Metabolic Network Analysis and Metatranscriptomics Reveals Auxotrophies

and Nutrient Sources of the Cosmopolitan Freshwater Microbial Lineage acI

Joshua J. Hamilton[1*], Sarahi L. Garcia[2], Brittany S. Brown[1], Ben O. Oyserman[3],

Francisco Moya-Flores[3], Stefan Bertilsson[2,4], Rex R. Malmstrom[5], Katrina T.

Forest[1], Katherine D. McMahon[1,3]

[1] Department of Bacteriology, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA; [2]

Department of Ecology and Genetics, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden; [3]

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Wisconsin-Madison,

Madison, WI, USA; [4] Science for Life Laboratory, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden;

[5] United States Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute, Walnut Creek, CA, USA

* Correspondence: Joshua J. Hamilton, jjhamilton2@wisc.edu

Abstract

An explosion in the number of available genome sequences obtained through

metagenomics and single-cell genomics has enabled a new view of the diversity of

microbial life, yet we know surprisingly little about how microbes interact with each other

or their environment. In fact, the majority of microbial species remain uncultivated, while

our perception of their ecological niches is based on reconstruction of their metabolic

potential. In this work, we demonstrate how the "seed set framework", which computes

the set of compounds that an organism must acquire from its environment (1), enables

computational analysis of metabolic reconstructions, while providing new insights into a

microbe's metabolic capabilities, such as nutrient use and auxotrophies. We apply this

framework to members of the ubiquitous freshwater Actinobacterial lineage acI,

confirming and extending previous experimental and genomic observations implying

that acI bacteria are heterotrophs reliant on peptides and saccharides. We also present

the first metatranscriptomic study of the acI lineage, revealing high expression of

transport proteins and the light-harvesting protein actinorhodopsin. Putative transport

proteins complement predictions of nutrients and essential metabolites while providing

additional support to the hypothesis that members of the acI are photoheterotrophs.

Importance

The metabolic activity of uncultivated microorganisms contributes to numerous

ecosystem processes, ranging from nutrient cycling in the environment to influencing

human health and disease. Advances in sequencing technology have enabled the

assembly of genomes for these microorganisms, but our ability to generate reference

genomes far outstrips our ability to analyze them. Common approaches to analyzing

microbial metabolism require reconstructing the entirety of an organism's metabolic

pathways, or performing targeted searches for genes involved in a specific process.

This paper presents a third approach, in which draft metabolic reconstructions are used

to identify compounds through which an organism may interact with its environment.

These compounds can then guide more intensive metabolic reconstruction efforts, and

also provide new hypotheses about the specific contributions microbes make to

ecosystem-scale metabolic processes.

Introduction

Natural microbial communities have central roles in the biosphere, ranging from

mediators of nutrient cycling to agents of human health and disease (2, 3). However,

the majority of microbial species remain uncultivated, a feature that poses a significant

challenge to our understanding of their physiology and metabolism. Recent advances in

sequencing technology and bioinformatics have enabled assembly and analysis of

reference genomes for a wide range of hitherto uncultured community members from

diverse environments (4) that can be used to reconstruct an organism's metabolism.

Common approaches to metabolic reconstruction involve the comprehensive

reconstruction of an organism's metabolic pathways (5), or a targeted search for genes

involved in processes of interest (6). These reconstructions can then be analyzed

manually or using computational approaches such as flux-balance analysis (FBA) (7).

However, FBA-based approaches require a comprehensive understanding of an

organism's growth requirements and biomass composition, information which is often

unavailable for uncultivated microorganisms. An alternative approach is to compute an

organism's *seed set*, the set of compounds that the organism cannot synthesize on its

own and must exogenously acquire from its environment (e.g., its growth requirements)

(1). These compounds may represent both *auxotrophies*, essential metabolites for

which biosynthetic routes are missing, and *nutrients*, compounds for which degradation

but not synthesis routes are present in the genome. The *seed set framework* offers

potential advantages over other reconstruction-based approaches, as identification of

seed compounds facilitates a focused analysis by identifying those compounds through

which an organism interacts with its environment.

In the present study, we present a computational pipeline to predict seed

compounds using metabolic network reconstructions generated from KBase (8). We

apply this pipeline to a collection of 36 metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) and

single-cell   genomes   (SAGs)   from   the   abundant   and   ubiquitous   freshwater

Actinobacterial lineage acI, which is thought to have a central role in nutrient cycling in

diverse freshwater systems (9–18). The seed compounds predicted by our analysis are

in agreement with previous experimental and genomic observations (19–27), confirming

the ability of our method to predict an organism's auxotrophies and nutrient sources.

In particular, we find that members of the acI lineage are auxotrophic for

essential vitamins and amino acids, and may consume as nutrients a wide array of N-

containing compounds (including ammonium, branched-chain amino acids, polyamines,

and di- and oligo-peptides) as well as mono-, poly-, and oligo-saccharides. To

complement these predictions, and to understand which pathways dominate active

metabolism of acI in its natural environment, we conducted an *in situ*

metatranscriptomic analysis of gene expression in the acI lineage. This analysis

revealed that the acI express a diverse array of transporters for auxotrophies, nutrients,

and other compounds that may contribute to their observed dominance and widespread

distribution in a variety of aquatic systems.

Results

Phylogenetic Affiliation of acI Genomes

We identified 17 SAGs and 19 MAGs from members of the acI lineage

(Supplementary Table S1) in a larger set of reference genomes derived from our long-

term study sites. A phylogenetic tree of these genomes built using a concatenated

alignment of single-copy marker genes is shown in Figure 1. Previous phylogenetic

analyses using 16S rRNA gene sequences showed that the acI lineage can be grouped

into three distinct monophyletic clades (acI-A, acI-B, and acI-C) and thirteen so-called

"tribes" (28). In this study, the phylogenetic tree also identified three monophyletic

branches, enabling MAGs to be classified to clade and tribe level based on the

taxonomy of SAGs within each branch (as determined by 16S rRNA gene sequences,

either PCR amplified or assembled from the single cell). Note that three MAGs formed a

monophyletic group separate from clades acI-A and acI-B; we assume these genomes

belong to clade acI-C as no other acI clades have been identified to date.

Estimated Completeness of Tribe- and Clade-Level Composite Genomes

We constructed composite genomes from multiple SAGs and/or MAGs, to

partially alleviate limitations presented by incomplete genomes. To do this, we first

estimated the completeness of tribe- and clade-level composite genomes using single-

copy marker genes. This allowed us to determine the finest level of taxonomic

resolution at which we could confidently compute seed compounds, using genome

completeness as a proxy for metabolic reaction network completeness (Figure S2). We

deemed genomes to be nearly complete if they contained 95% of the lineage-specific

marker genes. With the exception of tribe acI-B1, tribe-level composite genomes are

estimated to be incomplete (Figure S2A). At the clade level, clades acI-A and acI-B are

estimated to be nearly complete, while the acI-C composite genome remains

incomplete, as it only contains 75% of the 204 marker genes (Figure S2B). As a result,

seed compounds were calculated for composite clade-level genomes, with the

understanding that some true seed compounds for the acI-C clade will not be predicted.

Computation and Evaluation of Potential Seed Compounds

Metabolic network reconstructions for each genome were built using KBase.

Composite metabolic network graphs were then constructed for each tribe and clade by

merging metabolic network reconstructions of individual genomes. Seed compounds for

each clade were then computed from that clade's composite metabolic network graph

using a custom implementation of the seed set framework (Figure 2). A total of 125

unique seed compounds were identified across the three clades (Table S2).

Because KBase is an automated annotation pipeline, the predicted set of seed

compounds is likely to contain inaccuracies (e.g., due to missing or incorrect

annotations). As a result, we screened the set of predicted seed compounds to identify

those that represented biologically plausible auxotrophies and nutrients, and manually

curated this subset to obtain a final set of auxotrophies and nutrient sources. Of 125

unique compounds, 31 (79%) were retained in the final set of proposed auxotrophies

and nutrients. Tables S3 and S4 contain this final set of compounds for clades acI-A,

acI-B, and acI-C, and Figure 3 shows the auxotrophies and nutrients these compounds

represent.

Making Sense of Seed Compounds via Protein Clustering and Metatranscriptomic

Mapping

For seed compounds representing nutrient sources, genes associated with the

consumption of these compounds should be expressed. To test this, we collected and

sequenced four metatranscriptome samples from Lake Mendota (Dane County, WI,

USA). However, because seed compounds were computed from each clade's

composite metabolic network graph, genes associated with the consumption of seed

compounds may be present in multiple genomes within the clade. To facilitate the

linkage of metatranscriptome measurements to seed compounds, we mapped the

metatranscriptome reads to clusters of orthologous groups (COGs) within each clade.

We used OrthoMCL (29) to identify COGs in the set of acI genomes, and counted each

COG as present in a clade if that COG was present in at least one genome belonging to

that clade. We then used BBMap to map metatranscriptome reads to our reference

genome collection, and calculated gene expression for each COG on a Reads Per

Kilobase Million (RPKM) basis (Figure 2).

Sequencing of cDNA from all four rRNA-depleted metatranscriptome samples

yielded approximately 160 million paired-end reads. After merging, filtering, and further

in-silico rRNA removal, approximately 81 million, or 51% of the reads remained (Table

S5). After mapping the metatranscriptomes to our acI genomes, we calculated the

average coverage of each genome in our reference collection. Within each clade, the

most abundant genome was detected with at least 16-fold coverage (Table S6).

We then examined the number of metatranscriptomic reads that mapped to the

COGs identified within each clade. OrthoMCL identified a total of 5013 protein clusters

across the three clades (Table S7) with an average confidence of 84% in annotation for

COGs containing more than one gene. The COGs were unequally distributed across the

three clades, with clade acI-A genomes containing 3175 COGs (63%), clade acI-B

genomes containing 3459 COGs (69%), and clade acI-C genomes containing 1365

COGs (27%). Of these, 525 COGs were expressed in clade acI-A, 661 in clade acI-B,

and 813 in clade acI-C (Table S8). Among expressed genes, the median log2 RPKM

value was 31.1 in clade acI-A, 32.0 in clade acI-B, and 69.4 in clade acI-C.

Auxotrophies and Nutrient Sources of the acI Lineage

Seed set analysis yielded seven auxotrophies that could be readily mapped to

ecophysiological attributes of the acI lineage (Figure 3A and Table S3). In all three

clades, beta-alanine was identified as a seed compound, suggesting an auxotrophy for

pantothenic acid (Vitamin B5), a precursor to coenzyme A formed from beta-alanine and

pantoate (Table S9). In bacteria, beta-alanine is typically synthesized via aspartate

decarboxylation, and we were unable to identify a candidate gene for this enzyme

(aspartate 1-decarboxylase, E.C. 4.1.1.11) in any acl genome. Pyridoxine 5'-phosphate

and 5'-pyridoxamine phosphate (forms of the enzyme cofactor pyridoxal 5'-phosphate,

Vitamin B6) were also predicted to be seed compounds, and numerous enzymes in the

biosynthesis of these compounds were not found in the genomes (Table S9).

Clades within the acl lineage also exhibited distinct auxotrophies. Clade acl-A

was predicted to be auxotrophic for the cofactor tetrahydrofolate (THF or Vitamin B9),

and numerous enzymes for its biosynthesis were missing (Table S9). This cofactor

plays an important role in the metabolism of amino acids and vitamins. In turn, clade

acl-B was predicted to be auxotrophic for adenosylcobalamin (Vitamin B12), containing

only four reactions from its biosynthetic pathway (Table S9). Finally, acl-C was

predicted to be auxotrophic for the nucleotide uridine monophosphate (UMP, used as a

monomer in RNA synthesis) and the amino acids lysine and homoserine. In all cases

multiple enzymes for the biosynthesis of these compounds were not found in the acl-C

genomes (Table S9).

A number of seed compounds were also predicted to be degraded by members

of the acl lineage (Figure 3B and Table S3). Both clades acl-A and acl-B were predicted

to use D-altronate and trans-4-hydroxy proline as nutrients, and acl-B was additionally

predicted to use glycine betaine.

Finally, all three clades were predicted to use di-peptides and the sugar maltose

as nutrients. Clades acl-A and acl-C were also predicted to consume the

polysaccharides stachyose, manninotriose, and cellobiose. In all cases, these

compounds were associated with reactions catalyzed by peptidases or glycoside

hydrolases (Table S10 and S11), which may be capable of acting on compounds

beyond the predicted seed compounds. Thus, we used these annotations to define

nutrient sources, rather than using the predicted seed compounds themselves. Among

these nutrient sources were di- and polypeptides, predicted to be released from both

cytosolic- and membrane-bound aminopeptidases. As discussed below, we identified a

number of transport proteins capable of transporting these released residues. In Lake

Mendota, clades acI-B and acI-C expressed two aminopeptidases, one of which was

expressed at upwards of 175% of the median gene expression levels (Table S10).

Clade acI-A expressed a third aminopeptidase at a lower level of 40% the median gene

expression level (Table S10).

All three clades were predicted to encode an alpha-glucosidase, which in Lake

Mendota was only expressed in clades acI-B and acI-C, at upwards of 60% of the

median gene expression level (Table S11). All three clades also encode a beta-

glucosidase, but it was not expressed in our samples. Furthermore, all three clades

encode an alpha-galactosidase and multiple maltodextrin glucosidases (which free

maltose from maltotriose), but these were only expressed in clades acI-A and acI-C.

The alpha-galactosidase had a log2 RPKM expression value of 1.5 times the median in

clade acI-C, while the maltodextrin glucosidases were expressed at approximately 30%

of the median (Table S11) in both clades acI-A and acI-C.

Compounds Transported by the acI Lineage

Microbes may be capable of transporting compounds that are not strictly required

for growth, and comparing such compounds to predicted seed compounds can provide

additional information about an organism's ecology. Thus, we used the metabolic

network reconstructions for the acI genomes to systematically characterize the transport

capabilities of the acI lineage.

All acI clades encode for and expressed a diverse array of transporters (Figure 4,

Tables S12 and S13, and the Supplemental Text S1). Consistent with the presence of

peptidases, all clades contain numerous genes for the transport of peptides and amino

acids, including putative oligopeptide and branched-chain amino acid transporters, as

well as putative transporters for the polyamines spermidine and putrescine. All clades

also contain a putative transporter for ammonium. The ammonium, branched-chain

amino acid, and oligopeptide transporters had expression values above the median,

with expression values for the substrate-binding protein (of the ATP-binding cassette

(ABC) transporters) ranging from 1.7 to 411 times the median (Table S13). In contrast,

while all clades expressed some genes from the polyamine transporters, only clade acI-

B expressed the binding protein, at approximately 27.8 times the median (Table S13).

Finally, clades acI-A and acI-B also contain a putative transporter for glycine betaine,

which was only expressed in clade acI-A, at approximately 9.6 times the median (Table

S13). However, we cannot rule out the possibility that the expression of these

transporters changes with space and time, and that all three clades may express these

enzymes under a different condition.

All clades also expressed transporters consistent with the presence of glycoside

hydrolases, including transporters annotated as putative maltose, xylose, and ribose

ABC-type transporters, which may indicate that acI bacteria are capable of transporting

sugars, including both di- (maltose) and mono-saccharides (xylose and ribose). Of

these, the putative maltose transporter was most highly expressed (but only in clades

acI-A and acI-B), with expression values for the substrate-binding protein ranging in

excess of 40 times the median (Table S13).

Representatives from the acI lineage also encode and expressed a number of

transporters that do not have corresponding seed compounds, including potential

nucleobase and purine/pyrimidine transporters (annotated as a uracil and a

xanthine/uracil/thiamine/ascorbate family permease, respectively). Both of these are

expressed in all three clades, with expression values ranging from 4.7 to 46 times the

median (Table S13). Clades acI-A and acI-B also contain a second potential

purine/pyrimidine transporter (annotated as a cytosine/purine/uracil/thiamine/allantoin

family permease), which was only expressed in clade acI-B (Table S13). These

transporters may be responsible for the uptake of the seed compounds UMP (a

pyrimidine derivative) and Vitamin B1 (also known as thiamine). In addition, clade acI-A

contains but did not express a putative transporter for cobalamin (Vitamin B12), and

both clades acI-A and acI-B contain but did not express transporters for thiamin

(Vitamin B1) and biotin (Vitamin B7) (Table S13).

Finally, all three clades expressed actinorhodopsin, a light-sensitive protein that

is expected to function as a proton efflux pump (30). In all clades, actinorhodopsin was

among the top ten most highly-expressed genes (Table S7), with expression values in

excess of 84 times the median in all three clades (Table S7). Given that many of the

transport proteins are ABC transporters, we speculate that actinorhodopsin may

facilitate maintenance of the proton gradient necessary for ATP synthesis. Coupled with

high expression levels of diverse transporters, this result strongly suggests that acI

functions as a photoheterotroph. However, it remains to be seen if this behavior is a

general feature of acI physiology or restricted to the specific conditions of the lake and

our sampling period.

Discussion

This study uses high-throughput metabolic network reconstruction and the seed

set framework to predict auxotrophies and nutrient sources of uncultivated

microorganisms from incomplete genome sequences. The computational approach

easily scales to hundreds of metabolic reconstructions, and enables a targeted analysis

by identifying those compounds through which an organism interacts with its

environment. However, predicted seed compounds are sensitive to the metabolic

network structure, and analyzing the results requires significant manual curation of the

metabolic reconstruction and accurate interpretation of the underlying gene annotations.

As a consequence, the seed set framework as not as high-throughput as initially

envisioned, but is nevertheless suitable for analysis of microorganisms with high-quality

metabolic network reconstructions.

Our predictions of substrate use capabilities of the acI lineage are largely

congruent with previous genome-centric studies based on smaller but manually curated

genome collections (22, 25, 27), indicating that the use of automatic metabolic network

reconstructions yields similar predictions to comparatively more manual metabolic

reconstruction efforts, while being both more high-throughput and focused on an

organism's substrate utilization capabilities. In particular, this study predicts that the

consumption of N-rich compounds is a universal feature of the acI lineage, with all three

clades predicted to consume ammonium, branched-chain amino acids, polyamines, and

di- and oligopeptides. These findings agree with MAR-FISH studies that confirm the

ability of acI bacteria to consume a variety of amino acids (20, 23). Furthermore, the

presence of alpha- and beta-glucosidases are consistent with observations that acI

bacteria consume glucose (19, 23), even though no obvious glucose transport system

was found in the genomes. Because transport proteins are often capable of acting on

multiple substrates, one of the putative sugar transporters may be responsible for

glucose uptake activity.

However, our approach failed to recapitulate other genomic and experimental

observations, including the uptake of N-acetylglucosamine (NAG) (31–33), the

deoxynucleoside thymidine (23, 34), and acetate (19), and the potential to hydrolyze the

cyanobacterial peptide cyanophycin via the enzyme cyanophycinase (22, 25).

Inspection of these discrepancies reveals some important limitations of the seed set

framework and automatic metabolic reconstructions. First, the seed set framework only

identifies compounds that the metabolic network must obtain from its environment, and

will fail to identify compounds that the organism can acquire from its environment but

can also synthesize itself. Thymidine and acetate fall into this category. Second,

automatic metabolic network reconstructions may not fully capture an organism's

metabolic network (e.g., due to missing or incorrect genome annotations). Manual

inspection of the previously-identified cyanophycinase gene revealed that KBase

annotated this putative enzyme as a hypothetical protein. As biochemical

characterization of hypothetical proteins and automatic gene and protein annotation are

active areas of research, we anticipate that advances in these fields will continue to

improve the accuracy of automatic metabolic network reconstructions.

This study also suggests that auxotrophies for some vitamins may be universal

features of the acI lineage, as we predict all clades to be auxotrophic for pantothenic

acid and pyridoxal 5'-phosphate (Vitamins B5 and B6). We also predict new

auxotrophies within the acI lineage, including THF (clade acI-A), adenosylcobalamin

(Vitamin B12, clade acI-B), and lysine, homoserine, and UMP (clade acI-C). However,

with the exception of adenosylcobalamin, we did not identify transporters for any of

these compounds. This negative result may reflect our limited knowledge of transport

proteins (35): transporters for these compounds may yet be present in the genomes, or

one or more of the predicted transporters may act on these compounds. Furthermore,

because the acI-C composite genome was estimated to be around 75% complete, we

cannot rule out the possibility that the missing genes might be found in this clade when

additional genomes are recovered. Nonetheless, these results provide additional

support to the hypothesis that distributed metabolic pathways and metabolic

complementarity may be common features of freshwater bacterial communities (36, 37).

Combined, these results suggest that acI are photoheterotrophs, making a living

on a diverse array of N-rich compounds, saccharides, and light. The acI lineage does

not appear to be metabolically self-sufficient, and may participate in the turnover of high

molecular weight dissolved organic compounds, such as starch, glycogen, and

cellulose. Metatranscriptomic analysis showed that transport proteins were among the

most highly expressed in the acI genomes, and the expression of multiple putative

amino acid transporters may facilitate uptake of these labile compounds. We also

observed differences in the relative expression of these transporters, which may point to

clade-specific differences in the affinity for these substrates. Finally, the actinorhodopsin

protein was highly expressed, and may facilitate synthesis of the ATP needed to drive

acI's many ABC-type transporters.

Finally, the fragmented and incomplete nature of SAGs and MAGs required us to

construct composite genomes for individual acI clades by leveraging multiple genomes

from closely related populations. Such an approach limits the resolution of predictions,

as we cannot make predictions at the level of tribes, smaller populations, or individual

cells. Thus, metabolic diversification at these taxonomic levels will be missed.

Constructing composite genomes may also overestimate the metabolic capabilities of a

clade or group: for example, if a complete pathway is present in a clade but distributed

among different tribes, the clade will only be able to carry out the entire pathway *in situ*

if all tribes are present in close enough proximity to exchange pathway intermediates.

Nonetheless, the seed set approach provides a framework that can be used to generate

new hypotheses about the substrates used by members of a defined phylogenetic

group, provided multiple closely related genomes are available. As metagenomic

assembly and binning techniques and single cell sequencing methods improve and

complete genomes become available, we anticipate our approach being applied to

individual microbial genomes.

Materials and Methods

A Freshwater Reference Genome Collection

This study relies on an extensive collection of freshwater bacterial genomes, containing MAGs obtained from two metagenomic time-series from two Wisconsin lakes (27, 38), as well as SAGs from three lakes in the United States (21). Additional information about this genome collection can be found in the Supplemental Text S1.

Metatranscriptome Sampling and Sequencing

This study used four metatranscriptomes obtained as part of a larger study of gene expression in freshwater microbial communities. Additional information about these samples can be found in the Supplemental Text S1. All protocols and scripts for sample collection, RNA extraction, rRNA depletion, sequencing, and bioinformatic analysis can be found on Github (https://github.com/McMahonLab/OMD-TOIL, DOI:######). Metadata for the four samples used in this study can be found in Table S6, and the raw RNA sequences can be found on the National Center for Biotechnology Information's Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under BioProject PRJNA362825.

Identification of acI SAGs and Actinobacterial MAGs

The acI were previously phylogenetically divided into three clades (acI-A, acI-B, and acI-C) and thirteen tribes on the basis of their 16S rRNA gene sequences (28). The acI SAGs were identified within a previously-published genome collection (21) and classified to the tribe level using partial 16S rRNA genes and a reference taxonomy for freshwater bacteria, as described in the Supplemental Text S1. Actinobacterial MAGs were identified within two metagenomic time-series (27, 38) using taxonomic

assignments from a subset of conserved marker genes, as described in the

Supplemental Text S1. Phylogenetic analysis of acI SAGs and Actinobacterial MAGs

was performed using a concatenated alignment of single-copy marker genes obtained

via Phylosift (39). Maximum likelihood trees were generated using RAxML (40) using

the automatic protein model assignment option (PROTGAMMAAUTO) and 100

bootstraps.

Genome Annotation, Metabolic Network Reconstruction, and Computation and

Evaluation of Seed Compounds

In the seed set framework, an organism's metabolism is represented via a

metabolic network graph, in which nodes denote compounds and edges denote

enzymatically-encoded biochemical reactions linking substrates and products (41).

Allowable biochemical transformations can be identified by drawing paths along the

network, in which a sequence of edges connects a sequence of distinct vertices. In our

implementation of the seed set framework, metabolic network graphs were generated

as follows.

Genome annotations were performed and metabolic network reconstructions

were built using KBase. Contigs for each genome were uploaded to KBase and

annotated using the "Annotate Microbial Contigs" method with default options, which

uses components of the RAST toolkit for genome annotation (42, 43). Metabolic

network reconstructions were obtained using the "Build Metabolic Model" app with

default parameters, which relies on the Model SEED framework (44) to build a draft

metabolic model. No gap-filling was performed, to ensure that the reconstructions only

contained reactions with genomic evidence. These reconstructions were then pruned

(currency metabolites and highly-connected compounds) and converted to metabolic

network graphs (Figure S3 and Supplemental Text S1). Many of the individual acI

genomes are incomplete. Therefore, composite metabolic network graphs were

constructed for each tribe and clade, to increase the accuracy of seed identification by

means of a more complete metabolic network (Figure S4 and Supplemental Text S1).

Formally, the seed set of the network is defined as the minimal set of compounds

that cannot be synthesized from other compounds in the network, and whose presence

enables the synthesis of all other compounds in the network (1). Seed compounds for

each composite metabolic network graph were calculated using a new Python

implementation of the seed set framework (1) (Figure S5 and the Supplemental Text

S1). Because seed compounds are computed from a metabolic network, it is important

to manually evaluate all predicted seed compounds to identify those that may be

biologically meaningful, and do not arise from errors in the metabolic network

reconstruction. Compounds involved in fatty acid and phospholipid biosynthesis

pathways were removed during curation, as these pathways are often organism-specific

and unlikely to be properly annotated by automatic metabolic reconstruction pipelines.

Seed compounds related to currency metabolites (compounds used to carry electrons

and functional groups) were also removed, as reactions for the synthesis of these

compounds may have been removed during network pruning.

The Supplemental Text S1 contains a series of brief vignettes explaining why

select compounds were discarded based on the afore-mentioned considerations, and

provides examples of additional curation efforts applied to biologically plausible

compounds. For a plausible auxotrophy, we screened the genomes for the canonical

biosynthetic pathway(s) for that compound, and retained those compounds for which

the biosynthetic pathway was incomplete. For a plausible nutrient source, we screened

the genomes for the canonical degradation pathway(s) for that compound, and retained

those compounds for which the degradation pathway was complete.

All computational steps were implemented using Python scripts, freely available

as part of the reverseEcology Python package developed for this project

(https://pypi.python.org/pypi/reverseEcology/, DOI:######).

Identification of Transported Compounds

For each genome, we identified all transport reactions present in its metabolic

network reconstruction. Gene-protein-reaction associations (GPRs) for these reactions

were manually curated to remove unannotated proteins, group genes into operons (if

applicable), and to identify missing subunits for multi-subunit transporters. These genes

were then mapped to their corresponding COGs, and grouped accordingly. Finally, the

most common annotation for each COG was used to identify likely substrates for each

of these groups. Only transporters with >50% confidence in the substrate-binding

subunit were retained. Because identification and annotation of transport proteins is an

active area of research (35), substrates for each transporter are described as putative

and acting on molecular classes (e.g., saccharide, amino acid) instead of specific

compounds, to better reflect the promiscuity of transport proteins and the ambiguity of

their annotation.

Protein Clustering, Metatranscriptomic Mapping, and Clade-Level Gene Expression

OrthoMCL (29) was used to identify clusters of orthologous groups (COGs) in the

set of acI genomes. Both OrthoMCL and BLAST were run using default options (45).

Annotations were assigned to protein clusters by choosing the most common annotation

among all genes assigned to the respective cluster and a confidence score assigned to

each COG (fraction of genes having the most common annotation). Trimmed and

merged metatranscriptomic reads from each of the four biological samples were then

pooled and mapped to a single reference fasta file containing all acI genomes using

BBMap (https://sourceforge.net/projects/bbmap/) with the *ambig=random* and

minid=0.95 options. The 95% identity cutoff was chosen as this represents a well-

established criterion for identifying microbial species using average nucleotide identity

(ANI) (46), while combining the *ambig* option with competitive mapping using pooled acI

genomes as the reference ensures that reads map only to a single genome. These

results were then used to compute the expression of each COG in each clade.

Next, HTSeq-Count (47) was run using the *intersection_strict* option to count the

total number of reads that map to each gene in our acI genome collection. After

mapping, the list of counts was filtered to remove those genes that did not recruit at

least ten reads. Using the COGs identified by OrthoMCL, the genes that correspond to

each COG were then identified.

Within each clade, gene expression for each COG was computed on a Reads

Per Kilobase Million (RPKM) basis (48), while also accounting for different gene lengths

within a COG and numbers of mapped reads for each genome within a clade. That is,

the RPKM value for a single COG represents the sum of RPKM values for each gene

within that COG, normalized to the appropriate gene length and total number of mapped

reads. RPKM counts were then normalized to the median level of gene expression

within that clade. Finally, the expression data (mapping of transcript reads to genes)

were visualized to ensure RPKM calculations were based on continuous transcription of

each gene.

Availability of Data and Materials

All genomic and metatranscriptomic sequences are available through IMG and

NCBI, respectively. A reproducible version of this manuscript is available at

https://github.com/joshamilton/Hamilton_acI_2016 (DOI:######).

Acknowledgements

We thank past and present members of the McMahon lab for collecting water

samples for DNA and RNA sequencing and Frank Aylward for guidance on

metatranscriptomic analysis. DNA sequencing was supported through the JGI

Community Science Program. The work conducted by the JGI, a DOE Office of Science

User Facility, is supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy

under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. This material is based upon work that is

supported by the National Institute of Food and Agriculture, U.S. Department of

Agriculture, under award number 2016-67012-24709 to JJH and WIS01789 to KDM.

KDM also acknowledges funding from the United States National Science Foundation

(NSF) Microbial Observatories program (MCB-0702395), the NSF Long Term

Ecological Research program (NTL-LTER DEB-1440297), an NSF INSPIRE award

(DEB-1344254), and the University of Wisconsin System. KDM and KTF acknowledge

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) grant #NA10OAR4170070,

Wisconsin Sea Grant College Program Project #HCE-25, through NOAA'S National Sea

Grant College Program, U.S. Department of Commerce. The funders had no role in

study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for

publication.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Figure Legends

Figure 1 (figures/fig1-tree/Figure1.pdf)

Phylogenetic placement of the genomes used in this study within the acl lineage.

The tree was built using RAxML (40) from a concatenated alignment of protein

sequences from 37 single-copy marker genes (39). The order Actinomycetales forms

the outgroup. Vertical black bars indicate groups of genomes belonging to defined

tribes/clades within the acl lineage, as determined using 16S rRNA gene sequences (for

SAGs and bin FNEF8-2 bin_7 acl-B only) and a defined taxonomy (28). SAGs are

indicated with italic text. Supplementary Figure S1 shows the position of the acl lineage

relative to other orders within the class Actinobacteria.

Figure 2 (figures/fig2-workflow/Figure2.pdf)

Overview of the seed set framework and metatranscriptomic mapping, using

three genomes from the acl-C clade as an example. **(A)** Metabolic network graphs are

created for each genome belonging to clade acl-C. In these graphs, metabolites are

represented as nodes (circles) and reactions by arcs (arrows). Grey nodes and edges

indicate components of the composite graph missing from that genome graph.

Additional information on this step of the workflow is available in Figure S2. **(B)** A

composite network graph is created for each clade by joining graphs for all genomes

from that clade, and seed compounds (red) are computed for the composite graph.

Additional information on this step of the workflow is available in Figures S3, S4, and

S5. **(Inset)** Three seed compounds which indicate an auxotrophy for L-homoserine, a

methionine precursor. **(C)** Metatranscriptomic reads are mapped to each individual

genome using BBMap. Orthologous gene clusters are identified using OrthoMCL (29).

For each cluster, unique reads which map to any gene within that cluster are counted

using HTSeq (47). The relative gene expression is computed using RPKM (48).

Figure 3 (figures/fig3-seeds/Figure3.pdf)

Seed compounds of members of the acI lineage. **(A)** Auxotrophies and nutrient

sources, not including peptides and glycosides. **(B)** Peptides and glycosides. These

compounds represent those inferred from genome annotations, rather than the seed

compounds themselves. In panel (B), the intensity of the color indicates the log2 RPKM

of the encoding gene cluster. For compounds acted upon by multiple gene clusters, the

percentile of the most highly-expressed cluster was chosen.

Figure 4 (figures/fig4-transporters/Figure4.pdf)

Transporters that are actively expressed by members of the acI lineage, as

inferred from consensus annotations of genes associated with transport reactions

present in metabolic network reconstructions. The intensity of the color indicates the

log2 RPKM of the encoding gene cluster. For multi-subunit transporters, the RPKM of

the substrate-binding subunit was chosen (Table S13). For some transporters,

consensus annotations have been replaced with broad metabolite classes. Such

metabolite classes are indicated with superscripts, and the original annotations are as

follows: 1 - spermidine, putrescine; 2 - maltose ; 3 - xylose; 4 - ribose; 5 - uracil; 6 -

cytosine / purine / uracil / thiamine / allantoin; 7 - xanthine / uracil / thiamine / ascorbate.

Supplementary Material Legends

Supplementary Figure 1 (figures/fig1-tree/FigureS1.pdf)

Phylogenetic placement of the genomes used in this study within the acI lineage,

relative to other sequenced actinobacterial genomes in the class Actinobacteria (49)

(Table S19). The tree was built using RAxML (40) from a concatenated alignment of

protein sequences from 37 single-copy marker genes (39). The class Acidimicrobiia

forms the outgroup. Vertical black bars indicate groups of genomes belonging to defined

tribes/clades within the acI lineage, as determined using 16S rRNA gene sequences (for

SAGs and bin FNEF8-2 bin_7 acI-B only) and a defined taxonomy (28). SAGs are

indicated with italic text.

Supplementary Figure 2 (figures/figS-sampling/FigureS1.pdf)

Mean estimated completeness of tribe-level (clade-level) population genomes as

a function of the number of sampled genomes. For each tribe (clade), genomes were

randomly sampled (with replacement) from the set of all genomes belonging to that tribe

(clade). Completeness was estimated using 204 single-copy marker genes from the

phylum Actinobacteria (50). Error bars represent the 95% confidence interval estimated

from 1000 iterations.

Supplementary Figure 3 (figures/fig2-workflow/FigureS3.pdf)

Converting an unannotated genome to a metabolic network graph, for a

simplified genome containing only glycolysis. **(A)** Microbial contigs are annotated using

KBase, and a metabolic network reconstruction is built from the annotations. The

reconstruction provides links between protein-encoding genes in the genome and the

enzymatic reactions catalyzed by those proteins. **(B)** The metabolic network

reconstruction represents metabolism as a hypergraph, in which metabolites are

represented as nodes and reactions as hyperedges. In this representation, an edge can

connect more than two nodes. For example, a single hyperedge (denoted by a heavy

black line) connects the metabolites glucose and ATP to glucose-6P, ADP, and Pi. For

clarity, protons are not shown. **(C)** However, the algorithm used by the seed set

framework requires metabolism to be represented as a metabolic network graph, in

which an edge can connect only two nodes. In this representation, a reaction is

represented by a set of edges connecting all substrates to all products. For example,

the heavy hyperedge in (B) is now denoted by six separate edges connecting glucose to

ADP, glucose to Pi, glucose to glucose-6P, ATP to ADP, ATP to Pi, and ATP to

glucose-6P (again denoted by heavy black lines). Of these, only one (glucose to

glucose-6P) is biologically meaningful. The dotted line surrounds the currency

metabolites. **(D)** The metabolic network graph is then pruned, a process which removes

all currency metabolites and any edges in which those metabolites participate. Of the

six heavy edges in (C), only the biologically meaningful one is retained, connecting

glucose to glucose-6P (again denoted by a heavy black line). The images in (B) and (C)

are modified from (51).

Supplementary Figure 4 (figures/fig2-workflow/FigureS4.pdf)

Construction of composite metabolic network graph for clade acI-C. Beginning

with metabolic network graphs for genomes Actinobacterium_10 and ME00885, nodes

and edges unique to ME00885 are identified (in blue). These nodes and edges are

added to the Actinobacterium_10 graph, giving the composite metabolic network graph

for these two genomes (Actinobacterium_10 + ME00885). Then, this graph is compared

to the graph for ME03864, and nodes and edges unique to ME03864 are identified (in

blue). These nodes and edges are added to the Actinobacterium_10 + ME00885

metabolic network graph, giving the composite metabolic network graph for clade acI-C.

Supplementary Figure 5 (figures/fig2-workflow/FigureS5.pdf)

Identifying seed compounds in metabolic networks, using the same metabolic

network as in Supplementary Figure S1. **(A)** To identify seed compounds, the metabolic

network graph is first decomposed into its strongly connected components (SCCs), sets

of nodes such that each node in the set is reachable from every other node. Here, each

set of circled nodes corresponds to a unique SCC. **(B)** SCC decomposition enables

seed sets to be identified from source components (components with no incoming

edges) on the condensation of the original graph. In the condensation of the original

graph shown here, each node corresponds to a unique SCC. This network has a single

seed set, SCC_1, enclosed in a dotted circle. **(C)** Seed compounds can be found from

the mapping between SCCs and their constituent metabolites. In this example, glucose

is the sole seed compound. While this particular result is probably intuitive, real

metabolic networks are considerably more complex. Note: The visual representations

shown here are intended to illustrate the metabolic network reconstruction process, and

are not indicative of the data structures used by our pipeline.

Supplementary Figure 6 (figures/fig2-workflow/FigureS6.pdf)

Complete composite metabolic network graph for clade acI-C, showing

disconnected components (gray) and the single largest component (green and black).

Disconnected components are dropped prior to computing the network's seed sets

because these groups of nodes are not connected to the bulk of the network. Within the

single largest component, the giant strong component contains a substantial fraction of

the compounds (green nodes), giving rise to a bow-tie structure in the metabolic

network graph.

Supplementary Tables (manuscript/Hamilton_acI_2017_Tables.xlsx)

Supplementary Tables S1 to S19.

References

1. Borenstein E, Kupiec M, Feldman MW, Ruppin E. 2008. Large-scale reconstruction

and phylogenetic analysis of metabolic environments. Proceedings of the

National Academy of Sciences 105:14482–14487.

2. Falkowski PG, Fenchel T, Delong EF. 2008. The microbial engines that drive Earth's

biogeochemical cycles. Science 320:1034–1039.

3. Blaser MJ, Cardon ZG, Cho MK, Dangl JL, Donohue TJ, Green JL, Knight R, Editor

S, Maxon ME, Northen TR, Pollard KS, Editor S. 2016. Toward a Predictive

Understanding of Earth's Microbiomes to Address 21st Century Challenges.

mBio 7:e00074–16.

4. Sangwan N, Xia F, Gilbert JA. 2016. Recovering complete and draft population

genomes from metagenome datasets. Microbiome 4:8.

5. Lawson CE, Wu S, Bhattacharjee AS, Hamilton JJ, Mcmahon KD, Goel R, Noguera

DR. 2017. Metabolic network analysis reveals microbial community interactions

in anammox granules. Nature Communications 8:15416.

6. Anantharaman K, Brown CT, Hug LA, Sharon I, Castelle CJ, Probst AJ, Thomas BC,

Singh A, Wilkins MJ, Karaoz U, Brodie EL, Williams KH, Hubbard SS, Banfield

JF. 2016. Thousands of microbial genomes shed light on interconnected

biogeochemical processes in an aquifer system. Nature Communications

7:13219.

7. Orth JD, Thiele I, Palsson BØ. 2010. What is flux balance analysis? Nature

Biotechnology 28:245–248.

8. Arkin AP, Stevens RL, Cottingham RW, Maslov S, Henry CS, Dehal P, Ware D,

Perez F, Harris NL, Canon S, Sneddon MW, Henderson ML, Riehl WJ, Gunter D,

Mur D, Yoo S. 2016. The DOE Systems Biology Knowledgebase (KBase).

bioRxiv.

9. Zwart G, Hiorns WD, Methé BA, Agterveld MP van, Huismans R, Nold SC, Zehr JP,

Laanbroek HJ. 1998. Nearly Identical 16S rRNA Sequences Recovered from

Lakes in North America and Europe Indicate the Existence of Clades of Globally

Distributed Freshwater Bacteria. Systematic and Applied Microbiology 21:546–

556.

10. Glockner FO, Zaichikov E, Belkova N, Denissova L, Pernthaler J, Pernthaler A,

Amann R. 2000. Comparative 16S rRNA analysis of lake bacterioplankton

reveals globally distributed phylogenetic clusters including an abundant group of

actinobacteria. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 66:5053–5065.

11. Zwart G, Crump BC, Kamst-van Agterveld MP, Hagen F, Han SK. 2002. Typical

freshwater bacteria: An analysis of available 16S rRNA gene sequences from

plankton of lakes and rivers. Aquatic Microbial Ecology 28:141–155.

12. Newton RJ, Kent AD, Triplett EW, McMahon KD. 2006. Microbial community

dynamics in a humic lake: Differential persistence of common freshwater

phylotypes. Environmental Microbiology 8:956–970.

13. Wu QL, Zwart G, Schauer M, Kamst-Van Agterveld MP, Hahn MW. 2006.

Bacterioplankton community composition along a salinity gradient of sixteen

high-mountain lakes located on the Tibetan Plateau, China. Applied and

Environmental Microbiology 72:5478–5485.

14. Newton RJ, Jones SE, Helmus MR, McMahon KD. 2007. Phylogenetic ecology of

the freshwater Actinobacteria acI lineage. Applied and Environmental

Microbiology 73:7169–7176.

15. Wu X, Xi W, Ye W, Yang H. 2007. Bacterial community composition of a shallow

hypertrophic freshwater lake in China, revealed by 16S rRNA gene sequences.

FEMS Microbiology Ecology 61:85–96.

16. De Wever A, Van Der Gucht K, Muylaert K, Cousin S, Vyverman W. 2008. Clone

library analysis reveals an unusual composition and strong habitat partitioning of

pelagic bacterial communities in Lake Tanganyika. Aquatic Microbial Ecology

50:113–122.

17. Humbert JF, Dorigo U, Cecchi P, Le Berre B, Debroas D, Bouvy M. 2009.

Comparison of the structure and composition of bacterial communities from

temperate and tropical freshwater ecosystems. Environmental Microbiology

11:2339–2350.

18. Ghai R, McMahon KD, Rodriguez-Valera F. 2012. Breaking a paradigm:

cosmopolitan and abundant freshwater actinobacteria are low GC. Environmental

Microbiology Reports 4:29–35.

19. Buck U, Grossart H-P, Amann RI, Pernthaler J. 2009. Substrate incorporation

patterns of bacterioplankton populations in stratified and mixed waters of a humic

lake. Environmental Microbiology 11:1854–1865.

20. Salcher MM, Pernthaler J, Posch T. 2010. Spatiotemporal distribution and activity

patterns of bacteria from three phylogenetic groups in an oligomesotrophic lake.

Limnology and Oceanography 55:846–856.

21. Martinez-Garcia M, Swan BK, Poulton NJ, Gomez ML, Masland D, Sieracki ME,

Stepanauskas R. 2012. High-throughput single-cell sequencing identifies

photoheterotrophs and chemoautotrophs in freshwater bacterioplankton. The

ISME Journal 6:113–123.

22. Garcia SL, McMahon KD, Martinez-Garcia M, Srivastava A, Sczyrba A,

Stepanauskas R, Grossart H-P, Woyke T, Warnecke F. 2013. Metabolic potential

of a single cell belonging to one of the most abundant lineages in freshwater

bacterioplankton. The ISME Journal 7:137–147.

23. Salcher MM, Posch T, Pernthaler J. 2013. In situ substrate preferences of abundant

bacterioplankton populations in a prealpine freshwater lake. The ISME Journal

7:896–907.

24. Ghai R, Mizuno CM, Picazo A, Camacho A, Rodriguez-Valera F. 2014. Key roles for

freshwater Actinobacteria revealed by deep metagenomic sequencing. Molecular

Ecology 23:6073–6090.

25. Ghylin TW, Garcia SL, Moya F, Oyserman BO, Schwientek P, Forest KT, Mutschler

J, Dwulit-Smith J, Chan L-K, Martinez-Garcia M, Sczyrba A, Stepanauskas R,

Grossart H-P, Woyke T, Warnecke F, Malmstrom RR, Bertilsson S, McMahon

KD. 2014. Comparative single-cell genomics reveals potential ecological niches

for the freshwater acl Actinobacteria lineage. The ISME Journal 8:2503–2516.

26. Tsementzi D, Poretsky RS, Rodriguez-R LM, Luo C, Konstantinidis KT. 2014.

Evaluation of metatranscriptomic protocols and application to the study of

freshwater microbial communities. Environmental Microbiology Reports 6:640–

655.

27. Garcia SL, Buck M, McMahon KD, Grossart H-P, Eiler A, Warnecke F. 2015.

Auxotrophy and intra-population complementary in the 'interactome' of a

cultivated freshwater model community. Molecular Ecology 24:4449–4459.

28. Newton RJ, Jones SE, Eiler A, McMahon KD, Bertilsson S. 2011. A guide to the

natural history of freshwater lake bacteria. Microbiology and Molecular Biology

Reviews 75:14–49.

29. Li L, Stoeckert CJ, Roos DS. 2003. OrthoMCL: identification of ortholog groups for

eukaryotic genomes. Genome Research 13:2178–89.

30. Sharma AK, Zhaxybayeva O, Papke RT, Doolittle WF. 2008. Actinorhodopsins:

Proteorhodopsin-like gene sequences found predominantly in non-marine

environments. Environmental Microbiology 10:1039–1056.

31. Beier S, Bertilsson S. 2011. Uncoupling of chitinase activity and uptake of hydrolysis

products in freshwater bacterioplankton. Limnology and Oceanography 56:1179–

1188.

32. Eckert EM, Salcher MM, Posch T, Eugster B, Pernthaler J. 2012. Rapid successions

affect microbial N-acetyl-glucosamine uptake patterns during a lacustrine spring

phytoplankton bloom. Environmental Microbiology 14:794–806.

33. Eckert EM, Baumgartner M, Huber IM, Pernthaler J. 2013. Grazing resistant

freshwater bacteria profit from chitin and cell-wall-derived organic carbon.

Environmental Microbiology 15:2019–2030.

34. Pérez MT, Hörtnagl P, Sommaruga R. 2010. Contrasting ability to take up leucine

and thymidine among freshwater bacterial groups: Implications for bacterial

production measurements. Environmental Microbiology 12:74–82.

35. Saier MH, Reddy VS, Tamang DG, Västermark Å. 2014. The transporter

classification database. Nucleic Acids Research 42:D251–D258.

36. Garcia SL. 2016. Mixed cultures as model communities: hunting for ubiquitous

microorganisms, their partners, and interactions. Aquatic Microbial Ecology

77:79–85.

37. Garcia SL, Buck M, Hamilton JJ, Wurzbacher C, Rosenblad MA, McMaho KD,

Grossart H-P, Warnecke F, Eiler A. 2017. Model communities hint to

promiscuous metabolic linkages between ubiquitous free-living freshwater

bacteria. bioRxiv.

38. Bendall ML, Stevens SLR, Chan L-K, Malfatti S, Schwientek P, Tremblay J,

Schackwitz W, Martin J, Pati A, Bushnell B, Froula J, Kang D, Tringe SG,

Bertilsson S, Moran MA, Shade AL, Newton RJ, McMahon KD, Malmstrom RR.

2016. Genome-wide selective sweeps and gene-specific sweeps in natural

bacterial populations. The ISME Journal 10:1589–1601.

39. Darling AE, Jospin G, Lowe E, Matsen FA, Bik HM, Eisen JA. 2014. PhyloSift:

phylogenetic analysis of genomes and metagenomes. PeerJ 2:e243.

40. Stamatakis A. 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-

analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30:1312–1313.

41. Jeong H, Tombor B, Albert R, Oltvai ZN, Barabási A-L, Database I. 2000. The large-

scale organization of metabolic networks. Nature 407:651–654.

42. Brettin T, Davis JJ, Disz T, Edwards RA, Gerdes S, Olsen GJ, Olson R, Overbeek

RA, Parrello B, Pusch GD, Shukla M, Thomason JA, Stevens R, Vonstein V,

Wattam AR, Xia F. 2015. RASTtk: a modular and extensible implementation of

the RAST algorithm for building custom annotation pipelines and annotating

batches of genomes. Scientific Reports 5:8365.

43. Overbeek RA, Olson R, Pusch GD, Olsen GJ, Davis JJ, Disz T, Edwards RA,

Gerdes SY, Parrello B, Shukla M, Vonstein V, Wattam AR, Xia F, Stevens RL.

2014. The SEED and the Rapid Annotation of microbial genomes using

Subsystems Technology (RAST). Nucleic Acids Research 42:206–214.

44. Henry CS, DeJongh M, Best AA, Frybarger PM, Linsay B, Stevens RL. 2010. High-

throughput generation, optimization and analysis of genome-scale metabolic

models. Nature Biotechnology 28:977–982.

45. Fischer S, Brunk BP, Chen F, Gao X, Harb OS, Iodice JB, Shanmugam D, Roos

DS, Stoeckert CJ. 2011. Using OrthoMCL to assign proteins to OrthoMCL-DB

groups or to cluster proteomes into new ortholog groups. Current Protocols in

Bioinformatics Supplement:6.12.1.6–12.19.

46. Konstantinidis KT, Tiedje JM. 2005. Genomic insights that advance the species

definition for prokaryotes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences

102:2567–2572.

47. Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W. 2014. HTSeq A Python framework to work with high-

throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics 31:166–169.

48. Mortazavi A, Williams BA, McCue K, Schaeffer L, Wold B. 2008. Mapping and

quantifying mammalian transcriptomes by RNA-Seq. Nature Methods 5:621–628.

49. Gao B, Gupta RS. 2012. Phylogenetic Framework and Molecular Signatures for the

Main Clades of the Phylum Actinobacteria. Microbiology and Molecular Biology

Reviews 76:66–112.

50. Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW. 2015. CheckM:

assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells,

and metagenomes. Genome Research 25:1043–1055.

51. Ma H, Zeng A-P. 2003. Reconstruction of metabolic networks from genome data

and analysis of their global structure for various organisms. Bioinformatics

19:270–277.

A)

Actinobacterium_10   ME00885   ME03864

B)

acl-C

Homocysteine

L-methionine   O-Acetyl-L-homoserine

L-homoserine

O-Phospho-L-homoserine   L-Aspartate 4-semialdehyde

C)

BIN_10 (inner ring)
ME00885
ME03864 (outer ring)
Log2 RPKM

| | Tribe | Clade |

A)

```
>TE02754.contig.1
TCACCAAGTGAATAC
CAGCAGCACGGGCG
AGCTGAGTGATGCGA
ACGATGGACTCTTCTA
CTTCGCGAGCCGCAA
CCATCATTAGATCTGC
TAATTCATCGATGATC
ACAAGTAGGTAGGGA
TATGGTTCGAGAATTC
GCTCGCTGCCTT...
```

Reaction	Genes	Equation
rxn00216	TE02754.1703	glucose + ATP -> gluose-6-P + ADP + Pi
rxn00558	TE02754.1688	glucose-6-P <--> fructose-6-P
rxn00545	TE02754.2419	fructose-6-P + ATP --> fructose-1,6-P + ADP
rxn00786	TE02754.1795 OR TE02754.2367	fructose-1,6-P <--> glyceraldehyde-3-P +glycerone-P
rxn00747	TE02754.1685	glycerone-P <--> glyceraldehyde-3-P
rxn00781	TE02754.1683	glyceraldehyde-3-P + NAD + Pi <--> 1,3-P-glycerate + NADH
rxn01100	TE02754.1684	1,3-P-glycerate + ADP + Pi <--> 3-P-glycerate + ATP
rxn01106	TE02754.1899	3-P-glycerate <--> 2-P-glycerate
rxn00459	TE02754.1568 OR TE02754.1842	2-P-glycerate <--> phosphoenolpyruvate + H2O
rxn00148	TE02754.1665	phosphoenolpyruvate + ADP --> pyruvate + ATP

B)

glucose → glucose-6-P (ATP → ADP + Pi) → fructose-6-P → fructose-1,6-P (ATP → ADP) → glyceraldehyde-3-p ↔ glycerone-P → 1,3-P-glycerate (NAD, Pi → NADH) → 3-P-glycerate (ADP + Pi → ATP) → 2-P-glycerate → phosphoenolpyruvate (→ H₂O) → pyruvate (ADP → ATP)

C)

D)

Actinobacterium_10

ME00885

ME03864

Actinobacterium_10 + ME00885

Actinobacterium_10 + ME00885 + ME03864
acl-C composite network graph

A)

glc

g6p

f6p

f16bp

dhap ⟷ gadp

1,3bpg

3pg

2pg

pep

pyr

B)

SCC_1

SCC_2

SCC_3

SCC_4

SCC	In	Out	Seed
SCC_1	0	1	Y
SCC_2	1	1	N
SCC_3	1	1	N
SCC_4	1	0	N

C)

SCC	Metabolites
SCC_1	glc
SCC_2	g6p, f6p
SCC_3	f16bp, gadp, dhap, 1,3bpg,3pg, 2pg, pep
SCC_4	pyr