1 Biological Sciences: Evolution

3 Topological features of gene regulatory networks predict patterns of natural

4 diversity in environmental response

David L. Des Marais[1]

Rafael F. Guerrero[2]

Jesse R. Lasky[3]

Samuel V. Scarpino[4,5]

1. Arnold Arboretum and Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology,

Harvard University. 1300 Centre St. Boston, MA. 20131.

2. Department of Biology, Indiana University. Jordan Hall 142. Bloomington, IN. 47405

3. Department of Biology, Pennsylvania State University. 408 Life Sciences Building.

University Park, PA. 16802.

4. Department of Mathematics & Statistics and Vermont Complex Systems Center,

University of Vermont. 210 Colchester Ave, Burlington, VT. 05405

5. Santa Fe Institute. 1399 Hyde Park Rd, Santa Fe, NM. 87501.

Corresponding authors:

David L. Des Marais (desmarais@fas.harvard.edu)

Samuel V. Scarpino (samuel.v.scarpino@uvm.edu)

Keywords: gene by environment interaction, gene regulatory network, *Arabidopsis thaliana*,

abiotic stress, drought, cold

Abstract

Molecular interactions affect the evolution of complex traits. For instance, adaptation may

be constrained by pleiotropic or epistatic effects, both of which will be reflected in the

structure of molecular interaction networks. To date, empirical studies investigating the

role of molecular interactions in phenotypic evolution have been idiosyncratic, offering no

clear patterns. Here, we investigated the network topology of genes putatively involved in

local adaptation to two abiotic stressors—drought and cold—in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Our

findings suggest that the gene-interaction topologies for both cold and drought stress

response are non-random, with genes that show genetic variation in drought response

(GxE) being significantly more peripheral and cold response genes being significantly more

central than genes not involved in either response. We suggest that the observed topologies

reflect different constraints on the genetic pathways involved in the assayed phenotypes.

The approach presented here may inform predictive models linking genetic variation in

molecular signaling networks with phenotypic variation, specifically traits involved in

environmental response.

Significance Statement

Our study focuses on genes whose transcriptional activity exhibits genetic variation in

response to the environment, or "GxE." GxE is a widely observed phenomenon of critical

importance to understanding the genotype-to-phenotype map, the evolution of natural

populations, medical genetics, population response to climate change, and agricultural

improvement. We investigated expression GxE in plant responses to two abiotic cues: cold

and drought. We found that genes showing genetically variable response to cold stress are

centrally located in regulatory networks whereas genes showing genetically variable

response to drought stress are peripherally located in regulatory networks. This result

suggests that selection is presented with vastly different mutational landscapes for shaping

evolutionary or breeding response to these two important climatic factors

Introduction

Genes do not function nor evolve in isolation. The transcriptional activities of genes in a

genome are often highly correlated with one another, forming hierarchical regulatory

networks comprised of functionally related modules (1). Within such networks, some

genes -- "nodes" – have stronger or more interactions -- "edges" – with one another than do

other genes. Because the effect size of mutations is strongly associated with their

evolutionary fate (2), the structural properties of genetic regulatory networks will likely

affect selection acting on individual component genes (3-5). Advances in high-throughput

molecular phenotyping and systems analysis have improved our ability to characterize

molecular interaction networks, providing the opportunity to address classic questions

about the evolution of genetic interactions.

Two related features of gene regulatory networks might affect the evolution of

individual genes within those networks. The first is the widespread observation that genes

vary in their number of interacting neighbor genes, perhaps even by orders of magnitude

(6). This feature -- the centrality or connectivity of a gene -- can be measured in many

different ways, including the number of directly interacting genes or the number of paths to

other genes that pass through a given gene (7). The second feature of networks that can

impact gene evolution is modularity, i.e. the degree to which the network is composed of

functionally related sub-networks of genes, or modules. Modules are often under the

transcriptional control of core proteins, high-level switches that regulate the module's

activity (8) using shared regulatory motifs among genes within it (9). Evidence for the

pleiotropic nature of core genes has been found through decades of developmental genetics

research, which identified putative master regulators of the level, timing, and location of

expression of tens to thousands of other genes (3, 8, 10).

Transcriptional regulation by core genes plays an important role in adaptive

responses to the environment (11, 12). Environmentally responsive transcripts are often

co-regulated as functional modules (13, 14), and in some instances the response of

particular genes to environmental cues may be characteristic of entire species or kingdoms

(15, 16). Considerable genetic variation in transcriptional response to environment --

expression Genotype by Environment interaction, eGxE -- has also been identified within

species (17). At the molecular level, eGxE may be controlled by genetic variants acting in

cis, e.g. by SNP or presence-absence variants in promoter motifs, or by genetic variants

acting in trans, such as transcription factors, small RNA species, or a number of other

regulatory factors upstream of genes showing eGxE. Genetic variants affecting eGxE are of

particular interest because GxE represents the mutational substrate for the evolution

environmental response (18, 19) and because GxE for fitness is required for local

adaptation (20).

In the present study, we explore two hypotheses for how environmentally

responsive regulatory networks evolve and might thereby be involved in local adaptation

to environment. The first hypothesis, that eGxE is driven by genetic variation in core

transcriptional regulatory proteins, arises from the observation that suites of traits often

show high genetic correlation ((21); in this context, "traits" could be either individual

transcripts or higher-level physiological or developmental phenotypes). Genetic variants

in one or a small number of regulatory genes could therefore have considerable

downstream consequences, both positive and negative with respect to transcription level,

trait expression, and fitness. This model predicts that eGxE genes would have relatively

high network connectivity and, by extension, be clustered in relatively discrete functional

modules. The second hypothesis posits that eGxE could be primarily driven by variation in

genes located peripherally in transcriptional networks, which are expected to have smaller

effect sizes and reduced deleterious pleiotropy. Variation in peripheral genes could

therefore allow natural selection to "fine-tune" environmental response by changing only a

small number of expression or higher-order traits. While these are not mutually exclusive

hypotheses, their relative importance in nature has not been established.

Here, we extend earlier work assessing genetic variation in transcriptional activity

during acclimation to cold (22) and soil drying (23) in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. We predict

different patterns for the genes associated with each environmental response based on our

previous observations. The sequence conservation in environmentally responsive

promoter motifs among cold eGxE genes in Arabidopsis (24) leads us to predict that cold

acclimation eGxE genes will be clustered and highly connected. The conserved patterns of

nucleotide diversity imply that *cis*-regulatory elements of eGxE genes for cold are

evolutionarily conserved and that expression diversity is controlled by genetic diversity in

upstream regulators such as transcription factors. These transcription factors, acting as

core regulators, would cause the coexpression of large sets of eGxE genes that would be

observed as a highly connected network. Conversely, we predict that drought eGxE genes

will be located peripherally in networks because these genes show evidence of adaptive *cis*-

regulatory variation (24). This predominant role of *cis* variants suggests that most genes

involved in drought local adaptation have small *trans* effects and are therefore likely to

have low connectivities in the coexpression network. By extension, we predict that the

relative contribution of cis- and trans- associated expression variation indicates the

structure of response to environment across a molecular network.

Results and Discussion

Stress-responsive genes are non-randomly distributed in a transcriptional co-expression

network

We first tested the hypothesis that genes whose expression responds to environmental

gradients are non-randomly distributed in a transcriptional regulatory network.

Specifically, we assessed the positions of stress-responsive genes in a co-expression

network estimated for *A. thaliana* by Feltus et al. ((25); hereafter "Feltus network"). We

take stress-responsive genes from a previous analyses of two experimental datasets: our

"cold" dataset comes from Lasky et al ((24) a re-analysis of Hannah et al. (22)), while our

"drought" dataset comes from Des Marais et al. (23). Briefly, the approach taken by Lasky

et al. (24) and Des Marais et al. (23) was to partition variance in gene expression level

among the effects of genotype (inbred natural accession), environment (response to

experimental treatment), and their interaction ("eGxE"). In the context of this paper, stress-

responsive genes are those classified as "eGxE", which show a genetically variable response

to environmental changes, as well as genes that show a similar pattern of response across

natural accessions ("cold-response" or "drought-response" genes).

The Feltus network was reconstructed by aggregating data from 7105 published

microarray experiments differing in environment, tissue, and genotype; this network

hypothesis is therefore a meaningful summary of the transcriptional relationships among

genes in diverse environmental settings and genomic backgrounds. For each gene (node) in

the network, we calculated the degree of the node, which measures the number of

neighboring nodes in the network, as well as the centrality if the node. Centrality of a node

estimates the number of paths between other nodes that pass through this node; here, we

present node centrality as eigenvector centrality. A gene will have a high eigenvector

centrality if it is both well connected itself and if its neighbors are also well connected (see

Methods and Newman (26) for more details). We obtained qualitatively similar results

with alternative centrality metrics, e.g., degree, betweeness, and closeness centrality (see

Supplement).

For both data sets, genes showing significant eGxE were non-randomly distributed

with respect to network degree and centrality. Drought eGxE genes had lower degrees

(Figure 1a) [median for drought: eGxE = 4; non- eGxE = 13], i.e. had fewer connections to

other genes, and were less central (Figure 1b) when compared to non- eGxE genomic

controls. Cold eGxE genes exhibited the opposite effect, having higher degree (Figure 1c)

[median cold: eGxE = 38; non- eGxE = 11] and being more centrally located (Figure 1d)

compared to genomic controls. Statistical significance was determined by selecting a

random subset of genes equal in size to the genes showing eGxE and then calculating their

degree and centrality (see Supplement). Out of 10,000 permutations, we did not observe a

single set of genes with more extreme low (drought) or high (cold) distributions of degree

and eigenvector centrality, corresponding to a p-value of 10^{-4}. Interestingly, cold eGxE

genes had higher average connectivity than that of cold or drought response (environment)

genes, of which we have hypothesized represent the conserved environmental response of

a species (23, 24) (see Supplement).

To verify the robustness of our results, we confirmed the non-random distribution

of genes using community detection. The above analysis using the Feltus network makes

the assumption that all genes in the network are equally likely to participate in

environmental response. However, because certain pathways *must* be involved in the

phenotypic response to drought or cold, it is possible that the appropriate null distribution

should be constructed using nearby genes (e.g. those with strong co-expression in the

Feltus network). To explore this possible statistical artifact, we performed standard

community detection using the leading eigenvector method (27) as implemented in the R

package igraph v.1.0.1 (28) on the Feltus network and subdivided the graph into sets of

genes that are densely connected among themselves and loosely connected to other parts

of the gene co-expression network. Averaging the results across all detected communities,

with significance again determined by permutation test, we recover the same pattern seen

in the global network: Cold eGxE genes have higher degree (cold eGxE genes had a median

of 34 more connections than non eGxE genes) and a significantly higher median

eigenvector centrality (median 0.14 above non eGxE), while drought eGxE genes have

lower degree (cold eGxE genes had a median of 16 fewer connections than non eGxE genes)

and had a significantly lower eigenvector centrality (median 0.04 below non eGxE). A

similar result was found using the subnetworks (their "GILs") previously constructed by

Feltus (see Supplement).

We further validated this result by performing iterative out-of-sample model

validation. Briefly, we randomly selected 80% of genes in the network and constructed a

generalized linear model with a binomial error distribution (i.e. a logistic regression) to

predict genes as eGxE based solely on their degree and eigenvector centrality. We then

predicted the eGxE state for the remaining 20% of genes and recorded the error. We

repeated this procedure 1,000 times for both cold and drought. Assuming a threshold for

accurate classification of 5%, we were able to correctly classify 95.4% of genes for cold and

77.0% of genes for drought. These results accommodate classification errors for both eGxE

and non- eGxE genes, which means that for cold we were able to correctly classify nearly

every gene included in the co-expression network as being eGxE based solely on its degree

and eigenvector centrality.

eGxE genes show modular distribution that differs between environments

We next asked whether the non-random distribution of node connectivity of eGxE

genes reflects their membership in particular sub-communities, or modules, of interacting

genes as identified using our community detection approach, described above.

Interestingly, both the cold and drought eGxE genes were non-randomly distributed with

respect to the sub-communities defined using our community detection approach. For

cold, 32.5% of all eGxE genes exist within a single, large sub-community containing 605

genes (Figure 2a) and an additional 26.5% of cold eGxE genes are found in a second large

sub-community containing 425 genes. In contrast, for drought, the two sub-communities

with highest accumulation of eGxE genes together contain only 18% of the total number of

eGxE genes (Figure 2b shows the larger of these two). Moreover, drought eGxE are

statistically over-represented in five small sub-communities comprised of between 10 and

100 members (Figure 3b), while cold eGxE genes are clustered in a few large communities

(Figure 3a). The membership of eGxE genes in sub-communities of differing size

recapitulates our earlier result: cold eGxE genes tend to be functionally connected to many

other genes, while genes involved in drought response tend to be in peripheral network

positions.

To test the hypothesis that the sub-communities with diverging patterns of

expression eGxE reflect natural variation in function, we took the genes in the two sub-

communities with the most overrepresentation in cold and in drought response and tested

for enrichment of gene ontology (GO) annotations. We found 116 significant GO terms

enriched in the most over-represented cold eGxE sub-community. The top seven terms

were all related to photosynthesis and related processes, and the next two terms were for

response to abiotic stimulus and response to cold (Table S3). Altered primary metabolism

is frequently observed during cold acclimation, in part due to the accumulation of sugars as

cryoprotectants (29, 30), so this eGxE may reflect that some of the sampled accessions

modify metabolism during cold response to a different degree than do other accessions. We

found 89 significant GO terms enriched in the most over-represented drought eGxE sub-

community. The top term and many of the subsequent terms were for immune and defense

responses (Table S4; many genes, particularly kinases, annotated as immune and defense

responses also show responses to abiotic stress (31)). Previously, we found that drought

eGxE genes showed very few significant functional enrichments using a genome-wide test

for statistical enrichment (23), suggesting that the network-informed approach used here

may afford additional statistical power to detect functional patterns in these high-

dimensional datasets.

The evolution of gene expression response to the environment

Previously, we demonstrated an important role of cis-regulatory variants

underlying diversity of environmental response among natural genotypes of Arabidopsis

(24). Natural variation in response to drought showed different genomic patterns than did

natural variation in response to cold, suggesting that natural selection may affect different

parts of the transcriptional regulatory networks for these two complex traits. Specifically,

the proximal promoters of genes showing eGxE for drought had significantly higher

nucleotide diversity and significantly higher among-genotype variation in key drought-

responsive promoter motifs (abscisic acid responsive elements, ABREs) when compared to

genome averages (24). These earlier observations for eGxE drought genes are consistent
with the results presented here: drought eGxE genes are in smaller modules and are
relatively lowly connected to other genes, suggesting that genetic variation in expression
response to the environment is controlled locally, possibly by a large number of cis-acting
variants. This architecture may permit functionally diverse modules to act independently
from one another, i.e. showing environmental response in only some genotypes (32). Our
results may also explain why expression QTL (eQTL) studies of drought response identify a
preponderance of cis- acting eQTL and few trans- eQTL (33, 34).

Collectively, these observations suggest two, non-exclusive, hypotheses for how
natural populations of plants adapt to variation in soil water content. First, diverse
populations and species acclimate to transient soil drying stress in diverse ways -- via
changes in growth, transpiration, leaf area-volume ratios, timing of reproduction, cell wall
composition, and synthesis of various osmoprotectants and chaperonins, to name but a few
(35). In this model, the modular regulatory architecture observed here reflects functionally
different transcriptional modules driving these different physiological responses. The
extent to which such physiological alterations are under independent or common genetic
control is presently unknown. Second, because most plants likely experience fluctuations in
water availability on a daily or seasonal basis (36-38), plants must maintain some degree of
physiological response to drying. Conditionally-active regulatory alleles may therefore
allow for fine-tuning of response because they could provide fitness benefits in one
environment without deleterious consequences in second environment ("yield-drag";
(39)). Indeed, cis-acting eGxE variants for drought response are predominantly variance-
changing (i.e. conditionally neutral or differentially sensitive to conditions) rather than
direction-changing (33). Moreover, the vast majority of QTL showing GxE in studies of
abiotic stress are also conditionally neutral, or show differential sensitivity to
environmental cues (17).

Nucleotide diversity in the proximal promoters of cold eGxE genes is also elevated
but, in contrast to drought genes, not to a degree that is statistically significant compared to
genome averages (24). The promoters of cold eGxE genes exhibit lower among-genotype
turnover of known cold-responsive motifs compared to genome averages (specifically, the
c-repeat binding factor/dehydration responsive elements, CRT/DREs). Along with our

observation that cold eGxE genes are highly connected in the transcriptional regulatory

network, these patterns of sequence diversity suggest that the transcriptional control of

eGxE for cold acclimation is driven by genetic variants in upstream regulatory features,

such as transcription factors. Natural variants in transcription factors are expected to have

larger mutational effect size due to the regulatory influence of these proteins on

downstream genes.

It is currently unknown what drives the apparent difference in the genetic

architecture of natural variation in response to drought compared to response to cold.

While daily or seasonal drying stress is likely experienced by *A. thaliana* plants to some

degree across the species range, severe cold stress is likely only experienced by

populations at higher latitudes or altitudes. A recent study demonstrated that multiple,

apparently independent, loss of function mutations in key transcriptional regulators of

cold-responsive genes are associated with geographic variation in winter temperature

across the range of *A. thaliana* (40). The activity of these CBF transcription factors shows a

strong positive correlation with the capacity of *A. thaliana* natural accessions to acclimate

to cold (22, 41, 42). Perhaps cold-associated selective gradients involve sharp transitions

(along spatial and climatic gradients) in optimal phenotypes, with only a few fitness optima

for cold tolerance – manifested as cold-tolerant, functionally CBF versus cold-intolerant,

non-functional CBF accessions. By contrast, drought tolerance may be subject to smoother,

more gradual selective gradients, for which many smaller-effect variants allow fine-tuning

of response.

Conclusions

Our results suggest that topological relationships among genes in transcriptional

regulatory networks affect how natural populations adapt to the multivariate environment.

A promising extension of our approach is to link information regarding the topological

features of a given gene – its connectivity, in the case presented here, as well as its

membership in particular functional modules – with information associating genetic

variants with phenotype from genetic mapping. Such a combined analysis could clarify how

putatively functional variants identified via association mapping result in phenotypic

variation via cellular and physiological mechanisms (43).

From an applied perspective, understanding how natural variation affects

transcriptional regulatory networks may inform decisions about how to improve

agricultural performance in challenging environments. We note that breeding for improved

performance under soil drying has been quite challenging (44); our results suggest that

targeting specific physiological mechanisms by manipulating genes at the "tips" of

regulatory networks, shown herein to exhibit drought eGxE, may be a more fruitful strategy

than targeting central regulatory molecules which may exhibit undesirable pleiotropic

effects ("yield drag;" e.g. (45)).

Methods

Co-expression and regulatory networks

We used two published datasets on gene expression interactions in in Arabidopsis thaliana.

The first dataset was global (i.e. genome-wide and not restricted to certain functions or

pathways). We used the global co-expression network and 86 subcomponent genome-wide

gene coexpression networks created by (25). The authors first obtained 7,105 publicly

available ATH1 Affymetrix microarray samples and applied a thresholding algorithm

(random matrix theory) to generate a global network containing 3,297 nodes and 129,134

edges. These nodes represent 16% of Arabidopsis genes on the ATH1.

A complication from this approach arises because of interactions between genotype,

expression networks and environment (including ontogeny, tissue, or cell type; (46)). Thus

a prior step of partitioning expression data may help to account for some of this

heterogeneity and better reveal co-expression networks. Through k-means partitioning,

Feltus et al. (25) generated 86 gene interaction layers (GILs), i.e. 86 smaller, non-global

networks, that together included 19,588 genes, which represents 95% of Arabidopsis genes

on the ATH1.

Data: transcriptomic responses to cold and drought stress

We used two published studies on natural variation in transcriptomic response to cold (22)

and drought (23). Both studies used the ATH1 microarray to estimate genome-wide

transcript abundance. Each study subjected a diverse panel of 9 (22) or 17 (23) natural

accessions to a cold or drought treatment, respectively. Lasky et al. (2014) re-analyzed the

Hannah et al. dataset to match the analyses by Des Marais et al. In brief, those authors

modeled transcript abundance using factorial ANOVA including Accession (i.e. Genotype),

Treatment, and their interaction and identified significantly differentially expressed gene

models at pFDR of 0.05.

Network Methods

We quantified the degree to which a gene was central using four standard network metrics,

1.) degree (raw number of connections), 2.) closeness centrality (the inverse of the average

shortest path between the focal gene and all other genes in the network), 3.) betweenness

centrality (number of shortest paths between all pairs of nodes in the network, which pass

through the focal node), and 4.) eigenvector centrality. Eigenvector centrality, which is

closely related to Google's PageRank algorithm (47) measures a node's centrality based on

both the node's own position in the network and the position of that node's neighbors in

the network. More specifically, a node's eigenvector centrality will be proportional to the

average centralities of its neighbors (26)

To identify community structure and assign genes to communities, we used the leading

eigenvalue algorithm.   Our goal was to determine whether there are groups of genes,

which are more connected to each other than they are to other genes, referred to as

community detection (48). A variety of methods exist for performing community detection,

but we selected the leading eigenvalue approach because it is computationally efficient on

large networks. Briefly, the adjacency matrix of the network is corrected based on the

expected number of edges in a random graph, using the configuration model, then the

distribution of eigenvalues and the loading of nodes onto eigenvectors can be used to 1.)

determine whether evidence exists for the presence of modular communities and 2.)

assuming such structure exists, assign genes to communities, see Newman 2006 for more

details. All of the analyses, i.e. calculation of centrality measures and community detection,

was performed using the R package igraph v.1.0.1 (28).

Enrichment analyses

We tested whether genes with multiple types of expression response to abiotic stress

exhibited non-random network metrics. We also tested whether genes exhibiting high Fst

exhibited non-random network metrics. Determining whether a gene has a higher value for

any of these metrics is not appropriate for parametric stats. Therefore, we conducted

permutations to generate null expectations. The natural accessions used in this study all

show varying degrees of sequence divergence and gene gain/loss as compared to the

reference Col-0 genome, which was used to generate the microarrays used in these

experiments. This variance could generate spurious "gene-by-environment interaction" for

gene expression. We therefore used a strict filtering scheme to exclude genes that had

polymorphisms in ATH1 probe sites (23). In order to assess the biological function of

regulatory communities, we first identified communities containing the greatest

proportion of GxE genes for each abiotic stressor. We then tested gene ontology (GO) term

enrichment in each of these communities (AgriGO).

Acknowledgements

We thank R. Hopkins and E. Josephs for comments on the manuscript. T. Juenger and J. G.

Monroe provided stimulating discussion on the genetic architecture of abiotic stress

response and expression GxE. This work is a product of the "Molecular Networks and

Evolution Across Biological Scales" workshop supported by the Santa Fe Institute.

References

1.   Wagner GP & Zhang J (2011) The pleiotropic structure of the genotype–phenotype

391   map: the evolvability of complex organisms. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 12(3):204-213.

392   2.   Fisher RA (1930) *The Genetical Theory of Natural Selection* (Clarendon Press,

393   Oxford).

394   3.   Erwin DH & Davidson EH (2009) The evolution of hierarchical gene regulatory

395   networks. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 10:141-148.

396   4.   Jeong H, Mason SP, Barabasi AL, & Oltvai ZN (2001) Lethality and centrality in

397   protein networks. *Nature* 411:41.

398   5.   Rausher MD, Miller RE, & Tiffin P (1999) Patterns of Evolutionary Rate Variation
399   Among Genes of the Anthocyanin Biosynthetic Pathway. *Molecular Biology and*
400   *Evolution* 16(2):266-274.

401   6.   Guelzim N, Bottani S, Bourgine P, & Kepes F (2002) Topological and causal structure
402   of the yeast transcriptional regulatory network. *Nat Genet* 31(1):60-63.

403   7.   Lin W-h, Liu W-c, & Hwang M-j (2009) Topological and organizational properties of
404   the products of house-keeping and tissue-specific genes in protein-protein
405   interaction networks. *BMC systems biology* 3:32.

406   8.   Wilkins AS (2002) *The evolution of developmental pathways* (Sinauer, Sunderland,
407   MA).

408   9.   Wray GA*, et al.* (2003) The evolution of transcriptional regulation in eukaryotes.
409   *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 20(9).

410   10.   Wagner GP, Pavlicev M, & Cheverud JM (2007) The road to modularity. *Nat Rev*
411   *Genet* 8(12):921-931.

412   11.   Gibson G (2008) The environmental contribution to gene expression profiles.
413   *Nature Reviews Genetics* 9:575-581.

414   12.   Weake VM & Workman JL (2010) Inducible gene expression: diverse regulatory
415   mechanisms. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 11:426-437.

416   13.   Fowler S, Cook D, & Thomashow MF (2007) The CBF cold-response pathway. *Plant*
417   *Abiotic Stress*, eds Jenks MA & Hasegawa PM (Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester), pp 71-
418   99.

419   14.   Luscombe NM*, et al.* (2004) Genomic analysis of regulatory network dynamics
420   reveals large topological changes. *Nature* 431:308-312.

421   15.   McCarroll SA*, et al.* (2004) Comparing genomic expression patterns across species
422   identifies shared transcriptional profile in aging. *Nat Genet* 36(2):197-204.

423   16.   Carvallo MA*, et al.* (2011) A comparison of the low temperature transcriptomes and
424   CBF regulons of three plant species that differ in freezing tolerance: *Solanum*
425   *commersonii*, *Solanum tuberosum*, and *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *J Exp Bot* 62(11):3807-
426   3819.

427   17.   Des Marais DL, Hernandez KH, & Juenger TE (2013) Genotype-by-environment
428   interaction and plasticity: exploring genomic responses of plants to the abiotic
429   environment. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* 44:5-29.
430   18.   Schlichting CD & Pigliucci M (1998) *Phenotypic Evolution: A Reaction Norm*
431   *Perspective* (Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA).
432   19.   Via S & Lande R (1985) Genotype-environment interaction and the evolution of
433   phenotypic plasticity. *Evolution* 39:505-523.
434   20.   Kawecki TJ & Ebert D (2004) Conceptual issues in local adaptation. *Ecology Letters*
435   7:1225-1241.
436   21.   Lynch M & Walsh B (1998) *Genetics and Analysis of Quantitative Traits* (Sinauer,
437   Sunderland, MA).
438   22.   Hannah MA*, et al.* (2006) Natural genetic variation of freezing tolerance in
439   Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol* 142(1):98-112.
440   23.   Des Marais DL*, et al.* (2012) Physiological genomics of response to soil drying in
441   diverse Arabidopsis accessions. *Plant Cell* 24(3):893-914.
442   24.   Lasky JR*, et al.* (2014) Natural variation in abiotic stress responsive gene expression
443   and local adaptation to climate in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Molecular Biology and*
444   *Evolution* 31:2283-2296.
445   25.   Feltus FA, Ficklin SP, Gibson SM, & Smith MC (2013) Maximizing capture of gene co-
446   expression relationships through pre-clustering of input expression samples: An
447   *Arabidopsis* case study. *BMC systems biology* 7:44.
448   26.   Newman ME (2008) The mathematics of networks. *The new palgrave encyclopedia*
449   *of economics* 2(2008):1-12.
450   27.   Newman ME (2006) Finding community structure in networks using the
451   eigenvectors of matrices. *Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys* 74(3 Pt 2):036104.
452   28.   Csardi G & Nepusz T (2006) The igraph software package for complex network
453   research. *International Journal of Complex Systems* 1695(5):1-9.
454   29.   Thomashow M (1999) Plant cold acclimation: freezing tolerance genes and
455   regulatory mechanisms. *Annual Reviews of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular*
456   *Biology* 50:571-599.

457   30.   Colton-Gagnon K, *et al.* (2014) Comparative analysis of the cold acclimation and
458   freezing tolerance capacities of seven diploid *Brachypodium distachyon* accessions.
459   *Ann Bot* 113(4):681-693.

460   31.   Marshall A, *et al.* (2012) Tackling drought stress: receptor-like kinases present new
461   approaches. *Plant Cell* 24(6):2262-2278.

462   32.   Draghi JA, Parsons TL, Wagner GP, & Plotkin JB (2010) Mutational robustness can
463   facilitate adaptation. *Nature* 463(7279):353-355.

464   33.   Cubillos FA, *et al.* (2014) Extensive cis-regulatory variation robust to environmental
465   perturbation in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 26(11):4298-4310.

466   34.   Lowry DB, *et al.* (2013) Expression quantitative trait locus mapping across water
467   availability environments reveals contrasting associations with genomic features in
468   Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 25(9):3266-3279.

469   35.   Chaves MM, Maroco JP, & Pereira J (2003) Understanding plant responses to
470   drought -- from genes to the whole plant. *Functional Plant Biology* 30:239-264.

471   36.   Richards CL, Rosas U, Banta J, Bhambhra N, & Purugganan MD (2012) Genome-wide
472   patterns of Arabidopsis gene expression in nature. *PLoS Genet* 8(4):e1002662.

473   37.   Chaves MM, *et al.* (2002) How Plants Cope with Water Stress in the Field?
474   Photosynthesis and Growth. *Annals of Botany* 89(7):907-916.

475   38.   Plessis A, *et al.* (2015) Multiple abiotic stimuli are integrated in the regulation of rice
476   gene expression under field conditions. *Elife* 4.

477   39.   Mickelbart MV, Hasegawa PM, & Bailey-Serres J (2015) Genetic mechanisms of
478   abiotic stress tolerance that translate to crop yield stability. *Nat Rev Genet*
479   16(4):237-251.

480   40.   Monroe JG, *et al.* (2016) Adaptation to warmer climates by parallel functional
481   evolution of CBF genes in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Mol Ecol* 25(15):3632-3644.

482   41.   Gehan MA, *et al.* (2015) Natural variation in the C-repeat binding factor cold
483   response pathway correlates with local adaptation of Arabidopsis ecotypes. *Plant J*
484   84(4):682-693.

485   42.   Gery C, *et al.* (2011) Natural variation in the freezing tolerance of *Arabidopsis*
486   *thaliana*: effects of RNAi-induced CBF depletion and QTL localisation vary among
487   accessions. *Plant Sci* 180(1):12-23.

488   43.   Rockman MV (2008) Reverse engineering the genotype-phenotype map with
489   natural genetic variation. *Nature* 456:738-744.
490   44.   Passioura J (2010) Scaling up: the essence of effective agricultural research.
491   *Functional Plant Biology* 37:585-591.
492   45.   Kasuga M, Liu Q, Miura S, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, & Shinozaki K (1999) Improving
493   plant drought, salt and freezing tolerance by gene transfer of a single stress-
494   inducible transcription factor. *Nature Biotechnology* 17:287-291.
495   46.   Fukushima A*, et al.* (2012) Exploring tomato gene functions based on coexpression
496   modules using graph clustering and differential coexpression approaches. *Plant
497   Physiol* 158(4):1487-1502.
498   47.   Langville AN & Meyer CD (2011) *Google's PageRank and beyond: The science of
499   search engine rankings.* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ).
500   48.   Fortunato S & Hric D (2016) Community detection in networks: A user guide.
501   *arXiv*:1608.00163.

Figure Legends
Figure 1 – Expression GxE genes are non-randomly distributed in the Arabidopsis gene
regulatory network. As a group, eGxE genes in drought conditions have significantly lower
degree (A) and eigenvector centrality (C) than do genomic controls. As a group, eGxE genes
in cold condition have significantly higher degree (B) and eigenvector centrality (D) than
do genomic controls. The solid line represents the median, colored boxes indicate the inter-
quartile range, and whiskers mark the entire range of the data.
510

511   Figure 2 – Graphical representation of two sub-communities of the Arabidopsis gene
512   regulatory network showing the sub-community with the highest over-representation of
513   cold eGxE genes (A) and the sub-community with the highest over-representation of
514   drought eGxE genes (B). Colored circles indicate genes showing significant eGxE at
515   pFDR=0.05 from (23, 24).
516

Figure 3 – Cold (A) and drought (B) eGxE genes have different distributions across sub-
communities of the gene regulatory network. Cold eGxE genes are overrepresented in two

large sub-communities, each containing over 400 total genes. Five smaller sub-

communities (<100 genes) are enriched for drought eGxE genes. The vertical axis is the

difference between the observed and expected number of eGXE genes in the community,

relative to the total number of genes in the community. Each sub-community in the analysis

is shown with a circle (only subcommunities with ten or more genes are included). Green

circles indicate sub-communities in which eGxE genes are significantly overrepresented,

while orange circles represent subcommunities with significant underrepresentation of

eGxE genes (Fisher's exact test; FDR=0.05).

Figures

529

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3