15-keto-prostaglandin E$_2$ activates host peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPAR-γ) to promote *Cryptococcus neoformans* growth during infection.

Authors:

Robert J. Evans[1,2], Katherine Pline[1,2], Catherine A. Loynes [1,2], Sarah Needs[3], Maceler Aldrovandi[4], Jens Tiefenbach[5], Ewa Bielska[3], Rachel E. Rubino[6], Christopher J. Nicol[6], Robin C. May[3], Henry M. Krause[5], Valerie B. O'Donnell[4], Stephen A. Renshaw[1,2], <u>Simon A. Johnston[1,2]</u>

[1] Bateson Centre, Firth Court, University of Sheffield, S10 2TN, UK.

[2] Department of Infection, Immunity and Cardiovascular Disease, Medical School, University of Sheffield, S10 2RX, UK.

[3] Institute of Microbiology and Infection, School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK.

[4] Systems Immunity Research Institute, and Division of Infection and Immunity, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF14 4XN, UK

[5] Banting and Best Department of Medical Research, The Terrence Donnelly Centre for Cellular and Biomolecular Research (CCBR), University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, InDanio Bioscience Inc., Toronto, Ontario, Canada

[6] Department of Pathology and Molecular Medicine, Queen's University, Kingston, ON, Canada.

Running Title: Fungal derived 15-keto-prostaglandin E$_2$ and PPAR-γ promote *C. neoformans* infection

*Author for correspondence: Simon A. Johnston

Email: s.a.johnston@sheffield.ac.uk

Abstract

Cryptococcus neoformans is one of the leading causes of invasive fungal infection in humans worldwide. *C. neoformans* uses macrophages as a proliferative niche to increase infective burden and avoid immune surveillance. However, the specific mechanisms by which *C. neoformans* manipulates host immunity to promote its growth during infection remain ill-defined. Here we demonstrate that eicosanoid lipid mediators manipulated and/or produced by *C. neoformans* play a key role in regulating pathogenesis. *C. neoformans* is known to secrete several eicosanoids that are highly similar to those found in vertebrate hosts. Using eicosanoid deficient cryptococcal mutants *Δplb1* and *Δlac1*, we demonstrate that prostaglandin E_2 is required by *C. neoformans* for proliferation within macrophages and *in vivo* during infection. Genetic and pharmacological disruption of host PGE_2 synthesis is not required for promotion of cryptococcal growth by eicosanoid production. We find that PGE_2 must be dehydrogenated into 15-keto-PGE_2 to promote fungal growth, a finding that implicated the host nuclear receptor PPAR-γ. *C. neoformans* infection of macrophages activates host PPAR-γ and its inhibition is sufficient to abrogate the effect of 15-keto-PGE_2 in promoting fungal growth during infection. Thus, we describe the first mechanism of reliance on pathogen-derived eicosanoids in fungal pathogenesis and the specific role of 15-keto-PGE_2 and host PPAR-γ in cryptococcosis.

Author Summary:

Cryptococcus neoformans is an opportunistic fungal pathogen that is responsible for significant numbers of deaths in the immunocompromised population worldwide. Here we address whether eicosanoids produced by *C. neoformans* manipulate host innate immune cells during infection. *Cryptococcus neoformans* produces several eicosanoids that are notable for their similarity to vertebrate eicosanoids, it is therefore possible that fungal-derived eicosanoids may provoke physiological effects in the host. Using a combination of *in vitro* and *in vivo* infection models we identify a specific eicosanoid species - prostaglandin E_2 – that is required by *C. neoformans* for

53 growth during infection. We subsequently show that prostaglandin E_2 must be converted to 15-

54 keto-prostaglandin E_2 within the host before it has these effects. Furthermore, we find that

55 prostaglandin E_2/15-keto-prostaglandin E_2 mediated virulence is via activation of host PPAR-γ –

56 an intracellular eicosanoid receptor known to interact with 15-keto-PGE$_2$.

Introduction

Cryptococcus neoformans is an opportunistic pathogen that infects individuals who have severe

immunodeficiencies such as late-stage HIV AIDS. *C. neoformans* is estimated to infect 278,000

individuals each year resulting in 181,000 deaths (1,2). *C. neoformans* infection begins in the

lungs where the fungus is phagocytosed by host macrophages. Macrophages must become

activated by further inflammatory signals from the host immune system before they can

effectively kill *C. neoformans* (3,4). When this does not occur *C. neoformans* proliferates rapidly

intracellularly and may use the macrophage to disseminate to the central nervous system

leading to fatal cryptococcal meningitis (5-9).

Eicosanoids are an important group of lipid inflammatory mediators produced by innate immune

cells such as macrophages. Eicosanoids are a diverse group of potent signalling molecules that

have a short range of action and signal through autocrine and paracrine routes. Macrophages

produce large amounts of a particular group of eicosanoids called prostaglandins during

microbial infection (10,11). Prostaglandins have a number of physiological effects throughout

the body, but in the context of immunity they are known to strongly influence the inflammatory

state (12). The prostaglandins PGE$_2$ and PGD$_2$ are the best-studied eicosanoid inflammatory

mediators. During infection, macrophages produce both PGE$_2$ and PGD$_2$ to which, via autocrine

routes, they are highly responsive (12). In vertebrate immunity, the synthesis of eicosanoids

such as PGE$_2$ is carefully regulated by feedback loops to ensure that the potent effects of these

molecules are properly constrained. Exogenous sources of eicosanoids within the body, such as

from eicosanoid-producing parasites (13) or tumours that overproduce eicosanoids (14,15), can

disrupt host inflammatory signaling as they are not subject to the same regulation.

It is well known that *C. neoformans* produces its own eicosanoid species. These fungal-derived

eicosanoids are indistinguishable from those produced by vertebrates (16-18). Only two

Cryptococcus enzymes are known to be associated with cryptococcal eicosanoid synthesis -

phospholipase B1 and laccase (18,19). Deletion of phospholipase B1 reduces secreted levels of

all eicosanoids produced by *C. neoformans* suggesting that it has high level role in eicosanoid

synthesis (19), perhaps fulfilling the role of phospholipase A_2 in higher organisms. Deletion of

laccase results in reduced levels of PGE_2 but other eicosanoids are unaffected suggesting that

laccase has putative PGE_2 synthase activity (18). *C. neoformans* produces eicosanoids during

infection, these eicosanoids are indistinguishable from host eicosanoids so it is possible that *C.*

neoformans is able to manipulate the host inflammatory state during infection by directly

manipulating host eicosanoid signaling.

It has previously been reported that the inhibition of prostaglandin E_2 receptors EP2 and EP4

during murine pulmonary infection leads to better host survival accompanied by a shift towards

Th1/M1 macrophage activation, however it was not determined if PGE_2 was derived from the

host or the fungus (20). Therefore, a key aspect of *C. neoformans* pathogenesis remains

unanswered: do eicosanoids produced by *C. neoformans* manipulate host innate immune cells

function during infection?

We have previously shown that the eicosanoid deficient strain *Δplb1* has reduced proliferation

and survival within macrophages (21). We hypothesised that eicosanoids produced by *C.*

neoformans support intracellular proliferation within macrophages and subsequently promote

pathogenesis. To address this hypothesis, we combined *in vitro* macrophage infection assays

with our previous published *in vivo* zebrafish model of cryptococcosis (22). We found that PGE_2

was sufficient to promote growth of *Δplb1* and *Δlac1* independent of host PGE_2 production, *in*

vitro and *in vivo*. We show that the effects of PGE_2 in cryptococcal infection are mediated by its

dehydrogenated form, 15-keto-PGE_2. Finally, we determine that 15-keto-PGE_2 promotes *C.*

neoformans infection via the activation of the host nuclear transcription factor PPAR-γ,

demonstrating that 15-keto-PGE_2 and PPAR-γ are new factors in cryptococcal infection

Results

Prostaglandin E$_2$ is required for C. neoformans growth in macrophages

We have previously shown that the *C. neoformans* mutant strain *Δplb1* has impaired

proliferation and survival within J774 murine macrophages *in vitro* (21). The *Δplb1* strain has a

deletion in the *PLB1* gene which codes for the secreted enzyme phospholipase B1 (23). The

Δplb1 strain is known to produce lower levels of fungal eicosanoids indicating that

phospholipase B1 is involved in fungal eicosanoid synthesis (19). It has been proposed that the

attenuation of this strain within macrophages could be because it cannot produce eicosanoids

(19). A previous study has identified PGE_2 as an eicosanoid that promotes cryptococcal

virulence and manipulates macrophage activation, however this study did not determine if PGE_2

was produced by the host or *C. neoformans* (20). We hypothesised that PGE_2 - or other

phospholipase B1 derived eicosanoid species - are produced by *C. neoformans* during infection

and promote macrophage infection.

To test if PGE_2 promotes the intracellular growth of *C. neoformans* we treated *Δplb1* infected

J774 macrophages with exogenous PGE_2 and measured intracellular proliferation over 18

hours. The addition of exogenous PGE_2 to J774 macrophages infected with *Δplb1* was sufficient

to recover the intracellular proliferation of *Δplb1* compared to the H99 (parental wild type strain)

and *Δplb1:PLB1* (reconstituted strain) strains (Fig 1 p= 0.038). These findings support our initial

hypothesis and identify PGE_2 as a mediator of cryptococcal virulence during macrophage

infection.

We have previously shown that *Δplb1* has reduced intracellular proliferation due to a

combination of reduced cell division and reduced viability within the phagosome (21). In our

intracellular proliferation assay the number of intracellular *Cryptococcus* cells is quantified by

lysing infected macrophage and counting the number of *Cryptococcus* cells with a

hemocytometer. Due to their structurally stable fungal cell wall dead or dying *Cryptococcus* cells

do not look noticeably different on a hemocytometer from viable cells. To quantifiy the viability of

Cryptococcus cells retrieved from the phagosome we diluted the lysate to give an expected

number of CFUs (in this case 200 CFU), spread the diluted lysate on YPD agar and count the

actual number of CFUs produced – a difference between the expected CFU count (200 CFU)

and the actual CFU count indicates a loss of *Cryptococcus* cell viability. In this case viability

assays showed that exogenous PGE_2 produced no significant increase in the viability of *Δplb1*

cells within the phagosome (Supplementary Fig 1A).

Exogenous prostaglandin E_2 rescues in vivo growth of Δplb1-GFP

Our *in vitro* data showed that PGE_2 promotes the intracellular proliferation of *C. neoformans*

within macrophages. First, we injected 2-day post fertilisation (dpf) zebrafish larvae with *Δplb1-*

GFP (a constitutively expressed GFP tagged version of the *Δplb1* generated for this study). One

of the advantages of this model is that the fungal burden can be non-invasively imaged within

infected larvae using fluorescently tagged *C. neoformans* strains and we able measure the

growth of the fungus at 1-, 2- and 3-days post-infection (dpi). We found that *Δplb1*-GFP infected

larvae had significantly lower fungal burdens at 1, 2- and 3-days post infection (Fig 2D and

Supplementary Fig 1B) compared to the parental strain H99-GFP (Fig 2A and Supplementary

Fig 1B). These data demonstrated that the $\Delta plb1$-GFP mutant had a similar growth deficiency to

our *in vitro* phenotype and with previous studies (21,23,24). To confirm that PGE_2 promotes

cryptococcal infection *in vivo* we infected zebrafish larvae with $\Delta plb1$-GFP or H99-GFP and

treated the larvae with exogenous PGE_2. In agreement with our *in vitro* findings (Fig 1),

exogenous PGE_2 increased the growth of both the parental H99 strain (Fig 2B, p = 0.0137,

1.35-fold increase vs. DMSO) and the $\Delta plb1$-GFP mutant (Fig 2E, p= 0.0001, 2.15-fold increase

vs. DMSO) while PGD_2 did not (Fig 2C and 2F). Taken together these data show that PGE_2 is

sufficient to enhance the virulence of *C. neoformans in vivo*, furthermore our *in vitro* data

suggest that this is a result of uncontrolled intracellular proliferation within macrophages (Fig 1).

Prostaglandin E_2 must be dehydrogenated into 15-keto-PGE_2 to promote C. neoformans growth.

PGE_2 can be enzymatically and non-enzymatically modified in cells to form a number of distinct

metabolites. To distinguish the biological activity of PGE_2 rather than its metabolites we used an

analogue of PGE_2 called 16,16-dimethyl PGE_2 that cannot be dehydrogenated but otherwise has

comparable activity to PGE_2 (25)). We found that unlike PGE_2, 16,16-dimethyl PGE_2 treatment

did not increase the fungal burden of $\Delta plb1$-GFP (Fig 3C p= 0.9782) *or* H99-GFP infected

larvae (Fig 3A p= 0.9954). Therefore, the biological activity of PGE_2 alone did not appear to

promote cryptococcal pathogenesis and that dehydrogenation of PGE_2 was required. PGE_2 and

16,16-dimethyl PGE_2 both signal through PGE_2 receptors (EP1, EP2, EP3 and EP4) but 15-keto-

PGE_2 does not. In a murine model of pulmonary cryptococcosis the PGE_2 receptors EP2 and

EP4 have been identified as promoters of fungal virulence (20). To confirm that PGE_2 itself does

not promote virulence in our model we treated zebrafish with antagonists against the EP2 and

EP4 receptors. In support of our previous experiment we found that EP2 / EP4 inhibition had no

effect on fungal burden in zebrafish (Fig 3E). An abundant dehydrogenated form of PGE_2 is 15-

keto-PGE_2 (that has also been isolated from *C. neoformans* (18)) and we tested if 15-keto-PGE_2

was sufficient to rescue the growth defect of the *Δplb1* mutant during infection. Therefore, we

treated infected zebrafish larvae with exogenous 15-keto-PGE_2 and found that this was

sufficient to significantly increase the fungal burden of zebrafish larvae infected with both *Δplb1-*

GFP (Fig 3D, p = 0.0119, 1.56-fold increase vs. DMSO) and H99-GFP (Fig 3B, p = 0.0048,

1.36-fold increase vs. DMSO). To explore the *Cryptococcus* eicosanoid synthesis pathway

further we used a second eicosanoid deficient *C. neoformans* mutant *Δlac1*. Whereas *Δplb1* is

unable to produce any eicosanoid species *Δlac1* is deficient only in PGE_2 and 15-keto-PGE_2

(18). Using a *Δlac1*-GFP strain generated for this study we found that *Δlac1*-GFP also produced

low fungal burden during *in vivo* zebrafish larvae infection (Supplementary Fig 2B). As with

Δplb1-GFP, this defect could be rescued with the addition of exogenous PGE_2 (Supplementary

Fig 2C), but not with 16,16-dm-PGE_2 (Supplementary Fig 2D) or with 15-keto-PGE_2

(Supplementary Fig 2E). Prostaglandin E_2 is known to affect haematopoietic stem cell

homeostasis in zebrafish (26). This could affect macrophage number and subsequently fungal

burden. We have previously observed that a large depletion of macrophages can lead to

increased fungal burden in zebrafish larvae (22). We performed whole body macrophage counts

on 2 dpf uninfected larvae treated with PGE_2 or 15-keto-PGE_2 2 days post treatment (the same

time points used in our infection assay). Following PGE_2 and 15-keto PGE_2 treatment

macrophages were still observable throughout the larvae. For PGE_2 treatment we saw on

average a 15% reduction in macrophage number while 15-keto PGE_2 did not cause any

decrease (Supplementary Fig 1C). Due to the fact that fungal burden increased during both

PGE_2 and 15-keto PGE_2 treatments it is highly unlikely that this reduction could account for the

increases in burden seen.

Host derived prostaglandins are not required for growth of C. neoformans

204 After determining that PGE_2 promotes the growth of *C. neoformans in vitro* and *in vivo* via its

205 metabolite 15-keto-PGE_2, we wanted to determine whether the source of these prostaglandins

206 was the host or the fungus. Our *in vitro* data show that the *C. neoformans* strain *Δplb1* has a

207 growth deficiency *in vitro* and *in vivo* that can be rescued with the addition of PGE_2, because

208 this phenotype is mediated by cryptococcal phospholipase B1 it indicates that pathogen-derived

209 rather than host-derived prostaglandins are required. A previous study reports that *C.*

210 *neoformans* infection can induce higher PGE_2 levels in the lung during *in vivo* pulmonary

211 infection of mice (20), although it was not determined if the PGE_2 was host or pathogen derived.

212 Therefore, we tested the hypothesis that host prostaglandin synthesis was not required for

213 cryptococcal virulence.

215 To block host prostaglandin synthesis *in* vitro we inhibited host cyclooxygenase activity because

216 it is essential for prostaglandin synthesis in vertebrates (27). We treated H99 and *Δplb1* infected

217 J774 macrophages with aspirin at a concentration we determined was sufficient to block host

218 PGE_2 synthesis (Supplementary Fig 3A). Aspirin is a non-reversible inhibitor of cyclooxygenase

219 1 (COX-1) and cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2) enzymes, we therefore included a condition where

220 J774 cells were pretreated with aspirin only prior to infection and then at a condition where

221 aspirin was present throughout infection. We found that aspirin treatment did not affect the

222 intracellular proliferation of H99 or *Δplb1* (Fig 4A), suggesting that host cyclooxygenase activity

223 is not required for the phospholipase B1 dependent virulence of *C. neoformans* during

224 macrophage infection. To confirm these *in vivo,* we pharmacologically blocked zebrafish

225 cyclooxygenase-1 (COX-1) and cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2). We used separate cyclooxygenase

226 inhibitors with zebrafish larvae instead of aspirin because we found that aspirin treatment led to

227 lethal developmental defects in zebrafish larvae (unpublished observation). We infected 2 dpf

228 zebrafish larvae with H99-GFP and *Δplb1*-GFP and treated with inhibitors for COX-1 (NS-398,

229 15 μM) and COX-2 (SC-560, 15 μM). We found that both inhibitors decreased the fungal burden

of H99-GFP, but not *Δplb1*-GFP infected zebrafish larvae (Fig 4B i and 4B ii, H99-GFP - NS-

398, p= 0.0002, 1.85-fold decrease vs. DMSO. SC-560 p= <0.0001, 3.14-fold decrease vs

DMSO). These findings were different to what we had observed *in vitro* but because this

phenotype was phospholipase B1 dependent we reasoned that these inhibitors could be having

off target effects on *C. neoformans* - although *C. neoformans* does not have a homolog to

cyclooxygenase other studies have tried to inhibit eicosanoid production in *Cryptococcus* using

cyclooxygenase inhibitors but their efficacy and target remain uncertain (17,28). To support our

pharmacological evidence, we used a CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockdown of the prostaglandin

E$_2$ synthase gene (*ptges)* (29). We used a knockdown of tyrosinase (*tyr*) – a gene involved in

the conversion of tyrosine into melanin as a control because *tyr*$^{-/-}$ crispants are easy to identify

because they do not produce any pigment. We infected 2 dpf *ptges*$^{-/-}$ and *tyr*$^{-/-}$ zebrafish larvae

with H99-GFP or *Δplb1*-GFP and measured the fungal burden at 3 dpi. We found that *ptges*$^{-/-}$

zebrafish infected with H99-GFP had a higher fungal burden at 3 dpi compared to *tyr*$^{-/-}$ zebrafish

infected with H99-GFP whereas there was no difference between *ptges*$^{-/-}$ and *tyr*$^{-/-}$ zebrafish

larvae infected with *Δplb1*-GFP (Fig 4C). Thus, both pharmacological and genetic inhibitions of

host prostaglandin synthesis were not determinants of C. neoformans growth.

Phospholipase B1 dependent factors are sufficient to support Δplb1 growth in macrophages

To further evidence that *C. neoformans* was the source of PGE$_2$ during macrophage infection

we used a co-infection assay which has previously been used to investigate the interaction of

different *C. gattii* strains within the same macrophage (30). We hypothesised that if *C.*

neoformans derived prostaglandins promoted fungal growth, co-infection between H99 and

Δplb1 would support mutant growth within macrophages because the parental strain H99 would

produce growth promoting prostaglandins that are lacking in *Δplb1*. To produce co-infection,

J774 murine macrophages were infected with a 50:50 mixture of *Δplb1* and H99-GFP (31) (Fig

4E i; as described previously for *C. gattii* (30)). This approach allowed us to differentiate between *Δplb1* (GFP negative) and H99 (GFP positive) *Cryptococcus* strains within the same macrophage and to score their proliferation separately. The intracellular proliferation of *Δplb1* was calculated by counting the change in number of GFP negative *Δplb1* cells over an 18hr period from time-lapse movies of infected cells. We found that co-infected macrophages did not always contain an equal ratio of each strain at the start of the 18hr period so we scored the proliferation of *Δplb1* for a range of initial burdens (1:2, 1:3 and 1:4). We found that *Δplb1* proliferated better when accompanied by two H99-GFP yeast cells in the same macrophage (Fig 4E ii, 1:2 p = 0.014) as opposed to when two *Δplb1* yeast cells were accompanied by one H99-GFP yeast cell (Fig 4E ii, 2:1). We observed a similar effect for ratios of 1:3 and 1:4, but these starting burden ratios are particularly rare, under powering our analysis (Supplementary Fig 3). This effect was also recapitulated for J774 macrophages co-infected with *Δlac1* and H99-GFP (Supplementary Fig 2A). These data indicate that a phospholipase B1 dependent factor found in H99 infected macrophages, but absent in *Δplb1* and *Δlac1* infected macrophages, is required for intracellular proliferation within macrophages.

Production of PGE$_2$ by macrophages is not altered by C. neoformans infection.

Our data indicate that host cells are not the source of virulence promoting prostaglandins, and that secreted factors produced by wild type - but not *Δplb1* or *Δlac1 Cryptococcus* strains – promote fungal growth within macrophages. We wanted to test if there were detectable difference in PGE$_2$ levels between infected and uninfected macrophages caused by cryptococcal prostaglandin synthesis. To do this we performed ELISA analysis to detect PGE$_2$ concentrations in supernatants from *C. neoformans* infected J774 macrophages (Fig 4D i). We found that J774 macrophages produced detectable levels of PGE$_2$ (mean concentration 4.10 ng/1x10^6 cells), however we did not see any significant difference between infected or

uninfected macrophages (infected with H99, *Δplb1* or *Δplb1:PLB1* strains). To confirm our

ELISA results, we performed LC MS/MS analysis of lysed J774 macrophages using a PGE_2

standard for accurate quantification (Fig 4D ii). The concentrations detected were similar to

those measured by our ELISA (mean concentration 6.35 ng/$1X10^6$ cells) and also did not show

any significant differences between conditions. Taken together these data suggest that any

Cryptococcus-derived prostaglandins present during infection were likely to be contained within

the macrophage in low, localized concentrations and that the host receptor targeted by these

eicosanoids is therefore likely to be intracellular.

15-keto-PGE_2 promotes C. neoformans growth by activating host PPAR-γ

We next wanted to determine how PGE_2 / 15-keto-PGE_2 promotes *C. neoformans* infection. We

hypothesized that these prostaglandins were interfering with inhibition of fungal growth via a

host receptor. Our experiments inhibiting EP2 / EP4 suggest that 15-keto-PGE_2 must signal

through a different receptor to PGE_2 (Fig 3E). 15-keto-PGE_2 is a known agonist of the

peroxisome proliferation associated receptor gamma (PPAR-γ) (32); a transcription factor that

controls expression of many inflammation related genes (33-35). We first tested if PPAR-γ

activation occurs within macrophages during *C. neoformans* infection by performing

immunofluorescent staining for PPAR-γ in macrophages infected with H99 and *Δplb1*. To

quantify PPAR-γ activation we measured its nuclear translocation by comparing nuclear and

cytoplasmic fluorescence intensity in infected cells. PPAR-γ is a cytosolic receptor that

translocates to the nucleus upon activation, therefore cells where PPAR-γ is activated should

have increased nuclear staining for PPAR-γ. We found that J774 macrophages infected with

H99 had significantly higher levels of nuclear staining for PPAR-γ compared to *Δplb1* infected

and uninfected cells (Fig 5A i and Supplementary Fig 3C). This confirmed that *C. neoformans*

activates PPAR-γ and that this phenotype is phospholipase B1 dependent.

To test the activation of PPAR-γ during infection we first wanted to confirm that exogenous 15-

keto-PGE$_2$ activates zebrafish PPAR-γ *in vivo* by using transgenic PPAR-γ reporter zebrafish

larvae (36,37). We treated these larvae at 2 dpf with 15-keto-PGE$_2$ and Troglitazone (TLT) which

is a specific agonist of PPAR-γ. TLT treatment was performed with a concentration (0.55 µM)

previously shown to strongly activate PPAR-γ in these zebrafish larvae (36). We found that TLT

treatment at 2 dpf strongly activated GFP reporter expression in the larvae. We employed a

receptor competition assay as a sensitive measurement of binding by simultaneously treating

zebrafish with with 15-keto-PGE$_2$ and TLT. We observed a reduction in GFP expression

compared to TLT treatment alone (Fig 5 Aii), demonstrating competition for the same receptor.

This could mean that 15-keto-PGE$_2$ was a partial agonist (38,39) or an antagonist to PPAR-γ in

this experiment. Existing studies suggest 15-keto-PGE$_2$ is an agonist to PPAR-γ (32) but to

confirm this in our model we treated *Δplb1*-GFP infected zebrafish larvae with exogenous 15-

keto-PGE$_2$ as before but at the same time treated fish with the PPAR-γ antagonist GW9662. We

found that 15-keto-PGE$_2$ treatment significantly improved the growth of *Δplb1*-GFP during

infection but that inhibition of PPAR-γ was sufficient to reverse this effect (Fig 5C). Therefore,

we could demonstrate that that 15-keto-PGE$_2$ was an agonist to PPAR-γ, and that PPAR-γ

activation was sufficient to promote a permissive environment for *C. neoformans* growth during

infection.

To determine if PPAR-γ activation by *C. neoformans* facilitates growth within macrophages we

treated H99 and *Δplb1* infected J774 murine macrophages with the PPAR-γ antagonist

GW9662. GW9662 treatment significantly reduced the proliferation of H99, but not *Δplb1* (Fig

5B, p=0.026, 1.22-fold decrease vs. DMSO). Further supporting that PPAR-γ activation is

necessary for the successful intracellular parasitism of host macrophages by *C. neoformans*.

Finally, to confirm that PPAR-γ activation alone promoted *C. neoformans* infection we treated

2dpf infected zebrafish larvae with TLT at the same concentration known to activate PPAR-γ in

PPAR-γ reporter fish (Fig 5A ii and (36)). We found that TLT treatment significantly increased

the fungal burden of *Δplb1*-GFP (Fig 5E and, p = 0.0089, 1.68-fold increase vs. DMSO), H99-

GFP (Fig 5D , p = 0.0044, 1.46-fold increase vs. DMSO) and *Δlac1*-GFP (Fig 5F, p = 0.01, 1.94-

fold increase vs. DMSO) infected larvae similar to 15-keto-PGE$_2$ treatment. Thus, we could

show that host PPAR-γ activation was sufficient to promote cryptococcal growth during infection

and was a consequence of fungal derived prostaglandins.

Discussion

We have shown for the first time that eicosanoids produced by *C. neoformans* promote fungal virulence both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. In this respect we have shown that the intracellular growth defects of two eicosanoid deficient *C. neoformans* strains *Δplb1* and *Δlac1* (21,23) can be rescued with the addition of exogenous PGE_2. Furthermore, our *in vitro* co-infection assay, *in vitro* infection assays with aspirin, and *in vivo* infection assays provide evidence that the source of this eicosanoid during infection is from the pathogen, rather than the host. Using an *in vivo* zebrafish larvae model of cryptococcosis we find that that PGE_2 must be dehydrogenated into 15-keto-PGE_2 before to influence fungal growth. Finally, we provide evidence that the mechanism of PGE_2/15-keto-PGE_2 mediated growth promotion during larval infection is via the activation of PPAR-γ (33,34,40-42).

In a previous study it was identified that the *C. neoformans* mutant *Δplb1* (that lacks the *PLB1* gene coding for phospholipase B1) was deficient in replication and survival in macrophages (21), a phenotype also observed by a number of studies using different *in vitro* infection assays (19,23). In this study we demonstrate that supplementing *Δplb1* with exogenous prostaglandin E_2 during *in vitro* macrophages infection is sufficient to restore the mutant's intracellular proliferation defect. A key goal of this study was to investigate how eicosanoids produced by *C. neoformans* modulate pathogenesis *in vivo* (22). To facilitate *in vivo* measurement of fungal burden we created two GFP-tagged strains with constitutive GFP expression - *Δplb1*-GFP and *Δlac1*-GFP - to use alongside the GFP-tagged H99 parental strain previously produced (31). These two mutants are the only *C. neoformans* mutants known to have a deficiency in eicosanoid synthesis. *Δplb1* cannot produce any eicosanoid species suggesting phospholipase B1 is high in the eicosanoid synthesis pathway while *Δlac1* has a specific defect in PGE_2 suggesting it might be a prostaglandin E_2 synthase enzyme. To our knowledge these are the first GFP tagged versions of *Δplb1* and *Δlac1* created. Characterisation of *Δplb1*-GFP and

Δlac1-GFP *in vivo* revealed that both strains have significantly reduced fungal burdens

compared to H99-GFP. This is the first report of *Δlac1* in zebrafish but these observations do

confirm a previous zebrafish study showing that non-fluorescent *Δplb1* had attenuated infectious

burden in zebrafish larvae (43). To confirm that PGE_2 is also required for cryptococcal growth *in*

vivo we treated *Δplb1*-GFP and *Δlac1*-GFP infected zebrafish larvae with exogenous PGE_2 to

determine how it would affect fungal burden. In agreement with our *in vitro* findings we found

that PGE_2 significantly improved the growth of both of these strains within larvae. Interestingly

we also found that PGE_2 improved the growth of H99-GFP, perhaps representing a wider

manipulation of host immunity during *in vivo* infection.

In vertebrate cells, PGE_2 is converted into 15-keto-PGE_2 by the enzyme 15-prostaglandin

dehydrogenase (15PGDH), furthermore it has been reported that *C. neoformans* has enzymatic

activity analogous to 15PGDH (18). To investigate how the dehydrogenation of PGE_2 to 15-

keto-PGE_2 influenced fungal burden we treated infected larvae with 16,16-dm-PGE_2 – a

synthetic variant of PGE_2 which is resistant to dehydrogenation (25). Interestingly we found that

16,16-dm-PGE_2 was unable to promote the growth of *Δplb1*-GFP*,* H99-GFP or *Δlac1*-GFP within

infected larvae. These findings indicate that 15-keto-$PGE_2,$ rather than PGE_2, promotes

cryptococcal virulence. We subsequently treated infected larvae with exogenous 15-keto-PGE_2

and confirmed that 15-keto-PGE_2 treatment was sufficient to promote the growth of both *Δplb1*-

GFP and H99-GFP, but not *Δlac1*-GFP (discussed below), without the need for PGE_2. We

therefore propose that PGE_2 produced by *C. neoformans* during infection must be enzymatically

dehydrogenated into 15-keto-PGE_2 to promote cryptococcal virulence. These findings represent

the identification of a new virulence factor (15-keto-PGE_2) produced by *C. neoformans,* as well

as the first-time identification of an eicosanoid other than PGE_2 with a role in promoting

cryptococcal growth. Furthermore, our findings suggest that previous studies which identify

PGE$_2$ as a promoter of cryptococcal virulence (19,20,44) may have observed additive effects

from both PGE$_2$ and 15-keto-PGE$_2$ activity.

Our experiments with the *Δlac1*-GFP strain reveals that this strain appears to respond in a

similar way to PGE$_2$, 16,16-dm-PGE$_2$ and troglitazone as *Δplb1*-GFP but appears to be

unresponsive to 15-keto-PGE$_2$. At this time, we cannot fully explain this phenotype, *Δlac1*-GFP

was generally less responsive to PGE$_2$ and troglitazone treatments compared to *Δplb1*-GFP so

it is possible that that higher concentrations of 15-keto-PGE$_2$ would be needed to rescue its

growth defect, however experimentation with higher concentrations of 15-keto-PGE$_2$ led to

significant host toxicity. The unresponsiveness of *Δlac1* to eicosanoid treatment could be due to

unrelated virulence defects caused by laccase deficiency. Cryptococcal laccase expression is

required for the production of fungal melanin – a well characterized virulence factor produced by

C. neoformans (45,46). It is therefore likely that virulence defects unrelated to eicosanoid

synthesis are responsible for the differences between the two mutant phenotypes.

We have found that the phospholipase B1 dependent attenuation of *Δplb1* can be rescued with

the addition of exogenous PGE$_2$. This indicates that synthesis and secretion of PGE$_2$ by *C.*

neoformans is a virulence factor. Although our data indicated that *C. neoformans* was the

source of PGE$_2$ we wanted exclude the possibility that host-derived PGE$_2$ was also contributing

to virulence. To explore this possibility, we blocked host prostaglandin synthesis - we reasoned

that if host PGE$_2$ was not required that blocking its production would not affect the growth of *C.*

neoformans. To do this we first treated J774 macrophages infected with H99-GFP and *Δplb1*-

GFP with aspirin – a cyclooxygenase inhibitor that blocks both COX-1 and COX-2 activity – and

found that this had no effect on intracellular proliferation. Subsequently we attempted to block

cyclooxygenase activity in zebrafish but found aspirin was lethal at the zebrafish larvae's

currently stage of development, instead we used individual inhibitors specific for COX-1 (NS-

398) and COX-2 (SC-560). We found that each inhibitor decreases fungal burden of H99-GFP

infected larvae but not *Δplb1*-GFP infected larvae. Due to the phospholipase B1 dependence of

this phenotype we think that these inhibitors might be affecting eicosanoid production by the *C.*

neoformans itself. The ability of broad COX inhibitors like aspirin/indomethacin to inhibit

eicosanoid production by *C. neoformans* is controversial (17,28) however our study is the first to

use such selective COX-1 and COX-2 inhibitors on *C. neoformans*. This experiment remained

inconclusive as to whether host PGE_2 synthesis promotes virulence so to block PGE_2 in

zebrafish larvae without potential off target effects we used CRISPR Cas9 technology to

knockdown expression of the prostaglandin E_2 synthase gene *ptges* in zebrafish larvae.

Ablating zebrafish *ptges* with this approach did not affect the fungal burden of *Δplb1*-GFP

infected larvae but it did cause increased burden in H99-GFP infected zebrafish. This

phenotype is interesting because it suggests host PGE_2 might actually be inhibitory to

cryptococcal virulence, furthermore this phenotype was phospholipase B1 dependent which

suggests host-derived PGE_2 might interact in some way with *Cryptococcus*-derived eicosanoids.

This phenotype was not seen *in vitro* with aspirin so it is possible that the inhibitory effects of

host-derived PGE_2 influence a non-macrophage cell type in zebrafish larvae.

To confirm our observations that *C. neoformans* was the source of PGE_2 during infection we

performed co-infection assays with H99 wild type cryptococci (eicosanoid producing) and *Δplb1*

(eicosanoid deficient) within the same macrophage and found that co-infection was sufficient to

promote the intracellular growth of *Δplb1*. We also observed similar interactions during *Δlac1*

co-infection (a second eicosanoid deficient *C. neoformans* mutant). These observations agree

with previous studies that suggest eicosanoids are virulence factors produced by *C. neoformans*

during macrophage infection (19,28). To identify if the secreted factor produced by *C.*

neoformans was PGE_2, we measured the levels of PGE_2 from *Cryptococcus* infected

macrophages to see if there was an observable increase in this eicosanoid during infection.

Although PGE_2 was detected, we did not see any significant difference between infected and

uninfected macrophages, an observation confirmed using two different detection techniques –

ELISA and LC MS/MS. These data suggest that PGE_2 produced by *C. neoformans* during

macrophage infection is contained within the macrophage, likely in close proximity to the fungus

and that the host receptor targeted by these eicosanoids is therefore likely to be intracellular.

Our *in vitro* co-infection experiments indicate that *C. neoformans* secretes virulence enhancing

eicosanoids during infection.

The biological activity of 15-keto-PGE_2 is far less studied than PGE_2. It is known that 15-keto-

PGE_2 cannot bind to prostaglandin E_2 EP receptors, this means it can act as a negative

regulator of PGE_2 activity i.e. cells up-regulate 15PGDH activity to lower PGE_2 levels (47). Our

findings however suggested that 15-keto-PGE_2 did have a biological activity independent of

PGE_2 synthesis, possibly via a distinct eicosanoid receptor. It has been demonstrated that 15-

keto-PGE_2 can activate the intracellular eicosanoid receptor peroxisome proliferator associated

receptor gamma (PPAR- γ) (32). Activation of PPAR-γ by *C. neoformans* has not been

described previously but it is compatible with what we know of cryptococcal pathogenesis.

PPAR-γ is a nuclear receptor normally found within the cytosol. Upon ligand binding PPAR-γ

forms a heterodimer with Retinoid X receptor (RXR) and translocates to the nucleus where it

influences the expression of target genes which possess a peroxisome proliferation hormone

response element (PPRE) (48). If eicosanoids are produced by *C. neoformans* during

intracellular infection, it is likely that they bind to an intracellular eicosanoid receptor.

Additionally, activation of PPAR-γ within macrophages is known to promote the expression of

anti-inflammatory genes which could make the macrophage more amenable to parasitism by

the fungus.

To investigate whether PPAR- γ activation within macrophages occurs during *C. neoformans*

infection we performed immunofluorescent staining of H99 and *Δplb1* infected J774

macrophages. We found that infection with *C. neoformans* led to increased nuclear localization

of PPAR-γ indicating that the fungus was activating endogenous PPAR-γ during infection. We

also found that macrophages infected with H99 had higher levels of PPAR-γ activation than

Δplb1 infected macrophages. This strongly suggests that eicosanoids produced by *C.*

neoformans are responsible for activating PPAR-γ.

To confirm that 15-keto-PGE$_2$ is an agonist to PPAR-γ we performed experiments with zebrafish

larvae from PPAR-γ GFP reporter fish (36) and demonstrated that 15-keto-PGE$_2$ binds to

zebrafish PPAR-γ. To determine if 15-keto-PGE$_2$ is an agonist to PPAR-γ we found that

treating *Δplb1*-GFP infected zebrafish larvae with GW9662 at the same time as 15-keto-PGE$_2$

blocked the virulence enhancing effects of the eicosanoid. These data indicate that 15-keto-

PGE$_2$ is a partial agonist to PPAR-γ (in zebrafish at least). Partial agonists are weak agonists

that bind to and activate receptors, but not at the same efficacy as a full agonist. Partial agonists

to PPAR-γ have been reported previously, partial PPAR agonists bind to the ligand binding

domain of PPAR-γ with a lower affinity than full PPAR agonists and as a result activate smaller

subsets of PPAR-γ controlled genes (38,39,49-52).

We also found that activation of PPAR-γ alone was sufficient to mediate cryptococcal virulence.

In this respect, we found that the *in vitro* intracellular proliferation of the wild type H99

cryptococcal strain within J774 macrophages could be suppressed using a PPAR-γ antagonist

GW9662. We could also block the rescuing effect of 15-keto-PGE$_2$ on *Δplb1*-GFP during

zebrafish infection using GW9662. Finally we found that *Cryptococcus* infected zebrafish treated

with troglitazone at a concentration that is known to activate PPAR-γ (53) had increased fungal

burdens when infected with *Δplb1*-GFP*, Δlac1*-GFP and H99-GFP strains. Taken together these

experiments provide convincing evidence that a novel cryptococcal virulence factor - 15-keto-

PGE$_2$ – enhances the virulence of *C. neoformans* by activation of host PPAR-γ and that

macrophages are one of the key targets of this eicosanoid during infection.

In this study, we have shown for the first time that eicosanoids produced by *C. neoformans* can

promote virulence in an *in vivo* host. Furthermore, we have provided evidence that this virulence

occurs via eicosanoid mediated manipulation of host macrophages. We have identified that the

eicosanoid responsible for these effects is 15-keto-PGE$_2$ which is derived from the

dehydrogenation of PGE$_2$ produced by *C. neoformans*. We have subsequently demonstrated

that 15-keto-PGE$_2$ mediates its effects via activation of PPAR-γ, an intracellular eicosanoid

receptor known to promote anti-inflammatory immune pathways within macrophages. We

provide compelling evidence that eicosanoids produced by *C. neoformans* enhance virulence,

identifies a novel virulence factor – 15-keto-PGE$_2$ – and describes a novel mechanism of host

manipulation by *C. neoformans* - activation of PPAR-γ. Most importantly this study provides a

potential new therapeutic pathway for treatment of cryptococcal infection, as several eicosanoid

modulating drugs are approved for patient treatment (54) .

Materials and methods

506 (all reagents are from Sigma-Aldrich, UK unless otherwise stated)

Ethics statement

Animal work was performed following UK law: Animal (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986, under

Project License PPL 40/3574 and P1A4A7A5E. Ethical approval was granted by the University

of Sheffield Local Ethical Review Panel. Experiments using the PPAR-γ reporter fish line (53)

were conducted at the University of Toronto following approved animal protocols (# 00000698

"A live zebrafish-based screening system for human nuclear receptor ligand and cofactor

discovery") under a OMAFRA certificate.

Zebrafish

The following zebrafish strains were used for this study: *Nacre* wild type strain, *Tg(mpeg1:mCherryCAAX)sh378)* transgenic strain and the double mutant *casper*, for PPARγ reporter experiments (53), which lacks all melanophores and iridophores (55). Zebrafish were maintained according to standard protocols. Adult fish were maintained on a 14:10 – hour light / dark cycle at 28 ^{o}C in UK Home Office approved facilities in the Bateson Centre aquaria at the University of Sheffield.

C. neoformans

The H99-GFP strain has been previously described (31). The Δ*plb1*-GFP and Δ*lac1*-GFP stains was generated for this study by transforming existing deletion mutant strains (23,56) with a GFP expression construct (see below for transformation protocol). All strains used are in the *C. neoformans* variety *grubii* H99 genetic background.

Cryptococcus strains were grown for 18 hours at 28 ^{o}C, rotating horizontally at 20 rpm. *Cryptococcus* cultures were pelleted at 3300g for 1 minute, washed twice with PBS (Oxoidm Basingstoke, UK) and re-suspended in 1ml PBS. Washed cells were then counted with a haemocytometer and used as described below.

C. neoformans transformation

C. neoformans strains Δ*plb1* and Δ*lac1* were biolistically transformed using the pAG32_GFP transformation construct as previously described for H99-GFP (31). Stable transformants were identified by passaging positive GFP fluorescent colonies for at least 3 passages on YPD agar supplemented with 250 µg/ml Hygromycin B.

Zebrafish CRISPR

CRISPR generation was performed as previously described (29). Briefly gRNA spanning the ATG start codon of zebrafish *ptges* or *tyr* was injected along with Cas9 protein and tracrRNA

into zebrafish embryos at the single cell stage. Crispant larvae were infected with *C.*

neoformans as described above at 2 dpf. The genotype of each larvae was confirmed post

assay – genomic DNA was extracted from each larvae and the ATG was PCR amplified with

primers spanning the ATG site of *ptges* (Forward primer gccaagtataatgaggaatggg, Reverse

primer aatgtttggattaaacgcgact) producing a 345-bp product. This product was digest with MwoI

– wildtype digests produced bands at 184, 109 and 52 bp while mutant digests produced bands

at 293 and 52 bp (Supplementary Figure 4).

J774 Macrophage infection – with exogenous PGE$_2$ treatment

J774 macrophage (J774 cells were obtained from the ATCC, American Type Culture Collection)

infection was performed as previously described (21) with the following alterations. J774 murine

macrophage-like cells were cultured for a minimum of 4 passages in T75 tissue culture flasks at

37°C 5% CO$_2$ in DMEM (High glucose, Sigma) supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Calf

Serum (Invitrogen), 1% 10,000 units Penicillin / 10 mg streptomycin and 1 % 200 mM L –

glutamine, fully confluent cells were used for each experiment. Macrophages were counted by

haemocytometer and diluted to a concentration of 1x10^5 cells per ml in DMEM supplemented

with 1 µg/ml lipopolysaccharide (LPS from *E. coli,* Sigma L2630) before being plated into 24 well

microplates (Greiner) and incubated for 24 hours (37 ^{o}C 5% CO$_2$).

Following 24-hour incubation, medium was removed and replaced with 1 ml DMEM

supplemented with 2 nM prostaglandin E$_2$ (CAY14010, 1mg/ml stock in 100% ethanol).

Macrophage wells were then infected with 100 µl 1x10^6 yeast/ml *Cryptococcus* cells (from

overnight culture, washed. See above) opsonized with anti-capsular IgG monoclonal antibody

(18b7, a kind gift from Arturo Casadevall). Cells were incubated for 2 hours (37 ^{o}C 5% CO$_2$) and

then washed with 37 ^{o}C PBS until extracellular yeast were removed. After washing, infected

cells were treated with 1ml DMEM supplemented with PGE$_2$.

To calculate IPR, replicate wells for each treatment/strain were counted at 0 and 18 hours. Each

well was washed once with 1ml 37 ^{o}C PBS prior to counting to remove any *Cryptococcus* cells

released by macrophage death or vomocytosis. Intra-macrophage Cryptococci were released

by lysis with 200 µl dH$_2$O for 20 minutes (lysis confirmed under microscope). Lysate was

removed to a clean microcentrifuge tube and an additional 200 µl was used to wash the well to

make a total lysate volume of 400 µl. *Cryptocccoccus* cells within lysates were counted by

haemocytometer. IPR was calculated by dividing the total number of counted yeast at 18hr by

the total at 0hr.

To assess the viability of *C. neoformans* cells recovered from macrophages we used our

previously published colony forming unit (CFU) viability assay (21). Lysates from *C. neoformans*

infected J774 cells were prepared from cells at 0hr and 18hr time points. The concentration of

C. neoformans cells in the lysate was calculated by haemocytomter counting, the lysates were

then diluted to give an expected concentration of 2x10^3 yeast cells per ml. 100 µl of this diluted

lysate was spread onto a YPD agar plate and incubated for 48 hr at 25°C prior to colony

counting.

J774 Macrophage co-infection.

J774 cells were prepared and seeded at a concentration of 1x10^5 per ml as above in 24 well

microplates and incubated for 24 hours (37 ^{o}C 5% CO$_2$), 45 minutes prior to infection J774 cells

were activated with 150 ng/ml phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate in DMSO added to 1 ml serum

free DMEM. Following activation J774 cells were washed and infected with 100 µl / 1x10^6

yeast/ml 50:50 mix of Δ*plb1* (non-fluorescent) and H99-GFP (e.g. 5x10^5 Δ*plb1* and 5x10^5 H99-

GFP) or Δ*lac1-GFP* and H99 (non-fluorescent). Infected cells were incubated for 2 hours (37 ^{o}C

5% CO$_2$) to allow for phagocytosis of *Cryptococcus* and then washed multiple times with 37 ^{o}C

PBS to remove unphagocytosed yeast, each well was observed between washes to ensure that

macrophages were not being washed away. After washing 1 ml DMEM was added to each well.

Co-infected cells were imaged over 20 hours using a Nikon TE2000 microscope fitted with a

climate controlled incubation chamber (37 ^{o}C 5% CO_2) using a Digital Sight DS-QiMC camera

and a Plan APO Ph1 20x objective lens (Nikon). GFP and bright field images were captured

every 4 minutes for 20 hours. Co-infection movies were scored manually. For example co-

infected macrophages that contained 2 Δ*plb1* (non-fluorescent) and 1 H99-GFP (GFP positive)

yeast cells at 0 hr were tracked for 18 hours and before the burden of each strain within the

macrophage was counted again. The IPR for Δ*plb1* within co-infected macrophages was

calculated by dividing the number of Δ*plb1* cells within a macrophage at 18 hr by the number at

0 hr.

Immunofluorescence

J774 cells were cultured to confluency as discussed above and seeded onto sterile 13 mm

glass coverslips at a density of 10^5 cells per ml without activation by phorbol 12-myristate 13-

acetate. H99-GFP and Δ*plb1*-GFP were opsonised with 18B7 for one hour. 18B7 was then

removed by centrifugation and fungal cells were suspended in 1 ml of PBS with 1:200 FITC for

one hour. Supernatant was removed again, cells were resuspended in PBS, and J774 were

infected with 10^6 of either H99-GFP or Δ*pbl1*-GFP in serum free DMEM. After two hours of

infection media was removed from J774s and the J774s were washed three times with PBS. 10

μm TLT or DMSO was added to uninfected cells to act as controls. Cells were then left for 18

hours at 37 ^{o}C 5 % CO_2.

After 18 hours supernatants were removed and J774s were fixed with cold methanol for 5

minutes at -20 ^{o}C before washing with PBS three times, leaving PBS for five minutes at room

temperature between washes. Coverslips were blocked with 5% sheep serum in 0.1% triton

(block solution) for 20 minutes before being transferred into the primary PPAR-γ antibody (1:50,

Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-7273 lot #B1417) with 1:10 human IgG in block solution for one

hour. Coverslips were washed 3 times in PBS and incubated with 1:200 anti-mouse TRITC,

1:40 anti-human IgG, and 0.41 µl/ml DAPI in block solution for one hour. Coverslips were then

washed three times with PBS, three times with water, and fixed to slides using MOWIOL. Slides

were left in the dark overnight and imaged the following day. Imaging was performed on a Nikon

Eclispe Ti microscope with a x60 DIC objective. Cells were imaged with filter sets for Cy3

(PPAR-γ , 500ms exposure) GFP (*Cryptococcus*, 35 ms exposure) and DAPI (Nuclei, 5ms)

dyes in addition to DIC.

The intensity of nuclear staining was analysed for at least 30 cells per coverslip, using ImageJ

2.0.0 a line ROI was drawn from the outside of cell, through the nucleus measuring the mean

grey value along the line. For *Cryptococcus* infected conditions uninfected and infected cells

were measured separately upon the same coverslip using the GFP channel to distinguish

between infected and uninfected cells.

J774 aspirin timelapse

Macrophages were seeded at 10^5 per ml into 24 well plates as described above. After two hours

cells requiring aspirin were treated with 1 mM aspirin in DMSO in fresh DMEM. Cells were then

incubated overnight for 18 hours at 37 ^{o}C 5% CO_2. H99-GFP and ∆*plb1*-GFP were prepared at

10^6 cells per ml as described above, and opsonised with 18B7 for one hour. J774s were then

infected with the fungal cells in fresh serum free DMEM for two hours before removing the

supernatant, washing three times in PBS, and adding fresh serum free DMEM. Cells were

imaged for 18 hours on a Nikon Eclispe Ti equipped with a climate controlled stage

(Temperature - 37 ^{o}C, Atmosphere - 5% CO_2 / 95% air) with a x20 Lambda Apo NA 0.75 phase

contrast objective brightfield images were taken at an interval of 2 minutes, 50 ms exposure.

Analysis was performed by manual counts of intracellular and extracellular cryptococci.

Zebrafish infection

Washed and counted *Cryptococcus* cells from overnight culture were pelleted at 3300g for 1

minute and re-suspended in 10% Polyvinylpyrrolidinone (PVP), 0.5% Phenol Red in PBS to give

the required inoculum in 1 nl. This injection fluid was loaded into glass capillaries shaped with a

needle puller for microinjection. Zebrafish larvae were injected at 2-days post fertilisation; the

embryos were anesthetised by immersion in 0.168 mg/ml tricaine in E3 before being transferred

onto microscope slides coated with 3% methyl cellulose in E3 for injection. Prepared larvae

were injected with two 0.5 nl boluses of injection fluid by compressed air into the yolk sac

circulation valley. Following injection, larvae were removed from the glass slide and transferred

to E3 to recover from anaesthetic and then transferred to fresh E3 to remove residual methyl

cellulose. Successfully infected larvae (displaying systemic infection throughout the body and no

visible signs of damage resulting from injection) were sorted using a fluorescent

stereomicroscope. Infected larvae were maintained at 28 ^{o}C.

Eicosanoid / receptor agonist treatment of infected zebrafish larvae

All compounds were purchased from Cayman Chemical. Compounds were resuspended in

DMSO and stored at -20 ^{o}C until used. Prostaglandin E$_2$ (CAY14010, 10mg/ml stock),

Prostaglandin D$_2$ (CAY12010, 10mg/ml stock), 16,16-dimethyl-PGE$_2$ (CAY14750, 10mg/ml

stock), 15-keto-PGE$_2$ (CAY14720, 10mg/ml stock), troglitazone (CAY14720, 10mg/ml stock),

GW9662 (CAY70785,1mg/ml stock), AH6809 (CAY14050, 1mg/ml stock), GW627368X

(CAY10009162, 10mg/ml stock), NS-398 (CAY70590, 9.4mg/ml stock), SC-560 (CAY70340,

5.3mg/ml stock).

Treatment with exogenous compounds during larval infected was performed by adding

compounds (or equivalent solvent) to fish water (E3) to achieve the desired concentration. Fish

were immersed in compound supplemented E3 throughout the experiment from the time of

injection.

Zebrafish fungal burden measurement

Individual infected zebrafish embryos were placed into single wells of a 96 well plate (VWR) with

200 ul or E3 (unsupplemented E3, or E3 supplemented with eicosanoids / drugs depending on

the assay). Infected embryos were imaged at 0 days post infection (dpi), 1 dpi, 2 dpi and 3 dpi in

their 96 well plates using a Nikon Ti-E with a CFI Plan Achromat UW 2X N.A 0.06 objective

lens. Images were captured with a Neo sCMOS (Andor, Belfast, UK) and NIS Elements (Nikon,

Richmond, UK). Images were exported from NIS Elements into Image J FIJI as monochrome tif

files. Images were threshholded in FIJI using the 'moments' threshold preset and converted to

binary images to remove all pixels in the image that did not correspond to the intensity of the

fluorescently tagged *C. neoformans.* The outline of the embryo was traced using the 'polygon'

ROI tool, avoiding autofluorescence from the yolk sac. The total number of pixels in the

threshholded image were counted using the FIJI 'analyse particles' function, the 'total area'

measurement from the 'summary' readout was used for the total number of GFP[+] pixels in each

embryo.

PPAR-γ GFP reporter fish treatment

PPARγ embryos were collected from homozygous ligand trap fish (F18). Embryos were raised

in a temperature-controlled water system under LD cycle at 28.5° C. in 0.5×E2 media in petri-

dishes till 1 dpf. Any developmentally delayed (dead or unfertilized) embryos were removed.

Chorions were removed enzymatically with Pronase (1mg/ml) and specimens were dispensed

into 24 well plates (10 per well) in 0.5×E2 media. For embryos 1% DMSO was used as a vehicle

control. Chemicals were stored in DMSO, diluted appropriately and added individually to 400 ul

of 0.5×E2 media with 0.05 U/ml penicillin and 50 ng/ml streptomycin and vortexed intensively for

1 min. 0.5×E2 media was removed from all wells with embryos and the 400 ul chemical

solutions were administered to different wells to embryos. For drug treatment embryos were

pre-incubated for 1 hour with compounds and then heat induced (28→37° C.) for 30 min in a

water bath. Embryos were incubated at 28°C for 18 h and then monitored using a fluorescent

dissection scope (SteREO Lumar.V12 Carl Zeiss) at 2 dpf. For analyzing GFP fluorescent

pattern, embryos were anesthetized with Tricaine (Sigma, Cat.# A-5040) and mounted in 2 %

methyl cellulose.

Whole body macrophage counts

2 dpf transgenic zebrafish larvae which have fluorescently tagged macrophages due to an

mCherry fluorescent protein driven by the macrophage specific gene marker mpeg1 (57)

Tg(mpeg1:mCherryCAAX)sh378) (22) were treated with 10 µM PGE_2, 10 µM 15-keto-PGE_2 or

an equivalent DMSO control for 2 days. Larvae were then anesthetized by immersion in 0.168

mg/ml tricaine in E3 and imaged using a Nikon Ti-E with a Nikon Plan APO 20x/ 0.75 DIC N2

objective lens, taking z stacks of the entire body with 15 µM z steps. Macrophage counts were

made manually using ImageJ from maximum projections.

Eicosanoid measurement (ELISA)

J774 macrophages were seeded into 24 well plates at a concentration of $1x10^5$ per well and

incubated for 24 hours at 37 ^oC 5 % CO_2. J774 cells were infected with *C. neoformans* as

described above, at the same MOI 1:10 and incubated for 18 hours with 1ml serum free DMEM.

At 18 hours post infection the supernatant was removed for ELISA analysis.

For ELISA analysis with aspirin treatment, wells requiring aspirin had supernatants removed

and replaced with fresh DMEM containing 1mM aspirin in 1% DMSO. Cells were left for 24

hours total. At 24 hours all wells received fresh serum free media. Wells requiring aspirin for the

duration received 1mM aspirin in DMSO. Aspirin treated cells requiring arachidonic acid were

treated with 30 µg per ml arachidonic acid in ethanol. Control wells received the following: either

1% DMSO, 30 µg per ml arachidonic acid, or ethanol. Cells were again left at 37 ^{o}C 5 % CO_2 for

18 hours.

Supernatants were then removed and frozen at -80 ^{o}C until use. Supernatants were analysed

as per the PGE_2 EIA ELISA kit instructions (Cayman Chemical).

Eicosanoid measurement (Mass spectrometry)

J774 macrophages were seeded into T25 tissue culture flasks at a concentration of 1.3×10^6

cells per flask and incubated for 24 hours at 37 ^{o}C 5 % CO_2. J774 cells were infected with *C.*

neoformans as described above, at the same MOI 1:10 and incubated for 18 hours with 2 ml

serum free DMEM. At 18 hours post infection infected cells were scraped from the flask with a

cell scraper into the existing supernatant and immediately snap frozen in ethanol / dry ice slurry.

All samples were stored at -80 ^{o}C before analysis.

Lipids and lipid standards were purchased from Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, Michigan).

Deuterated standard Prostaglandin E_2-d_4 (PGE_2-d_4), ≥98% deuterated form. HPLC grade

solvents were from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Hemel Hempstead, Hertfordshire UK).

Lipids were extracted by adding a solvent mixture (1 mol/L acetic acid, isopropyl alcohol,

hexane (2:20:30, v/v/v)) to the sample at a ratio of 2.5 –1 ml sample, vortexing, and then adding

2.5 ml of hexane (58). Where quantitation was required, 2 ng PGE_2-d_4, was added to samples

before extraction, as internal standard. After vortexing and centrifugation, lipids were recovered

in the upper hexane layer. The samples were then re-extracted by addition of an equal volume

of hexane. The combined hexane layers were dried and analyzed for Prostaglandin E_2 (PGE_2)

using LC-MS/MS as below.

Lipid extracts were separated by reverse-phase HPLC using a ZORBAX RRHD Eclipse Plus

95Å C18, 2.1 x 150 mm, 1.8 µm column (Agilent Technologies, Cheshire, UK), kept in a column

oven maintained at 45°C. Lipids were eluted with a mobile phase consisting of A, water-B-acetic

acid of 95:5:0.01 (vol/vol/vol), and B, acetonitrile-methanol-acetic acid of 80:15:0.01 (vol/vol/vol),

in a gradient starting at 30% B. After 1 min that was ramped to 35% over 3 min, 67.5% over 8.5

min and to 100% over 5 min. This was subsequently maintained at 100% B for 3.5 min and then

at 30% B for 1.5 min, with a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min. Products were monitored by LC/MS/MS in

negative ion mode, on a 6500 Q-Trap (Sciex, Cheshire, United Kingdom) using parent-to-

daughter transitions of m/z 351.2 → 271.2 (PGE$_2$), and m/z 355.2 → 275.2 for PGE$_2$-d$_4$. ESI-

MS/MS conditions were: TEM 475 °C, GS1 60, GS2 60, CUR 35, IS -4500 V, dwell time 75 s,

DP -60 V, EP -10 V, CE -25 V and CXP at -10 V. PGE$_2$ was quantified using standard curves

generated by varying PGE$_2$ with a fixed amount of PGE$_2$-d$_4$.

Acknowledgments

740 We thank the Bateson Centre aquaria staff for their assistance with zebrafish husbandry and the

741 Johnston, Renshaw and Elks labs for critical discussions. We also thank Arturo Casadevall

742 (Johns Hopkins University, Maryland USA) for providing the 18B7 antibody.

(1) Brown GD, Denning DW, Gow NA, Levitz SM, Netea MG, White TC. Hidden killers: human
fungal infections. Sci Transl Med 2012 Dec 19;4(165):165rv13.

(2) Rajasingham R, Smith RM, Park BJ, Jarvis JN, Govender NP, Chiller TM, et al. Global
burden of disease of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis: an updated analysis. Lancet Infect
Dis 2017 May 5.

(3) Kawakami K, Kohno S, Kadota J, Tohyama M, Teruya K, Kudeken N, et al. T cell-dependent
activation of macrophages and enhancement of their phagocytic activity in the lungs of mice
inoculated with heat-killed Cryptococcus neoformans: involvement of IFN-gamma and its
protective effect against cryptococcal infection. Microbiol Immunol 1995;39(2):135-143.

(4) Voelz K, Lammas DA, May RC. Cytokine signaling regulates the outcome of intracellular
macrophage parasitism by Cryptococcus neoformans. Infect Immun 2009 Aug;77(8):3450-3457.

(5) Leopold Wager CM, Hole CR, Wozniak KL, Olszewski MA, Wormley FL,Jr. STAT1 signaling
is essential for protection against Cryptococcus neoformans infection in mice. J Immunol 2014
Oct 15;193(8):4060-4071.

(6) Chrétien F, Lortholary O, Kansau I, Neuville S, Gray F, Dromer F. Pathogenesis of cerebral
Cryptococcus neoformans infection after fungemia. J Infect Dis 2002 Aug;186:522-530.

(7) Charlier C, Nielsen K, Daou S, Brigitte M, Chretien F, Dromer F. PMC2612285; Evidence of
a role for monocytes in dissemination and brain invasion by Cryptococcus neoformans. Infect
Immun 2009 Jan;77:120-127.

(8) Gilbert AS, Seoane PI, Sephton-Clark P, Bojarczuk A, Hotham R, Giurisato E, et al.
Vomocytosis of live pathogens from macrophages is regulated by the atypical MAP kinase
ERK5. Sci Adv 2017 08/16;3(8).

(9) Smith LM, Dixon EF, May RC. The fungal pathogen Cryptococcus neoformans manipulates
macrophage phagosome maturation. Cell Microbiol 2015 May;17(5):702-713.

(10) Norris PC, Reichart D, Dumlao DS, Glass CK, Dennis EA. Specificity of eicosanoid
production depends on the TLR-4-stimulated macrophage phenotype. J Leukoc Biol 2011
Sep;90(3):563-574.

(11) Gupta S, Maurya MR, Stephens DL, Dennis EA, Subramaniam S. An integrated model of
eicosanoid metabolism and signaling based on lipidomics flux analysis. Biophys J 2009 Jun
3;96(11):4542-4551.

(12) Harizi H. The immunobiology of prostanoid receptor signaling in connecting innate and
adaptive immunity. Biomed Res Int 2013;2013:683405.

(13) Angeli V, Faveeuw C, Roye O, Fontaine J, Teissier E, Capron A, et al. Role of the parasite-
derived prostaglandin D2 in the inhibition of epidermal Langerhans cell migration during
schistosomiasis infection. J Exp Med 2001 May 21;193(10):1135-1147.

(14) Ahmadi M, Emery DC, Morgan DJ. Prevention of both direct and cross-priming of antitumor
CD8+ T-cell responses following overproduction of prostaglandin E2 by tumor cells in vivo.
Cancer Res 2008 Sep 15;68(18):7520-7529.

(15) Harizi H, Corcuff JB, Gualde N. Arachidonic-acid-derived eicosanoids: roles in biology and
immunopathology. Trends Mol Med 2008 Oct;14:461-469.

(16) Noverr MC, Erb-Downward JR, Huffnagle GB. Production of eicosanoids and other
oxylipins by pathogenic eukaryotic microbes. Clin Microbiol Rev 2003 Jul;16(3):517-533.

(17) Erb-Downward JR, Huffnagle GB. Cryptococcus neoformans produces authentic
prostaglandin E2 without a cyclooxygenase. Eukaryot Cell 2007 Feb;6(2):346-350.

(18) Erb-Downward JR, Noggle RM, Williamson PR, Huffnagle GB. The role of laccase in
prostaglandin production by Cryptococcus neoformans. Mol Microbiol 2008 Jun;68(6):1428-
1437.

(19) Noverr MC, Cox GM, Perfect JR, Huffnagle GB. PMC148814; Role of PLB1 in pulmonary
inflammation and cryptococcal eicosanoid production. Infect Immun 2003 Mar;71:1538-1547.

(20) Shen L, Liu Y. Prostaglandin E2 blockade enhances the pulmonary anti-Cryptococcus
neoformans immune reaction via the induction of TLR-4. Int Immunopharmacol 2015
Sep;28(1):376-381.

(21) Evans RJ, Li Z, Hughes WS, Djordjevic JT, Nielsen K, May RC. Cryptococcal
Phospholipase B1 (Plb1) is required for intracellular proliferation and control of titan cell
morphology during macrophage infection. Infect Immun 2015 Jan 20.

(22) Bojarczuk A, Miller KA, Hotham R, Lewis A, Ogryzko NV, Kamuyango AA, et al.
Cryptococcus neoformans Intracellular Proliferation and Capsule Size Determines Early
Macrophage Control of Infection. Sci Rep 2016 Feb 18;6:21489.

(23) Cox GM, McDade HC, Chen SC, Tucker SC, Gottfredsson M, Wright LC, et al. Extracellular
phospholipase activity is a virulence factor for Cryptococcus neoformans. Mol Microbiol 2001
Jan;39:166-175.

(24) Chayakulkeeree M, Johnston SA, Oei JB, Lev S, Williamson PR, Wilson CF, et al. SEC14
is a specific requirement for secretion of phospholipase B1 and pathogenicity of Cryptococcus
neoformans. Mol Microbiol 2011 May;80:1088-1101.

(25) Ohno H, Morikawa Y, Hirata F. Studies on 15-hydroxyprostaglandin dehydrogenase with
various prostaglandin analogues. J Biochem 1978 Dec;84(6):1485-1494.

(26) North TE, Goessling W, Walkley CR, Lengerke C, Kopani KR, Lord AM, et al.
Prostaglandin E2 regulates vertebrate haematopoietic stem cell homeostasis. Nature 2007 Jun
21;447(7147):1007-1011.

(27) Ricciotti E, FitzGerald GA. Prostaglandins and inflammation. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol
2011 May;31(5):986-1000.

(28) Noverr MC, Phare SM, Toews GB, Coffey MJ, Huffnagle GB. Pathogenic yeasts
Cryptococcus neoformans and Candida albicans produce immunomodulatory prostaglandins.
Infect Immun 2001 May;69(5):2957-2963.

(29) Loynes CA, Lee JA, Robertson AL, Steel MJ, Ellett F, Feng Y, et al. PGE2 production at
sites of tissue injury promotes an anti-inflammatory neutrophil phenotype and determines the
outcome of inflammation resolution in vivo. Sci Adv 2018 Sep 5;4(9):eaar8320.

(30) Voelz K, Johnston SA, Smith LM, Hall RA, Idnurm A, May RC. 'Division of labour' in
response to host oxidative burst drives a fatal Cryptococcus gattii outbreak. Nat Commun 2014
Oct 17;5:5194.

(31) Voelz K, Johnston SA, Rutherford JC, May RC. Automated analysis of cryptococcal
macrophage parasitism using GFP-tagged cryptococci. PLoS One 2010 Dec 31;5(12):e15968.

(32) Chou WL, Chuang LM, Chou CC, Wang AH, Lawson JA, FitzGerald GA, et al. Identification
of a novel prostaglandin reductase reveals the involvement of prostaglandin E2 catabolism in
regulation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma activation. J Biol Chem 2007
Jun 22;282(25):18162-18172.

(33) Alleva DG, Johnson EB, Lio FM, Boehme SA, Conlon PJ, Crowe PD. Regulation of murine
macrophage proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines by ligands for peroxisome
proliferator-activated receptor-gamma: counter-regulatory activity by IFN-gamma. J Leukoc Biol
2002 Apr;71(4):677-685.

(34) Maggi LB,Jr, Sadeghi H, Weigand C, Scarim AL, Heitmeier MR, Corbett JA. Anti-
inflammatory actions of 15-deoxy-delta 12,14-prostaglandin J2 and troglitazone: evidence for
heat shock-dependent and -independent inhibition of cytokine-induced inducible nitric oxide
synthase expression. Diabetes 2000 Mar;49(3):346-355.

(35) Jiang C, Ting AT, Seed B. PPAR-gamma agonists inhibit production of monocyte
inflammatory cytokines. Nature 1998 Jan;391:82-86.

(36) Tiefenbach J, Moll PR, Nelson MR, Hu C, Baev L, Kislinger T, et al. A live zebrafish-based
screening system for human nuclear receptor ligand and cofactor discovery. PLoS One 2010
Mar 22;5(3):e9797.

(37) Tiefenbach J, Magomedova L, Liu J, Reunov AA, Tsai R, Eappen NS, et al. Idebenone and
coenzyme Q10 are novel PPARalpha/gamma ligands, with potential for treatment of fatty liver
diseases. Dis Model Mech 2018 Aug 31;11(9):10.1242/dmm.034801.

(38) Atanasov AG, Wang JN, Gu SP, Bu J, Kramer MP, Baumgartner L, et al. Honokiol: a non-
adipogenic PPARgamma agonist from nature. Biochim Biophys Acta 2013 Oct;1830(10):4813-
4819.

(39) Bhalla K, Hwang BJ, Choi JH, Dewi R, Ou L, Mclenithan J, et al. N-Acetylfarnesylcysteine
is a novel class of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma ligand with partial and full
agonist activity in vitro and in vivo. J Biol Chem 2011 Dec 2;286(48):41626-41635.

(40) Bouhlel MA, Derudas B, Rigamonti E, Dievart R, Brozek J, Haulon S, et al. PPARgamma
activation primes human monocytes into alternative M2 macrophages with anti-inflammatory
properties. Cell Metab 2007 Aug;6(2):137-143.

(41) Bonfield TL, Thomassen MJ, Farver CF, Abraham S, Koloze MT, Zhang X, et al.
Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma regulates the expression of alveolar
macrophage macrophage colony-stimulating factor. J Immunol 2008 Jul 1;181(1):235-242.

(42) Odegaard JI, Ricardo-Gonzalez RR, Goforth MH, Morel CR, Subramanian V, Mukundan L,
et al. Macrophage-specific PPARgamma controls alternative activation and improves insulin
resistance. Nature 2007 Jun 28;447(7148):1116-1120.

(43) Tenor JL, Oehlers SH, Yang JL, Tobin DM, Perfect JR. Live Imaging of Host-Parasite
Interactions in a Zebrafish Infection Model Reveals Cryptococcal Determinants of Virulence and
Central Nervous System Invasion. MBio 2015 Sep 29;6(5):e01425-15.

(44) Valdez PA, Vithayathil PJ, Janelsins BM, Shaffer AL, Williamson PR, Datta SK.
Prostaglandin E2 suppresses antifungal immunity by inhibiting interferon regulatory factor 4
function and interleukin-17 expression in T cells. Immunity 2012 Apr 20;36(4):668-679.

(45) Salas SD, Bennett JE, Kwon-Chung KJ, Perfect JR, Williamson PR. Effect of the laccase
gene CNLAC1, on virulence of Cryptococcus neoformans. J Exp Med 1996 Aug 1;184(2):377-
386.

(46) Qiu Y, Davis MJ, Dayrit JK, Hadd Z, Meister DL, Osterholzer JJ, et al. Immune modulation
mediated by cryptococcal laccase promotes pulmonary growth and brain dissemination of
virulent Cryptococcus neoformans in mice. PLoS One 2012;7(10):e47853.

(47) Coggins KG, Latour A, Nguyen MS, Audoly L, Coffman TM, Koller BH. Metabolism of
PGE2 by prostaglandin dehydrogenase is essential for remodeling the ductus arteriosus. Nat
Med 2002 Feb;8(2):91-92.

(48) Lemberger T, Desvergne B, Wahli W. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors: a
nuclear receptor signaling pathway in lipid physiology. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 1996;12:335-
363.

(49) Pochetti G, Godio C, Mitro N, Caruso D, Galmozzi A, Scurati S, et al. Insights into the
mechanism of partial agonism: crystal structures of the peroxisome proliferator-activated
receptor gamma ligand-binding domain in the complex with two enantiomeric ligands. J Biol
Chem 2007 Jun 8;282(23):17314-17324.

(50) Bruning JB, Chalmers MJ, Prasad S, Busby SA, Kamenecka TM, He Y, et al. Partial
agonists activate PPARgamma using a helix 12 independent mechanism. Structure 2007
Oct;15(10):1258-1271.

(51) Guasch L, Sala E, Castell-Auvi A, Cedo L, Liedl KR, Wolber G, et al. Identification of
PPARgamma partial agonists of natural origin (I): development of a virtual screening procedure
and in vitro validation. PLoS One 2012;7(11):e50816.

(52) Burgermeister E, Schnoebelen A, Flament A, Benz J, Stihle M, Gsell B, et al. A novel
partial agonist of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma (PPARgamma) recruits
PPARgamma-coactivator-1alpha, prevents triglyceride accumulation, and potentiates insulin
signaling in vitro. Mol Endocrinol 2006 Apr;20(4):809-830.

(53) Tiefenbach J, Moll PR, Nelson MR, Hu C, Baev L, Kislinger T, et al. A live zebrafish-based
screening system for human nuclear receptor ligand and cofactor discovery. PLoS One 2010
Mar 22;5(3):e9797.

(54) Day RO, Graham GG. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). BMJ 2013 Jun
11;346:f3195.

(55) White RM, Sessa A, Burke C, Bowman T, LeBlanc J, Ceol C, et al. Transparent adult
zebrafish as a tool for in vivo transplantation analysis. Cell Stem Cell 2008 Feb 7;2(2):183-189.

(56) Zhu X, Williamson PR. Role of laccase in the biology and virulence of Cryptococcus
neoformans. FEMS Yeast Res 2004 Oct;5(1):1-10.

(57) Ellett F, Pase L, Hayman JW, Andrianopoulos A, Lieschke GJ. Mpeg1 Promoter
Transgenes Direct Macrophage-Lineage Expression in Zebrafish. Blood 2011 Jan
27;117(4):e49-56.

(58) Maskrey BH, Bermudez-Fajardo A, Morgan AH, Stewart-Jones E, Dioszeghy V, Taylor
GW, et al. Activated platelets and monocytes generate four hydroxyphosphatidylethanolamines
via lipoxygenase. J Biol Chem 2007 Jul 13;282(28):20151-20163.

Fig1

The intracellular proliferation defect of the *C.* neoformans mutant *Δplb1,* can be reversed

with the addition of exogenous prostaglandin E$_2$. J774 murine macrophages were infected

with *Δplb1,* the parental strain H99 or a genetic reconstitute strain *Δplb1:PLB1* . Infected cells

were left untreated or treated with 2 nM PGE$_2$ or an equivalent solvent (ethanol) control. Mean

IPR from 5 biological repeats shown with error bars representing standard deviation. An

unpaired two tailed Student's t-test was performed to compare each treatment group. H99 etoh

vs H99 2 nM PGE$_2$ ns p = 0.7212, *Δplb1* etoh vs. *Δplb1* 2 nM PGE$_2$ * p = 0.0376, *Δplb1:PLB1*

etoh vs. *Δplb1:PLB1* 2 nM PGE$_2$ ns p=0.723.

Fig2

The prostaglandin E$_2$ dependent growth defect of *Δplb1* is also present *in vivo*: A i H99-

GFP infected larvae imaged at 0, 1, 2 and 3 dpi. At least 50 larvae measured per time point

across 3 biological repeats. Box and whiskers show median, 5th percentile and 95th percentile.

Unpaired Mann-Whitney U tests used to compare the burden between each strain for every time

point, for p values see (Supplementary Fig 1B ii). **B i** – H99-GFP Infected larvae treated with 10

μM prostaglandin E$_2$ or equivalent solvent (DMSO) control. At least 60 larvae measured per

treatment group from 3 biological repeats. Box and whiskers show median, 5th percentile and

95th percentile. Unpaired Mann-Whitney U tests used to compare between treatments DMSO

vs. 10 μM PGE$_2$ * p = 0.0137 (threshold for significance 0.017, corrected for multiple

comparisons). **C i,** H99-GFP Infected larvae treated with 10 μM prostaglandin D$_2$ or equivalent

solvent (DMSO) control. At least 60 larvae measured per treatment group from 4 biological

repeats. Box and whiskers show median, 5th percentile and 95th percentile. Unpaired Mann-

Whitney U tests used to compare between treatments DMSO vs. 10 μM PGD$_{2,}$ ns p= 0.8 **D i**

Δplb1-GFP infected larvae (500 cell inoculum injected at 2 dpf) imaged at 0, 1, 2 and 3 dpi. N =

3. Box and whiskers show median, 5th percentile and 95th percentile. At least 87 larvae

measured for each time point from 3 biological repeats. Unpaired Mann-Whitney U tests used to

compare the burden between each strain for every time point, for p values see (Supplementary

Fig 1 A i + A ii). **E i** *Δplb1*-GFP Infected larvae treated with 10 µM prostaglandin E_2 or equivalent

solvent (DMSO) control. At least 35 larvae measured per treatment group from 2 biological

repeats. Box and whiskers show median, 5th percentile and 95th percentile. Unpaired Mann-

Whitney U tests used to compare between treatments *Δplb1*-GFP DMSO vs 10 µM PGE_2 *** p =

0.0001 (threshold for significance 0.017, corrected for multiple comparisons). **F i** *Δplb1*-GFP

Infected larvae treated with 10 µM prostaglandin D_2 or equivalent solvent (DMSO) control. At

least 45 larvae measured per treatment group from 3 biological repeats. Box and whiskers show

median, 5th percentile and 95th percentile. Unpaired Mann-Whitney U tests used to compare

between treatments DMSO vs. 10 µM PGD_2. Ns p = 0.1 **A ii** Representative GFP images

(representative = median value) H99-GFP infected larvae, untreated at 0,1,2,3 dpi. **B ii, C ii**

Representative GFP images (representative = median value) H99-GFP infected larvae, at 2 dpi

treated with 10 µM PGE_2 (**B ii**) or PGD_2 (**C ii**). **D ii** Representative GFP images (representative

= median value) *Δplb1*-GFP infected larvae, untreated at 0,1,2,3 dpi. **E ii, F ii** Representative

GFP images (representative = median value) *Δplb1*-GFP infected larvae, at 2 dpi treated with

10 µM PGE_2 (**E ii**) or PGD_2 (**F ii**).

Fig 3

The observed activity of PGE_2 is due to its dehydrogenated derivative 15-keto-PGE_2:

Fungal burden measured at 2 days post infection (2 dpi) by counting GFP positive pixels in each

larvae. **A i** H99-GFP Infected larvae treated with 10 µM 16,16-dimethyl-prostaglandin E_2 or

equivalent solvent (DMSO) control. At least 75 larvae measured per treatment group from 4

biological repeats. Box and whiskers show median, 5th percentile and 95th percentile. Unpaired

Mann-Whitney U test used to compare between treatments, DMSO vs. 10 µM 16, 16-dm PGE_2

ns p = 0.9954. **B i** H99-GFP Infected larvae treated with 10 µM 15-keto-prostaglandin E_2 or

equivalent solvent (DMSO) control. At least 55 larvae measured per treatment group from 3

biological repeats. Unpaired Mann-Whitney U test used to compare between treatments DMSO

vs. 10 µM 15-keto=PGE$_2$ ** p = 0.0048 (threshold for significance 0.017, corrected for multiple

comparisons). **C i** *Δplb1*-GFP Infected larvae treated with 10 µM 16, 16-dimethyl prostaglandin

E$_2$ or equivalent solvent (DMSO) control. At least 45 larvae per treatment group from 3

biological repeats. Unpaired Mann-Whitney U test used to compare between treatments *Δplb1*-

GFP DMSO vs 10 µM 16, 16-dm PGE$_2$ ns p = 0.98. **D i** *Δplb1*-GFP Infected larvae treated with

10 µM 15-keto-prostaglandin E$_2$ or equivalent solvent (DMSO) control. At least 35 larvae

measured per treatment group from 2 biological repeats. Unpaired Mann-Whitney U test used to

compare between treatments DMSO vs 10 µM 15-keto-PGE$_2$ * p = 0.0119 (threshold for

significance 0.017, corrected for multiple comparisons). **A ii, B ii** Representative GFP images

(representative = median value) H99-GFP infected larvae, at 2dpi treated with 10 µM 16,16-dm-

PGE$_2$ (**A ii**) or 15-keto-PGE$_2$ (**B ii**). **C ii, D ii** Representative GFP images (representative =

median value) *Δplb1*-GFP infected larvae, at 2dpi treated with 10 µM 16,16-dm-PGE$_2$ (**C ii**) or

15-keto-PGE$_2$ (**D ii**). **E** H99-GFP infected larvae treated with a combination of 3 µM AH6809

and 3 µM GW627368X or equivalent solvent (DMSO) control. Box and whiskers show median,

5th percentile and 95th percentile. At least 64 larvae measured per treatment group from 4

biological repeats. Mann-Whitney U test used to compare between treatments, no significance

found.

Fig 4

Host derived prostaglandins are not required for growth of C. neoformans: A Intracellular

proliferation quantified from timelaspe movies of J774 macrophages infected with H99-GFP or

Δplb1-GFP and treated with 1 mM Aspirin – either for 18 hours before infection (pretreatment)

or throughout the time course of infection. One-way ANOVA with Tukey post-test performed

comparing all conditions. H99-GFP DMSO vs. *Δplb1*-GFP DMSO *** p = 0.0002. H99-GFP

DMSO vs. *Δplb1*-GFP 1 mM (pretreat) **** p = <0.0001. H99-GFP DMSO vs. *Δplb1*-GFP 1 mM

aspirin *** p = 0.0001. H99-GFP + 1mM aspirin (pretreat) vs. *Δplb1*-GFP DMSO **** p <0.0001.

H99-GFP + 1mM aspirin (pretreat) vs. *Δplb1*-GFP 1 mM aspirin (pretreat) **** p < 0.0001. H99-

GFP + 1mM aspirin (pretreat) vs. *Δplb1*-GFP 1 mM aspirin **** p <0.0001. H99-GFP+ 1mM

aspirin vs. *Δplb1*-GFP DMSO *** p = 0.0001. H99-GFP + 1mM aspirin vs. *Δplb1*-GFP 1 mM

aspirin (pretreat) **** p <0.0001. H99-GFP+ 1mM aspirin vs. *Δplb1*-GFP 1 mM aspirin **** p <

0.0001. **B i** H99-GFP infected larvae treated with 15 µM NS-398, 15 µM SC-560 or equivalent

solvent (DMSO) control. Box and whiskers show median, 5th percentile and 95th percentile. At

least 25 larvae measured per treatment group from 2 biological repeats. Mann-Whitney U test

used to compare between treatments, DMSO vs. 15 µM *** p = 0.0002. **B ii** *Δplb1*-GFP infected

larvae treated with 15 µM NS-398, 15 µM SC-560 or equivalent solvent (DMSO) control. Box

and whiskers show median, 5th percentile and 95th percentile. At least 34 larvae measured per

treatment group from 2 biological repeats. Mann-Whitney U test used to compare between

treatments, no significance found. **C** 2 dpi zebrafish larvae crispants with CRISPR knockout

against Prostaglandin E_2 synthase (*ptges)* or a Tyrosinase control (*tyr)* infected with H99-GFP or

Δplb1-GFP, fungal burden quantified at 0 dpi (**C i**) and 3 dpi (**C ii**) – data shown is a single

experiment but is representative of N=3 experiments. One way ANOVA with Tukey post-test

performed to compare each condition **C i** no significance found **C ii** H99-GFP + *tyr* $^{-/-}$ vs. H99-

GFP + *ptges* $^{-/-}$ * p = 0.0390. H99-GFP + *ptges* $^{-/-}$ vs. *Δplb1*-GFP + *tyr* $^{-/-}$ * p = 0.0313. H99-GFP

+ *ptges* $^{-/-}$ vs. *Δplb1*-GFP + *ptges* $^{-/-}$ * p = 0.0121. **D i** PGE_2 monoclonal EIA ELISA performed on

supernatants from *C. neoformans* infected macrophages collected at 18 hr post infection. Mean

concentration of PGE_2 (pg per 1×10^6 cells) plotted with SD, n = 4. One-way ANOVA with Tukey

post-test performed, no significance found. **D ii** LC MS/MS mass spectrometry analysis

performed on cell suspensions (infected J774 cells and supernatants) collected at 18 hr post

infection. Mean concentration of PGE_2 (pg per 1×10^6 cells) plotted with SD, n = 3. One-way

ANOVA with Tukey post-test performed, no significance found. **E** J774 cells co-infected with a

50:50 mix of *Δplb1* and H99-GFP. **i** Diagrammatic representation of co-infection experiment.

GFP$^+$ (green) and GFP$^-$ (yellow) *C. neoformans* cells within the phagosome were quantified at 0

hr, macrophages with a burden ratio of 1:2 or 2:1 were re-analysed at 18 hr, the IPR for *Δplb1*

within 2:1 and 1:2 co-infected cells were calculated by dividing the burden at 18hr by burden at

0 hr for GFP$^+$ (green) or GFP$^-$ (yellow) cells. **ii** Quantification of IPR for *Δplb1* cells within

Δplb1:H99-GFP 2:1 or 1:2 co-infected macrophages. At least 35 co-infected macrophages were

analysed for each condition over 4 experimental repeats. Student's T test performed to compare

ratios – 2:1 vs 1:2 * p = 0.0137.

1025

Fig 5 15-keto-PGE$_2$ promotes fungal burden by activating host PPAR- γ.

A i J774 macrophages treated with Troglitazone (TLT - 0.25 µM), an equivalent DMSO control

or infected with H99 or *Δplb1* fixed and stained at 18 hpi with Hoechst and antibody against

PPAR-γ. Nuclear localization of PPAR-γ quantified by measuring nuclear grey value of at least

30 cells per condition. A single experiment is shown that is representative of n = 2. One way

ANOVA with Tukey post-test used to compare all conditions. DMSO vs. TLT ** p = 0.0049.

DMSO vs H99 ** p = 0.0040. TLT vs *Δplb1* * p = 0.0212. H99 vs. *Δplb1* * p = 0.0187. **A ii**

Transgenic zebrafish larvae with a GFP PPAR- γ reporter treated with DMSO, 250 nM

Troglitazone or 10 µM 15-keto-PGE$_2$ + 250 nM Troglitazone overnight and imaged. Lateral

views of 2 dpf embryos, anterior to the left, are shown. **B** J774 murine macrophages infected

with *Δplb1* or the parental strain H99. Infected cells treated with 25 µM GW9662 (a PPAR-γ

antagonist) or equivalent solvent (DMSO) control. Mean IPR from 6 biological repeats shown

with error bars representing standard deviation. An unpaired two tailed Student's t-test was

performed to compare each treatment group. H99 DMSO vs. H99 25 µM GW9662 * p = 0.026.

C *Δplb1*-GFP infected larvae treated with 10 µM 15-keto-PGE$_2$, 500 nM GW9662, 10 µM 15-

keto-PGE$_2$ + 500 nM GW9662 or an equivalent solvent (DMSO) control. Box and whiskers show

median, 5th percentile and 95th percentile. At least 35 larvae measured per treatment group from

2 biological repeats. Mann-Whitney U test used to compare between treatments. DMSO vs. 15-

keto-PGE$_2$ **** p <0.0001 (threshold for significance 0.025, corrected for multiple comparisons),

15-keto-PGE$_2$ vs. 15-keto-PGE$_2$ + 500 nm GW9662 ** p = 0.005 (threshold for significance

0.025, corrected for multiple comparisons) **D-F** 2 day old (2 dpf) *Nacre* zebrafish larvae injected

with 500 cell inoculum. Fungal burden measured at 2 days post infection (2 dpi) by counting

GFP positive pixels within each larvae. **D i** H99-GFP Infected larvae treated with 0.55 µM

Troglitazone (TLT) equivalent solvent (DMSO) control. Box and whiskers show median, 5th

percentile and 95th percentile. At least 55 larvae measured per treatment group over 3 biological

repeats. Mann-Whitney U test used to compare between treatments, DMSO vs. 0.55 µM

Troglitazone ** p = 0.0044 (threshold for significance 0.025, corrected for multiple comparisons).

E i *Δplb1*-GFP infected larvae treated with 0.55 µM Troglitazone (TLT) equivalent solvent

(DMSO) control. Box and whiskers show median, 5th percentile and 95th percentile. At least 35

larvae measured per treatment group from 2 biological repeats. Mann-Whitney U test used to

compare between treatments, DMSO vs. 0.55 µM Troglitazone ** p = 0.0089 (threshold for

significance 0.025, corrected for multiple comparisons). **F i** *Δlac1*-GFP infected larvae treated

with 0.55 µM Troglitazone (TLT) equivalent solvent (DMSO) control. At least 60 larvae

measured per treatment group from 3 biological repeats. Box and whiskers show median, 5th

percentile and 95th percentile, DMSO vs. 0.55 µM Troglitazone * p = 0.01 (threshold for

significance 0.025, corrected for multiple comparisons). **D ii, E ii and F ii,** Representative GFP

images (representative = median value) *C. neoformans* infected larvae, at 2 dpi treated with

0.55 µM Troglitazone (TLT) **D ii -** H99-GFP, **E ii -** *Δplb1*-GFP and **F ii** *Δlac1*-GFP.

Supplementary Fig 1

A Quantification of the vialibility of *C. neoformans* retrieved from the phagosomes of J774

macrophages at 2 hr and 20 hr post infection. Prior to, and during infection J774 macrophages

were treated with 2 mM PGE_2 or the equivalent amount of solvent (Ethanol) *Cryptococcus* cells

were counted with a hemocytometer / diluted and plated to give an expected number of 200

CFU - dead *Cryptococcus* cells are indistinguishable from live cells when counting with a

hemocytometer however a lower than expected CFU count would indicate that there is a

decrease in viability. Data is displayed as the fold change between the CFU count at 2 hpi and

20 hpi for each condition. A one-way ANOVA with Tukey post test was performed comparing all

conditions. H99 ETOH vs. *Δplb1* ** p = 0.0052, H99 ETOH vs. *Δplb1* ETOH ** p = 0.0056, H99

ETOH vs. *Δplb1* 2 mM PGE_2 * p = 0.029. **B i** Comparison of fungal burden between H99-GFP,

Δplb1-GFP and *Δlac1*-GFP infected larvae (Data reproduced from Fig2 Ai, Di and

Supplementary Fig 2 Bi for clarity) H99-GFP, *Δplb1*-GFP and *Δlac1*-GFP infected larvae imaged

at 0, 1, 2 and 3 dpi. At least 50 larvae measured per time point from 3 biological repeats. Box

and whiskers show median, 5th percentile and 95th percentile. Unpaired Mann-Whitney U tests

used to compare the burden between each strain for every time point. **B ii** Table of Mann-

Whitney U tests comparing burden for each strain between time points. **C** Whole body

macrophage counts of zebrafish larvae treated at 2 dpf with 10 μM PGE_2, 10 μM 15-keto-PGE_2

or an equivalent DMSO control for 2 days. Box and whiskers show median, 5th percentile and

95th percentile. At least 12 larvae quantified per treatment group per biological repeat n = 4.

Mann-Whitney U test used to treatments to DMSO control ** p = 0.0025.

Supplementary Fig 2

A J774 cells co-infected with a 50:50 mix of *Δlac1*-GFP and H99. Quantification of IPR for

Δlac1-GFP cells within *Δlac1*-GFP:H99 2:1 or 1:2 co-infected macrophages. At least 20 co-

infected macrophages were analysed for each condition over 4 experimental repeats. Student's

T test performed to compare ratios – 2:1 vs 1:2 * p = 0.012. **B i** *Δlac1*-GFP infected larvae

imaged at 0, 1, 2 and 3 dpi. Fungal burden measured by counting GFP positive pixels in each

larvae. At least 78 larvae measured per time point across 3 biological repeats. Box and

whiskers show median, 5th percentile and 95th percentile. Unpaired Mann-Whitney U tests used

to compare the burden between each strain for every time point, for p values see

(Supplementary Fig2 A + B). **B ii** Representative GFP images (representative = median value)

of 2dpi *Δlac1*-GFP infected larvae, untreated at 0,1,2,3 dpi **C i** *Δlac1*-GFP Infected larvae

treated with 10 μM prostaglandin E_2 or equivalent solvent (DMSO) control. At least 70 larvae

measured per treatment group across 4 biological repeats. Box and whiskers show median, 5th

percentile and 95th percentile. Unpaired Mann-Whitney U test used to compare between

treatments, DMSO vs. 10 μM PGE_2 * p = 0.035. **D i** *Δlac1*-GFP Infected larvae treated with 10

μM 16,16-dimethyl prostaglandin E_2 or equivalent solvent (DMSO) control. At least 75 larvae

measured per treatment group across 4 biological repeats. Box and whiskers show median, 5th

percentile and 95th percentile. Unpaired Mann-Whitney U test used to compare between

treatments, DMSO vs. 10 μM 16,16-dimethyl prostaglandin E_2 ns p = 0.062. **E i** *Δlac1*-GFP

Infected larvae treated with 10 μM 15-keto-prostaglandin E_2 or equivalent solvent (DMSO)

control. At least 58 larvae measured per treatment group across 3 biological repeats. Unpaired

Mann-Whitney U test used to compare between treatments DMSO vs. 10 μM 15-keto-

prostaglandin E_2 ns p= 0.50. **C ii, D ii, E ii** Representative GFP images (representative =

median value) *Δlac1*-GFP infected larvae, at 2 dpi treated with 10 μM PGE_2 (**C ii**), 16,16-dm-

PGE_2 (**D ii**) or 15-keto-PGE_2 (**E ii**).

1110

Supplementary Fig 3

A PGE_2 monoclonal EIA ELISA performed on supernatants from *C. neoformans* infected

macrophages collected at 18 hr post infection. Mean concentration of PGE_2 (pg per 1x10^6 cells)

plotted with SD, n = 2**. B** Quantification of IPR for *Δplb1* cells within *Δplb1*:H99-GFP co-infected

macrophages at initial burdens of 2:1, 3:1, 4:1 and vice versa. N=4. Student's T test performed

to compare ratios – 2:1 vs 1:2 * p = 0.0137. **C** Example images of immunofluorescence

experiments in J774 macrophages staining for PPAR-gamma nuclear localization (for

quantification see Fig 5 Ai). J774 cells treated with DMSO, 0.25 µM Troglitazone, infected with

H99-GFP or *Δplb1*-GFP at x60 magnification, scale bar = 10 µM. Images provided are from the

Cy3 channel (PPAR-γ) and the corresponding cell in DIC. The area of the nuclei is marked with

a white dotted line.

1122

1123   **Supplementary Fig 4**

1124

Genotyping to confirm zebrafish *ptges* CRISPR. Zebrafish were genotyped post assay (5 dpf),

an area of genomic DNA spanning the *ptges* gene ATG site (the CRISPR target) was amplified

with PCR to produce a 345 bp product. This product was digested with Mwol to produce

genotype specific banding patterns **A.** Schematic of the banding patterns expected for each

genotype following Mwol digestion – 1. Undigested product, a single 345 bp band 2. Wild type

genotype, 184, 109 and 52 bp bands 3. Hetrozygous genotype (*ptges* $^{+/-}$) 293, 184, 109 and 52

bp bands 4. Homozygous genotype (*ptges* $^{-/-}$) strong bands for 293 and 52 bp, weaker bands at

184 and 109 bp can sometimes be seen indicating a small amount of wildtype *ptges* is still

present (this is thought to be beneficial as low levels of *ptges* are required for larvae survival. **B**

Genotyping for H99 infected larvae, L = DNA ladder (NEB 50 bp ladder), wt = undigested wild

type control, wt dig = wild type digested control, numbers correspond to individual larvae

genotyped. H99 + tyro = *tyr* $^{-/-}$ larvae infected with H99-GFP. H99 + ptges = *ptges* $^{-/-}$ larvae

infected with H99-GFP **C** Genotyping for *Δplb1* infected larvae , L = DNA ladder (NEB 50 bp

ladder), wt = undigested wild type control, wt dig = wild type digested control, numbers

correspond to individual larvae genotyped. *Δplb1*+ tyro = *tyr* $^{-/-}$ larvae infected with *Δplb1*-GFP.

Δplb1+ ptges = *ptges* $^{-/-}$ larvae infected with *Δplb1*-GFP.

1141

1142

1143

H99-GFP

A i

GFP⁺ pixels per fish vs 0 dpi, 1 dpi, 2 dpi, 3 dpi

B i

GFP⁺ pixels per fish vs DMSO 2 dpi, 10 µM PGE$_2$ 2 dpi (*)

C i

GFP⁺ pixels per fish vs DMSO 2 dpi, 10 µM PGD$_2$ 2 dpi

Δ*plb1*-GFP

D i

GFP⁺ pixels per fish vs 0 dpi, 1 dpi, 2 dpi, 3 dpi

E i

GFP⁺ pixels per fish vs DMSO 2 dpi, 10 µM PGE$_2$ 2 dpi (***)

F i

GFP⁺ pixels per fish vs DMSO 2 dpi, 10 µM PGD$_2$ 2 dpi

A i

Nuclear plateau of intensity (grey value)

Condition: DMSO, 0.25 μM TLT, H99, Δ*plb1*

ii

DMSO

10 μM 15-keto PGE₂

0.25 μM TLT

0.25 μM TLT + 10 μM 15-keto PGE₂

Δ*plb1*-GFP

B

Mean IPR

H99 DMSO, H99 25 μM GW9662, Δ*plb1*DMSO, Δ*plb1* 25 μM GW9662

C

GFP⁺ pixels per fish

DMSO, 15-keto PGE₂ 10 μM, GW9662 500 nM, 15-keto PGE₂ + GW9662

H99-GFP Δ*plb1*-GFP Δ*lac1*-GFP

D i

GFP⁺ pixels per fish

DMSO 2 dpi, 0.55 μM Troglitazone 2 dpi

E i

GFP⁺ pixels per fish

DMSO 2 dpi, 0.55 μM Troglitazone 2 dpi

F i

GFP⁺ pixels per fish

DMSO 2 dpi, 0.55 μM Troglitazone 2 dpi

ii

DMSO

TLT

ii

DMSO

TLT

ii

DMSO

TLT