Development of Narrow Spectrum ATP-competitive Kinase Inhibitors as Probes for

BIKE and AAK1

Rafael M. Couñago[1], Alison D. Axtman[2,3], Stephen J. Capuzzi[3], Hátylas Azevedo[4], David H.

Drewry[2,3], Jonathan M. Elkins[1,5], Opher Gileadi[1,5], Cristiano R. W. Guimarães[4], Alessandra

Mascarello[4], Ricardo A. M. Serafim[1], Carrow I. Wells[2,3], Timothy M. Willson[2,3], William J.

Zuercher[2,3,*]

[1]Structural Genomics Consortium, Universidade Estadual de Campinas — UNICAMP,

Campinas, SP, Brazil.

[2]Structural Genomics Consortium, UNC Eshelman School of Pharmacy, University of

North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA.

[3]Division of Chemical Biology and Medicinal Chemistry, UNC Eshelman School of

Pharmacy, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA.

[4]Aché Laboratórios Farmacêuticos SA, Guarulhos, SP, Brazil.

[5]Structural Genomics Consortium and Target Discovery Institute, Nuffield Department of

Clinical Medicine, University of Oxford, Old Road Campus Research Building, Oxford,

OX3 7DQ, UK.

*Correspondence to W. Zuercher, email: william.zuercher@unc.edu

ABSTRACT: Understanding the structural determinants of inhibitor selectivity would

facilitate the design and preparation of kinase probes. We describe a pair of matched

compounds differing only by one degree of saturation but showing dramatic differential

activities at select kinases. We utilized x-ray crystallography and computational analysis

to rationalize the basis of the differential activity.

INTRODUCTION

The human protein kinases are a family of over 500 enzymes that are involved in critical

aspects of cell signaling but are often dysregulated in disease, especially cancer [1].

Protein kinases catalyze the transfer of phosphate from the cofactor ATP to their

substrate proteins. Although ATP-competitive protein kinase inhibitors have been

successfully developed to treat a range of cancers, inflammatory and fibrotic diseases,

the function of a large number of the enzymes remains unknown [2]. Potent and

selective small molecule inhibitors are powerful tools to probe the biology of these

understudied kinases [3].

Because ATP-competitive inhibitors bind to a common active site, they often show

activity across multiple kinases. For example, staurosporine inhibits more than 200

protein kinases. These broad spectrum inhibitors are useful for initial biochemical

characterization but have little utility as probes of kinase biology [4]. By contrast, other

ATP-competitive inhibitors possess activity on only a handful of kinases. These narrow

spectrum inhibitors have found utility in exploring the function of several historically

understudied kinases [5].

To strengthen the conclusions drawn from use of narrow spectrum kinase inhibitors, a

recently established best practice is to use the probe molecule in parallel with a

negative control, a closely-related analogue that is inactive for the target kinase [6].

Demonstration of divergent results between the probe inhibitor and its negative control

increases confidence that the engagement of the target kinase was responsible for the

observed biology.

For ATP-competitive kinase inhibitors, a systematic approach to develop negative

control analogs is to synthesize a molecule that lacks the ability to form the critical hinge

binding interaction, typically composed of one or more hydrogen bonds with the highly

conserved hinge region in the enzyme [7]. These modifications result in "kinase dead"

analogues. Unfortunately, kinase dead analogues often lose affinity not only for the

primary target kinase but also for all other off-target kinases. New strategies to create

kinase probe negative controls that lose affinity selectively for their target kinase are

needed.

Here we describe a pair of compounds in which a minor structural change—namely, the

inclusion of a cyclopropyl versus an isopropyl substituent proximal to the hinge-binding

moiety—leads to unexpectedly large changes in activity within a small subset of protein

kinases but no change against many others. We used X-ray crystallography and

computational models to study the molecular basis for these differential effects on

affinity within the target and off-target kinases in an attempt to define general

approaches to design selective negative control analogs.

RESULTS

As part of a large-scale selectivity screen of ATP-mimetic kinase inhibitors, we identified

a pair of very closely related 3-acylaminoindazoles (**1** and **2**) that displayed a narrow

binding spectrum in the KINOMEscan panel of over 400 human protein kinase assays at

an inhibitor concentration of 1 μM (**S1 Table**). Structurally, **1** and **2** differed only by the

addition of two hydrogen atoms in the change from a cyclopropyl to isopropyl

carboxamide. **1** and **2** had several common kinase targets but also showed some degree

of divergence in kinase affinity profiles. A more detailed analysis of their binding

characteristics revealed that **1** had submicromolar affinity for 11 kinases and weaker

affinity for 2 additional kinases (**Table 1**), while **2** showed submicromolar affinity for

7/11 of the primary kinase targets and 1/2 of the minor targets. For most of these

kinases, only marginal differences in potency were observed between the analogs (<3-

fold). However, four kinases (AAK1, BIKE, RIOK1, and RIOK3) displayed remarkable

differential affinity between the cyclopropyl analog **1** and isopropyl analog **2** with a

preference for the former (27–150-fold).

Table 1. K_d values for selected kinases. K_d values were determined for kinases observed

to have >70% displacement of immobilized ligand with either **1** or **2** in a KINOMEscan

screen at 1 μM compound.

Kinase		K_d Ratio 2:1

	1 (R = cyclopropyl) K_d (nM)	**2** (R = *i*-Pr) K_d (nM)	
AAK1	71	8800	124
BIKE	68	>10000	>150
BRAF	100	52	0.52
RIOK1	170	9600	56
PDGFRB	250	61	0.24
KIT	290	77	0.27
RIOK3	300	8200	27
DDR1	400	150	0.38
ABL1	540	190	0.35
RAF1	580	350	0.60
PDGFRA	590	240	0.41
CSF1R	2000	1600	0.80
p38a	6900	550	0.08

AAK1 and BIKE, along with the related GAK and STK16, comprise the Numb-associated

kinase (NAK) subfamily of protein kinases [8]. NAK family members have been proposed

as potential drug targets for a wide range of therapeutic areas, including virology,

oncology, neurology, and ophthalmology [9-12]. In contrast, relatively little is known

about the relevance to cellular processes of the atypical kinases RIOK1 and RIOK3.

Accordingly, to explore the molecular basis of the remarkable differential activities of **1**

and **2**, we decided to study their interaction with the NAK family kinases. **1** and **2** were

evaluated in FRET-based ligand binding displacement assays for all four NAK family

members [13]. The cyclopropyl analog **1** showed >300-fold higher affinity for AAK1 and

BIKE relative to the isopropyl analog **2** (**Table 2**). Both compounds demonstrated weaker

affinity for GAK and STK16, although a >50-fold preference for **1** relative to **2** was

observed with the latter kinase.

Table 2. NAK family FRET-based binding displacement assay results.

Kinase	K_i (nM)		Ratio
	1	2	
AAK1	8.3	2700	320
BIKE	13	3100	240
GAK	1600	4900	3
STK16	330	19000	58

*Structural analysis of compound **1** binding*. BIKE and AAK1 are the closest members

within the NAK family and share a high sequence identity—74% over their kinase

domains and 81% within their ATP-binding sites. In order to obtain insights into the

molecular details of ligand interactions with these kinases, we determined the cocrystal

structure of BIKE kinase domain bound to compound **1** (**Table 3**), reasoning that both

kinases are likely to interact with **1** and **2** in similar manners.

Table 3. Crystal structure data collection and refinement statistics.

Protein	BIKE
Ligand	**1**
X-ray source	DLS I02
a (Å)	41.96
b (Å)	111.15
c (Å)	164.05
α, β, γ (°)	90, 90, 90
Space group	I 2 2 2
Wavelength (Å)	0.97949
Resolution limit (Å)*	28.6-2.41 (2.50-2.41)
Number of observations*	81.078 (7.855)
Completeness (%)*	99.1 (95.2)
Multiplicity*	5.3 (5.2)
Rmerge (%)*	0.074 (0.49)
Mean I/σI *	13.2 (3.1)
Refinement	
Resolution Range (Å)	21.75-2.41

Rcryst	0.176
Rfree	0.216
No. protein atoms	2,317
No. ligand atoms	28
No. solvent atoms	89
Mean B-factor (Å)	53.1
Rmsd bond lengths (Å)	0.010
Rmsd bond angles (°)	1.04
Ramachandran statistics (%)	
Favored	98.3
Allowed	1.7
Outlier	0
PDB ID	5IKW
Crystallization conditions	10% (v/v) Broad MW PEG smear, 3.2 M $MgCl_2$, 100 mM Hepes pH 7.0

* Highest resolution shell shown in parentheses.

BIKE-**1** crystals diffracted to 2.4 Å and contained a single protein chain per asymmetric

unit (chain A). Sorrell and colleagues have recently reported the structural

characterization of all four NAK family member kinase domains, including BIKE and AAK1

[8]. As previously observed, our structure of BIKE displayed a catalytically-competent

conformation in which residues in the protein regulatory spine ("R spine"; residues M99,

Y111, H178 and F199) are aligned and side-chain atoms from conserved residues in β3

and α-C (K79 and E95, respectively) form an ion pair [14, 15]. Moreover, the NAK family-

exclusive helical domain (P209 to Y224) found C-terminal of the activation segment

(from [198]DFG to APE[233] domains), dubbed ASCH (activation segment C-terminal helix) [8,

16, 17], also displayed an identical conformation in the BIKE structure described here

(**Figure 1a**).

Figure 1. Crystallographic characterization of BIKE bound to 3-acylaminoindazole **1**. (**a**) BIKE-**1** adopts an active conformation. Protein (cartoon) regions important for activity and ligand interaction are indicated and highlighted in different colors. As for other NAK-family members, the activation segment of BIKE (orange) contains a C-terminal helical segment (ASCH; in red). Inhibitor **1** (carbon atoms in pink) and BIKE residues (carbon atoms in yellow) taking part in the regulatory hydrophobic spine and the critical ion pair leading to an active conformation are shown as sticks. (**b**) Details of the BIKE-**1** interactions. Inhibitor **1** is anchored to the hinge (green ribbon) via hydrogen bonds (dashed lines) and complements the hydrophobic cavity formed by aliphatic side chains from p-loop (purple ribbon) and β-6 residues. Potential interactions between main chain carbonyl and the cyclopropane group are indicated by dashed lines. Hydrogen bonds between Asn185, Gln137 and the sulfonamide group are also shown (dashed lines). (**c**) Superposition of NAK family proteins AAK1 (blue; PDB ID 4WSQ), BIKE (grey, PDB IDs 5IKW, this work), GAK (PDB ID 4Y8D) and MPSK1 (PDB ID 2BUJ) shows variation in the p-loop region despite good alignment in the hinge region. Compound anchoring hydrogen bonds are shown for BIKE and **1** (sticks). (**d**) Electron density (2Fo-Fc) maps (grey mash; 1.0 σ contour) for **1**. (**e**) Primary sequence comparison of NAK family hinge and P-loop regions.

The cocrystal structure of **1** and BIKE reveals that 3-acylaminoindazole **1** is anchored to the protein hinge region (BIKE residues 131-137) *via* hydrogen bonds between the N atoms of the indazole group and main chain carbonyl and NH groups of residues G131 and C133, respectively. An additional hydrogen bond is made between the amino group

of **1** and the carbonyl group of C133. At the other end of the inhibitor, the sulfonamide

group engages residues Q137 and N185 via hydrogen bonds. These interactions position

the pendant aliphatic group into a hydrophobic cavity within the P-loop formed by

residues V65 and S65. The indazole and the aniline rings are not coplanar to each other.

This flexibility provides excellent shape-complementarity between the inhibitor and the

ATP-binding pocket; especially with the aliphatic side chains from L187 and from p-loop

residues L57, A58 and V65. There is also a sulfur-π interaction between the aniline ring

and the sulfur atom of C197 (**Figure 1b**). Residue C197 is adjacent to the protein DFG

motif and has been proposed as a site of covalent modification by the inhibitor (5*Z*)-7-

oxozeaenol [8]. The cyclopropane group adjacent to the carboxyl group in **1** is

accommodated within a sharp turn of the peptide backbone involving residues

occupying positions +3, +4 and +5 from the so-called gatekeeper, or GK, residue (M130

in BIKE). This kink in the peptide backbone allows the carbonyl groups of residues GK+3

(C133) and GK+4 (R134) to point towards the cyclopropyl C-H group, approaching at a

distance of 3.6 and 3.3 Å, respectively (**Figure 1b**).

We compared our BIKE-**1** structure with published structures of DDR1 (PDB: 5FDP),

BRAF (PDB: 4XV9), AAK1 (PDB: 4WSQ), and RIOK1 (PDB: 4OTP) to attempt to rationalize

the structural basis differential activity of **1** and **2** on some but not all kinases. Surface

electrostatic potential is quite different for kinases that show differential activity

compared with those that do not. AAK1, BIKE, and RIOK1 have bland surface charge

where the critical cyclopropyl moiety of **1** is accommodated within the binding pocket.

In contrast, BRAF and DDR1 have highly charged surfaces around their

corresponding hinge binding regions.

Superimposing our BIKE-**1** structure with the previously published structures from the

NAK family [8] by aligning the hinge residues (rmsd < 0.3 Å for 7 equivalent Cα) revealed

that the P-loop of STK16 and GAK are substantially displaced compared to the position

occupied by this region in BIKE and AAK1 structures (**Figure 1d**). The extensive contacts

1 makes with the P-loop may explain its selectivity for BIKE and AAK1 over the other two

members of the NAK family. Interestingly, the primary sequence alignment of NAK

family members reveals that sequence conservation is high for the P-loop region and

low for the hinge moiety (**Figure 1e**).

Computational studies. A range of computational methodologies was applied to shed

light on the differential affinities of **1** and **2**. Energy minimization calculations in the

unbound state using the OPLS3 force field [18] and the GB/SA [19] solvation model

suggest that dehydration penalty upon binding is not a dominant factor, as similar

hydration values were obtained for **1** (-29.8 kcal/mol) versus **2** (-29.2 kcal/mol); the

cyclopropyl analog was actually slightly more penalized. To investigate the electronic

properties, electrostatic potential derived atomic charges using the B3LYP exchange-

correlation energy functional [20] and the 6-31G** basis set [21] were obtained in the

gas phase. In this case, striking differences were observed for the C-H bond adjacent to

the carbonyl group; it was much more polarized for cyclopropyl than isopropyl (**Figure**

2), with no major differences for the adjacent amide.

Figure 2. Computationally generated electrostatic potential (ESP) derived atomic charges for (A) **2** and (B) **1**.

Beyond defining potential enthalpic differences between cyclopropyl and isopropyl substituents, we also investigated possible entropic differences between **1** and **2** for selected kinases. The Glide docking application was used to predict binding poses in each investigated kinase, and the components of entropy change (translational, rotational, and vibrational) upon binding of **1** and **2** to the kinases were calculated using the RRHO approximation (**Table 4**). Translational and rotational entropic losses were essentially equivalent for **1** and **2** at any given kinase. However, the vibrational entropic gain was higher for **1** with all kinases except p38α. Interestingly, upon binding, the largest overall changes in entropy, or $\Delta(T\Delta S_{bind})$, between **1** and **2** were observed for AAK**1** and BIKE. These two kinases displayed the two largest K_d ratios. $\Delta(T\Delta S_{bind})$ between **1** and **2** was markedly lower for those kinases not displaying significant activity cliffs. However, there are two exceptions to this observation, namely KIT and RIOK1. The former displayed a significant $\Delta(T\Delta S_{bind})$ between **1** and **2**, although there is not a significant difference in affinity. With RIOK**1**, the entropic difference between **1** and **2** was modest, despite a K_d ratio **2:1** of 56 (**Table 1**).

Table 4. Thermodynamic parameters of binding **1** and **2** with kinases.

Kinase	PDB	Cmpd	$T\Delta S_{bind}$				$\Delta(T\Delta S_{bind})$
			Trans	**Rot**	**Vib**	**Total**	
AAK1	5L4Q	**1**	-11.30	-11.14	4.70	-17.75	3.54
		2	-11.31	-11.07	1.09	-21.29	
BIKE	5IKW	**1**	-11.30	-11.07	4.64	-17.73	2.51
		2	-11.31	-11.10	2.17	-20.24	

p38a	5LAR	1	-11.30	-11.13	3.35	-19.09	-0.10
		2	-11.31	-11.17	3.49	-18.98	
ABL	4ZOG	1	-11.30	-11.05	3.15	-19.20	1.64
		2	-11.31	-11.04	1.51	-20.84	
KIT	4U0I	1	-11.30	-11.13	3.09	-19.34	3.23
		2	-11.31	-11.18	-0.08	-22.57	
DDR1	5FDP	1	-11.30	-11.06	3.84	-18.52	1.45
		2	-11.31	-11.08	2.41	-19.97	
CSF1R	4R7H	1	-11.30	-11.10	2.21	-20.19	1.07
		2	-11.31	-11.10	1.14	-21.27	
RIOK1	4OTP	1	-11.30	-11.03	6.66	-15.68	0.64
		2	-11.31	-11.10	6.09	-16.32	
RAF1	3OMV	1	-11.30	-11.06	2.82	-19.54	1.52
		2	-11.31	-11.09	1.34	-21.06	

DISCUSSION

The term "activity cliff" has been proposed to describe pairs of structurally similar

compounds, like **1** and **2**, with a large difference in potency relative to a common target

[22]. There have been other reports of kinase inhibitor activity cliffs involving

cyclopropyl and isopropyl carboxamides proximal to their hinge binding moiety (**Table**

5). The 2-cyclopropylcarboxamidopyridine **3a** showed >100x higher affinity for TYK2

than the isopropyl analog **3b** [23]. This effect is not limited to binding assays as

triazolopyrimidines **4** show >100x difference IC_{50} value in a JAK1 biochemical activity

assay [24]. Similarly, **5a** displayed a much higher inhibition potency than **5b** in an

enzymatic activity assay of mutant B-Raf[V600E] activity [25]. These examples substantiate

our observations that subtle changes in structure can lead to selective inhibition of

specific protein kinases. To the best of our knowledge, **3**-**5** were not subjected to broad

kinome activity characterization, and the breadth of the associated activity cliffs has not

been evaluated.

Table 5. Previously reported matched pairs of kinase inhibitors demonstrating an affinity

or activity cliff between cyclopropyl and isopropyl compounds.

Structure	Activity
 3	TYK2 K_i **3a** R = Cyclopropyl: 4.8 nM **3b** = Isopropyl: 840 nM Ref: [23]
 4	JAK1 IC_{50} **4a**: R = Cyclopropyl: 60 nM **4b**: R = Isopropyl: >10000 nM Ref: [24]
 5	B-Raf^{V600E} IC_{50} **5a**: R = Cyclopropyl: 35 nM **5b**: R = Isopropyl: 420 nM Ref: [25]

The cyclopropyl ring is a versatile lipophilic group that frequently appears in preclinical

and clinical candidate molecules. It has been described to have "magical" properties

that can enhance potency, reduce off-target effects, increase metabolic stability and

brain permeability, yet the precise molecular basis for these effects remains poorly

understood [26]. Theoretical studies on cyclopropane and its derivatives suggest that

atomic bonding results mainly from the overlap of three sp^2 hybrids (one on each

carbon) pointing towards the center of the ring. Thus, the electron distribution in the C-

C internuclear region is not concentrated along the line between the nuclei, but rather

slightly outside this line. As a consequence, the C-C bonds have enhanced π character,

and the C-H bonds have more *s* character. In other words, the cyclopropyl ring behaves

much like an alkene, interacts with neighboring π-electron systems, and has CH bonds

with increased acidity relative to standard alkanes [27]. These effects are apparent

when the emerging negative charge on the C-H carbon is stabilized by conjugation, such

as the one between the π-like cyclopropyl and an amide carbonyl group in **1**. Calculated

electrostatic potential charges, as demonstrated above, may contribute to the activity

difference between **1** and **2**.

3-Acylaminoindazoles are a known class of ATP-competitive protein kinase inhibitors

[28]. The heterocyclic core mimics the adenosine base of ATP and forms hydrogen

bonds with the hinge motif of the enzyme. **1** and **2**, differing by only two hydrogen

atoms were screened across 400 human protein kinases to define both compounds as

narrow spectrum inhibitors, with high affinity binding to <3% of the kinases surveyed.

Both **1** and **2** bound to seven kinases (ABL1, BRAF, DDR1, KIT, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, and

RAF1) with submicromolar affinity. Surprisingly, cyclopropyl amide **1** bound to an

additional four kinases (AAK1, BIKE, RIOK1, and RIOK3) where isopropyl amide **2** was not

effective. Thus, this pair of ATP-competitive kinase inhibitors have the potential to be

used to probe selectively the biology of just 4 protein kinases while controlling for over

400 others in the human genome.

BIKE and AAK1 are both members of the NAK (Numb-associated kinase) family of

protein kinases and share close sequence identity within their catalytic sites. Both

kinases are potently inhibited by the 3-acylaminoindazole **1** and are likely to interact

with the inhibitor through conserved interactions. The BIKE-**1** cocrystal structure

provides a clear view into the molecular details of the enzyme-ligand interaction. The

critical cyclopropyl carboxamide sits in a pocket adjacent to the hinge-binding residues

and is orientated toward the protein surface. The amide NH of **1** and the cyclopropyl

ring C-H sit in close proximity (2.70 and 3.29 Å, respectively) to the Cys133 carbonyl

oxygen (**Figure 3**). Our quantum mechanical calculations showed that the cyclopropyl

group has an increased polarization across the ring C-H compared the corresponding

isopropyl analogue. The complex between the cyclopropyl derivative **1** and BIKE is

indicative of a CH-O nonconventional hydrogen bond. Electrostatic interactions

dominate hydrogen bonds and the increased polarization of the cyclopropyl C-H bond,

as evidenced by the electrostatic potential charges, may explain why **1** shows

significantly higher affinity for AAK1 and BIKE than the isopropyl analog **2** but leaves

unanswered the question about why **1** and **2** are equipotent at other kinases.

Figure 3. (A) **1** bound in BIKE active site. (B) Two dimensional compound interaction

diagram of **1** and BIKE depicting adjacent residues and key interactions.

Modulating entropy contributions has previously been proposed as a means to increase

binding affinity between small molecules and proteins [29]. Upon binding, ligands lose

degrees of freedom with respect to translational, rotational, and vibrational motion.

This loss of freedom constitutes a loss of entropy, which disfavors binding. Given its

triangular nature and smaller size, the cyclopropyl group may vibrate more freely within

the protein, which favor binding when compared to the isopropyl group. Importantly,

depending on the protein environment and architecture, this effect can be more

pronounced. In our cocrystal structure of BIKE and **1**, the cyclopropyl group of interest is

more solvent exposed. As such, this group is not as restricted by the binding site and

does not experience a significant loss in vibrational entropy, which may be driving the

activity cliff observed between **1** and **2**. Kinases for which an activity cliff between **1** and

2 is not observed may have the cyclopropyl group less solvent exposed, leading to a

larger vibrational entropy loss.

We have suggested that differences in electrostatic potential or in vibrational entropy

changes may help to rationalize the activity cliffs between **1** and **2** in some kinases but

not in others. We recognize that there are myriad other subtle changes in the binding

pockets that must also be explored to enable prediction of which kinases can be

differentially inhibited by matched ligand pairs like **1** and **2**. Our enhanced

understanding of the differential interactions of **1** and **2** within the binding pockets of

AAK1, BIKE, and other kinases will improve our ability to produce narrow spectrum

probes with optimal negative control analogs for use in biological studies.

Supporting Information

S1 Table. Results of KINOMEscan and select K_d determinations for 1 and 2.

KINOMEscan assay panel and associated K_d determinations were conducted at

DiscoverX Corporation. Compounds were evaluated as single measurements at 1 μM.

Displacement percentage ("Disp %") reflects the extent to which the compound

displaced the kinase construct from an immobilized ligand. K_d values were determined

for all kinases with ≥70% displacement with **1** in the KINOMEscan screen at 1 μM

compound and selected other kinases.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

N-(6-(3-(cyclopropanesulfonamido)phenyl)-1*H*-indazol-3-yl)cyclopropanecarboxamide

(**1**). ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, Methanol-d_4) δ 7.83 − 7.78 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.61 − 7.54 (m,

2H), 7.46 − 7.30 (m, 3H), 7.29 − 7.23 (ddd, *J* = 7.7, 2.2, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 2.62 − 2.49 (tt, *J* = 8.0,

4.8 Hz, 1H), 1.95 − 1.84 (ddd, *J* = 12.5, 7.9, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 1.07 − 0.77 (m, 8H). MS+ (− ES

API) - 397.1

N-(6-(3-(cyclopropanesulfonamido)phenyl)-1*H*-indazol-3-yl)isobutyramide (**2**). ^{1}H NMR

(400 MHz, Methanol-d_4) δ 7.82 − 7.75 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.62 − 7.54 (dt, *J* = 10.9, 1.5 Hz,

2H), 7.47 − 7.31 (m, 3H), 7.31 − 7.22 (ddd, *J* = 7.8, 2.2, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 2.84 − 2.69 (hept, *J* =

6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.61 − 2.50 (tt, *J* = 8.0, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 1.29 − 1.15 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 6H), 1.14 − 0.82

(m, 4H). MS+ (− ES API) - 399.1

DiscoverX kinase affinity measurements. KINOMEscan assay panel profiling and

associated K_d determinations were obtained at DiscoverX Corporation using their

previously described methodology [30, 31].

Binding displacement assays. Inhibitor binding was determined using a binding-displacement assay, which tests the ability of the inhibitors to displace a fluorescent tracer compound from the ATP binding site of the kinase domain. Inhibitors were dissolved in DMSO and dispensed as 16-point, 2x serial dilutions in duplicate into black multiwell plates (Greiner). Each well contained either 0.5 nM or 1 nM biotinylated kinase domain protein ligated to streptavidin-Tb-cryptate (Cisbio), 12.5 nM or 25 nM Kinase Tracer 236 (ThermoFisher Scientific), 10 mM Hepes pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT, 0.01% BSA, 0.01% Tween-20. Final assay volume for each data point was 5 µL, and final DMSO concentration was 1%. The plate was incubated at room temperature for 1.5 hours and then read using a TR-FRET protocol on a PheraStarFS plate reader (BMG Labtech). The data was normalized to 0% and 100% inhibition control values and fitted to a four parameter dose-response binding curve in GraphPad Software. The determined IC_{50} values were converted to K_i values using the Cheng-Prusoff equation and the concentration and K_d values for the tracer (previously determined).

Cloning, Expression, Purification and crystallization. $BIKE_{38-345 \text{ (K320A, K321A)}}$ with a tobacco etch virus (TEV) protease cleavable, N-terminal 6xHis tag was expressed from vector pNIC-ZB. To improve BIKE crystallization, a cluster of surface entropy reduction mutations [32] was engineered into the expression construct, K320A/K321A [8]. For protein production, the host *E. coli* strain, BL21(DE3)-R3 expressing lambda phosphatase, was cultivated in TB medium (+ 50 µg/ml kanamycin, 35 µg/ml chloramphenicol) at $37^{\circ}C$ until OD_{600} reached ~3 and then cooled to $18^{\circ}C$ for 1 hour. Isopropyl 1-thio-D-galactopyranoside was added to 0.1 mM, and growth continued at

18°C overnight. The cells were collected by centrifugation then resuspended in 2x lysis

buffer (100 mM HEPES buffer, pH 7.5, 1.0 M NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 1.0 mM tris(2-

carboxyethyl)phosphine, 2x Protease Inhibitors Cocktail Set VII (Calbiochem, 1/1000

dilution) and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Cells were lysed by sonication on ice. The

resulting proteins were purified using Ni-Sepharose resin (GE Healthcare) and eluted

stepwise in binding buffer with 300 mM imidazole. Removal of the hexahistidine tag was

performed at 4°C overnight using recombinant TEV protease. Proteins were further

purified using reverse affinity chromatography on Ni-Sepharose followed by gel

filtration (Superdex 200 16/60, GE Healthcare). Protein in gel filtration buffer (25 mM

HEPES, 500 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP, 5% [v/v] glycerol) was concentrated to 12 mg/ml

using 30 kDa MWCO centrifugal concentrators (Millipore) at 4°C. 3-acylaminoindazole **1**

in 100% DMSO was added to the protein in a 3-fold molar excess and incubated on ice

for approximately 30 minutes. The mixture was centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 10

minutes at 4°C prior to setting up 150 nL volume sitting drops at three ratios (2:1, 1:1, or

1:2 protein-inhibitor complex to reservoir solution). Crystallization experiments were

performed at 20°C. Crystals were cryoprotected in mother liquor supplemented with 20-

25% glycerol before flash-freezing in liquid nitrogen for data collection. Diffraction data

were collected at the Diamond Light Source. The best diffracting crystals grew under the

conditions described in **Table 1**. When noted, crystal optimization used Newman's

buffer system [33] and defined PEG smears [34].

Structure Solution and Refinement. Diffraction data were integrated using XDS [35] and

scaled using AIMLESS from the CCP4 software suite [36]. Molecular replacement for was

performed with Phaser [37] using BIKE/AZD7762 (PDB ID: 4W9W) [8] as a search model.

Automated model building was performed with Buccanner [38]. Automated refinement

was performed in PHENIX [39]. Coot [40] was used for manual model building and

refinement. Structure validation was performed using MolProbity [41]. Structure factors

and coordinates have been deposited in the PDB (see Table 1).

Computation. Solvation free energies and quantum mechanical calculations were run

using Macromodel ([42]) and Jaguar ([43]) from Schrodinger Inc. Further computational

studies were performed using Maestro 11 from Schrodinger (Schrödinger Release 2016-

4: Maestro, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2016). The lowest energy configurations for

compounds **1** and **2** at pH 7 were generated with the Ligand Preparation application

(Schrödinger Release 2016-4: LigPrep, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2016). Next, PDB

crystal structures for AAK1, BIKE and the other kinases in Table 4 were downloaded and

prepared for docking. Briefly, bond orders were assigned, hydrogen atoms were added,

selenomethionine residues were converted to methionines, and missing side chains

were filled with the Prime application. The protein grid for docking was set by selecting

the center of the cocrystal ligand in the PDB structure. The Glide application was used

for docking of 1 and 2 to all kinases (Schrödinger Release 2016-4: Glide, Schrödinger,

LLC, New York, NY, 2016.). Flexible ligand sampling was allowed with the XP (extra

precision) scoring function (http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/jm051256o). Once the

ligands were docked and the lowest energy poses were retrieved, a Rigid Rotor

Harmonic Oscillation (RRHO) approximation was performed on the theoretical protein-

ligand complex (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3329805/). For the

RRHO approximation, an OPLS_2005 force field was applied

(http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.jctc.5b00864).

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Aled Edwards is acknowledged for illuminating discussions and encouragement in the

preparation of this manuscript. We thank Diamond Light Source for access to beamline

I03 (proposal number MX14664) that contributed to the results presented here. The

SGC is a registered charity (number 1097737) that receives funds from AbbVie, Bayer

Pharma AG, Boehringer Ingelheim, Canada Foundation for Innovation, Eshelman

Institute for Innovation, Genome Canada, Innovative Medicines Initiative (EU/EFPIA),

Janssen, Merck & Co., Novartis Pharma AG, Ontario Ministry of Economic Development

and Innovation, Pfizer, São Paulo Research Foundation-FAPESP, Takeda, and Wellcome

Trust.

Figure 1.

Figure 2.

A

387   **Figure 3.**

A

B

REFERENCES

1. Cohen P, Alessi DR. Kinase drug discovery--what's next in the field? ACS Chem
Biol. 2013;8(1):96-104. doi: 10.1021/cb300610s. PubMed PMID: 23276252; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC4208300.

2. Fedorov O, Muller S, Knapp S. The (un)targeted cancer kinome. Nat Chem Biol.
2010;6(3):166-9. doi: 10.1038/nchembio.297. PubMed PMID: 20154661.

3. Uitdehaag JC, Verkaar F, Alwan H, de Man J, Buijsman RC, Zaman GJ. A guide to
picking the most selective kinase inhibitor tool compounds for pharmacological
validation of drug targets. Br J Pharmacol. 2012;166(3):858-76. doi: 10.1111/j.1476-
5381.2012.01859.x. PubMed PMID: 22250956; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC3417414.

4. Ruegg UT, Burgess GM. Staurosporine, K-252 and UCN-01: potent but
nonspecific inhibitors of protein kinases. Trends Pharmacol Sci. 1989;10(6):218-20.
PubMed PMID: 2672462.

5. Knapp S, Arruda P, Blagg J, Burley S, Drewry DH, Edwards A, et al. A public-
private partnership to unlock the untargeted kinome. Nat Chem Biol. 2013;9(1):3-6. doi:
10.1038/nchembio.1113. PubMed PMID: 23238671.

6. Arrowsmith CH, Audia JE, Austin C, Baell J, Bennett J, Blagg J, et al. The promise
and peril of chemical probes. Nat Chem Biol. 2015;11(8):536-41. doi:
10.1038/nchembio.1867. PubMed PMID: 26196764.

7. Xing L, Klug-Mcleod J, Rai B, Lunney EA. Kinase hinge binding scaffolds and their
hydrogen bond patterns. Bioorg Med Chem. 2015;23(19):6520-7. doi:
10.1016/j.bmc.2015.08.006. PubMed PMID: 26358279.

8. Sorrell FJ, Szklarz M, Abdul Azeez KR, Elkins JM, Knapp S. Family-wide Structural
Analysis of Human Numb-Associated Protein Kinases. Structure. 2016;24(3):401-11. doi:
10.1016/j.str.2015.12.015. PubMed PMID: 26853940; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC4780864.

9. Liu HP, Lin YJ, Lin WY, Wan L, Sheu JJ, Lin HJ, et al. A novel genetic variant of
BMP2K contributes to high myopia. J Clin Lab Anal. 2009;23(6):362-7. doi:
10.1002/jcla.20344. PubMed PMID: 19927351.

10. Neveu G, Ziv-Av A, Barouch-Bentov R, Berkerman E, Mulholland J, Einav S. AP-2-
associated protein kinase 1 and cyclin G-associated kinase regulate hepatitis C virus
entry and are potential drug targets. J Virol. 2015;89(8):4387-404. doi:
10.1128/JVI.02705-14. PubMed PMID: 25653444; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMC4442395.

11. Sakurai MA, Ozaki Y, Okuzaki D, Naito Y, Sasakura T, Okamoto A, et al. Gefitinib
and luteolin cause growth arrest of human prostate cancer PC-3 cells via inhibition of
cyclin G-associated kinase and induction of miR-630. PLoS One. 2014;9(6):e100124. doi:
10.1371/journal.pone.0100124. PubMed PMID: 24971999; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC4074034.

12. Shi B, Conner SD, Liu J. Dysfunction of endocytic kinase AAK1 in ALS. Int J Mol Sci.
2014;15(12):22918-32. doi: 10.3390/ijms151222918. PubMed PMID: 25514244;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4284746.

13.   Lebakken CS, Riddle SM, Singh U, Frazee WJ, Eliason HC, Gao Y, et al.
Development and applications of a broad-coverage, TR-FRET-based kinase binding assay
platform. J Biomol Screen. 2009;14(8):924-35. doi: 10.1177/1087057109339207.
PubMed PMID: 19564447.
14.   Huse M, Kuriyan J. The conformational plasticity of protein kinases. Cell.
2002;109(3):275-82. PubMed PMID: 12015977.
15.   Kornev AP, Taylor SS. Defining the conserved internal architecture of a protein
kinase. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2010;1804(3):440-4. doi: 10.1016/j.bbapap.2009.10.017.
PubMed PMID: 19879387; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3435107.
16.   Chaikuad A, Keates T, Vincke C, Kaufholz M, Zenn M, Zimmermann B, et al.
Structure of cyclin G-associated kinase (GAK) trapped in different conformations using
nanobodies. Biochem J. 2014;459(1):59-69. doi: 10.1042/BJ20131399. PubMed PMID:
24438162; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3957475.
17.   Eswaran J, Bernad A, Ligos JM, Guinea B, Debreczeni JE, Sobott F, et al. Structure
of the human protein kinase MPSK1 reveals an atypical activation loop architecture.
Structure. 2008;16(1):115-24. doi: 10.1016/j.str.2007.10.026. PubMed PMID: 18184589;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2194165.
18.   Harder E, Damm W, Maple J, Wu C, Reboul M, Xiang JY, et al. OPLS3: A Force
Field Providing Broad Coverage of Drug-like Small Molecules and Proteins. J Chem
Theory Comput. 2016;12(1):281-96. doi: 10.1021/acs.jctc.5b00864. PubMed PMID:
26584231.
19.   Still WC, Tempczyk A, Hawley RC, Hendrickson T. Semianalytical Treatment of
Solvation for Molecular Mechanics and Dynamics. J Am Chem Soc. 1990;112:6127-9.
20.   Stephens PJ, Devlin FJ, Chabalowski CF, Frisch MJ. J Phys Chem. 1994;98:11623.
21.   Frisch MJ, Pople JA, Binkley JS. J Chem Phys. 1984:3265.
22.   Stumpfe D, Bajorath J. Exploring activity cliffs in medicinal chemistry. J Med
Chem. 2012;55(7):2932-42. doi: 10.1021/jm201706b. PubMed PMID: 22236250.
23.   Liang J, Tsui V, Van Abbema A, Bao L, Barrett K, Beresini M, et al. Lead
identification of novel and selective TYK2 inhibitors. Eur J Med Chem. 2013;67:175-87.
doi: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2013.03.070. PubMed PMID: 23867602.
24.   Menet CJ, Fletcher SR, Van Lommen G, Geney R, Blanc J, Smits K, et al.
Triazolopyridines as selective JAK1 inhibitors: from hit identification to GLPG0634. J Med
Chem. 2014;57(22):9323-42. doi: 10.1021/jm501262q. PubMed PMID: 25369270.
25.   Li X, Shen J, Tan L, Zhang Z, Gao D, Luo J, et al. Design and synthesis of N-(4-
aminopyridin-2-yl)amides as B-Raf(V600E) inhibitors. Bioorg Med Chem Lett.
2016;26(12):2760-3. doi: 10.1016/j.bmcl.2016.04.076. PubMed PMID: 27155899.
26.   Talele TT. The "Cyclopropyl Fragment" is a Versatile Player that Frequently
Appears in Preclinical/Clinical Drug Molecules. J Med Chem. 2016;59(19):8712-56. doi:
10.1021/acs.jmedchem.6b00472. PubMed PMID: 27299736.
27.   Galano A, Alvarez-Idaboy J, Vivier-Bunge A. Non-alkane behavior of cyclopropane
and its derivatives: characterization of unconventional hydrogen bond interactions.
Theor Chem Account. 2007;118:597-606.
28.   Witherington J, Bordas V, Gaiba A, Naylor A, Rawlings AD, Slingsby BP, et al. 6-
heteroaryl-pyrazolo[3,4-b]pyridines: potent   and   selective   inhibitors   of   glycogen

synthase kinase-3 (GSK-3). Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2003;13(18):3059-62. PubMed PMID:
12941333.

29.   Chang CE, Chen W, Gilson MK. Ligand configurational entropy and protein
binding. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007;104(5):1534-9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0610494104.
PubMed PMID: 17242351; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC1780070.

30.   Davis MI, Hunt JP, Herrgard S, Ciceri P, Wodicka LM, Pallares G, et al.
Comprehensive   analysis   of   kinase   inhibitor   selectivity.   Nat   Biotechnol.
2011;29(11):1046-51. doi: 10.1038/nbt.1990. PubMed PMID: 22037378.

31.   Fabian MA, Biggs WH, 3rd, Treiber DK, Atteridge CE, Azimioara MD, Benedetti
MG, et al. A small molecule-kinase interaction map for clinical kinase inhibitors. Nat
Biotechnol. 2005;23(3):329-36. doi: 10.1038/nbt1068. PubMed PMID: 15711537.

32.   Derewenda ZS. Rational protein crystallization by mutational surface
engineering. Structure. 2004;12(4):529-35. doi: 10.1016/j.str.2004.03.008. PubMed
PMID: 15062076.

33.   Newman J. Novel buffer systems for macromolecular crystallization. Acta
crystallographica Section D, Biological crystallography. 2004;60(Pt 3):610-2. doi:
10.1107/S0907444903029640. PubMed PMID: 14993709.

34.   Chaikuad A, Knapp S, von Delft F. Defined PEG smears as an alternative approach
to enhance the search for crystallization conditions and crystal-quality improvement in
reduced screens. Acta crystallographica Section D, Biological crystallography. 2015;71(Pt
8):1627-39. doi: 10.1107/S1399004715007968. PubMed PMID: 26249344; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMC4528798.

35.   Kabsch W. Xds. Acta crystallographica Section D, Biological crystallography.
2010;66(Pt 2):125-32. doi: 10.1107/S0907444909047337. PubMed PMID: 20124692;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2815665.

36.   Winn MD, Ballard CC, Cowtan KD, Dodson EJ, Emsley P, Evans PR, et al. Overview
of the CCP4 suite and current developments. Acta crystallographica Section D, Biological
crystallography. 2011;67(Pt 4):235-42. doi: 10.1107/S0907444910045749. PubMed
PMID: 21460441; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3069738.

37.   McCoy AJ, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, Adams PD, Winn MD, Storoni LC, Read RJ.
Phaser crystallographic software. Journal of applied crystallography. 2007;40(Pt 4):658-
74. doi: 10.1107/S0021889807021206. PubMed PMID: 19461840; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMC2483472.

38.   Cowtan K. The Buccaneer software for automated model building. 1. Tracing
protein chains. Acta crystallographica Section D, Biological crystallography. 2006;62(Pt
9):1002-11. doi: 10.1107/S0907444906022116. PubMed PMID: 16929101.

39.   Zhang KY, Cowtan K, Main P. Combining constraints for electron-density
modification. Methods in enzymology. 1997;277:53-64. PubMed PMID: 18488305.

40.   Emsley P, Lohkamp B, Scott WG, Cowtan K. Features and development of Coot.
Acta crystallographica Section D, Biological crystallography. 2010;66(Pt 4):486-501. doi:
10.1107/S0907444910007493. PubMed PMID: 20383002; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMC2852313.

41.   Chen VB, Arendall WB, 3rd, Headd JJ, Keedy DA, Immormino RM, Kapral GJ, et al.
MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. Acta

520 crystallographica Section D, Biological crystallography. 2010;66(Pt 1):12-21. doi:
521 10.1107/S0907444909042073. PubMed PMID: 20057044; PubMed Central PMCID:
522 PMC2803126.
523 42. Schrödinger Release 2016-3: MacroModel, Schrödinger, LLC New York, NY, 2016.
524 43. Schrödinger Release 2016-4: Jaguar, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2016.
525