Phylogenomics-guided discovery of a novel conserved

cassette of short linear motifs in BubR1 essential for the

spindle checkpoint

Eelco Tromer[1,4], Debora Bade[1], Berend Snel[4] *, Geert J.P.L. Kops[1,2,3] *

[1] Hubrecht Institute – KNAW (Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences)

Uppsalalaan 8, 3584 CT Utrecht, The Netherlands.

[2] Cancer Genomics Netherlands, and [3] Center for Molecular Medicine, University Medical

Center Utrecht, 3584 CG, Utrecht, The Netherlands.

[4]Theoretical Biology and Bioinformatics, Department of Biology, Science Faculty, Utrecht

University, 3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands.

*Corresponding authors: g.kops@hubrecht.eu or b.snel@uu.nl

Abstract

The spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC) maintains genomic integrity by preventing progression of mitotic cell division until all chromosomes are stably attached to spindle microtubules. The SAC critically relies on the paralogs Bub1 and BubR1/Mad3, which integrate kinetochore-spindle attachment status with generation of the anaphase inhibitory complex MCC. We previously reported on the widespread occurrences of independent gene duplications of an ancestral 'MadBub' gene in eukaryotic evolution and the striking parallel subfunctionalization that lead to loss of kinase function in BubR1/Mad3-like paralogs. Here, we present an elaborate subfunctionalization analysis of Bub1/BubR1 gene family and perform de novo sequence discovery in a comparative phylogenomics framework to trace the distribution of ancestral sequence features to extant paralogs throughout the eukaryotic tree of life. We show that known ancestral sequence features are consistently retained in the same functional paralog: GLEBS/CDI/CDII/kinase in the Bub1-like and KEN1/KEN2/D-Box in the BubR1/Mad3-like. The recently described ABBA motif can be found in either or both paralogs. We however discovered two additional ABBA motifs that flank KEN2. This cassette of ABBA1-KEN2-ABBA2 forms a strictly conserved module in all ancestral and BubR1/Mad3-like proteins, suggestive of a specific and crucial SAC function. Indeed, deletion of the ABBA motifs in human BUBR1 abrogates the SAC and affects APC/C-Cdc20 interactions. Our detailed comparative genomics analyses thus enabled discovery of a conserved cassette of motifs essential for the SAC and shows how this approach can be used to uncover hitherto unrecognized functional protein features.

Introduction

Chromosome segregation during cell divisions in animals and fungi is monitored by a cell cycle checkpoint known as the spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC) (Vleugel et al., 2012; London and Biggins, 2014a; Musacchio, 2015). The SAC couples absence of stable attachments between kinetochores and spindle microtubules to inhibition of anaphase by assembling a four-subunit inhibitor of the anaphase-promoting complex (APC/C), known as the MCC (Sacristan and Kops, 2015; Izawa and Pines, 2014; Chao et al., 2012). The molecular pathway that senses lack of attachment and produces the MCC relies on two related proteins known as Bub1 and BubR1/Mad3 (London and Biggins, 2014a). Bub1 is a serine/threonine kinase that localizes to kinetochores and promotes recruitment of MCC subunits and of factors that stimulate its assembly (Klebig et al., 2009; London and Biggins, 2014b; Vleugel et al., 2015) These events are largely independent of Bub1 kinase activity, however, which instead is essential for the correction process of attachment errors (Kawashima et al., 2010; Klebig et al., 2009; Andrews et al., 2004). BubR1/Mad3 is one of the MCC subunits, responsible for directly preventing APC/C activity and anaphase onset (Tang et al., 2001; Sudakin et al., 2001; Chao et al., 2012). It does so by contacting multiple molecules of the APC/C co-activator Cdc20, preventing APC/C substrate access and binding of the E2 enzyme UbcH10 (Chao et al., 2012; Izawa and Pines, 2014; Alfieri et al., 2016; Yamaguchi et al., 2016). The BubR1/Mad3-Cdc20 contacts occur via various short linear motifs (SLiMs) known as ABBA, KEN, and D-box (Alfieri et al., 2016; Chao et al., 2012; Lischetti et al., 2014; King et al., 2007; DiFiore et al., 2015; Burton and Solomon, 2007b). Like Bub1, BubR1 also impacts on the attachment error-correction process via a KARD motif that recruits the PP2A-B56 phosphatase (Suijkerbuijk et al., 2012b; Kruse et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2013).

This may not however be a universal feature of BubR1/Mad3-like proteins, because

many lack a KARD-like motif.

Bub1 and BubR1/Mad3 are paralogs. We previously showed they originated by similar

but independent gene duplications from an ancestral MadBub gene in many lineages,

and that the two resulting gene copies then subfunctionalized in remarkably

comparable ways (Suijkerbuijk et al., 2012a). An ancestral N-terminal KEN motif (KEN1:

essential for the SAC) and an ancestral C-terminal kinase domain (essential for

attachment error-correction) were retained in only one of the paralogous genes in a

mutually exclusive manner in virtually all lineages (i.e. one gene retained KEN but lost

kinase, while the other retained kinase but lost KEN). One exception to this 'rule' are

vertebrates where both paralogs have a kinase-like domain. The kinase domain of

human BUBR1 however lacks enzymatic activity (i.e. is a pseudokinase) but instead

confers stability onto the BUBR1 protein (Suijkerbuijk et al., 2012a).

The similar subfunctionalization of Bub1 and BubR1/Mad3-like paralogs was inferred

from analysis of two domains (TPR and kinase) and one motif (KEN1). We set out to

analyze whether any additional features specifically segregated to Bub1- or

BubR1/Mad3-like proteins after duplications by designing an unbiased feature

discovery pipeline and tracing feature evolution. The pipeline extracted all known and

various previously unrecognized conserved motifs from Bub1/BubR1 family gene

members. Two of these are novel ABBA motifs that flank KEN2 specifically in

BuBr1/Mad3-like proteins, we show that this highly conserved and ABBA-KEN2-ABBA

cassette is crucial for the SAC in human cells.

Results and Discussion

Refined phylogenomic analysis of the MadBub gene family pinpoints 16 independent gene duplication events across the eukaryotic tree of life.

To enable detailed reconstruction of subfunctionalization events of all known functional features after duplication of ancestral MadBub genes, we expanded our previously published set of homologs (Suijkerbuijk et al., 2012a) through broader sampling of sequenced eukaryotic genomes, focusing on sequences closely associated with duplication events (**supplementary sequence file 1**). Phylogenetic analyses of a multiple sequence alignment of the TPR domain (the only domain shared by all MadBub family members) of 149 MadBub homologs (**supplementary discussion** and **supplementary figure 1**) corroborated the ten independent duplications previously described (Suijkerbuijk et al., 2012a) and allowed for a more precise determination of the age of the duplications. Strikingly, we found evidence for a number of additional independent duplications: Three duplications in stramenopile species of the SAR super group (*Albuginaceae* {#10 in **figure 1b**}, *E. siliculosis* {#11} and *A. anophagefferens* {#12}) and one at the base of basidiomycete fungi ({#4}, puccinioimycetes). The BUBR1 paralog in teleost fish underwent a duplication and fission event, of which the C-terminus product was retained only in the lineage leading to zebra fish (*D. rerio* {#7}). Lastly, through addition of recently sequenced genomes we could specify a duplication around the time plants started to colonize land ({#13}, bryophytes) and an independent duplication in the ancestor of higher plants ({#14}, tracheophytes), followed by a duplication in the ancestor of the flowering plants ({#15}, magnoliaphytes). These gave rise to three MadBub homologs, signifying additional subfunctionalization of the paralogs in the plant model organism *A. thaliana*. It thus seems to be the case that such

striking parallel subfunctionalization as we originally identified, is indeed predictive for

more of its occurrence in lineages whose genome sequences have since been elucidated.

De novo discovery, phylogenetic distribution and fate after duplication of functional motifs

in the MadBub gene family.

Previous analyses revealed a recurrent pattern of mutually exclusive retention of an N-

terminal KEN-box and a C-terminal kinase domain after duplication of an ancestral

MadBub (Suijkerbuijk et al., 2012a; Murray, 2012). These patterns suggested the

hypothesis of paralog subfunctionalization towards either inhibition of the APC/C in the

cytosol (retaining the KEN-box) or attachment-error correction at the kinetochore

(retaining the kinase domain). Given the extensive sequence divergence of MadBub

homologs and a scala of different known functional elements, we reasoned that a

comprehensive analysis of MadBub gene duplicates would provide opportunities for the

discovery of novel and co-evolving ancestral features. For clarity we refer to the Bub1-

like paralog (C-terminal kinase domain) as BUB and the BubR1/Mad3-like paralog (N-

terminal KEN box) as MAD throughout the rest of this manuscript.

To capture conserved ancestral features of diverse eukaryotic MadBub homologs, we

constructed a sensitive de novo motif and domain discovery pipeline (ConFeaX:

conserved feature extraction) similar to our previous approach used to characterize

KNL1 evolution (Tromer et al., 2015). In short, the MEME algorithm (Bailey et al., 2009)

was used to search for significantly similar gapless amino acid motifs, and extended

motifs were aligned by MAFFT (Katoh and Standley, 2013). Alignments were modeled

using HMMER (Eddy, 2011) and sensitive profile HMM searches were iterated and

specifically optimized using permissive E-values/bit scores until convergence

(**methods** and **figure 1a**). Due to the degenerate nature of the detected short linear

motifs, we manually scrutinized the results for incorrectly identified features and

supplemented known motif instances, when applicable. We preferred ConFeaX over

other de novo motif discovery methods (e.g. Davey et al., 2012 Nguyen Ba et al., 2012)

as it does not rely on high quality full length alignment of protein sequences and allows

detection of repeated or dynamic non-syntenic conserved features (which is a common

feature for SLiMs). It is therefore better tuned to finding conserved features over long

evolutionary distances in general and specifically in this case where recurrent

duplication and subfunctionalization hamper conventional multiple sequence alignment

based analysis.

ConFeaX identified known functional motifs and domains and in some cases extended

their definition: KEN1 (Murray and Marks, 2001), KEN2 (Burton and Solomon, 2007a),

GLEBS (Taylor et al., 1998), KARD (Suijkerbuijk et al., 2012b; Xu et al., 2013; Kruse et al.,

2013), CDI (Klebig et al., 2009), D-box (Burton and Solomon, 2007a), CDII (a co-

activator domain of BUB1 (Kang et al., 2008; Klebig et al., 2009)) and the recently

discovered ABBA motif (termed ABBA3 in **figure 3**) (DiFiore et al., 2015; Lischetti et al.,

2014) (**figure 1a**, **supplementary table II** and **supplementary sequence file 2**). The

TPR and the kinase domain were annotated using profile searches of previously

established models (Suijkerbuijk et al., 2012a) and excluded from de novo sequence

searches. KEN1 and KEN2 could be discriminated by differentially conserved residues

surrounding the core KEN box (**figure 1a**). Those surrounding KEN1 are involved in the

formation of the helix-turn-helix motif that positions yeast Mad3 towards Cdc20 (Chao

et al., 2012), while two pseudo-symmetrically conserved tryptophan residues with

unknown function specifically defined KEN2. Furthermore, we found that the third

position of the canonical ABBA motif is often occupied by a proline residue and the first

position in ascomycetes (fungi) is often substituted for a polar amino acid [KRN] (**figure**

1a), signifying potential lineage-specific changes in Cdc20-ABBA interactions. Last, we

also discovered a novel motif predominantly associated with the MAD paralog in

basidiomycetes, plants, amoeba and stramenopiles but not metazoa, which we termed

conserved motif I (CMI) (**figure 1a**).

Projection of the conserved ancestral features onto the MadBub gene phylogeny

provided a highly detailed overview of MadBub motif evolution (**figure 1b**,

supplementary figure 1b). We found that the core functional motifs and domains

(TPR, KEN1, KEN2, ABBA, D-box, GLEBS, CMI, CDI, CDII and kinase) are present

throughout the eukaryotic tree of life, representing the core features that were likely

part of the SAC signaling network in the last eukaryotic common ancestor (LECA). Of

note are lineages (nematodes, flatworms (*S. mansoni*), dinoflagellates (*S. minutum*) and

early-branching fungi (microsporidia and *C. coronatus*)) for which multiple features

were either lost or considerably divergent (**supplementary figure 1b**). Especially

interesting is *C. elegans* in which both KEN boxes and the GLEBS domain appeared to

have been degenerated (ceMAD=san-1) and the CDI domain is lost (ceBUB=bub-1),

indicating extensive rewiring or a less essential role of the SAC in nematode species, as

has been suggested recently (Davey and Morgan, 2016; Moyle et al., 2014).

Our motif discovery analyses revealed the CDC20/Cdh1-interacting ABBA motif to be

much more abundant than the single instances that were previously reported for

BUBR1 and BUB1 in humans (Lischetti et al., 2014; DiFiore et al., 2015). We observed

three different contexts for the ABBA motifs (**figure 1b**, **supplementary figure 1b**): (1)

in repeat arrays (e.g. MAD of *P. patens*, basidiomycetes and stramenopiles), (2) in the

vicinity of CDI (many instances) and/or D-box/KEN (e.g. human), and (3) as two highly

conserved ABBA motifs flanking KEN2 (virtually all species). Because of the positional

conservation of the latter, we have termed these, ABBA1 and ABBA2. Any additional

ABBA motifs were pooled in the category 'ABBA-other'.

In order to track the fate of the features discovered using ConFeaX, we quantified their

co-presences and absences, as a proxy for co-evolution, by calculating the Pearson

correlation coefficient (*r*) for the profiles of each domain/motif pair of 16 duplicated

MadBub homologs (**figure 1b**)(Wu et al., 2003). Subsequent average clustering of the

Pearson distance (d=1-*r*) revealed two sets of co-segregating and anti-correlated

conserved features (**figure 2a+b**) consistent with our hypothesis that MadBub gene

duplication caused parallel subfunctionalization of features towards the kinetochore

(mainly BUB) and the cytosol (MAD) (Suijkerbuijk et al., 2012a). GLEBS, CDI, ABBA-

other, KARD, CDII and the kinase domain formed a coherent cluster of features with

bona fide function at the kinetochore. For a detailed discussion on several intriguing

observations regarding presence/absence of these motifs in several eukaryotic lineages,

and what this may mean for BUB/MAD and SAC function in these lineages, see

Supplementary Discussion. A second cluster contained known motifs that bind and

interact with (multiple) CDC20 molecules, including KEN1, KEN2, and (to a lesser

extent) the D-box. Our newly discovered ABBA motifs that flank KEN2 were tightly

associated with KEN2 and KEN1 (**figure 2**). As such, the ABBA1-KEN2-ABBA2 cassette

(**Figure 3a**) co-segregated with MAD function during subfunctionalization of MadBub

gene duplicates. Although the D-box often co-occurs with the KEN-ABBA cluster, this

motif was occasionally lost (*e.g.* archeaplastids, *S. pombe* and *A. anophagefferens*).

Finally, CMI co-segregated with the Cdc20-interacting motifs (Figure 2a), suggesting a

MAD-specific role for this newly discovered motif (possibly in MCC function and/or

Cdc20-binding) in species harboring it such as plants, basidiomycetes and

stramenopiles.

The conserved ABBA1-KEN2-ABBA2 cassette is essential for proper SAC signaling in

human cells

The strong correlation of the ABBA1-KEN2-ABBA2 cassette with KEN1 and the D-box,

urged us to examine the role of these motifs in BUBR1-dependent SAC signaling in

human cells. We therefore generated stable isogenic HeLa FlpIn cell lines expressing

doxycyclin-inducible versions of LAP-tagged BUBR1 (Suijkerbuijk et al., 2010). These

included: ΔABBA1, ΔABBA2, ΔABBA1+2, alanine-substitutions of the two KEN2-flanking

tryptophans (W1-A, W2-A and W1/2-A), KEN1-AAA KEN2-AAA, ΔABBA3 and ΔD-box

(**figure 3a-c**). The SAC was severely compromised in cells depleted of endogenous

BUBR1 by RNAi, as measured by inability to maintain mitotic arrest upon treatment

with S-trityl-L-cysteine (STLC) (Ogo et al., 2007) (median(m) = 50 minutes (min.) from

NEBD to mitotic exit, compared control (m>500 min.)) (**figure 3d-e**). SAC proficiency

was restored by expression of siRNA-resistant LAP-BUBR1 (m>500 min.). As shown

previously (Burton and Solomon, 2007a; Elowe et al., 2010; Lara-Gonzalez et al., 2011),

mutants of KEN1, KEN2 and the D-box strongly affected the SAC. Importantly, BUBR1

lacking ABBA1 or ABBA2 or both, or either of the two tryptophans, could not rescue the

SAC (**figure 3e**). We observed a consistently stronger phenotype for the mutated motifs

on the N-terminal side of KEN2 (ΔABBA1 (m=65 min.) and W1-A (m=165 min.))

compared to those on the C-terminal side (ΔABBA2 (m=200 min.) and W2-A (m=260

min.)). The double ABBA (1/2) and tryptophan (1/2) mutants were however further

compromised (m = 50 and 110 min., respectively), suggesting non-redundant functions.

As expected from the interaction of ABBA motifs with the WD40 domain of CDC20 and

CDH1 (DiFiore et al., 2015), BUBR1 lacking ABBA1 and/or ABBA2 was less efficient in

binding APC/C-Cdc20 in mitotic human cells, to a similar extent as mutations in KEN1

(**figure 3f**). In our hands ABBA1 and 2 mutants were more strongly deficient in SAC

signaling and APC/C-Cdc20 binding than the previously described ABBA motif (ABBA3)

(**figure 3d-e**). In conclusion therefore, the ABBA1-KEN2-ABBA2 cassette in BUBR1 is

essential for APC/C inhibition by the SAC.

We here discovered a symmetric cassette of SLiMs containing two Cdc20-binding ABBA

motifs and KEN2. This cassette strongly co-occurs with KEN1 in MAD-like and MadBub

proteins throughout eukaryotic evolution and has important contributions to the SAC in

human cells. Our co-precipitation experiments along with the known roles for ABBA-

like motifs and KEN2 and their recent modeling into the MCC-APC/C structure (Alfieri et

al., 2016; Yamaguchi et al., 2016) strongly suggest that the ABBA1-W-KEN2-W-ABBA2

cassette interacts with one or multiple Cdc20 molecules. Together with KEN1, these

interactions likely regulate affinity of MCC for APC/C or its positioning once bound to

APC/C. The constellation of interactions between Cdc20 molecules and the various

Cdc20-binding motifs in one molecule of BUBR1 (3x ABBA, 2x KEN and a D-box) is not

immediately obvious, and will have to await detailed atomic insights. The symmetric

arrangement of the cassette may be significant in this regard, as is the observation that

(despite a highly conserved WD40 structure of Cdc20) the length of spacing between

the ABBA motifs and KEN2 is highly variable between species. A more detailed

understanding of SAC function may be aided by ConFeaX-driven discovery of lineage-

specific conserved features in the MadBub family when more genome sequences

become available, as well as of features in other SAC proteins families.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information included Supplementary discussion, 2 figures, 3 tables and

2 sequence files.

Methods

Phylogenomic analysis

We performed iterated sensitive homology searches with jackhammer (Finn et al., 2011) (based on the TPR, kinase, CDI, GLEBS and KEN boxes) using a permissive E-value and bitscore cut-off to include diverged homologs on uniprot release 2016_08 and Ensemble Genomes 32 (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/hmmer/search/jackhmmer). Incompletely predicted genes were searched against whole genome shotgun contigs (wgs, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/wgs) using tblastn. Significant hits were manually predicted using AUGUST (Stanke et al., 2006) and GENESCAN (Burge and Karlin, 1997). In total we used 152 MadBub homologs (**supplementary sequence file 1**). The TPR domains of 148 sequences were aligned using MAFFT-LINSI (Katoh and Standley, 2013); only columns with 80% occupancy were considered for further analysis. Phylogenetic analysis of the resulting multiple sequence alignment was performed using RAxML (Stamatakis, 2014) (**supplementary figure 1a**). Model selection was performed using Prot Test (Darriba et al., 2011) (Akaike Information Criterion): LG+G was chosen as the evolutionary model.

Conserved Feature Extraction and subfunctionalization analysis

ConFeaX starts with a probabilistic search for short conserved regions (max 50) using the MEME algorithm (option: any number of repeats) (Bailey et al., 2009). Significant motif hits are extended on both sides by 5 residues to compensate for the strict treatment of alignment information by the MEME algorithm. Next, MAFFT-LINSI (Katoh and Standley, 2013) introduces gaps and the alignments are modeled using the HMMER package (Eddy, 2011) and used to search for hits that are missed by the MEME

algorithm. Subsequent alignment and HMM searches were iterated until convergence.

For short linear motifs with few conserved positions, specific optimization of the

alignments and HMM models using permissive E-values/bit scores was needed (*e.g.*

ABBA motif and D-box). Sequence logos were obtained using weblogo2 (Crooks et al.,

2004). Subsequently, from each of the conserved features, a phylogenetic profile was

derived (present is '1' and absent is '0') for all duplicated MadBub sequences as

presented in figure 1. For all possible pairs, we determined the correlation using

Pearson correlation coefficient (Wu et al., 2003). Average clustering based on Pearson

distances (d=1-*r*) was used to indicate sub-functionalization.

Cell culture, transfection and plasmids

HeLa FlpIn T-rex cells were grown in DMEM high glucose supplemented with 10% Tet-

free FBS (Clontech), penicillin/streptomycin (50 mg ml^{-1}), alanyl-glutamine (Sigma; 2

mM). pCDNA5-constructs were co-transfected with pOgg44 recombinase in a 10:1 ratio

(Klebig et al., 2009) using FuGEHE HD (Roche) as a transfection reagent. After

transfection, the medium was supplemented with puromycin (1 μg ml^{-1}) and blasticidin

(8 μg ml^{-1}) until cells were fully confluent in a 10cm culture dish. siBUBR1 (5'-

AGAUCCUGGCUAACUGUUCUU-3' custom Dharmacon) was transfected using Hiperfect

(Qiagen) at 40 nM for 48h according to manufacturer's guidelines. RNAi-resistant LAP

(YFP)-BUBR1 was sub cloned from plC58 (Suijkerbuijk et al., 2010) into pCDNA5.1-puro

using AflII and BamHI restriction sites. To acquire mutants, site-directed mutagenesis

was performed using the quickchange strategy (for primer sequences see

supplementary table III).

Live cell imaging

For live cell imaging experiments, the stable HeLa-FlpIn-TRex cells were transfected

with 40nM siRNA (start and at 24 hrs). After 24 hrs, the medium was supplemented

with thymidine (2.5 mM) and doxycyclin (2 μg ml^{-1}) for 24 hrs to arrest cells in early S-

phase and to induce expression of the stably integrated construct, respectively. After 48

hrs, cells were released for 3 hrs and arrested in prometaphase of the mitotic cell cycle

(after ~8-10 hrs) by the addition of the Eg5 inhibitor S-trityl-L-cysteine (STLC, 20 μM).

HeLa cells were imaged (DIC) in a heated chamber (37 °C, 5% CO$_2$) using a CFI S Plan

Fluor ELWD 20x/NA 0.45 dry objective on a Nikon Ti-Eclipse wide field microscope

controlled by NIS software (Nikon). Images were acquired using an Andor Zyla 4.2

sCMOS camera and processed using NIS software (Nikon) and ImageJ.

Immuno-precipitation and Western blot

HeLa-FlpIn-TRex cells were induced with doxycyclin (2 μg ml^{-1}) 48 hrs before

harvesting. Synchronization by thymidine (2 mM) for 24 hrs and release for 10 hrs into

Taxol (2 μM) arrested cells in prometaphase. Cells were collected by mitotic shake-off.

Lysis was done in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 100 mM NaCl, 0.5% NP40, 1mM EDTA, 1mM

DTT, protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) and phosphatase inhibitor cocktails 2 and 3

(Sigma). Complexes were purified using GFP-Trap beads (ChromoTek) for 15 min at

4°C. Precipitated proteins were washed with lysis buffer and eluted in 5x SDS sample

buffer. Primary antibodies were used at the following dilutions for western blotting:

BUBR1 (A300-386A Bethyl) 1:2000, alpha-Tubulin (T9026 Sigma) 1:5000, GFP

(Custom) 1:10 000, APC1 (A301-653A Bethyl) 1:2500, APC3 (gift from Phil Hieter)

1:2000, MAD2 (Custom) 1:2000, CDC20 (A301-180A Bethyl) 1:1000. Western blot

signals were detected by chemiluminescence using an ImageQuant LAS 4000 (GE

Healthcare) imager.

Author contributions

ET performed the motif search, phylogenetic analysis and SAC assays. DB performed the immunoprecipitation and western blot analyses. GK, BS conceived and managed the project. ET, BS and GK wrote the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Snel and Kops lab for discussion and feedback. We thank Bas de Wolf and Laura Demmers for making cell lines. This work was supported by the UMC Utrecht and is part of the VICI research programme with project number 016.160.638, which is (partly) financed by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO). DB was supported by a DFG fellowship with number BA 5417/1-1, which is financed by the German Research Foundation (DFG).

Competing Financial Interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Figure Legends

Figure 1 – Fate of conserved functional sequence features after 16 independent duplications of the MADBUB gene family throughout eukaryotic evolution (A) Overview of the de novo sequence discovery pipeline ConFeaX including the ancestral conserved features of a search against the eukaryotic MADBUB gene family. The consensus sequences of the detected conserved motifs are depicted as a sequence logo (colors reflect distinct amino acid properties and height of the letters indicates conservation of amino acids). Each features is assigned a differently colored shape. (B) Cartoon of the evolutionary scenario of 16 independent duplications of the MADBUB gene family throughout eukaryotic evolution, including a projection of conserved features onto the linear protein representation (on scale). Gene duplications are indicated by an arrow (red: high confidence, orange: uncertain). The subfunctionalized paralogs MAD and BUB are color brown and blue, respectively. Numbers indicate the clades in which the duplications occurred: {1}-mucorales; {2}- saccharomycetaceae; {3}-schizosaccharomycetes; {4}-pucciniomycetes: {5}-agaricomycetes (excluding early-branching species); {6}-vertebrates; {7}-teleost fish; {8}-nematodes; {9}-diptera (flies); {10}-albuginaceae (oomycete); {11}-ectocarpales (brow algae); {12}-aureococcus (harmful algae bloom); {13}-bryophytes (mosses); {14}-tracheophytes (vascular plants); {15}-magnoliaphytes (flowering plants); {16}-naegleria;

Figure 2 – Co-evolution of conserved features signify subfunctionalization of MAD and BUB after MADBUB duplication (A) Average clustering based on pearson distances of conserved ancestral feature correlation matrix (distance=1-*r*) of all MADBUB paralogs from figure 1. Red and blue indicate co-presence or absence of

features in the same paralog, respectively. (B) Evolutionary scenario of MADBUB

subfunctionalization: MAD (cytosol) as a SAC effector and BUB (kinetochore) involved

in SAC signal formation and kinetochore microtubule attachment.

Figure 3 – The evolutionary conserved cassette ABBA1-KEN2-ABBA2 in BUBR1 is

essential for SAC signaling (A) Alignment of ABBA1-KEN2-ABBA2 cassette (red).

Linkers (black) between ABBA motifs and KEN2 are indicated by {n}. The sequence logo

on top is representative for all eukaryotic sequences (colors reflect distinct amino acid

properties and height of the letters indicates conservation of amino acids). (B)

Schematic representation of LAP-hBUBR1 mutants. Color-coding is consistent for each

mutant in this figure (C) Immunoblots of BUBR1 and tubulin of mitotic lysates of HeLa

FlpIn cell lines stably expressing LAP-tagged BUBR1 proteins. Cells were treated with

siRNA (40nM) for 48 hours and cells were released and arrested into taxol after double

thymidine block. (E) Time-lapse analysis of HeLa FlpIn cells expressing hBUBR1

mutants, treated with 20 μM STLC. Data (n=50, N=3) indicate the mean of cumulative

fraction of cells that exit mitosis after nuclear envelope breakdown. Transparent

regions represent the standard error of the mean. Values between accolades {} indicate

the median value. Cells were score by cell morphology using DIC imaging (D); see for

examples of SAC deficient (ΔABBA1/2) and proficient cells (wildtype). Only YFP-

positive cells were considered for analyses. (F) Immunoblots of GFP, APC3 and CDC20

in LAP-BUBR1 precipitations (LAP-pulldown) in whole cell lysates of mitotic HeLa FlpIn

cells stably expressing LAP-BUBR1 mutant constructs.

References

Alfieri, C., L. Chang, Z. Zhang, J. Yang, S. Maslen, M. Skehel, and D. Barford. 2016. Molecular basis of APC/C regulation by the spindle assembly checkpoint. *Nature*. 536:431–436. doi:10.1038/nature19083.

Andrews, P.D., Y. Ovechkina, N. Morrice, M. Wagenbach, K. Duncan, L. Wordeman, and J.R. Swedlow. 2004. Probing the catalytic functions of Bub1 kinase using the small molecule inhibitors BAY-320 and BAY-524. *Dev. Cell*. 6:253–268. doi:10.1016/S1534-5807(04)00025-5.

Bailey, T.L., M. Boden, F. a Buske, M. Frith, C.E. Grant, L. Clementi, J. Ren, W.W. Li, and W.S. Noble. 2009. MEME S UITE⍰: tools for motif discovery and searching. *Conflict*. 37:202–208. doi:10.1093/nar/gkp335.

Baron, A.P., C. von Schubert, F. Cubizolles, G. Siemeister, M. Hitchcock, A. Mengel, J. Schroder, A. Fernández-Montalván, F. von Nussbaum, D. Mumberg, and E.A. Nigg. 2016. Probing the catalytic functions of Bub1 kinase using the small molecule inhibitors BAY-320 and BAY-524. *Elife*. 5. doi:10.7554/eLife.12187.

Burge, C., and S. Karlin. 1997. Prediction of complete gene structures in human genomic DNA. *J. Mol. Biol.* 268:78–94. doi:10.1006/jmbi.1997.0951.

Burton, J.L., and M.J. Solomon. 2007a. Mad3p, a pseudosubstrate inhibitor of APCCdc20 in the spindle assembly checkpoint. *Genes Dev.* 21:655–667. doi:10.1101/gad.1511107.

Burton, J.L., and M.J. Solomon. 2007b. Mad3p, a pseudosubstrate inhibitor of APCCdc20 in the spindle assembly checkpoint. *Genes Dev.* 21:655–67. doi:10.1101/gad.1511107.

Chao, W.C.H., K. Kulkarni, Z. Zhang, E.H. Kong, and D. Barford. 2012. Structure of the

mitotic checkpoint complex. *Nature*. 484:208–213. doi:10.1038/nature10896.

Crooks, G.E., G. Hon, J.-M.M. Chandonia, and S.E. Brenner. 2004. WebLogo: a sequence

logo generator. *Genome Res.* 14:1188–1190. doi:10.1101/gr.849004.

Darriba, D., G.L. Taboada, R. Doallo, and D. Posada. 2011. ProtTest 3: fast selection of

best-fit models of protein evolution. *Bioinformatics*. 27:1164–5.

doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr088.

Davey, N.E., J.L. Cowan, D.C. Shields, T.J. Gibson, M.J. Coldwell, and R.J. Edwards. 2012.

SLiMPrints: conservation-based discovery of functional motif fingerprints in

intrinsically disordered protein regions. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40:10628–41.

doi:10.1093/nar/gks854.

Davey, N.E., and D.O. Morgan. 2016. Building a Regulatory Network with Short Linear

Sequence Motifs: Lessons from the Degrons of the Anaphase-Promoting Complex.

Mol. Cell. 64:12–23. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2016.09.006.

DiFiore, B., N. Davey, A. Hagting, D. Izawa, J. Mansfeld, T. Gibson, and J. Pines. 2015. The

ABBA Motif binds APC/C activators and is shared by APC/C substrates and

regulators. *Dev. Cell*. 32:358–372. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2015.01.003.

Eddy, S.R. 2011. Accelerated profile HMM searches. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 7.

doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002195.

Elowe, S., K. Dulla, A. Uldschmid, X. Li, Z. Dou, and E.A. Nigg. 2010. Uncoupling of the

spindle-checkpoint and chromosome-congression functions of BubR1. *J. Cell Sci.*

123:84–94. doi:10.1242/jcs.056507.

Finn, R.D., J. Clements, and S.R. Eddy. 2011. HMMER web server: Interactive sequence

similarity searching. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 39:W29-37. doi:10.1093/nar/gkr367.

Izawa, D., and J. Pines. 2014. The mitotic checkpoint complex binds a second CDC20 to

inhibit active APC/C. *Nature*. 517:631–634. doi:10.1038/nature13911.

Kang, J., M. Yang, B. Li, W. Qi, C. Zhang, K.M. Shokat, D.R. Tomchick, M. Machius, and H.

Yu. 2008. Structure and Substrate Recruitment of the Human Spindle Checkpoint

Kinase Bub1. *Mol. Cell.* 32:394–405. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2008.09.017.

Katoh, K., and D.M. Standley. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software

version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 30:772–780.

doi:10.1093/molbev/mst01.

Kawashima, S. a, Y. Yamagishi, T. Honda, K. Ishiguro, and Y. Watanabe. 2010.

Phosphorylation of H2A by Bub1 prevents chromosomal instability through

localizing shugoshin. *Science.* 327:172–177. doi:10.1126/science.1180189.

King, E.M.J., S.J.A. van der Sar, and K.G. Hardwick. 2007. Mad3 KEN Boxes Mediate both

Cdc20 and Mad3 Turnover, and Are Critical for the Spindle Checkpoint. *PLoS One.*

2:e342. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000342.

Klebig, C., D. Korinth, and P. Meraldi. 2009. Bub1 regulates chromosome segregation in a

kinetochore-independent manner. *J. Cell Biol.* 185:841–858.

doi:10.1083/jcb.200902128.

Kruse, T., G. Zhang, M.S.Y. Larsen, T. Lischetti, W. Streicher, T. Kragh Nielsen, S.P. Bjørn,

and J. Nilsson. 2013. Direct binding between BubR1 and B56-PP2A phosphatase

complexes regulate mitotic progression. *J. Cell Sci.* 126:1086–1092.

Lara-Gonzalez, P., M.I.F. Scott, M. Diez, O. Sen, and S.S. Taylor. 2011. BubR1 blocks

substrate recruitment to the APC/C in a KEN-box-dependent manner. *J. Cell Sci.*

124:4332–4345. doi:10.1242/jcs.094763.

Lischetti, T., G. Zhang, G.G. Sedgwick, V.M. Bolanos-Garcia, and J. Nilsson. 2014. The

internal Cdc20 binding site in BubR1 facilitates both spindle assembly checkpoint

signalling and silencing. *Nat. Commun.* 5:5563. doi:10.1038/ncomms6563.

London, N., and S. Biggins. 2014a. Signalling dynamics in the spindle checkpoint

response. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 15:736–47. doi:10.1038/nrm3888.

London, N., and S. Biggins. 2014b. Mad1 kinetochore recruitment by Mps1-mediated

phosphorylation of Bub1 signals the spindle checkpoint. *Genes Dev.* 28:140–152.

doi:10.1101/gad.233700.113.

Moyle, M.W., T. Kim, N. Hattersley, J. Espeut, D.K. Cheerambathur, K. Oegema, and A.

Desai. 2014. A Bub1-Mad1 interaction targets the Mad1-Mad2 complex to

unattached kinetochores to initiate the spindle checkpoint. *J. Cell Biol.* 204:647–

657. doi:10.1083/jcb.201311015.

Murray, A.W. 2012. Don't make me mad, Bub! *Dev. Cell.* 22:1123–5.

doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2012.05.020.

Murray, A.W., and D. Marks. 2001. Can sequencing shed light on cell cycling? *Nature.*

409:844–846. doi:10.1038/35057033.

Musacchio, A. 2015. The Molecular Biology of Spindle Assembly Checkpoint Signaling

Dynamics. *Curr. Biol.* 25:R1002–R1018. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2015.08.051.

Nguyen Ba, A.N., B.J. Yeh, D. van Dyk, A.R. Davidson, B.J. Andrews, E.L. Weiss, and A.M.

Moses. 2012. Proteome-Wide Discovery of Evolutionary Conserved Sequences in

Disordered Regions. *Sci. Signal.* 5:rs1-rs1.

Ogo, N., S. Oishi, K. Matsuno, J. Sawada, N. Fujii, and A. Asai. 2007. Synthesis and

biological evaluation of L-cysteine derivatives as mitotic kinesin Eg5 inhibitors.

Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 17:3921–4. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2007.04.101.

Sacristan, C., and G.J.P.L. Kops. 2015. Joined at the hip: Kinetochores, microtubules, and

spindle assembly checkpoint signaling. *Trends Cell Biol.* 25:21–28.

doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2014.08.006.

Stamatakis, A. 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis

of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics.* 30:1312–3.

doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033.

Stanke, M., A. Tzvetkova, and B. Morgenstern. 2006. AUGUSTUS at EGASP: using EST,

protein and genomic alignments for improved gene prediction in the human

genome. *Genome Biol.* 7 Suppl 1:S11.1-8. doi:10.1186/gb-2006-7-s1-s11.

Sudakin, V., G.K.T. Chan, and T.J. Yen. 2001. Checkpoint inhibition of the APC / C in HeLa

cells. *Cell.* 154:925–936. doi:10.1083/jcb.200102093.

Suijkerbuijk, S.J.E., T.J.P. van Dam, G.E. Karagöz, E. von Castelmur, N.C. Hubner, A.M.S.

Duarte, M. Vleugel, A. Perrakis, S.G.D. Rüdiger, B. Snel, and G.J.P.L. Kops. 2012a. The

Vertebrate Mitotic Checkpoint Protein BUBR1 Is an Unusual Pseudokinase. *Dev.*

Cell. 22:1321–1329. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2012.03.009.

Suijkerbuijk, S.J.E., M.H.J. Van Osch, F.L. Bos, S. Hanks, N. Rahman, and G.J.P.L. Kops.

2010. Molecular causes for BUBR1 dysfunction in the human cancer predisposition

syndrome mosaic variegated aneuploidy. *Cancer Res.* 70:4891–4900.

doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-4319.

Suijkerbuijk, S.J.E., M. Vleugel, A. Teixeira, and G.J.P.L. Kops. 2012b. Integration of Kinase

and Phosphatase Activities by BUBR1 Ensures Formation of Stable Kinetochore-

Microtubule Attachments. *Dev. Cell.* 23:745–755. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2012.09.005.

Tang, Z., R. Bharadwaj, B. Li, and H. Yu. 2001. Mad2-Independent inhibition of APC

Cdc20 by the mitotic checkpoint protein BubR1. *Dev Cell.* 1:227–237.

doi:10.1016/S1534-5807(01)00019-3.

Taylor, S.S., E. Ha, and F. McKeon. 1998. The human homologue of Bub3 is required for

kinetochore localization of Bub1 and a Mad3/Bub1-related protein kinase. *J. Cell*

Biol. 142:1–11. doi:10.1083/jcb.142.1.1.

Tromer, E., B. Snel, and G.J.P.L. Kops. 2015. Widespread recurrent patterns of rapid

repeat evolution in the kinetochore scaffold KNL1. *Genome Biol. Evol.* 7:2383–2393.

doi:10.1093/gbe/evv140.

Vleugel, M., T. a. Hoek, E. Tromer, T. Sliedrecht, V. Groenewold, M. Omerzu, and G.J.P.L.

Kops. 2015. Dissecting the roles of human BUB1 in the spindle assembly

checkpoint. *J. Cell Sci.* 128:2975–2982. doi:10.1242/jcs.169821.

Vleugel, M., E. Hoogendoorn, B. Snel, and G.J.P.L. Kops. 2012. Evolution and Function of

the Mitotic Checkpoint. *Dev. Cell*. 23:239–250. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2012.06.013.

Wu, J., S. Kasif, and C. DeLisi. 2003. Identification of functional links between genes

using phylogenetic profiles. *Bioinformatics*. 19:1524–30.

doi:10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTG187.

Xu, P., E. a Raetz, M. Kitagawa, D.M. Virshup, and S.H. Lee. 2013. BUBR1 recruits PP2A

via the B56 family of targeting subunits to promote chromosome congression. *Biol.*

Open. 2:479–86. doi:10.1242/bio.20134051.

Yamaguchi, M., R. VanderLinden, F. Weissmann, R. Qiao, P. Dube, N.G. Brown, D.

Haselbach, W. Zhang, S.S. Sidhu, J.-M. Peters, H. Stark, and B.A. Schulman. 2016.

Cryo-EM of Mitotic Checkpoint Complex-Bound APC/C Reveals Reciprocal and

Conformational Regulation of Ubiquitin Ligation. *Mol. Cell*. 63:593–607.

doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2016.07.003.

E. Tromer et al. 2016

Figure 1

Figure 2 Tromer et al. 2016

Figure 3 Tromer et al. 2016