bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/115378; this version posted March 9, 2017. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

TITLE PAGE

Is the 25 year hepatitis C marathon coming to an end to declare victory?

Short title: Update on hepatitis C.

Khulood Ahmed, Ashraf Almashhrawi, Jamal A. Ibdah, Veysel Tahan.

University of Missouri Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Columbia, Missouri, USA.

Key words: 1) treatment, 2) therapy, 3) epidemiology, 4) history, 5) prevention.

Correspondence to:

VEYSEL TAHAN, MD, Professor (As),

Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University of Missouri, 1 Hospital Dr, Columbia, MO 65201, USA.

Email: tahanv@health.missouri.edu

Office Phone: +1 573-884-6044 & Office Fax: +1 573-884-4595

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/115378; this version posted March 9, 2017. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

ABSTRACT

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) which was originally recognized as posttransfusion non-A, non-B hepatitis has been a major global health problem affecting 3% of the world population. Interferon/peginterferon and ribavirin combination therapy was the backbone of chronic HCV therapy for two decades of the journey. However, interferon based treatment success rate was around 50% with many side effects. Many chronic HCV patients with psychiatric diseases or even cytopenias were ineligible for HCV treatment. Now we no longer need any injectable medicine. New direct-acting antiviral agents against HCV allowed the advance of interferon-free and ribavirin-free oral regimens with high rates of response and tolerability. The cost of the medications should not be a barrier to their access in certain parts of the world. While we are getting closer, we should still focus on preventing the spread of the disease, screening and delivering the cure to those in need globally. In the near future, development of an effective vaccine against HCV would make it possible to eradicate HCV infection worldwide completely.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/115378; this version posted March 9, 2017. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

INTRODUCTION

It has been less than three decades since the discovery of hepatitis C virus in 1989 by Choo and colleagues (1). The process of discovering the virus was very daunting as described in Dr. Houghton's paper (2). Recognized as the reason behind non-A non-B hepatitis, the big picture of the health and financial burden this virus would have caused became clear. With the high sustained virologic responses reported recently with the use of direct acting, soon will be forgotten the miserable quality of life patients of hepatitis C have had to endure with the not as effective and with unpleasant side effects interferon-based treatments. Not until five years ago when direct acting agents, protease inhibitors telaprevir (Incivec, Vertex) and boceprevir (Victrelis, Merck) were approved by the FDA, had we started seeing sustained virologic response (SVR) rates above 70%. Since then, many direct acting agents have been approved with SVR rates above 90%. While very promising, challenges for treatment such as access to medications and healthcare management, remain widely spread.

NATURAL HISTORY OF HEPATITIS C

After the acute infection, only 15%-25% of the patients get cured spontaneously and 75%-85% develop chronic infection with the diagnosis made if viremia persists 6 months from the onset. Chronic inflammation will lead eventually to structural damage or fibrosis which, as it progresses, will lead to cirrhosis. From those chronically infected, 10% to 15% develop cirrhosis (3). Progression of fibrosis can be influenced by host factors (such as older age at time of infection, male gender, coinfection with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) or hepatitis B virus (HBV), immunosuppression, insulin resistance, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis, hemochromatosis, schistosomiasis, and the grade and stage on the liver biopsy) as well as external factors (such as excessive alcohol drinking).

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/115378; this version posted March 9, 2017. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

Liver biopsy remains the gold standard for the grading and staging of chronic hepatitis C. The grade, which reflects the inflammation activity, is determined by the severity of mononuclear inflammatory cells around the portal areas, and by necrosis of the hepatocytes. The stage reflects the extent of the fibrosis which ranges from absent to mild or advanced in case of bridging fibrosis (fibrosis extending from a portal tract to another) or cirrhosis (fibrosis closing up in circles forming nodules).

Deaths usually are caused by complications of cirrhosis such as ascites, variceal bleeding, hepatic encephalopathy, hepatorenal syndrome, and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Unfortunately, the disease can progress silently until it is advanced and complications ensue. For compensated cirrhosis, 3, 5, and 10-year survival rates were 96%, 91%, and 79%, respectively (4). The 5-year survival rate drops to 50% once decompensated (4). HCC risk increased 17-fold in HCV-infected patients (5). This risk appears to have decreased in those with a sustained viral response rather than non-responders to interferon treatment (6). The rates of progression to cirrhosis and HCC have been variable with a mean time to cirrhosis estimated at 20 years (3, 7). HCC can develop at a rate of 1% to 4% per year (8-11).

EPIDEMIOLOGY OF HEPATITIS C

The prevalence of hepatitis C virus antibody in the USA is 1.6% (12). The highest prevalence (4.3%) was in people 40 to 49 years of age in 1999–2002 (12) and two-thirds of those infected were born between1945-1965. In 2007, HCV infection was associated with an estimated 15,000 deaths in the USA. (13). This number has risen to 19,695 in 2014 and this is now thought of as only a fraction of the actual number (14). Decompensated chronic HCV is the most common indication for liver transplantation in the USA (15, 16). Chronic hepatitis C is a leading cause of hepatocellular carcinoma (17). The incidence of acute hepatitis C in the USA was estimated to be 180,000 cases per year in the mid-1980s, but declined to approximately 30,000 new cases per year in 1995 (18), and to 16,000 cases in 2009 (19). In a more recent surveillance, the

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/115378; this version posted March 9, 2017. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

incidence of acute hepatitis C in the USA has been on the rise since 2011. The estimated number on the actual new cases was 16,500 in 2011 and has risen to 30,500 in 2014 (14).

SCREENING FOR HEPATITIS C

Because of the lack of symptoms in compensated disease and because 75% of patients chronically infected with hepatitis C are unaware of their infection (20), screening can help identify those infected before their disease progresses to a late stage. The U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) has found evidence that screening high risk population and one time screening of those born between 1945 and 1965 is of moderate benefit. The risk of stigmatization appeared small and although there was evidence for harm from liver biopsy of 1% bleeding risk and < 0.2 % death risk from liver biopsy, the use of liver biopsy to guide the management is becoming less. In light of the availability of effective antiviral agents that have rare and self-limited side effects, identifying patients with chronic hepatitis C and treating them is probably of benefit (21).

There is adequate evidence that anti–HCV antibody testing followed by confirmatory polymerase chain reaction testing accurately detects chronic HCV infection. The number needed to screen to identify 1 case of chronic hepatitis c in a high risk population, such as past or present injection drug use, sex with an injection drug user, or blood transfusion before 1992, is < 20 persons and anti–HCV antibody testing is associated with high sensitivity (>90%) (22). There is also evidence that different noninvasive tests have good diagnostic accuracy in detecting fibrosis (23).

IS THERE A VACCINE YET?

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/115378; this version posted March 9, 2017. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

Unlike hepatitis A and B, no vaccine is available to protect against hepatitis C. The high variability among different strains and the fast rate at which mutations can develop made it very challenging to create an effective vaccine (24). Several attempts are currently made to create a vaccine either by directing efforts at a relatively stable glycoprotein that is used by the virus to invade liver cells (24).

ASSAYS

Testing for hepatitis C has improved over the years. Anti-hepatitis C tests are accurate and with high sensitivity >90% in high risk groups. The CDC recommends one of three immunoassays; two enzyme immunoassays (EIA) (Abbott HCV EIA 2.0, Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, Illinois, and Ortho® HCV Version 3.0 ELISA, Ortho-Clinical Diagnostics, Raritan, New Jersey) and one enhanced chemiluminescence immunoassay (CIA) (Vitros® Anti-HCV assay, Ortho-Clinical Diagnostics, Raritan, New Jersey) for the initial screening (25). The OraQuick test has been also approved by the FDA for the initial screening in 2011 (26). A reactive initial test should be followed by a confirmatory nucleic acid test (NAT) where the plasma is tested to detect (qualitative) or detect and quantify (quantitative) hepatitis C RNA. If HCV RNA is detected, that indicates active hepatitis C infection. If HCV RNA is not detected, that indicates false positive HCV antibody test or resolved infection (27). Table 1 shows FDA approved anti-HCV tests (28).

MILESTONES IN THE HISTORY OF HEPATITIS C

1975 Non-A, non-B hepatitis was first described (29, 30).

1989 Randomized controlled trials were carried out using interferon alpha to treat non-A, non-B hepatitis (31-33).

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/115378; this version posted March 9, 2017. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

1989 Hepatitis C virus was identified (1).

1991 Ribavirin is used as a monotherapy for chronic hepatitis C (34, 35).

1995 The combination of interferon alpha and ribavirin were tested (36, 37).

1996 Hepatitis C serine protease structure was published (38).

1998 First randomized double-blind, placebo controlled study using recombinant interferon alpha alone or in combination with ribavirin (39, 40).

1999 Structure of hepatitis C RNA- dependent RNA polymerase NS5B was identified (41, 42).

2001 Pegylated interferon alpha and ribavirin were used in trials (43, 44).

2005 Structure of NS5A was published (45).

2011 First direct acting agents: Protease inhibitors were used in combination with pegylated interferon and ribavirin to treat hepatitis C genotype 1 (46, 47).

2012 Pilot studies using combinations of direct-acting antiviral drugs without interferon (48).

2014- Several direct acting antiviral medications were released to the market to treat different hepatitis C genotypes with sustained virologic response exceeding 90% and with better tolerability.

DIRECT ACTING AGENTS ERA

In 2011, telaprevir and boceprevir became available as the first direct acting antivirals for the treatment of chronic hepatitis C with variable sustained virologic responses (SVRs) for the different genotypes. While the use of the protease inhibitors was a great milestone in the journey of treating hepatitis C with SVRs above 70%, it had limiting

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/115378; this version posted March 9, 2017. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

factors such as the need to use in combination with pegylated interferon and ribavirin, and their limitations in treating those with decompensated cirrhosis. pegylated interferon and ribavirin have exerted their antiviral activities by modulating the host immunity rather than directly inhibiting the virus. With the better understanding of the hepatitis C virus non-structural proteins, agents that inhibited those proteins have shown promise by directly disabling the life cycle of the virus.

To overcome those species with resistance against the various agents, combination therapy with agents attacking different vital functions became available. Several trials have been conducted with many drugs and combinations of drugs tested in patients with different genotypes and subtypes as well as groups who were treatment naive and those with experience. Defining cure by achieving SVR, defined as the absence of virus detection with an acceptable HCV RNA assay at 12 weeks after the end of the treatment, therapy outcomes were compared in those with and without cirrhosis, and between those with and without disease decompensation. While some data is still lacking in different special groups, we are learning more about how to treat those with comorbidities such as coinfection with HIV or those with renal disease. Most of the regimens available at this time are interferon –free regimens and all oral medications with very limited side effects and with SVRs > 85% and in many cases > 95%. Table 2 summarizes the latest recommendations for treating the different HCV genotypes in different groups of patients (49-58).

SO DID WE FIND THE IDEAL CURE?

With manufacturing highly potent medications, we may be winning a battle against hepatitis C but are we winning the war? The challenge in eradicating the disease lies in delivering and administering those medications to the appropriate patients and ensure their compliance with the treatment and follow up. Patient access to the medications is limited by cost, lack of healthcare services, and ignorance. While the AASLD

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/115378; this version posted March 9, 2017. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

recommend treating everybody with chronic hepatitis C, third party payers may restrict treatment to those with advanced disease. Payers may also limit the choice of medications authorized. Many healthcare providers choose not to treat hepatitis C because of the perception that such treatment is complex or at least time and resources consuming. Some providers and insurers will decline those with ongoing illicit drug or alcohol use. Many patients, estimated 75% of those infected, do not know they have the disease and, therefore, do not seek the appropriate care. While such hindrances have been there all along, the challenge seems to be shifting more towards socioeconomic nature.

WHAT IS NEXT?

As more direct acting agents are coming out of the pipeline, healthcare managers will have to face the major task of making those medicines available to chronic hepatitis C patients. Spreading awareness about the need for screening and for treatment if infected not only in the population at large, but also among the healthcare providers, will help identifying more cases of infection and help provide those with the appropriate treatment. One of the efforts that is successfully dismantling some of those barriers in the Extended Community Healthcare Outcomes project (ECHO). Launched in New Mexico in 1993, the project has grown to encompass several national and international hubs. Those hubs provide healthcare providers with the appropriate educational and coaching resources to empower them with the knowledge and with the How-To guidance to treat patients in their communities where no specialized care is available. This project has helped many patients in rural areas to receive treatment without the need to travel out of their own towns (59, 60). The project provides healthcare providers with direct access to specialized knowledge and provides them with step-by-step coaching which helps in alleviating the misperceptions about the complexity of the treatment and, hence, recruiting more providers in the war against hepatitis C.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/115378; this version posted March 9, 2017. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

On the preventative front, efforts should be also directed towards studying the increasing incidence of hepatitis C acute infection to identify the newer trends behind this surge and to try to eliminate them. While treating acute hepatitis C infection is still not recommended, we may need to revisit the guidelines to facilitate for treating early on, particularly now that we have highly potent medications with few tolerable side effects.

Finally, efforts toward developing effective vaccines should be boosted as history tells us that most of success stories in eradicating infectious illness were made possible largely because of vaccines against the offending pathogen.

CONCLUSION

While we are getting closer, it is still early to declare victory against hepatitis C. We are armed with better ammunition, but we need to do better job in developing strategies that not only deliver cure to those in need, but also prevent the spread of the disease by educating the population about the risk factors for contracting the disease and how to avoid them, identifying the undiagnosed, and providing early treatment to those in need.

REFERENCES

1. Choo QL, Kuo G, Weiner AJ, Overby LR, Bradley DW, Houghton M. Isolation of a cDNA clone derived from a blood-borne non-A, non-B viral hepatitis genome. *Science* 1989; 244: 359-362.

2. Houghton M. The long and winding road leading to the identification of the hepatitis C virus. *J Hepatol* 2009; 51: 939-948.

3. NIH. National Institutes of Health Consensus Development Conference

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/115378; this version posted March 9, 2017. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

Statement: Management of hepatitis C 2002 (June 10-12, 2002). *Gastroenterology* 2002; 123: 2082-2099.

4. Fattovich G, Giustina G, Degos F, Tremolada F, Diodati G, Almasio P, Nevens F, et al. Morbidity and mortality in compensated cirrhosis type C: a retrospective follow-up study of 384 patients. *Gastroenterology* 1997; 112: 463-472.

5. Donato F, Boffetta P, Puoti M. A meta-analysis of epidemiological studies on the combined effect of hepatitis B and C virus infections in causing hepatocellular carcinoma. *Int J cancer* 1998; 75: 347-354.

6. Papatheodoridis GV, Papadimitropoulos VC, Hadziyannis SJ. Effect of interferon therapy on the development of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with hepatitis C virus-related cirrhosis: a meta-analysis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2001; 15: 689-698.

7. Seeff LB. Natural history of chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 2002; 36: S35-46.

8. Gordon SC, Bayati N, Silverman AL. Clinical outcome of hepatitis C as a function of mode of transmission. *Hepatology* 1998; 28: 562-567.

9. Degos F, Christidis C, Ganne-Carrie N, Farmachidi JP, Degott C, Guettier C, Trinchet JC, et al. Hepatitis C virus related cirrhosis: time to occurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma and death. *Gut* 2000; 47: 131-136.

10. Chiba T, Matsuzaki Y, Abei M, Shoda J, Aikawa T, Tanaka N, Osuga T. Multivariate analysis of risk factors for hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with hepatitis C virus-related liver cirrhosis. *J Gastroenterol* 1996; 31: 552-558.

11. Bruno S, Silini E, Crosignani A, Borzio F, Leandro G, Bono F, Asti M, et al. Hepatitis C virus genotypes and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhosis: a prospective study. *Hepatology* 1997; 25: 754-758.

12. Armstrong GL, Wasley A, Simard EP, McQuillan GM, Kuhnert WL, Alter MJ. The prevalence of hepatitis C virus infection in the United States, 1999 through 2002. *Ann Intern Med* 2006; 144: 705-714.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/115378; this version posted March 9, 2017. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

13. Ly KN, Xing J, Klevens RM, Jiles RB, Ward JW, Holmberg SD. The increasing burden of mortality from viral hepatitis in the United States between 1999 and 2007. *Ann Intern Med* 2012; 156: 271-278.

14. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Viral Hepatitis Surveillance, United States, 2014. 2014: 25-36. https://www.cdc.gov/hepatitis/statistics/2014surveillance/pdfs/2014hepsurveillancerpt.pdf.

15. Busch MP. Insights into the epidemiology, natural history and pathogenesis of hepatitis C virus infection from studies of infected donors and blood product recipients. *Transfus Clin Biol J la Société Fr Transfus Sang* 2001; 8: 200-206.

16. Kim WR. The burden of hepatitis C in the United States. *Hepatology* 2002; 36: S30-4.

17. El-Serag HB. Hepatocellular carcinoma: recent trends in the United States. *Gastroenterology* 2004; 127: S27-34.

18. Alter MJ, Kruszon-Moran D, Nainan O V, McQuillan GM, Gao F, Moyer LA, Kaslow RA, et al. The prevalence of hepatitis C virus infection in the United States, 1988 through 1994. *N Engl J Med* 1999; 341: 556-562.

19. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Disease Burden from Viral Hepatitis A, B, and C in the United States. *CDC Div Viral Hepat - Stat Surveill* 2006. 2006. http://www.cdc.gov/hepatitis/PDFs/disease_burden.pdf.

20. Hagan H, Campbell J, Thiede H, Strathdee S, Ouellet L, Kapadia F, Hudson S, et al. Self-reported hepatitis C virus antibody status and risk behavior in young injectors. *Public Health Rep* 2006; 121: 710-719.

21. Moyer VA. Screening for hepatitis C virus infection in adults: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *Ann Intern Med* 2013; 159: 349-357.

22. Chou R, Cottrell EB, Wasson N, Rahman B, Guise J-M. Screening for hepatitis C virus infection in adults: a systematic review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/115378; this version posted March 9, 2017. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

Force. *Ann Intern Med* 2013; 158: 101-108.

23. Chou R, Wasson N. Blood tests to diagnose fibrosis or cirrhosis in patients with chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *Ann Intern Med* 2013; 159: 372.

24. Kong L, Giang E, Nieusma T, Kadam RU, Cogburn KE, Hua Y, Dai X, et al. Hepatitis C virus E2 envelope glycoprotein core structure. *Science* 2013; 342: 1090-1094.

25. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Testing for HCV infection: an update of guidance for clinicians and laboratorians. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2013; 62: 362-365.

26. Shivkumar S, Peeling R, Jafari Y, Joseph L, Pant Pai N. Accuracy of rapid and point-of-care screening tests for hepatitis C: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med* 2012; 157: 558-566.

27. Kamili S, Drobeniuc J, Araujo AC, Hayden TM. Laboratory diagnostics for hepatitis C virus infection. *Clin Infect Dis* 2012; 55: S43-8.

28. AASLD/ISDA. *HCV Guidance: HCV Testing and Linkage to Care*. http://www.hcvguidelines.org/full-report/testing-and-linkage-care-table-1-fda-approved-commercially-available-anti-hcv-screening.

29. Alter HJ, Holland P V, Morrow AG, Purcell RH, Feinstone SM, Moritsugu Y. Clinical and serological analysis of transfusion-associated hepatitis. *Lancet (London, England)* 1975; 2: 838-841.

30. Feinstone SM, Kapikian AZ, Purcell RH, Alter HJ, Holland P V. Transfusion-associated hepatitis not due to viral hepatitis type A or B. *N Engl J Med* 1975; 292: 767-770.

31. Hoofnagle JH, Mullen KD, Jones DB, Rustgi V, Di Bisceglie A, Peters M, Waggoner JG, et al. Treatment of chronic non-A,non-B hepatitis with recombinant human alpha interferon. A preliminary report. *N Engl J Med* 1986; 315: 1575-

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/115378; this version posted March 9, 2017. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

1578.

32. Di Bisceglie AM, Martin P, Kassianides C, Lisker-Melman M, Murray L, Waggoner J, Goodman Z, et al. Recombinant interferon alfa therapy for chronic hepatitis C. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *N Engl J Med* 1989; 321: 1506-1510.

33. Marcellin P, Boyer N, Gervais A, Martinot M, Pouteau M, Castelnau C, Kilani A, et al. Long-term histologic improvement and loss of detectable intrahepatic HCV RNA in patients with chronic hepatitis C and sustained response to interferon-alpha therapy. *Ann Intern Med* 1997; 127: 875-881.

34. Reichard O, Andersson J, Schvarcz R, Weiland O. Ribavirin treatment for chronic hepatitis C. *Lancet (London, England)* 1991; 337: 1058-1061.

35. Di Bisceglie AM, Shindo M, Fong TL, Fried MW, Swain MG, Bergasa NV, Axiotis CA, et al. A pilot study of ribavirin therapy for chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 1992; 16: 649-654.

36. Schalm SW, Hansen BE, Chemello L, Bellobuono A, Brouwer JT, Weiland O, Cavalletto L,et al. Ribavirin enhances the efficacy but not the adverse effects of interferon in chronic hepatitis C. Meta-analysis of individual patient data from European centers. *J Hepatol* 1997; 26: 961-966.

37. Schvarcz R, Yun ZB, Sönnerborg A, Weiland O. Combined treatment with interferon alpha-2b and ribavirin for chronic hepatitis C in patients with a previous non-response or non-sustained response to interferon alone. *J Med Virol* 1995; 46: 43-47.

38. Kim JL, Morgenstern KA, Lin C, Fox T, Dwyer MD, Landro JA, Chambers SP, et al. Crystal structure of the hepatitis C virus NS3 protease domain complexed with a synthetic NS4A cofactor peptide. *Cell* 1996; 87: 343-355.

39. McHutchison JG, Gordon SC, Schiff ER, Shiffman ML, Lee WM, Rustgi VK, Goodman ZD, et al. Interferon alfa-2b alone or in combination with ribavirin as initial treatment for chronic hepatitis C. Hepatitis Interventional Therapy Group. *N Engl J Med* 1998; 339: 1485-1492.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/115378; this version posted March 9, 2017. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

40. Reichard O, Norkrans G, Frydén A, Braconier JH, Sönnerborg A, Weiland O. Randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of interferon alpha-2b with and without ribavirin for chronic hepatitis C. The Swedish Study Group. *Lancet (London, England)* 1998; 351: 83-87.

41. Lesburg CA, Cable MB, Ferrari E, Hong Z, Mannarino AF, Weber PC. Crystal structure of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase from hepatitis C virus reveals a fully encircled active site. *Nat Struct Biol* 1999; 6: 937-943.

42. Ago H, Adachi T, Yoshida A, Yamamoto M, Habuka N, Yatsunami K, Miyano M. Crystal structure of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase of hepatitis C virus. *Structure* 1999; 7: 1417-1426.

43. Manns MP, McHutchison JG, Gordon SC, Rustgi VK, Shiffman M, Reindollar R, Goodman ZD, et al. Peginterferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin compared with interferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin for initial treatment of chronic hepatitis C: a randomised trial. *Lancet (London, England)* 2001; 358: 958-965.

44. Fried MW, Shiffman ML, Reddy KR, Smith C, Marinos G, Gonçales FL Jr, Häussinger D, et al. Peginterferon alfa-2a plus ribavirin for chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *N Engl J Med* 2002; 347: 975-982.

45. Tellinghuisen TL, Marcotrigiano J, Rice CM. Structure of the zinc-binding domain of an essential component of the hepatitis C virus replicase. *Nature* 2005; 435: 374-379.

46. Poordad F, McCone J, Bacon BR, Bruno S, Manns MP, Sulkowski MS, Jacobson IM, et al. Boceprevir for untreated chronic HCV genotype 1 infection. *N Engl J Med* 2011; 364: 1195-1206.

47. Jacobson IM, McHutchison JG, Dusheiko G, Di Bisceglie AM, Reddy KR, Bzowej NH, Marcellin P, et al. Telaprevir for previously untreated chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *N Engl J Med* 2011; 364: 2405-2416.

48. Lok AS, Gardiner DF, Lawitz E, Martorell C, Everson GT, Ghalib R, Reindollar R, et al. Preliminary study of two antiviral agents for hepatitis C genotype 1. *N Engl J Med* 2012; 366: 216-224.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/115378; this version posted March 9, 2017. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

49. Afdhal N, Reddy KR, Nelson DR, Lawitz E, Gordon SC, Schiff E, Nahass R, et al. Ledipasvir and sofosbuvir for previously treated HCV genotype 1 infection. *N Engl J Med* 2014; 370: 1483-1493.

50. Afdhal N, Zeuzem S, Kwo P, Chojkier M, Gitlin N, Puoti M, Romero-Gomez M, et al. Ledipasvir and sofosbuvir for untreated HCV genotype 1 infection. *N Engl J Med* 2014; 370: 1889-1898.

51. Feld JJ, Jacobson IM, Hézode C, Asselah T, Ruane PJ, Gruener N, Abergel A, et al. Sofosbuvir and Velpatasvir for HCV Genotype 1, 2, 4, 5, and 6 Infection. *N Engl J Med* 2015; 373: 2599-2607.

52. Feld JJ, Kowdley K V, Coakley E, Sigal S, Nelson DR, Crawford D, Weiland O, et al. Treatment of HCV with ABT-450/r-ombitasvir and dasabuvir with ribavirin. *N Engl J Med* 2014; 370: 1594-1603.

53. Kowdley K V, Gordon SC, Reddy KR, Rossaro L, Bernstein DE, Lawitz E, Shiffman ML, et al. Ledipasvir and sofosbuvir for 8 or 12 weeks for chronic HCV without cirrhosis. *N Engl J Med* 2014; 370: 1879-1888.

54. Lawitz E, Gane E, Pearlman B, Tam E, Ghesquiere W, Guyader D, Alric L, et al. Efficacy and safety of 12 weeks versus 18 weeks of treatment with grazoprevir (MK-5172) and elbasvir (MK-8742) with or without ribavirin for hepatitis C virus genotype 1 infection in previously untreated patients with cirrhosis and patients with previous . *Lancet (London, England)* 2015; 385: 1075-1086.

55. Lawitz E, Mangia A, Wyles D, Rodriguez-Torres M, Hassanein T, Gordon SC, Schultz M, et al. Sofosbuvir for previously untreated chronic hepatitis C infection. *N Engl J Med* 2013; 368: 1878-1887.

56. Sulkowski MS, Gardiner DF, Rodriguez-Torres M, Reddy KR, Hassanein T, Jacobson I, Lawitz E, et al. Daclatasvir plus sofosbuvir for previously treated or untreated chronic HCV infection. *N Engl J Med* 2014; 370: 211-221.

57. Zeuzem S, Dusheiko GM, Salupere R, Mangia A, Flisiak R, Hyland RH, Illeperuma A, et al. Sofosbuvir and ribavirin in HCV genotypes 2 and 3. *N Engl J Med* 2014; 370: 1993-2001.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/115378; this version posted March 9, 2017. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

58. AASLD/ISDA. Initial treatment of HCV infection. 2016. http://www.hcvguidelines.org/printpdf/71.

59. Arora S, Thornton K, Murata G, Deming P, Kalishman S, Dion D, Parish B, et al. Outcomes of treatment for hepatitis C virus infection by primary care providers. *N Engl J Med* 2011; 364: 2199-2207.

60. Tahan V, Almashhrawi A, Mutrux R, Ibdah JA. Show Me ECHO-Hepatitis C: A telemedicine mentoring program for patients with hepatitis C in underserved and rural areas in Missouri as a model in developing countries. *Turk J Gastroenterol* 2015; 26: 447-449.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/115378; this version posted March 9, 2017. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

Table 1: FDA approved Anti-HCV tests [28]

Abbott HCV EIA 2.0	Abbott Laboratories, AbbottPark, IL	EIA (Manual)
Advia Centaur HCV	Siemens, Malvern, PA, USA	CIA (Automated)
ARCHITECT Anti-HCV	Abbott Laboratories, AbbottPark, IL	CMIA (Automated)
AxSYM Anti-HCV	Abbott Laboratories, AbbottPark, IL	MEIA (Automated)
OraQuick Rapid Test	OraSure Technologies,Bethlehem,PA	Immunochromatographic (Manual)
Ortho HCV Version 3.0 EIA	Ortho	EIA (Manual)
VITROS Anti-HCV	Ortho	CIA (Automated)

Anti-HCV = HCV antibody; EIA = enzyme immunoassay; CIA = chemiluminescent immunoassay; MEIA= microparticle enzyme immunoassay; CMIA = chemiluminescent microparticle immunoassay

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/115378; this version posted March 9, 2017. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

Table 2: AASLD/IDSA Guideline Recommendations: Genotypes 1,2,3,4,5 and 6 HCV [58]

HCV Genotype	Cirrhosis	Prior Tx	Recommended Regimen	Alternative Regimen	Notes
1a	No		LDV/SOF 12 wks		
			DCV+ SOF 12 wks		
			SMV+SOF 12 wks		
			SOF/VEL 12 wks		
			GZR/EBR 12 wks		NS5A RAVs absent
				GZR/EBR 16 wks + RBV	NS5A RAVs present
			OBV/PTV/RTV+DSV 12 wks +RBV		
1b	No		LDV/SOF 12 wks		
			DCV+ SOF 12 wks		
			SMV+SOF 12 wks		
			SOF/VEL 12 wks		
			GZR/EBR 12 wks		
			OBV/PTV/RTV+ DSV 12 wks		
1a	Compensated	Naive	LDV/SOF 12 wks		
				DCV+ SOF 24 wks +/- RBV	
				SMV+SOF 24 wks +/- RBV	No Q80K
			SOF/VEL 12 wks		
			GZR/EBR 12 wks		NS5A RAVs absent
				GZR/EBR 16 wks + RBV	NS5A RAVs present
				OBV/PTV/RTV+DSV 24 wks +RBV	
1a	Compensated	PR exp	LDV/SOF 12 wks +RBV or 24 wks		
				DCV+ SOF 24 wks +/- RBV	
				SMV+SOF 24 wks +/- RBV	No Q80K
			SOF/VEL 12 wks		
			GZR/EBR 12 wks		NS5A RAVs absent
				GZR/EBR 16 wks + RBV	NS5A RAVs present
				OBV/PTV/RTV+DSV 24 wks	

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/115378; this version posted March 9, 2017. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

				+RBV	
1b	Compensated	Naive	LDV/SOF 12 wks		
				DCV+ SOF 24 wks +/- RBV	
				SMV+SOF 24 wks +/- RBV	
			SOF/VEL 12 wks		
			GZR/EBR 12 wks		
			OBV/PTV/RTV+DSV 12 wks		
1b	Compensated	PR exp	LDV/SOF 12 wks +RBV or 24 wks		
				DCV+ SOF 24 wks +/- RBV	
				SMV+SOF 24 wks +/- RBV	
			SOF/VEL 12 wks		
			GZR/EBR 12 wks		
			OBV/PTV/RTV+DSV 12 wks		
1a or 1b	Decompensated	Naive or exp	SOF/VEL 12 wks + RBV		Child-Pugh B or C
2	No	Naive	SOF/VEL 12 wks		
				SOF + DCV 12 wks	
2	No	PR exp	SOF/VEL 12 wks		
				SOF + DCV 12 wks	
2	No	SR exp	DCV+ SOF 24 wks +/- RBV		
			SOF/VEL 12 wks + RBV		
2	Compensated	Naive	SOF/VEL 12 wks		
				SOF + DCV 16-24 wks	
2	Compensated	PR exp	SOF/VEL 12 wks		
				SOF + DCV 16-24 wks	
2	Compensated	SR exp	DCV+ SOF 24 wks +/- RBV		
			SOF/VEL 12 wks + RBV		
2	Decompensated	Naive or exp	SOF/VEL 12 wks + WB RBV		Child-Pugh B or C
			DCV+ SOF 12 wks + low initial dose RBV		Child-Pugh B or C
3	No	Naive	SOF + DCV 12 wks		
			SOF/VEL 12 wks		
3	No	PR exp	SOF + DCV 12 wks		
			SOF/VEL 12 wks		
3	No	SR exp	DCV+ SOF 24 wks + RBV		

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/115378; this version posted March 9, 2017. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

			SOF/VEL 12 wks + RBV		
3	Compensated	Naive	SOF/VEL 12 wks		
			SOF + DCV 24 wks +/- RBV		
3	Compensated	PR exp	SOF/VEL 12 wks + RBV		
			SOF + DCV 24 wks + RBV		
3	Compensated	SR exp	SOF + DCV 24 wks + RBV		
			SOF/VEL 12 wks + RBV		
3	Decompensated	Naive or exp	SOF/VEL 12 wks + WB RBV		Child-Pugh B or C
			DCV+ SOF 12 wks + low initial dose RBV		Child-Pugh B or C
4	No cirrhosis or compensated	Naive	SOF/LDV 12 wks		
			OBV/PTV/RTV 12 wks + RBV		
			GRZ/EBV 12 wks		
			SOF/VEL 12 wks		
4	No	PR exp	SOF/LDV 12 wks		
			OBV/PTV/RTV 12 wks + RBV		
			GRZ/EBV 12 wks		Relapse
			GRZ/EBV 16 wks + RBV		Others
			SOF/VEL 12 wks		
4	Compensated	PR exp	SOF/LDV 12 wks + RBV	SOF/LDV 24 wks	
			OBV/PTV/RTV 12 wks + RBV		
			GRZ/EBV 12 wks		Relapse
			GRZ/EBV 16 wks + RBV		Others
			SOF/VEL 12 wks		
5 or 6	No cirrhosis or compensated	Naive or PR exp	SOF/LDV 12 wks		
			SOF/VEL 12 wks		

AASLD, American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases; DCV, daclatasvir; DSV, dasabuvir; EBR, elbasvir; GT, genotype; GZR, grazoprevir; LDV, ledipasvir; OBV, ombitasvir; PR, peginterferon/ribavirin; PTV, paritaprevir; RAV, resistance associated variant; RBV, ribavirin; RTV, ritonavir; SMV, simeprevir; SOF, sofosbuvir; VEL, velpatasvir; WB, weight-based;SR, sofosbuvir/ribavirin; exp, experienced; Tx, treatment; wks, weeks.