1 *De novo* Variants in Neurodevelopmental Disorders with Epilepsy
2
3

*Henrike O. Heyne[1,2,5,26], Tarjinder Singh[2,26], Hannah Stamberger[21,22,23], Rami Abou
Jamra[1], Hande Caglayan[12], Dana Craiu[13], Peter De Jonghe[21,22,23], Renzo Guerrini[14],
Katherine L. Helbig[7], Bobby P. C. Koeleman[15], Jack A. Kosmicki[2,26], Tarja Linnankivi[16],
Patrick May[11], Hiltrud Muhle[19], Rikke S. Møller[24,25], Bernd A. Neubauer[18], Aarno Palotie[2],
Manuela Pendziwiat[19], Pasquale Striano[20], Sha Tang[4], Sitao Wu[4], EuroEPINOMICS RES
Consortium**, Annapurna Poduri[6], Yvonne G. Weber[8], Sarah Weckhuysen[21,22,23], Sanjay
M. Sisodiya[9,10], Mark Daly[2,26], Ingo Helbig[7,19], Dennis Lal[2,3,26], *Johannes R. Lemke[1]
11
**EuroEPINOMICS RES Consortium
Zaid Afawi, Carolien de Kovel, Petia Dimova, Tania Djémié, Milda Endziniene, Dorota
Hoffman-Zacharska, Johanna Jähn, Christian Korff, Anna-Elina Lehesjoki, Carla Marini,
Stefanie H. Müller, Deb Pal, Niklas Schwarz, Kaja Selmer, Jose Serratosa, Ulrich Stephani,
Katalin Štěrbová, Arvid Suls, Steffen Syrbe, Inga Talvik, Shan Tang, Sarah von Spiczak,
Federico Zara
18
19 *corresponding author*
20
1 University of Leipzig Hospitals and Clinics, Leipzig, Germany
2 Broad Institute, Stanley Center for Psychiatric Research, Cambridge, MA, USA
3 Cologne Center for Genomics (CCG), Cologne, Germany
4 Division of Clinical Genomics, Ambry Genetics, Aliso Viejo, California, USA
5 IFB AdiposityDiseases, University of Leipzig Hospitals and Clinics, Leipzig, Germany
6 Epilepsy Genetics Program, Department of Neurology, Division of Epilepsy and Clinical
Neurophysiology, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA
7 Division of Neurology Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania,
USA
8 Department of Neurology and Epileptology, Hertie Institute for Clinical Brain
Research, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany
9 Department of Clinical and Experimental Epilepsy NIHR University College London
Hospitals Biomedical Research Centre UCL Institute of Neurology, London, United
Kingdom
10 The Epilepsy Society Chalfont-St-Peter Bucks, United Kingdom
11 Luxembourg Centre for Systems Biomedicine, Esch-sur-Alzette, University of
Luxembourg, Luxembourg
12 Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics Bogaziçi University Istanbul, Turkey
13 "Carol Davila" University of Medicine Bucharest, Department of Clinical
Neurosciences (No.6), Pediatric Neurology Clinic, Alexandru Obregia Hospital, Bucharest,
Romania
14 Pediatric Neurology and Neurogenetics Unit and Laboratories A. Meyer Children's
Hospital-University of Florence, Florence, Italy
15 Department of Medical Genetics University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The
Netherlands
16 Department of Pediatric Neurology, Children's Hospital, University of Helsinki and
Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland
17
18 Department of Pediatric Neurology, University Hospital Giessen, Giessen, Germany
19 Department of Neuropediatrics, University Medical Center Schleswig-Holstein,
Christian-Albrechts University, Kiel, Germany

Pediatric Neurology and Muscular Diseases Unit, Department of Neurosciences, Rehabilitation, Ophthalmology, Genetics, and Maternal and Child Health, University of Genoa 'G. Gaslini' Institute, Genova, Italy

Neurogenetics Group, Center for Molecular Neurology, VIB, Antwerp, Belgium

Laboratory of Neurogenetics, Institute Born-Bunge, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium

Division of Neurology, University Hospital Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium

The Danish Epilepsy Centre, Dianalund, Denmark

Institute for Regional Health Services, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark

Analytical and Translational Genetics Unit

Acknowledgements

We like to thank all patients and their families who participated in this study, as well as the teams who were involved in recruiting patients, samples and data at the respective study sites. We thank Lisenka Vissers and Christian Gilissen for epilepsy and age phenotypes from the cohort of Lelieveld *et al*, 2016 and Jeremy McRae for useful discussions on the DDD cohort (McRae *et al*, 2016). We thank Johannes Krause for support in figure design and helpful discussions. We are grateful to members of ATGU and the Institute for Human Genetics in Leipzig for helpful contributions. Supported by the Eurocores program EuroEPINOMICS, the Fund for Scientific Research Flanders (FWO), the International Coordination Action (ICA) grant G0E8614N, and the University of Antwerp (research fund). HOH was supported by stipends from the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF), Germany, FKZ: 01EO1501 and the German Research Foundation (DFG): HE7987/1-1. HS is PhD fellow of the Fund for Scientific Research Flanders (1125416N). IH and YGW were supported by DFG grants WE4896/3-1 and HE5415/6-1. RG received funding through the EU 7th Framework Program (FP7) under the project DESIRE grant N602531.

The DDD study presents independent research commissioned by the Health Innovation Challenge Fund [grant number HICF-1009-003], a parallel funding partnership between the Wellcome Trust and the Department of Health, and the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute [grant number WT098051]. The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the Wellcome Trust or the Department of Health. The study has UK Research Ethics Committee approval (10/H0305/83, granted by the Cambridge South REC, and GEN/284/12 granted by the Republic of Ireland REC). The research team acknowledges the support of the National Institute for Health Research, through the Comprehensive Clinical Research Network.

93 Abstract
94

Epilepsy is a frequent feature of neurodevelopmental disorders (NDD) but little is
known about genetic differences between NDD with and without epilepsy. We analyzed
de novo variants (DNV) in 6753 parent-offspring trios ascertained for different NDD. In
the subset of 1942 individuals with NDD with epilepsy, we identified 33 genes with a
significant excess of DNV, of which *SNAP25* and *GABRB2* had previously only limited
evidence for disease association. Joint analysis of all individuals with NDD also
implicated *CACNA1E* as a novel disease gene. Comparing NDD with and without epilepsy,
we found missense DNV, DNV in specific genes, age of recruitment and severity of
intellectual disability to be associated with epilepsy. We further demonstrate to what
extent our results impact current genetic testing as well as treatment, emphasizing the
benefit of accurate genetic diagnosis in NDD with epilepsy.

106
107
108

Introduction

Epilepsies, defined as recurrent, unprovoked seizures, affect about 50 million people worldwide (www.who.int, 03/2017). A significant subset of severe and intractable epilepsies starts in infancy and childhood and poses a major clinical burden to patients, families, and society[1]. Early onset epilepsies are often comorbid with neurodevelopmental disorders (NDD), such as developmental delay, intellectual disability and autism spectrum disorders (DD, ID, ASD)[2-4], while up to 26% of individuals with NDD have epilepsy, depending on the severity of intellectual impairment[4-6]. Several genes have been implicated in both NDD and epilepsy disorders[7,8]. The epileptic encephalopathies (EE) comprise a heterogeneous group of epilepsy syndromes characterized by frequent and intractable seizures that are thought to contribute to developmental regression[3,9]. Phenotypic categorisation of clinically-recognizable EE syndromes enabled identification of several associated genes[1,2,10]. However, the phenotypic spectrum of these disease genes was broader than expected[11,12], ranging from EE (e.g. *SCN1A*[13], *KCNQ2*[14]) to unspecific NDD with or without epilepsy (e.g. *SCN2A*[15], *STXBP1*[16]). While clinically distinguishable entities exist, many patients with NDD and epilepsy are not easily classified into EE syndromes[1,12]. Consequently, EE is often used synonymously with NDD with epilepsy[17]. Targeted sequencing of disease-specific gene panels is commonly used in diagnostics of epilepsies[12,18,19]. However, epilepsy gene panel designs of diagnostic laboratories differ substantially in gene content[19].

Application of a mutational model[18] to detect enrichment for *de novo* variants (DNV) has proven to be a powerful approach for identification of disease-associated genes in neurodevelopmental disorders including ID, congenital heart disease, schizophrenia and ASD[20-23]. For EE, the currently largest exome-wide DNV burden study comprised 356 parent-offspring trios of two classic EE syndromes (infantile/epileptic spasms, IS and Lennox-Gastaut syndrome, LGS) and revealed seven genes at exome-wide significance[24]. To identify genes that are significantly associated with NDD with epilepsy, we analysed 6753 parent-offspring trios of NDD, focusing on 1942 cases with epilepsy including 529 individuals with epileptic encephalopathy. We compared rates of DNV between EE, NDD with unspecified epilepsies and NDD without epilepsy to identify genetic differences between these phenotypic groups. We further investigated the potential impact of our findings on the design of genetic testing approaches and assessed the extent of therapeutically relevant diagnoses.

144 # Results
145
Description of dataset
We analysed DNV in parent-offspring trios of eight published[7,20,23-27], one partly
published[28] and three unpublished cohorts of in total 6753 individuals with NDD
stratifying for the 1942 cases with epilepsy (Supplementary Table 1, Figure 1, Online
Methods). These 1942 patients were ascertained for either EE or NDD with unspecified
epilepsy (DD[21], ASD[11] with ID and ID[20]). We define those two phenotype groups as
NDD_{EE} (n = 529) and NDD_{uE} (n = 1413), respectively. We later compared DNV in NDD
with epilepsy (NDD_{EE+uE}) to DNV in NDD without epilepsy (NDD_{woE}, n = 4811). For
genotype-phenotype comparisons, we restricted our analysis to regions that were
adequately captured across different capture solutions (see Online Methods). For ASD
data from the Simon Simplex Consortium[29], we included only individuals with IQ < 70
(defined as ID) as different studies have found DNV only associated with low-IQ ASD[6,30].
Individuals with NDD_{EE} were diagnosed with following specific syndromes: IS (n = 243),
LGS (n = 145), electrical status epilepticus in sleep (ESES, n = 42), myoclonic-atonic
epilepsy (MAE, n = 39), Dravet syndrome (DS, n = 16), unspecified EE (n = 44). Six of
eight NDD cohorts (n = 6037) included individuals with as well as without
epilepsy[20,23,25-27,31]. Of these, 20.3% of patients had epilepsy. In cohorts with more severe
ID, a higher rate of patients had epilepsy (Spearman-Rank correlation, p-value = 0.012,
rho = 0.89, Supplementary Figure S2), in line with previous literature[4,6]. We considered
DNV of 1911 healthy siblings of patients with ASD as a control group.
166
DNV in known EE genes in patients with different NDD diagnoses
We first compared DNV in known EE genes between NDD_{EE}, NDD_{uE}, NDD_{woE} and control
cohorts. We investigated missense and truncating DNV ($DNV_{mis+trunc}$) in 50 known
autosomal dominant or X-linked EE genes (updated list from[19], Supplementary Table 3).
We excluded DNV present in ExAC[32] to improve power, as these have been shown to
confer no risk to childhood-onset NDD on a group level[33]. The frequency of $DNV_{mis+trunc}$ in
EE genes was not significantly different between NDD_{EE} (13.0%±3.1, mean, 95%-CI) and
NDD_{uE} (11.5%±1.8, mean, 95%-CI, p-value = 0.4, Fisher's Exact Test, Figure 1A, see
Supplementary Figure S2 for individual cohorts), but was significantly greater than in
NDD_{woE} (2.7%±0.5, mean, 95%-CI, p-value = 4.4×10^{-46}) and in healthy controls
(0.3%±0.2, mean, 95%-CI)[20]. Within three different NDD diagnoses (ID, ASD [with and
without ID], DD), we detected more DNV in EE genes in individuals with epilepsy than
without epilepsy (Cochran–Mantel–Haenszel test, p-value 3.5×10^{-43}, common OR 4.6,
95%-CI: 3.7 to 5.9, Figure 2B). This suggests a markedly overlapping genetic spectrum
of NDD_{EE} and NDD_{uE}. We subsequently performed DNV enrichment analyses on the
combined cohort of NDD_{EE+uE}.
183
Discovery of genes with exome-wide DNV burden in NDD with epilepsy
We compared the numbers of DNV in the combined cohort of NDD with epilepsy
(NDD_{EE+uE}), to the number of DNV expected by a mutational model[30] revealing global
enrichment of truncating (2.3-fold, $p_{trunc}= 1 \times 10^{-47}$, Poisson Exact test, see Online
Methods) and missense (1.6-fold, $p_{mis}=2 \times 10^{-33}$) but not synonymous DNV (0.6 fold,
$p_{syn}=1.0$). We identified 33 genes with an exome-wide significant burden of $DNV_{mis+trunc}$
(Table 1), of which *KCNQ2* (n=21), *SCN2A* (n=20) and *SCN1A* (n=19) were most
frequently mutated. *GABRB2* and *SNAP25* had previously no statistical evidence for
disease association (see Supplementary Note). Beyond the 33 genes with exome-wide
significant DNV burden, 114 genes had at least two $DNV_{mis+trunc}$ in our cohort
(Supplementary Table 6). After DNV enrichment analysis, we again excluded DNV in
ExAC[32] to improve specificity[33].

Collectively analysing all patients with NDD with or without epilepsy (n = 6753), we found 101 genes with exome-wide DNV burden (Supplementary Table 7). Among these 101 genes five were mutated in at least one individual with EE and at least two other individuals with epilepsy with DNV in the same variant class. Of these, *SMARCA2 DYNC1H1* and *SLC35A2* were formerly associated with NDD with epilepsy. *KCNQ3* had previously limited association with NDD with epilepsy and *CACNA1E* had previously no statistical evidence for disease association (Genes further described in Supplementary Notes).

Table 1, <u>Genes with exome-wide DNV burden in NDD with epilepsy</u>

Gene	DNV_{trunc}		DNV_{mis}		$DNV_{mis+trunc}$
Epilepsy	yes	no	yes	no	yes+no
KCNQ2	0	1	21	3	25
SCN2A	2	12	18	5	37
SCN1A	8	0	11	0	19
CHD2	9	1	3	2	15
SYNGAP1	10	7	1	2	20
STXBP1	4	3	7	5	19
SCN8A	0	1	10	3	14
MEF2C	4	1	5	0	10
SLC6A1	2	1	7	3	13
DNM1	0	0	9	2	11
EEF1A2	0	0	8	3	11
CDKL5	2	0	6	0	8
DYRK1A	7	9	0	5	21
SMC1A	7	0	0	2	9
GABRB3	0	0	7	1	8
KIAA2022	6	0	0	0	6
ASXL3	6	12	0	0	18
WDR45	5	5	1	0	11
ARID1B	6	28	0	2	36
GNAO1	0	1	6	2	9
ALG13	0	0	6	0	6
KCNH1	0	0	6	2	8
GRIN2B	0	3	6	9	18
HNRNPU	5	2	0	1	8
PURA	3	4	2	4	13
GABRB2	0	0	5	1	6
COL4A3BP	0	0	5	4	9
MECP2	2	5	3	5	15
FOXG1	2	3	3	3	11
ANKRD11	4	28	0	2	34
SNAP25	1	0	3	0	4
DDX3X	3	19	1	11	34
IQSEC2	3	2	1	3	9

 Genes in order of decreasing numbers of $DNV_{mis+trunc}$ in NDD with epilepsy

208
209

Phenotypic, biological and therapeutic properties of genes with DNV burden in NDD with
epilepsy
We aimed to explore whether the 33 genes with DNV burden in NDD with epilepsy
(NDD_{EE+uE}) were associated with specific phenotypes. Analyses of human phenotype
ontology[34] (HPO) terms revealed most significant enrichment in genes associated with
"epileptic encephalopathy" (see Online Methods, Supplementary Table 8). After
excluding the 529 patients diagnosed with EE from the DNV enrichment analysis, the
most significantly enriched HPO term was still "epileptic encephalopathy" (Bonferroni
p-value 3.6×10^{-14}), confirming our previous findings (Figure 1). Per DNV-enriched gene,
we plotted distribution of EE phenotypes, sex and seizure phenotypes of generalized,
focal, febrile or spasms (Supplementary Figure S6 - 8).
Since the disease onset of NDD with epilepsy is typically in infancy and early
childhood, we evaluated expression levels of the 33 genes with DNV burden in the
developing infant brain (expression data: brainspan.org, see Online Methods). At a
group level, these genes showed high levels of brain expression (Supplementary Figure
S9A). The DNV-enriched genes were also substantially depleted for truncating and
missense variants in the ExAC control data (Supplementary Figure S9B, S9C). Genes
with at least two DNV in NDD_{EE+uE}, but no significant DNV burden showed similar
patterns.
We finally evaluated if genes with $DNV_{mis+trunc}$ in NDD with epilepsy were
associated with therapy. For each gene, we used criteria from the Centre for Evidence-
Based Medicine (CEBM)[35] to evaluate the evidence for targeted treatments. Five of the
33 DNV-enriched genes (*SCN1A, SCN2A, SCN8A, KCNQ2, MECP2*) had evidence for
therapeutic relevance (CEBM Grade of Recommendation A and B, see Online Methods,
Supplementary Table 9). These five genes accounted for 28% of all $DNV_{mis+trunc}$ in the
significantly implicated genes. Three additional genes (*PTEN, CACNA1A, SLC2A1*) with at
least two $DNV_{mis+trunc}$, which were also known disease genes, also had therapeutic
relevance according to CEBM criteria. In total 5% (84/1587) of $DNV_{mis+trunc}$ in NDD with
epilepsy were in genes with therapeutic consequences. According to the guidelines of
the American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG,)[36] all DNV that are not in ExAC and
that are in known disease genes or genes with DNV burden in our dataset are
categorized as "likely pathogenic", while we did not apply all ACMG criteria to individual
DNV (see online methods).

Comparing DNV between NDD with and without epilepsy
We compared frequencies of $DNV_{mis+trunc}$ in NDD with epilepsy (NDD_{EE+uE}) to NDD_{woE}
across all 107 DNV-enriched genes (logistic regression, see Online Methods). Increasing
age at time of recruitment increased likelihood of epilepsy (three-year OR 1.11, 95%-CI
1.04 to 1.18, p-value = 3×10^{-3}, individual genes in Supplementary Figure S5). Sex was not
associated with epilepsy status (p-value = 0.5). Individuals with DNV_{mis} were more likely
to have epilepsy than individuals with DNV_{trunc} (Figure 2, OR_{mis} 2.1, 95%-CI 1.6 to 2.8, p-
value 2×10^{-7}). In line with previous reports[15], we observed this pattern on a single gene
level for *SCN2A* (Firth regression, OR_{mis} 23.5, 95%-CI 3.8 to 277, p-value 0.0003, Table 1).
Confirming previous findings[24,37], DNV in ion channel genes were associated with
epilepsy (OR 6.0, 95%-CI 3.9 to 9.2, p-value 1×10^{-16}). 83% (110/133) of DNV in ion
channel genes were DNV_{mis}. However, in the subset of 910 DNV not in ion channel genes,
DNV_{mis} were still associated with epilepsy (OR 1.5, p-value 0.005, 95%-CI 1.1 to 2.1),
implying that the effect of DNV_{mis} on epilepsy was not entirely driven by ion channel
genes. We observed a higher rate of DNV_{mis} in NDD_{EE} than in NDD_{uE}, though only with
nominal significance (Fisher's exact test, OR 1.8, 95%-CI 1.04 to 3.4, p-value 0.03,
Supplementary Figure S10B). Four genes were more frequently mutated in NDD with

epilepsy (NDD_{EE+uE}) than NDD_{woE} (Fisher's Exact Test, Figure 2A/2B, Table 1,
Supplementary Table 10). With the exception of *SCN1A,* frequencies of DNV were not
significantly different per gene between NDD_{EE} and NDD_{uE} for DNV_{mis} or DNV_{trunc}
(Supplementary Figure S10, Supplementary Table 11).
265
Evaluation of diagnostic gene panels for epilepsy disorders
Targeted sequencing of disease-specific gene panels is widely employed in diagnostics
of epilepsies[18,19]. We compared our results to 24 diagnostic panels for epilepsy or EE
(see Online Methods, full list in Supplementary Table 12). In total, the 24 different
panels covered 358 unique genes (81.5 ± 8.8 genes per panel, mean ± sd). Applying
these 24 diagnostic panels on our data set would only have detected on average 59% of
$DNV_{mis+trunc}$ in the 33 DNV-enriched genes (Supplementary Figure S11). However, similar
to most other research studies involving clinical WES[7], we cannot fully assess the extent
of potential pre-screening. We investigated whether genes in the 24 panels had some
evidence for disease association given the following features that we (and others[23,33])
observed in genes with DNV burden in NDD: depletion for truncating and missense
variants in ExAC[32] controls as well as brain expression (Online Methods, Supplementary
Figure S9). We restricted this analysis to autosomal dominant and X-linked acting panel
genes ($n_{dominant+X-linked}$ = 191, Supplementary Table 13). 95% (52/55) of panel genes that
had two or more $DNV_{mis+trunc}$ in our study were both constraint and brain-expressed.
However, only 63% (86/136) of panel genes with one or less $DNV_{mis+trunc}$ in our study
were constraint and brain-expressed (Fisher's exact test, OR 10.2, 95%-CI 3.0 to 53.0, p-
value 2.3 x 10^{-6}). We applied evidence of disease association as defined by the ClinGen
Gene Curation Workgroup[38], to those 50 panel genes lacking two of the criteria
DNV/brain expression/constraint. We found that ten of the 50 genes had no, eight had
limited and seven had conflicting published evidence for disease association
(Supplementary Table 14). Thirteen genes showed moderate, strong or definitive
evidence for association to entities where neither NDD nor epilepsy were major features
which may partly be explained by a panel design containing genes associated with
diseases beyond the spectrum of NDD (for further details see Online Methods and
Supplementary Figure S11).

Discussion

In this study, we systematically investigated DNV in NDD with and without epilepsy. In NDD with epilepsy, we could hardly distinguish individuals ascertained for epileptic encephalopathy and NDD with unspecified epilepsy on a genetic level. Thus, we conclude that these phenotype groups share a spectrum of disease genes predominantly including genes initially reported as EE genes. We identified 33 genes with DNV burden in NDD with epilepsy, of which the majority was expressed in the infant brain and depleted for functional variation in ExAC[32], as previously described for NDD genes[23,33]. We report statistically robust disease association for *SNAP25, GABRB2* and *CACNA1E*, which was previously lacking (Supplementary Notes).

We found, that individuals with DNV_{mis} were generally more likely to have epilepsy than individuals with DNV_{trunc}. This association was largely driven by ion channel genes, which confirms longstanding statements that many epilepsy disorders act as channelopathies[2,37,24]. Heterozygous DNV_{mis} have been shown to cause epilepsy via dominant negative (e.g. *KCNQ2*[39]) or gain-of-function (e.g. *SCN8A*[40]) effects on ion channels. On the individual gene level, missense variants in *SCN2A*[15] and *SCN8A*[41] were more strongly implicated in epilepsy than protein truncating variants, which we statistically confirm for *SCN2A*. Yet, we found that DNV_{mis} were also associated with epilepsy independent of ion channel genes. This may imply that alteration of protein function quantitatively plays a larger role than haploinsufficiency[42] in the pathophysiology of NDD with epilepsy compared to NDD without epilepsy. We found multiple gene sets enriched for DNV_{mis} in epilepsy compared to no epilepsy (see Supplementary Note). The majority was related to ion channels, while others related to neuronal cells (e.g. axon part, synaptic transmission). However, biological interpretation should be done with caution given that previous studies have found that many of these gene sets share a large number of underlying genes[22] and gene annotations are biased[43]. We further replicate a previous finding that the rate of epilepsy was correlated with severity of intellectual disability[4-6], implying that brain function could contribute to epileptogenesis or genetic variants cause both epilepsy and NDD. Alternatively, severe epileptic activity may also damage brain function and thereby contribute to NDD, which constitutes the original definition of EE[9,17]. This is supported by many cases of clinical regression after onset of epilepsy and improvement of NDD through seizure control.

In NDD with epilepsy we found no genetic differences between unspecified epilepsy and EE, with the exception of *SCN1A* (Supplementary Note). Phenotypic heterogeneity has been described for the majority of EE genes[1,11], i.e. variants in the same gene could lead to a spectrum of different phenotypes. Due to pleiotropy, individuals that carry a pathogenic DNV in an EE gene and fulfil diagnostic criteria of EE may also be eligible for another NDD diagnosis and thus by chance be assigned to an ASD, DD or ID and not an EE screening cohort. In line with this hypothesis, we found typically EE-associated seizure types (e.g. epileptic spasms) in cohorts with unspecified epilepsy. Some of the diagnostic criteria for EE[1,10] may present ambiguously, leading to uncertainty in terminology[17]. Thus, 43% (21/49) of individuals diagnosed with EE in the Epi4K-E2[24] study initially presented with DD prior to seizure onset conflicting with the original definition of EE[3,17]. Clear phenotypic distinction between encephalopathic versus non-encephalopathic epilepsies may therefore be difficult. Accordingly, mechanisms that result in an encephalopathic course of a genetic NDD remain elusive.

Restricting DNA sequencing or DNA sequence analysis to panels of known disease genes is widely used in diagnosis of genetic diseases including epilepsy ([19], 100,000 genomes project [www.genomicsengland.co.uk]). We confirmed that epilepsy gene panels from

diagnostic laboratories differ substantially in gene content[18] with at least 25 genes with
low evidence for disease association (ClinGen criteria[38]). Statistically not robust gene-
disease associations occasionally resulted in false-positive reports of causality posing
challenges for correct diagnosis in research and clinical settings[11,44]. Our data provide
grounds for replacing genes with limited evidence by genes with higher evidence in the
design of gene panels for NDD with epilepsy.

Therapeutic approaches, tailored to the patient's underlying genetic variant, have
successfully been applied for several EE[2] including treatment with ezogabine in *KCNQ2*
encephalopathy[45] or ketogenic diet in *SLC2A1*-related disorders[46]. 5% of $DNV_{mis+trunc}$ in
our study were in eight genes (Supplementary Table 9) for which we could confirm
therapeutic consequences with established evidence-based medicine criteria[35]. This
finding reinforces the urgency of making a genetic diagnosis in NDD with epilepsy. We
expect that with increasing understanding of the underlying pathomechanisms, the
group of genetic epilepsies with relevant therapeutic consequences will continue to
grow.

    Online Methods

Patient cohorts
For this study, we ascertained 8,529 patients with the following neurodevelopmental
disorders (NDD): developmental delay (DD[31], n=4293), autism spectrum disorder
(ASD[20], n=2508), epileptic encephalopathy[24,28] (NDD_EE, n=529), intellectual
disability[23,25-27] (ID, n=1035), and epilepsy with NDD[28] (n=164). From this cohort, we
selected 6753 individuals, for which the presence or absence of epilepsy was
ascertained and of whom ca. 88% had ID (based on assumption of 81.7% ID in the DDD
study[47], 89.8% ID in a diagnostic cohort from AmbryGenetics[28] and 100% ID in all other
cohorts.) Among individuals with ASD who were phenotyped within the Simon Simplex
Consortium[29], we restricted our analysis to patients with ID (IQ < 70) as it has been
shown that DNV play only a minor role, in normal IQ ASD[6,30]. Previously sequenced trios
(n = 1911), from unaffected siblings of a child with ASD[20,29], served as control trios. For
our main analyses, we stratified this combined cohort of patients with NDD for patients
comorbid or primarily diagnosed with epilepsy (NDD_{EE+uE}, n=1942)[20,29]. Two EE cohorts
and one ID cohort comprising a combined 144 patients were not previously published;
one cohort was only partly published (see Supplementary Table 1). Medical doctors,
mostly clinical geneticists, but also neurologists, paediatricians and for ASD[29] some
primary care physicians reported out phenotypes, including presence of epilepsy, in all
patients. Our analysis is based on the assumption that medical professionals are
sufficiently qualified to diagnose the presence or absence of epilepsy correctly.

Subphenotypes
We obtained information on specific EE syndromes on 98% of 518/529 individuals with
NDD_EE (see main text). We obtained specific seizure types (febrile, focal, spasms,
generalized) for 55% (140/256) and age of seizure onset for 30% (77/256) of
individuals with DNV_{mis+trunc} in genes with DNV burden in NDD_{EE+uE}. (See Supplementary
Figure S5 and S6). We did not obtain EEG data per patient. Some patients may have
developed epilepsy after inclusion in the study, so we ascertained age at recruitment,
that we obtained for 94% (1087/1157) of all individuals with NDD with DNV_{mis+trunc} in
DNV-enriched genes (median age at recruitment: 74.8 months). We obtained age of
seizure onset for 30% (77/256) of individuals with epilepsy and DNV_{mis+trunc} in DNV-
enriched genes (Supplementary Figure S5). We identified 30 individuals with potentially
epilepsy-relevant brain malformations (abnormalities of neuronal migration, structural
abnormalities of corpus callosum, midbrain, brainstem as schiz-, megal-,
holoprosencephaly) in individuals with DNV_{mis+trunc} in DNV-enriched genes (29 from
DDD[29], 1 from Hamdan *et al.*[7]). 11 of them (37%) also had seizures.

Whole exome sequencing of parent-patient trios
In all cohorts, both patients and their unaffected parents underwent whole exome
sequencing (WES). Variants that were not present in either parent were considered *de*
novo variants (DNV). 1942 individuals with NDD with epilepsy (NDD_{EE+uE}) had 1687
DNV_mis and 396 DNV_trunc (i.e. stopgain, frameshift, essential splice site). 4811 individuals
with NDD_woE had 4227 DNV_mis and 1120 DNV_trunc (Supplementary Table 2, for individual
cohorts see Supplementary Figure S3). The study was approved by the ethics committee
of the University of Leipzig (224/16-ek, 402/16-ek) and additional local ethics
committees. A list of all published and unpublished cohorts used in this paper can be
found in Supplementary Table 1.

Sequencing pipelines of previously unpublished/partly published cohorts (cohorts 8 -11)
Libraries were prepared from parents' and patients' DNA, exome captured and
sequenced on Illumina sequencers. Raw data was processed and technically filtered

with established pipelines at the respective academic or diagnostic laboratories. DNV
data from all cohorts was re-annotated for this study (see below). Specific pipelines of
cohorts 10 to 14 are described below.
417
Cohort 8 (Ambry Genetics):
Diagnostic WES was performed on parent-offspring trios at Ambry Genetics (Aliso Viejo,
CA) in 216 individuals with a history of seizures who have been previously described[28].
Genomic DNA extraction, exome library preparation, sequencing, bioinformatics
pipeline, and data analyses were performed as previously described[48]. Briefly, samples
were prepared and sequenced using paired-end, 100 cycle chemistry on the Illumina
HiSeq 2500 sequencer. Exome enrichment was performed using either the SureSelect
Target Enrichment System 3.0 (Agilent Technologies) or SeqCap EZ VCRome 2.0 (Roche
NimblGen). The sequencing reads were aligned to human reference genome (GRCh37)
and variants were called by using CASAVA software (Illumina). The following variants
filters were applied to generate a list of high confident de novo variant calls: 1) mutation
base coverage >= 20x in all members of the trio; 2) heterozygous read ratio in probands
>30% and <80%; 3) heterozygous read ratio in parents <2%; 4) genotype quality cutoffs
SNV > 100 and indels > 300 and 5) exclusion of known sequencing artefacts (based on
Ambry Genetics' internal databases).
433
434
Cohorts 9 (EuroEPINOMICS RES) and 10 (DFG atypical EE):
Exonic and adjacent intronic sequences were enriched from genomic DNA using the
NimbleGen SeqCap EZ Human Exome Library v2.0 enrichment kit. WES was performed
using a 100bp paired-end read protocol due to the manufacturer's recommendations on
an Illumina HiSeq2000 sequencer by the Cologne Center for Genomics (CCG), Cologne,
Germany. Reads were mapped on the human hg19 reference genome (bwa-aln software,
bio-bwa.sourceforge.net/). The UnifiedGenotyper (GATK,
www.broadinstitute.org/gatk/) and Mpileup (Samtools,
http://samtools.sourceforge.net/) software were used to call variants. The paired
sample feature from the DeNovoGear software was further used to examine potential de
novo mutations in twin pairs. Data analysis and filtering of mapped target sequences
was performed with the 'Varbank' exome and genome analysis pipeline v.2.1
(unpublished; https://varbank.ccg.uni-koeln.de). In particular, we filtered for high-
quality (coverage of more than six reads, fraction of allele carrying reads at least 25%, a
minimum genotype quality score of 10, VQSLOD greater than -8) and rare (Caucasian
population allele frequency < 0.5%) variations on targeted regions + flanking 100bp. In
order to exclude pipeline specific artifacts, we also filtered against an in-house cohort of
variations, which were created with the same analysis pipeline.
453
Cohort 11 (University of Leipzig):
Exome capture was carried out with Illumina's Nextera Rapid Capture Exome Kit
(Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). WES was on an NextSeq500 or HiSeq4000
sequencer (Illumina, Inc.) to 2 × 150bp reads at the Centogene AG, Rostock, Germany.
Raw sequencing reads were converted to standard fastq format using bcl2fastq software
2.17.1.14 (Illumina, Inc.), and fed to a pipeline at Centogene AG based on the 1000
Genomes Project (1000G) data analysis pipeline and GATK best practice
recommendations. Sequencing reads were aligned to the GRCh37 (hg19) build of the
human reference genome using bwa-mem (bio-bwa.sourceforge.net/). In addition to
GATK HaplotypeCaller (www.broadinstitute.org/gatk/)), variant calling was performed
with freebayes (https://github.com/ekg/freebayes) and samtools
(http://samtools.sourceforge.net/). Quality filtering of sequencing reads in both parents
and children was done according to the following criteria: read depth > 20, quality > 500,
frequency of alternative allele between 30 and 70% for the child and not present in the

parents, frequency < 1% in internal database, variant called by at least two different
genotype callers.
470
False positive rates of DNV
In cohorts 1 to 4, all DNV were validated by Sanger sequencing to eliminate false
positive calls. In cohorts 5 to 7, through random selection of variants for Sanger
validation, the false positive rate was estimated to be approximately 1.4% and < 5 %,
respectively. In the clinical cohorts 8 to 11, variants defined as variants worth reporting
back to patients (variants of unknown significance or [likely] pathogenic) are normally
validated by Sanger sequencing. With this experience, false discovery rates in these
cohorts were estimated to be < 5% (personal communications).
479
Annotation and Filtering
DNV files were generated and quality-filtered by the individual groups. All DNV were re-
annotated with the following pipeline. Variants were annotated with Ensembl's Variant
Effect Predictor (http://grch37.ensembl.org/Homo_sapiens/Tools/VEP) of version 82
using database 83 of GRCh37 as reference genome. Per variant, the transcript with the
most severe impact, as predicted by VEP, was selected for further analyses. The
decreasing order of variant impacts was HIGH, MODERATE, MODIFIER, LOW. Only
protein – altering DNV (DNV_{mis} or DNV_{trunc} [premature stop codon, essential splice site,
frameshift]) were included in further analyses. Synonymous DNV (DNV_{syn}) were
analysed as a negative control, as most DNV_{syn} have no effect on amino acid sequence in
the protein. Variants that were present in ExAC[32], an aggregation of 60,706 exome
sequences from adult individuals without severe childhood-onset diseases, were
excluded after DNV enrichment, as these have been shown to convey no detectable risk
to NDD on a group level[33]. For DNV rates per cohort see Supplementary Figure S2. We
did not investigate pathogenicity of individual DNV according to the guidelines of the
American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG). However, ACMG criteria PS2 (de novo
occurrence, with maternity and paternity confirmed) and PM2 (absence from controls)
apply to all DNV in our cohort. The combination of PS2 and PM2 classifies a variant as at
least "likely pathogenic". ACMG criteria are only applicable to variants in disease
associated genes[36]. Therefore, all DNV in known disease genes and genes with genome-
wide DNV burden in our dataset are presumed likely pathogenic DNV.
501
502
Harmonization of different cohorts
The core analysis of our study is the enrichment of $DNV_{mis+trunc}$ compared to expectation
by a mutational model in individuals with NDD_{EE+nsE}. For this analysis, we were
conservative in assuming that every gene was well captured across all cohorts. However,
when comparing DNV burden across different phenotypes we aimed to separate
technical from biological differences with the following methods. In exome sequencing,
different capture solutions capture specific exonic regions with different efficiencies.
These differences have shown to be quite stable within and across different samples of
the same capture kits[49]. We therefore generated a list of exons that displayed consistent
high coverage across different capture solutions. We collected published and internal
data aiming for the highest possible variety of capture kits using 3,000 samples of 5
different capture kits, including NimbleGen SeqCap v2 and v3, Agilent SureSelect v2, v3,
and v5). We generated a list of exons where at least 80% of all samples had at least 10x
coverage. We excluded the oldest capture kits before calculating the high coverage
exons as well as excluding the two oldest cohorts [26,27] from our list of DNV. Restricting to
high coverage regions resulted in a loss of ca. 11% of DNV in DNV-enriched genes. We
consequently performed all genotype phenotype comparisons across cohorts (Figures
1A, 2, Supplementary Figures S6-10) with this restricted DNV set. Further, we compared
the frequency of DNV_{syn} across all cohorts and excluded cohorts of which DNV_{syn} were

not available. In the subset of DNV in high coverage exons, rates of supposedly neutral
DNV_{syn} were not different between individuals with and without epilepsy (Poisson Exact
test, p-value = 0.48, RR=0.99), NDD_{uE} and NDD_{EE} (p-value = 0.65, RR= 0.94) or NDD and
controls (p-value = 0.58, RR=0.99). The frequency of $DNV_{mis+trunc}$ was also not different
between individuals with and without epilepsy (p-value=0.5, RR=1.02). Our chances to
identify $DNV_{mis+trunc}$ in EE genes in the epilepsy cohort were therefore not inflated by a
higher baseline rate of $DNV_{mis+trunc}$ in comparison to NDD_{woE}. We reannotated all DNV in
the same way as described above.
530
Statistical analysis
All statistical analyses were done with the R programming language (www.r-
project.org). Fisher's Exact Test for Count Data, Wilcoxon rank sum test, Poisson Exact
Test, Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test, logistic regression, Firth regression, Spearman
correlation, Welch two-sided t-test and calculation of empirical p-values were
performed as referenced in the results. For datasets assumed to be normally distributed
after visual inspection, mean and standard deviation (sd) are written as mean ± sd.
When performing Poisson Exact Tests, we reported effect size as rate ratio (RR), which
is the quotient of the two rates compared in the test. For Fisher's Exact Test and logistic
regression analyses, we reported odds ratios (OR). 95% confidence intervals were
abbreviated as 95%-CI. The R code used to perform the statistical analyses and figures is
available upon request.
543
DNV enrichment analyses
To identify genes with a significant DNV burden, we compared numbers of observed
with numbers of expected missense, truncating and synonymous DNV per gene using an
established framework of gene-specific mutation rates[30]. The analysis was done with the
R package denovolyzer[50], that compares observed versus expected DNV using a Poisson
Exact test. We corrected the obtained p-values with the Bonferroni method for the
number of genes for which gene specific mutation rates[30] were available (n= 18225) and
six tests resulting in a p-value significance threshold of $5x10^{-7}$. Genes that passed that
significance threshold for either missense, truncating or both missense plus truncating
DNV were considered genes with an exome-wide DNV burden. To compare DNV
between disease groups, DNV enrichment analyses were carried out in the cohort of all
patients with NDD (n=6753) as well as in patients with epilepsy (NDD_{EE+uE}, n=1942) and
without epilepsy (NDD_{woE}, n=4811), but only genes with a $DNV_{mis+trunc}$ burden in the NDD
with epilepsy cohort and the combined NDD cohort were reported.
558
HPO enrichment analyses
Significantly enriched Human phenotype ontology (HPO) terms were computed with the
R package of g:Profiler[34], using ordered enrichment analysis on significance-ranked
proteins (see Supplementary Table 8). Different gene sets were queried using the
background gene set of all 18225 genes for which gene specific mutation rates were
available[30]. Only terms that were statistically significant with a Bonferroni corrected p-
value < 0.01 were reported, as our negative controls (genes with at least two $DNV_{mis+trunc}$
in healthy control) were not enriched for any functional categories below this p-value.
567
Therapeutic relevance
To assess if DNV in our cohort were in genes of therapeutic relevance, we searched the
literature for treatment recommendations for all established disease genes with at least
two $DNV_{mis+trunc}$ in our NDD with epilepsy cohort. We rated the publications with the
standardized score of the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine[35]. We only
reported and considered genes for which at least one treatment recommendation
achieved level of evidence of II or higher. For a list of all genes and levels of evidence see
Supplementary Table 9.

Acquisition and processing of brain gene expression data

We downloaded the Developmental Transcriptome dataset of 'BrainSpan: Atlas of the
Developing Human Brain' (www.brainspan.org, funded by ARRA Awards
1RC2MH089921-01, 1RC2MH090047-01, and 1RC2MH089929-01, 2011). The atlas
includes RNA sequencing data generated from tissue samples of developing postmortem
brains of neurologically unremarkable donors covering 8 to 16 brain structures. We
extracted brain expression data from the 5 donors that were infants aged 0 to 12
months. Per gene, we obtained the median RPKM value of all infant individuals and
across brain regions. In all calculations and figures gene expression values are displayed
as median (log2 + 1)-transformed RPKM values. We defined infant brain gene
expression as median (log2 + 1)-transformed RPKM value > 1. More details about tissue
acquisition and sequencing methodology can be found in the BrainSpan website's
documentation.

Evaluation of genes' intolerance to protein altering variants

We assessed individual gene tolerance to truncating or missense variants in the general
population with the pLI score (probability of being loss-of-function intolerant) and
missense z-score. These scores indicate depletion of truncating and missense variants in
ExAC[32] (60,706 individuals without childhood onset diseases), respectively. We used
gene constraint cut-offs >0.9 for pLI and >3.09 for missense-z scores as recommended
by the score developers[32]. We calculated empirical p-values to evaluate if pLI scores of
exome-wide and nominally DNV-enriched genes were significantly higher compared to
pLI scores of random gene sets as described in[23]. Briefly, we computed the expected pLI
for a given gene set with size n by randomly drawing 1,000,000 gene sets with size n
from the total 18,225 pLI annotated genes. We computed, how many times the median
pLI score of randomly sampled gene sets would exceed the median pLI of the gene set
under investigation. To that number we added 1 and divided by the number of total
samplings +1 to obtain the empirical p-value.

Comparing DNV in NDD_{EE}, NDD_{uE} and NDD_{woE}

We investigated $DNV_{mis+trunc}$ in $NDD_{EE+uE+woE}$ across all 107 genes that were DNV-
enriched in NDD_{EE+uE}, NDD_{woE} and/or $NDD_{EE+uE+woE}$. We restricted our analysis to DNV not
in ExAC[23] and in high coverage regions. To investigate, if age at time of recruitment, sex
or variant class (DNV_{mis}/DNV_{trunc}) influenced the presence of epilepsy, we tested them as
covariates in a logistic regression model with epilepsy as response variable. We aimed
to explore, whether DNV in NDD with epilepsy might be associated with ion channels
compared to NDD without epilepsy, as it is a long-established hypothesis, that many
epilepsies are channelopathies[37]. We extracted a comprehensive gene set of 237 known
ion channel genes from 1766 previously described[22] curated gene sets derived from
public pathway databases and publications (see Supplementary Note). To investigate if
ion channel genes were associated with epilepsy we included annotation as ion channel
gene as a categorical predictor in the logistic regression model. We used Firth
regression to assess the effect of variant class on the presence of epilepsy for individual
genes. We used Fisher's Exact test to compare frequencies of DNV per gene between
phenotype groups. To account for multiple testing, we corrected p-values for the
number of tests performed (Bonferroni method).

*Diagnostic gene panels for epileptic encephalopathy/ comprehensive epilepsy from 24
academic/ commercial providers*

We set out to compare our results to diagnostic gene panels for epileptic
encephalopathy of international commercial and academic providers. We searched the
Genetic Testing Registry (GTR)[51] of NCBI (date: 01/2017) for providers of tests for
"Epileptic encephalopathy, childhood-onset" and identified 16 diagnostic epilepsy

panels. We excluded 3 panels with < 20 or > 200 genes and added 11 additional
diagnostic providers not registered at GTR to evaluate 24 diagnostic panels targeting
epilepsy in general (n=11) or EE specifically (n=13). The gene content covered in each of
the 24 gene panels can be found in Supplementary Table 11. Gene lists were freely
available for download at the respective providers' websites. For each of the 33 genes
with DNV burden in NDD with epilepsy, we calculated to what proportion they were
included in 24 commercial or academic providers of gene panels for epileptic
encephalopathy/comprehensive epilepsy. For each gene, we then multiplied the
percentage of inclusion in any of the 24 panels by the total number of $DNV_{mis+trunc}$ of that
gene in the cohort of 1942 individuals with NDD_{EE+uE}.
We investigated if there were genes in the 24 diagnostic gene panels without evidence
for implication in NDD with epilepsy. We focused on 191 dominant or X-linked panel
genes (listed in Supplementary Table 14). We tested these genes for three criteria of
association with NDD with epilepsy: Firstly, if genes had at least two $DNV_{mis+trunc}$ in our
study; secondly, whether genes were expressed in the infant brain defined by a median
RPKM of all samples and brain regions > 1; thirdly, whether genes had a pLI > 0.9 or
missense z-score > 3.09 indicating intolerance to truncating or missense variants[32]. We
intersected these lists to nominate genes that did not display features of DNV-enriched
genes in this study. On these genes we applied ClinGen criteria[38] for gene-disease
association.
650
Data availability
The authors declare that all data used for computing results supporting the findings of
this study are available within the paper and its supplementary information files. Raw
sequencing data of published cohorts are referenced at the respective publications. Raw
sequencing data of cohort EuroEPINOMICS RES have been deposited in the European
Genome-phenome Archive (EGA) with the accession code EGAS00001000048
(https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ega/datasets/EGAD00001000021). Raw sequencing data of
cohort 10 (DFG atypical EE) will be deposited in a public repository after finalization of
the individual project.
660

Figure 1. $DNV_{mis+trunc}$ in EE genes in different cohorts of NDD. **A**, The proportion of $DNV_{mis+trunc}$ in EE genes is not significantly different between patients with NDD_{EE} (red) and NDD_{uE} (blue), but higher than NDD_{woE} (yellow) or healthy controls (grey). Cohort size is given as number of individuals (Ind). **B**, Proportion of DNV in EE genes in patients with versus without epilepsy across different NDD (DD, ASD, ID). P-values are plotted next to respective odds ratios (red dots), while 95%-CI are shown as yellow bars (Fisher's exact test for individual cohorts, Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test for combined cohorts).

A,

B,

Figure 2. DNV in patients with epilepsy (NDD_{EE+uE}) versus without epilepsy (NDD_{woE})
in 107 genes with significant DNV burden. **A,** DNV_{mis}, **B,** DNV_{trunc}. Genes with different
frequencies are labeled (method: Fisher's Exact test, blue: nominal significance, p-value
< 0.05, red: significant after correcting for 266 tests). The dotted line represents equal
frequency of DNV in NDD with and without epilepsy.
681
682 **A,**

683
684 **B,**

685
686

687   References
688

689   1.   McTague, A., Howell, K.B., Cross, J.H., Kurian, M.A. & Scheffer, I.E. The
690   genetic landscape of the epileptic encephalopathies of infancy and
691   childhood. *Lancet Neurol* **15**, 304-16 (2016).
692   2.   Myers, C.T. & Mefford, H.C. Advancing epilepsy genetics in the genomic
693   era. *Genome Med* **7**, 91 (2015).
694   3.   Scheffer, I.E. *et al.* ILAE classification of the epilepsies: Position paper of
695   the ILAE Commission for Classification and Terminology. *Epilepsia* (2017).
696   4.   McGrother, C.W. *et al.* Epilepsy in adults with intellectual disabilities:
697   prevalence, associations and service implications. *Seizure* **15**, 376-86
698   (2006).
699   5.   Thomas, S., Hovinga, M.E., Rai, D. & Lee, B.K. Brief Report: Prevalence of
700   Co-occurring Epilepsy and Autism Spectrum Disorder: The U.S. National
701   Survey of Children's Health 2011-2012. *J Autism Dev Disord* **47**, 224-229
702   (2017).
703   6.   Robinson, E.B. *et al.* Autism spectrum disorder severity reflects the
704   average contribution of de novo and familial influences. *Proc Natl Acad Sci
705   U S A* **111**, 15161-5 (2014).
706   7.   Deciphering Developmental Disorders, S. Prevalence and architecture of
707   de novo mutations in developmental disorders. *Nature* **542**, 433-438
708   (2017).
709   8.   Li, J. *et al.* Genes with de novo mutations are shared by four
710   neuropsychiatric disorders discovered from NPdenovo database. *Mol
711   Psychiatry* **21**, 290-7 (2016).
712   9.   Berg, A.T. *et al.* Revised terminology and concepts for organization of
713   seizures and epilepsies: report of the ILAE Commission on Classification
714   and Terminology, 2005-2009. *Epilepsia* **51**, 676-85 (2010).
715   10.   Berg, A.T. & Millichap, J.J. The 2010 revised classification of seizures and
716   epilepsy. *Continuum (Minneap Minn)* **19**, 571-97 (2013).
717   11.   Helbig, I. & Tayoun, A.A. Understanding Genotypes and Phenotypes in
718   Epileptic Encephalopathies. *Mol Syndromol* **7**, 172-181 (2016).
719   12.   Trump, N. *et al.* Improving diagnosis and broadening the phenotypes in
720   early-onset seizure and severe developmental delay disorders through
721   gene panel analysis. *J Med Genet* **53**, 310-7 (2016).
722   13.   Depienne, C. *et al.* Spectrum of SCN1A gene mutations associated with
723   Dravet syndrome: analysis of 333 patients. *J Med Genet* **46**, 183-91 (2009).
724   14.   Weckhuysen, S. *et al.* Extending the KCNQ2 encephalopathy spectrum:
725   clinical and neuroimaging findings in 17 patients. *Neurology* **81**, 1697-703
726   (2013).
727   15.   Wolff, M. *et al.* Genetic and phenotypic heterogeneity suggest therapeutic
728   implications in SCN2A-related disorders. *Brain* (2017).
729   16.   Stamberger, H. *et al.* STXBP1 encephalopathy: A neurodevelopmental
730   disorder including epilepsy. *Neurology* **86**, 954-62 (2016).
731   17.   Howell, K.B., Harvey, A.S. & Archer, J.S. Epileptic encephalopathy: Use and
732   misuse of a clinically and conceptually important concept. *Epilepsia* **57**,
733   343-7 (2016).
734   18.   Chambers, C., Jansen, L.A. & Dhamija, R. Review of Commercially Available
735   Epilepsy Genetic Panels. *J Genet Couns* **25**, 213-7 (2016).

19. Lemke, J.R. *et al.* Targeted next generation sequencing as a diagnostic tool
in epileptic disorders. *Epilepsia* **53**, 1387-98 (2012).
20. Iossifov, I. *et al.* The contribution of de novo coding mutations to autism
spectrum disorder. *Nature* **515**, 216-21 (2014).
21. Zaidi, S. *et al.* De novo mutations in histone-modifying genes in congenital
heart disease. *Nature* **498**, 220-3 (2013).
22. Singh, T. *et al.* The contribution of rare variants to risk of schizophrenia in
individuals with and without intellectual disability. *Nat Genet* (2017).
23. Lelieveld, S.H. *et al.* Meta-analysis of 2,104 trios provides support for 10
new genes for intellectual disability. *Nat Neurosci* **19**, 1194-6 (2016).
24. EuroEpinomics & Epi4K. De novo mutations in synaptic transmission
genes including DNM1 cause epileptic encephalopathies. *Am J Hum Genet*
95, 360-70 (2014).
25. Hamdan, F.F. *et al.* De novo mutations in moderate or severe intellectual
disability. *PLoS Genet* **10**, e1004772 (2014).
26. de Ligt, J. *et al.* Diagnostic exome sequencing in persons with severe
intellectual disability. *N Engl J Med* **367**, 1921-9 (2012).
27. Rauch, A. *et al.* Range of genetic mutations associated with severe non-
syndromic sporadic intellectual disability: an exome sequencing study.
Lancet **380**, 1674-82 (2012).
28. Helbig, K.L. *et al.* Diagnostic exome sequencing provides a molecular
diagnosis for a significant proportion of patients with epilepsy. *Genet Med*
18, 898-905 (2016).
29. Fischbach, G.D. & Lord, C. The Simons Simplex Collection: a resource for
identification of autism genetic risk factors. *Neuron* **68**, 192-5 (2010).
30. Samocha, K.E. *et al.* A framework for the interpretation of de novo
mutation in human disease. *Nat Genet* **46**, 944-50 (2014).
31. McRae, J.F. *et al.* Prevalence, phenotype and architecture of
developmental disorders caused by de novo mutation. *bioRxiv* (2016).
32. Lek, M. *et al.* Analysis of protein-coding genetic variation in 60,706
humans. *Nature* **536**, 285-91 (2016).
33. Kosmicki, J.A. *et al.* Refining the role of de novo protein-truncating
variants in neurodevelopmental disorders by using population reference
samples. *Nat Genet* (2017).
34. Reimand, J. *et al.* g:Profiler-a web server for functional interpretation of
gene lists (2016 update). *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**, W83-9 (2016).
35. OCEBM Levels of Evidence Working Group* (Jeremy Howick, I.C.J.L.L.,
Paul Glasziou, Trish Greenhalgh, Carl Heneghan, Alessandro Liberati, Ivan
Moschetti, Bob Phillips, Hazel Thornton, Olive Goddard and Mary
Hodgkinson). The Oxford Levels of Evidence. in *Oxford Centre for*
Evidence-Based Medicine. (2009).
36. Richards, S. *et al.* Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of
sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American
College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for
Molecular Pathology. *Genet Med* **17**, 405-24 (2015).
37. Mulley, J.C., Scheffer, I.E., Petrou, S. & Berkovic, S.F. Channelopathies as a
genetic cause of epilepsy. *Curr Opin Neurol* **16**, 171-6 (2003).

38. Rehm, H.L. *et al.* ClinGen--the Clinical Genome Resource,
www.clinicalgenome.org/site/assets/files/2657/current_clinical_validity
_classifications.pdf. *N Engl J Med* **372**, 2235-42 (2015).
39. Weckhuysen, S. *et al.* KCNQ2 encephalopathy: emerging phenotype of a
neonatal epileptic encephalopathy. *Ann Neurol* **71**, 15-25 (2012).
40. Wagnon, J.L. *et al.* Pathogenic mechanism of recurrent mutations of
SCN8A in epileptic encephalopathy. *Ann Clin Transl Neurol* **3**, 114-23
(2016).
41. Blanchard, M.G. *et al.* De novo gain-of-function and loss-of-function
mutations of SCN8A in patients with intellectual disabilities and epilepsy.
J Med Genet **52**, 330-7 (2015).
42. Wilkie, A.O. The molecular basis of genetic dominance. *J Med Genet* **31**, 89-
98 (1994).
43. Haynes, W., Tomczak, A. & Khatri, P. Gene annotation bias impedes
biomedical research. *bioRxiv* (2017).
44. MacArthur, D.G. *et al.* Guidelines for investigating causality of sequence
variants in human disease. *Nature* **508**, 469-76 (2014).
45. Millichap, J.J. *et al.* KCNQ2 encephalopathy: Features, mutational hot spots,
and ezogabine treatment of 11 patients. *Neurol Genet* **2**, e96 (2016).
46. De Giorgis, V. & Veggiotti, P. GLUT1 deficiency syndrome 2013: current
state of the art. *Seizure* **22**, 803-11 (2013).
47. Study, D.D.D. Prevalence and architecture of de novo mutations in
developmental disorders. *Nature* **542**, 433-438 (2017).
48. Farwell, K.D. *et al.* Enhanced utility of family-centered diagnostic exome
sequencing with inheritance model-based analysis: results from 500
unselected families with undiagnosed genetic conditions. *Genet Med* **17**,
578-86 (2015).
49. Chilamakuri, C.S. *et al.* Performance comparison of four exome capture
systems for deep sequencing. *BMC Genomics* **15**, 449 (2014).
50. Ware, J.S., Samocha, K.E., Homsy, J. & Daly, M.J. Interpreting de novo
Variation in Human Disease Using denovolyzeR. *Curr Protoc Hum Genet*
87, 7 25 1-15 (2015).
51. Rubinstein, W.S. *et al.* The NIH genetic testing registry: a new, centralized
database of genetic tests to enable access to comprehensive information
and improve transparency. *Nucleic Acids Res* **41**, D925-35 (2013).
818