Multiplex genome editing by natural transformation (MuGENT) for synthetic biology in *Vibrio natriegens*

Triana N. Dalia[1], Chelsea A. Hayes[1], Sergey Stolyar[2], Christopher J. Marx[2], James B. McKinlay[1], and Ankur B. Dalia[1,*]

[1]Department of Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47401. [2]Department of Biological Sciences, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID 83844.

*Author for correspondence: Ankur B. Dalia, ankdalia@indiana.edu

Vibrio natriegens has recently emerged as an alternative to *Escherichia coli* for molecular biology and biotechnology, but low-efficiency genetic tools hamper its development. Here, we uncover how to induce natural competence in *V. natriegens* and describe methods for multiplex genome editing by natural transformation (MuGENT). MuGENT promotes integration of large genome edits at high-efficiency on unprecedented timescales. Also, this method allows for generating highly complex mutant populations, which can be exploited for metabolic engineering efforts. As a proof-of-concept, we attempted to enhance production of the value added chemical poly-β-hydroxybutyrate (PHB) in *V. natriegens* by targeting the expression of nine genes involved in PHB biosynthesis via MuGENT. Within 1 week, we isolated edited strains that produced ~100 times more PHB than the parent isolate and ~3.3 times more than a rationally designed strain. Thus, the methods described here should extend the utility of this species for diverse academic and industrial applications.

V. natriegens is the fastest growing organism known, with a doubling time of <10 min[1, 2]. With broad metabolic capabilities, lack of pathogenicity, and its rapid growth rate, it is an attractive alternative to *E. coli* for diverse molecular biology and biotechnology applications[3, 4]. Methods for classical genetic techniques have been developed for *V. natriegens*, but these are relatively laborious, require multiple steps, and must be used

sequentially to generate multiple genome edits[3, 4]. The challenges of these techniques contrast with the ease of genetics in *Vibrio* species that are naturally transformable. Competent *Vibrios* can take up DNA from the environment and integrate it into their genome by homologous recombination; processes known as natural competence and natural transformation, respectively[5-8]. The inducing cue for natural transformation in competent *Vibrio*s is growth on the chitinous shells of crustacean zooplankton, which are commonly found in the aquatic environment where these microbes reside[5]. Chitin induces the expression of the competence regulator TfoX[9, 10]. In fact, overexpression of TfoX obviates the need for chitin induction, allowing competent *Vibrios* to be naturally transformed in rich media[5, 9].

As no reports of natural transformation existed for *V. natriegens*, we first sought to establish whether this was possible. Unlike naturally competent *V. cholerae*, incubation on chitin did not lead to detectable transformation in *V. natriegens* (data not shown). However, ectopic expression of TfoX (either the endogenous *tfoX* gene or one from *Vibrio cholerae*) on an IPTG-inducible plasmid (pMMB) supported high rates of natural transformation (**Fig. 1a**). This was tested using a linear PCR product that replaces the gene encoding the DNA endonuclease Dns with an antibiotic resistance (Ab^R) marker. The *dns* locus was used as a target for transformation assays throughout this manuscript because loss of this gene does not impact growth or viability in rich medium. Under optimal conditions ~1-10% of the population had integrated the transforming DNA (tDNA), which matches the highest rates of transformation observed among competent species[11] (**Fig. 1a-c**). Natural transformation of *V. natriegens* required very little transforming DNA (tDNA) (highly efficient with even 1 ng / 10^8 CFU) and was dependent on the length of homologous sequence surrounding the mutation (**Fig. 1b and c**). This method could also be used to introduce point mutations into *V. natriegens* (tested with tDNA containing an *rpsL* K43R Sm^R allele); however, this activity was partially suppressed by the mismatch repair system (**Fig. 1d**).

Having demonstrated *V. natriegens* is naturally competent, we sought to determine if we could use natural transformation to perform scarless multiplex genome editing by natural transformation (MuGENT)[12]. MuGENT operates under the premise that under competence

inducing conditions, only a subpopulation of cells is transformable. Those cells that can be transformed, however, have the capacity to take up and integrate multiple tDNAs[12, 13]. Thus, during MuGENT, cells are incubated with two types of linear tDNA; (1) a selected product that introduces an antibiotic resistance marker into the genome and (2) unselected products that introduce scarless genome edits of interest at one or more loci.

We first tested the ability of MuGENT to introduce a single unmarked genome edit (also known as cotransformation). To facilitate measurement of cotransformation, we noted this species forms opaque colonies on agar plates (**Fig. 2a**), which could be due to the production of a capsular polysaccharide. Consistent with this, inactivating a homolog of the essential capsule biosynthesis gene *wbfF*[14] resulted in the formation of transparent colonies on agar plates and loss of expression of a high molecular weight polysaccharide (**Fig. 2a and 2b**). Thus, to test cotransformation we used an unselected product to replace ~500 bp of the 5' end of the *wbfF* gene with a premature stop codon and scored cotransformation via colony morphology (opaque vs. transparent) on agar plates (**Fig. 3a**). We found that cotransformation was remarkably efficient in *V. natriegens* (up to ~80%), even with low amounts (~25-50 ng / 10^8 CFU) of the unselected product (**Fig. 3b**). Also, cotransformation with 1 kb flanks on the unselected product was possible, but at ~6-fold lower frequencies than with 3 kb flanks (**Fig. 3c**).

We next tested the full multiplex genome editing capacity of MuGENT to simultaneously cotransform multiple scarless genome edits into the genome in a single step[12, 15]. Since there is no selection for integration of the unselected genome edits *in cis* during MuGENT, output populations are highly heterogeneous and individual mutants contain any number and combination of the multiplexed genome edits. Also, this process can be carried out in multiple iterative cycles to further increase the complexity of genome edits in the population (**Fig. 3d**)[12].

As an initial test of multiplex genome editing, we targeted 5 genes whose mutagenesis was considered unlikely to affect viability or growth in LB. These targets included four carbohydrate transporters (specific for mannitol, fructose, sucrose, and trehalose – all of

which are absent in LB) and the *dns* gene. All genes were targeted for inactivation by replacing ~500 bp of the 5' end of each gene with a premature stop codon. Integration of genome edits was determined by multiplex allele-specific colony PCR (MASC-PCR)[16] (**Fig. 3e**). Following one cycle of MuGENT, we found that ~70% of the population contained at least 1 genome edit, with ~25% of the population containing 3-4 genome edits (**Fig. 3f**). A quadruple mutant from this experiment was isolated and whole genome sequencing of this strain did not reveal any off-target mutations. Thus, MuGENT rapidly generated *V. natriegens* strains with multiple large (0.5 kb) scarless genome edits at high-efficiency without off-target effects, and can be used to make highly complex mutant populations.

As a second demonstration of multiplex genome editing, we demonstrated its utility in metabolic engineering by attempting to rapidly enhance production of a value-added chemical in *V. natriegens*. This species naturally accumulates low levels of the bioplastic precursor poly-β-hydroxybutyrate (PHB) as a storage polymer[17]. PHB is derived from the condensation and subsequent NADPH-dependent reduction of acetyl-CoA precursors[18]. Thus, for our targets, we tuned the expression (swap P_{native} for IPTG-inducible P_{tac}) or inactivated genes that we hypothesized would affect NADPH and/or acetyl-CoA availability. The targets for promoter swaps were the PHB synthesis operon (*phaBAC*), NAD kinase (*nadK*), and two transhydrogenases (*pntAB* and *udhA*), while targets for inactivation were phosphoglucose isomerase (*pgi*), citrate synthase (*gltA*), phosphotransacetylase (*pta*), isocitrate lyase (*aceA*), and lactate dehydrogenase (*ldhA*) (**Fig. 4a**). Thus, there were 512 possible combinations for these 9 genome edits. We performed multiple cycles of MuGENT to introduce these genome edits into a competent population of *V. natriegens*. At each cycle, the selected product was designed to swap the Ab^R marker at the *dns* locus to maintain coselection at each step. Following four cycles of MuGENT, which took just 5 days to perform, ~50% of the population had 3 or more genome edits and ~10% contained 5+ genome edits (**Fig. 4b**). To select mutants with increased PHB production, we then plated this output population onto media containing Nile red, which stains PHB granules[19]. Nile red fluorescence on these plates was highly heterogeneous, suggesting that some genotypes produced more PHB than the parent isolate (**Fig. 4c**). A number of highly fluorescent colonies were picked and the genotypes determined by MASC-PCR. Also, PHB in

these select strains was directly measured by HPLC. Cumulatively, these analyses rapidly revealed genotypes that produced ~100-fold more PHB than the parent and ~3.3-fold more than a strain with just the P_{tac}-*phaBAC* mutation (**Fig. 4d**).

While many methods for multiplex genome editing in bacterial systems have been described[20], many of these are limited to small changes such as SNPs. MuGENT, on the other hand, can efficiently swap, insert, or remove whole promoters or coding sequences as demonstrated above. Furthermore, one of the major limitations to other multiplex genome editing methods is that mutagenesis must be performed in strains lacking DNA repair pathways to allow for high-efficiency integration of genome edits, which results in a large number of off-target mutations[16, 20]. MuGENT in *V. natriegens* is performed in DNA repair sufficient backgrounds, thus, little to no off target mutations are introduced during the procedure as indicated above. Also, unlike other multiplex editing approaches, MuGENT requires no specialized equipment and, thus, has the potential to make multiplex genome editing commonplace.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that MuGENT is a rapid, efficient, and simple tool for engineering the *V. natriegens* genome. This microbe is already being developed as an alternative to *E. coli*, and we believe that the ease and speed of MuGENT will extend the use of *V. natriegens* as a novel chassis for diverse molecular biology and biotechnology applications.

METHODS

Bacterial strains and culture conditions

The parent *V. natriegens* strain used throughout this study was a spontaneous rifampicin-resistant derivative of ATCC14048[2]. For a list of all strains used / generated in this study, see **Table S1**. Strains were routinely grown in LB+v2 salts (LBv2)[3], which is LB Miller broth (BD) supplemented with 200 mM NaCl, 23.14 mM $MgCl_2$, and 4.2 mM KCl. LBv2 was supplemented with 100 μM IPTG, 50 μg/mL kanamycin (Kan), 200 μg/mL spectinomycin (Spec), 100 μg/mL rifampicin (Rif), 100 μg/mL streptomycin (Sm), or 100 μg/mL carbenicillin (Carb) as appropriate.

Generation of mutant strains and constructs

Mutant constructs were generated by splicing-by-overlap extension (SOE) PCR exactly as previously described[21]. Briefly, for three-piece mutant constructs (i.e. for constructs where a gene of interest is replaced with an Ab^R cassette or where the native promoter is swapped for a P_{tac} promoter) segments were designated UP, MIDDLE, and DOWN and correspond to: (1) UP = the upstream region of homology amplified with F1 and R1 primers, (2) DOWN = the downstream region of homology amplified with F2 and R2 primers, and (3) MIDDLE = the Ab^R marker or promoter swap fragment. For two-piece mutant constructs (i.e. for constructs where ~501 bp of the 5' end of a gene is replaced with a stop codon), the mutation of interest is incorporated into the R1 and F2 primers used to amplify the upstream and downstream regions of homology, respectively. Gel purified segments were then mixed in equal ratios and used as template for a SOE PCR reaction with the F1 and R2 primers. All mutant constructs were made using Phusion polymerase. These were introduced into the *V. natriegens* genome via natural transformation as described below. All primers used to generate mutant constructs are listed in **Table S2**.

Natural transformation / MuGENT assays

Strains harboring pMMB-*tfoX* (Vn *tfoX* or Vc *tfoX*) were induced to competence by growing overnight (12-18 hours) in LBv2+100 μg/mL carbenicillin+100 μM IPTG in a rollerdrum at 30°C. Then, ~10^8 CFUs of this overnight culture (~3.5 μL) were diluted directly into 350 μL of instant ocean medium (28 g/L; Aquarium Systems Inc.) supplemented with 100 μM IPTG. Transforming DNA (tDNA) was then added as indicated, and reactions were incubated statically at 30°C for 5 hours. Next, 1 mL of LBv2 was added and reactions were outgrown at 30°C with shaking (250 rpm) for ~1-2 hrs. Then, reactions were plated for quantitative culture onto media to select for integration of tDNA (i.e. LB+drug = transformants) and onto nonselective media (i.e. plain LB = total viable counts). Transformation efficiency is shown as: transformants / total viable counts.

For MuGENT, transformation assays were conducted exactly as described above. Unless otherwise specified, ~50 ng of the selected product was incubated with cells along with

~200 ng of each unselected product. After outgrowth, 1/10th of the reaction was removed and plated for MASC-PCR analysis (described below). If multiple cycles of MuGENT were performed, the rest of the reaction was grown overnight in LBv2 supplemented with 100 μM IPTG, 100μg/mL carbenicillin (to maintain pMMB-*tfoX*), and the antibiotic to select for integration of the selected product. The following day, the population was then subjected to another round of MuGENT as described above using a selected product containing a different Ab^R marker to maintain coselection at each cycle.

Integration of genome edits was detected via MASC-PCR exactly as previously described[12, 16]. Briefly, colonies were boiled in 50 μL of sterile water, vortexed, and then 2 μL were used as template in a 25 μL PCR reaction. PCR was conducted with Taq polymerase (SydLabs) using a modified 5X Taq buffer: 200 mM Tris pH 8.8, 100 mM KCl, 100 mM $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, 30 mM $MgSO_4$, and 1% Triton X-100. The total primer used in each MASC-PCR reaction (regardless of the number of multiplexed products being detected) was 1200 nM (i.e. for detection of 4 multiplexed genome edits, 300 nM of each genome edit-specific primer pair was used). The cycling conditions used were: 95°C 3 min; 26 × [95°C 40s, 58°C 30s, 72°C 3 min]; 72°C 3 min; 12°C hold. Reactions were then run on 2% agarose gels and imaged with GelGreen dye according to manufacturer's instructions (Biotium). For a list of all primers used for MASC-PCR see **Table S2**.

Alcian blue stained gels

To prepare cell lysates, ~10^9 cells of the indicated *V. natriegens* strains were pelleted and then resuspended in 180 μL of Buffer ATL (Qiagen). Then, 20 μL of a 20 mg/mL proteinase K stock solution was added to each reaction and incubated at 56°C for 20 mins. Samples were then boiled in 2X SDS PAGE sample buffer and separated on 4-12% SDS PAGE gels. Gels were then stained with 0.1% Alcian Blue 8GX in 40% ethanol/3% acetic acid as previously described[22]. The gel was then destained in a 40% ethanol/3% acetic acid and imaged on a Biorad ChemiDoc MP Imaging system.

Whole genome sequencing

Genomic DNA was prepped from strains and sequencing libraries were prepped via homopolymer-tail mediated ligation exactly as previously described[23]. Single-end 50 bp reads were collected on the Illumina platform. Then, data was analyzed for small indels and single nucleotide variants using CLC Genomics Workbench exactly as previously described[15, 24].

Qualitative and quantitative assessment of PHB production

PHB was qualitatively assessed in MuGENT edited populations of *V. natriegens* by plating onto Nile red containing medium with excess glucose as a carbon source and 100 μM IPTG to induce P_{tac}-containing genome edits = recipe per L: 28 g instant ocean, 2.5 g tryptone, 1 g yeast extract, 20 g glucose, 15 g agar, and 1 mg Nile red. Fluorescence of colonies was detected using a PrepOne Sapphire LED blue light base (475 nm ± 30 nm) and amber filter (530 nm long pass) (Embi Tec).

For quantitative assessment of PHB levels, the indicated strains were grown overnight in M9 minimal medium (BD) supplemented with 2 mM $MgSO_4$, 100 μM $CaCl_2$, 200 mM NaCl, 30 μM $FeSO_4$, 100 μM IPTG, 1% tryptone, and 2% glucose. Approximately 8×10^9 cells were then pelleted, resuspended with 50 μL water and transferred to pre-weighed glass screw-cap tubes. Cell suspensions were dried for 5 h at 80°C and then the tubes were weighed again to determine dry cell weights. PHB was then hydrolyzed and extracted as crotonic acid by boiling the dried cells in 1 ml of pure sulfuric acid. Extracts were chilled on ice and diluted with 4 ml ice-cold water. Aliquots were further diluted 10-fold with water, centrifuged, filtered, and then crotonic acid was quantified by HPLC as described[25].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Tufts TUCF Genomics and the Indiana University CGB for assistance with whole genome sequencing of strains. This work was supported by US National Institutes of Health Grant AI118863 to ABD. JBM and ABD were also supported by the Indiana University College of Arts and Sciences.

REFERENCES

[1] Eagon, R. G. (1962) Pseudomonas natriegens, a marine bacterium with a generation time of less than 10 minutes, *J Bacteriol 83*, 736-737.

[2] Payne, W. J., Eagon, R. G., and Williams, A. K. (1961) Some observations on the physiology of Pseudomonas natriegens nov. spec, *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 27*, 121-128.

[3] Weinstock, M. T., Hesek, E. D., Wilson, C. M., and Gibson, D. G. (2016) Vibrio natriegens as a fast-growing host for molecular biology, *Nat Methods 13*, 849-851.

[4] Lee, H. H., Ostrov, N., Wong, B. G., Gold, M. A., Khalil, A., and Church, G. M. (2016) Vibrio natriegens, a new genomic powerhouse, *bioRxiv*, doi:10.1101/058487.

[5] Meibom, K. L., Blokesch, M., Dolganov, N. A., Wu, C. Y., and Schoolnik, G. K. (2005) Chitin induces natural competence in Vibrio cholerae, *Science 310*, 1824-1827.

[6] Chen, Y., Dai, J., Morris, J. G., Jr., and Johnson, J. A. (2010) Genetic analysis of the capsule polysaccharide (K antigen) and exopolysaccharide genes in pandemic Vibrio parahaemolyticus O3:K6, *BMC Microbiol 10*, 274.

[7] Gulig, P. A., Tucker, M. S., Thiaville, P. C., Joseph, J. L., and Brown, R. N. (2009) USER friendly cloning coupled with chitin-based natural transformation enables rapid mutagenesis of Vibrio vulnificus, *Appl Environ Microbiol 75*, 4936-4949.

[8] Pollack-Berti, A., Wollenberg, M. S., and Ruby, E. G. (2010) Natural transformation of Vibrio fischeri requires tfoX and tfoY, *Environ Microbiol 12*, 2302-2311.

[9] Dalia, A. B., Lazinski, D. W., and Camilli, A. (2014) Identification of a membrane-bound transcriptional regulator that links chitin and natural competence in Vibrio cholerae, *MBio 5*, e01028-01013.

[10] Yamamoto, S., Mitobe, J., Ishikawa, T., Wai, S. N., Ohnishi, M., Watanabe, H., and Izumiya, H. (2014) Regulation of natural competence by the orphan two-component system sensor kinase ChiS involves a non-canonical transmembrane regulator in Vibrio cholerae, *Mol Microbiol 91*, 326-347.

[11] Lorenz, M. G., and Wackernagel, W. (1994) Bacterial gene transfer by natural genetic transformation in the environment, *Microbiol Rev 58*, 563-602.

[12] Dalia, A. B., McDonough, E., and Camilli, A. (2014) Multiplex genome editing by natural transformation, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111*, 8937-8942.

[13] Erickson, R. J., and Copeland, J. C. (1973) Congression of unlinked markers and genetic mapping in the transformation of Bacillus subtilis 168, *Genetics 73*, 13-21.

[14] Bik, E. M., Bunschoten, A. E., Willems, R. J., Chang, A. C., and Mooi, F. R. (1996) Genetic organization and functional analysis of the otn DNA essential for cell-wall polysaccharide synthesis in Vibrio cholerae O139, *Mol Microbiol 20*, 799-811.

[15] Hayes, C. A., Dalia, T. N., and Dalia, A. B. (2017) Systematic genetic dissection of PTS in Vibrio cholerae uncovers a novel glucose transporter and a limited role for PTS during infection of a mammalian host, *Mol Microbiol*.

[16] Wang, H. H., Isaacs, F. J., Carr, P. A., Sun, Z. Z., Xu, G., Forest, C. R., and Church, G. M. (2009) Programming cells by multiplex genome engineering and accelerated evolution, *Nature 460*, 894-898.

[17] Chien, C. C., Chen, C. C., Choi, M. H., Kung, S. S., and Wei, Y. H. (2007) Production of poly-beta-hydroxybutyrate (PHB) by Vibrio spp. isolated from marine environment, *J Biotechnol 132*, 259-263.

[18] Centeno-Leija, S., Huerta-Beristain, G., Giles-Gomez, M., Bolivar, F., Gosset, G., and Martinez, A. (2014) Improving poly-3-hydroxybutyrate production in Escherichia coli by combining the increase in the NADPH pool and acetyl-CoA availability, *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 105*, 687-696.

[19] Spiekermann, P., Rehm, B. H., Kalscheuer, R., Baumeister, D., and Steinbuchel, A. (1999) A sensitive, viable-colony staining method using Nile red for direct screening of bacteria that accumulate polyhydroxyalkanoic acids and other lipid storage compounds, *Arch Microbiol 171*, 73-80.

[20] Csorgo, B., Nyerges, A., Posfai, G., and Feher, T. (2016) System-level genome editing in microbes, *Curr Opin Microbiol 33*, 113-122.

[21] Dalia, A. B., Lazinski, D. W., and Camilli, A. (2013) Characterization of undermethylated sites in Vibrio cholerae, *J Bacteriol 195*, 2389-2399.

[22] Mercaldi, M. P., Dams-Kozlowska, H., Panilaitis, B., Joyce, A. P., and Kaplan, D. L. (2008) Discovery of the dual polysaccharide composition of emulsan and the isolation of the emulsion stabilizing component, *Biomacromolecules 9*, 1988-1996.

[23] Lazinski, D. W., and Camilli, A. (2013) Homopolymer tail-mediated ligation PCR: a streamlined and highly efficient method for DNA cloning and library construction, *Biotechniques 54*, 25-34.

[24] Seed, K. D., Yen, M., Shapiro, B. J., Hilaire, I. J., Charles, R. C., Teng, J. E., Ivers, L. C., Boncy, J., Harris, J. B., and Camilli, A. (2014) Evolutionary consequences of intra-patient phage predation on microbial populations, *eLife 3*, e03497.

[25] Karr, D. B., Waters, J. K., and Emerich, D. W. (1983) Analysis of Poly-beta-Hydroxybutyrate in Rhizobium japonicum Bacteroids by Ion-Exclusion High-Pressure Liquid Chromatography and UV Detection, *Appl Environ Microbiol 46*, 1339-1344.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1 – *Natural transformation of* V. natriegens. (**a-d**) Transformation assays of *V. natriegens*. (**a**) *V. natriegens* strains containing a pMMB empty vector or pMMB with the *tfoX* gene from either *V. natriegens* (Vn) or *V. cholerae* (Vc) were transformed with 100 ng of a Δ*dns*::Kan^R tDNA containing 3 kb flanks of homology on both sides of the mutation (i.e. 3 kb/3 kb). Transformation assay of *V. natriegens* pMMB-*tfoX* (Vc) with (**b**) the indicated concentration of Δ*dns*::Kan^R (3 kb/3 kb) tDNA or (**c**) 5 ng of Δ*dns*::Kan^R tDNA containing the indicated amount of homology on each side of the mutation. (**d**) Transformation assay in the indicated strain backgrounds with 5 ng of *rpsL* K43R Sm^R (3 kb/3 kb) or Δ*dns*::Spec^R (3 kb/3 kb) tDNA as indicated. All strains in **d** harbor Δ*dns*::Kan^R mutations and pMMB-*tfoX* (Vc). All data are shown as the mean ± SD and are the result of at least 4 independent biological replicates. ** = $p<0.01$.

Fig. 2 – V. natriegens *produces a WbfF-dependent capsular polysaccharide*. (**a**) Colony morphologies of WT (white arrow) and Δ*wbfF* (black arrow) strains, which demonstrate the phenotypes screened for in cotransformation assays. (**b**) Cell lysates of the indicated strains were run on a 4-12% SDS PAGE gel and stained with the carbohydrate stain Alcian blue. The presence of a high molecular weight polysaccharide in the WT is indicated by a red arrow.

Fig. 3 – *Cotransformation is highly efficient in* V. natriegens. (**a**) Cotransformation was tested using a Δ*dns*::Kan^R (3 kb/3 kb) selected product and an unselected product that deleted ~500 bp of the 5' end of *wbfF* gene. Cotransformation assays were performed using 50ng of the Δ*dns*::Kan^R (3 kb/3 kb) selected product and (**b**) the indicated amount of the Δ*wbfF* (3 kb/3 kb) unselected product or (**c**) 200 ng of Δ*wbfF* unselected products containing the indicated length of homology on each side of the mutation. Data in **b** and **c** are from at least four independent biological replicates and shown as the mean ± SD. (**d**) Schematic of MuGENT. The selected product is indicated by a red box, while multiple unselected genome edits are depicted by distinct gray shapes. Since there is no selection for genome edits *in cis*, output mutants can have any number and combination of the unselected genome edits. (**e** and **f**) MuGENT was performed with 5 unselected genome edits. The selected product was Δ*wbfF*::Kan^R, while the unselected products targeted four carbohydrate transporters and *dns* for inactivation by replacing ~500 bp of the 5' end of each gene with a premature stop codon. (**e**) A representative MASC-PCR gel of 24 colonies from the edited population. The targets of each genome edit are indicated on the left and the presence of a band indicates integration of the indicated genome edit. Strains containing 4 genome edits are indicated by the green arrows. (**f**) Distribution of genome edits in the population determined by MASC-PCR analysis of 48 random mutants.

Fig. 4 – *MuGENT rapidly enhances PHB production in* V. natriegens. (**a**) The indicated targets were subjected to either a promoter swap (top) or inactivation by replacing ~500bp of the 5' end of each gene with a short sequence to introduce a premature stop codon (bottom). (**b**) Distribution of the 9 genome edits in a population of cells following four cycles of MuGENT. (**c**) Representative image of the mutant pool generated in **b** plated on Nile red containing plates, which stain PHB granules. White arrows indicate colonies with increased fluorescence intensity compared to the parent. (**d**) PHB content of select MuGENT optimized strains is shown as the % of dry cell weight (DCW). The genotype of each mutant is shown below each bar where a filled box indicates the presence of the genome edit indicated on the left. Data are shown as the mean ± SD and are from at least 2 independent biological replicates. * = $p<0.05$.



Fig. 1 – *Natural transformation of* V. natriegens. (**a-d**) Transformation assays of *V. natriegens*. (**a**) *V. natriegens* strains containing a pMMB empty vector or pMMB with the *tfoX* gene from either *V. natriegens* (Vn) or *V. cholerae* (Vc) were transformed with 100 ng of a Δ*dns*::Kan^R tDNA containing 3 kb flanks of homology on both sides of the mutation (i.e. 3 kb/3 kb). Transformation assay of *V. natriegens* pMMB-*tfoX* (Vc) with (**b**) the indicated concentration of Δ*dns*::Kan^R (3 kb/3 kb) tDNA or (**c**) 5 ng of Δ*dns*::Kan^R tDNA containing the indicated amount of homology on each side of the mutation. (**d**) Transformation assay in the indicated strain backgrounds with 5 ng of *rpsL* K43R Sm^R (3 kb/3 kb) or Δ*dns*::Spec^R (3 kb/3 kb) tDNA as indicated. All strains in **d** harbor Δ*dns*::Kan^R mutations and pMMB-*tfoX* (Vc). All data are shown as the mean ± SD and are the result of at least 4 independent biological replicates. ** = p<0.01.



Fig. 2 – V. natriegens *produces a WbfF-dependent capsular polysaccharide*. (**a**) Colony morphologies of WT (white arrow) and Δ*wbfF* (black arrow) strains, which demonstrate the phenotypes screened for in cotransformation assays. (**b**) Cell lysates of the indicated strains were run on a 4-12% SDS PAGE gel and stained with the carbohydrate stain Alcian blue. The presence of a high molecular weight polysaccharide in the WT is indicated by a red arrow.



Fig. 3 – *Cotransformation is highly efficient in* V. natriegens. (**a**) Cotransformation was tested using a Δ*dns*::Kan^R (3 kb/3 kb) selected product and an unselected product that deleted ~500 bp of the 5' end of *wbfF* gene. Cotransformation assays were performed using 50ng of the Δ*dns*::Kan^R (3 kb/3 kb) selected product and (**b**) the indicated amount of the Δ*wbfF* (3 kb/3 kb) unselected product or (**c**) 200 ng of Δ*wbfF* unselected products containing the indicated length of homology on each side of the mutation. Data in **b** and **c** are from at least four independent biological replicates and shown as the mean ± SD. (**d**) Schematic of MuGENT. The selected product is indicated by a red box, while multiple unselected genome edits are depicted by distinct gray shapes. Since there is no selection for genome edits *in cis*, output mutants can have any number and combination of the unselected genome edits. (**e** and **f**) MuGENT was performed with 5 unselected genome edits. The selected product was Δ*wbfF*::Kan^R, while the unselected products targeted four carbohydrate transporters and *dns* for inactivation by replacing ~500 bp of the 5' end of each gene with a premature stop codon. (**e**) A representative MASC-PCR gel of 24 colonies from the edited population. The targets of each genome edit are indicated on the left and the presence of a band indicates integration of the indicated genome edit. Strains containing 4 genome edits are indicated by the green arrows. (**f**) Distribution of genome edits in the population determined by MASC-PCR analysis of 48 random mutants.



Fig. 4 – *MuGENT rapidly enhances PHB production in* V. natriegens. (**a**) The indicated targets were subjected to either a promoter swap (top) or inactivation by replacing ~500bp of the 5' end of each gene with a short sequence to introduce a premature stop codon (bottom). (**b**) Distribution of the 9 genome edits in a population of cells following four cycles of MuGENT. (**c**) Representative image of the mutant pool generated in **b** plated on Nile red containing plates, which stain PHB granules. White arrows indicate colonies with increased fluorescence intensity compared to the parent. (**d**) PHB content of select MuGENT optimized strains is shown as the % of dry cell weight (DCW). The genotype of each mutant is shown below each bar where a filled box indicates the presence of the genome edit indicated on the left. Data are shown as the mean ± SD and are from at least 2 independent biological replicates. * = $p<0.05$.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES

Table S1 – *Strains used in this study*

Strain name	Genotype and antibiotic resistances	Description	Reference / (strain#)
WT	Rif^R	Spontaneous Rif^R derivative *V. natriegens* ATCC14048 that is the parent isolate for all strains used in this study.	This study (SAD1304)
pMMB-tfoX (Vc)	pMMB-*tfox* (Vc) Carb^R	SAD1304 containing pMMB-*tfoX* (Vc), a vector containing the *tfoX* gene from *V. cholerae* (VC1153) under the control of an IPTG-inducible P_{tac} promoter. Vector is derived from pMMB67EH and has a Carb^R gene for selection.	This study (SAD1306)
pMMB-tfoX (Vn)	pMMB-*tfox* (Vn) Carb^R	SAD1304 containing pMMB-*tfoX* (Vn), a vector containing the *tfoX* gene from *V. natriegens* (BA890_05980) under the control of an IPTG-inducible P_{tac} promoter. Vector is derived from pMMB67EH and has a Carb^R gene for selection.	This study (TND0322 / SAD1495)
pMMB	pMMB empty vector Carb^R	SAD1304 containing the pMMB67EH empty vector	This study (TND0321 / SAD1496)
WT (Fig. 1D)	pMMB-*tfoX* (Vc) Carb^R, Δ*dns*::Kan^R	SAD1306 with Δ*dns*::Kan^R (ΔBA890_12415)	This study (SAD1313)
Δ*mutS*	pMMB-tfoX (Vc) Carb^R, Δ*dns*::Kan^R, Δ*mutS*	Generated by cotransformation into SAD1306 with Δ*dns*::Kan^R and a product to delete ~500bp of the 5' end of the *mutS* gene (BA890_12150).	This study (TND0362 / SAD1497)
Δ*wbfF*	pMMB-tfoX (Vc) Carb^R, Δ*wbfF*::Kan^R	Introduced a Δ*wbfF*::Kan^R mutation (ΔBA890_01135) into the SAD1306 strain background.	This study (CAH509 / SAD1498)
MuGENT quadruple mutant	pMMB-tfoX (Vc) Carb^R, Δ*wbfF*::Kan^R, ΔBA890_01815 (mannitol transporter), ΔBA890_19540 (sucrose transporter), ΔBA890_16410 (fructose transporter), Δ*dns*	MuGENT into SAD1306 strain with 5 unselected genome edits. This quadruple mutant was whole genome sequenced and no off target mutations were identified.	This study (TND0338 / SAD1499)
Fig. 4E, second bar	pMMB-tfoX (Vc) Carb^R, Δ*dns*::Kan^R, P_{tac}-*phaBAC*	MuGENT into SAD1306 to enhance PHB production. The strain contains the genome edits indicated.	This study (TND0364 / SAD1500)
Fig. 4E, third bar	pMMB-tfoX (Vc) Carb^R, Δ*dns*::Kan^R, P_{tac}-*phaBAC*, P_{tac}-*nadK*, Δ*ldhA*	MuGENT into SAD1306 to enhance PHB production. The strain contains the genome edits indicated.	This study (SAD1501)
Fig. 4E, fourth bar	pMMB-tfoX (Vc) Carb^R, Δ*dns*::Kan^R, P_{tac}-*phaBAC*, P_{tac}-	MuGENT into SAD1306 to enhance PHB production. The	This study (SAD1502)

	pntAB, Δ*pta*, Δ*gltA*, Δ*aceA*	strain contains the genome edits indicated.	
Fig. 4E, fifth bar	pMMB-tfoX (Vc) Carb^R, Δ*dns*::Spec^R, P_{tac}-*phaBAC*, P_{tac}-*nadK*, Δ*pta*, Δ*gltA*, Δ*ldhA*	MuGENT into SAD1306 to enhance PHB production. The strain contains the genome edits indicated.	This study (SAD1503)
Fig. 2E, sixth bar	pMMB-tfoX (Vc) Carb^R, Δ*dns*::Spec^R, P_{tac}-*phaBAC*, P_{tac}-*nadK*, Δ*pta*, Δ*gltA*, Δ*ldhA*, Δ*aceA*	MuGENT into SAD1306 to enhance PHB production. The strain contains the genome edits indicated.	This study (SAD1504)

Table S2 – *Primers used in this study*

Primer Name	Primer Sequence (5'→3')*	Description
Primers for Mutant constructs		
ABD123	ATTCCGGGGATCCGTCGAC	Amplify MIDDLE Ab^R (Kan^R, Spec^R, or Tm^R cassettes) F
ABD124	TGTAGGCTGGAGCTGCTTC	Amplify MIDDLE Ab^R (Kan^R, Spec^R, or Tm^R cassettes) R
BBC1264	CTAACATGGCTAAGCACCTG	Δ*dns* F1 (3kb)
BBC1605	GCACTTCTTCGCGAATTCGC	Δ*dns* F1 (2kb)
BBC1607	AGTGATTGGGTCACTCATTGG	Δ*dns* F1 (1kb)
BBC1609	AATGAGATTCGCCTTAACCC	Δ*dns* F1 (0.5kb)
BBC1265	gtcgacggatccccggaatAGAGAACAGGTATTTCATAGTTAAAGTC	Δ*dns* R1
BBC1266	gaagcagctccagcctacaTAATCCTCACCAATCGCGAC	Δ*dns* F2
BBC1610	TCGAGCTTTACGCCACAACG	Δ*dns* R1 (0.5kb)
BBC1608	ACACCTTGGTCGAGGTGAAG	Δ*dns* R1 (1kb)
BBC1606	ATAACGCAGTAGAAAGTATCCAC	Δ*dns* R1 (2kb)
BBC1267	ACTGGTAAGCCATAACGACC	Δ*dns* R1 (3kb)
DOG0246	AGGCTCGTGTTGCATGTGAG	Δ*dns* 501bp F1
DOG0247	gctaattcagtttaagcggccatCATAGTTAAAGTCTTTAAAAAGTATGACTT	Δ*dns* 501bp R1
DOG0248	atggccgcttaaactgaattagcATCGCTCGTACCTATCTTTATATG	Δ*dns* 501bp F2
DOG0249	TAAGGTGTCTCAAATCTCAATCTAGG	Δ*dns* 501bp R2
BBC1255	TGAGAAATTCTTTGCATCACATC	*rpsL* K43R (Sm^R) F1
BBC1256	GAAGTGCTGAGTTAGGTTTTcTAGGTGTAGTAGTGTAAACAC	*rpsL* K43R (Sm^R) R1
BBC1257	GTGTTTACACTACTACACCTAgAAAACCTAACTCAGCACTTC	*rpsL* K43R (Sm^R) F2
BBC1258	GTAGTGACGAGTTGGAGTG	*rpsL* K43R (Sm^R) R2
BBC1552	GAACTGCATGAATACGTTGTTCC	Δ*mutS* 501bp F1
BBC1553	gctaattcagtttaagcggcCACAGGTAAGTTCTTTTGTTTATTTCC	Δ*mutS* 501bp R1
BBC1554	GTGgccgcttaaactgaattagcCGCACCGCACCACGTGAG	Δ*mutS* 501bp F2
BBC1555	GAGTATCAGCAACACAGTAACC	Δ*mutS* 501bp R2
BBC1347	TAGCAACTGTTTTAGCGCTG	Δ*wbfF* F1
BBC1348	gtcgacggatccccggaatCTTTTATCATCATACTCATTCATTAAAG	Δ*wbfF* R1
BBC1349	gaagcagctccagcctacaTGATGTATAAGCGTCATTTATTCG	Δ*wbfF* F2
BBC1350	GTTCCTGTCGATAAGTATTGATC	Δ*wbfF* R2
DOG0353	AATGTCGGCCTTCTGATTAG	Δ*wbfF* 501bp F1 (3kb)

BBC1612	TAAACTTTATCAGCGACGTCAG	Δ*wbfF* 501bp F1 (2kb)
BBC1614	TTCAGGAACGATGTCGACAG	Δ*wbfF* 501bp F1 (1kb)
DOG0354	gctaattcagtttaagcggccatTATCATCATACTCATTCATTAAAGTTTTAA	Δ*wbfF* 501bp R1
DOG0355	atggccgcttaaactgaattagcACTAATAACGTCAGTGTATACGTAAAC	Δ*wbfF* 501bp F2
BBC1615	CCACGCAATGTAGTCATCAATC	Δ*wbfF* 501bp R2 (1kb)
BBC1613	GGATACGCAGCATACCTTG	Δ*wbfF* 501bp R2 (2kb)
BBC1611	TTAATTGTGCCTGAGCAAGC	Δ*wbfF* 501bp R2 (3kb)
DOG0271	AAGTAGTGATGATCCGAAGCG	ΔBA890_01815 501bp (mannitol transporter) F1
DOG0272	gctaattcagtttaagcggccatCATAACAATTCCCCGTTCGATG	ΔBA890_01815 501bp (mannitol transporter) R1
DOG0273	atggccgcttaaactgaattagcCTTGTATCAGCGCACCTTCTAC	ΔBA890_01815 501bp (mannitol transporter) F2
DOG0274	ATCGTGGTAAATATCGTCAGGTAG	ΔBA890_01815 501bp (mannitol transporter) R2
DOG0266	ATCTCGGCTTGTCTACACCAG	ΔBA890_19540 (sucrose transporter) F1
DOG0267	gctaattcagtttaagcggccatCATTGCACACCCCGATTGG	ΔBA890_19540 (sucrose transporter) R1
DOG0268	atggccgcttaaactgaattagcTATTTACCTGTTTTATTGGCGTTTTC	ΔBA890_19540 (sucrose transporter) F2
DOG0269	TGAACTGAATCCTCGCAGG	ΔBA890_19540 (sucrose transporter) R2
DOG0256	ATGCTCGTCATCCATGGGAC	ΔBA890_16410 (fructose transporter) F1
DOG0257	gctaattcagtttaagcggccatCATACTGATAACCTTCTGTTCCTTAG	ΔBA890_16410 (fructose transporter) R1
DOG0258	atggccgcttaaactgaattagcACCGCGCAAGAGATCGAAG	ΔBA890_16410 (fructose transporter) F2
DOG0259	TTGGGTGCTTTGCTTCTCG	ΔBA890_16410 (fructose transporter) R2
DOG0261	ATCTGAACTTAGGATACTCACATC	ΔBA890_03375 (trehalose transporter) F1
DOG0262	gctaattcagtttaagcggccatCATAACTTTGCCCACCCTGTATTG	ΔBA890_03375 (trehalose transporter) R1
DOG0263	atggccgcttaaactgaattagcTTCTTCCTGCCTGTTGGC	ΔBA890_03375 (trehalose transporter) F2
DOG0264	AGTCAGATGGCGATTGATGTG	ΔBA890_03375 (trehalose transporter) R2
ABD840	TTAATTGCGTTGCGCTCACTGCCCGACTCCCGTTCTGGATAATGTTTTTTGC	Amplify MIDDLE P_{tac} construct F
ABD625	CTGATGAATCCCCTAATGATTTTGG	Amplify MIDDLE P_{tac} construct R
BBC1536	GTAACGAACGTGTCATCAGTG	P_{tac}-*phaBAC* F1
BBC1540	CGGGCAGTGAGCGCAACGCAATTAATGCAAGCGCACTAATATGAC	P_{tac}-*phaBAC* R1
BBC1541	CAAAATCATTAGGGGATTCATCAGAAAGAATGGAGTCGTCAATGAATAAAG	P_{tac}-*phaBAC* F2
BBC1577	CGACATCTTCACCAACACG	P_{tac}-*phaBAC* R2
BBC1621	TCTGGAGAGTATGTTGGCC	P_{tac}-*pntAB* F1
BBC1622	cgggcagtgagcgcaacgcaattaaCCTTGTATACATATCAATTAATTAGTCCC	P_{tac}-*pntAB* R1

BBC1623	caaaatcattaggggattcatcagAggaggTTGCGTTTTGCAAATCGGTGTAC	P_{tac}-*pntAB* F2
BBC1624	AGACTACGCCAAACTATACAGC	P_{tac}-*pntAB* R2
BBC1616	CTTCTTCGTCTTCAAAACGACG	P_{tac}-*nadK* F1
BBC1617	cgggcagtgagcgcaacgcaattaaGCATTAAAGAGGCTTGAATCAGG	P_{tac}-*nadK* R1
BBC1618	caaaatcattaggggattcatcagaggaggtAATGCTATGAAAAATCCATGTAACG	P_{tac}-*nadK* F2
BBC1619	CTGCGCTGATAATAAACAAGC	P_{tac}-*nadK* R2
BBC1626	CACAAATAGCGAAGCTAACTG	P_{tac}-*udhA* F1
BBC1627	cgggcagtgagcgcaacgcaattaaTATTTGCTTAACATTGCCTTAGC	P_{tac}-*udhA* R1
BBC1628	caaaatcattaggggattcatcagAggaggtTACATCATGGCGCATGTAAATC	P_{tac}-*udhA* F2
BBC1629	GTGAAAGTATTTTCGCCTTTCG	P_{tac}-*udhA* R2
BBC1636	GACAAGTCAGAAAGTCCAGTCAC	Δ*pta* 501bp F1
BBC1637	gctaattcagtttaagcggccatAGACATTCGTAGAGTACCTTTGC	Δ*pta* 501bp R1
BBC1638	atggccgcttaaactgaattagcGTTATCATCAACAAGCTAAACGCAC	Δ*pta* 501bp F2
BBC1639	GATATCAACGAGTTTGCATCTG	Δ*pta* 501bp R2
BBC1646	GCTAACATCAATGCGTATGCC	Δ*pgi* 501bp F1
BBC1647	gctaattcagtttaagcggccatCAACATGGTCTTTATCCCGATG	Δ*pgi* 501bp R1
BBC1648	atggccgcttaaactgaattagcGCACTGGCACCATACAAAAAC	Δ*pgi* 501bp F2
BBC1649	CCTTTCTCAGACACTATCGACAC	Δ*pgi* 501bp R2
BBC1641	AGCCTTCTTCTACATCAAGTGTG	Δ*gltA* 501bp F1
BBC1642	gctaattcagtttaagcggccatATCCGCCATAACAATCTCCTTTG	Δ*gltA* 501bp R1
BBC1643	atggccgcttaaactgaattagcACACTGGCGGCAATGTGTTAC	Δ*gltA* 501bp F2
BBC1644	CAAGAGTACTACGAAGAGCTG	Δ*gltA* 501bp R2
BBC1651	CTTGTAACACTGCCGCTAAGAG	Δ*ldhA* 501bp F1
BBC1652	gctaattcagtttaagcggccatCATGGTTCTCTCTCGAAATCATTG	Δ*ldhA* 501bp R1
BBC1653	atggccgcttaaactgaattagcATGGAAATTCTTTGCCATGATCC	Δ*ldhA* 501bp F2
BBC1654	AGTGTGTTACTTATTTGGAGGATG	Δ*ldhA* 501bp R2
BBC1631	TGAACTGCTGGCGAAAGGAC	Δ*aceA* 501bp F1
BBC1632	GCTAATTCAGTTTAAGCGGCCATTGGTCTATCCCTCTTTATAATTTGC	Δ*aceA* 501bp R1
BBC1633	ATGGCCGCTTAAACTGAATTAGCCTAAATGCTTACGAACTGATGAAATC	Δ*aceA* 501bp F2
BBC1634	CGATTGAAGCTTGAAGAACAAGC	Δ*aceA* 501bp R2
Primers for MASC-PCR		
ABD969	ATGGCCGCTTAAACTGAATTAGC	Universal F primer for all Δ501 bp genome edits
DOG0250	TGGTTGCCTTGTACTTTGGC	R detect for Δ*dns* 501 bp (152bp product)
BBC1556	AGTGATCGAGAACAGCGG	R detect for Δ*mutS* 501bp (402bp product)
DOG0356	ATAGCTACCGCGTTCAGGG	R detect for Δ*wbfF* 501bp (165bp product)
DOG0275	AGTGACGTGGATGTTCAGAC	R detect for ΔBA890_01815 501bp (mannitol transporter) (750bp product)
DOG0270	AACCCAGTGATACCAGATGG	R detect for ΔBA890_19540 (sucrose transporter) (650bp product)
DOG0260	TATTCATCAGTGCAGCGGC	R detect for ΔBA890_16410 (fructose

		transporter) (352 bp product)
DOG0265	TCTTGCATTAACTGTAAATCCACG	R detect for ΔBA890_03375 (trehalose transporter) (500 bp product)
BBC435	ACACTCTTTGGGGGCCAAAATCATTAGGGGATTCATCAG	Universal F primer to detect all P_{tac} genome edits
BBC1551	GGTAAACCCTTTGCTGTTAAACC	R detect for P_{tac}-*phaBAC* (170bp product)
BBC1625	CTTGAGCTCGAGAGATACG	R detect for P_{tac}-*pntAB* (400bp product)
BBC1620	GATAAAATTCGTGCGGCTC	R detect for P_{tac}-*nadK* (260bp product)
BBC1630	AGATAATGATATGACGAGGGTC	R detect for P_{tac}-*udhA* (550bp product)
BBC1640	CGAATTGGAGAAGTGTTGAAG	R detect for Δ*pta* (140bp product)
BBC1650	AACCCAGTCCCAGAATTCAAAC	R detect for Δ*pgi* (300bp product)
BBC1645	GATGTTGACGCGTTTTGTTCG	R detect for Δ*gltA* (200bp product)
BBC1655	GGCTTCTACGTTATTTAGTGTC	R detect for Δ*ldhA* (450bp product)
BBC1656	TGTTGTGAATACCCGCTAGAG	R detect for Δ*aceA* (600bp product)

*Lower case nucleotides specify overlap regions for SOE PCR