UNC-5 (UNC5) Regulates the Length and Number of Processes that *Caenorhabditis elegans* Neurons Can Develop

Gerard Limerick[*, †], Xia Tang[*, †], Won Suk Lee[*, †], Ahmed Mohamed[*], Aseel Al-Aamiri[*], and William G. Wadsworth[*]

[*]Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Rutgers Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, Piscataway, NJ 08854

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work

running title: neuronal outgrowth patterning

corresponding author:

William G. Wadsworth

Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine

Rutgers Robert Wood Johnson Medical School

675 Hoes Lane West

Piscataway, NJ 08854-5635

732-235-5768

william.wadsworth@rwjms.rutgers.edu

Abstract

Neurons extend processes that vary in number, length, and direction of outgrowth. Extracellular molecules act as cues to regulate this patterning. In *Caenorhabditis elegans,* neurons respond to the UNC-6 (netrin) cue via UNC-5 (UNC5) and UNC-40 (DCC) receptors. Here we present evidence that UNC-5 regulates the length and number of processes that neurons develop. Genetic analysis suggests UNC-5 functions with UNC-6 and EGL-20 (wnt), the SAX-3 (Robo) receptor, and the cytoplasmic proteins UNC-53 (NAV2), MIG-15 (NIK kinase), and MADD-2 (TRIM) to regulate the asymmetric localization of UNC-40 to a surface of the neuron. We have postulated that UNC-40 polarization is self-organizing and that the surface to which UNC-40 localizes and mediates outgrowth is stochastically determined. At any instance of time, there is a probability that UNC-40-mediated outgrowth will occur in a specific direction. We find that UNC-5 activity reduces the degree to which the direction of outgrowth fluctuations over time. Random walk modeling predicts that by decreasing the fluctuation UNC-5 activity increases the mean-squared distance that outgrowth movement could covered over a given time. This suggests that UNC-5 activity creates outgrowth patterns by varying the rate of outgrowth along a surface of the neuron.

Introduction

During development, an intricate network of neuronal connections is established. As processes extend from the cell bodies of neurons distinct patterns of outgrowth emerge. Some extensions remain as a single process, whereas others branch and form multiple processes. If they branch, the extensions can travel in the same or in different directions. Processes vary in length. Extracellular cues are known to influence this patterning, but the underlying logic that govern the formation of patterns remains a mystery.

The secreted extracellular UNC-6 (netrin) molecule and its receptors, UNC-5 (UNC5) and UNC-40 (DCC) are highly conserved in invertebrates and vertebrates, and are known to play key roles in cell and axon migrations. In *Caenorhabditis elegans*, UNC-6 is produced by ventral cells and is thought to form a gradient with its highest concentration around the ventral midline (WADSWORTH *et al.* 1996; WADSWORTH AND HEDGECOCK 1996; ASAKURA *et al.* 2007). It's been observed that neurons that express the receptor UNC-40 (DCC) extend axons ventrally, towards the UNC-6 sources; whereas neurons that express the receptor UNC-5 (UNC5) alone or in combination with UNC-40 extend axons dorsally, away from the UNC-6 sources (HEDGECOCK *et al.* 1990; LEUNG-HAGESTEIJN *et al.* 1992; CHAN *et al.* 1996; WADSWORTH *et al.* 1996).

It is thought that the function of UNC-5 (UNC5) in axon development is to mediate a repulsive response by the neuron to UNC-6 (netrin) (LEUNG-HAGESTEIJN *et al.* 1992; HONG *et al.* 1999; KELEMAN AND DICKSON 2001; MOORE *et al.* 2007). This drives outgrowth away from the UNC-6 (netrin) sources. However recent studies suggest that UNC-5 in *C. elegans* also plays a role in orienting outgrowth towards, and perpendicular, to the UNC-6 source. For

example, a synergistic interaction between UNC-5 and EGL-20 has been suggested for the

guidance of AVM and PVM axons towards the UNC-6 source. In either *unc-5* or *egl-20*

mutants the ventral extension of AVM and PVM axons is only slightly impaired, whereas in

the double mutants there is a much greater penetrance (LEVY-STRUMPF AND CULOTTI 2014).

EGL-20 (Wnt) is a secreted cue expressed from posterior sources (PAN *et al.* 2006). In the

HSN neuron, both UNC-5 and EGL-20 affect to which surface the UNC-40 receptor will

localize and, correspondingly, they affect the direction of HSN extension (KULKARNI *et al.*

2013). Finally, in different genetic backgrounds UNC-5 has been observed to affect

outgrowth along the anterior-posterior directions (LEVY-STRUMPF AND CULOTTI 2007; WATARI-

GOSHIMA *et al.* 2007; LEVY-STRUMPF AND CULOTTI 2014; LEVY-STRUMPF *et al.* 2015; BHAT AND

HUTTER 2016).

UNC-5 activity has also been implicated in axon branching and length of extension. We

previously reported that loss of UNC-5 function, or of the receptor SAX-3 (Robo),

suppresses branch formation that is induced by the expression of the N-terminal fragment of

UNC-6, UNC-6ΔC (LIM *et al.* 1999). A characteristic of the response that a neuron has to

UNC-6ΔC is that additional processes arise which are still guided by UNC-6ΔC (LIM *et al.*

1999). In other words, UNC-6ΔC can trigger the formation of additional processes from a

neuron without seriously compromising the guidance response that the neuron has to UNC-

6ΔC. A screen for mutations that suppress this patterning activity revealed that intercellular

signaling pathways regulate the ability of UNC-6ΔC to form new extension patterns (WANG

AND WADSWORTH 2002). This observation indicates that the additional processes arise

because the neuron's response to UNC-6ΔC internally patterns new sites of outgrowth

extension. UNC-5 and SAX-3 appear to regulate this signaling. In addition, we reported

that the overextension of the PLM axon which occurs in *rpm-1* mutants can be suppressed

by loss of SAX-3 or UNC-5 function (Lɪ *et al.* 2008). These branching and extension

phenotypes are difficult to reconcile with the notion that the role of UNC-5 is simply to

mediate repulsion away from UNC-6 sources, and they suggest that our understanding of

UNC-5 function is incomplete.

In this paper, we show that UNC-5 regulates the length and number of extensions that

neurons can form. We further present evidence indicating that UNC-5 (UNC5) functions

together with UNC-6 (netrin) and EGL-20 (wnt), the SAX-3 (Robo) receptor, and the UNC-

53 (NAV2), MIG-15 (NIK kinase), and MADD-2 (TRIM) cytoplasmic proteins to regulate

UNC-40 asymmetric localization at a surface of the HSN neuron. Finally, we discuss how

UNC-5 could control the length and number of extensions by regulating the asymmetric

localization of UNC-40.

Materials and Methods

Strains

Strains were handled at 20 ℃ by using standard methods (Brenner, 1974) unless stated

otherwise. A Bristol strain N2 was used as wild type. The following alleles were used: **LGI**,

unc-40(e1430), unc-40(ur304), zdIs5[mec-4::GFP]; **LGII**, *unc-53(n152)*; **LGIV,** *unc-5(e152),*

unc-5(e53), unc-5(ev480), unc-5(ev585),egl-20(n585), kyIs262[unc-86::myr-GFP;odr-

1::dsRed]; **LGIV,** *madd-2(ky592), madd-2(tr103)*; **LGX**, *mig-15(rh148), unc-6(ev400)*, *sax-*

3(ky123), sax-3(ky200).

Transgenes maintained as extrachromosomal arrays included: *kyEx1212 [unc-86::unc-40-*

GFP;odr-1::dsRed].

Analysis of axon outgrowth and cell body position

HSN neurons were visualized using expression of the transgene *kyIs262[unc-86::myr-GFP].*

The mechanosensory neurons, AVM, ALM, and PLM, were visualized using the expression

of the transgene *zdIs5[Pmec-4::GFP]*. Synchronized worms were obtained by allowing eggs

to hatch overnight in M9 buffer without food. The larval stage was determined by using

differential interference contrast (DIC) microscopy to examine the gonad cell number and

gonad size. Staged nematodes larvae were mounted on a 5% agarose pad with 10 mM

levamisole buffer. Images were taken using epifluorescent microscopy with a Zeiss 63X

water immersion objective.

The number of process during early L1 larval stage was scored by counting the number of

processes that extended for a distance greater than the length of one cell body. We report

whether there were no such processes, one process or more than one processes. In the L2

larval stage, a single early process was scored if there was only one major extension from

the ventral leading edge. The HSN cell body in L2 stage larvae was scored as dorsal if the

cell body had failed to migrate ventrally and was not positioned near the PLM axon. In L4

stage larvae, a multiple ventral processes phenotype was scored if more than one major

extension protruded from the ventral side of cell body.

Extension into the nerve ring was scored as defective if the axon did not extend further than

approximately half the width of the nerve ring. Anterior extension was scored as defective if

the axon did not extend further anteriorly than the nerve ring. PLM axons are scored as

over-extending if they extended further anterior than the position of the ALM cell body.

Analysis of the direction of HSN outgrowth

HSN was visualized using the transgene *kyIs262[unc-86::myr-GFP]*. L4 stage larvae were

mounted on a 5% agarose pad with 10 mM levamisole buffer. An anterior protrusion was

scored if the axon extended from the anterior side of the cell body for a distance greater

than the length of three cell bodies. A dorsal or posterior protrusion was scored if the axon

extended dorsally or posteriorly for a distance greater than two cell body lengths. The HSN

was considered multipolar if more than one process extended a length greater than one cell

body. Images were taken using epifluorescent microscopy with a Zeiss 40X objective.

Analysis of the UNC-40::GFP localization in L2 stage animal

For analysis of UNC-40::GFP localization, L2 stage larvae with the transgenic marker

kyEx1212[unc-86::unc-40::GFP; odr-1::dsRed] were mounted on a 5% agarose pad with 10

mM levamisole buffer. Staging was determined by examining the gonad cell number and

gonad size under differential interference contrast (DIC) microscopy. Images were taken

using epifluorescent microscopy with a Zeiss 63X water immersion objective. The UNC-

40::GFP localization was determined by measuring the average intensity under lines drawn

along the dorsal and ventral edges of each HSN cell body by using ImageJ software. For

analysis of the anterior–posterior orientation of UNC-40::GFP, the dorsal segment was

geometrically divided into three equal lengths (dorsal anterior, dorsal central and dorsal

posterior segments). The line-scan intensity plots of each of these segments were recorded.

ANOVA test was used to determine if there is a significant difference between intensities of

three segments. The dorsal distribution was considered uniform if $p \geq 0.05$ and was

considered asymmetrical if $p \leq 0.05$. Within an asymmetric population, the highest percent

intensity was considered to localize UNC-40::GFP to either anterior, posterior or central

domain of the dorsal surface.

Computations

A program to simulate a two-dimensional lattice random walk based on the probability of

dorsal, ventral, anterior, and posterior outgrowth for a mutant (Table 1) was created using

MATLAB. (The directions of the axons from multipolar neurons were not scored. These

axons appear to behave the same as the axons from monopolar neurons, but this has not

yet been tested.) The probability of dorsal, ventral, anterior, or posterior outgrowth was

assigned for the direction of each step of a random walk moving up, down, left or right,

respectively. Each variable is considered independent and identically distributed.

Simulations of 500 equal size steps (size =1) were plotted for 50 tracks (Figure 1B and

Figure 4 inserts). A Gaussian distribution for the final positions of the tracks was generated

using Matlab's randn function (Figure 4).

The mean squared displacement (MSD) is used to provide a quantitative characteristic of

the motion that would be created by the outgrowth activity undergoing the random walk.

Using the random walks generated for a mutant the MSD can be calculated:

$$msd(\tau) \ = \ < [r(t + \tau) - r(t)]^2 >$$

Here, r(*t*) is the position at time *t* and т is the lag time between two positions used to

calculate the displacement, $\Delta r(\tau) = r(t+\tau) - r(t)$. The time-average over *t* and the ensemble-

average over the 50 trajectories were calculated. This yields the MSD as a function of the

lag time. A coefficient giving the relative rate of diffusion was derived from a linear fit of the

curve. The first two lag time points were not considered as the paths often approximate a

straight line at short intervals.

Results

UNC-5 regulates the pattern of outgrowth from the HSN neuron

The HSN neuron is used to study how a neuron responds to extracellular guidance cues. The HSN neuron sends a single axon to the ventral nerve cord, which is a source of the UNC-6 cue (WADSWORTH *et al.* 1996; ADLER *et al.* 2006; ASAKURA *et al.* 2007). The formation of the axon is dynamic (ADLER *et al.* 2006). Shortly after hatching HSN extends short neurites in different directions. These neurites, which dynamically extend and retract filopodia, become restricted to the ventral side of the neuron where a leading edge forms. Multiple neurites extend from this surface until one develops into a single axon extending to the ventral nerve cord. Extracellular GFP-tagged UNC-40 is observed to asymmetrically localize ("cluster") at the ventral side of HSN as the ventral leading edge forms (ADLER *et al.* 2006; XU *et al.* 2009; KULKARNI *et al.* 2013). UNC-40 receptor localization within the plasma membrane can regulate the actin cytoskeleton. UNC-40, as well as the SAX-3 (Robo) and VAB-1 (Eph) receptors, has been shown to polarize the distribution of F-actin in cells (BERNADSKAYA *et al.* 2012). Reflecting the dynamic morphological changes that occurs as the HSN axon forms, the site of asymmetric UNC-40::GFP localization fluctuates in the neurites and along the ventral surface of the neuron (KULKARNI *et al.* 2013). Measurements of growth cone size, maximal length, and duration of growth cone filopodia indicate that UNC-6, UNC-40, and UNC-5 control the dynamics of protrusion (NORRIS AND LUNDQUIST 2011).

We observe that in *unc-5* mutants, the patterns of extension are altered. In wild-type animals at the L1 stage of development most HSN neurons extends more than one short neurite, however in *unc-5(e53)* mutants nearly half the neurons do not extend a process (Figures 1A and 1B). Further, we find that *sax-3* is required for this suppression as most

neurons will extend in *unc-5(e53);sax-3(ky200)* mutants. During the L2 stage in wild-type

animals a prominent ventral leading edge forms and the cell body undergoes a short ventral

migration that is completed by the L3 stage, however in *unc-5* mutants a single large ventral

process may form early during the L2 stage and the cell body may fail to migrate (Figures

1A, 1C and 1E). It may be that the ventral migration of the HSN cell body requires the

development of a large leading edge with multiple extensions. Together the observations

indicate that loss of *unc-5* function affects the patterning of outgrowth, *i.e.* the timing, length,

and number of extensions that form. Loss of *unc-5* function does not prevent movement, in

fact, a single large ventral extension can form in the mutant at a time that is even earlier

than when a single ventral extension can be observed in wildtype.

We tested four different *unc-5* alleles in these experiments. The *unc-5(e53)* allele is a

putative molecular null allele, *unc-5(e480)* is predicted to truncate UNC-5 after the

cytoplasmic ZU-5 domain and before the Death Domain, *unc-5(e152)* is predicted to

truncate UNC-5 before the ZU-5 domain and Death Domain, and *unc-5(ev585)* is a

missense allele that affects a predicted disulfide bond in the extracellular Ig(C) domain

(KILLEEN *et al.* 2002). Although both the *unc-5(e480)* and *unc-5(e152)* are predicted to

cause premature termination of protein translation in the cytodomain, the *unc-5(e152)*

retains the signaling activity that prevents these phenotypes. Based on other phenotypes,

previous studies reported that the *unc-5(e152)* allele retains UNC-40-dependent signaling

functions (MERZ *et al.* 2001; KILLEEN *et al.* 2002).

UNC-5 is required for the induction of multiple HSN axons by UNC-6ΔC and a *mig-15*

mutation

The results above suggest that UNC-5 activity can regulate the number of HSN extensions that will form. Previously we reported that expression of the N-terminal fragment of UNC-6, UNC-6ΔC, induces excessive branching of ventral nerve cord motor neurons and that UNC-5 can suppress this branching (LIM *et al.* 1999). We now report that HSN develops an extra process in response to UNC-6ΔC and that UNC-5 suppresses the development of the extra process (Figures 1D and 1F). Because HSN processes are guided ventrally by UNC-6ΔC even when UNC-5 is not present, UNC-5 does not alter HSN axon guidance. Further, because loss of UNC-5 function reduces the number of HSN processes that form and genetic data suggests that UNC-6ΔC induces additional processes by altering intracellular signaling (WANG AND WADSWORTH 2002), we surmise that UNC-5 signaling plays a cell-autonomous role within HSN to regulate intracellular signaling that affects branching. In support of suppression though cell-autonomous signaling, we also find that the UNC-6ΔC phenotype is suppressed by *mig-10(ct141)* (Figures 1F). MIG-10 (lamellipodin) is a cytoplasmic adaptor protein that promotes HSN extensions (CHANG *et al.* 2006; QUINN *et al.* 2006).

We find that a *mig-15* mutation also causes extra HSN processes and that the loss of UNC-5 function suppresses the extra HSN processes (Figures 1F and 1G). MIG-15 (NIK kinase) is cytoplasmic protein and evidence indicates that *mig-15* functions cell-autonomously to mediate a response to UNC-6 (POINAT *et al.* 2002; TEULIÈRE *et al.* 2011). It's been proposed that *mig-15* acts in the same pathway as *unc-5* to polarize the growth cone in response to the UNC-6 (TEULIÈRE *et al.* 2011). Mutations in *mig-15* are known to affect axon development, as well as Q neuroblast polarity and migration (POINAT *et al.* 2002; SHAKIR *et al.* 2006; TEULIÈRE *et al.* 2011; YANG *et al.* 2014). MIG-15 regulates the intracellular localization of UNC-40 in HSN (YANG *et al.* 2014). In our experiments we used the *mig-*

15(rh148) allele, which causes a missense mutation in the ATP-binding pocket of the kinase

domain and is a weak allele of *mig-15* (SHAKIR *et al.* 2006; CHAPMAN *et al.* 2008)*.*

UNC-5 is required for ALM and AVM branching and extension, and for PLM

overextension

The *mig-15* results suggest that altering *mig-15* function creates a sensitized genetic

background. That is, the *unc-5(ev480)* mutation suppresses HSN outgrowth extension in

both the wild-type and *mig-15(rh148)* backgrounds, but the *mig-15* mutation creates a

stronger patterning phenotype. We examined whether UNC-5 might affect the outgrowth

patterning of other neurons in the *mig-15* mutants. We examined the patterning of the ALM

and AVM extensions at the nerve ring and found that genetic interactions involving *unc-5,*

unc-40, and *mig-15* affect outgrowth patterning (Figures 2A, 2B, and 2C).   The AVM axon

in *mig-15(rh148);unc-5(e53)* mutants often fails to extend anteriorly from the branch point

and only extends into the nerve ring, or it fails to extend into the nerve ring and only extends

anteriorly, or it fails to do both and terminates at this point. The axon often fails to branch

into the nerve ring in *unc-40(e1430)* mutants, although it extends anteriorly. By comparison,

in *unc-40(e1430);mig-15(rh148)* mutants more axons extend into the nerve ring, but fewer

extend anteriorly.

It was previously reported that in *rpm-1* mutants the PLM axon will overextend in the

anterior direction and that this phenotype can be suppressed by *sax-3* and *unc-5* mutations

(LI *et al.* 2008).

This indicates that UNC-5 can also suppress outgrowth in the anterior direction, which is

perpendicular to the ventral UNC-6 sources. We now observe that in *mig-15(rh148)*

mutants the PLM axon also often fails to terminate at its normal position and instead

extends beyond the ALM cell body. This overextension is suppressed in *unc-5(e53);mig-15(rh148)* and *unc-40(e1430);mig-15(rh148)* mutants (Figures 2D and 2E). In summary,

the HSN, AVM, ALM, and PLM experiments show that the spatial extent of movement is

altered by UNC-5 activity. This function is not dependent on the direction of outgrowth

relative to the UNC-6 sources.

unc-5 acts with other genes to control the probability of HSN extension in each direction

We have observed that in certain mutants the direction of outgrowth from the HSN cell body

can vary (XU *et al.* 2009; KULKARNI *et al.* 2013; TANG AND WADSWORTH 2014; YANG *et al.*

2014). The different directions from which the axon projects from the HSN cell body can be

scored to create a probability distribution for the direction of movement. The probability

distribution gives an approximation of how much the direction of outgrowth fluctuates. To

understand how the fluctuation could affect outgrowth movement, a random walk model is

used. Random walks describe movement that occurs as a series of steps in which the

angles and the distances between each step is decided according to some probability

distribution. By using the probability distribution obtained from a mutant for each step of a

random walk, and by keeping the distance of each step equal, a random walk can be

constructed (Figure 3A). In effect, this method applies the probability distribution to discrete

particles having idealized random walk movement on a lattice. By plotting random walks

derived from wild-type animals and different mutants, the relative effect that mutations have

on random walk movement can be visualized. For example, Figure 1B shows 50 tracks of

500 steps for wild type and two mutants (mutant A is *unc-5(e53)* and mutant B is *egl-20(n585);sax-3(ky123)*). One property of movement that this method can reveal is the effect

that a mutation has on the displacement of movement.   After 500 steps the displacement

from the origin (0,0) is on average less for mutant A than for wild type, and less for mutant B

than for wild type or mutant A. In an extending neuron, mass (the molecular species) are

sustained at the leading edge. The assay compares the effect that different mutations

would have on the movement of mass at the leading edge of an extension if the conditions

of the system were kept constant. Of course, *in vivo* the conditions are not constant. For

one, as an extension moves it will encounter new environments where the cues may be new

or at different concentrations, all of which affect the probability distribution.   As such, the

graphs do not predict the actual movements of migrating axons, but rather show the relative

effect that a mutation has on the movement of outgrowth.

For different strains, we score whether the HSN axon forms in the dorsal, anterior, posterior,

or ventral direction (Table 1). Using our assay, we compared the effects that a mutation has

on the properties of movement.   In wild-type animals, there is a high probability for

outgrowth in the ventral direction. The analysis shows that conditions in wild-type create

nearly straight-line movement, *i.e.* if the same random walk is repeatedly done for the same

number of steps, starting at the same origin, the final position of the walk along the x axis

does not vary too much. In comparison, we find that a mutation can create random walk

movement in which the final position is more varied. This variation occurs because the

mutation increases the probability of outgrowth in other directions during axon formation.

For each mutation, we simulate 50 random walks of 500 steps and derive the mean and

standard deviation of the final position along the X axis. To compare strains, we plot the

normal distribution, setting the mean at the same value for each. The difference between

the curve for a mutant and wild type shows the degree to which the mutation caused the

direction of outgrowth to fluctuate.

The results reveal three different distribution patterns (Figure 4). One class is the wild-type

distribution, which has the distribution curve with the highest peak. The second class

comprises *unc-5, egl-20, unc-53,* and *unc-6* in which the distribution curve is flatter than the

wild-type curve. The third class has the flattest distribution curve and comprises the double

combinations of *unc-5, egl-20, unc-53,* and *unc-6* as well as *madd-2, sax-3,* and *mig-15.*

This class indicates the greatest degree of fluctuation. Loss of both *unc-6* and *egl-20*

function causes this state, as well as loss of *unc-6* and *unc-5,* or *egl-20* and *unc-5.* The

ability to cause the direction of movement to fluctuate is not associated with a specific

direction of advection. For example, *unc-5;sax-3, unc-53;unc-6, unc-40;egl-20,* and *madd-*

2;sax-3 each show a widely dispersed pattern but the direction is ventral, dorsal, anterior,

and posterior, respectively.

Mean squared displacement (MSD) is a measurement of the spatial extent of random

motion. The MSD can be calculated from the random walk data. Plotting MSD as a

function of the time interval shows how much an object displaces, on average, in a given

interval of time, squared (Figure 5A). The results show that loss of UNC-6, UNC-5, or UNC-

40 alters the MSD. For normal molecular diffusion the slope of the MSD curve is directly

related to the diffusion coefficient. In cell migration models this value is referred to as the

random motility coefficient. Coefficients are experimentally determined; they describe how

long it takes a particular substance to move through a particular medium. We determine

this value in order to numerically compare how the mutations can alter displacement relative

to wild type (Figure 5B). The value is reduced in *unc-5, egl-20, unc-53,* and *unc-6* mutants,

but not as much as in the other mutants, including those with double mutations.

unc-5 acts with other genes to control the probability of asymmetric UNC-40 receptor localization at each side of the HSN neuron

UNC-40::GFP becomes localized to the ventral side of HSN beginning in the early L2 stage, whereas in *unc-6* loss-of-function mutants UNC-40::GFP is uniformly dispersed around the periphery of HSN (ADLER *et al.* 2006; KULKARNI *et al.* 2013). In *unc-53(n152); unc-5(e53)* mutants UNC-40::GFP localization is similar to that observed in *unc-6(ev400)* or *unc-5(e53); unc-6(ev400)* mutants, *i.e.* asymmetric UNC-40::GFP localization in not observed. In both *unc-53(n152)* and *unc-5(e53)* mutants UNC-40::GFP is asymmetrically localized, however there is a higher probability that the localization occurs at surfaces other than at the ventral surface (KULKARNI *et al.* 2013). These results show that there are genetic interactions among *unc-5*, *unc-6*, and *unc-53* that regulates UNC-40 asymmetric localization.

Robust clustering of UNC-40 is not a requirement for directed movement in response to UNC-6. Indeed, even though there is a ventral directional bias for outgrowth towards the UNC-6 source in *unc-53(n152);sax-3(ky200)* mutants, robust UNC-40::GFP asymmetric localization is not observed (TANG AND WADSWORTH 2014). The ventral bias in the *unc-53(n152);sax-3(ky200)* mutants is a response to UNC-6 since there is no ventral bias in *unc-53(n152);sax-3(ky200);unc-6(ev400)* mutants. Similarly, in *sax-3(ky200)* and *unc-5(e53);egl-20(n585)* mutants there is a ventral directional bias (Figure 4) but not robust asymmetric UNC-40::GFP localization (Figure 6). The ventral directional bias in these animals requires UNC-40 since in *unc-40(e1430),sax-3(ky200)* and *unc-40(e1430);egl-20(n585)* mutants the ventral bias is compromised (Figure 4). Our interpretation is that robust asymmetric UNC-40::GFP localization is a manifestation of the process that directs the outgrowth in one direction rather than a prerequisite for directed outgrowth. In the *unc-53(n152);sax-3(ky200), sax-3(ky200)*, and *unc-5(e53);egl-20(n585)* mutants the direction of

outgrowth fluctuates enough so that strong clustering is not observed, but over time the bias

creates ventral outgrowth.

We note that the HSN cell body can be misplaced posteriorly in *egl-20* mutants (WHANGBO

AND KENYON 1999). This is a concern since the posterior environment might have different

effects on extension and UNC-40::GFP asymmetric localization. However it was previously

reported that the pattern of axon outgrowth extension is independent of the cell body

position (KULKARNI *et al.* 2013). Moreover, the cell body is mispositioned in both *egl-20(n585)* and *unc-5(e53);egl-20(n585)* mutants but robust UNC-40::GFP asymmetric

localization is only observed in *egl-20(n585)* mutants, suggesting that the lack of

asymmetric localization in the *unc-5(e53);egl-20(n585)* mutants is due to *unc-5(e53)* rather

than the position of the cell body.

MADD-2 is a cytoplasmic protein of the tripartite motif (TRIM) family that potentiates UNC-40 activity in response to UNC-6 (ALEXANDER *et al.* 2009; ALEXANDER *et al.* 2010; HAO *et al.* 2010; MORIKAWA *et al.* 2011; SONG *et al.* 2011; WANG *et al.* 2014). MADD-2::GFP and F-actin colocalize with UNC-40::GFP clusters in the anchor cell (WANG *et al.* 2014). It's been

reported that in *madd-2* mutants UNC-40 localizes normally in neurons (HAO *et al.* 2010;

SONG *et al.* 2011). Although its also been reported that UNC-40 will abnormally localize to

the anterior and posterior surfaces of HSN (KULKARNI *et al.* 2013). UNC-40 localization in

madd-2 mutants was also reported to be defective in the anchor cell (WANG *et al.* 2014).

There could be various reasons for the discrepancy, including different criteria for

localization, different cell types, and the different alleles of *madd-2* that were used. In an

investigation for localization in HSN, the *madd-2(ky592)* allele was used. The *madd-2(ky592)* allele is a strong loss-of-function allele. The *madd-2(tr103)* allele has also been

used to show genetic interactions with *unc-40* and evidence indicates that this allele acts as a genetic null (ALEXANDER *et al.* 2010). We therefore decided to test the *madd-2(tr103)* allele in our UNC-40 localization assay. We find that this allele gives an even stronger phenotype, *i.e.* robust UNC-40 asymmetric localization is not observed and the localization is more uniform (Figure 6). This phenotype is similar to what is observe with *unc-6* and *sax-3* mutants (KULKARNI *et al.* 2013; TANG AND WADSWORTH 2014).

Discussion

In this paper we show that UNC-5 regulates the number and length of neuronal processes that a neuron can develop. We observe that *unc-5* loss-of-function mutations inhibit the development of multiple neurites during early outgrowth from HSN. They also suppress the development of extra HSN processes that are induced by a *mig-15* mutation or by expression of the N-terminal fragment of UNC-6. We also observe that *unc-5* mutations suppress the anterior overextension of the PLM axon that occurs in the *mig-15* mutant. Finally, in combination with the *mig-15* mutation, *unc-5* loss-of-function mutations affect the branching and extension of ALM and AVM axons at the nerve ring. In each of these cases, the pattern of outgrowth is altered by loss of UNC-5 function. We further show that UNC-5 acts together with UNC-6, EGL-20, SAX-3, UNC-53, MIG-15, and MADD-2 to regulate UNC-40 asymmetric localization at a surface of HSN. Here we discuss a model to explain how UNC-5 could control these patterns of outgrowth by regulating UNC-40 asymmetric localization.

A model for the role UNC-5 plays in patterning neuronal outgrowth.

We have postulated that UNC-40 polarization is self-organizing and that the surface to

which UNC-40 localizes and mediates outgrowth is stochastically determined (XU *et al.*

2009; KULKARNI *et al.* 2013). Genetic evidence indicates that UNC-40 conformational

changes induced by UNC-6 ligation trigger multiple signals to coordinate the polarization

and orientation processes (XU *et al.* 2009).

Because the surface to which UNC-40 localizes is stochastically determined, for each

surface there is a probability that UNC-40-mediated outgrowth will take place there (Figure

7A). At any instance of time, extracellular cues govern this probability (KULKARNI *et al.* 2013;

TANG AND WADSWORTH 2014; YANG *et al.* 2014). The machinery of UNC-40-mediated

outgrowth creates forces that act on the membrane to cause extension and these forces act

across the entire surface of the plasma membrane. Outgrowth extension can be envisioned

as a serious of steps, and at each step the extracellular cues control the probability

associated with movement in a particular direction. Therefore, the cues control the degree

to which the direction of movement fluctuates over time. Such movement can be

mathematically described as a random walk, *i.e.* a succession of randomly directed steps

(Figure 3A). In contrast to straight-line or ballistic movement, random walk movement is

diffusive and a property of diffusive motion is that the mean square displacement grows

proportionate to the time traveled (Figure 5A). Consequently, the more the direction of

movement fluctuates, the shorter the distance of travel in a given amount of time (Figure

7B). In the neuron, this diffusive motion occurs at the micro-scale at the membrane. An

increase in diffusive motion at this scale might be observed at the macro-scale as a

decrease in the relative rate of outgrowth.   The patterns of outgrowth we observe are the

product of all the probabilities for outgrowth that the extracellular cues determine at each

instance of time.

The combined effect of the extracellular cues sets a bias that determines the direction of

outgrowth over time. Any variation from this state causes a higher probability of outgrowth

in other directions. However, this does not necessarily cause movement in a new direction

over time, but rather may only increase the back and forth fluctuation that occurs

perpendicular to the direction of outgrowth.   As illustrated in Figure 7B, this fluctuation

reduces the extent of outgrowth over time without changing the overall direction of

outgrowth. Because extracellular cues are not uniformly distributed in the extracellular

environment, we postulate that the degree to which the direction of movement fluctuates

can vary across the surface of the neuron. We propose that this variation can cause

different regions of the neuron's membrane to move in the same direction but at different

rates.

It is worth noting that our model does not directly address the molecular mechanisms

through which the genes function. Rather, the use of random walks is a statistical approach

to understand how a gene influences the collective impact of all the underlying molecular

mechanisms that drive outgrowth. It can be envisioned that the movement of an extension

occurs through innumerable forces acting at the molecular level. The effect that each

molecular event has on movement is not easily observe or measured. In fact, it may be that

patterns of outgrowth can't be fully understood by only knowing the molecular mechanisms

of outgrowth. Instead, the effects that molecular events collectively have on movement

must be understood through a statistical model.

DCC (UNC-40) signaling occurs through different signaling complexes. One of these complexes involves DCC (UNC-40), UNC5 (UNC-5), and netrin-1 (UNC-6) (HONG et al. 1999; GEISBRECHT et al. 2003; KRUGER et al. 2004; FINCI et al. 2014). Our HSN results show that loss of UNC-5 function decreases the probability of UNC-40-mediated outgrowth towards the UNC-6 source and increases the probability of UNC-40-mediated outgrowth in other directions (Table 1and Figure 6). This promotes diffusive movement and, therefore, reduces the distance the membrane can travel in a given time (Figure 7A and 7B). We propose that UNC-5 is required for ventral extensions at the L1 stage and for the ventral migration of the cell body because it allows for a rate of outgrowth that is necessary for outward extensions to develop during this period of time (Figure 8A). Because movement is altered, the overall morphology of the HSN extension is abnormal, although the axon still develops and reaches the ventral nerve cord (Figure 1A).

The PLM neuron overextends in the *mig-15(rh148)* mutant and this overextension is suppressed by loss of UNC-40 or UNC-5 function (Figure 2D and 2E). In wildtype and in the *mig-15(rh148)* mutant, extracellular cues direct PLM outgrowth in the anterior direction. However, the normal extension of the PLM axon does not require UNC-40 or UNC-5 (HEDGECOCK et al. 1990). This suggests that the *mig-15(rh148)* mutation promotes UNC-40 and UNC-5 outgrowth activity and that in the *mig-15(rh148)* mutant UNC-40-mediated outgrowth activity is anteriorly directed. Similar to what is proposed for HSN, the loss of UNC-5 activity decreases the probability of UNC-40-mediated outgrowth in the anterior direction and increases the probability of UNC-40-mediated outgrowth in other directions. This promotes diffusive movement and reduces the distance outgrowth can travel in a given time (Figure 8A). The site of PLM axon termination may be set by the combined effect of all the extracellular cues at a particular location in the animal. Additional UNC-40 activity

triggered by the *mig-15(rh148)* mutation may be enough to negate this effect, whereas the additional loss of UNC-5 activity nullifies the UNC-40 effect because it disperses the UNC-40-mediated outgrowth activity in other directions.   That is, the probability of outgrowth in each direction could be set to the wild-type state by redistributing the direction of UNC-40 outgrowth activity.

The AVM axon branches to extend one process into the nerve ring and another anterior (Figure 2A).   Our results indicate that extension into the nerve ring is dependent on UNC-40 activity and that loss of UNC-5 activity in the *mig-15(rh148)* mutant prevents extension into the nerve ring (Figure 2A and 2B). Similar to what we propose for HSN and PLM, we suggest that the *mig-15(rh148)* mutation causes addition UNC-40 outgrowth activity. In the *mig-15(rh148); unc-5(e53)* mutant the probability of UNC-40-mediated outgrowth in different directions increases and causes diffusive movement in every direction, inhibiting the rate of growth both into the nerve ring and anteriorly (Figure 8A). The *mig-15(rh148)* and the *unc-40(e1430)* mutations each has slight effects on the anterior extension but in combination have a stronger phenotype, suggesting that each plays an independent role in anterior extension (Figure 2C).

Our results show that UNC-5 can regulate the number of HSN processes that extend towards the UNC-6 source.   To explain this, we suggest that UNC-40 (DCC), UNC-5 (UNC5), and UNC-6 (netrin) are part of an activator-inhibitor system (GIERER AND MEINHARDT 1972; MEINHARDT AND GIERER 2000). In an activator-inhibitor system two substance act on each other. The activator stimulates its own production as well as produces an inhibitor that can repress the production of the activator. The inhibitor is able to diffuse more rapidly than the activator, which causes patterns of varying concentrations of activator and inhibitor.

We favor a model in which UNC-40 and UNC-5 are two substances that interact with each

other (Figure 8B). This is consistent with evidence indicating that Netrin-1 binds

simultaneously to two DCC (UNC-40) molecules or an UNC5/DCC complex (FINCI *et al.*

2014). In our model, UNC-5 signaling acts as an activator and UNC-40 signaling acts as an

inhibitor. The activator and inhibitor activities "diffuse" at different rates because of the

recruitment of UNC-40 and UNC-5 to the plasma membrane occurs at different rates. This

idea is consistent with imaging experiments of cells in culture which suggest that netrin-1

(UNC-6) regulates the distribution of DCC (UNC-40) and UNC5B (UNC-5) at the plasma

membrane (GOPAL *et al.* 2016). In these studies, netrin-1 (UNC-6) was shown to stimulate

translocation of DCC (UNC-40) and UNC5B (UNC-5) receptors from intracellular vesicles to

the plasma membrane and, further, the transported receptors were shown to localize at the

plasma membrane (GOPAL *et al.* 2016).

We propose that signaling by UNC-6-ligated UNC-40 causes outgrowth activity and

stimulates translocation of UNC-40 to the site (Figure 8B, step 1). If the outgrowth reaches

a critical level of UNC-6 (Figure 8B, step 2), changes to the UNC-6/UNC-40/UNC-5 receptor

complexes increases UNC-5 signaling (the activator). UNC-5 signaling inhibits UNC-40

translocation to the site and increases the relative levels of UNC-5 (short-range

autocatalytic). Locally, UNC-40-mediated outgrowth activity becomes more dispersed,

resulting in a slower rate of outgrowth. As UNC-40 translocation to the leading edge is

inhibited, the level of UNC-40 at flanking surfaces increases (Figure 8B, step 3). These

UNC-40 levels inhibits UNC-5 signaling (long-range inhibition) and prevents the dispersion

of UNC-40-mediated outgrowth activity, resulting in a faster rate of outgrowth at the flanking

surfaces.

In this type of model, the reaction and diffusion rates of the substances influence the pattern

that emerges. The *mig-15(rh148)* mutation and the expression of UNC-6ΔC could alter the

rate by which components of the UNC-6/UNC-40/UNC-5 receptor complexes interact or

alter the rate of translocation of the components to the membrane, or both. In fact, cell

culture experiments suggest Netrin VI-V (UNC-6ΔC) induces DCC and UNC5B

colocalization, but not DCC recruitment (GOPAL *et al.* 2016). Moreover, mutations that

suppress ectopic branching induced by UNC-6ΔC expression affect members of second

messenger systems which could influence the rates of UNC-40 and UNC-5 interactions and

trafficking (WANG AND WADSWORTH 2002).

Genetic pathways for self-organizing UNC-40 asymmetric localization

We suggest genetic pathways for the functional order of action regulating the self-

organization of UNC-40 polarization. These pathways are based on whether or not in

different mutants UNC-40::GFP is observed to localize to any side of the HSN neuron

(Figure 6). A summary of the results is presented (Figure 9A). We observe that UNC-6 is

required for robust asymmetric UNC-40 localization; in the absence of UNC-6 function UNC-

40 remains uniformly distributed along the surface of the plasma membrane. The loss of

both UNC-53 and UNC-5 function also results in a uniform distribution, however loss of

either one alone does not. This suggests that UNC-53 and UNC-5 pathways act

redundantly downstream of UNC-6 (Figure 9B). Moreover, we observe there is robust

asymmetric UNC-40 localization when there is a loss of UNC-6 activity in addition to the loss

of UNC-53 and UNC-5.   This suggests a third pathway that is suppressed by UNC-6 when

UNC-53 and UNC-5 activity are missing.   Loss of both UNC-5 and UNC-6 does not allow

UNC-40 localization, whereas loss of both UNC-53 and UNC-6 does, therefore UNC-53,

rather than UNC-5, acts with UNC-6 to suppress the third pathway.

UNC-40 becomes localized when EGL-20 activity is lost. As well, UNC-40 becomes

localized when both EGL-20 and UNC-53 activities are lost. This is consistent with UNC-6

promoting UNC-40 localization via the UNC-5 pathway. Loss of EGL-20 and UNC-5

prevents UNC-40 localization. In these animals, the UNC-5 pathway is absent and UNC-6

is present to blocks the third pathway, therefore the UNC-53 pathway that leads to UNC-40

localization must require EGL-20, as well as UNC-6.

Loss of UNC-6 activity or loss of both UNC-6 and EGL-20 activity prevents localization,

whereas loss of only EGL-20 does not. To explain this, we propose that when UNC-6 is

lost, the third pathway, which would otherwise be activated by the loss of UNC-6, remains

suppressed because EGL-20 activity promotes suppression via UNC-53 activity.   This

suppression also explains why loss of UNC-6 and UNC-5 activity does not cause

localization.

Importantly, this genetic analysis indicates that netrin (UNC-6) and wnt (EGL-20) signaling

are integrated to regulate self-organizing UNC-40 asymmetric localization. An implication of

this result is that the extracellular concentrations of UNC-6 and EGL-20 could control the

activation or inhibition of UNC-40-mediated outgrowth.

Table

Table 1. Direction of Axon Formation from the HSN Cell Body

	direction of axon protrusion						
	dorsal	ventral	anterior	posterior	multipolar		
	%	%	%	%	%	*n*	reference
wild-type	0	96±2	3±2	0	1±1	221	(KULKARNI *et al.* 2013)
unc-6(ev400)	2±2	3±2	81±2	8±2	6±1	218	(KULKARNI *et al.* 2013)
unc-40(e1430)	2±1	6±2	67±2	19±1	6±1	183	(KULKARNI *et al.* 2013)
unc-5(e53)	0	75±3	19±2	1±1	5±1	245	(YANG *et al.* 2014)
unc-53(n152)	0	67±3	22±2	5±1	6±1	238	(KULKARNI *et al.* 2013)
sax-3(ky123)	2±1	31±1	21±1	37±2	9±2	232	(TANG AND WADSWORTH 2014)
*sax-3(ky200)**	2±1	32±1	19±2	42±3	5±2	198	(TANG AND WADSWORTH 2014)
unc-5(e53);sax-3(ky200)	2±1	40±3	24±2	28±2	6±1	120	
unc-5(e53);unc-6(ev400)	4±2	5±3	59±4	22±4	9±1	201	
unc-5(e53);egl-20(n585)	3±1	28±4	22±4	35±5	11±2	114	
unc-53(n152);unc-5(e53)	0	19±1	62±2	17±1	3±1	224	(KULKARNI *et al.* 2013)
unc-53(n152);unc-6(ev400)	24±2	0	19±2	22±2	34±3	144	(KULKARNI *et al.* 2013)
unc-53(n152);sax-3(ky123)	1±1	47±3	24±2	23±5	6±3	207	(TANG AND WADSWORTH 2014)
unc-40(e1430);unc-5(e53)	5±1	6±1	55±2	19±2	14±1	196	(KULKARNI *et al.* 2013)
*unc-40(e1430);sax-3(ky200)**	14±3	2±1	40±2	35±3	9±4	191	(TANG AND WADSWORTH 2014)
sax-3(ky200); unc-6(ev400)*	8±1	8±2	49±3	20±5	14±2	211	(TANG AND WADSWORTH 2014)
unc-53(n152);unc-5(e53);unc-6(ev400)	23±2	0	34±2	15±2	28±2	148	(KULKARNI *et al.* 2013)
unc-53(n152);sax-3(ky200);unc-6(ev400)*	11±2	2±1	33±4	30±3	25±5	189	
egl-20(n585)	0	64±2	21±2	7±1	8±1	304	(TANG AND WADSWORTH 2014)
egl-20(n585); unc-6(ev400)	18±2	0	43±2	15±2	24±2	205	(TANG AND WADSWORTH 2014)
unc-40(e1430); egl-20(n585)	6±2	17±2	45±5	15±2	16±2	173	(TANG AND WADSWORTH 2014)
egl-20(n585);sax-3(ky123)	1±1	12±2	39±2	39±1	8±3	177	(TANG AND WADSWORTH 2014)
madd-2(tr103)	0	19±2	55±5	17±4	8±2	179	
madd-2(ky592)	0	52±2	43±2	5±1	0	95	
unc-5(e53);madd-2(tr103)	3±1	15±2	52±4	17±4	13±1	197	
madd-2(tr103);sax-3(ky123)	2	24±3	19±4	47±1	7±2	171	
unc-53(n152);madd-2(tr103)	1±1	15±2	43±2	17±1	24±4	148	
mig-15(rh326)	2±1	15±1	24±3	11±3	48±8	131	(YANG *et al.* 2014)

Numbers represent percentage value ± SEM.

*Animals grown at the *sax-3(ky200)* restrictive temperature (25°C).

References

Adler, C. E., R. D. Fetter and C. I. Bargmann, 2006 UNC-6/Netrin induces neuronal asymmetry and defines the site of axon formation. Nat Neurosci 9**:** 511-518.

Alexander, M., K. K. Chan, A. B. Byrne, G. Selman, T. Lee *et al.*, 2009 An UNC-40 pathway directs postsynaptic membrane extension in Caenorhabditis elegans. Development 136**:** 911-922.

Alexander, M., G. Selman, A. Seetharaman, K. K. Chan, S. A. D'Souza *et al.*, 2010 MADD-2, a homolog of the Opitz syndrome protein MID1, regulates guidance to the midline through UNC-40 in Caenorhabditis elegans. Developmental Cell 18**:** 961-972.

Asakura, T., K. Ogura and Y. Goshima, 2007 UNC-6 expression by the vulval precursor cells of Caenorhabditis elegans is required for the complex axon guidance of the HSN neurons. Dev Biol 304**:** 800-810.

Bernadskaya, Y. Y., A. Wallace, J. Nguyen, W. A. Mohler and M. C. Soto, 2012 UNC-40/DCC, SAX-3/Robo, and VAB-1/Eph polarize F-actin during embryonic morphogenesis by regulating the WAVE/SCAR actin nucleation complex. PLoS Genet 8**:** e1002863.

Bhat, J. M., and H. Hutter, 2016 Pioneer Axon Navigation Is Controlled by AEX-3, a Guanine Nucleotide Exchange Factor for RAB-3 in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics.

Chan, S. S., H. Zheng, M. W. Su, R. Wilk, M. T. Killeen *et al.*, 1996 UNC-40, a C. elegans homolog of DCC (Deleted in Colorectal Cancer), is required in motile cells responding to UNC-6 netrin cues. Cell 87**:** 187-195.

Chang, C., C. E. Adler, M. Krause, S. G. Clark, F. B. Gertler *et al.*, 2006 MIG-10/Lamellipodin and AGE-1/PI3K Promote Axon Guidance and Outgrowth in Response to Slit and Netrin. Curr Biol 16**:** 854-862.

Chapman, J. O., H. Li and E. A. Lundquist, 2008 The MIG-15 NIK kinase acts cell-autonomously in neuroblast polarization and migration in C. elegans. Dev Biol 324**:** 245-257.

Finci, L. I., N. Krüger, X. Sun, J. Zhang, M. Chegkazi *et al.*, 2014 The crystal structure of netrin-1 in complex with DCC reveals the bifunctionality of netrin-1 as a guidance cue. Neuron 83**:** 839-849.

Geisbrecht, B. V., K. A. Dowd, R. W. Barfield, P. A. Longo and D. J. Leahy, 2003 Netrin binds discrete subdomains of DCC and UNC5 and mediates interactions between DCC and heparin. J Biol Chem 278**:** 32561-32568.

Gierer, A., and H. Meinhardt, 1972 A theory of biological pattern formation. Kybernetik 12**:**
30-39.

Gopal, A. A., B. Rappaz, V. Rouger, I. B. Martyn, P. D. Dahlberg *et al.*, 2016 Netrin-1-
Regulated Distribution of UNC5B and DCC in Live Cells Revealed by TICCS. Biophys J
110**:** 623-634.

Hao, J. C., C. E. Adler, L. Mebane, F. B. Gertler, C. I. Bargmann *et al.*, 2010 The tripartite
motif protein MADD-2 functions with the receptor UNC-40 (DCC) in Netrin-mediated axon
attraction and branching. Dev Cell 18**:** 950-960.

Hedgecock, E. M., J. G. Culotti and D. H. Hall, 1990 The unc-5, unc-6, and unc-40 genes
guide circumferential migrations of pioneer axons and mesodermal cells on the epidermis in
C. elegans. Neuron 4**:** 61-85.

Hong, K., L. Hinck, M. Nishiyama, M. M. Poo, M. Tessier-Lavigne *et al.*, 1999 A ligand-gated
association between cytoplasmic domains of UNC5 and DCC family receptors converts
netrin-induced growth cone attraction to repulsion. Cell 97**:** 927-941.

Keleman, K., and B. J. Dickson, 2001 Short- and long-range repulsion by the Drosophila
Unc5 netrin receptor. Neuron 32**:** 605-617.

Killeen, M., J. Tong, A. Krizus, R. Steven, I. Scott *et al.*, 2002 UNC-5 function requires
phosphorylation of cytoplasmic tyrosine 482, but its UNC-40-independent functions also
require a region between the ZU-5 and death domains. Dev Biol 251**:** 348-366.

Kruger, R. P., J. Lee, W. Li and K. L. Guan, 2004 Mapping netrin receptor binding reveals
domains of Unc5 regulating its tyrosine phosphorylation. J Neurosci 24**:** 10826-10834.

Kulkarni, G., Z. Xu, A. M. Mohamed, H. Li, X. Tang *et al.*, 2013 Experimental evidence for
UNC-6 (netrin) axon guidance by stochastic fluctuations of intracellular UNC-40 (DCC)
outgrowth activity. Biol Open 2**:** 1300-1312.

Leung-Hagesteijn, C., A. M. Spence, B. D. Stern, Y. Zhou, M. W. Su *et al.*, 1992 UNC-5, a
transmembrane protein with immunoglobulin and thrombospondin type 1 domains, guides
cell and pioneer axon migrations in C. elegans. Cell 71**:** 289-299.

Levy-Strumpf, N., and J. G. Culotti, 2007 VAB-8, UNC-73 and MIG-2 regulate axon polarity
and cell migration functions of UNC-40 in C. elegans. Nat Neurosci 10**:** 161-168.

Levy-Strumpf, N., and J. G. Culotti, 2014 Netrins and Wnts function redundantly to regulate
antero-posterior and dorso-ventral guidance in C. elegans. PLoS Genet 10**:** e1004381.

Levy-Strumpf, N., M. Krizus, H. Zheng, L. Brown and J. G. Culotti, 2015 The Wnt Frizzled Receptor MOM-5 Regulates the UNC-5 Netrin Receptor through Small GTPase-Dependent Signaling to Determine the Polarity of Migrating Cells. PLoS Genet 11**:** e1005446.

Li, H., G. Kulkarni and W. G. Wadsworth, 2008 RPM-1, a Caenorhabditis elegans protein that functions in presynaptic differentiation, negatively regulates axon outgrowth by controlling SAX-3/robo and UNC-5/UNC5 activity. J Neurosci 28**:** 3595-3603.

Lim, Y. S., S. Mallapur, G. Kao, X. C. Ren and W. G. Wadsworth, 1999 Netrin UNC-6 and the regulation of branching and extension of motoneuron axons from the ventral nerve cord of Caenorhabditis elegans. J Neurosci 19**:** 7048-7056.

Meinhardt, H., and A. Gierer, 2000 Pattern formation by local self-activation and lateral inhibition. Bioessays 22**:** 753-760.

Merz, D. C., H. Zheng, M. T. Killeen, A. Krizus and J. G. Culotti, 2001 Multiple signaling mechanisms of the unc-6/netrin receptors unc-5 and unc-40/dcc in vivo. Genetics 158**:** 1071-1080.

Moore, S. W., M. Tessier-Lavigne and T. E. Kennedy, 2007 Netrins and their receptors. Adv Exp Med Biol 621**:** 17-31.

Morikawa, R. K., T. Kanamori, K. Yasunaga and K. Emoto, 2011 Different levels of the Tripartite motif protein, Anomalies in sensory axon patterning (Asap), regulate distinct axonal projections of Drosophila sensory neurons. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108**:** 19389-19394.

Norris, A. D., and E. A. Lundquist, 2011 UNC-6/netrin and its receptors UNC-5 and UNC-40/DCC modulate growth cone protrusion in vivo in C. elegans. Development.

Pan, C. L., J. E. Howell, S. G. Clark, M. Hilliard, S. Cordes *et al.*, 2006 Multiple Wnts and frizzled receptors regulate anteriorly directed cell and growth cone migrations in Caenorhabditis elegans. Dev Cell 10**:** 367-377.

Poinat, P., A. De Arcangelis, S. Sookhareea, X. Zhu, E. M. Hedgecock *et al.*, 2002 A conserved interaction between beta1 integrin/PAT-3 and Nck-interacting kinase/MIG-15 that mediates commissural axon navigation in C. elegans. Curr Biol 12**:** 622-631.

Quinn, C. C., D. S. Pfeil, E. Chen, E. L. Stovall, M. V. Harden *et al.*, 2006 UNC-6/netrin and SLT-1/slit guidance cues orient axon outgrowth mediated by MIG-10/RIAM/lamellipodin. Curr Biol 16**:** 845-853.

Shakir, M. A., J. S. Gill and E. A. Lundquist, 2006 Interactions of UNC-34 Enabled with Rac GTPases and the NIK kinase MIG-15 in Caenorhabditis elegans axon pathfinding and neuronal migration. Genetics 172**:** 893-913.

Song, S., Q. Ge, J. Wang, H. Chen, S. Tang *et al.*, 2011 TRIM-9 functions in the UNC-6/UNC-40 pathway to regulate ventral guidance. Journal of genetics and genomics = Yi chuan xue bao 38**:** 1-11.

Tang, X., and W. G. Wadsworth, 2014 SAX-3 (Robo) and UNC-40 (DCC) Regulate a Directional Bias for Axon Guidance in Response to Multiple Extracellular Cues. PLoS One 9**:** e110031.

Teulière, J., C. Gally, G. Garriga, M. Labouesse and E. Georges-Labouesse, 2011 MIG-15 and ERM-1 promote growth cone directional migration in parallel to UNC-116 and WVE-1. Development 138**:** 4475-4485.

Wadsworth, W. G., H. Bhatt and E. M. Hedgecock, 1996 Neuroglia and pioneer neurons express UNC-6 to provide global and local netrin cues for guiding migrations in C. elegans. Neuron 16**:** 35-46.

Wadsworth, W. G., and E. M. Hedgecock, 1996 Hierarchical guidance cues in the developing nervous system of C. elegans. Bioessays 18**:** 355-362.

Wang, Q., and W. G. Wadsworth, 2002 The C domain of netrin UNC-6 silences calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase- and diacylglycerol-dependent axon branching in Caenorhabditis elegans. J Neurosci 22**:** 2274-2282.

Wang, Z., L. M. Linden, K. M. Naegeli, J. W. Ziel, Q. Chi *et al.*, 2014 UNC-6 (netrin) stabilizes oscillatory clustering of the UNC-40 (DCC) receptor to orient polarity. J Cell Biol 206**:** 619-633.

Watari-Goshima, N., K. Ogura, F. W. Wolf, Y. Goshima and G. Garriga, 2007 C. elegans VAB-8 and UNC-73 regulate the SAX-3 receptor to direct cell and growth-cone migrations. Nat Neurosci 10**:** 169-176.

Whangbo, J., and C. Kenyon, 1999 A Wnt signaling system that specifies two patterns of cell migration in C. elegans. Molecular cell 4**:** 851-858.

Xu, Z., H. Li and W. G. Wadsworth, 2009 The roles of multiple UNC-40 (DCC) receptor-mediated signals in determining neuronal asymmetry induced by the UNC-6 (netrin) ligand. Genetics 183**:** 941-949.

731   Yang, Y., W. S. Lee, X. Tang and W. G. Wadsworth, 2014 Extracellular Matrix Regulates
732   UNC-6 (Netrin) Axon Guidance by Controlling the Direction of Intracellular UNC-40 (DCC)
733   Outgrowth Activity. PLoS One 9**: e97258.

Legends

Figure 1. UNC-5 regulates the patterning of outgrowth extensions from HSN. (**A**) Photomicrographs of HSN at the L1, L2, and adult stages in wild-type and *unc-5(e53)* mutants. In L1 and L2 animals neurite extensions (arrows) are often observed in wild-type animals but are more rare in *unc-5* mutants. The short ventral migration of the cell body that occurs in wild-type animal sometimes fails in *unc-5* mutants, leaving the cell body farther from the PLM axon (arrowhead) with a single longer ventral extension. The position of the cell body remains dorsal. Scale bar: 10 μm. (**B**) The percentage of HSN neuron with 0, 1, or more than 1 neurite extension at the L1 stage. In *unc-5* mutants nearly half of the neurons do not extend a process. Error bars indicated the standard error mean; n values are indicated above each column. Significant differences (two-tailed t-test), *P<0.001. (**C**) The percentage of HSN neurons with a single long extension at the L2 stage. Several *unc-5* alleles were tested as described in the text. In mutants with loss-of-function there is more often a single extension from the cell body and the cell body is dorsally mispositioned. (**D**) Photomicrographs of HSN at the early L4, late L4, and adult stages in wild-type and in animals expressing UNC-6ΔC. The expression of UNC-6ΔC induces multiple processes, most often two major extensions, that are guided ventrally. (**E**) The percentage of HSN neurons with a cell body mispositioned dorsally at the L2 stage. In loss-of-function mutants the cell body often fails to undertake a short ventral migration during the L2 stage. The migration is not delayed, but rather it remains dorsal. (**F**) The percentage of HSN neurons with multiple ventral extensions at the L4 stage. The additional processes induced by UNC-6ΔC can be suppressed by *unc-5* and *mig-10* mutations. Additional processes induced by *mig-15(rh148)* can also be suppressed by the *unc-5* mutation. (**G**) Photomicrographs of HSN at adult stages in a *mig-15* mutant. Similar to UNC-6ΔC expression, *mig-15* mutations can also cause additional processes that are guided ventrally (YANG *et al.* 2014).

Figure 2. UNC-5 regulates the patterning of extension from ALM, AVM, and PLM. (A)

Photomicrographs of the ALM and AVM neurons at the L4 stage in wild-type animals and

mutants showing different patterns of outgrowth extension. In wild type (top) a single axon

travels anteriorly to the nerve ring (arrowheads). At the nerve ring the axon branches; one

branch extends further anteriorly and the other extends into the nerve ring. In mutants, one

or both axons may only extend anteriorly and will not extend into the nerve ring (second

from top). Or one or both axons will only extend into the nerve ring and will not extend

anteriorly (third from top). Or one or both axons will fail to extend into either the nerve ring

or anteriorly (bottom). Scale bar: 20 µm. (**B**) The percentage of AVM neurons where the

AVM neuron failed to extend into the nerve ring. The neuron often fails to extend in the *unc-*

40 and *mig-15;unc-5* mutants, whereas it does extend in the *mig-15, unc-5,* and *mig-15;unc-*

40 mutants. Error bars indicated the standard error mean; n values are indicated above

each column. Significant differences (two-tailed t-test), *P<0.001. (**C**) The percentage of

AVM neurons where the AVM neuron failed to extend anteriorly, past the nerve ring. The

neuron often fails to extend anteriorly in the *mig-15;unc-5* mutants, whereas it does extend

in the *mig-15, unc-5, unc-40,* and *unc-40;mig-15* mutants. There is a significant difference

between the *unc-40* and *unc-40;mig-15* mutants. (**D**) Photomicrographs of the ALM, AVM,

and PLM neurons at the L4 stage in wild-type animals and *mig-15* mutants. In wild type

(top) a single PLM axon travels anteriorly from the posterior cell body (not shown). Near the

vulva (arrow) the axon branches; one branch extends to the ventral nerve chord and

another extends anteriorly. The anterior extension terminates before reaching the area of

the ALM cell body. In *mig-15* mutants the PLM can extend anteriorly past the ALM cell body

(bottom).   (**E**) The percentage of PLM neurons where the PLM neuron extend anteriorly

past the ALM cell body. The anterior extension often over-extends in *mig-15* mutants. Loss

of *unc-5* or *unc-40* function can suppress this phenotype.

Figure 3. Assay to measure the effects a mutation has on movement. (**A**) The

direction of outgrowth extension from the HSN cell body can vary and whether the axon

developed in the dorsal, anterior, posterior, or ventral direction in L4 stage animals is scored

(left panel). This creates a probability distribution in which the direction (*X*) is a random

variable (center panel).   A simple random walk is generated by using the same probability

distribution for a succession of steps with an equal time interval (right panel). (**B**) For wild

type and two mutants, 50 simulated random walks of 500 steps were plotted from an origin

(0,0). The results graphically indicate the directional bias for movement. For random walk

movement created in mutant A (red, results from *unc-5(e53)*), the directional bias is shifted

anteriorly (left) relative to wild type. The results also graphically show the displacement of

movement. For random walk movement created in mutant B (blue, results from *egl-

20(n585);sax-3(ky123)*), the average of the final position (displacement) from the origin is a

much shorter distance than wild type. (**C**) Plots of the normal distribution of the final position

along the x axis of the random walk tracks shown in B. The mean position for each is set at

0. The plots graphically illustrate how random walks constructed from the probability

distribution for the direction of outgrowth extensions can reveal a diffusion process.

A

direction (X)

dorsal (d)

anterior (a)

posterior (p)

ventral (v)

probability distribution

$P(X = d_1)$

$P(X = a_1)$ $P(X = p_1)$

$P(X = v_1)$

simple random walk

d_1 p_1
a_1
v_1
$\Delta t = 1$

d_1 p_1
a_1
v_1
$\Delta t = 1$

d_1 p_1
a_1
v_1
$\Delta t = 1$

d_1 p_1
a_1
v_1

stochastic sequence
$\{S_n\}$, with $S_0 = 0$,

$$S_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_k$$

B

500 steps

mutant B

mutant A

wild type

C

concentration(x,t)

wild type

displacement
of outgrowth

+++

++

+

mutant A

mutant B

X

Figure 4. Mutations have different effects on movement. Examples of random walk

analyses using the direction of axon development from the HSN neuron in different mutants

(Table 1). The graphs were created as described in the figure legend of Figure 1. For each

panel, plots are shown for the normal distribution of the final position along the x axis for the

random walk tracks plotted in the inserts. The inserts depict the random walk movement that

would be produced by the probability distribution for the direction of outgrowth in the mutant.

Plots derived from the same data are colored alike. Each panel depicts the analyses of four

different mutants and wild type. Three different distribution patterns are observed: (1) the

wild-type distribution, which has the distribution curve with the highest peak; (2) the *unc-5,*

egl-20, unc-53, and *unc-6* (not shown) distribution, which is flatter than the wild-type curve;

(3) the *madd-2, sax-3, mig-15,* and double combinations, which have the flattest distribution

curve.

 Figure 5. Mutations alter the spatial extent of movement. (**A**) Plotted are the mean

squared displacement (MSD) curves as a function of time interval (d*t*). The values are in

arbitrary units, since the time scale was arbitrarily set at 1. The curves show the extent that

different mutations can alter the MSD relative to wild type and the MSD caused by an

unbiased random walk. For each time interval, mean and s.e.m. are plotted.   (**B**) From the

slope of MSD curves a coefficient can be derived that gives the relative rate of diffusion.

Colored bars correspond to the like-colored curves given in panel A.   The coefficients for

unc-5, egl-20, unc-53, and *unc-6* form a class that is distinct from that derived from wild type

and from the double mutants.

Figure 6. Mutations affect asymmetric intracellular UNC-40::GFP localization. (**A**)

Graph indicating the dorsal-ventral localization of UNC-40::GFP in HSN. The graph shows

the average ratio of dorsal-to-ventral intensity from linescan intensity plots of the UNC-

40::GFP signal around the periphery of the HSN cell. UNC-40::GFP is ventrally localized in

wild-type, but the ratio is different in the mutants. Error bars represent standard error of

mean. Below is a graphic representation of the possible UNC-40 localization patterns when

the intensity ratio is ≥ 1 or is <1. (**B**) Graph indicating the anterior-posterior localization of

UNC-40::GFP. To determine orientation, line-scan intensity plots of the UNC-40::GFP

signal across the dorsal periphery of the HSN cell were taken, the dorsal surface was

geometrically divided into three equal segments, and the total intensity of each was

recorded. The percent intensity was calculated for each segment and ANOVA was used to

determine if there is a significant difference between the three segments (see Material and

Methods). Whereas in the *unc-5* and *egl-20* mutants there is a bias for anterior or posterior

localization, there is a uniform distribution in *unc-5;egl-20* double mutants. Uniform

distribution is also observed in strong loss-of-function *sax-3* and *madd-2* mutants. (*)

Animals grown at the *sax-3(ky200)* restrictive temperature (25°C). Below is a graphic

representation of the possible UNC-40 localization patterns.

A. Dorsal-ventral orientation of UNC-40 asymmetric localization

B. Anterior-posterior orientation of UNC-40 asymmetric localization

Figure 7. Model for UNC-40 self-organizing polarization and random walk movement.
(**A**) Along the plasma membrane, complexes comprising UNC-40 and UNC-5 interact with

extracellular cues. A self-organizing process is triggered in which only some complexes

emerge to mediate UNC-40 outgrowth activity. In wild-type animals (top) there is a high

probability that complexes associated with specific levels of the extracellular cues will

mediate outgrowth activity. Compared to wild-type, in animals with loss of UNC-5 function

(bottom) the probability of these same complexes mediating outgrowth activity is lower and

the probability that the other complexes instead will mediate outgrowth is higher. Direction is

labeled left, down, and right, in reference to the orientation of the figure and to emphasize

that the model is not referring to any specific cues or their sources in the animal. (**B**) Two

sets of tracks are shown to compare random walk models derived from representative

wildtype and mutant experimental results. Compared to wildtype, the mutant has a lower

probability of outgrowth in one specific direction (down) and a higher probability of

outgrowth in other directions (left and right). Using the probability distributions shown below

the tracks, 50 simulated random walks of 500 steps were plotted from an origin. This

comparison emphasizes that even though the direction of movement is the same, there is a

difference in the average displacement. We propose this property of movement is

manifested during neuronal extension in wildtype and mutant animals as a difference in the

rate of outgrowth. In statistical physics, net movement through the action of random motion

is diffusion. Here, each track models the trajectory that mass of the plasma membrane

could move during extension. The probability density function of the position of the mass as

a function of space and time is described by an advection-diffusion equation. In summary,

we suggest that the advection-diffusion model can be used to describe the process by

which mass at the leading edge of an extension is transported and that our results describe

how genes regulate this transport.

A

B

Example:

			wildtype	mutant		
P(right)	=		0.1	0.33	=	*P(right')*
P(left)	=		0.1	0.33	=	*P(left')*
P(down)	=		0.8	0.33	=	*P(down')*

Figure 8. Models for how UNC-5 could control the patterns of outgrowth by regulating random walk movement. (**A**) Schematics of the phenotypic differences described in the text. A dashed line connects the schematic to a representative random walk movement model as described in Figure 7B. Our results describe a correlation between the mutant phenotype, which indicates an inhibition of outgrowth, and the random walk modeling, which indicates that the fluctuation in the direction of outgrowth activity observed in the mutant could cause the displacement of the movement of the membrane to decrease. (**B**) An activator-inhibitor model to explain how UNC-5 can regulate the number of processes that can extend in the same direction towards a source of UNC-6. Shown are three panels depicting outgrowth through a gradient of extracellular UNC-6. The UNC-6 concentration gradient is depicted by the green color gradient on the right of each panel. In each succeeding panel the leading edge of the extension encounters a higher UNC-6 concentration (solid horizontal line). The level of UNC-6 influences UNC-5 signaling, which in turn influences the rate of UNC-40 transport to the plasma membrane surface. UNC-5 signaling also results in a decrease in the rate of outgrowth, as depicted by the random walk models below the extension. More specific details about this model are given in the text.

A   HSN ventral L1 extension

PLM anterior overextension

AVM nerve ring extension

B   1 →   2 →   3

Figure 9. Genetic pathways for self-organizing UNC-40 asymmetric localization. (**A**)

Table summarizing the results of experiments previously reported and described in Figure 6

of this paper. (**B**) The genetic data support a model whereby the UNC-6 and EGL-20

extracellular cues regulate at least three pathways leading to robust asymmetric UNC-40

localization. Arrows represent activation; bars represent repression. See text for the logic

used to construct the pathways.

A

loss-of-function			robust asymmetric UNC-40 localization	reference
unc-6			no	(Kulkarni et al., 2013)
unc-5			yes	(Kulkarni et al., 2013)
unc-5	unc-6		no	(Kulkarni et al., 2013)
unc-5	egl-20		no	
unc-5	sax-3		no	
unc-5	unc-53		no	(Kulkarni et al., 2013)
unc-5	unc-53	unc-6	yes	(Kulkarni et al., 2013)
unc-5	unc-53	sax-3	no	
unc-5	madd-2		no	
unc-6	unc-53		yes	(Kulkarni et al., 2013)
unc-6	sax-3		no	(Tang and Wadsworth, 2014)
unc-6	unc-53	sax-3	no	(Tang and Wadsworth, 2014)
egl-20			yes	(Kulkarni et al., 2013)
egl-20	unc-53		yes	(Kulkarni et al., 2013)
egl-20	unc-6		no	(Kulkarni et al., 2013)
egl-20	sax-3		no	(Tang and Wadsworth, 2014)
sax-3			no	(Tang and Wadsworth, 2014)
sax-3	unc-53		no	(Tang and Wadsworth, 2014)
unc-53			yes	(Kulkarni et al., 2013)
madd-2			no	
mig-15			yes	(Yang et al., 2014)

B