The cycad coralloid root contains a diverse endophytic bacterial community including

cyanobacteria encoding specific biosynthetic gene clusters

Francisco Barona-Gómez[1], Antonio Corona-Gómez[2], Pablo Cruz-Morales[1,2], Karina G.

Gutiérrez-García[1], Nelly Selem-Mójica[1], Miguel A. Perez-Farrera[3] & Angélica Cibrián-

Jaramillo[2,*]

[1] Evolution of Metabolic Diversity and [2] Ecological and Evolutionary Genomics Laboratories,

Unidad de Genómica Avanzada (Langebio), Cinvestav-IPN, Km 9.6 Libramiento Norte,

Carretera Irapuato-León, CP 36821, Irapuato, Guanajuato, México

[3] Escuela de Biología, Universidad de Ciencias y Artes del Estado de Chiapas, Libramiento Norte

Poniente s/n, Col. Lajas-Maciel, CP 29029, Tuxtla Gutiérrez, Chiapas, México.

*Author for correspondence: Angélica Cibrián-Jaramillo. Ecological and Evolutionary Genomics

Lab, Unidad de Genómica Avanzada (Langebio), Cinvestav-IPN, Km 9.6 Libramiento Norte,

Carretera Irapuato-León, CP 36821, Irapuato, Guanajuato, México. Tel. +462 1663014,

angelica.cibrian@cinvestav.mx.

Keywords

20 Cycad, coralloid root, microbiome, cyanobacteria, phylogenomics, EcoMining.

Abstract

Cycads are the only early seed plants that have evolved a specialized coralloid root to host endophytic bacteria that fix nitrogen for the plant. To provide evolutionary insights into this million-year old symbiosis, we investigate the phylogenetic and functional diversity of its bacterial microbiome, based on the (meta)genomic characterization of the coralloid root of *Dioon merolae* collected from natural populations. We employed a co-culture-based metagenomics experimental strategy, termed EcoMining, to reveal both predominant and rare bacteria that were analyzed through the lens of phylogenomics and detailed metabolic annotation. Most of the characterized bacteria were identified as diazotroph plant endophytes belonging to 18 different bacterial families. The genomes of three Cyanobacteria strains were obtained, and after whole-genome inferences were found to form a monophyletic group, suggesting a level of specialization characteristic of co-evolved symbiotic relationships. In agreement with their large size (8.3-9.2 Mbp), the genomes of these organisms were found to encode for biosynthetic gene clusters predicted to direct the synthesis of specialized metabolites, present only in these symbionts. Overall, we provide a new notion of the composition and evolution of the cycad coralloid root, and we hope to contribute to studies on phylogenetic and functional patterns in plant-bacteria symbiotic systems.

Introduction

Cycads (Cycadales) are among the few plant lineages that developed a specialized root organ to host symbiotic bacteria. Cycad lateral roots can develop into coralloid roots, a dichotomous and coral-like small clustered roots, typically growing above ground, that acquire symbiotic bacteria (Norstog et al. 1997). Its main function is nitrogen fixation for the plant (Bergensen et al. 1986), akin to adaptive functions in legume nodules, but having appeared millions of years before angiosperms, and thus with a unique evolutionary history. In natural habitats coralloid roots appear in the most vulnerable early life stages (Halliday et al. 1976); and in adults, mainly in habitats with poor or inaccessible nutrients (Grove et al. 1980), such as sand dunes, sclerophyll forests, steep rock outcrops with high exposure to salt, and lowland forests with recurrent fires. It is possible that the cycad coralloid root and its bacteria are a key early trait that enabled cycads to thrive and adapt to changing environments for millions of years.

Coralloid root endophytes have been studied since the 19th century (Caiola 1980) and references therein). However, most studies have focused on resolving the taxonomy of cultured Cyanobacteria, which can be visually detected in the root (fig. 1). These studies have been carried out using samples collected from botanic garden collections or from plants grown in greenhouses, typically outside of the cycad host natural range (Zimmerman et al. 1992, Costa et al. 1999, Costa Jl et al. 2002, Costa et al. 2004, Gehringer et al. 2010, Thajuddin et al. 2010b, Cuddy et al. 2012), with only a handful of field-based studies from wild cycad populations (Gehringer et al. 2010, Yamada et al. 2012). Studies testing for the specificity of Cyanobacteria report contrasting results regarding the specialization of coralloid root symbionts (Caiola 1980, Ow et al. 1999, Zvyagintsev et al. 2010), and there is also inconclusive information on the origin

and transmission of cycad bacterial endophytes (Cuddy et al. 2012). Interestingly, anatomical

studies of these Cyanobacteria have shown the presence of mucilaginous or protein-rich material

that hosts associated bacteria (Chaudhuri et al. 1931, Caiola 1980, Baulina et al. 2003), whose

taxonomic associations have only been suggested on the basis of low resolution data (Bershova

et al. 1968, Grobbelaar et al. 1987, Huan et al. 1989 , Thajuddin et al. 2010a, Zvyagintsev et al.

2010). Thus, despite progress, there is little investigation of the bacterial endophyte biodiversity

other than Cyanobacteria, and almost none from natural habitats.

In addition to nitrogen fixation there have been suggestions of other -unknown- roles for

the coralloid root (Caiola 1980), but there is no clear evidence of its broader function to date.

Likewise, various chemical, physical and physiological processes appear to regulate the cycad-

bacteria interaction (Lobakova et al. 2003, Meeks 2009), but no genes involved in specialized

mechanisms in the light of the symbiosis have been identified. The presence of uniquely

specialized metabolites in the cycad coralloid root microbiome, is of particular interest to us

because they may be a result of co-evolution between the cycad host and the endophyte bacterial

community, as it has been shown and exploited for drug discovery in other symbioses (Traxler et

al. 2015, Adnani et al. 2017). Certain soil-dwelling and aquatic bacteria, including

Cyanobacteria, have genomic plasticity and the capacity to synthesize specialized metabolites

with overwhelming chemical diversity, which are produced to cope with biotic and abiotic

pressures (Traxler et al. 2015). These bacterial traits are concomitant with large genomes that

codify for specialized metabolites in rapidly evolving genetic units called biosynthetic gene

clusters (BGCs) of about 25-40 Kbp (Doroghazi et al. 2014). The ability to capture and retain

bacteria in the coralloid root could provide a mechanism for cycads to adapt quickly to local

conditions by increasing their specialized metabolite repertoire.

Our goal in this study is to investigate the microbiome of the coralloid roots of *Dioon*

merolae (De Luca et al. 1981), which is a long-lived, entomophilous, dioecious, and arborescent

cycad native to Mexico (Lázaro-Zermeño et al. 2012). We collected coralloid root samples from

wild populations in two different habitats from their natural range, currently distributed in

moderate population sizes of a few hundreds of individuals throughout Chiapas and Oaxaca in

the South of Mexico (Lázaro-Zermeño et al. 2012). To overcome technical difficulties in

characterizing the breadth of microbial diversity in these environmental samples, we used an

enrichment co-culture strategy of sub-communities obtained from the original sample. This

approach, termed EcoMining, uses complementing microbiological, (meta)genomic sequencing

to characterize the coralloid microbiome's taxonomic and functional diversity. Our study

provides evidence of the existence of a diverse endophytic bacterial community inside the

coralloid root beyond Cyanobacteria, and suggests functional specificity, i.e. unique BGCs,

throughout the evolutionary history of the cycad-cyanobacteria coralloid root system.

Methods

Overall strategy. We used a combined sub-community co-culture, metagenomics and

phylogenomics strategy to detect and measure taxonomic diversity and phylogenetic

relationships in the endophytes of the cycad coralloid root, as previously described (Cibrián-

Jaramillo et al. 2016) (fig. 1). In this approach, we grew and isolated bacteria from

environmental samples using a diverse set of semi-selective media that aim to capture as much of

the cultured bacterial diversity (*t0*). Simultaneously, we enriched the same samples for specific

sub-communities in co-cultures grown under conditions for cyanobacteria using BG11 media. In

addition to this autotrophic bacterial group, this second approach aims to capture other bacterial

groups that interact with and depend on cyanobacteria, present in the original sample at low titers

(rare taxa). We allowed the sub-community co-culture to grow over time and sampled it after one

month (*t1*) and at the end of a year (*t2*). This involved isolation of bacteria grown in selective

media over time (*t0* and *t1*) and sequencing sub-communities in co-cultures using shotgun

metagenomics (*t1* and *t2*). The phylogenetic relationships of the isolated bacteria were

reconstructed using amplified 16S rRNA sequences and OTUs obtained from metagenomes. To

further assess the natural history of the cyanobacteria-cycad interaction, two cyanobacterial

genomes and one genome reconstructed from a metagenomic sub-community, were obtained and

analyzed. Specific methods, materials and analytical tools are described in the following sub-

sections.

Field collections. We sampled coralloid roots from two wild cycad populations previously

reported (Lázaro-Zermeño et al. 2012). In March of 2014 we sampled from two sites in

deciduous tropical forests, at Jiquipilas, Oaxaca Mexico (JP or dry) at 560m above mean sea

level, with an average annual precipitation of 320 mm and average annual temperature of 18 °C;

and Raymundo Flores, Oaxaca, Mexico (RF or humid) at 900m above mean sea level, with 2500

mm and 25°C annual average precipitation and temperature, respectively (exact coordinates upon

request to preserve the integrity of these populations). In some cycad plants, coralloid roots were

easily visible aboveground, while in others it was necessary to dig to about 30 cm around the

main root until coralloid roots were found. In a population of approximately 40 individuals, we

generally found 10-12 plants with coralloid roots, in almost exclusively juvenile plants. A total

of 10 coralloid apogeotropic roots were cut from 10 plants, cleaned with sterile distilled water to

remove soil excess, placed in 15 ml sterile Falcon tubes (Beckton Dickinson), and transported

immediately to the laboratory at room temperature.

Coralloid root processing. We focused our effort on two samples of two individuals with the

largest coralloid roots, in each of the two sites, Jiquipilas (JP or dry) and Raymundo Flores (RF

or humid) for a total of four coralloid root samples (JP2 and JP6 plus RF1 and RF3,

respectively), and stored the remaining samples at -80 °C for subsequent studies. We treated the

coralloid root in a laminar flow hood (Nuaire Model Nu-126-400) with a series of washes to

remove exogenous bacteria from the rhizosphere or epiphytic contamination. Each root was

introduced in 50 ml sterile Falcon tubes containing 10 ml of each of the following solutions, and

gently stirred for: three minutes in hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), seven minutes in 70% ethanol, 30

seconds in sterile dd-MilliQ water, four minutes in 6% sodium hypochlorite (NaClO), and three

one-minute washes in sterile dd-MilliQ water. After this procedure, we plated out water from the

last wash in Petri dishes containing the six media described below. Lack of growth in the last

wash was considered a negative control. Only samples complying with this criterion were used

for endophyte isolation. We undertook two approaches to bacterial isolation (fig. 1): sampling

without enrichment directly from field samples (*t0*), and sampling from the enriched co-cultures

(*t1*), as described in the following sections.

Bacterial growth and isolation in selective media. To isolate bacteria from field samples before

(*t0*) and after (*t1, t2*) enrichment, macerated roots or co-culture broth were used as inoculant,

respectively. Loss of some bacterial groups that were present in the sample collected from the

environment (*t0*) is expected. However, after enrichment (*t1*) we recover bacteria expected to be

initially present in low abundances and required time to grow, and that did so as a response to the

community nutritional interactions (e.g. amino acids derived from the process of fixing nitrogen)

(Cibrián-Jaramillo et al. 2016). Roots were macerated in 10 ml of sterile water using a pestle and

mortar until plant material was completely disintegrated. We used 100 μl from the root macerate

to directly isolate bacteria in Petri dishes containing a total of six different media, chosen to

selectively (oligotrophic, four media) or non-selectively (eutrophic, two media) recover bacterial

diversity as much as possible. The four selective media used were chosen to target bacterial

groups that are known to be either plant endophytes or rhizosphere bacteria, and included: 1)

Caulobacter medium (glucose: 1 g/L; peptone: 1g/L; yeast extract: 1.5 g/L; trace metals solution:

1 mL/L; and 10 g/L of agar for solid medium); 2) *Rhizobium* medium (mannitol: 10 g/L;

dipotassium phosphate: 0.5 g/L; magnesium sulfate: 0.2 g/L; yeast extract: 1 g/L; sodium

chloride: 0.1 g/L; final pH 6.8; and 20 g/L for solid medium; 3) ISP4, for isolation of

actinomycetes (starch: 10.0 g/L; dipotassium phosphate: 1 g/L; magnesium sulfate: 1 g/L;

sodium chloride: 1 g/L; ammonium sulfate: 2 g/L; calcium carbonate: 2 g/L; ferrous sulfate: 1

mg/L; magnesium chloride: 1 mg/L; zinc sulfate: 1 mg/L; final pH 7.0; and 20 g/L for solid

media); 4) BG-11, a Cyanobacteria medium (sodium nitrate: 1.5 g/L; dipotassium phosphate:

0.04 g/L; magnesium sulfate: 0.075 g/L; calcium chloride: 0.036 g/L; citric acid: 0.006 g/L;

ferric ammonium citrate: 0.006 g/L; EDTA (disodium salt): 0.001 g/L; sodium carbonate: 0.02

g/L; final pH 7.1 and agar solid media 10.0 gr/L. The non-selective, rich media, included: 5)

Nutrient Broth (BD Bioxon, Mexico); and 6) As in *Caulobacter* medium, but supplemented with

mannitol (*Caulobacter* + mannitol medium): 1g/L, with aim of providing a carbon source closer

to that hypothetically encountered inside the cycad root.

Bacterial growth and cultivation in sub-communities. 100 μl of the macerated roots from

samples JP2, JP6 and RF1 and RF3, which passed the negative growth control after the final

washing step, were used to inoculate 100 ml of media in 250 ml flasks. The remaining macerated

roots not used for fresh cultures were kept as frozen stocks for future studies (-80 °C in 10%

glycerol), although community viability after freezing was found to diminish over time. We used

selective oligotrophic medium, i.e. BG11 (medium No. 4) for these experiments. This medium

lacks a carbon source but contains a limited amount of inorganic nitrogen. BG11 cyanobacteria-

centric co-cultures were grown for up to one year with constant stirring, with cycles of 16/8

hours of light/darkness per day. Sampling of the oligotrophic sub-communities was done after

one month (*t1*) and one year (*t2*), and treated independently. Bacterial isolates were only

obtained from *t1* whereas shotgun metagenomics were obtained for both time points.

Genomics and shotgun metagenomics. The genomic sequence of the cyanobacterial isolate

106C was obtained from sample JP6. To test for host differences, we also obtained a genome

from coralloid roots of *Dioon caputoi* from an unrelated environment (Xeric shrubland,

Tehuacan valley Puebla). Both organisms were grown on BG11 plates before DNA extraction,

which was done using a CTAB-phenol chloroform standard protocol. Likewise, metagenomic

DNA of all enriched sub-community co-cultures, coming from RF and JP samples, were

extracted using the same protocol, with the excpetion that biomass was obtained from liquid

cultures by high-speed centrifugation during 15 minutes. The RF31Ymg genome was obtained

from one of this metagenomic DNA samples, i.e. RF3-1yr or *t2*, after bioinformatic treatment as

described next. DNA samples were processed with truseq nano kit Q28 and sequenced at

Langebio, Cinvestav (Irapuato, Mexico) using the MiSeq Illumina platform in the 2X250 paired

end reads format (T09) and the NextSeq mid output 2X150 paired end read format (106C y RF3-

1yr or *t2*). The reads for each library were filtered with fastQ and trimmed using Trimommatic

version 0.32 (Bolger et al. 2014). Assembly of 106C and T09 was done *de novo*, whereas

RF31YmG genome was obtained from metagenomic reads of the sub-community co-culture

RF3-*t2* of *D. merolae*, using as reference the newly obtained sequence of 106C. The

metagenomic reads were filtered by mapping them against the assembly of *Nostoc* sp. 106C with

BWA (Li et al. 2009). In all cases the resulting reads were assembled with Velvet (Zerbino et al.

2008) using different *k*-mers: the assemblies with the largest length and the smaller number of

contigs were selected and annotated using RAST (Aziz et al. 2008).

Taxonomic diversity. We first estimated taxonomic diversity using the 16S rRNA gene as a

marker, obtained after PCR amplification, for our entire bacterial endophyte collection (*t0* and

t1). PCR products of 1.4 Kbp in length, obtained using the F27 and R1492 primers (Lane 1991),

were obtained and sequenced using the Sanger method (ABI 3730xl). The taxonomic

identification was made using Blastn with an initial cut-off e-value of 1e-5 against the SILVA

database (Quast et al. 2013) and above 98% identity levels. We used the phylogenetic position of

the top 10 hits from each search without duplicated matches, to determine both taxonomic

diversity and phylogenetic relationships. We only report genus-level taxonomy as species

determination can be biased by reference 16S rRNA databases in bacteria.

We used Kraken (http://ccb.jhu.edu/software/kraken/) to assign taxonomic labels to

metagenomic DNA sequences based on exact alignment of *k*-mers (Wood et al. 2014). Kraken

was used to exclude sequence contaminants from the draft assembly, allowing us to generate a

symbiotic cyanobacteria marker database as reference for future classification. We made a

standard Kraken database using NCBI taxonomic information for all bacteria, as well as the

bacterial, archaeal and viral complete genomes in RefSeq (October 2016). This database

contains a mapping of every *k*-mer in Kraken's genomic library to the lowest common ancestor

in a taxonomic tree of all genomes that contain that *k*-mer. We summarized the results in genera-

level tables for each metagenome and filtered taxonomy hits that had one or more reads assigned

directly to a taxon.

We estimated the efficiency of our sequencing effort with respect to the total possible

taxa per metagenome using a previously reported rarefaction method (Hurlbert 1971). To do this

we calculated the proportional number of sequences for each metagenome, in which the richness

of mOTUs is sub-sampled randomly from the total of the community. The Shannon-Weaver *H'*

and Simpson *L* indices for OTUs from different environments were calculated. In both cases we

used th Vegan v2.3-5 package in R (Oksanen J 2015). To visualize shared taxa among

metagenomes, and their abundance, we used Cytoscape v3.4.0 (Shannon 2003), where each node

and its size represent the abundance of an OTU, and lines represent shared taxa between

metagenomes. The network was made by an interaction matrix, where each of the OTUs that had

more than 14 readings assigned directly by Kraken, was linked to its cognate metagenome.

Identified nodes were manually ordered to prevent visual overlap.

Reconstruction of phylogenomic relationships. We aligned annotated 16S rRNA sequences

trimmed to 1.1 Kbp, using MUSCLE v3.8.31 with default parameters (Edgar 2004). This matrix

was used for phylogenetic reconstruction using MrBayes v3.2 (Ronquist et al. 2012) with a

gamma distribution type range with 1,000,000 generations. ModelTest (Posada et al. 1998)

showed that Kimura 2 parameters was the best substitution model. To explore major clades in

more detail, we estimated individual phylogenies for each of the genera in our main tree and

represented them graphically. To do this we first recovered a tree by generating a consensus

sequence from all genera within each clade, then a Bayesian phylogeny with a gamma

distribution and a million generations (additional generations did not change our results) was

reconstructed using MrBayes v3.2 for each individual genus dataset. The resulting trees were

edited and sorted with Environment for Tree Exploration Toolkit v3.0.0b35 (Huerta-Cepas et al.

2010) in Python v2.7.6.

To construct a complete phylogeny of Cyanobacteria strains using their whole genomes,

we adopted a phylogenomics approach that we termed OrthoCores based on our in-house scripts

(https://github.com/nselem/orthocore/wiki, dockerhub: nselem/orthocores). Our method is based

on the premise that single gene or even housekeeping multilocus phylogenies are often not

enough to resolve the evolutionary history of certain taxonomic groups, and thus total evidence

tree evidence approaches must be used (Narechania et al. 2012). We first obtain a set of

orthologous groups that are shared among all high-quality genomes, or the 'core genome',

excluding paralogs and signatures of expansions (i.e. not all genes from a gene family are part of

the Best Bidirectional Hits, BBH set). We define orthologs from the core genome as hits that

satisfy that all gene members are BHHs all vs all (fig. s1). To place our samples within the

Cyanobacteria phylogeny we used OrthoCores to generate a tree using representative genera

from different families sampled from all Cyanobacteria groups. We selected closed and well

annotated genomes, although for Nostocales we used all the publicly available genomes. We

obtained representatives from Chroococcidiopsidales, Oscillatoriales, Synechococcales,

Chroococcales, Pleurocapsales, Gloeobacterales and Nostocales publically available (July 2016),

and included the three genome sequences obtained in this study in the phylogeny.

The OrthoCores cut-off e-value was 1e-6, although most genes had an 1e-50 score given

our restrictive all vs all criteria. Set of sequences were concatenated and aligned using MUSCLE

v3.8.31 with default parameters (Edgar 2004), and trimmed by Gblocks (Talavera et al. 2007)

with five positions as minimum block length, 10 as a maximum number of contiguous non-

conserved positions and only considering positions with a gap in less than 50% of the sequences

in the final alignment. The final matrix included 76 taxa and 198 core protein sequences with

45475 amino acids that represent a set of 76 cyanobacterial genomes including our two isolates

(T09 and 106C) (table s1), plus the RF31YmG metagenomic assembly, and *Gloeobacter*

kilaueensis JS1 and *Gloeobacter violaceus* PCC 7421 as outgroups. This matrix was used to

reconstruct a tree with MrBayes, using a mixed substitution model based on posterior

probabilities (aamodel[Wag]1.000) for proteins for a 1 million generations. The tree was

visualized with jsPhyloSVG (Smits Sa et al. 2010), and once we identified our samples as

Nostocales, we highlighted key traits of these organisms, such as genome size, habitat, and GC

content of them and their closest taxa. Metadata were added using PhyD3 (Kreft L et al. 2017).

Finally, we examined the level of colinearity among the genomes closest to our samples, using

R2cat with default paramenters (Husemann et al. 2010).

Genome mining for BGCs. To identify BGCs potentially directing the synthesis of specialized

metabolites among selected Cyanobacteria, we annotated the genome of the isolate 106C with

antiSMASH (Weber et al. 2015). The predicted BGCs in this strain were used as a reference for

subsequent searches in all of the selected genomes, which allowed us to identified both

conserved and unique BGCs in each genome. Each BGC from antiSMASH was searched against

our Cyanobacteria genome database as on NCBI (July 2016) (table s2). We considered that a

BGC hit was found whenever a selected enzyme, plus another enzyme for the BGC, were found

in a vicinity of 10 genes on a cyanobacterial genome, within a blast e-value cut-off of .001, using

in-house scripts. Prediction of the chemical structures of the putative specialized metabolites

associated with these BGCs was done after domain identification and specificity prediction,

mainly of adenylation and acyl transfer domains, with the non-ribosomal peptide synthetase

(NRPS) and polyketide synthases (PKS) NRPS-PKS server (Bachmann et al. 2009), PRISM

(Skinnider et al. 2015) and antiSMASH. In addition to analyzing single genomes including

RF31YmG, we also assembled, annotated and mined *de novo*, the complete metagenomes of *t1*

and *t2* sub-community co-cultures in an iterative fashion. First, by identifying sequence

signatures of biosynthetic enzymes using antiSMASH, and second, by extending the contigs with

hits by iterative mapping and assembly. We only recovered five short signal sequences (less than

3.5 Kbp) for all metagenomes obtained at *t1*, that are suggestive of enzyme genes that could be

part of BGCs. Thus, these datasets were not used as part of our genome mining efforts, and only

whole genome sequences were mined for BGCs.

Results

Our experimental strategy to characterize the taxonomic and functional diversity of the coralloid

root endophytic microbiome led to hundreds of bacterial isolates obtained directly from the

original sample (*t0)*; and from enriched sub-communities in oligotrophic (BG11) medium (*t1*)

aimed at promoting interactions between members of the coralloid root community. Individual

markers and genomic sequences obtained from these isolates captured the taxonomic diversity of

endophytes living in the coralloid root, including bacteria initially present in low titers (rare) in

the original sample (*t2*). In the following sections we describe the results obtained from this

effort, including the overall bacterial taxonomic diversity, and the phylogenomic relationships of

the cyanobacteria present in the *Dioon* coralloid roots; as well as insights of metabolic

specialization of the cyanobacteria-cycad interaction, after comprehensive genome mining of

natural products BGCs.

Dioon coralloid roots show ample endophyte diversity of taxa beyond and within

cyanobacteria

Taxa assessment using 16S rRNA. Cultivable bacteria constitute only a biased subset of the total

endophyte biodiversity, yet from our 16S rRNA sequences alone we found 470 isolates grouped

into 242 OTUs, distributed in 18 families and 11 bacterial orders, with 27 genera in total,

representing most of the known bacterial groups (table 1; see also table s3). As seen in our 16S

rRNA phylogenetic reconstruction (fig. 2), all of our sequences grouped within monophyletic

clades, and most trees within each clade show that there are novel isolates that remain to be

described, in almost all of the genera found within the cycad coralloid root (see also fig. s2 for a

detailed phylogeny). An 87% of the taxa identified can be taxonomically classified as

diazotrophic plant endophytes, validating our endophyte isolation procedures and supporting the

notion of N_2 fixation. Most OTUs grouped within the genera *Streptomyces, Bacillus, Rhizobium,*

Stenotrophomonas, Pseudomonas, Mitsuaria, Achromobacter and *Burkholderia*.

Our results also clarified earlier reports of bacteria associated to the cycad coralloid root,

including *Bacillus*, which was reported as associated to the outside of the coralloid root;

Streptomyces, isolated as an epiphyte (Lobakova et al. 2003), which grew on one of our selective

media (ISP4); and *Pseudomonas* (Bershova et al. 1968), growing indistinctly in our four semi

selective media. We identified six isolates as *Tolypothrix* spp, a previously unreported genus of

Nostocales living in the coralloid root, and isolated two strains identified as *Nostoc* spp, which

confirmed earlier reports of this genus present in the coralloid root (Caiola 1980). We sequenced

the genome of one of these isolates, strain 106C, as further discussed in following sections.

Presumably, if the coralloid root is adapted to specific environments, then species

composition, diversity and abundance should differ among collection sites (dry vs humid), and

should also be independent of the media they were cultivated in. Our phylogenetic results show

that OTUs are mostly shared with no specific distribution among the various isolation culture

media (fig. 2). Some genera occur only in dry (JP; e.g. *Rhizobium*), or only in humid (RF; e.g.

Xanthomonas) forest environments, with a few genera occurring in both (e.g. *Burkholderia*).

Diversity and abundance measured as the Shannon-Weaver and Simpson biodiversity indices

were H'=1.50, L=3.8 in the dry environment and H'=1.57, L= 3.76 in the humid environment

(table s4) are similar. Thus it seems that there are some trends in different environments, but we

consider these results preliminary and limited by the use of cultivable approaches.

Taxa assessment based in sub-community co-cultures characterized with metagenomics. We

extracted and sequenced whole-community metagenomic DNA from *t1* and *t2* subcommunities

with the aim of enriching for specific interactions in response to oligotrophic (BG11) growth

conditions. Basic indicators of metagenome quality are shown in (table s5), and rarefaction

curves suggest we captured 40-60% of the microbial community growing in the BG11 media

(fig. s3). Metagenomic sequencing of endophytic sub-communities was successful for the

following individuals, grown under the same conditions: two from each of the two environments

(JP2, JP6 and RF1, RF3) after 30 days (*t1*); and two after 365 days (*t2*) one from each

environment (JP6 and RF3).

With respect to identity and abundance of taxa, in the 30 days sample (*t1*), we found the

following (total taxa/genus/most abundant in percentage): JP2, 57/*Rhizobium* (7%); JP6,

69/*Xanthomonas* (2%); RF1, 63/*Nostoc* (3%); and RF3 61/*Xanthomonas* (7%). As expected, we

observed different bacterial proportions for the 1-year samples (*t2*): JP6, 69/*Deinococcus* (4%),

RF3, 63/*Nostoc* (3%), and in both *t1* and *t2* samples *Nostoc* is present. When the overall taxa is

evaluated, the most abundant genera from the four metagenomes from humid and dry

environments mostly overlap (fig. 3, table s6). There are some exceptions that were unique to an

environment, which include genera such as *Shewanella*, only found in JP2 from the dry

environment, and *Cronobacter*, only found in RF3 in the humid environment. These results

support the trends found with 16S rRNA, in which the abundant taxa are shared within the

analyzed coralloid root samples, with exceptions of some genera.

Analyses using Kraken revealed an overall proportion of 12% Cyanobacteria, yet we

failed to detect *Tolypothrix* in any of the metagenomes, identified with 16S rRNA in the previous

section. We did find *Anabaena* (Chaudhuri et al. 1931), whose presence in cycads was

previously questioned; *Nostoc* (Thajuddin et al. 2010a), the mainly reported genus in cycads

before this study; and *Calothrix*, previously reported in *Encephalartos* (Grobbelaar et al. 1987,

Huan et al. 1989) and in *Cycas revoluta* (Thajuddin et al. 2010a). Notably, we identified

Caulobacter, which to our knowledge is the first report of this genus as a cycad endophyte. The

importance of this observation relies on the fact that *Caulobacter* can be found associated to

unicellular green algae (Kim Bh et al. 2014) and has been found to enhance growth of *Anabaena*

cyanobacteria (Berg et al. 2008).

The taxonomic diversity from the cultivated environmental isolates (*t0*) using 16S rRNA,

and the diversity found in the sub-community co-cultures (*t1*) measured by Kraken, overlap only

in 20.8% of the genera. Differences in genera, such as the absence of *Tolypothrix* from the

metagenomes, could be explained because our sequencing efforts were not deep enough to

recover cyanobacteria-associated OTUs; because taxa presence may fluctuate in the cultures;

and/or because Cycanobacteria sequences are too divergent to be captured or there are errors of

annotation in the 16S rRNA databases. It is likely that all these factors influenced our results.

Despite these issues, we confirmed the occurrence of several of the bacterial endophyte

taxonomic groups in the metagenomes, which we also isolated and characterized with 16S

rRNA. Thus, it can be concluded that the taxonomic diversity of the endophytes present in the

cycad coralloid root captured by our combined approach, is a valid representation of the natural

circumstances.

Dioon cyanobacteria are a monophyletic group with large genomes within the Nostocales

Given that Cyanobacteria phylogenetic history is likely reticulated (Zhaxybayeva et al. 2006) we

adopted a whole-genome inference approach to explore the phylogenetic position of our

cyanobacterial isolates. Using OrthoCores we reconstructed a phylogeny from 198 proteins

identified as the core genome in 76 selected genomes that provide a broad taxonomic coverage

and represent good quality sequences. We then used this phylogeny to map general genome

features.

We found lack of monophyly of several Cyanobacteria groups in our whole-genome

phylogeny, with the exception of the Nostocales that were monophyletic (fig. 4a). Our isolates

T09 from *D. caputoi*, and 106C / RF31YmG from *D. merolae,* form a monophyletic clade, and

group with *Tolypothrix* sp PCC 7601. The *Dioon*-specific clade is sister to *Calothrix* sp. PCC

7507 and *Fortiea contorta* PCC7126. When examining the synteny of our isolates with their

closest relatives (fig. s4), we observe that they are more similar to each other, and to *Tolypothrix*

sp PCC 7601, and have less genome conservation when compared to *Calothrix* sp. PCC 7507,

Fortiea contorta PCC7126, and surprisingly, to *Nostoc punctiforme* from the cycad *Macrozamia*.

Thus, although the genus and species of our isolates remains to be determined, we can conclude

that our cyanobacteria endophytes belong to the Nostocales, have genome conservation, are

closely related despite different host origin, and appear to be specific to *Dioon*.

These results contrast with previous studies in which different species of cycads host

multiple cyanobacteria and do not form cycad or host-specific clades (Lindblad et al. 1989,

Zimmerman et al. 1992, Zheng W et al. 2002), but are congruent with a 16S rRNA phylogeny of

Nostocaceae cyanobacteria which shows that hormogonia-producing species symbiotic to

Gunnera ferns, *Anthoceros*, and cycads, tend to cluster together (Papaefthimiou et al. 2008).

Our phylogeny also shows diverging evolutionary trajectories of *Nostoc* species

associated with cycads, from those of the free-living Nostocaceae and other *Nostoc* associated

with plants (fig. 4b). The *Nostoc* free-living PCC 7120 grouped distantly to strains of symbiotic

or plant-associated origin, while the *Nostoc azollae* and other plant-associated *Nostoc* are closely

related to some *Anabaena* and *Aphanizomenon,* congruently with previous reports

(Papaefthimiou et al. 2008).

When we mapped genome size and G+C content traits onto the phylogeny, we found that

although there are no differences in G+C content, our coralloid endosymbiont cyanobacteria and

related taxa have larger genomes compared to other clades (fig. 4b). The exception to this is

Hassallia byssoidea, a soil-dwelling bacteria that has a genome of similar size to our cycad

symbionts, but it is phylogenetically distant. Notably, the other taxa with large genomes in

Nostocales include their sister taxa *Tolypothrix* sp PCC 7601, and two other plant symbionts:

Nostoc sp KVJ20 which lives in special cavities located on the ventral surface of the

gametophyte of the Norway liverwort *Blasia pusilla* (Liaimer 2016); and *Nostoc punctiforme*

PCC73102, associated with the Australian cycad *Macrozamia* (Meeks et al. 2001). Interestingly,

the closest genome at the synteny level outside of our own samples is KVJ20, suggesting

convergence between the divergent plant niches hosting these bacteria.

Identification of BGCs in sub-community metagenomes suggests metabolic specialization of

***Dioon* cyanobacteria.**

Given that large genomes correlate with the ability of bacteria to produce specialized metabolites

(Doroghazi et al. 2014), we aimed at exploring the functional specialization of the coralloid root

microbiome by identifying examples of BGCs putatively directing the synthesis of specialized

metabolites. Genome mining of isolate 106C revealed 18 BGCs, which are overall well

conserved in the related RF31YmG genomic sequence (table s7). Interestingly, none of the

known molecules previously associated with cycad cyanobionts, such as nodularin (Gehringer et

al. 2012), were identified. Moreover, the analysis of the distribution of these 18 BGCs

throughout the selected genomes (table s8) revealed that only the well-characterized heterocyst

glycolipid (Soriente et al. 1992), termed here BGC 16; and BGC 2, putatively directing the

synthesis of a terpene of unknown structure, are conserved in the analyzed genomes. In contrast,

we did find that up to half of the identified BGCs were uniquely present within *Dioon* symbionts.

Among these BGCs we could annotate four coding for lantipeptides, namely, BGC 1, 9, 10, 17;

and five coding for NRPS-containing systems, of which some may contain additional PKSs,

namely, BGC 12, 20, 21, 22, 23 (fig. 5, text s1). It should be noted that all 9 BGCs are absent

from *Nostoc punctiforme* PCC73102, a strain isolated from an Australian *Macrozamia* that has

been recently re-sequenced (Moraes et al. 2017).

BGC 12 may provide an example of a specialized metabolite in the coralloid root with a

functional role. Our sequence-based predictions suggest that this BGC may code for an assembly

line capable of synthesizing an N-terminal acylated hexapeptide with several modifications, such

as the epimerization of four of its residues, the N-acylation of its second amidic bond, and the

reduction of its C-terminal end to yield an aldehyde group. The N and C terminal modifications

on this peptide would resemble small peptide aldehyde protease inhibitors, which have been

previously reported on cyanobacteria (Fewer et al. 2013). Alternatively, the product of this

biosynthetic system may be a siderophore, as iron-related genes were found next to the NRPS

coding-genes, which include a reductase domain. The latter observation is significant as previous

reports implicate reductase-containing NRPS systems in the synthesis of iron chelators, e.g.

myxochelin biosynthesis (Li et al. 2008).

BGC 23, the most interesting of all our predictions, seems to code for a NRPS system

putatively directing the synthesis of a tripeptide consisting of leucine, valine and tyrosine

residues. This prediction also includes informative modifications, such as N-terminal acylation,

N-methylation at an amide bond of the isoleucine residue, and modification of the tyrosine

residue by a domain of unknown function. A search for peptides containing such modifications,

performed with the server PRISM with the feature for de-replication of known chemical

structures (Skinnider et al. 2015), directed our attention to nostoginins, specialized metabolites

originally isolated from *Nostoc* (Ploutno et al. 2002), whose biosynthetic pathway remains to be

identified. Indeed, nostoginins A and B, which are N-acylated Leucine-Valine-Tyrosine peptides

have been shown to have protease inhibitory activity, as well as their congeners microginins FR1

/ SD755 and oscillaginins A / B (Sano et al. 1998). BGC 23 includes a peptidase-coding gene

(fig. 5), which warrants further biochemical and functional characterization of 106C with regards

to this prediction. Thus far, it is clear that the *Dioon*-specific cyanobionts have distinctive

metabolic capabilities that may result from the evolution of these organisms within a diverse

microbiome present in the coralloid root.

Discussion

Our evidence undoubtedly shows that within the cycad coralloid root there is a diverse bacterial

community that includes all of the previously reported Nostocales, other taxa for which their

endophytic origin and presence was unclear, and newly reported genera. We support previous

morphological observations that showed that an individual cycad plant could hold diverse

communities that differ in their taxonomic composition and life strategies (Lobakova et al.

2003). Morphological studies observing mucilaginous material inside the coralloid root (Ow et

al. 1999, Baulina et al. 2003) are also congruent with the microbiome consortium we describe.

Overall, many shared genera among samples obtained from plants growing in different

environmental conditions, including mostly diazotrophic plant endophytes, point toward

functional congruence in a taxonomically diverse coralloid microbiome.

In this study we increased our ability to identify a diverse array of organisms using co-

cultured bacterial sub-communities (*t1, t2*). The differences in genera identified following this

approach in comparison with direct isolation (*t0*) was expected, because environmental sampling

and enriched inoculant complement each other, and aim to recover distinct aspects of the

microbiome's composition (Cibrián-Jaramillo et al. 2016). These patterns can also be explained

by various scenarios: i) rare groups present in low abundance can only be recovered in sub-

community co-cultures on which they increase in biomass; ii) some organisms are fast growers

irrespective of media, and will dominate in OTUs, simply by chance, iii) some groups are more

media-specific; and/or iv) groups in BG11 (*t1*) are recovered as a result of functional interactions

in cyanobacteria-associated groups.

The long-term one-year co-culture (*t2*) allowed us to explore at least some of the

aforementioned possibilities, as the initial amount of nitrogen available in these co-cultures

became a limiting factor over time. Hence, the establishment of stable sub-communities after one

year -with emerging and surviving taxa- suggests that nitrogen fixation is a driving force in the

assembly of the coralloid root community. Exploration of the metabolic-driven hypotheses

emerging from these observations in different nutrient conditions is required to understand the

complexity of the cycad coralloid community. The use of specific nutrients favoring 'keystone'

taxa that help recover otherwise rare and less abundant taxa, is a feasible framework to tackle the

complicated challenge of characterizing microbial life strategies, and linking them with their

phylogenetic lineages (Ho et al. 2017).

Notably, we recover a monophyletic placement of our cyanobacterial samples, which

confirms a previous single morphological observation of possible specificity among

cyanobacteria coralloid root endophytes (previously termed phycobionts), and their hosts,

including *Dioon* (Caiola 1980). This notion contrasts with other reports that assume no

specificity based on the observation that different strains isolated from one plant species are

capable of infecting phylogenetically distant hosts (Johansson et al. 1994, Papaefthimiou et al.

2008, Whitton 2012). Partial taxon identification and lack of samples from their native habitats

could confound the identification of co-evolutionary signatures between plant host and the

bacterial microbiome in those previous studies.

Interestingly, our endosymbiont cyanobacterial isolates have larger genome sizes than all

other closely related taxa in their phylogeny. This observation contrasts with most bacterial

endosymbionts in eukaryotes that show a reduction in genome size compared to free-living

relatives (Mccutcheon 2010). Large genome sizes in endosymbionts are usually attributed to a

facultative relationship that requires retaining free-living stages (Maclean et al. 2007). In

angiosperms facultative symbionts that form nitrogen-fixing root nodules have large genome

sizes adapted to shifting from the soil to the plant environment (Normand et al. 2007).

Congruently, the only other cyanobacteria cycad symbiont whose genome has been sequenced,

Nostoc punctiforme from an African cycad *Macrozamia*, has variation within its large genome

required to sense and respond to the environment outside and inside the plant (Meeks et al.

2001). Thus, a feasible yet-to-be-tested hypothesis is that the Nostocaceae taxa associated to the

cycad coralloid root have experienced genome expansion driven by selection to initially survive

the complexity of the environment from which they are recruited, but retain the complex life

cycles involving cell differentiation needed to establish an advantageous relationship with the

cycad plant (Meeks et al. 2002), and with other members of the microbiome inside the coralloid

root.

The genome synteny observed within the cycad isolates, together with the nine BGCs

unique to the *Dioon*-specific cyanobionts reported here, is in agreement with the hypothesis of a

specialized community driven by metabolic adaptation. Of the nine unique BGCs found in our

isolates, BGC 12 and BGC 23 putatively coding for two small peptide protease inhibitors, or a

siderophore, could have a strong bearing on the evolution and biology of the *Dioon*-bacteria

symbiosis. Peptide production may imply that proteolysis is involved in the cyanobacteria-cycad

interaction, linked to the reconfiguration of the root architecture or the filtering of the

microbiome, as it has been observed in arbuscular mycorrhiza and legumes (Takeda et al. 2007).

An obvious starting point to explore this hypothesis would be to confirm the predicted gene-

metabolite relationship proposed here, by sequencing the genomes of nostoginin-producing

organisms (Ploutno et al. 2002). If a siderophore, BGC12 could be mediating bacterial

community interactions (Traxler et al. 2015) and/or interactions with the host, adding to the

growing notion that symbiotic relations occur under heavy influence of metabolic exchange.

In sum, our study demonstrates the broad taxonomic diversity of the coralloid root,

which combined with monophyletic Nostocales large genomes with at least nine unique BGCs,

could be a sign of the constraints imposed by the transition from a facultative symbiotic lifestyle

into a specialized organ. As data is gathered from more genomes of bacterial cycad symbionts

isolated from different micro-niches of the rhizosphere-coralloid root ecological system, it will

be possible to test for co-evolutionary relationships, including horizontal gene transfer between

bacteria and the eukaryote host, and other patterns indicative of close evolutionary histories.

These patterns will inform the evolutionary and ecological nature of cycad-bacterial interactions,

which in turn could direct detailed functional characterization of these plant specialized bacterial

communities.

Table 1. Taxonomic composition of endophytes cultivated from *Dioon* coralloid roots

Phylum	Class	Order	Family	Genus	OTUs [a]
Bacteroidetes	Sphingobacteriia	Sphingobacteriales	Sphingobacteriaceae	*Mucilaginibacter*	3
				Sphingobium	1
				Sphingomonas	2
				Variovorax	**1**
	Cytophagales	Cytophagales	Cytophagaceae	*Dyadobacter*	1
Cyanobacteria	Cyanobacteria	Nostocales	Microchaetaceae	*Tolypothrix*	6
			Nostocaceae	*Nostoc*	**2**
Firmicutes	Bacilli	Bacillales	Bacillaceae	*Bacillus*	***16***
			Paenibacillaceae	*Paenibacillus*	***2***
			Staphylococcaceae	*Staphylococcus*	1
Proteobacteria	Alphaproteobacteria	Rhizobiales	Rhizobiaceae	*Rhizobium*	*32*
				Shinella	2
			Brucellaceae	*Ochrobactrum*	1
	Betaproteobacteria	**Burkholderiales**	**Alcaligenaceae**	*Achromobacter*	*33*
			Burkholderiaceae	*Burkholderia*	*39*
				Ralstonia	*2*

				Mitsuaria	**8**
			Comamonadaceae	*Variovorax*	1
	Gammaproteobacteria	**Enterobacteriales**	**Enterobacteriaceae**	*Enterobacter*	***3***
				Luteibacter	1
				Pantoea	***1***
		Pseudomonadales	**Pseudomonadaceae**	*Pseudomonas*	***21***
		Xanthomonadales	**Xanthomonadaceae**	*Luteibacter*	***2***
				Stenotrophomonas	***35***
				Xanthomonas	***2***
Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Micrococcales	Microbacteriaceae	*Microbacterium*	5
		Streptomycetales	Streptomycetaceae	*Streptomyces*	***19***

572   [a]Taxa identified in the literature as diazotroph (bold) are shown.

Legends to Figures

Figure 1. Diagram of experimental strategy to capture bacterial diversity. Coralloid roots from cycads growing naturally in deciduous tropical forests were sampled. Endophytes from the macerated root were isolated, following two strategies: directly from the sample (*t0*) and after enrichment of sub-communities co-cultures in BG11 (*t1*). In both cases, cultivable bacteria were obtained using an array of six different media. Co-cultures were characterized using shotgun metagenomics, and the resulting data was used to select representative genomes from the endophyte culture collection that we mined for functional and evolutionary information.

Figure 2. 16S rRNA Bayesian phylogeny of endophytes from coralloid roots of *Dioon merolae*. The external ring refers to two different environments sampled: dry or JP (D - orange) and humid or RF (H - blue) deciduous tropical forests. The inner ring refers to the isolation strategy: directly from the sample (*t0* - white) or after enrichment of sub-communities co-cultures (*t1* - gray). Major bacterial groups are highlighted in different colors across the tree.

Figure 3. Network of taxa co-occurrence from different coralloid root samples. The most abundant genera present in the four metagenomes representative of dry (JP) and humid (RF) are represented by circles. Circle diameters are scaled in accordance with the number of reads associated to each genus. The lines connecting the circles represent shared taxa, orange lines correspond to dry environments and blue to wet environments.

Figure 4. A. Phylogenomic tree of the Phylum Cyanobacteria. Tree reconstructed with 198

conserved proteins to place 106C, T09 and RF31Ymg. Branches are colored according to the

genera originally assigned in GenBank. **B. Zoom into the Nostocales**. Habitats for each species

are indicated with colored bullets. Bars show a relatively homogeneous (G+C)-content among

cyanobacteria, and a trend for larger genomes in plant symbionts including genomes obtained in

this study.

Figure 5. *Dioon*-**specific BGCs predicted from cyanobacterial genomes.** Genes are shown as

colored boxes, the tips of the boxes indicate the direction of their translation. Domain

organization, biosynthetic logic and predicted products are indicated below each BGC, except for

lantipeptide BGCs 1, 9, 10 and 17, whose products are shown as supplementary text

S1.

Data deposition

The genomes generated during the current study are available in the GenBank public repository

as follows:

614	**SUBID**	**BioProject**	**BioSample**	**Accession**	**Organism**
615	SUB2297132	PRJNA360300	SAMN06208854	MTAV00000000	*Nostoc* sp. T09
616	SUB2299096	PRJNA360305	SAMN06208961	MTAW00000000	*Nostoc* sp. 106C
617	SUB2299173	PRJNA360315	SAMN06209042	MTAX00000000	*Nostoc* sp. RF31YmG

Metagenomes are available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/369422

<u>Supplementary files:</u>

Cibrian_SupplementaryTables.xls, divided in sheets

Table S1. Organisms and proteins in the cyanobacterial core genome

Table S2. Genomes used to obtain the core proteome

Table S3. List of 470 isolated bacteria with 16S rRNA

Table S4. Biodiversity indices of 16S rRNA and OTUs

Table S5. Statistics and details of metagenomes sequenced

Table S6. Distribution and abundance of OTUs from the metagenomes

Table S7. Prediction of BGCs from the 106C isolate

Table S8. 106C-specific BGCs throughout Nostocales

Cibrian_SupplementaryText.txt

Text S1. Predicted lantipeptide from *Dioon* cyanobionts

Cibrian_SupplementaryFigures.pdf

Figure S1. OrthoCores diagram

Figure S2. Rarefaction of metagenomic OTUs defined by Kraken

Figure S3. Detailed 16S rRNA phylogenetic tree (two panels that can be zoomed in)

Figure S4. Syntenic comparisons among selected genomes

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by CONACyT #169701 and FON.INST./265/2016 (SA-FC2015-2-

901) to ACJ. CONACyT #179290 and #177568 to FBG. We acknowledge Rafael Rincón for his

help with preparation of supplementary material. We also thank Juan Palacios, Pablo Suarez-

Moo and Antonio Hernández for help during field collections, as well as Hilda E. Ramos-

Abiotes and Flor Zamudio for technical support.

References

Adnani, N, et al. (2017). Symbiosis-inspired approaches to antibiotic discovery. *Nat Prod Rep.*
34: 784-814.

Aziz, RK, et al. (2008). The RAST Server: Rapid Annotations using Subsystems Technology.
BMC Genomics 9: 75.

Bachmann, B, et al. (2009). In silico prediction of microbial secondary metabolic pathways from
DNA sequence data. *Methods in Enzymology* 458: 181-217.

Baulina, O, et al. (2003). Atypical cell forms overproducing extracellular substances in
populations of cycad cyanobionts. *Microbiology* 72: 701-712.

Berg, KA, et al. (2008). High diversity of cultivable heterotrophic bacteria in association with
cyanobacterial water blooms. *ISME J* 3: 314-325.

Bergensen, F, et al. (1986). Nitrogen fixation in coralloid roots of *Macrozamia communis*. L.
Johnson. *Aus J Bio Sc* 18: 1135-1142.

Bershova, O, et al. (1968). The interrelations between the blue-green algae -the causative agents
of the water 'bloom' - and bacteria. . *'Tsvetenie' Vody*. A. Topanchevsky. Kiev, USSR, Naukova
Dumka**:** 159-171.

Bolger, AM, et al. (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data.
Bioinformatics 30: 2114-2120.

Caiola, M (1980). On the phycobionts of the cycad coralloid roots. *New Phytologist* 85: 537-544

Chaudhuri, H, et al. (1931). The coral-like roots of *Cycas revoluta, Cycas circinalis* and *Zamia*
floridana and the alga inhabiting them. *J Indian Bot Soc* 10: 43-59.

Cibrián-Jaramillo, A, et al. (2016). Increasing metagenomic resolution of microbiome
interactions through functional phylogenomics and bacterial sub-communities. *Frontiers in*
Genetics 7: 4.

Costa, J, et al. (1999). Cyanobiont diversity within coralloid roots of selected cycad species.
FEMS Microbiol Ecol 28: 85-91.

Costa, J, et al. (2004). Sequence based data supports a single *Nostoc* strain in individual coralloid
roots of cycads. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 49: 481-487.

Costa JL, et al. (2002). Cyanobacteria in Symbiosis with Cycads. *Cyanobacteria in Symbiosis*.
Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic Publishers**:** 195–205.

Cuddy, W, et al. (2012). Comparative analysis of cyanobacteria in the rhizosphere and as
endosymbionts of cycads in drought-affected soils. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 80: 204-215.

De Luca, P, et al. (1981). *Dioon meroale* (Zamiaceae), a new species from Mexico *Brittonia* 33:
179-185.

Doroghazi, J, et al. (2014). A Roadmap for Natural Product Discovery Based on Large-Scale
Genomics and Metabolomics. *Nature Chemical Biology.* 10: 963-968.

Edgar, RC (2004). MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high
throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res* 32: 1792-1797.

Fewer, DP, et al. (2013). New Structural variants of aeruginosin produced by the toxic bloom
forming cyanobacterium *Nodularia spumigena*. *PLoS ONE* 8: e73618.

Gehringer, M, et al. (2012). Nodularin, a cyanobacterial toxin, is synthesized in planta by
symbiotic *Nostoc* sp. *The ISME Journal* 6: 1834–1847.

Gehringer, M, et al. (2010). Host selection of symbiotic cyanobacteria in 31 species of the
Australian cycad genus: *Macrozamia* (Zamiaceae). *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* 23:
811-822.

Grobbelaar, N, et al. (1987). The identification of the coralloid root endophytes of the southern
African cycads and the ability of the isolates to fix dinitrogen. *South African Journal of Botany*
53: 111-118.

Grove, T, et al. (1980). Effects of fire on the growth, nutrient content and rate of nitrogen
fixation of the cycad *Macrozamia riedlei*. *Australian Journal of Botany* 28: 271-281.

Halliday, J, et al. (1976). Symbiotic nitrogen fixation by blue algae in the cycad *Marozamia
riedlei*: Physiological characteristics and ecological significance. *Aus J Plant Phys* 3: 349-358.

Ho, A, et al. (2017). Revisiting life strategy concepts in environmental microbial ecology. *FEMS
Microbiology Ecology* 93: 1-14.

Huan, T, et al. (1989). Isolation and characterization of endosymbiotic *Calothrix*
(Cyanophyceae) in *Encephalartos hildenbrandii* (Cycadales). *Phycologia* 28: 464-468.

Huerta-Cepas, J, et al. (2010). ETE: a python Environment for Tree Exploration. *BMC
Bioinformatics* 11: 24.

Hurlbert, S (1971). The nonconcept of species diversity: a critique and alternative parameters.
Ecology 52: 577-586.

Husemann, P, et al. (2010). r2cat: synteny plots and comparative assembly. *Bioinformatics* 26:
570–571.

Johansson, C, et al. (1994). Reconstitution of the symbiosis of *Gunnera manicata* Linden:
cyanobacterial specificity. *New Phytologist* 126.

Kim BH, et al. (2014). Role of *Rhizobium*, a plant growth promoting bacterium, in enhancing
algal biomass through mutualistic interaction. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 69: 95-105.

Kreft L, et al. (2017). PhyD3: a phylogenetic tree viewer with extended phyloXML support for
functional genomics data visualization. *Bioinformatics*: doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btx1324.

Lane, DJ (1991). 16S/23S rRNA sequencing. *Nucleic acid techniques in bacterial systematics*. E.
S. a. M. Goodfellow. New York John Wiley & Sons**:** 115-175.

Lázaro-Zermeño, JM, et al. (2012). Historia natural de *Dioon merolae* (Zamiaceae) en Chiapas,
México. *Botanical Sciences* 90: 73-87.

Li, H, et al. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler Transform.
Bioinformatics 25: 1754-1760.

Li, Y, et al. (2008). Myxochelin biosynthesis: direct evidence for two- and four-electron
reduction of a carrier protein-bound thioester. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 130: 7554–7555.

Liaimer, A, Jensen, J. B., & Dittmann, E. (2016). A genetic and ghemical perspective on
symbiotic recruitment of Cyanobacteria of the genus *Nostoc* into the Host Plant *Blasia pusilla* L.
Frontiers in Microbiology 7.

Lindblad, P, et al. (1989). Microbiology. *Symbiosis*: 20- 24.

Lobakova, E, et al. (2003). Microbial complexes occurring on the apogeotropic roots and in the
rhizosphere of cycad plants. *Microbiology* 72: 628.

MacLean, A, et al. (2007). Genomes of the Symbiotic Nitrogen-Fixing Bacteria of Legumes.
Plant Physiol. 144: 615-622.

McCutcheon, J (2010). The bacterial essence of tiny symbiont genomes. *Curr Opin Microbiol*
13: 73-78.

Meeks, J (2009). Physiological adaptations in nitrogen-fixing *Nostoc*-plant symbiotic
associations. *Prokaryotic Symbionts in Plants*. K. Pawlowski. Berlin, Springer-Verlag**:** 181–205.

Meeks, J, et al. (2002). Cellular differentiation in the cyanobacterium *Nostoc punctiforme*. *Arch*
Microbiol 178: 395–403.

Meeks, J, et al. (2001). An overview of the genome of *Nostoc punctiforme,* a multicellular,
symbiotic cyanobacterium. *Photosynthesis Research* 70: 85-106.

Moraes , L, et al. (2017). Resequencing and annotation of the Nostoc punctiforme ATTC 29133
genome: facilitating biofuel and high-value chemical production. *AMB Express* 7:
doi:10.1186/s13568-13017-10338-13569.

Narechania, A, et al. (2012). Random Addition Concatenation Analysis: a novel approach to the
exploration of phylogenomic signal reveals strong agreement between core and shell genomic
partitions in the cyanobacteria. *Genome Biology and Evolution* 4: 30-43.

Normand, P, et al. (2007). Genome characteristics of facultatively symbiotic *Frankia* sp. strains
reflect host range and host plant biogeography. *Genome Res* 17: 7-15.

Norstog, KJ, et al. (1997). *The Biology of the Cycads*. New York, Cornell University Press.

Oksanen J, BF, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, O'Hara RB, et al. (2015). Vegan: community
ecology package. R Packag. version *2*: 264.

Ow, M, et al. (1999). Reconstitution of a cycad-cyanobacterial association. *Symbiosis* 27: 125-
134.

Papaefthimiou, D, et al. (2008). Diversity and host specificity of *Azolla* cyanobionts. *J Phycol*
44: 60-70.

Ploutno, A, et al. (2002). Modified peptides from a water bloom of the cyanobacterium *Nostoc*
sp. *Tetrahedron* 58: 9949-9957.

Posada, D, et al. (1998). ModelTest: testing the model of DNA substitution. *Bioinformatics.*
1998 14: 817-818.

Quast, C, et al. (2013). The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data
processing and web-based tools. *Nucl Acids Res* 41: D590--D596.

Ronquist, F, et al. (2012). MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian Phylogenetic Inference and Model
Choice Across a Large Model Space. *Systematic Biology* 61: 539–542.

Sano, T, et al. (1998). A 3-amino-10-chloro-2-hydroxydecanoic acid-containing tetrapeptide
from Oscillatoria agardhii. *Phytochemistry* 44: 1503-1505.

Shannon, P, Markiel, A., Ozier, O., Baliga, N. S., Wang, J. T., Ramage, D., Admin, N.,
Schwikowski, B., Ideker, T (2003). Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of
biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Research* 13: 2498–2504.

Skinnider, M, et al. (2015). Genomes to natural products PRediction Informatics for Secondary
Metabolomes (PRISM). *Nucleic Acids Res* 43: 9645–9662.

Smits SA, et al. (2010). jsPhyloSVG: A Javascript Library for Visualizing Interactive and
Vector-Based Phylogenetic Trees on the Web. *PLoS ONE* 5: e12267.
doi:12210.11371/journal.pone.0012267.

Soriente, A, et al. (1992). Structure of the "heterocyst glycolipids" of the marine cyanobacterium
Nodularia harveyana. *Tetrahedron* 48: 5375–5384.

Takeda, N, et al. (2007). Proteases in plant root symbiosis. *Phytochemistry* 68: 111-121.

Talavera, G, et al. (2007). Improvement of phylogenies after removing divergent and
ambiguously aligned blocks from protein sequence alignments. *Systematic Biology* 56: 564-577.

Thajuddin, N, et al. (2010a). Morphological and genetic diversity of symbiotic cyanobacteria
from cycads. *J Basic Microbiol* 50: 254-265.

Thajuddin, N, et al. (2010b). Morphological and genetic diversity of symbiotic cyanobacteria
from cycads. *J Basic Microbiol* 50: 254-265.

Traxler, M, et al. (2015). Natural products in soil microbe interactions and evolution. *Nat Prod
Rep.* 32: 956-970.

Weber, T, et al. (2015). antiSMASH 3.0—a comprehensive resource for the genome mining of
biosynthetic gene clusters. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 43: W237-W243

Whitton, BA (2012). *Ecology of Cyanobacteria II: Their Diversity in Space and Time*, Springer
Science & Business Media.

Wood, D, et al. (2014). Kraken: ultrafast metagenomic sequence classification using exact
alignments. *Genome Biology* 15: R46.

Yamada, S, et al. (2012). Genetic diversity of symbiotic cyanobacteria in *Cycas revoluta*
(Cycadaceae). *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 81: 696-706.

Zerbino, DR, et al. (2008). Velvet: Algorithms for de novo short read assembly using de Bruijn
graphs. *Genome Research* 18: 821-829.

Zhaxybayeva, O, et al. (2006). Phylogenetic analyses of cyanobacterial genomes: Quantification
of horizontal gene transfer events. *Genome Research* 16: 1099–1108.

Zheng W, et al. (2002). High cyanobacterial diversity in coralloid roots of cycads revealed by
PCR fingerprinting. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 40: 215-222.

Zimmerman, WJ, et al. (1992). Cyanobiont diversity within and among cycads of one field site.
Canadian J Microbiol 38: 1324-1328.

Zvyagintsev, D, et al. (2010). Morphological and physiological modifications of cyanobacteria in
experimental cyanobacterium-actinomycete associations. *Microbiology* 79: 314-320.
801

Dry forest

Humid forest

2cm

'cyanobacterial' zone

2mm

16S rRNA Sanger

Amplicon sequencing

Strain isolation

t0

Six selective media

16S rRNA Sanger
Whole genome
sequencing

Sub-community co-cultures

t1, t2..tn

Shotgun metagenomic
sequencing

Taxonomic resolution

Community interactions

0.25

A

B

Size MBP   GC   Habitat

Aphanizomenon flosaquae MDT14
Aphanizomenon flosaquae MDT13
Aphanizomenon flosaquae WA102
Anabaena sp WA113
Anabaena sp WA93
Anabaena sp wa102
Aphanizomenon flosaquae NIES81
Anabaena sp 39865
Dolichospermum circinale1
Dolichospermum circinale2
Anabaena sp CRKS33
Raphidiopsis brookii D9
Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii
Nostoc azollae 0708
Anabaena sp PCC7108
Anabaena cylindrica PCC7122
Cylindrospermum stagnale
Nostoc sp KVJ20
Nostoc punctiforme PCC73102
*Cyanobacterium 106
*Cyanobacterium RF31YmG
*Cyanobacterium T09
Tolypothrix PCC7601 UTEX B481
Fortiea contorta_PCC7126
Calothrix PCC7507
Anabaena sp 39858
Anabaena variabilis ATCC29413
Nostoc sp PCC7120
Nostoc sp NIES3756
Anabaena sp 43
Anabaena sp ATCC33047
Nostoc sp PCC7524
Nostoc sp MBR210
Nostoc sp piscinale CENA21
Nostoc sp PCC7107
Nodularia spumigena CCY9414
Nodularia spumigena CCY9414_2
Nodularia spumigena CENA596
Chrysosporum ovalisporum
Hassallia byssoidea VB512170
Rivularia sp PCC7116
Calothrix sp PCC6303
Fischerella sp NIES3754
Chroococcidiopsis thermalis

Million bp

7.0
9.2
8.2
8.7
9.2
8.3
10
5.7

● Aquatic
● Plant-associated
● Others

● Synechococcales
● Chroococcales
● Pleurocapsales
● Gloeobacterales
● Nostocales
● Chroococcidiopsidales
● Oscillatoriales