An Organelle-like Structure Correlated with the Quiescent State of Bacterial Cells

Jiayu Yu[1†], Yang Liu[1†] & Zengyi Chang[1,2,*]

[1]The State Key Laboratory of Protein and Plant Gene Research, School of Life Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, P.R. China
[2]Center for Protein Science, Peking University, Beijing 100871, P.R. China
[†]J.Y. and Y.L. contributed equally to this work
[*]Correspondence: changzy@pku.edu.cn (Z.C.)

Abstract

   The prokaryotic tubulin homolog protein FtsZ assembles into the Z-ring at mid-cell to provide contractile force during bacterial cell division. Here, by tracing the status of FtsZ in living *E. coli* cells, we unexpectedly revealed a cytosolic organelle-like structure containing the FtsZ protein that is solely formed in non-growing/non-dividing late stationary-phase cells and is located at the cell poles. This structure, which we named the quiescent body, contains selected essential proteins involved in cell growth and division, and its formation depends on the operation of the cellular respiratory chain. The quiescent bodies start to disassemble to release the important proteins that will resume functioning upon cell re-growth/re-division under permissive growth conditions, while those cells containing intact quiescent bodies do not re-grow/re-divide. Meanwhile, the quiescent bodies endow the cells with a higher antibiotic resistance capacity by inhibiting cell recovery. Our discoveries reported here strongly suggest that the quiescent bodies sequester proteins important for cell growth/division and thus maintain the cells in a quiescent state. These findings also implicate that bacterial pathogens might be effectively killed by antibiotics that only target growing cells by blocking the assembly or promoting the disassembly of quiescent bodies.

   Cell division is an essential event for the propagation of all species. Bacterial cell division is known to occur by the formation of a divisome, a dynamic structure assembled from many different proteins, in the middle of the parent cell before its division into two daughter cells[1–3]. The FtsZ protein, a conserved tubulin-like GTPase that has been identified as the major component of the divisome, assembles into a ring-like structure called the Z-ring, which in turn recruits other proteins and provides the contractile force for bacterial cytokinesis[4,5]. Although it is known that the FtsZ protein subunits are able to form fibrous protofilaments via head-to-tail associations, little is

known about how these protofilaments further assemble into the Z-ring and how the Z-
ring generates the contractile force to accomplish cell division[6–8].

We have been interested in understanding how the FtsZ protein assembles into the
Z-ring structure by identifying its amino acid residues that mediate the assembly
process in living *E. coli* cells. To this end, our major approach was to individually
substitute selected amino acid residues in the FtsZ protein with the unnatural amino
acid *p*-benzoyl-L-phenylalanine (pBpa), which could be activated upon exposure to UV
light and allow FtsZ to form a covalent linkage with an interacting partner [9–13], either
another FtsZ subunit (resulting in the formation of photocrosslinked FtsZ dimers) or
other proteins. Such *in vivo* photocrosslinking experiments performed with dividing
log-phase *E. coli* cells allowed us not only to validate previously reported amino acid
residues but also to identify new residues that are involved in forming the Z-ring (data
to be published elsewhere). Initially designed as a control experiment, we also
performed a similar *in vivo* photocrosslinking analysis with non-growing/non-dividing
late stationary-phase cells in which the Z-ring was assumed to be disassembled[5,6,14],
and thus photocrosslinked FtsZ dimer products were not expected to form. Strikingly,
we still detected photocrosslinked products, not only as FtsZ-FtsZ dimers but also as
complexes between FtsZ and other proteins, when pBpa was introduced at certain
residue positions of FtsZ (data to be reported later). These observations, although
unexpected, strongly indicate that the FtsZ protein is not randomly dispersed in the
cytosol in late stationary-phase cells, and they prompted us to conduct an extensive
study on the status of the FtsZ protein in these cells, with the striking results being
reported here.

In this study, by tracing the status of the FtsZ protein, we unexpectedly revealed a
unique cytosolic organelle-like structure that is formed in the non-growing/non-
dividing late stationary-phase *E. coli* cells. This structure, which we named the
quiescent body, is located at the cell poles and contains selected important proteins
involved in cell (re)-growth and (re)-division. The disassembly of quiescent body upon
cell re-growth/re-division for releasing the sequestered proteins that will resume their
function is rather heterogeneous among different individual cells, and those cells
containing intact quiescent bodies fail to regrow under permissive growth conditions.
Our findings reported here strongly suggest that specifically selected proteins are
sequestered in the quiescent body so that make the cell entry into a quiescent state which
endows the cell with antibiotic resistance capacity. Our discoveries also imply that
blocking the assembly or promoting the disassembly of quiescent bodies would likely
put bacterial pathogens into an actively growing state, enabling them to be killed by
antibiotics.

Results

FtsZ protein was found to exist as cell pole granules in non-growing/non-dividing
late stationary-phase cells and to re-assemble into a Z-ring upon cell re-growth/re-
division
To gain insight into the status of the FtsZ protein in bacterial cells at different
growth/division states, we heterologously expressed the fluorescent protein
mNeonGreen-fused FtsZ at a low level that FtsZ-mNeonGreen will label but not disrupt
the structure formed by the endogenous wild-type FtsZ, and thus allow the structure to
be visualized by live-cell imaging[15]. The Z-ring was clearly visualized in the middle of
growing/dividing log-phase cells (**Fig. 1a** top panel). Strikingly, when we analyzed the
non-growing/non-dividing late stationary-phase cells, we observed that the FtsZ
proteins were localized at the cell poles in the form of granules (**Fig. 1a** bottom panel).
As a control, the mNeonGreen protein (not fused to FtsZ) was observed to evenly
spread in the cytosol of either log-phase or late stationary-phase cells (**Supplementary**
Fig. 1A). Collectively, these results indicate that the FtsZ proteins exist as cell pole
granules in late stationary-phase cells.
We next analyzed the fate of the FtsZ proteins in the cell pole granules upon cell
re-growth and re-division. For this purpose, we constructed a new strain by inserting
the gene encoding the FtsZ-mNeonGreen fusion protein into the genomic rhamnose
operon so that its expression is controlled by rhamnose (**Supplementary Fig. 1B**). We
verified that the expression of FtsZ-mNeonGreen in this strain depended on the
induction of rhamnose (**Supplementary Fig. 1C**), and the cell growth rates were
indistinguishable in the presence or absence of rhamnose (**Supplementary Fig. 1D**).
With this *ftsZ-mNeonGreen* strain, we also observed the Z-ring structure and cell pole
granules in log-phase and late stationary-phase cells, respectively, under fluorescence
microscopy (**Supplementary Fig. 1E**).
Then late stationary-phase *ftsZ-mNeonGreen* cells were re-cultured in rhamnose-
lacking fresh media (thus no new FtsZ-mNeonGreen protein would be synthesized).
The live-cell imaging data presented in **Fig. 1b** reveal a remarkable time-dependent
disappearance of the FtsZ-mNeonGreen proteins present in the cell pole granules and
the subsequent appearance of the protein in the newly formed Z-ring only for those
cells that started to re-grow/re-divide (as indicated by the white dashed circles). These
observations indicate that the FtsZ proteins in cell pole granules re-assemble into a Z-
ring upon cell re-growth/re-division. Notably, those cells containing intact cell pole
granules did not re-grow/re-divide (as indicated by the red dashed circles) (**Fig. 1b**),
indicating that the disassembly of the cell pole granules is highly asynchronous for
different individual cells, which apparently corresponds to the great diversity in the
recovery time for individual late stationary-phase cells, as reported previously [16–18].
116
117

Figure 1. Live-cell fluorescence microscopy imaging reveals that the FtsZ-mNeonGreen

protein is present in cell pole granules in late stationary-phase *E. coli* cells and re-assembles

into a newly formed Z-ring upon cell re-growth/re-division.

(a) Fluorescence and bright field images of the log-phase (6 h; top panel) and late stationary-phase

(24 h; bottom panel) cells in which the FtsZ-mNeonGreen protein was heterologously expressed

in addition to the endogenous wild-type FtsZ. **(b)** Fluorescence images of the late stationary-phase

ftsZ-mNeonGreen cells (with the gene encoding the FtsZ-mNeonGreen protein integrated into the

genomic rhamnose operon, as illustrated in **Supplementary Fig. 1B**) that were re-cultured at 37℃

to the indicated time points in rhamnose-lacking fresh LB media. The re-growing and non-

growing cells are indicated by white and red dashed lines, respectively. Scale bars, 1 μm.

The cell pole granules possess a compact organelle-like structure in which almost

all the FtsZ proteins in late stationary-phase cells are located

To clarify the exact sub-cellular localization of the cell pole granules, we

separately labeled the cytosol, the inner membrane and the outer membrane with the

red fluorescent protein mCherry, inner membrane anchoring peptide (derived from the

nlpA protein)-fused mCherry and outer membrane protein A (OmpA)-fused mCherry

in *ftsZ-mNeonGreen* cells, respectively. The live-cell imaging data displayed in **Fig. 2a**

clearly demonstrate that each cell pole granule occupies a cytosolic space that is

completely inaccessible to the cytosolic mCherry proteins and thus represents a

compact organelle-like structure.

We subsequently attempted to examine whether such cell pole granules can be

released as intact structures when the cells are disrupted. For this purpose, we first

prepared late stationary-phase *ftsZ-mNeonGreen* cells in rhamnose-containing media

and then lysed the cells (with a French press) before visualizing the cell lysates with

fluorescence microscopy. The micrographs displayed in **Fig. 2b** show that the cell pole

granules remain as intact entities even in the lysates. Additionally, we found that such

entities could be effectively collected in the pellet when the cell lysates were subjected

to centrifugation (data not shown).

To examine the proportion of the cellular FtsZ proteins located in the cell pole

granules, we then analyzed the supernatant and pellet fractions of the lysates of the late

stationary-phase *ftsZ-mNeonGreen* cells by immunoblotting analysis. The results, as

displayed in **Fig. 2c**, clearly show that both the wild-type and mNeonGreen-fused FtsZ

proteins are largely detected in the pellet fraction (lane 6) while hardly any were

detected in the supernatant fraction (lane 5). In contrast, for the log-phase cells (in
which no cell pole granules are formed), both the wild-type and fused FtsZ proteins
were largely detected in the supernatant fraction (**Fig. 3c**, lane 2). We also observed
similar patterns of FtsZ distribution in the wild-type cells (**Fig. 2d**), indicating that total
FtsZ proteins indeed occur as cell pole granules in late stationary-phase wild-type cells.
Importantly, in contrast to the FtsZ protein, in the pellet fraction of the late
stationary-phase cells, we hardly detected any of GroEL protein, a member of the
Hsp60 family of molecular chaperones that is commonly found in such protein
aggregates as the inclusion bodies formed in bacteria[19], or of EF-Tu, one of the most
abundant proteins in the cytosol of *E. coli* cells (**Fig. 2d**). Taken together, these
observations strongly indicate that the cell pole granule represents an organelle-like
structure rather than a non-specific protein aggregate. Additionally, immunoblotting
analysis also indicated the presence of outer membrane components (as represented by
OmpF) in the pellet fraction, consistent with what has been reported before[20], but the
absence of inner membrane components (as represented by the α-subunit of ATP
synthase) (also shown in **Fig. 2d**).
We next examined whether the endogenous wild-type FtsZ in the cell pole granules
can be released to form the Z-ring structure when the late stationary-phase cells re-
grow/re-divide. To this end, the late stationary-phase *ftsZ-mNeonGreen* cells were re-
cultured in fresh media with protein synthesis (including that of FtsZ) inhibited by the
presence of chloramphenicol[21]. In this case, we observed a similar time-dependent
disappearance of the FtsZ-mNeonGreen proteins in the cell pole granules, accompanied
by the re-formation of the Z-ring structure (mainly relying on the wild-type FtsZ protein)
labeled by FtsZ-mNeonGreen (**Fig. 2e**). The data displayed in **Fig. 1b** and **Fig. 2e**
collectively indicate that the FtsZ proteins stored in the cell pole granules can be
released and re-assembled to form the Z-ring structure independent of new protein
synthesis when the late stationary-phase cells are placed in an environment permissive
to cell growth. In addition, the data presented in **Fig. 2e** once again indicate the
heterogeneity of cell pole granule disassembly among different individual cells.
183
184   **The cell pole granules contain functionally important proteins involved in cell**
185   **(re-)growth and (re-)division**
186
Given that the visualization of cell pole granules in the cell lysate under bright
field microscope (**Fig. 2b**) and the limited molecular number of FtsZ proteins in each
cell, other proteins are most likely also present in such granules. To identify these other
proteins, the cell pole granules collected in the pellets of cell lysates were dissolved in
8 M urea, concentrated and resolved by SDS-PAGE before the selected protein bands
that could be visualized on the gel (**Fig. 2f**, lane 10) were cut out and subjected to mass
spectrometric analysis. As listed in **Fig. 2g**, in addition to FtsZ, we also identified key
proteins involved in such fundamental biological processes as transcription (subunits

of RNA polymerase), translation (ribosomal proteins and aminoacyl tRNA synthetases),
metabolism and cell division.
Among the cell division proteins we identified in the cell pole granules (FtsZ,
FtsA, FtsE, FtsX, ZapC, MinD and MinE), we verified that FtsA and ZapC, although
located in the Z-ring structure in log-phase cells[15,22], are indeed present in the cell pole
granules in late stationary-phase cells (**Supplementary Fig. 2A**). Furthermore, FtsA
(tagged with mCherry) co-localizes with FtsZ (tagged with mNeonGreen) both in the
Z-ring of log-phase cells and in the cell pole granules of late stationary-phase cells or
the cell lysates, as shown in **Supplementary Fig. 2B**. As a control, the FtsL and ZapA
proteins, which were not identified in our mass spectrometric analysis but are known[23]
and confirmed by us to be present in the Z-ring in log-phase cells, were not detected in
the cell pole granules (**Supplementary Fig. 2A**). Taken together, our data (displayed
in **Fig. 2f** and **Supplementary Fig. 2**) apparently suggest that specific proteins essential
for cell (re)-growth and (re)-division are selectively sequestered in the cell pole
granules in late stationary-phase cells.
210

Figure 2. The cell pole granules possess a compact organelle-like structure in the cytosol and
contain almost all the FtsZ protein as well as other functionally important proteins involved
in cell (re-)growth/(re-)division.
(a) Separate and merged fluorescence images of late stationary-phase *ftsZ-mNeonGreen* cells
whose inner membrane, outer membrane or cytosol was labeled by the red fluorescent protein
mCherry. **(b)** Fluorescence and bright field images of the cell lysate of late stationary-phase *ftsZ-*
mNeonGreen cells. Scale bars, 1 μm. **(c)** Immunoblotting results for the total cell lysate (lanes 1
and 4), the supernatant (lanes 2 and 5) and the pellet (lanes 3 and 6) of log-phase and late
stationary-phase *ftsZ-mNeonGreen* cells, probed with antibody against FtsZ. Positions of the

protein bands for FtsZ and FtsZ-mNeonGreen are indicated on the left. **(d)** Immunoblotting results
for the total cell lysate (lanes 1 and 4), the supernatant (lanes 2 and 5) and the pellet (lanes 3 and
6) of log-phase and late stationary-phase wild-type *E. coli* cells, probed with antibodies against the
indicated proteins (i.e., FtsZ, EF-Tu, GroEL, α-subunit of ATP synthase or OmpF). **(e)**
Fluorescence images of late stationary-phase *ftsZ-mNeonGreen* cells that were re-cultured at 37°C
to the indicated time points in rhamnose-lacking fresh media in the presence of the antibiotic
chloramphenicol (which inhibits the synthesis of all proteins). The cell in which the cell pole
granules disappeared and a new Z-ring structure formed is indicated by the white dashed line.
Scale bars, 1 μm. **(f)** Results of SDS-PAGE analysis on the proteins present in the total lysate
(lanes 2 and 5), the supernatant (lanes 3 and 6) and the pellet (lanes 4 and 7) of the log-phase and
late stationary-phase cells, as visualized by Coomassie Blue staining. The pellet of the lysate (lane
7) for the late stationary-phase cells was dissolved in 8 M urea, and the new supernatant (new
sup.; lane 8) was further concentrated by approximately 10-fold before further analysis (lane 10).
Asterisks (in lanes 4 and 7-10) indicate bands identified by mass spectrometry as outer membrane
proteins OmpA, OmpF or OmpC, which were collected in the pellet fraction, likely as outer
membrane debris. **(g)** List of major proteins in the cell pole granule as identified by mass
spectrometry analysis.
238

239 **Formation of cell pole granules is highly asynchronous for different individual**
240 **cells, and indole is an effective but non-essential inducing factor**
241

We next attempted to identify the factors that induce the formation of cell pole
granules. We started by tracing the status of the FtsZ proteins in *E. coli* cells from log-
phase (6-h cultures) to late stationary-phase (24-h cultures) by performing live-cell
imaging at 3-h intervals. The data displayed in **Fig. 3a** demonstrate the following. First,
the Z-ring structure disassembled in some of the cells when cultured for 12 h. Second,
the cell pole granule began to appear (indicated by the white arrow) in some cells while
others still contained the Z-ring (indicated by the red arrow) when cultured for 15 h.
Third, the cytosolic FtsZ protein gradually assembled into the cell pole granules in more
and more cells from 18 h culturing. These observations suggest that the formation of
cell pole granules is asynchronous for different cells. We then tested whether the culture
media of late stationary-phase (24-h cultures) cells is able to induce the formation of
cell pole granules in actively dividing log-phase cells (6-h cultures). The data presented
in **Fig. 3b** demonstrate that the formation of cell pole granules is significantly
accelerated when the log-phase cells are placed in late stationary-phase culture media,
and they are fully formed in all cells at approximately 10 h (i.e., at the +4 h time point)
instead of at 21 h, as occurred under non-inducing conditions (**Fig. 3a**).
It is known that the amino acids are utilized as fuel molecules because of lacking
carbohydrates when cells enter into the stationary phase, producing ammonia as a
result[14,24]. In view of this knowledge, we tested whether ammonia is an inducing factor
for cell pole granule formation by examining both its time-dependent and dosage-
dependent effects. However, the formation of cell pole granules was not observed, even

though the Z-ring structure was no longer observable (e.g., 50 mM ammonia, +1 h) in

the treated log-phase cells (**Supplementary Fig. 3A**).

We then tested whether indole, a molecule that is known to accumulate in

stationary-phase culture media and is believed to act as an intercellular signal affecting

multiple physiological processes in cells[25,26], is an inducing factor. In this regard, our

experiments on time- and dosage-dependent effects demonstrate that cell pole granules

could effectively form in log-phase cells when treated with 5 mM indole for as short as

1 h, as revealed both by live-cell imaging (**Supplementary Fig. 3B**; **Fig. 3c**) and by

immunoblotting (**Fig. 3d**) analyses.

To gain insight into whether indole is an essential factor for inducing the formation

of cell pole granules, we deleted the *tnaA* gene encoding the tryptophanase enzyme,

which is responsible for converting L-tryptophan into indole[27]. We observed that cell

pole granules are still effectively formed in the late stationary-phase Δ*tnaA* cells

(**Supplementary Fig. 3C**), indicating that indole is an effective but not essential factor

for inducing the formation of cell pole granules.

Figure 3. Formation of cell pole granules is asynchronous in different individual cells, and

indole is able to accelerate their formation.

(a) Fluorescence images recorded at the indicated time points for *ftsZ-mNeonGreen* cells cultured

in LB media containing 0.02% rhamnose. **(b)** Fluorescence images of log-phase *ftsZ-mNeonGreen*

cells, untreated (bottom panel) or treated with the late stationary-phase culture media for the

indicated length of time (top panel). **(c)** Fluorescence images of log phase *ftsZ-mNeonGreen* cells

treated with DMSO (the solvent used for dissolving indole) or indole (5 mM) for 1 h. Scale bars,

1 μm. **(d)** Immunoblotting results of the total cell lysate (lanes 1 and 4), the supernatant (lanes 2

and 5) and the pellet (lanes 3 and 6) of the log-phase *ftsZ-mNeonGreen* cells, untreated or treated
with indole (5 mM) for 1 h, probed with antibody against FtsZ. The positions of the protein bands
for FtsZ-mNeonGreen and FtsZ are indicated on the left.
290
291 **Formation of cell pole granules relies on the normal operation of the respiratory**
292 **chain**
293
In examining the induction effect of indole on cell pole granule formation, we
happened to observe that indole fails to induce cell pole granule formation in the
absence of oxygen (i.e., when the bacterial cells were cultured without shaking). Given
that indole is known to dissipate the proton gradient across the inner membrane of *E.*
coli cells, its induction effect might result from the acceleration of the electron transfer
process through the respiratory chain, which relies on the presence of oxygen[28]. In view
of this, we then addressed whether the induction effect of indole would be eliminated
when cellular respiration was inhibited. To this end, we added glucose, whose
metabolism is known to inhibit cellular respiration[24,29], to the culture media of log-
phase cells before treatment with indole in the presence of oxygen. In this case, we no
longer observed the formation of cell pole granules (**Fig. 4a**).
We next investigated whether cellular respiration is essential for the formation of
cell pole granules. For this purpose, we performed separate knockdown studies on the
nuoA and *sdhC* genes, which encode the NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase subunit A
of complex I and the succinate dehydrogenase subunit C of complex II (both
components of the respiratory chain), respectively, using CRISPRi technology[30]. The
live-cell imaging data presented in **Fig. 4b** and **Supplementary Fig. 4** demonstrate the
following. First, when either the *nuoA* gene or the *sdhC* gene was knocked down, cell
pole granules no longer formed in the log-phase cells that were treated with indole (**Fig**
4b). Second, formation of cell pole granules in late stationary-phase cells became
unobservable upon *sdhC* knockdown (**Fig. 4b**) or *sdhCDAB* (encoding all four subunits
of complex II) deletion (**Supplementary Fig. 4B**) and became significantly reduced
upon *nuoA* knockdown (**Fig. 4b**) or *nuoAB* (encoding two subunits of complex I)
deletion. Collectively, these observations indicate that the normal operation of the
respiratory chain is critical for the formation of cell pole granules.

Figure 4. Normal operation of the respiratory chain is essential for the formation of cell pole

granules

(a) Fluorescence images of log-phase *ftsZ-mNeonGreen* cells treated with indole (5 mM) for 1 h in

the presence (+ O_2) or absence (- O_2) of oxygen or in the presence of both oxygen and glucose

(0.2%) (+ Glucose, + O_2). **(b)** Fluorescence images of log-phase or late stationary-phase *ftsZ-*

mNeonGreen cells with or without (as a control) the knockdown of the indicated genes and with

the indicated indole treatment (5 mM, 1 h). Scale bars, 1 μm.

Formation of cell pole granules delays the re-growth/re-division process and

endows the cell with a higher antibiotic resistance capacity

In our live-cell imaging studies on the re-cultured late stationary-phase cells

described above (**Fig. 1b** and **Fig. 2e**), we also noticed that cell re-growth/re-division

occurs only with the disappearance of the cell pole granules. This observation prompted

us to perform a systematic analysis on the recovery processes for all the different types

of cells we examined above, as reflected by their average initial doubling time upon re-

division (T_{id}).

The values of the average initial doubling time upon re-division (T_{id}), calculated

based on the re-culturing growth curves (**Supplementary Fig. 5**), demonstrate that the

re-growth of cells in which cell pole granules have been formed is significantly delayed

(**Fig. 5a** and **Fig. 5c**). In particular, first, the T_{id} of the indole-induced cell pole granule-

containing log-phase wild-type cells is 67 min, approximately 2-fold longer than the 34

min of non-induced cell pole granule-lacking cells (**Fig. 5c**). Second, the T_{id} of the cell

pole granule-containing stationary-phase wild-type cells is 100 min, approximately 3-

fold longer than the 34 min of the cell pole granule-lacking log-phase cells (**Fig. 5c**).

Third, the T_{id} of the stationary-phase *nuoA* knockdown cells containing a reduced level

of cell pole granules is 81 min, approximately 1.5-fold longer than the 48 min of the
cell pole granule-lacking log-phase *nuoA* knockdown cells (**Fig. 5c**). Fourth, the T_{id} of
the cell pole granule-lacking stationary-phase *sdhC* knockdown cells was largely
comparable with the cell pole granule-lacking log-phase *sdhC* knockdown or wild-type
cells, all approximately 35 min (**Fig. 5c**). Taken together, these observations strongly
suggest that functionally important proteins are somehow "locked" in the cell pole
granules such that the cells will not re-grow/re-divide until the proteins are released and
resume functioning.

The delayed recovery of the cell pole granule-containing bacterial cells would most
likely endow them with a higher capacity to resist antibiotics, given that only actively
growing/dividing cells can be effectively killed[31–33]. This assumption is confirmed by
a comparison of the survival rates, as presented in **Fig. 5b** and **Fig. 5d**, for these
different types of cells after being treated with two antibiotics, ofloxacin or ampicillin.
In particular, the results demonstrate that the survival rate of the indole-induced cell
pole granule-containing log-phase wild-type cells was approximately 10-fold higher
than that of the non-induced cell pole granule-lacking cells (**Fig. 5b**). In contrast, the
survival rate of the cell pole granule-lacking stationary-phase *sdhC* knockdown cells
was approximately 50-fold lower than that of cell pole granule-containing stationary-
phase wild-type cells, as similarly observed for the *nuoA* knockdown cells, although to
a lesser degree of approximately 5-fold (**Fig. 5d**). Taken together, these observations
indicate that the formation of the cell pole granules marks the entry into a quiescent
state that confers antibiotic resistance upon the bacterial cells. This correlation was also
directly observed by us with the *ftsZ-mNeonGreen* cells under fluorescence and bright
field microscopy, as shown by the data in **Fig. 5e**. Specifically, we observed that during
the recovery of the late stationary-phase cells in fresh media, only those that are re-
growing, as marked by a disappearance of their cell pole granules, will swell and die in
the presence of ampicillin (e.g., the cell indicated by the white dashed circles becomes
invisible). However, those that have not yet re-grown will survive (e.g., the cell
indicated by the red dashed circles maintained its cell pole granules and survived for
the whole period of examination).

Figure 5. Formation of cell pole granules delays the re-growth and re-division process and thus endows the cells with a higher survival rate against antibiotic treatment.

(a) The average initial doubling time upon re-division (T_{id}) of wild-type log-phase cells untreated (- indole) or treated (+ indole) with indole (5 mM, 1 h) in the presence (+ O_2) or absence (- O_2) of oxygen. The treated cells were re-cultured (diluted 40-fold) at 37℃ in fresh LB media. T_{id} was calculated by measuring the increase in cell number within the first 45 min (for details, see Methods). (b) Survival rates of wild-type log-phase cells that were treated with indole (+ indole) in the presence (+ O_2) or absence (-O_2) of oxygen before re-culture in fresh LB media with the addition of ofloxacin (5 μg/ml) or ampicillin (200 μg/ml). The survival rates were calculated as: [colony-forming units of the antibiotic treated cells] / [colony-forming units of the untreated cells] ×100. (c) The average initial doubling time upon re-division (T_{id}) of log-phase and late stationary-phase cells with the knockdown of either the *nuoA* or the *sdhC* gene. The cells were re-cultured (after 40-fold dilution) at 37℃ in fresh LB media. The T_{id} was calculated by measuring the increase in cell number within the first 45 min (for details, see Methods). (d) Survival rates of the late stationary-phase of either *nuoA* or *sdhC* knockdown cells re-cultured in fresh LB media containing ofloxacin (5 μg/ml) or ampicillin (200 μg/ml). The survival rates were calculated as in (b). At least three independent replicates were performed for each experimental condition. Each data point is denoted by the mean value ± s.e. (e) Fluorescence and bright field images of the late stationary-phase *ftsZ-mNeonGreen* cells that were re-cultured at 37℃ in fresh ampicillin-containing LB media to the indicated time points. The re-growing and non-growing cells are

399   indicated by white and red dashed lines, respectively. Scale bars, 1 μm. **(f)** Schematic illustration
400   for the asynchronously reversible assembly of the cell pole granules in bacterial cells: The
401   formation of cell pole granules under non-permissive condition lock cells in quiescent states
402   resulting in failing to re-grow until the cell pole granules initiate to disassemble when permissive
403   conditions are restored.
404
405   **Discussion**
406
Here, we revealed a novel cytosolic organelle-like structure that is formed in non-
growing/non-dividing late stationary-phase *E. coli* cells but not in growing/dividing
log-phase *E. coli* cells. This structure is located at the cell poles, and its formation relies
on the normal operation of the respiratory chain. Formation of cell pole granules, into
which essential proteins for cell growth and division are locked, apparently marks a
quiescent state for the cells, which recover only when the cell pole granules are
disassembled to release those key sequestered components under permissive growth
conditions. We henceforth renamed the cell pole granule the "quiescent body", whose
reversible formation correlated with the quiescent state of bacterial cells is
schematically illustrated in **Fig. 5f**. Our model also reflects the finding that the great
diversity in the time taken for the quiescent bodies to disassemble underlies the great
diversity in the time taken for the quiescent bacterial cells to recover (commonly
defined as the delay time) under a permissive condition[16–18].
We speculate that for those so-called "viable but non-culturable" bacterial cells[34,35],
their quiescent bodies somehow remain in a non-disassembled state under the given
culture condition. With regard to the biological significance of this observed diversity
in quiescent body disassembly, it might be conjectured that under any particular
environmental condition, only part of the cells in the population will have their
quiescent bodies disassemble and thus reinitiate growth and division, while the rest of
the cells remain in a quiescent state. In this case, if the environmental condition still
proves to be non-permissive for cell growth/division, only the recovered cells will die.
Evidently, such diversity in quiescent body disassembly and cell recovery will provide
the best survival opportunity for the species in often highly unpredictable environments.
Alternatively, the heterogeneity that we observed for the quiescent bodies to
disassemble and cells to recover only reflected the different stages of the bacterial cells
in entering into an eventually homogeneous quiescent state ultimately. Future extensive
studies on the structure and function of the quiescent bodies, both under *in vitro*
conditions and in living cells, may help to resolve these issues.
It is likely that in addition to the key proteins that we identified in the quiescent
bodies, other types of biomolecules are also present, at least for maintaining a highly
compact structure. However, we detected no significant amount of glycogen based on
anthrone spectrophotometric analysis or amylase treatment[36] (data not shown). We also
did not detect a significant amount of DNA, mRNA, rRNA or tRNA. Despite these
findings,   whether   other   polysaccharide   molecules   (such   as   peptidoglycan,

lipopolysaccharide) and nucleic acids are present in the quiescent body await future
investigation.

We have demonstrated that the formation of quiescent bodies relies on the active
operation of the cellular respiratory chain, but the detailed molecular mechanism behind
this observation awaits further exploration. It is believed that reactive oxygen species
(ROS), as represented by superoxide radical ($\cdot O_2^-$) and hydroxyl free radical ($\cdot OH$), are
byproducts of the respiratory chain. However, we detected no significant inducing
effect by adding H_2O_2, a type of ROS produced from superoxide radicals by the action
of superoxide dismutase[37], into the culture media for the formation of quiescent bodies
in log-phase cells. Nevertheless, this result could not rule out the possibility that other
ROS species serve as the factor that directly triggers the formation of quiescent bodies.

Quiescent body-containing cells exhibiting higher resistance to antibiotics (**Fig. 5b**
and **Fig. 5d**) are apparently similar or even identical to the clinically defined persister
cells, the most characteristic feature of which is high resistance to all antibiotics[38–40]. In
this regard, we noticed that the formation of persister cells in stationary-phase *E. coli*
cultures was reported to be associated with the formation of protein aggregates in the
cells[41,42]. Such aggregates, ill-defined as misfolded proteins by the authors, are very
likely to be identical to the quiescent bodies we revealed here. Furthermore, in support
of our present discovery, it was reported that the inhibition of cellular respiration in the
stationary phase would impair persister formation among *E. coli* cells[43]. In addition,
we suppose that the FtsZ puncta that were observed in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and
Mycobacterium smegmatis[44,45] also probably represent quiescent bodies. If this
assumption is proven to be true, it will indicate that quiescent body formation is a
conserved phenomenon, at least in bacteria. In light of these results, either blocking the
assembly or promoting the disassembly of quiescent bodies may reduce or block the
formation of persisters, thus greatly increasing the efficacy of antibiotics in killing
bacterial cells, especially towards those bacterial pathogens (e.g., *Mycobacterium*
tuberculosis) that easily enter the dormant state. Whether structures similar to the
quiescent bodies we describe here also exist in quiescent eukaryotic cells would
certainly be worth further investigation.

METHODS

Bacterial strains, plasmids and genomic modifications. The phenotypes of all the
bacterial strains used in this study, all derived from the *E. coli* BW25113 strain, are
listed in **Supplementary Table S1**. The plasmids used for fluorescence imaging and
CRISPRi experiments are listed in **Supplemental Table S2**. Genetic modifications of
the bacterial strains were performed using the λ–red genomic recombination system[46].
All the newly generated plasmids and genomic modifications were confirmed by DNA
sequencing.

Chemicals, culture media and growth conditions. Luria Bertani (LB) liquid (10 g/l tryptone, 5 g/l yeast extract and 5 g/l NaCl) or agar-containing LB medium was sterilized by autoclaving. For plasmid selection, 50 μg/ml kanamycin or 100 μg/ml ampicillin was added to the culture medium. For the assay analyzing cell survival against antibiotics, a final concentration of 5 μg/ml ofloxacin or 200 μg/ml ampicillin was used. Log-phase and late stationary phase-cells respectively refer to cells that were cultured for 6 h and 24 h at 37°C in test tubes shaking at 260 r.p.m. After overnight culture, the cells were diluted 100-fold into fresh LB media.

Fluorescence microscopy. Cell or cell lysate samples were dropped onto a glass dish (NEST biotechnology) and covered with agar before micrographs were acquired at 37°C (for the re-growth experiments) or at 30°C (for all other experiments) on an N-SIM imaging system (Nikon), with a 100X/1.49 NA oil-immersion objective (Nikon) and after being excited by a 488 nm or 561 nm laser beam. The images were reconstructed with NIS-Elements AR 4.20.00 (Nikon) software and further processed with the GNU image manipulation program.

Quiescent body isolation and immunoblotting analysis. The bacterial cells were grown at 37°C by shaking at 260 r. p. m. for 24 h after overnight cultured cells were diluted 100-fold into fresh LB medium. The cells were then collected by centrifugation (8000 × g) and disrupted by a French press at 1000 MPa before centrifugation at 1,300 × g to collect the quiescent bodies in the pellet. Total cell lysate, the supernatant and the pellet were each added into the sample buffer, boiled and analyzed by tricine SDS-PAGE or further probed with the indicated antibodies for the immunoblotting analysis. The visualized protein bands on the gels were scanned and processed using the GNU image manipulation program.

CRISPRi experiments. These experiments were performed according to previously reported methods[47]. Plasmids carrying the gRNA that targets the *nuoA* gene or *sdhC* gene were transformed into the strain in which the proteins for recognizing and binding specific DNA sequences are expressed and the gene encoding the protein to cleave the target sequence is deleted. The designed sequences to knockdown the *nuoA* gene and the *sdhC* gene were ATAGCGAATGCCCAGTGATGAGCGATGACTTC and AATGTGAAAAAACAAAGACCTGTTAATCTGGA, respectively.

Cell re-growth analysis and calculation of the average initial doubling time upon re-division (T_{id}). Log-phase or late stationary-phase cells of the indicated genotypes or treatments were diluted 40-fold into fresh LB media and cultured at 37°C with shaking (260 r.p.m.). Growth curves were prepared by measuring the OD_{600} value of the cultured cells at 45 min or 60 min intervals. The average initial doubling time upon re-division (T_{id}) was calculated as 45/log (N_{t1}/N_{t0}, 2) min, where N_{t0} and N_{t1} represent the number of cells at 0 min and 45 min, respectively. The N_{t1}/N_{t0} ratio for each batch of cultured cells was calculated based on the increase in optical density at 600 nm (the

correlation between the cell number and the OD_{600} value was determined by preparing
a standard curve).

Assay for cell survival against antibiotic treatment. Late stationary-phase cells were
diluted 40-fold into fresh LB media containing either 5 μg/ml ofloxacin or 200 μg/ml
ampicillin and incubated at 37℃ with shaking (260 r.p.m.) for 2 h. The cells were then
centrifuged to remove the culture media and the antibiotics, re-suspended in phosphate-
buffered saline (PBS) and serially diluted in PBS before being spotted on LB agar plates
for colony formation unit counting. The cell survival rate was calculated as [number of
colonies formed after antibiotics treatment] / [number of colonies formed without
antibiotics treatment] $\times$100.

Acknowledgments
We thank Keio Collections for providing the wild-type and $\Delta tnaA$ strains. We
thank the Core Facilities at School of Life Sciences, Peking University, for assistance
with SIM, and we are grateful to Chunyan Shan and Xiaochen Li for their help with
fluorescence imaging. We thank Dr. Wen Zhou at the Mass Spectrometry Facility of
the National Center for Protein Sciences at Peking University for assistance in
performing the mass spectrometry analysis. We thank Prof. Xinmiao Fu from Fujian
Normal University for useful discussions. This work was supported by funds from the
National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31670775 and 31470766 to ZYC)
and the National Basic Research Program of China (No. 2012CB917300 to ZYC).

Author Contributions
Jiayu Yu and Yang Liu designed and performed the experiments, analyzed the data
and drafted the manuscript. Prof. Zengyi Chang edited the manuscript and supervised
this study.

Conflict of Interest
We declare that we have no conflicts of interest related to this work.

References

1. Lutkenhaus, J., Pichoff, S. & Du, S. Bacterial cytokinesis: From Z ring to

divisome. *Cytoskeleton* **69,** 778–790 (2012).

2. Natale, P., Pazos, M. & Vicente, M. The *Escherichia coli* divisome: born to

divide: Cell division in *Escherichia coli*. *Environ. Microbiol.* **15,** 3169–3182

(2013).

3. Rowlett, V. W. & Margolin, W. The bacterial divisome: ready for its close-up.

Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. **370,** 20150028 (2015).

4. Bi, E. F. & Lutkenhaus, J. FtsZ ring structure associated with division in

Escherichia coli. *Nature* **354,** 161–164 (1991).

5. Adams, D. W. & Errington, J. Bacterial cell division: assembly, maintenance and

disassembly of the Z ring. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **7,** 642–653 (2009).

6. Sun, Q. & Margolin, W. FtsZ dynamics during the division cycle of live

Escherichia coli cells. *J. Bacteriol.* **180,** 2050–2056 (1998).

7. Scheffers, D. & Driessen, A. J. The polymerization mechanism of the bacterial

cell division protein FtsZ. *FEBS Lett.* **506,** 6–10 (2001).

8. Mingorance, J., Rivas, G., Vélez, M., Gómez-Puertas, P. & Vicente, M. Strong

FtsZ is with the force: mechanisms to constrict bacteria. *Trends Microbiol.* **18,**

348–356 (2010).

9. Chin, J. W., Martin, A. B., King, D. S., Wang, L. & Schultz, P. G. Addition of a

photocrosslinking amino acid to the genetic code of Escherichiacoli. *Proc. Natl.*

Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **99,** 11020–11024 (2002).

10. Ryu, Y. & Schultz, P. G. Efficient incorporation of unnatural amino acids into

proteins in Escherichia coli. *Nat. Methods* **3,** 263–265 (2006).

11. Zhang, M. *et al.* A genetically incorporated crosslinker reveals chaperone

cooperation in acid resistance. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **7,** 671–677 (2011).

12. Wang, Y. *et al.* A Supercomplex Spanning the Inner and Outer Membranes

Mediates the Biogenesis of β-Barrel Outer Membrane Proteins in Bacteria. *J.*

Biol. Chem. **291,** 16720–16729 (2016).

13. Fu, X., Shi, X., Yan, L., Zhang, H. & Chang, Z. In Vivo Substrate Diversity and

Preference of Small Heat Shock Protein IbpB as Revealed by Using a Genetically

Incorporated Photo-cross-linker. *J. Biol. Chem.* **288,** 31646–31654 (2013).

14. Sezonov, G., Joseleau-Petit, D. & D'Ari, R. Escherichia coli Physiology in Luria-

Bertani Broth. *J. Bacteriol.* **189,** 8746–8749 (2007).

15. Ma, X., Ehrhardt, D. W. & Margolin, W. Colocalization of cell division proteins

FtsZ and FtsA to cytoskeletal structures in living Escherichia coli cells by using

green fluorescent protein. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **93,** 12998–13003 (1996).

16. Sezonov, G., Joseleau-Petit, D. & D'Ari, R. Escherichia coli Physiology in Luria-

Bertani Broth. *J. Bacteriol.* **189,** 8746–8749 (2007).

17. Novick, A. Growth of Bacteria. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **9,** 97–110 (1955).

18. Penfold, W. J. On the Nature of Bacterial Lag. *J. Hyg. (Lond.)* **14,** 215–241

(1914).

19. Carrio, M. M. & Villaverde, A. Localization of Chaperones DnaK and GroEL in

Bacterial Inclusion Bodies. *J. Bacteriol.* **187,** 3599–3601 (2005).

20. Weiner, J. H. & Li, L. Proteome of the Escherichia coli envelope and

technological challenges in membrane proteome analysis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*

BBA - Biomembr. **1778,** 1698–1713 (2008).

21. Wolfe, A. D. & Hahn, F. E. Mode of action of chloramphenicol IX. Effects of

chloramphenicol upon a ribosomal amino acid polymerization system and its

binding to bacterial ribosome. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta BBA - Nucleic Acids*

Protein Synth. **95,** 146–155 (1965).

22. Durand-Heredia, J. M., Yu, H. H., De Carlo, S., Lesser, C. F. & Janakiraman, A.

Identification and Characterization of ZapC, a Stabilizer of the FtsZ Ring in

Escherichia coli. *J. Bacteriol.* **193,** 1405–1413 (2011).

23. Ghigo, J. M. & Beckwith, J. Cell division in Escherichia coli: role of FtsL

domains in septal localization, function, and oligomerization. *J. Bacteriol.* **182,**

116–129 (2000).

24. Wolfe, A. J. The Acetate Switch. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **69,** 12–50 (2005).

25. Lee, J.-H. & Lee, J. Indole as an intercellular signal in microbial communities.

FEMS Microbiol. Rev. **34,** 426–444 (2010).

26. Gaimster, H. & Summers, D. Regulation of Indole Signalling during the

Transition of E. coli from Exponential to Stationary Phase. *PLOS ONE* **10,**

e0136691 (2015).

27. Newton, W. A. & Snell, E. E. FORMATION AND INTERRELATIONSHIPS OF

TRYPTOPHANASE AND TRYPTOPHAN SYNTHETASES IN

ESCHERICHIA COLI. *J. Bacteriol.* **89,** 355–364 (1965).

28. Chimerel, C., Murray, A. J., Oldewurtel, E. R., Summers, D. K. & Keyser, U. F.

The Effect of Bacterial Signal Indole on the Electrical Properties of Lipid

Membranes. *ChemPhysChem* **14,** 417–423 (2013).

29. Basan, M. *et al.* Overflow metabolism in Escherichia coli results from efficient

proteome allocation. *Nature* **528,** 99–104 (2015).

30. Luo, M. L., Mullis, A. S., Leenay, R. T. & Beisel, C. L. Repurposing endogenous

type I CRISPR-Cas systems for programmable gene repression. *Nucleic Acids*

Res. **43,** 674–681 (2015).

31. Lewis, K. Persister cells, dormancy and infectious disease. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **5,**

48–56 (2007).

32. Völzing, K. G. & Brynildsen, M. P. Stationary-Phase Persisters to Ofloxacin

Sustain DNA Damage and Require Repair Systems Only during Recovery. *mBio*

6, e00731-715 (2015).

33. Fridman, O., Goldberg, A., Ronin, I., Shoresh, N. & Balaban, N. Q. Optimization

of lag time underlies antibiotic tolerance in evolved bacterial populations. *Nature*

513, 418–421 (2014).

34. Xu, H. S. *et al.* Survival and viability of nonculturableEscherichia coli andVibrio

cholerae in the estuarine and marine environment. *Microb. Ecol.* **8,** 313–323

(1982).

35. Li, L., Mendis, N., Trigui, H., Oliver, J. D. & Faucher, S. P. The importance of

the viable but non-culturable state in human bacterial pathogens. *Front.*

Microbiol. **5,** 258 (2014).

36. Makinoshima, H. *et al.* Growth Phase-Coupled Alterations in Cell Structure and

Function of Escherichia coli. *J. Bacteriol.* **185,** 1338–1345 (2003).

37. Korshunov, S. & Imlay, J. A. Two sources of endogenous hydrogen peroxide in

Escherichia coli. *Mol. Microbiol.* **75,** 1389–1401 (2010).

38. Allison, K. R., Brynildsen, M. P. & Collins, J. J. Heterogeneous bacterial

persisters and engineering approaches to eliminate them. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.*

14, 593–598 (2011).

39. Allison, K. R., Brynildsen, M. P. & Collins, J. J. Metabolite-enabled eradication

of bacterial persisters by aminoglycosides. *Nature* **473,** 216–220 (2011).

40. Amato, S. M. & Brynildsen, M. P. Persister Heterogeneity Arising from a Single

Metabolic Stress. *Curr. Biol. CB* **25,** 2090–2098 (2015).

41. Kwiatkowska, J., Matuszewska, E., Kuczyńska-Wiśnik, D. & Laskowska, E.

Aggregation of Escherichia coli proteins during stationary phase depends on

glucose and oxygen availability. *Res. Microbiol.* **159,** 651–657 (2008).

42. Leszczynska, D., Matuszewska, E., Kuczynska-Wisnik, D., Furmanek-Blaszk, B.

& Laskowska, E. The Formation of Persister Cells in Stationary-Phase Cultures of

Escherichia Coli Is Associated with the Aggregation of Endogenous Proteins.

PLoS ONE **8,** e54737 (2013).

43. Orman, M. A. & Brynildsen, M. P. Inhibition of stationary phase respiration

impairs persister formation in E. coli. *Nat. Commun.* **6,** 7983 (2015).

44. Chauhan, A. *et al.* Mycobacterium tuberculosis Cells Growing in Macrophages

Are Filamentous and Deficient in FtsZ Rings. *J. Bacteriol.* **188,** 1856–1865

(2006).

45. Dziedzic, R. *et al.* Mycobacterium tuberculosis ClpX Interacts with FtsZ and

Interferes with FtsZ Assembly. *PLoS ONE* **5,** e11058 (2010).

46. Lee, D. J. *et al.* Gene doctoring: a method for recombineering in laboratory and

pathogenic Escherichia coli strains. *BMC Microbiol.* **9,** 252 (2009).

47. Luo, M. L., Mullis, A. S., Leenay, R. T. & Beisel, C. L. Repurposing endogenous

type I CRISPR-Cas systems for programmable gene repression. *Nucleic Acids*

Res. **43,** 674–681 (2015).