RUNNING TITLE: Spatiotemporal hyporheic assembly and physiology

Deterministic assembly processes govern seasonal and spatial variation in microbiomes across

hydrologically-connected hyporheic zones

Emily B. Graham,[1*] Alex R. Crump,[1] Charles T. Resch,[2] Sarah Fansler,[1] Evan Arntzen,[3] David

W. Kennedy,[1] Jim K. Fredrickson,[1] James C. Stegen[1]

[1]Biological Sciences Division, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA USA

[2]Geochemistry Department, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA USA

[3]Environmental Compliance and Emergency Preparation, Pacific Northwest National

Laboratory, Richland, WA USA

[*]**Corresponding author:** Emily B. Graham, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, PO Box

999, Richland, WA 99352, 509-372-6049, emily.graham@pnnl.gov

Data accessibility statement: Upon acceptance for publication, all data will be made publically

available, and the DOI will be provided in-text.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Originality-Significance Statement. Subsurface zones of groundwater and surface water

mixing (hyporheic zones) are hotspots of biogeochemical activity and strongly influence carbon,

nutrient and contaminant dynamics within riverine ecosystems. Hyporheic zone microbiomes are

responsible for up to 95% of riverine ecosystem respiration, yet the ecology of these

microbiomes remains poorly understood. While significant progress is being made in the

development of microbially-explicit ecosystem models, poor understanding of hyporheic zone

microbial ecology impedes development of such models in this critical zone. To fill the

knowledge gap, we present a comprehensive analysis of hyporheic zone microbiomes through

space and time. We quantify ecological drivers of microbiome change and identify taxa that may

be particularly important to hyporheic zone biogeochemical function. Despite pronounced

hydrologic connectivity throughout the hyporheic zone, we find that ecological selection

deterministically governs microbiome composition within local environments and that

comparatively high-organic C conditions during surface water intrusion into the hyporheic zone

may support heterotrophic metabolisms, succumbing to autotrophy during time periods of

groundwater discharge. These results provide new opportunities to develop microbially-explicit

ecosystem models that incorporate the hyporheic zone and its influence over riverine ecosystem

function.

Keywords: selection, dispersal, subsurface, microbial community structure, community

assembly, freshwater biology, microbial ecology, stochastic assembly

Summary.

Subsurface zones of groundwater and surface water mixing (hyporheic zones) are regions

of enhanced rates of biogeochemical cycling, but ecological processes influencing hyporheic

microbiomes through space and time remain unknown. We sampled attached and planktonic

microbiomes in the Columbia River hyporheic zone across seasonal hydrologic change within

three hydrologically-connected, yet physicochemically-distinct geographic zones (inland,

nearshore, river). Although microbiomes remained dissimilar through time across all zones and

habitat types (attached vs. planktonic), consistent presence of certain heterotrophic taxa

suggested dispersal and/or common selective pressures among all zones. We used statistical null

models and co-occurrence network analysis, respectively, to demonstrate a pronounced impact of

deterministic assembly on microbiomes in all data subsets and to elucidate taxa most affected by

these processes. The composition of one network cluster of nearshore organisms exhibited a

seasonal shift from heterotrophic to autotrophic microorganisms, and the abundance of taxa

within this cluster also correlated positively with active microbial biomass and metabolism,

possibly indicating that these taxa have strong influences over biogeochemical reactions within

the hyporheic zone. Taken together, our research demonstrates a predominant role for

deterministic assembly across highly-connected environments and provides insight into niche

dynamics associated with seasonal changes in hyporheic microbiome composition and

metabolism.

Introduction.

Environmental transition zones exhibit elevated rates of biogeochemical cycling compared to other systems and experience extreme variation in physicochemical characteristics (Hedin et al., 1998; McClain et al., 2003). In particular, groundwater-surface water mixing (hyporheic) zones are characterized by temporally and spatially dynamic, redox-active environments associated with changes in river stage (Hancock et al., 2005; Boulton et al., 2010). Hyporheic zones regulate watershed-scale biogeochemistry by transporting and modifying carbon and nutrients between rivers and their catchments (Boano et al., 2014; Battin et al., 2016). Yet, sediment microbiomes mediating hyporheic biogeochemical cycling have rarely been examined (Robertson and Wood, 2010; Marmonier et al., 2012; Gonzalez and Bell, 2013). As such, microbial biogeography as well as ecological processes that impact microbiome composition and function throughout hyporheic zones constitute key knowledge gaps in understanding these important transition zones.

Interactions between hydrologic transport, local abiotic conditions, and biotic processes result in diverse hyporheic microbiomes and are essential to understanding major biogeochemical cycles (Boulton et al., 2010; Battin et al., 2016; Stegen et al., 2016). In particular, microbial community assembly processes are thought to be imperative in coupling microbiomes with ecosystem functioning across a range of environments (Ferrenberg et al., 2013; Nemergut et al., 2013; Graham et al., 2016a; Graham et al., 2016b). Determinism (*e.g.*, selection) may either sort species such that the microbiome is optimized for a given environment or exclude biodiversity that supports ecosystem functioning (Knelman and Nemergut, 2014; Graham et al., 2016a), while stochasticity (*e.g.*, dispersal, drift) can regulate microbial community membership via mechanisms such as priority effects (Fukami, 2004; Fukami et al.,

2010) and dispersal limitation (Lindström and Langenheder, 2012; Adams et al., 2013; Cline and

Zak, 2014). In sediments, assembly processes may be particularly important for biogeochemical

function because abiotic conditions and hydrologic flow vary over short (<1 mm) distances,

generating diverse niches with distinct environmental filters as well as providing dispersal routes

for microorganisms (Battin et al., 2016).

Selection has been widely shown to impact environmental microbiomes (Fierer and

Jackson, 2006; Lauber et al., 2009), while stochastic processes can influence microbial

community composition when environmental heterogeneity and/or species sorting is weak

(Stegen et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2013; Woodcock et al., 2013; Battin et al., 2016). Both of these

processes operate in concert to structure microbiomes, and recent work has focused on

deciphering the balance of deterministic vs. stochastic assembly processes through space and

time (Ferrenberg et al., 2013; Dini-Andreote et al., 2015; Graham et al., 2016a). Further,

assembly processes function at an organismal level; determinism selects for traits contained

within certain groups of organisms and dispersal disproportionately affects organisms expressing

traits for motility (Martiny et al., 2006; Martiny et al., 2013). Understanding microbiome

assembly at the sub-community level is therefore paramount in fully comprehending microbiome

assembly and subsequent ecosystem functioning. Yet, influences of assembly processes on

specific groups of microorganisms are poorly understood, and linking a process-based

understanding of variation in microbiome composition to the metabolism of carbon and nutrients

remains a vital unknown in ecosystem science, particularly within environmental transition zones

that harbor a variety of complementary resources (Boulton et al., 2010; Stegen et al., 2016).

The Hanford Reach of the Columbia River constitutes a hyporheic zone with an extensive

floodplain aquifer, presenting a prime opportunity for investigating spatiotemporal linkages

between community assembly processes and environmental microbiomes. We sampled

geographically distinct but hydrologically-connected zones that lie in close proximity (<250m) –

near the inland groundwater aquifer, in the nearshore subsurface environment, and in the

Columbia River surface water – across a 9-month time period. Previous research has shown

redox conditions as well as microbiomes to be variable across space and time in this system (Lin

et al., 2012a; Lin et al., 2012b; Stegen et al., 2012; Graham et al., 2016a). Here, we use

ecological modeling to infer assembly processes governing microbiome composition in

planktonic and attached communities through time in these zones. Additionally, we use co-

occurrence networks to infer relationships between deterministic assembly and specific groups of

organisms; and we identify keystone taxa associated with seasonal changes in groundwater-

surface water mixing. We also reveal relationships between the abundance of one cluster of

nearshore organisms and changes in both active biomass and aerobic respiration, possibly

denoting a central role for these organisms in hyporheic biogeochemical cycling. Together, our

research furthers an understanding of spatiotemporal changes in hyporheic microbiomes and

generates a conceptual model regarding the role of deterministic assembly in influencing shifts

from heterotrophic to autotrophic organisms in hyporheic enviroments.

Results.

Hydrology and Physicochemical Conditions.

We observed variation in temporal dynamics of hydrologic mixing and physicochemistry

among geographic zones (Fig. 1A-C, Table S1, Fig. S1). Increases in Cl^- concentration in

nearshore and inland zones at and beyond our July 22 sampling event indicated discharge of

groundwater through the hyporheic zone (Fig. 1A), coincident with decreases in NPOC

concentration in all three zones (Fig. 1B). Temperature followed a seasonal trend in nearshore

and river zones but remained stable in the inland zone (Fig. 1C).

Microbiome composition.

River planktonic (PERMANOVA, R^2 = 0.22, P = 0.001), nearshore attached

(PERMANOVA, R^2 = 0.44, P = 0.001), nearshore planktonic (PERMANOVA, R^2 = 0.44, P =

0.001), and inland planktonic (PERMANOVA, R^2 = 0.41, P = 0.001) microbiomes changed

seasonally. Inland attached microbiomes (PERMANOVA, P = 0.29) were stable through time.

Comparing all 5 data subsets to each other revealed distinct microbiomes (PERMANOVA R^2 =

0.25, P = 0.001, Fig. S3), and within each zone the composition of planktonic and attached

communities differed (PERMANOVA, nearshore R^2 = 0.19, P = 0.001, inland R^2 = 0.14, P =

0.001).

The ten most abundant phyla and classes in each data subset are presented in Table 1.

Members of *Proteobacteria* were ubiquitous, and *Bacteroidetes* was highly abundant in all river

and nearshore subsets but had lower abundance in inland zone habitats. The *Planctomycetes-*

Verrucomicrobia-Chlamydiae (PVC) superphylum was prevalent, though the composition of

organisms within this phylum varied among data subsets. *Chloracidobacteria* was widespread in

attached microbiomes in both nearshore and inland zones but was not highly abundant in

planktonic microbiomes. In the inland zone, classes *PBS-25* and *koll11* of the *OP3* candidate

phylum were among the ten most abundant classes. Further, at the class level, the ammonia-

oxidizing *Thaumarcheaota* was highly abundant in both inland planktonic and attached

microbiomes, joined by a nitrite-oxidizer (*Nitrospira*) in attached microbiomes.

Microbiome assembly.

Deterministic assembly processes had more influence on microbiome composition than

stochastic processes (Table 2). In attached microbiomes, both nearshore and inland, homogenous

selection accounted for 82-100% of assembly processes, and in river microbiomes, homogenous

selection account for >95% of assembly. While planktonic microbiomes in nearshore and inland

zones exhibited higher stochasticity than their attached counterparts, selection still accounted for

59.8% and 35.7% of assembly, respectively.

Because we hypothesized dispersal mechanisms to have the greatest impact on planktonic

microbiomes compared across geographic zones, we investigated assembly processes influencing

across-zone comparisons within planktonic microbiomes only. Selection comprised 57-92% of

across-zone assembly processes, with dispersal being of secondary importance. Variable

selection was responsible for >90% of dissimilarity in microbiomes between nearshore and

inland zones, while nearshore-to-river comparisons showed an even balance of variable

(34.84%) and homogenous (29.18%) selection. The influence of spatial processes was most

evident in dispersal limitation (26.76%) in inland-to-river comparisons and in homogenizing

dispersal (15.30%) in nearshore-to-river comparisons.

Spatiotemporal co-occurrence networks, environmental correlations, and keystone taxa.

Properties of full networks at the family level are listed in Table S3, and the composition

of clusters are listed in Table S4. In the nearshore zone, attached cluster 1 was negatively

correlated with time, Cl-, and temperature (Fig. 2A-D); and planktonic cluster 9 was positively

correlated with time, Cl-, and temperature and negatively correlated with NPOC (Fig. 2E-H). In

the inland zone, clusters of attached organisms did not display consistent trends with time or

physicochemistry. Cluster 3 (Fig. 2I-L) and cluster 5 (Fig. 2M-P) of inland plankton exhibited

contrasting relationships with time (positive/negative), Cl^- (positive/negative), NPOC

(negative/positive), and temperature (positive/negative). River planktonic cluster 2 was

negatively correlated with day of year and positively correlated with Cl^-, with no evident

relationships to NPOC or temperature (Fig. 2Q-T). Only one cluster – nearshore attached cluster

1 – exhibited correlations with ATP and Raz (Fig. 3).

Nearshore attached cluster 1 contained keystone families belonging to *Verrucomicrobia*

and *Thaumarcheaota*, as well as families of *Gammaproteobacteria*, *Alphaproteobacteria*, and

Chloracidobacteria with a secondary importance (Fig. 4A, Table S4). Nearshore planktonic

cluster 9 contained two keystone families—one family of unassigned organisms and one

belonging to the candidate phylum *OP3* (Fig. 4B). No keystone taxa were identified in inland

planktonic cluster 5 (Fig. 4C), but inland planktonic cluster 3 contained two keystone families

belonging to *Chloracidobacteria* and *Chloroflexi* as well as organisms with secondary

importance (Fig. 4D). No keystone taxa were identified in river cluster 2 (Fig. 4E).

Discussion.

Our research elucidates seasonal changes in the hyporheic zone associated with shifts in

groundwater-surface mixing conditions and physicochemistry. We observed a major influence of

deterministic assembly processes both within and across geographic zones, indicating selection

rather than dispersal as a primary driver of microbiome composition. Below we also detail

phylogeny-inferred microbial physiologies in each zone and habitat type. We further identify

clusters of organisms, and keystone taxa therein, that are most effected by deterministic

assembly processes, and we propose one cluster of organisms as foundational to metabolism in

the nearshore hyporheic environment. Finally, we present a conceptual model describing

assembly processes and shifts in metabolism within hyporheic environments.

Hydrologic and physicochemical dynamics.

We observed a gradual decline in water stage beginning in June and continuing through

mid-September (Fig. S1). This seasonal hydrologic change drove shifts in groundwater-surface

water mixing and associated physicochemistry across all geographic zones (Fig. 1).

Hydrodynamics can be a driver for both stochastic and deterministic assembly processes, as

advection can physically transport microorganisms across geographic barriers as well as generate

changes in physicochemical selective environments. These potential influences are parsed and

discussed in the following sub-sections.

Microbiome biogeography and physiology.

Biogeographical patterns in microbiomes suggest localized processes as key structuring

forces in our system. While dispersal potential within hyporheic zones remains unclear

(Bärlocher et al., 2006; Cornut et al., 2014), microbiomes were distinct across geographic zones

in our system and physical filtering of particulates as well as steep physicochemical grandients

may inhibit microbial dispersal across zones (Brunke, 1999; Hartwig and Borchardt, 2014).

Additionally, planktonic and attached microbiomes were distinct from each other despite

physical co-location, supporting little overlap between porewater and sediment microbiomes in

hyporheic zones (Febria et al., 2012) and suggesting substrate mineralogy and/or traits for

attachment as selective filters (Carson et al., 2007; Jorgensen et al., 2012).

In particular, we observed higher abundances of *Chloracidobacteria* in attached vs.

planktonic microbiomes within both nearshore and inland zones (Table 1). Biofilm communities,

common to hyporheic zones, consist of a mixture of eukaryotic and prokaryotic organisms

embedded in a porous extracellular matrix and contain diverse organisms with complementary

metabolisms (Battin et al., 2016). *Chloracidobacteria* were prevalent in attached microbiomes

(*i.e.*, within biofilms) and are thought to be photoheterotrophs (Bryant et al., 2007; Tank and

Bryant, 2015) that can utilize non-visible near-infrared light as an energy source for growth

(Behrendt et al., 2012). While these properties may confer advantages in subsurface sediment

conditions with low levels of visible light, our environments experience virtually no light, and

their prevalence suggests possible alternative physiologies for these organisms in subsurface

habitats.

Inland communities, characterized by a low-C, high ionic strength environment, also

contained many unique taxa relative to river and nearshore microbiomes (Table 1). Stegen *et al.*

(2012) have proposed stochastic assembly processes as a major influence in this zone, and a

smaller influence of homogenous selection in the inland zone relative to other zones may be

reflective of niche diversification (Table 2). In particular, we observed members of the candidate

phylum *OP3* in high abundance in the inland zone. *OP3* has been shown to be abundant in other

subsurface communities with high inorganic C concentrations (Emerson et al., 2015) and appears

to thrive in low oxygen environments, potentially utilizing anaerobic metabolisms reliant on iron,

manganese, and/or sulfur cycling (Glöckner et al., 2010). Our inland zone is characterized by

high levels of inorganic C but remains oxygenated year round (Table S1), and our research

suggests that *OP3* may be able to persist under a broader range of redox conditions than

currently recognized. Further, nitrifying organisms *Thaumarcheaota* and *Nitrospira* were

prevalent in the inland zone. These organisms are not dependent on external organic material,

and instead fix CO_2 through autotrophic pathways involving NH_4^+ and NO_2^- oxidation. The

unique physicochemical environment of the inland zone may therefore exclude heterotrophic

organisms that are dominant in the nearshore and river zones and favor organisms with

physiologies that align with oligotrophic conditions.

Despite pronounced biogeographical patterns in microbiomes, our results indicate some

common selective features and/or dispersal routes across geographic zones and habitat types. We

observed high abundances of *Proteobacteria* and members of the PVC superphyla in all data

subsets, and *Bacteroidetes* was among the most abundant phyla in both planktonic and attached

microbiomes in nearshore and river zones (Table 1). *Proteobacteria* are prominent community

members in other aquatic systems (Cottrell and Kirchman, 2000; Zwart et al., 2002; Battin et al.,

2016) and exhibit pronounced metabolic flexibility (Brenner et al., 2005). The ability of these

organisms to assimilate low-energy substrates, such as sulfate, nitrate, and inorganic C, in

addition to a range of organic C compounds may contribute to their abilities to thrive across

physicochemically-distinct geographic zones. For example, *Alphaproteobacteria* can degrade

chemically-complex humic substances as well as monomers (Newton et al., 2011; Battin et al.,

2016), and *Betaproteobacteria* exhibit diverse heterotrophic metabolisms (Amakata et al., 2005;

Yang et al., 2005; Sato et al., 2009; Battin et al., 2016). *Gammaproteobacteria* can fix inorganic

C in light-limited environments (Dyksma et al., 2016) as well as process organic C (Nikrad et al.,

2014). Likewise, *Deltaproteobacteria* utilize organic molecules as carbon sources and as

electron donors for sulfate- and iron-reduction (Lovley et al., 1998; Torres et al., 2010),

reflecting adaptations to both high- and low-C environments. Microbiomes with a diverse

community of *Proteobacteria* may therefore be well-adapted to fluctuations in organic C

availability, facilitating their persistence across all geographic zones. Moreover, *Bacteriodetes*

has been shown to be prevalent in both planktonic and biofilm microbiomes in other systems—

preferentially degrading recalcitrant C compounds and recycling biomass (Cottrell and

Kirchman, 2000; Fernández-Gómez et al., 2013; Martin et al., 2015; Bennke et al., 2016). The

presence of *Bacteroidetes* in addition to *Proteobacteria* within nearshore and river microbiomes

may therefore indicate niche complementarity within these higher-C environments.

Additionally, members of the PVC superphyla were abundant in all data subsets and

possess distinctive physiologies. Many of these organisms have a proteinaceous membrane

conveying antibiotic resistance (Fuerst and Sagulenko, 2011; Speth et al., 2012) and/or

metabolize C1 compounds such as methane (Dunfield et al., 2007; Fuerst and Sagulenko, 2011;

Sharp et al., 2013). Although the biogeochemical implications of selection for these organisms

are unexplored, their unique ecology, ability to consume methane, and abundance within our

system merits future investigating into their role in C cycling in hyporheic environments.

Microbiome assembly and spatiotemporal dynamics.

Given variation among microbiomes, we investigated assembly processes structuring

microbiomes and found a predominant role for deterministic assembly processes in all data

subsets. In particular, attached communities were nearly entirely assembled by homogeneous

selective pressures and displayed network topologies indicating a highly-structured microbiome

(*i.e.*, high clustering coefficient and low heterogeneity, Table S3). Our results are consistent with

a recent review that noted the prevalence of deterministic processes over stochasticity in

structuring stream-associated biofilm microbiomes (Battin et al., 2016). Battin *et al.* (2016)

suggest micro-niches in biofilms select for specific organisms and repel poorly-adapted

immigrating species. Indeed, substrate features may impose strong environmental filters on

microbiomes—sediment geochemistry (Carson et al., 2007; Jorgensen et al., 2012) and matrix

structure (Vos et al., 2013; Breulmann et al., 2014) can select for traits that enhance attachment

on a particular substrate.

Likewise, river planktonic microbiomes were assembled via homogeneous selection

across time but were differentiated from nearshore and inland zone microbiomes via dispersal

limitation (Table 2). Network structure was also consistent with a highly-organized river

microbiome (*i.e.*, high clustering coefficient and low heterogeneity, Table S3). A relatively

stable geochemical environment in the river may provide a steady selective environment despite

seasonal changes in temperature (Table S1, Fig. 1C). In particular, NPOC concentration was

approximately 2-5 times higher in river water than the nearshore and inland aqueous

environments. Furthermore, organic C associated with surface water intrusion is correlated with

short-term increases in deterministic assembly processes and changes in microbiome

composition in our nearshore environment (Stegen et al., 2016). Thus, consistently high NPOC

concentration in the river may impose environmental filters for free-living heterotrophic

organisms within river bacterioplankton, resulting in homogeneous selection through time.

Moreover, distinct river physicochemistry, as compared to nearshore and inland zones (Table

S1), may limit successful colonization of planktonic river-associated taxa within other zones.

Although deterministic assembly processes were prevalent forces in structuring

microbiomes, nearshore and inland planktonic microbiomes showed a greater influence of

stochastic assembly processes than other zones. Within the nearshore, significant fluxes of both

groundwater and surface water may contribute to spatial assembly processes. For example, we

observed the impact of both dispersal limitation and homogenizing dispersal on nearshore

planktonic microbiomes, suggesting that this zone may experience periods of comparatively low

and high rates of hydrologic transport. Furthermore, sampling locations for inland planktonic

microbiomes were distributed across a broader spatial extent than any other zone, perhaps

facilitating limited dispersal across the sampled domain.

When we examined assembly processes differentiating planktonic microbiomes across

zones, we anticipated more impact from spatial processes due to an increase in geographic scale.

In contrast to this expectation, selection was responsible for more than 50% of community

dissimilarity in all comparisons. While we have suggested physical inhibition of microbial

dispersal as a possible mechanism generating microbiome dissimilarity across geographic zones,

our analyses indicate that an overarching influence of selection generally outweighed influences

of spatial processes. Our results do not necessarily indicate that spatial processes play no role in

shaping microbiomes, but rather that local physicochemical environments limit the ability of

physically-transported microorganisms to outcompete local biota. Indeed, nearshore-to-inland

zones comparisons suggested that distinct selective pressures in each environment were the

dominant cause (>90%) of differences among planktonic microbiomes.

Likewise, spatial processes were overwhelmed by selection in nearshore-to-river

comparisons. Differences among these microbiomes were due to relatively even proportions of

homogenous and variable selection, with no evident seasonal trends in the balance between these

processes. Our system is characterized by pronounced geomorphic heterogeneity in the

subsurface environment that creates preferential flow paths for groundwater-surface water

mixing (Johnson et al., 2015). The presence of both homogeneous and variable selection may

indicate spatial variation in surface water intrusion or local biogeochemical conditions across our

sampling locations (distributed parallel to the river across a distance of ~150m). Homogenizing

dispersal also had a small but detectable influence in nearshore-to-river comparisons, supporting

some hydrologic transport of microorganisms between these zones.

Lastly, assembly relationships between the inland and river zones provide the strongest

evidence for spatial processes in our system (42.5% stochasticity). In particular, inland-to-river

comparisons yielded the largest impact of dispersal limitation (26.8%), supporting a decay of

community similarity across increasing spatial distances (Green and Bohannan, 2006). Dispersal

limitation is, therefore, likely to play a more significant role in subsurface microbiome assembly

at larger spatial scales.

Spatiotemporal environmental correlations and keystone taxa.

Given pervasive deterministic assembly, we examined physicochemical properties related

to changes in microbiome composition in each data subset. Co-occurrence networks fragment

into clusters that are sensitive to variation in hydrological regimes (Widder et al., 2014; Febria et

al., 2015) and have been used to identify keystone taxa (González et al., 2010; Vick-Majors et

al., 2014; Banerjee et al., 2015; Banerjee et al., 2016). Here, we examined relationships between

temporal co-occurrence networks and aqueous physicochemistry to identify organisms

associated with seasonal environmental and hydrologic change.

In attached microbiomes, no relationships were present in the inland zone, but we found

that nearshore attached cluster 1 was favored during early season conditions with pronounced

surface water intrusion and low temperature (Fig. 2A-D). Clusters of organisms can denote both

positive and negative co-occurrence patterns, signifying similar or opposite (respectively)

ecological dynamics influencing taxa. For instance, organisms sharing a cluster may constitute

an ecological niche, whereby organisms either co-occur in similar environments or have

beneficial interactions (e.g., mutualisms)(Shi et al., 2016). Alternatively, clusters may contain

organisms that are favored under opposing environmental conditions or have negative

interactions (e.g., competition, predation). Nearshore attached cluster 1 contained two

anticorrelated groups of organisms—group 1 consisted of heterotrophic organisms

(*Oxalobacteraceae*, *Comamonadaceae*, *Verrucomicrobiaceae*, and *Flavobacteriaceae*) that

decreased through time, while organisms in group 2 were primarily autotrophic oligotrophs

(*Crenarchaeaceae*, *Ectothiorhodospiraceae*, *Pelagibacteraceae*, and families of

Alphaproteobacteria) that increased through time. Because of the abundance of organisms in

group 1 compared to group 2 (4498 vs. 1987), group 1 dictated correlations between this cluster

and the environment, supporting an association between heterotrophic organisms and periods

experiencing relatively high-organic C surface water intrusion. However, as the abundance of

cluster 1 declined in concert with groundwater intrusion into the nearshore environment (Fig.

3A-D), organisms in group 2 increased, putatively outcompeting heterotrophic organisms in

group 1 under more oligotrophic conditions. The co-association of heterotrophic and autotrophic

organisms within a single cluster may indicate comparatively strong tradeoffs between these

lifestyles and merits further investigation into niche dynamics and competitive interactions

between these taxa. Further, we identified primary keystone taxa as *Verrucomicrobiaceae* and

Crenarchaeaceae, possibly denoting the principal heterotrophic and autotrophic organisms in

groups 1 and 2, respectively.

In planktonic microbiomes, we observed relationships between clusters of organisms in

all zones with hydrologic mixing and physicochemistry. Nearshore planktonic cluster 9

displayed a seasonal association with groundwater discharging conditions and high temperature

(Fig. 2E-H). Organisms were assigned to families highly abundant in the inland zone (*e.g.*,

Thaumarchaeota, candidate phylum *OP3*, Table 1, Table S4), and keystone taxa, in particular,

belonged to *OP3*. Trends in the relative abundance of this cluster may therefore denote enhanced

dispersal from the inland zone during groundwater discharge and/or a change in selection

favoring these organisms corresponding to physicochemical shifts in nearshore environment. The

inland planktonic microbiome also revealed two clusters related to environmental change.

Cluster 3 was present in low abundance, correlated with higher groundwater contribution and

temperature (Fig. 2I-L), and contained facultative anaerobic microorganisms (*Lentisphaeria*,

Gemmatimonadetes, *Anaerolineae, Alphaproteobacteria, Gammaproteobacteria, Chloroflexi*,

Clostridia, *Spirochaetes*) and methylotrophs (*Betaproteobacteria*, Table S4). Such organisms are

largely distinct from organisms found in the nearshore and river environments, supporting the

inference that physical and geochemical isolation drives differences between microbiomes. In

contrast, cluster 5 was associated with surface water intrusion and low temperature (cluster 5,

Fig. 2M-P). An influx of surface water-associated NPOC (Fig. 1B) should favor heterotrophic

microorganisms, and cluster 5 contained methanotrophs (*Methylacidiphilae, Betaproteobacteria)*

and diverse *Actinobacteria* and *Acidimicrobiia* involved in C cycling, possibly denoting a shift

towards heterotrophy under comparatively high-organic C conditions. While no keystone taxa

were found in cluster 5, *Chloracidobacteria* and a family of *Chloroflexi* were identified in cluster

3, meriting further investigation into their role in the hyporheic environment.

Finally, we identified one cluster of diverse river organisms that was positively

associated with Cl⁻ concentration, which may indicate significant groundwater discharge into the

river (Fig. 2Q-T, Table S4). In particular, *Cytophagia* was the most abundant organism in this

cluster and was common in the nearshore and river zones, but all other families in this cluster

were primarily found in the inland zone. These inland taxa were, however, in low abundance in

the river and may therefore indicate a small contribution of the inland microbiome to river

communities under discharging condtions. No keystone taxa were found in this cluster.

Functional implications.

We further investigated the involvement of each cluster in microbial metabolism and

found only one cluster—cluster 1of nearshore attached microorganisms—that correlated with

active biomass (ATP) and aerobic respiration (Raz). Positive correlations between cluster 1 and

both ATP and Raz (Fig. 3) indicate that organisms within this cluster are either responsible for

biomass growth and aerobic nutrient cycling or are facilitated by conditions under which these

processes are promoted. Physical heterogeneity within sediments increases the rate and diversity

of compounds metabolized in biofilms (Singer et al., 2010), an effect that has been attributed to

complementary resource use and niche diversification (Battin et al., 2016). In particular, the

spatial organization of biofilms and the ability of microorganisms to excrete extracellular

enzymes can allow for complementarity that enhances rates of metabolism (Loreau et al., 2001;

Naeem et al., 2012). Nearshore attached cluster 1 contains organisms with a broad range of

metabolic capabilities and may therefore constitute the foundation (or portion thereof) of this

complementary resource structure.

Conclusions

Our results generate new hypotheses regarding spatiotemporal dynamics in hyporheic

environments (Fig. 5A-B). We advance that spatial and temporal patterns in ecological selection

impose strong environmental filters in hyporheic zones, limiting successful immigration of

organisms across physicochemical gradients (Fig. 5A). However, despite largely distinct

microbiomes, we reveal common taxa across a geographic continuum from groundwater- to

surface water-dominated habitats. We also identify clusters of organisms, and keystone taxa

therein, that change through seasonally dynamic groundwater-surface water mixing conditions,

providing insight into microbial physiologies in each geographic zone. In particular, one cluster

of attached organisms in the nearshore environment suggests a seasonal tradeoff between

heterotrophic and autotrophic organisms in our system. We therefore propose that comparatively

high-organic C conditions during surface water intrusion into the hyporheic zone support

heterotrophy, succumbing to autotrophy during time periods of groundwater discharge (Fig. 5B).

This cluster also correlated with enhanced rates of microbial metabolism, suggesting a critical

biogeochemical role for taxa within this cluster. Together, our results provide evidence for

deterministic assembly processes in hyporheic environments, despite pronounced hydrologic

connectivity, and further a process-based understanding of spatiotemporal patterns in

microbiomes in a critical environmental transition zone.

Experimental Procedures.

Study Design.

This study was conducted in three geographic zones (inland, nearshore, river) within the

Hanford 300A (approximately 46° 22' 15.80"N, 119° 16' 31.52"W) in eastern Washington State

(Slater et al., 2010; Zachara et al., 2013; described in Graham et al., 2016a). The inland zone lies

within 250m of the Columbia River and is characterized by an unconfined groundwater aquifer

in the Hanford formation. It displays relatively stable temperatures (~15^oC) and elevated

concentrations of anions and inorganic carbon relative to other zones (Table S1). In contrast, the

Columbia River contains higher organic carbon and lower ion concentrations with seasonally

variable temperatures. Surface water from the river and groundwater from the inland zone mix in

the nearshore hyporheic zone. At high river stages, surface water intrudes into the inland zone;

and at low river stages, groundwater discharges into the Columbia River. To monitor

groundwater-surface water mixing, we employed Cl^- as a conservative groundwater tracer per

Stegen *et al.* (2016).

Detailed sampling and analytical methods are in the Supplemental Material. Briefly,

attached (nearshore and inland only) and planktonic (all zones) microbiomes were obtained from

deployed colonization substrate and aqueous samples, respectively. Colonization substrate was

incubated *in situ* six weeks prior to removal. Samples were collected at three-week intervals

from March through November 2014, with the first planktonic samples collected in March and

the first attached samples collected in April after a six-week incubation period. Attached samples

were obtained from fully screened stainless steel piezometers installed to 1.2m depth below the

riverbed (nearshore, 5.25cm inside diameter (MAAS Midwest, Huntley, IL)) or from established

groundwater wells (inland). Planktonic samples were obtained from galvanized piezometers

located <1m from the piezometers used to sample attached microbiomes. For each inland

location, a single well was used for both planktonic and attached samples. River water was

sampled adjacent to the piezometers. DNA was extracted from each sample using the MoBio

PowerSoil kit (MoBio Laboratories, Inc., Carlsbad, CA), and the 16S rRNA gene was sequenced

on the Illumina MiSeq platform as described in the Supplemental Material. Physicochemical

properties, active biomass (ATP), and aerobic respiration (Raz) were determined as per the

Supplemental Material.

Statistical analysis.

All analyses were conducted in *R* software (http://cran.r-project.org/) unless otherwise

noted. Relative abundances of major microbial taxa at phylum- and class- levels were calculated

in each of five data subsets (nearshore attached, nearshore planktonic, inland attached, inland

planktonic, river planktonic). An apparent breakpoint in hydrology at July 22 was assessed by

comparing Cl^- and NPOC concentration pre- and post- July 22 with one-sided Mann Whitney U

tests. Temporal changes in temperature in each subset were assessed with quadratic regressions.

Microbiome dissimilarities were estimated as Bray-Curtis distance and analyzed through time

and across data subsets with PERMANOVA in QIIME (Caporaso et al., 2010).

Modeling assembly processes.

We implemented null modeling methods developed by Stegen *et al.* (2013; 2015) to

disentangle community assembly processes. The approach uses pairwise phylogenetic turnover

between communities, calculated using the mean-nearest-taxon-distance (βMNTD) metric

(Webb et al., 2008; Fine and Kembel, 2011), to infer the strength of selection. Communities were

evaluated for significantly less turnover than expected (βNTI < -2, homogeneous selection) or

more turnover than expected (βNTI > 2, variable selection) by comparing observed βMNTD

values to the mean of a null distribution of βMNTD values—and normalizing by its standard

deviation—to yield βNTI (Stegen et al., 2012). Pairwise community comparisons that did not

deviate from the null βMNTD distribution were evaluated for the influences of dispersal

limitation and homogenizing dispersal by calculating the Raup-Crick metric extended to account

for species relative abundances (RC_{bray}), as per Stegen *et al.* (2013; 2015). Observed Bray-Curtis

dissimilarities were compared to the null distribution to derive RC_{bray}. RC_{bray} values that were >

0.95, > -0.95 and < 0.95, or < -0.95 were interpreted as indicating dispersal limitation, no

dominant assembly process, or homogenizing dispersal, respectively. Significance levels for

βNTI and RC$_{bray}$ are respectively based on standard deviations—|βNTI| = 2 denotes two standard

deviations from the mean of the null distribution—and alpha values—|RC$_{bray}$| = 0.95 reflects

significance at the 0.05 level. Inferences from both βNTI and RC$_{bray}$ have previously been

shown to be robust (Dini-Andreote et al., 2015; Stegen et al., 2015).

Co-occurrence network analysis.

Co-occurrence networks were constructed using Spearman's correlation at four

taxonomic levels – class, order, family, and OTU (singletons removed). To examine taxa with

moderate to strong co-occurrence patterns, correlations with *rho* > +/- 0.6 and FRD-corrected *P*

< 0.01 were imported into Cytoscape v3.3 for visualization and calculation of network

parameters. Networks were examined for deviations from randomness by comparing network

parameters to random networks generated with Network Randomiser 1.1 in Cytoscape (Barabasi-

Albert model with same number of nodes). Keystone taxa were identified using the Betweenness

Centrality metric (BC > 0), whereby increasing BC values indicate greater contribution of nodes

to network structure (González et al., 2010; Vick-Majors et al., 2014; Banerjee et al., 2016).

We identified clusters in Cytoscape using MCODE, using default parameters as per

Banerjee *et al.* (2015; 2016). We then calculated Pearson's momentum correlation between the

relative abundance of each cluster and selected parameters (Cl$^-$, NPOC, temperature, ATP, and

Raz) to screen for clusters of interest (*P* < 0.05). Regression models (linear and quadratic, as

appropriate) were then fit between cluster abundance (dependent variable) and the selected

parameters (independent variables). Analyses were conducted at all taxonomic levels, but we

present family-level correlations of microbiomes with time and physicochemistry due to the

consistency of patterns. For correlations with microbiome activity and aerobic respiration, results

with were similar at family- and class-levels (Fig. S2 and Table S2), and we present class-level

results that exhibited better model fit.

Acknowledgements.

This research was supported by the US Department of Energy (DOE), Office of

Biological and Environmental Research (BER), as part of Subsurface Biogeochemical

Research Program's Scientific Focus Area (SFA) at the Pacific Northwest National

Laboratory (PNNL). PNNL is operated for DOE by Battelle under contract

DE-AC06-76RLO 1830. A portion of the research was performed using Institutional

Computing at PNNL.

533
References.

Adams, R.I., Miletto, M., Taylor, J.W., and Bruns, T.D. (2013) Dispersal in microbes: fungi in
indoor air are dominated by outdoor air and show dispersal limitation at short distances. *The*
ISME journal **7**: 1262-1273.
Amakata, D., Matsuo, Y., Shimono, K., Park, J.K., Yun, C.S., Matsuda, H. et al. (2005)
Mitsuaria chitosanitabida gen. nov., sp. nov., an aerobic, chitosanase-producing member of
the 'Betaproteobacteria'. *International journal of systematic and evolutionary microbiology*
55: 1927-1932.
Banerjee, S., Kirkby, C.A., Schmutter, D., Bissett, A., Kirkegaard, J.A., and Richardson, A.E.
(2016) Network analysis reveals functional redundancy and keystone taxa amongst bacterial
and fungal communities during organic matter decomposition in an arable soil. *Soil Biology*
and Biochemistry **97**: 188-198.
Banerjee, S., Baah‑Acheamfour, M., Carlyle, C.N., Bissett, A., Richardson, A.E., Siddique, T.
et al. (2015) Determinants of bacterial communities in Canadian agroforestry systems.
Environmental microbiology.
Bärlocher, F., Nikolcheva, L.G., Wilson, K.P., and Williams, D.D. (2006) Fungi in the hyporheic
zone of a springbrook. *Microbial ecology* **52**: 708-715.
Battin, T.J., Besemer, K., Bengtsson, M.M., Romani, A.M., and Packmann, A.I. (2016) The
ecology and biogeochemistry of stream biofilms. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **14**: 251-263.
Behrendt, L., Larkum, A.W., Trampe, E., Norman, A., Sørensen, S.J., and Kühl, M. (2012)
Microbial diversity of biofilm communities in microniches associated with the didemnid
ascidian Lissoclinum patella. *The ISME journal* **6**: 1222-1237.
Bennke, C.M., Krüger, K., Kappelmann, L., Huang, S., Gobet, A., Schüler, M. et al. (2016)
Polysaccharide utilisation loci of Bacteroidetes from two contrasting open ocean sites in the
North Atlantic. *Environmental Microbiology*.
Boano, F., Harvey, J.W., Marion, A., Packman, A.I., Revelli, R., Ridolfi, L., and Wörman, A.
(2014) Hyporheic flow and transport processes: Mechanisms, models, and biogeochemical
implications. *Reviews of Geophysics* **52**: 603-679.
Boulton, A.J., Datry, T., Kasahara, T., Mutz, M., and Stanford, J.A. (2010) Ecology and
management of the hyporheic zone: stream-groundwater interactions of running waters and
their floodplains. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society* **29**: 26-40.
Brenner, D.J., Krieg, N., Staley, J., and Garrity, G. (2005) Bergey's Manual of Systematic
Bacteriology, Vol 2: The Proteobacteria. *NY: Springer*.
Breulmann, M., Masyutenko, N.P., Kogut, B.M., Schroll, R., Dörfler, U., Buscot, F., and Schulz,
E. (2014) Short-term bioavailability of carbon in soil organic matter fractions of different
particle sizes and densities in grassland ecosystems. *Science of The Total Environment* **497**:
29-37.
Brunke, M. (1999) Colmation and depth filtration within streambeds: retention of particles in
hyporheic interstices. *International Review of Hydrobiology* **84**: 99-117.
Bryant, D.A., Costas, A.M.G., Maresca, J.A., Chew, A.G.M., Klatt, C.G., Bateson, M.M. et al.
(2007) Candidatus Chloracidobacterium thermophilum: an aerobic phototrophic
acidobacterium. *Science* **317**: 523-526.

Caporaso, J.G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F.D., Costello, E.K. et al. (2010) QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nature methods* **7**: 335-336.

Carson, J.K., Rooney, D., Gleeson, D.B., and Clipson, N. (2007) Altering the mineral composition of soil causes a shift in microbial community structure. *FEMS microbiology ecology* **61**: 414-423.

Cline, L.C., and Zak, D.R. (2014) Dispersal limitation structures fungal community assembly in a long‐term glacial chronosequence. *Environmental microbiology* **16**: 1538-1548.

Cornut, J., Chauvet, E., Mermillod-Blondin, F., Assemat, F., and Elger, A. (2014) Aquatic Hyphomycete Species Are Screened by the Hyporheic Zone of Woodland Streams. *Applied and environmental microbiology* **80**: 1949-1960.

Cottrell, M.T., and Kirchman, D.L. (2000) Natural assemblages of marine proteobacteria and members of the Cytophaga-Flavobacter cluster consuming low-and high-molecular-weight dissolved organic matter. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **66**: 1692-1697.

Dini-Andreote, F., Stegen, J.C., van Elsas, J.D., and Salles, J.F. (2015) Disentangling mechanisms that mediate the balance between stochastic and deterministic processes in microbial succession. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **112**: E1326-E1332.

Dunfield, P.F., Yuryev, A., Senin, P., Smirnova, A.V., Stott, M.B., Hou, S. et al. (2007) Methane oxidation by an extremely acidophilic bacterium of the phylum Verrucomicrobia. *Nature* **450**: 879-882.

Dyksma, S., Bischof, K., Fuchs, B.M., Hoffmann, K., Meier, D., Meyerdierks, A. et al. (2016) Ubiquitous Gammaproteobacteria dominate dark carbon fixation in coastal sediments. *The ISME journal*.

Emerson, J.B., Thomas, B.C., Alvarez, W., and Banfield, J.F. (2015) Metagenomic analysis of a high carbon dioxide subsurface microbial community populated by chemolithoautotrophs and bacteria and archaea from candidate phyla. *Environmental microbiology*.

Febria, C.M., Beddoes, P., Fulthorpe, R.R., and Williams, D.D. (2012) Bacterial community dynamics in the hyporheic zone of an intermittent stream. *The ISME journal* **6**: 1078-1088.

Febria, C.M., Hosen, J.D., Crump, B.C., Palmer, M.A., and Williams, D.D. (2015) Microbial responses to changes in flow status in temporary headwater streams: a cross-system comparison. *Frontiers in microbiology* **6**: 522.

Fernández-Gómez, B., Richter, M., Schüler, M., Pinhassi, J., Acinas, S.G., González, J.M., and Pedrós-Alió, C. (2013) Ecology of marine Bacteroidetes: a comparative genomics approach. *The ISME journal* **7**: 1026-1037.

Ferrenberg, S., O'Neill, S.P., Knelman, J.E., Todd, B., Duggan, S., Bradley, D. et al. (2013) Changes in assembly processes in soil bacterial communities following a wildfire disturbance. *The ISME journal* **7**: 1102-1111.

Fierer, N., and Jackson, R.B. (2006) The diversity and biogeography of soil bacterial communities. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **103**: 626-631.

Fine, P.V.A., and Kembel, S.W. (2011) Phylogenetic community structure and phylogenetic turnover across space and edaphic gradients in western Amazonian tree communities. *Ecography* **34**: 552-565.

Fuerst, J.A., and Sagulenko, E. (2011) Beyond the bacterium: planctomycetes challenge our concepts of microbial structure and function. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **9**: 403-413.

Fukami, T. (2004) Assembly history interacts with ecosystem size to influence species diversity.
Ecology **85**: 3234-3242.
Fukami, T., Dickie, I.A., Paula Wilkie, J., Paulus, B.C., Park, D., Roberts, A. et al. (2010)
Assembly history dictates ecosystem functioning: evidence from wood decomposer
communities. *Ecology Letters* **13**: 675-684.
Glöckner, J., Kube, M., Shrestha, P.M., Weber, M., Glöckner, F.O., Reinhardt, R., and Liesack,
W. (2010) Phylogenetic diversity and metagenomics of candidate division OP3.
Environmental microbiology **12**: 1218-1229.
Gonzalez, A., and Bell, G. (2013) Evolutionary rescue and adaptation to abrupt environmental
change depends upon the history of stress. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of*
London B: Biological Sciences **368**: 20120079.
González, A.M.M., Dalsgaard, B., and Olesen, J.M. (2010) Centrality measures and the
importance of generalist species in pollination networks. *Ecological Complexity* **7**: 36-43.
Graham, E., Crump, A.R., Resch, C.T., Fansler, S., Arntzen, E., Kennedy, D. et al. (2016a)
Coupling spatiotemporal community assembly processes to changes in microbial
metabolism. *PeerJ Preprints*.
Graham, E.B., Knelman, J.E., Schindlbacher, A., Siciliano, S., Breulmann, M., Yannarell, A. et
al. (2016b) Microbes as engines of ecosystem function: when does community structure
enhance predictions of ecosystem processes? *Frontiers in Microbiology* **7**.
Green, J., and Bohannan, B.J. (2006) Spatial scaling of microbial biodiversity. *Trends in ecology*
& evolution **21**: 501-507.
Hancock, P.J., Boulton, A.J., and Humphreys, W.F. (2005) Aquifers and hyporheic zones:
towards an ecological understanding of groundwater. *Hydrogeology Journal* **13**: 98-111.
Hartwig, M., and Borchardt, D. (2014) Alteration of key hyporheic functions through biological
and physical clogging along a nutrient and fine‐sediment gradient. *Ecohydrology*.
Hedin, L.O., von Fischer, J.C., Ostrom, N.E., Kennedy, B.P., Brown, M.G., and Robertson, G.P.
(1998) Thermodynamic constraints on nitrogen transformations and other biogeochemical
processes at soil-stream interfaces. *Ecology* **79**: 684-703.
Johnson, T., Versteeg, R., Thomle, J., Hammond, G., Chen, X., and Zachara, J. (2015) Four‐
dimensional electrical conductivity monitoring of stage‐driven river water intrusion:
Accounting for water table effects using a transient mesh boundary and conditional inversion
constraints. *Water Resources Research* **51**: 6177-6196.
Jorgensen, S.L., Hannisdal, B., Lanzén, A., Baumberger, T., Flesland, K., Fonseca, R. et al.
(2012) Correlating microbial community profiles with geochemical data in highly stratified
sediments from the Arctic Mid-Ocean Ridge. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
Sciences **109**: E2846-E2855.
Knelman, J.E., and Nemergut, D.R. (2014) Changes in community assembly may shift the
relationship between biodiversity and ecosystem function. *Frontiers in microbiology* **5**.
Lauber, C.L., Hamady, M., Knight, R., and Fierer, N. (2009) Pyrosequencing-based assessment
of soil pH as a predictor of soil bacterial community structure at the continental scale.
Applied and environmental microbiology **75**: 5111-5120.
Lin, X., Kennedy, D., Fredrickson, J., Bjornstad, B., and Konopka, A. (2012a) Vertical
stratification of subsurface microbial community composition across geological formations at
the Hanford Site. *Environmental microbiology* **14**: 414-425.

Lin, X., McKinley, J., Resch, C.T., Kaluzny, R., Lauber, C.L., Fredrickson, J. et al. (2012b)
Spatial and temporal dynamics of the microbial community in the Hanford unconfined
aquifer. *The ISME journal* **6**: 1665-1676.
Lindström, E.S., and Langenheder, S. (2012) Local and regional factors influencing bacterial
community assembly. *Environmental Microbiology Reports* **4**: 1-9.
Loreau, M., Naeem, S., Inchausti, P., Bengtsson, J., Grime, J., Hector, A. et al. (2001)
Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: current knowledge and future challenges. *science*
294: 804-808.
Lovley, D., Fraga, J.L., Blunt-Harris, E.L., Hayes, L., Phillips, E., and Coates, J.D. (1998)
Humic substances as a mediator for microbially catalyzed metal reduction. *Acta*
hydrochimica et hydrobiologica **26**: 152-157.
Marmonier, P., Archambaud, G., Belaidi, N., Bougon, N., Breil, P., Chauvet, E. et al. (2012) The
role of organisms in hyporheic processes: gaps in current knowledge, needs for future
research and applications. In *Annales de Limnologie-International Journal of Limnology*:
Cambridge Univ Press, pp. 253-266.
Martin, M., Barbeyron, T., Martin, R., Portetelle, D., Michel, G., and Vandenbol, M. (2015) The
Cultivable Surface Microbiota of the Brown Alga Ascophyllum nodosum is Enriched in
Macroalgal-Polysaccharide-Degrading Bacteria. *Frontiers in microbiology* **6**.
Martiny, A.C., Treseder, K., and Pusch, G. (2013) Phylogenetic conservatism of functional traits
in microorganisms. *The ISME journal* **7**: 830-838.
Martiny, J.B.H., Bohannan, B.J., Brown, J.H., Colwell, R.K., Fuhrman, J.A., Green, J.L. et al.
(2006) Microbial biogeography: putting microorganisms on the map. *Nature Reviews*
Microbiology **4**: 102-112.
McClain, M.E., Boyer, E.W., Dent, C.L., Gergel, S.E., Grimm, N.B., Groffman, P.M. et al.
(2003) Biogeochemical hot spots and hot moments at the interface of terrestrial and aquatic
ecosystems. *Ecosystems* **6**: 301-312.
Naeem, S., Duffy, J.E., and Zavaleta, E. (2012) The functions of biological diversity in an age of
extinction. *Science* **336**: 1401-1406.
Nemergut, D.R., Schmidt, S.K., Fukami, T., O'Neill, S.P., Bilinski, T.M., Stanish, L.F. et al.
(2013) Patterns and processes of microbial community assembly. *Microbiology and*
Molecular Biology Reviews **77**: 342-356.
Newton, R.J., Jones, S.E., Eiler, A., McMahon, K.D., and Bertilsson, S. (2011) A guide to the
natural history of freshwater lake bacteria. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* **75**:
14-49.
Nikrad, M.P., Cottrell, M.T., and Kirchman, D.L. (2014) Uptake of dissolved organic carbon by
gammaproteobacterial subgroups in coastal waters of the West Antarctic Peninsula. *Applied*
and environmental microbiology **80**: 3362-3368.
Robertson, A.L., and Wood, P.J. (2010) Ecology of the hyporheic zone: origins, current
knowledge and future directions. *Fundamental and Applied Limnology/Archiv für*
Hydrobiologie **176**: 279-289.
Russell, J., Brady, A., Cardman, Z., Slater, G., Lim, D., and Biddle, J. (2014) Prokaryote
populations of extant microbialites along a depth gradient in Pavilion Lake, British
Columbia, Canada. *Geobiology* **12**: 250-264.
Sato, K., Kato, Y., Taguchi, G., Nogawa, M., Yokota, A., and Shimosaka, M. (2009)
Chitiniphilus shinanonensis gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel chitin-degrading bacterium belonging
to Betaproteobacteria. *The Journal of general and applied microbiology* **55**: 147-153.

Sharp, C.E., den Camp, H.J.M.O., Tamas, I., and Dunfield, P.F. (2013) Unusual Members of the
PVC Superphylum: The Methanotrophic Verrucomicrobia Genus "Methylacidiphilum". In
Planctomycetes: Cell Structure, Origins and Biology: Springer, pp. 211-227.
Shi, S., Nuccio, E.E., Shi, Z.J., He, Z., Zhou, J., and Firestone, M.K. (2016) The interconnected
rhizosphere: High network complexity dominates rhizosphere assemblages. *Ecology Letters*.
Singer, G., Besemer, K., Schmitt-Kopplin, P., Hödl, I., and Battin, T.J. (2010) Physical
heterogeneity increases biofilm resource use and its molecular diversity in stream
mesocosms. *PLoS One* **5**: e9988.
Slater, L.D., Ntarlagiannis, D., Day‐Lewis, F.D., Mwakanyamale, K., Versteeg, R.J., Ward, A.
et al. (2010) Use of electrical imaging and distributed temperature sensing methods to
characterize surface water–groundwater exchange regulating uranium transport at the
Hanford 300 Area, Washington. *Water Resources Research* **46**.
Speth, D.R., van Teeseling, M.C.F., and Jetten, M.S.M. (2012) Genomic analysis indicates the
presence of an asymmetric bilayer outer membrane in Planctomycetes and Verrucomicrobia.
Frontiers in microbiology **3**.
Stegen, J.C., Lin, X., Konopka, A.E., and Fredrickson, J.K. (2012) Stochastic and deterministic
assembly processes in subsurface microbial communities. *The ISME journal* **6**: 1653-1664.
Stegen, J.C., Lin, X., Fredrickson, J.K., and Konopka, A.E. (2015) Estimating and mapping
ecological processes influencing microbial community assembly. *Frontiers in microbiology*
6.
Stegen, J.C., Lin, X., Fredrickson, J.K., Chen, X., Kennedy, D.W., Murray, C.J. et al. (2013)
Quantifying community assembly processes and identifying features that impose them. *The*
ISME journal **7**: 2069-2079.
Stegen, J.C., Fredrickson, J.K., Wilkins, M.J., Konopka, A.E., Nelson, W.C., Arntzen, E.V. et al.
(2016) Groundwater-surface water mixing shifts ecological assembly processes and
stimulates organic carbon turnover. *Nature Communications* **7**.
Tank, M., and Bryant, D.A. (2015) Nutrient requirements and growth physiology of the
photoheterotrophic Acidobacterium, Chloracidobacterium thermophilum. *Frontiers in*
microbiology **6**: 226.
Torres, C.I., Marcus, A.K., Lee, H.-S., Parameswaran, P., Krajmalnik-Brown, R., and Rittmann,
B.E. (2010) A kinetic perspective on extracellular electron transfer by anode-respiring
bacteria. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews* **34**: 3-17.
Vick-Majors, T.J., Priscu, J.C., and Amaral-Zettler, L.A. (2014) Modular community structure
suggests metabolic plasticity during the transition to polar night in ice-covered Antarctic
lakes. *The ISME journal* **8**: 778-789.
Vos, M., Wolf, A.B., Jennings, S.J., and Kowalchuk, G.A. (2013) Micro-scale determinants of
bacterial diversity in soil. *FEMS microbiology reviews* **37**: 936-954.
Wang, J., Shen, J., Wu, Y., Tu, C., Soininen, J., Stegen, J.C. et al. (2013) Phylogenetic beta
diversity in bacterial assemblages across ecosystems: deterministic versus stochastic
processes. *The ISME journal* **7**: 1310-1321.
Webb, C.O., Ackerly, D.D., and Kembel, S.W. (2008) Phylocom: software for the analysis of
phylogenetic community structure and trait evolution. *Bioinformatics* **24**: 2098-2100.
Widder, S., Besemer, K., Singer, G.A., Ceola, S., Bertuzzo, E., Quince, C. et al. (2014) Fluvial
network organization imprints on microbial co-occurrence networks. *Proceedings of the*
National Academy of Sciences **111**: 12799-12804.

Woodcock, S., Besemer, K., Battin, T.J., Curtis, T.P., and Sloan, W.T. (2013) Modelling the
effects of dispersal mechanisms and hydrodynamic regimes upon the structure of microbial
communities within fluvial biofilms. *Environmental microbiology* **15**: 1216-1225.
Yang, H.-C., Im, W.-T., An, D.-S., Park, W.-s., Kim, I.S., and Lee, S.-T. (2005) Silvimonas
terrae gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel chitin-degrading facultative anaerobe belonging to the
'Betaproteobacteria'. *International journal of systematic and evolutionary microbiology* **55**:
2329-2332.
Zachara, J.M., Long, P.E., Bargar, J., Davis, J.A., Fox, P., Fredrickson, J.K. et al. (2013)
Persistence of uranium groundwater plumes: Contrasting mechanisms at two DOE sites in
the groundwater–river interaction zone. *Journal of contaminant hydrology* **147**: 45-72.
Zwart, G., Crump, B.C., Kamst-van Agterveld, M.P., Hagen, F., and Han, S.-K. (2002) Typical
freshwater bacteria: an analysis of available 16S rRNA gene sequences from plankton of
lakes and rivers. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* **28**: 141-155.
769
770

FIGURES AND TABLES.

Figure 1. Changes in aqueous physicochemistry through time in each geographic zone are

presented in Figure 1. In the nearshore and inland zones, (A) Cl⁻ concentration was higher and

(B) NPOC concentration was lower at and beyond our July 22 sampling event, indicating

groundwater discharging conditions from July 22 onward. Temperature (C) followed a smooth

temporal trajectory in the nearshore and river zones and was comparatively stable in the inland

zone. Lowess smoothers are plotted in panels (A) and (B) and quadratic regressions are plotted in

(C) to aid in visualization. Data from nearshore, inland, and river zones are plotted with open,

gray, and black circles, respectively. River stage dynamics are presented in Figure S1.

Figure 2. Regressions between the abundance of selected clusters and day of year (column 1),

Cl⁻ concentration (column 2), non-purgable organic carbon (NPOC) (column 3), and temperature

(column 4). Nearshore attached cluster 1 is presented in row 1 (panels A-D), nearshore

planktonic cluster 9 is in row 2 (E-H), inland planktonic cluster 3 is in row 3 (I-L), inland

planktonic cluster 5 is in row 4 (M-P), and river cluster 2 is in row 5 (Q-T).

Figure 3. The abundance of attached cluster 1 was positively associated with (A) active

microbial biomass and (B) aerobic respiration. Class-level analyses are presented here; family

level-analyses are presented in Figure S2.

Figure 4. Network topology of clusters of organisms that correlated with time, Cl⁻, NPOC,

temperature, ATP, and/or Raz (see Figure 3) are presented in (A) nearshore attached cluster 1,

(B) nearshore planktonic cluster 9, (D) inland planktonic cluster 3, (E) inland cluster 5, and (E)

river cluster 2. Edge thickness denotes the strength of Spearman's correlation between two nodes

(ranging from 0.6 to 1.0), and keystone taxa are denoted as black nodes with labels. All other

nodes are numbered according to Table S4, and BC values for all nodes are also listed in table

S4. Solid edges represent positive correlations and dashed edges represent negative correlations.

Figure 5. Conceptual diagrams depicting (A) influences of assembly processes on micriobiomes

in our system and (B) microbiome shifts associated with hydrologic change in the nearshore

hyporheic zone. In (A), hydrologic processes transport microorganisms from two communities to

a third location. Each community consists of largely distinct organisms denoted by color (red vs.

yellow), but contains a small proportion of similar organisms represented by the blue organism

common to both dispersing communities. The establishment of dispersing organisms in the third

location (purple zone) is strongly impacted by selective filters that limit successful immigration

of organisms across environments. The local environment therefore selects for a unique

microbiome (purple organisms) with the exception of a blue organism common to all three

communities. Panel (B) depicts changes in microbiomes from heterotrophic to autotrophic

metabolisms as hydrologic mixing conditions shift from surface water-dominated to

groundwater-dominated. Mixing conditions are displayed as the background color, with green

indicating surface water and blue indicating groundwater. Heterotrophic organisms are displayed

in red, and autotrophic organisms are displayed in yellow.

823 **Table 1.** Phyla and classes of high abundance microorganisms are listed in Table 1.

Phyla

Nearshore attached		Nearshore planktonic		Inland attached		Inland planktonic		River planktonic	
Proteobacteria	34.82%	Proteobacteria	29.35%	Proteobacteria	60.66%	Proteobacteria	27.66%	Bacteroidetes	28.82%
Bacteroidetes	19.12%	Bacteroidetes	17.38%	Unassigned	8.13%	Actinobacteria	17.32%	Actinobacteria	23.35%
Planctomycetes	13.74%	Actinobacteria	14.67%	Planctomycetes	5.27%	OP3	12.91%	Proteobacteria	23.31%
Verrucomicrobia	11.91%	Verrucomicrobia	8.16%	Acidobacteria	4.41%	Actinobacteria	7.45%	Verrucomicrobia	10.07%
Acidobacteria	6.03%	Planctomycetes	6.68%	Crenarchaeota	4.07%	Bacteroidetes	5.98%	Planctomycetes	5.18%
Crenarchaeota	2.68%	Unassigned	5.13%	Verrucomicrobia	2.93%	Planctomycetes	4.43%	Cyanobacteria	4.66%
Unassigned	2.50%	Acidobacteria	4.11%	Actinobacteria	2.76%	Crenarchaeota	4.00%	Acidobacteria	1.37%
Actinobacteria	1.59%	OP3	3.15%	Unassigned	2.44%	Verrucomicrobia	2.87%	Armatimonadetes	7.51%
Nitrospirae	1.56%	Cyanobacteria	2.44%	Nitrospirae	2.29%	Acidobacteria	2.58%	Unassigned	6.08%
Chloroflexi	1.28%	Crenarchaeota	2.10%	Chloroflexi	1.72%	Nitrospirae	2.17%	Chloroflexi	5.40%
Remainder	4.76%	Remainder	6.83%	Remainder	5.32%	Remainder	12.65%	Remainder	1.35%

Class

Nearshore attached		Nearshore planktonic		Inland attached		Inland planktonic		River planktonic	
Gammaproteobacteria	12.49%	Actinobacteria	12.06%	Betaproteobacteria	30.50%	Unassigned	17.32%	Actinobacteria	21.19%
Planctomycetia	12.07%	Betaproteobacteria	10.22%	Gammaproteobacteria	17.78%	Betaproteobacteria	8.68%	Betaproteobacteria	10.95%
Betaproteobacteria	9.20%	Alphaproteobacteria	9.98%	Alphaproteobacteria	9.40%	Alphaproteobacteria	7.71%	Alphaproteobacteria	9.80%
Alphaproteobacteria	9.11%	Saprospirae	5.81%	Planctomycetia	4.37%	PBS-25	7.34%	Flavobacteriia	9.46%
Pedosphaerae	6.93%	Planctomycetia	5.18%	Thaumarchaeota	4.07%	Actinobacteria	6.41%	Saprospirae	9.45%
Saprospirae	5.79%	Unassigned	5.13%	Chloracidobacteria	3.09%	Gammaproteobacteria	5.53%	Sphingobacteriia	5.94%
Flavobacteriia	5.05%	Flavobacteriia	4.73%	Unassigned	2.44%	koll11	5.52%	Planctomycetia	4.55%
Cytophagia	4.15%	Gammaproteobacteria	4.44%	Deltaproteobacteria	2.35%	Deltaproteobacteria	5.45%	Synechococcophycideae	4.10%
Chloracidobacteria	3.84%	Deltaproteobacteria	4.42%	Saprospirae	2.29%	Thaumarchaeota	3.85%	Cytophagia	3.77%
Deltaproteobacteria	3.81%	Sphingobacteriia	4.01%	Nitrospira	2.29%	Planctomycetia	2.59%	Opitutae	3.65%
Remainder	27.54%	Remainder	34.02%	Remainder	21.43%	Remainder	29.58%	Remainder	17.15%

824
825

828   **Table 2.** Assembly processes influencing microbiome composition are listed as percentage of

829   assembly mechanisms in Table 2.

	Variable Selection	Homogeneous Selection	Total Selection	Dispersal Limitation	Homogenizing Dispersal	Undominated	Total Stochasticity
Within Geographic Zone							
Nearshore attached	0	100	100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
Nearshore planktonic	6.8	52.9	59.8	6.6	14.9	18.7	40.2
Inland attached	0.4	82.1	82.5	1.7	1.7	14.0	17.5
Inland planktonic	14.3	21.5	35.7	22.1	7.2	35.6	64.3
River planktonic	0	95.5	95.5	0.0	3.0	1.5	4.5
Across Geographic Zones							
Inland-to-nearshore planktonic	91.96	0	91.96	1.79	1.79	4.46	8.04
Nearshore-to-river planktonic	34.84	29.18	64.02	2.83	15.3	17.85	35.98
Inland-to-river planktonic	51.17	6.33	57.5	26.76	1.64	14.1	42.5

830
831