A high-resolution mRNA expression time course of embryonic development in zebrafish

Richard J. White[1], John E. Collins[1], Ian M. Sealy[1], Neha Wali[1], Christopher M. Dooley[1], Zsofia Digby[1,3], Daniel N. Murphy[2], Thibaut Hourlier[2], Anja Füllgrabe[2], Matthew P. Davis[2], Anton J. Enright[2] and Elisabeth M. Busch-Nentwich[1†]

[1]Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Wellcome Genome Campus, Hinxton, CB10 1SA, UK

[2]EMBL-European Bioinformatics Institute, Wellcome Genome Campus, Hinxton, CB10 1SA, UK

[3]Current address: Department of Veterinary Medicine, Madingley Road, Cambridge, CB3 0ES, UK

[†]Author for correspondence, emb@sanger.ac.uk

Abstract

We have produced an mRNA expression time course of early zebrafish development across 18 time points from 1-cell to 5 days post-fertilisation sampling individual and pools of embryos. Using poly(A) pulldown stranded RNA-seq as well as a 3′ end transcript counting method we characterise the temporal expression profiles of 23,642 genes. We identify temporal and functional transcript co-variance that associates 5,024 unnamed genes with distinct developmental time points. Specifically, a class of over 100 previously uncharacterised zinc finger domain containing genes, all located on the long arm of chromosome 4, is expressed in a sharp peak during zygotic genome activation. The data reveal complex and widespread differential use of exons, previously unidentified 3′ ends across development, new primary microRNA transcripts and the temporal divergence of gene paralogues generated in the teleost genome duplication. To make this dataset a useful baseline reference, the data are accessible to browse and download at Expression Atlas and Ensembl.

29 **Keywords**

30 Developmental biology, embryogenesis, RNA-seq, transcriptome, zebrafish.

31 Introduction

Gene regulatory interactions are the fundamental basis of embryonic development
and transcription is one of the major processes by which these interactions are
mediated. A time-resolved comprehensive analysis of relative mRNA expression
levels is an important step towards understanding the regulatory processes
governing embryonic development. Here we present a systematic assessment of the
temporal transcriptional events during this critical period in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*).

The zebrafish is a unique vertebrate model system as it possesses high
morphological and genomic conservation with humans, but also experimental
tractability of embryogenesis otherwise only found in invertebrate model organisms
such as *Drosophila melanogaster* or *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Features such as very
large numbers of offspring, *ex vivo* development and embryonic translucency have
enabled comprehensive forward and reverse genetic screens (Amsterdam et al.,
1999; Driever et al., 1996; Haffter et al., 1996; Kettleborough et al., 2013; Moens et
al., 2008; Varshney et al., 2015) as well as high-throughput drug discovery
approaches (Murphey et al., 2006; North et al., 2007; Peterson et al., 2000; Peterson
et al., 2004; Stern et al., 2005). Together with a high quality genome (Howe et al.,
2013b) only comparable in vertebrates to mouse and human, this has led to many
important discoveries in areas such as zygotic genome activation (ZGA) during
vertebrate development (Zygotic genome activation, Lee et al., 2013), blood stem
cells (Bertrand et al., 2010; Kissa and Herbomel, 2010), but also highly translational
findings directly affecting human health (Li et al., 2015; Tobin et al., 2010).

The morphological processes underlying the transformation of a fertilised egg into a
free swimming fish have been studied extensively owing to the ease with which
embryogenesis can be observed and manipulated (Behrndt et al., 2012). This has
identified many genes that drive crucial steps of the differentiation process, however
the wealth of morphological phenotype data has not been matched with a systematic
analysis of the corresponding molecular events. Baseline transcriptomic datasets in
other species have greatly improved knowledge of relative levels of gene expression

as well as alternative splicing events (Boeck et al., 2016; Brown et al., 2014;

Gerstein et al., 2014; Graveley et al., 2011; Hashimshony et al., 2015; Klepikova et

al., 2016; Owens et al., 2016; Tan et al., 2013).

Previous baseline transcriptome work in zebrafish has focused on either certain

aspects of development such as the maternal-zygotic transition (Aanes et al., 2011;

Harvey et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2013), the identification of specific transcript types

(Pauli et al., 2012) or promoters (Gehrig et al., 2009; Nepal et al., 2013), on gene

annotation improvement (Collins et al., 2012) or have a limited number of time points

and replicates (Yang et al., 2013).

Using poly(A) pulldown RNA-seq and a 3′ end enrichment method called DeTCT

(Collins et al., 2015) we have generated a comprehensive polyadenylated RNA

expression profile of normal zebrafish development. We have taken advantage of the

large numbers of offspring and have divided the 6 day period of embryonic

development into 18 stages. For each stage we have processed up to 29 biological

replicates consisting of single or pooled embryos.

We have placed particular emphasis on making the presented data accessible

without the need for computational processing. The data are available to browse and

download in a user-friendly interface at Expression Atlas and as selectable tracks in

the Ensembl genome browser. Furthermore, incorporation into the next Ensembl

gene set update will significantly improve zebrafish gene and transcript isoform

annotation.

This developmental polyadenylated RNA baseline reference enhances our

understanding of the gene regulatory events during vertebrate development and

provides a time-resolved transcriptional basis for the comprehensive annotation of

functional elements in the zebrafish genome using existing and future genome-wide

datasets (Haberle et al., 2014; Kaaij et al., 2016; Lindeman et al., 2010; Potok et al.,

2013; Vastenhouw et al., 2010).

Results

A high-resolution transcriptional profile of zebrafish

development

A total of 18 developmental time points were sampled in this study (Figure 1A). Four

time points are before or at the onset of zygotic transcription, four during

gastrulation, three during somitogenesis, three during prim stages – 24, 30 and 36

hours post-fertilisation (hpf) – and every 24 h from 2 to 5 days post-fertilisation (dpf).

This gives detailed coverage of all the important developmental processes taking

place during this time period. At each time point, samples were collected for both

RNA-seq and DeTCT (RNA-seq, pools of 12 embryos, 5 replicates; DeTCT, pools of

8 embryos, 11-12 replicates and individual embryos, 11-12 replicates). We obtained

an average of 3.8 million reads per sample for the RNA-seq and 9 million reads per

sample for the DeTCT data (Figure 1B). The number of genes detected rises steadily

as development proceeds and a wider range of genes are expressed (Figure 1C,

Supplemental Figure 1-1). With a cut-off of greater than or equal to 5 normalised

counts it rises from a mean of 10,395 to 18,225 for the RNA-seq (6,259 to 15,215 in

the DeTCT data).

For quality control purposes, counts from the RNA-seq data, normalised for library

size, were first used to produce a sample correlation matrix (Figure 1D). This shows

the excellent agreement among biological replicates and close relation of

neighbouring stages in terms of gene expression profiles. To give a high level

overview of the data, principal component analysis (PCA) was used to visualise

patterns. These show close clustering of biological replicates and a smooth transition

from one stage to another through developmental time. The first six principal

components (PCs) explain just over 90% of the variance in the data (Figure 1E-G

and Supplemental Figure 1-2); the first component accounts for 58.2% of the

observed variation. Summaries of the expression patterns underlying the PCs are

shown in Figure 1H. Broadly, the genes that contribute the most to the first PC are

either low at early time points and increase towards the end of the time course or the

inverse (high early and decreasing later). PC2 represents genes with a peak at

gastrula and somite stages. Genes contributing to PC3 show two peaks of

expression (onset of transcription and days 3-5). The other patterns are more

complex (Supplemental Figure 1-2) although PC6 shows a clear spike of expression

starting at the 1000-cell stage (3 hpf), which coincides with the start of zygotic

transcription.

In order for this dataset to be as useful as possible to the scientific community, we

have made it available as sequence with metadata through the European Read

Archive and in multiple locations for viewing. Pre-computed count profiles (FPKM)

are available to search/browse at Expression Atlas

(https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gxa/experiments/E-ERAD-475)(Petryszak et al., 2016), allowing

comparison of the expression profiles of multiple genes across the entire time course

(Figure 2A). This is searchable by gene/allele name, Ensembl/ZFIN ID and GO

terms. It is also possible to start with a single seed gene and have Expression Atlas

add in similarly expressed genes (as assessed by k-means clustering) for

comparison (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gxa/FAQ.html#similarExpression). The data are

also viewable in Ensembl as separate stage-specific selectable tracks along with one

merged track (http://www.ensembl.org/Danio_rerio). The aligned reads can be

displayed as either coverage graphs or read pairs. Reads that span introns can be

viewed to investigate alternative splicing in a stage-specific manner (Figure 2B-C).

Figure 1. A transcriptional map of development. (A) Stages represented in this
study. Images of embryos from 1-cell to protruding mouth are from (Kimmel et al.,
1995). (B-C) Boxplot showing the distributions of numbers of mapped read-pairs and
genes detected for each stage and protocol. (D) Sample correlation matrix showing
the Pearson correlation coefficient between all pairwise combinations of samples. (E-
G) Principal Component Analysis. (E) Principal Component (PC) 2 plotted against
PC1. (F) PC3 plotted against PC1. (G) 3D rendering of the first 3 PCs. (H)
Representation of expression profiles contributing to the first 6 PCs, produced by

calculating the median expression value (normalised counts) for each sample for the

100 genes that contribute most to that PC.

Figure1-1. Distribution of gene expression levels in RNA-seq data by stage.

Histograms (one per stage) showing the distribution of the mean expression level for

each gene over the 5 samples within the stage. The total number of genes

represented for the stage is shown on each panel.

Figure1-2. Matrix PCA plot. Plots showing the first six principal components (PCs)

plotted against each other. The components are arranged in a matrix from PC1 (top

and left) to PC6 (bottom and right). The plots on the diagonal show the expression

profiles of the 100 genes contributing the most to that component. The plots below

the diagonal show the appropriate PCs plotted against each other. For example, the

plot in the third row and first column is PC1 (x-axis) plotted against PC3 (y-axis).

A

Figure 2. Data Access. (A) A screenshot from Expression Atlas release Dec 2016.

Relative gene expression levels across all 18 stages are shown in FPKM. Genes can

be searched for using Ensembl IDs, ZFIN gene IDs, gene/allele names and Gene

Ontology terms. The data for the selected genes can be downloaded (red circle) as

can the entire dataset. (B) A screenshot of Ensembl v87 showing the RNA-seq

matrix with four intron tracks plus the merged RNA-seq data from exon read

coverage from all 18 stages chosen for display. (C) A screenshot of Ensembl v87

showing the region surrounding the gene *eif4enif1* (chr 6:40.922-40.952 Mb). Exon

read coverage from the merged 18 stages is shown as grey histograms. Four of the

18 intron-defining tracks from the RNA-seq matrix are shown. Introns are coloured in

teal. Yellow dots indicate introns identifying a splice variant not shown by any of the

three gene models shown in red. Note only the forward strand is shown in full and a

gene model overlaps on the reverse strand.

Temporal Map of Development

175   To visualise the expression of all genes across development we ordered the genes

176   in our dataset by their stage of maximum expression (Figure 3A). This organises the

177   genes temporally, broadly by expression pattern. These 18 groups of genes were

178   then analysed for enrichment of Zebrafish Anatomy Ontology (ZFA) and Gene

179   Ontology (GO) terms.

ZFA enrichment

The Zebrafish Anatomy Ontology (ZFA, Van Slyke et al., 2014) is a controlled

vocabulary for describing the anatomy and development of zebrafish and is used by

the zebrafish model organism database (ZFIN Howe et al., 2013a) to annotate the

spatial expression patterns of genes from the literature and large-scale whole mount

mRNA *in situ* hybridisation experiments (Rauch et al., 2003; Thisse et al., 2001). The

results show enrichments for cell types and tissues that are appropriate to the stages

of maximum expression (Figure 3A). There are no significant enrichments of terms

during cleavage stages. At early gastrula stages terms such as hypoblast, organiser

and hatching gland are enriched with endoderm, yolk and epidermis being enriched

at late gastrula. During segmentation stages terms such as muscle, notochord and

haematopoietic system are enriched and then at pharyngula and larval stages terms

associated with the nervous system and the neural crest become enriched. By

contrast, terms for mesodermal and endodermal organs such as kidney, spleen and

liver are enriched at later stages (days 4 and 5). This demonstrates that these

groupings of genes are consistent with what is already known about zebrafish

development, thus validating our dataset.

GO enrichment

Enrichment analysis using the Gene Ontology (GO) also shows enrichments of

terms in a stage-appropriate manner. At cleavage stages, terms linked to cell

division, DNA repair, protein turnover and chromatin modification are enriched,

consistent with the fast rate of cell division as well as the large changes in chromatin

structure that occur at early stages. Subsequently, terms associated with

determination of the embryonic axes and specification of the major tissue divisions

are enriched. For example, genes linked to anterior-posterior, dorsal-ventral and left-

right patterning are enriched from dome until prim-25 as well as genes annotated to

terms such as gastrulation, heart development, muscle cell differentiation and brain

development. At later stages, more specific terms such as synaptic transmission,

potassium ion transport and glutamate receptor signalling are enriched. Coordinated

expression of blood clotting factor genes leads to the appearance of blood

coagulation as an enriched term at day 4.

Of the 23,642 genes 5,024 are uncharacterised (i.e. genes with automatically

generated names such as zgc:* and si:ch*). Interestingly, at dome and gastrula

stages, the proportion of these unnamed genes is much higher (29.2-39.4%) than at

other stages (14.4-26.5%; Figure 3A). This could indicate a lack of orthologues and

therefore that zebrafish gastrulation is enriched for the expression of genes exclusive

to this species. However, we find that the proportion of unnamed genes with

mammalian or teleost-specific orthologues is constant across all 18 stages

(Supplemental Figure 3-1). This suggests that there is a large number of genes

involved in vertebrate gastrulation that are yet to be characterised. The complete list

of clusters along with the ZFA/GO term enrichment are provided in Supplemental

Data Files 3-5.

Figure 3. Clustering of expression patterns. (A) Heatmap showing the expression

profiles of all the genes with appreciable expression in at least one stage. The

expression values (TPM) are scaled to the maximum expression for that gene across
the time course and genes are organised by which stage the maximum expression
occurs at. To the right is a heatmap of ZFA enrichments based on the genes
assigned to each stage. To the left are a selection of the GO enrichments. The
numbers of genes assigned to each GO term are shown as superscripts. Also shown
are the numbers of named and unnamed genes assigned to each stage. (B) Network
diagram showing the clustering produced by MCL on a network graph generated by
linking genes that have a Pearson correlation coefficient of > 0.94 and removing
clusters with five genes or fewer. The numbers show the positions in the network
diagram of the clusters shown in C and the ZnF clusters shown in Figure 4. (C-F)
Example expression profiles displayed as lines showing the mean of mean-centred
and variance-scaled normalised counts. (C) Example clusters showing the
progression of expression peaks through development. (D-F) Expression profiles of
example clusters with interesting GO/ZFA enrichments. Terms in black apply to all
clusters in the diagram whereas terms specific to one cluster are shown in that
colour.

Figure 3-1. Relationship of named and unnamed genes to other species.
Stacked bar charts showing the proportions of genes with orthologues at different
taxonomic levels for Named (A) and Unnamed (B) genes. Orthologues for genes
were obtained from the Ensembl Compara database and genes were then
categorised based on whether they have orthologues in the following species;
Vertebrates (bottom bar, red) – *Mus musculus*, *Rattus norvegicus* and *Homo
sapiens*; Teleosts (middle bar, green) – *Astyanax mexicanus*, *Gasterosteus
aculeatus*, *Oryzias latipes*, *Takifugu rubripes*, *Tetraodon nigroviridis*, *Gadus morhua*.

251 Genes with no identifiable orthologue in any of these species were classed as *Danio*
252 specific (top bar, blue).

Graph-based clustering produces compact clusters of highly biologically related genes

While the maximum expression stage groupings provide a high-level, temporally
organised view of development, smaller more specific groupings would provide more
insight especially into the role of uncharacterised genes. To get smaller clusters that
are more likely to be highly biologically related we used the BioLayout *Express*[3D]
software (http://www.biolayout.org/) (Theocharidis et al., 2009) to cluster and
visualise the dataset (Figure 3B). The software first builds a network graph in which
genes are nodes with edges between those whose expression is correlated above a
given threshold. It then uses the Markov Cluster Algorithm (MCL, Enright et al.,
2002; van Dongen, 2000) to find strongly connected components within the graph.
These are clusters of genes that are more highly connected with each other than
with the rest of the graph. This produces 252 clusters, the vast majority of which
(238) have fewer than 100 genes (Figure 3B). Broadly speaking, the clusters on the
left-hand side of the graph represent genes expressed at early stages, whereas
towards the right of the graph the expression profiles are progressively later in
development (Figure 3B-C). For example, cluster 2 contains genes that are
maternally supplied and then degraded, cluster 11 has genes that accumulate after
the 2-cell stage (possibly by control of polyadenylation) and are then cleared, cluster
4 genes are expressed at the time of zygotic genome activation, cluster 35 genes
are expressed during segmentation stages and genes from cluster 5 are not
expressed until the last time points in the study (Figure 3C).

We again used GO and ZFA enrichment to analyse the composition of the genes
within the clusters. For example, cluster 19 contains large numbers of transcription
factors from the *dlx*, *hox*, *irx* and *pax* gene families that are expressed from 1-4
somites onwards and is associated with GO terms for transcription and nervous
system development and ZFA terms such as rhombomere, spinal cord and cranial
ganglion (Figure 3D). Cluster 29, with similar GO enrichments, has an overlapping
expression profile but an earlier and broader peak. It contains genes such as *nkx2.1*,

gli3, *sox1b* and *hoxb* genes. Clusters 24 and 27 show a sharp dip in expression at

36 hpf and contain complement, clotting factor genes and serpins. Both clusters

have significant enrichments for the terms blood coagulation and endopeptidase

inhibitor activity (Figure 3E). (Figure 3F). The information on cluster assignments and

GO/ZFA enrichments are provided in Supplemental Data Files 6-9.

A burst of transcription of highly related Zinc Finger proteins during zygotic genome activation

During examination of the clusters produced by BioLayout, we noticed several

clusters that appeared to be enriched for a family of zinc finger (ZnF) proteins

located on chromosome 4 (Howe et al., 2016). To test systematically if any

chromosomes were over-represented in any of the clusters, we performed a binomial

test for each chromosome for each cluster that contained more than five genes from

that chromosome. Table 1 shows the clusters and chromosomes that are

significantly enriched by more than twofold. This identifies four clusters (Figure 3B,

clusters 4, 22, 37 and 84) with more chromosome 4 genes than would be expected

by chance given the size of the clusters. Most of the chromosome 4 genes within

these clusters are predicted to encode proteins with ZnF domains (Supplemental

Data File 10).

The expression profiles of these ZnF genes show a burst of expression from dome to

75% epiboly (4.3-8 hpf) and several of them show appreciable RNA levels at the

128- and 1000-cell stages (Figure 4A-B, Supplemental Figure 4-1). Cluster 37 shows

a particularly sharp peak with a very large increase from the 1000-cell stage to dome

and an almost equal decrease from dome to 50% epiboly. This suggests that these

ZnF genes are co-ordinately expressed at the onset of zygotic transcription. To

investigate whether this is a global effect on all the genes on the long-arm of

chromosome 4 we looked at the expression profiles of NLR family genes, another

large family of proteins present on chromosome 4. These genes are present in the

same region of chromosome 4 as the ZnF genes although their distribution is slightly

different, with more NLR genes towards the end of the chromosome (Figure 4F-G,

Supplemental Figure 4-2). Of the 312 NLR genes present in the Ensembl version 85

genebuild, 149 have appreciable expression during this time course and they are not

expressed in the same pattern as the ZnF genes (Figure 4C).

Figure 4. ZnF genes expressed at the onset of zygotic transcription. (A)

Heatmap showing the expression profiles (log10 TPM) of the ZnF genes from

clusters 4, 22, 37 and 84. (B) Expression profiles of clusters 4, 22, 37 and 84 shown

as average expression (mean centered and variance scaled). (C) Heatmap showing

the expression profiles (log10 TPM) of the NLR genes present in GRCz10. (D)

Heatmap showing the expression of a cluster of ZnF genes on chromosome 22

displayed in chromosome order. (E) Heatmap showing the expression of all genes

on chromosome 4 (log10 TPM) in chromosomal order with cluster assignments

shown on the right. (F-G) Histograms showing the distribution of ZnF (F) and NLR

(G) genes on chromosome 4.

Figure 4-1. BioLayout cluster profiles. Individual expression profiles of clusters 4 (A), 22 (B), 37 (C) and 84 (D) shown as average expression (mean centered and variance scaled).

Figure 4-2. Chromosomal distribution of the chromosome 4 ZnF genes.

Individual histograms split by cluster showing the chromosomal distribution of the

ZnF genes from clusters 4, 22, 37 and 84.

This effect can even be seen on the chromosomal scale. A plot of the expression

profiles of all the genes across chromosome 4 in chromosomal order shows a

domain on the long arm that is enriched for these ZnF genes (Figure 4E) that share

a similar expression pattern.

341 **Table1**

Cluster	Chromosome	Count	Expected	log2FE	Adjusted pvalue
Cluster023	Chr1	9	2.25	2.00	1.161e-02
Cluster004	Chr4	129	47.29	1.45	7.434e-24
Cluster022	Chr4	14	5.03	1.48	1.156e-02
Cluster037	Chr4	23	3.35	2.78	9.033e-14
Cluster084	Chr4	9	1.48	2.61	1.659e-04
Cluster074	Chr12	8	0.48	4.05	6.337e-07

343 Table 1. BioLayout clusters with significant chromosomal enrichments (adjusted p-value <

344 0.05 and log2 fold enrichment [log2FE] > 1).

Chromosomal expression domains

Since the expression pattern of the chromosome 4 ZnF genes is obvious on a

chromosome level plot, we wondered if it was possible to find any other

chromosomal regions where the genes share a common expression pattern. To

investigate this, we plotted the expression of all the genes for each chromosome in

chromosomal order (Supplemental Figure 4-3A). This led to the identification of

another region with genes containing zinc finger domains, this time on chromosome

22 (Figure 4D). These genes begin to be expressed at a similar stage to the

chromosome 4 ZnF genes, although they tend to be more widely expressed over the

time course (Figure 4D).

There are also several other domains of co-expressed genes that can be clearly

seen at the chromosome level, such as the gamma crystallin family of genes on

chromosome 9, that are all highly expressed at the end of the time series

(Supplemental Figure 4-3B).

Interestingly, there is a small region on chromosome 12 where the genes are all

expressed from late gastrula through to the end of the pharyngula period

(Supplemental Figure 4-3C). The genes in this region all have clone-based names

apart from *ctslb* (ENSDARG00000039173, also known as *hatching gland 1*, *hgg1*).

All of them appear in the same BioLayout cluster (cluster 74), which has an

overrepresentation of genes on chromosome 12 (Table 1). This appears to be a fish-

specific expansion of cathepsin L. Similar expansions have happened in multiple

different lineages including rodents

(http://www.ensembl.org/Mus_musculus/Gene/SpeciesTree?db=core;g=ENSMUSG0

0000021477;r=13:64363214-64370306;t=ENSMUST00000021933).

On chromosome 11 there is a cluster containing hairy and enhancer of split-related

genes and a set of unnamed genes all expressed from late gastrula through

segmentation and pharyngula stages (Supplemental Figure 4-3D). Finally, two

clusters of genes containing histone domains can be seen on chromosomes 7 and

25 (Supplemental Figure 4-3E-G).

Figure 4-3. Chromosomal expression domains. (A) Heatmaps showing the
expression profiles (log10 TPM) of genes in chromosomal order. The unlabelled line

378 next to chromosome 4 marks the location of the ZnF genes shown in Figure 4. (B-G)

379 Expression profile heatmaps of the regions indicated by letters in (A).

Conservation/Divergence of the expression profiles of paralogous genes

Teleost fish underwent a third round of whole genome duplication compared to the

two rounds of tetrapods. During rediploidisation, most of the duplicate genes were

lost, however a significant proportion were retained and are now present as

paralogous pairs. Paralogous genes can have conserved expression patterns but

often the expression patterns have diverged so that the paralogues function at

different times or in different tissues. To investigate whether we can see this in our

data, which has only stage and not tissue specificity information, we produced a list

of one-to-one teleost-specific paralogues pairs using data from Ensembl (3144 gene

pairs with expression in our data).

We calculated the Pearson correlation coefficients between the normalised counts

for each paralogous pair as a measure of how similar the expression profiles are. A

histogram of the correlation coefficients shows a distribution skewed to high positive

correlations (Figure 5A) when compared to a random sample of gene pairs of similar

size (Figure 5B). Despite this skew, there is a significant proportion of the distribution

with low or negative correlation coefficients, suggesting that this may represent a set

of genes whose expression patterns have diverged (50% of the distribution is below

0.45).

Examples of highly correlated and negatively correlated genes are shown in Figure

5C-G and Supplemental Figure 5-1. To get an estimate of how many of these gene

pairs have divergent spatial expression patterns, we used the ZFA annotation

provided by ZFIN. There are 683 gene pairs where there is anatomical expression

information for both genes. For each pair we counted up the intersection of all the

pairs of stage ID and anatomy term that make up the expression annotations. For

the pairs studied, 83.7% (572 pairs) have an intersection of less than 50%.

Figure 5. Conservation/divergence of paralogous genes. (A-B) Histograms of
Pearson correlation coefficients among one-to-one paralogous gene pairs (A) and a
random sample of genes (B). (C) Heatmap showing the expression of the gene pairs
with the top 10 highest correlation coefficients (top half) and the top 10 most
negative correlation coefficients (bottom half). (D-E) Plots showing the expression of
ckma/b by stage (D) and plotted against each other (E). (F-G) Plots showing the
expression of *impdh1a/b* by stage (F) and plotted against each other (G).

Figure 5-1. Divergent expression of paralogous pairs. Images from ZFIN
(ZFIN.org) showing examples of paralogous gene pairs with diverged expression
patterns. The Pearson correlation coefficient between the RNA-seq expression
profiles of each pair is shown to the left. (A-B) *mfsd4a/b*. (C-D) *guk1a/b*. (E-F)
pbxip1a/b.

Alternative 3′ end use

To catalogue alternative 3′ end usage across development we produced 3′ pulldown
data for the same time points as the RNA-seq. There are multiple possible
consequences of alternative 3′ ends, such as different/extra 3′ UTR sequence

(Figure 6A). This could provide different binding sites for RNA-binding proteins or

regulation by microRNAs. For example, one transcript may contain a microRNA

binding site lacking in another, changing post-transcriptional regulation. Other

possibilities include different/extra coding sequence at the 3′ end of transcripts,

leading to proteins that contain different domains and therefore different molecular

functions.

Across all 423 samples over all the stages, there were 253,627 regions identified,

where each region may be associated with multiple 3′ ends. However, many of these

are false positives due to poly(T) pulldown from genomic poly(A) tracts within

transcripts. These regions are then filtered by various criteria such as distance to an

annotated gene and genomic context in an attempt to remove artefactual regions

(Figure 6B and Methods), which reduces the set to 37,724 regions. For this analysis,

we increased the stringency of filtering to reduce the inclusion of false-positive ends

and produce a high-confidence set of 3′ ends to work from. This stricter set contains

8358 regions. Once the regions have been associated with annotated genes, 1551

genes have two or more 3′ ends.

This high-confidence set has allowed us to define 3′ ends of genes that are

alternatively used throughout development. For example, *pdlim5b*

(ENSDARG00000027600) has three alternative 3′ ends which are used at different

times during development (Figure 6C-E). During cleavage and gastrula stages, one

particular end is used (end 2 in Figure 6C), but all three are observed during

segmentation stages. Ends 1 and 2 would be predicted to produce the same protein

with different 3′ UTRs, allowing for differential post-transcriptional regulation.

However, end 3 is predicted to produce a protein which lacks the C-terminal zinc

finger domain, allowing for this alternative transcript to have a completely different

molecular function.

Figure 6. Alternative 3' end use during development. (A) Diagrams showing the

alternative possible outcomes of differential 3' end usage. Only the 3' end of the

gene is shown where the exons are boxes, the introns are represented as lines and

filled boxes are coding. The dotted line represents the remaining 5' end of the gene.

(B) Flowchart showing the DeTCT 3' end filtering strategy. (C) Graph showing the

mean normalised counts by stage for the three alternative 3' ends (shown in D) of

the *pdlim5b* gene. Error bars represent standard deviations. Underneath is a

screenshot from Expression Atlas (Sept 2016) showing the overall FPKM for

pdlim5b. The stages for which exon and intron data are shown in D are denoted with
stars. (D) Screenshot from Ensembl (release 87) showing the *pdlim5b* gene
(10:1185001-1285000). The purple stars mark exon read counts for the 1-cell stage
at the top and intron spanning read counts at the bottom. Similarly, prim-15 counts
are marked by yellow stars. Red arrowheads point to the genomic positions of the
three transcript ends. Note 3' UTR resulting in end 1 is not annotated in this gene
build. Four transcripts are labelled on the right hand side. (E) A snapshot of Ensembl
release 87 protein view showing *pdlim5b* transcript ENSDART00000036090.6 from
N-terminus to C-terminus. The protein domains missing if the transcript finished at
end 3 are shown below.

Novel primary miRNA transcripts

Along with cataloguing 3′ ends, we have performed RNA-seq on mutants for two
genes involved in microRNA (miRNA) maturation, in order to annotate the
polyadenylated primary miRNA transcripts. Mature miRNAs are released from longer
primary transcripts (pri-miRNAs) by a series of processing steps (Denli et al., 2004;
Gregory et al., 2004; Grishok et al., 2001; Hutvagner et al., 2001). First, precursor
hairpins (pre-miRNAs) are sliced from the host transcript in the nucleus by the
microprocessor complex, consisting of the proteins Drosha (Rnasen) and Dgcr8
(Pasha). Subsequently the hairpin is cut by Dicer1 in the cytoplasm, freeing the
miRNA duplex.

Although much effort has been dedicated to sequencing and annotating the mature
miRNAs themselves, the annotation of many primary miRNA genes is likely hindered
by their rapid nuclear processing. Reasoning that the depletion of *drosha* and *dgcr8*
may enrich the transcriptome for unprocessed pri-miRNAs (Chang et al., 2015), we
sequenced RNA derived from *dgcr8* and *drosha* wild-type, heterozygous and
homozygous mutant embryos to determine whether this would allow us to improve
the current pri-miRNA annotation. Following the assembly of the transcriptome, we
were able to better define a large number of novel pri-miRNA loci not annotated in
the current Ensembl release (v87) (Fig. 7). The v87 release includes 313 pri-miRNA
transcripts overlapping 220 annotated miRNA loci. Our assembly contains 398 pri-
miRNA transcripts which overlap 283 miRNA loci, 94 of which are not currently
associated with a pri-miRNA (Figure 7D). These will be incorporated into a future

Ensembl gene set update.

Figure 7. Annotation of primary miRNA transcripts. (A-C) A selection of miRNA

loci for which pri-miRNA annotation is not available in Ensembl and Havana v87. IGV

screen shots showing pri-miRNA transcripts overlapping mir-22a (A), mir-363, mir-

19c, mir-20b and mir-18c (B), and mir-125 (C). Tracks shown are miRNA

annotations from miRBase and Ensembl, newly assembled pri-miRNA transcripts

and Ensembl pri-miRNAs. (D) Table and Venn diagram showing the numbers of

assembled pri-miRNA transcripts and the overlap with of Ensembl miRNAs with an

annotated pri-miRNA.

Discussion

We have produced a high quality map of zebrafish mRNA expression during development. It represents the first detailed temporal mRNA reference in zebrafish and enhances our understanding of the transcriptional diversity underlying vertebrate development.

Ordering the gene set by the stage at which they have their maximum expression gives a detailed overview of development, showing the molecular correlates of morphological changes within the embryo. This arrangement of the dataset reveals that there is a much larger fraction of unnamed genes in the stages from dome to 75% epiboly. We find that this peak in unnamed genes during gastrulation is not driven by an enrichment for teleost or zebrafish-specific genes, as the proportion of unnamed genes with mammalian orthologues is at the same level during these stages as during the rest of development. This implies that there is a large number of genes involved in vertebrate gastrulation that have yet to be characterised.

Having a large number of stages and replicates allows us to cluster genes by their expression profile across all of development using a graph-based method. This splits the assayed genes into 252 different expression profiles containing at least 5 genes each (10,363 of the genes are assigned to clusters). GO/ZFA enrichment on these clusters allows us to associate unnamed genes with known processes.

One intriguing set of clusters contains an over-representation of genes on the long arm of chromosome 4, a region considered to be constitutive heterochromatin. The vast majority of these genes encode proteins with zinc finger domains. The clusters show expression profiles that rise sharply around the time of zygotic genome activation (ZGA) and decrease at the latest after 75% epiboly. Given this, it is tempting to speculate that these genes have a role during the activation of the zygotic genome. In *Drosophila* the zinc finger gene Zelda (zld) is involved in zygotic genome activation by early and widespread binding to promoters and enhancers ahead of their genes' activation during the maternal-zygotic transition (Harrison et al., 2010; Harrison et al., 2011; Liang et al., 2008). In zebrafish, *pou5f3*, *nanog* and *soxB* genes have been implicated in activating specific genes during ZGA (Lee et al., 2013; Leichsenring et al., 2013), but no general factor comparable to Zelda has been identified yet. It is possible that the role of the ZnF protein family genes on

chromosome 4 is to set up or maintain open chromatin in a genome-wide way to

allow for the rapid activation of the first zygotic genes. This is supported by the

finding that these clusters also contain four unnamed genes, also found on

chromosome 4, that are predicted to be H3K36 methyltransferases

(ENSDARG00000104681, ENSDARG00000091062, ENSDARG00000076160,

ENSDARG00000103283). H3K36 methylation is generally, albeit not exclusively,

associated with active euchromatin (Wagner and Carpenter, 2012). Another option is

that they could be responsible for negatively regulating areas of open chromatin to

stop inappropriate activation of transposable elements during ZGA. In mammals,

suppression of retrotransposons is mediated by the rapidly evolving KRAB zinc

finger genes (Jacobs et al., 2014). KRAB zinc finger genes are exclusive to

mammals, making the chromosome 4 ZnF genes a possible functional equivalent.

Also, as would be expected given their expression profiles, within these four clusters

are a large number of well-known developmental regulators such as members of the

Tgf-beta (Bmp and Nodal) and Wnt signalling pathways, as well as transcription

factors such as *gata5* & *6*, *sox32*, *vox*, *ved*, *vent* and both *Brachyury* homologues.

Correlation analysis of paralogues stemming from a teleost-specific genome

duplication shows that while there is the expected skew towards positive temporal

expression correlation, a significant proportion of paralogues have diverged in their

expression pattern as demonstrated by low or negative correlation coefficients. This

is confirmed by an analysis of the expression annotations provided by ZFIN. There

are caveats to this analysis, not least that the annotation is far from complete and,

therefore, annotations may not overlap simply because a certain stage has been

investigated for one paralogue, but not the other. It will also be the case that some

genes have expression patterns that are diverged spatially, but not temporally. Thus

the number of genes whose expression annotations do not overlap is an estimate,

but it does suggest that the phenomenon of divergence is widespread among

paralogues, something that might be expected to be the case since there needs to

be some form of selective pressure on retaining paralogous pairs.

A further layer of complexity is added by differential exon and 3′ end use. The

dataset uncovers new splice isoforms which, when viewed in Ensembl against the

Ensembl genebuild, will refines the current gene annotation and ties splice isoforms

to their temporal expression patterns. This is of particular relevance to the ongoing

debate surrounding reverse genetics approaches in zebrafish (Kok et al., 2015). One

possible explanation for phenotypic discrepancies between morpholino antisense

oligo knockdown, code disrupting point mutations and CRISPR/Cas9 or TALEN-

mediated larger deletions is that mutations affect potentially non-critical exons that

are only present in a subset of transcripts of a given gene. An improved annotation

that gives temporal resolution of exon usage will be of tremendous value for the

identification of critical exons.

Using the 3′ end sequencing method DeTCT, we have catalogued the differential

use of alternative 3′ ends in a filtered set of transcripts. In accordance with previous

work on a subset of stages (Collins et al., 2012; Sheppard et al., 2013; Ulitsky et al.,

2012) we find that there is differential use of not only 3′ UTRs but also coding

sequence across development. We show that *pdlim5b* is expressed as several

transcripts, with a single maternal isoform and multiple zygotic ones producing

different proteins. Incorporation of the data into an updated gene set will

systematically identify these and refines our understanding of gene regulation.

Furthermore, it provides scope for temporally resolved analysis using transcript-

specific knockout targeting strategies.

An important role of 3′ UTRs is in post-transcriptional regulation of their transcripts.

The 3′ UTRs contain binding sites for miRNAs which silence transcripts through

translational repression or mRNA destabilisation (Bartel, 2009). However, the

primary transcripts of miRNAs are often poorly annotated. Using two miRNA

processing mutants (*dgcr8* and *drosha*), we have produced an improved pri-miRNA

assembly with an increase of 42% in the number of Ensembl miRNAs with an

associated primary transcript. In agreement with previous studies (Chang et al.,

2015; Gaeta et al., 2017), the assembly shows that miRNAs are processed from

primary transcripts with multiple isoforms with potentially multiple transcription start

sites (Figure 7). This diversity of transcripts means that the expression of miRNAs in

relatively close proximity (e.g. dre-let-7d-1 and dre-mir-125a-1) could be regulated at

multiple levels (Figure 7C). In some transcripts both let-7d-1 and mir-125a-1 are both

intronic and in some mir-125a-1 is exonic. There are also transcripts with alternate

promoters which exclude the let-7d-1 locus entirely. These features provide

significant flexibility to the transcriptional regulation of miRNAs. It is possible that a

proportion of the assembled constructs are fragments. Clear definition of the 5' and

3' termini will require further work and ultimately experimental validation by an
alternative method such as 5′ rapid amplification of cDNA ends.

A major aim for this work was to create an accessible reference of normal mRNA
expression during zebrafish development. The primary sequence is available from
the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA, http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena), but importantly
has also been interpreted for immediate user-friendly access. Expression Atlas
provides relative expression levels while Ensembl displays alternative genomic
transcript structures alongside the current gene annotation. These pre-computed
analyses allow an in-depth examination of the data on a gene by gene basis. This
makes it easy for researchers to benefit from the data and provides a direct link to
the wealth of information present in genomic databases.

Materials and Methods

Animal Care

616 Zebrafish were maintained in accordance with UK Home Office regulations, UK
617 Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986, under project licence 70/7606, which was
618 reviewed by the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute Ethical Review Committee.

Sample collection

Wild-type HLF strain zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) were maintained at 28.5 °C on a 14h
light/10h dark cycle. At the time of mating, breeding males and females were
separated overnight before letting them spawn naturally in the morning to allow for
synchronisation of developmental stages. Fertilised eggs were grown at 28°C and
staged using previously defined criteria (Kimmel et al., 1995). Samples from 18
different developmental stages from 1-cell to 5 days post fertilisation were collected
by snap freezing the embryos in dry ice. Details of sample names and accession
numbers are provided in Supplemental Data File 1.

RNA Extraction

RNA was extracted from embryos by mechanical lysis in RLT buffer (Qiagen)
containing 1 µl of 14.3M beta mercaptoethanol (Sigma). The lysate was combined
with 1.8 volumes of Agencourt RNAClean XP (Beckman Coulter) beads and allowed
to bind for 10 minutes. The plate was applied to a plate magnet (Invitrogen) until the
solution cleared and the supernatant was removed without disturbing the beads. This
was followed by washing the beads three times with 70% ethanol. After the last
wash, the pellet was allowed to air dry for 10 mins and then resuspended in 50 µl of
RNAse-free water. RNA was eluted from the beads by applying the plate to the
magnetic rack. RNA was quantified using Quant-IT RNA assay (Invitrogen) for
samples 24 hours post-fertilisation and older.

RNA Sequencing

Total RNA from 12 embryos was pooled and DNase treated for 20 mins at 37°C
followed by addition of 1 µl 0.5M EDTA and inactivation at 75°C for 10 mins to
remove residual DNA. RNA was then cleaned using 2 volumes of Agencourt
RNAClean XP (Beckman Coulter) beads under the standard protocol. Five replicate
libraries were made for each stage using 700 ng total RNA and ERCC spike mix 2
(Ambion). Strand-specific RNA-seq libraries containing unique index sequences in
the adapter were generated simultaneously following the dUTP method. Libraries
were pooled and sequenced on Illumina HiSeq 2500 in 100bp paired-end mode.
Sequence data were deposited in ENA under accession ERP014517.

FASTQ files were aligned to the GRCz10 reference genome using tophat (v2.0.13,
options: --library-type fr-firststrand). Counts for genes were produced using htseq-
count (v0.6.0 options: --stranded=reverse) with the Ensembl v85 annotation as a
reference. The processed count data are in Supplemental Data File 2.

DeTCT

DeTCT libraries were generated, sequenced and analysed as described previously
(Collins et al., 2015). The resulting genomic regions and putative 3′ ends were
filtered stringently using DeTCT's filter_output script
(https://github.com/iansealy/DETCT/blob/master/script/filter_output.pl) in its --stricter
mode. This mode removes 3′ ends more than 5000 bases downstream of existing

protein-coding annotation (Ensembl v86) or more than 50 bases from existing non-

coding annotation (antisense, lincRNA, misc_RNA and processed_transcript

biotypes). 3′ ends are also removed if nearby sequence is enriched for As, if within

14 bp of an N, if within a simple repeat (repeats annotated by dust or trf) or a

transposon, or if not nearby a primary hexamer. Regions not associated with 3′ ends

are also removed. Sequence data were deposited in ENA under accessions

ERP006948 and ERP013756. The processed count data is available to download at

https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.4622311.

Analysis

ZFA enrichment

ZFA enrichment was done using Ontologizer (http://ontologizer.de). The ZFA

ontology was download from http://www.berkeleybop.org/ontologies/zfa.obo and

mappings between ZFIN gene IDs and ZFA IDs was downloaded from ZFIN

(http://zfin.org/downloads/wildtype-expression_fish.txt and

http://zfin.org/downloads/phenoGeneCleanData_fish.txt). Pvalues were adjusted for

testing of both multiple terms and multiple clusters using the Bonferroni correction.

GO enrichment

GO enrichment was done using the R topGO package (Alexa and Rahnenfuhrer,

2016). The mapping between Ensembl IDs and GO terms was retrieved from the

Ensembl database using a custom perl script (get_ensembl_go_terms.pl) from the

topgo-wrapper repository (https://github.com/iansealy/topgo-wrapper).

Plots

The code to reproduce all the plots in this paper can be downloaded at github

(https://github.com/richysix/zfs-devstages).

BioLayout

BioLayout *Express*[3D] is available to download for free

(http://www.biolayout.org/downloads/). The input expression file is provided as

Supplemental Data File 6. The processed layout file of the network displayed in

Figure 3B is available at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.4622293.

pri-miRNA assembly

For each mutant allele – sa191, *drosha* (*rnasen*); sa223, *dgcr8* (*pasha*) – we produced and sequenced stranded RNA-seq libraries from homozygous, heterozygous and wild-type embryos (5 replicates of each). Sequence data were deposited in ENA under accession ERP013690. To identify new pri-miRNAs, FASTQ files were aligned to the zebrafish genome (GRCz10) using STAR (v2.5.1a, options: --alignSJoverhangMin 8 --alignSJDBoverhangMin 1 --outFilterMismatchNmax 999 --alignIntronMin 20 --alignIntronMax 1000000 --alignMatesGapMax 1000000 --readFilesCommand zcat --outFilterIntronMotifs RemoveNoncanonical --outFilterType BySJout --outFilterMultimapNmax 10 --sjdbOverhang 74). Ensembl v86 zebrafish annotation was provided as a junction file. Aligned reads were sorted, indexed and mate pairings were fixed using Samtools (v1.3.1). Duplicate alignments were removed with Picard (v2.7.1) (REMOVE_DUPLICATES=true READ_NAME_REGEX=null). Alignments for the homozygous, heterozygous and wild-type samples for both the *drosha* and *dgcr8* lines were merged into two line-specific datasets with Samtools. Each set of merged alignments was then used to assemble a transcriptome with Cufflinks (v2.2.1) (--library-type=fr-firststrand). Ensembl (v86) annotation stripped of rows corresponding to 'gene' features was provided as a backbone for the assembly. The *drosha* and *dgcr8* assemblies were then merged with Cuffmerge.

Ensembl miRNA annotation (v87) ("miRNA" biotype) was used to define miRNA loci. For the purpose of this analysis we defined pri-miRNAs as any transcript overlapping at least one of these loci. Ensembl and Havana (v87) annotation was selected as the baseline for novel pri-miRNA prediction. UCSC utilities gtfToGenePred and genePredToBed were used to convert from GTF to BED format. Pri-miRNAs were identified by removing single exon transcripts from the merged assembly and Ensembl annotations and comparing the remainder to annotated miRNA loci using bedtools (v2.24.0) intersect (-wa –s). Finally, assembled pri-miRNA transcripts were filtered to remove those for which either the first or last exon was less than 20nt in length, those which contain an intron greater than 100,000nt, or those which contain an intron that isn't flanked by the GT-AG or GC-AG motifs (Breathnach et al., 1978).

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute (grant number

WT098051). M. Davis was funded by a MRC Methodology Research Fellowship

(MR/L012367/1). Ensembl, Expression Atlas and A. Enright are funded by EMBL

core funding. We would like to thank the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute

sequencing pipelines for performing sequencing and the staff of the Research

Support Facility for zebrafish care. We also thank Samantha Carruthers, Catherine

Scahill and Nicole Staudt for critical reading of the manuscript.

Supplemental Data Files:

Supplemental Data File 1 –   Sample Information (.tsv)

Supplemental Data File 2 –   RNA-seq count data (.tsv)

Supplemental Data File 3 –   Max stage cluster assignments (.tsv)

Supplemental Data File 4 –   Max stage cluster ZFA enrichment (.tsv)

Supplemental Data File 5 –   Max stage cluster GO enrichment (.tsv)

Supplemental Data File 6 –   BioLayout input file (.expression)

Supplemental Data File 7 –   BioLayout cluster information (.tsv)

Supplemental Data File 8 –   BioLayout cluster ZFA enrichment (.zip)

Supplemental Data File 9 –   BioLayout cluster GO enrichment (.tsv)

Supplemental Data File 10 –   Regional expression information (.tsv)

738 Competing Interests

739   The authors declare they have no competing interests.

740 References

Aanes, H., Winata, C. L., Lin, C. H., Chen, J. P., Srinivasan, K. G., Lee, S. G.,
Lim, A. Y., Hajan, H. S., Collas, P., Bourque, G., et al. (2011). Zebrafish
mRNA sequencing deciphers novelties in transcriptome dynamics during
maternal to zygotic transition. *Genome Res* **21**, 1328-1338. doi:
10.1101/gr.116012.110.
Alexa, A. and Rahnenfuhrer, J. (2016). topGO: Enrichment Analysis for Gene
Ontology. R package version 2.24.0. doi:
https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/topGO.html.
Amsterdam, A., Burgess, S., Golling, G., Chen, W., Sun, Z., Townsend, K.,
Farrington, S., Haldi, M. and Hopkins, N. (1999). A large-scale insertional
mutagenesis screen in zebrafish. *Genes Dev* **13**, 2713-2724. doi:

Bartel, D. P. (2009). MicroRNAs: target recognition and regulatory functions. *Cell*
136, 215-233. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2009.01.002.
Behrndt, M., Salbreux, G., Campinho, P., Hauschild, R., Oswald, F., Roensch,
J., Grill, S. W. and Heisenberg, C. P. (2012). Forces driving epithelial
spreading in zebrafish gastrulation. *Science* **338**, 257-260. doi:
10.1126/science.1224143.
Bertrand, J. Y., Chi, N. C., Santoso, B., Teng, S., Stainier, D. Y. and Traver, D.
(2010). Haematopoietic stem cells derive directly from aortic endothelium
during development. *Nature* **464**, 108-111. doi: 10.1038/nature08738.
Boeck, M. E., Huynh, C., Gevirtzman, L., Thompson, O. A., Wang, G., Kasper, D.
M., Reinke, V., Hillier, L. W. and Waterston, R. H. (2016). The time-resolved
transcriptome of C. elegans. *Genome Res* **26**, 1441-1450. doi:
10.1101/gr.202663.115.
Breathnach, R., Benoist, C., O'Hare, K., Gannon, F. and Chambon, P. (1978).
Ovalbumin gene: evidence for a leader sequence in mRNA and DNA
sequences at the exon-intron boundaries. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **75**, 4853-
4857. doi:
Brown, J. B., Boley, N., Eisman, R., May, G. E., Stoiber, M. H., Duff, M. O.,
Booth, B. W., Wen, J., Park, S., Suzuki, A. M., et al. (2014). Diversity and
dynamics of the Drosophila transcriptome. *Nature* **512**, 393-399. doi:
10.1038/nature12962.
Chang, T. C., Pertea, M., Lee, S., Salzberg, S. L. and Mendell, J. T. (2015).
Genome-wide annotation of microRNA primary transcript structures reveals
novel regulatory mechanisms. *Genome Res* **25**, 1401-1409. doi:
10.1101/gr.193607.115.
Collins, J. E., Wali, N., Sealy, I. M., Morris, J. A., White, R. J., Leonard, S. R.,
Jackson, D. K., Jones, M. C., Smerdon, N. C., Zamora, J., et al. (2015).
High-throughput and quantitative genome-wide messenger RNA sequencing
for molecular phenotyping. *BMC Genomics* **16**, 578. doi: 10.1186/s12864-
015-1788-6.
Collins, J. E., White, S., Searle, S. M. and Stemple, D. L. (2012). Incorporating
RNA-seq data into the zebrafish Ensembl genebuild. *Genome Res* **22**, 2067-
2078. doi: 10.1101/gr.137901.112.
Denli, A. M., Tops, B. B., Plasterk, R. H., Ketting, R. F. and Hannon, G. J. (2004).
Processing of primary microRNAs by the Microprocessor complex. *Nature*
432, 231-235. doi: 10.1038/nature03049.
Driever, W., Solnica-Krezel, L., Schier, A. F., Neuhauss, S. C., Malicki, J.,
Stemple, D. L., Stainier, D. Y., Zwartkruis, F., Abdelilah, S., Rangini, Z., et
al. (1996). A genetic screen for mutations affecting embryogenesis in
zebrafish. *Development* **123**, 37-46. doi:
Enright, A. J., Van Dongen, S. and Ouzounis, C. A. (2002). An efficient algorithm
for large-scale detection of protein families. *Nucleic Acids Res* **30**, 1575-1584.
doi:
Gaeta, X., Le, L., Lin, Y., Xie, Y. and Lowry, W. E. (2017). Defining Transcriptional
Regulatory Mechanisms for Primary let-7 miRNAs. *PLoS One* **12**, e0169237.
doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0169237.
Gehrig, J., Reischl, M., Kalmar, E., Ferg, M., Hadzhiev, Y., Zaucker, A., Song, C.,
Schindler, S., Liebel, U. and Muller, F. (2009). Automated high-throughput
mapping of promoter-enhancer interactions in zebrafish embryos. *Nat*
Methods **6**, 911-916. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.1396.

Gerstein, M. B., Rozowsky, J., Yan, K. K., Wang, D., Cheng, C., Brown, J. B., Davis, C. A., Hillier, L., Sisu, C., Li, J. J., et al. (2014). Comparative analysis of the transcriptome across distant species. *Nature* **512**, 445-448. doi: 10.1038/nature13424.

Graveley, B. R., Brooks, A. N., Carlson, J. W., Duff, M. O., Landolin, J. M., Yang, L., Artieri, C. G., van Baren, M. J., Boley, N., Booth, B. W., et al. (2011). The developmental transcriptome of Drosophila melanogaster. *Nature* **471**, 473-479. doi: 10.1038/nature09715.

Gregory, R. I., Yan, K. P., Amuthan, G., Chendrimada, T., Doratotaj, B., Cooch, N. and Shiekhattar, R. (2004). The Microprocessor complex mediates the genesis of microRNAs. *Nature* **432**, 235-240. doi: 10.1038/nature03120.

Grishok, A., Pasquinelli, A. E., Conte, D., Li, N., Parrish, S., Ha, I., Baillie, D. L., Fire, A., Ruvkun, G. and Mello, C. C. (2001). Genes and mechanisms related to RNA interference regulate expression of the small temporal RNAs that control C. elegans developmental timing. *Cell* **106**, 23-34. doi:

Haberle, V., Li, N., Hadzhiev, Y., Plessy, C., Previti, C., Nepal, C., Gehrig, J., Dong, X., Akalin, A., Suzuki, A. M., et al. (2014). Two independent transcription initiation codes overlap on vertebrate core promoters. *Nature* **507**, 381-385. doi: 10.1038/nature12974.

Haffter, P., Granato, M., Brand, M., Mullins, M. C., Hammerschmidt, M., Kane, D. A., Odenthal, J., van Eeden, F. J., Jiang, Y. J., Heisenberg, C. P., et al. (1996). The identification of genes with unique and essential functions in the development of the zebrafish, Danio rerio. *Development* **123**, 1-36. doi:

Harrison, M. M., Botchan, M. R. and Cline, T. W. (2010). Grainyhead and Zelda compete for binding to the promoters of the earliest-expressed Drosophila genes. *Dev Biol* **345**, 248-255. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2010.06.026.

Harrison, M. M., Li, X. Y., Kaplan, T., Botchan, M. R. and Eisen, M. B. (2011). Zelda binding in the early Drosophila melanogaster embryo marks regions subsequently activated at the maternal-to-zygotic transition. *PLoS Genet* **7**, e1002266. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002266.

Harvey, S. A., Sealy, I., Kettleborough, R., Fenyes, F., White, R., Stemple, D. and Smith, J. C. (2013). Identification of the zebrafish maternal and paternal transcriptomes. *Development* **140**, 2703-2710. doi: 10.1242/dev.095091.

Hashimshony, T., Feder, M., Levin, M., Hall, B. K. and Yanai, I. (2015). Spatiotemporal transcriptomics reveals the evolutionary history of the endoderm germ layer. *Nature* **519**, 219-222. doi: 10.1038/nature13996.

Howe, D. G., Bradford, Y. M., Conlin, T., Eagle, A. E., Fashena, D., Frazer, K., Knight, J., Mani, P., Martin, R., Moxon, S. A., et al. (2013a). ZFIN, the Zebrafish Model Organism Database: increased support for mutants and transgenics. *Nucleic Acids Res* **41**, D854-860. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks938.

Howe, K., Clark, M. D., Torroja, C. F., Torrance, J., Berthelot, C., Muffato, M., Collins, J. E., Humphray, S., McLaren, K., Matthews, L., et al. (2013b). The zebrafish reference genome sequence and its relationship to the human genome. *Nature* **496**, 498-503. doi: 10.1038/nature12111.

Howe, K., Schiffer, P. H., Zielinski, J., Wiehe, T., Laird, G. K., Marioni, J. C., Soylemez, O., Kondrashov, F. and Leptin, M. (2016). Structure and evolutionary history of a large family of NLR proteins in the zebrafish. *Open Biol* **6**, 160009. doi: 10.1098/rsob.160009.

Hutvagner, G., McLachlan, J., Pasquinelli, A. E., Balint, E., Tuschl, T. and Zamore, P. D. (2001). A cellular function for the RNA-interference enzyme

Dicer in the maturation of the let-7 small temporal RNA. *Science* **293**, 834-
838. doi: 10.1126/science.1062961.

Jacobs, F. M., Greenberg, D., Nguyen, N., Haeussler, M., Ewing, A. D., Katzman,
S., Paten, B., Salama, S. R. and Haussler, D. (2014). An evolutionary arms
race between KRAB zinc-finger genes ZNF91/93 and SVA/L1
retrotransposons. *Nature* **516**, 242-245. doi: 10.1038/nature13760.

Kaaij, L. J., Mokry, M., Zhou, M., Musheev, M., Geeven, G., Melquiond, A. S., de
Jesus Domingues, A. M., de Laat, W., Niehrs, C., Smith, A. D., et al.
(2016). Enhancers reside in a unique epigenetic environment during early
zebrafish development. *Genome Biol* **17**, 146. doi: 10.1186/s13059-016-1013-
1.

Kettleborough, R. N., Busch-Nentwich, E. M., Harvey, S. A., Dooley, C. M., de
Bruijn, E., van Eeden, F., Sealy, I., White, R. J., Herd, C., Nijman, I. J., et
al. (2013). A systematic genome-wide analysis of zebrafish protein-coding
gene function. *Nature* **496**, 494-497. doi: 10.1038/nature11992.

Kimmel, C. B., Ballard, W. W., Kimmel, S. R., Ullmann, B. and Schilling, T. F.
(1995). Stages of embryonic development of the zebrafish. *Dev Dyn* **203**, 253-
310. doi: 10.1002/aja.1002030302.

Kissa, K. and Herbomel, P. (2010). Blood stem cells emerge from aortic
endothelium by a novel type of cell transition. *Nature* **464**, 112-115. doi:
10.1038/nature08761.

Klepikova, A. V., Kasianov, A. S., Gerasimov, E. S., Logacheva, M. D. and
Penin, A. A. (2016). A high resolution map of the Arabidopsis thaliana
developmental transcriptome based on RNA-seq profiling. *Plant J*. doi:
10.1111/tpj.13312.

Kok, F. O., Shin, M., Ni, C. W., Gupta, A., Grosse, A. S., van Impel, A.,
Kirchmaier, B. C., Peterson-Maduro, J., Kourkoulis, G., Male, I., et al.
(2015). Reverse genetic screening reveals poor correlation between
morpholino-induced and mutant phenotypes in zebrafish. *Dev Cell* **32**, 97-108.
doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2014.11.018.

Lee, M. T., Bonneau, A. R., Takacs, C. M., Bazzini, A. A., DiVito, K. R., Fleming,
E. S. and Giraldez, A. J. (2013). Nanog, Pou5f1 and SoxB1 activate zygotic
gene expression during the maternal-to-zygotic transition. *Nature* **503**, 360-
364. doi: 10.1038/nature12632.

Leichsenring, M., Maes, J., Mossner, R., Driever, W. and Onichtchouk, D.
(2013). Pou5f1 transcription factor controls zygotic gene activation in
vertebrates. *Science* **341**, 1005-1009. doi: 10.1126/science.1242527.

Li, P., Lahvic, J. L., Binder, V., Pugach, E. K., Riley, E. B., Tamplin, O. J.,
Panigrahy, D., Bowman, T. V., Barrett, F. G., Heffner, G. C., et al. (2015).
Epoxyeicosatrienoic acids enhance embryonic haematopoiesis and adult
marrow engraftment. *Nature* **523**, 468-471. doi: 10.1038/nature14569.

Liang, H. L., Nien, C. Y., Liu, H. Y., Metzstein, M. M., Kirov, N. and Rushlow, C.
(2008). The zinc-finger protein Zelda is a key activator of the early zygotic
genome in Drosophila. *Nature* **456**, 400-403. doi: 10.1038/nature07388.

Lindeman, L. C., Winata, C. L., Aanes, H., Mathavan, S., Alestrom, P. and
Collas, P. (2010). Chromatin states of developmentally-regulated genes
revealed by DNA and histone methylation patterns in zebrafish embryos. *Int J*
Dev Biol **54**, 803-813. doi: 10.1387/ijdb.103081ll.

Moens, C. B., Donn, T. M., Wolf-Saxon, E. R. and Ma, T. P. (2008). Reverse genetics in zebrafish by TILLING. *Brief Funct Genomic Proteomic* **7**, 454-459. doi: 10.1093/bfgp/eln046.

Murphey, R. D., Stern, H. M., Straub, C. T. and Zon, L. I. (2006). A chemical genetic screen for cell cycle inhibitors in zebrafish embryos. *Chem Biol Drug Des* **68**, 213-219. doi: 10.1111/j.1747-0285.2006.00439.x.

Nepal, C., Hadzhiev, Y., Previti, C., Haberle, V., Li, N., Takahashi, H., Suzuki, A. M., Sheng, Y., Abdelhamid, R. F., Anand, S., et al. (2013). Dynamic regulation of the transcription initiation landscape at single nucleotide resolution during vertebrate embryogenesis. *Genome Res* **23**, 1938-1950. doi: 10.1101/gr.153692.112.

North, T. E., Goessling, W., Walkley, C. R., Lengerke, C., Kopani, K. R., Lord, A. M., Weber, G. J., Bowman, T. V., Jang, I. H., Grosser, T., et al. (2007). Prostaglandin E2 regulates vertebrate haematopoietic stem cell homeostasis. *Nature* **447**, 1007-1011. doi: 10.1038/nature05883.

Owens, N. D., Blitz, I. L., Lane, M. A., Patrushev, I., Overton, J. D., Gilchrist, M. J., Cho, K. W. and Khokha, M. K. (2016). Measuring Absolute RNA Copy Numbers at High Temporal Resolution Reveals Transcriptome Kinetics in Development. *Cell Rep* **14**, 632-647. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2015.12.050.

Pauli, A., Valen, E., Lin, M. F., Garber, M., Vastenhouw, N. L., Levin, J. Z., Fan, L., Sandelin, A., Rinn, J. L., Regev, A., et al. (2012). Systematic identification of long noncoding RNAs expressed during zebrafish embryogenesis. *Genome Res* **22**, 577-591. doi: 10.1101/gr.133009.111.

Peterson, R. T., Link, B. A., Dowling, J. E. and Schreiber, S. L. (2000). Small molecule developmental screens reveal the logic and timing of vertebrate development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **97**, 12965-12969. doi: 10.1073/pnas.97.24.12965.

Peterson, R. T., Shaw, S. Y., Peterson, T. A., Milan, D. J., Zhong, T. P., Schreiber, S. L., MacRae, C. A. and Fishman, M. C. (2004). Chemical suppression of a genetic mutation in a zebrafish model of aortic coarctation. *Nat Biotechnol* **22**, 595-599. doi: 10.1038/nbt963.

Petryszak, R., Keays, M., Tang, Y. A., Fonseca, N. A., Barrera, E., Burdett, T., Fullgrabe, A., Fuentes, A. M., Jupp, S., Koskinen, S., et al. (2016). Expression Atlas update--an integrated database of gene and protein expression in humans, animals and plants. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**, D746-752. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv1045.

Potok, M. E., Nix, D. A., Parnell, T. J. and Cairns, B. R. (2013). Reprogramming the maternal zebrafish genome after fertilization to match the paternal methylation pattern. *Cell* **153**, 759-772. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2013.04.030.

Rauch, G. J., Lyons, D. A., Middendorf, I., Friedlander, B., Arana, N., Reyes, T. and Talbot, W. S. (2003). Submission and Curation of Gene Expression Data. ZFIN Direct Data Submission (http://zfin.org/). doi:

Sheppard, S., Lawson, N. D. and Zhu, L. J. (2013). Accurate identification of polyadenylation sites from 3' end deep sequencing using a naive Bayes classifier. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 2564-2571. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btt446.

Stern, H. M., Murphey, R. D., Shepard, J. L., Amatruda, J. F., Straub, C. T., Pfaff, K. L., Weber, G., Tallarico, J. A., King, R. W. and Zon, L. I. (2005). Small molecules that delay S phase suppress a zebrafish bmyb mutant. *Nat Chem Biol* **1**, 366-370. doi:

Tan, M. H., Au, K. F., Yablonovitch, A. L., Wills, A. E., Chuang, J., Baker, J. C.,
Wong, W. H. and Li, J. B. (2013). RNA sequencing reveals a diverse and
dynamic repertoire of the Xenopus tropicalis transcriptome over development.
Genome Res **23**, 201-216. doi: 10.1101/gr.141424.112.
Theocharidis, A., van Dongen, S., Enright, A. J. and Freeman, T. C. (2009).
Network visualization and analysis of gene expression data using BioLayout
Express(3D). *Nat Protoc* **4**, 1535-1550. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2009.177.
Thisse, B., Pflumio, S., Fürthauer, M., Loppin, B., Heyer, V., Degrave, A., Woehl,
R., Lux, A., Steffan, T., Charbonnier, X. Q., et al. (2001). Expression of the
zebrafish genome during embryogenesis. (NIH RO1 RR15402-01). ZFIN
Direct Data Submission (http://zfin.org/). doi:
Tobin, D. M., Vary, J. C., Jr., Ray, J. P., Walsh, G. S., Dunstan, S. J., Bang, N. D.,
Hagge, D. A., Khadge, S., King, M. C., Hawn, T. R., et al. (2010). The lta4h
locus modulates susceptibility to mycobacterial infection in zebrafish and
humans. *Cell* **140**, 717-730. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2010.02.013.
Ulitsky, I., Shkumatava, A., Jan, C. H., Subtelny, A. O., Koppstein, D., Bell, G.
W., Sive, H. and Bartel, D. P. (2012). Extensive alternative polyadenylation
during zebrafish development. *Genome Res* **22**, 2054-2066. doi:
10.1101/gr.139733.112.
van Dongen, S. (2000). Graph Clustering by Flow Simulation. University of
Utrecht.
Van Slyke, C. E., Bradford, Y. M., Westerfield, M. and Haendel, M. A. (2014). The
zebrafish anatomy and stage ontologies: representing the anatomy and
development of Danio rerio. *J Biomed Semantics* **5**, 12. doi: 10.1186/2041-
1480-5-12.
Varshney, G. K., Pei, W., LaFave, M. C., Idol, J., Xu, L., Gallardo, V., Carrington,
B., Bishop, K., Jones, M., Li, M., et al. (2015). High-throughput gene
targeting and phenotyping in zebrafish using CRISPR/Cas9. *Genome Res* **25**,
1030-1042. doi: 10.1101/gr.186379.114.
Vastenhouw, N. L., Zhang, Y., Woods, I. G., Imam, F., Regev, A., Liu, X. S., Rinn,
J. and Schier, A. F. (2010). Chromatin signature of embryonic pluripotency is
established during genome activation. *Nature* **464**, 922-926. doi:
10.1038/nature08866.
Wagner, E. J. and Carpenter, P. B. (2012). Understanding the language of Lys36
methylation at histone H3. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **13**, 115-126. doi:
10.1038/nrm3274.
Yang, H., Zhou, Y., Gu, J., Xie, S., Xu, Y., Zhu, G., Wang, L., Huang, J., Ma, H.
and Yao, J. (2013). Deep mRNA sequencing analysis to capture the
transcriptome landscape of zebrafish embryos and larvae. *PLoS One* **8**,
e64058. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0064058.
989