Clostridium difficile colonizes alternative nutrient niches during infection across distinct murine gut environments

Authors: Matthew L. Jenior, Jhansi L. Leslie, Vincent B. Young, and Patrick D. Schloss[*]

Abstract

Clostridium difficile infection (CDI) has grown to be the most prevalent cause of hospital acquired infection in the United States. Susceptibility to CDI is induced by recent antibiotic exposure, which is known to alter the structure of the gut microbiome and to affect the availability of growth nutrients in the gut. We hypothesized that *C. difficile* is a generalist that adapts its physiology to the nutrients available within the gut. We orally challenged C57BL/6 mice that previously received one of three antibiotics with *C. difficile* and demonstrated that it was able to colonize the cecum within 18 hours of infection. However, levels of both spore and toxin production, which are known to be affected by nutrient availability, varied between each antibiotic treatment group. To more closely investigate the specific responses of *C. difficile* as it colonized the cecum, we performed *in vivo* transcriptional analysis of *C. difficile* from cecal content of infected mice. This approach revealed variation in expression of genes that drive life-cycle switches as well as metabolic pathways associated with catabolizing a variety of carbon sources such as carbohydrates, amino acids, and amino sugars. To assess which substrates *C. difficile* was most likely exploiting in each antibiotic-perturbed microbiome,

we developed a novel metabolite scoring algorithm within the genome-scale bipartite

metabolic network of *C. difficile* that incorporated both network topology and transcript

abundance to infer the likelihood that a given metabolite was acquired from the

environment. Applying this approach, we found that *C. difficile* indeed occupies

alternative nutrient niches across each antibiotic-perturbed microbiome and that the

highlighted metabolites support significant growth, *in vitro*. Results from this analysis

support the hypothesis that consumption of N-acetyl-D-glucosamine and Stickland

fermentation substrates are central components of *C. difficile*'s metabolic strategy and

pathogenesis. This work has implications for elucidating specifics of the nutrient niche of

C. difficile during infection and may lead to the discovery of targeted measures to

prevent *C. difficile* colonization including potential pre- or probiotic therapies.

Introduction

Infection by the Gram-positive, spore-forming bacterium *Clostridium difficile* has

increased in both prevalence and severity across numerous countries during the last

decade[1]. In the United States, *C. difficile* was estimated to have caused >500,000

infections and resulted in ~$4.8 billion worth of acute care costs in 2014[2]. *C. difficile*

infection (CDI) causes an array of toxin-mediated symptoms ranging from abdominal

pain and diarrhea to the more life-threatening conditions pseudomembraneous colitis

and toxin megacolon. Prior treatment with antibiotics is the most common risk factor

associated with susceptibility to CDI[3]. It has been shown that antibiotic therapy alters

the structure and function of the gut microbiota making it susceptible to colonization by

C. difficile[4]. This is referred to as colonization resistance, in which the gut microbiota

inhibits the persistence or growth of a number of pathogenic bacteria. Colonization

resistance can be achieved by multiple mechanisms including competition for physical

space or growth nutrients[5].

Mouse models have been an effective tool for studying the mechanisms of colonization

resistance. Use of distinct antibiotic classes to vary the structure of the microbiota which

have been shown to result in susceptibility *C. difficile* colonization[6–8]. In this case, the

antibiotics chosen significantly impact the structure and diversity of the cecal

microbiome (Fig. S1a & S1b). It has been further demonstrated that at 18 hours after

being introduced to a cefoperazone treated mouse, *C. difficile* reached its maximum

vegetative cell density in the cecum[9]. This provided a single timepoint to measure the

largest population of metabolically active *C. difficile*. Building upon these results, others

have shown that many of these antibiotic classes also alter the gut metabolome,

increasing the concentrations of known *C. difficile* growth substrates[7,10–12]. Taken

together these results are a strong indication that the healthy gut microbiota inhibits the

growth of *C. difficile* through limitation of substrates it needs to grow. The ability of an

intact gut community to exclude *C. difficile* colonization is suggestive of the nutrient-

niche hypothesis in which an organism must be able to utilize a subset of available

resources better than all competitors to colonize the intestine[13,14].

Based on its genome sequence and *in vitro* growth characteristics, *C. difficile* appears

able to fill multiple nutrient niches. *C. difficile* has a relatively large and mosaic genome,

it is amenable to a variety of growth substrates, and is able to colonize a diverse array

of hosts suggesting that that it is a bacterial generalist[15–17]. The ability to metabolize a

variety of substrates is important since these substrates affect the regulation of genes

involved in *C. difficile*'s pathogenesis. For example, *in vitro* transcriptomic analysis

suggests that high concentrations of easily metabolized carbon sources, such as

glucose or amino acids, inhibit toxin gene expression and sporulation[18,19]. These genes

are regulated by DNA-binding sigma factors, such as the pleiotropic regulator *ccpA*,

which are under the control of environmental nutrient concentrations, especially

carbohydrates[20,21]. Downstream effects of this regulation likely have enormous impact

on the lifestyle and metabolic strategy of *C. difficile* when colonizing across sensitive

hosts.

Previous transcriptomic studies of *C. difficile* have mainly focused on transcription of

virulence factors, *in vitro*[22,23], with some work characterizing transcription during

colonization of germfree mice[24,25]. More relevant to nutrient acquisition, *C. difficile* up-

regulated several phosphotransferase systems (PTS) and ABC transporters in germfree

mice, alluding to metabolic adaptation to nutrient availability *in vivo*[25]. Although these

analyses are informative, they are either primarily directed toward the expression of

virulence factors or lack the context of the gut microbiota which *C. difficile* must

compete against for substrates. Metabolomic analyses have also been used to more

directly assay changes in bacterial metabolism as they relate to CDI[7,12]; however, these

methods cannot focus on *C. difficile*-specific metabolites and more closely resemble

echoes of metabolism, not currently active processes. In contrast to these approaches,

in vivo C. difficile transcriptomic analysis from specific pathogen free (SPF) animals may

provide unique insight into its active metabolic pathways in a more realistic model of

infection. Integrating transcriptomic data with genome-scale metabolic modeling has

previously aided in identifying the most active aspects of an organism's metabolism and

which substrates are preferred by the organism[26–28]. Applying these methods to study

C. difficile colonization would allow us to directly test the nutrient-niche hypothesis.

Founded on the ability of *C. difficile* to grow on a diverse array of carbon sources and its

ability to colonize a variety of communities, we hypothesized that it focuses its

metabolism to fit the context of the community it is attempting colonize. To test this

hypothesis, we employed a mouse model of infection to compare the response of *C.*

difficile to the gut environment caused by different classes of antibiotics. The antibiotics

used in this study included streptomycin (Fig. 1a), cefoperazone (Fig. 1b), and

clindamycin (Fig. 1c). These antibiotics differentially affect the structure of the gut

microbiota[8]. Each has also been shown to alter the gut metabolome relative to

untreated animals[7,10,12]. As such, we predicted that *C. difficile* would encounter a unique

subset of nutrients and competitors in each environment, which would necessitate

distinct adaptive responses. To determine whether *C. difficile* is a generalist and

differentially responds to each condition, we assayed for differences in the amount of

sporulation and toxin activity phenotypes and used metabolic models built using *C.*

difficile expression data. In each of the three antibiotic conditions we challenged with *C.*

difficile, as well as in monoassociated germfree mice, we observed that *C. difficile*

adapted its nutrient utilization profile to colonize to high levels and express its virulence

factors.

Results

Insert Table 1 here

Levels of *C. difficile* sporulation and toxin activity vary between antibiotic-treated

specific pathogen free and germfree mice.

Due to the connection between metabolism, sporulation, and toxin production in *C.*

difficile, we measured sporulation and toxin production at 18 hours post infection in

each group. There was not a significant difference in the number of vegetative cells

between any susceptible condition tested (Fig. 2a). All antibiotic treated (Table 1)

specific pathogen free (SPF) and germfree (GF) animals were colonized to ~1×10^8

colony forming units (c.f.u.) per gram of content, while untreated SPF mice maintained

colonization resistance to *C. difficile*. Despite having the same number of vegetative *C.*

difficile cells, large differences were detected in the density of *C. difficile* spores.

Significantly more spores (P = 0.005, 0.008, 0.003) were detected in ex-GF mice than in

the antibiotic treated mice (Fig. 2b). The spore densities in both streptomycin and

clindamycin-treated mice were also generally higher than that in cefoperazone-treated

mice. There was significantly more toxin activity in ex-GF animals than any other

colonized group (all P <= 0.001), but toxin titer also varied between antibiotic treatment

groups (Fig. 2c). Although similar toxin activity was found in both the cefoperazone and

clindamycin-treated groups, toxin titer was below the limit of detection in most

streptomycin-treated animals. These results indicate that *C. difficile* was able to colonize

different communities to a consistently high level, but that the density of spores and

toxin titer varied by treatment.

C. difficile adapts the expression of genes for virulence and key sigma factors

that are under the control of environmental nutrient concentrations. To more

closely investigate the responses of *C. difficile* to colonizing distinct susceptible gut

environments, we performed whole transcriptome analysis of *C. difficile* during infection

of the antibiotic treatment models. We then narrowed our analysis to focus on genes

that control or code for functions that have been linked to nutrient concentrations in the

intestines during CDI. After observing differences in spore load, we first examined

transcription of the most highly expressed genes in the *C. difficile* sporulation

pathway[29–32] (Fig. 3a). Across the four conditions where *C. difficile* colonized, we

observed transcriptional profiles consistent with observed spore levels (Fig. 2b). The

mice treated with cefoperazone had the lowest spore density and had the highest level

of expression for the anti-sigma factors *spoVG* and *spoVS*. The produts of these genes

are involved in suppressing expression of genes found later in the sporulation

pathway[33]. Streptomycin-treated mice had the next highest density of spores and the

highest expression of genes associated with sporulation activation (*spoIIAB*/*spoIIE*), but

they also had relatively high levels of expression of *sspA* and *sspB*, which are genes

that code for effectors that protect DNA from damage during dormancy. Next, in mice

treated with clindamycin, *C. difficile* expressed genes associated with late stages of

sporulation, including those for spore coat components (*cdeC*, *cotD*, and *cotJB2*), spore

formation (*spoIVA*, *spoVB*, and *spoVFB*), and *sspA* and *sspB*. Finally, GF mice

harbored the highest density of spores and those *C. difficile* primarily expressed the

dormancy genes linked with the latest stages sporulation. Together these data

demonstrate that *C. difficile* differentially expressed genes associated with sporulation

that corresponded to the presence of spores in the cecum.

Expression of genes for quorum sensing and pathogenicity have been linked to

changes in the nutrients that can be found in the environment of *C. difficile*. Both the *agr*

locus and *luxS* gene are thought to be associated with inducing the expression of *C.*

difficile virulence in several strains[34,35]. Considering the link between quorum sensing

genes and toxin production, we expected the expression of genes for quorum sensing

and toxin production and toxin titer to be concordant. Based on this model, we expected

GF mice to have the highest levels of expression of genes for toxin production (Fig. 3b)

and quorum sensing (Fig. 3c); however, these transcripts were not found in the GF

mice. We also observed the highest level of expression for quorum sensing genes in

cefoperazone-treated mice, but *tcdA* expression in these animals was not the highest

among the different treatment groups. Interestingly, the levels of expression for genes

associated with toxin production did not match the toxin titers observed in the animals.

These results suggest that the relationship between toxin titer and the expression of

genes for toxin production is even more complex than current models indicate.

We next focused on the regulators of metabolic pathways. Sigma factors are master

regulators and a subset have been shown to integrate signals from intra- and

extracellular nutrient concentrations[20,21,31,36]. The transcription of the global repressor

codY is responsive to intracellular concentrations of *C. difficile* energy sources[37].

Highest transcription for this gene was found in cefoperazone-treated and GF mice (Fig.

3d). The regulation networks of CodY and CcpA are highly interconnected, with the

expression of *ccpA* specifically linked to local concentration of rapidly metabolizable

carbon sources[38]. Cefoperazone-treated mice also exhibited increased transcription of

ccpA, but the GF condition did not follow the same pattern. CcpA acts directly on *spo0A*

(Fig. 3d), which positively regulates initiation of the sporulation pathway in *C. difficile*.

Transcripts for *spo0A* were highly abundant in all conditions tested except for

clindamycin-treated mice, where it was still moderately detectable. The sig-family of

sigma factors is under the control of spo0A and regulate different stages of sporulation.

The genes from this family with the highest total transcription (*sigA1*, *sigF*, *sigG*, *sigH*,

and *sigK*) each demonstrated a unique pattern of expression between conditions. These

results indicate that complete expression of sporulation likely integrates multiple levels

of signaling and is more complex than a single metabolic switch. Both CcpA and Spo0A

also control pathogenicity by regulating toxin production (Fig. 3d). We found expression

of the toxin negative regulator *tcdC* in all of the antibiotic-treated groups, but no

detectable transcripts for the positive toxin A/B regulator *tcdR* were seen in any

treatment. In addition to its effects on sporulation and virulence, CcpA also regulates the

expression of other sigma factors that generally mediate distinct forms of *C. difficile*

metabolism as needed. These targets include *rex* (general fermentation regulator) and

prdR (Stickland fermentation regulator) (Fig. 3d). Although the expression of both has

been shown to be linked to environmental proline concentrations, *rex* integrates

additional signals from the intracellular NADH/NAD+ ratio to also control carbohydrate

fermentation. Low-level transcription of *prdR* was found across all conditions, however

C. difficile expression the *rex* gene highly in both cefoperazone-treated and GF mice.

Combined, the variable expression of these sigma factors support the hypothesis that

C. difficile adapts expression metabolism to fit its needs between colonized

environments.

Gene sets from multiple *C. difficile* metabolic pathways are differentially

expressed between colonized environments. In the context of similar colonization

between antibiotic-treated animals, differential expression of global metabolic control

mechanisms that are under the control of specific nutrient concentrations suggests that

C. difficile adapts to each environment when in competition with the resident microbiota.

To test this further, we quantified the total expression for all KEGG annotated genes in

the *C. difficile* genome (Fig. S2a). We then focused on general differences in

carbohydrate (Fig. S2b) and amino acid (Fig. S2c) metabolism in order to asses for

apparent differences in the utilization of carbon sources by *C. difficile* across

environments. However aside from overall lower expression of most gene families in GF

mice, no other clear trends were evident at this broad level of analysis so we moved

toward a more fine-scale resolution of annotation and focused on specific gene sets

known to contribute to certain forms of *C. difficile* metabolism (Table S1). Also, to more

effectively compare between colonized states, we calculated the percentage of total

expression between antibiotic-treated conditions for each gene (Fig. 4). We then

identified the condition in which each gene was most highly transcribed and adjusted

the size of the corresponding point relative to the largest transcipt abundance (Fig. 4a).

This demonstrated that genes involved in amino acid catabolism had the greatest

amount of expression overall, relative to other gene sets. This category includes those

enzymes involved in Stickland fermentation (*arg*, *fdh*, *grd*, and *prd* loci) as well as

several general peptidases (*pep* family). These results indicated that catabolizing

environmental amino acids may be important for the growth of *C. difficile* during

infection.

To more clearly indentify associations of gene sets with each condition, we also

analyzed each set separately. First, we found that the expression of genes associated

with amino acid catabolism were expressed at nearly consistent levels across the

conditions (Fig. 4b). This was in agreement with the high level of overall expression

associated with these genes. Additionally, genes for the metabolism of the host-derived

amino sugars N-acetylglucosamine and N-acetylmannosamine were also expressed at

consistent levels across each treatment group (*glm*, *nan*, *mur*, and *acd* loci) (Fig. 4c).

Along similar lines with related molecules, a number of genes for certain

monosaccharides entering (*gal*, *man*, *pmi*, and *tag* loci) and leading through glycolysis

(*fba*, *fbp*, *gap*, and *pfk*), as well as catabolism of the polysaccharides trehalose and

cellibiose (*treA* and *celG*) were expressed relatively evenly between each condition (Fig.

4d & 4e). Combined, these findings suggest that catabolism of amino acids and specific

carbohydrates are likely core components of the *C. difficile* nutritional strategy during

infection.

Aside from those gene sets that were equally expressed across conditions, there were

also large scale differences in expression of certain pathways between groups of mice.

We chose to assess sugar transport systems have been associated with adaptive

expression of phosphotransferase systems (PTS) and ABC transporters with many

known differences in substrate specificities[25]. Among the genes classified as PTS

transporters (Fig. 4f) were overrepresented in both clindamycin and streptomycin-

treated mice, while ABC sugar transporters (Fig. 4g) were overrepresented in the

cefoparazone-treated mice. The most stark differences were seen in transcription for

genes involved in sugar alcohol catabolism (Fig. 4h). Expression of these genes was

entirely absent from clindamycin-treated mice and expression of genes for mannitol

utilization (*mtl* operon) were overrepresented in cefoparazone-treated mice and

expression of genes for sorbitol utilization (*srl* operon) were overrepresented in

streptomycin-treated mice. Concordant patterns also emerged in genes associated with

fermentation end steps (Fig. 4i) and polysaccharide degradation (Fig. 4e). Short chain

fatty acids (SCFAs) and alcohols are the end products of both carbohydrate and amino

acid fermentation in *C. difficile* through separate pathways with shared terminal steps.

Transcripts for genes involved in *C. difficile* butyrate/butanol metabolism (*ptb*, *buk1*,

cat2, and *adhE*) were more abundant in clindamycin-treated mice (Fig. 4i). Additionally,

alpha/beta-galactosidase genes (*aglB* and *bglA*) were also overrepresented in

clindamycin-treated mice (Fig. 4e). Together these patterns suggested that

polysaccharide fermentation occurred this condition. More subtle differences were seen

in those gene associated with glycolysis (Fig. 4d). This category includes genes for not

only the steps of glycolysis, but also several genes that mediate entry points of

monosaccharides to glycolysis. Transcripts for several genes in this group (*eno*, *gapA*,

gpmI, *tpi*, and *pyk*) were overrepresented in cefoparazone-treated mice, however *fruK*

was overrepresented in streptomycin-treated mice which catalyzes the committed step

of glycolysis. Overall, these results support the hypothesis that *C. difficile* is able to

adapt its metabolism to fit the nutrient availability across different susceptible

environments.

Structure of genome-scale bipartite metabolic model underscores known

bacterial metabolism. To further investigate which metabolites were differentially

utilized between conditions, we represented the metabolic network of *C. difficile* as a

directed bipartite graph using the genome annotation. Enzymes and metabolites were

represented by nodes and their interaction by the edge between the nodes (Fig. 5a). To

validate our metabolic network, we calculated betweenness centrality (BC) and overall

closeness centralization index (OCCI) for all enzyme and metabolite nodes in the

bipartite metabolic network of *C. difficile* generated for this study (Table S2). In

biological terms, BC reflects the amount of influence a given hub has on the overall flow

of metabolism through the network[39] and OCCI indicates those enzymes and substrates

that are the most central components of the organism's metabolism[40]. For both

enzymes and substrates, the 18 of top 20 nodes with the highest BC values were

involved in glycolysis, fermentation, and amino acid synthesis. In agreement, almost all

nodes with the largest OCCI values were involved in glycolysis and amino acid

synthesis as well. Enzymes that scored highly in both metrics included pyruvate kinase,

aspartate aminotransferase, and formate C-acetyltransferase while substrates

consistently scoring most highly were pyruvate, acetyl-CoA, D-glyceraldehyde 3-

phosphate. This indicated to us that the topology of the network reflects established

bacterial physiology.

Metabolite importance algorithm reveals adaptive nutritional strategies of *C.*

***difficile* during infection across distinct environments.** Moving beyond a strictly

topological analysis of the *C. difficile* metabolic network, we sought to utilize

transcriptomic data to infer which metabolites *C. difficile* is most likely to obtain from its

environment in each condition. To accomplish this we mapped normalized transcript

abundances to the enzyme nodes in the network. Due to the coupling of transcription

and translation in bacteria, we were able to use this information as a proxy for enzyme

levels. The importance of each metabolite was measured as the log-transformed

difference between the average transcript levels of enzymes that use the metabolite as

a substrate and those that generate it as a product (Fig. 5b). A metabolite with a high

importance score is most likely obtained from the environment because the expression

of genes for enzymes that produce the metabolite are low. Then, using a Monte Carlo-

style simulation, we generated a random transcript abundance distribution for each

enzyme node to then calculate new metabolite importance scores for each iteration. We

then created a confidence interval of scores for each metabolite that would likely result

from random noise[41]. This provided a standard of comparison for actual importance

scores from single timepoint measurments, and ultimately allow for computing the

significance level that a given score has a high probability of being excluded from its

associated null hypothesis score distribution.

Applying these methods to the *C. difficile* transcriptomic data collected from the *in vivo*

CDI models, we sought to assess differential patterns of metabolite importance. We first

ranked the importance scores to identify the most important metabolites for each

treatment group (Table S3). To identify the core metabolites that are essential to *C.*

difficile in any condition, we compared the highest 50 scoring, significant metabolites

from each treatment group (*P* < 0.05) (Fig. 6a). The host derived amino sugar N-acetyl-

D-glucosamine was found to be consistently important. Components of the Stickland

fermentation pathway were also found to be important to *C. difficile* in all conditions

tested including proline, 3−hydroxybutanoyl−CoA, formate, and some selenium-

containing compounds[42–44]. This indicated that these metabolites may be an integral

component of the nutrient niche for *C. difficile* in any infection condition. Additionally,

acetate was found to be important in all conditions, but was just below the significance

cutoff in GF mice (Table S3). It has been shown that *C. difficile* metabolizes acetate for

use in glycolysis[45]. We directly tested the relative concentration of acetate in

cefoperazone-treated *C. difficile*-infected mice versus mock-infected mice. We found

that *C. difficile* colonization led to a significant decrease in the levels of acetate (Fig. S4)

suggesting that *C. difficile* was utilizing acetate in the cecum. These findings provided

validation for our metabolite importance algorithm as well as supporting known

elements of *C. difficile* metabolism.

Returning to our hypothesis that *C. difficile* adapts its metabolism to fit the surrounding

community, we identified those metabolites that were uniquely important to each

condition in which *C. difficile* colonized. We cross-referenced the top 25 positively

scoring, significant substrates ($P < 0.05$) between treatment groups to uncover the most

important patterns of nutrient utilization by *C. difficile* in each (Fig. 6b). Each group of

metabolites contained at least one known carbohydrate growth substrate of *C.*

difficile[7,46]. This included close analogs of D-fructose, mannitol, N-acetylneuraminic

acid, and salicin. Furthermore, in GF mice where no other competitors are present, our

model indicated that *C. difficile* was more likely to acquires several amino acids (lysine,

leucine, and isoleucine) from the environment instead of expending energy to produce

them itself. These data support the hypothesis that *C. difficile* may exploit alternative

nutrient sources between the susceptible environments it colonizes.

Carbon sources sources predicted to be important using network-based

approach support *C. difficile* differential growth *in vitro*. To validate the biological

relevance of substrates identified as uniquely important to *C. difficile* metabolism

through our network-based analysis, we tested whether *C. difficile* was able to utilize

each substrate for *in vitro* growth (Fig. 6c). This was performed using a modified defined

C. difficile minimal media[7], supplemented individually with the selected carbohydrates

implicated by high importance scores. Because *C. difficile* is auxotrophic for several

amino acids it was necessary to include amino acids in the minimal media; however,

since it can use amino acids for growth through Stickland fermentation the most

effective negative control was growth in media lacking carbohydrates but containing

amino acids (Max OD_{600} = 0.212).

N-acetyl-D-glucosamine important to *C. difficile* in each condition tested (Fig. 6b). When

tested for improved growth, significantly more growth (Max OD_{600} = 0.774) was

observed compared to no carbohydrate (+ amino acids) controls ($P < 0.001$). This

provided evidence that N-acetyl-D-glucosamine, derived from the host mucus layer,

may be a central component of the *C. difficile* nutritional niche during infection.

Trehalose was also shown to be important in each condition and supported *C. difficile*

significant growth ($P < 0.001$; Max OD_{600} = 0.559), but was more likely provided by the

diet than from the host. Furthermore, at least one carbohydrate highlighted as distinctly

more important in each of the antibiotic treatment groups provided high levels of *C.*

difficile growth relative to control wells ($P < 0.001$). This included D-fructose

(streptomycin; Max OD_{600} = 0.671), mannitol (cefoperazone; Max OD_{600} = 0.464), salicin

(clindamycin; Max OD_{600} = 0.869), and N-acetylneuraminate (GF; Max OD_{600} = 0.439).

Because it was not possible to test aminofructose directly, we instead chose to test

fructose, an immediate breakdown byproduct of aminofructose catabolism. We also

tested both starch and acetate for the ability to support *C. difficile* growth *in vitro*, but

neither should any improvement over no carbohydrate control (Fig. S5). Maximum

growth rate analysis for each carbohydrate also indicated potential hierarchy of growth

nutrient preference (Table S4). The progression is as follows: D-fructose (slope =

0.089), N-acetyl-D-glucosamine (slope = 0.085), salicin (slope = 0.077), mannitol (slope

= 0.044) / trehalose (slope = 0.044), and finally N-acetylneuriminate (slope = 0.024).

This suggested that *C. difficile* was most well-suited to metabolize the nutrient source

that is most likely to be present in all susceptible mouse ceca.

Discussion

Collectively, our results support the hypothesis that *C. difficile* can adapt its metabolism

to the available niche landscape across susceptible gut environments and give insight

to the adaptive strategies that *C. difficile* can use to colonize diverse human microbiota.

Data from both our *in vivo* and *in vitro* experiments demonstrate the plasticity of *C.*

difficile to effectively change its metabolism to utilize alternative resources for growth.

This may be the result of increased concentration of particular metabolites as a

consequence of concordant decreases in the population of one or more competitors for

those resources. These preliminary conclusions are further supported by previous mass

spectrometry-based efforts analyzing the metabolome from mouse intestinal content

treated under similar conditions to those used in the current study. These investigations

revealed that several of the substrates predicted to be used by *C. difficile* in a given

condition through metabolic modeling (Fig. 6a & 6b), are increased in the

gastrointestinal tract of mice in the corresponding treatment group. One recent study

found that cefoperazone treatment resulted in a 553-fold increase in mannitol

concentration in the cecum of mice prior to *C. difficile* colonization[7]. Similar trends have

also been demonstrated in streptomycin-treated conventional and GF mice[10,47].

Together these results provide evidence that our network-based approach accurately

predicts which metabolites *C. difficile* adapts its metabolic strategy towards, most likely

due to changes in availability.

In addition to uncovering adaptive strategies of *C. difficile*, our method is also able to

identify consistent trends in metabolism across environments. The findings that N-

acetyl-D-glucosamine and Stickland fermentations substrates were consistently among

the highest scoring shared metabolite among all tested conditions strongly indicates that

these metabolites are central to the nutritional strategy of *C. difficile* and may be utilized

in and condition in which they are available. The metabolism of both substrate types

provides not only carbon and energy to *C. difficile*, but are also a source for nitrogen

which is a limited resource in the mammalian lower GI tract[48]. Apart from exploring

differential patterns in known metabolism, our modeling approach also allowed for the

identification of emergent properties for the metabolic strategy of *C. difficile* during

infection. One interesting result is the appearance of CO_2, an apparent metabolic end

product, in the list of shared important metabolites (Fig. 6a). While this may be a

shortcoming of the annotation, one group has posited that *C. difficile* may actually be

autotrophic under certain conditions and could explain the appearance of CO_2 in Fig.

6a[49]. Furthermore, oxygen appears to be significantly important in clindamycin-treated

mice (Fig. 6b). Reactive oxygen species could be introduced to the gut through

antibiotic-induced stress on host mitochondia[50]. Despite the fact that *C. difficile* is

considered to be a strict anaerobe, it does possess the functionality to deal with

oxidative stress[51]. What this highlights is that our method does not only identify growth

substrates, it also reports any metabolits that is very likely being removed from the

environment.

While our results are consistent with previously published work on the metabolism of *C.*

difficile, there are potential limitations of this approach. Ultimately, the metabolite

importance calculation is dependent on correct and existing gene annotation. In this

regard it has been shown that the pathway annotations in KEGG are robust to missing

elements[52], however this does not completely eliminate the posibility for this type of

error. Due to the topology of the metabolic network, we were also unable to integrate

stoichiometry for each reaction which may effect rates of consumption or production. In

addition to computational limitations, our network-based approach simplifies several

aspects of bacterial metabolism. First, the importance algorithm operates under the

assumption that all detectable transcript is translated to effector protein. While this is not

completely accurate, since bacterial transcription and translation are physically coupled,

we were comfortable using normalized levels of transcription to infer approximate

amount of translation. Second, the metabolite importance scores do not account for the

amount of each metabolite that is actually available. Finally, the importance algorithm

only consider the transcription of those enzyme nodes immediately adjacent to the

metabolite node of interest. Although this does not negate any observations made in the

current study, it may be beneficial to incorporate the importance of other local

metabolites or subnetworks into the final score of each metabolite. In spite of these

assumptions, the method outlined here supports known elements of *C. difficile* biology

and future studies could employ metabolomic analysis to confirm the predictions made

here.

Based on the evidence presented, our results support the hypothesis that *C. difficile* is a

metabolic generalist and is able to catabolize alternative carbon sources across

susceptible gut environments. This may be due to an inability to outcompete a collection

of metabolic specialists in an intact community, and separate classes on antibiotics

differentially eliminate these populations and allow for *C. difficile* colonization. This

concept may also potentially explain the success rate of fecal microbial transplant

(FMT), in that wholesale installation of a diverse range of specialized metabolic

strategies is enough to outcompete *C. difficile* from the majority of perturbed gut

environments. Furthermore, our metabolic network platform may also prove informative

for generating hypotheses through reverse ecology that could ultimately lead to

uncovering new interaction between species that ultimately impact host health[53]. In

conclusion, *C. difficile* is is able to optimize its nutritional strategy for each colonized gut

environment. Our results implicate that further considerations are needed when

attempting to design targeted prebiotic and probiotic therapies for the prevention or

elimination of *C. difficile* from the human gut.

Methods

Animal care and antibiotic administration Adapted from the previously described

model[54], six-to-eight week-old SPF C57BL/6 mice were obtained from a single breeding

colony maintained at the University of Michigan for all experiments. Six-to-eight week-

old GF C57BL/6 mice were obtained from a single breeding colony maintained at the

University of Michigan and fed Laboratory Rodent Diet 5001 from LabDiet for all

experiments. All animal protocols were approved by the University Committee on Use

and Care of Animals at the University of Michigan and carried out in accordance with

the approved guidelines. Specified SPF animals were administered one of three

antibiotics; cefoperazone, streptomycin, or clindamycin (Table 1). Cefoperazone (0.5

mg/ml) and streptomycin (5.0 mg/ml) were administered in distilled drinking water *ad*

libitum for 5 days with 2 days recovery with untreated distilled drinking water prior to

infection. Clindamycin (10 mg/kg) was given via intraperitoneal injection 24 hours before

time of infection.

C. difficile infection and necropsy *C. difficile* strain 630 spores were prepared from a

single large batch whose concentration was determined a week prior to challenge for all

experiments. On the day of challenge, 1×10^3 *C. difficile* spores were administered to

mice via oral gavage in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) vehicle. Subsequent

quantitative plating for c.f.u. was performed to ensure correct dosage. Infection negative

control animals were given an oral garage of 100 μl PBS at the same time as those

mice administered *C. difficile* spores. 18 hours following infection, mice were euthanized

by carbon dioxide asphyxiation. Necropsy was then performed and cecal content was

split into three small aliquots (~100 μl). Two were flash frozen immediately for later DNA

extraction and toxin titer analysis respectively. The third aliquot was quickly moved to an

anaerobic chamber for c.f.u. quantification. The remaining content in the ceca (~1 ml)

was emptied into a stainless steel mortar in a dry ice/ethanol bath using 1 ml of sterile

PBS. This process was repeated for each mouse within a treatment group to pool

content into a single large sample (9 mice across 3 cages) to compensate for cage

effects as much as possible while maximizing sequencing depth. The content was then

finely ground and stored at -80° C for subsequent RNA extraction.

C. difficile cultivation and quantification Cecal samples were weighed and serially

diluted under anaerobic conditions (6% H, 20% CO_2, 74% N_2) with anaerobic PBS.

Differential plating was performed to quantify both *C. difficile* spores and vegetative cells

by plating diluted samles on CCFAE plates (fructose agar plus cycloserine, cefoxitin,

and erythromycin) at 37° C for 24 hours under anaerobic conditions[55]. It is important to

note that the germination agent taurocholate was omitted from these plates in order to

only quantify vegetative cells. In parallel, undiluted samples were heated at 60° C for 30

minutes to eliminate vegetative cells and leave only spores[56]. These samples were

serially diluted under anaerobic conditions in anaerobic PBS and plated on CCFAE with

taurocholate at 37° C for 24 hours. Plating was simultaneously done for heated samples

on CCFAE to ensure all vegetative cells had been eliminated.

C. difficile **toxin titer assay** To quantify the titer of toxin in the cecum, a Vero cell

rounding assay was performed[57]. Briefly, filtered-sterilized cecal content was serially

diluted 1:5 in PBS. As a control for toxin-mediated cell rounding the cecal content was

diluted a further 1:2 by the addition of an equal volume of goat anti-toxin serum (T5000;

TechLab). Vero cells were grown to a confluent monolayer in DMEM (Dulbecco's

Modified Eagle's medium), supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum

and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. The cells then were transferred to a conical tube and

centrifuged at 1,000 rpm for 5 minutes to pellet the cells. The old media was removed

and the cells were re-suspended in fresh media to a final concentration of 1×10^5 cells

per 90µL. 90µL of the cell suspension were seeded in each well of a 96-well plate and

incubated at 37° C in a 5% CO_2 humidified incubator for 4 hours. Following the

incubation, cecal samples were added to the Vero cells and the plate was incubated

overnight at 37° C. Plates were viewed after 24 hours at 10x magnification for cell

rounding. The cytotoxic titer was defined as the log_{10} transformed reciprocal of the

highest dilution that produced rounding in 80% of the cells. A more detailed protocol

with product information can be found at:

https://github.com/jlleslie/Intraspecific_Competition/blob/master/methods/Verocell_Toxin

Activity_Assay.Rmd

16S rRNA gene sequencing DNA was extracted from approximately 50 mg of cecal

content from each mouse using the PowerSoil-htp 96 Well Soil DNA isolation kit (MO

BIO Laboratories) and an epMotion 5075 automated pipetting system (Eppendorf). The

V4 region of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene was amplified using custom barcoded primers

and sequenced as described previously using an Illumina MiSeq sequencer[58]. All 63

samples were sequenced on a single sequencing run.

Sequence curation The 16S rRNA gene sequences were curated using the mothur

software package (v1.36), as described previously[58]. In short, paired-end reads were

merged into contigs, screened for quality, aligned to SILVA 16S rRNA sequence

database, and screened for chimeras. Sequences were classified using a naive

Bayesian classifier trained against a 16S rRNA gene training set provided by the

Ribosomal Database Project (RDP)[59]. Curated sequences were clustered into

operational taxonomic units (OTUs) using a 97% similarity cutoff with the average

neighbor clustering algorithm. The number of sequences in each sample was rarefied to

2,500 per sample to minimize the effects of uneven sampling.

RNA extraction, shotgun library preparation, and sequencing To generate enough

mRNA biomass contributed by *C. difficile*, we pooled cecal content from all mouse

replicates into a single large isolation for each treatment group. Pooling was performed

in a sterile stainless steel mortar resting in dry ice and a small amount of 100% ethanol.

After all content for the given group was added, the sample was ground with a sterile

pestle to a fine powder and scraped into a sterile 50 ml polypropylene conical tube.

Samples were stored at -80° C until the time of extraction. Immediately before RNA

extraction, 3 ml of lysis buffer (2% SDS, 16 mM EDTA and 200 mM NaCl) contained in

a 50 ml polypropylene conical tube was first heated for 5 minutes in a boiling water

bath[60]. The hot lysis buffer was added to the frozen and ground cecal content. The

mixture was boiled with periodic vortexing for another 5 minutes. After boiling, an equal

volume of 37° C acid phenol/chloroform was added to the cecal content lysate and

incubated at 37° C for 10 minutes with periodic vortexing. The mixture was the

centrifuged at 2,500 x g at 4° C for 15 minutes. The aqueous phase was then

transferred to a sterile tube and an equal volume of acid phenol/chloroform was added.

This mixture was vortexed and centrifuged at 2,500 x g at 4° for 5 minutes. The process

was repeated until aqueous phase was clear. The last extraction was performed with

chloroform/isoamyl alcohol to remove acid phenol. An equal volume of isopropanol was

added and the extracted nucleic acid was incubated overnight at -20° C. The following

day the sample was centrifuged at 12000 x g at 4° C for 45 minutes. The pellet was

washed with 0° C 100% ethanol and resuspended in 200 µl of RNase-free water.

Following the manufacturer's protocol, samples were then treated with 2 µl of Turbo

DNase for 30 minutes at 37° C. RNA samples were retrieved using the Zymo Quick-

RNA MiniPrep according the manufacturer's protocol. Completion of the reaction was

assessed using PCR for the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene (Kozich, 2013). Quality

and integrity of RNA was assessed using the Agilent RNA 6000 Nano kit for total

prokaryotic RNA. The Ribo-Zero Gold rRNA Removal Kit Epidemiology was then used

to deplete prokaryotic and eukaryotic rRNA from the samples according the

manufacturer's protocol. Prior to library construction, quality and integrity as measured

again using the Agilent RNA 6000 Pico Kit. Stranded RNA-Seq libraries were made

constructed with the TruSeq Total RNA Library Preparation Kit v2, both using the

manufacturer's protocol. The Agilent DNA High Sensitivity Kit was used to measure

concentration and fragment size distribution before sequencing. High-throughput

sequencing was performed by the University of Michigan Sequencing Core in Ann

Arbor, MI. For all groups, sequencing was repeated across 4 lanes of an Illumina HiSeq

2500 using the 2x50 bp chemistry.

Sequence curation, read mapping, and normalization. Raw transcript sequencing

read curation was performed in a two step process. Residual 5' and 3' Illumina adapter

sequences were trimmed using CutAdapt[35] on a per library basis. Reads were quality

trimmed using Sickle (Joshi, 2011) on the default settings. An average of ~300,000,000

total reads (both paired and orphaned) remained after quality trimming. Mapping was

accomplished using Bowtie2[61] and the default stringent settings. ~1,600,000 reads in

sample each mapped to the annotated nucleotide gene sequences of *PeptoClostridium*

difficile str. 630 from the KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes[62]. Optical

and PCR duplicates were then removed using Picard MarkDuplicates

(http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/), leaving ~150,000 reads per sample for final

analysis. The remaining mappings were converted to idxstats format using Samtools[63]

and the read counts per gene were tabulated. Discordant pair mappings were discarded

and counts were then normalized to read length and gene length to give a per base

report of gene coverage. Unless indicated otherwise, each collection of reads was then

1000-fold iteratively subsampled to 90% of the lowest sequence total within each

analysis, and a median expression value for each gene was calculated.

Reaction Annotation & Bipartite Network Construction. The metabolism of *C.*

difficile str. 630 was represented as a directed bipartite graph with both enzymes and

metabolites as nodes. Briefly, models were semi-automatically constructed using KEGG

ortholog (KO) gene annotations to which transcripts had been mapped. Reactions that

each KEGG ortholog mediate were extracted from ko_reaction.list located in

/kegg/genes/ko/. KOs that do not mediate simple biochemical reactions (ex. mediate

interactions of macromolecules) were omitted. Metabolites linked to each reaction were

retrieved from reaction_mapformula.lst file located in /kegg/ligand/reaction/ from the

KEGG release. Those reactions that did not have annotations for the chemical

compounds the interact with are discarded. Metabolites were then associated with each

enzyme and the directionality and reversibility of each biochemical conversion was also

saved. This process was repeated for all enzymes in the given bacterial genome, with

each enzyme and metabolite node only appearing once. The resulting data structure

was an associative array of enzymes associated with lists of both categories of

substrates (input and output), which could then be represented as a bipartite network.

The final metabolic network of C. difficile str. 630 contained a total of 1205 individual

nodes (447 enzymes and 758 substrates) with 2135 directed edges. Transcriptomic

mapping data was then re-associated with the respective enzyme nodes prior to

substrate importance calculations. Betweenness-centrality and overall closeness

centralization indices were calculated using the igraph R package found at

http://igraph.org/r/.

Metabolite Importance Calculation. The substrate importance algorithm (Fig. 5a)

favors metabolites that are more likely acquired from the environment (not produced

within the network), and will award them a higher score (Fig. 6b & 6c). The presumption

of our approach was that enzymes that were more highly transcribed were more likely to

utilize the substrates they act on due to coupled bacterial transcription and translation. If

a compound was more likely to be produced, the more negative the resulting score

would be. To calculate the importance of a given metabolite (m), we used rarefied

transcript abundances mapped to respective enzyme nodes. This was represented by t_o

and t_i to designate if an enzyme created or utilized m. The first step was to calculate the

average expression of enzymes for reactions that either created a given metabolite (i) or

consumed that metabolite (ii). For each direction, the sum of transcripts for enzymes

connecting to a metabolite were divided by the number of contributing edges (e_o or e_i) to

normalize for highly connected metabolite nodes. Next the raw metabolite importance

score was calculated by subtracting the creation value from the consumption value to

weight for metabolites that are likely acquired exogenously. The difference was $\log_2$

transformed for comparability between scores of individual metabolites. This resulted in

a final value that reflected the likelihood a metabolite was acquired from the

environment.Untransformed scores that already equaled to 0 were ignored and negative

values were accounted for by transformation of the absolute value then multiplied by -1.

These methods have been written into a single python workflow, along with supporting

reference files, and is presented as bigSMALL (BacterIal Genome-Scale Metabolic

models for AppLied reverse ecoLogy) available in a public Github repository at

https://github.com/mjenior/bigsmall.

Transcriptome Bootstrapping and Probability Distribution Comparison. As

sequencing replicates of *in vivo* transcriptomes was not feasible, we applied a Monte

Carlo style simulation to distinguish calculated metabolite importances due to distinct

transcriptional patterns for the environment measured from those metabolites that were

constitutively important. We employed a 10,000-fold bootstrapping approach of

randomly reassigning transcript abundance for enzyme nodes and recalculating

metabolite importances. This approach was chosen over fitting a simulated

transcriptome to a negative binomial distribution because it created a more relevant

standard of comparison for lower coverage sequencing efforts. Using this method, each

substrate node accumulated a random probability distribution of importance scores

which were then used to calculate the median and confidence interval in order to

ultimately generate a p-value for each metabolite. This was a superior approach to

switch randomization since the connections of the network itself was created through

natural selection and any large-scale alterations would yield biologically uninformative

comparisons[64]. These calculations are also included within the standard bigSMALL

workflow presented above.

Measuring *in vivo* concentrations of acetate. Cecal contents were flash frozen in

liquid nitrogen at the time of necropsy and subjected to short chain fatty acid

quantification analysis using GC-MS (gas chromatography–mass spectrometry) as

described in the targeted metabolomics section of Theriot et al., 2014. All assays were

performed at the Michigan Regional Comprehensive Metabolomics Resource Core in

Ann Arbor, MI.

Anaerobic *in vitro C. difficile* growth curves. The carbon-free variation of *C. difficile*

Basal Defined Medium (NCMM) was prepared as previously described[7]. Individual

carbohydrate sources were added at a final concentration of 5 mg/mL and pair-wise

carbohydrate combinations were added at 2.5 mg/mL each (5 mg/mL total). A solution

of the required amino acids was made separately and added when noted at identical

concentrations to the same study. 245 µl of final media mixes were added to a 96-well

sterile clear-bottom plate. A rich media growth control was also included, consisting of

liquid Brain-Heart Infusion + 0.5% cysteine. All culturing and growth measurement were

performed anaerobically in a Coy Type B Vinyl Anaerobic Chamber (3.0% H, 5.0% CO_2,

92.0% N, 0.0% O_2). *C. difficile* str. 630 was grown for 14 hours at 37° C in 3 ml BHI +

0.5% cysteine. Cultures were then centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 5 minutes and resulting

pellets were then washed twice with sterile, anaerobic 1 × phosphate-buffered saline

(PBS). Washed pellets were resuspended in 3 ml more PBS and 5 µl of prepped culture

was added the each growth well of the plate containing aliquoted media. The plate was

then placed in a Tecan Sunrise plate reader, heated to 37° C. Plates were incubated for

24 hours with automatic optical density readings at 600 nm taken every 30 minutes.

OD_{600} values were normalized to readings from wells containing sterile media of the

same type at equal time of incubation. Growth rates and other curve metrics were

determined by differentiation analysis of the measured OD_{600} over time in R to obtain

the slope at each time point.

Statistical methods. All statistical analyses were performed using R (v.3.2.0).

Significant differences between community structure of treatment groups from 16S

rRNA gene sequencing were determined with AMOVA in the mothur software package.

Significant differences of Inv. Simpson diversity, CFU, toxin titer, and acetate

concentration were determined by Wilcoxon rank-abundance test with Holm-Bonferroni

correction. Significant differences for growth curves compared to no carbohydrate

control (+ amino acids) were calculated using 2-way ANOVA with Holm-Bonferroni

correction. Significance for metabolite importance scores was determined as described

above.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge members of the University of Michigan

Germfree Mouse Center, Sequencing Core, and Metabolomics Core for their assistance

in experimental design, execution, and data collection. This work was supported by

funding from the National Institutes of Health to PDS (R01GM099514, P30DK034933,

U19AI09087, and U01AI124255), VBY (P30DK034933, U19AI09087, and

U01AI124255), and a Translational Research Education Certificate grant to JLL

(MICHR; UL1TR000433). Pooled and quality trimmed transcriptomic read data and

experiment metadata are available through the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA;

PRJNA354635). Data processing steps for beginning from raw sequence data to the

final manuscript are hosted at

http://www.github.com/SchlossLab/Jenior_Modeling_NatMicro_2016.

Author Information

Affiliations Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Michigan,

Ann Arbor, Michigan. Matthew L. Jenior, Jhansi L. Leslie, & Patrick D. Schloss Ph.D.

Department of Internal Medicine & Infectious Diseases, University of Michigan

Medical Center, Ann Arbor, Michigan. Vincent B. Young M.D. Ph.D.

Contributions M.L.J. conceived, designed and performed experiments, analyzed data,

and drafted the manuscript. J.L.L. performed experiments and analyzed data. V.B.Y.

contributed to the manuscript. P.D.S. interpreted data and contributed the manuscript.

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interest.

Corresponding author Correspondence to Patrick D. Schloss

Antibiotic	Class	Target	Activity	Administration	Dosage
Cefoperazone	Cephalosporin (3rd generation)	Primarily Gram-positive bacteria, with increased activity against Gram-negative bacteria	Irreversibly crosslink bacterial transpeptidases to peptidoglycan and prevents cell wall synthesis	Drinking water Ad libitum	0.5 mg/ml
Streptomycin	Aminoglycoside	Active against most Gram-negative aerobic and facultative anaerobic bacilli	Protein synthesis inhibitor through binding the 30S portion of the 70S ribosomal subunit	Drinking water Ad libitum	5.0 mg/ml
Clindamycin	Lincosamide	Primarily active against Gram-positive bacteria, most anaerobic bacteria, and some mycoplasma	Protein synthesis inhibition through binding to the 23s portion of the 50S ribosomal subunit	Intraperitoneal injection	10 mg/kg body weight

687
688   **Table 1 | Antibiotics used during *C. difficile* infection models.**

Figure Legends

Figure 1 | Experimental time lines for mouse model pretreatments and *C. difficile* infection. 9 wild-type C57BL/6 mice across 3 cages were included in each treatment group. **(a)** Streptomycin or **(b)** cefoperazone administered *ad libitum* in drinking water for 5 days with 2 days recovery with untreated drinking water before infection, **(c)** a single clindamycin intraperitoneal injection one day prior to infection, or **(d)** no antibiotic pretreatment (for both SPF control and GF mice). If no antibiotics were administered in the drinking water, mice were given untreated drinking water for the duration of the experiment beginning 7 days prior to infection. At the time of infection, mice were challenged with 1×10^3 *C. difficile* str. 630 spores at the time of infection. Sacrifice and necropsy was done 18 hours post-challenge and cecal content was then collected.

Figure 2 | *C. difficile* sporulation and toxin activity quantification after 18 hours of infection. *(a)* Vegetative *C. difficile* c.f.u. per gram of cecal content. No significant differences were observed in between any group colonized by *C. difficile*. **(b)** *C. difficile* spore c.f.u. per gram of cecal content. Significantly more spores were detectable in GF mice compared to any of the antibiotic-treated SPF groups ($P < 0.05$). **(c)** Toxin titer from cecal content measured by activity in Vero cell rounding assay. GF mice also displayed significantly more toxin activity than all other groups ($P < 0.05$). Untreated mice in a,b,c had no detectable *C. difficile* or toxin activity and were significantly different from all other groups in each assay ($P < 0.01$). Median values are shown for each group with significant differences calculated using Wilcoxon rank-sum test with the Holm-Bonferroni correction. Dotted lines denote the limit of detection for both assays, and undetectable points are shown just below the limit of detection for clarity.

Figure 3 | Select *C. difficile* gene set expression compared between treatment

group. Relative abundances of *C. difficile* transcript for specific genes of interest,

rarefied to 90% of the total number of reads within each colonized condition shown. **(a)**

Transcription for select genes from the *C. difficile* sporulation pathway with the greatest

variation in expression between the conditions tested. **(b)** Relative abundances of

transcript for genes that encode effector proteins from the *C. difficile* pathogenicity

locus. **(c)** Transcript abundances for genes associated with quorum sensing in *C.*

difficile. **(d)** Transcript relative abundance of select sigma factors which expression or

activity is influenced by environmental metabolite concentrations. Asterisks (*) indicate

genes from which transcript was undetectable.

Figure 4 | *C. difficile* expression of gene sets for carbon metabolism pathways

across antibiotic pretreatments. (a) Ternary plot indicating the relative abundance of

transcripts for all *C. difficile* str. 630 genes across the three colonized antibiotic-treated

conditions (gray points). Raw transcript abundances were iteratively rarefied and the

median expression of each gene was calculated (~24x coverage). Each point

represents a unique gene from the annotated genome of *C. difficile* str. 630 with

position reflecting the ratio of transcription for that gene in all three antibiotic

pretreatments. Transcripts for genes that are over-represented in a single condition are

placed more proximal to the corner associated with that treatment group. Points placed

near the center are equally expressed across all of the conditions measured at 18 hours

post-infection. Points are colored based on inclusion in specific carbon metabolic

pathways, and point sizes within groups of interest were determined based on the

highest expression value for each gene from a single condition. **(b – i)** Groups from (a)

are shown individually, without abundance information, for ease of comparison. Genes

included in each group with normalized transcript abundances can be found in Table

S1, and refer to Fig. S3 for additional explanantion of figure interpretation.

Figure 5 | Genome-scale bipartite metabolic modeling results using the

transcriptome of *C. difficile* str. 630 in each colonized environment. (a) Largest

component from the bipartite genome-scale metabolic model of *C. difficile* str. 630. The

complete network contains 447 enzymes and 758 metabolites, with 2135 directed

edges. Size of enzyme nodes is relative to the number of normalized reads mapped to

the corresponding gene. The sizes shown reflect the transcriptome of *C. difficile* str. 630

during infection of cefoperazone-treated mice after 18 hours of infection. Below the

representative network is the metabolite importance algorithm separated into 3

components; (i) relative transcription of reactions consuming a metabolite, (ii) relative

transcription of reactions consuming a metabolite, and (iii) difference of consumption

and creation of the given metabolite. **(b)** The expanded window displays an example of

a single metabolite importance calculation based on local enzyme gene transcription.

White values in the red nodes represent the number of normalized transcript reads

mapping to the gene sequence for each enzyme node. Average expression of input and

output reactions surrounding metabolite **m** are calculated at then the difference of these

values found to get the relative importance of **m**. Log_2 transformation is then performed

for uniform comparison between metabolites.

Figure 6 | Results from network-based metabolite importance calculation and *in*

***vitro* growth with important carbohydrates.** Prior to importance calculation, transcript

abundances for each condition were evenly rarefied for even comparison across

colonized environments (~18x coverage). **(a)** Median shared significant metabolites

among the 50 highest scoring metabolites from each condition ($P < 0.05$). Median

importance scores and pooled random distribution were recalculated per metabolite

using the scores from each condition tested. **(b)** Distinctly important significant

metabolites from each treatment group ($P < 0.05$). The top 25 scoring metabolites from

each group was cross-referenced against each other group resulting in metabolites that

are differentially important between environments. **(c)** *in vitro* growth curves validating

identified growth nutrients from network analysis. One metabolite that is consistently

important to *C. difficile* and at least one metabolite indicated as distinctly important from

each group supported growth significantly more ($P < 0.001$) than no carbohydrate

control (+ amino acids, gray line). Only those carbon sources that significantly improved

C. difficile growth over control are displayed (remainder are located in Table S4).

Significant differences were calculated using 2-Way ANOVA with Holm-Bonferroni

correction.

Supplementary Figure 1 | Analysis of bacterial community structure resulting

from antibiotic treatment. Results from 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing from

bacterial communities of cecal content in both mock-infected and *C. difficile* 630-

infected animals 18 hours post-infection across pretreatment models. **(a)** Non-metric

multidimensional scaling (NMDS) ordination based on $Theta_{YC}$ distances for the gut

microbiome of all conventionally-raised mice used in these experiments (n = 63). All

treatment groups are significantly different from all other groups by AMOVA ($P < 0.001$).

(b) Inverse Simpson diversity for each cecal community from the mice in (a). Cecal

communities from mice not treated with any antibiotics are significantly more diverse

than any antibiotic-treated condition by Wilcoxon test ($P < 0.001$).

Supplementary Figure 2 | Expression of specific KEGG gene families. Abundances

of normalized transcriptomic reads from *C. difficile* str. 630 in each tested condition. **(a)**

All KEGG families. **(b)** Those sub-families within Carbohydrate metabolism. **(c)** Sub-

families within Amino acid metabolism.

Supplementary Figure 3 | Additional explanation for Figure 4 interpretation.

Relative abundance of transcription for *C. difficile* 630 genes during infection across the

3 antibiotic pretreatment models used during this study. Points that are located closer to

a corner are more highly transcribed in the condition associated with that corner

compared to the others. As this shows a 3-dimensional data set in 2 dimensions, there

is an amount of distortion proximal to each corner. Simply put for points that are nearer

to an edge, a greater percentage of their total transcription was contributed by *C.*

difficile colonizing those mice. **(a)** This point represents the transcription for a gene that

is overrepresented in cefoperazone-treated mice. **(b)** This point represents a gene in

which transcripts are equally detectable in all 3 conditions. **(c)** Transcripts for this gene

are only underrepresented in only cefoperazone-treated mice, and are equally

detectable in clindamycin and streptomycin-treated animals.

Supplementary Figure 4 | *in vivo* acetate concentrations with GC-MS analysis

from cefoperazone-treated mouse cecal content. 2 groups of 5 mice each were

pretreated with cefoperazone as outlined Fig. 1b. A single cage was infected with *C.*

difficile in the same fashion as other experiments described here. Animals were

necropsied at 18 hours post-infection and cecal content was flash frozen for later GC-

MS analysis. Significance was determined using Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

Supplementary Figure 5 | Additional growth curves for additional carbon sources

and controls. Significant metabolites from network analysis that did not provide

improved growth over no carbohydrate (+ amino acids) control. Also included is the

negative control of minimal media with no amino acids as well as *C. difficile* growth in

standard Brain-Heart Infusion broth.

Supplementary Table 1 | Sets of genes included in Figure 4 with normalized

abundances and citations.

Supplementary Table 2 | Topology metrics for enzyme and metabolite nodes in

the *C. difficile* str. 630 metabolic network.

Supplementary Table 3 | All metabolites with significant important scores for *C.*

***difficile* in each colonized condition.**

Supplementary Table 4 | Growth curve analysis for each tested carbon source.

References

1. Lessa, F. C., Gould, C. V. & McDonald, L. C. Current status of *Clostridium difficile*

infection epidemiology. *Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the*

Infectious Diseases Society of America **55 Suppl 2,** S65–70 (2012).

2. Lessa, F. C. *et al.* Burden of *Clostridium difficile* Infection in the United States. *The*

New England journal of medicine **372,** 825–834 (2015).

3. Leffler, D. A. & Lamont, J. T. *Clostridium difficile* Infection. *New England Journal of*

Medicine **372,** 1539–1548 (2015).

4. Young, V. B. & Schmidt, T. M. Antibiotic-Associated Diarrhea Accompanied by Large-

Scale Alterations in the Composition of the Fecal Microbiota. *Journal of Clinical*

Microbiology **42,** 1203–1206 (2004).

5. Lawley, T. D. & Walker, A. W. Intestinal colonization resistance. *Immunology* **138,** 1–

11 (2013).

6. Chen, X. *et al.* A Mouse Model of *Clostridium difficile*-Associated Disease.

Gastroenterology **135,** 1984–1992 (2008).

7. Theriot, C. M. *et al.* Antibiotic-induced shifts in the mouse gut microbiome and

metabolome increase susceptibility to *Clostridium difficile* infection. (2014).

doi:10.1038/ncomms4114.Antibiotic-induced

8. Schubert, A. M., Sinani, H. & Schloss, P. D. Antibiotic-induced alterations of the

murine gut microbiota and subsequent effects on colonization resistance against

Clostridium difficile. *mBio* **6,** (2015).

9. Koenigsknecht, M. J. *et al.* Dynamics and establishment of *Clostridium difficile*

infection in the murine gastrointestinal tract. *Infection and Immunity* **83,** 934–941 (2015).

10. Antunes, L. C. M. *et al.* Effect of antibiotic treatment on the intestinal metabolome.

Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy **55,** 1494–1503 (2011).

11. Ferreyra, J. A. *et al.* Gut microbiota-produced succinate promotes *Clostridium*

difficile infection after antibiotic treatment or motility disturbance. *Cell Host and Microbe*

16, 770–777 (2014).

12. Jump, R. L. P. *et al.* Metabolomics analysis identifies intestinal microbiota-derived

biomarkers of colonization resistance in clindamycin-treated mice. *PLoS ONE* **9,** (2014).

13. Freter, R., Brickner, H., Botney, M., Cleven, D. & Aranki, A. Mechanisms that control

bacterial populations in continuous-flow culture models of mouse large intestinal flora.

Infection and Immunity **39,** 676–685 (1983).

14. Wilson, K. H. & Perini, F. Role of competition for nutrients in suppression of

Clostridium difficile by the colonic microflora. *Infection and Immunity* **56,** 2610–2614

(1988).

15. Sebaihia, M. *et al.* The multidrug-resistant human pathogen *Clostridium difficile* has

a highly mobile, mosaic genome. *Nature genetics* **38,** 779–786 (2006).

16. Tracy, B. P., Jones, S. W., Fast, A. G., Indurthi, D. C. & Papoutsakis, E. T.

Clostridia: The importance of their exceptional substrate and metabolite diversity for

biofuel and biorefinery applications. **23,** 364–381 (2012).

17. Songer, J. G. & Anderson, M. A. *Clostridium difficile*: An important pathogen of food

animals. **12,** 1–4 (2006).

18. Neumann-Schaal, M., Hofmann, J. D., Will, S. E. & Schomburg, D. Time-resolved

amino acid uptake of *Clostridium difficile* 630 Delta-erm and concomitant fermentation

product and toxin formation. *BMC Microbiology* 281 (2015). doi:10.1186/s12866-015-

0614-2

19. Nawrocki, K. L., Edwards, A. N., Daou, N., Bouillaut, L. & McBride, S. M. CodY-

dependent regulation of sporulation in *Clostridium difficile*. *Journal of Bacteriology* **198,**

2113–2130 (2016).

20. Antunes, A. *et al.* Global transcriptional control by glucose and carbon regulator

CcpA in *Clostridium difficile*. **40,** 10701–10718 (2012).

21. Bouillaut, L., Dubois, T., Sonenshein, A. L. & Dupuy, B. Integration of metabolism

and virulence in *Clostridium difficile*. *Research in Microbiology* **166,** 375–383 (2015).

22. Matamouros, S., England, P. & Dupuy, B. *Clostridium difficile* toxin expression is

inhibited by the novel regulator TcdC. *Molecular Microbiology* **64,** 1274–1288 (2007).

23. Antunes, A., Martin-Verstraete, I. & Dupuy, B. CcpA-mediated repression of

Clostridium difficile toxin gene expression. *Molecular Microbiology* **79,** 882–899 (2011).

24. Janoir, C. *et al.* Adaptive strategies and pathogenesis of *Clostridium difficile* from *in*

vivo transcriptomics. *Infection and Immunity* **81,** 3757–3769 (2013).

25. Kansau, I. *et al.* Deciphering adaptation strategies of the epidemic *Clostridium*

difficile 027 strain during infection through in vivo transcriptional analysis. *PLoS ONE*

11, (2016).

26. Patil, K. R. & Nielsen, J. Uncovering transcriptional regulation of metabolism by

using metabolic network topology. *Proceedings of the National Academy of the*

Sciences of the United States of America **102,** 2685–2689 (2005).

27. Borenstein, E., Kupiec, M., Feldman, M. W. & Ruppin, E. Large-scale reconstruction

and phylogenetic analysis of metabolic environments. *Proceedings of the National*

Academy of Sciences **105,** 14482–14487 (2008).

28. Suthers, P. F. *et al.* Genome-scale metabolic reconstruction Of mycoplasma

genitalium, iPS189. *PLoS Computational Biology* **5,** (2009).

29. Putnam, E. E., Nock, A. M., Lawley, T. D. & Shen, A. SpoIVA and sipl are

Clostridium difficile spore morphogenetic proteins. *Journal of Bacteriology* **195,** 1214–

1225 (2013).

30. Underwood, S. *et al.* Characterization of the sporulation initiation pathway of

Clostridium difficile and its role in toxin production. *Journal of Bacteriology* **191,** 7296–

7305 (2009).

31. Fimlaid, K. A. *et al.* Global Analysis of the Sporulation Pathway of *Clostridium*

difficile. *PLoS Genetics* **9,** (2013).

32. Saujet, L., Pereira, F. C., Henriques, A. O. & Martin-Verstraete, I. The regulatory

network controlling spore formation in *Clostridium difficile*. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*

358, 1–10 (2014).

33. Matsuno, K. & Sonenshein, A. L. Role of SpoVG in asymmetric septation in *Bacillus*

subtilis. *Journal of Bacteriology* **181,** 3392–3401 (1999).

34. Lee, A. S. Y. & Song, K. P. LuxS/autoinducer-2 quorum sensing molecule regulates

transcriptional virulence gene expression in *Clostridium difficile*. *Biochemical and*

Biophysical Research Communications **335,** 659–666 (2005).

35. Martin, M. J. *et al.* The *agr* locus regulates virulence and colonization genes in

Clostridium difficile 027. *Journal of Bacteriology* **195,** 3672–3681 (2013).

36. Donnelly, M. L., Fimlaid, K. A. & Shen, A. Characterization of *Clostridium difficile*

spores lacking either SpoVAC or DPA Synthetase. *Journal of Bacteriology* JB.00986–15

(2016). doi:10.1128/JB.00986-15

37. Dineen, S. S., Villapakkam, A. C., Nordman, J. T. & Sonenshein, A. L. Repression

of *Clostridium difficile* toxin gene expression by CodY. *Molecular Microbiology* **66,** 206–

219 (2007).

38. Martin-Verstraete, I., Peltier, J. & Dupuy, B. The regulatory networks that control

Clostridium difficile toxin synthesis. **8,** (2016).

39. Potapov, A. P., Voss, N., Sasse, N. & Wingender, E. Topology of mammalian

transcription networks. *Genome informatics. International Conference on Genome*

Informatics **16,** 270–278 (2005).

40. Ma, H. W. & Zeng, A. P. The connectivity structure, giant strong component and

centrality of metabolic networks. *Bioinformatics* **19,** 1423–1430 (2003).

41. McGill, R., Tukey, J. W. & Larsen, W. a. Variations of Box Plots. *The American*

Statistician **32,** 12–16 (1978).

42. Aboulnaga, E. H. *et al.* Effect of an oxygen-tolerant bifurcating butyryl coenzyme a

dehydrogenase/electron-transferring flavoprotein complex from *Clostridium difficile* on

butyrate production in *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Bacteriology* **195,** 3704–3713 (2013).

43. Fonknechten, N. *et al. Clostridium sticklandii*, a specialist in amino acid

degradation:revisiting its metabolism through its genome sequence. *BMC genomics* **11,**

555 (2010).

44. Jackson, S., Calos, M., Myers, A. & Self, W. T. Analysis of proline reduction in the

nosocomial pathogen *Clostridium difficile*. *Journal of Bacteriology* **188,** 8487–8495

(2006).

45. Karlsson, S., Burman, L. G. & Åkerlund, T. Induction of toxins in *Clostridium difficile*

is associated with dramatic changes of its metabolism. *Microbiology* **154,** 3430–3436

(2008).

46. Ng, K. M. *et al.* Microbiota-liberated host sugars facilitate post-antibiotic expansion

of enteric pathogens. *Nature* **502,** 96–9 (2013).

47. Matsumoto, M. *et al.* Impact of intestinal microbiota on intestinal luminal

metabolome. *Scientific reports* **2,** 233 (2012).

48. Fuller, M. F. & Reeds, P. J. Nitrogen cycling in the gut. *Annual review of nutrition* **18,**

385–411 (1998).

49. Köpke, M., Straub, M. & Dürre, P. *Clostridium difficile* Is an Autotrophic Bacterial

Pathogen. *PLoS ONE* **8,** (2013).

50. Li, C. H., Cheng, Y. W., Liao, P. L., Yang, Y. T. & Kang, J. J. Chloramphenicol

causes mitochondrial stress, decreases ATP biosynthesis, induces matrix

metalloproteinase-13 expression, and solid-tumor cell invasion. *Toxicological Sciences*

116, 140–150 (2010).

51. Emerson, J. E., Stabler, R. A., Wren, B. W. & Fairweather, N. F. Microarray analysis

of the transcriptional responses of *Clostridium difficile* to environmental and antibiotic

stress. *Journal of Medical Microbiology* **57,** 757–764 (2008).

52. Green, M. L. & Karp, P. D. The outcomes of pathway database computations

depend on pathway ontology. *Nucleic Acids Research* **34,** 3687–3697 (2006).

53. Levy, R. & Borenstein, E. Reverse Ecology: from systems to environments and

back. *Advances in experimental medicine and biology* **751,** 329–345 (2012).

54. Theriot, C. M. *et al.* Cefoperazone-treated mice as an experimental platform to

assess differential virulence of *Clostridium difficile* strains. *Gut microbes* **2,** 326–334

(2011).

55. Wilson, K. H., Kennedy, M. J. & Fekety, F. R. Use of sodium taurocholate to

enhance spore recovery on a medium selective for *Clostridium difficile*. *Journal of*

Clinical Microbiology **15,** 443–446 (1982).

56. Sorg, J. a. & Sonenshein, A. L. Inhibiting the initiation of *Clostridium difficile* spore

germination using analogs of chenodeoxycholic acid, a bile acid. *Journal of Bacteriology*

192, 4983–4990 (2010).

57. Leslie, J. L. *et al.* Persistence and toxin production by *Clostridium difficile* within

human intestinal organoids result in disruption of epithelial paracellular barrier function.

Infection and Immunity **83,** 138–145 (2015).

58. Kozich, J. (. of M. & Schloss, P. 16S Sequencing with the Illumina MiSeq Personal

Sequencer. *University of Michigan Health System SOP* **3.1,** 1–16 (2013).

59. Wang, Q., Garrity, G. M., Tiedje, J. M. & Cole, J. R. Naive Bayesian classifier for

rapid assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. *Applied and*

Environmental Microbiology **73,** 5261–5267 (2007).

60. Lopez-Medina, E., Neubauer, M. M., Pier, G. B. & Koh, A. Y. RNA isolation of

Pseudomonas aeruginosa colonizing the murine gastrointestinal tract. *Journal of*

visualized experiments : JoVE 6–9 (2011). doi:10.3791/3293

61. Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M. & Salzberg, S. L. Ultrafast and memory-

efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biol* 1–10

(2009). doi:gb-2009-10-3-r25 [pii]\r10.1186/gb-2009-10-3-r25

62. Ogata, H. *et al.* KEGG: Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. **27,** 29–34

(1999).

63. Li, H. *et al.* The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25,**

2078–2079 (2009).

64. Basler, G., Ebenhöh, O., Selbig, J. & Nikoloski, Z. Mass-balanced randomization of

metabolic networks. *Bioinformatics* **27,** 1397–1403 (2011).

a

500 transcripts

50 transcripts

5 transcripts

Clindamycin (SPF)

90%

70%

50%

30%

10%

- Amino acid catabolism
- Amino sugar metabolism
- Glycolysis−associated
- Polysaccharide metabolism
- PTS transporters
- ABC sugar transporters
- Sugar alcohol metabolism
- Fermentation product synthesis
- All genes

10%

30%

50%

Streptomycin (SPF)

70%

90%

90%

70%

50%

30%

10%

Cefoperazone (SPF)

b Clinda

Cef   Strep

Amino acid catabolism

c Clinda

Cef   Strep

Amino sugar metabolism

d Clinda

Cef   Strep

Glycolysis−associated

e Clinda

Cef   Strep

Polysaccharide metabolism

f Clinda

Cef   Strep

PTS transporters

g Clinda

Cef   Strep

ABC sugar transporters

h Clinda

Cef   Strep

Sugar alcohol metabolism

i Clinda

Cef   Strep

Fermentation product synthesis

a

b

dAdo Aminohydrolase

Enzyme node
Metabolite node

dAdo:PO_4^{-3} Ribosyltransferase

Deoxyadenosine (dAdo)
Importance = 6.554

More likely produced   More likely consumed

Importance Score

ATP:dAdo 5'–Phosphotransferase

(i) $\mu_i = \left(\dfrac{\Sigma t_i}{n(e_o)}\right)$

(ii) $\mu_o = \left(\dfrac{\Sigma t_o}{n(e_i)}\right)$

(iii) Importance(m) = $\log_2(\mu_i - \mu_o)$