INFERENCE OF CELL TYPE COMPOSITION FROM HUMAN BRAIN TRANSCRIPTOMIC DATASETS ILLUMINATES THE EFFECTS OF AGE, MANNER OF DEATH, DISSECTION, AND PSYCHIATRIC DIAGNOSIS

*Megan Hastings Hagenauer, Ph.D.[1], Jun Z. Li, Ph.D.[2], David M. Walsh, Psy.D.[3], Marquis P. Vawter, Ph.D.[3] Robert C. Thompson, Ph.D.[1], Cortney A. Turner, Ph.D.[1], William E. Bunney, M.D.[3], Richard M. Myers, Ph.D.[4], Jack D. Barchas, M.D.[5], Alan F. Schatzberg, M.D.[6], Stanley J. Watson, M.D., Ph.D.[1], Huda Akil, Ph.D.[1]

[1]Mol. Behavioral Neurosci. Inst., Univ. of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; [2]Genet., Univ. of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; [3]Univ. of California, Irvine, CA; [4]HudsonAlpha Inst. for Biotech., Huntsville, AL, USA; [5]Stanford, Palo Alto, CA, [6]Cornell, New York, NY, USA

*Corresponding Author: Megan Hastings Hagenauer, Ph.D.

e-mail: *hagenaue@umich.edu*

Molecular Behavioral Neuroscience Institute (MBNI)

205 Zina Pitcher Pl.

Ann Arbor, MI 48109

Abstract

Most neuroscientists would agree that psychiatric illness is unlikely to arise from pathological changes that occur uniformly across all cells in a given brain region. Despite this fact, the majority of transcriptomic analyses of the human brain to date are conducted using macro-dissected tissue due to the difficulty of conducting single-cell level analyses on donated post-mortem brains. To address this issue statistically, we compiled a database of several thousand transcripts that were specifically-enriched in one of 10 primary brain cell types identified in published single cell type transcriptomic experiments. Using this database, we predicted the relative cell type composition for 157 human dorsolateral prefrontal cortex samples using Affymetrix microarray data collected by the Pritzker Neuropsychiatric Consortium, as well as for 841 samples spanning 160 brain regions included in an Agilent microarray dataset collected by the Allen Brain Atlas. These predictions were generated by averaging normalized expression levels across the transcripts specific to each primary cell type to create a "cell type index". Using this method, we determined that the expression of cell type specific transcripts identified by different experiments, methodologies, and species clustered into three main cell type groups: neurons, oligodendrocytes, and astrocytes/support cells. Overall, the principal components of variation in the data were largely explained by the neuron to glia ratio of the samples. When comparing across brain regions, we were able to statistically identify canonical cell type signatures – increased endothelial cells and vasculature in the choroid plexus, oligodendrocytes in the corpus callosum, astrocytes in the central glial substance, neurons and immature cells in the dentate gyrus, and oligodendrocytes and interneurons in the globus pallidus.

The relative balance of these cell types was influenced by a variety of demographic, pre- and post-mortem variables. Age and prolonged hypoxia around the time of death were associated with decreased neuronal content and increased astrocytic and endothelial content in the tissue, replicating the known higher vulnerability of neurons to adverse conditions and illustrating the proliferation of vasculature in a hypoxic environment. We also found that the red blood cell content was reduced in individuals who died in a manner that involved systemic blood loss. Finally, statistically accounting for cell type improved both the sensitivity and interpretability of diagnosis effects within the data. We were able to observe a decrease in astrocytic content in subjects with Major Depressive Disorder, mirroring what had been previously observed morphometrically. By including a set of "cell type indices" in a larger model examining the relationship between gene expression and neuropsychiatric illness, we were able to successfully detect almost twice as many genes with previously-identified relationships to bipolar disorder and schizophrenia than using more traditional analysis methods.

1. Introduction

The human brain is a remarkable mosaic of diverse cell types stratified into rolling cortical layers, arching white matter highways, and interlocking deep nuclei. In the past decade, we have come to recognize the importance of this cellular diversity in even the most basic neural circuits. At the same time, we have developed the capability to comprehensively measure the thousands of molecules essential for cell function. These insights have provided conflicting priorities within the study of psychiatric illness: do we carefully examine individual molecules within their cellular and anatomical context or do we dissect larger tissue samples in order to extract sufficient transcript or protein to perform full unbiased transcriptomic or proteomic analyses? In rodent models, researchers have escaped this dilemma by a boon of new technology: single cell laser capture, cell culture, and cell-sorting techniques that can provide sufficient extract for transcriptomic and proteomic analyses. However, single cell analyses of the human brain are far more challenging (1–3) – live tissue is only available in the rarest of circumstances (such as temporal lobe resection) and high quality post-mortem tissue is precious, especially tissue donated by the families of individuals with rare psychiatric or neurological disorders.

Therefore, to date, the vast majority of unbiased transcriptomic analyses of the human brain have been conducted using macro-dissected, cell-type heterogeneous tissue. They have provided us with novel hypotheses (e.g., (4,5)), but researchers who work with the data often report frustration with the relatively small number of candidate molecules that survive analyses using their painstakingly-collected samples, as well as the overwhelming challenge of interpreting molecular results in isolation from their

respective cellular context. At the core of this issue is the inability to differentiate

between (1) alterations in gene expression that reflect an overall disturbance in the

relative ratio of the different cell types comprising the tissue sample, and (2) intrinsic

dysregulation of one or more cell types, indicating perturbed biological function.

In this manuscript, we present results from an easily accessible solution to this

problem that allows researchers to statistically estimate the relative number or

transcriptional activity of particular cell types in macro-dissected human brain

microarray data by tracking the collective rise and fall of previously identified cell type

specific transcripts. Similar techniques have been used to successfully predict cell type

content in human blood samples (6–9), as well as diseased and aged brain samples

(10–12). Our method was specifically designed for application to large, highly-

normalized human brain transcriptional profiling datasets, such as those commonly

used by neuroscientific research bodies such as the Pritzker Neuropsychiatric Research

Consortium and the Allen Brain Institute.

We took advantage of a series of newly available data sources depicting the

transcriptome of known cell types, and applied them to infer the relative balance of cell

types in our tissue samples in a semi-supervised fashion. We draw from seven large

studies detailing cell-type specific gene expression in a wide variety of cells in the

forebrain and cortex (2,13–18). Our analyses include all major categories of cortical cell

types (17), including two overarching categories of neurons that have been implicated in

psychiatric illness (19): projection neurons, which are large, pyramidal, and

predominantly excitatory, and interneurons, which are small and predominantly

inhibitory (20). These are accompanied by the three prevalent forms of glia that make

up the majority of cells in the brain: oligodendrocytes, which provide the insulating

myelin sheath that enhances electrical transmission in axons (21), astrocytes, which

help create the blood-brain barrier and provide structural and metabolic support for

neurons, including extracellular chemical and electrical homeostasis, signal

propagation, and response to injury (21), and microglia, which serve as the brain's

resident macrophages and provide an active immune response (21). We also

incorporate structural and vascular cell types: endothelial cells, which line the interior

surface of blood vessels, and mural cells (smooth muscle cells and pericytes), which

regulate blood flow (22). Progenitor cells may be less prevalent in the aging human

brain, but are widely regarded as important for the pathogenesis of mood disorders (23),

and thus were also included in our analysis. Within the cortex, these cells mostly take

the form of immature oligodendrocytes (17). Finally, the primary cells found in blood,

erythrocytes or red blood cells (RBCs), carry essential oxygen throughout the brain.

These cells do not contain a cell nucleus and do not generate new RNA, but still contain

an existing, highly-specialized transcriptome (24). The relative presence of these cells

could arguably represent overall blood flow, the functional marker of regional neural

activity traditionally used in human imaging studies.

To characterize the balance of these cell types in psychiatric samples, we first

compare the predictive value of cell type specific transcripts identified by diverse data

sources and then summarize their collective predictions of relative cell type balance into

covariates that can be used in larger linear regression models. We demonstrate that

statistically estimating the relative cell type balance of samples can explain a large

percentage of the variation in human brain microarray datasets. We also find that the

incorporation of a set of "cell type indices" into a larger regression model can

successfully predict other cell type-enriched gene expression as well as known changes

in cell type balance in response to age, aerobic environment, large scale blood loss,

and dissection. Finally, we demonstrate that this method enhances our ability to

discover and interpret psychiatric effects in human brain microarray datasets,

uncovering known changes in cell type balance in relationship to major depressive

disorder and increasing our sensitivity to detect genes with previously-identified

relationships to bipolar disorder and schizophrenia.

2. Results

2.1 Compiling a Database of Cell Type Specific Transcripts

To perform this analysis, we compiled a database of several thousand transcripts

that were specifically-enriched in one of nine primary brain cell types within seven

published single-cell or purified cell type transcriptomic experiments for mammalian

brain tissues (2,13–18) (**Suppl. Table 1**). These primary brain cell types included six

types of support cells: astrocytes, endothelial cells, mural cells, microglia, immature and

mature oligodendrocytes, as well as two broad categories of neurons (interneurons and

projection neurons) and neurons in general. The experimental and statistical methods

for determining whether a transcript was enriched in a particular cell type varied by

publication (**Figure 1**), and included both RNA-Seq and microarray datasets. We

focused on cell-type specific transcripts identified using cortical or forebrain samples

because the data available for these brain regions was more plentiful than for the deep

nuclei or the cerebellum. In addition, we artificially generated a list of 17 transcripts

specific to erythrocytes (red blood cells or RBC) by searching Gene Card for erythrocyte

and hemoglobin-related genes (http://www.genecards.org/). In all, we curated gene

expression signatures for 10 cell types expected to account for most of the cells in the

brain.

Most of the cell-type specific transcripts were derived from microarray

experiments using cDNA extracted from laboratory mice, therefore in order to use this

information for the analysis of human microarray data it was necessary to identify the

respective orthologs for the cell type specific transcripts in humans using HCOP:

Orthology Prediction Search (http://www.genenames.org/cgi-bin/hcop). Our final

database included 2499 unique human-derived or orthologous transcripts, with a focus

on coding varieties.

Citation	Cell Origin	Method	Stringency	Derived Cortical Cell Type Indices	Transcripts/Orthologs
Cahoy et al., *J Neuro,* 2008.	Forebrain of young transgenic mice	Fluorescent cell sorting using antibodies to deplete non-specific cell types followed by Affymetrix microarray	>20 Fold Enrichment	Astrocyte_All	73
				Neuron_All	80
				Oligodendrocyte_All	50
Zhang et al., *J Neuro,* 2014	Cortex of young transgenic mice	Fluorescent cell sorting using antibodies to deplete non-specific cell types followed by RNAseq	Top 40 transcripts with >20 Fold Enrichment	Astrocyte_All	40
				Endothelial_All	40
				Microglia_All	40
				Mural_Pericyte	40
				Neuron_All	40
				Oligodendrocyte_Myelinating	40
				Oligodendrocyte_Newly-Formed	39
				Oligodendrocyte_Progenitor Cell	40
Zeisel et al., *Science,* 2015	Somatosensory cortex and CA1 hippocampus of juvenile mice	Unbiased capture of single cells from whole tissue cell suspension followed by RNAseq	Enriched with 99.9% posterior probability	Astrocyte_All	240
				Endothelial_All	353
				Microglia_All	436
				Mural_All	155
				Neuron_Interneuron	365
				Neuron_Pyramidal_Cortical	294
				Oligodendrocyte_All	453
Darmanis et al., PNAS, 2015	Anterior temporal lobe resected from adult human epileptic patients and cortex from fetuses 16-18 wks postgestation.	Unbiased capture of single cells from whole tissue cell suspension followed by RNAseq	Top 20 enriched transcripts	Astrocyte_All	21
				Endothelial_All	21
				Microglia_All	21
				Neuron_All	21
				Oligodendrocyte_Mature	21
				Oligodendrocyte_Progenitor Cell	21
Doyle et al., *Cell,* 2008	Cortex, Striatum, Cerebellum, Spinal Cord, Basal Forebrain, and Brain Stem of young transgenic mice	Capture of translated mRNA from specific cell types labeled in transgenic mice using translating ribosome affinity purification (TRAP) followed by microarray.	Top 25 enriched transcripts determined by iterative rank comparisons	Astrocyte_All	25
				Neuron_CorticoSpinal	25
				Neuron_CorticoStriatal	25
				Neuron_CorticoThalamic	25
				Neuron_Interneuron_CORT	25
				Neuron_Neuron_CCK	25
				Neuron_Neuron_PNOC	24
				Oligodendrocyte_All	25
				Oligodendrocyte_Mature	25
Daneman et al., *PLOS,* 2010	Cortex of young transgenic mice	Fluorescent cell sorting using antibodies to deplete non-specific cell types followed by Affymetrix microarray	>20 Fold enrichment for endothelial, >8 fold enrichment for vasculature	Endothelial_All	49
				Mural_Vascular	50
Sugino et al., *Nature Neuro,* 2006	Cingulate and Somatosensory Cortices, Basolateral Amygdala, CA1-CA3 Hippocampus, and Dorsal Lateral Geniculate Nucleus of the Thalamus of transgenic mice	Hand-sorting fluorescently-labeled cells followed by amplification and Affymetrix microarray	Enriched with p< 1.5E-11	Neuron_GABA	32
				Neuron_Glutamate	67
Gene card	Human	Erythrocyte-related genes	Unknown	RBC_All	17

Figure 1. Thousands of transcripts have been identified as specifically-enriched in particular cortical cell types within published single-cell or purified cell type transcriptomic experiments. The experimental and statistical methods for determining whether a transcript was enriched in a cell type varied by publication, and included both RNA-Seq and microarray datasets.

2.2 Using Cell Type Specific Transcripts to Predict Relative Cell Content in

Microarray Data from Macro-Dissected Human Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex

Tissue

Next, we examined the collective variation in the levels of cell type specific

transcripts in an Affymetrix microarray dataset from 157 high-quality human post-

mortem dorsolateral prefrontal cortex samples (**Suppl. Table 2**), including tissue from

subjects without a psychiatric or neurological diagnosis ("Controls", n=71), or diagnosed

with Major Depressive Disorder ("MDD", n=40), Bipolar Disorder ("BP", n=24), or

Schizophrenia ("Schiz", n= 22). The severity and duration of physiological stress at the

time of death was estimated by calculating an agonal factor score for each subject

(ranging from 0-4, with 4 representing severe physiological stress; (25,26)). Additionally,

we measured the pH of cerebellar tissue as an indicator of the extent of oxygen

deprivation experienced around the time of death (25,26) and calculated the interval

between the estimated time of death and the freezing of the brain tissue (the

postmortem interval or PMI) using coroner records.

To predict the relative cell content in each of the samples, we used a technique

validated using datasets from purified cell types and artificial cell mixtures

(**Supplementary Methods and Results, Suppl. Figs 1-4**). We identified 2678 gene

probe sets in the Affymetrix dataset that were found in our curated database of cell type

specific transcripts as matched by official gene symbol. We centered and scaled the

expression level of each gene probeset across samples (mean=0, sd=1) to prevent

probe sets with more variable hybridization signal from exerting disproportionate

influence, and then, for each sample, averaged this value across the transcripts

identified in each publication as specific to a particular cell type. This created 38 cell

type signatures derived from the cell type specific genes identified by the eight

publications (***"Cell Type Indices"* , Figure 1)**, each of which predicted the relative

content for one of the 10 primary cell types in our cortical samples (**Figure 2).**

A.

B.

Figure 2. Predicting the relative cell type balance in human brain samples using

genes previously-identified as having cell type specific expression. A. We

compiled a database of genes that were identified in previous publications as

specifically enriched in particular forebrain or cortical cell types. Within these

publications, researchers purified particular brain cell types or individual brain cells and

transcriptionally profiled them using microarray or RNA-Seq. They then performed

statistical comparisons across the purified brain cell types to determine which

transcripts showed relatively elevated expression in each cell type. **B.** Within the

Pritzker brain tissue samples, we expected variable cell type balance that would

influence the pattern of gene expression measured by microarray. To estimate this

variability, we pulled out the microarray data for probe sets representing genes that had

been previously identified as having cell type specific expression and then averaged

across the transcripts identified in each publication **(Figure 1)** as specific to a particular

cell type to create 38 different ***"Cell Type Indices"*** that predicted relative cell content in

each of the cortical samples.

2.3 There is a Strong Convergence of Cell Content Predictions Derived from Cell

Type Specific Transcripts Originating from Different Publications

We found that the predicted cell content of the prefrontal cortex samples was

relatively similar regardless of the origin of the cell type specific gene lists used to

create the predictions. When comparing the pattern of correlations between the 38 cell

type indices, they clearly cluster into three large umbrella categories: Neurons,

Oligodendrocytes, and Support Cells (Astrocytes, Microglia, and Neurovasculature*)*

even when the cell type signatures were derived from cell type specific gene lists from

different source publications, species, and methodologies. This clustering was clear

using visual inspection of the correlation matrix (**Figure 3)**, hierarchical clustering, or

consensus clustering (**Suppl. Figure 5;** ConsensusClusterPlus: (27))**.** Moreover, the

clustering was not due to the different publications identifying a similar subset of cell-

type specific genes, because the clustering persisted in a follow-up analysis in which

data from genes identified as cell type specific in multiple publications (e.g.,

Cahoy_Astrocyte and Zhang_Astrocyte) were removed list wise from the dataset

(Suppl. Figure 6 & 7). Clustering was not able to reliably discern neuronal

subcategories (interneurons, projection neurons) or support cell subcategories.

Oligodendrocyte progenitor cell indices derived from different publications did not

strongly correlate with each other, which may indicate a lack of significant presence of

progenitor cells in the cortex of our primarily middle-aged subjects.

Figure 3. There is a convergence of cell content predictions derived from cell type

specific transcripts originating from different publications. A-B. There was a

strong positive correlation between predictions originating from *"cell type indices"*

representing the same cell type (e.g., neurons vs. neurons, oligodendrocytes vs.

oligodendrocytes…) in our dorsolateral prefrontal cortical samples, even when the

predictions were based on cell type specific transcripts identified by experiments using

very different methodology. The examples given below include predictions based on cell

type specific transcripts identified in the mouse (x-axis) vs. human (y-axis). **C.** There

was a strong negative correlation between predictions originating from *"cell type*

indices" representing very dissimilar cell types, such as neurons and astrocytes. **D.** The

similarity of different cell type indices can be visualized using a correlation matrix. Within

this matrix, correlations can range from a strong negative correlation of -1 (blue) to a

strong positive correlation of 1 (red), therefore a large block of pink/red correlations is

indicative of cell type indices that tend to be enriched in the same samples. The axis

labels for cell type indices representing the same category of cell are color-coded:

general neuronal categories are dark purple, pyramidal neurons are red, inhibitory

interneurons are dark blue, astrocytes are light purple, endothelial cells are orange,

mural cells are yellow, microglia are green, mature oligodendrocytes are pink, and the

remaining indices remain white to represent lack of coherent categorization. The

number of probes included in each index is present in the far left column (also color-

coded, with green indicating few probes and red indicating many probes).

2.4 Inferred Cell Type Composition Explains a Large Percentage of the Sample-

Sample Variability in Microarray Data from Macro-Dissected Cortical Tissue

For further analyses, individual cell type indices were averaged within each of ten

primary categories: astrocytes, endothelial cells, mural cells, microglia, immature and

mature oligodendrocytes, red blood cells, interneurons, projection neurons, and indices

derived from neurons in general, with any transcripts that overlapped between

categories removed **(Suppl. Figure 8).** This led to ten consolidated primary cell-type

indices for each sample. Using these consolidated cell type indices and principal

components analysis, we found that the first principal component, which encompassed

23% of the variation in the full Pritzker dorsolateral prefrontal cortex microarray dataset,

spanned from samples with high support cell content to samples with high neuronal

content. Therefore, a large percentage of the variation in PC1 (91%) was accounted for

by an average of the astrocyte and endothelial indices ($p<2.2E-82$, with a respective r-

squared of 0.80 and 0.75 for each index analyzed separately) or by the general neuron

index ($p<6.3E-32$, r-squared=0.59; **Figure 4**). The second notable gradient in the

dataset (PC2) encompassed 12% of the variation overall, and spanned samples with

high projection neuron content to samples with high oligodendrocyte content (with a

respective r-squared of 0.62 and 0.42, and respective p-values of $p<8.5E-35$ and

$p<8.7E-20$). In general, none of the original 38 individual cell type indices were

noticeably superior to the indices that were averaged by primary cell type for predicting

the principal components of variation in the dataset, although the variation in PC1 was

slightly better accounted for by the general neuron index derived from ((13), r-

squared=0.62) and the variation in PC2 was best accounted for by the cortical

pyramidal neuron index (r-squared=0.65) and oligodendrocyte index (r-squared=0.57)

derived from (17). Human-derived indices did not outperform mouse-derived indices,

and indices derived from studies using stricter definitions of cell type specificity (fold

enrichment cut-off in **Figure 1,** *e.g.,* (13) vs. (17)) did not outperform less strict indices.

To investigate whether the strong relationship between the top principal

components of variation in our dataset and cell type composition indices originated

artificially due to cell type specific genes representing a large percentage of the most

highly variable transcripts in the dataset, we performed principal components analysis

after excluding all cell type specific transcripts from the dataset and still found these

strong correlations (**Suppl. Figure 9**). Indeed, individual cell type indices better

accounted for the main principal components of variation in the microarray data than all

other major subject variables combined (pH, Agonal Factor, PMI, Age, Gender,

Diagnosis, Suicide; *PC1:* R-squared=0.4272, *PC2:* R-squared=0.2176). When

examining the dataset as a whole, the six subject variables accounted for an average of

only 12% of the variation for any particular probe (R-squared, Adj.R-squared=0.0715),

whereas just the astrocyte and projection neuron indices alone were able to account for

17% (R-squared, Adj.R-squared=0.1601) and all 10 cell types accounted for an average

of 31% (R-squared, Adj.R-squared=0.263), almost one third of the variation present in

the data for any particular probe (**Suppl. Figure 10**). These results suggested that

accounting for cell type balance was highly important for the interpretation of microarray

data and could improve the signal-to-noise ratio in analyses aimed at identifying

psychiatric risk genes.

Figure 4. Cell content predictions explain a large percentage of the variability in

microarray data derived from the human cortex. The first principal component of

variation (PC1) encompassed 23% of the variation in the dataset, and was **A)** positively

correlated with predicted "support cell" content in the samples (a combination of the

astrocyte and endothelial indices: r-squared: 0.91, p<2.2E-82) and **B)** negatively

correlated with predicted neuronal content (r-squared=0.59, p<6.3E-32). The second

principal component of variation (PC2) encompassed 12% of variation in the dataset,

and was **C)** positively correlated with predicted oligodendrocyte content in the samples

(r-squared: 0.42, p<8.7E-20) and **D)** negatively correlated with predicted projection

neuron content (r-squared: 0.62, p<8.5E-35).

2.5 Cell Type Indices Predict Other Genes Known to Be Cell Type Enriched

To identify other transcripts important to cell type specific functions in the human

cortex, we ran a linear model on the signal from each gene probeset in the Pritzker

prefontal cortex microarray dataset that included each of the ten consolidated primary

cell type indices as well as six co-variates traditionally included in the analysis of human

brain gene expression data (pH, Agonal Factor, PMI, Age, Gender, Diagnosis; *Equation*

1 in **Figure 5**). On average, this model explained 35% of the variation in the data (R^2).

Shown in **Figure 6** are the most significant 10 gene probe sets positively associated

with each cell type while controlling for the other cell types and co-variates within the

model. Additional gene probe sets and statistical details can be found in **Suppl. Table**

4.

Dependent Variable: "Gene Expression" *(signal for each probe or probe set)*

	Eq.1	Eq.3	Eq.4	Eq.5	Eq.6	Eq.7	Eq.8
Variable of Interest: *Diagnosis or Psychiatric Illness*	X	X		X	X	X	X
Known Confounds: *Age, Brain pH, Agonal Factor, PMI, Gender*	X	X			X		X
The Most Prevalent Cell Types: *Astrocyte, Microglia, Oligodendrocyte, Neuron_Interneuron, Neuron_Projection*	X		X		X	X	X
Other Cell Types: *Neuron_All, Endothelial, Mural, Oligodendrocyte_Immature, RBC*	X		X				
Interaction Terms: *Variable of Interest*Cell Types*							X
Total # of Variables in the Model *(including intercept)*	17	7	11	2	12	7	17

△   ★★

Standard   **Best for Diagnosis Effects**

266
267 **Figure 5: Overview of the variables included in each model of gene expression**

268 **(Eq.1, Eq.3-Eq.8).**

General Linear Regression Model Format:

Gene Expression = β0 +β1*(Variable of Interest)+β2*(Variable 2)

+β3*(Variable 3)… + ε

Astrocyte	Endothelial	Microglia	Mural	Neuron_All	Neuron_ Projection	Neuron_ Interneuron	Mature Oligodendrocyte	Red Blood Cell (RBC)
NOTCH2	HLA-E	AIF1	TAGLN	VSNL1	PDE2A	TAC3	KLK6	HBD
SDC2	EPAS1	LAPTM5	MYL9	SYT1	USF2	SLC24A3	UGT8	HBB
NTRK2	CLCN7	IRF8	MYH11	SYNGR3	DGKZ	GAD1	MAG	PKLR
CLDN10	CLDN5	FCER1G	CNN1	NEFL	NUAK1	KIT	ELOVL1	PGC
FGFR3	PAK4	PTPRC	MGP	NRXN1	SLC38A7	GAD2	EVI2A	NA
APOE	MYOF	LAIR1	ACTA2	SNAP25	BEGAIN	ERBB4	PLLP	DKK4
EZR	ICAM2	LY86	TP53I11	BCL2L1	KIAA0182	LHX6	MOG	LIPE
SLC1A3	ABCB1	FPR1	COL18A1	MAPK1	KIF21B	SLC6A1	ASPA	SPDEF
CST3	GPR116	C3	TPM2	EEF1A2	PLXNA1	RELN	TF	C19orf57
MLC1	SDPR	ALOX5AP	CRABP1	MEF2C	SLC8A2	ARL4C	MAL	NA

Figure 6. The top 10 transcripts associated with each cell type index include

those previously-identified as cell type enriched in the literature. Transcripts are

identified by official gene symbol. Yellow labels identify transcripts included in the

original cell type index, orange transcripts were previously-identified as cell type

enriched in the literature but were not included in the original list of cell type specific

transcripts used to create the index. Additional transcripts and statistical details can be

found in **Supplementary Table 4.**

Many of the top gene probesets that we found to be related to each of the cell

type indices are already known to be associated with that cell type in previous

publications, validating our methodology. Importantly, this is true even when the genes

were not included in the original list of cell type specific genes used to generate the

index. For example, we found that *HLA-E* (*Major Histocompatibility Complex, Class I, E*)

and *EPAS1* (*endothelial PAS domain protein 1*) were both strongly associated with our

endothelial index, and both are known to be involved in endothelial cell activation (*HLA-*

E, in response to immune challenge: (28); *EPAS1*, in response to lack of oxygen: (29)).

NOTCH2 (*Notch 2*), one of the top astrocyte-related genes, promotes astrocytic cell

lineage (30), and *APOE* (*Apolipoprotein E*) is primarily secreted by astrocytes in the

central nervous system (31). One of the top interneuron genes, *LHX6* (*LIM Homeobox*

6), is specifically enriched in parvalbumin-containing interneurons in the human cortex

(2). Another top interneuron gene, *ERBB4* (*Erb-B2 Receptor Tyrosine Kinase 4*),

controls the development of GABA circuitry in the cortex (32). The top neuron-related

genes include several genes related to synaptic function (*SYT1* (*Synaptotagmin I*),

SYNGR3 (*Synaptogyrin 3*), *NRXN1* (*Neurexin 1*); http://www.genecards.org/). The top

projection neuron-related gene, *PDE2A* (*Phosphodiesterase 2A, CGMP-Stimulated*), is

preferentially expressed in cortical pyramidal neurons (33), and *KIF21B* (*Kinesin Family*

Member 21B) is a kinesin that has been found in the dendrites of pyramidal neurons

(34). We also rediscovered probesets representing genes that were listed as alternative

orthologs to those included in our original cell type specific gene lists (oligodendrocytes:

EVI2A vs.*CTD-2370N5.3*, microglia: *LAIR1* vs. *LAIR2*, mural cells: *COL18A1* vs.

COL15A1, *ACTA2* vs. *ACTG1*). Altogether, these results suggest that our cell type

indices were associated with the variability of transcripts in the cortex that represented

particular cell types and could re-identify known cell type specific markers.

2.6 Using Cell Type Specific Transcripts to Predict Cell Content in Microarray

Data for >840 Samples from 160 Human Brain Regions

For validation, we decided to also apply our cell type analysis to a large Agilent

microarray dataset (841 samples) spanning 160 cortical and subcortical brain regions

from the Allen Brain Atlas (**Suppl. Table 3;** (35)). This dataset included high-quality

tissue (absence of neuropathology, pH>6.7, PMI<31 hrs, RIN>5.5) from 6 human

subjects (36). The tissue samples were collected using a mixture of block dissection

and laser capture microscopy guided by adjacent tissue sections histologically stained

to identify traditional anatomical boundaries (37).

The 30,000 probes mapped onto 18,787 unique genes (as determined by gene

symbol). We found that 1608 of these genes were identified as having cell type specific

expression within our database. Then, using a procedure similar to that used for the

Pritzker prefrontal cortex dataset, we averaged the data from the cell-type specific

genes derived from each publication to predict the relative content of each of the 10

primary cell types in each sample.

2.7 Predicted Cell Content Accurately Reflects Regional Differences in Cell Type

Balance

To explore the generalizability of our method to non-cortical samples, we

examined the relative balance of each of the 10 primary cell types in all 160 brain

regions included in the Allen Brain Atlas microarray dataset. To do this, we used violin

plots, which are preferable for visualizing trends in data with small sample sizes (1-6

subjects per region). The results clearly indicated that our cell type analyses could

identify well-established differences in cell type balance across brain regions (**Figure 7**).

Within the choroid plexus, which is a villous structure located in the ventricles made up

of support cells (epithelium) and an extensive capillary network (38), there is an

enrichment of cells related to vasculature (endothelial cells, mural cells) and immunity

(microglia). In the corpus callosum, which is the primary myelinated fiber tract

connecting the cerebral hemispheres (38), there is an enrichment of oligodendrocytes

and microglia. The central glial substance is enriched with glia and support cells, with a

particular emphasis on astrocytes. The dentate gyrus, which is one of the only

neurogenic regions in the adult brain (39) and which contains the predominantly

glutamatergic granule cells projecting into the mossy fibre pathway (40), has an

enrichment of both immature-like cells and projection neurons. The internal segment of

the globus pallidus, which is highly GABA-ergic and named after its white matter

intrusions (38), was enriched for oligodendrocytes, astrocytes, and microglia, as well as

a prominent subset of interneurons. The relative cell content predictions for the other

brain regions can be found in **Suppl. Table 5.** Even though this analysis was based on

cell type specific genes identified in the forebrain and cortex, these results provide

fundamental validation that each of primary consolidated cell type indices is generally

tracking their respective cell type in subcortical structures.

Figure 7. Cell content predictions derived from microarray data capture canonical

regional differences in cell type balance. As an additional form of validation, we

applied our cell type analysis to a large Agilent microarray dataset (841 samples)

spanning 160 brain regions from the Allen Brain Atlas. Depicted below is the relative

average cell type specific expression for each subject (dot) for each of the 10 primary

cell type indices. A color-coded region encompasses the data cloud for each cell type.

Within the example brain regions, we are able to clearly identify canonical differences in

cell type balance, with black arrows indicating cell types that are known to be enriched

in that respective region. **A.** Within the choroid plexus there is an enrichment of cells

related to vasculature (endothelial cells, mural cells) and immunity (microglia). **B.** In the

corpus callosum there is an enrichment of oligodendrocytes and microglia. **C.** The

central glial substance is enriched with glia and support cells, with a particular emphasis

on astrocytes. **D.** The dentate gyrus has an enrichment of both immature cells and

projection neurons. **E.** The internal segment of the globus pallidus was enriched for

oligodendrocytes, astrocytes, and microglia, as well as a prominent subset of

interneurons. The relative cell content predictions for the other brain regions can be

found in **Suppl. Table 5.**

Similar to the Pritzker dataset, we outputted a table of the top genes associated

with each cell type (as assessed using the model in *Equation 4*, **Figure 5**). We found

that the results included a mixture of well-known cell type markers and novel findings

(Suppl. Figure 11; Suppl. Table 6). When this model was applied to the principal

components of variation in the dataset instead of the data for individual genes, we again

found that the main sources of variation in the dataset could be overwhelmingly

accounted for by cell type balance (*PC1:* F(10, 830)=1051, R^2=0.927, *p*<2.2e-16; *PC2:*

F(10, 830)=96.98, R^2=0.539, *p*<2.2e-16; *PC3:* F(10, 830)=133.2, R^2= 0.616, *p*<2.2e-16;

PC4: F(10, 830)=121.3, R^2= 0.594, *p*<2.2e-16), although the specific relationships

sometimes differed from what was seen in the prefrontal cortex (**Suppl. Figure 12**).

Overall, these results indicate that our method for statistically predicting cell content can

be a useful addition to the analysis of non-cortical as well as cortical data sets.

2.8 Cell Content Predictions Derived from Microarray Data Match Known

Relationships Between Clinical/Biological Variables and Brain Tissue Cell

Content

We next set out to observe the relationship between the predicted cell content of

our samples and a variety of medically-relevant subject variables, including variables

that had already been demonstrated to alter cell content in the brain in other paradigms

or animal models. To perform this analysis, we examined the relationship between

seven relevant subject variables and each of the ten cell type indices in the Pritzker

prefrontal cortex dataset using a linear model that allowed us to simultaneously control

for other likely confounding variables in the dataset:

Equation 2:

Cell Type Index= β0 +β1*(Brain pH)+β2*(Agonal Factor)

+β3*(PMI)+β4*(Age)+β5*(Sex)+β6*(Diagnosis)+ β7*(Exsanguination)+ ε

This analysis uncovered many well-known relationships between brain tissue cell

content and clinical or biological variables (**Figure 8)**. For example, we found that

subjects who died in a manner that involved exsanguination had a notably low red blood

cell index ($β$ =-0.398; p=0.00056; **Figure 8b**). The presence of prolonged hypoxia

around the time of death, as indicated by either low brain pH or high agonal factor

score, was associated with a large increase in the endothelial cell index (Agonal Factor:

$β$=0.118 p=2.85e-07; Brain pH: $β$=-0.210, p= 0.0003; **Figure 8c**) and astrocyte index

(Brain pH: $β$=-0.437, p=2.26e-07; Agonal Factor: $β$=0.071, p=0.024), matching previous

demonstrations of cerebral angiogenesis, endothelial and astrocyte activation and

proliferation in low oxygen environments (41). Small increases were also seen in the

mural index in response to low-oxygen (Mural vs. Agonal Factor: β= 0.0493493, p=

0.0286), most likely reflecting angiogenesis. In contrast, prolonged hypoxia was

associated with a clear decrease in all of the neuronal indices (Neuron_All vs. Agonal

Factor: β=-0.242, p=3.58e-09; Neuron_All vs. Brain pH: β=0.334, p=0.000982;

Neuron_Interneuron vs. Agonal Factor: β=-0.078, p=4.13E-05; Neuron_Interneuron vs.

Brain pH: β=0.102, p=0.034; Neuron_Projection vs. Agonal Factor: β=-0.096, p=

0.000188), mirroring the notorious vulnerability of neurons to low oxygen (e.g., (42);

Figure 8d). Finally, we saw a prominent increase in the microglia index in response to

low oxygen (Microglia vs. Agonal Factor: β= 0.122096, p= 0.0000181), paralleling

known activation of microglia in response to hypoxia (43,44), although we could find

little evidence in the literature for actual proliferation under hypoxic events (unlike other

injury). This lead us to wonder whether our microglial indices might largely reflect

reactive (vs. ramified) microglia since they were typically derived from experiments

performed on microglia in dissociated conditions. This possibility was at least partially

supported by the presence of many immune-related molecules in the original microglial

indices, including many of the interleukins, chemokines, and tumor necrosis factor.

Figure 8. Cell content predictions derived from microarray data match known

relationships between subject variables and brain tissue cell content. Boxplots

represent the median and interquartile range, with whiskers illustrating either the full

range of the data or 1.5x the interquartile range. **A.** A table illustrating the relationship

between cell types and subject variables ($p < 0.05$). General cell type categories showing

similar effects have been summarized together ("Support Cells": Astrocytes, Endothelial

Cells, Mural Cells, Microglia; "Neurons": Neuron_All, Neuron_Interneuron,

Neuron_Projection). Please note that lower pH and higher agonal factor are both

indicators of greater hypoxia prior to death, but have an inverted relationship and

therefore show opposing relationships with the cell type indices (e.g., when pH is low

and agonal factor is high, support cell content is increased). A more detailed table

illustrating the relationship between subject variables and each specific cell type can be

found in **Supplementary Figure 14. B.** Subjects that died in a manner that involved

exsanguination (n=14) had a notably low red blood cell index (β =-0.398; p=0.00056). **C.**

The presence of prolonged hypoxia around the time of death, as indicated by high

agonal factor score, was associated with a large increase in the endothelial cell index

(Agonal Factor: β=0.118 p=2.85e-07; Brain pH: β=-0.210, p= 0.0003) matching previous

demonstrations of cerebral angiogenesis, activation, and proliferation in low oxygen

environments (41). **D.** High agonal factor was also associated with a clear decrease in

neuronal indices (Neuron_All vs. Agonal Factor: β=-0.242, p=3.58e-09) mirroring the

vulnerability of neurons to low oxygen (42). **E.** Age was associated with a moderate

decrease in the neuronal indices (Neuron_Interneuron vs. Age: β=- -0.00291, p=

0.000956) which fits known decreases in grey matter density in the frontal cortex in

aging humans (45). **F.** Major Depressive Disorder was associated with a moderate

decrease in astrocyte index (β = -0.1326572, p= 0.0118), which fits what has been

observed morphometrically (46).

Age was associated with a moderate decrease in two of the neuronal indices

(Neuron_Interneuron vs. Age: β=- -0.00291, p= 0.000956; Neuron_Projection Neuron

vs. Age: β=- 0.00336, p=0.00505; **Figure 8e**), which fits known decreases in gray

matter density in the frontal cortex in aging humans (45), as well as age-related sub-

region specific decreases in frontal neuron numbers in primates (47) and rats (48).

However, in some regions of the prefrontal cortex, age-related decreases in grey matter

are primarily driven by synaptic atrophy instead of decreased cell number (49). This

raised the question of whether the decline in our neuronal cell indices with age was

being largely driven by the enrichment of genes related to synaptic function in the index.

To explore this possibility, we first evaluated the relationship between age and gene

expression while controlling for likely confounds using the signal data for all probesets in

the dataset (*Equation 3*, **Figure 5).** We used "DAVID: Functional Annotation Tool"

(//david.ncifcrf.gov/summary.jsp, (50,51) to identify the functional clusters that were

overrepresented by the genes included in our neuronal cell type indices (using the full

HT-U133A chip as background), and then determined the average effect of age (beta)

for the genes included in each of the 240 functional clusters (**Suppl. Table 7).** The vast

majority of these functional clusters showed a negative relationship with age on average

(**Suppl. Figure 13**). However, these functional clusters overrepresented

dendritic/axonal related functions, so we blindly chose 29 functional clusters that were

clearly related to dendritic/axonal functions and 41 functional clusters that seemed

distinctly unrelated to dendritic/axonal functions **(Suppl. Table 7).** Using this approach,

we found that transcripts from both classifications of functional clusters showed an

average decrease in expression with age (T(28)=-4.5612, *p*= 9.197e-05, T(40)=-2.7566,

p=0.008756, respectively), but the decrease was larger for transcripts associated with

dendritic/axonal-related functions (T(50.082)=2.3385, p= 0.02339, **Suppl. Figure 13**).

Based on this analysis, we conclude that synaptic atrophy could be partially driving age-

related effects on neuronal cell type indices in the human prefrontal cortex dataset but

are unlikely to fully explain the relationship.

Non-canonical relationships between subject variables and predicted cell content

can be found in **Figure 8a** and **Suppl. Figure 14.** One of the more prominent

unexpected effects was a large decrease in the oligodendrocyte index with longer post-

mortem interval (β= - 0.00749, p=0.000474). Upon further investigation, we found a

publication documenting a 52% decrease in the fractional anisotropy of white matter

with 24 hrs post-mortem interval as detected by neuroimaging (52), but to our

knowledge the topic is otherwise not well studied. This effect was accompanied by an

increase in two of the neuron indices (Neuron_All vs. PMI: β = 0.006997, p= 0.013509;

Neuron_Projection Neuron vs. PMI: β = 0.0070766, p=0.000164), and RBC index (β =

0.009612, p= 0.00721), for which we have no good explanation. We also saw an

increased mural index (β = 0.0950444, p= 0.00635) and endothelial index (β = 0.06917,

p= 0.042738) in females, which, combined with a trend towards increased RBC index

(p=0.08) seemed to suggest increased vascularization or meninges, but we could not

find any existing support for the hypothesis in the literature.

Overall, these results indicate that statistical predictions of the cell content of

samples effectively capture known biological changes in cell type balance, and imply

that within both chronic (age, sex) and acute conditions (agonal, PMI, pH) there is

substantial turbulence in the relative representation of different cell types. Thus, when

interpreting microarray data, it is as important to consider demography at the population

level as cellular functional regulation.

2.9 Cell Type Balance Changes in Response to Psychiatric Diagnosis

Of most interest to us were potential changes in cell type balance in relation to

psychiatric illness. In previous post-mortem morphometric studies, there was evidence

of glial loss in the prefrontal cortex of subjects with Major Depressive Disorder, Bipolar

Disorder, and Schizophrenia (reviewed in (53)). This decrease in glia, and particularly

astrocytes, was replicated experimentally in animals exposed to chronic stress (54), and

when induced pharmacologically, was capable of driving animals into a depressive-like

condition (54). Replicating the results of (46), we observed a moderate decrease in

astrocyte index in the prefrontal cortex of subjects with Major Depressive Disorder (β = -

0.1326572, p= 0.0118), but did not see similar changes in the brains of subjects with

Bipolar Disorder or Schizophrenia **(Figure 8f).** We did not see significant changes in

any of the other cell type indices in relationship to diagnosis.

2.10 Including Cell Content Predictions in the Analysis of Microarray Data

Improves the Detection of Diagnosis-Related Genes

Over the years, many researchers have been concerned that transcriptomic and

genomic analyses of psychiatric disease often produce non-replicable or contradictory

results and, perhaps more disturbingly, are typically unable to replicate well-

documented effects detected by other methods. We posited that this lack of sensitivity

and replicability might be partially due to cell type variability in the samples, especially

since such a large percentage of the principal components of variation in our samples

are explained by neuron to glia ratio. Therefore, we compiled a list of genes that had

previously documented relationships with psychiatric illness in particular cell types in the

human prefrontal cortex, as detected using in situ hybridization, immunocytochemistry,

or single-cell laser capture microscopy. These included several genes with a well-

documented downregulation in interneurons in relationship to schizophrenia or

psychosis (reviewed further in (19); *GAD1*: (55–57); *RELN*:(55); *SST*: (58), *SLC6A1*

(*GAT1*): (59), *PVALB*:(56)), and 25 genes recently shown to have highly altered

expression in pyramidal neurons in cortical layers 3 and 5 of subjects with

schizophrenia using single cell laser capture and microarray (1). We also considered

SYP, which encodes a protein decreased in projection neurons in subjects with

schizophrenia (reviewed further in (19); (60)) and *HTR2A*, which encodes a protein

increased in projection neurons in subjects who committed suicide (61). As further

validation, it seemed prudent to include genes that were known to have differential

expression in relationship with non-psychiatric variables in specific cells within the

prefrontal cortex as well. These included *CALB1* and *CALB2,* both of which encode

proteins in neurons that decrease with age (62).

We then examined our ability to detect these known relationships using models

of increasing complexity (**Figure 5**), including a simple base model containing just the

variable of interest (*Equation 5,* **Figure 5),** a model controlling for known confounds in

the dataset (pH, agonal factor, age, post-mortem interval, and sex, *Equation 3,* **Figure**

5) and a model controlling for known confounds as well as each of the 10 cell type

indices (*Equation 1*, **Figure 5**). Due to the multicollinearity present between the

variables included in *Equation 1*, we also used two models that only included the most

prevalent cell types (21) and avoided highly correlated categories. The first of these

models (*Equation 6,* **Figure 5**) included other major confounds as well, whereas the

second model excluded them (*Equation 7,* **Figure 5**).

We found that including predictions of cell type balance in our models assessing

the effect of diagnosis or age on the expression of our validation genes dramatically

improved model fit as assessed by Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) or Bayesian

Information Criterion (BIC), and a 27% reduction in residual standard error (**Figure 9,**

Suppl. Figure 15). These improvements were largest with the addition of the five most

prevalent cell types to the model; the addition of less common cell types produced

smaller gains. We also tried replacing the diagnosis term in our models with a more

general term representing presence or absence of a psychiatric condition because we

had found in the past that many of the genes that were associated with diagnosis in our

samples were altered across diagnostic categories. This replacement slightly improved

model fit in all versions of the analysis (Eq. 1, 3, 5-7).

Overall we found that adding predictions of cell type balance to our models

improved our ability to detect previously-documented relationships with diagnosis in the

Pritzker dataset (**Figure 9**). Prior to the addition of cell type to the model, we found that

only one of 32 genes with a previously documented relationship to diagnosis in

individual cells in the prefrontal cortex showed that relationship with a nominal $p<0.05$ in

our dataset (*Eq. 5: HTR2A, Eq.1: SLC6A1).* After including cell type balance in the

model, the relationship of three genes with diagnosis was now detectable (*SLC6A1,*

SST, COX7B; **Suppl. Figure 16)**. Overall, the number of validation genes showing the

same direction of effect as previously documented increased from 56% (18/32) to 68-

72% (23/32). Models that included a more general term for presence or absence of a

psychiatric condition performed even better (**Suppl. Figure 17)**. When using a basic

model (Eq. 5) or when controlling for known confounds (Eq. 3) only one out of 32

validation genes were associated with psychiatric illness (*SLC6A1*). However, once we

included predicted cell type balance (Eq. 1) five of the 32 validation genes showed a

diagnosis relationship ($p<0.05$, *SST, PVALB, LGALS1, MGST3, ACTR10*), and the

percentage of validation genes showing the same direction of effect as previously

documented increased from 34% (11/32) to 78% (25/32), a significant improvement as

indicated by Fisher's exact test (p=0.036). The use of forward/backward stepwise model

selection (using the R function stepwise{Rcmdr}, criterion=BIC) drawing from a pool of

variables that included diagnosis, general presence of a psychiatric illness, suicide,

known confounds, and all 10 cell types, was also successful at detecting several genes

in association with psychiatric illness (*SST, SLC6A1, MGST3, PCSK1*) and suicide

(*LGALS1*), but these results should be viewed more cautiously due to the known

presence of overfitting in stepwise procedures producing overly optimistic p-values.

Backward/forward stepwise selection was noticeably less sensitive and included

multiple false positives (incorrect direction of effect with a p<0.05). Both genes with a

previously documented relationship to age (*CALB1, CALB2*) had such strong age-

related effects in our dataset (p=4.84E-23, p=8.33E-08, respectively) that model

specification had little impact on their results (**Suppl. Figure 18)**.

Figure 9. More previously-identified diagnosis-related transcripts are successfully

detected by including cell content predictions in the analysis of microarray data.

A-D. We assessed the fit and sensitivity of five different types of models (arranged in

order of complexity) to signal data from 32 genes previously demonstrated to have

altered expression or protein content in particular cell types within the dorsolateral

prefrontal cortex in relationship to diagnosis (1,19,55–61). Improvements in model fit

were largest with the addition of the five most prevalent cell types to the model; the

addition of less common cell types produced smaller gains as demonstrated by **A.**

Diminishing reductions in AIC with additional model terms (AIC relative to the average

for each gene across models, +/-SE), **B.** Lowest BIC for a model that only included

psychiatric illness and the five most prevalent cortical cell types (BIC relative to the

average for each gene across models, +/-SE). **C.**The addition of cell type to the model

increased the percentage of genes showing the correct relationship with diagnosis or

psychiatric illness (with a nominal p<0.05) so that it now surpasses what would be

expected by random chance (dotted line: 0.05 (nominal p-value)*0.5 (chance of correct

direction of effect)). **D.** The addition of cell type to the model increased the percentage

of genes showing the correct direction of effect in relationship with diagnosis and

psychiatric illness so that it now surpasses what would be expected by random chance

(dotted line: 0.5). **E.** When analyzing the full dataset, the top 10 genes associated with

diagnosis or psychiatric illness in models that include cell content predictions include

genes previously identified in the literature indexed on PubMed. The dotted lines

illustrate the rate of overlap with the literature for 100 randomly selected genes from our

dataset for Schizophrenia or Bipolar Disorder (grey: 7/100 and 8/100, respectively), or

for psychiatric illness in general (dark grey: 15/100, search terms: "Schizophrenia",

"Bipolar", "Depression", "Anxiety", "Suicide"). **F.** The addition of cell type to the model

increased the percentage of genes showing the correct relationship with diagnosis or

psychiatric illness (with a nominal p<0.05) for top genes associated with diagnosis in

other types of studies: genome-wide association (63), convergent functional genomics

(64), and meta-analyses of macro-dissected microarray (65,66), so that the number of

genes showing the expected effects now surpasses what would be expected by random

chance (for microarray data: dark grey dotted line: the presence of the relationship and

correct direction of effect: 0.05 (nominal p-value)*0.5 (chance of correct direction of

effect), for other studies: light grey dotted line: 0.05 for presence of a relationship).

We found that adding predictions of cell type balance to our models also

improved our ability to detect altered gene expression associated with known genetic

risk loci as well as candidate genes identified by convergent functional genomics, and

even enhanced our ability to replicate previous findings from macro-dissected

microarray. For example, SZGene.org identified 38 top genes associated with genetic

risk loci for Schizophrenia (as reported in (63)), 31 of which were represented in our

dataset. Of these, six (19%) were found to have a significant relationship (p<0.05) with

either Schizophrenia or psychiatric illness in our dataset when controlling for known

confounds (Eq.3), whereas nine (29%) were related to either Schizophrenia or

psychiatric illness when controlling for known confounds and the most prevalent cell

types (*Eq. 6*; Fisher's exact test p=0.5541, **Suppl. Fig 19**). Similarly, out of the top 114

genes associated with Bipolar disorder using convergent functional genomics (64), 101

were represented in our dataset. Of these, only four (4%) had a significant relationship

(p<0.05) with either Bipolar Disorder or psychiatric illness in our dataset when

controlling for known confounds, whereas 11 (10.9%) were related to either Bipolar

Disorder or psychiatric illness in a model controlling for known confounds and the most

prevalent cell types (Fisher's exact test p=0.1047, **Suppl. Fig 20**). We had less success

identifying altered gene expression in association with the top MDD risk loci identified by

(67): out of the 18 genes associated with the 17 SNPs that reached genome-wide

significance in their joint analysis of three large sequencing datasets, 12 were

represented by probesets in our dataset. Only one of these was found to have a

significant relationship with psychiatric illness while accounting for confounds

(*SLC6A15*, ß=0.11708, p=0.0302), and no relationships were found when considering

confounds and prevalent cell types.

We expected that controlling for cell type would weaken our ability to replicate

the diagnosis effects observed in other microarray experiments performed on macro-

dissected prefrontal tissue, since any changes in cell type balance due to psychiatric

illness would be selectively ignored by our analysis. The opposite turned out to be true.

For example, (65) found that Bipolar disorder was strongly related to gene expression in

the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex data for 400 probes (FDR<0.05), 326 of which

represented genes included in our dataset. Of those, only 16 (4.9%) showed the same

direction of effect and a p<0.05 in a model including either diagnosis or psychiatric

illness and known confounds, whereas 24 (7.4%) showed the same direction of effect

and a p<0.05 if the model also included prevalent cell types (Fisher's exact test

p=0.2531, **Suppl. Fig 21).** Likewise, (66) found that 125 probes were consistently

associated with Schizophrenia in a large meta-analysis of microarray data derived from

macro-dissected prefrontal tissue, of which 111 were represented our data set. Of

these, eight (7%) showed the same direction of effect and p<0.05 in a model that

included either diagnosis or psychiatric illness and known confounds, whereas 13 (12%)

showed the same direction of effect and p<0.05 if the model also included the most

prevalent cell types (Fisher's exact test= 0.3593, **Suppl. Fig 22**). There was an increase

in the number of genes showing the correct direction of effect as well: 50% (psychiatric

illness) or 62% (diagnosis) when considering confounds vs. 68% (psychiatric illness) or

62% (diagnosis) when considering confounds and prevalent cell types. Altogether,

including the most prevalent cell types in our model significantly enhanced our ability to

detect relationships between gene expression and diagnosis-related genes identified by

a variety of techniques (Fisher's exact test: p=0.0221, **Figure 9F**).

2.11 The Top Diagnosis-Related Genes Identified by Models that Include Cell

Content Predictions Pinpoint Known Risk Candidates

Although the inclusion of predicted cell type balance in our model improved our

ability to detect previously-identified relationships with diagnosis, most relationships still

went undetected and none of the diagnosis relationships survived standard p-value

corrections for multiple comparisons when included in a full microarray analysis. This

could be due to a variety of factors, including microarray platform and probe sensitivity

as well as the possibility that other cell types in the dataset are showing effects in a

competing direction. Therefore, we decided to ask a complementary question: Of the

top diagnosis relationships that we see in our dataset, how many have been previously

observed in the literature? If including predicted cell type balance in our models

improves the signal to noise ratio of our analyses, then we would expect that the top

diagnosis-related genes in our dataset would be more likely to overlap with previous

findings. In an attempt to perform this comparison in an unbiased and efficient manner,

we limited our search to PubMed, using as search terms only the respective human

gene symbol and diagnosis ("Schizophrenia", "Bipolar", or "Depression"). For the genes

related to MDD in our dataset, we also expanded the search to include two highly-

correlated traits that are more quantifiable and likely to have a genetic basis: "Anxiety"

and "Suicide". Then we narrowed our results only to studies using human subjects.

We found that only one of the top 10 diagnosis-related genes detected using a

model that included diagnosis and known confounds (*Equation 3)* was previously noted

in the human literature *(FOS:* (68,69)). The same was true if we replaced diagnosis with

a term representing the general presence or absence of a psychiatric illness (*ALDH1A1:*

(64)). In contrast, when we used a model that included diagnosis, known confounds,

and predictions for the balance of the five most prevalent cortical cell types (*Equation*

6), we found that five of the top 10 genes associated with Schizophrenia had been

previously identified in the literature (*ARHGEF2:* (70)**,** *DOC2A:* (71), *FBX09:* (66),

GRM1: (72,73); *CEBPA:* (74)), and three of the top 10 genes associated with Bipolar

Disorder (*ALDH1A1:* (64)**,** *SNAP25:* (75), *NRN1:*(76)**; Suppl. Figure 23, Suppl. Table**

8, Suppl. Table 10). This was a significant enrichment in overlap with the literature as

indicated by a Fisher's exact test across all three diagnosis groups (1/30 vs. 8/30

overlap with the literature, p=0.0257, **Figure 9E**) or when comparing the results for the

schizophrenia group to the rate of overlap with the literature for 100 randomly-selected

genes in the dataset subjected to the same protocol (Schizophrenia: 5/10 vs. 7/100,

p=0.0012; Bipolar: 3/10 vs. 8/100, p=0.0610). Likewise, if we replaced diagnosis with a

term representing the general presence or absence of a psychiatric illness, we found

that four of the top 10 genes had been previously identified in the literature (*ALDH1A1*:

(64); *HBS1L:* (4); *HIVEP2:* (77), *FBX09*: (66), **Suppl. Figure 24, Suppl. Table 9, Suppl.**

Table 11), and 9/10 of the top genes were actually significant with an FDR<0.05 when

using permutation based methods (using the R function lmp{lmPerm}, iterations=9999).

The top 10 genes associated with psychiatric illness in models selected using

forward/backward stepwise model selection (criterion=BIC) similarly included five that

had been previously identified in the literature (*PRSS16:* (63), *GRM1:* (72,73);

ALDH1A1: (64); *SNAP25:* (75); *HIVEP2:* (77), a significant improvement in overlap with

the literature than what can be seen in 100 randomly-selected genes in the dataset

subjected to the same protocol (Fisher's exact test: 5/10 vs.15/100, p=0.0168).

Together, we conclude that including cell content predictions in the analysis of

macro-dissected microarray data improves the sensitivity of the assay for detecting

altered gene expression in relationship to psychiatric disease.

3. Discussion

In this manuscript, we have demonstrated that the statistical cell type index is a

relatively simple manner of interrogating cell-type specific expression in transcriptomic

datasets from macro-dissected human brain tissue. We find that statistical estimations

of cell type balance almost fully account for the principal components of variation in

microarray data derived from macrodissected brain tissue samples, far surpassing the

importance of other subject variables (post-mortem interval, hypoxia, age, gender).

Indeed, our results suggest that many variables of medical interest are themselves

accompanied by strong changes in cell type composition in naturally-observed human

brains. We find that within both chronic (age, sex, diagnosis) and acute conditions

(agonal, PMI, pH) there is substantial turbulence in the relative representation of

different cell types. Thus, accounting for demography at the cellular population level is

as important for the interpretation of microarray data as cell-level functional regulation.

This form of data deconvolution was particularly useful for identifying the subtler effects

of psychiatric illness within our samples, divulging the decrease in astrocytes that is

known to occur in Major Depressive Disorder, and doubling the sensitivity of our assay

to detect previously-identified diagnosis-related genes.

These results touch upon the fundamental question as to whether organ-level

function responds to challenge by changing the biological states of individual cells

(Lamarckian) or the life and death of different cell populations (Darwinian). To reach

such a sweeping perspective in human brain tissue using classic cell biology methods

would require epic efforts in labeling, cell sorting, and counting. We have demonstrated

that you can approximate this vantage point using an elegant, supervised signal

decomposition exploiting increasingly available genomic data. However, it should be

noted that, similar to other forms of functional annotation, cell type indices are best

treated as a *hypothesis-generation tool* instead of a final conclusion regarding tissue

cell content. We have demonstrated the utility of cell type indices for detecting strong

effects in a microarray dataset, including other genes with highly cell-type specific

expression and large-scale alterations in cell content in relationship with known subject

variables. We have not tested the sensitivity of the technique for detecting smaller

effects or parsing effects for genes related to multiple cell types, or the validity under all

circumstances or non-cortical tissue types. Likewise, while using this technique it is

impossible to distinguish between alterations in cell type balance and cell-type specific

transcriptional activity: when a sample shows a higher value of a particular cell type

index, it could have a larger number of such cells, or each cell could have produced

more of its unique group of transcripts, via a larger cell body, slower mRNA

degradation, or an overall change in transcription rate. In this regard the index that we

calculate does not have a specific interpretation; rather it is a holistic property of the cell

populations, the "neuron-ness" or "microglia-ness" of the sample. Such an abstract

index represents the ecological shifts inferred from the pooled transcriptome. That said,

unlike principal component scores or other associated techniques of removing

unwanted variation from genomic data, our cell type indices do have real biological

meaning - they can be interpreted in a known system of cell type taxonomy. When

single-cell genomic data uncovers new cell types (e.g., the Allen Brain Atlas cellular

taxonomy initiative (78)) or meta-analyses refine the list of genes defined as having cell-

type specific expression (e.g., (79)), our indices will surely evolve with these new

classification frameworks, but the power of our approach will remain, in that we can

disentangle the intrinsic changes of individual genes from the population-level shifts of

major cell types. The same approach can be extended to studying other structurally

complex organs that involve the concerted function of many cell types.

Although we generated our method independently to address microarray analysis

questions that arose within the Pritzker Neuropsychiatric Consortium, we later

discovered that it was quite similar to the technique of population-specific expression

analysis (PSEA) introduced by (12) with several notable differences. Similar to our

method, PSEA aims to estimate cell type-differentiated disease effects from microarray

data derived from brain tissue of heterogeneous composition and approaches this

problem by including the averaged, normalized expression of cell type specific markers

within a larger linear model that is used to estimate differential expression in microarray

data. Likewise, using PSEA, (12) also found that individual variability in neuronal,

astrocytic, oligodendrocytic, and microglial cell content was sufficient to account for

substantial variability in the vast majority of probe sets, even within non-diseased

samples. Most importantly, the PSEA technique has been carefully validated: PSEA

was found to successfully predict the content of RNA-mixing experiments (12), cellular

expression data from *in situ* hybridization or laser-capture microdissection experiments

(11), and neuron-specific neurodegenerative effects found with laser-capture

microdissection (10).The differences between our techniques are mostly due to our

access to a large sample size and the recent growth of the literature documenting cell

type specific expression in brain cell types. PSEA uses a very small set of markers (4-7)

to represent each cell type, and screens these markers for tight co-expression within the

dataset of interest, since co-expression networks have been previously demonstrated to

often represent cell type signatures in the data (80). This is essential for the analysis of

microarray data for brain regions that have not been well characterized for cell type

specific expression (*e.g.,* the substantia nigra), but risks the possibility of closely

tracking variability in a particular cell function instead of cell content (as described in our

results related to aging). Our analysis predominantly focused on the well-studied cortex,

thus enabling us to expand our analysis to include hundreds of cell type specific

markers derived from a variety of experimental techniques. Likewise, PSEA was

designed for use with small microarray datasets, and thus depends on a variety of

model selection techniques to minimize the number of terms included in the linear

model. Although necessary, this step introduces the risk of mis-assigning effects

associated with correlated cell types. Using a large dataset gave us the opportunity to

include terms for all major cell types in the analysis, as well as terms representing a

number of important identified confounds (age, pH, PMI, gender). Due to these

analytical differences, we are able to effectively characterize gene expression

associated with less prevalent cell types (*e.g.,* endothelial cells) and compare the utility

of cell type specific markers derived from a variety of species and experimental

techniques.

There was one seemingly-small difference between our method and PSEA that

actually turned out to produce a large difference in efficacy: normalization of the original

gene expression data using a z-score instead of a ratio of the mean (81). As part of a

set of later validation analyses (**Suppl. Methods and Results, Suppl. Figures 25-26**),

we performed a head-to-head comparison of our method and PSEA using a single-cell

RNA-Seq dataset and the same database of cell type specific genes. Both methods

strongly predicted cell identity, but on average we found that one third of the variation in

the predictions of relative cell content derived from PSEA ("population reference signal")

were related to the cell identity of the samples versus almost half of the variation in our

consolidated cell type indices. We conclude that our method may be a more effective

manner of predicting cell type balance in some datasets.

Another notable difference between our final analysis methods and those used

by PSEA (10–12) was the lack of cell type interaction terms included in our models

(*e.g., Diagnosis*Astrocyte Index*). Theoretically, the addition of cell type interaction

terms should allow the researcher to statistically interrogate cell-type differentiated

diagnosis effects because samples that contain more of a particular cell type should

exhibit more of that cell type's respective diagnosis effect. Versions of this form of

analysis have been successful in other investigations (e.g., (11,12,82)) but we were not

able to validate the method using our database of previously-documented relationships

with diagnosis in prefrontal cell types and a variety of model specifications (e.g., **Suppl.**

Figure 27). Upon consideration, we realized that these negative results were difficult to

interpret because significant diagnosis*cell type interactions should only become

evident if the effect of diagnosis in a particular cell type is different from what is

occurring in all cell types on average. For genes with expression that is reasonably

specific to a particular cell type (*e.g.,* GAD1), the overall average diagnosis effect may

already largely reflect the effect within that cell type and the respective interaction term

will not be significantly different, even though the disease effect is clearly tracking the

balance of that cell population. In the end, we decided that the addition of interaction

terms to our models was not demonstrably worth the associated decrease in overall

model fit and statistical power.

One result from our analysis seems particularly worth discussing in greater

depth. It has been acknowledged for a long time that exposure to a hypoxic

environment prior to death has a huge impact on gene expression in human post-

mortem brains (e.g., (25,26,83,84)). This impact on gene expression is so large that up

until recently the primary principal component of variation (PC1) in our Pritzker data was

assumed to represent the degree of hypoxia, and was sometimes even systematically

removed before performing diagnosis-related analyses (e.g., (85)). However, the

magnitude of the effect of hypoxia was puzzling, especially when compared to the much

more moderate effects of post-mortem interval, even when the intervals ranged from 8-

40+ hrs. Our current analysis provides an explanation for this discrepancy, since it is

clear from our results that the brains of our subjects are actively compensating for a

hypoxic environment prior to death by altering the balance or overall transcriptional

activity of support cells and neurons. Although the differential effects of hypoxia on

neurons and glial cells have been studied since the 1960's (86), to our knowledge this is

the first time that anyone has related the large effects of hypoxia in post-mortem

transcriptomic data to alterations in cell type balance in the samples. This connection is

important for understanding why results associating gene expression and psychiatric

illness in human post-mortem tissue sometimes do not replicate. If a study contains

mostly tissue from individuals who experienced greater hypoxia before death (*e.g.,*

hospital care with artificial respiration or drug overdose followed by coma), then the

evaluation of the effect of neuropsychiatric illness is likely to inadvertently focus on

differential expression in support cell types (astrocytes, endothelial cells), whereas a

study that mostly contains tissue from individuals who died a fast death (*e.g.,* car

accident or myocardial infarction) will emphasize the effects of neuropsychiatric illness

in neurons.

Finally, our work drives home the fact that any comprehensive theory of

psychiatric illness needs to account for the dichotomy between the health of individual

cells and that of their ecosystem. We found that the functional changes accompanying

psychiatric illness in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex occurred both at the level of cell

population shifts (decreased astrocytic presence) and at the level of intrinsic gene

regulation not explained by population shifts. A similar conclusion regarding the

importance of cell type balance in association with psychiatric illness was recently

drawn by our collaborators (*e.g.,*(87)) using a similar technique to analyze RNA-Seq

data from the anterior cingulate cortex. In the future, we plan to use our technique to re-

analyze many of the other large microarray datasets existing within the Pritzker

Neuropsychiatric Consortium with the hope of gaining better insight into psychiatric

disease effects. This application of our technique seems particularly important in light of

recent evidence linking disrupted neuroimmunity (74) and neuroglia (*e.g.,* (46,54,88)) to

psychiatric illness, as well as growing evidence that growth factors with cell type specific

effects play an important role in depressive illness and emotional regulation (*e.g.,* Brain-

Derived Neurotrophic Factor (*BDNF*), the Fibroblast Growth Factor (*FGF*) family, Glial-

cell derived neurotrophic factor (*GDNF*), Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (*VEGF*);

for a review, see (23,89)).

In conclusion, we have found this method to be a valuable addition to traditional

functional ontology tools as a manner of improving the interpretation of transcriptomic

results as well as removing unwanted noise due to variations in cell content caused by

dissection variability. The capability to unravel alterations of cell type composition from

modulation of cell state, even just probabilistically, is inherently useful for understanding

the higher-level function of the brain as emergent properties of brain activity, such as

emotion, cognition, memory, and addiction, usually involve ensembles of many cells.

Facilitating the interpretation of gene activity data in macro-dissected tissue in light of

both processes provides new opportunities to integrate results with findings from other

approaches, such as electrophysiology analysis of brain circuits, brain imaging,

optogenetic manipulations, and naturally occurring variation in response to injury and

brain diseases.

For the benefit of other researchers, we have made our database of brain cell

type specific genes (https://sites.google.com/a/umich.edu/megan-hastings-

hagenauer/home/cell-type-analysis) and R code for conducting cell type analyses

publically available in the form of a downloadable R package

(https://github.com/hagenaue/BrainInABlender) and we are happy to assist researchers

in their usage for pursuing better insight into psychiatric illness and neurological

disease.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1 Ortholog Prediction: The gene symbols for the cell type specific transcripts derived

from mouse datasets were fed into HCOP: Orthology Prediction Search

(http://www.genenames.org/cgi-bin/hcop). We selected the ortholog for each transcript

that was most commonly identified amongst the 11 available databases: EggNOG,

Ensembl, HGNC, HomoloGene, Inparanoid, OMA, OrthoDB, OrthoMCL, Panther,

PhylomeDB, and TreeFam.

4.2 Pritzker Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex Microarray Dataset:

The original dataset included tissue from 172 high-quality human post-mortem

brains donated to the Brain Donor Program at the University of California, Irvine with the

consent of the next of kin. Frozen coronal slabs were macro-dissected to obtain

dorsolateral prefrontal cortex samples and total RNA was extracted and hybridized to

Affymetrix HT-U133A or HT-U133Plus-v2 chips in duplicate or triplicate at different

laboratories using procedures described previously (25,85). Clinical information was

obtained from medical examiners, coroners' medical records, and a family member.

Patients were diagnosed with either Major Depressive Disorder, Bipolar Disorder, or

Schizophrenia by consensus based on criteria from the Diagnostic and Statistical

Manual of Mental Disorders (90). Data from any subjects lacking information regarding

critical pre- or post-mortem variables were removed from the analysis, leaving a final

sample size of *n=157.* For detailed data collection methodology, see (85). This research

was overseen and approved by the University of Michigan Institutional Review Board

(IRB # HUM00043530, Pritzker Neuropsychiatric Disorders Research Consortium

(2001-0826)) and the University of California Irvine (UCI) Institutional Review Board

(IRB# 1997-74).

Before conducting the current analysis, the microarray dataset was reannotated

for probe-to-transcript correspondance (91), summarized using robust multi-array

analysis (RMA) (92), log (base 2)-transformed, quantile normalized, gender-checked,

median centered to remove batch effects, and the replicate microarrays for each subject

were averaged (for a more detailed description of data preprocessing see (85)).

Samples that exhibited markedly low average sample-sample correlation coefficients

prior to median centering (<0.85: outliers) were removed from the dataset, including

data from one batch that exhibited overall low sample-sample correlation coefficients

with other batches and poor match with their duplicate microarrays run in a separate

laboratory.

The data from control subjects is publically available in the Gene Expression

Omnibus (GEO: Accession Number GSE6306) and the data for all subjects has been

submitted and should be available shortly (GEO: *curation pending*) . All of the R script

documenting these analyses can be found at

https://github.com/hagenaue/CellTypeAnalyses_PritzkerAffyDLPFC.

938 *4.3 Allen Brain Atlas Cross-Regional Microarray Dataset:*

The Allen Brain Atlas microarray data was downloaded from http://human.brain-

map.org/microarray/search on December 2015. This microarray survey was performed

in brain-specific batches, with multiple batches per subject. To remove technical

variation across batches, a variety of normalization procedures had been performed by

the original authors both within and across batches using internal controls, as well as

across subjects (93). The dataset available for download had already been log-

transformed (base 2) and converted to z-scores using the average and standard

deviation for each probe. These normalization procedures were designed to remove

technical artifacts while best preserving cross-regional effects in the data, but the full

information about relative levels of expression within an individual sample were

unavailable and the effects of subject-level variables (such as age and pH) were likely

to be de-emphasized due to the inability to fully separate out subject and batch during

the normalization process.

Prior to conducting other analyses, we averaged the expression level of the

multiple probes that corresponded to the same gene, and re-scaled, so that the data

associated with each gene symbol continued to be a z-score (mean=0, sd=1). We then

extracted the z-score data for the list of cell type specific genes derived from each

publication. Based on our results from analyzing the Pritzker dataset, we excluded the

data for genes that were non-specific (i.e., included in a list of cell type specific genes

from a different category of cells within any of the publications), and then averaged the

data from the cell-type specific genes derived from each publication to predict the

relative content of each of the 10 primary cell types in each sample. All of the R script

documenting these analyses can be found at

https://github.com/hagenaue/CellTypeAnalyses_AllenBrainAtlas.

5. Acknowledgements

We thank all the members of the Pritzker Consortium (especially the University of

California, Irvine Brain Bank staff), Drs. Adriana Medina and David Krolewski for brain

dissections and methodological input, and Dr. Simon Evans, Sharon Burke and Mary

Hoverstein for their involvement in the initial mRNA extraction and microarrays. Grace

Hsienyuan Chang, Jennifer Fitzpatrick, LeAnn Fitzpatrick, Jim Stewart, Tom Dixon,

Doug Smith, Andy Lin, and Manhong Dai were invaluable for maintaining our databases

of clinical information and biological specimens. We would also like to thank Drs. Elyse

Aurbach, Katherine Prater, Kathryn Hilde, Fan Meng, and Mark Reimers for advice and

feedback regarding the methodology or manuscript. Finally, we would also like to thank

our undergraduate research assistants Isabelle Birt, Alek Pankonin, and Daniela

Romero Vargas for their help compiling the Allen Brain Atlas data, annotating and

uploading code, creating the BrainInABlender R package, and editorial assistance.

6. References

1. Arion D, Corradi JP, Tang S, Datta D, Boothe F, He A, et al. Distinctive transcriptome
alterations of prefrontal pyramidal neurons in schizophrenia and schizoaffective
disorder. Mol Psychiatry. 2015 Nov;20(11):1397–405.

2. Darmanis S, Sloan SA, Zhang Y, Enge M, Caneda C, Shuer LM, et al. A survey of human
brain transcriptome diversity at the single cell level. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2015 Jun
9;112(23):7285–90.

3. Lake BB, Ai R, Kaeser GE, Salathia NS, Yung YC, Liu R, et al. Neuronal subtypes and
diversity revealed by single-nucleus RNA sequencing of the human brain. Science.
2016 Jun 24;352(6293):1586–90.

4.   Choi KH, Elashoff M, Higgs BW, Song J, Kim S, Sabunciyan S, et al. Putative psychosis
genes in the prefrontal cortex: combined analysis of gene expression microarrays.
BMC Psychiatry. 2008;8:87.

5.   Evans SJ, Choudary PV, Neal CR, Li JZ, Vawter MP, Tomita H, et al. Dysregulation of the
fibroblast growth factor system in major depression. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004
Oct 26;101(43):15506–11.

6.   Abbas AR, Wolslegel K, Seshasayee D, Modrusan Z, Clark HF. Deconvolution of blood
microarray data identifies cellular activation patterns in systemic lupus
erythematosus. PloS One. 2009;4(7):e6098.

7.   Chikina M, Zaslavsky E, Sealfon SC. CellCODE: a robust latent variable approach to
differential expression analysis for heterogeneous cell populations. Bioinforma Oxf
Engl. 2015 May 15;31(10):1584–91.

8.   Gaujoux R, Seoighe C. CellMix: a comprehensive toolbox for gene expression
deconvolution. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2013 Sep 1;29(17):2211–2.

9.   Shen-Orr SS, Gaujoux R. Computational deconvolution: extracting cell type-specific
information from heterogeneous samples. Curr Opin Immunol. 2013 Oct;25(5):571–8.

10. Capurro A, Bodea L-G, Schaefer P, Luthi-Carter R, Perreau VM. Computational
deconvolution of genome wide expression data from Parkinson's and Huntington's
disease brain tissues using population-specific expression analysis. Front Neurosci.
2014;8:441.

11. Kuhn A, Kumar A, Beilina A, Dillman A, Cookson MR, Singleton AB. Cell population-
specific expression analysis of human cerebellum. BMC Genomics. 2012;13:610.

12. Kuhn A, Thu D, Waldvogel HJ, Faull RLM, Luthi-Carter R. Population-specific
expression analysis (PSEA) reveals molecular changes in diseased brain. Nat Methods.
2011 Nov;8(11):945–7.

13. Cahoy JD, Emery B, Kaushal A, Foo LC, Zamanian JL, Christopherson KS, et al. A
transcriptome database for astrocytes, neurons, and oligodendrocytes: a new resource
for understanding brain development and function. J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci. 2008
Jan 2;28(1):264–78.

14. Daneman R, Zhou L, Agalliu D, Cahoy JD, Kaushal A, Barres BA. The mouse blood-brain
barrier transcriptome: a new resource for understanding the development and
function of brain endothelial cells. PloS One. 2010;5(10):e13741.

15. Doyle JP, Dougherty JD, Heiman M, Schmidt EF, Stevens TR, Ma G, et al. Application of a
translational profiling approach for the comparative analysis of CNS cell types. Cell.
2008 Nov 14;135(4):749–62.

16. Sugino K, Hempel CM, Miller MN, Hattox AM, Shapiro P, Wu C, et al. Molecular
taxonomy of major neuronal classes in the adult mouse forebrain. Nat Neurosci. 2006
Jan;9(1):99–107.

17. Zeisel A, Muñoz-Manchado AB, Codeluppi S, Lönnerberg P, La Manno G, Juréus A, et al.
Brain structure. Cell types in the mouse cortex and hippocampus revealed by single-
cell RNA-seq. Science. 2015 Mar 6;347(6226):1138–42.

18. Zhang Y, Chen K, Sloan SA, Bennett ML, Scholze AR, O'Keeffe S, et al. An RNA-
sequencing transcriptome and splicing database of glia, neurons, and vascular cells of
the cerebral cortex. J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci. 2014 Sep 3;34(36):11929–47.

19. Lewis DA, Sweet RA. Schizophrenia from a neural circuitry perspective: advancing
toward rational pharmacological therapies. J Clin Invest. 2009 Apr;119(4):706–16.

20. Lynch JC. The Cerebral Cortex. In: Fundamental Neuroscience. 2nd ed. Philadelphia:
Churchill Livingstone; 2002. p. 505–20.

21. Hutchins DE, Naftel JP, Ard MD. The cell biology of neurons and glia. In: Fundamental
Neuroscience. 2nd ed. Philadelphia: Churchill Livingstone; 2002. p. 15–36.

22. Bergers G, Song S. The role of pericytes in blood-vessel formation and maintenance.
Neuro-Oncol. 2005 Oct;7(4):452–64.

23. Duman RS, Monteggia LM. A neurotrophic model for stress-related mood disorders.
Biol Psychiatry. 2006 Jun 15;59(12):1116–27.

24. Doss JF, Corcoran DL, Jima DD, Telen MJ, Dave SS, Chi J-T. A comprehensive joint
analysis of the long and short RNA transcriptomes of human erythrocytes. BMC
Genomics. 2015;16(1):952.

25. Li JZ, Vawter MP, Walsh DM, Tomita H, Evans SJ, Choudary PV, et al. Systematic
changes in gene expression in postmortem human brains associated with tissue pH
and terminal medical conditions. Hum Mol Genet. 2004 Mar 15;13(6):609–16.

26. Tomita H, Vawter MP, Walsh DM, Evans SJ, Choudary PV, Li J, et al. Effect of agonal and
postmortem factors on gene expression profile: quality control in microarray analyses
of postmortem human brain. Biol Psychiatry. 2004 Feb 15;55(4):346–52.

27. Wilkerson MD, Hayes DN. ConsensusClusterPlus: a class discovery tool with
confidence assessments and item tracking. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2010 Jun
15;26(12):1572–3.

28. Coupel S, Moreau A, Hamidou M, Horejsi V, Soulillou J-P, Charreau B. Expression and
release of soluble HLA-E is an immunoregulatory feature of endothelial cell activation.
Blood. 2007 Apr 1;109(7):2806–14.

29.   Tian H, McKnight SL, Russell DW. Endothelial PAS domain protein 1 (EPAS1), a
1059   transcription factor selectively expressed in endothelial cells. Genes Dev. 1997 Jan
1060   1;11(1):72–82.

1061   30.   Tchorz JS, Tome M, Cloëtta D, Sivasankaran B, Grzmil M, Huber RM, et al. Constitutive
1062   Notch2 signaling in neural stem cells promotes tumorigenic features and astroglial
1063   lineage entry. Cell Death Dis. 2012;3:e325.

1064   31.   Boyles JK, Pitas RE, Wilson E, Mahley RW, Taylor JM. Apolipoprotein E associated with
1065   astrocytic glia of the central nervous system and with nonmyelinating glia of the
1066   peripheral nervous system. J Clin Invest. 1985 Oct;76(4):1501–13.

1067   32.   Fazzari P, Paternain AV, Valiente M, Pla R, Luján R, Lloyd K, et al. Control of cortical
1068   GABA circuitry development by Nrg1 and ErbB4 signalling. Nature. 2010 Apr
1069   29;464(7293):1376–80.

1070   33.   Stephenson DT, Coskran TM, Kelly MP, Kleiman RJ, Morton D, O'Neill SM, et al. The
1071   distribution of phosphodiesterase 2A in the rat brain. Neuroscience. 2012 Dec
1072   13;226:145–55.

1073   34.   Marszalek JR, Weiner JA, Farlow SJ, Chun J, Goldstein LS. Novel dendritic kinesin
1074   sorting identified by different process targeting of two related kinesins: KIF21A and
1075   KIF21B. J Cell Biol. 1999 May 3;145(3):469–79.

1076   35.   Hawrylycz MJ, Lein ES, Guillozet-Bongaarts AL, Shen EH, Ng L, Miller JA, et al. An
1077   anatomically comprehensive atlas of the adult human brain transcriptome. Nature.
1078   2012 Sep 20;489(7416):391–9.

1079   36.   Allen Brain Atlas. Technical White Paper: Case qualification and donor profiles, v.7
1080   [Internet]. 2013. Available from: help.brain-map.org

1081   37.   Allen Brain Atlas. Technical White Paper: Microarray Survey, v.7 [Internet]. 2013.
1082   Available from: help.brain-map.org

1083   38.   Carpenter MB. Core Text of Neuroanatomy. 4th ed. Baltimore, MD: Williams & Wilkins;
1084   1991.

1085   39.   Altman J, Das GD. Autoradiographic and histological evidence of postnatal
1086   hippocampal neurogenesis in rats. J Comp Neurol. 1965 Jun;124(3):319–35.

1087   40.   Amaral DG, Scharfman HE, Lavenex P. The dentate gyrus: fundamental
1088   neuroanatomical organization (dentate gyrus for dummies). Prog Brain Res.
1089   2007;163:3–22.

1090   41.   Li L, Welser JV, Dore-Duffy P, del Zoppo GJ, Lamanna JC, Milner R. In the hypoxic
1091   central nervous system, endothelial cell proliferation is followed by astrocyte

activation, proliferation, and increased expression of the alpha 6 beta 4 integrin and
dystroglycan. Glia. 2010 Aug;58(10):1157–67.

42.   Banasiak KJ, Haddad GG. Hypoxia-induced apoptosis: effect of hypoxic severity and
role of p53 in neuronal cell death. Brain Res. 1998 Jun 29;797(2):295–304.

43.   Lu D-Y, Liou H-C, Tang C-H, Fu W-M. Hypoxia-induced iNOS expression in microglia is
regulated by the PI3-kinase/Akt/mTOR signaling pathway and activation of hypoxia
inducible factor-1alpha. Biochem Pharmacol. 2006 Oct 16;72(8):992–1000.

44.   Tadmouri A, Champagnat J, Morin-Surun MP. Activation of microglia and astrocytes in
the nucleus tractus solitarius during ventilatory acclimatization to 10% hypoxia in
unanesthetized mice. J Neurosci Res. 2014 May;92(5):627–33.

45.   Sowell ER, Peterson BS, Thompson PM, Welcome SE, Henkenius AL, Toga AW.
Mapping cortical change across the human life span. Nat Neurosci. 2003
Mar;6(3):309–15.

46.   Rajkowska G, Miguel-Hidalgo JJ, Wei J, Dilley G, Pittman SD, Meltzer HY, et al.
Morphometric evidence for neuronal and glial prefrontal cell pathology in major
depression. Biol Psychiatry. 1999 May 1;45(9):1085–98.

47.   Smith DE, Rapp PR, McKay HM, Roberts JA, Tuszynski MH. Memory impairment in
aged primates is associated with focal death of cortical neurons and atrophy of
subcortical neurons. J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci. 2004 May 5;24(18):4373–81.

48.   Stranahan AM, Jiam NT, Spiegel AM, Gallagher M. Aging reduces total neuron number
in the dorsal component of the rodent prefrontal cortex. J Comp Neurol. 2012 Apr
15;520(6):1318–26.

49.   Peters A, Sethares C, Luebke JI. Synapses are lost during aging in the primate
prefrontal cortex. Neuroscience. 2008 Apr 9;152(4):970–81.

50.   Huang DW, Sherman BT, Zheng X, Yang J, Imamichi T, Stephens R, et al. Extracting
biological meaning from large gene lists with DAVID. Curr Protoc Bioinforma Ed Board
Andreas Baxevanis Al. 2009 Sep;Chapter 13:Unit 13.11.

51.   Huang DW, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. Systematic and integrative analysis of large
gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nat Protoc. 2009;4(1):44–57.

52.   Shepherd TM, Flint JJ, Thelwall PE, Stanisz GJ, Mareci TH, Yachnis AT, et al.
Postmortem interval alters the water relaxation and diffusion properties of rat
nervous tissue--implications for MRI studies of human autopsy samples. NeuroImage.
2009 Feb 1;44(3):820–6.

53.   Cotter DR, Pariante CM, Everall IP. Glial cell abnormalities in major psychiatric
disorders: The evidence and implications. Brain Res Bull. 2001 Jul 15;55(5):585–95.

54. Banasr M, Duman RS. Glial loss in the prefrontal cortex is sufficient to induce
depressive-like behaviors. Biol Psychiatry. 2008 Nov 15;64(10):863–70.

55. Guidotti A, Auta J, Davis JM, Di-Giorgi-Gerevini V, Dwivedi Y, Grayson DR, et al.
Decrease in reelin and glutamic acid decarboxylase67 (GAD67) expression in
schizophrenia and bipolar disorder: a postmortem brain study. Arch Gen Psychiatry.
2000 Nov;57(11):1061–9.

56. Hashimoto T, Volk DW, Eggan SM, Mirnics K, Pierri JN, Sun Z, et al. Gene expression
deficits in a subclass of GABA neurons in the prefrontal cortex of subjects with
schizophrenia. J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci. 2003 Jul 16;23(15):6315–26.

57. Volk DW, Austin MC, Pierri JN, Sampson AR, Lewis DA. Decreased glutamic acid
decarboxylase67 messenger RNA expression in a subset of prefrontal cortical gamma-
aminobutyric acid neurons in subjects with schizophrenia. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2000
Mar;57(3):237–45.

58. Morris HM, Hashimoto T, Lewis DA. Alterations in somatostatin mRNA expression in
the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex of subjects with schizophrenia or schizoaffective
disorder. Cereb Cortex N Y N 1991. 2008 Jul;18(7):1575–87.

59. Volk D, Austin M, Pierri J, Sampson A, Lewis D. GABA transporter-1 mRNA in the
prefrontal cortex in schizophrenia: decreased expression in a subset of neurons. Am J
Psychiatry. 2001 Feb;158(2):256–65.

60. Glantz LA, Lewis DA. Reduction of synaptophysin immunoreactivity in the prefrontal
cortex of subjects with schizophrenia. Regional and diagnostic specificity. Arch Gen
Psychiatry. 1997 Jul;54(7):660–9.

61. Pandey GN, Dwivedi Y, Rizavi HS, Ren X, Pandey SC, Pesold C, et al. Higher expression
of serotonin 5-HT(2A) receptors in the postmortem brains of teenage suicide victims.
Am J Psychiatry. 2002 Mar;159(3):419–29.

62. Bu J, Sathyendra V, Nagykery N, Geula C. Age-related changes in calbindin-D28k,
calretinin, and parvalbumin-immunoreactive neurons in the human cerebral cortex.
Exp Neurol. 2003 Jul;182(1):220–31.

63. Girgenti MJ, LoTurco JJ, Maher BJ. ZNF804a regulates expression of the schizophrenia-
associated genes PRSS16, COMT, PDE4B, and DRD2. PloS One. 2012;7(2):e32404.

64. Le-Niculescu H, Patel SD, Bhat M, Kuczenski R, Faraone SV, Tsuang MT, et al.
Convergent functional genomics of genome-wide association data for bipolar disorder:
comprehensive identification of candidate genes, pathways and mechanisms. Am J
Med Genet Part B Neuropsychiatr Genet Off Publ Int Soc Psychiatr Genet. 2009 Mar
5;150B(2):155–81.

1162 65. Choi KH, Higgs BW, Wendland JR, Song J, McMahon FJ, Webster MJ. Gene expression
1163   and genetic variation data implicate PCLO in bipolar disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2011
1164   Feb 15;69(4):353–9.

1165 66. Mistry M, Gillis J, Pavlidis P. Genome-wide expression profiling of schizophrenia using
1166   a large combined cohort. Mol Psychiatry. 2013 Feb;18(2):215–25.

1167 67. Hyde CL, Nagle MW, Tian C, Chen X, Paciga SA, Wendland JR, et al. Identification of 15
1168   genetic loci associated with risk of major depression in individuals of European
1169   descent. Nat Genet. 2016 Sep;48(9):1031–6.

1170 68. Rao JS, Harry GJ, Rapoport SI, Kim HW. Increased excitotoxicity and
1171   neuroinflammatory markers in postmortem frontal cortex from bipolar disorder
1172   patients. Mol Psychiatry. 2010 Apr;15(4):384–92.

1173 69. Spiliotaki M, Salpeas V, Malitas P, Alevizos V, Moutsatsou P. Altered glucocorticoid
1174   receptor signaling cascade in lymphocytes of bipolar disorder patients.
1175   Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2006 Jul;31(6):748–60.

1176 70. Konopaske GT, Subburaju S, Coyle JT, Benes FM. Altered prefrontal cortical MARCKS
1177   and PPP1R9A mRNA expression in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. Schizophr Res.
1178   2015 May;164(1–3):100–8.

1179 71. Glessner JT, Reilly MP, Kim CE, Takahashi N, Albano A, Hou C, et al. Strong synaptic
1180   transmission impact by copy number variations in schizophrenia. Proc Natl Acad Sci U
1181   S A. 2010 Jun 8;107(23):10584–9.

1182 72. Ayoub MA, Angelicheva D, Vile D, Chandler D, Morar B, Cavanaugh JA, et al. Deleterious
1183   GRM1 mutations in schizophrenia. PloS One. 2012;7(3):e32849.

1184 73. Frank RAW, McRae AF, Pocklington AJ, van de Lagemaat LN, Navarro P, Croning MDR,
1185   et al. Clustered coding variants in the glutamate receptor complexes of individuals
1186   with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. PloS One. 2011;6(4):e19011.

1187 74. Chase KA, Rosen C, Gin H, Bjorkquist O, Feiner B, Marvin R, et al. Metabolic and
1188   inflammatory genes in schizophrenia. Psychiatry Res. 2015 Jan 30;225(1–2):208–11.

1189 75. Etain B, Dumaine A, Mathieu F, Chevalier F, Henry C, Kahn J-P, et al. A SNAP25
1190   promoter variant is associated with early-onset bipolar disorder and a high
1191   expression level in brain. Mol Psychiatry. 2010 Jul;15(7):748–55.

1192 76. Fatjó-Vilas M, Prats C, Pomarol-Clotet E, Lázaro L, Moreno C, González-Ortega I, et al.
1193   Involvement of NRN1 gene in schizophrenia-spectrum and bipolar disorders and its
1194   impact on age at onset and cognitive functioning. World J Biol Psychiatry Off J World
1195   Fed Soc Biol Psychiatry. 2016;17(2):129–39.

1196   77.   Volk DW, Chitrapu A, Edelson JR, Roman KM, Moroco AE, Lewis DA. Molecular
1197   mechanisms and timing of cortical immune activation in schizophrenia. Am J
1198   Psychiatry. 2015 Nov 1;172(11):1112–21.

1199   78.   Tasic B, Menon V, Nguyen TN, Kim TK, Jarsky T, Yao Z, et al. Adult mouse cortical cell
1200   taxonomy revealed by single cell transcriptomics. Nat Neurosci. 2016 Feb;19(2):335–
1201   46.

1202   79.   Mancarci O, Toker L, Tripathy S, Li B, Rocco B, Sibille E, et al. NeuroExpresso: A cross-
1203   laboratory database of brain cell-type expression profiles with applications to marker
1204   gene identification and bulk brain tissue transcriptome interpretation. bioRxiv
1205   [Internet]. 2016 Nov 22; Available from:
1206   http://biorxiv.org/content/biorxiv/early/2016/11/22/089219.full.pdf

1207   80.   Oldham MC, Konopka G, Iwamoto K, Langfelder P, Kato T, Horvath S, et al. Functional
1208   organization of the transcriptome in human brain. Nat Neurosci. 2008
1209   Nov;11(11):1271–82.

1210   81.   Cheadle C, Cho-Chung YS, Becker KG, Vawter MP. Application of z-score
1211   transformation to Affymetrix data. Appl Bioinformatics. 2003;2(4):209–17.

1212   82.   Montaño CM, Irizarry RA, Kaufmann WE, Talbot K, Gur RE, Feinberg AP, et al.
1213   Measuring cell-type specific differential methylation in human brain tissue. Genome
1214   Biol. 2013;14(8):R94.

1215   83.   Atz M, Walsh D, Cartagena P, Li J, Evans S, Choudary P, et al. Methodological
1216   considerations for gene expression profiling of human brain. J Neurosci Methods.
1217   2007 Jul 30;163(2):295–309.

1218   84.   Vawter MP, Tomita H, Meng F, Bolstad B, Li J, Evans S, et al. Mitochondrial-related gene
1219   expression changes are sensitive to agonal-pH state: implications for brain disorders.
1220   Mol Psychiatry. 2006 Jul;11(7):615, 663–79.

1221   85.   Li JZ, Bunney BG, Meng F, Hagenauer MH, Walsh DM, Vawter MP, et al. Circadian
1222   patterns of gene expression in the human brain and disruption in major depressive
1223   disorder. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013 Jun 11;110(24):9950–5.

1224   86.   Hamberger A, Hyden H. Inverse enzymatic changes in neurons and glia during
1225   increased function and hypoxia. J Cell Biol. 1963 Mar;16:521–5.

1226   87.   Bowling K, Ramaker RC, Lasseigne BN, Hagenauer M, Hardigan A, Davis N, et al. Post-
1227   mortem molecular profiling of three psychiatric disorders reveals widespread
1228   dysregulation of cell-type associated transcripts and refined disease-related
1229   transcription changes. bioRxiv. 2016 Jun 29;061416.

1230   88.   Medina A, Watson SJ, Bunney W, Myers RM, Schatzberg A, Barchas J, et al. Evidence for
1231   alterations of the glial syncytial function in major depressive disorder. J Psychiatr Res.
1232   2016 Jan;72:15–21.

1233   89.   Turner CA, Watson SJ, Akil H. The fibroblast growth factor family: neuromodulation of
1234   affective behavior. Neuron. 2012 Oct 4;76(1):160–74.

1235   90.   American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental
1236   Disorders (DSM-IV-TR). 4th ed. Washington, D.C.: American Psychiatric Association;
1237   2000.

1238   91.   Dai M, Wang P, Boyd AD, Kostov G, Athey B, Jones EG, et al. Evolving gene/transcript
1239   definitions significantly alter the interpretation of GeneChip data. Nucleic Acids Res.
1240   2005;33(20):e175.

1241   92.   Irizarry RA, Hobbs B, Collin F, Beazer-Barclay YD, Antonellis KJ, Scherf U, et al.
1242   Exploration, normalization, and summaries of high density oligonucleotide array
1243   probe level data. Biostat Oxf Engl. 2003 Apr;4(2):249–64.

1244   93.   Allen Brain Atlas. Technical White Paper: Microarray Data Normalization, v.1
1245   [Internet]. 2013. Available from: help.brain-map.org

1246

1247

1248 **7. Supporting Information Captions**

1249

1250 **S1 Text. Supplementary Methods & Results**

1251

1252 **S2 Text. Supplementary Figures and Figure Legends.**

1253

S1 Table. Master Database of Cortical Cell Type Specific Gene Expression. The

attached excel document contains a single spreadsheet listing the genes defined as

having cell type specific expression in our manuscript, including the species, age of the

subjects, and brain region from which the cells were purified, the platform used to

measure transcript, the statistical criteria and comparison cell types used to define "cell

type specific expression", the gene symbol or orthologous gene symbol in

mouse/human (depending on the species used in the original experiment), and citation.

If a gene was identified as having cell type specific expression in multiple experiments,

there is an entry for each experiment – thus the full 3383 rows included in the

spreadsheet do not represent 3383 individual cell type specific genes. A web-version of

this spreadsheet kept interactively up-to-date can be found at

https://sites.google.com/a/umich.edu/megan-hastings-hagenauer/home/cell-type-

analysis.

1267

1268 **S2 Table. Sample demographics for the Pritzker Consortium Dorsolateral**

1269 **Prefrontal Cortex Affymetrix microarray data.**

1270

1271

S3 Table. Microarray data spanning 160 human brain regions downloaded from

the Allen Brain Atlas. Included in this excel file are three worksheets. The first includes

all of the sample information, including the subject identifier and brain region. The

second includes all of the probe information. Finally, the third includes the relative

expression for each probe for each sample (z-score), including the official gene symbol,

Entrez gene ID, and gene name. Additional information about the human microarray

dataset can be found on the Allen Brain Atlas website.

1279

S4 Table. The relationship between each cell type index and all probes in the

Pritzker Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex dataset. The attached excel document (.xlsx)

contains multiple spreadsheets. The first spreadsheet ("Methods") contains a brief

summary of the methods used to evaluate the relationship between the cell type indices

and expression of each probe in the dataset (also discussed in the body of the

manuscript). The second spreadsheet ("GeneByCellType_DF") contains the statistical

output associated with all cell type index terms in the linear model for all probes in the

dataset, including the β ("Beta": magnitude and direction of the association, with positive

associations labeled pink and negative associations labeled blue), the p-value from the

original model ("Pval") and the p-value adjusted for multiple comparisons using the

Benjamini-Hochberg method ("AdjP"), both labeled with green indicating more

significant relationships and red indicating less significant relationships. All other

spreadsheets contain the top 100 probes positively associated with each cell type index,

including each of the statistical outputs presented in the full "GeneByCellType_DF"

summary spreadsheet, as well as a column "CellTypeSpecific" which indicates whether

the probe was included in one of the original cell type indices (1=included, 0=not

included).

1297

S5 Table. The average cell type indices for all 160 brain regions included in the

Allen Brain Atlas dataset. This excel file contains two worksheets. The first includes

the average cell type index for 10 primary cell types for all 160 brain regions included in

the Allen Brain Atlas. More detail about those brain regions can be found in the first

worksheet (Columns_Sample Info) in **Supplementary Table 3**. The second

spreadsheet contains the standard error (SE) for the averages in the first worksheet.

1304

S6 Table. The relationship between each cell type index and all probes in the

Allen Brain Atlas dataset. Depicted are the β (magnitude and direction) and p-values

for the relationship between the expression for each probe and each primary cell type

across samples from all 160 brain regions as determined in a large linear model that

includes all 10 primary cell types. Please note that the p-values in this spreadsheet

have not been corrected for multiple comparisons. Additional information about the

probes can be found in **Supplementary Table 3**.

1312

S7 Table. Functions associated with genes identified as having neuron-specific

expression. The first column of the excel spreadsheet is a list of general physiological

functions that were identified by DAVID as associated with our list of neuron-specific

genes (relative to the full list of probesets included in the microarray). We used the

functional cluster option in DAVID because it prevents multiple functions that share a

large subset of overlapping genes from dominating the results. We named each cluster

by the top two functions included in it. The second column of the spreadsheet indicates

whether an experimenter blindly categorized the functional cluster as being clearly

related or unrelated to synaptic function. The "Mean Fold Enrichment" column indicates

how well on average each of the functions within that cluster were associated with our

list of neuron-specific genes. The next three columns (Top p-value, Top Bonferronni-

corrected p-value, and top BH (Benjamini-Hochberg)-corrected p-value) indicate the

statistical strength of the association between the top function within that cluster and our

list of neuron-specific genes. The number of genes from each functional cluster included

in our results is listed in column G. The next few columns indicate the strength of the

relationship between the functional cluster and age. Columns H-J indicate the mean,

standard deviation, and standard error, for the betas for Age for each gene included in

the cluster. The betas indicate the strength and direction of the association with Age as

determined within a larger linear model controlling for known confounds (pH, PMI,

gender, agonal factor). Columns K-M indicate whether, on average, the age-related

betas for the genes in that cluster are statistically different from 0 as determined by a

Welch's t-test (t-stat, df, p-value). The final column indicates what percentage of the

genes included in the cluster have a negative relationship (β) with age.

1336

S8 Table. The relationship between diagnosis and all probes in the Pritzker

Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex dataset using a traditional model that controls for

standard confounds (*Equation 3* in Figure 5). For all probes in the dataset, the

spreadsheet includes the β ("Beta": magnitude and direction of the association, with

positive associations labeled pink and negative associations labeled blue), the p-value

from the original model ("Pval_nominal") and the p-value adjusted for multiple

comparisons using the Benjamini-Hochberg method ("BH_Adj"), both labeled with green

indicating more significant relationships and red indicating less significant relationships.

1345

S9 Table. The relationship between psychiatric illness and all probes in the

Pritzker Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex dataset using a traditional model that

controls for standard confounds (*Equation 3* in Figure 5). For all probes in the

dataset, the spreadsheet includes the β ("Beta": magnitude and direction of the

association, with positive associations labeled pink and negative associations labeled

blue), the p-value from the original model ("Pval_nominal") and the p-value adjusted for

multiple comparisons using the Benjamini-Hochberg method ("BH_Adj"), both labeled

with green indicating more significant relationships and red indicating less significant

relationships.

1355

S10 Table. The relationship between diagnosis and all probes in the Pritzker

Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex dataset using a model that controls for standard

confounds and the five most prevalent cortical cell types (*Equation 6* in Figure 5).

For all probes in the dataset, the spreadsheet includes the β ("Beta": magnitude and

direction of the association, with positive associations labeled pink and negative

associations labeled blue), the p-value from the original model ("Pval_nominal") and the

p-value adjusted for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini-Hochberg method

("BH_Adj"), both labeled with green indicating more significant relationships and red

indicating less significant relationships.

1365

S11 Table. The relationship between psychiatric illness and all probes in the

Pritzker Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex dataset using a model that controls for

standard confounds and the five most prevalent cortical cell types (*Equation 6* in

Figure 5). For all probes in the dataset, the spreadsheet includes the β ("Beta":

magnitude and direction of the association, with positive associations labeled pink and

negative associations labeled blue), the p-value from the original model

("Pval_nominal") and the p-value adjusted for multiple comparisons using the

Benjamini-Hochberg method ("BH_Adj"), both labeled with green indicating more

significant relationships and red indicating less significant relationships.

1375