1   Aer is a family of Energy-Taxis Receptors in *Pseudomonas* which also involves Aer-2 and CttP

2   Sean C. Booth and Raymond J. Turner

4   Department of Biological Sciences, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada[a]

6   #Address correspondence to Sean C. Booth, scbooth@ucalgary.ca

Abstract

Energy-taxis by *Pseudomonas* species allows swimming towards optimal environments for generating cellular energy, precluding the need to sense carbon sources and oxygen. Aerotaxis, which has been taken to mean swimming towards oxygen or a source of metabolizable carbon has been attributed to the methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein Aer. In *P. aeruginosa* McpB (Aer-2) also contributes and may directly sense oxygen whereas in *P. putida* the second of three Aer energy-taxis sensor homologs, 'Aer2' is the key receptor. Here we sought to disentangle the ambiguity between aerotaxis and energy-taxis and between McpB (Aer-2) and Aer2. Phylogenetic characterization of sequences from a wide range of *Pseudomonas* species revealed that Aer homologs have been duplicated and horizontally transferred within the genus but can be divided into 5 groups. Phenotypic characterization in *P. pseudoalcaligenes* KF707, which has 3 Aer homologs and McpB showed that all homologs as well as McpA (CttP) and McpB (Aer-2) contribute to energy-taxis, though the most ancestral Aer homolog is key but not essential to this behaviour. As McpB (Aer-2) is rare in the genus, these results indicate that energy-taxis and aerotaxis are linked but distinct behaviours and that energy-taxis in *Pseudomonas* involves more genes than previously thought.

Importance

Energy-taxis is a behaviour in *Pseudomonas* that allows it to swim towards metabolizable carbon sources by sensing the cellular energy they generate rather than the actual compound being metabolized. This ability has been attributed to the receptor 'Aer', of which some species of *Pseudomonas* have multiple homologs. There is confusion in the field as functional homologs have been named Aer2 which clashes with a previously named, completely distinct receptor called Aer-2, which is thought to be an oxygen receptor. Swimming towards oxygen is called aerotaxis and

30   has been conflated with energy-taxis. Since both are useful behaviours this study aimed to sort out

31   the differences between them, and the differences between Aer2 and Aer-2.

Introduction

Chemotaxis, the ability to sense and swim along chemical gradients, is a widespread and

important behaviour in bacteria (1). Canonically it functions by extracellular compounds binding

to membrane-bound methyl-accepting chemotaxis proteins (MCPs) causing a phosphorylation

signal cascade through CheA and CheY to alter the direction of flagellar rotation (1), allowing the

cell to direct swimming through concentration gradients. The first MCPs were characterized in *E.*

coli but now many more have been described, particularly in *Pseudomonas* (2). While receptors

for specific ligands are being identified, many through a clever high-throughput approaches (3),

our understanding of some 'characterized' MCPs remains incomplete. The receptors in

Pseudomonas involved in aerotaxis where energy-taxis have been identified, but questions remain

about their exact function and whether the two processes are actually distinct.

Chemotaxis is generally used as a catchall to describe any kind of directed bacterial motion

towards or away from a chemical, but for the purposes of this study chemotaxis, aerotaxis and

energy-taxis must be more strictly defined. Chemotaxis refers to directed swimming mediated by

binding of a ligand either directly or through a periplasmic binding protein to an MCP.

Distinguishing between aerotaxis and energy-taxis is more important as these have been

traditionally conflated, energy-taxis being a relatively new term. Here aerotaxis will be defined as

directed swimming towards oxygen through direct sensing of O_2, and energy-taxis as directed

swimming towards a more favourable environment through indirect sensing of energy production

within the cell. Aerotaxis was among the first bacterial behaviours ever described as both

Engelmann and Beijerinck described the aggregation of bacterial cells near sources of oxygen (4,

5). When the MCP responsible for this phenomenon was discovered in *E. coli*, it was given the name Aer (6). While it does indeed mediate taxis towards oxygen, the mechanism was later found not to involve direct binding of oxygen (7). Instead, Aer has an FAD cofactor which when reduced allows the bacterium to sense energy generation within the cell, meaning Aer is actually an energy-taxis receptor. The distinction between energy and aerotaxis became important when two genes in *P. aeruginosa* were found to be involved in 'aerotaxis', one a homolog of Aer, the second a distinct cytoplasmic MCP which was given the name Aer-2 (8), though it is also still called McpB. Further issues were raised when 3 homologs of Aer were found in *P. putida* (9), and the most abundant and only functional homolog was given the name 'Aer2'. Biochemical characterization of Aer-2 (also called McpB or TlpG) showed that it binds gases including O_2 but functional reports disputed its role in 'aerotaxis' (10). Thus despite multiple investigations it remains unclear which genes are responsible for aerotaxis and energy-taxis in *Pseudomonas*, and whether they are distinct processes. Here we sought answers to these questions using a combination of comparative genomics and phenotypic characterization of mutants.

In *Pseudomonas,* Aer was first characterized in *P. putida* PRS2000 (11), then in *P. aeruginosa* PA01 (8), then in *P. putida* KT2440 (9) and F1 (12) as an 'aerotaxis' receptor, using a variety of methods. Unfortunately, it is difficult to discern a difference between energy-taxis from aerotaxis from the experimental approach used in such studies. Despite the observation that *P. putida* had 3 homologs whose functionality had to be determined by process of elimination, compared to *P. aeruginosa's* 1, no comparison of the genes or gene products was made. This raises the question of how homologs are distributed in the genus, which ones are functional in other species, and most importantly why do they possess multiple similar copies of the same chemoreceptor? Aer-2 was originally implicated with Aer as an aerotaxis receptor and while the

experimental evidence characterizing CttP as a TCE receptor is clear (13), yet it seems unlikely that this is the natural function of the protein. Due to its appearance as the first gene in the *che2* operon, which also contains *mcpB* (*aer-2*) we hypothesized that both may have a role in energy-taxis. To investigate these questions, Aer sequences were obtained from 65 *Pseudomonas* species as well as the presence/absence of Aer-2 and CttP. A phylogeny of Aer was built providing insight into the distribution in the genus and allowing the 'Aer' family to be defined. Only one species, *P. pseudoalcaligenes* KF707 had the surprising feature of possessing 3 Aer homologs, Aer-2 and CttP making it the ideal candidate to investigate if these receptors had related functions. Deletion mutants were generated revealing that in KF707, all 5 of these genes contributed to energy-taxis, though the ancestral Aer homolog was pivotal. Together these results provide a definition of the Aer energy-taxis receptor as a family with varied distribution in *Pseudomonas* and implicate Aer-2 and CttP in this behaviour.

Results

  65 *Pseudomonas* species were selected for this analysis. Species with completely sequenced genomes were selected, but for those with many strains only a few representatives that have been highly studied were included. Species with incomplete (draft) sequences were also included in an attempt to ensure representation from all major *Pseudomonas* clades, based on works by (32, 33). 144 protein sequences were obtained from the NCBI database using the *P. aeruginosa* PA01 Aer sequence (NP_250252.1) as a BLAST (15) query sequence. All hits with >95% sequence coverage were included. These sequences were aligned using COBALT (17) as this alignment algorithm ensures that conserved domains are aligned despite a lack of similarity elsewhere in the sequence. This was ideal for the Aer sequences as, like other MCPs, they are

Figure 1: Maximum likelihood consensus tree showing phylogenetic relationship between Aer homolog protein sequences from select *Pseudomonas* species. Colours indicate homolog group: Aer.g1 (red), Aer.g2 (yellow), Aer.g3 (cyan), Aer.g4 (purple) and Aer.g5 (blue). Groups were based on inspection of the alignment used to generate the phylogeny and other analyses, see the text for details. Tree was generated unrooted, then the root placed based on a similar tree that was rooted to the closes non-*Pseudomonas* sequence available. Branch lengths indicate number of AA substitutions per site. Numbers at nodes indicate bootstrap support values from 100 replicates. Dotted box indicates original separation of Aer.g1 into two groups.

conserved in the C-terminal signaling region but less conserved in the N-terminal transmembrane

and ligand binding region. Inspection of the alignment revealed numerous unnecessary gaps,

which could not be remedied by changing the alignment settings. Instead, these gaps were removed

and the regions re-aligned manually. This altered alignment was then re-aligned using MUSCLE

(19) to remedy any small incongruities introduced by the manual process. This produced a suitable

alignment for determining the phylogeny of the sequences (Figure 1). The Mobyle web platform

(21) was used to construct a maximum likelihood phylogeny using PHYML (22). Two phylogenies

were generated, one using only the *Pseudomonas* sequences and one which included the closest

non-*Pseudomonas* sequence in the NCBI database to be used as a root (WP_027981445.1,

unknown gamma proteobacterium L18, (34)). This rooted phylogeny (Supplementary Figure 1)

was examined and the location of the root was used to place the root on the unrooted tree. This

revealed that the Aer homolog from *P. rhizospherae* 16299 (WP_043188019.1) was more similar

to the non-*Pseudomonas* root than to any *Pseudomonas* sequence.

Grouping of Aer Homologs

Inspection of the unrooted, phylogenetically organized alignment implied that there are

several sub-families of Aer homologs (Figure 1). Initially, 7 groups were identified based on the

alignment and tree branching. These groups were aligned by themselves then analyzed to

determine if the group assignments were accurate. Manual inspection and Sequence Harmony /

Multi-Relief (SHMR) demonstrated that there were actually only 5 groups. SHMR is a pair of

algorithms that takes as input a pair of alignments and determines, for each AA position, whether

that AA is conserved within each group, and whether it is divergent between the two groups, giving

each AA position a score (23). Each pair of groups was compared in this fashion, then their results

compared by determining what percent of AAs were conserved within a group but divergent

between the groups (Supplementary Figure 2). AAs with a multi-relief weight score >0.8 were

accepted as fitting these criteria (cutoff is based on the recommendations of the SHMR authors

(23)). Comparisons of groups where only 10% of AAs fit into this category indicated that these

two groups were too similar as most comparisons indicated that 25-35% of AAs should be above

the distinction cutoff. Through this process, groups 1 and 2 were combined and groups 3A and 3B

were combined. Re-analysis using SHMR showed that all inter-group comparisons had between

25-35% of AAs distinguishing the two groups (Supplementary Figure 3). Throughout this process,

group 5 was consistently excluded as it only contains 2 sequences, which are highly divergent

from all others. When the genomic context of each *aer* homolog gene was later examined (Figure

4), showing that the genes from the same group shared synteny. This supports the conclusion that

there are 5 groups within the Aer family, and they are defined by shared amino acid sequence

features and genes they are associated with.

Comparison of Groups

Results from the SHMR analysis for each group comparison were plotted against the entire

length of the Aer protein and compared to the overall conservation at each AA position (Figure 2).

Using a smoothing function, the regions that were varying between groups were identified and

compared to the domain architecture of the Aer protein. These comparisons showed that the

regions determining the specificity of each group were the same for all groups, (except for two

regions at each extremity of the protein that were specific to Aer.g2) and that these regions were

poorly conserved in the overall alignment. As expected, the CheW interface region of the methyl-

accepting domain and the Per/Arnt/Sim (PAS) ligand binding domain were well conserved and

did not differ between groups, though the CheW interface was far more conserved than the PAS

domain. Two additional conserved regions (deemed C1 and C3) were found before and after the

Figure 2: Amino acid conservation and multi-relief weight scores for all positions of Aer and domain architecture of Aer. Conservation was calculated across the entire alignment of all 144 Aer homolog sequences. Multi-relief scores were calculated for each pair-wise comparison of homolog groups. Both values have been smoothed by taking the sum of the 3 proceeding and following AAs for each position. Domain architecture was obtained from the conserved domain database. Regions have been colour coded based on known features, and from features derived from the current study.

CheW interface region, each separated by an unconserved, group specific region. The predicted

transmembrane domains (AAs ~130-200) were the least conserved region and were not conserved

within groups except for the initial portion of these domains (AA ~150). Between this region and

the C1 conserved region of the methyl-accepting domain was another group specific region,

though part of this region was conserved between groups 1,3 and 4 (AAs ~220-260) making this

a third region specific to Aer.g2. Finally, the C-terminal region after the last conserved C3 region

was specific for each group, especially Aer.g2 as it has an extension that the other groups do not.

Thus within the Aer family, aer.g2 is distinguished by its N-terminal region, central region and C-

terminal extension while aer.g5 is notable in its complete differentiation the entire length of the

protein. The other groups, Aer.g1, Aer.g3 and Aer.g4 can be distinguished from one another based

on the composition of the variable regions (AAs ~155-165, ~195-225, ~250-275, ~305-360 and

~395-410).

Distribution of Groups within *Pseudomonas*

To determine the prevalence of each Aer homolog group within the *Pseudomonas* genus,

the number of homologs from each group that each species possessed was counted and a

hierarchically clustered heatmap was generated (Figure 3). Aer-2 and CttP were also counted and

included. This analysis showed that all included species, except *P. dentrificans* ATCC13867, had

an Aer.g1 homolog. Only species related to *P. aeruginosa* had Aer-2 and CttP, which were always

found together. *P.* sp 21, *P. nitroreducens* HBP1, *P. resinovorans* NBRC 106553 and *P.*

pseudoalcaligenes KF707 were the only species that had Aer-2/CttP and additional Aer homologs,

the first two possessing an Aer.g2 homolog, *P. resinovorans* having an Aer.g4 homolog and

KF707 having both. Only genes from Aer.g1, Aer.g2 and Aer.g3 were duplicated, and duplications

were rarer than possession of homologs from multiple groups. Duplications of Aer.g1 were

Figure 3: Hierarchically clustered heatmap showing the presence and number of Aer homologs, Aer2 and

Cttp in select *Pseudomonas* species. Species were clustered using the Bray-Curtis distance metric and

average linkages. The number of homologs that each strain possess is indicated by the box colour: zero

(black), one (blue), two (cyan). Pseudogenes are marked by asterisks (*). The homolog of *P. rhizosphearae*

is more similar to non-*Pseudomonas* sequences and is marked by a pound (#).

restricted to *P. fluorescens* and related subgroups (1-8) (33). Duplications of Aer.g2 occurred only

(and always) in *P. stuzeri* and the closely related *P. balearica*. Unlike the other duplications, these

were tandem duplications. Duplications of Aer.g3 only occurred in *P. protegens* CHA0 and Pf-5.

No single species had a representative from all groups, though *P. mendocina* NK-01 was only

missing Aer.g2 and Aer-2/Cttp and *P. pseudoalcaligenes* KF707 was only missing Aer.g3 and

Aer.g5.

Figure 4: Frequency of occurrence and orientation of genes upstream and downstream from *aer* homologs

from select *Pseudomonas* species. Coloured and bolded genes are expected to be co-transcribed based

on their orientation and high frequency of occurrence with *aer*. Numbers beside gene functions indicate

the frequency that they were found within the 144 sequences examined. Gene lengths are approximate,

aer is about 1.5kb long.

Overall, the tree clustering *Pseudomonas* species based on possession of Aer homologs

roughly matched the known species phylogeny, though there were several noteworthy instances

of variability at the strain level. The presence of Aer-2/CttP created a major separation, however

this separation matches that of the aeruginosa subgroup. Only 1/2 *P. parafulva* strains had an

Aer.g2 homolog and only 2/4 *P. fluorescens* strains had the same Aer homolog profile. 3/4 of *P.*

putida strains were the same, though *P. putida* HB3267 had a homolog from all four groups. *P.*

mendocina ymp and NK-01 differed as the latter possesses the rare Aer.g5. The other strain with

this homolog, *P. pseudoalcaligenes* AD6, was quite different than KF707 as it also did not have

an Aer.g2 homolog nor Aer-2/CttP. This was the species with the most variation as the third strain

included in the analysis, *P. pseudoalcaligenes* CECT5344, only possessed a single Aer.g1

homolog.

Evidence for Horizontal Gene Transfer of Aer Homologs

Based on the varied distribution of Aer homologs within the genus *Pseudomonas* it was

hypothesized that horizontal gene transfer (HGT) could have caused this. To examine this

possibility, the Aer phylogenetic tree (Figure 1) was compared to the known *Pseudomonas* species

phylogeny (33). Additionally, upstream and downstream regions of the *aer* genes were examined

to determine whether the genomic context was consistent across species (Figure 4) and whether

they were associated with mobile elements (Supplementary Table 1). Inspection of the

phylogenetic tree revealed 3 sequences that were highly divergent from the expected species

phylogeny (Figure 1, green stars). These 3 sequences (WP_015271024.1, *P. putida* HB3267;

WP_014754514.1, *P. putida* ND6; and WP_013791017.1, *P. fulva* 12-X) were each found

clustered with sequences from unrelated species whereas other Aer sequences were consistently

clustered with sequences from closely related species. Of these 3 genes only the *aer.g3* gene from

P. putida ND6 was associated with mobile elements.

The first two upstream and downstream genes of each *aer* gene were identified, as well as any

nearby mobile elements (inverted repeats, transposases, integrases) (Supplementary Table 2). The

frequency of each of the associated genes and the general genomic context for each Aer homolog

were examined to identify the most commonly associated genes (summarized in Figure 4). Each

homolog was part of an apparently unique operon: Aer.g1 with an aconitate hydratase (69%) and

a CAAX aminoprotease (33%), Aer.g2 with a PAS-containing diguanylate

cyclase/phosphodiesterase (88%), Aer.g3 with a different PAS-containing diguanylate

cyclase/phosphodiesterase (88%) and Aer.g4 with a LysR-type transcriptional regulator (64%) and

a DTW-domain containing protein (74%). Beyond these, the second upstream and downstream genes tended to vary more widely, though some were clearly conserved: Aer.g1, 23S rRNA methyl transferase (42%); Aer.g3, C4 dicarboxylate transporter (63%); Aer.g4, agmatinase (56%). Still this indicates clear variability in the genomic context of homologs within each group. Also of note were two other diguanylate cyclases, occurring at much lower frequencies than those mentioned above (Supplementary_Table_Genomic_Context). As Aer.g5 had only two members and their genomic contexts were identical, they are not presented here.

Mobile elements were found within 5Kb of *aer* homolog genes (Supplementary Table 1). Inverted repeats were most common (20%), followed by transposases (4%) and integrases (1%). Aer.g5 never had any mobile elements associated, Aer.g1 was the least common, then Aer.g3, then Aer.g2 and Aer.g4 had the highest frequency of association with mobile elements. When mobile elements were found, they were often located immediately up and/or downstream of the *aer* homolog and its associated gene(s) described in the above section.

Phenotypic Results

To investigate the functions of Aer homologs, CttP and Aer-2, and to see whether they were linked, deletion mutants were constructed in the one species of *Pseudomonas* that possessed 3 Aer homologs Aer-2 and CttP: *P. pseudoalcaligenes* KF707. Single and combination deletions were constructed using 2-step homologous recombination, the suicide vector pG19II and SacB sucrose counter-selection (35). Energy-taxis was tested using soft agar swim plates (36) (sometimes called 'swarm' plates, though the motility is definitely swimming not swarming). In this assay, a small amount of cells are inoculated into a plate by stabbing a needle covered in culture into an agar plate containing a low (0.3%) percentage of agar and a high amount of carbon source (50mM) in minimal salts. As the culture grows and consumes the carbon source at the point

Figure 5: Normalized energy-taxis growth diameters in 50mM pyruvate of strains of *P. pseudoalcaligenes*

KF707 with deletions of *aer2, cttP* and *aer* homologs. Bars indicate the average growth diameter,

normalized to the wild-type, at both 24h and 48h from at least 3 experimental replicates. Wild-type strains

were normalized to the mean of technical replicates within each experiment. Error bars indicate standard

error. Stars indicate significant differences from the wild-type based on Tukey's Honest Significant

Differences test with a confidence value of 0.95. The *cheA::KmR* mutant was not grown with antibiotic

present.

of inoculation it will produce a ring as energy-tactic cells seek out a better place to grow. Non-

motile or non-energy tactic cells will produce a smaller ring (Supplementary Figure 4). All 24

mutants generated in this study, along with the wild-type and a CheA::KmR insertional

inactivation non-motile mutant (37) were tested in triplicate in media containing pyruvate (Figure

5) and succinate (Supplementary Figure 5). Ring diameters were normalized to the wild-type, then

tested for differences using Tukey's Honest Significant Differences test (Supplementary Table 3).

The diameter of the chemotactic negative CheA mutant was about 10% of the wild-type,

whereas energy-taxis negative mutants with diameters about 60% smaller were significantly

different from the wild-type (Figure 5). All the singular deletions of Aer.g1, Aer.g2, Aer.g4, Aer-

2 and CttP had no effect on energy-taxis; only strains that had Aer.g1 deleted in combination with

at least one other receptor were energy-taxis negative. This included both homologs, Aer-2 and

CttP. Conversely, deletion of any combination of these receptors, including the quadruple Aer-

2/CttP/Aer.g2/Aer.g4 mutant did not adversely affect energy-taxis. The Aer-2/Aer.g2/Aer.g4 triple

mutant had a diminished diameter, about 80% of the wild-type, but this was not significant. These

results from plates containing pyruvate were similar to those obtained using succinate

(Supplementary Figure 5), however those swim diameters were far more variable resulting in

larger error bars and lack of significant differences. The observed differences in swim diameters

were not due to differences in growth rate as the rate of growth of the swim diameters was similar

between all strains (Supplementary Figure 6, Supplementary Table 4). All strains were also tested

for chemotaxis towards pyruvate, glucose (Supplementary Figure 7) and succinate (data not

shown) using traditional swim plate assays, and all except the CheA::KmR mutant were able to

swim towards, pyruvate, succinate and glucose.

Discussion

In *Pseudomonas* the MCP Aer has been characterized as a receptor for the conflated

processes of aerotaxis and energy-taxis. The presence of multiple homologs in some species, of

which only one is functional, has led to confusion with a putative oxygen receptor as the former is

named Aer2 and the latter Aer-2. Here we combined a bioinformatics analysis of Aer within the

genus *Pseudomonas* with a molecular biology characterization of Aer homologs in the one species

(*P. pseudoalcaligenes* KF707) that possessed 3 Aer homologs, Aer-2 and the putative

tetrachloroethylene receptor CttP, revealing that energy-taxis and aerotaxis are linked but distinct

processes and that Aer is a family of patchily distributed receptors in *Pseudomonas.*

Sequence and genetics classify Aer homologs into 5 groups

The phylogeny and alignment of Aer sequences implied there were up to 7 different groups,

but comparison of sequence differences using SHMR (23) showed there were actually 5. All

groups varied in the same regions of the protein, except Aer.g2 which had unique regions at the N

and C termini and between the transmembrane helices and conserved methyl-accepting domain.

The most conserved domains were unsurprisingly the PAS ligand binding domain and CheW

interface region which confer the necessary functions of FAD cofactor binding/signal reception

(38) and signal transduction, respectively. Two other conserved regions were identified, on either

side of the CheW interface indicating their importance for the core function of the protein. The

conserved regions in the signaling region were better conserved than the predicted PAS domain,

though these domains are known to differ at the sequence level (38) so it is likely that proteins

from the different groups function similarly. Sequence based group assignments were supported

by the genomic context of the corresponding genes; there tended to be shared synteny in the

immediate vicinity of the *aer* genes from each group, though this also supported the existence of

additional groups. Including the branch of Aer.g1 (Figure 1, dotted box) within Aer.g1 was

supported by the SHMR analysis however these genes did not share synteny with the rest of Aer.g1.

These sequences represent the only duplications of Aer.g1 and only occurred in species which had

no other Aer homologs (except *P. azotoformans* S4). As the protein sequences do not differ as

much as the other groups, and the genomic context within this group differed, this may represent

a recent duplication event within these lineages. Phenotypic characterization of the two Aer

receptors in these species could be particularly enlightening to understanding why only some

Pseudomonas species have extra *aer* genes.

Aer.g1 is ancestral, prevalent and functional

The Aer.g1 homolog group was the closest to the root, with the exception of Aer from *P.*

rhizosphearae, indicating this group is ancestral to the other Aer groups. It was also the most

prevalent as all the *Pseudomonas* species included in this study had at least one homolog from this

group except *P. denitrificans* ATCC13867. This means that Aer is not part of the *Pseudomonas*

core genome, though it is likely ancestral and was lost in *P. denitrificans*. Interestingly this also

implies that the oxygen receptor Aer-2 is sufficient for the lifestyle of this organism, named for its

ability to use a terminal electron acceptor other than oxygen. All of the previously characterized

functional Aer homologs, from *P. aeruginosa* PA01 (8), *P. putida* F1 (39) and *P. putida* KT2440

(9) are part of the homolog group Aer.g1, which was demonstrated to be pivotal in *P.*

pseudoalcaligenes KF707. The functional Aer homolog from *P. putida* PRS2000 (11) was not

included in this study as this species genome has not been fully sequenced. The receptors from *P.*

putida F1 and KT2440 were both named Aer2 but the phylogeny presented here indicates they

belong to the Aer.g1 homolog group. As KF707 is the only strain where the other Aer homologs

have been shown to have semi-redundant functionality with Aer.g1, it is safe to predict that the

Aer.g1 homolog from other species will be the receptor responsible for energy-taxis.

Almost all *aer.g1* genes were associated with an aconitase gene, indicating that they are

likely co-transcribed. In *Helicobacter pylori,* TlpD, which controls tactic behaviour in low-energy

conditions has been found to interact with aconitase (40). Our results thus indicate that association

with aconitase is a common feature of energy-taxis receptors. While Aer cannot obtain electrons

for reducing the FAD co-factors from aconitase as the isomerization of citrate to isocitrate is a

non-redox process, this suggests that isocitrate dehydrogenase may be the step of the citric acid

cycle that is being sensed for energy-taxis.

A gene encoding a predicted CAAX amino protease was found adjacent to one third of

Aer.g1 homologs. This type of membrane-bound protease aids in prenylating proteins to ease their

membrane localization (41). The associated Aer.g1 proteins did not have the expected CAAX

motif though they had high sequence similarity to each other. There were no obvious features at

the sequence level that distinguished these sequences completely from other Aer homologs

indicating that the genetically associated CAAX protease may not necessarily be involved in their

functioning.

Other Aer groups likely have unique functions

As the 5 characterized Aer.g1 homologs appear to be broad-purpose energy-taxis sensors,

this implies that the other homolog groups may have a related, but different or more specific

function. The three Aer homologs of *P. putida* KT2440 were found to be differentially expressed

(9), supporting this hypothesis. In *E. coli* Aer is also a thermosensor (42) indicating another

possible role or they could aid in tuning the energy-taxis response similarly to how Tsr and Tar

from *E. coli* enable taxis towards the ideal pH from both higher and lower initial pHs (43). The

function of the additional Aer homologs could also be related to biofilm formation as Aer.g2 and

Aer.g3 were associated with their own PAS-domain containing diguanylate

cyclase/phosphodiesterase. Deletion of the diguanylate cyclase/phosphodiesterase associated with

Aer.g3 in *P. putida* KT2440 caused a general defect in motility (9) and was later shown to be a bi-

functional cyclic di-GMP phosphodiesterase and diguanylate cyclase formation (44). These two

homolog groups may thus be involved in energy-sensing behaviour in biofilms as c-di-GMP is an

important regulator in the transition from planktonic to sessile growth modes, though it will be

interesting to see if the putative diguanylate cyclase/phosphodiesterase associated with Aer.g2 is

also bifunctional and whether they both respond to the same signal. Aer.g4 could also have its own

distinct function, possibly transducing its signal not only to the *che* pathway but also to the

transcriptional regulator adjacent to it allowing for gene regulation in response to energy-sensing.

The function of Aer.g5 may be even more distant as it is the least similar from the others, though

it is likely a specific niche function since only two of the included species possessed copies. This

receptor definitely evolved in *Pseudomonas* though as all its closest relatives (by BLAST) are

Pseudomonas proteins. These results thus raise many questions about energy sensing and how it

is integrated into other cellular responses than flagellar swimming.

aer has been horizontally transferred

Multiple lines of evidence indicate that genes from the *aer* group have been horizontally

transferred. Foremost is the observed variation at the strain level as there were several noted

examples of differences in the number of homologs possessed by strains of the same species. 3

specific sequences, from *P. putida* HB3267, ND6 and *P. fulva* 12-X clustered beside Aer

sequences from unrelated species indicating they may have been obtained from those species. The

observation that many strains did not have the same complement of Aer homologs also supports

this notion. For example, of the 3 *P. pseudoalcaligenes* strains examined here, each one had a

drastically different complement of genes (CECT5344, *aer.g1*; AD6, *aer.g1/aer.g4/aer.g5*; KF707,

aer.g1/aer.g2/aer.g4/aer-2/cttP). This demonstrates the ancestrality of *aer.g1* and the variability

of other members, but also makes KF707 an outlier from the species. It having *aer-2* and *cttP*

indicates either that these have also been transferred horizontally or KF707 is more closely related

to *P. aeruginosa* than the other strains. As only the aeruginosa sub-group has those two genes they

were also likely horizontally transferred, albeit less recently than the various *aer* homologs.

The observed variation in the distribution of Aer homologs is unsurprising as even within

the *P. aeruginosa* pan-genome from 7 strains there are 2,000 accessory genes compared to 5,000

core (45). The fact that *aer.g1* almost qualifies as part of the genus core genome (*P. dentrificans*

being the only outlier) indicates that is a very useful gene in the varied environments inhabited by

Pseudomonas. The existence of the various homologs speaks to their utility as they each may

confer a more specific function. As many *Pseudomonas* species live in the soil and rhizosphere,

which promotes horizontal gene transfer (46), there would be ample opportunity for these *aer*

homolog genes to spread. Interestingly they appear to be restricted to *Pseudomonas* still as reverse

BLAST searches consistently turned up *Pseudomonas* sequences as the closest hits. Even more

compelling are the associated genes which were transferred alongside. The bifunctional

diguanylate cyclase/phosphodiesterase adjacent to *aer.g3* in *P. putida* KT2440 influences motility

(9) but this is only 1 of 4 c-di-GMP processing gene products found near *aer* homologs. The

function of these genes and the transcriptional regulator and DTW-domain protein beside *aer.g4*

are unknown and likely represent interesting connections of energy-sensing to other cellular

processes.

Aer-2 and CttP contribute to energy-taxis in *P. pseudoaligenes* KF707

CttP was characterized as a receptor for positive chemotaxis towards chlorinated ethylenes

(13), but this function may be fortuitous similar to how Tar and Tsr from *E. coli* can mediate

attractant and repellent responses to phenol through its direct interaction with the transmembrane

helices or cytoplasmic HAMP signaling domains (47). Here we showed that co-deletion of *cttP*

and a*er.g1* in *P. pseudoalcaligenes* KF707 abolished energy-taxis indicating that it is also an

energy-taxis receptor. This is likely untrue though as prediction of the domain architecture of CttP

indicates that it begins with two-transmembrane helices and does not have an N-terminal ligand

binding domain like other MCPs. Instead it has the unusual feature of a long (>100 AA) C-terminal

extension after the CheW interface region. It could thus be possible that CttP does not directly

sense any signal, but assists in forming MCP receptor complexes and/or linking them with the Che

complex. Overexpression of CttP (then called McpA) abolished all chemotaxis in both *P.*

aeruginosa and *E. coli* (36) further implicating it as general chemotaxis protein. MCPs have been

proposed to amplify signals by propagating ligand-binding induced changes to adjacent MCPS

(48). CttP could be performing such a function as it is expressed in stationary phase (49) and

overexpression induces a smooth-swimming phenotype (36).

The same abolishment of chemotaxis was observed when Aer-2 (McpB) was

overexpressed in both organisms (36). This could be related to its function of being necessary for

the complexation of the other Che proteins located in the same operon *che2* (49). Along with this

necessity, Aer-2 has a C-terminal extension that specifically allows CheR2, and only CheR2, to

methylate it (50), making it appear that the entire Che2 pathway exists to transduce the signal

sensed by Aer-2. In the current study we found that deletion of either *cttP* (*mcpA*) or *ae-r2* (*mcpB*)

in combination with *aer.g1* abolished energy-taxis indicating that there is a connection between

the receptors from the *che2* operon and energy-taxis. As these receptors are only present in a

narrow subsection of *Pseudomonas* species (the aeruginosa subgroup), which varied widely in

their number of Aer homologs, it is unlikely that this is a direct connection. The *in vitro*

biochemical studies of Aer-2 indicating that it binds oxygen and the demonstration that its

expression in *E. coli* causes a repellent response to oxygen (10), along with the original

characterization of Aer-2 as an aerotaxis receptor (8), strongly indicate that it is a true aerotaxis

receptor that binds oxygen. This fits with the observations we made in *P. pseudoalcaligenes*

KF707 where the deletion of *aer.g1* and *aer-2* resulted in an energy-tactic negative phenotype. In

the soft agar energy-taxis swim plate assay used in this study, oxygen as well as metabolizable

carbon source would be diminished at the center of the growth ring. This would allow the energy-

sensing of Aer and oxygen sensing of Aer-2 to work together, e.g. in the Aer.g1 and Aer.g2/Aer.g4

mutants that did not display diminished energy-taxis.

Conclusions

In *Pseudomonas* Aer does not simply refer to a single receptor for energy-taxis, but to a

family of receptors of mostly unknown function. The most ancestral Aer, here named Aer.g1, is

indeed an energy-taxis receptor, though other proteins may contribute to its function, including

other Aer family members. In *P. pseudoalcaligenes* KF707, unique among *Pseudomonas* species

for having 3 Aer homologs, Aer-2 and CttP, also uses these other receptors for energy-taxis.

Though as most species do not have these receptors and other evidence indicates their true purpose

this may be a minor function. Apart from Aer.g1, Aer family members, Aer-2 and CttP have likely

been horizontally transferred within the genus indicating that all these receptors play important

roles in *Pseudomonas* physiology.

Materials and Methods

Protein Sequences

All sequences were obtained using NCBI databases and tools (14) in May 2016. BLAST searches (15) (pBLAST for draft and completed genomes, tBLASTn for whole-genome shotgun genomes) were performed using *P. aeruginosa* PA01 Aer (NP_0250252.1) as a query sequence. From each species, all hits with >95% sequence coverage, no matter how low the sequence identity, were selected for inclusion. BLAST did not return any results with coverage values between 67% and 95%, indicating that all included sequences were true Aer homologs. Expect values were always below 1×10^{-100}. Two sequences were removed as they were redundant entries resulting from incorrect start site annotations resulting in two proteins with the same C-terminus but slightly different N-termini. Two more sequences were removed for similar reasons. Sequence accession numbers were thus obtained from the international nucleotide sequence database collaboration (INSDC) (16). NCBI Entrez was used to obtain FASTA formatted sequences which were then compiled into a single file. A sequence to be used as a root was also acquired by BLASTing NP_250252.1 against the NCBI database, but excluding any *Pseudomonas* sequences from the results. WP_0027981445.1 from an unknown gamma proteobacterium L18 was thus obtained.

Alignment

Two alignments were made, with and without the root, using COBALT (17). The default settings were used as adjustment did not improve the alignment noticeably. As this alignment had numerous unnecessary gaps introduced, these were manually removed by adjusting the alignment in Jalview (18), which was then re-aligned using MUSCLE (19). Names were cleaned up using a custom script in R (20). The alignments were input into the Mobyle web platform (21) and maximum likelihood phylogenies were constructed using PHYML (22). PHYML options: Amino-

Acids, 100 bootstrap replicates, JTT amino-acid substitution model, BEST tree topology search

operation, tree topology, branch length and rate parameters were optimized. Consense was then

used to generate a consensus tree from the bootstrap replicates using the majority rule (extended),

and only treating the tree as rooted when the non-pseudomonas root was included.

Sequence Harmony and Multi-Relief Analysis to Determine Groups

The multi-Harmony server was used to apply sequence harmony and multi-relief (SHMR)

to validate groupings made based on the ML tree and alignment. Groups were manually decided

based on the tree topology and corresponding alignment, initially making for 7 groups. These

seven groups were compared in pair-wise fashion using SHMR (23). Thus for each pair of groups

a score was calculated for each amino acid that indicated how conserved it was within groups and

how divergent it was between groups, a score of 1 indicating perfect conservation within and

perfect divergence between. Empirical cumulative distribution functions (ECDF) were plotted for

each comparison and the percent of AAs above the 0.8 cutoff recommended by the SHMR authors

was determined. As most comparisons resulted in 25% of AAs reaching this threshold, the two

pairs of groups that, when compared, only had 10% of AAs above the cutoff, were deemed

incorrect group assignments. These groups were merged and the process repeated to produce 5

groups that all had ~25% of AAs above the cutoff threshold. Group 5 was consistently excluded

as it only contains 2 highly divergent sequences.

Comparison of Groups

Unique regions of each group of Aer homologs were identified by comparing the SHMR

scores for each pair-wise comparison with the overall conservation score of each AA and the

domain architecture of the Aer protein. Conservation scores were obtained from Jalview (18), and

along with the SHMR scores were smoothed and plotted using ggplot2 (24) in R. Smoothing was

performed by calculating the average of the 3 proceeding and following AAs for each position.

The domain architecture of Aer was obtained from the conserved domain database (CDD) (25).

<u>Distribution of Groups</u>

Based on the ML tree, the number of times a strain appeared in each group was counted.

This matrix was then inverted (now indicating number of Aer homologs per group for each strain).

A hierarchically clustered heatmap using Bray-Curtis distance and average clustering was made

in R. Presence of Aer-2 (NP_248866.1) and CttP (WP_003106690.1) were determined using

BLAST searches specifically against the strains. Pseudogenes were detected by BLASTing the

nucleotide sequence of Aer.

<u>Detection of Evidence of Horizontal Gene Transfer</u>

Graphical representations of the Aer homologs nucleotide sequences were manually

inspected on NCBI. The first two genes upstream and downstream of the *aer* homolog were noted,

along with any mobile elements (transposase, integrase and inverted repeats) within 5kb. For each

different upstream and downstream gene their frequency of occurrence was calculated for each

homolog group. The frequency of occurrence of each type of mobile element was also calculated,

as well as for the complete set of homologs.

<u>Culture Growth</u>

For molecular biology, cultures were routinely cultured in lysogeny broth (LB, 5g/L yeast

extract, 10g/L Tryptone, 10g/L NaCl). For energy-taxis experiments *P. pseudoalcaligenes* KF707

strains were grown overnight (16h) in minimal salts media containing 10mM pyruvate or succinate.

Minimal salts media contained (in g/L) K_2HPO_4, 3; NaH_2PO_4, 1.15; NH_4Cl, 1; KCl, 0.15; $MgSO_4$,

0.15; $CaCl_2$, 0.01; $FeSO_4$, 0.0025. The latter four were sterile filtered and added after autoclaving.

 Generation of Deletion Constructs and Mutants

Nucleotide sequences for Aer.g1, Aer.g2, Aer.g4, Aer-2 and CttP were obtained from the

draft genome sequence of *P. pseudoalcaligenes* KF707 (26). Primers for a ~500bp region up and

downstream of each region were generated using Primer BLAST (27). Benchling was used to alter

the primers, adding BamHI or HinDIII restriction sites to the outer primers and the reverse-

complement of the other inner primer to each of the internal primers. Genomic DNA was isolated

by the phenol/chloroform method (28). In separate PCR reactions the upstream and downstream

fragments were amplified using Hi-Fidelity (HF) Enzyme mix (Fisher Scientific, USA). Fragments

were purified by gel extraction using an EZDNA kit (Omega Bio-Tek, USA) then pooled and used

as the template for the second PCR reaction using only the outer primers. The pG19II vector(29),

purified using an EZDNA plasmid mini kit II (Omega Bio-Tek, USA), and insert were digested

using BamHI and HinDIII (Invitrogen, USA). Digestion products were purified then ligated

together using T4 ligase (Invitrogen, USA. Ligations were transformed either directly into *E. coli*

Top10F' or first into DH5α chemical competent cells using standard methods (28). White colonies

were picked from LB X-Gal gentamycin (20µg/mL) plates and their plasmids isolated and

screened for a ~1kb bandshift. Those with the appropriate shift were sequenced (Eurofins, USA)

to confirm the correct insert sequence. To delete the genes from the *P. pseudoalcaligenes* KF707

genome, the deletion construct containing plasmids were introduced by conjugation. Cultures of

E. coli HB101 carrying the helper plasmid pRK2013 (30) and *E. coli* Top10F' carrying the deletion

construct in pG19II were grown to early log-phase (OD ~0.3) along with the KF707 wild-type, or

later, deletion mutants. Donor, helper and recipients were mixed and plated, grown overnight then

the cell mass was collected and spread on AB glucose plates (5g/L glucose, minimal salts media)

containing 20µg/mL gentamycin for 48h at 30°C.Transconjugant colonies were picked off the AB

glucose Gm plates into LB no salt, LB 10% sucrose and LB + 20µg/mL Gm. Colonies that were

able to grow with Gm but not (at all) with sucrose were selected for continued use. The LB no salt

overnight culture was used to inoculate LB 10% sucrose. After 4h, the culture was plated on LB

10% sucrose and colonies were screened to determine if the deletion occurred. Colony PCR was

used to find those that had ONLY a band at ~1Kbp which were sent for sequencing (Eurofins,

USA) to confirm the deletion.

Energy-taxis Swim Plates

Swim plates were made by making minimal salts media with 0.3% agar and 50mM

succinate or pyruvate. Strains were grown overnight in minimal salts media containing 10mM of

the appropriate carbon source. An inoculation needle was sterilized by ethanol and flaming then

dipped into the overnight culture and carefully stabbed into the swim plate. The needle was re-

inoculated for each stab and was re-sterilized for each strain. The diameter of growth for each

strain was measured at 24 and 48h either manually using a ruler or digitally using a photograph

and ImageJ (31). The experiment was repeated at least 3 times for all strains in each media, always

including at least one wildtype to be used as a normalizing control. Collected data were processed

in R to normalize the size of the growth diameter to the corresponding wildtype size at 24 and 48h.

The data were analyzed in a number of ways and it was determined that pooling the normalized

data from 24 and 48h to produce at least 6 replicates resulted in smaller error bars. Tukey's Honest

Significant Differences test was used to determine if the differences between strains were

significant for each carbon source. As the TukeyHSD() function in R (20) tests ALL pair-wise

comparisons, only those comparing each strain to the wild-type are presented here. However, this

makes these results more robust as the false positive correction for a confidence level of 0.95 was

applied to all 325 comparisons which were tested.

 Chemotaxis Swim Plates

Strains were grown up overnight as before, then 1mL was pelleted, washed once with 1mL

minimal salts media (no carbon source) then resuspended in 100µL minimal salts media (no carbon

source). 20µL was spotted at the edge of a minimal salts media plate containing no carbon source

and 0.3% agar. Either an agar plug containing 50mM carbon source or small amount of crystals

was placed in the centre and plates were incubated overnight at 30°C. Plates were photographed

and positive chemotaxis was interpreted as an arc of cells nearer to the centre of the plate than the

spot had been placed.

Funding Information

This project was funded by Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of

Canada Discovery Grant RGPIN/219895-2010 to RJT.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Iain George and Dr. Gordon Chua for use of their imaging setup

for photographing the swim plates. We thank Dr. Martina Cappelletti for assistance with the

colony PCR and her insights into KF707 physiology and genomic interpretation.

References

1.   **Wadhams GH**, **Armitage JP**. 2004. Making sense of it all: Bacterial chemotaxis.

2.   **Sampedro I**, **Parales RE**, **Krell T**, **Hill JE**. 2015. Pseudomonas chemotaxis. FEMS

Microbiol Rev **39**:17–46.

3.   **Krell T**. 2015. Tackling the bottleneck in bacterial signal transduction research: high-

throughput identification of signal molecules. Mol Microbiol **96**:685–8.

4.   **Beijerinck MW**. 1893. Ueber atmungsfiguren beweglicher bakterien. Zentrabl Bakteriol

Parasitenkd **14**:827–845.

5.   **Engelmann TW**. 1881. Neue Methode zur Untersuchung der Sauerstoffausscheidung

pflanzlicher und thierischer Organismen. Pflüger, Arch für die Gesammte Physiol des

Menschen und der Thiere **25**:285–292.

6.   **Bibikov SI**, **Biran R**, **Rudd KE**, **Parkinson JS**. 1997. A signal transducer for aerotaxis in

Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol **179**:4075–4079.

7.   **Rebbapragada A**, **Johnson MS**, **Harding GP**, **Zuccarelli AJ**, **Fletcher HM**, **Zhulin IB**,

Taylor BL. 1997. The Aer protein and the serine chemoreceptor Tsr independently sense

intracellular energy levels and transduce oxygen, redox, and energy signals for

Escherichia coli behavior. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **94**:10541–6.

8.   **Hong CS**, **Shitashiro M**, **Kuroda A**, **Ikeda T**, **Takiguchi N**, **Ohtake H**, **Kato J**. 2004.

Chemotaxis proteins and transducers for aerotaxis in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. FEMS

Microbiol Lett **231**:247–252.

9.   **Sarand I**, **Österberg S**, **Holmqvist S**, **Holmfeldt P**, **Skärfstad E**, **Parales RE**, **Shingler**

V. 2008. Metabolism-dependent taxis towards (methyl)phenols is coupled through the

most abundant of three polar localized Aer-like proteins of Pseudomonas putida. Environ

Microbiol **10**:1320–1334.

10. **Watts KJ**, **Taylor BL**, **Johnson MS**. 2011. PAS/poly-HAMP signalling in Aer-2, a

soluble haem-based sensor. Mol Microbiol **79**:686–99.

11. **Nichols NN**, **Harwood CS**. 2000. An aerotaxis transducer gene from Pseudomonas

putida. FEMS Microbiol Lett **182**:177–183.

12. **Parales RE**, **Luu R a.**, **Chen GY**, **Liu X**, **Wu V**, **Lin P**, **Hughes JG**, **Nesteryuk V**,

Parales J V., **Ditty JL**. 2013. Pseudomonas putida F1 has multiple chemoreceptors with

overlapping specificity for organic acids. Microbiol (United Kingdom) **159**:1086–1096.

13. **Shitashiro M**, **Tanaka H**, **Hong CS**, **Kuroda A**, **Takiguchi N**, **Ohtake H**, **Kato J**. 2005.

Identification of chemosensory proteins for trichloroethylene in Pseudomonas aeruginosa.

J Biosci Bioeng **99**:396–402.

14. **Tatusova T**, **Ciufo S**, **Fedorov B**, **O'Neill K**, **Tolstoy I**. 2014. RefSeq microbial genomes

database: new representation and annotation strategy. Nucleic Acids Res **42**:D553-9.

15. **Altschul SF**, **Gish W**, **Miller W**, **Myers EW**, **Lipman DJ**. 1990. Basic local alignment

search tool. J Mol Biol **215**:403–10.

16. **Cochrane G**, **Karsch-Mizrachi I**, **Takagi T**, **International Nucleotide Sequence**

Database Collaboration IN. 2016. The International Nucleotide Sequence Database

Collaboration. Nucleic Acids Res **44**:D48-50.

17. **Papadopoulos JS**, **Agarwala R**. 2007. COBALT: Constraint-based alignment tool for

multiple protein sequences. Bioinformatics **23**:1073–1079.

18. **Waterhouse AM**, **Procter JB**, **Martin DMA**, **Clamp M**, **Barton GJ**. 2009. Jalview

Version 2--a multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench. Bioinformatics

25:1189–91.

19. **Edgar RC**. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high

throughput. Nucleic Acids Res **32**:1792–1797.

20. **R Core Team**. 2014. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Found

Stat Comput Vienna, Austria 2014.

21. **Neron B**, **Menager H**, **Maufrais C**, **Joly N**, **Maupetit J**, **Letort S**, **Carrere S**, **Tuffery**

P, **Letondal C**. 2009. Mobyle: a new full web bioinformatics framework. Bioinformatics

25:3005–3011.

22. **Guindon S**, **Gascuel O**. 2003. A Simple, Fast, and Accurate Algorithm to Estimate Large

Phylogenies by Maximum Likelihood. Syst Biol **52**:696–704.

23. **Brandt BW**, **Feenstra KA**, **Heringa J**. 2010. Multi-Harmony: detecting functional

specificity from sequence alignment. Nucleic Acids Res **38**:W35-40.

24. **Wickham H**. 2011. ggplot2. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Comput Stat **3**:180–185.

25. **Marchler-Bauer A**, **Derbyshire MK**, **Gonzales NR**, **Lu S**, **Chitsaz F**, **Geer LY**, **Geer**

RC, **He J**, **Gwadz M**, **Hurwitz DI**, **Lanczycki CJ**, **Lu F**, **Marchler GH**, **Song JS**,

Thanki N, **Wang Z**, **Yamashita RA**, **Zhang D**, **Zheng C**, **Bryant SH**. 2015. CDD:

NCBI's conserved domain database. Nucleic Acids Res **43**:D222-6.

26.   **Triscari-Barberi T**, **Simone D**, **Calabrese FM**, **Attimonelli M**, **Hahn KR**, **Amoako**

640   **KK**, **Turner RJ**, **Fedi S**, **Zannoni D**. 2012. Genome sequence of the polychlorinated-

641   biphenyl degrader pseudomonas pseudoalcaligenes KF707. J Bacteriol **194**:4426–4427.

642   27.   **Ye J**, **Coulouris G**, **Zaretskaya I**, **Cutcutache I**, **Rozen S**, **Madden TL**. 2012. Primer-

643   BLAST: a tool to design target-specific primers for polymerase chain reaction. BMC

644   Bioinformatics **13**:134.

645   28.   **Sambrook J**, **Fritsch EF**, **Maniatis T**. 1989. No Title. Mol Biol A Lab Man.

646   29.   **Maseda H**, **Sawada I**, **Saito K**, **Uchiyama H**, **Nakae T**, **Nomura N**. 2004. Enhancement

647   of the mexAB-oprM efflux pump expression by a quorum-sensing autoinducer and its

648   cancellation by a regulator, MexT, of the mexEF-oprN efflux pump operon in

649   Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **48**:1320–8.

650   30.   **Figurski DH**, **Helinski DR**. 1979. Replication of an origin-containing derivative of

651   plasmid RK2 dependent on a plasmid function provided in trans. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S

652   A **76**:1648–52.

653   31.   **Schneider CA**, **Rasband WS**, **Eliceiri KW**. 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of

654   image analysis. Nat Methods **9**:671–675.

655   32.   **Bodilis J**, **Nsigue Meilo S**, **Cornelis P**, **De Vos P**, **Barray S**. 2011. A long-branch

656   attraction artifact reveals an adaptive radiation in pseudomonas. Mol Biol Evol **28**:2723–

657   6.

658   33.   **Gomila M**, **Peña A**, **Mulet M**, **Lalucat J**, **García-Valdés E**. 2015. Phylogenomics and

659   systematics in Pseudomonas. Front Microbiol **6**:214.

660   34.   **Livermore JA**, **Emrich SJ**, **Tan J**, **Jones SE**. 2014. Freshwater bacterial lifestyles

661   inferred from comparative genomics. Environ Microbiol **16**:746–758.

662   35.   **Hmelo LR**, **Borlee BR**, **Almblad H**, **Love ME**, **Randall TE**, **Tseng BS**, **Lin C**, **Irie Y**,

663   **Storek KM**, **Yang JJ**, **Siehnel RJ**, **Howell PL**, **Singh PK**, **Tolker-Nielsen T**, **Parsek**

664   **MR**, **Schweizer HP**, **Harrison JJ**. 2015. Precision-engineering the Pseudomonas

665   aeruginosa genome with two-step allelic exchange. Nat Protoc **10**:1820–1841.

666   36.   **Ferrandez A**, **Hawkins AC**, **Summerfield DT**, **Harwood CS**. 2002. Cluster II che Genes

667   from Pseudomonas aeruginosa Are Required for an Optimal Chemotactic Response. J

668   Bacteriol **184**:4374–4383.

669   37.   **Tremaroli V**, **Fedi S**, **Tamburini S**, **Viti C**, **Tatti E**, **Ceri H**, **Turner RJ**, **Zannoni D**.

670   2011. A histidine-kinase cheA gene of Pseudomonas pseudoalcaligens KF707 not only

671   has a key role in chemotaxis but also affects biofilm formation and cell metabolism.

672   Biofouling **27**:33–46.

673   38.   **Henry JT**, **Crosson S**. 2011. Ligand-Binding PAS Domains in a Genomic, Cellular, and

674   Structural Context. Annu Rev Microbiol **65**:261–286.

675   39.   **Luu R a.**, **Schneider BJ**, **Ho CC**, **Nesteryuk V**, **Ngwesse SE**, **Liu X**, **Parales J V.**, **Ditty**

676   **JL**, **Parales RE**. 2013. Taxis of Pseudomonas putida F1 toward phenylacetic acid is

677   mediated by the energy taxis receptor AER2. Appl Environ Microbiol **79**:2416–2423.

678   40.   **Behrens W**, **Schweinitzer T**, **McMurry JL**, **Loewen PC**, **Buettner FFR**, **Menz S**,

679   **Josenhans C**. 2016. Localisation and protein-protein interactions of the Helicobacter

680   pylori taxis sensor TlpD and their connection to metabolic functions. Sci Rep **6**:23582.

41.   **Pei J**, **Mitchell DA**, **Dixon JE**, **Grishin N V.** 2011. Expansion of Type II CAAX

Proteases Reveals Evolutionary Origin of γ-Secretase Subunit APH-1Journal of Molecular

Biology.

42.   **Nishiyama S**, **Ohno S**, **Ohta N**, **Inoue Y**, **Fukuoka H**, **Ishijima A**, **Kawagishi I**. 2010.

Thermosensing function of the Escherichia coli redox sensor Aer. J Bacteriol **192**:1740–3.

43.   **Yang Y**, **Sourjik V**. 2012. Opposite responses by different chemoreceptors set a tunable

preference point in *Escherichia coli* pH taxis. Mol Microbiol **86**:1482–1489.

44.   **Österberg S**, **Åberg A**, **Herrera Seitz MK**, **Wolf-Watz M**, **Shingler V**. 2013. Genetic

dissection of a motility-associated c-di-GMP signalling protein of Pseudomonas putida.

Environ Microbiol Rep **5**:556–65.

45.   **Qiu X**, **Kulasekara BR**, **Lory S**. 2009. Role of Horizontal Gene Transfer in the Evolution

of Pseudomonas aeruginosa Virulence. Genome Dyn **6**:126–39.

46.   **Sengeløv G**, **Kristensen KJ**, **Sørensen AH**, **Kroer N**, **Sørensen SJ**. 2001. Effect of

Genomic Location on Horizontal Transfer of a Recombinant Gene Cassette Between

Pseudomonas Strains in the Rhizosphere and Spermosphere of Barley Seedlings. Curr

Microbiol **42**:160–167.

47.   **Pham HT**, **Parkinson JS**. 2011. Phenol sensing by Escherichia coli chemoreceptors: a

nonclassical mechanism. J Bacteriol **193**:6597–604.

48.   **Wolanin PM**, **Stock JB**. 2004. Bacterial Chemosensing: Cooperative Molecular Logic.

Curr Biol **14**:R486–R487.

49.   **Güvener ZT**, **Tifrea DF**, **Harwood CS**. 2006. Two different Pseudomonas aeruginosa

chemosensory signal transduction complexes localize to cell poles and form and remould

in stationary phase. Mol Microbiol **61**:106–18.

50.   **García-Fontana C**, **Corral Lugo A**, **Krell T**. 2014. Specificity of the CheR2

methyltransferase in Pseudomonas aeruginosa is directed by a C-terminal pentapeptide in

the McpB chemoreceptor. Sci Signal **7**:ra34.

<u>**Supplementary Figure and Table Captions**</u>

Supplementary Figure 1: Maximum likelihood consensus tree showing phylogenetic relationship

between Aer homolog protein sequences from select *Pseudomonas* species, rooted using the

closest available non-*Pseudomonas* sequence. Tree was generated unrooted, then then rooted to

the known outgroup. Numbers at nodes indicate bootstrap support values from 100 replicates.

Supplementary Figure 2: Empirical cumulative distribution function of amino acid multi-relief

scores for pair-wise comparisons of preliminary Aer homolog groups. Only scores above 0.5 are

shown, and accumulation above 40%. The dotted line at 0.8 allows to easily see what percent of

amino acid positions for each comparison are above this cutoff. E.g. only 10% of amino acid

positions in the 1vs2 comparison and even less in the 3Avs3B comparison are above this cutoff.

Conversely, there is between 25% and 35% of amino acid positions above the cutoff for all other

comparisons.

Supplementary Figure 3: Empirical cumulative distribution function of amino acid multi-relief

scores for pair-wise comparisons of adjusted Aer homolog groups. Only scores above 0.5 are

shown, and accumulation above 40%. The dotted line at 0.8 allows to easily see what percent of

amino acid positions for each comparison are above this cutoff. All comparisons show that

between 25% and 25% of amino acid positions are above the cutoff.

Supplementary Figure 4: Photographs of energy-taxis swim plates after 24h of growth at 30°C in

50mM pyruvate. Energy-taxis swim plates of *P. pseudoalcaligenes* strains with deletions in *aer-2*,

cttP and *aer* homologs after 24h growth at 30°C in 50mM pyruvate.

Supplementary Figure 5: Normalized energy-taxis growth diameters in 50mM succinate of strains

of *P. pseudoalcaligenes* KF707 with deletions of *aer-2, cttP* and *aer* homologs. Bars indicate the

average growth diameter, normalized to the wild-type, at both 24h and 48h from at least 3

experimental replicates. Wild-type strains were normalized to the mean of technical replicates

within each experiment. Error bars indicate standard error. Stars indicate significant differences

from the wild-type based on Tukey's Honest Significant Differences test with a confidence value

of 0.95. The *cheA::KmR* mutant was not grown with antibiotic present.

Supplementary Figure 6: Mean raw and normalized growth rates of energy-taxis swim-growth-

diameters of strains of *P. pseudoalcaligenes* KF707 with deletions of *aer-2, cttP* and *aer* homologs.

Growth rates were calculated by dividing the diameter at 48h by the diameter at 24h. Normalized

rates were calculated from diameters that were normalized to the wild-type for each experiment at

each time point. Stars indicate significant differences from the corresponding wild-type according

to Tukey's Honest Significant Differences test.

Supplementary Figure 7: Chemotaxis swim assays of *P. pseudoalcaligenes* strains with deletions

in *aer-2, cttP* and *aer* homologs. Strains were grown overnight, concentrated then spotted on

minimal salts plates containing 0.3% agar. Either 50mM pyruvate in 1.5% agar or crystals of

glucose were placed in the centre of the plates. Photographs were taken after 24h.

Supplementary Table 1: Frequency of association of mobile elements within 5kb of *aer* genes.

Supplementary Table 2: Frequency of occurrence of genes neighbouring *aer* homologs in

Pseudomonas.

Supplementary Table 3: Tukey Honest Significant Differences results comparing differences in

normalized energy-taxis diameter in pyruvate or succinate plates. HSD test compared all pairs of

strains, only comparisons to the wild-type are presented here. P values were computed using a 0.95

confidence value, those below 0.05 were taken as significant.

Supplementary Table 4: P values from Tukey HSD results comparing differences in raw and

normalized energy-taxis diameter growth rates in pyruvate or succinate plates. Growth rates were

obtained by dividing the raw or normalized diameter at 48h by the value at 24h. HSD test compared

all pairs of strains, only comparisons to the wild-type are presented here. P values were computed

using a 0.95 confidence value, those below 0.05 were taken as significant.