Disparity, Diversity, and Duplications in the Caryophyllales

Stephen A. Smith[*,1], Caroline Parins-Fukuchi[1], Ya Yang[2], Michael J. Moore[3], Samuel F. Brockington[4], Riva Bruenn[3,5], Chloe P. Drummond[3,6], Joseph W. Brown[1], Joseph F. Walker[1], Noah Last[2], Norman A. Douglas[3,7]

[1]Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, 48103

[2] Department of Plant Biology, University of Minnesota-Twin Cities, 1445 Gortner Avenue, St. Paul, MN 55108

[3]Department of Biology, Oberlin College, 119 Woodland St., Oberlin, Ohio 44074-1097

[4]Department of Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 3EA, United Kingdom

[5]Current Address: Department of Plant & Microbial Biology, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720-3102

[6]Current Address: Department of Botany, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 430 Lincoln Drive, Madison, WI 53706

[7]Current address: Department of Biology, University of Florida, 618A Carr Hall, Gainesville, FL 32605

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Stephen A. Smith; 734-615-5510; eebsmith@umich.edu

WORD COUNT

Total: 5285

Summary: 197

Introduction: 1177

Materials and Methods: 1674

Results and Discussion: 2428

This manuscript also contains 3 Main Figures (two in color), 4 Main Tables, and 5 Supplementary Figures.

Summary

- The role whole genome duplication (WGD) plays in the history of lineages is actively debated. WGDs have been associated with advantages including superior colonization, adaptations, and increased effective population size. However, the lack of a comprehensive mapping of WGDs within a major plant clade has led to questions regarding the potential association of WGDs and higher diversification rates.
- Using seven chloroplast and nuclear ribosomal genes, we constructed a phylogeny of 5,036 species of Caryophyllales, representing nearly half of the extant species. We phylogenetically mapped putative WGDs as identified from analyses on transcriptomic and genomic data and analyzed these in conjunction with shifts in climatic niche and lineage diversification rate.
- Thirteen putative WGDs and twenty-seven diversification shifts could be mapped onto the phylogeny. Of these, four WGDs were concurrent with diversification shifts, with other diversification shifts occurring at shallower nodes than WGDs. Five WGDs were associated with shifts to colder climatic niches.
- While we find that many diversification shifts occurred after WGDs it is difficult to directly associate these and consider diversification and duplication to be tightly correlated. Our findings suggest that duplications may often along with shifts in either diversification rate, climatic niche, or rate of evolution.

Keywords: Caryophyllales, duplications, climatic niche, diversification rates, phylogenomics

Introduction

Understanding the causes and correlates of diversification within flowering plants has been a central goal of evolutionary biologists. Genomic and transcriptomic data have reinvigorated hypotheses associating whole genome duplication (WGD) with lineage diversification rate increases (e.g., Levin, 1983; Levin 2002; Barker et al. 2009; Soltis *et al.*, 2014; Edger et al. 2015; Puttick et al. 2015; Tank *et al.*, 2015; Barker et al. 2016; Huang et al. 2016; McKain et al. 2016). It is not self-evident why WGDs would be associated with increases in lineage diversification. One hypothesis suggests that the additional genetic material provides a basis to generate new adaptations (Edger *et al.*, 2015), although this itself assumes a co-occurrence of adaptation and lineage proliferation (Levin, 1983). The apparent lack of precise co-occurrence of

adaptation and lineage proliferation has been explained by the potential of a lag model (Tank et al. 2015) where diversification may follow WGD events. In the absence of overwhelming correlative signal, we are often unable to discern true ancient WGD events from aneuploidy without advanced genomic information such as synteny mapping (Dohm *et al.*, 2012). Because it is often difficult to distinguish the two, for simplicity we will define WGD broadly to include putative ancient WGD events (paleopolyploidy) and ancient aneuploidy events. WGD events are thought to be a common occurrence and have been associated with an estimated 15% of angiosperm speciation events (Wood *et al.*, 2009). However, whether speciation by WGD is correlated with higher diversification rates remains highly debated (Mayrose *et al.*, 2011; Soltis *et al.*, 2014; Tank *et al.*, 2015). Analyses based on recent WGD events have concluded that immediate extinction rates are higher for polyploid plants (Mayrose *et al.*, 2011; Arrigo and Barker, 2012). This may result from small initial population sizes and an increased dependence on selfing. Alternatively, despite the disadvantages of WGD, others have suggested that polyploids may be superior colonizers (Soltis and Soltis, 2000).

Indeed, extreme environments are associated with high levels of WGD, with up to 87% of species restricted to areas that were glaciated during the last ice age consisting of polyploids (Brochmann, 2004). However, in the example from Arctic plants, the high level of WGD has occurred post-glaciation representing a micro-evolutionary period whereas previous studies often focus at much deeper macro-evolutionary time scales (Mayrose *et al.*, 2011; Tank *et al.*, 2015; Soltis *et al.*, 2014). From the perspective of a short timescale, polyploidy has the disadvantages of higher error rates in mitosis (Storchová et al., 2006) and masking of deleterious mutations allowing them to accumulate to higher frequencies in a population (Otto and Whitton, 2000). A suite of advantages however may also arise, including gain of asexuality (Miller *et al.*. 2000) and varying effects of heterosis (Comai, 2005). The net role these advantages and disadvantages play on the macroevolutionary scale is difficult to determine from either the purely short-term or purely long-term time scales previously used.

The long-term consequence of WGD is a central question in macroevolution and comparative genomics. However, with a suite of advantages and disadvantages, much debate surrounds the importance and patterns of correlation of WGD (Comai 2005). While polyploidization events can cause instant speciation, there is no reason to assume that these singular speciation events in themselves would influence large-scale diversification rate shifts

92 when considering lineage survivorship. Instead, there may be other factors, such as the increase
93 in genetic material, perhaps increasing genetic diversity or enabling adaptation, that cause long
94 term shifts in rates of diversification. Adaptations need not be associated with shifts in the tempo
95 of diversification and those adaptations and shifts in diversification may not co-occur on the
96 same branch (i.e., there may be a lag time; Donoghue 2005; Smith et al. 2011, Donoghue and
97 Sanderson 2015; Tank et al. 2015). In the broader context of plant evolution, there are several
98 possible outcomes of WGD in relation to the evolution and diversification of clades: 1) no
99 relationship between WGD and speciation rate or habitat shift/adaptation, 2) WGD coincides
100 with an increase of speciation rate, with or without a lag time, 3) WGD promotes dispersal and
101 habitat shifts, which has mixed relationship with speciation rate, and 4) a mixture (some
102 association, some not), similar to the previous hypothesis but without explicitly promoting
103 dispersal or habitat shift or speciation (e.g., adaptation could be more prominent than dispersal
104 and habitat shift). Here, we contribute to this discussion on diversification and WGDs with an in-
105 depth examination of the intersection of diversification and WGDs happening at a range of scales
106 within the hyperdiverse Caryophyllales.

107 The Caryophyllales contains ~12,500 species in 39 families (Thulin *et al.*, 2016; APG IV:
108 Chase *et al.*, 2016), representing approximately 6% of extant angiosperm species diversity. The
109 estimated crown age of Caryophyllales is approximately 67–121 millions of years ago (mega-
110 annum, Ma) (Bell *et al.*, 2010; Moore *et al.*, 2010) and species of the Caryophyllales exhibit
111 extreme life-history diversity, ranging from tropical trees to temperate annual herbs, and from
112 desert succulents (e.g., Cactaceae) to a diverse array of carnivorous plants (e.g., the sundews
113 *Drosera* and pitcher plants *Nepenthes*). Such extraordinary diversity makes Caryophyllales a
114 particularly useful system for investigating the relationship between WGD vs. diversification and
115 niche evolution. Our previous analyses using 62 transcriptomes representing 60 species across
116 the Caryophyllales identified 13 well-supported ancient WGD events (Yang *et al.*, 2015). We
117 have since nearly tripled the taxon sampling and assembled a data set comprising high-coverage
118 transcriptomes and genomes from 169 species across the Caryophyllales (Yang *et al.*,
119 submitted), providing even greater power for resolving the number and phylogenetic locations of
120 WGD events. Moreover, the growth in the number of plant taxa on GenBank that are represented
121 by traditional targeted sequences (e.g., *rbc*L, *mat*K, ITS, etc.) and the growth of publicly

122 available collections data (e.g., GBIF, iDigBio) provide excellent opportunities to apply
123 megaphylogeny and niche diversification approaches at fine scales in Caryophyllales.
124 By examining WGDs and diversification within the Caryophyllales, we present an
125 important example. Not only does the dataset examined have a high density of transcriptomic
126 sampling, the diversification of the bulk of Caryophyllales occurred during a time frame
127 intermediate to that of most published studies that have probed a link between WGD and
128 macroevolution. This time frame, between 10 and 100 Ma, is important for angiosperms as much
129 of the diversification that has led to the modern flora occurred during this period and most
130 modern angiosperm families appeared by this time. Discussion of speciation rate, niche shift, and
131 WGD would be flawed without accurate mappings of WGD events within this time scale. We
132 compiled a data set with extensive and precise mapping of WGD combined with a species-level
133 phylogeny. The megaphylogeny approach has been used extensively in the past to combine data
134 from many gene regions and across broad taxonomic groups to address evolutionary questions
135 (Smith *et al.*, 2009). Here, we use this approach to help inform analyses from phylogenomic
136 studies, and provide a broad context in which to examine these genomic phenomena. With half
137 of the species sampled, this represents to date the largest and most exhaustive study of WGD vs.
138 rate and adaptive shift.
139
140 **Materials and Methods**
141 ***Sanger sequencing and assembly.*** —A total of 248 new *matK* sequences were included in this
142 study (Table 1). To generate these sequences, leaf samples were collected in silica in the field or
143 from cultivated material, or were collected from herbarium sheets. DNA was isolated using
144 either the Nucleon Phytopure kit (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Pittsburgh, PA, USA), using the
145 0.1 g protocol and following manufacturer's instructions, or using the Doyle and Doyle (1987)
146 protocol, with the addition of 1% PVP-40. An approximately 950 bp region in the middle of the
147 *matK* gene was amplified and sequenced using custom-designed primers (Table 2). PCRs were
148 performed in 12.5 µL volumes with 0.5 µL of 5 mM primer for both primers, 5-20 ng of DNA
149 template, 0.1 µL of GoTaq (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), 6.25 µL of Failsafe Premix B
150 (Epicentre, Madison, WI, USA), and 4.7 µL of sterile, deionized water. Reactions were run on a
151 Bio-Rad PTC 200 thermocycler (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) at Oberlin College. Individual
152 PCRs were cleaned in 16.5 µL reactions containing 10 U of Exonuclease I (Affymetrix,

153 ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), 2 U of shrimp alkaline phosphatase
154 (Affymetrix), 8 µL of PCR product, and 8.5 µL of sterile, deionized water. Sanger sequencing of
155 the resulting cleaned PCRs was conducted by Neogenomics (formerly SeqWright; Houston, TX,
156 USA) using an ABI 3730xl automated sequencer (Applied Biosystems, ThermoFisher
157 Scientific). The resulting forward and reverse sequences for each reaction were trimmed and *de*
158 *novo* assembled using default parameters of the Geneious assembler in Geneious versions 5-7
159 (Biomatters, Auckland, New Zealand).
160
161 ***Molecular Data for Phylogenetic Reconstruction.*** —Nucleotide data from the nuclear
162 ribosomal internal transcribed spacers (ITS) and *phyC* gene, and the plastid loci *matK*, *ndhF,*
163 *rbcL*, *trnH-psbA* spacer, and *trnL-trnF* spacer were used to reconstruct the phylogeny. These data
164 were gathered first using PHLAWD (Smith and Donoghue, 2008; Smith *et al.*, 2009) and then
165 curated and combined with newly sequenced *matK* data for 124 additional species. This yielded
166 the following sampling: ITS 2,969 species, *matK* 2,270 species, *ndhF* 417 species, *phyC* 172
167 species, *rbcL* 947 species, *trnH-psbA* 240 species, and *trnL-trnF* 1,996 species. We used *mat*K,
168 *rbc*L, and *ndh*F sequences from *Aextoxicon, Apium, Berberidopsis, Campanula, Clethra, Coffea,*
169 *Echinops, Helwingia, Ilex, Ipomoea, Lamium, Lonicera, Nyssa, Polysoma, Primula, Santalum,*
170 *Valeriana,* and *Viburnum* to represent outgroups.
171
172 ***Phylogenetic Reconstruction.*** —We conducted phylogenetic analyses with RAxML v7.2.8
173 (Stamatakis, 2014) using the full analysis command, -f a, which conducts a rapid bootstrap and
174 then a full maximum likelihood search. The combined bootstrap and maximum likelihood search
175 allows for a more thorough for maximum likelihood analysis where the initial rapid bootstrap
176 results prime the maximum likelihood analysis. However, we did not use the rapid bootstrap
177 trees from this analysis and instead, we conducted a full bootstrap, generating the bootstrap
178 dataset using phyx (Brown *et al.*, 2017) and then conducting individual maximum likelihood
179 runs on each constructed bootstrap dataset. We conducted bootstraps within gene regions and we
180 retained the individual bootstrap alignments to conduct additional analyses (i.e., bootstrapped
181 alignments contained the same number of gene-specific sites as the empirical alignment). On
182 each of the resulting trees of the bootstrap and the maximum likelihood tree, we conducted SH-
183 like approximate likelihood ratio tests (SH-aLRT; Guindon *et al.*, 2010) as implemented in

184 RAxML. These analyses calculate support for each edge while also finding the NNI-optimal
185 topology. RAxML completed the likelihood search for each of these bootstrap replicates,
186 however the SH-aLRT analyses often resulted in an improved maximum likelihood topology.
187 The trees that resulted from the SH-aLRT, ML and bootstrap samples, were used for further
188 analyses. Because several deep relationships within Caryophyllales are hard to resolve without
189 large amounts of molecular data that are unavailable for most of the taxa included in this analysis
190 (Yang *et al.*, 2015), for all phylogenetic analyses we applied the following topological constraint:
191 (Droseraceae, (*Microtea*, (Stegnospermataceae, Limeaceae, (Lophiocarpaceae, (Barbeuiaceae,
192 Aizoaceae))))) as per previous analysis (Brockington et al. 2009; Yang *et al.*, 2015).
193
194 ***Divergence Time Estimation.*** — Few tractable options for divergence time estimation exist for
195 datasets of the size presented here. We use the penalized likelihood approach (Sanderson, 2003)
196 as implemented in the program treePL (Smith and O'Meara, 2012), which can handle large-scale
197 phylogenies. The early fossil record of the Caryophyllales is sparse with only a few known
198 records (Friis *et al.*, 2011; Arakaki *et al.*, 2011): (1) fossil pollen has been ascribed to
199 Amaranthaceae (*Chenopodipollis*) from the Paleocene of Texas (Nichols and Traverse, 1971);
200 (2) a putative fossil infructescence from within the Phytolaccaceae in the Campanian has also
201 been reported (Cevallos-Ferriz *et al.*, 2008), but this phylogenetic position has been disputed
202 (pers. comm. S. Manchester) and hence we excluded it; (3) Jordan and Macphail (2003) describe
203 a middle to late Eocene inflorescence from the species *Caryophylloflora paleogenica,* ascribed to
204 Caryophyllaceae; (4) pollen from Argentina within the Nyctaginaceae has been reported from the
205 middle Eocene (Zetter *et al.*, 1999); and (5) (Degreef, 1997). The penalized likelihood method
206 performs better when a calibration is used at the root. For this calibration, and because there is no
207 fossil record for the earliest Caryophyllales, we use a secondary calibration from the
208 comprehensive angiosperm divergence time analyses of Bell *et al.* (2010). Several other
209 secondary calibrations were attached to major clades where fossils are not available (Ocampo
210 and Columbus 2010; Arakaki et al. 2011; Schuster et al. 2013; Valente et al. 2013; see Supp.
211 Table S1 for detail on placement and calibrations). We conducted a priming analysis to
212 determine the best optimization parameter values. We then performed a cross validation analysis
213 using the random cross validation setting to determine the optimal smoothing parameter value.
214

215 ***Climate analyses.*** —We downloaded 6,592,700 georeferenced occurrences for the
216 Caryophyllales from GBIF (accessed on 6/1/2015; http://gbif.org). After removing samples
217 present in living collections, and therefore not necessarily representative of native climates, and
218 removing samples whose localities were over water, there were 6,009,552 samples. We extracted
219 bioclimatic values for each coordinate using the 2.5 arc-minute resolution data from WorldClim
220 (http://worldclim.org). We only included taxa that had at least three samples in these analyses to
221 reduce potential errors and to have the minimum number of samples required to calculate mean
222 and variance. The resulting overlap of the taxa represented in both the geographic and genetic
223 data was 2,843 taxa. We conducted principal component analyses (PCA) on these extracted
224 values. With both the bioclimatic values and the first two axes of the PCA, we conducted
225 ancestral state reconstruction analyses.
226 We also conducted contrast analyses and calculated Brownian motion rates of evolution
227 between sister clades (comparing duplicated lineages with their sisters) for mean annual
228 precipitation, mean annual temperature, and principal component axis 1. Contrasts were
229 calculated using phylogenetic independent contrasts. Brownian motion rates were calculated on
230 sister lineages independently using the analytical solution for rate: $\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{u_i^2}{V_i^2}$.
231
232 ***Diversification analyses.*** —To map diversification rate shifts, we conducted MEDUSA (Alfaro
233 *et al.*, 2009; Pennell *et al.*, 2014) analyses on the maximum likelihood tree and the bootstrap
234 trees. MEDUSA is far more computationally tractable than some other diversification estimation
235 methods (e.g., BAMM). Furthermore, we required the ability to feasibly integrate over the
236 phylogenetic uncertainty within the phylogenetic dataset because of both the nature of the larger
237 phylogenetic dataset and the inherent biological uncertainty within the Caryophyllales.
238 MEDUSA fits a birth-death model of diversification (with parameters *r*: net diversification (birth
239 - death), and ε: relative extinction (death / birth)) before using stepwise AIC (Burnham and
240 Anderson, 2002) to identify shifts in rates of diversification. These analyses allow
241 complementary analyses targeted at accommodating topological and branch length uncertainty.
242 We performed these diversification analyses using a birth-death model on 97 chronograms
243 generated from nonparametric bootstrapping of the original matrix, inferring ML trees in
244 RAxML, and estimating divergence times in treePL using the temporal constraints described

245 above. We discarded three trees based on poor fossil placement resulting from phylogenetic
246 uncertainty causing fossil placements to conflict.
247
248 ***Whole Genome Duplication Identification.*** — To identify WGDs (procedure described below),
249 we generated a tree based on transcriptomic data. For this tree, we used 178 ingroup data sets
250 (175 transcriptomes, 3 genomes) representing 169 species in 27 families and 40 outgroup
251 genomes (Table S1-S2 in Yang et al. submitted). We mapped putative WGD events using
252 multiple strategies: gene tree topology, plotting synonymous distance, and chromosome counts
253 (Yang et al. 2015; Yang *et al.*, submitted). For gene tree topology analyses, we performed two
254 alternative strategies for mapping duplication events from gene trees to the species tree: mapping
255 to the most recent common ancestor (MRCA), or mapping to species tree only when gene tree
256 and species tree topologies are compatible.
257 To conduct synonymous distance analyses, we performed the following procedure. For
258 all ingroup Caryophyllales transcriptome data sets, we calculated the distribution of paralog
259 synonymous distance following the same procedure as (Yang *et al.*, 2015). We reduced highly
260 similar peptide sequences with CD-HIT (-c 0.99 -n 5). We also carried out an all-by-all BLASTP
261 within each taxon using an E value cutoff of 10 and -max_target_seq set to 20. Resulting hits
262 with pident < 20% or niden < 50 amino acids were removed. We removed sequences with ten or
263 more hits to avoid overrepresentation of gene families that experienced multiple recent
264 duplications. We used the remaining paralog pairs and their corresponding CDS to calculate Ks
265 values using the pipeline https://github.com/tan ghaibao/bio-
266 pipeline/tree/master/synonymous_calculation (accessed November 29, 2014). The pipeline first
267 carries out pairwise protein alignment using default parameters in ClustalW (Larkin *et al.*, 2007),
268 back-translates the alignment to a codon alignment using PAL2NAL (Suyama *et al.*, 2006), and
269 calculates the synonymous substitution rate (Ks) using yn00 as part of the PAML package
270 (Yang, 2007), with Nei–Gojobori correction for multiple substitutions (Nei and Gojobori, 1986).
271 We obtained chromosome counts from the Chromosome Counts Database (CCDB;
272 http://ccdb.tau.ac.il accessed Oct 5, 2015). When multiple counts were reported from different
273 authors or different plants, we erred on the conservative estimate and recorded the lowest
274 number. For species that were not available in the database, we found counts from the literature

275 (e.g., Jepson eFlora http://ucjeps.berkeley.edu/eflora/ and Flora of North America
276 http://floranorthamerica.org) or by a consensus from species of the same genera.
277
278 **Results and Discussion**
279 ***Phylogenetic results.*** —Phylogenetic analyses showed strong support based on bootstrap and
280 SH-aLRT values for the monophyly of most Caryophyllales families (see Fig. S1). We find
281 strong support for the carnivorous clade including Droseraceae, Ancistrocladaceae,
282 Nepenthaceae, Drosophyllaceae, and Dioncophyllaceae. There is also strong support for this
283 clade as sister to a clade including Frankeniaceae, Tamaricaceae, Plumbaginaceae, and
284 Polygonaceae. However, relationships among the families show more varied support. There is
285 weak support for the placement of other families relative to other early diverging Caryophyllales
286 (see Fig. S1). There is strong support for Caryophyllaceae sister to Amaranthaceae. There is very
287 weak support for Aizoaceae sister to Phytolaccaceae+Nyctaginaceae. As with previously
288 published analyses, there was no support for the monophyly of Phytolaccaceae in the traditional
289 sense (i.e., including Phytolaccaceae s.s., Petiveriaceae, and *Agdestis*; APG IV) and very weak
290 support for the placement of Sarcobataceae. There is also weak support for the relationships
291 among Limeaceae, Molluginaceae, and the Portulacineae. Many of these relationships have been
292 found to be strongly supported but conflicting in different analyses (Brockington *et al.*, 2009;
293 Soltis *et al.*, 2011; Yang *et al.*, 2015; Smith *et al.*, 2015; Walker *et al.*, 2017). Here, we focus less
294 on the systematic resolution within the Caryophyllales and instead examine the potential
295 relationship of diversification and climate shifts to WGDs. Therefore, we place more emphasis
296 on including more taxa over that of more gene regions (i.e., transcriptomes) at the cost of more
297 missing data. Confident resolution of many of the systematic relationships will require genomic
298 and transcriptomic sampling, and more thorough taxon sampling (Yang *et al.*, submitted).
299
300 ***Climate tolerance reconstruction results.*** —We performed climate ancestral reconstruction
301 analyses on the phylogeny of 2,843 taxa that included taxa with at least three sampled
302 geographic coordinates (Figs. 1-3). These analyses were conducted for visualization and for
303 comparison with diversification and WGD results (see below). Results for individual bioclimatic
304 variables and principal components can be found in Figs. S2-S4. Bioclimatic variable 1 (mean
305 annual temperature, Fig. 1) shows that there are several strong phylogenetic patterns of clades

306 with preferences for colder or warmer regions. For example, Polygonaceae, Caryophyllaceae,
307 and Montiaceae each are dominated by taxa with preferences for cold environments, although
308 each also contains early-diverging taxa with preferences to warm environments. In contrast, taxa
309 inhabiting warm environments predominate in Cactaceae, Amaranthaceae, Aizoaceae, the
310 carnivorous clade (Droseraceae, Drosophyllaceae, Nepenthaceae, Ancistrocladaceae,
311 Dioncophyllaceae), and the phytolaccoid clade (Nyctaginaceae, Phytolaccaceae, Petiveriaceae,
312 Sarcobataceae, and *Agdestis*). Bioclimatic variable 12 (mean annual precipitation) shows a
313 relatively consistent pattern of relatively dry to intermediately wet clades throughout the group.
314 Indeed, only a few clades inhabiting wet ecosystems (in this case, the wet tropics) exist in the
315 Caryophyllales, specifically small groups within the carnivorous clade, the phytolaccoids, early-
316 diverging Polygonaceae, and other small groups throughout the Caryophyllales. The principal
317 component loadings are presented in Fig. 2 and Fig. S5. Principal component 1, PCA1, shows
318 significant differentiation throughout the Caryophyllales, as for example, early-diverging
319 Polygonaceae vs the rest of Polygonaceae, early diverging Caryophyllaceae vs the rest of
320 Caryophyllaceae, phytolaccoids vs Aizoaceae, and Portulacineae + relatives vs Cactaceae, to
321 mention a few. These results generally reflect the extensive ecological diversification throughout
322 the group. They also reflect significant diversification in the temperate regions of the world
323 especially within the Caryophyllaceae and Polygonaceae contrasted with extensive
324 diversification in the succulent lineages (especially Aizoaceae and Cactaceae) found in relatively
325 dry and warm environments.
326
327 ***Diversification.*** —Significant shifts in diversification were detected in most major clades (Table
328 4, Fig. 1). The results from diversification analyses on the maximum likelihood tree and
329 bootstrap tree set are generally congruent with each other. However, there are discrepancies (Fig.
330 1). The bootstrap set recovered many shifts in Polygonaceae, the carnivorous clade,
331 Caryophyllaceae, some shifts within Cactaceae, phytolaccoids, and Amaranthaceae.
332 Disagreements on the existence and placement of shifts are primarily within Portulacineae,
333 Aizoaceae, and Amaranthaceae. Overall, MEDUSA detected 27 increases in diversification rate
334 using the ML tree and 16 increases using the bootstrap trees. Given the relative lack of support of
335 some of the branches in the phylogeny, we find the MEDUSA results on the set of bootstrapped

336 trees to be the most conservative while the ML results are suggestive but not definitive of
337 diversification shifts.
338
339 ***Duplications, diversification, and climate.*** —WGD analyses show thirteen putative WGDs that
340 can be mapped to clades (i.e., involve more than 1 taxon in the dataset; Table 3 and Figs. 1-3).
341 Many of these are found in early diverging lineages as opposed to nested deep within families,
342 though there are WGDs identified in *Amaranthus* and *Claytonia*. We also find evidence of nested
343 WGDs as within the phytolaccoids and Portulacineae. In addition to these deeper WGDs, there
344 are larger numbers of more recent WGDs that are present in Ks plots but cannot be mapped to a
345 clade (Yang *et al.*, submitted). By sampling more extensively, Yang *et al.* (submitted) and
346 Walker *et al.* (2017) found additional WGD events within the Caryophyllales. While it is
347 possible, this is unlikely to be phenomenon specific to the Caryophylalles and we will likely find
348 additional WGDs events in other lineages as more effort is placed on denser taxon sampling
349 using genomes and transcriptomes. We do not explore WGDs that can only be mapped to one tip
350 any further and more discussion of specific results related to the WGDs themselves can be found
351 in Yang et al. (submitted) and Walker et al. (2017).
352 To better examine whether WGDs coincide with diversification rate shifts, increases and
353 decreases, or notable changes in climate tolerance, we mapped WGDs onto the large phylogenies
354 and summarized the number of species and climate information for each clade (Tables 3-4, Figs.
355 1-3). Some WGD events are associated with synchronous diversification events. For example,
356 within Nyctaginaceae, a WGD event occurs on the same branch (leading to Tribe Nyctagineae;
357 Douglas and Spellenberg, 2010) as an increase in diversification rate in both the ML tree and the
358 bootstrapped dataset (Fig. 1, dup:1 div:n). These events are further associated with a shift in life
359 history and niche from an ancestral woody habit in the tropics to the largely herbaceous, arid-
360 adapted temperate Nyctagineae. This is also the case for *Amaranthus* (Fig. 1, dup:5 div:x). Other
361 coincident diversification and WGD events in the Droseraceae and Nepenthaceae are only
362 supported by the ML tree. Although these correlated events may, in fact, be accurate, we will
363 reserve more comments for when these are more confidently resolved. Other than these
364 simultaneous shifts, and excepting one diversification shift at the base of the MRCA of
365 Nyctaginaceae+Cactaceae, all other shifts in diversification occur more recently than WGD
366 events. Tank *et al.* (2015) suggested that this lagging pattern may be common at the broader

367 angiosperm scale, though the expected distance of the diversification shift from the WGD event
368 was not specified (this is discussed more below). In the results presented here, some
369 diversification events occur shortly after the WGD event, such as within the Amaranthaceae
370 (dup: 6) and Portulacineae (dup: 4). For others, it is difficult to determine whether the
371 diversification events that occur after the WGD events are significantly close to the WGD to
372 warrant suggestion of an association (e.g., dup: 7, dup: 10, dup: 8). More description of a model
373 that would generate a null expectation would be necessary to determine what is "close enough"
374 (see discussion below).

375 Many of the other inferred lineage diversification rate shifts are associated with very
376 recent, rapid radiations within genera such as those documented within *Commicarpus*
377 (Nyctaginaceae), *Dianthus* (Caryophyllaceae), *Cerastium* (Caryophyllaceae), *Arenaria*
378 (Caryophyllaceae), and *Salicornia* (Amaranthaceae), to name a few (Table 4). Although
379 polyploids were reported in these clades, we are unable to pinpoint the phylogenetic location of
380 any WGD with our current taxon sampling (e.g., *Dianthus*; Carolin, 1954; Weiss *et al.* 2002).
381 Increased sampling of transcriptomes and genomes will shed more light in these areas. While we
382 only find a few WGDs that coincide well with diversification rate shifts, it is important to note
383 that the uncertainty in the phylogenies makes it difficult to map anything but the strongest
384 diversification signals. This discrepancy can be seen in the difference between the number of
385 events supported by the ML analyses and those supported by the bootstrap analyses. It is possible
386 that additional sequence data will improve phylogenetic resolution and confidence, and that
387 consequently additional diversification events will emerge.

388 Equally interesting to the few WGD events associated directly with diversification are the
389 WGD events associated with general shifts in climate tolerance. WGDs in the Polygonaceae,
390 Caryophyllaceae, Montiaceae, and the Tribe Nyctagineae appear to be associated with movement
391 into colder environments (Figs. 1-2 and Figs. S2-S3). Species arising after the WGD within the
392 Amaranthaceae occupy wetter environments than the sister clade. The WGDs within the
393 carnivorous plants are also associated with shifts in environment as *Nepenthes* are found in very
394 wet environments and the Droseraceae are found in somewhat drier environments, at least
395 comparatively. However, in these cases, perhaps the development of the wide array of
396 morphologies associated with carnivory, apart from *Drosophyllum*, is more obviously associated
397 with the WGD (Walker *et al.*, 2017).

398 While these qualitative assessments suggest potential correlations of shift in the climate
399 occupied and WGDs, more specific and direct comparisons are necessary to quantify the extent
400 of the shifts. For many of the clades experiencing WGD, a direct comparison with a sister clade
401 is difficult because the sister may consist of a single species, another clade with WGD, or
402 another complication. For example, there are WGDs at the base of both Polygonaceae and
403 Plumbaginaceae as well as Nepenthaceae and Droseraceae. However, we made direct
404 comparison of five duplicated lineages (see Fig. 3) in both means (i.e., character contrasts
405 between sister clades) and variances (rate of Brownian motion) of climatic variables. In each
406 case, the duplicated lineage occupies a colder mean annual temperature. This is also the case
407 with the nested WGDs of Portulacineae and the Tribe Nyctagineae. Of course, we do not suggest
408 that all WGDs are associated with a shift to a colder climate. While such a pattern may exist in
409 some groups such as Caryophyllaceae, we emphasize the observation that there is a shift in the
410 climate occupied rather than the direction of the shift. Mean annual precipitation is not as clear
411 with some clades occupying a higher precipitation and some occupying lower precipitation.
412 Perhaps the best summary of climatic niche is the principal components of all the climatic
413 variables. Here, while the shift in units is less easily interpreted, duplicated clades occupy
414 different niches than sister lineages. This generally supports the hypothesis that WGD events are
415 associated with adaptations, in this case, that are associated with shifts in climatic niches. This
416 necessitates further examination in other angiosperm clades.
417 The rates of niche evolution show more complicated patterns. While some clades, such as
418 the Portulacineae, show significant increase in a rate of niche evolution as compared to the sister
419 clade (e.g., MAT), no clear pattern emerges across all comparisons. There are other shifts in rate
420 such as with MAT and MAP in the Nyctaginaceae and Montiaceae, but these are not as strong as
421 the pattern of climate itself discussed above.
422 With each of these patterns presented here, it is important to consider them in the context
423 of uncertainty, both inherent in the biological processes that generate the phylogeny and in the
424 analyses associated with large scale datasets. These large phylogenies and datasets allow for
425 more thorough examination of the clades, but uncertainty makes precise mapping of weaker
426 signals difficult. As mentioned above, this is demonstrated by both the mapping of
427 diversification events and duplications. Furthermore, the comparisons of the sister clades for
428 climatic niche analyses assumes accurate identification of sister lineages. Increasing taxon

429 sampling may help, but additional sequence data and specimen data for phylogenetic analyses,
430 WGD mapping analyses, and climate niche characterization will surely improve our precision in
431 these investigations.
432 What emerges from these analyses of WGD, diversification, and climate? It would appear
433 as though, perhaps not unexpectedly, the patterns are complex and mixed. Some WGD are
434 associated directly with diversification events, some WGD are associated with shifts in climate
435 tolerance, some WGD are coincident with shifts in rates of niche evolution, and still other WGD
436 are associated with known adaptations (carnivory, habit shifts associated with montane habitats,
437 etc.). Some diversification shifts follow WGD events. However, it is unclear whether these
438 events are linked or correlated and, if so, if they are correlated more with diversification than an
439 additional adaptation or other evolutionary pattern or process. As data increase in these groups
440 and as confidence increases in the phylogenetic relationships as well as the placement of both
441 diversification and WGD events, we will be able to better address these questions. However, at
442 least for the Caryophyllales, it does not appear as though diversification is tightly linked with
443 WGD. Instead, for the clades that can be tested, we find shifts in climate correspond well to
444 WGD.
445
446 ***Suggestions for moving forward.*** —WGD are almost certainly one of the dominant processes
447 that contribute to major evolutionary events within plant lineages. This may be in the form of
448 increased diversification, development of novel traits, adaptation to new environments, and many
449 other events (e.g., Schubert and Vu, 2016; Clavijo et al. 2017). However, for several reasons,
450 these events (i.e., WGD and other evolutionary events) may not occur simultaneously. In fact,
451 there may be little to no expectation for the events to occur simultaneously (e.g., Donoghue,
452 2005; Donoghue and Sanderson, 2015; Tank *et al.*, 2015). In any case, however, more precise
453 expectations and null models need to be developed to allow for reasonable tests of the
454 correlations among these events. For example, there may be shifts in diversification that follow a
455 WGD, but is it close enough, or frequent enough to infer that the two events are related? Is
456 correlation possible or identifiable if, as is expected, intervening lineages have gone extinct?
457 Furthermore, more precise connections should be made to the biology of speciation and genome
458 WGDs to better determine why, specifically, WGDs would be expected to correspond with any
459 diversification pattern instead of adaptations, which may or may not correspond with increases or

460 decreases in speciation. While still challenging, investigating the fate of and patterns of selection
461 within individual genes (e.g., subfunctionalization and neofunctionalization) may shed light into
462 the genomic basis of post-WGD and possibly allow for more concrete expectations for
463 diversification. With the availability of genomes and transcriptomes, this is now beginning to
464 become a possibility (e.g., Brockington et al., 2015, Walker *et al.*, 2017). Only when these
465 suggestions are linked to more specific biological hypotheses will we be able to better
466 understand the ultimate impact of WGD in plant evolution.
467

468 **Acknowledgements**

469 We thank Gregory Stull, Oscar Vargas, Ning Wang, Sonia Ahluwalia, Jordan Shore, Lijun Zhao,
470 Alex Taylorm, and Drew Larson for helpful discussion on the manuscript. The authors thank
471 Hilda Flores, Helga Ochoterena, Tom Wendt and the staff at the Plant Resources Center at the
472 University of Texas at Austin, the Lyon Arboretum, David Anderson, John Brittnacher, Anna
473 Brunner, Joseph Charboneau, Arianna Goodman, Heather-Rose Kates, Patricia Herrnández
474 Ledesma, Lucas Majure, Nidia Mendoza, Michael Powell, Rick Ree, Carl Rothfels, Flora Samis,
475 Jeffrey Sanders, Elizabeth Saunders, Rich Spellenberg, Greg Stull, Mats Thulin, Erin Tripp, and
476 Sophia Weinmann for help with obtaining material. This work was supported by NSF DEB
477 awards 1352907 and 1354048.
478

479 **Author contributions**

480 S.A.S., J.F.W., Y.Y., M.J.M., C.P.-F., and S.F.B. designed research. S.A.S., J.W.B., C.P.F., and
481 Y.Y. analyzed the data. S.A.S. led the writing. All authors read and contributed to the
482 manuscript.
483

484 **References**

485 **Alfaro ME, Santini F, Brock C, Alamillo H, Dornburg A, Rabosky DL, Carnevale G,**
486 **Harmon LJ. 2009.** Nine exceptional radiations plus high turnover explain species
487 diversity in jawed vertebrates. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA*
488 **106:** 13410–13414.

Angiosperm Phylogeny Group. 2016. An update of the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group classification for the orders and families of flowering plants: APG IV. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society 181: 1-20.

Arakaki M, Christin PA, Nyffeler R, Lendel A, Eggli U, Ogburn RM, Spriggs E, Moore MJ, Edwards EJ. 2011. Contemporaneous and recent radiations of the world's major succulent plant lineages. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **108:** 8379–8384.

Arrigo N, Barker MS. 2012. Rarely successful polyploids and their legacy in plants genomes. C*urrent Opinion in Plant Biology* **15:** 140–146.

Barker MS, Vogel H, Schranz ME. 2009. Paleopolyploidy in the Brassicales: Analyses of the *Cleome* transcriptome elucidate the history of genome duplications in *Arabidopsis* and other Brassicales. *Genome Biology and Evolution* **1**: 391–399.

Barker MS, Husband BC, Pires JC. 2016. Spreading Winge and flying high: The evolutionary importance of polyploidy after a century of study. *Am. J. Bot.* **103**: 1139-1145.

Bell CD, Soltis DE, Soltis PS. 2010. The age and diversification of the angiosperms re-revisited. *American Journal of Botany* **97:** 1296–1303.

Brochmann C, Brysting AK, Alsos IG, Borgen L, Grundt HH, Scheen AC, Elven R. 2004. Polyploidy in arctic plants. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **82:** 521–536.

Brockington SF, Alexandre R, Ramdial J, Moore MJ, Crawley S, Dhingra A, Hilu K, Soltis DE, Soltis PS. 2009. Phylogeny of the Caryophyllales *sensu lato*: revisiting hypotheses on pollination biology and perianth differentiation in the core Caryophyllales. *International Journal of Plant Sciences* **170:** 627–643.

Brockington SF, Yang Y, Gandia-Herrero F, Covshoff S, Hibberd JM, Sage RF, Wong GK, Moore MJ, Smith SA. 2015. Lineage-specific gene radiations underlie the evolution of novel betalain pigmentation in Caryophyllales. *New Phytologist* **207:** 1170–1180.

Brown JW, Walker JF, Smith SA. 2017. phyx: Phylogenetic tools for Unix. *Bioinformatics* **btx063**.

Burnham KP, Anderson DR. 2003. Model Selection and Multimodel Inference: A Practical Information-Theoretic Approach. Springer, New York.

Carolin RC. 1954. Stomatal size, density and morphology in the genus *Dianthus*. *Kew Bulletin* **9:** 251-258.

Cevallos-Ferriz SRS, Estrada-Ruiz E, Perez-Hernandez BR. 2008. Phytolaccaceae infructescence from Cerro del Pueblo formation, upper Cretaceous (late Campanian), Coahuila, Mexico. *American Journal of Botany* **95:** 77–83.

Chase MW, Christenhusz MJM, Fay MF, Byng JW, Judd WS, Soltis DE, Mabberley DJ, Sennikov AN, Soltis PS, Stevens PF. 2016. An update of the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group classification for the orders and families of flowering plants: APG IV. *Botanical Journal Linnean Society* **181:** 1-20.

Clavijo BJ, Venturini L, Schudoma C, Accinelli GG, Kaithakottil G, Wright J, Borrill P, Kettleborough G, Heavens D, Chapman H, Lipscombe J, Barker T, Lu FH, McKenzie N, Raats D, Ramirez-Gonzalez RH, Coince A, Peel N, Percival-Alwyn L, Duncan O, Trösch J, Yu G, Bolser DM, Namaati G, Kerhornou A, Spannagl M, Gundlach H, Haberer G, Davey RP, Fosker C, Palma FD, Phillips A, Millar AH, Kersey PJ, Uauy C, Krasileva KV, Swarbreck D, Bevan MW, Clark MD. 2017. An improved assembly and annotation of the allohexaploid wheat genome identifies complete families of agronomic genes and provides genomic evidence for chromosomal translocations. *Genome Research* doi: 10.1101/gr.217117.116

Comai L. 2005. The advantages and disadvantages of being polyploid. *Nature Review Genetics* **6:** 836–846.

Degreef JD. 1997. Fossil *Aldrovanda*. *Carnivorous Plant Newsletter* **26:** 93–97. Available at: http://www.carnivorousplants.org/cpn/articles/CPNv26n3p93_97.pdf%5Cnpapers3://publication/uuid/A61A0327-61CF-4683-BF3F-EE5AFFD69F49.

Dodsworth S, Chase M, Leitch A. 2016. Is post-polyploidization diploidization the key to the evolutionary success of angiosperms. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* **180:** 1095–8339.

Dohm JC, Lange C, Holtgräwe D, Sörensen TR, Borchardt D, Schulz B, Lehrach H, Weisshaar B, Himmelbauer H. 2012. Palaeohexaploid ancestry for Caryophyllales inferred from extensive gene‐based physical and genetic mapping of the sugar beet genome (Beta vulgaris). *The Plant Journal* **70:** 528–40.

Donoghue MJ. 2005. Key innovations, convergence, and success: macroevolutionary lessons from plant phylogeny. *Paleobiology* **31:**77-93.

550 **Donoghue MJ, Sanderson MJ. 2015.** Confluence, synnovation, and depauperons in plant
551 diversification. *New Phyt.* **207:**260-274.
552 **Douglas N, Spellenberg R. 2010.** A new tribal classification of Nyctaginaceae. *Taxon* **59:** 905–
553 910.
554 **Doyle JJ, Doyle JL. 1987.** A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf
555 tissue. *Phytochemical Bulletin* **19**: 11-15.
556 **Edger PP, Heidel-Fischer HM, Bekaert M, Rota J, Glöckner G, Platts AE, Heckel DG, Der**
557 **JP, Wafula EK, Tang M, Hofberger JA. 2015.** The butterfly plant arms-race escalated
558 by gene and genome duplications. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,*
559 *USA* **112:** 8362–8366.
560 **Friis EM, Crane P, Pedersen KR. 2011.** Early Flowers and Angiosperm Evolution. Cambridge.
561 **Guindon S, Dufayard J, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O. 2010.** New
562 algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the
563 performance of PhyML 3.0. *Systematic Biology* **59:** 307–321.
564 **Huang, CH, Zhang C, Liu M, Hu Y, Gao T, Qi J, Hong Ma. 2016.** Multiple Polyploidization
565 Events across Asteraceae with Two Nested Events in the Early History Revealed by
566 Nuclear Phylogenomics. *Mol Biol Evol*. **33:** 2820-2835.
567 **Jordan GJ, Macphail MK. 2003.** A middle-late Eocene inflorescence of Caryophyllaceae from
568 Tasmania, Australia. *American Journal of Botany* **90:** 761–768.
569 **Levin DA. 1983.** Polyploidy and novelty in flowering plants. *American Naturalist* **122:** 1–25.
570 **Levin DA. 2002.** The Role of Chromosomal Change in Plant Evolution. Oxford University
571 Press: New York.
572 **McKain MR, Tang H, McNeal JR, Ayyampalayam S, Davis JI, dePamphilis CW, Givnish**
573 **TJ, Pires JC, Stevenson DW, Leebens-Mack JH. 2016.** A Phylogenomic Assessment
574 of Ancient Polyploidy and Genome Evolution across the Poales. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **8**:
575 1150-1164.
576 **Mayrose I, Zhan SH, Rothfels CJ, Magnuson-Ford K, Barker MS, Rieseberg LH, Otto SP.**
577 **2011.** Recently formed polyploid plants diversify at lower rates. *Science* **333:** 1257.
578 **Miller JS, Venable DL. 2000.** Polyploidy and the evolution of gender dimorphism in plants.
579 *Science* **289:** 2335–2338.

580 **Moore MJ, Soltis PS, Bell CD, Burleigh JG, Soltis DE. 2010.** Phylogenetic analysis of 83
581 plastid genes further resolves the early diversification of eudicots. *Proceedings of the*
582 *National Academy of Sciences, USA* **107:** 4623–4628.
583 **Nei M, Gojobori T. 1986.** Simple methods for estimating the numbers of synonymous and
584 nonsynonymous nucleotide substitutions. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **3:** 418–426.
585 **Nichols DJ, Traverse A. 1971.** Palynology, petrology, and depositional environments of some
586 early Tertiary lignites in Texas. *Geoscience and Man* **3:** 37–48.
587 **Ocampo G, Columbus T. 2010.** Molecular phylogenetics of suborder Cactineae
588 (Caryophyllales), including insights into photosynthetic diversification and historical
589 biogeography. *Am. J. Bot.* **97**: 1827-1847.
590 **Otto SP, Whitton J. 2000.** Polyploid incidence and evolution. *Annual Review of Genetics* **34:**
591 401–437.
592 **Pennell MW, Eastman JM, Slater GJ, Brown JW, Uyeda JC, Fitzjohn RG, Alfaro ME,**
593 **Harmon LJ. 2014.** geiger v2.0: an expanded suite of methods for fitting
594 macroevolutionary models to phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics* **30:** 2216–2218.
595 **Puttick, MN, Clark J., Donoghue P. 2015.** Size is not everything: rates of genome size
596 evolution, not C-value, correlate with speciation in angiosperms. *Proc. Roy. Soc. B.* **282**:
597 20152289.
598 **Sanderson MJ.** 2003. r8s: inferring absolute rates of molecular evolution and divergence times
599 in the absence of a molecular clock. *Bioinformatics* 19: 301–302.
600 **Schubert I, Vu GTH. 2016.** Genome Stability and Evolution: Attempting a Holistic View.
601 *Trends in Plant Sciences* 21: 749-757.
602 **Schuster TM, Setaro SD, Kron KA. 2013.** Age Estimates for the Buckwheat Family
603 Polygonaceae Based on Sequence Data Calibrated by Fossils and with a Focus on the
604 Amphi-Pacific *Muehlenbeckia*. *Plos ONE* **8**(4): e61261.
605 **Smith SA, Beaulieu JM, Donoghue MJ.** 2009. Mega-phylogeny approach for comparative
606 biology: an alternative to supertree and supermatrix approaches. *BMC Evolutionary*
607 *Biology* **9:** 37.
608 **Smith SA, Beaulieu JM, Stamatakis A, Donoghue MJ.** Understanding angiosperm
609 diversification using small and large phylogenetic trees. *American Journal of Botany* **98:**
610 404–414.

611 **Smith SA, Donoghue MJ.** 2008. Rates of molecular evolution are linked to life history in
612 flowering plants. *Science* 322: 86–89.
613 **Smith SA, O'Meara BC. 2012.** treePL: divergence time estimation using penalized likelihood
614 for large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **28:** 2689–2690.
615 **Smith SA, Moore MJ, Brown JW, Yang Y. 2015.** Analysis of phylogenomic datasets reveals
616 conflict, concordance, and gene duplications with examples from animals and plants.
617 *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **15:** 150.
618 **Soltis PS, Soltis DS. 2000.** The role of genetic and genomic attributes in the success of
619 polyploids. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **97:** 7051–7057.
620 **Soltis DE, Smith SA, Cellinese N, Wurdack KJ, Tank DC, Brockington SF, Refulio-**
621 **Rodriguez NF, Walker JB, Moore MJ, Carlsward BS, Bell CD. 2011.** Angiosperm
622 phylogeny: 17 genes, 640 taxa. *American Journal of Botany* **98:** 704–730.
623 **Soltis PS, Liu X, Marchant DB, Visger CJ, Soltis DE. 2014.** Polyploidy and novelty:
624 Gottlieb's legacy. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B:*
625 *Biological Sciences* **369:** 20130351.
626 **Stamatakis A.** 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of
627 large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 30: 1312–1313.
628 **Storchová Z, Breneman A, Cande J, Dunn J, Burbank K, O'toole E, Pellman D. 2006.**
629 Genome-wide genetic analysis of polyploidy in yeast. *Nature* **443:** 541.
630 **Suyama M, Torrents D, Bork P. 2006.** PAL2NAL: robust conversion of protein sequence
631 alignments into the corresponding codon alignments. Nucleic Acids Research **34 (suppl**
632 **2):** W609–W612.
633 **Tank DC, Eastman JM, Pennell MW, Soltis PS, Soltis DE, Hinchliff CE, Brown JW, Sessa**
634 **EB, Harmon LJ. 2015.** Nested radiations and the pulse of angiosperm diversification:
635 increased diversification rates often follow whole genome duplications. *New Phytologist*
636 **207:** 454–467.
637 **Thulin M, Moore AJ, El-Seedi H, Larsson A, Christin P-A, Edwards EJ. 2016.** Phylogeny
638 and generic delimitation in Molluginaceae, new pigment data in Caryophyllales, and the
639 new family Corbichoniaceae. *Taxon* **65:** 775–793.

640 **Valente LM, Britton AW, Powell MP, Papadopulos AST, Burgoyne PM, Savolainen V.**
641 **2014.** Correlates of hyperdiversity in southern African ice plants (Aizoaceae). *Botanical*
642 *Journal of the Linnean Society Linnean Society of London*. **174**:110-129.
643 **Walker JF, Yang Y, Moore MJ, Mikenas J, Timoneda A, Brockington SF, Smith SA. 2017.**
644 Widespread paleopolyploidy, gene tree conflict, and recalcitrant relationships among the
645 carnivorous Caryophyllales. *bioRxiv*: 115741.
646 **Weiss H, Dobes C, Schneeweiss GM, Greimler J. 2002.** Occurrence of tetraploid and
647 hexaploid cytotypes between and within populations in *Dianthus* sect. *Plumaria*
648 (Caryophyllaceae). *New Phyto.* **156:** 85-94.
649 **Wood TE, Takebayashi N, Barker MS, Mayrose I, Greenspoon PB, Rieseberg LH. 2009.**
650 The frequency of polyploid speciation in vascular plants. *Proceedings of the National*
651 *Academy of Sciences, USA* **106:** 13875–13879.
652 **Yang Y, Moore MJ, Brockington SF, Soltis DE, Wong GK-S, Carpenter EJ, Zhang Y,**
653 **Chen L, Yan Z, Xie Y, Sage RF. 2015.** Dissecting molecular evolution in the highly
654 diverse plant clade Caryophyllales using transcriptome sequencing. *Molecular Biology*
655 *and Evolution* **32:** 2001–2014.
656 **Yang Z. 2007.** PAML 4: phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. *Molecular Biology and*
657 *Evolution* **24:** 1586–1591.
658 **Zetter R, Hofmann CC, Draxler I, Durango de Cabrera J, Del MVergel M, Vervoorst F.**
659 **1999.** A rich middle Eocene microflora at Arroyo de los Mineros, near Cañadón Beta, NE
660 Tierra del Fuego province, Argentina. *Abhandlungen der Geologischen Bundesanstalt*
661 56: 439–460.
662

663 **Tables**

664 **Table 1** Voucher information and GenBank accession numbers for newly reported plastid *matK*
665 sequences. Families follow APG IV (Angiosperm Phylogeny Group 2016).

Family	Taxon	Voucher specimen (Herbarium acronym)	Collection locality	NCBI accession number
Achatocarpaceae	*Achatocarpus gracilis* H.Walter	Silvia H. Salas Morales et al. 5608 (TEX)	Mexico: Oaxaca	KY952292
Achatocarpaceae	*Phaulothamnus spinescens* A.Gray	Michael J. Moore et al. 976 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952477
Achatocarpaceae	*Phaulothamnus spinescens* A.Gray	William R. Carr 27176 (TEX)	United States: Texas	KY952478
Amaranthaceae	*Allenrolfea occidentalis* (S.Watson) Kuntze	Michael J. Moore 474 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952314
Amaranthaceae	*Alternanthera caracasana* Kunth	Michael J. Moore 1808 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952319
Amaranthaceae	*Amaranthus cruentus* L.	Michael J. Moore 356 (OC)	United States: Ohio (cultivated)	KY952320
Amaranthaceae	*Amaranthus* sp.	Michael J. Moore 1801 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952321
Amaranthaceae	*Amaranthus* sp.	Michael J. Moore 2186 (OC)	United States: Ohio	KY952322
Amaranthaceae	*Amaranthus* sp.	Michael J. Moore 2187 (OC)	United States: Illinois	KY952323
Amaranthaceae	*Atriplex prosopidum* I.M.Johnst.	Hilda Flores Olvera et al. 1658 (MEXU)	Mexico: Coahuila	KY952340
Amaranthaceae	*Atriplex* sp.	Michael J. Moore 1689 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952338
Amaranthaceae	*Atriplex* sp.	Michael J. Moore 1699 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952339
Amaranthaceae	*Celosia argentea* L. var. *plumosa*	Michael J. Moore 359 (OC)	United States: Ohio (cultivated)	KY952359
Amaranthaceae	*Charpentiera ovata* Gaudich. var. *ovata*	Flora K. Samis 7 (Lyon Arboretum living collection, accession 2011.0034)	United States: Hawaii	KY952360
Amaranthaceae	*Charpentiera tomentosa* Sohmer var. *maakuaensis* Sohmer	Flora K. Samis 6 (Lyon Arboretum living collection, accession 88.0141)	United States: Hawaii	KY952361
Amaranthaceae	*Chenopodium album* L.	Michael J. Moore 344 (OC)	United States: Ohio	KY952362
Amaranthaceae	*Gossypianthus lanuginosus* (Poir.) Moq.	Michael J. Moore 1807 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952408
Amaranthaceae	*Guilleminea densa*	Michael J. Moore et	Mexico:	KY952412

	(Humb. & Bonpl. ex Schult.) Moq.	al. 2445 (OC)	Chihuahua	
Amaranthaceae	*Kali tragus* (L.) Scop.	Michael J. Moore 453 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952506
Amaranthaceae	*Nototrichium divaricatum* D.H.Lorence	Flora K. Samis 3 (Lyon Arboretum living collection, accession 96.0036 #3)	United States: Hawaii	KY952468
Amaranthaceae	*Nototrichium humile* Hillebr.	Flora K. Samis 2 (Lyon Arboretum living collection, accession 2001-0254)	United States: Hawaii	KY952469
Amaranthaceae	*Suaeda jacoensis* I.M.Johnst.	Hilda Flores Olvera et al. 1662 (MEXU)	Mexico: Coahuila	KY952514
Amaranthaceae	*Suaeda jacoensis* I.M.Johnst.	Michael J. Moore et al. 2617 (OC)	Mexico: Nuevo Leon	KY952515
Amaranthaceae	*Suaeda mexicana* (Standl.) Standl.	Hilda Flores Olvera et al. 1654 (MEXU)	Mexico: Coahuila	KY952516
Amaranthaceae	*Tidestromia lanuginosa* (Nutt.) Standl.	Michael J. Moore 1128 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952521
Amaranthaceae	*Zuckia brandegeei* (A.Gray) S.L.Welsh & Stutz var. *plummeri* (Stutz & S.C.Sand.) Dorn	Joseph L. M. Charboneau 9672 (RM)	United States: Colorado	KY952528
Cactaceae	*Leuenbergeria quisqueyana* (Alain) Lodé	Flora K. Samis 11 (Lyon Arboretum living collection, accession 2000.0281)	United States: Hawaii	KY952473
Caryophyllaceae	*Moehringia macrophylla* (Hook.) Fenzl	Arianna Goodman 1 (OC)	United States: Oregon	KY952464
Caryophyllaceae	*Paronychia lundellorum* Torr. & A.Gray	William R. Carr 17607 (MEXU)	United States: Texas	KY952472
Caryophyllaceae	*Saponaria officinalis* L.	Michael J. Moore et al. 1819 (OC)	United States: Indiana	KY952507
Caryophyllaceae	*Schiedea kaalae* Wawra	Flora K. Samis 5 (Lyon Arboretum living collection, accession 92.0513)	United States: Hawaii	KY952509
Caryophyllaceae	*Spergularia salina* J.Presl & C.Presl	Michael J. Moore 1693 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952512
Didiereaceae	*Alluaudia ascendens* (Drake) Drake	Michael J. Moore 1645	United States (cultivated)	KY952318
Dioncophyllaceae	*Triphyophyllum peltatum* (Hutch. & Dalziel) Airy Shaw	Carel C. H. Jongkind et al. 7136 (WAG)	Liberia	KY952524
Droseraceae	*Drosera burmannii* Vahl cv. Pilliga Red	Michael J. Moore 1814 (OC)	United States (cultivated)	KY952400
Droseraceae	*Drosera peltata* Thunb.	Michael J. Moore	Australia:	KY952401

		1817 (OC)	Tasmania (cultivated)	
Droseraceae	*Drosera regia* Stephens	Michael J. Moore 1812 (OC)	United States (cultivated)	KY952402
Drosophyllaceae	*Drosophyllum lusitanicum* (L.) Link	Michael J. Moore 1816 (OC)	United States (cultivated)	KY952403
Frankeniaceae	*Frankenia gypsophila* I.M.Johnst.	Michael J. Moore et al. 1880 (OC)	Mexico: Nuevo Leon	KY952406
Microteaceae	*Microtea debilis* Sw.	Manuel Rimachi 11128 (TEX)	Peru: Loreto	KY952415
Montiaceae	*Claytonia sibirica* L.	Arianna Goodman 2 (OC)	United States: Oregon	KY952363
Montiaceae	*Phemeranthus parviflorus* (Nutt.) Kiger	Michael J. Moore et al. 2214 (OC)	United States: New Mexico	KY952479
Nyctaginaceae	*Abronia angustifolia* Greene	Michael J. Moore et al. 2063 (OC)	Mexico: Coahuila	KY952281
Nyctaginaceae	*Abronia angustifolia* Greene	Michael J. Moore et al. 896 (OC)	United States: New Mexico	KY952282
Nyctaginaceae	*Abronia bigelovii* Heimerl	Michael J. Moore et al. 704 (OC)	United States: New Mexico	KY952283
Nyctaginaceae	*Abronia elliptica* A.Nelson	Norman A. Douglas 2039 (DUKE)	United States: Arizona	KY952284
Nyctaginaceae	*Abronia fragrans* Nutt. ex Hook.	Billie L. Turner 20-22 (SRSC)	United States: Texas	KY952285
Nyctaginaceae	*Abronia fragrans* Nutt. ex Hook.	Glenn Kroh et al. 3021 (TEX)	United States: Texas	KY952286
Nyctaginaceae	*Abronia macrocarpa* L.A.Galloway	Steve L. Orzell et al. 6492 (TEX)	United States: Texas	KY952287
Nyctaginaceae	*Abronia mellifera* Douglas ex Hook.	N. Elizabeth Saunders BP 19 (SIU)	United States: Wyoming	KY952288
Nyctaginaceae	*Abronia mellifera* Douglas ex Hook.	N. Elizabeth Saunders BP 20 (SIU)	United States: Wyoming	KY952289
Nyctaginaceae	*Abronia nana* S.Watson var. *nana*	Robert C. Sivinski et al. 3108 (NMC)	United States: Arizona	KY952290
Nyctaginaceae	*Abronia umbellata* Lam.	N. Elizabeth Saunders LU 45 (SIU)	United States: California	KY952291
Nyctaginaceae	*Acleisanthes acutifolia* Standl.	James Henrickson et al. 22916 (TEX)	Mexico: Coahuila	KY952293
Nyctaginaceae	*Acleisanthes angustifolia* (Torr.) R.A.Levin	Michael J. Moore 460 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952294
Nyctaginaceae	*Acleisanthes* cf. *purpusiana* (Heimerl) R.A.Levin	James Henrickson 23026 (TEX)	Mexico: Coahuila	KY952309
Nyctaginaceae	*Acleisanthes chenopodioides* (A.Gray) R.A.Levin	Michael J. Moore et al. 733 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952295

Nyctaginaceae	*Acleisanthes crassifolia* A.Gray	Michael J. Moore et al. 569 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952296
Nyctaginaceae	*Acleisanthes diffusa* (A.Gray) R.A.Levin var. *diffusa*	Michael J. Moore et al. 624 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952297
Nyctaginaceae	*Acleisanthes lanceolata* (Wooton) R.A.Levin var. *lanceolata*	Michael J. Moore et al. 870 (OC)	United States: New Mexico	KY952298
Nyctaginaceae	*Acleisanthes lanceolata* (Wooton) R.A.Levin var. *lanceolata*	Michael J. Moore et al. 903 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952299
Nyctaginaceae	*Acleisanthes lanceolata* (Wooton) R.A.Levin var. *megaphylla* (B.A.Fowler & B.L.Turner) Spellenb. & J.Poole	Alfred T. Richardson 1666 (TEX)	Mexico: Chihuahua	KY952300
Nyctaginaceae	*Acleisanthes longiflora* A.Gray	Michael J. Moore 435 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952301
Nyctaginaceae	*Acleisanthes longiflora* A.Gray	Michael J. Moore et al. 571 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952302
Nyctaginaceae	*Acleisanthes nana* I.M.Johnst.	Jackie Smith et al. 798 (TEX)	Mexico: San Luis Potosi	KY952303
Nyctaginaceae	*Acleisanthes obtusa* (Choisy) Standl.	Michael J. Moore et al. 984 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952304
Nyctaginaceae	*Acleisanthes palmeri* (Hemsley) R.A.Levin	George S. Hinton 28620 (TEX)	MexicoNuevo Leon	KY952305
Nyctaginaceae	*Acleisanthes parvifolia* (Torr.) R.A.Levin	Michael J. Moore 452 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952306
Nyctaginaceae	*Acleisanthes purpusiana* (Heimerl) R.A.Levin	James Henrickson 22709 (TEX)	Mexico: Coahuila	KY952307
Nyctaginaceae	*Acleisanthes purpusiana* (Heimerl) R.A.Levin	Billie L. Turner 6205 (TEX)	Mexico: Coahuila	KY952308
Nyctaginaceae	*Acleisanthes undulata* (B.A.Fowler & B.L.Turner) R.A.Levin	James Henrickson 23195 (TEX)	Mexico: Coahuila	KY952310
Nyctaginaceae	*Acleisanthes wrightii* (A.Gray) Benth. & Hook.	Michael J. Moore et al. 620 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952311
Nyctaginaceae	*Allionia choisyi* Standl.	Norman A. Douglas 2187 (DUKE)	Mexico: Coahuila	KY952315
Nyctaginaceae	*Allionia incarnata* L.	Michael J. Moore et al. 1352 (OC)	Mexico: Nuevo Leon	KY952316
Nyctaginaceae	*Allionia* sp.	Michael J. Moore 424 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952317
Nyctaginaceae	*Andradea floribunda* Allemão	André M. Amorim 2294 (NY)	Brazil	KY952324
Nyctaginaceae	*Andradea floribunda* Allemão	Jacquelyn Ann Kallunki 701 (NY)	Brazil	KY952325
Nyctaginaceae	*Anulocaulis annulatus*	Richard W.	United States:	KY952326

	(Coville) Standl.	Spellenberg 3162 (NMC)	California	
Nyctaginaceae	*Anulocaulis eriosolenus* (A.Gray) Standl.	James Henrickson et al. 23103 (TEX)	Mexico: Coahuila	KY952327
Nyctaginaceae	*Anulocaulis eriosolenus* (A.Gray) Standl.	Michael J. Moore et al. 611 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952328
Nyctaginaceae	*Anulocaulis hintoniorum* B.L.Turner	Patricia Hernández Ledesma 52 (MEXU)	Mexico: Coahuila	KY952329
Nyctaginaceae	*Anulocaulis leiosolenus* (Torr.) Standl. var. *gypsogenus* (Waterf.) Spellenb. & T.Wootten	Michael J. Moore 402 (OC)	United States: New Mexico	KY952330
Nyctaginaceae	*Anulocaulis leiosolenus* (Torr.) Standl. var. *howardii* Spellenb. & T.Wootten	Thomas Wootten et al. s.n. (NMC)	United States: New Mexico	KY952331
Nyctaginaceae	*Anulocaulis leiosolenus* (Torr.) Standl. var. *lasianthus* I.M.Johnston	Michael J. Moore et al. 610 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952332
Nyctaginaceae	*Anulocaulis leiosolenus* (Torr.) Standl. var. *leiosolenus*	Michael J. Moore 493 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952333
Nyctaginaceae	*Anulocaulis leiosolenus* (Torr.) Standl. var. *leiosolenus*	Michael J. Moore et al. 825 (OC)	United States: Nevada	KY952334
Nyctaginaceae	*Anulocaulis leiosolenus* (Torr.) Standl. var. *leiosolenus*	Michael J. Moore et al. 853 (OC)	United States: Arizona	KY952335
Nyctaginaceae	*Anulocaulis reflexus* I.M.Johnst.	Michael J. Moore et al. 242 (TEX)	Mexico: Chihuahua	KY952336
Nyctaginaceae	*Anulocaulis reflexus* I.M.Johnst.	Michael J. Moore 483 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952337
Nyctaginaceae	*Boerhavia anisophylla* Torr.	Norman A. Douglas 2194 (DUKE)	Mexico: Durango	KY952341
Nyctaginaceae	*Boerhavia ciliata* Brandegee	Norman A. Douglas 2145 (DUKE)	United States: Texas	KY952342
Nyctaginaceae	*Boerhavia coccinea* Mill.	Michael J. Moore 366 (OC)	United States: New Mexico	KY952343
Nyctaginaceae	*Boerhavia coulteri* (Hook.f.) S.Watson var. *palmeri* (S.Watson) Spellenb.	Richard W. Spellenberg 13273 (NMC)	United States: Arizona	KY952344
Nyctaginaceae	*Boerhavia dominii* Meikle & Hewson	H. Smyth 42 (NY)	Australia: South Australia	KY952345
Nyctaginaceae	*Boerhavia gracillima* Heimerl	Richard W. Spellenberg 12447 (NMC)	United States: Texas	KY952347
Nyctaginaceae	*Boerhavia intermedia* M.E.Jones	Richard W. Spellenberg 13279	United States: Arizona	KY952348

		(NMC)		
Nyctaginaceae	*Boerhavia lateriflora* Standl.	Norman A. Douglas 2161 (DUKE)	Mexico: Sonora	KY952349
Nyctaginaceae	*Boerhavia linearifolia* A.Gray	Michael J. Moore et al. 581 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952350
Nyctaginaceae	*Boerhavia purpurascens* A.Gray	Richard W. Spellenberg 13261 (NMC)	United States: Arizona	KY952351
Nyctaginaceae	*Boerhavia repens* L.	J. S. Rose 2	United States: Hawaii	KY952352
Nyctaginaceae	*Boerhavia repens* L.	Richard W. Spellenberg 7183 (NMC)	Yemen: Sana	KY952353
Nyctaginaceae	*Boerhavia* sp.	Erin Tripp et al. 4090 (OC)	Namibia	KY952346
Nyctaginaceae	*Boerhavia torreyana* (S.Watson) Standl.	Michael J. Moore et al. 633 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952354
Nyctaginaceae	*Bougainvillea campanulata* Heimerl	Michael Nee 51257 (TEX)	Bolivia: Santa Cruz	KY952355
Nyctaginaceae	*Bougainvillea glabra* Choisy	Michael J. Moore 538 (OC)	United States: Ohio (cultivated)	KY952356
Nyctaginaceae	*Bougainvillea spinosa* (Cav.) Heimerl	J. Saunders et al. 3371 (TEX)	Argentina: San Juan	KY952357
Nyctaginaceae	*Bougainvillea stipitata* Griseb.	Michael Nee 50723 (TEX)	Bolivia: Santa Cruz	KY952358
Nyctaginaceae	*Colignonia glomerata* Griseb.	Michael Nee 52523 (NY)	Bolivia	KY952364
Nyctaginaceae	*Colignonia scandens* Benth.	Martin Grantham 63 (SFBG living collection, accession 1996-0202)	Ecuador	KY952365
Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus ambiguus* Meikle	Mats Thulin 11015 (UPS)	Somalia: Sanaag	KY952366
Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus arabicus* Meikle	Mats Thulin et al. 9294 (UPS)	Yemen: Taizz	KY952367
Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus arabicus* Meikle	Richard W. Spellenberg 7217 (NMC)	Yemen: Ibb	KY952368
Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus arabicus* Meikle	Richard W. Spellenberg 7297 (NMC)	Yemen: Ibb	KY952369
Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus australis* (Meikle) Govaerts	Richard W. Spellenberg et al. 9469 (NMC)	Australia: Western Australia	KY952370
Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus boissieri* (Heimerl) Cufod.	Mats Thulin 11423 (UPS)	Oman: Dhofar	KY952371
Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus boissieri* (Heimerl) Cufod.	Carl J. Rothfels et al. 4331	Oman: Ash Sharqiyah	KY952373
Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus*	Patricia Hernández	Mexico: Baja	KY952372

	brandegeei Standl.	Ledesma 55 (MEXU)	California Sur	
Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus coctoris* N.A.Harriman	Richard W. Spellenberg et al. 12883 (NMC)	Mexico: Oaxaca	KY952374
Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus commersonii* (Baill.) Cavaco	Mats Thulin et al. 11836 (UPS)	Madagascar: Toliara	KY952380
Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus decipiens* Meikle	Erin Tripp et al. 4127 (NMC)	Namibia	KY952375
Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus grandiflorus* (A.Rich.) Standl.	Mats Thulin et al. 9311 (UPS)	Yemen: Taizz	KY952376
Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus greenwayi* Meikle	Mats Thulin 606 (UPS)	Tanzania: Iringa	KY952377
Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus helenae* (Roem. & Schult.) Meikle	Richard W. Spellenberg et al. 7504 (NMC)	Yemen: Dhamar	KY952378
Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus hiranensis* Thulin	Mats Thulin et al. 11225 (UPS)	Ethiopia: Harerge	KY952379
Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus mistus* Thulin	Mats Thulin et al. 9786 (UPS)	Yemen: Mahrah	KY952381
Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus parviflorus* Thulin	Mats Thulin 6318 (UPS)	Somalia: Banaadir	KY952382
Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus pedunculosus* (A.Rich.) Cufod.	Mats Thulin 1301 (UPS)	Ethiopia: Arussi	KY952383
Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus plumbagineus* (Cav.) Standl.	Mats Thulin 10747 (UPS)	Somalia: Togdheer	KY952384
Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus plumbagineus* (Cav.) Standl.	Mats Thulin et al. 11330 (UPS)	Ethiopia: Harerge	KY952385
Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus plumbagineus* (Cav.) Standl.	Richard W. Spellenberg et al. 7374 (NMC)	Yemen: Ta'izz	KY952386
Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus praetermissus* N.A.Harriman	Richard W. Spellenberg et al. 12905 (NMC)	Mexico: Michoacán	KY952387
Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus reniformis* (Chiov.) Cufod.	Mats Thulin 4200 (UPS)	Somalia: Sool	KY952388
Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus reniformis* (Chiov.) Cufod.	Mats Thulin et al. 8337 (UPS)	Yemen: Hadramaut	KY952389
Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus scandens* (L.) Standl.	Michael J. Moore 1127 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952390
Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus scandens* (L.) Standl.	Richard W. Spellenberg et al. 12887 (NMC)	Mexico: Puebla	KY952391
Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus sinuatus* Meikle	Mats Thulin 10737 (UPS)	Somalia: Woqooyi Galbeed	KY952392

Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus sinuatus* Meikle	Richard W. Spellenberg 7144 (NMC)	Yemen: Sana'a	KY952393
Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus sinuatus* Meikle	Richard W. Spellenberg 7506 (NMC)	Yemen: Dhamar	KY952394
Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus squarrosus* (Heimerl) Standl. var. *squarrosus*	Erin Tripp et al. 4049 (NMC)	Namibia	KY952395
Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus stenocarpus* (Chiov.) Cufod.	Mats Thulin et al. 8062 (UPS)	Yemen: Hadramaut	KY952396
Nyctaginaceae	*Cuscatlania vulcanicola* Standl.	José L. Linares 12938 (MEXU)	El Salvador: Sonsonate	KY952397
Nyctaginaceae	*Cuscatlania vulcanicola* Standl.	José L. Linares 13440 (MEXU)	El Salvador: Sonsonate	KY952398
Nyctaginaceae	*Cyphomeris gypsophiloides* (M.Martens & Galeotti) Standl.	Michael J. Moore et al. 582 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952399
Nyctaginaceae	*Grajalesia fasciculata* (Standl.) Miranda	José L. Linares 13416 (MEXU)	El Salvador: Sonsonate	KY952409
Nyctaginaceae	*Guapira discolor* (Spreng.) Little	Richard W. Spellenberg 13294 (NMC)	United States: Florida	KY952410
Nyctaginaceae	*Guapira eggersiana* (Heimerl) Lundell	Scott A. Mori 25542/40 (NY)	French Guiana	KY952411
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis albida* (Walter) Heimerl	Norman A. Douglas 2035 (DUKE)	United States: Arizona	KY952416
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis albida* (Walter) Heimerl	William R. Carr 11075 (TEX)	United States: Texas	KY952417
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis alipes* (S.Watson) Pilz	Arnold Tiehm 13461 (TEX)	United States: Nevada	KY952418
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis bigelovii* A.Gray var. *retrorsa* (A. Heller) Munz	James D. Morefield et al. 3780 (TEX)	United States: California	KY952419
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis* cf. *glabrifolia* (Ortega) I.M.Johnst.	Michael J. Moore et al. 1244 (OC)	Mexico: San Luis Potosi	KY952428
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis* cf. *nesomii* B.L.Turner	George S. Hinton 25567 (TEX)	Mexico: Nuevo Leon	KY952449
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis coccinea* (Torr.) Benth. & Hook.f.	Norman A. Douglas 2133 (DUKE)	United States: Arizona	KY952420
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis coccinea* (Torr.) Benth. & Hook.f.	Steven P. McLaughlin et al. 9354 (ARIZ)	United States: Arizona	KY952421
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis comata* (Small) Standl.	Norman A. Douglas 2084 (DUKE)	United States: Arizona	KY952422
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis decumbens* (Nutt.) Daniels	Richard W. Spellenberg et al. 4073 (TEX)	Mexico: Zacatecas	KY952423

Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis donahooiana* Le Duc	Alice Le Duc et al. 247 (TEX)	Mexico: Michoacán	KY952424
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis exserta* Brandegee	Pedro Tenorio 10586 (MEXU)	Mexico	KY952425
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis gigantea* (Standl.) Shinners	J. Quayle et al. 752 (TEX)	United States: Texas	KY952426
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis glabra* (S.Watson) Standl.	Michael J. Moore et al. 674 (OC)	United States: New Mexico	KY952446
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis glabrifolia* (Ortega) I.M.Johnst.	Guy Nesom et al. 7654 (TEX)	Mexico: Coahuila	KY952427
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis glabrifolia* (Ortega) I.M.Johnst.	Michael J. Moore et al. 1325 (OC)	Mexico: Nuevo Leon	KY952429
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis gracilis* (Standl.) LeDuc	Alice Le Duc et al. 71 (TEX)	Mexico: Jalisco	KY952430
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis grandiflora* (Standl.) Standl.	EDL 1863 (MEXU)	Mexico	KY952431
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis greenei* S.Watson	George E. Pilz 998 (TEX)	United States: California	KY952432
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis himalaica* (Edgew.) Heimerl var. *chinensis* Heimerl	D. E. Boufford et al. 32449 (F)	China: Xizang (Tibet)	KY952433
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis himalaica* (Edgew.) Heimerl var. *chinensis* Heimerl	D. E. Boufford et al. 41198 (F)	China: Xizang (Tibet)	KY952434
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis himalaica* (Edgew.) Heimerl var. *chinensis* Heimerl	D. E. Boufford et al. 41435 (F)	China: Xizang (Tibet)	KY952435
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis hintoniorum* Le Duc	Patricia Hernández Ledesma 118 (MEXU)	Mexico: Michoacán	KY952436
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis jalapa* L.	Michael J. Moore s.n.	United States (cultivated)	KY952437
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis laevis* (Benth.) Curran	Andrew C. Sanders et al. 29410 (TEX)	United States: California	KY952438
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis latifolia* (A.Gray) Diggs, Lipscomb & O'Kennon	Victor L. Cory 24549 (GH)	United States: Texas	KY952439
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis linearis* (Pursh) Heimerl	Billie L. Turner 21-854 (TEX)	United States: Texas	KY952440
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis linearis* (Pursh) Heimerl var. *decipiens* (Standl.) S.L.Welsh	Michael J. Moore et al. 1984 (OC)	Mexico: Coahuila	KY952441
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis longiflora* L.	Michael J. Moore et al. 1230 (OC)	Mexico: San Luis Potosi	KY952442
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis longiflora* L. var. *wrightiana* (A.Gray ex Britton & Kearney) Kearney & Peebles	Alice Le Duc 185 (TEX)	United States: New Mexico	KY952443
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis melanotricha*	Michael J. Moore et	Mexico: San	KY952444

	(Standl.) Spellenb.	al. 1191 (OC)	Luis Potosi	
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis melanotricha* (Standl.) Spellenb.	Norman A. Douglas 2067 (DUKE)	United States: New Mexico	KY952445
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis multiflora* (Torr.) A.Gray	Michael J. Moore 1110 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952447
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis multiflora* (Torr.) A.Gray	Norman A. Douglas 2037 (DUKE)	United States: Arizona	KY952448
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis nesomii* B.L.Turner	Michael J. Moore et al. 2179 (NMC)	Mexico: Nuevo Leon	KY952450
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis nesomii* B.L.Turner	Michael J. Moore et al. 2643 (NMC)	Mexico: Nuevo Leon	KY952451
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis nyctaginea* (Michx.) MacMill.	William R. Carr 14590 (TEX)	United States: Texas	KY952452
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis oligantha* (Standl.) Standl.	José L. Panero 2816 (MEXU)	Mexico: Baja California	KY952453
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis oxybaphoides* (A.Gray) A.Gray	George S. Hinton 25572 (TEX)	Mexico: Nuevo Leon	KY952454
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis polonii* Le Duc	Alice Le Duc 259 (MEXU)	Mexico: Nuevo Leon	KY952455
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis pringlei* Weath.	Alice Le Duc et al. 63 (TEX)	Mexico: Jalisco	KY952456
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis pudica* Barneby	Arnold Tiehm 10971 (TEX)	United States: Nevada	KY952457
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis texensis* (J.M.Coult.) B.L.Turner	Billie L. Turner 22-417 (TEX)	United States: Texas	KY952458
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis triflora* Benth.	Ramón Cuevas G. et al. 3415 (MEXU)	Mexico: Jalisco	KY952459
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis urbani* Heimerl	Mark Fishbein et al. 5107 (MEXU)	Mexico: Michoacan	KY952460
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis violacea* (L.) Heimerl	Patricia Hernández Ledesma 63 (MEXU)	Mexico: Distrito Federal	KY952461
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis viscosa* Cav.	Michael J. Moore et al. 1824 (NMC)	Mexico: San Luis Potosi	KY952462
Nyctaginaceae	*Mirabilis viscosa* Cav.	Patricia Hernández Ledesma 13 (MEXU)	Mexico	KY952463
Nyctaginaceae	*Neea belizensis* Donn.Sm.	Cyrus L. Lundell 17692 (TEX)	Guatemala: Petén	KY952465
Nyctaginaceae	*Neea cauliflora* Poepp. & Endl.	Schanke S15106 (NY)	Peru	KY952466
Nyctaginaceae	*Neea psychotrioides* Donn.Sm.	Robert L. Wilbur 63654	Costa Rica: Heredia	KY952467
Nyctaginaceae	*Nyctaginia capitata* Choisy	Michael J. Moore et al. 617 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952470
Nyctaginaceae	*Okenia hypogaea* Schltdl. & Cham.	Thomas R. Van Devender et al. 92-1069 (NMC)	Mexico: Sonora	KY952471
Nyctaginaceae	*Pisonia aculeata* L.	C. Martínez 1209 (TEX)	Mexico: Oaxaca	KY952483
Nyctaginaceae	*Pisonia brunoniana*	J. S. Rose 3	United States:	KY952484

	Endl.		Hawaii	
Nyctaginaceae	*Pisonia capitata* (S.Watson) Standl.	Ana L. Reina Guerrero et al. 2000-193 (NMC)	Mexico: Sonora	KY952485
Nyctaginaceae	*Pisonia capitata* (S.Watson) Standl.	Thomas R. Van Devender et al. 2003-17 (TEX)	United States: Arizona	KY952486
Nyctaginaceae	*Pisonia macranthocarpa* (Donn.Sm.) Donn.Sm.	Dennis E. Breedlove et al. 30361 (TEX)	Mexico: Chiapas	KY952487
Nyctaginaceae	*Pisonia sandwicensis* Hillebr.	Flora K. Samis 1 (Lyon Arboretum living collection)	United States: Hawaii	KY952488
Nyctaginaceae	*Pisonia sylvatica* Standl.	José L. Linares 13403 (MEXU)	El Salvador: Sonsonate	KY952489
Nyctaginaceae	*Pisonia umbellifera* (J.R.Forst. & G.Forst.) Seem.	Flora K. Samis 12 (Lyon Arboretum living collection, accession 68.0453)	United States: Hawaii	KY952490
Nyctaginaceae	*Pisonia zapallo* Griseb.	Israel G. Vargas et al. 2001 (TEX)	Bolivia: Santa Cruz	KY952491
Nyctaginaceae	*Pisoniella arborescens* (Lag. & Rodr.) Standl.	Alice Le Duc et al. 231 (NMC)	Mexico: Oaxaca	KY952492
Nyctaginaceae	*Pisoniella arborescens* (Lag. & Rodr.) Standl.	William R. Anderson 13522 (NY)	Mexico: Oaxaca	KY952493
Nyctaginaceae	*Ramisia brasiliensis* Oliv.	Jomar G. Jardim 1507 (NY)	Brazil	KY952495
Nyctaginaceae	*Reichenbachia hirsuta* Spreng.	Michael Nee 47813 (NY)	Bolivia	KY952496
Nyctaginaceae	*Reichenbachia paraguayensis* (D.Parodi) Dugand & Daniel	Maria Maguidaura Hatschbach 49218 (NY)	Brazil	KY952497
Nyctaginaceae	*Salpianthus arenarius* Bonpl.	Richard W. Spellenberg 12903 (NMC)	Mexico: Michoacán	KY952503
Nyctaginaceae	*Salpianthus macrodontus* Standl.	Thomas R. Van Devender et al. 91-894 (NMC)	Mexico: Sonora	KY952504
Nyctaginaceae	*Salpianthus purpurascens* (Cav. ex Lag.) Hook. & Arn.	Richard W. Spellenberg et al. 12885 (NMC)	Mexico: Oaxaca	KY952505
Nyctaginaceae	*Tripterocalyx carneus* (Greene) L.A.Galloway	Norman A. Douglas 2060 (DUKE)	United States: New Mexico	KY952525
Nyctaginaceae	*Tripterocalyx crux-maltae* (Kellogg) Standl.	Arnold Tiehm et al. 12213 (TEX)	United States: Nevada	KY952526
Nyctaginaceae	*Tripterocalyx micranthus* (Torr.) Hook.	B. MacLeod et al. 751 (TEX)	United States: Colorado	KY952527
Phytolaccaceae	*Agdestis clematidea* Moc. & Sessé ex DC.	George S. Hinton 25023 (TEX)	Mexico: Tamaulipas	KY952313

Phytolaccaceae	*Gallesia integrifolia* (Spreng.) Harms	Michael Nee et al. 50072 (TEX)	Bolivia: Santa Cruz	KY952407
Phytolaccaceae	*Hilleria latifolia* (Lam.) H.Walter	Michael Nee 33807 (TEX)	Bolivia: Santa Cruz	KY952413
Phytolaccaceae	*Petiveria alliacea* L.	Lucas C. Majure 4132 (FLAS)	United States: Florida	KY952476
Phytolaccaceae	*Phytolacca americana* L.	Michael J. Moore 342 (OC)	United States: Ohio	KY952480
Phytolaccaceae	*Phytolacca icosandra* L.	Mark H. Mayfield et al. 1001 (TEX)	Mexico: Guerrero	KY952481
Phytolaccaceae	*Phytolacca octandra* L.	Juan A. Encina et al. 1545 (TEX)	Mexico: Nuevo Leon	KY952482
Phytolaccaceae	*Rivina humilis* L.	Michael J. Moore 1129 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952499
Phytolaccaceae	*Seguieria aculeata* Jacq.	Elsa Zardini et al. 22101 (TEX)	Paraguay	KY952510
Phytolaccaceae	*Seguieria paraguariensis* Morong	Michael Nee 48735 (TEX)	Bolivia: Santa Cruz	KY952511
Phytolaccaceae	*Trichostigma octandrum* (L.) H.Walter	Michael Nee 47094 (TEX)	Bolivia: Santa Cruz	KY952522
Phytolaccaceae	*Trichostigma peruvianum* (Moq.) H.Walter	Flora K. Samis 10 (Lyon Arboretum living collection, accession 94.0377)	United States: Hawaii	KY952523
Plumbaginaceae	*Aegialitis annulata* R.Br.	Christopher T. Martine 4043 (OC)	Australia: Western Australia	KY952312
Plumbaginaceae	*Limonium limbatum* Small	Michael J. Moore et al. 694 (OC)	United States: New Mexico	KY952414
Plumbaginaceae	*Plumbago scandens* L.	Michael J. Moore et al. 1828 (OC)	Mexico: San Luis Potosi	KY952494
Polygonaceae	*Eriogonum longifolium* Nutt. var. *longifolium*	Michael J. Moore 1796 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952404
Polygonaceae	*Eriogonum rotundifolium* Benth.	Michael J. Moore 1769 (OC)	United States: New Mexico	KY952405
Polygonaceae	*Persicaria odorata* LaLlave	Flora K. Samis 9 (Lyon Arboretum living collection, accession 88.0439)	United States: Hawaii	KY952475
Polygonaceae	*Persicaria* sp.	Michael J. Moore 1177	United States: Ohio	KY952474
Polygonaceae	*Reynoutria japonica* (Houtt.) Ronse Decr.	Michael J. Moore 2188 (OC)	United States: Ohio	KY952498
Polygonaceae	*Rumex albescens* Hillebr.	Flora K. Samis 4 (Lyon Arboretum living collection, accession 2008-0119)	United States: Hawaii	KY952500
Polygonaceae	*Rumex* sp.	Michael J. Moore 1800 (OC)	United States: Texas	KY952501
Polygonaceae	*Rumex* sp.	Michael J. Moore	United States:	KY952502

		1805 (OC)	Texas	
Sarcobataceae	*Sarcobatus vermiculatus* (Hook.) Torr.	Michael J. Moore et al. 813 (OC)	United States: Utah	KY952508
Stegnospermataceae	*Stegnosperma cubense* A.Rich.	Silvia H. Salas Morales 2649 (NY)	Mexico: Oaxaca	KY952513
Talinaceae	*Talinum* cf. *aurantiacum* Engelm.	Michael J. Moore et al. 1985 (OC)	Mexico: Coahuila	KY952517
Talinaceae	*Talinum fruticosum* (L.) Juss.	Flora K. Samis 8 (Lyon Arboretum living collection, accession 2012.0008)	United States: Hawaii	KY952518
Talinaceae	*Talinum paniculatum* (Jacq.) Gaertn.	Michael J. Moore 1789 (OC)	United States (cultivated)	KY952520
Talinaceae	*Talinum* sp.	Michael J. Moore et al. 1974 (MEXU)	Mexico: Coahuila	KY952519

Table 2 List of primers used to amplify the *matK* sequences newly reported here. Within each primer name, the number indicates the approximate position of the primer in nucleotides downstream from the start of *matK*.

Primer name	Sequence (5'→3')	Notes
matK.300F.Car	TTG CAG TCA TTG TGG AAA TTC C	works broadly across most of Caryophyllales, but generally fails in Caryophyllaceae and Frankeniaceae
matK.1350R.Car	GCC AAA GTT CTA GCA CAA GAA AG	works broadly across most of Caryophyllales
matK.210F.Car	TTC GGC TAA TGA TTC TCA CCA A	designed specifically for Caryophyllaceae
matK.1345R.Car	GAG CCA AAG TTC TAG CAC AAG AA	designed specifically for Caryophyllaceae
matK.1355R.Car	TGT GTT TAC GAG CTA AAG TTC TAG	designed specifically for Caryophyllaceae
matK.300F.Fra	TCG CTG TCT TTG CTG AAA TTC C	designed specifically for Frankeniaceae

Table 3 Summary of WGD events at identified clades with distance to diversification shift and climate information. Numbers correspond to those in Figs. 1 and 2.

#	**Putative WGD**	**Distance to diversification shift in nodes ML(BS)**	**Subtending species (sister)**	**Mean annual temp °C (sister)**	**Mean annual precip mm (sister)**
1	Tribe Nyctagineae within the Nyctaginaceae	0 (0)	123 (40)	17.49 (20.08)	482.9 (997.08)
2	Phytolaccoid clade	6 (6)	182 (407)	19.64 (18.36)	1007.58 (452.47)

3	*Claytonia*	NA	38 (15)	5.28 (7.25)	790.5 (970.36)
4	Portulacineae	1 (1)	1600 (38)	16.19 (19.35)	699.87 (736.42)
5	*Amaranthus*	0 (0)	28 (1)	16.27 (27.09)	797.74 (117.63)
6	Tribe Gomphrenoideae within Amaranthaceae	7 (7)	172 (41)	17.91 (16.65)	871.95 (1289.5)
7	in Caryophyllaceae (Alsinoideae + Caryophylloideae sensu Greenberg and Donoghue 2011)	9 (9)	793 (13)	11.44 (12.06)	761.43 (720.00)
8	Polygonaceae	13 (13)	670 (70)	16.3. (16.89)	1084.17 (794.28)
9	Plumbaginaceae	NA	70 (670)	16.89 (16.3)	794.28 (1084.17)
10	Droseraceae	8 (NA)	67 (108)	16.3 (19.08)	1280.57 (1491.72)
11	Nepenthaceae	4 (NA)	89 (19)	22.52 (20.05)	2170.5 (1611.63)
12	Ancistrocladaceae	0 (NA)	15 (3)	24.17 (25.6)	1899.13 (2882.4)
13	Tamaricaceae	NA	19 (3)	14.09 (16.21)	568.32 (469.61)

674

675

676 **Table 4** Summary of diversification shifts. Letters correspond to those in Figs. 1 and 2.

#	Family	Diversification shift	Mean shift (ML)	Mean shift (BS)
a	Cactaceae	*Echinops*	1.7957	2.2008
b	Cactaceae	within *Gymnocalycium*	6.9152	
c	Cactaceae	*Gymnocalycium*	-0.001	0.0555
d	Cactaceae	*Hylocereus*+*Selenicereus*	0.1175	
e	Cactaceae	*Rhipsalis*+*Schlumbergera*+*Echinocereus*+relatives	0.0514	
f	Cactaceae	*Stenocactus*	-0.057	-0.019
g	Anacampserotaceae	*Anacampseros*	0.2624	
h	Portulacaceae	*Portulaca*	0.0427	0.0447
i	Montiaceae	*Montiopsis*	0.9418	
j	Montiaceae	Montiaceae	0.0325	
k	Aizoaceae	*Drosanthemum*+*Delosperma*+*Hereroa*+relatives	0.1469	
l	Nyctaginaceae	*Boerhavia*		0.0747
m	Nyctaginaceae	*Commicarpus*	0.9642	
n	Nyctaginaceae	Tribe Nyctagineae	0.0484	0.0485
o	Nyctaginaceae	*Abronia*		-0.084
p	Nyctag.+Aizo+Cact.+relatives	Nyctag.+Aizo+Cact.+relatives	0.0168	0.019
r	Amaranthaceae	*Salicornia*	0.2732	0.1649
s	Amaranthaceae	*Suaeda* clade 1	0.1027	
t	Amaranthaceae	*Suaeda* clade 2	-0.036	-0.028
u	Amaranthaceae	*Atriplex*	0.0384	
v	Amaranthaceae	*Corispermum*	0.1186	
w	Amaranthaceae	*Froelichia*+*Gomphrena*+relatives	0.0217	0.0132
x	Amaranthaceae	*Amaranthus*	0.335	0.2049
y	Caryophyllaceae	*Dianthus*	0.0662	0.0409
z	Caryophyllaceae	*Cerastium*	0.7137	
aa	Caryophyllaceae	*Arenaria*	0.4606	0.425
bb	Caryophyllaceae	*Moehringia*	1.0971	0.995
cc	Caryophyllaceae	*Schiedea*	0.2339	0.2767
dd	Polygonaceae	*Fagopyrum*	-0.04	-0.034
ee	Polygonaceae	*Eriogonum*+relatives	0.0432	0.0364
ff	Nepenthaceae	within *Nepenthes*	0.042	
gg	Ancistrocladaceae	*Ancistrocladus*	0.1426	
hh	Droseraceae	within *Drosera 1*	0.2237	0.2076
ii	Droseraceae	within *Drosera 2*		0.1622

677

678

679 **Figures**



680

681 **Fig. 1** Chronogram of the Caryophyllales with putative WGD mapped along with identified
682 diversification shifts. Diversification analyses were performed on the maximum likelihood tree
683 (ML) as well as the bootstrap tree set (set) and those shifts that were identified in both groups are
684 shown. The branches are colored based on Bioclim variable 1 (Mean Annual Temperature).
685



Fig. 2 The chronograms and mapping of diversification and WGD are as in Fig. 1 (see caption for details). A) The branches are colored based on Bioclim variable 12 (Mean Annual Precipitation), and B) based on the principal component analyses (PCA) axis 1.



Fig. 3 Summary of WGD events, with numbers corresponding to those in Table 3, climatic variables, and diversification shifts. Numbers along branches denote WGD, with the numbers corresponding to those in Fig. 1 and Table 3. Numbers inside clades denote the number of diversification rate shifts. Estimated species numbers are listed beside clade names. Box plots show the values estimated (ancestral values are listed in the top rows, rates in the bottom rows) for both the left and right clades across bootstrap samples. Clades shaded grey denote a WGD. b), c), and d) have nested WGD.

Supporting Information

700 **Fig. S1** The cladogram with support mapped for the bootstrap replicates described in the
701 methods.
702 **Fig. S2** The chronograms and mapping of temperature variables (bioclimatic variables 13-19)
703 that are not presented in Fig. 1.
704 **Fig. S3** The chronograms and mapping of precipitation variables (bioclimatic variables 13-19)
705 that are not presented in Fig. 2.
706 **Fig. S4** The chronograms and mapping of PCA axis 2 on the broader Caryophyllales.
707 **Fig. S5** Principal component loadings for bioclimatic variables.