A two-enzyme adaptive unit within bacterial folate metabolism

Andrew F. Schober[1,2], Andrew D. Mathis[1,2], Christine Ingle[1], Junyoung O. Park[3,4,‡], Li Chen[3,5], Joshua D. Rabinowitz[3,5], Ivan Junier[6], Olivier Rivoire[7] and Kimberly A. Reynolds[1,2*]

[1]*The Green Center for Systems Biology, and* [2]*Department of Biophysics, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX 75390, USA*

[3]*Lewis-Sigler Institute for Integrative Genomics,* [4]*Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, and* [5]*Department of Chemistry, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA*

[6]*Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Université Grenoble Alpes, TIMC-IMAG, F-38000 Grenoble, France*

[7]*Center for Interdisciplinary Research in Biology (CIRB), Collège de France, CNRS, INSERM, PSL Research University, F-75005 Paris, France*

‡*Current address: Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA*

*Please address correspondence to:

Kimberly Reynolds
Green Center for Systems Biology
UT Southwestern Medical Center
6001 Forest Park Rd.
Dallas, TX 75390-8597

Ph: 214-645-6157
kimberly.reynolds@utsouthwestern.edu

Short title: Comparative genomics and adaptive interactions in folate metabolism

Keywords:

co-evolution, comparative genomics, folate metabolism, dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR),

thymidylate synthase, experimental evolution

Metabolic enzyme function and evolution is influenced by the larger context of a biochemical pathway – deleterious mutations or perturbations in one enzyme can often be compensated by mutations to others. To explore strategies for mapping adaptive dependencies between enzymes, we used a combination of comparative genomics and experiments to examine interactions with the model metabolic enzyme Dihydrofolate Reductase (DHFR). Biochemically, DHFR shares a metabolic intermediate with numerous folate metabolic enzymes. In contrast, comparative genomics analyses of synteny and gene co-occurrence indicate a sparse pattern of evolutionary couplings in which DHFR is coupled to the enzyme thymidylate synthase (TYMS), but is relatively independent from the rest of folate metabolism. To test this apparent modularity, we used quantitative growth rate measurements and forward evolution in *E. coli* to demonstrate that the two enzymes are coupled to one another, and can adapt independently from the remainder of the genome. Mechanistically, the coupling between DHFR and TYMS is driven by a constraint wherein TYMS activity must not greatly exceed that of DHFR – both to avoid depletion of reduced folates and prevent accumulation of the metabolic intermediate dihydrofolate. Extending our comparative genomics analyses genome-wide reveals over 200 gene pairs with statistical signatures similar to DHFR/TYMS, suggesting the possibility that cellular pathways might be decomposed into small adaptive units.

1 Introduction

The collective action of enzymes in metabolism produces the basic materials for cells to

grow and divide. Biochemical pathway maps provide a rich description of the reactions and

intermediates needed for metabolism, yet it remains difficult to predict how metabolic systems

will respond to perturbation. That is, if the activity or expression level of a particular enzyme

were changed, which (if any) of the other enzymes in metabolism would require compensatory

modification?   Because the pattern of such adaptive interactions between proteins remains

largely unknown, our ability to rationally engineer new systems [1-3], understand how the cell

responds to perturbations [4, 5], and quantify the relationship between mutations and disease [6,

7] is limited. An ability to globally map dependencies between proteins and to identify groups of

enzymes within a pathway that adapt as distinct subunits would help render cellular systems

more tractable and predictable.

To begin to address this problem, we used a combination of comparative genomics and

experiments to measure the pattern of adaptive interactions with the folate metabolic enzyme

Dihydrofolate Reductase (DHFR). DHFR is an essential enzyme involved in the synthesis of

purine nucleotides, thymidine and several amino acids [8]. As such, it is a common target of

antibiotics, antimalarials, and chemotherapeutics [9, 10]. In recent years, it has become a

prominent model system for understanding the evolution of drug resistance [11-15], evolution of

protein conformational dynamics [16-18], and constraints on horizontal gene transfer [19, 20].

However, it remains unclear how changes to other enzymes in folate metabolism influence the

relationship between DHFR function and cellular fitness.   Understanding how mutations in other

enzymes can compensate for loss of DHFR function is important to questions of antibiotic

resistance and the evolution of folate metabolism. More generally, DHFR provides a well-

studied model system to test computational approaches for predicting adaptive interactions.

Examining patterns of gene neighborhood and gene loss/gain across species presents a

practical strategy for inferring genes that share an adaptive constraint. We used analyses of both

synteny (conservation of chromosomal proximity) and gene co-occurrence across 1445 bacterial

genomes to create a statistical map of evolutionary couplings within folate metabolism. Though

the folate biochemical pathway map is highly interconnected (many enzymes share a metabolic

intermediate), our comparative genomics analyses suggest a sparse architecture of adaptive

interactions in folate metabolism. Four small groups of genes appear as independent co-

evolutionary units: the genes within a group co-evolve with each other, but each group appears to

evolve relatively independently from the remainder of folate metabolism (and the genome). One

of these gene groups contains the enzymes DHFR and Thymidylate Synthase (TYMS). Overall,

these results suggest that small enzyme groups might adapt as relatively independent units inside

the broader context of folate metabolism.

To test whether DHFR and TYMS are more coupled to each other than to the remainder

of the biochemical pathway, we used quantitative measurements of growth rate, metabolomics

and forward evolution in *E. coli* to map couplings to both DHFR and TYMS. We demonstrate

that these two enzymes are (1) coupled through a shared metabolite, (2) are relatively less

coupled to the remainder of folate metabolism and (3) can adapt independently from the

remainder of the genome. In particular, we observe that mutations in these two enzymes are

sufficient for *E. coli* to acquire resistance to the inhibition of DHFR by trimethoprim. Extending

our statistical analyses of co-evolution genome wide reveals additional gene pairs that co-evolve

with one another yet are relatively independent from the rest of the genome. This provides a set

of hypotheses for future experimental testing, and suggests that the finding of small adaptive

units embedded within larger cellular systems may be a general feature of cellular networks.

Results

A comparative genomics-based map of evolutionary coupling in folate metabolism

Starting from DHFR (encoded by the *E. coli* gene *folA*), we selected 16 folate metabolic

genes for study using information from the STRING database (v10.5 , [21]) and KEGG pathway

maps [22]. STRINGdb is an online database of known and predicted protein interactions that

uses a combination of information – including synteny, co-occurrence, online databases, co-

expression, high-throughput experiments (e.g. yeast two hybrid data), and automated text mining

– to produce an amalgamated confidence score [21]. This amalgamated score is benchmarked to

predict the likelihood that two enzymes are in the same KEGG pathway. We selected all highest

confidence interactions to DHFR (encoded by the *E. coli* gene *folA*). This results in fourteen

genes, all of which encode an enzyme sharing a product or substrate with DHFR. We added two

more genes (*metF*, *folD*) to this set to complete the folate cycle on the basis of KEGG pathway

information.

This selection of genes encompasses core folate metabolism – a set of reactions that

interconvert various folate species and produce methionine, glycine, thymidine and purine

nucleotides in the process (Fig 1A and Table S1) [8]. The input of the pathway is 7,8-

dihydrofolate (DHF), produced by the bifunctional enzyme dihydrofolate

synthase/folylpolyglutamate synthetase (FPGS) through the addition of L-glutamate to

dihydropteroate. Once DHF is formed, it is reduced to 5,6,7,8-tetrahydrofolate (THF) by the

enzyme dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) using NADPH as a co-factor. THF can then be

modified by a diversity of one-carbon groups at both the N-5 and N-10 positions, and

subsequently serves as a one-carbon donor in several critical reactions, including the synthesis of

a few amino acids and purine nucleotides (Fig 1A, bottom square portion of pathway). The only

step that oxidizes reduced folate (5,10-Methylene THF) back to DHF is catalyzed by the enzyme

thymidylate synthase (TYMS), which modifies uridine monophosphate (dUMP) to thymidine

monophosphate (dTMP) in the process.

Figure 1. Biochemical and statistical representations of folate metabolism. **A**, Biochemical

pathway map of folate metabolism. Blue font indicates metabolites, abbreviated enzyme (*gene*)

names are in black or grey text. Black text and lines correspond to enzymes annotated as highest

confidence interactions for DHFR (*folA*) in STRINGdb v10.5. See Table S1 for a more complete

description of each enzyme. **B-C,** Heatmaps of evolutionary coupling between gene pairs in

folate metabolism as evaluated by gene synteny and co-occurrence. Pixel color shows the extent

of coupling between genes, indicated as a relative entropy, D_{ij}^{intra}. Enzyme names are indicated

on the left and top of the matrix in black text, the corresponding gene names are given at right in

grey italics. In *E. coli*, a single gene (*folD*) encodes a bifunctional enzyme that catalyzes both the

methylene tetrahydrofolate dehydrogenase (MTD) and methenyltetrahydrofolate cyclohydrolase

(MTCH) reactions in the biochemical pathway as shown in A. The majority of gene pairs show

little co-evolution by either measure (dark purple pixels). See also Fig S1.

Consistent with the stated goals of the STRINGdb (to recover biochemical pathways),

the STRING confidence scores indicate coupling between nearly all pairs of enzymes in folate

metabolism. Both STRINGdb and the associated pathway map suggest that folate metabolism is

highly interconnected, with many enzymes interacting via a shared product or substrate (Fig 1A,

Fig S1A). To examine the extent to which these biochemical interactions lead to evolutionary

couplings, we focused our analysis on measures that report on evolutionary selection across

organisms. We computed two different statistical measures of evolutionary association across

1445 bacterial genomes: 1) synteny, the conservation of chromosomal proximity between genes

and 2) co-occurrence, the coordinated loss and gain of genes across species [23-25]. Both

approaches have been established as reliable indicators of protein functional relationships [24,

26-31], and technical variations on these methods are components of the amalgamated STRING

score. These approaches are expected to report on any interaction important to evolutionary

fitness, and can thus indicate gene pairs that interact through various mechanisms, including

physical binding or biochemical constraints.

In contrast to the amalgamated STRING score, analyzing these two signals individually

results in a sparse pattern of evolutionary couplings wherein most folate metabolic genes are

relatively independent from each other (Fig 1B-C, Fig S1B-C). Consistent with expectation, we

do observe evolutionary couplings between physically interacting gene products: the glycine

cleavage system proteins H, P and T (*gcvH*, *gcvP*, and *gcvT* in *E. coli*) form a macromolecular

complex [32]. We also see evolutionary couplings for three enzyme pairs: 1) DHFR/TYMS 2)

methionine synthase (MS) with methionine tetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) and 3) the

purine biosynthesis proteins PGT and IMPS. While these enzyme pairs are not known to

physically bind, each pair of enzymes share a metabolic intermediate, suggesting that a

biochemical mechanism underlies their evolutionary coupling. Yet, most enzymes that catalyze

neighboring reactions do not show statistical correlation (Fig 1, Fig S1). Instead, synteny and co-

occurrence provide a different representation of folate metabolism in which most genes are

relatively independent and a few interact to form evolutionarily constrained units.

So what factors might contribute to the observed sparsity of evolutionary couplings? One

potential contributor is limited sensitivity of our methods. Indeed, false negatives – gene pairs

that are evolutionarily coupled but without a strong synteny or co-occurrence signal – are

expected due to limited statistical power. So perhaps the apparent modularity of the

DHFR/TYMS pair within folate metabolism just reflects an incomplete pattern of predicted

interactions. We find only six evolutionary couplings out of 120 possible pairwise interactions,

even though 83 enzyme pairs interact biochemically (by sharing a product or substrate). Thus, a

high false negative rate would be necessary to completely explain the difference between the

pattern of biochemical interactions and the pattern of evolutionary couplings.

More generally, the pattern of adaptive interactions between enzymes need not strictly

resemble the pattern of biochemical interactions, given the non-linear relationship between

enzyme activities, metabolite concentrations, and fitness. The observed sparsity might then

reflect a modular organization of adaptive constraints between enzymes, shaped by evolution.

The evolutionary couplings are a statistical inference across thousands of genomes, and are not

expected to capture idiosyncratic couplings specific to a particular organism or environmental

condition. Instead, we hypothesize that the evolutionary coupling map presents predictions of the

major adaptive couplings (and of the degree of independence) that do not strongly depend on

choice of organism or environment. If true, this would suggest a route to begin decomposing

metabolic pathways into meaningful, smaller multi-gene units.

As a first step towards testing this broader hypothesis, we set out to experimentally test if

the statistical pattern of evolutionary coupling observed for DHFR and TYMS corresponds to the

pattern of adaptive coupling in an individual organism, namely *E. coli*. In particular, are DHFR

and TYMS indeed more coupled to each other than to other enzymes in the pathway, as our

statistical results suggest? And can perturbations in TYMS suffice to compensate for

perturbations in DHFR (without the need to modify other enzymes)? These proteins are not

known to physically interact, providing an opportunity to better understand how biochemical

constraints might drive co-evolution. Though the genes encoding DHFR and TYMS are

proximal along the chromosome of many bacterial species, they are approximately 2.9

megabases apart in *E. coli*. This means our experiments will determine whether adaptive

coupling between genes found in synteny persists even when they are distant on the

chromosome.

The growth rate defect of DHFR knockdown is partially rescued by changes in the

expression of TYMS – but not other folate metabolic enzymes.

As a first measurement of adaptive coupling between DHFR, TYMS and the rest of folate

metabolism, we performed targeted measurements of growth rate dependency. More specifically,

we decreased DHFR (or TYMS) expression, measured the effect on growth rate, and then

examined if the effect on growth rate was modified in the background of a second gene

knockdown. The null hypothesis is that the growth rate effect of reducing expression for one

gene should be the same regardless of whether or not the second gene is knocked down – unless

the two genes are coupled.

To make these measurements, we used CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) and a next-

generation sequencing based measurement of relative growth rate. In CRISPRi, a single guide

RNA (sgRNA) and a catalytically dead Cas9 enzyme are used to target and repress gene

expression [33]. Pairs of sgRNAs can be cloned into a single vector, permitting knockdown of

two genes at once (Fig S2A). Using this approach, we constructed pairwise knockdowns of

DHFR and TYMS with every other gene in the folate metabolic pathway as described in Fig 1,

with the exception of *lpdA* and *fmt*. We excluded *lpdA* and *fmt* from our experiments because

they are involved in other critical cellular processes and are expected to have pleiotropic effects

(*fmt* is necessary to produce the initiator methionine tRNA required for all protein translation;

lpdA binds to the GDC but is also part of two other physical complexes in the cell). We also

measured the effects of knocking down each gene individually by pairing each sgRNA with an

sgRNA lacking the homology region needed for targeting a gene (referred to as *none*). In total,

this results in a small library of 14 single and 25 double gene knockdowns (Table S2-S4). The

knockdown activity of individual sgRNAs was verified by comparing the growth rate effect of

knockdown to similar data for gene knockouts (data from the Keio collection, Fig S2C), and by

qPCR (Fig S2D, Table S5).

To measure growth rate effects for the entire library, we transformed the library into

MG1655 K12 *E. coli* and grew the cells in a turbidostat, a device for continuous culture that

ensures: 1) the cells remain in exponential growth phase for the duration of the experiment 2) the

media conditions are constant. We used M9 minimal media containing 0.4% glucose, 0.2%

amicase, and 5 μg/ml thymidine for growth. These conditions were selected to be similar to

previous forward evolution experiments ([15], with the addition of thymidine), and provide some

measure of buffering for variation in the expression of folate metabolic enzymes. We took time

points over the course of 12 hours, and then computed a relative growth rate for each knockdown

in the population by using next generation sequencing to "count" the number of each sgRNA

pair in the population (Fig S2B). The relative growth rate indicates the per hour decrease or

increase in the abundance of a particular knockdown relative to wild type (carrying the targetless

"*none*" sgRNA).

As expected, we observed that knocking down DHFR expression was highly deleterious.

This was true whether alone or in combination with any other gene – with the exception of

TYMS. Knocking down DHFR and TYMS together uniquely led to a partial rescue of growth

rate (Fig 2A). Knocking down TYMS expression alone was also deleterious (though the effect is

partly buffered by the presence of thymidine), and the deleterious effect was not improved by

knocking down any other gene (Fig 2B). This indicates asymmetry in the coupling between

DHFR and TYMS in this condition: knocking down TYMS buffers DHFR, but not vice versa.

These results are consistent with prior attempts to generate *ΔfolA* strains of *E. coli*; when

attempting to delete the gene encoding DHFR, a TYMS mutation is spontaneously acquired [34].

Overall the data show that among folate metabolic enzymes, decreasing TYMS expression

uniquely compensates for reductions in DHFR expression.

Figure 2 CRISPRi-based measurements of growth rate dependency for DHFR and TYMS.

A-B, Relative growth rates for CRISPRi knockdowns of folate genes paired with either DHFR

(**A**) or TYMS (**B**). Growth rates were measured in M9 minimal media supplemented with 0.2%

amicase and 5μg/ml thymidine; and are calculated relative to the fitness of a strain carrying an

sgRNA with no target homology region (*none*). Gray bars indicate the growth rate effect of a

single mutant; blue bars indicate the effect of the double mutant. Error bars correspond to a 95%

CI across at least three internal technical replicates (see also methods). The DHFR knockdown

is significantly rescued by TYMS knockdown (*p*-value < 0.005 by Student's t-test), but not by

other gene knockdown (*p*-values > 0.20). As a reference point, the absolute doubling time of the

none strain in turbidostat mono-culture is 0.83 ± 0.09 (95% CI) hours. From this, we estimate

that a relative growth rate of −0.2 in these mixed population measurements corresponds to a

doubling time of approximately 1.8 hours, and −0.4 is approximately zero growth rate (dead).

To the right of each bar graph, we also plot a histogram of the growth rate effects for all

knockdowns of DHFR (**A**) or TYMS (**B**).

Forward evolution indicates adaptive independence of DHFR and TYMS from the rest of

the genome

The above data are consistent with the idea that DHFR and TYMS are more adaptively

coupled to each other than to other genes in folate metabolism, but leave open the possibility that

DHFR and TYMS interact with other genes in the genome. Moreover, the comparative genomics

analyses of synteny and co-occurrence suggest that the coupling between DHFR and TYMS

leads them to co-evolve as a unit. To look for compensation by other enzymes genome-wide, and

test the idea that DHFR and TYMS can adapt as an independent unit, we conducted a global

suppressor screen. We inhibited DHFR with the common antibiotic trimethoprim and then

examined the pattern of compensatory mutations with whole genome sequencing. If DHFR and

TYMS indeed represent a quasi-independent adaptive unit, then suppressor mutations should be

found within these two genes (*E. coli folA* and *thyA*) with minimal contribution from other sites.

To facilitate forward evolution in the presence of trimethoprim, we used a specialized

device for continuous culture called a morbidostat [15, 35, 36]. The morbidostat dynamically

adjusts the trimethoprim concentration in response to bacterial growth rate and total optical

density, thereby providing steady selective pressure as resistance levels increase (Fig S3A-C).

The basic principle is that cells undergo regular dilutions with fresh media until they hit a target

optical density (OD = 0.15); once this density is reached, they are diluted with media containing

trimethoprim until growth rate is decreased. This approach makes it possible to obtain long

trajectories of adaptive mutations in the genome with sustained phenotypic adaptation [15]. For

example, in a single 13-day experiment, we observe resistance levels in our evolving bacterial

populations that approach the trimethoprim solubility limit in minimal (M9) media.

As for the CRISPRi-based growth rate measurements, we conducted the forward

evolution experiments in M9 minimal media supplemented with 0.2% amicase. We evolved

three populations in parallel: in each case the media was supplemented with a different

concentration of thymidine (5, 10, and 50 μg/ml) chosen to range from a condition in which

TYMS loss-of-function is deleterious to one which fully rescues of TYMS activity. By

alleviating selective pressure on the entire pathway, we sought to expose a larger range of

adaptive mutations without biasing the pathway towards a particular result; this is similar to the

common practice of conducting second site suppressor screens for essential genes under

relatively permissive conditions [37]. In this context, an absence of mutation outside of the two-

gene pair becomes more significant.

Over the 13 days of evolution, we observed that the three populations steadily increased

in trimethoprim resistance (Fig S3D, Fig S4). To identify the mutations underlying this

phenotype, we selected 10 single colonies from the endpoint of each of the three experimental

conditions for phenotypic and genotypic characterization (30 strains in total). For each strain, we

measured the trimethoprim IC50, growth rate dependence on thymidine, and conducted whole

genome sequencing (Fig 3, Fig S5 and Table S6-S8). All 30 strains were confirmed to be highly

trimethoprim resistant (Fig 3, Table S6), with the exception of strains 4, 5 and 10 from the 50

μg/ml thymidine condition: these three strains grew very slowly at all concentrations of

trimethoprim tested (up to 1500 μg/ml). Because the dependence of growth on trimethoprim did

not fit a sigmoidal function for these three strains, we do not report an IC50. Further, strains from

all three thymidine-supplemented conditions became dependent on exogenous thymidine for

growth, indicating a loss of function in the *thyA* gene that encodes TYMS (Fig 3 and Fig S5). We

confirmed that this loss of function is not a simple consequence of neutral genetic variation in the

presence of thymidine; cells grown in 50 μg/ml thymidine and 0.2% amicase in the absence of

trimethoprim retained TYMS function over similar time scales (Fig S6).

Figure 3 Genotype and phenotype of selected strains from three evolved populations. A-C,

Ten single colonies (strains) were selected at the endpoint of each forward evolution condition

for genotyping and phenotyping (30 in total). Each panel contains a map indicating the mutations

observed in the strains sampled from that evolution condition. Genes that were mutated in two or

fewer strains across all conditions are excluded, as are synonymous mutations (see Table S7 for

sequencing statistics, and Table S8 for a complete list of mutants). Gene names are labeled along

the left edge of the map, with the corresponding residue or nucleotide change(s) denoted along

the right. If a strain acquires any mutation in a particular gene, the column section corresponding

to that gene is shaded blue. Nearly all strains acquired mutations in both *folA* and *thyA*, encoding

DHFR and TYMS, with a few exceptions lacking a *folA* mutation. A small red arrow indicates

one strain with mutations in only DHFR and TYMS. To the right of each mutation map are

trimethoprim (TMP) IC50 and thymidine dependence measurements for each strain. Error bars

represent standard error over triplicate measurements. Evolved strains 4,5 and 10 grew very

slowly at all concentrations of trimethoprim measured, and we were unable to determine an IC50

by sigmoidal fit. Table S6 contains exact IC50 values and errors. Thymidine dependence is

represented as area under the $\log(OD_{600})$ curve in 0 μg/ml thymidine over 10 hours. Evolved

strains are no longer viable in the absence of extracellular thymidine, indicating a loss-of-

function mutation in TYMS. See Fig S5 for growth rates across a range of thymidine

concentrations. Three 'reconstitution strains,' featuring representative *folA* and *thyA* mutations

recombined into a clean genetic background, have been included in panels A and C for

comparison (denoted **R2-4**). Red dots in the rows of reconstituted genotypes R2-4 indicate the

IC50 of a strain containing *only* the corresponding *folA* mutation. The *folA* single mutant strains

were described in [13] and were produced through a different recombination protocol than the

double mutants, and thus differ in the presence of additional chromosomal antibiotic markers but

are otherwise identical (see Experimental Procedures).

Whole genome sequencing for all 30 strains revealed that isolates from all three

conditions acquired coding-region mutations in both DHFR and TYMS, or even just in TYMS

(Fig 3). The sequencing data for strains 4,5,7 and 10 (in 50 μg/ml thymidine) did not indicate any

mutations or amplifications to DHFR or the promoter. For all other strains, the mutations

identified in DHFR reproduce those observed in an earlier morbidostat study of trimethoprim

resistance [15]. The mutations in TYMS – two insertion sequence elements, a frame shift

mutation, loss of two codons, and a non-synonymous active site mutation – are consistent with

loss of function. Thus, the observed pattern of mutation is consistent with our CRISPRi

experiment: reduced TYMS activity can buffer inhibition of DHFR.

But are mutations in DHFR and TYMS sufficient to explain the resistance phenotype of

the evolved strains? We did not observe mutations in any other folate metabolic genes during the

course of the experiment, but we did observe that a few other genes mutated under multiple

thymidine conditions (Table S9). These mutations might be neutral, contribute to trimethoprim

resistance, or be adaptive for growth in continuous culture. Of particular interest were recurring

mutations in *gadX*, a regulator of the acid response system, and the insertion sequences (IS1) in

dusB. Trimethoprim is known to induce an acid response in *E. coli* that results in up-regulation

of the *gadX* target genes *gadB/C* [38], and *dusB* is located in an operon upstream of the global E.

coli transcriptional regulator *fis* [39].   Thus, we wanted to test if mutations in DHFR and TYMS

alone were sufficient to explain the resistance phenotype. We introduced several of the observed

DHFR and TYMS mutations into a clean wild-type *E. coli* MG1655 background (referred to as

strains R1-R4) and measured the IC50. Strain R1 contained only a TYMS mutation (the deletion

Δ6(64-69)). As for strains 4,5 and 10 (in 50 μg/ml thymidine), strain R1 grew very slowly at all

concentrations of trimethoprim measured (up to 1800 μg/ml), preventing determination of a

reliable IC50. Strains containing mutations in both DHFR and TYMS (R2-R4) showed resistance

phenotypes comparable to the evolved strains (Fig 3). For example, the DHFR L28R/ TYMS

Δ6(64-69) double mutant in a clean genetic background (strain R4) was more resistant to

trimethoprim than all of the evolved strains containing these two mutations (Fig 3A). For

comparison, we also measured the IC50 for three previously constructed strains containing the

DHFR single mutants (P21L, L28R, and W30R) [13]. The DHFR mutations alone were

uniformly less trimethoprim resistant than the corresponding DHFR/TYMS double mutants.

Taken together, we conclude that the paired DHFR and TYMS mutations are both necessary and

sufficient to generate the observed resistance phenotype. Consistent with this, one of the evolved

strains contains only mutations in DHFR and TYMS (strain 1 in 50 μg/ml thymidine, Fig 3C).

Interestingly, TYMS loss-of-function mutations have been observed in trimethoprim

resistant clinical isolates for multiple genera of bacteria [40, 41]. This provides a degree of

confidence that the laboratory evolution experiment reflects natural conditions of adaptation.

Taken together the data support the idea that DHFR and TYMS represent a two-gene adaptive

unit, with compensatory mutations contained within the unit.

DHFR and TYMS are coupled by constraints on metabolite concentration

Given the above data, and no evidence for the physical association of DHFR and TYMS

in bacteria, what is the mechanism underlying their adaptive coupling? The CRISPRi

experiments and second site suppressor screen indicate that reductions in DHFR activity – either

from reducing expression, or inhibiting catalysis – are buffered by decreases in TYMS activity.

This suggests a simple hypothesis: their coupling arises from the need to balance the

concentration of key metabolites in the folate metabolic pathway. In particular, both the

depletion of reduced folates (THF species), and accumulation of DHF are expected to be

detrimental to cell growth [42, 43]. So, we wanted to test the relationship between the activity of

DHFR, the activity of TYMS, metabolite abundance and growth.

We started with a panel of ten DHFR mutations selected to span a range of *in vitro*

catalytic activities [44], and measured the effect of these mutations on *E. coli* growth in the

background of either WT TYMS or TYMS R166Q, a catalytically inactive variant. Again, we

used a next-generation sequencing based assay to measure the relative fitness of all possible

mutant combinations (20 total) [44]. In this system, DHFR and TYMS were expressed from a

single plasmid that contains two DNA barcodes – one associated with DHFR and one with

TYMS – that uniquely encode the identity of each mutant (Fig S7A). The full library of mutants

was transformed into the thymidine auxotroph strain *E. coli* ER2566 *ΔfolA ΔthyA*, and grown as

a mixed population in a turbidostat. Counting the frequency of the barcodes over time permits

estimation of a relative growth rate for each mutant in the population (Fig S7B, Fig S8).

Relative growth rates were measured across two thymidine supplementation conditions:

one in which TYMS R166Q produces a growth defect (5 μg/ml thymidine), and one that

constitutes a full rescue of TYMS activity (50 μg/ml). In the background of WT TYMS (grey

points, Fig 4A-B) growth rate was relatively insensitive to ~10-fold decrease in DHFR activity.

As DHFR activity was diminished further, the relationship between catalytic power and growth

rate became monotonic: decreases in DHFR activity result in slower growth. In the background

of TYMS R166Q, decreases in DHFR catalytic activity were buffered. More precisely, we

observed that the TYMS R166Q mutation is deleterious or neutral in the context of WT DHFR,

but is beneficial in the context of low activity DHFR mutants (e.g. F31Y.G121V). In high

thymidine conditions, this effect was very pronounced: the TYMS R166Q mutation was

sufficient to rescue growth to WT-like levels for DHFR mutants with orders of magnitude less

activity (Fig 4B).

Figure 4. A loss-of-function mutation in TYMS buffers metabolic changes from decreased

DHFR activity. **A, B**, Scatter plots of relative growth rate for DHFR mutants spanning a range

of catalytic specificities (k_{cat}/K_m), and either a wild-type (WT, gray points) or catalytically

dead (R166Q, red points) TYMS. Error bars correspond to standard error across triplicate

measurements. In both conditions, we observe a buffering behavior in which the cost of reducing

DHFR activity is mitigated by introducing a loss-of-function mutation in TYMS. **C,** Liquid

chromatography-mass spectrometry profiling of intracellular folate species in M9 media

supplemented with 0.1% amicase and 50 μg/ml thymidine. Rows reflect mutant DHFR/TYMS

combinations, columns correspond to metabolites. Data represent the mean of three replicates,

see also Fig S9 for associated errors. Each folate species can be modified by the addition of 1-5

glutamates. The color of each square denotes the $\log_2$ abundance of each species relative to wild-

type. The data show that mutations reducing DHFR activity (G121V, F31Y.L54I, M42F.G121V,

and F31Y.G121V) cause an accumulation of DHF and depletion of reduced folate species (THF)

(bottom four rows). This effect is partly compensated by an inactivating mutation in TYMS

(rows two-five). **D,** The corresponding doubling time for each mutant, as measured in batch

culture (conditions identical to panel A).

From this set of mutants, we selected ten DHFR/TYMS pairs for liquid chromatography-

mass spectrometry (LC-MS) profiling of folate pathway metabolites. The experiment was carried

out for log-phase cultures in M9 glucose media supplemented with 0.1% amicase and 50 μg/ml

thymidine, conditions in which the selected DHFR mutations displayed significant growth

defects individually, but in which the corresponding DHFR/TYMS double mutants are restored

to near wild-type growth. Current mass spectrometry methods allow discrimination between the

full diversity of folate species, which differ in oxidation, one-carbon modification, and

polyglutamylation states, permitting a broad metabolic study of the effects of mutations [45].

The data confirm that for DHFR loss-of-function mutants, intracellular DHF

concentration increases (Fig 4C, bottom four rows). In addition, we observe depletion of reduced

polyglutamated folates (Glu >= 3), while several mono- and di-glutamated THF species

accumulate (particularly for THF, Methylene THF and 5-Methyl THF). Prior work found that

DHF accumulation results in inhibition of the upstream enzyme FP-γ-GS [43]. FP-γ-GS

catalyzes the polyglutamylation of reduced folates, an important modification that increases

folate retention in the cell and promotes the use of reduced folates as substrates in a number of

downstream reactions [46]. The observed pattern of changes in the reduced folate pool (depletion

of polyglutamated THF forms) is consistent with inhibition of FP-γ-GS by DHF.

In the background of the corresponding TYMS loss-of-function mutant, the metabolite

profiles become more WT-like: the depletion of reduced folates is less severe, and the

accumulation of DHF more moderate (Fig 4C). Overall, the changes in metabolite concentration

are consistent with the observed growth rate defects in the DHFR loss-of-function mutants:

mutants with more severe DHF accumulation and THF depletion grow more slowly (Fig 4D, Fig

S9). Thus, coordinated decreases in the activity of DHFR and TYMS serve to maintain balance

in the DHF and THF metabolite pools, a condition associated with optimal growth. This provides

a plausible biochemical mechanism for the strong statistical association of DHFR and TYMS by

synteny and co-occurrence across thousands of bacteria. In this sense, the bifunctional fused

form of DHFR/TYMS found in protists and plants could be regarded as an extreme case that

guarantees stoichiometric expression [47, 48].

Genome-wide analyses of co-evolution identifies additional adaptive pairs

The comparative genomics analyses of folate metabolism indicate a sparse pattern of

adaptive interactions, in which DHFR and TYMS were more coupled to each other and less

coupled to the remainder of folate metabolism. The experimental data support the interpretation

that they form an adaptive unit embedded in folate metabolism: the two enzymes adapt together

in response to trimethoprim, and they are linked by a shared constraint on metabolite

concentration. Taken together, this suggests the hypothesis that analyses of synteny and gene co-

occurrence might be used to identify small adaptive units elsewhere in the cell.

With this goal, we extended our analyses of gene synteny and co-occurrence to include

all genes represented in *E. coli*. To ensure good statistics, we filtered the orthologs analyzed to

those that co-occur in a sufficiently large number of genomes (2095 COGs, ~500,000 pairs in

total) (see also Supplemental Experimental Procedures). We also compared our analysis to: (1)

metabolic annotations from KEGG [22] and (2) the set of high-confidence binding interactions in

E. coli reported by the STRINGdb [21]. Consistent with intuition and prior work, co-evolving

gene pairs show enrichment for physical complexes, enzymes in the same metabolic pathway,

and more specifically, enzymes with a shared metabolite (Fig 5A,B).

Figure 5. Genome-wide analysis of co-evolution in *E. coli*. A, Enrichment of physical and

metabolic interactions as a function of synteny coupling. **B**, Enrichment of physical and

metabolic interactions as a function of co-occurrence coupling. **C,** A scatterplot of synteny-based

coupling for all analyzed gene pairs. Each point represents a pair of orthologous genes (COGs);

coupling within the pair is shown on the x-axis, and the strongest coupling outside of the pair is

shown on the y-axis. Color-coding reflects annotations from the STRING database (physical

interactions) or KEGG database (metabolic pathways): green gene pairs bind, while pairs in dark

blue or light blue do not interact but are found in the same metabolic pathway. Dark blue gene

pairs share a metabolic intermediate. The DHFR/TYMS pair is highlighted in red. See Table S10

for an annotated list of gene pairs below the diagonal. **D**, Scatterplot of coupling by co-

occurrence for all analyzed gene pairs (same format as C).

To further identify pairs of genes that are strongly evolutionarily coupled to each other

and relatively decoupled from the remainder of the genome, we constructed scatterplots of all

gene pairs (Fig 5C,D). These plots indicate the strength of coupling within each pair (as a

relative entropy, along the x-axis) versus the strongest coupling outside of the pair (along the y-

axis). This analysis is limited to the identification of two gene units, and our analysis will need to

be extended to identify larger communities within the complete network of co-evolutionary

relationships [49]. It presents a simple graphical method for identifying gene pairs that are more

tightly coupled to each other than any other gene in the dataset: these are the set of points below

the diagonal (Table S10). Thus, these points represent predictions of two-gene coevolving units

(258 by synteny, 194 by co-occurrence), and include the DHFR/TYMS pair. Many of the

predicted adaptive units are two-protein physical complexes, while others share a metabolic

intermediate like DHFR/TYMS.

The distance from the diagonal indicates the degree of modularity of a pair. By

construction, points near the diagonal have an interaction with at least one other gene that is

similar to the degree of coupling within the pair. The most modular pairs occupy the space in the

lower right corner of the graph – by this criteria, DHFR and TYMS show an exceptionally strong

signature of modularity. Considering the regime where $D_{ij}^{\text{intra}} > 1.0$ and $D_{ij}^{\text{exter}} < 0.5$ on the

synteny plot, we observe a few other pairs with experimental evidence for adaptive coupling. For

example the gene pair *accB*/*accC* which encodes two of the four subunits of acetyl-CoA

carboxylase, the first enzymatic step in fatty acid biosynthesis. Overexpression of either *accB* or

accC individually causes reductions in fatty acid biosynthesis, but overexpressing the two genes

in stoichiometric amounts rescues this defect [50, 51]. Constraints on relative expression have

also been noted for the *selA*/*selB* and *tatB*/*tatC* gene pairs [52, 53]. The *tatB*/*tatC* genes encode

components of the TatABCE twin-arginine translocation complex, while *selA*/*selB* are not

known to bind but are both involved in selenoprotein biosynthesis. The full set of gene pairs

below the diagonal now serve as a starting place for more deeply understanding the hierarchical

pattern of evolutionary couplings inside of cellular pathways, and testing the prevalence of

adaptive modules more generally (Table S10).

Discussion and Conclusions

Comparative genomics analyses of synteny and co-occurrence are well-established tools

for the prediction of interactions between genes [24, 26-30]. However, they are not typically

used to infer independence. Here we effectively ask whether, for evolutionary couplings between

genes, the absence of evidence can be evidence for absence. Conceptually, this is analogous to

recent work in proteins, in which co-evolution was used to map quasi-independent adaptive and

functional residue groups within a single protein [54]. In our case study of folate metabolism,

comparative genomics suggested a sparse pattern of evolutionary coupling between folate

metabolic enzymes, with DHFR and TYMS showing significant coupling to each other but less

coupling to the remainder of the folate metabolic pathway. Experimental analyses support the

interpretation that these two enzymes form a relatively independent adaptive unit: they are more

coupled to each other than any other enzyme in the pathway, they adapt together in response

trimethoprim, and their activities are coupled by a shared constraint on metabolite

concentrations. So, in this case, comparative genomics identified a meaningful adaptive unit

much smaller than the scale of the entire biochemical pathway. In light of these findings, it

becomes less obvious that shared membership in a KEGG metabolic pathway should be a default

gold standard for interaction prediction approaches; instead the goals of the method and type of

interaction being predicted (shared biochemical intermediate, physical complex or adaptive

interaction) should be carefully considered.

DHFR and TYMS represent a first case study, and comparative genomics approaches

will not predict every adaptive interaction. Analyses of synteny and co-occurrence are an

inference across thousands of species, encapsulating hundreds of millions of years of

evolutionary divergence. In the context of specific environmental conditions (including

conditions never encountered in past evolutionary history), or other model organisms, additional

adaptive interactions likely exist. We expect that the adaptive units predicted by synteny and co-

occurrence represent well-conserved, core, adaptive interactions that can sometimes be

elaborated on under particular environmental conditions or in particular species. To illustrate,

consider recent work in which the authors introduced a loss-of-function mutation to DHFR, and

then evolved the cells to grow in M9 media while gradually reducing the exogenous

supplementation of several key folate metabolites (including adenine, inosine, methionine, and

thymine) (xx). In this case, adaptation to DHFR loss-of-function was commonly mediated by

two loss-of-function mutations in other genes, consistent with a sparse pattern of adaptive

couplings to DHFR. A loss-of-function mutation in TYMS was acquired in 12/15 trajectories,

and 11/15 trajectories acquired a second loss-of-function mutation in the gene *deoB*. The *deoB*

mutation appears after TYMS mutation in the trajectories, thus the first response to DHFR

perturbation in these experiments is TYMS loss of function. Mutations were not systematically

observed in any other genes. The *deoB* gene encodes phosphopentomutase, a metabolic enzyme

involved in deoxyribose degradation. Deoxyribose is used to convert exogenously supplied

thymine to thymidine; the authors suggest that inactivating *deoB* provides a strategy for cells to

make efficient use of the small amounts of thymine supplied in the media. In contrast, in our

experiment, we directly supplement with thymidine rather than thymine and do not observe the

deoB mutation. Thus, while the core adaptive unit of DHFR and TYMS is maintained across

both experiments, an additional adaptive mutation (*deoB*) emerges in one environment to permit

efficient use of imported nutrients. Taken together, these results underscore the importance of

further developing computational frameworks that can robustly identify core adaptive

interactions that persist across environments and even genetic background.

508   If it is generally possible to decompose metabolic pathways into smaller, relatively

independent, pieces, this would suggest a route to identify self-contained units for biosynthetic

engineering, and provide fundamental insights into how cells maintain homeostasis and adapt in

the face of changing environments. For example, thymidine synthesis is the rate-limiting step for

DNA synthesis in eukaryotic cells, and transcription of the TYMS and DHFR genes is greatly

upregulated (via a common transcription factor) at the G_1/S cell cycle transition [55]. In

computational models of eukaryotic folate metabolism, computationally increasing the activities

of DHFR and TYMS 100-fold results in increased thymidine synthesis but only modestly

changes the concentration of other folates. Thus decoupling the DHFR/TYMS pair from the

remainder of folate metabolism may be important for ensuring modularity in different metabolite

pools. Substantial further work is needed to go beyond the DHFR/TYMS pair – to

comprehensively test the relationship between the units identified by comparative genomics,

patterns of adaptive dependencies, and adaptation to environmental changes. The data presented

here provide the computational hypotheses, technical approaches, and motivation for now doing

so.

Materials and Methods

Comparative genomics analyses. Synteny analysis was conducted using a simplified version of

the methods described in [23, 24]. See the Supplemental Experimental Procedures for a detailed

description of the synteny and co-occurrence calculations.

528
CRISPRi-based growth rate measurements. We used next-generation sequencing based

measurements of relative growth rate to quantify the effects of CRISPRi knockdowns on *E. coli*

growth. The methods are described in detail in the Supplemental Information; here we provide a

brief summary of the approach. Following from Qi et al., pairs of single guide RNAs (sgRNAs)

were ligated into expression vectors using a single golden gate cloning reaction [33, 56]. We

modified the sgRNA-containing plasmid to contain unique barcodes that allow for replicate

measurements and error correction within a single experiment (see SI for more details). The

resulting pairwise sgRNA library was transformed into MG1655 *E. coli* engineered to contain a

chromosomally encoded, catalytically dead Cas9 (dCas9) under control of an inducible Tet

promoter. The resulting transformants were grown in a continuous culture device (turbidostat) in

M9 minimal media with 0.4% glucose, 35 µg/mL Kanamycin, 5 µg/mL thymidine, and 0.2%

amicase for selection. After adaptation to the turbidostat, dCas9 was induced by the addition of

anhydrous tetracycline (aTC) and culture time points were taken over 12 hours. From each time

point, the sgRNA-containing region of each vector was deep sequenced to find the relative

frequency of each sgRNA pair compared to a negative control sgRNA (lacking a homology

targeting region). These data were used to calculate relative growth rates.

Forward evolution of trimethoprim resistance in the morbidostat.

The morbidostat/turbidostat apparatus was constructed as described by Toprak and colleagues

[36]. The founder strain for the forward evolution experiment was *E. coli* MG1655 modified by

phage transduction to encode green fluorescent protein (*egfp*) and chloramphenicol resistance

(*cat*) at the P21 attachment site. The goal of this modification was to prevent and detect

contamination with other strains. Throughout the forward evolution experiment, cells were

grown at 30°C in M9 media supplemented with 0.4% glucose and 0.2% amicase (Sigma); 30

μg/ml of chloramphenicol (Cam) was added for positive selection.

To begin the experiment, the founder strain was cultured overnight at 37°C in Luria

Broth (LB) + 30 μg/ml Cam. This culture was washed twice with M9, and back diluted into M9

+ 30 μg/ml Cam supplemented with 5, 10, or 50 μg/ml thymidine (thy) for overnight adaptation

in culture tubes at 30°C. The next day (henceforth referred to as day 0; day 1 is the end of the

first day of adaptation), these overnight cultures were streaked onto LB agar plates: two colonies

per condition were chosen for whole genome sequencing (WGS) in order to obtain an accurate

sequence for the founder strain. The remainder of the overnight cultures was used to inoculate

three morbidostat tubes at containing M9 media with varying thymidine supplementation (5, 10,

and 50μg/ml thy). The starting optical density was approximately 0.005. Initial antibiotic

concentrations were 0, 11.5 and 57.5 μg/ml trimethoprim for media stocks A, B, and C

respectively. Each culture grew unperturbed until it surpassed an OD_{600} of 0.06, at which point it

underwent periodic dilutions with fresh media. The dilution rate is given by the formula

$r_{dil} = f \ln \frac{V}{V+\Delta V}$, where $V = 15ml$ is the culture volume, and $\Delta V = 3ml$ is volume added. We

chose a dilution frequency $f = 3$ h^{-1}, to give r_{dil} = 0.55. Above the $OD_{600} = 0.15$, these dilutions

are used to introduce TMP into the culture (see also Fig S3). This allows controlled inhibition of

DHFR activity in response to growth rate. Cycles of growth and dilution continued for a period

of ~22 hours, at which point the run was paused to make glycerol stocks, replenish media, and

update TMP stock concentrations. Culture vials for the next day of evolution were filled with

fresh media and inoculated using 300μl from the previous culture. Complete trajectories of

OD_{600} and drug concentration are shown in Fig S4. Endpoint cultures were streaked onto LB

agar plates supplemented with 30 μg/ml of Cam and 50 μg/ml thymidine to obtain isolated

colonies for whole genome sequencing.

Whole genome sequencing. Two isolates were selected from each adapted day 0 culture, and

ten clonal isolates (colonies) were randomly selected from the endpoint of each evolution

condition, totaling 36 strains. Isolation of genomic DNA was performed using the QIAamp DNA

Mini Kit (Qiagen). The Nextera XT DNA Library Prep Kit (Illumina) was used to fragment and

label each genome for paired-end sequencing using a v2 300-cycle MiSeq kit (Illumina).

Average read length and coverage can be found in Table S7. Genome assembly and mutation

prediction was performed using *breseq* [57]. The reference sequence was a modification of the *E.*

coli MG1655 complete genome (accession no. NC_000193), edited to include the GFP marker

and chloramphenicol resistance cassette in our founder strain. The modified reference sequence

and all complete genome sequences from the beginning and endpoint of forward evolution are

available in the NCBI BioProject database (accession number: PRJNA378892).

Measurements of thymidine dependence. All strains were grown overnight in LB + 5μg/ml

thy, with the exception of the strains evolved in the 50μg/ml thy, which were supplemented with

50μg/ml thy to ensure viability. Cultures were then washed twice in M9 media without

thymidine, and inoculated at an OD_{600}=0.005 in 96-well plates containing M9 media

supplemented with 10-fold serial dilutions of thymidine, ranging from 0.005 μg/ml to 50 μg/ml

(in singlicate). OD_{600} was monitored in a Victor X3 plate reader at 30°C over a period of 20

hours. Growth was quantified using the positive integral of OD_{600} over time. This measure

captures mutational or drug-induced changes in the duration of lag phase as well as perturbations

in growth rate [15]. For each strain, we identified a start-time (t_0) at the end of lag-phase for the

fully-rescued 50μg/ml thy condition. We chose each t_0 computationally as the last point before

monotonic growth above the limit of detection. The $\log(OD_{600})$ versus time curves for all

conditions are then vertically shifted ('background-subtracted'), such that the function value at

this start-time is zero. This curve is then numerically integrated from t_0 to t_0+15 hours using the

trapezoid method.

Measurements of trimethoprim resistance (IC50). All strains were grown overnight in LB +

5μg/ml thy, with the exception of the strains evolved in the 50μg/ml thy, which were

supplemented with 50μg/ml thy to ensure viability. Each strain was then washed into media

conditions corresponding to the strain's forward evolution condition, and adapted for 5.5 hours at

30°C. The recovery cultures were used to inoculate 96-well plates containing M9 media

sampling serial dilutions of TMP (in triplicate), with a starting $OD_{600} = 0.005$. OD_{600} was

monitored using a Tecan Infinite M200 Pro microplate reader and Freedom Evo robot at 30°C

over a period of at least 12 hours. The trimethoprim resistance of each strain was quantified by

its absolute IC50, the drug concentration (μg/ml) at which growth is half-maximal. The

relationship between growth and trimethoprim inhibition is modeled using the four parameter

logistic function:

$$Y = \frac{a - d}{1 + (X/c)^b} + d$$

where Y is growth, X is TMP concentration, a is the asymptote for uninhibited growth, d is the

limit for inhibited growth, c provides the concentration midway between a and d, and b captures

sensitivity [58]. Growth was quantified using the positive integral of OD_{600} data over a 10h

period of growth (see also the methods for measurement of thymidine dependence). For each

strain, we identify a start-time (t_0) at the end of lag-phase for the uninhibited 0μg/ml TMP

condition. Growth versus TMP concentration was fit to the above model using MATLAB. IC50

was calculated as the concentration X^* for which growth $Y(X^*) = a/2$.

Growth without trimethoprim selection in 50μg/ml thymidine using the turbidostat

The founder strain for this experiment was identical to that used for evolution of trimethoprim

resistance. Throughout the experiment, cells were grown at 30°C in M9 media supplemented

with 0.4% glucose and 0.2% amicase (Sigma); 30 μg/ml of chloramphenicol (CAM) was added

for positive selection. To begin the experiment, the founder strain was cultured overnight at 37°C

in Luria Broth (LB) + 30 μg/ml Cam. This culture was washed twice with M9, and back diluted

into M9 supplemented with 50μg/ml thymidine (thy) for overnight adaptation in culture tubes at

30°C. The next day (henceforth referred to as day 0; day 1 is the end of the first day of

continuous culture), the overnight culture was used to inoculate three turbidostat tubes

containing 17ml of M9 supplemented with 50 thy. The starting optical density was

approximately 0.005. Each culture grew unperturbed until it reached an OD_{600} of 0.15, at which

point it was diluted with 2.4 ml of fresh media. These cycles of growth and dilution persisted for

a period of ~22 hours, at which point the run was paused to make glycerol stocks and replenish

media. Culture vials for each following day of evolution were filled with fresh media and

inoculated using 300μl from the previous culture.

Growth Rate Measurements for DHFR and TYMS point mutants. All relative growth rate

measurements were performed in the *E. coli* folate auxotroph strain ER2566 *ΔfolA ΔthyA* [59].

DHFR (*folA*) and TYMS (*thyA*) are provided on the plasmid pACYC-Duet1 (in MCS1 and

MCS2, respectively) and are each under control of a T7 promoter. For these experiments, we use

leaky expression (no IPTG induction). Each mutant plasmid (20 in total) is marked with a

genetic barcode in a non-coding region between the two genes. Plasmids were transformed into

the auxotroph strain, and each mutant was grown overnight in separate LB +30μg/ml Cam

+50μg/ml thy cultures. Then, cultures were washed 2x in M9 media supplemented with 0.4%

glucose and 0.2% amicase and 30μg/ml Cam, and adapted overnight at 30°C. All mutants were

mixed in equal ratios based on OD_{600} and inoculated at a starting $OD_{600} = 0.1$ in the turbidostat.

Growth rates were measured under two conditions: 5 thy and 50 thy, with three replicates each.

The turbidostat clamps the culture to a fixed $OD_{600} = 0.15$ by adding fresh dilutions of media.

Every 2 hours over the course of 12 hours a 1ml sample was removed, pelleted and frozen for

next-generation sequencing. Amplicons containing the barcoded region with appropriate

sequencing adaptors (350 basepairs in total size) were generated by two sequential rounds of

PCR with Q5 polymerase. The barcoded region was sequenced with a single-end MiSeq run

using a v2 50 cycle kit (Illumina). We obtained 14,348,937 reads. Data analysis was performed

using a series of custom python scripts to count barcodes, and MATLAB to fit relative growth

rates.

Constructing DHFR/TYMS mutants in a clean genetic background. We followed the

protocol for scarless genome integration using the modified λ-red system developed by Tas et al.

[60]. In this method, a tetracycline (Tet) resistance cassette ("landing pad") is first integrated at

the site targeted for mutagenesis. Then, the landing pad is excised by the endonuclease I-SceI,

and replaced with the desired mutation by λ-red mediated recombination. $NiCl_2$ is used to

counterselect against cells that retain the tetracycline cassette. Tas et al. provides a detailed

protocol; here we give the specifics necessary for our experiments. For the λ-red machinery, we

transformed the plasmid pTKRED (Genbank accession number GU327533) into

electrocompetent *E. coli* MG1655 with a genomic *egfp/cat* resistance cassette (the forward

evolution founder strain). For the Δ25-26 TYMS mutation, we introduced the *tetA* landing pad

between genome positions 2,964,900 and 2,965,201 (genome NC000913) corresponding to the

N-terminus of the *thyA* gene. For the DHFR mutations (L28R, W30R, and P21L), the landing

pad was recombined between genome positions 49,684 and 49,990 (genome NC000913). In

order to replace the Tet cassette, cells were induced with 2mM IPTG and 0.4% arabinose, and

then transformed with 100ng of dsDNA PCR product containing the mutation of interest (with

appropriate homology arms). This reaction experienced 3 days of outgrowth at 30°C in rich

defined media (RDM, Teknova) with glucose substituted for 0.5% v/v glycerol. The media was

supplemented with 6 mM or 4mM $NiCl_2$ for counterselection against *tetA* at the *thyA* locus or

folA locus respectively. The outgrowth culture was streaked onto agar plates and screened daily

for the mutant of interest using LB supplemented with 50 μg/ml thy, 30 μg/ml spectinomycin,

and +/- 5-10 μg/ml Tet. All mutations were confirmed by Sanger sequencing of the complete

folA and *thyA* open reading frame; for *folA* the promoter region was also sequenced.

LC-MS Metabolite Measurements. Cells were cultured in M9 0.2% glucose media containing

0.1% amicase, 50 ug/ml thy, and 30 ug/ml Cam at 30°C for metabolite analysis. In mid-log

phase at OD_{600} ~0.2, *E. coli* culture (3 ml for nucleotide measurement and 7 ml for folate

measurement) was filtered on a nylon membrane (0.2 µm), and the residual medium was quickly

washed away by filtering warm saline solution (200 mM NaCl at 30'C) over the membrane

loaded with cells to exclude non-desirable extracellular metabolites from LC-MS analysis. The

membrane was immediately transferred to a 6 cm Petri dish containing 1 ml cold extraction

solvent (-20°C 40:40:20 methanol/acetonitrile/water; for folate stability, 2.5 mM sodium

ascorbate and 25 mM ammonium acetate in folate extraction solvent [45]) to quench metabolism.

After washing the membrane, the cell extract solution was transferred to a microcentrifuge tube

and centrifuged at 13000 rcf for 10 min. The supernatant was transferred to a new

microcentrifuge tube. Folate samples were prepared with an additional extraction: the pellet was

resuspended in the cold extraction solvent and sonicated for 10 min in an ice bath. After the

second extraction and centrifugation, the supernatant was combined with the initial supernatant.

The metabolite extracts were dried under nitrogen flow and reconstituted in HPLC-grade water

for LC-MS analysis. Metabolites were measured using stand-alone orbitrap mass spectrometers

(ThermoFisher Exactive and Q-Exactive) operating in negative ion mode with reverse-phase

liquid chromatography [61]. Exactive chromatographic separation was achieved on a Synergy

Hydro-RP column (100 mm×2 mm, 2.5 µm particle size, Phenomenex) with a flow rate of 200

µL/min. Solvent A was 97:3 H_2O/MeOH with 10 mM tributylamine and 15 mM acetic acid;

solvent B was methanol. The gradient was 0 min, 5% B; 5 min, 5% B; 7 min, 20% B; 17 min,

95% B; 20 min, 100% B; 24 min, 5% B; 30 min, 5% B. Q-Exactive chromatographic separation

was achieved on an Poroshell 120 Bonus-RP column (150 x 2.1 mm, 2.7 µm particle size,

Agilent) with a flow rate of 200 µL/min. Solvent A is 10mM ammonium acetate + 0.1% acetic

acid in 98:2 water:acetonitrile and solvent B is acetonitrile. The gradient was 0 min, 2% B; 4

min, 0% B; 6 min, 30% B; 11 min, 100% B; 15 min, 100% B; 16 min, 2% B; 20 min, 2% B. LC-

MS data were analyzed using the MAVEN software package [62].

Acknowledgements We thank members of the Reynolds lab for review of the manuscript, E.

Toprak for extensive advice on morbidostat construction and operation, S. Benkovic for the

ER2566 *ΔfolA ΔthyA* strain, D. Bikard for the *E. coli* MG1655 strain containing dCas9, T.

Kuhlman for molecular biology reagents used in genome editing, S. Thompson for the *folA*

supplementation mix recipe, and T. Bergmiller for the GFP/Chloramphenicol resistance marker

incorporated into our founder strain.

References

1. Kim J, Copley SD. Inhibitory cross-talk upon introduction of a new metabolic pathway into an existing metabolic network. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2012 Oct 16;109(42):E2856-64. PubMed PMID: 22984162. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3479479.

2. Michener JK, Camargo Neves AA, Vuilleumier S, Bringel F, Marx CJ. Effective use of a horizontally-transferred pathway for dichloromethane catabolism requires post-transfer refinement. eLife. 2014;3. PubMed PMID: 25418043. Pubmed Central PMCID: 4271186.

3. Michener JK, Vuilleumier S, Bringel F, Marx CJ. Phylogeny poorly predicts the utility of a challenging horizontally transferred gene in Methylobacterium strains. Journal of bacteriology. 2014 Jun;196(11):2101-7. PubMed PMID: 24682326. Pubmed Central PMCID: Pmc4010993. Epub 2014/04/01. eng.

4. Kim J, Kershner JP, Novikov Y, Shoemaker RK, Copley SD. Three serendipitous pathways in E. coli can bypass a block in pyridoxal-5'-phosphate synthesis. Molecular systems biology. 2010 Nov 30;6:436. PubMed PMID: 21119630. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3010111.

5. Long CP, Gonzalez JE, Feist AM, Palsson BO, Antoniewicz MR. Dissecting the genetic and metabolic mechanisms of adaptation to the knockout of a major metabolic enzyme in Escherichia coli. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2018 Jan 2;115(1):222-7. PubMed PMID: 29255023. Pubmed Central PMCID: 5776819.

6. Kondrashov AS, Sunyaev S, Kondrashov FA. Dobzhansky-Muller incompatibilities in protein evolution. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2002 Nov 12;99(23):14878-83. PubMed PMID: 12403824. Pubmed Central PMCID: 137512.

7. Zuk O, Hechter E, Sunyaev SR, Lander ES. The mystery of missing heritability: Genetic interactions create phantom heritability. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2012 Jan 24;109(4):1193-8. PubMed PMID: 22223662. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3268279.

8. Green JM, Matthews RG. Folate Biosynthesis, Reduction, and Polyglutamylation and the Interconversion of Folate Derivatives. EcoSal Plus. 2013.

9. Ducker GS, Rabinowitz JD. One-Carbon Metabolism in Health and Disease. Cell metabolism. 2016 Sep 8. PubMed PMID: 27641100.

10. Gangjee A, Jain HD, Kurup S. Recent advances in classical and non-classical antifolates as antitumor and antiopportunistic infection agents: part I. Anti-cancer agents in medicinal chemistry. 2007 Sep;7(5):524-42. PubMed PMID: 17896913.

11. Costanzo MS, Hartl DL. The evolutionary landscape of antifolate resistance in Plasmodium falciparum. Journal of genetics. 2011 Aug;90(2):187-90. PubMed PMID: 21869466. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3212943.

12. Ogbunugafor CB, Wylie CS, Diakite I, Weinreich DM, Hartl DL. Adaptive Landscape by Environment Interactions Dictate Evolutionary Dynamics in Models of Drug Resistance. PLoS computational biology. 2016 Jan;12(1):e1004710. PubMed PMID: 26808374. Pubmed Central PMCID: 4726534.

13. Palmer AC, Toprak E, Baym M, Kim S, Veres A, Bershtein S, et al. Delayed commitment to evolutionary fate in antibiotic resistance fitness landscapes. Nature communications. 2015 Jun 10;6:7385. PubMed PMID: 26060115. Pubmed Central PMCID: 4548896.

14. Rodrigues JV, Bershtein S, Li A, Lozovsky ER, Hartl DL, Shakhnovich EI. Biophysical principles predict fitness landscapes of drug resistance. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2016 Mar 15;113(11):E1470-8. PubMed PMID: 26929328. Pubmed Central PMCID: 4801265.

15. Toprak E, Veres A, Michel JB, Chait R, Hartl DL, Kishony R. Evolutionary paths to antibiotic resistance under dynamically sustained drug selection. Nature genetics. 2012 Jan;44(1):101-5. PubMed PMID: 22179135. Pubmed Central PMCID: Pmc3534735. Epub 2011/12/20. eng.

16. Bhabha G, Ekiert DC, Jennewein M, Zmasek CM, Tuttle LM, Kroon G, et al. Divergent evolution of protein conformational dynamics in dihydrofolate reductase. Nature structural & molecular biology. 2013 Nov;20(11):1243-9. PubMed PMID: 24077226. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3823643.

17. Francis K, Stojkovic V, Kohen A. Preservation of protein dynamics in dihydrofolate reductase evolution. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2013 Dec 13;288(50):35961-8. PubMed PMID: 24158440. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3861645.

18. Liu CT, Hanoian P, French JB, Pringle TH, Hammes-Schiffer S, Benkovic SJ. Functional significance of evolving protein sequence in dihydrofolate reductase from bacteria to humans. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2013 Jun 18;110(25):10159-64. PubMed PMID: 23733948. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3690862.

19. Bershtein S, Serohijos AW, Bhattacharyya S, Manhart M, Choi JM, Mu W, et al. Protein Homeostasis Imposes a Barrier on Functional Integration of Horizontally Transferred Genes in Bacteria. PLoS genetics. 2015 Oct;11(10):e1005612. PubMed PMID: 26484862. Pubmed Central PMCID: 4618355.

20. Bhattacharyya S, Bershtein S, Yan J, Argun T, Gilson AI, Trauger SA, et al. Transient protein-protein interactions perturb E. coli metabolome and cause gene dosage toxicity. eLife. 2016 Dec 10;5. PubMed PMID: 27938662. Pubmed Central PMCID: 5176355.

21. Szklarczyk D, Franceschini A, Wyder S, Forslund K, Heller D, Huerta-Cepas J, et al. STRING v10: protein-protein interaction networks, integrated over the tree of life. Nucleic acids research. 2015 Jan;43(Database issue):D447-52. PubMed PMID: 25352553. Pubmed Central PMCID: 4383874.

22. Kanehisa M, Goto S, Sato Y, Furumichi M, Tanabe M. KEGG for integration and interpretation of large-scale molecular data sets. Nucleic acids research. 2012 Jan;40(Database issue):D109-14. PubMed PMID: 22080510. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3245020.

23. Junier I, Rivoire O. Synteny in Bacterial Genomes: Inference, Organization and Evolution. arXiv:13074291. 2013.

24. Junier I, Rivoire O. Conserved Units of Co-Expression in Bacterial Genomes: An Evolutionary Insight into Transcriptional Regulation. PloS one. 2016;11(5):e0155740. PubMed PMID: 27195891. Pubmed Central PMCID: 4873041.

25. Rivoire O. Elements of coevolution in biological sequences. Physical review letters. 2013 Apr 26;110(17):178102. PubMed PMID: 23679784.

26. Huynen M, Snel B, Lathe W, 3rd, Bork P. Predicting protein function by genomic context: quantitative evaluation and qualitative inferences. Genome research. 2000 Aug;10(8):1204-10. PubMed PMID: 10958638. Pubmed Central PMCID: 310926.

27. Janga SC, Collado-Vides J, Moreno-Hagelsieb G. Nebulon: a system for the inference of functional relationships of gene products from the rearrangement of predicted operons. Nucleic acids research. 2005;33(8):2521-30. PubMed PMID: 15867197. Pubmed Central PMCID: 1088069.

28. Kim PJ, Price ND. Genetic co-occurrence network across sequenced microbes. PLoS computational biology. 2011 Dec;7(12):e1002340. PubMed PMID: 22219725. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3248385.

29. Pellegrini M, Marcotte EM, Thompson MJ, Eisenberg D, Yeates TO. Assigning protein functions by comparative genome analysis: protein phylogenetic profiles. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 1999 Apr 13;96(8):4285-8. PubMed PMID: 10200254. Pubmed Central PMCID: 16324.

30. Snel B, Bork P, Huynen MA. The identification of functional modules from the genomic association of genes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2002 Apr 30;99(9):5890-5. PubMed PMID: 11983890. Pubmed Central PMCID: 122872.

31. Beck C, Knoop H, Steuer R. Modules of co-occurrence in the cyanobacterial pan-genome reveal functional associations between groups of ortholog genes. PLoS genetics. 2018 Mar;14(3):e1007239. PubMed PMID: 29522508. Pubmed Central PMCID: 5862535.

32. Okamura-Ikeda K, Ohmura Y, Fujiwara K, Motokawa Y. Cloning and nucleotide sequence of the gcv operon encoding the Escherichia coli glycine-cleavage system. European journal of biochemistry / FEBS. 1993 Sep 1;216(2):539-48. PubMed PMID: 8375392.

33. Qi LS, Larson MH, Gilbert LA, Doudna JA, Weissman JS, Arkin AP, et al. Repurposing CRISPR as an RNA-guided platform for sequence-specific control of gene expression. Cell. 2013 Feb 28;152(5):1173-83. PubMed PMID: 23452860. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3664290.

34. Howell EE, Foster PG, Foster LM. Construction of a dihydrofolate reductase-deficient mutant of Escherichia coli by gene replacement. Journal of bacteriology. 1988 Jul;170(7):3040-5. PubMed PMID: 2838456. Pubmed Central PMCID: 211246.

35. Chevereau G, Dravecka M, Batur T, Guvenek A, Ayhan DH, Toprak E, et al. Quantifying the Determinants of Evolutionary Dynamics Leading to Drug Resistance. PLoS biology. 2015;13(11):e1002299. PubMed PMID: 26581035. Pubmed Central PMCID: 4651364.

36. Toprak E, Veres A, Yildiz S, Pedraza JM, Chait R, Paulsson J, et al. Building a morbidostat: an automated continuous-culture device for studying bacterial drug resistance under dynamically sustained drug inhibition. Nature protocols. 2013 Mar;8(3):555-67. PubMed PMID: 23429717. Pubmed Central PMCID: Pmc3708598. Epub 2013/02/23. eng.

37. Forsburg SL. The art and design of genetic screens: yeast. Nature reviews Genetics. 2001 Sep;2(9):659-68. PubMed PMID: 11533715.

38. Mitosch K, Rieckh G, Bollenbach T. Noisy Response to Antibiotic Stress Predicts Subsequent Single-Cell Survival in an Acidic Environment. Cell systems. 2017 Apr 26;4(4):393-403 e5. PubMed PMID: 28342718.

39. Bradley MD, Beach MB, de Koning AP, Pratt TS, Osuna R. Effects of Fis on Escherichia coli gene expression during different growth stages. Microbiology. 2007 Sep;153(Pt 9):2922-40. PubMed PMID: 17768236.

40. King CH, Shlaes DM, Dul MJ. Infection caused by thymidine-requiring, trimethoprim-resistant bacteria. Journal of clinical microbiology. 1983 Jul;18(1):79-83. PubMed PMID: 6604070. Pubmed Central PMCID: 270747.

41. Kriegeskorte A, Block D, Drescher M, Windmuller N, Mellmann A, Baum C, et al. Inactivation of thyA in Staphylococcus aureus attenuates virulence and has a strong impact on metabolism and virulence gene expression. mBio. 2014 Jul 29;5(4):e01447-14. PubMed PMID: 25073642. Pubmed Central PMCID: 4128360.

42. Kwon YK, Higgins MB, Rabinowitz JD. Antifolate-induced depletion of intracellular glycine and purines inhibits thymineless death in E. coli. ACS chemical biology. 2010 Aug 20;5(8):787-95. PubMed PMID: 20553049. Pubmed Central PMCID: 2945287.

43. Kwon YK, Lu W, Melamud E, Khanam N, Bognar A, Rabinowitz JD. A domino effect in antifolate drug action in Escherichia coli. Nature chemical biology. 2008 Oct;4(10):602-8. PubMed PMID: 18724364. Pubmed Central PMCID: 2699209.

44. Reynolds KA, McLaughlin RN, Ranganathan R. Hotspots for allosteric regulation on protein surfaces. Cell. 2011 Dec 23;147(7):1564-75. PubMed PMID: 22196731. Pubmed Central PMCID: Pmc3414429. eng.

45. Lu W, Kwon YK, Rabinowitz JD. Isotope ratio-based profiling of microbial folates. Journal of the American Society for Mass Spectrometry. 2007 May;18(5):898-909. PubMed PMID: 17360194. Pubmed Central PMCID: 1909916.

46. McGuire JJ, Bertino JR. Enzymatic synthesis and function of folylpolyglutamates. Molecular and cellular biochemistry. 1981 Aug 11;38 Spec No(Pt 1):19-48. PubMed PMID: 7027025.

47. Beverley SM, Ellenberger TE, Cordingley JS. Primary structure of the gene encoding the bifunctional dihydrofolate reductase-thymidylate synthase of Leishmania major. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 1986 Apr;83(8):2584-8. PubMed PMID: 3458220. Pubmed Central PMCID: 323343.

48. Lazar G, Zhang H, Goodman HM. The origin of the bifunctional dihydrofolate reductase-thymidylate synthase isogenes of Arabidopsis thaliana. The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology. 1993 May;3(5):657-68. PubMed PMID: 8374616.

49. Newman MEJ. Networks : an introduction. Oxford ; New York: Oxford University Press; 2010. xi, 772 p. p.

50. Abdel-Hamid AM, Cronan JE. Coordinate expression of the acetyl coenzyme A carboxylase genes, accB and accC, is necessary for normal regulation of biotin synthesis in Escherichia coli. Journal of bacteriology. 2007 Jan;189(2):369-76. PubMed PMID: 17056747. Pubmed Central PMCID: 1797400.

51. Janssen HJ, Steinbuchel A. Fatty acid synthesis in Escherichia coli and its applications towards the production of fatty acid based biofuels. Biotechnology for biofuels. 2014 Jan 09;7(1):7. PubMed PMID: 24405789. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3896788.

52. Bolhuis A, Mathers JE, Thomas JD, Barrett CM, Robinson C. TatB and TatC form a functional and structural unit of the twin-arginine translocase from Escherichia coli. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2001 Jun 08;276(23):20213-9. PubMed PMID: 11279240.

53. Rengby O, Johansson L, Carlson LA, Serini E, Vlamis-Gardikas A, Karsnas P, et al. Assessment of production conditions for efficient use of Escherichia coli in high-yield heterologous recombinant selenoprotein synthesis. Applied and environmental microbiology. 2004 Sep;70(9):5159-67. PubMed PMID: 15345395. Pubmed Central PMCID: 520894.

54. Halabi N, Rivoire O, Leibler S, Ranganathan R. Protein sectors: evolutionary units of three-dimensional structure. Cell. 2009 Aug 21;138(4):774-86. PubMed PMID: 19703402. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3210731.

55. Bjarnason GA, Jordan RC, Wood PA, Li Q, Lincoln DW, Sothern RB, et al. Circadian expression of clock genes in human oral mucosa and skin: association with specific cell-

cycle phases. The American journal of pathology. 2001 May;158(5):1793-801. PubMed PMID: 11337377. Pubmed Central PMCID: 1891949.

56. Hawkins JS, Wong S, Peters JM, Almeida R, Qi LS. Targeted Transcriptional Repression in Bacteria Using CRISPR Interference (CRISPRi). Methods in molecular biology. 2015;1311:349-62. PubMed PMID: 25981485. Pubmed Central PMCID: 4845913.

57. Deatherage DE, Barrick JE. Identification of mutations in laboratory-evolved microbes from next-generation sequencing data using breseq. Methods in molecular biology. 2014;1151:165-88. PubMed PMID: 24838886. Pubmed Central PMCID: 4239701.

58. Sebaugh JL. Guidelines for accurate EC50/IC50 estimation. Pharmaceutical statistics. 2011 Mar-Apr;10(2):128-34. PubMed PMID: 22328315. Epub 2012/02/14. eng.

59. Lee J, Natarajan M, Nashine VC, Socolich M, Vo T, Russ WP, et al. Surface sites for engineering allosteric control in proteins. Science (New York, NY). 2008 Oct 17;322(5900):438-42. PubMed PMID: 18927392. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3071530.

60. Tas H, Nguyen CT, Patel R, Kim NH, Kuhlman TE. An Integrated System for Precise Genome Modification in Escherichia coli. PloS one. 2015;10(9):e0136963. PubMed PMID: 26332675. Pubmed Central PMCID: 4558010.

61. Lu W, Clasquin MF, Melamud E, Amador-Noguez D, Caudy AA, Rabinowitz JD. Metabolomic analysis via reversed-phase ion-pairing liquid chromatography coupled to a stand alone orbitrap mass spectrometer. Analytical chemistry. 2010 Apr 15;82(8):3212-21. PubMed PMID: 20349993. Pubmed Central PMCID: 2863137.

62. Clasquin MF, Melamud E, Rabinowitz JD. LC-MS data processing with MAVEN: a metabolomic analysis and visualization engine. Current protocols in bioinformatics / editoral board, Andreas D Baxevanis [et al]. 2012 Mar;Chapter 14:Unit14 1. PubMed PMID: 22389014. Pubmed Central PMCID: 4055029.

Supplemental Legends

Figure S1 Predicted interactions in folate metabolism from STRINGdb. All data were obtained from the file COG.links.v10.5.txt, as downloaded from STRINGdb. **A**, The combined interaction, or confidence score between genes in central folate metabolism. The confidence score is benchmarked to approximate the probability that two enzymes share a pathway in the KEGG database. **B**, The neighborhood component of the interaction score, which captures genome context and chromosomal proximity. **C**, The co-occurrence component of the interaction score, based on correlations in COG presence/absence across species.

Figure S2 Methods and validation for CRISPRi inhibition of expression. A, Schematic of the vector containing sgRNAs for CRISPRi gene knockdowns. Each plasmid in the library contains two sgRNAs. For single gene knockdowns, an sgRNA that targets a gene is paired with an sgRNA lacking a targeting region (none). **B**, A representative sampling of frequency data and growth rate fits for the CRISPRi NGS-fit assay. Frequency is computed relative to the none:none sgRNA pair. **C**, The correlation between CRISPRi effects and gene knockout effects on growth suggests CRISPRi is working as expected. CRISPRi measurements are normalized to wildtype (none:none sgRNA), and were performed in M9 minimal media with glucose. Knockout growth data (x-axis) are published numbers associated with the KEIO collection (Baba et al, 2006 Mol Syst Biol), and report the normalized increase in OD600 over 24 hours of growth in MOPS minimal media with 0.4% glucose. **D**, RT-qPCR mRNA quantitation of CRISPRi effects on target gene mRNA abundance. All qPCR reactions were performed in technical triplicate except glyA, which was performed in technical duplicate. The $\Delta\Delta C_T$ method was used to quantity changes in gene expression. Standard error of the mean associated with each average C_T value

was propagated using a first order Taylor expansion to produce the error bars associated with each gene knockdown.

Figure S3 Evolution of trimethoprim (TMP) resistance in MG1655 cells using the morbidostat. A, Schematic of a continuous culture tube. Dilutions were made through inlet tubes labeled 'A', 'B', and 'C'. A constant volume of 15 ml was maintained by aspirating extra medium through the waste line after mixing. **B**, Control strategy for the addition of trimethoprim. Once the culture exceeded an OD_{600} of 0.06 periodic dilutions of 3 ml were made every 20 minutes. For OD_{600} between 0.06 and 0.15, media 'A,' containing no TMP, was added. While the OD_{600} was above a threshold of 0.15, media 'B' was used to introduce TMP. Media 'B' was added periodically until the culture tube reached a TMP concentration greater than 60% of the stock, at which point media 'C,' containing 5-fold more TMP was added. If a culture's growth rate diminished to below that of the dilution rate, then media 'A' would be used in the next dilution to incrementally reduce the TMP concentration. At the end of a given day, if media 'C' had been utilized, then all stock concentrations of TMP were increased by a factor of 5X to enable continued adaptation in the following day. **C**, A representative growth trajectory, color-coded by TMP concentration (day 7, 50 μg/ml thymidine). See Figure S4 for full growth trajectories over 13 days. **D**, The trajectory of estimated TMP resistance (as measured by the median TMP concentration on each day) versus number of generations for each experimental condition (5, 10 and 50 μg/ml thymidine).

Figure S4 OD600 measurements and trimethoprim concentration over 13 days of forward evolution. Each plot corresponds to a different thymidine concentration, denoted in the upper

left hand corner. The x-axis displays the number of days in real time. Discontinuities at day 5 for all three conditions are the result of a technical interruption; cultures were restarted from the previous day's glycerol stock. An enhanced view of the 50 µg/ml trajectory day 7 (boxed in a dashed line) is shown in Fig S3B.

Figure S5 Thymidine dependence of the 30 evolved strains. The y-axis denotes the positive integral of $\log(OD_{600})$ evaluated over 20 hours of growth (see Experimental Procedures). Strains evolved for trimethoprim resistance in the presence of thymidine become auxotrophs.

Figure S6 Lack of thymidine dependence after growth in the absence of TMP. Loss of function in TYMS due to selection with TMP. **A**, Ten colonies from day 6 of the morbidostat TMP selection (50 µg/ml thymidine condition). Replica plating on 0 and 50 µg/ml thymidine indicates that all ten strains are thymidine auxotrophs. **B**, Ten colonies from three replicate growths in 50 µg/ml thymidine without TMP selection (turbidostat). These cultures were grown until biofilm formation became prohibitive. Replica plating on 0 and 50 µg/ml thymidine indicates that all strains retain TYMS activity.

Figure S7 Strategy for measuring DHFR/TYMS mutant growth rates. A, Genetic barcoding scheme for deep sequencing. Each plasmid contains two barcodes uniquely encoding the identity of its corresponding *folA* and *thyA* genotypes. **B**, Genotype frequency over time as determined by barcode sequencing. The relative fitness of each allele pair is given by the linear slope m. See Fig S8 for all growth rate fits.

Figure S8 Relative growth rate measurements for DHFR/TYMS mutants. Points represent the normalized relative frequency (log scale) of DHFR mutants during turbidostat growth in either 5 or 50 μg/ml thymidine. The y-axis indicates the genetic background of TYMS: either WT or R166Q. Relative growth rate fits are shown by the solid lines.

Figure S9 The relationship between intracellular folate species and doubling time. The y-axis depicts the log2 abundance of each metabolite normalized to WT. For each folate species, the five glutamylation states are shown in different colors. Doubling times were measured in M9 minimal media supplemented with 50 μg/ml thymidine. Error bars denote standard error of the mean across triplicate measurements.

Figure 1
Schober et al.

Figure 2
Schober et al.

A paired CRISPRi knockdowns - DHFR

B paired CRISPRi knockdowns - TYMS

Figure 3
Schober et al.

Figure 4
Schober et al.

Figure 5
Schober et al.

A   *Synteny*

● shared metabolite ● physical interaction
● same pathway   ● no annotation

Fraction of Pairs

B   *Co-occurrence*

Fraction of Pairs

C

strongest coupling outside of pair $\left(D_{ij}^{\text{exter}}\right)$

DHFR/TYMS

within pair coupling $\left(D_{ij}^{\text{intra}}\right)$

D

strongest coupling outside of pair $\left(D_{ij}^{\text{exter}}\right)$

DHFR/TYMS

within pair coupling $\left(D_{ij}^{\text{intra}}\right)$