380 fluorescence detector set to UV mode

(λ_{ex}=365-395 nm, λ_{em}=465-485 nm). Samples were then incubated at 16 °C,

approximately the *in situ* temperature, and the sampling procedure was repeated after

approximately 3 hours. The rate of fluorescence production was calculated as the increase

in fluorescence for each sample divided by the elapsed time between sample quenching.

Killed controls were made using homogenized, autoclaved sediments from 35-45 cmbsf.

However, we note that autoclaving does not completely destroy sediment enzymes

because sorption to mineral surfaces stabilizes enzyme structure, vastly increasing their

ability to maintain a functional conformation at high temperatures (59–61). We therefore

used the autoclaved samples as a qualitative control for the null hypothesis that enzymes

were responsible for none of the observed substrate hydrolysis, rather than as a

quantitative method to distinguish enzymatic substrate hydrolysis from potential abiotic

effects. In some sediments, a large fraction of fluorophore can sorb to particles, requiring

a correction to observed fluorescence (15, 16). In order to test the extent of sorption, we

incubated 120 nM AMC in WOR sediment slurry (12.5 g sediment / 100 mL ASW, pH =

7.5) over the course of 125 hours. Fluorescence was stable over the entire incubation (Fig

S2). Further, we sometimes measured fluorescence calibration curves repeatedly over ~3

hours, and observed no clear changes in the slopes of the curves. We thus concluded that

sorption of the free fluorophore was negligible.

In 2014, enzymes were assayed using a protocol based on the approach of Bell et

al (2013), which was designed for soil enzyme assays. In this approach, peptidase

substrates were mixed with sediment-buffer slurries in 2-mL wells of a deep-well plate.

These plates were periodically centrifuged and 250 µL aliquots of supernatant were

transferred into a black 96-well microplate. Fluorescence was read using a BioTek

Cytation 3 microplate reader (λ_{ex} = 360 nm, λ_{em} = 440 nm). Results from this method

proved considerably noisier than the single-cuvette method used in 2013, so kinetic

parameters (V_{max} and K_m) were not calculated for these data. Nevertheless, results were

qualitatively similar to those from 2013, and we have reported V_{max} from 2014 as v_0

measured at 400 µM substrate concentration, which was saturating. In October 2014, the

following substrates were assayed: AAF-AMC, Arg-AMC, Boc-VPR-AMC, D-Phe-

AMC, Gly-AMC, Leu-AMC, L-Phe-AMC, Orn-AMC, Z-Phe-Arg-AMC, and Z-Phe-Val-

Arg-AMC. In October 2014, L-Phe-AMC, D-Phe-AMC, and Orn-AMC were assayed

according to the same protocol in 3-cm core sections at 1.5, 4.5, 7.5, 10.5, 19.5, 22.5,

25.5, 28.5, 34.5, 37.5, 40.5, 43.5, 49.5, 52.5, 58.5, and 61.5 cmbsf.

Peptidase kinetic data were analyzed using R. All raw data and scripts related to

enzyme analysis are posted at http://github.com/adsteen/WOR_enz_2013_2014. For

samples taken using the more sensitive single-cuvette method, Michaelis-Menten

parameters were estimated from nonlinear least squares fits to kinetic data. In the case of

Leu-AMC at 4.5 centimeters below seafloor, kinetic data could not successfully be fit to a

Michaelis-Menten function, so no K_m was reported and the value of v_0 at the highest

substrate concentration was substituted for V_{max}. For analysis of correlations, data sets

were qualitatively evaluated for homoskedasticity and normality of residuals using q-q

plots and plots of residuals vs fitted values. When untransformed data met those criteria,

the null hypothesis of no correlation was tested using linear least-squares regressions.

When untransformed data failed to meet those criteria, data were log-transformed. In

cases in which log-transformed data were either heteroskedastic or residuals were non-

normally distributed, data were rank-transformed and correlations were tested using

Spearman's ρ.

GEOCHEMICAL AND MICROBIOLOGICAL MEASUREMENTS

Sediment porosity was measured by mass after drying at 80 °C, according to the

equation

$$\phi = \frac{m_w/\rho_w}{m_w/\rho_w + \frac{m_d - S \times m_w/1000}{\rho_{ds}}}$$

Here, m_w represents mass lost after drying, ρ_w represents the density of pure

water, m_d represents the mass of the dry sediment, S represents salinity in g kg^{-1}, and ρ_{ds}

represents the density of dry sediment (assumed to be 2.5 g cm^{-3}). Porewater sulfate

concentrations were measured using a Dionex Ion Chromatograph (Sunnyvale, CA) in

porewater that was separated by centrifugation in 15 ml centrifuge tubes at 5000 × g for 5

minutes, filtered at 0.2 μm, and acidified with 10% HCl. Methane was measured using 3

ml sediment subsamples that were collected from a cutoff syringe, entering through the

side of a core section, immediately after core extrusion. Subsamples were deposited

immediately in a 20 ml serum vial containing 1 ml, 0.1 M KOH. These were immediately

stoppered and shaken to mix sediment with KOH. Methane was later measured by

injecting 500 μl of bottle headspace into a GC-FID (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA) using a

headspace equilibrium method (63).

GEOCHEMICAL MODELING

Organic carbon remineralization rates as a function of depth were estimated by

applying a multi-component reaction-transport model to depth distributions of sulfate and

methane concentration. The model is based on equations described in Boudreau (64) and

includes only sulfate reduction and methane production due to lack of data regarding oxic

and suboxic processes. Thus, the model is limited to depths greater than 4.5 cm where

sulfate reduction and methane production are the dominant processes, and bioirrigation

and bioturbation may be assumed to be negligible. The organic matter remineralization

rate is parameterized using the multi-G model first proposed by Jørgensen (65); a two-

component model was sufficient to accurately simulate the sulfate and methane data. For

solutes, the upper boundary conditions were measured values at 4.5 cm while the lower

boundary conditions (200 cm) were set to zero-gradient. The flux of reactive organic

carbon to 4.5 cm was calculated from the sulfate flux across the 4.5 cm horizon and an

estimate of methane burial below the lower boundary (the methane flux at the upper

boundary was observed to be zero), with an assumed oxidation state of reactive carbon of

-0.7. The model contains four adjustable parameters that are set to capture the major

details of measured sulfate and methane data: first-order rate constants for both fractions

of the reactive carbon pool; the partitioning factor for both fractions, and the rate constant

for methane oxidation.

CELL ENUMERATION

Cells were enumerated by direct microscopic counts. One mL of sediment was

placed in a 2-mL screw-cap tube with 500 µl of 3% paraformaldehyde in phosphate

buffered saline (PBS), in which it was incubated overnight before being centrifuged for 5

minutes at $3000 \times g$. The supernatant was removed and replaced with 500 µl of PBS,

vortexed briefly and centrifuged again at $3000 \times g$. The supernatant was subsequently

removed and replaced with a 1:1 PBS:ethanol solution. Sediments were then sonicated

using a Branson Ultrasonics Sonifier SFX150 at 20% power for 40 seconds to

disaggregates cells from sediments and diluted 40-fold into PBS prior to filtration onto a

0.2 µm polycarbonate filter (Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) and mounted onto a slide.

Cells were stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) and enumerated by direct

counts using a Leica Epifluorescence Microscope.

METAGENOMIC ANALYSIS

To resolve the taxonomic distribution of extracellular peptidases we searched a

pre-existing White Oak River *de novo* assembled and binned metagenomic dataset (Table

S2; Baker *et al.*, 2015) for genes that were assigned extracellular peptidase functions.

These assignments were based on best matches to extracellular peptidases in KEGG,

pfam, and NCBI-nr (non-redundant) databases using the IMG annotation pipeline

(Markowitz et al., 2014). Genes were additionally screened for signal peptidase motifs

using the following programs: PrediSI setting the organism group to gram-negative

bacteria (66), PRED-Signal trained on archaea (67), the standalone version of PSORT

v.3.0 trained against archaea (68), and SignalP 4.1 using gram-negative bacteria as the

organism group (69). All programs were used with default settings if not stated

otherwise. Binned genomes from three different depth zones of White Oak River

sediments were examined. The sulfate-rich zone (SRZ) genomes were obtained from sites

2 and 3 core sections 8-12 and 8-10 cm, respectively. The sulfate-methane transitions

zone (SMTZ) genomes were recovered from site 2 and 3 and depths of 30-32 cm and 24-

28 cm. The methane-rich zone (MRZ) was from site 1 and 52-54 cm. To determine

abundance of phyla, we used the 16S rRNA gene sequences that were automatically

extracted from the Baker et al metagenomes by IMG/m. We used CLC Genomic

Workbench 10.0 (CLC Bio, Aarhus, Denmark) to trim adaptors and make contigs from

bidirectional sequences. We clustered operational taxonomic units (OTUs) at 97%

similarity used Silva reference set 132 to identify the taxonomy of OTUs (70).

Acknowledgements

We thank Michael Piehler for access to his laboratory facilities at the University of North Carolina Institute of Marine Sciences, the captain of the R/V Capricorn for sampling assistance, and Terry Hazen for use of lab equipment at the University of Tennessee. We greatly appreciate two anonymous reviewers, whose constructive comments substantially improved the manuscript. Oliver Jeffers reminds ADS that science is cool and worth doing. Funding for KHM was provided by NSF grant DBI-1156644 to Steven W. Wilhelm. Funding for ADS was provided by NSF grant OCE-1431598 and a C-DEBI subaward. This work is C-DEBI Contribution number <<to be determined.>>

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interests in relation to the work described.

References

1.   Lomstein BA, Niggemann J, Jørgensen BB, Langerhuus AT. 2009. Accumulation

of prokaryotic remains during organic matter diagenesis in surface sediments off

Peru. Limnol Oceanogr 54:1139–1151.

2.   Jørgensen BB, Marshall IPG. 2016. Slow Microbial Life in the Seabed. Ann Rev

Mar Sci 8:311–32.

3.   Biddle JF, Lipp JS, Lever MA, Lloyd KG, Sørensen KB, Anderson R, Fredricks

HF, Elvert M, Kelly TJ, Schrag DP, Sogin ML, Brenchley JE, Teske A, House

CH, Hinrichs K-UK-U, Sorensen KB, Anderson R, Fredricks HF, Elvert M, Kelly

TJ, Schrag DP, Sogin ML, Brenchley JE, Teske A, House CH, Hinrichs K-UK-U.

2006. Heterotrophic Archaea dominate sedimentary subsurface ecosystems off

Peru. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103:3846–51.

4.   Hoehler TM, Jørgensen BB. 2013. Microbial life under extreme energy limitation.

Nat Rev Microbiol 11:83–94.

5.   Lloyd KG, Steen AD, Ladau J, Yin J, Crosby L. 2018. Phylogenetically Novel

Uncultured Microbial Cells Dominate Earth Microbiomes. mSystems 3:e00055-

18.

6.   Orsi WD. 2018. Ecology and evolution of seafloor and subseafloor microbial

communities. Nat Rev Microbiol 16:671–683.

7.   Benz R, Bauer K. 1988. Permeation of hydrophilic molecules through the outer

membrane of gram-negative bacteria. Review on bacterial porins. Eur J Biochem

176:1–19.

8.   Benner R, Amon RMW. 2015. The size-reactivity continuum of major bioelements

in the ocean. Ann Rev Mar Sci 7:185–205.

9.   Reintjes G, Arnosti C, Fuchs BM, Amann R. 2017. An alternative polysaccharide

uptake mechanism of marine bacteria. ISME J 11:1640–1650.

10.   Vetter YAY, Deming JJW, Jumars PPA, Krieger-Brockett BBB. 1998. A

Predictive model of bacterial foraging by means of freely released extracellular

enzymes. Microb Ecol 36:75–92.

11.   Allison SD. 2005. Cheaters, diffusion and nutrients constrain decomposition by

microbial enzymes in spatially structured environments. Ecol Lett 8:626–635.

12.   Schimel JP, Weintraub MN. 2003. The implications of exoenzyme activity on

microbial carbon and nitrogen limitation in soil: a theoretical model. Soil Biol

Biochem 35:549–563.

13.   Steen AD, Arnosti C. 2011. Long lifetimes of β-glucosidase, leucine

aminopeptidase, and phosphatase in Arctic seawater. Mar Chem 123:127–132.

14.   Arnosti C, Bell C, Moorhead DLL, Sinsabaugh RLL, Steen ADD, Stromberger M,

Wallenstein M, Weintraub MNN. 2014. Extracellular enzymes in terrestrial,

freshwater, and marine environments: perspectives on system variability and

common research needs. Biogeochemistry 117:5–21.

15.   Coolen MJL, Overmann J. 2000. Functional exoenzymes as indicators of

metabolically active bacteria in 124,000-Year-old sapropel layers of the eastern

Mediterranean Sea. Appl Environ Microbiol 66:2589–2598.

16.   Coolen MJL, Cypionka H, Sass AM, Sass H, Overmann J. 2002. Ongoing

modification of Mediterranean Pleistocene sapropels mediated by prokaryotes.

Science 296:2407–10.

17.   Lloyd KGKG, Schreiber L, Petersen DGDG, Kjeldsen KUKU, Lever MAMA,

Steen AD, Stepanauskas R, Richter M, Kleindienst S, Lenk S, Schramm A,

Jørgensen BB, Jorgensen BB. 2013. Predominant archaea in marine sediments

degrade detrital proteins. Nature 496:215–8.

18.   Meyers MEJ, Sylvan JB, Edwards KJ, Jacobson Meyers ME, Sylvan JB, Edwards

KJ. 2014. Extracellular enzyme activity and microbial diversity measured on

seafloor exposed basalts from Loihi seamount indicate the importance of basalts to

global biogeochemical cycling. Appl Environ Microbiol 80:4854–64.

19.   Orsi WD, Richards TA, Francis WR. 2018. Predicted microbial secretomes and

their target substrates in marine sediment. Nat Microbiol 3:32–37.

20.   Martens CS, Goldhaber MB. 1978. Early diagenesis in transitional sedimentary

environments of the White Oak River Estuary, North Carolina. Limnol Oceanogr

23:428–441.

21.   Kelley CA, Martens CS, Chanton JPJP. 1990. Variations in sedimentary carbon

remineralization rates in the White Oak River estuary, North Carolina. Limnol

Oceanogr 35:372–383.

22.   Baker BJ, Lazar CS, Teske AP, Dick GJ. 2015. Genomic resolution of linkages in

carbon, nitrogen, and sulfur cycling among widespread estuary sediment bacteria.

Microbiome 3:14.

23.   Lazar CS, Baker BJ, Seitz K, Hyde AS, Dick GJ, Hinrichs K-U, Teske AP. 2016.

Genomic evidence for distinct carbon substrate preferences and ecological niches

of Bathyarchaeota in estuarine sediments. Environ Microbiol 18:1200–11.

24.   Lloyd KG, Alperin MJ, Teske A. 2011. Environmental evidence for net methane

production and oxidation in putative ANaerobic MEthanotrophic (ANME)

archaea. Environ Microbiol 13:2548–2564.

25.   Kubo K, Lloyd KG, F Biddle J, Amann R, Teske A, Knittel K. 2012. Archaea of

the Miscellaneous Crenarchaeotal Group are abundant, diverse and widespread in

marine sediments. ISME J 6:1949–65.

26.   Meng J, Xu J, Qin D, He Y, Xiao X, Wang F. 2014. Genetic and functional

properties of uncultivated MCG archaea assessed by metagenome and gene

expression analyses. ISME J 8:650–9.

27.   Zhou Z, Pan J, Wang F, Gu J-D, Li M. 2018. Bathyarchaeota: globally distributed

metabolic generalists in anoxic environments. FEMS Microbiol Rev 42:639–655.

28.   Obayashi Y, Suzuki S. 2005. Proteolytic enzymes in coastal surface seawater:

Significant activity of endopeptidases and exopeptidases. Limnol Oceanogr

50:722–726.

29.   Steen AD, Arnosti C. 2013. Extracellular peptidase and carbohydrate hydrolase

activities in an Arctic fjord (Smeerenburgfjord, Svalbard). Aquat Microb Ecol

69:93–99.

30.   Bendtsen JD, Kiemer L, Fausbøll A, Brunak S. 2005. Non-classical protein

secretion in bacteria. BMC Microbiol 5:58.

31.   Danovaro R, Dell'Anno A, Corinaldesi C, Magagnini M, Noble R, Tamburini C,

Weinbauer M. 2008. Major viral impact on the functioning of benthic deep-sea

ecosystems. Nature 454:1084–1087.

32.   Breitbart M, Felts B, Kelley S, Mahaffy JM, Nulton J, Salamon P, Rohwer F.

2004. Diversity and population structure of a near-shore marine-sediment viral

community. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 271:565–574.

33.   Zhou Z, Liu Y, Lloyd KG, Pan J, Yang Y, Gu J-D, Li M. 2018. Genomic and

transcriptomic insights into the ecology and metabolism of benthic archaeal

cosmopolitan, Thermoprofundales (MBG-D archaea). ISME J 1.

34.   Rawlings ND, Barrett AJ, Thomas PD, Huang X, Bateman A, Finn RD. 2018. The

MEROPS database of proteolytic enzymes, their substrates and inhibitors in 2017

and a comparison with peptidases in the PANTHER database. Nucleic Acids Res

46:D624–D632.

35.   Green DH, Cutting SM. 2000. Membrane topology of the Bacillus subtilis pro-

sigma(K) processing complex. J Bacteriol 182:278–85.

36.   Feng L, Yan H, Wu Z, Yan N, Wang Z, Jeffrey PD, Shi Y. 2007. Structure of a

site-2 protease family intramembrane metalloprotease. Science 318:1608–12.

37.   Orsi WD, Edgcomb VP, Christman GD, Biddle JF. 2013. Gene expression in the

deep biosphere. Nature 499:205–208.

38.   Bird JT, Tague E, Zinke L, Schmidt JM, Steen AD, Reese BK, Marshall I,

Webster G, Weightman A, Castro H, Campagna SR, Lloyd KG. Uncultured

microbial phyla suggest mechanisms for multi-thousand-year subsistence in Baltic

Sea sediments. MBio.

39. O'Sullivan LA, Roussel EG, Weightman AJ, Webster G, Hubert CR, Bell E, Head

I, Sass H, Parkes RJ. 2015. Survival of Desulfotomaculum spores from estuarine

sediments after serial autoclaving and high-temperature exposure. ISME J 9:922–

933.

40. Lomstein BA, Langerhuus AT, D'Hondt S, Jørgensen BB, Spivack AJ, D'Hondt

S, Jørgensen BB, Spivack AJ. 2012. Endospore abundance, microbial growth and

necromass turnover in deep sub-seafloor sediment. Nature 484:101–4.

41. Yeats C, Bentley S, Bateman A. 2003. New knowledge from old: in silico

discovery of novel protein domains in Streptomyces coelicolor. BMC Microbiol

3:3.

42. Pantoja S, Lee C, Marecek JF. 1997. Hydrolysis of peptides in seawater and

sediment. Mar Chem 57:25–40.

43. Steen AD, Vazin JPJP, Hagen SMSM, Mulligan KHKH, Wilhelm SWSW. 2015.

Substrate specificity of aquatic extracellular peptidases assessed by competitive

inhibition assays using synthetic substrates. Aquat Microb Ecol 75:271–281.

44. Michalska K, Steen AD, Chhor G, Endres M, Webber AT, Bird J, Lloyd KG,

Joachimiak A. 2015. New aminopeptidase from "microbial dark matter" archaeon.

FASEB J 29:4071–4079.

45. Zimmerman AE, Martiny AC, Allison SD. 2013. Microdiversity of extracellular

enzyme genes among sequenced prokaryotic genomes. ISME J 7:1187–1199.

46. Wohl DL, Arora S, Gladstone JR. 2004. Functional redundancy supports

biodiversity and ecosystem function in a closed and constant environment.

Ecology 85:1534–1540.

47.   Reich PB, Walters MB, Ellsworth DS, Smith DP, Branco S, Glassman SI,

Erlandson S, Vilgalys R, Liao H-L, Smith ME, Peay KG. 1997. From tropics to

tundra: global convergence in plant functioning. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A

94:13730–4.

48.   Frossard A, Gerull L, Mutz M, Gessner MO. 2012. Disconnect of microbial

structure and function: enzyme activities and bacterial communities in nascent

stream corridors. ISME J 6:680–691.

49.   Vetter YA, Deming JW. 1994. Extracellular enzyme activity in the Arctic

Northeast Water polynya. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 114:23–34.

50.   Bada JL, Luyendyk BP, Maynard JB. 1970. Marine sediments: Dating by the

racemization of amino acids. Science (80-) 170:730–732.

51.   Dauwe B, Middelburg JJ, Herman PMJ, Heip CHR. 1999. Linking diagenetic

alteration of amino acids and bulk organic matter reactivity. Limnol Oceanogr

44:1809–1814.

52.   Starnawski P, Bataillon T, Ettema TJG, Jochum LM, Schreiber L, Chen X, Lever

MA, Polz MF, Jørgensen BB, Schramm A, Kjeldsen KU. 2017. Microbial

community assembly and evolution in subseafloor sediment. Proc Natl Acad Sci

114.

53.   Bradley JA, Amend JP, LaRowe DE. 2018. Survival of the fewest: Microbial

dormancy and maintenance in marine sediments through deep time. Geobiology.

54.   Morono Y, Terada T, Nishizawa M, Ito M, Hillion F, Takahata N, Sano Y, Inagaki

F. 2011. Carbon and nitrogen assimilation in deep subseafloor microbial cells.

Proc Natl Acad Sci 108:18295–18300.

55. Radkov AD, Moe LA. 2018. A Broad Spectrum Racemase in Pseudomonas putida

KT2440 Plays a Key Role in Amino Acid Catabolism. Front Microbiol 9:1343.

56. Gruebel KA, Martens CS. 1984. Radon-222 tracing of sediment-water chemical

transport in an estuarine sediment. Limnol Oceanogr 29:587–597.

57. Lazar CS, Baker BJ, Seitz K, Hyde AS, Dick GJ, Hinrichs K-U, Teske AP. 2016.

Genomic evidence for distinct carbon substrate preferences and ecological niches

of Bathyarchaeota in estuarine sediments. Environ Microbiol 18:1200–1211.

58. Benninger LK, Martens CS. 1983. Sources and fates of sedimentary organic matter

in the White Oak and Neuse River Estuaries.

59. Stursova M, Sinsabaugh RL. 2008. Stabilization of oxidative enzymes in desert

soil may limit organic matter accumulation. Soil Biol Biochem 40:550–553.

60. Carter DO, Yellowlees D, Tibbett M. 2007. Autoclaving kills soil microbes yet

soil enzymes remain active. Pedobiologia (Jena) 51:295–299.

61. Schmidt J. 2016. Microbial Extracellular Enzymes in Marine Sediments: Methods

Development and Potential Activities in the Baltic Sea Deep Biosphere. University

of Tennessee - Knoxville.

62. Bell CW, Fricks BE, Rocca JD, Steinweg JM, McMahon SK, Wallenstein MD.

2013. High-throughput fluorometric measurement of potential soil extracellular

enzyme activities. J Vis Exp e50961.

63. Lapham LL, Chanton JP, Martens CS, Sleeper K, Woolsey JR. 2008. Microbial

activity in surficial sediments overlying acoustic wipeout zones at a Gulf of

Mexico cold seep. Geochemistry, Geophys Geosystems 9:Q06001.

64. Boudreau BP. 1996. A method-of-lines code for carbon and nutrient diagenesis in

aquatic sediments. Comput Geosci 22:479–496.

65. Jørgensen BB, J⊘rgensen BB. 1978. A comparison of methods for the

quantification of bacterial sulfate reduction in coastal marine sediments: II.

Calculation from mathematical models. Geomicrobiol J 1:29–47.

66. Hiller K, Grote A, Scheer M, Münch R, Jahn D. 2004. PrediSi: Prediction of signal

peptides and their cleavage positions. Nucleic Acids Res 32.

67. Bagos PG, Tsirigos KD, Plessas SK, Liakopoulos TD, Hamodrakas SJ. 2009.

Prediction of signal peptides in archaea. Protein Eng Des Sel 22:27–35.

68. Yu NY, Wagner JR, Laird MR, Melli G, Rey S, Lo R, Dao P, Sahinalp SC, Ester

M, Foster LJ, Brinkman FSL. 2010. PSORTb 3.0: improved protein subcellular

localization prediction with refined localization subcategories and predictive

capabilities for all prokaryotes. Bioinformatics 26:1608–1615.

69. Petersen TN, Brunak S, von Heijne G, Nielsen H. 2011. SignalP 4.0:

discriminating signal peptides from transmembrane regions. Nat Methods 8:785–6.

70. Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, Peplies J, Glöckner

FO. 2012. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data

processing and web-based tools. Nucleic Acids Res 41:D590–D596.

Table

Table 1: Substrates used in this study and the enzymes that hydrolyze them. AMC stands

for aminomethylcoumarin, the moiety that becomes fluorescent after hydrolysis of the

peptide bond. All amino acids are in the L- stereoconformation unless otherwise noted.

Enzymes are described as "putative" because the substrate specificity of many

environmental peptidases is fairly broad, so multiple peptidases may hydrolyze any given

substrate.

Substrate	Abbreviation	Putative enzyme
L-arginine-7-amido-4-methylcoumarin	Arg-AMC	arginyl aminopeptidase
L-glycine-7-amido-4-methylcoumarin	Gly-AMC	glycyl aminopeptidase
L-leucine-7-amido-4-methylcoumarin	Leu-AMC	leucyl aminopeptidase
Carboxybenzoyl-glycine-glycine-arginine-7-amido-4-methylcoumarin	Z-GGR-AMC	gingipain and other endopeptidases
Alanine-alanine-phenylalanine-7-amido-4-methylcoumarine	AAF-AMC	clostripain and other endopeptidases
Boc-valine-proline-arginine-AMC	Boc-VPR-AMC	gingipain and other endopeptidases
D-phenylalanine-AMC	D-Phe-AMC	D-phenyalanine aminopeptidase
L-phenylalanine-AMC	L-Phe-AMC	L-phenylalanine-aminopeptidase
Ornithine-AMC	Orn-AMC	ornithine aminopeptidase

Figures and Legends

Figure 1: Saturation curves for six substrates measured using the single-cuvette reader

methodology at each of six depths. Dark circles indicate "live" samples, open triangles

indicate autoclaved controls. Lines indicate nonlinear least-squares fits to the Michaelis-

Menten rate law. Substrate abbreviations are given in the column headings and are

defined in Table 1. Sediment depths are listed on row headings in centimeters below

sediment-water interface.

Fig 2: V_{max} and K_m values, shown individually with error bars indicating standard error of

the nonlinear least-squares estimate, and collectively in a box-and-whiskers plot.

Substrates sharing a letter are not significantly different according to one-way ANOVA

of $\log_{10}$-transformed data with Tukey HSD post-hoc analysis (V_{max}), or Kruskal-Wallis

test with Tukey HSD post-hoc analysis (K_m).

Fig 3: K_m values of extracellular peptidases as a function of depth. Details of substrates

and the peptidases they correspond to are in Table 1. Error bars represent the standard

deviation of replicate samples. Colored lines represent a linear least-squares regression

for each substrate. The black line and gray shading represent linear regression and 95%

confidence interval for all substrates taken together.

Fig 4: a.) Ratio of v_0 for D-phenylalanine aminopeptidase: L-phenylalanine

aminopeptidase and b.) L-ornithine aminopeptidase : L-phenylalanine aminopeptidase.

The linear regressions are given by D-Phe-AP:L-Phe-AP = 5.60 (± 1.87) × 10^{-3} × depth +

0.146 (± 0.067) and L-Orn-AP:L-Phe-AP = 2.26 (± 0.89) × 10^{-3} × depth + 0.451 (±

0.326)

Figure 5: a.) The sum of all peptidase Vmax values, relative to the value at 4.5 cm, versus

sediment depth. Error bars represent propagated error of the estimate of V_{max} for each

substrate. b.) Summed V_{max} relative to cell count. Error bars represent propagated error

from summed Vmax and cell counts, and is dominated by cell count uncertainty. c.)

Organic carbon oxidation rates modeled from sulfate and methane profiles. d.) Summed

V_{max} relative to modeled carbon oxidation rates. Error bars represent error in summed

V_{max} relative to organic carbon oxidation rates, for which uncertainty was not modeled.

Fig 6: A.) Frequency of reads for genes of various classes of extracellular peptidases that

were associated with signal peptidases, relative to all genes for extracellular peptidases at

that depth. SRZ, SMTZ, and MRZ correspond to "sulfate reducing zone" (8-12 cmbsf),

"sulfate-methane transition zone" (24-32 cmbsf) and "methane-rich zone" (24-28 cmbsf)

respectively. B.) Relative abundance of phyla in bins at each depth. Only the 10 most

abundant phyla at each depth are shown. The orange SMTZ points represent the average

of two SMTZ samples, taken ~500 m apart from each other, and error bars represent the

range of the two sites.

Supplemental Information

784   Supplemental file: annotations of all peptidases included in this manuscript.