Host autophagosomes are diverted to a plant-pathogen interface

- 3 Yasin F Dagdas^{1,4,*}, Pooja Pandey^{2*}, Nattapong Sanguankiattichai², Yasin Tumtas²,
- 4 Khaoula Belhaj¹, Cian Duggan², Maria E Segretin³, Sophien Kamoun^{1¶}, Tolga O Bozkurt^{2¶}
- ¹The Sainsbury Laboratory, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, NR4 7UH, UK.
- 7 ²Imperial College London, Department of Life Sciences, London, UK.
- 8 ³INGEBI-CONICET, Ciudad Auto noma de Buenos Aires, Argentina.
- ⁴The Gregor Mendel Institute of Molecular Plant Biology, Dr. Bohr-Gasse 3, 1030, Vienna,
- 10 Austria

1

2

5

11

12

14

16

181920

21

- *These authors contributed equally to this project.
- 15 ¶Corresponding authors.
- 17 E-mail: sophien.kamoun@tsl.ac.uk and o.bozkurt@imperial.ac.uk

Abstract

- 22 Filamentous plant pathogens and symbionts invade their host cells but remain enveloped by
- 23 host-derived membranes. The mechanisms underlying the biogenesis and functions of these
- 24 host-microbe interfaces are poorly understood. Recently, we showed that PexRD54, an
- 25 effector from the Irish potato famine pathogen *Phytophthora infestans*, binds host protein
- 26 ATG8CL to stimulate autophagosome formation and deplete the selective autophagy
- 27 receptor Joka2 from ATG8CL complexes. Here, we show that during *P. infestans* infection,
- 28 ATG8CL autophagosomes are diverted to the pathogen interface. Our findings are
- 29 consistent with the view that the pathogen coopts host selective autophagy for its own
- 30 benefit.

31

Introduction

Plants intimately interact with a diverse range of pathogenic and symbiotic microbes, which typically produce specialized structures, such as haustoria and arbuscules, to invade the host cell space. Regardless of the interaction, these specialized structures are surrounded by membranes derived from the host endomembrane system (Koh et al., 2005; Le Fevre et al., 2015; Micali et al., 2011). These interfaces between the host and the invading microbes mediate inter-organismal communication enabling nutrient and macromolecule trafficking (Gutjahr and Parniske, 2013; Pumplin et al., 2012). However, our understanding of the origin and biogenesis of these host-microbe interfaces remains limited. In particular, the extent to which host accommodation membranes are shaped by the invading microbes is unclear.

Similar to many other filamentous plant pathogens, the potato late blight pathogen *P. infestans* produces haustoria, hyphal extensions that invaginate the host cell membrane (Whisson et al., 2016). Strikingly, the host accommodation membrane, also known as the extra haustorial membrane (EHM) contrasts sharply with the adjacent plasma membrane in both protein and lipid composition (Bozkurt et al., 2014; Lu et al., 2012; Schornack et al., 2009). Many of the tested membrane-localized proteins, notably cell surface immune receptors, are excluded from the EHM (Lu et al., 2012). A few exceptions include the membrane associated remorin protein REM1.3 and the vesicle fusion protein Synaptotagmin 1 (SYT1) (Bozkurt et al., 2014), which label distinct discrete membrane microdomains. Thus the EHM is not uniform, with multiple membrane sources probably contributing to its biogenesis (Bozkurt et al., 2015). The emerging model is that multiple trafficking pathways are diverted to haustoria with some degree of specificity. For example, whereas the vacuole-targeted late endocytic pathway marked by the Rab7 small GTPase RabG3C is diverted toward the EHM during *P. infestans* infection, another vacuole targeted protein Suc4 is not diverted (Bozkurt et al., 2015).

Autophagy is an evolutionary conserved membrane trafficking pathway that mediates removal or relocation of cytoplasmic components (Stolz et al., 2014). Autophagy involves formation of double membrane vesicles called autophagosomes coated with lipid bound ATG8 proteins. Autophagy cargoes are typically engulfed into autophagosomes and carried to the vacuole for cellular recycling (Lamb et al., 2013). We recently showed that a selective form of autophagy mediated by association of the plant autophagy cargo receptor Joka2 with the autophagy adaptor ATG8CL contributes to defense (Dagdas et al., 2016). To counteract this, *P. infestans* deploys an RXLR-WY type effector, PexRD54, carrying an ATG8 interacting motif (AIM) that mediates ATG8CL binding and depletes Joka2 from ATG8CL

complexes (Dagdas et al., 2016). Interestingly, both PexRD54 and Joka2 preferably bind and stimulate formation of autophagosomes marked by potato ATG8CL over ATG8IL, highlighting the selective nature of the process (Dagdas et al., 2016). However, the fate of PexRD54 and Joka2 labeled autophagosomes during pathogen attack remains to be elucidated.

Here we investigated the subcellular dynamics of pathogen-targeted autophagy during *P. infestans* infection. We show that ATG8CL labeled autophagosomes accumulate around the haustoria, whereas ATG8IL labelled puncta rarely appear at the pathogen interface. Furthermore, an ATG8CL lipidation-deficient mutant remains cytoplasmic and fails to form perihaustorial puncta. Consistently, PexRD54 colocalizes with perihaustorial ATG8CL-autophagosomes in a manner that requires a functional AIM. Finally, Joka2 also accumulates at ATG8CL labeled perihaustorial puncta, indicating rerouting of autophagosomes towards the pathogen interface.

Results and Discussion

ATG8CL autophagosomes localize to haustoria in infected plants.

To investigate subcellular dynamics of autophagy during infection, we first visualized transiently expressed GFP:ATG8CL in *N. benthamiana* epidermal cells during *P. infestans* infection. GFP:ATG8CL labeled autophagosomes frequently accumulated around the haustoria (73% of observations, *N*=60) labeled by the EHM marker REM1.3 (Figure 1A, Video 1-2, Figure 1 Supplementary Data). Unlike GFP:ATG8CL, autophagy deficient GFP:ATG8CLΔ mutant did not label any perihaustorial puncta (0%, *N*=30) and showed diffuse cytoplasmic signal similar to the GFP control (0% *N*=20) (Figure 1B-C, Figure 1 Supplementary Data). To test whether all autophagosomes are targeted toward the haustoria, we investigated subcellular localization of ATG8IL labeled autophagosomes. Unlike GFP:ATG8CL, GFP:ATG8IL labeled puncta rarely accumulated around the haustorium (20%, *N*=24) (Figure 1D, Figure 1 Supplementary Data). Taken together, these results demonstrate that during pathogen infection ATG8CL-autophagosomes are directed toward the pathogen interface and not the presumed vacuolar route.

PexRD54 accumulates at haustoria.

Next, we set out to determine the subcellular localization of PexRD54 in infected cells. In haustoriated cells, GFP:PexRD54 frequently labeled perihaustorial puncta (70%, *N*=36)

(Figure 2A, Figure 2 Supplementary Data). However, we rarely detected any puncta around the haustoria labeled by GFP:PexRD54^{AIM2} (5%, *N*=71), similar to the GFP control (0%, *N*=22) (Figure 2B-C, Figure 2 Supplementary Data). This suggested that ATG8CL binding is critical for recruitment of PexRD54 to the perihaustorial autophagosomes. To determine whether PexRD54 labeled vesicles are ATG8CL-autophagosomes, we co-expressed BFP:PexRD54 with GFP:ATG8CL in haustoriated *N. benthamiana* cells. We observed a full overlap between the two fluorescent signals, in contrast to BFP:PexRD54^{AIM2} and BFP:EV negative controls (100%, *N*=73 for PexRD54, 14%, *N*=35 for PexRD54^{AIM2} and 0%, *N*=29 for EV control) (Figure 2D-E-F, Figure 2 Supplementary Data). Although hardly observed (5%), detection of perihaustorial PexRD54^{AIM2} labeled autophagosomes suggests that this mutant can still weakly associate with ATG8CL *in vivo* or forms higher order molecular complexes with the host autophagy machinery. Overall, these findings demonstrate that the ATG8CL selective autophagy pathway that is targeted by *P. infestans* is diverted to the haustorial interface.

Host autophagy cargo receptor Joka2 accumulates at haustoria.

110

111112

113114

115

116

117118

119

120121

122

123

124125

126127

128

129

130

131132

133134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141142

143

144145

146

We also investigated subcellular trafficking of Joka2-autophagosomes in haustoriated cells. Joka2:BFP labeled autophagosomes frequently accumulated around the haustoria (92%, N=50) (Figure 3A, Figure 3 Supplementary Data) unlike BFP vector control (0%, N=37) (Figure 3C, Figure 3 Supplementary Data). Intriguingly, Joka2^{AIM}:BFP mutant also labeled perihaustorial puncta, although its frequency was lower (74%, N=42) compared to Joka2:BFP (Figure 3B, Figure 3 Supplementary Data). To validate that Joka2 labeled compartments are indeed autophagosomes, we co-expressed BFP:Joka2, BFP:Joka2^{AIM} or BFP:EV with GFP:ATG8CL in haustoriated N. benthamiana cells marked by RFP:REM1.3. BFP:Joka2 fluorescent signal fully overlapped with GFP:ATG8CL labeled perihaustorial autophagosomes (100%, N=140) unlike the BFP:EV (0%, N=20) indicating Joka2 localizes to perihaustorial ATG8CL autophagosomes (Figure 3D-F, Figure 3 Supplementary Data). Surprisingly, Joka2:BFP also labeled puncta that did not show any GFP:ATG8CL fluorescence suggesting that Joka2 also labels compartments that are not ATG8CLautophagosomes (Figure 3B, Figure 3 Supplementary Data). Consistent with this, Joka2^{AIM}:BFP produced fluorescence signal at discrete puncta that rarely coincided with perihaustorial ATG8CL-autophagosomes (19%, N=37) (Figure 3E, Figure 3 Supplementary Data). Like mammalian autophagy cargo receptors, Joka2 forms oligomers, and this most likely accounts for recruitment of Joka2^{AIM}:BFP to ATG8CL-autophagosomes. Nevertheless, this shows that Joka2 labels at least two perihaustorial compartments; one including ATG8CL-autophagosomes that requires a functional AIM; and another in which the AIM is dispensable. These results further illustrate that ATG8CL/Joka2 mediated antimicrobial autophagy is targeted to the haustorial interface.

Host autophagosomes are diverted to a plant-pathogen interface.

In this study, we employed high-resolution microscopy to monitor the course of defense related autophagy in *N. benthamiana* cells during *P. infestans* infection. We show that autophagosomes labeled by the core autophagy protein ATG8CL, as well as the pathogen secreted effector protein PexRD54 and the host autophagy cargo receptor Joka2 are transported from the cytoplasm to the EHM. This reveals a novel trafficking route from the cytoplasm to the pathogen interface and expands our understanding of the biogenesis of the EHM (Bozkurt et al., 2015; Bozkurt et al., 2014; Lu et al., 2012).

We propose a model in which pathogen-manipulated autophagosomes are channeled toward the haustorial interface. A provocative hypothesis is that the PexRD54-ATG8C autophagosomes carry a distinct cargo that substitutes the defense related cargo to redirect molecules towards the pathogen. Such pathogen modified double-layered autophagosomes could fuse with the EHM discharging single layered vesicles into the extrahaustorial matrix. Fusion of autophagosomes with the EHM could provide a membrane source for EHM biogenesis and may account for the extracellular vesicles observed in various host-microbe interfaces (Deeks and Sánchez-Rodríguez, 2016). Further studies are required to determine the precise mechanisms that govern autophagosome transport to the haustorial interface and its impact in pathogenicity. Moreover, identifying the nature of the autophagosome cargo sequestered by PexRD54 and Joka2 should help better understanding the role of selective autophagy in this host-microbe interaction.

Materials and Methods

Molecular cloning and plasmid constructs

GFP:ATG8CL, GFP:ATG8CLΔ, GFP:ATG8IL, GFP:PexRD54, GFP:PexRD54^{AIM}, GFP:EV and RFP:REM1.3 constructs were previously described by Dagdas et al. (2016). All other blue fluorescent protein (BFP) fusion constructs were generated in this study. The vector for N-terminal BFP fusion was derived from pK7WGF2 plasmid (Karimi et al., 2002) by excising a fragment from the backbone with EcoRV digestion then replacing it with a custom synthesized fragment containing tagBFP sequence followed by linker sequence (GGATCTGCTGGATCTGCTGGATCTGGAGAATTT) and EcoRV restriction site (where

the gene of interest will be inserted) (Eurofins Genomics). Similarly, the vector for C-terminal BFP fusion was also derived from pK7WGF2 plasmid but by inserting PCR fragments containing EcoRV restriction site (where the gene of interest will be inserted) followed by linker sequence (GGATCTGCTGGATCTGCTGCTGGATCTGGAGAATTTGGATCA) and tagBFP sequence amplified from N-terminal BFP fusion vector using primer pairs GA_35s_F with Cterm_BFP_Prom_R and Cterm_BFP_F with Cterm_BFP_R. Then, BFP:PexRD54, BFP:PexRD54^{AIM2}, Joka2:BFP and Joka2^{AIM}:BFP constructs were generated by Gibson assembly of each gene PCR fragment into EcoRV digested BFP vectors (N-terminal fusion for PexRD54 and PexRD54^{AIM}, C-terminal fusion for Joka2 and Joka2^{AIM}). All genes were amplified from existing constructs previously described by Dagdas et al. (2016) using primer pairs GA_RD54_F with GA_RD54_R for PexRD54, GA_RD54_F with GA_LIR2_R for PexRD54^{AIM} and GA_Joka2_BFP_F with GA_Joka2_BFP_R for both Joka2 and Joka2^{AIM}. All primers used in this study are listed in Supplemental Table 1.

Confocal Microscopy

Imaging was performed using Leica SP5 resonant inverted confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems) using 63x water immersion objective. All microscopy analyses were carried out on live leaf tissue 3-4 days after agroinfiltration. Leaf discs of *N. benthamiana* were cut and mounted onto Carolina observation gel (Carolina Biological Supply Company) to minimize the damage. Specific excitation wavelengths and filters for emission spectra were set according to Lu et al. (2012). BFP, GFP and RFP probes were excited using 405, 488 and 561 nm laser diodes and their fluorescent emissions detected at 450-480, 495–550 and 570–620 nm, respectively. Sequential scanning between lines was done to avoid spectral mixing from different fluorophores and images acquired using multichannel. Maximum intensity projections of Z-stack images were presented in each figure. Z-stack sections were processed to enhance image clarity, sections that caused blurriness (top and bottom ones), were removed for generation of maximum intensity projections. Representative raw Z-stacks are provided in Supplementary data files 1,2 and,3. Image analysis was performed using ImageJ (1.50q) and Adobe Photoshop (CS6).

Transient gene-expression assays in N. benthamiana

Transient gene-expression was performed *in planta* by infiltration of leaves of 3-4 week old *N. benthamiana* with cultures of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* GV3101 strain carrying T-DNA constructs, as previously described (Bozkurt et al., 2011). Transient co-expression assays were carried out by mixing equal ratios of *A. tumefaciens* carrying the plant expression

221 constructs in agroinfiltration medium [10 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM 2-(N-morpholine)-ethanesulfonic

acid (MES), pH 5.6] to achieve a final OD₆₀₀ of 0.2.

Biological Material

222

223224

225

- 226 N. benthamiana plants were grown and maintained in a greenhouse with high light intensity
- 227 (16 hours light/ 8 hours dark photoperiod) at 22-24°C. P. infestans strain 88069 cultures (van
- West et al., 1998) were grown and maintained on rye sucrose agar medium at 18°C in the
- dark for 12-14 days, as described elsewhere (Song et al., 2009) prior to use for infection of
- 230 N. benthamiana. Zoospores were released from sporangia by addition of cold water and
- 231 incubation at 4°C for 1-2 hours adjusting dilution to 50,000 spores/ml. Infection of
- agroinfiltrated leaves was carried out by addition of 10 μl droplets containing zoospores as
- 233 described previously (Saunders et al., 2012; Song et al., 2009) with the exception that
- 234 infection was carried out on live plants, incubating inoculated plants in humid growth
- chambers.

236

237

241

242

Acknowledgements

- 238 We thank members of the Bozkurt and Kamoun Labs for helpful suggestions. This project
- was funded by the Gatsby Charitable Foundation, European Research Council (ERC), and
- 240 Biotechnology Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC).

Author Contributions

- 243 YFD, Conception and design, Acquisition of data, Analysis and interpretation of data,
- 244 Drafting or revising the article
- 245 PP, Conception and design, Acquisition of data, Analysis and interpretation of data, Drafting
- 246 or revising the article
- NS, Conception and design, Acquisition of data, Analysis and interpretation of data, Drafting
- 248 or revising the article
- 249 YT, Conception and design, Acquisition of data, Analysis and interpretation of data,
- 250 CD, Acquisition of data, Analysis and interpretation of data, Drafting or revising the article
- 251 MES, Acquisition of data, Analysis and interpretation of data
- 252 SK, Conception and design, Analysis and interpretation of data, Drafting or revising the
- 253 article

- TOB, Conception and design, Acquisition of data, Analysis and interpretation of data,
- 255 Drafting or revising the article

Figure Legends

257

258259

260

261

262

263

264265

266

267268

269

270

271272

Figure 1. ATG8CL-autophagosomes accumulate around the haustoria. GFP:ATG8CL, GFP:ATG8CLΔ, GFP:EV (empty vector) or GFP:ATG8IL are co-expressed with the EHM marker RFP:REM1.3 via agroinfiltration in *N. benthamiana* leaves infected with *P. infestans*. Confocal laser scanning microscopy was used to monitor the autophagosomes in haustoriated cells 3-4 days post infection (dpi). (A) GFP:ATG8CL frequently showed perihaustorial puncta whereas (B) autophagy deficient GFP:ATG8CLΔ mutant remained fully cytoplasmic similar to (C) GFP:EV. (D) GFP:ATG8IL, a divergent member of the ATG8 family, remained mostly cytoplasmic and rarely labeled perihaustorial puncta. Multiple optical sections that fully cover the haustoria are obtained to monitor perihaustorial puncta. Images shown are maximal projections of 15, 10 15, and 20 frames with 1μm steps for the top, upper middle, lower middle and bottom rows, respectively. Raw Z stacks are provided in Figure 1 Supplementary Data. Scale bars, 10 μm.

Figure 2. PexRD54 accumulates at perihaustorial ATG8CL-autophagosomes.

- 273 (A-C) Confocal images of haustoriated N. benthamiana leaf epidermal cells marked by
- 274 REM1.3 co-expressed with GFP:PexRD54, GFP:PexRD54^{AIM2} or GFP:EV control.
- 275 GFP:PexRD54 showed frequent perihaustorial puncta (top panel) unlike its ATG8 interaction
- 276 motif mutant PexRD54^{AIM2} (mid panel), which rarely labeled perihaustorial puncta and
- 277 showed cytoplasmic distribution similar to GFP:EV (lower panel). Images shown are
- 278 maximal projections of 11,15 and 25 frames with 1 µm steps from top to bottom rows.
- 279 respectively. Arrowheads point to haustoria. Scale bars, 10 µm. Images were obtained 3-5
- 280 dpi.

- 281 (D-F) Perihaustorial PexRD54 puncta overlaps with ATG8CL-autophagosomes. In
- 282 haustoriated cells marked by RFP:REM1.3, GFP:ATG8CL labeled autophagosomes fully
- 283 overlapped with perihaustorial BFP:PexRD54 puncta (top panel). In contrast,
- 284 BFP:PexRD54^{AIM2} mainly remained cytoplasmic and mostly did not show perihaustorial
- puncta that overlap with ATG8CL autophagosomes (mid panel), similar to BFP:EV control
- 286 (lower panel). Images shown are maximal projections of 10, 5, 8, frames with 1µm steps
- from top to bottom rows, respectively. Raw Z stacks are provided in Figure 2 Supplementary
- Data. Arrowheads point to haustoria. Scale bars, 10 µm. Images are obtained 3-4 dpi.
- 290 Figure 3. Joka2-mediated autophagy is directed toward the haustoria. (A-C) Confocal
- 291 microscopy of P. infestans infected N. benthamiana leaf epidermal cells expressing
- Joka2:BFP, Joka2AIM:BFP mutant or BFP:EV control. Both Joka2:BFP (top panel) and
- Joka2^{AIM}:BFP (mid panel) displayed perihaustorial puncta although the frequency of the later

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316317

was much lower. Consistently, no punctate structures were labeled by the BFP:EV control (lower panel) around the haustoria. Images shown are maximal projections of 8,14 and 7 frames with 1 µm steps from top to bottom rows, respectively. Arrowheads point to haustoria. Scale bars, 10 µm. Images were obtained 3-4 dpi. (D-F) Joka2 localizes to ATG8CL-autophagosomes around the haustoria. GFP:ATG8CL labeled perihaustorial autophagosomes fully overlapped with the Joka2:BFP labeled puncta. whereas Joka2^{AIM}:BFP generally did not label GFP:ATG8CL autophagosomes similar to BFP:EV control. Images shown are maximal projections of 10, 10 and 8, frames with 1µm steps from top to bottom panels, respectively. Raw Z stacks are provided in Figure 3 Supplementary Data. Arrowheads point to haustoria. Scale bars, 10 µm. Images are obtained 3 dpi. Video 1-2. ATG8CL-autophagosomes accumulate around the haustoria. GFP:ATG8CL is co-expressed with the EHM marker RFP:REM1.3 via agroinfiltration in N. benthamiana leaves infected with P. infestans. Confocal laser scanning microscopy was used to monitor the autophagosomes in haustoriated cells 3-4 dpi. In Video 1, RFP channel represents maximum projection of Z-stack of images illustrated in Video 2 to better illustrate the haustorium.

References

318

319

323

328

334

338

346

350

353

357

362

366

- 320 Bozkurt, T.O., Belhaj, K., Dagdas, Y.F., Chaparro-Garcia, A., Wu, C.H., Cano, L.M., and
- Kamoun, S. (2015). Rerouting of plant late endocytic trafficking toward a pathogen interface.
- 322 Traffic 16, 204-226.
- Bozkurt, T.O., Richardson, A., Dagdas, Y.F., Mongrand, S., Kamoun, S., and Raffaele, S.
- 325 (2014). The Plant Membrane-Associated REMORIN1.3 Accumulates in Discrete
- 326 Perihaustorial Domains and Enhances Susceptibility to Phytophthora infestans. Plant
- 327 Physiology *165*, 1005-1018.
- 329 Bozkurt, T.O., Schornack, S., Win, J., Shindo, T., Ilyas, M., Oliva, R., Cano, L.M., Jones,
- 330 A.M., Huitema, E., van der Hoorn, R.A., et al. (2011). Phytophthora infestans effector
- 331 AVRblb2 prevents secretion of a plant immune protease at the haustorial interface.
- Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America (PNAS)
- *108*, 20832-20837.
- Dagdas, Y.F., Belhaj, K., Maqbool, A., Chaparro-Garcia, A., Pandey, P., Petre, B.,
- Tabassum, N., Cruz-Mireles, N., Hughes, R.K., Sklenar, J., et al. (2016). An effector of the
- 337 Irish potato famine pathogen antagonizes a host autophagy cargo receptor. eLife 5.
- Deeks, M., and Sánchez-Rodríguez, C. (2016). Seeing is believing: cell biology at the plant-
- 340 microbe interface. New Phytologist *211*, 16-19. 341
- Gutjahr, C., and Parniske, M. (2013). Cell and developmental biology of arbuscular
- mycorrhiza symbiosis. Annual review of cell and developmental biology 29, 593-617.
- 344 Karimi, M., Inze, D., and Depicker, A. (2002). GATEWAY vectors for Agrobacterium-
- mediated plant transformation. Trends Plant Sci 7, 193-195.
- Koh, S., Andre, A., Edwards, H., Ehrhardt, D., and Somerville, S. (2005). Arabidopsis
- thaliana subcellular responses to compatible Erysiphe cichoracearum infections. The Plant
- 349 Journal 44, 516-529.
- Lamb, C.A., Yoshimori, T., and Tooze, S.A. (2013). The autophagosome: origins unknown,
- biogenesis complex. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 14, 759-774.
- Le Fevre, R., Evangelisti, E., Rey, T., and Schornack, S. (2015). Modulation of host cell
- biology by plant pathogenic microbes. Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology 31,
- 356 201-229.
- 358 Lu, Y.J., Schornack, S., Spallek, T., Geldner, N., Chory, J., Schellmann, S., Schumacher, K.,
- 359 Kamoun, S., and Robatzek, S. (2012). Patterns of plant subcellular responses to successful
- oomycete infections reveal differences in host cell reprogramming and endocytic trafficking.
- 361 Cellular Microbiology 14, 682-697.
- 363 Micali, C.O., Neumann, U., Grunewald, D., Panstruga, R., and O'Connell, R. (2011).
- 364 Biogenesis of a specialized plant-fungal interface during host cell internalization of
- 365 Golovinomyces orontii haustoria. Cellular Microbiology 13, 210-226.
- Pumplin, N., Zhang, X., Noar, R.D., and Harrison, M.J. (2012). Polar localization of a
- 368 symbiosis-specific phosphate transporter is mediated by a transient reorientation of
- 369 secretion. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America
- 370 (PNAS) 109, E665-672.

- 372 Saunders, D.G., Breen, S., Win, J., Schornack, S., Hein, I., Bozkurt, T.O., Champouret, N.,
- Vleeshouwers, V.G., Birch, P.R., Gilroy, E.M., et al. (2012). Host protein BSL1 associates
- with *Phytophthora infestans* RXLR effector AVR2 and the *Solanum demissum* Immune receptor R2 to mediate disease resistance. Plant Cell *24*, 3420-3434.
- 377 Schornack, S., Huitema, E., Cano, L.M., Bozkurt, T.O., Oliva, R., Van Damme, M., Schwizer,
- 378 S., Raffaele, S., Chaparro-Garcia, A., Farrer, R., et al. (2009). Ten things to know about
- oomycete effectors. Molecular Plant Pathology *10*, 795-803.
- Song, J., Win, J., Tian, M., Schornack, S., Kaschani, F., Ilyas, M., van der Hoorn, R.A., and
- 382 Kamoun, S. (2009). Apoplastic effectors secreted by two unrelated eukaryotic plant
- pathogens target the tomato defense protease Rcr3. Proceedings of the National Academy
- of Sciences of the United States of America (PNAS) 106, 1654-1659.
- 386 Stolz, A., Ernst, A., and Dikic, I. (2014). Cargo recognition and trafficking in selective
- autophagy. Nature Cell Biology *16*, 495-501.

376

380

385

388

392

- van West, P., de Jong, A.J., Judelson, H.S., Emons, A.M.C., and Govers, F. (1998). TheipiO
- 390 Gene of *Phytophthora infestans* is highly expressed in invading hyphae during infection.
- 391 Fungal Genetics and Biology 23, 126-138.
- 393 Whisson, S.C., Boevink, P.C., Wang, S., and Birch, P.R. (2016). The cell biology of late
- 394 blight disease. Current Opinion in Microbiology 34, 127-135.





