The diversity, structure and function of heritable adaptive immunity sequences in the *Aedes aegypti* genome

Zachary J. Whitfield[1*], Patrick T. Dolan[1,2*], Mark Kunitomi[1*#], Michel Tassetto[1], Matthew G. Seetin[3], Steve Oh[3], Cheryl Heiner[3], Ellen Paxinos[3], and Raul Andino[1]

[1] Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of California, 600 16th Street, GH-S572, UCSF Box 2280, San Francisco, California 94143-2280, USA.

[2] Department of Biology, Stanford University, E200 Clark Center, 318 Campus Drive, Stanford, CA 94305

[3] Pacific Biosciences, 1305 O'Brien Drive, Menlo Park, California, 94025, USA.

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Current address: IBM Almaden Research Center, 650 Harry Road, San Jose, California, 95120-6099, USA.

To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: raul.andino@ucsf.edu

Abstract

The *Aedes aegypti* mosquito is a major vector for arboviruses including

dengue, chikungunya and Zika virus. Combating the spread of these viruses

requires a more complete understanding of the mosquito immune system.

Recent studies have implicated genomic endogenous viral elements (EVEs)

derived from non-retroviral RNA viruses in insect immunity. Because these

elements are inserted into repetitive regions of the mosquito genome, their large-

scale structure and organization with respect to other genomic elements has

been difficult to resolve with short-read sequencing. To better define the origin,

diversity and biological role of EVEs, we employed single-molecule, real-time

sequencing technology to generate a high quality, long-read assembly of the *Ae.*

aegypti-derived Aag2 cell line genome. We leverage the quality and contiguity of

this assembly to characterize the diversity and genomic context of EVEs in the

genome of this important model system. We find that EVEs in the Aag2 genome

are acquired through recombination by LTR retrotransposons, and organize into

larger loci (>50kbp) characterized by high LTR density. These EVE containing

loci are associated with increased transcription factor binding sight density and

increased production of anti-genomic piRNAs. We also detected piRNA

processing corresponding to on-going viral infection. This global view of EVEs

and piRNA responses demonstrates the ubiquity and diversity of these heritable

elements that define small-RNA mediated antiviral immunity in mosquitoes.

INTRODUCTION (700 words currently)

Arboviruses such as Dengue virus (DENV), Chikungunya virus (CHIKV),

and the newly emerging Zika virus, cause widespread and debilitating disease

across the globe (Bhatt et al., 2013). The primary vector of these viruses, *Aedes*

aegypti, has a global tropical/subtropical distribution (Kraemer et al., 2015)

creating distinct, geographically isolated populations. The genetic diversity of

Aedes populations has resulted in differential competence for vectoring virus

(Bennett et al., 2002). Differences in the insect immune system are critical factors

in determining competence (Kramer, 2016; Kramer & Ciota, 2015) and recent

studies suggest that virus-derived sequences in the mosquito genome may

contribute to resistance (Kunitomi et al., submitted). Comparative genomics may

explain these differences in vector competence between *Ae. aegypti* populations,

however the repetitive nature of the mosquito genome has been refractory to

assembly.

The genomic acquisition of viral sequences represents an important

source of genomic diversity and immune innovation in eukaryotes (Aswad &

Katzourakis, 2012; Chuong, Elde, & Feschotte, 2016; Feschotte & Gilbert, 2012;

Katzourakis & Gifford, 2010). Most virus-derived sequences are retroviral and are

acquired through the process of proviral genomic integration. Many examples of

acquired retroviral genes evolving new functions within the host have been

described (Chuong, et al., 2016). In addition, many sequences originating from

non-retroviruses have integrated into the genomes of their eukaryotic hosts.

These non-retroviral endogenous viral elements (EVEs) are thought to be

acquired through the action of endogenous retrotransposon-derived reverse

transcriptases (Belyi, Levine, & Skalka, 2010a, 2010b; Gilbert & Feschotte, 2010;

Horie et al., 2010; Katzourakis & Gifford, 2010; Taylor, Leach, & Bruenn, 2010).

Consistent with this model, DNA derived from RNA viruses is produced in

persistently infected *Drosophila* cell lines (Goic et al., 2013) and in infected

Aedes albopictus mosquitoes (and multiple mosquito-derived cell culture lines).

Synthesis of this viral DNA (vDNA) depends on the activity of endogenous

reverse transcriptases (Goic et al., 2016; Goic, et al., 2013). Furthermore,

sequencing of viral DNA isolated from *Drosophila* cell lines (Goic, et al., 2013)

has demonstrated the formation of recombinants between viral DNA sequences

and transposable elements.

Insects rely on RNAi-based immune defenses, wherein viral dsRNA

intermediates are recognized and processed through a dicer- and argonaute-

mediated pathway, ultimately leading to cleavage of viral RNA and protection

from infection (Mongelli & Saleh, 2016). Mosquitoes employ an additional RNAi

pathway which was previously associated primarily with TE silencing in

Drosophila, as an antiviral defense system (Hess et al., 2011; Miesen, Joosten, &

van Rij, 2016) (Kunitomi et al, submitted). The Piwi-interacting RNA (piRNA)

pathway, mediated by Piwi proteins and associated 24-28nt small RNAs,

involves the cleavage and processing of endogenous TE genomic antisense

transcripts into small RNAs. These small RNAs target TE transcripts with

appropriate sequence identity, yielding sense piRNAs that, in turn, drive further

antisense transcript processing.

Transcripts derived from genomic EVE sequences can be processed into

piRNAs, and a set of proteins responsible for their processing and maturation

has been identified (Arensburger, Hice, Wright, Craig, & Atkinson, 2011; Goic, et

al., 2016; Miesen, Girardi, & van Rij, 2015; Miesen, Ivens, Buck, & van Rij, 2016;

Miesen, Joosten, et al., 2016; Varjak et al., 2017). Genomic EVE sequences

confer resistance to viruses that encode identical sequences, in association with

an accumulation of EVE-specific piRNAs (Kunitomi et al, submitted) (Miesen,

Joosten, et al., 2016). Thus, the library of acquired viral sequences in the

mosquito genome not only represents a record of the natural history of infection

in this important vector species, but also a potential reservoir of immune memory.

Understanding the acquisition of circulating viruses into these heritable genomic

loci has major implications for mosquito immunity and disease transmission.

Toward that end, recent publications have examined Flavivirus EVEs in both

wild-caught mosquitoes and mosquito-derived cell lines (Suzuki et al., 2017) and

nonretroviral EVEs across currently available genomic assemblies (Palatini et al.,

2017). These studies have demonstrated that EVEs integrate in association with

LTR sequences and integrate into genomic loci known as piClusters. However,

because of difficulties resolving these genomic regions the full diversity of EVE

sequences and their relationship to piRNAs derived from these sequences have

not been yet described in a systematic way.

Here, we report the first such study, which examines the structure and

genomic context of the collection of non-retroviral EVEs present in the *Aedes*

aegypti-derived Aag2 cell line genome. Using an improved genomic assembly

from long-read sequencing as the basis of our analysis, we characterize the

structure and composition of EVE-containing loci across the entire genome.

Additionally, we compare these data to small RNA sequencing data from Aag2

cells to assess the transcription and processing of small RNAs originating from

these loci to understand the form and potential antiviral function of these

important loci.

RESULTS

Sequencing and Assembly of the Aag2 Genome

Current assemblies of the *Ae. aegypti* genome are based on two

sequencing strategies: one produced with the Illumina sequencing platform

(hereto referred to as 'UCB') (Vicoso & Bachtrog, 2015) and one based on

conventional Sanger sequencing (hereto referred to as 'LVP') (Nene et al., 2007).

In both instances, the Liverpool strain of *Ae. aegypti* was sequenced (Table 1). A

more recent study used Hi-C to further organize the Sanger-based *Ae. aegypti*

assembly into chromosome level scaffolds (Dudchenko et al., 2017). Due to the

highly repetitive nature of the *Ae. aegypti* genome and EVEs' tendency to cluster

with transposable elements within such repetitive regions, many EVEs are likely

to be missing from the current *Ae. aegypti* assemblies, which are based on

relatively short read lengths (Nene, et al., 2007; Vicoso & Bachtrog, 2015).

Assessing the comprehensive genome-wide diversity and genomic context of

EVE sequences therefore requires an improved genomic assembly. To this end,

we employed single-molecule, real-time sequence technology (Pacific

Biosystems) to generate a long read assembly of the genome of the cell line

Aag2.

We achieved approximately 76-fold coverage of the *Ae. aegypti*-based

Aag2 genome using the Single Molecule Real Time (SMRT) sequencing platform

(P6/C4 chemistry) to shotgun sequence 116 SMRT cells generating 92.7 GB of

sequencing data with an average read length of 15.5 kb. We used Falcon and

Quiver to generate a *de novo* 1.7 Gbp assembly with a contig N50 of ~1.4 Mbp.

Our draft assembly improves upon previous *Aedes* assemblies as measured by

N50, L50, and by contig number (Table 1 and Figure 1a). A majority of the Aag2

assembly sequence is found on contigs 10-100x longer than previous

assemblies. This increased contiguity allows the mapping of numerous contigs

from the initial LVP assembly to single Aag2 contigs (SI Figure 1), and makes for

an overall more ordered genome assembly.

Repetitive nature of the Aag2 genome

The genome of *Ae. aegypti* was previously shown to contain high

proportions of repeat-DNA (Nene, et al., 2007). The *Ae. aegypti*-derived Aag2

genome is no different, and is comprised of almost 55% repeat sequence (Table

1 and Table 2). Our sequencing strategy allows more repeats to be sequenced

within a single read, and therefore better reflects the structure and organization

of these repetitive elements. Direct alignment of contigs in the Aag2 assembly

and those of previous *Ae. aegypti* assemblies reveal resolved rearrangements

and distinct repeated regions that were collapsed into single sequences in the

previous assemblies (Figure 1b and SI Figure 1). These regions can span 10-

20kb (uncollapsed), illustrating the need for long read lengths to properly order

the vast number of repetitive regions in the *Ae. aegypti* genome. Of these

repetitive regions, over 75% are made up of transposon-derived sequence (Table

2).

Identification of EVEs in the Aag2 genome

Given their propensity to integrate into long, repetitive TE clusters (Figure

3c, 4b)(Parrish et al., 2015), our understanding of the EVE composition and

structure has been limited. Our improved, long-read assembly can better define

the complete set of EVEs contained within the Aag2 genome, hereby called the

"EVEome". Using a BLASTx-based approach, EVEs were identified with respect

to each virus' protein coding/(+)-sense strand (see Methods). We identified a

total of 472 EVEs in our Aag2 genome assembly. These EVEs represented at

least 8 annotated viral families, but were dominated by sequences derived from

Rhabdoviridae, Flaviviridae, and Chuviridae. The identified EVEs covered

338,251 bp and ranged from 50 to 2,520 bp length with a median length of 620

bp (Figure 2a and b).

To determine whether any region of the virus genome is more frequently

acquired, EVEs were mapped onto the viral ORFs from which they derive. This

analysis revealed asymmetric incorporation of certain viral ORFs (Figure 2c).

Flaviviridae-derived EVEs (Figure 2c, i) primarily mapped toward the 5' end of

the single Flaviviral ORF, leaving a relative dearth of EVEs at the 3' end. EVEs

deriving from *Rhabdoviridae* primarily originate from the Nucleoprotein (N) and

Glycoprotein (G) coding sequences, with only a few originating from the RNA-

dependent RNA polymerase (L) (Figure 2c, i). The lack of EVEs mapping to the

polymerase may be the result of RNA expression levels, with L being the least

expressed gene (Conzelmann, 1998), suggesting that the template for cDNA

synthesis is viral mRNA. The lack of EVEs originating from the Phosphoprotein

(P) or Matrix protein (M) is more difficult to explain, potentially reflecting the

availability of the RNA template for recombination, or deleterious effects

associated with acquisition of these sequences. Interestingly, EVEs derived from

Chuviridae primarily map to the ORF of the Glycoprotein. Given the diverse

Chuviridae genome organization (which occur as unsegmented, bi-segmented,

and possibly circularized negative-sense genomes)(Li et al., 2015), this pattern

could also be the result of mRNA abundance, or other mechanistic peculiarities

of Chuviridae interaction with EVE acquisition machinery (see below).   Within

each viral ORF, there is no obvious preference for EVEs from a specific location.

Comparison of EVEs in *Ae. aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* genomes

If EVEs serve as a representative record of viral infection over time, we

hypothesized that EVEs present in two different species of mosquito would also

differ (particularly given the relatively rare occurrence of genome fixation)

(Holmes, 2011; Katzourakis & Gifford, 2010). The *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus*

species of mosquito occupy distinct (yet overlapping) regions around the globe

(Kraemer, et al., 2015) and have, therefore, faced different viral challenges over

time. While the EVEs present in the Aag2 and LVP *Ae. aegypti*-based genomes

correspond well, *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* do not share any specific EVEs.

However, exploring the Flaviviridae family of viruses in greater detail, the viral

species from which these EVEs are derived do primarily overlap (Figure 2d).

However, the relative abundance of EVEs derived from various viral species in

Ae. aegypti and *Ae. albopictus* differs. The lack of specific EVEs in common

between the mosquito genomes indicates EVE acquisition by *Ae. aegypti and*

Ae. albopictus occurred post-speciation, an important factor when considering

any differences in vector competence between these two species. However, it is

also important to note that these species are estimated to have diverged around

71 million years ago (Chen et al., 2015), and so only under very strong and

consistent positive selection could EVEs integrated pre-speciation have been

preserved.

Insights into the mechanism of EVE integration

Transposable elements (TEs) provide an important source of genomic

variation that drives evolution by modifying gene regulation and genome

organization, and through the acquisition of non-retroviral EVE sequences

(Gifford, Pfaff, & Macfarlan, 2013; Thompson, Macfarlan, & Lorincz, 2016).

Because of this relationship between transposable elements and EVE integration

(Feschotte & Gilbert, 2012; Honda & Tomonaga, 2016; Miesen, Joosten, et al.,

2016), and because our assembly is particularly suited for repeat identification

and analysis, we explored the organization of TEs, specifically focusing on those

proximal to EVE sequences.

The TEs in the Aag2 genome are derived from several different families

(Fig 3a, S1 Fig). Kimura distribution analysis of TEs in the Aag2 genome can be

used to 'date' the relative age of specific elements in the genome (Figure 3b)

(Kimura, 1980). The distributions of Kimura scores for TEs in our assembly

indicates relatively recent expansions of TEs, particularly LINE, LTR, and MITEs

elements (Figure 3b; low Kimura scores indicate TEs that are closer to the

element's consensus sequence, while higher scores indicated more diverged TE

sequences).

To determine whether a particular transposable element type is

responsible for EVE integration, we identified TEs whose position directly

overlaps EVE sequences (as called by RepeatMasker and BLASTX

respectively). This approach identifies mobile elements most likely responsible

for genomic integration of non-retroviral virus sequence. In line with observations

in *Ae. albopictus (Chen, et al., 2015)*, TEs overlapping EVE sequences are

greatly enriched for LTR retrotransposons (S2 Fig (i); p-value < $3x10^{\wedge-60}$). A

similar pattern was observed when classifying the nearest upstream and

downstream, non-overlapping TE sequences around each EVE (Figure 3c(i)).

These results further implicate LTR retrotransposons in the acquisition of EVEs

and indicate that the typical integration sites are composed of clusters of similar

LTR retrotransposons.

Strikingly, 543 out of 614 LTRs shared the same polarity as their nearest-

neighbor EVE (i.e. both TE and EVE elements are located on the same genomic

DNA strand). These 543 LTRs made up the vast majority of all TEs with the

same polarity as their nearest EVE (543/746; Figure 3c(i); p-value = 1.09×10^{-252}

by one-sided binomial test). This bias is consistent with a copy-choice

mechanism of recombination between LTR retrotransposon sequence and viral

RNA (or viral mRNA) leading to EVE integration, as previously proposed (Cotton,

Steinbiss, Yokoi, Tsai, & Kikuchi, 2016; Geuking et al., 2009). Our analysis of

transposons in the Aag2 genome shows LTR-retrotransposons display less

sequence diversity (by Kimura Divergence score; Figure 3b), indicating that they

are currently (or were recently) actively replicating in the Aag2 cell line.

Consistent with this idea, LTR-retrotransposon transcripts and proteins are

readily detected in Aag2 cells (Maringer et al., 2017). These data are consistent

with LTR-retrotransposons being responsible for the acquisition of the majority of

EVEs observed in the *Ae. aegypti*-derived Aag2 genome.

Within the LTR retrotransposon family, both Ty3/gypsy and Pao Bel TEs

are enriched surrounding EVEs (Figure 3c iv,v). Again, this enrichment for

Ty3/gypsy and Pao Bel elements near EVE loci is strongest when the EVE and

TE are in the same orientation (p-value = 6.90×10^{-29} and 1.71×10^{-3}

respectively). The drastic bias in associated transposons based on directionality

is not observed for other TE categories (Figure 3c, iii, vi). These data support

Ty3/gypsy (and to a lesser extent Pao Bel) as the primary transposon type

facilitating EVE genomic integration in the Aag2 cell line. Interestingly, an

association between LTR Ty3/gypsy elements and integrated viral sequence has

also been observed previously in plants (Lee, Nolan, Watson, & Tristem, 2013;

Staginnus et al., 2007), suggesting a conserved mechanism for the acquisition of

invading virus sequences and generation of EVEs.

EVE-proximal TEs of the Ty3/gypsy and Pao Bel families can be further

partitioned into individual elements. Of these, many specific elements were

enriched for being the nearest TE to an EVE (Figure 3c(iv, v)). Interestingly,

EVEs derived from different virus families show different patterns of enrichment

for nearby TEs (Figure 3d). Although Flaviviridae- and Rhabdoviridae-derived

EVEs show strong enrichment for Ty3/gypsy transposable elements, Chuviridae-

derived EVEs are associated with Pao Bel elements.

EVEs associate with piClusters.

The strong enrichment for multiple LTRs around EVEs (Fig 3c, S2 Fig) led

us to examine the genomic context of EVE-TE integration sites in the genome.

The large contig sizes associated with our long-read sequencing approach allow

us to assess the large-scale spatial distribution of TEs and EVEs in the genome.

Strikingly, we identified numerous loci where many EVE sequences overlapped

with large regions of increased LTR density, some larger than 50kbp in length

(Figure 4a). In some cases, these large loci are so densely packed with a single

LTR they effectively "crowd out" any other repetitive elements (Figure 4b). Within

these loci, EVE sequences are interspersed with TE fragments in unidirectional

orientations (Figure 4c). They contain large numbers of EVEs derived from

different viral families (Figure 4c-e) suggesting that these regions occasionally

capture new TE-virus hybrids.

The organization of these loci is similar to that of piClusters (Yamanaka,

Siomi, & Siomi, 2014): piRNA-producing loci in the genome that result from the

accumulation of TE fragments (due to non-random LTR integrase-directed

integration)(Lesbats, Engelman, & Cherepanov, 2016). To assess the ability of

these loci to produce piRNAs, we performed small RNA sequencing, employing a

procedure to enrich for *bona fide* piRNAs. Indeed, we found that these loci

produce a large number of piRNAs in a predominantly anti-sense orientation,

consistent with the transcription of piClusters (Czech & Hannon, 2016;

Yamanaka, et al., 2014).

We then used bioinformatic prediction to identify putative piClusters in the

genome based upon piRNA mapping density. This analysis identified 469 piRNA-

encoding loci (piClusters) using proTRAC (Rosenkranz, Rudloff, Bastuck,

Ketting, & Zischler, 2015; Rosenkranz & Zischler, 2012), accounting for

5,774,304 bp (0.335%) of the genome. Depending on the mapping algorithm

used, between 63% (bowtie) and 77% (sRNAmapper.pl, see Methods) of beta-

eliminated small RNAs from Aag2 cells mapped to these loci. Of the identified

piClusters, 65 (14.1%) have EVE sequences associated with them and 64 of

these piCluster-resident EVE sequences act as the template for piRNAs. Of the

472 EVEs identified, 256 (66.7%) or 280,475 bp of the 411,239 EVE bp mapped

to piClusters (68.2%, Fisher's test p<2.2e-16, OR=203.42). Furthermore, a vast

majority of piRNAs which map to EVEs are anti-sense to the coding sequence of

the corresponding virus (544,429/547,014; 99.5%), meaning that majority of

piRNAs produced from genomic EVEs are potentially antiviral.

To examine whether piCluster-resident EVEs are under selection we

examined the relative transcription of piClusters throughout the genome.

piClusters that contain EVEs tend to produce more piRNAs (Fig 4d,e) The

increased piRNA production at EVE-containing loci, is consistent with the

observation that piClusters with EVEs exhibit higher transcription factor binding

site density (Fig 4f). GATA4, SOX9 and RFX transcription factor binding sites are

all enriched near EVE-containing piClusters ($p<2E-16$, Wilcox rank-sum test).

These data together suggest that selection acts at the level of EVE-specific

piRNA production.

piRNA abundance reflects the cellular immune state

EVEs, in combination with their associate piRNAs, make up a reservoir of

small RNA immune memory. Notably, in the Aag2 cell line, piRNA production

from EVEs derived from a given viral family does not completely correlate with

genomic abundance of those EVEs, suggesting that the antiviral potential of the

EVEome against a given viral family depends on the amount of viral genetic

information stored in the host genome, the transcriptional activity of individual

piClusters and the sequence identity of the resulting piRNAs to circulating viral

challenges (Figure 5a,b).

To examine the potential antiviral activity of piRNAs that originate from

genomic EVEs, we mapped the same piRNA libraries (allowing for up to 3

mismatches) to contemporary viral genomes from which EVEs were expected to

have derived (Figure 5d, S5 Fig). Aag2 cells are known to be persistently

infected with cell-fusing agent virus (CFAV; *flaviviridae*), and were recently

shown to also be persistently infected with Phasi Charoen-like virus (PCLV;

bunyaviridae) (Maringer, et al., 2017) and these viruses, therefore, constitute

potential substrates for recognition by EVE-derived piRNAs and subsequent

processing. EVE-derived anti-genomic piRNAs (Figure 5c) only mapped to a

single site on the PCLV nucleocapsid. However, we identified numerous sense

piRNAs derived from PCLV, including a prominent peak which is offset from the

EVE-derived anti-sense piRNA binding site by 10bps (Figure 5d,e). This pattern

is consistent with EVE-derived piRNAs being funneled through canonical

processing by the ping-pong amplification mechanism, being successfully loaded

into the Piwi machinery and subsequently cleaving the viral mRNA. A similar

pattern was observed for CFAV (Kunitomi, et al, submitted). Mapping of piRNAs

to other viruses from which EVEs derived do not reveal this 'response' sense

piRNA peak, presumably because those viruses are not currently replicating in

the cells (S5 Fig). These observations indicate that an organism's EVEome

produces piRNAs capable of recognizing viruses and initiating an active

response.

DISCUSSION

A solid foundation with which to study the genetic factors contributing to vector competence is of utmost importance as arboviruses become an increasing burden globally. *In vivo* studies of mosquito immunity are a valuable but challenging approach to understanding arboviral life cycles. With this in mind, we generated a long-read assembly of the *Aedes aegypti* cell line, Aag2. With this highly contiguous assembly, we then identified nearly the entire set of endogenous viral elements and their surrounding genomic context in the Aag2 cell line at a genome-wide scale. The Aag2 cell line is an important model system for the characterization of arboviral replication in mosquito hosts. Considering the potential impact of EVE sequences and their associated piRNAs on viral infection, understanding the diversity of EVEs in commonly used cell lines is especially important.

Surveying the genome-wide collection of EVEs in the Aag2 genome provides not only a view of the historical interactions between host and virus, but also the repertoire of acquired sequences that define the piRNA-based immune system of this important model system. Our analysis refines our understanding of EVEs in the *Ae. aegypti* genome, their relationship to transposable elements, and the potential breadth of antiviral protection they provide. We propose that a mosquito's EVEome, together with the piRNA system, represents a potentially long-lasting branch of its RNAi anti-viral defense system. Although all mosquito species share the same basic RNAi-based immune system, the differences in the

EVEome of a given species, subpopulation, or individual, such as those

observed between *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* (Figure 2a), may represent a

factor contributing to inherent differences in vector competence across many

different scales. Indeed, the EVEome of wild mosquito populations appears to be

in rapid flux (Varjak, et al., 2017).

The presence of piRNA producing EVEs in the *Ae. aegypti* genome is

reminiscent of the CRISPR system in bacteria. Both take advantage of the

invading pathogen's genetic material to create small RNAs capable of restricting

an invading virus' replication. Furthermore, both integrate into the host's

genome, potentially providing protection against infection across generations.

Although the conservation of this pathway across Eukarya is not yet clear, recent

publications have highlighted the integration of genetic material from non-

retroviral RNA viruses into the genome of many different host species during

infection. The antiviral activity of these sequences has not been established,

however, a subset of EVEs found in mammalian systems seem to be under

purifying selection, suggesting some potential benefit to the host (Horie, et al.,

2010). In contrast to the evolutionary repurposing of retroviral sequences, the

direct integration, transcription and processing of EVE sequences into antiviral

small RNAs constitutes a mechanism by which these acquired sequences can be

rapidly repurposed for host immune purposes.

Template switching during reverse transcription has previously been

proposed to play a role in creating transposon-virus hybrids which integrate into

the host genome to form EVEs (Cotton, et al., 2016; Geuking, et al., 2009). The

apparent family-level specificity observed between Pao Bel TEs and Chuviridae-

derived sequences and between Ty3/gypsy TEs and Flaviviridae and

Rhabdoviridae sequences is interesting in this respect (Figure 3d). This could

have occurred by chance, or may hint at an even deeper level of specificity

directing capture of viral sequences by LTRs. Possibly these TEs and viruses

share increased sequence homology leading to more frequent template switching

(Delviks-Frankenberry et al., 2011), or replicate in a similar subcellular location. It

is also possible that LTR/EVE pairs were selected for and maintained after EVE

integration into the mosquito genome. Suzuki et al. note that in different strains of

Ae. albopictus, the Flavivirus-derived EVEs are conserved, but their flanking

regions can be quite distinct (Suzuki, et al., 2017). As the authors noted, this

hints at an evolutionary role for the EVEs themselves, but not necessarily the

specific surrounding regions. Given the difference in piRNA production among

piClusters with EVEs and without (Figure 4d,e), selection may only act at the

level of piRNA production, rather than the specific TE elements.

Uncovering the genomic context of EVEs highlights the potential for the

piRNA system to shape the mosquito immune system. It also provides a

foundation for future investigations into EVE function. Comparative genomic

approaches that incorporate long-read sequencing to understand the diversity of

the EVEome across populations will allow us to better understand the forces that

underlie the epidemiology and population dynamics of arboviruses. Moreover,

the potential to manipulate this heritable, anti-viral immune system could present

opportunities for epidemiological interventions in natural settings, or as a genetic

system to understand the insect immune system in the laboratory.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Kevin M. Dalton for helpful discussion and code for the analysis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Cells culture
Aedes aegypti Aag2 *(Lan & Fallon, 1990; Peleg, 1968)* cells were cultured at 28 °C without CO_2 in Schneider's *Drosophila* medium (GIBCO-Invitrogen), supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1X non-essential amino acids (NEAA, UCSF Cell Culture Facility, 100X stock is 0.1 µM filtered, 10 mM each of Glycine, L-Alanine, L-Asparagine, L-Aspartic acid, L-Glutamic Acid, L-Proline, and L-Serine in de-ionized water), and 1X Penicillin-Streptomycin-Glutamine (Pen/Strep/G, 100X = 10,000 units of penicillin, 10,000 µg of streptomycin, and 29.2 mg/ml of L-glutamine, Gibco).

DNA sequencing
Aag2 cells were grown in T-150 Flasks until ~80% confluent. Cells were then washed with dPBS twice and scrapped off in dPBS + 10 µg/ml RNase A (ThermoFisher). Genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted from ~10^8 Aag2 cells using the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions with the optional RNase A treatment. Aag2 gDNA was re-suspended in 10mM Tris pH8, and the quality and quantity of the sample was assessed using the Agilent DNA12000 kit and 2100 Bioanalyzer system (Agilent Technologies), as well as the Qubit dsDNA Broad Range assay kit and Qubit Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher) and visualized by gel electrophoresis (1% TBE gel). After purification and quality control, a total of 130 ug of DNA was available for library preparation and sequencing.

SMRTbell libraries were prepared using Pacific Biosciences' Template Prep Kit 1.0 (PacBio) and a slightly modified version of the Pacific Biosciences' protocol, "Procedure & Checklist - 20-kb Template Preparation Using BluePippin Size-Selection System (15-kb Size Cutoff)". Specifically, 52.5ug of gDNA were hydrodynamically sheared to target sizes of 30kb (26 µg) and 35 kb (26 µg) using the Megaruptor® (Diogenode) with long hydropores according to the manufacturer's protocols. Size distributions of the final sheared gDNA were verified by pulse field electrophoresis of a 100ng sub-aliquot through 0.75% agarose using the Pippin Pulse (Sage Science), run according to the manufacturer's "10-48 kb protocol" for 16 hrs. The two sheared samples were then pooled, for a total of 37ug sheared DNA to be used as input into SMRTbell preparation. Sheared DNA was subjected to DNA damage repair and ligated to SMRTbell adapters. Following ligation, extraneous DNA was digested with exo-nucleases and the resulting SMRTbell library was cleaned and concentrated with AMPure PB beads (Pacific Biosciences). A total of 20.5ug of library was available for size selection.

Approximately half (10ug) of the SMRTbell pooled SMRTbell library was size-selected using the BluePippin System (Sage Science) using a 15 kb cutoff and 0.75% agarose cassettes. To obtain longer read lengths, an additional 5ug of the library was selected using a 17kb cutoff.

Library quality and quantity were assessed using the Agilent 12000 DNA Kit and 2100 Bioanalyzer System (Agilent Technologies), as well as the Qubit dsDNA Broad Range Assay kit and Qubit Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher). An

additional DNA Damage Repair step and AMPure bead cleanup were included
after size-selection of the libraries.
Annealed libraries were then bound to DNA polymerases using 3nM of the
SMRTbell library and 3X excess DNA polymerase at a concentration of 9nM
using Pacific Biosciences DNA/Polymerase Binding Kit 1.0, (Pacific Biosciences).
Bound libraries were sequenced on the Pacific Biosciences RSII using P6/C4
chemistry (PacBio), magnetic bead loading (PacBio) and 6 hour collection times.
84 SMRTcells of the > 15 kb library were loaded at concentrations of 75-100 pM
on-plate. 32 SMRTcells of the > 17 kb library was prepared separately and
loaded at on-plate concentrations of 40 pM and 60 pM. These 116 SMRTcells
generated 92.7 GB of sequencing data, which resulted in approximately 76X
coverage of the Aag2 genome. Average raw read length of 15.5KB, with average
sub-reads length of 13.2kb. Assembly was performed using Quiver/FALCON
491

492 Genome assembly statistics
Basic statistics (e.g. Size, Gaps, N50, L50, # contigs) for each genome
analyzed was produced using Quast (Gurevich, Saveliev, Vyahhi, & Tesler,
2013).
As a complementary approach Benchmarking sets of Universal Single-
Copy Orthologs (BUSCO) was also run using the Arthropod dataset in order to
assess the completeness of genome assembly. Of the 2675 BUSCO groups
searched only 81 were missing from the Aag2 assembly, indicating good
assembly completeness. Of the 2315 BUSCOs found only 279 of them were
annotated as fragmented, emphasizing the continuity of the assembly.
502

503 Repeat Identification and Kimura Divergence
In order to *de novo* identify and classify novel repetitive elements from the
Aag2 genome, RepeatModeler was run on the assembled genome using
standard parameters. Outputs from RepeatModeler were cross-referenced with
annotated entries for Aedes aegypti from TEfam. All entries from RepeatModeler
that were >80% identical to TEfam entries were discarded as redundant. This
combined annotated and de novo identified list of repeat elements was used to
identify the genome wide occurrences of repeats using RepeatMasker using
standard   parameters.
Kimura scores and corresponding alignment information were extracted
from the ".align" file as output by RepeatMasker. This information was used to
make the stacked plot in figure 2 using R (version 3.30) and the ggplot2 package.
515

Citations:
Smit,   AFA,   Hubley,   R. *RepeatModeler*   *Open-1.0.*
2008-2015 <http://www.repeatmasker.org>
Smit,   AFA,   Hubley,   R   &   Green,   P. *RepeatMasker*   *Open-4.0.*
2013-2015 <http://www.repeatmasker.org>.
Dr. Zhijian Jake Tu. TEfam. <http://tefam.biochem.vt.edu/tefam/index.php>
523

EVE identification

Identification of EVEs was achieved using standalone Blast+ (Altschul, Gish, Miller, Myers, & Lipman, 1990). Blast Searches were run using the Blastx command specifying the genome as the query and a refseq library composed of the ssRNA and dsRNA viral protein-coding sequences from the NCBI genomes as the database. The E-value threshold was set at 10-6.

The EVE with the lower E-value was chosen for further analysis to predict EVEs that overlapped. Several Blast hits to viral protein genes were identified as artifacts because of their homology to eukaryotic genes (e.g. closteroviruses encode an Hsp70 homologue). These artifacts were filtered by hand.

Identification of LTR enrichment near EVEs

Separate BED files containing all TEs in the Aag2 assembly and all EVEs in the Aag2 assembly were used as input to Bedtools (*bedtools closest* command using the *–io* flag, and *–id* or *-iu*) to find the single closest non-overlapping TE to each EVE (both upstream and downstream).

An in-house script compiled these two output files together and filtered them for the TE content of interest. TE categories (subclass, family, element) were assigned by RepeatMasker. Enrichment was compared to the prevalence of the TE element genome wide based on a one-sided binomial test. Stacked histograms were produced based on TE categories as found in Figure 3. The legend lists (up to) the 10 most prevalent TE elements of TE/EVE pairs in the same orientation. Plots were produced using Python (version 2.7.6) with the pandas and matplotlib plugins.

Classification of nearest TE to EVEs by virus taxonomy

Taxonomy categories for viruses from which each EVEs derived were assigned using an in-house script. Assignments were made based on NCBI's taxonomy database (ftp://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/pub/taxonomy/), with the following additional annotations by hand.

Virus species	Assigned family
Wuhan Mosquito Virus 8	Chuviridae
Wuchang Cockraoch Virus 3	Chuviridae
Lishi Spider Virus 1	Chuviridae
Shayang Fly Virus 1	Chuviridae
Wenzhou Crab Virus 2	Chuviridae
Bole Tick Virus 2	Rhabdoviridae
Shayang Fly Virus 2	Rhabdoviridae
Wuhan Ant Virus	Rhabdoviridae
Wuhan Fly Virus 2	Rhabdoviridae
Wuhan House Fly Virus 1	Rhabdoviridae
Wuhan Mosquito Virus 9	Rhabdoviridae

Yongjia Tick Virus 2	Rhabdoviridae
Cilv-C	Virgaviridae
Citrus leprosis virus C	Virgaviridae
Blueberry necrotic ring blotch virus	Virgaviridae
Wutai Mosquito Virus	Bunyaviridae

Heat maps were produced using the Seaborn plugin for python. Only TEs
with >=10% proportion in at least one sample (Flaviviridae, Chuviridae, or
Rhabdoviridae) are shown. Color was assigned based on proportion of TE
element/family in each viral category.
Enrichment was scored as above using a one-sided binomial test
(significant is p-value < 0.0001).
562

Small RNA bioinformatics
Adaptors were trimmed using Cutadapt
(http://dx.doi.org/10.14806/ej.17.1.200) using the --discard-untrimmed and -m 19
flags to discard reads without adaptors and below 19 nt in length. Reads were
mapped using bowtie (Langmead, Trapnell, Pop, & Salzberg, 2009)using the –v
1 flag (- v 3 in the case of Fig 5D and S5). Read distance overlaps were
generated by viROME (Watson, Schnettler, & Kohl, 2013). Uniquely mapping
piRNAs were used for Figure 4C (- m1 flag).
piCluster Analysis
piClusters were identified using PROtrac (Rosenkranz & Zischler, 2012)
based on mapping with positions for beta-eliminated small RNAs libraries from
Aag2 cells from sRNAmapper.pl. Based on these predictions, visualizations of
clusters were produced using EasyFig (Sullivan, Petty, & Beatson, 2011) for
visualization of TEs and R for comparison of TEs, piRNA abundance and EVE
positions.
Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis
For phylogenetic analysis of Flaviviridae, polyprotein sequences from 61
members of the Flaviviridae family were aligned with MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) and
a maximum likelihood tree was generated with FastTree (Price, Dehal, & Arkin,
2009) using the generalised time reversible substitution model ("-gtr"). Trees
were visualized and annotated with ggtree (DOI: 10.1111/2041-210X.12628).
584

585 **EVE coverage**
586   Base R (version 3.3.0) was used to show regions individual EVEs span on
587 the indicated viral family (and protein). EVE length is a function of the percentage
588 of the respective ORF from which it derives.
589

590 **Data   availability**
591   The Aag2 genome (v 1.00) is available through VectorBase
592 (https://www.vectorbase.org/organisms/aedes-aegypti/aag2/aag2).
593 Main datasets produced during this work have been provided in excel format.
594

REFERENCES

Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., & Lipman, D. J. (1990). Basic local alignment search tool. *J Mol Biol, 215*(3), 403-410. doi: 10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2

S0022-2836(05)80360-2 [pii]

Arensburger, P., Hice, R. H., Wright, J. A., Craig, N. L., & Atkinson, P. W. (2011). The mosquito Aedes aegypti has a large genome size and high transposable element load but contains a low proportion of transposon-specific piRNAs. *BMC Genomics, 12*, 606. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-12-606

1471-2164-12-606 [pii]

Aswad, A., & Katzourakis, A. (2012). Paleovirology and virally derived immunity. *Trends Ecol Evol, 27*(11), 627-636. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2012.07.007

S0169-5347(12)00168-1 [pii]

Belyi, V. A., Levine, A. J., & Skalka, A. M. (2010a). Sequences from ancestral single-stranded DNA viruses in vertebrate genomes: the parvoviridae and circoviridae are more than 40 to 50 million years old. *J Virol, 84*(23), 12458-12462. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01789-10

JVI.01789-10 [pii]

Belyi, V. A., Levine, A. J., & Skalka, A. M. (2010b). Unexpected inheritance: multiple integrations of ancient bornavirus and ebolavirus/marburgvirus sequences in vertebrate genomes. *PLoS Pathog, 6*(7), e1001030. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1001030

Bennett, K. E., Olson, K. E., Munoz Mde, L., Fernandez-Salas, I., Farfan-Ale, J. A., Higgs, S., . . . Beaty, B. J. (2002). Variation in vector competence for dengue 2 virus among 24 collections of Aedes aegypti from Mexico and the United States. *Am J Trop Med Hyg, 67*(1), 85-92.

Bhatt, S., Gething, P. W., Brady, O. J., Messina, J. P., Farlow, A. W., Moyes, C. L., . . . Hay, S. I. (2013). The global distribution and burden of dengue. *Nature, 496*(7446), 504-507. doi: 10.1038/nature12060

nature12060 [pii]

Chen, X. G., Jiang, X., Gu, J., Xu, M., Wu, Y., Deng, Y., . . . James, A. A. (2015). Genome sequence of the Asian Tiger mosquito, Aedes albopictus, reveals insights into its biology, genetics, and evolution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 112*(44), E5907-5915. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1516410112

1516410112 [pii]

Chuong, E. B., Elde, N. C., & Feschotte, C. (2016). Regulatory evolution of innate immunity through co-option of endogenous retroviruses. *Science, 351*(6277), 1083-1087. doi: 10.1126/science.aad5497

351/6277/1083 [pii]

Conzelmann, K. K. (1998). Nonsegmented negative-strand RNA viruses: genetics and manipulation of viral genomes. *Annu Rev Genet, 32*, 123-162. doi: 10.1146/annurev.genet.32.1.123

Cotton, J. A., Steinbiss, S., Yokoi, T., Tsai, I. J., & Kikuchi, T. (2016). An expressed, endogenous Nodavirus-like element captured by a retrotransposon in the genome of the plant parasitic nematode Bursaphelenchus xylophilus. *Sci Rep, 6*, 39749. doi: 10.1038/srep39749

srep39749 [pii]

Czech, B., & Hannon, G. J. (2016). One Loop to Rule Them All: The Ping-Pong Cycle and piRNA-Guided Silencing. *Trends Biochem Sci, 41*(4), 324-337. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2015.12.008

S0968-0004(15)00258-3 [pii]

Delviks-Frankenberry, K., Galli, A., Nikolaitchik, O., Mens, H., Pathak, V. K., & Hu, W. S.
(2011). Mechanisms and factors that influence high frequency retroviral
recombination. *Viruses, 3*(9), 1650-1680. doi: 10.3390/v3091650

viruses-03-01650 [pii]

Dudchenko, O., Batra, S. S., Omer, A. D., Nyquist, S. K., Hoeger, M., Durand, N. C., . . .
Aiden, E. L. (2017). De novo assembly of the Aedes aegypti genome using Hi-C
yields chromosome-length scaffolds. *Science*. doi: eaal3327 [pii]

10.1126/science.aal3327

science.aal3327 [pii]

Edgar, R. C. (2004). MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high
throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res, 32*(5), 1792-1797. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkh340

32/5/1792 [pii]

Feschotte, C., & Gilbert, C. (2012). Endogenous viruses: insights into viral evolution and
impact on host biology. *Nat Rev Genet, 13*(4), 283-296. doi: 10.1038/nrg3199

nrg3199 [pii]

Geuking, M. B., Weber, J., Dewannieux, M., Gorelik, E., Heidmann, T., Hengartner, H., .
. . Hangartner, L. (2009). Recombination of retrotransposon and exogenous RNA
virus results in nonretroviral cDNA integration. *Science, 323*(5912), 393-396. doi:
10.1126/science.1167375

323/5912/393 [pii]

Gifford, W. D., Pfaff, S. L., & Macfarlan, T. S. (2013). Transposable elements as genetic
regulatory substrates in early development. *Trends Cell Biol, 23*(5), 218-226. doi:
10.1016/j.tcb.2013.01.001

S0962-8924(13)00003-2 [pii]

Gilbert, C., & Feschotte, C. (2010). Genomic fossils calibrate the long-term evolution of
hepadnaviruses. *PLoS Biol, 8*(9). doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1000495

e1000495 [pii]

Goic, B., Stapleford, K. A., Frangeul, L., Doucet, A. J., Gausson, V., Blanc, H., . . .
Saleh, M. C. (2016). Virus-derived DNA drives mosquito vector tolerance to
arboviral infection. *Nat Commun, 7*, 12410. doi: 10.1038/ncomms12410

ncomms12410 [pii]

Goic, B., Vodovar, N., Mondotte, J. A., Monot, C., Frangeul, L., Blanc, H., . . . Saleh, M.
C. (2013). RNA-mediated interference and reverse transcription control the
persistence of RNA viruses in the insect model Drosophila. *Nat Immunol, 14*(4),
396-403. doi: 10.1038/ni.2542

ni.2542 [pii]

Gurevich, A., Saveliev, V., Vyahhi, N., & Tesler, G. (2013). QUAST: quality assessment
tool for genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics, 29*(8), 1072-1075. doi:
10.1093/bioinformatics/btt086

btt086 [pii]

Hess, A. M., Prasad, A. N., Ptitsyn, A., Ebel, G. D., Olson, K. E., Barbacioru, C., . . .
Campbell, C. L. (2011). Small RNA profiling of Dengue virus-mosquito
interactions implicates the PIWI RNA pathway in anti-viral defense. *BMC
Microbiol, 11*, 45. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-11-45

1471-2180-11-45 [pii]

Holmes, E. C. (2011). The evolution of endogenous viral elements. *Cell Host Microbe,
10*(4), 368-377. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2011.09.002

S1931-3128(11)00285-X [pii]

Honda, T., & Tomonaga, K. (2016). Endogenous non-retroviral RNA virus elements evidence a novel type of antiviral immunity. *Mob Genet Elements, 6*(3), e1165785. doi: 10.1080/2159256X.2016.1165785

1165785 [pii]

Horie, M., Honda, T., Suzuki, Y., Kobayashi, Y., Daito, T., Oshida, T., . . . Tomonaga, K. (2010). Endogenous non-retroviral RNA virus elements in mammalian genomes. *Nature, 463*(7277), 84-87. doi: 10.1038/nature08695

nature08695 [pii]

Katzourakis, A., & Gifford, R. J. (2010). Endogenous viral elements in animal genomes. *PLoS Genet, 6*(11), e1001191. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1001191

Kimura, M. (1980). A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. *J Mol Evol, 16*(2), 111-120.

Kraemer, M. U., Sinka, M. E., Duda, K. A., Mylne, A. Q., Shearer, F. M., Barker, C. M., . . . Hay, S. I. (2015). The global distribution of the arbovirus vectors Aedes aegypti and Ae. albopictus. *Elife, 4*, e08347. doi: 10.7554/eLife.08347

Kramer, L. D. (2016). Complexity of virus-vector interactions. *Curr Opin Virol, 21*, 81-86. doi: S1879-6257(16)30104-3 [pii]

10.1016/j.coviro.2016.08.008

Kramer, L. D., & Ciota, A. T. (2015). Dissecting vectorial capacity for mosquito-borne viruses. *Curr Opin Virol, 15*, 112-118. doi: 10.1016/j.coviro.2015.10.003

S1879-6257(15)00153-4 [pii]

Lan, Q., & Fallon, A. M. (1990). Small heat shock proteins distinguish between two mosquito species and confirm identity of their cell lines. *Am J Trop Med Hyg, 43*(6), 669-676.

Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M., & Salzberg, S. L. (2009). Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biol, 10*(3), R25. doi: 10.1186/gb-2009-10-3-r25

gb-2009-10-3-r25 [pii]

Lee, A., Nolan, A., Watson, J., & Tristem, M. (2013). Identification of an ancient endogenous retrovirus, predating the divergence of the placental mammals. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci, 368*(1626), 20120503. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2012.0503

rstb.2012.0503 [pii]

Lesbats, P., Engelman, A. N., & Cherepanov, P. (2016). Retroviral DNA Integration. *Chem Rev, 116*(20), 12730-12757. doi: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.6b00125

Li, C. X., Shi, M., Tian, J. H., Lin, X. D., Kang, Y. J., Chen, L. J., . . . Zhang, Y. Z. (2015). Unprecedented genomic diversity of RNA viruses in arthropods reveals the ancestry of negative-sense RNA viruses. *Elife, 4*. doi: 10.7554/eLife.05378

Maringer, K., Yousuf, A., Heesom, K. J., Fan, J., Lee, D., Fernandez-Sesma, A., . . . Davidson, A. D. (2017). Proteomics informed by transcriptomics for characterising active transposable elements and genome annotation in Aedes aegypti. *BMC Genomics, 18*(1), 101. doi: 10.1186/s12864-016-3432-5

10.1186/s12864-016-3432-5 [pii]

Miesen, P., Girardi, E., & van Rij, R. P. (2015). Distinct sets of PIWI proteins produce arbovirus and transposon-derived piRNAs in Aedes aegypti mosquito cells. *Nucleic Acids Res, 43*(13), 6545-6556. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv590

gkv590 [pii]

Miesen, P., Ivens, A., Buck, A. H., & van Rij, R. P. (2016). Small RNA Profiling in Dengue Virus 2-Infected Aedes Mosquito Cells Reveals Viral piRNAs and Novel

745   Host   miRNAs.   *PLoS   Negl   Trop   Dis,   10*(2),   e0004452.   doi:
746   10.1371/journal.pntd.0004452
747   PNTD-D-15-01748 [pii]
748   Miesen, P., Joosten, J., & van Rij, R. P. (2016). PIWIs Go Viral: Arbovirus-Derived
749   piRNAs in Vector Mosquitoes. *PLoS Pathog, 12*(12), e1006017. doi:
750   10.1371/journal.ppat.1006017
751   PPATHOGENS-D-16-02114 [pii]
752   Mongelli, V., & Saleh, M. C. (2016). Bugs Are Not to Be Silenced: Small RNA Pathways
753   and Antiviral Responses in Insects. *Annu Rev Virol, 3*(1), 573-589. doi:
754   10.1146/annurev-virology-110615-042447
755   Nene, V., Wortman, J. R., Lawson, D., Haas, B., Kodira, C., Tu, Z. J., . . . Severson, D.
756   W. (2007). Genome sequence of Aedes aegypti, a major arbovirus vector.
757   *Science, 316*(5832), 1718-1723. doi: 1138878 [pii]
758   10.1126/science.1138878
759   Palatini, U., Miesen, P., Carballar-Lejarazu, R., Ometto, L., Rizzo, E., Tu, Z., . . .
760   Bonizzoni, M. (2017). Comparative genomics shows that viral integrations are
761   abundant and express piRNAs in the arboviral vectors Aedes aegypti and Aedes
762   albopictus. *BMC Genomics, 18*(1), 512. doi: 10.1186/s12864-017-3903-3
763   10.1186/s12864-017-3903-3 [pii]
764   Parrish, N. F., Fujino, K., Shiromoto, Y., Iwasaki, Y. W., Ha, H., Xing, J., . . . Tomonaga,
765   K. (2015). piRNAs derived from ancient viral processed pseudogenes as
766   transgenerational sequence-specific immune memory in mammals. *RNA, 21*(10),
767   1691-1703. doi: 10.1261/rna.052092.115
768   rna.052092.115 [pii]
769   Peleg, J. (1968). Growth of arboviruses in monolayers from subcultured mosquito
770   embryo cells. *Virology, 35*(4), 617-619.
771   Price, M. N., Dehal, P. S., & Arkin, A. P. (2009). FastTree: computing large minimum
772   evolution trees with profiles instead of a distance matrix. *Mol Biol Evol, 26*(7),
773   1641-1650. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msp077
774   msp077 [pii]
775   Rosenkranz, D., Rudloff, S., Bastuck, K., Ketting, R. F., & Zischler, H. (2015). Tupaia
776   small RNAs provide insights into function and evolution of RNAi-based
777   transposon defense in mammals. *RNA, 21*(5), 911-922. doi:
778   10.1261/rna.048603.114
779   rna.048603.114 [pii]
780   Rosenkranz, D., & Zischler, H. (2012). proTRAC--a software for probabilistic piRNA
781   cluster detection, visualization and analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics, 13*, 5. doi:
782   10.1186/1471-2105-13-5
783   1471-2105-13-5 [pii]
784   Staginnus, C., Gregor, W., Mette, M. F., Teo, C. H., Borroto-Fernandez, E. G., Machado,
785   M. L., . . . Schwarzacher, T. (2007). Endogenous pararetroviral sequences in
786   tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) and related species. *BMC Plant Biol, 7*, 24. doi:
787   1471-2229-7-24 [pii]
788   10.1186/1471-2229-7-24
789   Sullivan, M. J., Petty, N. K., & Beatson, S. A. (2011). Easyfig: a genome comparison
790   visualizer. *Bioinformatics, 27*(7), 1009-1010. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr039
791   btr039 [pii]
792   Suzuki, Y., Frangeul, L., Dickson, L. B., Blanc, H., Verdier, Y., Vinh, J., . . . Saleh, M. C.
793   (2017). Uncovering the repertoire of endogenous flaviviral elements in Aedes
794   mosquito genomes. *J Virol*. doi: JVI.00571-17 [pii]
10.1128/JVI.00571-17

Taylor, D. J., Leach, R. W., & Bruenn, J. (2010). Filoviruses are ancient and integrated
into mammalian genomes. *BMC Evol Biol, 10*, 193. doi: 10.1186/1471-2148-10-
193
1471-2148-10-193 [pii]
Thompson, P. J., Macfarlan, T. S., & Lorincz, M. C. (2016). Long Terminal Repeats:
From Parasitic Elements to Building Blocks of the Transcriptional Regulatory
Repertoire. *Mol Cell, 62*(5), 766-776. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2016.03.029
S1097-2765(16)30012-0 [pii]
Varjak, M., Maringer, K., Watson, M., Sreenu, V. B., Fredericks, A. C., Pondeville, E., . . .
Schnettler, E. (2017). Aedes aegypti Piwi4 Is a Noncanonical PIWI Protein
Involved in Antiviral Responses. *mSphere, 2*(3). doi: e00144-17 [pii]
10.1128/mSphere.00144-17
mSphere00144-17 [pii]
Vicoso, B., & Bachtrog, D. (2015). Numerous transitions of sex chromosomes in Diptera.
PLoS Biol, 13(4), e1002078. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1002078
PBIOLOGY-D-14-02861 [pii]
Watson, M., Schnettler, E., & Kohl, A. (2013). viRome: an R package for the
visualization and analysis of viral small RNA sequence datasets. *Bioinformatics,*
29(15), 1902-1903. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btt297
btt297 [pii]
Yamanaka, S., Siomi, M. C., & Siomi, H. (2014). piRNA clusters and open chromatin
structure. *Mob DNA, 5*, 22. doi: 10.1186/1759-8753-5-22
1759-8753-5-22 [pii]
819
820
821

LEGENDS FOR MAIN FIGURES

Figure 1. Contiguity of the *Aedes aegypti* genome is drastically improved in the Aag2 assembly. (A) Histogram of contig length vs. total amount of sequence contained in each bin. The Aag2 assembly achieved the largest contig sizes (by an order of magnitude) compared to previous *Aedes aegypti*-derived assemblies. This large contig size also resulted in more overall sequence information/number of bases. (B) Boxplots indicating number of contigs aligned between LVP (Sanger) and Aag2 (PacBio). When aligned to each other, more contigs from LVP are aligned to larger Aag2 contigs than vice versa.

Figure 2. Identification of Endogenous Viral Elements (EVEs) in the Aag2 assembly (A) Bar plots showing the number (top) and total length (bottom) of EVEs derived from each viral family. (B) Histogram showing the size distribution of EVEs in the Aag2 genome (top) and the total number of bases pairs derived from EVEs of a given size. The median EVE size (620bp) is indicated with a black bar.

(C) Coverage plots of EVEs derived from the viral families (i) Flaviviridae, (ii) Rhabdoviridae, and (iii) Chuviridae. Each bar represents a single EVE, while its length and position denotes the region of the indicated ORF from which its sequence is derived. Length is expressed as a percentage of the total ORF to normalize for varying ORF lengths among different members of a given viral family. The genome organization of CFAV is presented for reference in (i). In (ii) and (iii), a generic genome is presented to illustrate from where EVEs are derived within the genome and within each specific ORF.

(D) Phylogenetic relationship between 61 members of Flaviviridae. EVEs present in (i) *Ae. aegytpi* or (ii) *Ae. albopictus* which align to the indicated virus are marked with a colored circle. Size corresponds to abundance of EVEs derived from given species.

Figure 3. The repeat landscape of the *Aedes aegypti* genome is predominantly made up of transposable elements. (A) Pie chart representing relative numbers repetitive elements in the Aag2 genome. Further detail can be found in Table 2.

(B) Stacked histogram of Kimura divergence for classes of TEs found in the Aag2 assembly, expressed as a function of percentage of the genome. A relatively recent expansion/active phase of LTRs is evident (increase in LTRs at low Kimura divergence scores). Kimura divergence scores are based on the accumulated mutations of a given TE sequence compared to a consensus.

(C) Histograms showing counts of non-overlapping TEs closest to EVEs binned by distance, both upstream (negative x-axis values) and downstream (positive x-axis values). Positive y-axis counts refer to TE/EVE 'pairs' with the same strandedness , while negative y-axis counts are EVEs where the closest TE has the opposite strandedness. Total counts represented in each histogram: All classes (n=942); LTR only (n=614); No LTRs (n=328); Ty3/gypsy only (n=358); Pao Bel only (n=226); Ty1/copia only (n=30).

(D) Heatmap showing categories of TEs nearest EVEs, categorized by the viral
family from which the EVEs were derived. Only TEs with the same strandedness
as its nearest EVE are shown. A "*" indicates significant enrichment by one-sided
binomial test against the background prevalence of a given TE category in the
genome (eg among all LTRs nearest Chuviridae-derived EVEs, Pao Bel
elements are specifically enriched compared to the genome-wide counts of Pao
Bel among all LTRs). Color indicates proportion of a given TE category nearest
EVEs derived from the indicated viral family. Grey indicates the element was not
found to be the closest TE to any EVEs derived from the indicated viral family.
Only TE elements which made up at least 10% of the dataset for a given viral
family are shown. "Pao Bel elements" refers to Chuviridae, while "Ty3/gypsy
elements" corresponds to Flaviviridae and Rhabdoviridae. Total sample size of
all TEs analyzed for each dataset: LTRs- Rhabdoviridae (n=130),Flaviviridae
(n=181), Chuviridae (n=107); Pao Bel- Chuviridae (n=84); Ty3/gypsy-
Rhabdoviridae (n=100), Flaviviridae (n=136).
883
Figure 4. EVEs are primarily associated with LTR transposable elements.
(A) Circle plot showing the arrangement and diversity of TE subclasses in the ten
largest contigs in the Aag2 assembly. Individual contigs are denoted with
staggered black bars. Specific TE elements are shown as dots, concentric rngs
represent individual families within each TE subclass. Large scale fluctuations in
TE density can be seen in specific contigs (contig 000015F, boxed).
(B) Local density plots of a representative region of local LTR density in contig
000015F.
(C) The regions of local LTR density corresponds to the location of numerous
EVEs (black arrows) and piRNA density (bar chart, bottom track).
Bioinformatically predicted piCluster corresponding to a portion of the large LTR
density in contig 000015F. LTRs (shown as black bars) are interspersed with
EVE sequences (colored by virus family). piRNA production (black bars, below)
shows highest density in regions corresponding to EVE sequences.
(D) Dotplot showing the relationship between piCluster EVE content and piRNA
production. piClusters are ranked by piRNA production. (E) Violin plot comparing
the distribution of piRNA density in piClusters with or without EVEs. (F) Violin
plots comparing the number of predicted transcription factor binding sites in
piClusters with or without EVEs.
903
Figure 5. The antiviral potential of the cellular piRNA repertoire.
(A) Bar plot showing the proportion of piRNA mapping to EVE sequences from a
given viral family. Bars are split to show the relative contribution of specific EVE
sequences.
(B) Plot showing correlation the genomic footprint of EVEs from specific viral
families in the Aag2 genome and their piRNA production. Bunyavirus and
Chuvirus fall on opposite sides of the trend.
(C) The EVE responsible for producing the anti-sense piRNA in (D). A spike of
piRNAs is produced from the EVE (highlighted in orange) within the overall
piCluster.

(D) Mapping of cellular piRNAs to the bunyavirus PCLV genome reveals the
pattern of piRNA processing. The sense-piRNA peak is offset by 10-bp from the
antisense-piRNA peak (which also maps to an EVE within the Aag2 genome;
blue line), showing a distinct ping-pong like pattern (highlighted by the grey
rectangle. Interestingly, although the sequence of the antisense piRNAs map
perfectly to the Aag2 genome/EVE, the sense piRNAs map perfectly to the PCLV
virus sequence. piRNA counts are determined by the position of each piRNAs 5'
base. (E) Schematic showing the process of ping-pong piRNA amplification in
the cell. An EVE sequence in a piCluster is transcribed to yield an antigenomic
transcript. This transcript is processed into piRNAs which bind the genome of
infecting viruses. Binding triggers processing of the viral genome into genomic
piRNAs, which bind anti-genomic transcripts, leading to increased processing.
926
927

LEGENDS FOR SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES

S1 Fig. Transposons are distributed throughout the entire Aag2 genome. (A) Similar plot to Figure 2A, but showing all contigs of the Aag2 assembly. Circular plot of the Aag2 assembly, with every contig (black rectangles; ordered by size) and transposable element (circles; colored by TE class). Transposable elements are prevalent throughout the entire *Ae. aegypti* genome. Rectangles representing contigs are staggered to indicate relative contig size.

S2 Fig. TEs which overlap EVEs are also overrepresented by LTR elements. (A) Histograms of TEs which overlap EVEs, broken down by the following categories. The left bin represents TEs whose start is upstream, and end overlaps the EVE. The 4th bin indicates TEs whose end is downstream, and start overlaps an EVE. The second bin indicates EVEs whose coordinates surround the TE.The third bin indicates TEs whose coordinates surround an EVE. Positive count values indicate TE and EVEs with shared directionality, while negative values represent TE and EVEs with opposite directionality. Some EVEs showed multiple overlapping TEs, all of which are represented on the charts.

S3 Fig. EVEs are typically found within unidirectional piRNA clusters. The left panels correspond to a region of Contig 000933F encoding 4 tandem, unidirectional piRNA clusters (as identified by proTRAC), each containing EVEs. Each cluster expresses piRNAs primarily anti-sense to the TEs/EVEs which define them. Similarly, a single large piRNA cluster on Contig 000044F is shown in the right panels. The shared directionality between TEs and EVEs (Figure 3B) is evident. Again, piRNA expression is almost exclusively in the antisense direction with respect to the TEs/EVEs.

S4 Fig. Kimura divergence scores of LTRs only show expansion of Pao Bel and Ty3/gypsy elements. Bar plot of kimura scores assigned to LTRs only, categorized by TE family and expressed as percent of total genome (as in Figure 2E). At very low (0-1) Kimura divergence scores, Ty3/gypsy and Pao Bel exhibit a marked increase in proportion of the genome.

S5 Fig. piRNA mapping to various viruses. (A) Same plot as Fig 5D, but showing the entire PCLV nucleocapsid region. (B) piRNAs mapping to Kamiti River Virus do not show the distinct ping-pong signature as seen for PCLV, despite a significant antisense piRNA peak deriving from an EVE.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

A

B

Contig 000015F, 4.47 Mbps

Relative TE Density

TEs (+)

EVEs (+)
(-)

TEs (-)

piRNAs (+)
(-)

subclass

- DNA
- LINE
- LTR
- Unknown
- MITEs
- UD
- Helitrons
- SINE
- Penelope
- RC

C

piCluster - 000015F: 2,230,008-2,257,020

EVE virus family

- Bromoviridae
- Closteroviridae
- Bunyaviridae
- Reoviridae
- Virgaviridae
- Unannotated
- Chuviridae
- Flaviviridae
- Rhabdoviridae

Kamiti River virus
Quang Binh virus
Quang Binh virus

Cell fusing
agent virus
Aedes flavivirus

Grass carp
rhabdovirus V76

Culex
tritaeniorhynchus
rhabdovirus

$\log_{10}$(piRNA)

10,000 bps

D

Proportion of piRNA reads

piClusters
- without EVEs
- with EVEs

Number of EVEs
- 0
- 10
- 20
- 30
- 40

piClusters
(ranked by piRNA production)

E

***

piRNA Reads per bp

piClusters
- without EVEs
- with EVEs

Number of predicted binding sites

Gata4 | RFX4-1 | RFX4-2 | SOX9 | (all)

*** *** *** *** ***

piClusters
- without EVEs
- with EVEs

Figure 5

A

Overlapping TE/EVE pairs

Figure S3

Figure S4

A

piRNAs mapping to PCLV_NC

B

piRNAs mapping to Kamiti River Virus

Table 1	UCB	LVP	Aag2
Sample	LVP strain	LVP strain	Aag2 cell line
Seq. Strategy	Illumina	Sanger	PacBio
Released	5/2015	6/2006	NA
Coverage	6.8x	7.6x	~50x
Total sequence length	744,596,036	1,383,957,531	1,723,930,323
Total assembly gap length	196,533,049	73,881,199	0
Num. of contigs	961,292	36,204	3,752
Contig N50	989	82,618	1,420,116
Contig L50	151,087	4,346	368

Table 2

	Num. of elements	Length (Mbp)	Percent of genome
SINE	28,301	4.4	0.25
LINE	558,382	259.9	15.07
LTR	495,204	163.9	9.51
DNA	1,184,522	309.0	17.93
Other*	725,958	233.7	13.55
Total	2,992,367	970.9	56.31

*includes helitrons, MITEs, Penelope, RC, UD, and unknown elements