**Structure and culture of the gut microbiome of the Mormon cricket *Anabrus simplex***

**(Orthoptera: Tettigoniidae)**

Chad C. Smith

Department of Integrative Biology

University of Texas at Austin

1 University Station C0990

Austin, TX 78724

chad.smith123@gmail.com

Robert B. Srygley

USDA-Agricultural Research Service

Northern Plains Area Research Laboratory

1500 N. Central Avenue

Sidney MT 59270

Frank Healy

Department of Biology

Trinity University

1 Trinity Pl.

San Antonio, TX 78212

Karthikeyan Swaminath

Department of Integrative Biology

University of Texas at Austin

1 University Station C0990

Austin, TX 78724

Header: Structure of the Mormon cricket gut microbiome

Keywords: gut microbiome, spatial variation, culture, Lactobacillaceae, Enterobacteriaceae,

Orthoptera

**ABSTRACT**

The gut microbiome of insects plays an important role in their ecology and evolution, participating in nutrient acquisition, immunity, and behavior. Microbial community structure within the gut is thought to be heavily influenced by differences among gut regions in morphology and physiology, which determine the niches available for microbes to colonize. We present a high-resolution analysis of the structure of the gut microbiome in the Mormon cricket *Anabrus simplex,* an insect known for its periodic outbreaks in the Western United States and nutrition-dependent mating system. We found the Mormon cricket microbiome was dominated by eleven bacterial phylotypes from the Lactobacillaceae, Enterobacteriaceae, and Streptococcaeae. While most of these were represented in all gut regions, there were marked differences in their relative abundance, with lactic-acid bacteria (Lactobacillaceae) more common in the foregut and midgut and enteric (Enterobacteriaceae) bacteria more common in the hindgut. Differences in community structure were driven by variation in the relative prevalence of three groups: a *Lactobacillus* phylotype in the foregut, *Pediococcus* lactic-acid bacteria in the midgut, and *Pantoea agglomerans*, an enteric bacterium, in the hindgut. These taxa have been shown to have beneficial effects on their hosts in insects or other animals by improving nutrition, increasing resistance to pathogens, and modulating social behavior. Phylogenetic analysis of 16s rRNA sequences from cultured isolates indicated low levels of divergence from sequences derived from plants and other insects, suggesting that these bacteria are likely to be frequently exchanged between Mormon crickets and the environment. Our study provides the foundation for future work on an economically important insect and emerging model for the study of how social interaction influence host-microbe symbiosis.

Insects are the most speciose and abundant taxa in the animal kingdom, playing a key ecological

role in many of the world's ecosystems. Symbioses between insects and their microbial

associates has undoubtedly contributed to their success, providing the capability to degrade

recalcitrant food items, supplementing nutrient-deficient diets, protecting them from their natural

enemies, and modulating the expression of social behavior (Moran *et al.*, 2008; Engel and

Moran, 2013; Douglas, 2015). Among the niches available to occupy within the host, the gut

houses the largest and most diverse microbiome in insects (Engel and Moran, 2013; Douglas,

2015) and other animals (Ley *et al.*, 2008; Cho and Blaser, 2012). Gut morphology and

physiology vary markedly along the alimentary tract in insects, resulting in an environmental

gradient that influences, and is influenced by, the microbial communities that populate it (Dillon

and Dillon, 2004; Engel and Moran, 2013; Brune and Dietrich, 2015).

The insect gut consists of three regions that are analogous to that in mammals, the

foregut, the midgut and the hindgut, each of which contributes to a different aspect of gut

function (Douglas, 2013). The foregut serves as the entry point for food, where it is stored in the

crop before passing through the proventriculus, a valve that can also be modified to mechanically

grind or and filter of food (Woodring and Lorenz, 2007; Douglas, 2013) and even microbes

(Lanan *et al.*, 2016). Digestion and absorption of nutrients begins at the midgut, which, in some

species, contains specialized crypts that house microbes that aid in insect nutrition (Kikuchi *et

al.*, 2005; Bistolas *et al.*, 2014). Host immune factors also have been shown to play an important

role in regulating of commensal microbes in the midgut (Ryu *et al.*, 2010; Buchon *et al.*, 2013),

some of which protect the host from pathogens (Forsgren *et al.*, 2010). Following the midgut is

the hindgut, which is comprised of the ileum, colon, and rectum. Malphigian tubules permeate

the anterior hindgut, depositing nitrogenous waste and other solutes from the hemocoel that can

provide nutrients for dense populations of microbes (Bignell, 1984). In some species, bristle-like

structures in the ileum (Woodring and Lorenz, 2007) and rectal papillae (Hunt and Charnley,

1981) provide attachment sites for microbes, some of which fix nitrogen (Tai *et al.*, 2016),

degrade recalcitrant plant polymers (Kaufman and Klug, 1991; Engel and Moran, 2013; Brune

and Dietrich, 2015), and prevent infection (Dillon and Charnley, 2002).

The Mormon cricket *Anabrus simplex* (Orthoptera: Tettigoniidae) is an economically

important shield-backed katydid distributed throughout the Western United States. Mormon

crickets can form dense aggregations that number millions of individuals spread over 10

kilometers long and several kilometers wide, feeding on forbes, grasses, and agricultural crops as

they march *en masse* in migratory bands across the landscape (Wakeland, 1959; MacVean,

1987). Mormon crickets are also emerging as a model for the study of how social interactions

and diet influence the microbiome (Smith *et al.*, 2016). Differences in population density are

linked to reproductive behavior, as in high density populations, protein-limited females compete

for access to males to gain access a proteinaceous "nuptial gift" males produce for females

during copulation (Gwynne, 1984). While consumption of male nuptial gifts by females does not

influence the composition of the microbiome, sexually inactive females experience a dramatic

decline in *Pediococcus* lactic-acid gut bacteria compared to sexually active females (Smith *et al.*,

2016). The mechanism underlying the change in lactic-acid bacteria is not known, however

lactic-acid bacteria are common associates of the alimentary tract in animals regarded for their

beneficial effects on immune function and nutrition in animals, including insects (Forsgren *et al.*,

2010; Storelli *et al.*, 2011; Erkosar *et al.*, 2015).

We characterize the structure of the gut microbiome of Mormon crickets and infer their

evolutionary relationships using a combination of culture-dependent and culture-independent

approaches. Our aim is to determine whether gut microbial communities are differentiated along

the alimentary tract and assess their potential to influence host function based on where they are

found and their known associations with other insects. We also establish methods for isolating

Mormon cricket gut microbiota in culture to permit future experimental manipulations of the gut

microbiome and build genomic resources to infer their evolution and function.

**METHODS**

*Spatial structure of the gut microbiome*

Mormon crickets were obtained from field (n=5) and laboratory-raised (n=8) collections. Wild

females were caught in EK Mountain (43°47'58"N, 106°50'31"W, 1752 m) near Kaycee,

Wyoming in the summer of 2014, immediately preserved in 100% ethanol, and stored at -80°C

until dissection. Laboratory-raised Mormon crickets were derived from eggs collected from

individuals caught in EK Mountain and fed a mixture of wheat bran, wheat germ, sunflower,

mixed bird seeds, tropical fish flakes, fresh Romaine lettuce (added daily), and water *ad libitum*.

Mormon crickets were dissected using flame-sterilized tools after rinsing in 1% bleach

for 3 minutes followed by two rinses in autoclaved distilled water to remove bacteria on

exoskeleton, DNA from the foregut (crop and proventriculus), midgut (ventriculus), ileum, and

rectum (Fig. 1) of laboratory-raised crickets was extracted with MoBio Powersoil[©] as in Smith et

al. (2016). Foregut (crop and proventriculus), midgut (ventriculus), and hindgut tissue (ileum and

rectum combined) from field-collected animals were bead-beat in a sterile 2ml screw cap tube

with 750 µl of 0.1mm silica-zircon beads (Biospec Products), two 3.2mm stainless steel beads

(Biospec Products) and 1 ml of sterile CTAB buffer (0.1M Tris, 1.4M NaCl, 20mM EDTA, 2%

PVP, 2 µl beta-mercaptanol, 20µl of 20mg/ml Proteinase-K). Tubes were bead beat at maximum

speed for 2 minutes (Mini Beadbeater-96, Biospec Products) and incubated overnight at 55°C.

RNAse (400µg, Qiagen) was added to the lysate and incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes. A

phenol-chloroform extraction with isopropanol precipitation was performed to isolate the DNA.

DNA extraction methods can influence the representation of bacterial taxa in 16s rRNA

metagenomic studies (Yuan *et al.*, 2012), however our aim here is not to make inferences about

differences between field and laboratory-raised animals. Instead we include the source of the

animal (field or laboratory) and its interaction with tissue type in all statistical analyses to assess

how the microbiome differs among gut regions exclusive of variation due to source/DNA

extraction method (see Statistics).

*Sequencing and Bioinformatics*

Library preparation was done by the Genome Sequencing and Analysis Facility at the University

of Texas at Austin using the NEBNext kit for Illumina. The variable V4 region of 16s rRNA

gene was amplified with universal primers (Hyb515F: 5'-GTGYCAGCMGCCGCGGTA -3',

Hyb806R: 5'-GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3') and sequenced on the Illumina Miseq V3

platform. DADA2 1.1.5 (Callahan *et al.*, 2016, 2) was used to process the raw sequencing data,

truncating reads when Illumina quality scores were less than two, removing sequences with a

maximum expected error of one, and removing sequences flagged as chimeric. Clustering was

then performed with DADA2 (Callahan *et al.*, 2016), specifying joint inference of sample

composition and sequence error rates (selfConsist=T). Taxonomy was then assigned with the

Greengenes 13.8 database at 97% identity. OTUs that were classified as unassigned,

mitochondria, or chloroplast, and those that comprised and average of less than 1% of the reads

recovered within a given Mormon cricket, were removed for analysis using phyloseq 1.16.2

(McMurdie and Holmes, 2013).

*Bacterial Abundance*

The density of bacteria from laboratory-raised Mormon crickets was measured using qPCR

following Powell et. al (2014). Universal 16S rRNA gene primers 27F (5'-

AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3') and 355R (5'-CTGCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT-3') were

used to amplify all copies of the 16S rRNA gene in tissue samples from laboratory (n=8) and

field caught individuals (n=8) on an Applied Biosystems ViiA7 (Life Technologies). Triplicate

20 ul reactions were used with 10 ul of 2x PowerSYBR master mix (Applied Biosystems), 0.4 ul

of each 10 mM primer and 5 ng of template DNA. PCR amplification was performed at 95°C for

10 minutes followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 seconds and 1 minute at 60°C. Quantification

of copy number was based on standard curves from amplification of the cloned target sequence

in a pGEM-T (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) vector (Powell *et al.*, 2014).

*Culturing*

Five female Mormon crickets were surface sterilized in 1% bleach for three minutes, rinsed

twice in sterile water and dissected using flame-sterilized tools. Gut tissue was homogenized for

10 seconds with a bead beater using autoclaved 3.2mm stainless steel beads in sterile PBS.

Homogenates were plated onto trypsin soy agar, brain heart infusion agar, nutrient agar, or Man–

Rogosa–Sharpe agar (BD), cultured in anaerobic or Campy (low $O_2$) Gaspak pouches (Becton,

Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, NJ) at 37°C for 24-48 hours, and individual colonies

passaged three times to obtain pure isolates.

DNA was extracted by boiling cells for 15 minutes in lysis buffer (100mM NaCl and

0.5% sarcosyl), adding an equal volume of 20% chelex, and boiling for 15 additional minutes.

16s rRNA amplicons were amplified with 27F (5'-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3') and

1492R (5'-GGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3') primers using Apex PCR master mix (Genesee

Scientific, San Diego, CA) with 35 cycles (95°C for 20 s, 52°C for 1 min 30 s and 72°C for 40

s). PCR products were cleaned up with Sera-mag beads (GE Healthcare Life Sciences,

Pittsburgh, PA) or ethanol precipitation and sequenced at the University of Texas at Austin on an

Applied Biosystems 3730XL DNA analyzer.

We compiled 16s rRNA sequences greater than 1.2kb long reported as sourced from

insect guts from NCBI Genbank, and used BLAST to find the closest matches to our Mormon

cricket isolates. *Pediococcus* and *Lactobacillus* sequences were aligned with pyNAST as

implemented in Qiime 1.9 (Caporaso *et al.*, 2010) using a curated alignment for *Lactobacillus*

(McFrederick *et al.*, 2013) as the reference template. The alignment was then manually edited

with Mesquite (Maddison and Maddison, 2016) and filtered to remove characters with less than

80% coverage across sequences using Qiime 1.9 (Caporaso *et al.*, 2010).  Sequences from the

Enterobacteriaceae were aligned with online implementation of the SILVA release 113 (Pruesse

*et al.*, 2012; Quast *et al.*, 2013), manually checked in Mesquite (Maddison and Maddison, 2016),

and filtered as above, with the additional removal of the top 10% most entropic (hypervariable)

base positions. The phylogenies were constructed using maximum likelihood with a GTR +

Gamma model for nucleotide evolution in RaxML 8.2.4 (Stamatakis, 2014), with 1000

bootstraps to assess branch support. Archaeopteryx 0.9916 (Han and Zmasek, 2009) was used to

visualize the tree and produce the figures.

*Phenotypic assays*

Fresh overnight cultures of all isolates were used for microscopic analysis. Lactobacillaceae

isolates were cultured in Man–Rogosa–Sharpe medium and Enterobacteriaceae were cultured in

nutrient broth or LB medium.  Biochemical tests were done following Bridson (1998).  Motility

was determined using SIM medium and microscopic examination of culture wet mounts. Man–

Rogosa–Sharpe or nutrient broth containing 1 g/L potassium nitrate was used for nitrate

reduction tests. Fermentation tests were done anaerobically in Man–Rogosa–Sharpe and nutrient

broth media with the addition of indicated sugars to 1% w/v final concentration.

*Statistics*

Analyses were performed in R 3.3.1 (R Core Development Team, 2013). OTU tables rarified at

1300 reads using phyloseq (McMurdie and Holmes, 2013), resulting in the exclusion of  two

hindgut sample from a field-caught female with a low number of reads from analysis. Alpha

diversity was compared among tissue types and between origin of subject (field vs. lab) with a

linear mixed model with the lme4 package (Bates *et al.*, 2013), entering the individual ID as a

random effect to account for within-subject correlations in diversity. Three metrics were

calculated: species richness, the Chao1 species richness estimator, and the Shannon-Weiner

diversity index. Post-hoc comparisons among gut regions was performed using a Tukey test as

implemented in the multcomp package (Hothorn *et al.*, 2008).

Beta diversity among gut tissue types and between animal source (field vs. lab) were

assessed with a distance-based redundancy analysis (db-RDA) as implemented in vegan 2.3

(Oksanen *et al.*, 2015), specifying Bray-Curtis distances. Prior to analysis, the relative abundance

of OTUs were calculated for each Mormon cricket and those that comprised less than 1% of the

sequences on average were discarded. Statistical significance of the terms in the db-RDA model

were determined by 999 permutations of the distance matrix as implemented in vegan, restricting

the permutations to within each individual to retain the nested structure of the data.

We assessed difference in the abundance of specific OTUs identified in the db-RDA

analysis in univariate analyses by fitting their abundance to a negative binomial generalized

linear mixed model using the lme4 package (Bates *et al.*, 2013), specifying the individual ID as

the random effect and the tissue type and animal source (field vs. lab) as fixed effects.

Likelihood ratio tests were used to determine the statistical significance of each factor using the

MASS package (Venables and Ripley, 2002). Goodness-of-fit was assessed by comparing the fit

of the data to a negative binomial distribution with a Chi-square test  (Faraway, 2006), and

homoscedasticity was assessed by examination of residual plots. P-values were adjusted for

multiple tests using the false discovery rate (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995).

**RESULTS**

*Spatial structure of the gut microbiome*

We recovered 11 dominant OTUs from field and lab-raised individuals, with the remaining 749

OTUs comprising <1% of the sequences from a given Mormon cricket (Fig. 2). Field and

laboratory-raised individuals shared 7 of the 11 OTUS, including the most abundant *Pediococcus*

*acidilactici* phylotype that varied with mating status in a previous study (*P. acidilactici* 102222;

Smith *et al.*, 2016). The remaining five shared OTUs were two Lactobacilliaceae (*Lactobacillus*

*sp* and *P. acidilactici* 2), two Enterobacteriaceae (*Pantoea agglomerans* and a *Klebsiella sp*) and

one Streptococcaceae (*Lactococcus garvieae*). Field Mormon crickets had three OTUS that were

not shared with laboratory-raised individuals, while lab-raised individuals had two OTUs that

were not shared with field individuals (Fig. 2). Guts from two laboratory individuals were almost

completely comprised of the enterobacterium *Pantoea agglomerans* (99.3% and 80.8% of reads

respectively), so we conducted our analysis with and without these individuals.

Species richness and diversity differed among gut regions and was higher in field

compared to lab-raised animals (Table 1, Fig. 3). There was no significant interaction between

collection source and tissue type (Table 1), indicating that differences in alpha diversity among

tissue types were shared between lab and field caught animals. We found that the midgut was the

most diverse part of the gut with two of the three measures of alpha diversity (species richness

and the Chao1 diversity estimator), while the hindgut and foregut had similar levels of richness

and diversity. The third metric (Shannon-Weiner) also found the foregut to be the least diverse

region, but differed in that the midgut and hindgut had similar levels of species diversity (Table

1, Fig 3).

The db-RDA analysis revealed that the structure of the gut microbiome also varied

among gut regions and between field and laboratory animals (Table 2, Fig. 4). The non-

significant interaction in this analysis indicates that the community structure among tissue types

differed in similar ways between field and laboratory individuals (Table 2). To determine which

members of the gut microbiome varied among gut regions, we ordinated the OTU scores from

db-RDA analyses of field and laboratory Mormon crickets (Fig. 5). Three groups of bacteria

appeared to separate along the gut axis: a *Lactobacillus sp.* lactic-acid bacterium associated with

in the foregut, *Pediococcus* lactic-acid bacteria were associated with the midgut, and *Pantoea*

*agglomerans*, an enterobacterium, was found in association with the hindgut. Inspection of the

plots from laboratory animals indicate that *Pantoea agglomerans* is more abundant in the rectum,

with the composition of the ileum, which is separated from the rectum by the colon, closely

resembling that of the midgut (Fig. 5b).

Univariate analyses of these three groups largely confirmed the pattern in the ordination

(Table 3, Fig. 6). The interaction between tissue type and source was not significant in any of the

analyses and dropped to estimate the differences in abundance between tissue types using the

coefficients from the generalized linear mixed models. *Lactobacillus sp*. was 3 and 7 times more

common in the foregut than in the midgut ($\beta$=1.4 $\pm$ 0.50, p=0.02) and hindgut ($\beta$=2.0 $\pm$ 0.51,

p<0.001) respectively, *Pediococcus* was similar in abundance in the midgut and hindgut but 4.7

times more common in these areas than the foregut ($\beta$=1.1 $\pm$ 0.36, p=0.006), and *Pantoea*

*agglomerans* was 209 and 12 times more abundant in the hindgut compared to the foregut ($\beta$=3.8

$\pm$ 0.87, p<0.001) and midgut ($\beta$=2.5 $\pm$ 0.82, p=0.007) respectively.

*Bacterial density*

The number of copies of bacterial 16s rRNA genes was significantly different among tissue

types, as indicated by the significant interaction between tissue type and the source of the

Mormon crickets (Analysis of deviance: Source, $F_{1,14}$=25.9, p<0.001; tissue type, $F_{3,161}$=7.8,

p<0.001; Interaction, $F_{3,161}$=2.8, p=0.04, Fig. 7). We decomposed the interaction to determine

how the total number of 16s rRNA copies differed among tissue types within field and

laboratory-raised animals. The major difference between the two sources was that in wild

Mormon crickets, the midgut had the lowest abundance of all gut regions, while in laboratory-

raised individuals, both the midgut and the ileum had the lowest abundance of bacterial 16s

rRNA genes (Table 4, Fig. 7).

*Culturing*

Ten bacterial phylotypes were recovered from the Mormon cricket gut based on 99% sequence

similarity of their near full-length 16s rRNA genes (mean $\pm$ sd: 1406 $\pm$ 30bp). Two of the

phylotypes were lactic-acid bacteria (Lactobacillaceae) and eight were enteric bacteria

(Enterobacteriaceae).

The lactic-acid bacteria fell into two clades in our phylogenetic analysis (Figure 8). The

first clade was comprised of *Pediococcus* isolates derived from environmental sources, such as

plants and various human foodstuffs, as well as strains from the human gut. Similarity to

sequences from the BLAST search was high (>99.5%) and branch lengths were short, indicating

*Pediococcus acidilactici* H11 from the Mormon cricket gut is not highly derived from its

relatives, as has been found for *Lactobacillus* species isolated from bees (Fig. 8; McFrederick *et*

*al.*, 2013). Our search for *Pediococcus* sequences from insect guts in Genbank isolates recovered

from the termites *Macrotermes bellicosus* and *M. subhyalinus*, which formed their own well-

supported clade exclusive of the other *Pediococcus* sequences, including those from Mormon

crickets. *P. acidilactici* H11 shared 100% sequence identity in the V4 region with the *P.*

*acidilactici 1* phylotype sequenced using the Illumina platform in this study and with the *P.*

*acidilactici* (102222) phylotype associated with variation in mating status in Mormon crickets

(Smith *et al.*, 2016). Morphologically, *P. acidilactici* H11 is nonmotile and spherical (0.8 – 1.0

µm), often dividing to form pairs as described for other *Pediococcus*. As other members of the

genus, the *P. acidilactici* H11 is gram-positive, non-motile, faculatively anaerobic, grows at low

pH, and produces lactic acid from lactose (Table S1).

The second clade of lactic-acid bacteria was comprised primarily of plant-associated

*Lactobacillus*. Unlike *P. acidilactici* H11, *Lactobacillus* H09 formed a distinct clade with high

branch support, indicating it is genetically distinct enough at the 16s rRNA locus to distinguish

itself from other clades in the phylogeny. Similar to *P. acidilacitici* H11, *Lactobacillus* H09 had

high sequence similarity (>99.5%) to other members of the clade and a short branch length,

indicating that while it is distinct enough to form its own clade, it is not highly derived from its

relatives at the 16s rRNA locus. Our Genbank search for *Lactobacillus* isolated from insect guts

found sequences from ants, bees, and termites, and fruit flies, all of which fell into a different

clade than *Lactobacillus* H09. *Lactobacillus* from these taxa thus appear to have a different

evolutionary history than *Lactobacillus* H09. *Lactobacillus* H09 shared 100% sequence identity

in the V4 region with the Lactobacillaceae 2 phylotype sequenced using the Illumina platform in

this study. Morphologically, *Lactobacillus* H09 appear as non-motile straight rods,

approximately 1.3-2 μm in length and 0.8-1.0 μm wide. *Lactobacillus* H09 is gram-positive, non-

motile, faculatively anaerobic, grows at low pH, and produces lactic acid from lactose (Table

S1).

The eight Enterobacteriaceae strains were most similar to *Enterobacter* strains in our

BLAST search, which recovered sequences from a variety of plant and animal sources (sequence

similarity=98.7-99.8%). Our survey of Genbank found *Enterobacter* from alimentary tracts of a

diverse group of insects, including termites, cockroaches, flies, beetles, stink bugs, bees, ants,

and moths. Like other studies (Brenner *et al.*, 2005), however, the 16s rRNA gene did not have

enough signal to resolve relationships among *Enterobacter* and its relatives (data not shown) so

we present a simpler phylogeny with the Mormon cricket isolates and type strains from the

family (Figure 9). We found that our Mormon cricket isolates formed their own clade with

moderate statistical support. A multilocus sequencing approach, however, is needed to improve

the inference (Brenner *et al.*, 2005). All five strains isolated from Mormon crickets had 100%

identity at the V4 region with the *Klebsiella* phylotype sequenced on the Illumina platform,

however the phylogenetic (Fig. 9) and phenotypic data (Table S2) suggests that it is unlikely to

be a correct taxonomic assignment. Morphologically, all isolates were straight rods,

approximately 0.8-1.0 μm in length and 0.6-0.8 μm wide. Unlike most *Klebsiella*, these strains

were motile, which is typical of *Enterobacter* and other Enterobacteriaceae (Brenner *et al.*,

2005). Strains were gram-negative, and facultatively anaerobic (Table S2).

**DISCUSSION**

We found striking differences in the diversity and structure of the gut microbiome in the

Mormon cricket *Anabrus simplex*. While most OTUs were represented in the foregut, midgut and

hindgut, there were dramatic differences in abundance within the Lactobacillaceae and between

the Lactobacillaceae and Enterobacteriaceae, the main families recovered in our culture and

culture-independent studies. Our phylogenetic analysis of cultured isolates found that Mormon

cricket gut bacteria are not highly derived from related bacteria associated with plants or the guts

of other animals, suggesting that gut bacteria are either acquired from the environment in each

generation or have not been restricted to Mormon crickets over appreciable periods of

evolutionary time. Our findings have important implications for our understanding of the

ecological and evolutionary processes that influence the assembly and function of gut microbial

communities in orthopterans and other insects, as it suggests that host-microbe and microbe-

microbe interactions shape the abundance and distribution of the microbiome.

Our finding that the density of bacteria is lower in the midgut is in agreement with reports

from orthopterans (Hunt and Charnley, 1981; Ulrich *et al.*, 1981) and other insects (Köhler *et al.*,

2012), and has been attributed to characteristics that make the midgut less hospitable to bacteria

than other regions of the alimentary tract (Douglas, 2015). The midgut in insects secretes a host

of digestive enzymes, is immunologically active, and lined by the peritrophic membrane, which

acts as a protective barrier that restricts microbes to the lumen and protects the epithelium

(Douglas, 2015). In the two orthopterans that have been studied in detail, bacteria are found in

the midgut lumen but not in association with the epithelium (Hunt and Charnley, 1981; Mead *et*

*al.*, 1988). As a consequence, midgut bacteria might need to be continually replenished from

ingested food (Blum *et al.*, 2013) because the peritrophic membrane is continually shed into the

hindgut. In some insects, specialized midgut crypts provide niches for microbes to colonize

(Kikuchi *et al.*, 2005; Bistolas *et al.*, 2014), however we did not observe analogous structures in

Mormon crickets (Fig. 1).

The midgut is particularly vulnerable to pathogens because the lack of an endocuticle

leaves the epithelium exposed once the peritrophic membrane is penetrated (Lehane and

Billingsley, 1996; Copping and Menn, 2000; Ruud A. de Maagd *et al.*, 2003; Nehme *et al.*,

2007). The Mormon cricket midgut was populated by lactic-acid bacteria, with *Pediococcus*

specifically exhibiting greater abundance in the midgut (and hindgut) than in the foregut. Lactic-

acid bacteria are known for their beneficial effects in insects, increasing resistance to parasites in

bees (Forsgren *et al.*, 2010) and promoting development in fruit flies by enhancing proteolytic

activity (Erkosar *et al.*, 2015) and upregulating host ecdysone and insulin-like peptides (Storelli

*et al.*, 2011). Lactic-acid bacteria are also known to suppress pathogenic bacteria by reducing pH

and producing a number of antimicrobial compounds, such as hydrogen peroxide and

bacteriocins (Cintas *et al.*, 2001).

A previous study found that sexual interactions in Mormon crickets influences the

abundance of three *Pediococcus* phylotypes (Smith *et al.*, 2016), however spatial information on

where in the gut *Pediococcus* is located has been unavailable until now. *Pediococcus* in the

midgut suggests could provide immunological or nutritional benefits to Mormon crickets, as has

been shown for *P. acidilactici* in other animals (Castex *et al.*, 2009, 2009). The culured isolates

of *P. acidilactici* we obtained from Mormon crickets in this study will enable future

experimental and comparative genomic approaches to evaluate these hypotheses.

Lactic-acid bacteria were also common in the foregut, which was dominated by a

*Lactobacillus sp.* that averaged 73.9% of the sequences recovered from this region. Bignell

(1984) noted that the foregut of insects tends to be the most acidic compartment, however studies

that measure the physiochemical environment and characterize microbiome composition of the

foregut are rare (but see Köhler *et al.*, 2012). This is because the endocuticle, lack of

differentiated cells for absorption of nutrients, and frequent purging of consumed material into

the midgut provides little opportunity for foregut microbes to contribute to host nutrition The

large differences in community structure between the foregut and the rest of the alimentary tract

in our study does illustrate the dramatic transition in microbial communities between what is

ingested and what can colonize the more distal regions of the gut.

In contrast to the foregut and midgut, the hindgut was characterized by a dramatic

increase in enteric bacteria (Enterobacteriaceae). Ordination of the laboratory Mormon cricket

samples indicated that the rectum, not the ileum, was primarily responsible for the difference in

community structure in the hindgut. Enterobacteriaceae comprised 83.5% of the sequences from

the rectum compared to 57.5% from the ileum, which was more similar to the midgut in

community structure (Fig. 5). This distinction is potentially important because higher digestive

efficiency in conventional compared to germ-free crickets has been attributed to microbial

colonization of the ileum in the orthopteran *Achetus domesticus* (Kaufman and Klug, 1991).

Detailed taxonomic information on the gut microbiota of *A. domesticus* or are not yet available

for comparison to our study (but see Santo Domingo *et al.*, 1998).

Of the three enteric bacteria represented in this study, *Pantoea agglomerans* was common

to both field and lab individuals and increased in abundance in the hindgut. *Pantoea* are known

plant pathogens and have been associated with a variety of medical conditions in humans

(Walterson and Stavrinides, 2015). In insects, however, *Pantoea* have been shown to have

mutualistic associations with their host. They are required for the completion of development in

stinkbugs (Hosokawa *et al.*, 2016; but see Dillon and Charnley, 2002), produce compounds that

attract insects to their host plants in flies (Robacker *et al.*, 2004; Maccollom *et al.*, 2009), and in

the orthopteran *Schistocerca gregaria*, produce a key component of the locust aggregation

pheromone (Dillon *et al.*, 2000, 2002) and reduce susceptibility to entomopathogens (Dillon and

Charnley, 1986, 1995). *P. agglomerans* similarly occurs at its highest abundance in the hindgut

of *S. gregaria*, with histological surveys showing that enterobacteria colonize the cuticle within

crevices formed by the rectal papillae (Hunt and Charnley, 1981). Whether *P. agglomerans*

similarly protects Mormon crickets from its own fungal entomopathogens (MacVean and

Capinera, 1991) or influences its aggregation behavior (Wakeland, 1959; MacVean, 1987) is an

important direction for future research.

*Conclusions*

Variation in morphology and physiology is thought to differentiate niches within the gut that

influence the organization of the microbiome. Our study describes at high resolution how

bacterial communities vary among gut regions, and suggests that host-microbe and/or microbe-

microbe interactions have a role in how microbial communities are assembled and maintained.

While the taxonomic information gleaned from our study suggests that some of these bacteria

might benefit Mormon cricket nutrition, immunity, and perhaps even modulate social behavior,

experiments are needed to evaluate this possibility. Our establishment of methods for culturing

Mormon cricket gut bacteria will enable experimental and comparative genomic approaches in

the future to infer the ecological and evolutionary consequences of host-microbe symbiosis.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Laura Senior for help with field collections and Nancy Moran for providing the

standard for the for the qPCR experiment. This work was funded by US National Science

Foundation award DEB-1354666 and the W.M. Wheeler Lost Pines Endowment from the

University of Texas at Austin.

## References

Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., and Walker, S. (2013) lme4: Linear mixed-effects models using S4 classes.

Benjamini, Y. and Hochberg, Y. (1995) Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B Methodol.* **57**: 289–300.

Bignell, D.E. (1984) The arthropod gut as an environment for microorganisms. In, Anderson,J.M., Rayner,A.D.M., and Walton,D.W.H. (eds), *Invertebrate-Microbial Interactions*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 205–227.

Bistolas, K.S.I., Sakamoto, R.I., Fernandes, J.A.M., and Goffredi, S.K. (2014) Symbiont polyphyly, co-evolution, and necessity in pentatomid stinkbugs from Costa Rica. *Microb. Symbioses* **5**: 349.

Blum, J.E., Fischer, C.N., Miles, J., and Handelsman, J. (2013) Frequent Replenishment Sustains the Beneficial Microbiome of Drosophila melanogaster. *mBio* **4**: e00860-13.

Brenner, D.J., Krieg, N.R., Staley, J.T., Garrity, G.M., Boone, D.R., De Vos, P., et al. eds. (2005) Bergey's Manual® of Systematic Bacteriology Springer US, Boston, MA.

Bridson, E.Y. ed. (1998) The Oxoid Manual 8th ed. Oxoid LTD, Basingstoke, United Kingdom.

Brune, A. and Dietrich, C. (2015) The Gut Microbiota of Termites: Digesting the Diversity in the Light of Ecology and Evolution. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **69**: 145–166.

Buchon, N., Broderick, N.A., and Lemaitre, B. (2013) Gut homeostasis in a microbial world: insights from *Drosophila melanogaster. Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **11**: 615–626.

Callahan, B.J., McMurdie, P.J., Rosen, M.J., Han, A.W., Johnson, A.J.A., and Holmes, S.P. (2016) DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat. Methods* **13**: 581–583.

Caporaso, J.G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F.D., Costello, E.K., et al. (2010) QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nat. Methods* **7**: 335–336.

Castex, M., Lemaire, P., Wabete, N., and Chim, L. (2009) Effect of dietary probiotic *Pediococcus acidilactici* on antioxidant defences and oxidative stress status of shrimp *Litopenaeus stylirostris. Aquaculture* **294**: 306–313.

Cho, I. and Blaser, M.J. (2012) The human microbiome: at the interface of health and disease. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **13**: 260–270.

Cintas, L.M., Casaus, M.P., Herranz, C., Nes, I.F., and Hernández, P.E. (2001) Review: Bacteriocins of Lactic Acid Bacteria. *Food Sci. Technol. Int.* **7**: 281–305.

Copping, L.G. and Menn, J.J. (2000) Biopesticides: a review of their action, applications and efficacy. *Pest Manag. Sci.* **56**: 651–676.

Dillon, R. and Charnley, A. (1986) Inhibition of Metarhizium anisopliae by the gut bacterial flora of the desert locust, Schistocerca gregaria: Evidence for an antifungal toxin. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* **47**: 350–360.

Dillon, R. and Charnley, K. (2002) Mutualism between the desert locust *Schistocerca gregaria* and its gut microbiota. *Res. Microbiol.* **153**: 503–509.

Dillon, R. j., Vennard, C. t., and Charnley, A. k. (2002) A Note: Gut bacteria produce components of a locust cohesion pheromone. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **92**: 759–763.

Dillon, R.J. and Charnley, A.K. (1995) Chemical Barriers to Gut Infection in the Desert Locust: In Vivo Production of Antimicrobial Phenols Associated with the Bacterium Pantoea agglomerans. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* **66**: 72–75.

Dillon, R.J. and Dillon, V.M. (2004) The gut bacteria of insects: nonpathogenic interactions.
*Annu. Rev. Entomol.* **49**: 71–92.
Dillon, R.J., Vennard, C.T., and Charnley, A.K. (2000) Pheromones: Exploitation of gut bacteria
in the locust. *Nature* **403**: 851–851.
Douglas, A.E. (2013) Alimentary canal, digestion and absorption. In, Simpson,S.. J.,
Douglas,A.E., and Chapman,R.F. (eds), *The Insects: Structure and Function*. Cambridge
University Press, Cambridge, pp. 46–80.
Douglas, A.E. (2015) Multiorganismal Insects: Diversity and Function of Resident
Microorganisms. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* **60**: 17–34.
Engel, P. and Moran, N.A. (2013) The gut microbiota of insects – diversity in structure and
function. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **37**: 699–735.
Erkosar, B., Storelli, G., Mitchell, M., Bozonnet, L., Bozonnet, N., and Leulier, F. (2015)
Pathogen virulence impedes mutualist-mediated enhancement of host juvenile growth via
inhibition of protein digestion. *Cell Host Microbe* **18**: 445–455.
Faraway, J.J. (2006) Extending the linear model with R: generalized linear, mixed effects and
nonparametric regression models Chapman & Hall/CRC, New York.
Forsgren, E., Olofsson, T.C., Vásquez, A., and Fries, I. (2010) Novel lactic acid bacteria
inhibiting *Paenibacillus* larvae in honey bee larvae. *Apidologie* **41**: 99–108.
Gwynne, D.T. (1984) Sexual selection and sexual differences in Mormon crickets (Orthoptera:
Tettigoniidae, *Anabrus simplex*). *Evolution* **38**: 1011–1022.
Han, M.V. and Zmasek, C.M. (2009) phyloXML: XML for evolutionary biology and
comparative genomics. *BMC Bioinformatics* **10**: 356.
Hosokawa, T., Ishii, Y., Nikoh, N., Fujie, M., Satoh, N., and Fukatsu, T. (2016) Obligate
bacterial mutualists evolving from environmental bacteria in natural insect populations.
*Nat. Microbiol.* **1**: 15011.
Hothorn, T., Bretz, F., and Westfall, P. (2008) Simultaneous Inference in General Parametric
Models. *Biom. J.* **50**: 346–363.
Hunt, J. and Charnley, A.K. (1981) Abundance and distribution of the gut flora of the desert
locust, Schistocerca gregaria. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* **38**: 378–385.
Kaufman, M.G. and Klug, M.J. (1991) The contribution of hindgut bacteria to dietary
carbohydrate utilization by crickets (Orthoptera: Gryllidae). *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A
Physiol.* **98**: 117–123.
Kikuchi, Y., Meng, X.-Y., and Fukatsu, T. (2005) Gut Symbiotic Bacteria of the Genus
Burkholderia in the Broad-Headed Bugs Riptortus clavatus and Leptocorisa chinensis
(Heteroptera: Alydidae). *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **71**: 4035–4043.
Köhler, T., Dietrich, C., Scheffrahn, R.H., and Brune, A. (2012) High-Resolution Analysis of
Gut Environment and Bacterial Microbiota Reveals Functional Compartmentation of the
Gut in Wood-Feeding Higher Termites (Nasutitermes spp.). *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*
**78**: 4691–4701.
Lanan, M.C., Rodrigues, P.A.P., Agellon, A., Jansma, P., and Wheeler, D.E. (2016) A bacterial
filter protects and structures the gut microbiome of an insect. *ISME J.*
Lehane, M.J. and Billingsley, P.F. eds. (1996) Biology of the Insect Midgut Springer
Netherlands, Dordrecht.
Ley, R.E., Lozupone, C.A., Hamady, M., Knight, R., and Gordon, J.I. (2008) Worlds within
worlds: evolution of the vertebrate gut microbiota. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **6**: 776–788.

Maccollom, G.B., Lauzon, C.R., Sjogren, R.E., Meyer, W.L., and Olday, F. (2009) Association
and Attraction of Blueberry Maggot Fly Curran (Diptera: Tephritidae) to Pantoea
(Enterobacter) agglomerans. *Environ. Entomol.* **38**: 116–120.
MacVean, C.. (1987) Ecology and management of the Mormon cricket, *Anabrus simplex*. In,
*Integrated Pest Management on Rangeland: A Shortgrass Prairie Perspective*. Westview
Press, Boulder, CO, pp. 116–136.
MacVean, C.M. and Capinera, J.L. (1991) Pathogenicity and transmission potential of Nosema
locustae and Vairimorpha n. sp. (Protozoa: Microsporida) in Mormon crickets (Anabrus
simplex; Orthoptera: Tettigoniidae): A laboratory evaluation. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* **57**:
23–36.
Maddison, W.P. and Maddison, D.R. (2016) Mesquite: a modular system for evolutionary
analysis.
McFrederick, Q.S., Cannone, J.J., Gutell, R.R., Kellner, K., Plowes, R.M., and Mueller, U.G.
(2013) Specificity between *Lactobacilli* and hymenopteran hosts is the exception rather
than the rule. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **79**: 1803–1812.
McMurdie, P.J. and Holmes, S. (2013) Phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive
analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. *PLoS ONE* **8**: e61217.
Mead, L.J., Khachatourians, G.G., and Jones, G.A. (1988) Microbial Ecology of the Gut in
Laboratory Stocks of the Migratory Grasshopper, Melanoplus sanguinipes (Fab.)
(Orthoptera: Acrididae). *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **54**: 1174–1181.
Moran, N.A., McCutcheon, J.P., and Nakabachi, A. (2008) Genomics and Evolution of Heritable
Bacterial Symbionts. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **42**: 165–190.
Nehme, N.T., Liégeois, S., Kele, B., Giammarinaro, P., Pradel, E., Hoffmann, J.A., et al. (2007)
A Model of Bacterial Intestinal Infections in Drosophila melanogaster. *PLOS Pathog* **3**:
e173.
Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P.R., O'Hara, R.B., et al. (2015)
vegan: Community Ecology Package.
Powell, J.E., Martinson, V.G., Urban-Mead, K., and Moran, N.A. (2014) Routes of acquisition of
the gut microbiota of the honey bee *Apis mellifera*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **80**: 7378–
7387.
Pruesse, E., Peplies, J., and Glockner, F.O. (2012) SINA: Accurate high-throughput multiple
sequence alignment of ribosomal RNA genes. *Bioinformatics* **28**: 1823–1829.
Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., et al. (2013) The SILVA
ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools.
*Nucleic Acids Res.* **41**: D590–D596.
R Core Development Team (2013) R: A language and environment for statistical computing R
Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
Robacker, D.C., Lauzon, C.R., and He, X. (2004) Volatiles Production and Attractiveness to the
Mexican Fruit Fly of Enterobacter agglomerans Isolated from Apple Maggot and
Mexican Fruit Flies. *J. Chem. Ecol.* **30**: 1329–1347.
Ruud A. de Maagd, Alejandra Bravo, Colin Berry, Neil Crickmore, and Schnepf, H.E. (2003)
Structure, Diversity, and Evolution of Protein Toxins from Spore-Forming
Entomopathogenic Bacteria. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **37**: 409–433.
Ryu, J.-H., Ha, E.-M., and Lee, W.-J. (2010) Innate immunity and gut–microbe mutualism in
*Drosophila*. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* **34**: 369–376.

Santo Domingo, J.W., Kaufman, M.G., Klug, M.J., Holben, W.E., Harris, D., and Tiedje, J.M.
(1998) Influence of diet on the structure and function of the bacterial hindgut community
of crickets. *Mol. Ecol.* **7**: 761–767.
Smith, C.C., Srygley, R.B., Dietrich, E.I., and Mueller, U. (2016) Mating promotes lactic-acid
gut bacteria in a gift-giving insect. *bioRxiv*.
Stamatakis, A. (2014) RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of
large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **30**: 1312–1313.
Storelli, G., Defaye, A., Erkosar, B., Hols, P., Royet, J., and Leulier, F. (2011) *Lactobacillus*
*plantarum* promotes *Drosophila* systemic growth by modulating hormonal signals
through TOR-dependent nutrient sensing. *Cell Metab.* **14**: 403–414.
Tai, V., Carpenter, K.J., Weber, P.K., Nalepa, C.A., Perlman, S.J., and Keeling, P.J. (2016)
Genome Evolution and Nitrogen Fixation in Bacterial Ectosymbionts of a Protist
Inhabiting Wood-Feeding Cockroaches. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **82**: 4682–4695.
Ulrich, R.G., Buthala, D.A., and Klug, M.J. (1981) Microbiota associated with the
gastrointestinal tract of the common house cricket, *Acheta domestica*. *Appl. Environ.*
*Microbiol.* **41**: 246–254.
Venables, W.N. and Ripley, B.D. (2002) Modern Applied Statistics with S Fourth. Springer,
New York.
Wakeland, C.C. (1959) Mormon crickets in North America US Dept. of Agriculture.
Walterson, A.M. and Stavrinides, J. (2015) Pantoea: insights into a highly versatile and diverse
genus within the Enterobacteriaceae. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* fuv027.
Woodring, J. and Lorenz, M.W. (2007) Feeding, nutrient flow, and functional gut morphology in
the cricket Gryllus bimaculatus. *J. Morphol.* **268**: 815–825.
Yuan, S., Cohen, D.B., Ravel, J., Abdo, Z., and Forney, L.J. (2012) Evaluation of Methods for
the Extraction and Purification of DNA from the Human Microbiome. *PLoS ONE* **7**:
e33865.
588
589

Table 1. Analysis of deviance comparing alpha diversity between source populations (wild or laboratory) and among tissue types (foregut, midgut, and hindgut). Values represent the F-statistic (p-value) for each term. Statistically significant terms (p<0.05) are indicated in bold. Degrees of freedom were estimated using the Kenward-Rogers approximation.

| | **Reduced Dataset** | | | **Full Dataset** | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | Species Richness | Chao1 | Shannon-Weiner | Species Richness | Chao1 | Shannon-Weiner |
| Source | **13.9 (0.003)** | **11.0 (0.007)** | **9.17(0.01)** | **14.0(0.002)** | **10.5 (0.006)** | **8.22 (0.013)** |
| Tissue type | **5.85 (0.010)** | **4.79 (0.02)** | **7.07 (0.005)** | **6.77 (0.004)** | **5.68 (0.008)** | **8.44 (0.001)** |
| Interaction | 0.51 (0.61) | 1.02 (0.38) | 0.98 (0.39) | 0.66 (0.53) | 1.15 (0.33) | 1.28 (0.29) |

Table 2. Permutation test from distance-based redundancy analysis comparing Bray-Curtis distance between source populations (wild or laboratory) and among tissue types (foregut, midgut and hindgut).

| | **Reduced Dataset** | | **Full Dataset** | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | *F* | *p* | *F* | *P* |
| Source | **8.99** | **<0.001** | **7.75** | **<0.001** |
| Tissue type | **9.85** | **<0.001** | **5.49** | **<0.001** |
| Interaction | 0.26 | 0.47 | 0.52 | 0.84 |

Table 3. Likelihood ratio tests from GLMMs fitting the abundance of OTUs to source population (wild or laboratory) and tissue type (foregut, midgut or hindgut). Values are Chi-square (p-value). LAC1=*Lactobacillus sp.*, PED=*Pediococcus*, PAG=*Pantoea agglomerans*.

| | **Reduced Dataset** | | | **Full Dataset** | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | LAC1 | PED | PAG | LAC1 | PED | PAG |
| Source | 1.32 (0.25) | 0.80 (0.37) | 2.36 (0.12) | 0.18 (0.66) | 0.51 (0.48) | 0.01 (0.96) |
| Tissue type | **16.3 (<0.001)** | **9.25 (0.10)** | **20.7 (<0.001)** | **12.7 (0.002)** | **16.1 (<0.001)** | **41.9 (<0.001)** |
| Interaction | 0.36 (0.84) | 0.84 (0.66) | 3.86 (0.15) | 0.3 (0.86) | 0.95 (0.62) | 2.67 (0.26) |

Table 4. Posthoc Tukey tests comparing the total number of 16s RNA copies between tissue types in wild and laboratory-raised Mormon crickets. Values are the test statistic with the significance of the test indicated with an asterisk. Comparisons within field-caught individuals are on the bottom diagonal and comparisons within laboratory-raised individuals are on the upper diagonal. FG=foregut; MG=midgut; ILE=ileum; REC=rectum.

|  | FG | MG | ILE | REC |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| FG |  | 2.38 | 2.76* | 0.21 |
| MG | 3.82*** |  | 0.39 | 2.60* |
| ILE | 1.26 | 2.61* |  | 2.98** |
| REC | 0.64 | 3.28** | 0.66 |  |

* p=<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001

Figure 1. External morphology of the (a) foregut, (b) midgut, and (c) hindgut in the Mormon cricket. E=esophagus, Cr=crop, PV=proventriculus, Ce=cecum, V=ventriculus, MT=Malphigian tubules, Ile=ileum, C=colon, R=rectum. Malphigian tubules have been trimmed to illustrate their entry point into the hindgut.

Figure 2. Mean relative abundance of the eleven dominant OTUs from (a) field-caught and (b) laboratory-raised Mormon crickets from 16s rRNA Illumina sequencing.

Figure 3. Alpha diversity in field and laboratory-raised Mormon crickets. Means $\pm$ SE are depicted for each region of the gut.

Figure 4. Ordination of sample scores from the db-RDA of the reduced dataset.

Figure 5. Ordination of OTU scores from db-RDA of (a) field-caught and (b) laboratory-raised (reduced dataset) Mormon crickets. Means of sample scores for each tissue type are indicated. OTUs are colored to represent taxonomic groups as in Figure 1.

Figure 6. Relative abundance of *Lactobacillus sp.*, *Pediococcus*, and *Pantoea agglomerans* from the field and laboratory (reduced dataset) identified in the ordination (see Fig. 5) as associated with different gut regions. Each line represents an individual Mormon cricket.

Figure 7. Abundance of bacterial 16s rRNA genes in the Mormon crickets gut. Bars indicate significant differences between regions (* p<0.05).

Fig 8. Maximum likelihood estimation of phylogenetic relationships among lactic-acid bacteria 16S rRNA sequences from Mormon cricket gut isolates and their relatives. Branches with bootstrap support <75% are collapsed.

Figure 9. Maximum likelihood estimation of phylogenetic relationships among enteric bacteria 16S rRNA sequences from Mormon cricket gut isolates and type strains. Branches with bootstrap support <50% are collapsed