TITLE

The Origin and Evolution of a Pandemic Lineage of the Kiwifruit Pathogen

Pseudomonas syringae pv. *actinidiae*

AUTHORS

Honour C. McCann[a,c,*,#], Li Li[b,*], Yifei Liu[c], Dawei Li[b], Pan Hui[b], Canhong Zhong[b],

Erik Rikkerink[d], Matthew Templeton[d,e], Christina Straub[a], Elena Colombi[a], Paul B.

Rainey[a,f,g,†] & Hongwen Huang[b,c,†,#]

AFFILIATIONS

[a] New Zealand Institute for Advanced Study, Massey University, Private Bag

102904, Auckland 0745, New Zealand,.

[b] Key Laboratory of Plant Germplasm Enhancement and Specialty Agriculture,

Wuhan Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan 430074, China

[c] Key Laboratory of Plant Resources Conservation and Sustainable Utilization,

South China Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou 510650,

China

[d] New Zealand Institute for Plant and Food Research, 120 Mt Albert Road,

Auckland 1025, New Zealand

[e] School of Biological Sciences, University of Auckland, Private Bag 92-019,

Auckland 1142, New Zealand

[f] Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Biology, August-Thienemann-Str. 2, Plön

24306, Germany

g Ecole Supérieure de Physique et de Chimie Industrielles de la Ville de Paris (ESPCI

ParisTech), CNRS UMR 8231, PSL Research University, 75231 Paris Cedex 05,

France

* Co-first authors

† Co-senior authors

Corresponding authors: h.mccann@massey.ac.nz, tel.: +64 94140800;

huanghw@scbg.ac.cn., tel.: +86 020 37252778.

ABSTRACT

Recurring epidemics of kiwifruit (*Actinidia* sp.) bleeding canker disease

are caused by *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* (*Psa*), whose emergence has

coincided with domestication of its host. The most recent pandemic has had a

deleterious effect on kiwifruit production worldwide. In order to strengthen

understanding of population structure, phylogeography and evolutionary

dynamics of *Psa*, we isolated *Psa* from cultivated kiwifruit across six provinces in

China. No *Psa* was isolated from wild *Actinidia* spp. Genome sequencing of fifty

isolates and the inclusion of an additional thirty from previous studies show that

China is the origin of the recently emerged pandemic lineage. However China

harbours only a fraction of global *Psa* diversity, with greatest diversity found in

Korea and Japan. Distinct transmission events were responsible for introduction

of the pandemic lineage of *Psa* into New Zealand, Chile and Europe. Two

independent transmission events occurred between China and Korea, and two

Japanese isolates from 2014 cluster with New Zealand *Psa*. Despite high

similarity at the level of the core genome and negligible impact of within-lineage

recombination, there has been substantial gene gain and loss even within the

single clade from which the global pandemic arose.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

Bleeding canker disease of kiwifruit caused by *Pseudomonas syringae* pv.

actinidiae (*Psa*) has come to prominence in the last three decades. Emergence

has coincided with domestication of the host plant and provides a rare

opportunity to understand ecological and genetic factors affecting the

evolutionary origins of *Psa*. Here, based on genomic analysis of an extensive set

of strains sampled from China and augmented by isolates from a global sample,

we show, contrary to earlier predictions, that China is not the native home of the

pathogen, but is nonetheless the source of the recent global pandemic. Our data

identify specific transmission events, substantial genetic diversity and point to

non-agricultural plants in either Japan or Korea as home to the source

population.

INTRODUCTION

A pandemic of kiwifruit (*Actinidia* spp.) bleeding canker disease caused by *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* (*Psa*) emerged in 2008 with severe consequences for production in Europe, Asia, New Zealand and Chile (1-7). Earlier disease epidemics in China, South Korea and Japan had a regional impact, however, as infections were often lethal and the pathogen rapidly disseminated, it was predicted to pose a major threat to global kiwifruit production(8, 9). Despite recognition of this threat – one subsequently realized in 2008 – little was done to advance understanding of population structure, particularly across regions of eastern Asia that mark the native home of the genus Actinidia.

The origins of agricultural diseases and their link with plant domestication is shrouded by time, as most plant domestication events occurred millennia ago. Kiwifruit (*Actinidia* spp.) is a rare exception because domestication occurred during the last century (10, 11). Kiwifruit production and trade in plant material for commercial and breeding purposes has recently increased in Asia, Europe, New Zealand and Chile(12-16), preceding the emergence of disease in some cases by less than a decade.

The first reports of a destructive bacterial canker disease in green-fleshed kiwifruit (*A. chinensis var. deliciosa*) occurred in Shizuoka, Japan (17, 18). The causal agent was described as *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* (*Psa*) (18). An outbreak of disease with symptoms similar to those produced by *Psa* was reported to have occurred in 1983-1984 in Hunan, China, though no positive identification was made or isolates stored at that time (17). *Psa* was also isolated from infected green kiwifruit in Korea shortly thereafter (19). The cultivation of more recently developed gold-fruiting cultivars derived from *A. chinensis var.*

chinensis (e.g. 'Hort16A') began only in the 2000s and an outbreak of global

proportions soon followed. The first published notices of the latest outbreak on

gold kiwifruit issued from Italy in 2008, with reports from neighbouring

European countries, New Zealand, Asia and Chile occurring soon after (1-6, 20).

Whole genome sequencing showed the most recent global outbreak of disease

was caused by a new lineage of *Psa* (previously referred to as *Psa*-V and now

referred to as *Psa*-3), while earlier disease incidents in Japan and Korea were

caused by strains forming separate clades referred to *Psa*-1 (previously *Psa*-J)

and *Psa*-2 (previously *Psa*-K), respectively) (21-24). These lineages are marked

by substantial variation in their complement of type III secreted effectors, which

are required for virulence in *P. syringae.* Despite the surprising level of within-

pathovar differences in virulence gene repertoires occurring subsequent to the

divergence of these three lineages, strains from each lineage are capable of

infecting and growing to high levels in both *A. chinensis var. deliciosa* and *A.*

chinensis var. chinensis (23)*.*

The severity of the latest global outbreak is largely predicated on the

expansion in cultivation of clonally propagated highly susceptible *A. chinensis*

var. chinensis cultivars, with trade in plant material and pollen likely providing

opportunities for transmission between distant geographic regions. Identifying

the source from which *Psa* emerged to cause separate outbreaks remains an

important question. Intriguingly, despite the divergence in both the core and

flexible genome, these distinct lineages nevertheless exhibit evidence of

recombination with each other and unknown donors (23). This suggests each

lineage of *Psa* emerged from a recombining source population. Definitive

evidence for the location, extent of diversity and evolutionary processes

operating within this population remain elusive. Early reports suggested China

may be the source of the latest global outbreak (22, 23). Although the strains of

Psa available at that time did not provide unambiguous and well-supported

evidence of a Chinese origin, this speculation was based on the fact that kiwifruit

are native to China; it is the provenance of the plant material selected for

commercial and breeding purposes between China, New Zealand, Italy and other

kiwifruit growing regions; there is extensive trade in plant material between all

of these regions; and one Chinese isolate was found to carry an integrative and

conjugative element (ICE) that was also found in New Zealand *Psa*-3 isolates

(22).

In order to strengthen understanding of the population structure,

phylogeography and evolutionary dynamics of *Psa*, we isolated *Psa* from

cultivated kiwifruit across six provinces in China and obtained additional isolates

from South Korea and Japan. Genome sequencing of fifty isolates and the

inclusion of an additional thirty previously sequenced isolates show that while

China is the origin of the pandemic lineage of *Psa,* only a single clade is currently

present in China, while strains from multiple clades are present in both Korea

and Japan. Strains from the pandemic lineage are closely related and display

reduced pairwise nucleotide diversity relative to other lineages, indicating a

more recent origin. Distinct transmission events were responsible for the

introduction of the pandemic lineage of *Psa* into New Zealand, Chile and Europe.

Two independent transmission events occurred between China and Korea, and

two Japanese isolates from 2014 cluster with New Zealand *Psa*. Despite high

similarity at the level of the core genome and negligible impact of within-lineage

recombination, there has been substantial gene gain and loss even within the

single clade from which the global pandemic arose.

RESULTS

The phylogeography of *Psa*

The genomes of 50 *P. syringae* pv. *actinidiae* (*Psa*) isolated from

symptomatic kiwifruit in China, Korea and New Zealand between 2010 and 2015

were sequenced (Table S1). Combined with 30 *Psa* genomes from earlier

outbreaks and different geographic regions (e.g. Italy and Chile), our samples

represent the main *Psa* genotypes from the countries producing 90% of kiwifruit

production worldwide. The completed reference genome of *Psa* NZ13 (ICMP

18884) comprises a 6,580,291bp chromosome and 74,423bp plasmid(23, 25).

Read mapping and variant calling with reference to *Psa* NZ13 chromosome

produced a 1,059,732bp non-recombinant core genome for all 80 genomes,

including 2,963 nonrecombinant SNPs. A maximum likelihood phylogenetic

analysis showed the four lineages of *Psa* known to cause bleeding canker disease

in kiwifruit were represented among the 80 strains (Figure S1). The first clade

(*Psa*-1) includes the pathotype strain of *Psa* isolated and described during the

first recorded epidemic of bleeding canker disease in Japan (1984-1988). The

second clade (*Psa*-2) includes isolates from an epidemic in South Korea (1997-

1998), and the third clade (*Psa*-3) includes isolates that define the global

pandemic lineage (2008-present). A fourth clade (*Psa*-5) is represented by a

single strain, as no additional sequences or isolates were available(26). The

average between and within-clade pairwise identity is 98.93% and 99.73%,

respectively (Table S2). All *Psa* isolated from kiwifruit across six different

provinces in China group are members of the same clade: *Psa*-3. A subset of

Chinese strains group with the *Psa* isolated during the global outbreak in Italy,

Portugal, New Zealand, and Chile. This subset is referred to as the pandemic

lineage of *Psa*-3.

In order to obtain greater resolution of the relationships between the new

Chinese and pandemic isolates, we identified the 4,853,421bp core genome of all

62 strains in *Psa*-3. The core genome includes both variant and invariant sites

and excludes regions either unique to or deleted from one or more strains. To

minimize the possibility of recombination affecting the reconstruction of

evolutionary relationships and genetic distance within *Psa*-3, ClonalFrameML

was employed to identify and remove SNPs with a high probability of being

introduced by recombination rather than mutation. The within-lineage ratio of

recombination to mutation (R/theta) is reduced in *Psa*-3 ($6.75 \times 10^{-2} \pm 3.24 \times 10^{-5}$)

relative to between lineage rates ($1.27 \pm 5.16 \times 10^{-4}$) ,and the mean divergence

of imported DNA within *Psa*-3 is $8.54 \times 10^{-3} \pm 5.18 \times 10^{-7}$ compared to 5.68×10^{-3}

$\pm 1.04 \times 10^{-8}$ between lineages. Although recombination has occurred within *Psa*

lineage 3, it is less frequent and has introduced fewer polymorphisms relative to

mutation: when accounting for polymorphisms present in recombinant regions

identified by ClonalFrameML and/or present on transposons, plasmids, and

other mobile elements, more than seven-fold more polymorphisms were

introduced by mutation relative to recombination (Table 1). Recombination has

a more pronounced impact between lineages, where substitutions are slightly

more likely to have been introduced by recombination than by mutation (Table

1).

The source of pandemic *Psa*

191   Data show that there is greater diversity among the Chinese *Psa*-3

population than had been previously identified (Figure 1). Interestingly, clades

defining *Psa*-1 and *Psa*-3 exhibit similar levels of diversity (Table S2). These

clades share a common ancestor: assuming they are evolving at a similar rate,

they may have been present in Japan and China for a similar duration. The

strains isolated during the latest pandemic in Italy (I2, I3, I10, I11, I13), Portugal

(P1), New Zealand (NZ13, NZ31-35, NZ37-43, NZ45-49, NZ54), Chile (CL4), Japan

(J38, J39) and Korea (K5) during the latest kiwifruit canker pandemic cluster

with nine Chinese isolates (C1, C3, C29-31, C62, C67-69) (Figure 1). This

pandemic lineage exhibits little diversity at the level of the core genome, having

undergone clonal expansion only very recently. The NZ isolates form a

monophyletic group and share a common ancestor, indicating there was a single

transmission event of *Psa* into NZ. Strikingly, two recently isolated Japanese

pandemic *Psa*-3 isolated in 2014 group within the New Zealand isolates,

suggesting the pandemic lineage may have been introduced into Japan via New

Zealand (Figure 1). Italian and Portuguese pandemic strains also form a separate

group, indicative of a single transmission event from China to Italy. China is

undoubtedly the source of the strains responsible for the pandemic of kiwifruit

canker disease, yet the precise origins of the pandemic subclade remain unclear.

Isolates from four different provinces in Western China (Guizhou, Shaanxi,

Sichuan and Chongqing) are represented among the pandemic lineage, indicating

extensive regional transmission within China after emergence of the pandemic.

Yet each province harbouring pandemic isolates also harbors basally diverging

Psa-3 isolates (Figure 2). With the exception of a group of isolates from Sichuan,

there is no phylogeographic signal among the more divergent Chinese strains.

This suggests there was extensive regional transmission of *Psa* both prior and

subsequent to the emergence of the pandemic subclade in China. Korea harbors

both divergent and pandemic subclade *Psa*-3 strains. K5 groups with the Chilean

Psa-3 strain in the pandemic subclade, while K7 groups with the more divergent

Chinese isolates indicating that a transmission event from strains outside the

pandemic subclade may have occurred. This pool of diversity therefore

represents a reservoir from which novel strains are likely to emerge in the

future.

The reduced level of diversity within the core genome of pandemic *Psa*-3

demonstrates these strains have been circulating for a shorter period of time

relative to those responsible for earlier outbreaks in both Japan and Korea. In

order to estimate the divergence time of the pandemic lineages as well as the age

of the most recent common ancestor of all *Psa* clades displaying vascular

pathogenicity on kiwifruit, we performed linear regression of root-to-tip

distances against sampling dates using the RAxML phylogenies determined from

the non-recombinant core genome of all clades and of Psa-3 alone. No temporal

signal was identified in the data. There were poor correlations between

substitution accumulation and sampling dates, indicating the sampling period

may have been too short for sufficient substitutions to occur. There may also be

variation in the substitution rate within even a single lineage. Forty-four unique

non-recombinant SNPs were identified among the 21 pandemic *Psa*-3 genomes

sampled over five years in New Zealand (an average of 2.10 per genome) over

five years) producing an estimated rate of 8.7×10^{-8} substitutions per site per

year. The relatively slow substitution rate and the strong bottleneck effect

experienced during infections hinders efforts to reconstruct patterns of

transmission, as the global dissemination of a pandemic strain may occur

extremely rapidly {ref}. The estimated divergence time of *Psa* broadly

considered is likely older than the pandemic and epidemic events with which

they are associated: the earliest report of disease cause by lineage 1 occurred in

1984 and the first report of infection from the latest pandemic was issued in

2008 (see also (27)).

Diversification and parallelism among *Psa*-3 isolates

2,214 SNPs mapping to the core genome of *Psa*-3 were identified; 263 of

these mapped to recombinant regions identified by ClonalFrameML and/or

plasmid, prophage, integrative and conjugative elements, transposons and other

mobile genetic elements (Table 1, Figure 3). Of the 1,951 SNPs mapping to the

non-recombinant non-mobile core genome, 57.7% (1,125) are strain specific.

Most strain-specific SNPs are found in the two most divergent members of the

lineage: *Psa* C16 and C17, with 736 and 158 strain-specific SNPs, respectively.

The remaining isolates have an average of 3.9 strain-specific SNPs, ranging from

0 to 44 SNPs per strains (Figure 3). There are 826 SNPs shared between one or

more *Psa*-3 strains. The pandemic clade differs from the more divergent Chinese

strains by 72 shared SNPs. Within the pandemic lineage there are 125 strain-

specific SNPs, an average of 3.1 unique SNPs per strain (ranging from 0-27 SNPs)

and an additional 29 SNPs shared among pandemic strains. Protein-coding

sequence accounts for 88.4% of the non-recombinant, gap-free core genome of

this lineage. We observed that 78.9% (1,539/1,951) of mutations occurred in

protein coding sequence, significantly different from the expectation

(1,725/1,963) in the absence of selection (Pearson's χ^2 test: $P < 0.0001$, $\chi^2 =$

172.55). This suggests there is selection against mutations occurring in protein

coding sequences. Of the 953 non-synonymous substitutions introduced by

mutation in lineage 3, 927 resulted in amino acid substitutions, two resulted in

extensions and 24 resulted in premature truncations.

270   Multiple synonymous and non-synonymous mutations were identified in

271 genes. The accumulation of multiple independent mutations in the same

gene may be a function of gene length, mutational hotspots or directional

selection. We identified 29 genes exhibiting a greater than expected number of

independent mutations in the same gene, given the length of the gene and total

number of mutations affecting core genes (Table S3). A range of hypothetical

proteins, transcriptional regulators and transferases acquired between two and

four independent mutations. The fitness impact of these mutations – and the 38

amino-acid changing mutations in the ancestor of the pandemic subclade - is

unknown, yet it is possible these patterns are the outcome of selective pressures

imposed during bacterial residence within a similar host niche.

281   Two substitutions are shared exclusively by the European pandemic

strains (AKT28710.1 G1150Aand AKT33438.1 T651C) and one silent

substitution in a gene encoding an acyltransferase superfamily protein

(AKT31915.1 C273T) is shared among the European pandemic and six of nine

Chinese pandemic strains (C3, C29-31, C67, C69). As these six Chinese pandemic

strains were isolated from Shaanxi, Sichuan and Chongqing, they do not provide

any insight into the precise geographic origins of the European pandemic *Psa-3*,

though transmission from China to Italy is likely concomitant with dissemination

of the pandemic lineage across China. Six conserved and diagnostic

polymorphisms are present in the pandemic New Zealand and Japanese isolates

(Table S4). One of these is a silent substitution in an ion channel protein

(AKT31947.1 A213G), another is an intergenic (T->G) mutation at position

362,522 of the reference *Psa* NZ13 chromosome and the remaining four are

nonsynonymous substitutions in an adenylyltransferase (AKT32845.1, W977R);

chromosome segregation protein (AKT30494.1, H694Q); cytidylate kinase

(AKT29651.1, V173L) and peptidase protein (AKT32264.1, M418K).

The type III secretion system is known to be required for virulence in *P.*

syringae. A 44,620bp deletion event in *Psa* C17 resulted in the loss of 42 genes

encoding the structural apparatus and conserved type III secreted effectors in

Psa C17. This strain is highly compromised in its ability to grow in *A. chinensis*

var. *deliciosa* 'Hayward', attaining 1.2×10^7 cfu/g three days post inoculation

(dpi) and declining to 8.8×10^4 cfu/g at fourteen dpi (Figure S2). This is a

marked reduction compared to *Psa* NZ13, which attains 3.0×10^9 and 4.2×10^7

cfu/g three and fourteen dpi. *Psa* C17 nevertheless multiplies between day 0 and

day 3, indicating that even in the absence of type III-mediated host defense

disruption, *Psa* may still proliferate in host tissues. The loss of the TTSS does not

inhibit the growth of *Psa* C17 as strongly in the more susceptible *A. chinensis* var.

chinensis 'Hort16A' cultivar.

Two potentially significant deletion events occurred in the ancestor of the

pandemic subclade: a frameshift caused by a mutation and single base pair

deletion in a glucan succinyltransferase (*opgC*) and a 6,456bp deletion in the wss

operon (Figure S3). Osmoregulated periplasmic glucans (OPGs, in particular

opgG and *opgH*) are required for motility, biofilm formation and virulence in

various plant pathogenic bacteria and fungi (28-30). Homologs of *opgGH* remain

intact in the pandemic subcclade, yet the premature stop mutation in *opgC* likely

results in the loss of glucan succinylation. The soft-rot pathogen *Dickeya dadantii*

expresses OpgC in high osmolarity conditions, resulting in the substitution of

OPGs by O-succinyl residues(31). *D. dadantii opgC* deletion mutants did not

display any reduction in virulence(31). *Psa* is likely to encounter high osmolarity

during growth and transport in xylem conductive tissues, yet the impact of the

loss of *opgC* on *Psa* fitness has yet to be determined. The most striking difference

between the pandemic subcclade and more divergent Chinese *Psa*-3 strains is

the deletion of multiple genes involved in cellulose production and acetylation of

the polymer (Figure S3)(32). The loss of cellulose production and biofilm

production is not associated with a reduction in growth or symptom

development of *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 on tomato, but may enhance

bacterial spread through xylem tissues during vascular infections (33). In *P.*

fluorescens SBW25 deletion of the Wss operon significantly compromises ability

to colonise plant surfaces and in particular the phyllosphere of sugar beet (*Beta*

vulgaris) seedlings (Gal et al 2003 Mol Ecol). It is possible that loss of this locus

aids movement through the vascular system and / or dissemination among

plants, by limiting capacity for surface colonization and biofilm formation.

Dynamic genome evolution of *Psa*-3

Despite the high similarity within the core genome, extensive variation is

evident in the pangenome of *Psa*-3 (Figure 3). The core genome (4,339 genes in

99-100% of strains, and 674 genes in 95%-99% of strains, or 58-62 genomes)

comprises 50.5% of the total pangenome (9,931 genes). 674 genes are present in

15-95% of strains (9-57 genomes), the so-called 'shell genes' (Figure 3). The

flexible genome is comprised of the 'shell' and 'cloud' genes; the latter describes

genes present in 0-15% of strains (one to six genomes in this case). Cloud genes

contribute most to the flexible genome: 3,950 genes are present in one to six

strains. This is a striking amount of variation in a pathogen described as clonal

and monomorphic. It should be noted that sequencing and assembly quality will

impact annotation and pangenome estimates: omitting the poor quality J39

assembly results in a core and soft-core genome differing by 18 genes and a

reduction of the cloud by 275. Despite a relatively slow rate of mutation and

limited within-clade homologous recombination, the amount of heterologous

recombination demonstrates that the genomes of these pathogens are highly

labile. Mobile genetic elements like bacteriophage, transposons and integrases

make a dramatic contribution to the flexible genome. Integrative and conjugative

elements (ICEs) are highly mobile elements and have recently been

demonstrated to be involved in the transfer of copper resistance in *Psa* (Figure

4)(34). Prodigious capacity for lateral gene transfer creates extreme

discordance between ICE type, host phylogeny and host geography making these

regions unsuitable markers of host evolution and origin.

357   Three divergent ICEs have been previously described from the global

pandemic lineage {McCann et al}. Within *Psa*-3 ICEs were found in 53 of 62

isolates (nine of the divergent Chinese isolates were devoid of any such element)

(Figure 1). No phylogeographic signal is evident. For example, strains from

Sichuan, Shaanxi, Korea, Italy and Portugal share an identical ICE. Even within a

single Chinese provence, mulitple ICEs exist (Shaanxi and Sichuan isolates

harbour four and three different ICEs, respectively). Moreover, ICE host range is

not limited to Psa alone: the ICE found in every NZ isolate (and also recorded in

Chinese isolate C1) exists in essentially identical form in a strain of *P. syringae*

pv. *avellenae* CRAPAV013 isolated from hazelnut in 1991 in Latina, Italy (it

exhibits 98% pairwise identity, differing from the New Zealand ICE by a

transposon, 66bp deletion and mere 6 SNPs).

DISCUSSION

We have uncovered an endemic population of *Psa* infecting cultivated kiwifruit in China. All *Psa* isolated within China are members of the same lineage as that responsible for the latest pandemic. The pandemic strains isolated in Italy, Portugal, Chile and New Zealand form a subclade within this lineage along with a subset of Chinese isolates, indicating that the pandemic ultimately emerged from the Chinese population of lineage 3 strains. Italian pandemic strains share a SNP with six of nine Chinese pandemic *Psa* strains, indicating there was likely a direct transmission event from China to Italy prior to 2008. The New Zealand isolates share six clade-defining mutations, indicating that a separate and single transmission event was responsible for the outbreak of disease there. Identification of the transmission pathway introducing *Psa* into New Zealand is dependent on obtaining a sample of *Psa* sharing some or all of the mutations characteristic of NZ *Psa* from either the overseas source population or from infected plant material arriving into New Zealand from an overseas location. The relatively low mutation rate in the core genome of *Psa* places a lower boundary on the ability of genomic epidemiology to resolve transmission events occurring either rapidly (as a consequence of human-mediated long-distance dissemination) or at a local scale. The Japanese pandemic strains cluster with the NZ strains, and share all six clade-defining mutations. This suggests that pandemic *Psa*-3 was either introduced into Japan via New Zealand, or from the same as-yet unknown region in China from which transmission to New Zealand occurred. *Psa*-3 was first identified as causing disease in four prefectures across Japan in April 2014(5). Japan imported pollen and plant material from both China and New Zealand prior and subsequent to

Psa-3 detection in both those countries, though the amount of pollen imported

from New Zealand in 2012 (349kg) and 2013 (190kg) far outweighed the

amount imported from China (1 kg in both 2012 and 2013)(35).

Our phylogeographic study of a single lineage giving rise to a pandemic in

P. syringae has revealed far greater diversity than was previously appreciated.

Extensive diversity between *Psa* isolates collected from *Actinidia* spp. was

observed in the same province. The amount of diversity present within lineage 3

indicates this population was present and circulating in China before the

pandemic began. The emergence of the pandemic subclade moreover has not

resulted in the replacement of more ancestral strains: both pandemic and

divergent lineage 3 *Psa* were isolated from four out of six provinces.

Strains from three different lineages have been isolated in both Korea and

Japan, while China harbours strains from only a single lineage. The most basal

lineages of canker-causing *Psa* are comprised of Korean strains isolated between

1997 and 2014 (*Psa*-2) and a member of the recently identified lineage *Psa*-5.

One early isolate (*Psa* K3, 1997) groups with the Japanese isolates in lineage 1,

and a more recent Korean isolate *Psa* K7 (2014) groups with the more diverse

Chinese isolates in lineage 3. Korea therefore harbours a more diverse

population of *Psa* than China, with strains from three distinct lineages of *Psa* (1, 2

and non-pandemic subclade 3). A novel group of *Psa* emerged very recently in

Japan (*Psa*-5) and appears to share an ancestor with the Korean *Psa*-2 strains.

With the recent dissemination of pandemic *Psa*-3 and the historical presence of

Psa-1 in Japan, the Japanese population of *Psa* is comprised of three distinct

lineages of *Psa* (1, 5 and pandemic subclade 3). Though no strains from *Psa*-1

have been isolated in either Japan or Korea since 1997, at least two lineages

currently coexist in both Japan and Korea. This strongly suggests that the source

population of all *Psa* is not kiwifruit in China, but is more likely to reside in either

Korea or Japan. The potential transmission of a non-pandemic lineage 3 strain

from China to Korea and the emergence of a new lineage in Japan supports our

earlier assertion that variants will continue to emerge to cause local epidemics

and global pandemics in the future.

Considering that the divergence time of this monophyletic pathovar

predates the commercialisation of kiwifruit by hundreds if not thousands of

years,*Psa* is likely associated with a non-domesticated host(s) in the wild. Both

A. chinensis var. deliciosa or *A. chinensis var. chinensis* are found in natural

ecosystems and have overlapping habitat ranges with cultivated kiwifruit in

many areas. However, despite isolating 747 *Pseudomonas* strains from both wild

and cultivated kiwifruit during this sampling program, we did not identify *Psa*

among any of the 188 *Pseudomonas* spp. isolated from 98 wild *A. chinensis var.*

deliciosa or *A. chinensis var. chinensis* sampled across six provinces in China

(Table S5). Very few *Actinidia* spp. have ranges extending to South Korea and

Japan: *A. arguta*, *A. kolomikta*, *A. polygama* and *A. rufa*. *A. arguta* are broadly

distributed across both Korea and Japan. Early work by Ushiyama *et al.* (1992)

found that *Psa* could be isolated from symptomatic *A. arguta* plants in Japan. The

elucidation of whether this wild relative of kiwifruit harbours diverse strains of

Psa that may emerge to cause future outbreaks is currently under investigation.

Alternately, a host shift from another domesticated crop may have occurred after

expansion in kiwifruit cultivation.

Numerous epidemiological studies of human pathogens have

demonstrated environmental or zoonotic origins, but there are few such studies

of plant pathogens ((23, 36-46). Where ecological and genetic factors restrict

pathogens to a small number of plant hosts some progress has been made, but

for facultative pathogens such as *P. syringae* that colonise multiple hosts and are

widely distributed among both plant and non-plant habitats, the environmental

reservoirs of disease and factors affecting their evolutionary emergence are

difficult to unravel ((47, 48).

The emergence of *Psa* over the last three decades – concomitant with

domestication of kiwifruit– offers a rare opportunity to understand the

relationship between wild populations of both plants and microbes and the

ecological and evolutionary factors driving the origins of disease, including the

role of agriculture. It is now possible to exclude China as the native home to the

source population, but the precise location remains unclear. Nonetheless, it is

likely, given the extent of diversity among *Psa* isolates and the time-line to

domestication, that ancestral populations exist in non-agricultural plant

communities. Attention now turns to Korea and Japan and in particular the

interplay between genetic and ecological factors that have shaped Psa evolution.

.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and sequencing

Samples were procured by isolation from symptomatic plant tissue. Bacterial strain isolations were performed from same-day sampled leaf and stem tissue by homogenising leaf or stem tissue in 800uL 10mM $MgSO_4$ and plating the homogenate on *Pseudomonas* selective media (King's B supplemented with cetrimide, fucidin and cephalosporing, Oxoid). Plates were incubated 48 hours between 25 and 30°C. Single colonies were restreaked and tested for oxidase activity, and used to inoculate liquid overnight cultures in KB. Strains were then stored at -80°C in 15% glycerol and the remainder of the liquid culture was reserved for genomic DNA isolation by freezing the pelleted bacterial cells in a 96 well plate at -20°C. Genomic DNA extractions were performed using Promega Wizard 96-well genomic DNA purification system.

Initial strain identification was performed by sequencing the citrate synthase gene (*cts*, aka *gltA* (49)). Subsequent to strain identification, paired-end sequencing was performed using the Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform (Novogene, Guangzhou, China). Additional paired-end sequencing was performed at New Zealand Genomics Limited (Auckland, New Zealand) using the MiSeq platform, and raw sequence reads from some previously published isolates were shared by Mazzaglia *et al.* (24).

Variant Calling and Recombination Analyses

The completely sequenced genome of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* NZ13 was used as a reference for variant calling. A near complete version of this genome was used as a reference in our previous publication and subsequently finished by Templeton *et al.* (2015), where it is referred to as ICMP1884 (23, 25). Variant calling was performed on all *P. syringae* pv. *actinidiae* isolates for which read data was available.

Read data was corrected using the SPADEs correction module and Illumina adapter sequences were removed with Trimmomatic allowing 2 seed mismatches, with a palindrome and simple clip threshold of 30 and 10, respectively (50, 51). Quality-based trimming was also performed using a sliding window approach to clip the first 10 bases of each read as well as leading and trailing bases with quality scores under 20, filtering out all reads with a length under 50 (51). PhiX and other common sequence contaminants were filtered out using the Univec Database and duplicate reads were removed (52).

Reads were mapped to the complete reference genome *Psa* NZ13 with Bowtie2 and duplicates removed with SAM Tools (53, 54). Freebayes was used to call variants with a minimum base quality 20 and minimum mapping quality 30 (55). Variants were retained if they had a minimum alternate allele count of 10 reads and fraction of 95% of reads supporting the alternate call. The average coverage was calculated with SAM Tools and used as a guide to exclude overrepresented SNPs (defined here as threefold higher coverage than the average) which may be caused by mapping to repetitive regions. BCFtools filtering and masking was used to generate final reference alignments including SNPs falling within the quality and coverage thresholds described above and

excluding SNPs within 3bp of an insertion or deletion (indel) event or indels

separated by 2 or fewer base pairs. Invariant sites with a minimum coverage of

10 reads were also retained in the alignment, areas of low (less than 10 reads) or

no coverage are represented as gaps relative to the reference.

Freebayes variant calling includes indels and multiple nucleotide

insertions as well as single nucleotide insertions, however only SNPs were

retained for downstream phylogenetic analyses. An implementation of

ClonalFrame suitable for use with whole genomes was employed to identify

recombinant regions using a maximum likelihood starting tree generated by

RaxML (56, 57). All substitutions occurring within regions identified as being

introduced due to recombination by ClonalFrameML were removed from the

alignments. The reference alignments were manually curated to exclude

substitutions in positions mapping to mobile elements such as plasmids,

integrative and conjugative elements and transposons.

Phylogenetic Analysis

The maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of 80 *Psa* strains comprising

new Chinese isolates and strains reflecting the diversity of all known lineages

was built with RAxML (version 7.2.8) using a 1,216,321bp core genome

alignment excluding all positions for which one or more genomes lacked

coverage of 10 reads or higher (57). The core genome alignmnt included 2,207

variant sites. Membership within each phylogenetic lineage corresponds to a

minimum average nucleotide identity of 99.70%. The average nucleotide identity

was determined using a BLAST-based approach in JspeciesWS (ANIb), using a

subset of 32 *Psa* genome assemblies spanning all lineages(58). In order to fully

resolve the relationships between more closely related recent outbreak strains, a

phylogeny was constructed using only the 62 *Psa*-3 strains. This was determined

using a 4,853,421bp core genome alignment including 1,951 non-recombinant

SNPs and invariant sites. Trees were built with the generalized time-reversible

model and gamma distribution of site-specific rate variation (GTR+Γ) and 100

bootstrap replicates. *Psa* C16 was used to root the tree as this was shown to be

the most divergent member of the phylogeny when including strains from

multiple lineages. Nodes shown have minimum bootstrap support values of 50.

Identification of the core and mobile genome

Genomes were assembled with SPAdes using the filtered, trimmed and

corrected reads (50). Assembly quality was improved with Pilon and annotated

with Prokka (59, 60). The pangenome of *Psa*-3 was calculated using the ROARY

pipeline(61). Orthologs present in 61 (out of a total of 62) genomes were

considered core; presence in 58-60, 9-57 and 1-8 were considered soft-core,

shell and cloud genomes, respectively. BLAST-based confirmation was used to

confirm the identity predicted virulence or pandemic-clade-restricted genes in

genome assemblies.

Pathogenicity assays

Growth assays were performed using both stab inoculation as in McCann

et al. (2013) an initial inoculum of 10^8cfu/mL and four replicate plants at day 0

and six at all subsequent sampling time points. Bacterial density in inoculated

tissue was assessed by homogenizing tissue and serial dilution plating. Statistical

significance between each treatment at each time point was assessed using two-

tailed t-tests with uneven variance.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the assistance of the following guides,

teachers, and graduate assistants who helped us identify sample locations in

China: Junjie Gong, Yancang Wang, Shengju Zhang, Zupeng Wang, Yangtao Guo,

Meiyan Chen, Kuntong Li, Moucai Wang, Jiaming He, Yonglin Zhao, Zhongshu Yu,

Yan Lv, Mingfei Yao, Shihua Pu, Tingwen Huang, Qiuling Hu, Caizhi He, and

Jiaqing Peng. Derk Wachsmuth at Max Planck Institute for computing server

support. James Connell for assistance with biosecurity regulations. Members of

the Rainey and Huang labs for discussion. Joel Vanneste for contributing strains.

This work was funded by grants from the New Zealand Ministry for Business,

Innovation and Employment (C11X1205), Canada Natural Sciences and

Engineering Research Council, Chinese Academy of Sciences Present's

International Fellowship Initiative (Grant NO. 2015PB063), China Scholarship

Council (Grant NO. 201504910013), National Natural Science Foundation of

China (Grant NO. 31572092), Science and Technology Service Network Initiative

Foundation of The Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant NO. KFJ-EW-STS-076),

Protection and utilization of Crop Germplasm Resources Foundation of Ministry

of Agriculture (Grant NO. 2015NWB027).

TABLES

Table 1. Origin of SNPs in core genomes

		Intergenic	Synonymous	Non-synonymous	Total	
Lineage 3	Mutation	412	586	953	1,951	
	Recombination	35	137	91	263	7.42
All lineages	Mutation	457	1,494	1,008	2,959	
	Recombination	355	2,218	579	3,152	0.94

Table S1. Strains

ID	WGS origin	Host plant	Country	Year	Other collection/alias	Contigs	N50
C1[1]	Mazzaglia et al (2012)	*A. chinensis* 'Hongyang'	China, Shaanxi, Wei	2010	CH2010-6, M7	470	24,560
C3	This paper	*A. deliciosa* 'Hayward'	China, Shaanxi, Xi'an, Zhouzhi	2012	ZY2, CC770	318	46,356
C9[1]	Butler et al (2013)		China, Shaanxi, Wei County	2010	M228	346	38,960
C10	This paper	*A. chinensis* 'Hongyang'	China, Sichuan, Shifang	2012	850.1.1. CC822	325	45,191
C11	This paper	*A. chinensis* 'Hongyang'	China, Sichuan, Shifang	2012	850.2.2. CC823	335	50,545
C12	This paper	*A. chinensis* 'Hongyang'	China, Sichuan, Shifang	2012	850.4.1. CC826	339	48,835
C13	This paper	*A. chinensis* 'Hongyang'	China, Sichuan, Shifang	2012	850.5.1. CC827	326	44,835
C14	This paper	*A. chinensis* 'Hongyang'	China, Sichuan, Shifang	2012	850.6.1. CC828	326	44,683
C15	This paper	*A. chinensis* 'Hort16A'	China, Sichuan, Pengzhou, Cifeng	2012	913.1.1 CC835	327	54,039
C16	This paper	*A. chinensis* 'Hongyang'	China, Hubei, Enshi, Jianshi	2012	913.5.1, CC836	386	42,362
C17	This paper	*A. chinensis* 'Hongyang'	China, Sichuan, Qionglai, Huojing	2012	913.10.1, CC837	366	43,680
C18	This paper	*Actinidia* sp.	China, Shaanxi, Baoji, Meixian	2012	913.15.1, CC838	325	50,834
C24	This paper	*A. chinensis* 'Hongyang'	China, Chongqing, Wanzhou, Houshan	2014	120L3	338	48,742
C26	This paper	*A. chinensis* 'Hongyang'	China, Chongqing, Wanzhou, Houshan	2014	124L1	343	47,302
C27	This paper	*A. chinensis* 'Hongyang'	China, Chongqing, Wanzhou, Houshan	2014	124L7	364	48,757
C28	This paper	*A. chinensis* 'Hongyang'	China, Sichuan, Dujiangyan, Xujia	2014	139S2	343	46,114
C29	This paper	*A. deliciosa* 'Hayward'	China, Sichuan, Dujiangyan, Hongkou	2014	163S1	321	41,599
C30	This paper	*A. chinensis*	China, Sichuan, Dujiangyan, Hongkou	2014	165L4	334	43,004
C31	This paper	*A. chinensis*	China, Sichuan, Dujiangyan, Hongkou	2014	166L2	303	43,291
C48	This paper	*A. chinensis*	China, Hunan, Changde City, Shimen	2014	50L1	351	47,058
C54	This paper	*A. deliciosa*	China, Guizhou, Liupanshui, Panxian	2014	77L5	360	47,421
C62	This paper	*A. chinensis* 'Jinyan'	China, Guizhou, Liupanshui, Liuzhi	2014	GZ410	327	45,829
C66	This paper	*A. chinensis* 'Hongyang'	China, Hubei, Yichang, Yiling	2014	YC5	333	48,710

C67	This paper	*A. chinensis* 'Hongyang'	China, Chongqing, Qianjiang, Jinxi	2012	163W4	310	46,111
C68	This paper	*A. chinensis* 'Jinyan'	China, Guizhou, Liupanshui, Liuzhi	2014	GZ3-5	347	45,058
C69	This paper	*A. chinensis* 'Jinyan'	China, Shaanxi, Xi'an, Zhouzhi	2014	SH1-14	304	47,863
C70	This paper	*A. chinensis*	China, Sichuan, Dujiangyan, Xujia	2014	141S5	327	48,715
C73	This paper	*A. chinensis* 'Hongyang'	China, Sichuan, Dujiangyan, Xujia	2014	139L10	365	48,937
C74	This paper	*A. chinensis* 'Hongyang'	China, Sichuan, Dujiangyan, Xiange	2014	148L1	326	47,058
C75	This paper	*A. chinensis* 'Hongyang'	China, Sichuan, Dujiangyan, Xiange	2014	148L4	336	47,058
CL4[1]	Butler et al (2013	*A. deliciosa*	Chile, Maule	2010		370	37,470
I1[1]	Marceletti et al (2011)	*A. deliciosa* 'Hayward'	Italy, Roma	1992	NCPPB3871	405	27,730
I2[1]	Marceletti et al (2011)	*A. chinensis* 'Hort16A'	Italy, Latina	2008	CRAFRU8.43	523	22,372
I3[1]	Mazzaglia et al (2012)	*A. chinensis* 'Hort16A'	Italy, Lazio	2008	CFBP 7286	329	31,420
I10[1]	Butler et al (2013)	*A. deliciosa*	Italy, Roma	2010	ICMP18744, CRAFRU11.41	358	35,904
I11	Mazzaglia et al (2012)	*A. chinensis* 'Jin Tao'	Italy, Veneto	2008	CFBP 7285	359	33,568
I13	Mazzaglia et al (2012)	*A. deliciosa* 'Hayward'	Italy, Lazio	2008	CFBP 7287	357	36,668
J1[1]	Baltrus et al (2011)	*A. deliciosa*	Japan, Kanagawa	1984	MAFF 302091	248	65,551
J2[1]	Mazzaglia et al (2012)	*A. chinensis*	Japan	1988	PA459	634	17,643
J25[1]	Mazzaglia et al (2012)	*A. deliciosa* 'Hayward'	Japan, Shizuoka	1984	KW41	570	18,393
J29	McCann et al (2013)	A. arguta	Japan, Kanagawa	1987	MAFF302133, JpSar1	412	30,107
J30	McCann et al (2013)	A. arguta	Japan, Kanagawa	1987	MAFF302134, JpSar2	401	32,586
J31	McCann et al (2013)	*A. deliciosa* 'Hayward'	Japan, Kanagawa	1987	MAFF302143, JpKiw4	1723	4,505
J32	McCann et al (2013)	*A. deliciosa* 'Hayward'	Japan, Wakayama	1988	MAFF302145, JpWa1	465	33,908
J33	McCann et al (2013)	*A. deliciosa* 'Hayward'	Japan, Wakayama	1988	MAFF302146, JpWa2	410	31,305
J35	McCann et al (2013)	*A. deliciosa* 'Hayward'	Japan, Shizuoka	1984	NCPPB 3739, Kw11	368	39,207
J36[1]	Butler et al (2013)	*A. deliciosa* 'Hayward'	Japan, Shizuoka	1984	Kw1	417	27,018
J37[1]	Fujikawa & Sawada (2015)		Japan, Saga	2014	PRJDB2950	291	50,639
J38	This paper	*A. chinensis* 'Hort16A'	Japan	2014		313	48,009

J39[2]	This paper	*A. chinensis* 'Hort16A'	Japan, Saga	2014		1221	9,308
K3	Mazzaglia et al (2012)	*A. deliciosa*	Korea, Jeonnam	1997	KN.2	962	10,103
K4	This paper	*A. chinensis* 'Hort16A'	Korea	2014		258	46,118
K5	This paper	*A. chinensis* 'Hort16A'	Korea, Jeju	2014		313	46,355
K6	This paper	*A. chinensis* 'Hort16A'	Korea	2011		270	43,774
K7	This paper	*A. chinensis* 'Hort16A'	Korea	2014		330	46,153
K26	McCann et al (2013)	*A. chinensis*	Korea, Jeonnam	1997	KACC10584	290	36,930
K27	McCann et al (2013)	*A. chinensis*	Korea, Jeonnam	1998	KACC10594	413	25,076
K28	McCann et al (2013)	*A. chinensis*	Korea, Jeonnam	1997	KACC10574	297	37,347
NZ13	McCann et al (2013)	*A. deliciosa* 'Hayward'	New Zealand, Te Puke	2010		1	
NZ31[1]	Butler et al (2013)	*A. deliciosa*	New Zealand, Paengaroa	2010		382	33,149
NZ32[1]	Butler et al (2013)	*A. chinensis*	New Zealand, Te Puke	2010		367	31,162
NZ33[1]	Butler et al (2013)		New Zealand, Te Puke	2011	TP1	380	31,549
NZ34[1]	Butler et al (2013)		New Zealand, Te Puke	2011	6.1	386	46,155
NZ35	This paper	*Actinidia* sp. pollen	New Zealand, Te Puke	2010		330	47,026
NZ37	This paper	*Actinidia* sp.	New Zealand, Te Puke	2010	BF	317	46,211
NZ38	This paper	*A. deliciosa*	New Zealand, Te Puke	2014	627	322	46,155
NZ39	This paper	*A. deliciosa*	New Zealand, Te Puke	2014	670	315	46,155
NZ40	This paper	*A. deliciosa*	New Zealand, Te Puke	2014	793	322	45,201
NZ41	This paper	*A. deliciosa*	New Zealand, Te Puke	2014	854.2	322	46,356
NZ42	This paper	*A. deliciosa*	New Zealand, Te Puke	2014	632.1	322	48,669
NZ43	This paper	*A. deliciosa*	New Zealand, Te Puke	2014	694.1	322	44,416
NZ45	This paper	*A. deliciosa*	New Zealand, Te Puke	2014	1014	364	48,150
NZ46	This paper	*A. chinensis*	New Zealand, Matakana Island	2012		325	48,671
NZ47	This paper		New Zealand, Te Puke	2014	851	320	46,212
NZ48	This paper	*A. chinensis* 'Hort16A'	New Zealand, Te Puke	2013	821	320	48,955

NZ49	This paper		New Zealand, Te Puke	2011	691		325	46,155
NZ54	This paper	*A. chinensis*	New Zealand, Pukekohe	2014			323	48,754
NZ59	This paper		New Zealand	2015			315	44,129
NZ60	This paper		New Zealand	2015			332	38,090
P1	Mazzaglia et al (2012)	*A. deliciosa* 'Summer'	Portugal	2010	346		354	31,352

585   [1]Simulated reads were generated from contigs available for these previously sequenced genomes

586   [2]Different sequencing runs were employed for draft genome assembly and variant calling

Table S2. Average percent identity within and between Psa lineages

Lineage	*Psa*-1	*Psa*-2	*Psa*-3	*Psa*-5
Psa-1	99.70			
Psa-2	98.97	99.76		
Psa-3	99.06	98.91	99.73	
Psa-5	98.87	98.96	98.83	ND[1]

591 [1]Not determined for Psa-5 as only a single strain has been sequenced from this lineage. ANIb values
592 determined using representative strains for *Psa*-1 (J31, K3, J2, J1, J30, J29, J35, J32, J33, I1, J36,
593 J25), *Psa*-2 (K27, K6, K4, K26, K28), *Psa*-3 (C16, C17, C10, C11, C70, K7, C15, C54, C74, C69, K5,
594 C62, I13, NZ13) and *Psa*-5 (J37)

Table S3. Genes with multiple mutations in *Psa*-3
597 (See Table_S3.xlsx)

Table S4. SNPs shared between all pandemic NZ and Japanese isolates

Protein ID (NZ13)	Product	Codon
AKT31947.1	ion channel protein Tsx	71(silent)
AKT32845.1	bifunctional glutamine-synthetase adenylyltransferase	W977R
AKT30494.1	chromosome segregation protein SMC	H694Q
AKT29651.1	cytidylate kinase	V173L
AKT32264.1	peptidase PmbA	M418K
Intergenic		362,522(G->T)

601 **Table S5. Isolates identified by cultivation and disease status of host**

	A. arguta	*A. callosa*	*A. chinensis* var. *chinensis*	*A. chinensis* var. *deliciosa*	*Actinidia* sp.	*Camellia* sp.	*Prunus* sp.	Total
Cultivated	3		373	350	1	45	45	817
Disease	3		323	244		30	45	645
Not determined	2		121	81		20	10	234
Pseudomonas spp.	1		123	120		8	35	287
P. syringae			12	23		2		37
Psa			67	20				87
Healthy			27	44		1		72
Not determined			10	16		1		27
Pseudomonas spp.			17	28				45
P. syringae								0
Psa								0
Suspected			17	62		14		93
Not determined			9	27		1		37
Pseudomonas spp.			7	31		12		50
P. syringae			1	4		1		6
Psa								0
Wild	38	15	157	230		21		461
Disease	14		3					17
Not determined	2		1					3
Pseudomonas spp.	11		2					13
P. syringae	1							1
Psa								0
Healthy	10	15	62	214		21		322
Not determined	3	3	33	121		16		176
Pseudomonas spp.		12	15	81		4		112
P. syringae	7		14	12		1		34

								Total
Psa								0
Suspected	14		92	16				122
Not determined	4		33	11				48
Pseudomonas spp.	10		42	5				57
P. syringae			17					17
Psa								0
Total	41	15	530	580	1	66	45	1278

602
603
604

FIGURES

606   **Figure 1. Phylogeny of *Psa* lineage 3**

608   **Figure 2. Psa-3 isolation locations in East Asia**
609

612 **Figure 3. Pangenome of *Psa*-3**
613

    Figure 4. Genomic context of polymorphisms in *Psa*-3

- *Psa* NZ13 CDS
- Recombinant regions
- Polymorphisms

621 Figure S1. Phylogeny of all *Psa*

624 **Figure S2. Bacterial growth assay of *Psa* on *A. chinensis***
625

A   **Bacterial density in *A. chinensis* var. *chinensis* Hort16A stem tissue (cfu/g)**

B   **Bacterial density in *A. chinensis* var. *deliciosa* Hayward stem tissue (cfu/g)**

Figure S3. Wss operon disruption in *Psa*-3

 FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Phylogeny of *Psa* lineage 3

Maximum likelihood tree based on 4,853,421bp non-recombinant core genome

alignment including 1,951 variant sites. All nodes displayed have bootstrap

support values above 55% (55-80% in green, 81-100% in blue). Year and

province (China) or country of isolation is displayed. Integrative and conjugative

elements (ICEs) present in each host genome are indicated.

Figure 2. Psa-3 isolation locations in East Asia

Filled circles' positions correspond to location of isolation (select Japanese and

Korean isolates do not have reliable isolation location information). Colour

corresponds to the phylogenetic position of the isolates as shown in Figure S1.

Map generated in Microreact.

Figure 3. Pangenome of *Psa*-3

A. Presence/absence matrix of all core and accessory genes in *Psa*-3, ordered

according to strains' phylogenetic relationships. Country of isolation is indicated

at left. B. The core and flexible genome of *Psa*-3. The core, soft-core, shell and

cloud genomes are defined according to the numbers in parentheses. C. Number

of new, unique and conserved genes with addition of each genome.

Figure 4. Genomic context of polymorphisms in *Psa*-3

Polymorphisms and recombinant regions mapped onto *Psa* NZ13 reference

genome using CIRCOS(62). *Psa* NZ13 CDS are displayed in the first and second

ring (blue), with annotated Type 3 secretion system and effectors highlighted

(red). Inner rings display polymorphisms in *Psa*-3 genomes ordered from most

to least divergent relative to *Psa* NZ13. The integrative and conjugative element

(ICE) is the most polymorphic region.

Figure S1. Phylogeny of *Psa*

RaxML Maximum likelihood tree based on 1,216,321bp non-recombinant core

genome alignment including 2,207 variant sites. All nodes displayed have

bootstrap support values above 50% (50-75% in green, 76-100% in blue).

Province of isolation is displayed for Chinese isolates.

Figure S2. Bacterial growth assay on *A. deliciosa*

Bacterial growth of pandemic *Psa* NZ13, I4 (red) and divergent C17 (orange)

strains on *A. chinensis* var. *chinensis* 'Hort16A' and *A. chinensis* var. *deliciosa*

'Hayward'. Mean *in planta* bacterial density in stem tissue (cfu/g) at 0, 3 and 7

days post-inoculation is shown (mean ± SEM) with superscript denoting

significant difference between strains at each sampling time ($P<0.05$, two-tailed

t-test, unequal variance). Four replicate plants were assayed at day 0, and six

replicates at each subsequent time point.

Figure S3. Wss operon disruption in *Psa*-3

Genes encoding components of the *wss* operon (blue), hypothetical and

conserved hypothetical (light and dark grey), chemotaxis, diguanylate cyclase

and *aroA* (green). Deletions (black line) and position of single base pair insertion

(red triangle) displayed with reference to *Pto* DC3000. Insertion results in

frameshift mutation in *wssE*, two predicted derivatives annotated as *wssE1* and

wssE2. The subsequent 6.5kb deletion in the ancestor of the pandemic subclade

results in the truncation of *wssE2*, annotated as *wssE2'*.

REFERENCES

1. Everett KR, et al. (2011) First report of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* causing kiwifruit bacterial canker in New Zealand. *Australasian Plant Dis Notes* 6(1):67–71.

2. Vanneste JL, et al. (2011) First report of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae*, the causal agent of bacterial canker of kiwifruit in France. *Plant disease* 95(10):1311–1311.

3. Balestra GM, Renzi M, Mazzaglia A (2010) First report of bacterial canker of *Actinidia deliciosa* caused by *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* in Portugal. *New Disease Reports*.

4. Abelleira A, et al. (2011) First report of bacterial canker of kiwifruit caused by *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* in Spain. *Plant disease* 95(12):1583–1583.

5. Sawada H, et al. (2015) Characterization of biovar 3 strains of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* isolated in Japan. *Annals of the Phytopathological Society of Japan* 81(2):111–126.

6. Koh YJ, et al. (2012) Occurrence of a new type of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* strain of bacterial canker on kiwifruit in Korea. *The Plant Pathology Journal* 28(4):423–427.

7. Zhao ZB, Gao XN, Huang QL, Huang LL, Qin HQ (2013) Identification and characterization of the causal agent of bacterial canker of kiwifruit in the Shaanxi province of China. *Journal of Plant ....*

8. Serizawa S, Ichikawa T, Takikawa Y, Tsuyumu S, Goto M (1989) Occurrence of bacterial canker of kiwifruit in Japan: Description of symptoms, isolation of the pathogen and screening of bactericides. *Annals of the Phytopathological Society of Japan* 55:427–436.

9. Koh YJ, Jung JS, Hur JS (2002) current status of occurrence of major diseases on kiwifruits and their control in Korea. *Acta Horticulturae: V International Symposium on Kiwifruit*.

10. Ferguson AR, Huang H (2007) Genetic resources of kiwifruit: domestication and breeding. *Horticultural reviews*:1–121.

11. Ferguson AR (2011) Kiwifruit: Evolution of a crop. *ISHS Acta Horticulturae: VII International Symposium on Kiwifruit 913* 913:31–42.

12. Huang H, Wang Y, Zhang Z, Jiang Z, Wang S (2004) *Actinidia* germplasm resources and kiwifruit industry in China. *HortScience* 39(6):1165–1172.

13. Shim KK, Ha YM (1999) Kiwifruit production and research in Korea. *Acta Hortic* (498):127–132.

719   14.   Testolin R, Ferguson AR (2009) Kiwifruit (*Actinidia* spp.) production and
720   marketing in Italy. *New Zealand Journal of Crop and Horticultural Science*
721   37(1):1–32.

722   15.   Ferguson AR (2015) Kiwifruit in the world. *Acta Hortic* (1096):33–46.

723   16.   Cruzat C (2014) The kiwifruit in Chile and in the world. *Revista Brasileira*
724   *de Fruticultura*.

725   17.   Fang Y, Xiaoxiang Z, Tao WY (1990) Preliminary studies on kiwifruit
726   disease in Hunan province. *Sichuan Fruit Science and Technology* 18:28–
727   29.

728   18.   Takikawa Y, Serizawa S, Ichikawa T, Tsuyumu S, Goto M (1989)
729   *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* pv. nov.: The causal bacterium of
730   canker of kiwifruit in Japan. *Jpn J Phytopathol* 55(4):437–444.

731   19.   Koh Y, Cha JB, Chung JH, Lee HD (1994) Outbreak and spread of bacterial
732   canker in kiwifruit. *Korean Journal of Plant Pathology* 10:68–72.

733   20.   European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization (2011) EPPO
734   Reporting Service - Pests & Diseases. 1–21.

735   21.   Marcelletti S, Ferrante P, Petriccione M, Firrao G, Scortichini M (2011)
736   *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* draft genomes comparison reveal
737   strain-specific features involved in adaptation and virulence to *Actinidia*
738   species. *PLoS ONE* 6(11):e27297.

739   22.   Butler MI, et al. (2013) *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* from recent
740   outbreaks of kiwifruit bacterial canker belong to different clones that
741   originated in China. *PLoS ONE* 8(2):e57464.

742   23.   McCann HC, et al. (2013) Genomic analysis of the kiwifruit pathogen
743   *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *Actinidiae* provides insight into the origins of an
744   emergent plant disease. *PLoS Pathog* 9(7):e1003503.

745   24.   Mazzaglia A, et al. (2012) *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. actinidiae (PSA)
746   isolates from recent bacterial canker of kiwifruit outbreaks belong to the
747   same genetic lineage. *PLoS ONE* 7(5):e36518.

748   25.   Templeton MD, Warren BA, Andersen MT, Rikkerink EHA, Fineran PC
749   (2015) Complete DNA sequence of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae*,
750   the causal agent of kiwifruit canker disease. *Genome Announcements*
751   3(5):e01054–15.

752   26.   Fujikawa T, Sawada H (2016) Genome analysis of the kiwifruit canker
753   pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* biovar 5. *Nature Publishing*
754   *Group* 6:21399–11.

755   27.   O'Brien HE, et al. (2012) Extensive remodeling of the *Pseudomonas*
756   *syringae* pv. *avellanae* type III secretome associated with two independent

host shifts onto hazelnut. *BMC Microbiol* 12:141.

28.   Wu X, et al. (2014) Deciphering the components that coordinately regulate
virulence factors of the soft rot pathogen *Dickeya dadantii*. *MPMI*
27(10):1119–1131.

29.   Page F, et al. (2001) Osmoregulated periplasmic glucan synthesis is
required for *Erwinia chrysanthemi* Pathogenicity. *Journal of Bacteriology*
183(10):3134–3141.

30.   Klosterman SJ, et al. (2011) Comparative genomics yields insights into
niche adaptation of plant vascular wilt pathogens. *PLoS Pathog*
7(7):e1002137–19.

31.   Bontemps-Gallo S, et al. (2016) The *opgC* gene is required for OPGs
succinylation and is osmoregulated through RcsCDB and EnvZ/OmpR in
the phytopathogen *Dickeya dadantii*. *Nature Publishing Group*:1–14.

32.   Spiers AJ, Kahn SG, Bohannon J, Travisano M, Rainey PB (2002) Adaptive
divergence in experimental populations of *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. I.
Genetic and phenotypic bases of wrinkly spreader fitness. *Genetics*
161(1):33–46.

33.   Prada-Ramírez HA, et al. (2015) AmrZ regulates cellulose production in
Pseudomonas syringae pv. *tomato* DC3000. *Molecular Microbiology*
99(5):960–977.

34.   Colombi E, et al. (2016) Evolution of copper resistance in the kiwifruit
pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* through acquisition of
integrative conjugative elements and plasmids. *In review.*

35.   Japanese Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, Yokohama Plant
Protection Station, Research Division (2016) Pest risk analysis report on
Pseudomonas syringae pv. *actinidiae*. 1–24.

36.   Mather AE, Reid S, Maskell DJ, Parkhill J (2013) Distinguishable epidemics
of multidrug-resistant *Salmonella* Typhimurium DT104 in different hosts.
Science 341. doi:10.1126/science.1241628.

37.   Wagner DM, et al. (2014) *Yersinia pestis* and the plague of Justinian 541–
543 AD: A genomic analysis. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* 14(4):319–326.

38.   Andam CP, Worby CJ, Chang Q, Campana MG (2016) Microbial genomics of
ancient plagues and outbreaks. *TRENDS in Microbiology*:1–13.

39.   Cauchemez S, et al. (2016) Unraveling the drivers of MERS-CoV
transmission. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 113(32):9081–9086.

40.   Almeida RPP, Nunney L (2015) How do plant diseases caused by *Xylella*
fastidiosa emerge? *Plant Disease* 99(11):1457–1467.

41. Schwartz AR, et al. (2015) Phylogenomics of *Xanthomonas* field strains
infecting pepper and tomato reveals diversity in effector repertoires and
identifies determinants of host specificity. *Front Microbiol* 6:208–17.

42. Clarke CR, et al. (2015) Genome-enabled phylogeographic investigation of
the quarantine pathogen *Ralstonia solanacearum* race 3 biovar 2 and
screening for sources of resistance against its core effectors.
Phytopathology 105(5):597–607.

43. Vinatzer BA, Monteil CL, Clarke CR (2014) Harnessing population
genomics to understand how bacterial pathogens emerge, adapt to crop
hosts, and disseminate. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* 52(1):19–43.

44. Stukenbrock EH, Bataillon T (2012) A population genomics perspective on
the emergence and adaptation of new plant pathogens in agro-ecosystems.
PLoS Pathog 8(9):e1002893.

45. Shapiro LR, et al. (2016) Horizontal gene acquisitions, mobile element
proliferation, and genome decay in the host-restricted plant pathogen
Erwinia tracheiphila. Genome Biology and Evolution 8(3):649–664.

46. Quibod IL, et al. (2016) Effector diversification contributes to
Xanthomonas oryzae pv. *oryzae* phenotypic adaptation in a semi-isolated
environment. *Scientific Reports* **6**:34137.

47. Singh RP, et al. (2011) The emergence of Ug99 races of the stem rust
fungus is a threat to world wheat production. *Annu Rev Phytopathol*
49:465–481.

48. Monteil CL, Yahara K, Studholme DJ, Mageiros L (2016) Population-
genomic insights into emergence, crop-adaptation, and dissemination of
Pseudomonas syringae pathogens. *Microbial Genomics* 2(10).
doi:10.1099/mgen.0.000089.

49. Sarkar SF, Guttman DS (2004) Evolution of the core genome of
Pseudomonas syringae, a highly clonal, endemic plant pathogen. *Applied
and Environmental Microbiology* 70(4):1999–2012.

50. Bankevich A, et al. (2012) SPAdes: A new genome assembly algorithm and
its applications to single-cell sequencing. *Journal of Computational Biology*
19(5):455–477.

51. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B (2014) Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for
Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 30(15):btu170–2120.

52. Mukherjee S, Huntemann M, Ivanova N, Kyrpides NC, Pati A (2015) Large-
scale contamination of microbial isolate genomes by Illumina PhiX control.
Standards in Genomic Sciences 10(1):18.

53. Langmead B, Salzberg SL (2012) Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie
2. *Nat Meth* 9(4):357–359.

54. Li H (2011) A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery,
association mapping and population genetical parameter estimation from
sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* 27(21):2987–2993.

55. Garrison E, Marth G (2012) Haplotype-based variant detection from short-
read sequencing. *arXiv*.

56. Didelot X, Wilson DJ (2015) ClonalFrameML: Efficient inference of
recombination in whole bacterial genomes. *PLoS Computational Biology*
11(2):e1004041–18.

57. Stamatakis A (2014) RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and
post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics*.

58. Richter M, Rosselo-Mora R, Glockner FO, Peplies J (2016) JSpeciesWS: a
web server for prokaryotic species circumscription based on pairwise
genome comparison. *Bioinformatics*:929–931.

59. Walker BJ, et al. (2014) Pilon: An integrated tool for comprehensive
microbial variant detection and genome assembly improvement. *PLoS ONE*
9(11):e112963–14.

60. Seemann T (2014) Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation.
Bioinformatics 30(14):2068–2069.

61. Page AJ, et al. (2015) Roary: rapid large-scale prokaryote pan genome
analysis. *Bioinformatics* 31(22):3691–3693.

62. Krzywinski M, Schein J, Birol I, Connors J (2009) Circos: an information
aesthetic for comparative genomics. *Genome Research* **19**(9):1639-45.